# GOVERNMENT

OF

## THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

#### ZONING COMMISSION

+ + + + +

#### REGULAR PUBLIC MEETING

+ + + + +

MONDAY

JULY 11, 2011

+ + + + +

The Regular Public Meeting of the District of Columbia Zoning Commission convened in Room 220 South, 441 4<sup>th</sup> Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., 20001, pursuant to notice at 6:30 p.m., Anthony J. Hood, Chairman, presiding.

#### ZONING COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT:

ANTHONY J. HOOD, Chairman KONRAD SCHLATER, Vice Chairman GREG SELFRIDGE, Commissioner MICHAEL G. TURNBULL, FAIA, Commissioner (OAC) PETER MAY, Commissioner (NPS)

## OFFICE OF ZONING STAFF PRESENT:

SHARON S. SCHELLIN, Secretary DONNA HANOUSEK, Zoning Specialist

## **NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

## OFFICE OF PLANNING STAFF PRESENT:

JENNIFER STEINGASSER
JOEL LAWSON
TRAVIS PARKER
STEPHEN MORDFIN
MICHAEL GIULIONI
DANEIL EMERINE

# D.C. OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL PRESENT:

JACOB RITTING, ESQ.

The transcript constitutes the minutes from the Regular meeting held on July 11, 2011.

# T-A-B-L-E O-F C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S

CONSENT (	CALENDAR:	
	<u>07-02C</u>	
FI	NAL ACTION:	
	06-42 Approved 5-0-0	
	<u>10-21</u>	
	05-28F Setdown as a contested case 5-0-0	
CORRESPON	NDENCE:	
	$\underline{05-28}$	
OTHER BUS	SINESS:	
	Closed Meeting:	

P-	D_	$\cap$	$\alpha_{-}$	┖╴	┖╴	D- '	т _ т	\T_	C-	C
-	$\kappa$ –	( ) —	· . —	г. —	г. —	1)—	1 -1	u –	(	. つ

2

1

6:30 p.m.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

CHAIRMAN HOOD: This meeting will

please come to order.

evening, ladies Good and gentleman, this is the July 11, 2011 Public Meeting of the Zoning Commission.

My name is Anthony Hood. Jjoining me are Vice Chairman Schlater, Commissioner May, Commissioner Selfridge, and Commissioner Turnbull.

We are also joined by the Office of Zoning staff, Ms. Sharon Schellin, and Ms. Donna Hanousek. Office of Planning staff, Ms. Steingasser and Mr. Lawson. And I see Mr. Mordfin in the audience. Is there anyone else? Mr. Parker's in the audience. And anyone else?

Okay. Mr. Giulioni, you guys like to hide behind that pole. Mr. Giulioni and Mr. Emerine. Okay.

Copies of today's meeting agenda

1	are available to you and are located in the
2	bin near the door.
3	We do not take any public
4	testimony unless we ask someone to come
5	forward.
6	Please be advised this proceeding
7	is being recorded by a court reporter. It's
8	also webcast live. Please turn off all
9	beepers and cell phones.
10	Does the staff have any
11	preliminary matters, Ms. Schellin?
12	MS. SCHELLIN: No, sir.
13	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. If not,
14	let's proceed with the agenda.
15	I would like to say something nice
16	about Mr. Parker and Mr. Giulioni but I think
17	what we'll do is we will do that when we get
18	to the part where we're going to talk about
19	our ZRR guidance. Because I think that both
20	of them, this may be their last meeting,
21	Zoning Commissioner meeting, so it's
22	bittersweet. So we'll wait and do that at

1	that time.
2	Okay. Consent Calendar Item,
3	Zoning Commission Case No. 07-02C. This is
4	Highland Park West LLC, et al, request for
5	minor modifications to PUD at Square 2672,
6	Ms. Schellin?
7	MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, sir. This is
8	a request to add Juliet balconies to floors
9	two through six on a portion of the north
10	elevation of the phase two addition and to
11	make refinements to the brick work.
12	At Exhibit 5 we also have an OF
13	report. And staff would ask the Commission to
14	consider this case.
15	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you,
16	Ms. Schellin.
17	Okay. Commissioners, as noted by
18	Ms. Schellin, we have an Office of Planning
19	report. This is also, the Applicant has asked
20	as Ms. Schellin has already stated, to add

