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CHAIRMAN HOOD: This meeting will please come to order.

Good evening, ladies and gentleman, this is the July 11, 2011 Public Meeting of the Zoning Commission.

My name is Anthony Hood. Joining me are Vice Chairman Schlater, Commissioner May, Commissioner Selfridge, and Commissioner Turnbull.

We are also joined by the Office of Zoning staff, Ms. Sharon Schellin, and Ms. Donna Hanousek. Office of Planning staff, Ms. Steingasser and Mr. Lawson. And I see Mr. Mordfin in the audience. Is there anyone else? Mr. Parker's in the audience. And anyone else?

Okay. Mr. Giulioni, you guys like to hide behind that pole. Mr. Giulioni and Mr. Emerine. Okay.

Copies of today's meeting agenda
are available to you and are located in the bin near the door.

We do not take any public testimony unless we ask someone to come forward.

Please be advised this proceeding is being recorded by a court reporter. It's also webcast live. Please turn off all beepers and cell phones.

Does the staff have any preliminary matters, Ms. Schellin?

MS. SCHELLIN: No, sir.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. If not, let's proceed with the agenda.

I would like to say something nice about Mr. Parker and Mr. Giulioni but I think what we'll do is we will do that when we get to the part where we're going to talk about our ZRR guidance. Because I think that both of them, this may be their last meeting, Zoning Commissioner meeting, so it's bittersweet. So we'll wait and do that at
Okay. Consent Calendar Item, Zoning Commission Case No. 07-02C. This is Highland Park West LLC, et al, request for minor modifications to PUD at Square 2672, Ms. Schellin?

MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, sir. This is a request to add Juliet balconies to floors two through six on a portion of the north elevation of the phase two addition and to make refinements to the brick work.

At Exhibit 5 we also have an OP report. And staff would ask the Commission to consider this case.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Schellin.

Okay. Commissioners, as noted by Ms. Schellin, we have an Office of Planning report. This is also, the Applicant has asked as Ms. Schellin has already stated, to add Juliet balconies on floors two through six and refinements of some of the brick work on the
north elevation of the phase two addition.

And this is before us for a Consent Calendar Item. And we've been asked to deal with this as a minor modification.

First let me ask, does everyone believe that this is a minor modification? Okay. I'm not seeing anyone wanting to change that. Okay.

Let's open it up for discussion. We all satisfied with what has been submitted?

VICE CHAIRMAN SCHLATER: Mr. Chairman, I think these changes are an improvement in the facade. I think the addition of the Juliet balconies in particular creates a little bit more interest and I'd be happy to move it forward.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Anyone else? Okay. Vice Chairman if you would move for a vote?

VICE CHAIRMAN SCHLATER: Mr. Chairman, I move that we approve Zoning Case No. 07-02C minor modification to approved PUD
at 1444 Irving Street Northwest.

COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Second.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. It's been moved and properly second. Any further discussion?

All those in favor, aye.

ALL: Aye.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Not hearing any opposition. Ms. Schellin would you please record the vote?

MS. SCHELLIN: Yes. Staff records the vote five to zero to zero to approve Final Action Zoning Commission Case 07-02C. Commissioner Schlater moving, Commissioner Turnbull seconding, Commissioners Hood, May and Selfridge in favor.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you.

Next on the agenda under Final Action is Zoning Commission Case No. 06-04B Florida and Q Street LLC, two year PUD time extension at Square 3100, Ms. Schellin?

MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, sir. This
case differed from our last meeting. The Commission had asked for some supplemental information from the Applicant which is before you at Exhibit 10. You received that this evening. And then also at Exhibit 9 there's an ANC report that now supports the full two year extension request.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Schellin.

Commissioners, as Ms. Schellin has already mentioned, we had a few questions of our own as long as the -- as far as the -- accompanied with the ANC letter from ANC 5C. It looks like, if we look behind Tab A, I'm not sure if it meets everyone's satisfaction but it did mine. But I want to open it up for discussion.

One of the things where I think we wanted to know who some of the investors were or show us a good faith effort is being done, and also the ANC thought that what was submitted prior was rather vague.
So, we have Exhibit 10 in front of us along with a approval letter of ANC 5C approving the two years as opposed to what we had previously.

