GOVERNMENT
OF
THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

ZONING COMMISSION

+ + + + +

REGULAR MEETING

+ + + + +

MONDAY

DECEMBER 09, 2013

+ + + + -

The Regular Meeting of the District of Columbia Zoning Commission convened in the Jerrily R. Kress Memorial Hearing Room, Room 220 South, 441 4th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., 20001, pursuant to notice at 6:30 p.m., Anthony J. Hood, Chairman, presiding.

ZONING COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT:

ANTHONY J. HOOD, Chairman
MARCIE COHEN, Vice Chair
MICHAEL G. TURNBULL, FAIA,
Commissioner (AOC)
PETER G. MAY, Commissioner (NPS)
ROBERT MILLER, Commissioner

OFFICE OF ZONING STAFF PRESENT:

SHARON S. SCHELLIN, Secretary

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 PRESENT (CONT'D)

OFFICE OF PLANNING STAFF PRESENT:

JENNIFER STEINGASSER, Deputy Director,
Development Review & Historic
Preservation
BRANDICE ELLIOTT
STEPHEN MORDFIN
JOEL LAWSON
MAXINE BROWN-ROBERTS

D.C. OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL PRESENT:

ALAN BERGSTEIN, ESQ. JACOB RITTING

The transcript constitutes the minutes from the Regular meeting held on December 09, 2013.

T-A-B-L-E O-F C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S

WELCOME	3
PRELIMINARY MATTERS:	5
FINAL ACTION:	
ZC CASE NO. 13-05 Vote: Five, zero, zero to approve	10
ZC CASE NOS. 09-03A AND 09-03B Vote: Five, zero, zero to approve	15
ZC CASE NO. 05-28J Vote: Five, zero, zero to approve	21
HEARING ACTION:	
ZC CASE NO. 13-10 Vote: Five, zero, zero to approve	30
ZC CASE NO. 13-15 Vote: Five, zero, zero to approve	44
ADJOURNMENT:	49

1 P-R-O-C-E-E-D-T-N-G-S 2 6:41 p.m. 3 CHAIRMAN HOOD: This meeting would 4 please come to order. Good evening, ladies and gentlemen. 5 1,275th meeting of This is the 6 the Zoning Commission, the 22nd of 2013, on Monday, December 7 9, 2013, at approximately 6:40 p.m. 8 We're located in the Jerrily R. Kress 9 10 Memorial Hearing Room. 11 My name is Anthony Hood. Joining me 12 are Vice Chair Cohen, Commissioners Miller, May 13 and Turnbull. We're also joined by the Office of Zoning staff, Ms. Sharon Schellin; Office of the 14 Attorney General Staff, Mr. Alan Bergstein and 15 16 Mr. Redding; Office of Planning, Ms. Steingasser 17 and Mr. Lawson, Mr. Mordfin and Ms. Elliott. 18 Copies of today's meeting agenda are available to you and are located in the bin at 19 20 the door. We do not take any public testimony at meetings unless the Commission requests 21 our

someone to come forward.

1	Please be advised that this proceeding
2	is being recorded by a court reporter and its
3	also webcast live. Accordingly, we must ask you
4	to refrain from any disruptive noises or actions
5	in the hearing room. Please turn off all beepers
6	and cell phones. Also signs are not permitted.
7	Does the staff have any preliminary
8	matters?
9	MS. SCHELLIN: No, sir.
10	CHAIRMAN HOOD: If not, let us proceed
11	with our agenda.
12	Commissioners, first, on the agenda,
13	as has been noted, we'd like to talk about the
14	preliminary matter that I have is that we talk
15	about how we're going to proceed with Zoning
16	Commission Case No. 08-06A, the ZRR next steps.
17	This is per the hearing being scheduled which has
18	been noticed and notifications are going out.
19	On Thursday, January 30, 2014, the
20	Zoning Commission is taking the show on the road.
21	At 6:00 p.m., we're going to the John A. Wilson
22	Building in Room 412. And that hearing has been

1	scheduled to hear testimony from the ANCs, and
2	ANCs only.
3	Any questions on that, Commissioners?
4	(No audible response.)
5	CHAIRMAN HOOD: We're all on the same
6	page?
7	(No audible response.)
8	CHAIRMAN HOOD: The next thing is also
9	again with our show on the road, we're planning
10	to hold four further hearings in Wards 1 and 2, 3
11	and 4, 5 and 6 and 7 and 8. These hearings will
12	be held to hear testimony from those who have not
13	already provided testimony before the Commission
14	about the ZRR. Dates for those will be announced
15	in the very near future.
16	Again, we want to make sure we had a
17	wide representation of who will come down here to
18	testify in front of us. So if we can't get them
19	here, we're going to go to them. And I think the
20	Commission has decided to do that.
21	Any questions on that or comments?
22	VICE CHAIR COHEN: No, sir.