Juliet balconies on floors two through six and

refinements of some of the brick work on the

21

1	north elevation of the phase two addition.
2	And this is before us for a
3	Consent Calendar Item. And we've been asked
4	to deal with this as a minor modification.
5	First let me ask, does everyone
6	believe that this is a minor modification?
7	Okay. I'm not seeing anyone wanting to change
8	that. Okay.
9	Let's open it up for discussion.
10	We all satisfied with what has been submitted?
11	VICE CHAIRMAN SCHLATER: Mr.
12	Chairman, I think these changes are an
13	improvement in the facade. I think the
14	addition of the Juliet balconies in particular
15	
	creates a little bit more interest and I'd be
16	creates a little bit more interest and I'd be happy to move it forward.
16	happy to move it forward.
16 17	happy to move it forward.  CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Anyone
16 17 18	happy to move it forward.  CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Anyone else? Okay. Vice Chairman if you would move
16 17 18	happy to move it forward.  CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Anyone else? Okay. Vice Chairman if you would move for a vote?

1	at 1444 Irving Street Northwest.
2	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Second.
3	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. It's been
4	moved and properly second. Any further
5	discussion?
6	All those in favor, aye.
7	ALL: Aye.
8	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Not hearing any
9	opposition. Ms. Schellin would you please
10	record the vote?
11	MS. SCHELLIN: Yes. Staff records
12	the vote five to zero to zero to approve Final
13	Action Zoning Commission Case 07-02C.
14	Commissioner Schlater moving, Commissioner
15	Turnbull seconding, Commissioners Hood, May
16	and Selfridge in favor.
17	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you.
18	Next on the agenda under Final
19	Action is Zoning Commission Case No. 06-04B
20	Florida and Q Street LLC, two year PUD time
21	extension at Square 3100, Ms. Schellin?
22	MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, sir. This

case differed from our last meeting. The Commission had asked for some supplemental information from the Applicant which is before you at Exhibit 10. You received that this evening. And then also at Exhibit 9 there's an ANC report that now supports the full two year extension request.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you,
Ms. Schellin.

Commissioners, as Ms. Schellin has already mentioned, we had a few questions of our own as long as the -- as far as the -- accompanied with the ANC letter from ANC 5C. It looks like, if we look behind Tab A, I'm not sure if it meets everyone's satisfaction but it did mine. But I want to open it up for discussion.

One of the things where I think we wanted to know who some of the investors were or show us a good faith effort is being done, and also the ANC thought that what was submitted prior was rather vague.

### **NEAL R. GROSS**

1	So, we have Exhibit 10 in front of
2	us along with a approval letter of ANC 5C
3	approving the two years as opposed to what we
4	had previously.
5	So, let me it open up. Any
6	discussion?
7	COMMISSIONER MAY: I'm glad that
8	there's been further conversation between the
9	applicant and the ANC and that it now has the
LO	ANC's support.
11	I mean in terms of the
L2	documentation of what they've done to try to
L3	finance the project, it's still not as
L4	detailed as we have received in some cases.
L5	But taking action to stop the project or not
L6	taking action and effectively stopping the
L7	project doesn't seem like it's in the best
L8	interest of the neighborhood. So, I would be
L9	okay with proceeding with this.
20	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Well, Commissioner
21	May, I know we have a high threshold. If you
22	all would like, we could hold off and wait and

1	ask for some additional information if you'd
2	like. Unless you all think this is
3	sufficient.
4	COMMISSIONER MAY: No. As I said,
5	I'm comfortable moving ahead with
6	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Anyone
7	else?
8	COMMISSIONER MAY: what's been
9	submitted.
10	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Anyone
11	else? Okay.
12	I will move approval of Zoning
13	Commission Case No. 06-04B Florida and Q
14	Street LLC for a two year PUD time extension
15	at Square 3100 and ask for a second.
16	COMMISSIONER MAY: Second.
17	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. It's been
18	moved and properly second. Any further
19	discussion?
20	All those in favor, aye.
21	ALL: Aye.
22	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Not hearing any