So, let me it open up. Any discussion?

COMMISSIONER MAY: I'm glad that there's been further conversation between the applicant and the ANC and that it now has the ANC's support.

I mean in terms of the documentation of what they've done to try to finance the project, it's still not as detailed as we have received in some cases. But taking action to stop the project or not taking action and effectively stopping the project doesn't seem like it's in the best interest of the neighborhood. So, I would be okay with proceeding with this.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Well, Commissioner May, I know we have a high threshold. If you all would like, we could hold off and wait and
ask for some additional information if you'd like. Unless you all think this is sufficient.

COMMISSIONER MAY: No. As I said, I'm comfortable moving ahead with --

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Anyone else?

COMMISSIONER MAY: -- what's been submitted.


I will move approval of Zoning Commission Case No. 06-04B Florida and Q Street LLC for a two year PUD time extension at Square 3100 and ask for a second.

COMMISSIONER MAY: Second.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. It's been moved and properly second. Any further discussion?

All those in favor, aye.

ALL: Aye.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Not hearing any
opposition, Ms. Schellin, would you please record the vote?

MS. SCHELLIN: Yes. Staff records the vote five to zero to zero to approve Final Action on Zoning Commission Case No. 06-04B. Commissioner Hood moving, Commissioner May second, Commissioners Schlater, Selfridge and Turnbull in support.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you, Ms Schellin.

Next we have Zoning Commission Case No. 10-21 Buzzards Point LLC, Capitol Gateway overlay review at Square 667S, Ms. Schellin?

MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, sir. At Exhibit 41 there is a post-hearing submission from the Applicant and staff would ask the Commission to consider final action in this case.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Commissioners, I think we still have an outstanding issue in this case. And its about
whether or not we think that the embellishment at the top was discussed, and I forgot exactly which side it was on, whether it was a penthouse or whether it was an architectural embellishment. If someone can help me remember which side it was on, but I know that was an outstanding question that we had. So I think that a penthouse would require us to have action.

The Applicant actually thinks or is saying that it's a penthouse so that would need special exception review from us.

The architectural embellishment would be no action on our part.

Am I correct, Mr. Ritting?

MR. RITTING: Yes, that's right.

We noticed this issue when we were reviewing the draft order that was prepared by the Applicant and there was some discussion at the hearing about whether the -- it was stated in finding of Fact 23 of the Applicant's draft order, whether the feature constitutes an
architectural embellishment, in which case no relief is necessary. Or whether it is part of a penthouse in which case relief is necessary. And I just wanted to bring it to your attention to get some clarity from you about whether you believe it falls into one category or the other so I can finish the draft order an appropriately state the relief that's being granted.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Let's open it up. Vice Chairman Schlater?

VICE CHAIRMAN SCHLATER: My recollection of the hearing was that it is a penthouse and it does require special exception relief, although it has been embellished in such a way to make it an architectural feature of the building, in a nice way.

I think it's something we should support and help push forward. But I was leaning toward giving it the special exception relief.
CHAIRMAN HOOD: Anyone else?

Anyone else? Commissioner May?

COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes. I would just say that this is definitely something that is both. It's an embellishment and it's a penthouse. The planes that rise up from the base of the building all the way to the roof, it's sort of colored orange and the elevations on A10 and A11. To me that's clearly an architectural embellishment and wouldn't require relief.

But it's the rest of it, everything that's not protruding to the surface of the building there is essentially just an embellished penthouse and yes, I think it would require relief. "Embellish" is not a right word, maybe an enhanced penthouse, or a decorative penthouse.

It is somewhat contrary to the notion that the penthouse is just a nothing building, nothing structure that doesn't add to the perception of the height of the
building. So I'm not thrilled about this as a prettier penthouse for that reason, but I'm willing to go ahead with granting the special exception relief for them.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Anyone else? Commissioner Turnbull?

COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

Just following along on Commissioner May's comments. I always think of an embellishment as something that is an enhancement so I don't want to take away from the meaning of that word by saying that this is an embellishment. So it's part of the penthouse. I think it's an awkward attachment. I think it could have been done in a lot of different ways and might have looked a little more pleasing. But that being the case, I'll consider it a special exception for being part of the penthouse.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: And I think in the fashion what I've heard I think we're being
consistent.

As you all may recall, the Zoning Commission reversed a BZA decision, which basically talked about a structure being both a penthouse and architectural embellishment. I think this way granting the relief for the special exception for the rooftop as the penthouse I think is the way that we need to proceed. And that also keeps consistent. I'm sure how longer that was, but I know that was done.

So, somebody like to make a motion?

VICE CHAIRMAN SCHLATER: I just wanted to say we did have one other issue that was open after the hearing. And that was the level of LEED certification. And the way I read the order, as it's written now it's a little ambiguous. I don't know, maybe OAG can clarify what it means.

If it means that they have to build their building up to a LEED silver
certification but don't have to actually get certified by USGBC, I think I'd be comfortable with the direction they're taking. I just wanted to clarify that.

MR. RITTING: That was my understanding of the Applicant's supplemental submission that they submitted after the hearing.

VICE CHAIRMAN SCHLATER: Okay. Well, since I believe that, you know, green building is one of the criteria under the CGU overlay review, I think it's important to build these buildings to a high standard particularly when it comes to the LEED certified level. So I think, I appreciate the Applicant moving on this and getting to LEED silver. I think it paves the way to move forward.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. I appreciate you bringing it up, that's Exhibit 41. Okay. Would someone like to make a motion?
COMMISSIONER MAY: Before we go there, I just want to clarify the language that seems really very wishy-washy on this. The language is "Check list indicates that the design could potential achieve the equivalent of 52 points and could possibly achieve eight additional points." I mean, I think a more definitive statement that the design or that the building will be designed to achieve 52 points; that's not a commitment that it will achieve 52 points but that they'll design it that way. And, I think that's what the intention is.

I see nods in the audience so I think that's what it really should be saying. But we're not expecting it to achieve that necessarily but it should be designed to meet it.

MR. RITTING: Okay. I hear you loud and clear and I'll make sure the order states so, states so explicitly.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Anybody else?
VICE CHAIRMAN SCHLATER: It should also be noted that applicant created some common rooftop space at the request of the Commission as we reviewed the plans so.

COMMISSIONER MAY: And they also added green roof so and that adds LEED points as well. So I think that was an improvement, although I still don't see the logic of all those individual rooftop terraces but this is a lot better that what it was.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Anyone else? Would someone like to make a motion? Vice Chairman Schlater?

VICE CHAIRMAN SCHLATER: I'd like to move that we approve final action on Zoning Commission Case No. 10-21, application of Buzzards Point LLC for review and approval of a mixed use project located in the Capitol Gateway overlay district.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: I'll second it.

It's been moved and properly second. Any further discussion? Any further
discussion?

All those in favor, aye.

ALL: Aye.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Not hearing any opposition, Ms Schellin, would you please record the vote?

MS. SCHELLIN: Did Mr. Selfridge vote on this one?

COMMISSIONER SELFRIDGE: I did. I was not at the hearing, but I did read the record.

MS. SCHELLIN: You did read the record? Okay. I just wanted to make sure we had that on the record.

So Staff records the vote five to zero to zero to approve Final Action in Zoning Commission Case No. 10-21, Commissioner Schlater moving, Commissioner Hood seconding, Commissioners May, Selfridge and Turnbull in support.

05-28F, Lano Parcel 12, LLC second stage PUD at Squares 5041 and 5056, Mr. Mordfin?

MR. MORDFIN: Good evening, Chair, Members of the Commission. I am Stephen Mordfin with the Office of Planning.

In the subject application is for a second stage approval of a proposed park on Block D, approximately one acre in size. The park was included in the first stage PUD approval and will be an amenity to the entire park side PUD as passive recreation space to residents workers and visitors park side. The park is proposed to include a combination of walkways, seating and landscaping. A feature at the center could include a pavilion, a fountain, or a sculpture. The design is not yet finalized. However, if the application is setdown by the Commission, the Applicant proposes to hold three charettes with the community to determine the design of the park prior to the hearing.