1	CHAIRMAN HOOD: And I will implore
2	those who are watching and those in the audience
3	to make sure you get the word out that the Zoning
4	Commission is going to the Wards 1 and 2, 3
5	and 4, 5 and 6, and 7 and 8.
6	Any questions?
7	(Applause.)
8	COMMISSIONER MILLER: Mr. Chairman, we
9	haven't set the dates yet because I guess we
10	don't have the locations pinned down. But is
11	there a general time frame of when these are
12	going to be? Are we trying to have these
	hearings in February after the ANC?
13	inearings in repracty areer one rave.
13 14	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Well, it will be after
14	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Well, it will be after
14 15	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Well, it will be after the ANC January, so we're probably looking at
14 15 16	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Well, it will be after the ANC January, so we're probably looking at February. I'm hoping we won't get a whole lot of
14 15 16 17	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Well, it will be after the ANC January, so we're probably looking at February. I'm hoping we won't get a whole lot of snow. But we're going to make it work.
14 15 16 17	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Well, it will be after the ANC January, so we're probably looking at February. I'm hoping we won't get a whole lot of snow. But we're going to make it work. COMMISSIONER MILLER: Okay.
14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Well, it will be after the ANC January, so we're probably looking at February. I'm hoping we won't get a whole lot of snow. But we're going to make it work. COMMISSIONER MILLER: Okay. CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay?

1	we can change it at any point in time. But
2	that's the goal.
3	And I think when we looked at the
4	date, March the 1^{st} is on a Friday. But the 3^{rd} is
5	on a Saturday. And we definitely don't want to
6	close it then. And we don't want to do the
7	Friday before that because it gives any
8	additional person more time that we can be able
9	to provide something to the record.
10	So are we all in agreement?
11	COMMISSIONER MILLER: This is a goal
12	but not necessarily a decision where we make the
13	decision after the hearings?
14	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Well, the goal is to
15	set it for March 3 rd .
16	I guess we need to give some well,
17	it could change. I mean, the only thing we can
18	do is extend it. I don't think we would shorten
19	it.
20	COMMISSIONER MILLER: Right.
21	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. We will
22	definitely not shorten it. We would extend it if

1	we see we need to as we go along.
2	COMMISSIONER MILLER: Right.
3	CHAIRMAN HOOD: But that's what we
4	have.
5	I know that the Office of Zoning has
6	worked very hard in getting the word out. And I
7	would ask everyone to get the word out even
8	though we're looking at somewhere around February
9	to come to the Wards. So we couldn't get
10	everybody here. So the Zoning Commission is
11	going to come to you. Okay?
12	Oh, yes. The record will remain open
13	until 5:00 p.m., March the 3 rd .
14	Actually, let's do this. Let's have
15	the record open until March 3 rd until 3:00 p.m.
16	Okay? Let's do 3:00 p.m., not 5:00 p.m. Let's
17	do 3:00 p.m.
18	Okay. That will give staff some time
19	to try to juggle things. We don't want to wait
20	until the last minute until the office closes.
21	Okay. Anything else?
22	(No audible response.)
	NEAL R. GROSS

1	CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right. Let's go
2	along with our agenda.
3	And we will probably be repeating this
4	more and more as we go along.
5	Next, Final Action, Zoning Commission
6	Case No. 13-05. This is Forest City, Washington,
7	1 st -Stage and 2 nd -Stage PUDs and Related Map
8	Amendment at Squares 744S and 744SS.
9	Ms. Schellin?
10	MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, sir.
11	Exhibits 29, 31 through 31D and 32 are
12	the Applicant's first hearing submissions.
13	Exhibit 30 is the supplemental DDOT report.
14	Exhibit 33 is DC Water's response to Applicant's
15	post-hearing submission. And Exhibit 34 is ANC
16	SMD 6D07's submission.
17	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, Commissioners,
17	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, Commissioners, we've heard all the relative submissions that
18	we've heard all the relative submissions that some of us may have asked for. And if you look
18 19	we've heard all the relative submissions that

1	to be redundant.
2	Let me open it up for any comments.
3	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Mr. Chair, I
4	think my major concern was the lights in the
5	parking garage. I was concerned about how
6	visible lights would be if you'd look up at this
7	prominent structure.
8	And I didn't get exactly what I wanted
9	as far as placement of lights. But the rendering
LO	that I'm looking at would appear to generate that
L1	you cannot see any lights. So I'm going to hold
L2	the Applicant to that, that lights are not
L3	visible from the inside of the structure.
L4	CHAIRMAN HOOD: So Mr. Turnbull, does
L5	that satisfy?
L6	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Well, it
L7	satisfies it based upon what I'm looking at. I
L8	mean, I'm not sure whether it's accurate totally.
L9	I did not see any placement of lights that would
20	sort of indicate where they are in the garage. I
21	think I had asked for that.