1	opposition, Ms. Schellin, would you please
2	record the vote?
3	MS. SCHELLIN: Yes. Staff records
4	the vote five to zero to zero to approve Final
5	Action on Zoning Commission Case No. 06-04B.
6	Commissioner Hood moving, Commissioner May
7	second, Commissioners Schlater, Selfridge and
8	Turnbull in support.
9	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you,
10	Ms Schellin.
11	Next we have Zoning Commission
12	Case No. 10-21 Buzzards Point LLC, Capitol
13	Gateway overlay review at Square 667S, Ms.
14	Schellin?
15	MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, sir. At
16	Exhibit 41 there is a post-hearing submission
17	from the Applicant and staff would ask the
18	Commission to consider final action in this
19	case.
20	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.
21	Commissioners, I think we still have an
22	outstanding issue in this case. And its about

whether or not we think that the embellishment at the top was discussed, and I forgot exactly which side it whether it was on, penthouse or whether it was an architectural embellishment. Τf someone can remember which side it was on, but I know that was an outstanding question that we had. think that a penthouse would require us to have action. The Applicant actually thinks or is saying that it's a penthouse so that would need special exception review from us. architectural embellishment The would be no action on our part. Am I correct, Mr. Ritting? RITTING:

Yes, that's right. We noticed this issue when we were reviewing the draft order that was prepared by the Applicant and there was some discussion at the hearing about whether the -- it was stated in finding of Fact 23 of the Applicant's draft order, whether the feature constitutes

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

was

help

architectural embellishment, in which case no relief is necessary. Or whether it is part of a penthouse in which case relief is necessary. Ι just wanted to bring it to attention to get some clarity from you about whether you believe it falls into one category or the other so I can finish the draft order an appropriately state the relief that's being granted. CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Let's open it up. Vice Chairman Schlater? VICE CHAIRMAN SCHLATER:

Mvrecollection of the hearing was that it is a penthouse it does require and special exception relief, although it has embellished in such a way to make it architectural feature of the building, in a nice way.

I think it's something we should support and help push forward. But I was leaning toward giving it the special exception relief.

### **NEAL R. GROSS**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Anyone else?
2 Anyone else? Commissioner May?

COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes. I would say that this is definitely something just that is both. It's an embellishment and it's a penthouse. The planes that rise up from the base of the building all the way to the roof, it's sort of colored orange and the elevations on AlO and All. that's clearly an To me architectural embellishment and wouldn't require relief.

But it's the rest of it, everything that's not protruding to the surface of the building there is essentially just an embellished penthouse and yes, I think it would require relief. "Embellish" is not a right word, maybe an enhanced penthouse, or a decorative penthouse.

It is somewhat contrary to the notion that the penthouse is just a nothing building, nothing structure that doesn't add to the perception of the height of the

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	building. So I'm not thrilled a
2	prettier penthouse for that re
3	willing to go ahead with granti
4	exception relief for them.
5	CHAIRMAN HOOD: O
6	else? Commissioner Turnbull?
7	COMMISSIONER TURNBULI
8	Mr. Chair.
9	Just following
10	Commissioner May's comments.
11	of an embellishment as somethi
12	enhancement so I don't want to
13	the meaning of that word by say
14	is an embellishment. So
15	the penthouse. I think it
16	attachment. I think it could l
17	in a lot of different ways a
18	looked a little more pleasing.
19	the case, I'll consider it a spe
20	for being part of the penthouse.
21	CHAIRMAN HOOD: And

about this as a eason, but I'm ng the special

kay. Anyone

Thank you, L:

along on I always think ng that is an take away from ying that this it's part of an awkward have been done and might have But that being ecial exception

And I think in the CHAIRMAN HOOD: fashion what I've heard I think we're being

# **NEAL R. GROSS**

consistent.

As you all may recall, the Zoning Commission reversed a BZA decision, which basically talked about a structure being both a penthouse and architectural embellishment. I think this way granting the relief for the special exception for the rooftop as the penthouse I think is the way that we need to proceed. And that also keeps consistent. I'm sure how longer that was, but I know that was done.

So, somebody like to make a motion?