The application is consistent with
the recommendation of the Future Land Use Map which recommends parks, recreation and open space land use category for the subject property.

The application would also further many of the policies of the parks, recreation, open space element of the Comprehensive Plan. Therefore the Office of Planning recommends that the Commission set down the application.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you Mr. Mordfin.

Commissioners, any questions? Vice Chairman Schlater?

VICE CHAIRMAN SCHLATER: I see that the Applicant is basically having a few public charrettes subsequent to now about the design of the park. Do you anticipate that the design of the park is going to change much between now and the hearing?

MR. MORDFIN: I can't say how it may change but they'll work with the community
to have something before the hearing so that when there is the public hearing it will be something that hopefully that the community and the Applicant will be in agreement with.

VICE CHAIRMAN SCHLATER: Okay.

Note for the record. I mean, we had a little bit back and forth previously on this park design and whether it was consistent with the stage one PUD. And I guess I was a little surprised, and not one way or another disappointed or anything like that. But it is different from what was in the stage one approved package. And so, I though we were led to believe that it had been substantially similar, So, just note that for the record.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any other questions, comments? Anybody want to make a motion?

COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Yes, Mr. Chair. I'd like to make a motion that we setdown Zoning Case No. 05-28F, Lano Parcel 12, LLC second stage PUD at Squares 5041 and
5056. Ask for a second?

COMMISSIONER MAY: Second.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. It has been moved and properly second. Any further discussion?

All those in favor, aye.

ALL: Aye.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Not hearing any opposition, Ms. Schellin, will you please record the vote?

MS. SCHELLIN: Yes. Staff records the vote as five to zero to zero to setdown Zoning Commission Case No. 05-28F as a contested case. Commissioner Turnbull moving, Commissioner May seconding, Commissioners Hood, Selfridge and Schlater in support.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. What I'd like to do is just go right to the Correspondence Item, Zoning Commission Case No. 05-28. This is the Parkside Residential, LLC letter for Zoning Administrator Notification second stage approval. They say
it was not necessary for block D.

Commissioners, it's already been discussed. I would ask that we let our counsel informally work with our Zoning Administrator and convey any concerns that this Commission had.

Can I get a general consensus on that? Okay. So we'll do that.

Let's go to the ZRR Guidance, Community Outreach Process and Overall Schedule. We asked for an update and I'm sure Ms. Steingasser is ready but I wanted to say, Mr. Parker and Mr. Giulioni are moving on. They've done a great job with this and just have done a great job in the Office of Planning overall. They will be sorely missed but we wish them much success in their future endeavors. But before I talk about it, if you don't mind for those who may be watching us as webcast, and believe it or not, people tell me all the time they watch us on webcast. I thought that was hard to believe but
actually people do watch us. So if you guys could just let us know where you're going, if you don't mind? Or is it private right now? Okay. Great. Okay.

MR. GIULIONI: Thank you.

Actually, I've accepted an opportunity to attend McGill University School of Architecture to do my Master's in Architecture.

MR. PARKER: And I've accepted a position as the Director of Planning for the city of Lakewood, Colorado.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Well I'll say this to both of you guys. You guys are hard workers, diligent workers. While we might not always agree with your planning reports, you've done everything asked of you. And I wish you, and on behalf of the Commission and myself, and all of us, I'll say for the city you've made a major contribution in Planning and I'm sure that Ms. Steingasser and Mr. Tregoning would say that their office is a
better place because you two guys have passed
their way. So I wish you much success and
when we come to Colorado we going to see what
kind of planning they have and how the design
is out there.

So, let me see, any other of my
colleagues want to say something?

Okay. Commissioner May?

COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes. Lakewood,
that's like right outside of Denver, right?

MR. PARKER: Directly abutting on
the west.

COMMISSIONER MAY: Home of the
Denver Service Center of the Park Service, I
believe. Yes.

MR. PARKER: They have the second
highest concentration of federal workers out
of this area.

COMMISSIONER MAY: Oh, Okay. Not
surprised many of my colleagues are out there
and I look forward to visiting you out there.