But their rendering that I'm looking

at shows a very dark-looking screen mesh that would appear to be not generating or a very low level of uniform light coming up which is I think what we wanted. We didn't want to look up and see a structure at this location where you'd see bulbs and rather glaring lights coming out for those couple of floors.

So notwithstanding that this rendering on A73 does not sort of appear to show any of that, I am basing my approval on the fact that they've solved the problem and we will not see any lights from the street looking up into the garage.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. You know this is a Final Action. So you feel comfortable? I just wanted to make sure.

COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Well, based upon what we're seeing, if this is what the Applicant is proposing on 873 that -- I don't remember whether -- however the Office of Planning looked at this, but I mean, this is what I'm looking at. And it's showing that you're not

NEAL R. GROSS

1	really seeing any lights.
2	So I'm willing to go ahead. But I
3	guess the Zoning Administrator somebody can
4	make a ruling later on if we do see light bulbs
5	up there.
6	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Any other
7	comments?
8	(No audible response.)
9	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Not seeing any,
LO	would somebody like to make a motion or anything
L1	that we're missing?
L2	Vice Chair Cohen?
L3	VICE CHAIR COHEN: Mr. Chairman, i
L4	will be happy to make a motion to approve Zoning
L5	Case No. 13-05, Forest City Washington, also
L6	known as DC Water-occupied lots.
L7	COMMISSIONER MILLER: Second.
L8	CHAIRMAN HOOD: It's been moved and
L9	properly seconded.
20	Any further discussion?
21	(No audible response.)
22	CHAIRMAN HOOD: All those in favor?

1	(A CHORUS OF AYES.)
2	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Not hearing any
3	opposition, Ms. Schellin, would you please record
4	the vote?
5	MS. SCHELLIN: Staff records the vote
6	as five to zero to zero; Commissioner Cohen
7	moving; Commissioner Miller seconding;
8	Commissioners Hood, May and Turnbull in support.
9	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Next, I'm going
10	to call both cases.
11	Zoning Commission Case No. 09-03A;
12	this is Skyland Holdings, LLC, PUD Modification
13	at Square 5632, and all; and also Zoning
14	Commission Case No. 09-03B. This is Skyland
15	Holdings, LLC, Two-Year PUD Time Extension at
16	Square 5632, and all.
17	Ms. Schellin?
18	MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, sir. For the
19	first case, the PUD modification in Exhibits 52
20	and 52A have the Applicant's post-hearing
21	submission.
22	And for the two-year time extension
	NEAL R. GROSS

1	case, the Applicant is requesting the two-year
2	PUD time extension citing the fact that the case
4	FOD time extension citing the fact that the case
3	has been tied up in litigation and other factors
4	that have been beyond the Applicant's control as
5	reasons for the granting of the extension.
6	Exhibit 8 in that case is an OP report in support
7	of the extension.
8	We'd ask the Commission to consider
9	final action on both cases.
10	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Colleagues, we
11	have the time extension as well as the
12	modification in front of us. Any comments?
13	(No audible response.)
14	CHAIRMAN HOOD: I actually don't have
15	any on either one. I will be voting in support
16	of the time extension.
17	Any comments or questions?
18	COMMISSIONER MAY: A comment. We saw
19	some modifications to the design. It's out on
20	Naylor Road. And it was a return closer to what
21	was there originally. But it's improved over
22	what was there originally which we wanted to have

1	changed. And it's a significant improvement over
2	the last thing we saw which I don't think any of
3	us were really in love with.
4	So I think it's acceptable. I was
5	hoping for something more interesting and
6	exciting in that little bit of that wide sidewalk
7	but I'm satisfied with what we have.
8	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. And actually,
9	since we commented on that after we went back and
10	forth through iterations, this is not a show
11	stopper to me, but I actually like the first
12	reiteration. I don't want to put the Applicant
13	through all that.
14	I liked what was originally sent to us
15	to begin with when I looked at it. But anyway, I
16	think it's always in the eye of the beholder.
17	But I think if we had left it alone, I think it
18	would have looked a lot better.
19	Commissioner Miller?
20	COMMISSIONER MILLER: I would agree
21	with you, Mr. Chairman. I think that the lower
22	screens in the first iteration with the white