VICE CHAIRMAN SCHLATER: I just wanted to say we did have one other issue that was open after the hearing. And that was the level of LEED certification. And the way I read the order, as it's written now it's a little ambiguous. I don't know, maybe OAG can clarify what it means.

If it means that they have to build their building up to a LEED silver

certification but don't have to actually get certified by USGBC, I think I'd be comfortable with the direction they're taking. I just wanted to clarify that. MR. RITTING: That was my understanding of the Applicant's supplemental submission that they submitted after hearing. VICE CHAIRMAN SCHLATER: Well, since I believe that, you know, green building is one of the criteria under the CGU overlay review, I think it's important to build these buildings to a high standard particularly when it the comes to certified level. So I think, I appreciate the Applicant moving on this and getting to LEED I think it paves the way to move silver. forward. CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.

Ι appreciate you bringing it up, that's Exhibit Okay. Would someone like to make a 41. motion?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Okay.

LEED

1	COMMISSIONER MAY: Before we go
2	there, I just want to clarify the language
3	that seems really very wishy-washy on this.
4	The language is "Check list indicates that the
5	design could potential achieve the equivalent
6	of 52 points and could possibly achieve eight
7	additional points." I mean, I think a more
8	definitive statement that the design or that
9	the building will be designed to achieve 52
10	points; that's not a commitment that it will
11	achieve 52 points but that they'll design it
12	that way. And, I think that's what the
13	intention is.
14	I see nods in the audience so I
15	think that's what it really should be saying.
16	But we're not expecting it to achieve that
17	necessarily but it should be designed to meet
18	it.
19	MR. RITTING: Okay. I hear you

MR. RITTING: Okay. I hear you loud and clear and I'll make sure the order states so, states so explicitly.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Anybody else?

# **NEAL R. GROSS**

20

21

1	VICE CHAIRMAN SCHLATER: It should
2	also be noted that applicant created some
3	common rooftop space at the request of the
4	Commission as we reviewed the plans so.
5	COMMISSIONER MAY: And they also
6	added green roof so and that adds LEED points
7	as well. So I think that was an improvement,
8	although I still don't see the logic of all
9	those individual rooftop terraces but this is
LO	a lot better that what it was.
11	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Anyone else?
L2	Would someone like to make a motion? Vice
L3	Chairman Schlater?
L4	VICE CHAIRMAN SCHLATER: I'd like
L5	to move that we approve final action on Zoning
L6	Commission Case No. 10-21, application of
L7	Buzzards Point LLC for review and approval of
L8	a mixed use project located in the Capitol
L9	Gateway overlay district.
20	CHAIRMAN HOOD: I'll second it.
21	It's been moved and properly
22	

1	discussion?
2	All those in favor, aye.
3	ALL: Aye.
4	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Not hearing any
5	opposition, Ms Schellin, would you please
6	record the vote?
7	MS. SCHELLIN: Did Mr. Selfridge
8	vote on this one?
9	COMMISSIONER SELFRIDGE: I did. I
10	was not at the hearing, but I did read the
11	record.
12	MS. SCHELLIN: You did read the
13	record? Okay. I just wanted to make sure we
14	had that on the record.
15	So Staff records the vote five to
16	zero to zero to approve Final Action in Zoning
17	Commission Case No. 10-21, Commissioner
18	Schlater moving, Commissioner Hood seconding,
19	Commissioners May, Selfridge and Turnbull in
20	support.
21	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Next under
22	Hearing Actions, Zoning Commission Case No.

05-28F, Lano Parcel 12, LLC second stage PUD at Squares 5041 and 5056, Mr. Mordfin?

MR. MORDFIN: Good evening, Chair,
Members of the Commission. I am Stephen
Mordfin with the Office of Planning.

In the subject application is for a second stage approval of a proposed park on Block D, approximately one acre in size. park was included in the first stage approval and will be an amenity to the entire park side PUD. as passive recreation space to residents workers and visitors park side. The park is proposed to include a combination of walkways, seating and landscaping. A feature at the center could include a pavilion, a fountain, or a sculpture. The design is not yet finalized. However, if the application is setdown by the Commission, the Applicant proposes to hold three charettes with the community to determine the design of the park prior to the hearing.