And I should let you know the name of this
really good sandwich place that they go to out there.

MR. PARKER: Please do.

COMMISSIONER MAY: No, but I just want to express my own personal appreciation for all the work that you both have done and, Mr. Parker, you especially. The Zoning Regulation rewrite is an absolutely mind numbing topic and you've done a very good job all the way through it in helping us understand the key issues and bringing them to the forefront and making sure we were talking about the right stuff.

And, it's hard for me to imagine how we're going to through it from here. I'm sure we will, but at this moment it's just, it's difficult to understand how we can do it. So, we will, but we'll miss you. So, thanks.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Anyone else?

Commissioner Turnbull?

COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I would just echo the same
comments that the two of you have worked very hard and I think the Commission has enjoyed having you with us up here on the dais.

And mind numbing, I'm thinking it's like these zoning regs are like an ice cream headache. It's just -- it's really -- it's a tough one. and I found out not everybody suffers, gets those ice cream headaches, you know, but I do and it's very mind numbing.

And Mr. Giulioni, --

COMMISSIONER MAY: You eat to much zoning, I think.

COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: How's your French?

MR. GIULIONI: Not very good.

COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: You've got to get your Rosetta Stone then and get to work on it.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. I was looking as Mr. May said "How are we going to get through it?" But I see Mr. Emerine down
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there with elbows up. You know you always
watch the position. He's ready to go and I
guess he's ready to take the lead. But
anyway, before I say anything else, I would
like to ask Ms. Steingasser if she would like
to get us started on this process?

MS. STEINGASSER: Well actually
Mr. Parker has designed this out and is going
to give this as his final presentation.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Well, Mr. Parker?

MR. PARKER: Thank you, all. And
thank you all for the kind words. It's been a
great pleasure to work here for about eight
years now and on this project for four. And
I've been able to work with a bunch of
wonderful people including Jennifer, and Joel,
and Mike, and Dan who have made my job a lot
more fun and a lot more entertaining than it
might otherwise have been. So thank you for
that.

As Ms. Steingasser said, we're at
a turning point. I guess she didn't say this,
but we're at a turning point in our process. Mike and I are leaving behind a relatively complete draft for the internal staff. And there are about four steps left in this part of the process.

First, Ms. Steingasser and the rest of the OP team are going to do a full internal audit and edit of the draft that we're leaving behind. That should take place at least through the month of August.

After that there will be a public review, and as we spoke the last time we had a meeting at the task force and here, the next stage of this process will be Task Force Review and Zoning Commission setdown of all the remaining text. And I believe that will equate to something on the order of about 6 meetings of each body to review the remaining sub titles of text. That process is likely to happen over the fall and throughout the remainder of this year.

The third step in the process then
will be a major public outreach. I've spoken to Ms. Steingasser about this and I think the plan right now is to have a meeting in each ward, so eight city-wide or eight ward-wide meetings throughout, you know, January through March of next year, depending on how the first two steps go. And do a major, you know, media blitz, online, newspaper, et cetera to get the word out and to get interest built up in the zoning reprocess in general and these board wide meetings.

And then the final step will be the series of public hearings. Again, six maybe more, different individual public hearings on remaining pieces of text that culminate sometime next summer in one or a series of hearings on the full text of the Zoning Review.

So, those are the remaining steps in this process. I think Mike and I are getting out at an exciting time but probably an appropriate time to transition into a
period of explaining and educating and selling
the document that's been created over the past
four years.

MS. STEINGASSER: So we don't
expect as painful as it is to lose them, to
lose the schedule. We're staying on schedule.
We will be back filling the positions and
building a new team, possibly with some in-
house staff support as well to help move the
text forward. But we do expect to stay on
schedule.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. I want to
thank you, Director Parker. Oh, is that
appropriate now -- or should? We want to
thank you all for the update. Let me open it
up for any questions? Vice Chairman
Schlater?

VICE CHAIRMAN SCHLATER: Well,
just so to review it's a huge loss. Just an
amazing amount of institutional memory. I
don't think, particularly with Travis, has a
working knowledge of all the moving parts
within the text and certainly a lot better knowledge than I do. I always use you as a resource. You had a mastery of your subjects and doing a great job. And it's going to be tough to finish the project without you so, you'll be missed. But congratulations, good to see you growing and moving on and moving up. So that's great.