1	bands provided some relief from the darkness of
2	the later iteration. But I think we gave a lot
3	of mixed signals, I think, throughout. And so,
4	it is a simplified screen. And I think it would
5	have been good if the Applicant had taken it out.
6	And maybe there's still an opportunity to take
7	it up with Commissioner May's suggestion to do an
8	art mural or some kind of civic art there.
9	But I'm prepared to support final
10	action tonight.
11	CHAIRMAN HOOD: I wonder if it would
12	be improper to approve both hoping they'd go back
13	to the original. Is anybody open for that?
14	COMMISSIONER MAY: No.
15	VICE CHAIR COHEN: No.
16	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Anybody else?
17	(No audible response.)
18	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.
19	COMMISSIONER MAY: It's very similar
20	to what they had
21	CHAIRMAN HOOD: It's very similar
22	COMMISSIONER MAY: originally. And

1	I mean, it's simplified in a good way. I think
2	there's some issues with the original which is
3	why I wanted them to go I mean, I think
4	actually I was more interested in what they would
5	do with the space than with the wall. And it
6	wound up in a very strange direction.
7	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes. I would agree.
8	Commissioner May and I don't have a vote. So I
9	just wanted to say that we went in that direction
10	anyway.
11	Anything else? That's just this
12	Commissioner's. But it's not a show stopper for
13	me. All right? Okay.
14	All right. Would somebody like to
15	anything else?
16	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Mr. Chair, if
17	everything's okay, I'll make a motion.
18	I would move that we approve Zoning
19	Commission Case 09-03A, Skyland Holdings, LLC,
20	PUD Modification at Squares 5632, et cetera, et
21	cetera, and Zoning Commission No. 09-03B, Skyland
22	Holdings, LLC, Two-Year PUD Time Extension at

1	Squares 5632, et cetera, and look for a second.
2	COMMISSIONER MILLER: I would second
3	that.
4	VICE CHAIR COHEN: Second.
5	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. It's been moved
6	and properly seconded as two seconds. So we're
7	really trying to get through this. I think this
8	is long overdue.
9	So it's moved and properly seconded.
10	Any further discussion?
11	(No audible response.)
12	CHAIRMAN HOOD: All those in favor?
13	(A CHORUS OF AYES.)
14	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any opposition?
15	(No audible response.)
16	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Staff, would you
17	record the vote?
18	MS. SCHELLIN: Staff records it five
19	to zero to zero to approved final action Zoning
20	Commission Case Nos. 09-03A and 09-03B;
21	Commissioner Turnbull moving; Commissioner Cohen
22	seconding; Commissioners Hood, May and Miller in

1	support.
2	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Next, we have
3	Zoning Commission Case No. 05-28J and 05/28K, CI
4	GD Parkside 7, LLC, Modification to 1 st -Stage PUD
5	and 2^{nd} -Stage PUD at Square 5041.
6	Ms. Schellin?
7	MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, sir. Exhibits 26,
8	28 through 28C and 29, we have the Applicant's
9	first hearing submissions. We'd ask the
10	Commission to consider final action this evening.
11	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Let's open it
12	up. Any comments?
13	VICE CHAIR COHEN: Yes.
14	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Vice Chair?
15	VICE CHAIR COHEN: Mr. Chairman, just
16	a few comments on the Zoning order itself.
17	On page seven, 1 st -Stage Modification,
18	paragraph 36, the sentence under D, Parking, I
19	would just suggest that we note the date of the
20	revised plans that replaced the $1^{ ext{st}}$ -stage PUD.
21	It's mentioned later on in the Zoning order, but
22	I think it may be helpful to have it here as well

so there's no confusion.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

I believe that this project also meets

LEED neighborhood -- I think it's ND -requirements. But I didn't see it in the Zoning
order. And so, I think we may want to re-visit
that.

And then lastly, I think we need a clarification with regard to the Affordable and Workforce Housing under paragraph 42 that the Affordable Housing requirement represents 40 the total affordable percent of housing contribution. Well, yes. No, never mind. Because well, I did some calculation, and it was confusing when it said 19 percent of the total approved 1500 units when if you do the math, it says 12 percent. So I just think we need to have a clarification of that.

I did discuss it with the Office of the Attorney General, and I just want to make sure that this is really, really clear.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Can we hold for one second?