The application is consistent with

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	the recommendation of the Future Land Use Map
2	which recommends parks, recreation and open
3	space land use category for the subject
4	property.
5	The application would also further
6	many of the policies of the parks, recreation,
7	open space element of the Comprehensive Plan.
8	Therefore the Office of Planning recommends
9	that the Commission setdown the application.
10	Thank you.
11	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you
12	Mr. Mordfin.
13	Commissioners, any questions?
14	Vice Chairman Schlater?
15	VICE CHAIRMAN SCHLATER: I see
16	that the Applicant is basically having a few
17	public charrettes subsequent to now about the
18	design of the park. Do you anticipate that
19	the design of the park is going to change much
20	between now and the hearing?
21	MR. MORDFIN: I can't say how it
22	may change but they'll work with the community

to have something before the hearing so that when there is the public hearing it will be something that hopefully that the community and the Applicant will be in agreement with. VICE CHAIRMAN SCHLATER: Okay. Note for the record. I mean, we had a little bit back and forth previously on this park design and whether it was consistent with the stage one PUD. And I guess I was a little surprised, and not one way or another disappointed or anything like that. But it is different from what was in the stage

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any other questions, comments? Anybody want to make a motion?

approved package. And so, I though we were

led to believe that it had been substantially

similar, So, just note that for the record.

COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Yes, Mr. Chair. I'd like to make a motion that we setdown Zoning Case No. 05-28F, Lano Parcel 12, LLC second stage PUD at Squares 5041 and

1	5056. Ask for a second?
2	COMMISSIONER MAY: Second.
3	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. It has been
4	moved and properly second. Any further
5	discussion?
6	All those in favor, aye.
7	ALL: Aye.
8	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Not hearing any
9	opposition, Ms. Schellin, will you please
10	record the vote?
11	MS. SCHELLIN: Yes. Staff records
12	the vote as five to zero to zero to setdown
13	Zoning Commission Case No. 05-28F as a
14	contested case. Commissioner Turnbull moving,
15	Commissioner May seconding, Commissioners
16	Hood, Selfridge and Schlater in support.
17	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. What I'd
18	like to do is just go right to the
19	Correspondence Item, Zoning Commission Case
20	No. 05-28. This is the Parkside Residential,
21	LLC letter for Zoning Administrator
22	Notification second stage approval. They say

it was not necessary for block D.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Commissioners, it's already been discussed. I would ask that we let our counsel informally work with our Zoning Administrator and convey any concerns that this Commission had.

Can I get a general consensus on that? Okay. So we'll do that.

ZRR Guidance, Let's go to the Community Outreach Process and Overall Schedule. We asked for an update and I'm sure Ms. Steingasser is ready but I wanted to say, Mr. Parker and Mr. Giulioni are moving on. They've done a great job with this and just have done a great job in the Office of Planning overall. They will be sorely missed but we wish them much success in their future But before I talk about it, if endeavors. you don't mind for those who may be watching us as webcast, and believe it or not, people tell me all the time they watch us on webcast.

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

was hard to believe but

thought

that

actually people do watch us. So if you guys could just let us know where you're going, if you don't mind? Or is it private right now? Okay. Great. Okay.

MR. GIULIONI: Thank you.

Actually, I've accepted an opportunity to attend McGill University School Architecture to do mу Master's Architecture.

PARKER: And I've accepted a position as the Director of Planning for the city of Lakewood, Colorado.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Well I'll say this to both of you guys. You guys are hard workers, diligent workers. While we might not always agree with your planning reports, you've done everything asked of you. And I wish you, and on behalf of the Commission and myself, and all of us, I'll say for the city you've made a major contribution in Planning and I'm sure that Ms. Steingasser and Mr. Tregoning would say that their office is a