In general, on the schedule I just think it's important for all of us to keep an eye on the ball and keep it moving forward. I think there's a risk that if we do slow down, the thing could grind to a halt. I think, you know, there's always a risk of turnover on the Commission, turnover within OP staff, turnover within your task forces. And people won't remember what we voted on two years ago, or why we voted on it. And we'll constantly reevaluate those issues.

So, I'm looking forward to pushing this forward. I'm looking forward to the public outreach process and hear some fresh
voices on the topic and then getting to the
hearings and finishing this up.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Anyone else?

Commissioner Turnbull?

COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Well the
two of you can obviously still watch us
webcast. And if you have any comments, you
can email them to us.

MS. STEINGASSER: Travis has said
we can call yet he has not yet given me his
phone number.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: And I noticed that
when he said enjoyed working with Joel and
Jennifer and Mr. Emerine and everybody, I
noticed he left all five of you guys -- four
of you guys out. Okay.

Any other questions or comments?

COMMISSIONER MAY: You know if you
need me to deliver any messages to him, I go
out to Denver every once in a while and I'll
find him.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. So we're

Ms. Schellin, do we have anything else tonight?

MS. SCHELLIN: Yes.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Oh, we do?

MS. SCHELLIN: One item under Other Business. We have a notice of closed meeting, and then we'll be finished.

CHAIRMAN HOOD. I almost forgot about that. I knew I was going to lose it before. I'll find it.

Okay. If everyone could bear with us for a moment, As Chairman of the Zoning Commission for the District of Columbia in accordance with 407 of the Open Meetings Amendment Act, of 2010 I move that the Zoning Commission hold a closed meeting on July 26, 2011 from 8:30 a.m. until 12:30 p.m. for the purpose of conducting an internal training pursuant to 45(b)(12) of the Open Meetings Amendment Aact of 2010.

Is there a second?
COMMISSIONER SELFRIDGE: Second.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Will the Secretary please take a roll call vote on the motion before us now that has been second?

MS. SCHELLIN: Okay. Chairman Hood? Yes or no?

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes. This is still new. Yes.

MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Schlater?

COMMISSIONER SCHLATER: Yes.

MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Selfridge?

COMMISSIONER SELFRIDGE: Yes.

MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner May?

COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes.

MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Turnbull?

COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Yes.

MS. SCHELLIN: Motion passes.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: As it appears that the motion has passed -- this so just so --
okay. As it appears that motion has passed. I hereby give notice that the Zoning Commission will hold a closed meeting in the Office of Zoning Hearing Room and Conference room to conduct an internal training on July 26, 2011 from 8:30 a.m. until 12:30 p.m.

Notice will also be posted in Office of Zoning Electronic Reading Room, placed on the Office of Zoning's Electronic Calendar on its website and published in the District of Columbia Register in as timely a matter as practical. Okay.

Anything else Ms. Schellin?

MS. SCHELLIN: No. That's it unless OP has something they want to add?

Then we're done.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: I want to say this to the Office of Planning, When we talked about the outreach, one of the other things I was listening to, I know a lot of people in the city listen to, is Eugene Kinlow on WPOW. I don't now if we can get a spot there.
Somebody just going and briefly talk about. Think that would be a good avenue, as something we might want to do. That might even be free. I don't want to get Eugene in trouble but I don't know how that works.

Okay. Vice Chairman Schlater?

VICE CHAIRMAN SCHLATER: I have a question about Zoning Case No. 11-14. It says it got withdrawn?

MS. SCHELLIN: Yes. As soon as it was filed it ended up that they found that they did not need it. So they withdrew it before it ever was placed any further.

VICE CHAIRMAN SCHLATER: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Do we have anything else, Ms. Schellin?

MS. SCHELLIN: No, sir.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. With that thank everyone for the participation tonight and this meeting is adjourned.

(Whereupon, this meeting of the Zoning Commission was adjourned at 7:06 p.m.)