1	Ms. Schellin, Mr. Mike DeBonis is here
2	and he came to hear about the ZRR to help us with
3	the promotion of that. He missed that. So if he
4	can maybe come up and see Ms. Schellin, maybe we
5	can find a way to give him the news so he can
6	help us with this advertisement.
7	MS. SCHELLIN: Okay.
8	CHAIRMAN HOOD: So if you could share
9	it. But that was already done.
10	So I would hope that he could help us.
11	Hopefully, I'm not putting him on the spot. But
12	hopefully, he can help us. Okay.
13	Okay. Let's go back. I'm sorry, Vice
14	Chair.
15	VICE CHAIR COHEN: No, I was finished.
16	So unless anybody else has further statements,
17	I'm willing to move ahead and make a motion to
18	approve this application.
19	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any other comments?
20	Commissioner Miller?
21	COMMISSIONER MILLER: Just in response

1	to LEED on page 13 of the OAG draft order. I
2	guess it's paragraph 55 the end of paragraph
3	55D the LEED ND is referred to.
4	VICE CHAIR COHEN: Oh, okay. Great.
5	Thank you for pointing that out.
6	COMMISSIONER MILLER: I agree. The
7	other areas could use a little bit of
8	clarification because it was a little confusing.
9	VICE CHAIR COHEN: All right. Well,
10	thank you for pointing that out, Commissioner.
11	And so, I will move to approve Zoning
12	Case No. 05-28J/K, Application of CI GD Parkside
13	7, LLC for approval of a modification to approve
14	a 1 st -Stage Planned Unit Development and approval
15	of a 2 nd -Stage application for the Parkside Planned
16	Unit Development, Square 5041, Lot 808.
17	COMMISSIONER MILLER: I would second
18	that.
19	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. It's been moved
20	and properly seconded.
21	Any further discussion? Commissioner
22	May?

1	COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes. Okay. So
2	there are a few things architectural that were up
3	in the air when we saw it last. One was the
4	transformer enclosure which may have moved from a
5	brick wall to a wood-like material. And now
6	they're drawn all the way to wood.
7	I will now go along with it, but I
8	don't think this is the right direction. I mean,
9	this is one where we should go back to where we
10	originally were.
11	And in the very first, we didn't
12	suggest that it be changed. The Applicant
13	changed it on their own. But the original
14	version of this was brick with an iron gate. And
15	I just think that that's more fitting for the
16	rest of the surrounding.
17	But I'll go along with it but not
18	without commenting on it.
19	They also declined to take my advice
20	to do a little more work on the lofts where they
21	have those extra little roof pavilions for the
22	units on the top floor. It's mostly out of

I think that they're buying a lot more flashing than they really have to if they had just designed it a little differently.

But again, that's not a key issue for us. Certainly, it's below the height that's allowed. So it's not a big issue.

The last thing I would just complain fact that the facade has about is the been peppered with all of these little vents which I think is a significant negative. And I'm saying this not so much in this case -- because I'm ready to just go along at this point and sign off on it -- but for all the other applicants out there who want to do residential housing who need to integrate louvers and vents into the facade of the building. They need to integrate it into the facade and not simply place it symmetrically and paint it the same color. That's not integrating it. And I think what we're seeing, it just looks And there are other adjectives I could cheap. use that I probably don't want to be quoted on.

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	Anyway, it's just not good. So this
2	is a lecture. I see a few lawyers in the
3	audience sort of nodding their heads. At least
4	they'll carry that word back to their friends. I
5	see a few architects, too.
6	So, anyway, thank you very much.
7	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Were you trying to
8	draw up your support because I didn't see
9	anybody's head nod?
10	COMMISSIONER MAY: Oh, no.
11	(LAUGHTER.)
12	CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right. I'm just
13	messing with you.
14	COMMISSIONER MAY: Very, very, very
15	subtle nods.
16	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Oh, okay. All right.
17	Anything else?
18	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Yes, Mr.
19	Chair.
20	I just wanted to associate my comments
21	with Commissioner May. They're not show
22	stoppers, but I think the fencing material is
	NEAL R. GROSS

1	obviously an upgrade to the vinyl or foam
2	material we saw before. But for the little
3	amount more of masonry that would have been
4	added, the longevity of the wood and how long
5	it's going to be it just would have been a
6	very minor increase to put masonry around this
7	thing and basically incorporate it with the rest
8	of the project.
9	It's minor. It is so minor. And it
10	would have just been a more inviting material to
11	have for the length of the project.
12	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Any further
13	discussion?
14	(No audible response.)
15	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. It's been moved
16	and properly seconded.
17	All those in favor?
18	(A CHORUS OF AYES.)
19	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Not hearing any
20	opposition, Ms. Schellin, would you please record
21	the vote?
22	MS. SCHELLIN: Staff records the vote
	NEAL D. ODGGG

1	five to zero to zero to approve final actions on
2	Commission Case Nos. 05-28J/05-28K; Commissioner
3	Cohen moving; Commissioner Miller seconding;
4	Commissioners Hood, May and Turnbull in support.
5	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Next, we're
6	going to go with Hearing Action, Zoning
7	Commission Case No. 13-10. This is ZP Georgia,
8	LP, Consolidated PUD and Related Map Amendment at
9	Square 2892.
10	Mr. Mordfin?
11	MR. MORDFIN: Good evening, Chairman,
12	and Members of the Board.
13	The Applicant is requesting a
14	Consolidated Planned Unit Development to permit a
15	mixed-use building consisting of residential and
16	retail space. The PUD-related map amendment from
17	GA
18	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Is your microphone on?
19	Or maybe you need to scoot it up a little
20	closer.
21	MR. MORDFIN: Sorry.
22	A PUD-related map amendment from GA
	NEAL R. GROSS

C2A to GA C2B is requested to increase t.he building height, FAR and lot occupancy. The application requests flexibility to reduce yard loading provisions and modify the compact parking regulations permit than roof to more structure and also to construct on the lot excess of 12,000 square feet and permit less than 75 percent of the streetwalk to be built to the property line within the Georgia Avenue Overlay District.

In exchange for this flexibility, the Applicant proposes several public benefits amenities including provision of а masonry building with residential balconies and store fronts directly accessible from the public sidewalk, participation in the first-floor 2009 for employment program, a LEED Goal construction and major renovations building and also working with the ANC to identify benefits and amenities that are desired within the specifically the installation of community, African-American trail markers in buildings along

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	Georgia Avenue.
2	Should the Commission set this
3	application down, the Office of Planning will
4	work with the Applicant on further addressing
5	this list.
6	This application is consistent with
7	the provisions of the comprehensive plan
8	including encourage the private sector to provide
9	new housing to meet the needs of present and
10	future District residents at locations consistent
11	with District land-use policies and objectives
12	and also to promote mixed-use development
13	including housing and commercially-zoned land,
14	particularly in new commercial centers.
15	Therefore, the Office of Planning
16	recommends that the Commission set down the
17	subject application.
18	Thank you.
19	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you, Mr.
20	Mordfin.
21	Commissioners, any comments?
22	VICE CHAIR COHEN: Yes.

1	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Vice Chair Cohen?
2	VICE CHAIR COHEN: Thank you, Mr.
3	Chairman.
4	I just wanted to state that in OP's
5	report, they do mention that they will not
6	consider the affordable housing to be a benefit
7	or an amenity because it proposes what is
8	required. Eight percent of a new residential
9	square footage is affordable at 80 percent of
10	AMI. I would concur with that.
11	And let's see. Do I have another
12	comment?
13	Oh, and I just want to state for the
14	record that the review we received from OP states
15	that the affordable units will be distributed
16	throughout the building and that the unit mix
17	would generally reflect that of the building as a
18	whole. I think that we really should push that
19	as it shall reflect that of the building as a
20	whole.
21	So those are my only two comments.
22	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Anyone else?

Commissioner May?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes. As we often find in so many PUDs, it just seems like there's an awful lot going on on the roof in terms of the roof heights and the various enclosures and so And I would strongly encourage the Office of Planning to work with the Applicant to try to simplify that and actually try to reduce relief needed because it seems to me that it is possible to reduce the -- or rather increase the set-back from some of the rooftop structures that appear to need relief. And there are just many of them, and there's so many pieces of it and so many different heights. It needs to be simplified consolidated the and to extent possible, moved away from the outside walls.

I'm particularly troubled by the elevator core which is right up against the edge of the building. And I know that ultimately the site immediately to the south is likely to be redeveloped and so that penthouse will not be as obvious. But who knows how long that will be

from now? And if it's only built to a matter of right height, that means the penthouse will still be standing high above what else would be allowed there. So I really want to get that moved back to the appropriate set-back so that relief is not required.

Other than that, it's the usual things that we'll need to see in terms of further refinement of the architectural design. But I think generally speaking, it's heading in a good direction.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Vice Chair has something she wants to clarify on that.

VICE CHAIR COHEN: Yes. I just wanted to clarify that again, we're going from a C2A versus the PUD and that C2A does require deeper subsidies. So if the Applicant is going to argue that they are providing a greater amount of affordable housing, they really need to balance their argument and tell us why if we should be having the compliance as a public benefit how it really should weigh in as a public benefit

NEAL R. GROSS

1	because the subsidy level is not as deep under
2	IZ.
3	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Commissioner
4	Turnbull?
5	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Thank you, Mr.
6	Chair.
7	I want to thank Mr. Mordfin for a
8	great report as usual. And I think this project
9	is obviously in a very important part of the
10	City. And I think Georgia Avenue is something
11	that we really want to see developed.
12	But after saying that, I agree with
13	Mr. Mordfin about the five and a half feet on the
14	one part of the front elevation that should be
15	addressed. And if it could be pushed back, I
16	think it would increase the streetscape to a
17	better degree.
18	And I'm glad you're going to be
19	working with the Applicant about benefits and
20	amenities because right now for the relief that
21	they want, the 30 to 40 feet extra for what we're
22	getting as far as benefits and amenities, I

really don't see the pluses here that I think we ought to be seeing.

I would like to see a street view of that first floor to see that whole entrance to see what's really going on. And I think that the whole building -- the Georgia Avenue -- it looks conflicted and confused. There's just a lot going on there. It looks busy. There's not a defined rhythm to the building. I'm not sure what I'm really seeing with the four little trees that suddenly appear on the rooftop. It's like I'm going to put four little green trees.

But the whole elevation just to me is difficult. And I wish they could simplify this and make it read a little easier.

I would agree with Commissioner May that I think we need to see something more on the roof. I would like to see some cross sections through the whole thing, not only north/south but east and west that would show the relationship of the heights of the various penthouses. And again, if that could be simplified, I think it'd

NEAL R. GROSS

be a little easier on the building also.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

quess I would also like to see elevations of the courtyard. I think what was not there -- the only thing that's made reference to is fiber cement panels. And I mean, really only other elevations that you have Georgia Avenue and then you've got the interior there's a lot of effort going on So court. Georgia Avenue. But the interior court as far as the living residences, if it's all fiber cement panels, I'm just concerned about what it's really going to look like. I'd like to actually see what kind of character is going to be in that area.

It's a difficult site. I would agree with that. But I think there's just some part of this that needs to be re-worked a bit.

The inclusion of a dog spa is interesting. It means you don't have to wash your dog in the bathtub, I guess. You can take it down to the basement and do it. I don't know what the perk is on doing that. I think I'd

1	rather wash my dog in my bathtub and just have
2	him there so I'm not dragging him all over the
3	place. Because you've got to dry him afterwards.
4	I don't know. It's just
5	COMMISSIONER MAY: It's the big shake-
6	off
7	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: It is.
8	COMMISSIONER MAY: after you're
9	done that you have to worry about.
10	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: You always get
11	wet. So I don't know if I would want to walk
12	through the building.
13	COMMISSIONER MAY: I'd rather have the
14	dog shaken off in the garage.
15	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Anyway, that's
16	a minor point.
17	I think the only other thing is that
18	they're showing two parking spaces by the alley.
19	But I think you can only put a compact car in
20	there. Hopefully, there's not going to be a car
21	sticking out into the alley. But I think those
22	are only going to be compact spaces back there.

1	So Mr, Chair, I think that'll be it.
2	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you, Mr.
3	Turnbull.
4	Commissioner Miller, do you have
5	anything?
6	COMMISSIONER MILLER: Yes. Thank you,
7	Mr. Chairman.
8	Yes, except for the dog spa comment, I
9	associate myself with Commissioner Turnbull's
10	comments, particularly agreeing with the Office
11	of Planning comment to pull back the facade of
12	the building five and a half feet and your
13	request for renderings showing how many roof
14	structures there actually are and what their
15	heights are and where they relate to each other.
16	And the courtyard as well because I don't think
17	we had anything that really showed what either of
18	those elements looked like.
19	I would share the Vice Chair's comment
20	that the Applicant should submit a balancing
21	analysis on the inclusionary zoning because going
22	to C2B, the affordability levels won't be as

deep. They will, however, be about 2500 square feet more of affordable housing because it's in the C2B rather than the matter of right C2A. So I did consider it a public benefit for that reason under our rules. But I think that balancing needs to be done and submitted to us because the affordability levels aren't as deep.

And I think this will be another exciting project as Mr. Turnbull says to help revitalize the whole Georgia Avenue corridor in this area

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. I would like to see a more realistic view from Georgia Avenue like we did the one from Kenyon Street. And I know that this Commission voted on a project some years back up and down Georgia Avenue. I didn't really think to look and see if that's even being built or what's going on. And normally I do that. And it dawned on me when I saw this that we did one right at the corner of Georgia and Lamont where the old liquor store used to be.

NEAL R. GROSS

But anyway, it goes to Commissioner
Miller's comments about revitalizing Georgia
Avenue. I think I would agree with that comment.
I just want to see a perspective a live
perspective like the perspective we have on 819
H20. That's a live rendering to me. And it
shows the back of the view perspective from
Kenyon Street and Sherman Avenue and then the
perspective from Lamont Street. So I would like
to see one Georgia Avenue is north and south -
- I'd like to see one from the south side and the
north side if I look on Georgia Avenue.
But again, I think this is helping to
jump start, especially that area down in Georgia
Avenue.
So that's all I have. Any other
comments?
(No audible response.)
CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Would someone
like to make a motion?
COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Mr. Chair?

1	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: I would move
2	that we approve Zoning Case No. 13-10, ZP
3	Georgia, LP, Consolidated PUD and Related Map
4	Amendment at Square 2892.
5	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. It's been moved
6	and properly seconded.
7	Any further discussion?
8	(No audible response.)
9	CHAIRMAN HOOD: All those in favor?
10	(A CHORUS OF AYES.)
11	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Not hearing any
12	opposition, Ms. Schellin, would you please record
13	the vote?
14	MS. SCHELLIN: Staff approves Case No.
15	13-10 for set down as a contested case by a vote
16	of five to zero to zero; Commissioner Turnbull
17	moving; Commissioner Miller seconding;
18	Commissioners Cohen, Hood and May in support.
19	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you.
20	Let's go right to our next case which
21	is on the hearing action of Zoning Commission
22	Case No. 13-15, FC 1212, LLC and FC QUALICB

1	maybe somebody can tell me what all that is
2	LLC, Text Amendment to Add New Language to
3	1803.16.
4	Ms. Elliott?
5	MS. ELLIOTT: Good evening, Mr.
6	Chairman, Members of the Commission. For the
7	record, I'm Brandice Elliott representing the
8	Office of Planning.
9	The Office of Planning recommends a
10	Zoning Commission setdown for a public hearing
11	amendment to Section 1803 of the Zoning
12	Regulations as they relate to veterinary
13	hospitals in the Southeast Federal Center Overlay
14	District.
15	The construction of a new mixed-use
16	development at the corner of M and 4th Streets
17	SE, which is called 1212, is anticipated to be
18	completed in the spring of 2014. This
19	development was reviewed by the Zoning Commission
20	and approved at its December 2, 2010 public
21	hearing.

NEAL R. GROSS

The building will consist of 90,000

square feet of retail and service uses as well as 218 residential units. So far, the tenants include a Harris Teeter Grocery Store, a three-level fitness facility and several eating establishments.

The Applicant, which is Forest City, would like to consider a lease with a veterinary hospital but this use is not currently permitted in the Southeast Federal Center CR District. While text amendments to the Zoning Regulation review process have been proposed that would allow this use, they would not be approved by the time the building has been completed.

In order to expedite this request, the Applicant has requested that the amendment only apply specific lot for the 1212 to the However, the Office of Planning development. would not oppose applying this amendment to the entire overlay as we believe that the use would be compatible with other uses in this area and the Applicant has established that there is a need for this use.

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	OP will continue to work with OAG to
2	clarify the advertised language.
3	And with that, we recommend that the
4	Commission set down the amendments as presented
5	in the report. And I'd be happy to answer any
6	questions you may have.
7	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you very
8	much, Ms. Elliott.
9	Commissioners, any comments? Vice
10	Chair Cohen?
11	VICE CHAIR COHEN: Yes. If we set
12	this zoning case down, I think we need to have
13	more information on attenuating the noise and the
14	waste issues. There are state-of-the-art ways of
15	addressing these issues.
16	And in addition, we do need
17	clarification with regard to whether or not this
18	is going to be a boarding hospital that does not
19	exclude dogs. Obviously, I have one. So I would
20	suggest that we get that clarified before
21	advertising it as you mentioned.

NEAL R. GROSS

Any other comment?

1	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Comments?
2	(No audible response.)
3	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Would somebody like to
4	make a motion?
5	VICE CHAIR COHEN: I move to set down
6	Case 13-15, except that the text should be
7	advertised to permit a veterinary boarding
8	hospital on any property within the Southeast
9	SEFC CR District subject to such other changes as
10	OAG and OP believe are necessary to draft the
11	intent of the proposed rule.
12	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. It's been moved
13	and I'll second it.
14	Any further discussion?
15	(No audible response.)
16	CHAIRMAN HOOD: All those in favor?
17	(A CHORUS OF AYES.)
18	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Not hearing any
19	opposition, Ms. Schellin, would you please record
20	the vote?
21	MS. SCHELLIN: Yes. Staff records
22	five to zero to zero to set down Zoning
	NEAL D. CDOSS

1	Commission Case No. 13-15 as a rulemaking case;
2	Commissioner Cohen moving; Commissioner Hood
3	seconding; Commissioners May, Miller and Turnbull
4	in support.
5	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Do we have
6	anything else on our agenda tonight?
7	MS. SCHELLIN: No, sir.
8	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. I want to thank
9	everyone for their participation and also thanks
10	to Mr. DeBonis for all the help he's going to
11	give us. And we'll appreciate it.
12	This meeting is adjourned.
13	(Whereupon, at 7:23 p.m., the hearing
14	was adjourned.)
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	