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	better place because you two guys have passed
2	their way. So I wish you much success and
3	when we come to Colorado we going to see what
4	kind of planning they have and how the design
5	is out there.
6	So, let me see, any other of my
7	colleagues want to say something?
8	Okay. Commissioner May?
9	COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes. Lakewood,
10	that's like right outside of Denver, right?
11	MR. PARKER: Directly abutting on
12	the west.
13	COMMISSIONER MAY: Home of the
14	Denver Service Center of the Park Service, I
15	believe. Yes.
16	MR. PARKER: They have the second
17	highest concentration of federal workers out
18	of this area.
19	COMMISSIONER MAY: Oh, Okay. Not
20	surprised many of my colleagues are out there
21	and I look forward to visiting you out there.
22	And I should let you know the name of this

1	really good sandwich place that they go to out
2	there.
3	MR. PARKER: Please do.
4	COMMISSIONER MAY: No, but I just
5	want to express my own personal appreciation
6	for all the work that you both have done and,
7	Mr. Parker, you especially. The Zoning
8	Regulation rewrite is a absolutely mind
9	numbing topic and you've done a very good job
10	all the way through it in helping us
11	understand the key issues and bringing them to
12	the forefront and making sure we were talking
13	about the right stuff.
14	And, it's hard for me to imagine
15	how we're going to through it from here. I'm
16	sure we will, but at this moment it's just,
17	it's difficult to understand how we can do it.
18	So, we will, but we'll miss you. So, thanks.
19	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Anyone else?
20	Commissioner Turnbull?
21	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Thank you,
22	Mr. Chair. I would just echo the same

1	comments that the two of you have worked very
2	hard and I think the Commission has enjoyed
3	having you with us up here on the dais.
4	And mind numbing, I'm thinking
5	it's like these zoning regs are like an ice
6	cream headache. It's just it's really
7	it's a tough one . and I found out not
8	everybody suffers, gets those ice cream
9	headaches, you know, but I do and it's very
10	mind numbing.
11	And Mr. Giulioni,
12	COMMISSIONER MAY: You eat to much
13	zoning, I think.
14	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: How's your
15	French?
16	MR. GIULIONI: Not very good.
17	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: You've got
18	to get your Rosetta Stone then and get to work
19	on it.
20	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. I was
21	looking as Mr. May said "How are we going to
22	get through it?" But I see Mr. Emerine down

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	

20

21

22

there with elbows up. You know you always watch the position. He's ready to go and I guess he's ready to take the lead. But anyway, before I say anything else, I would like to ask Ms. Steingasser if she would like to get us started on this process?

MS. STEINGASSER: Well actually Mr. Parker has designed this out and is going to give this as his final presentation.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Well, Mr. Parker?

MR. PARKER: Thank you, all. And thank you all for the kind words. It's been a great pleasure to work here for about eight years now and on this project for four. And I've been able to work with a bunch of wonderful people including Jennifer, and Joel, and Mike, and Dan who have made my job a lot more fun and a lot more entertaining than it might otherwise have been. So thank you for that.

As Ms. Steingasser said, we're at a turning point. I guess she didn't say this,

but we're at a turning point in our process.

Mike and I are leaving behind a relatively complete draft for the internal staff. And there are about four steps left in this part of the process.

First, Ms. Steingasser and the rest of the OP team are going to do a full internal audit and edit of the draft that we're leaving behind. That should take place at least through the month of August.

After that there will be a public review, and as we spoke the last time we had a meeting at the task force and here, the next stage of this process will be Task Force Review and Zoning Commission setdown of all the remaining text. And I believe that will equate to something on the order of about 6 meetings of each body to review the remaining sub titles of text. That process is likely to happen over the fall and throughout the remainder of this year.

The third step in the process then

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

will be a major public outreach. I've spoken to Ms. Steingasser about this and I think the plan right now is to have a meeting in each ward, so eight city-wide or eight ward-wide meetings throughout, you know, January through March of next year, depending on how the first two steps go. And do a major, you know, media blitz, online, newspaper, et cetera to get the word out and to get interest built up in the zoning reprocess in general and these board wide meetings.

And then the final step will be the series of public hearings. Again, six maybe more, different individual public hearings on remaining pieces of text that culminate sometime next summer in one or a series of hearings on the full text of the Zoning Review.

So, those are the remaining steps in this process. I think Mike and I are getting out at an exciting time but probably an appropriate time to transition into a

### **NEAL R. GROSS**

period of explaining and educating and selling the document that's been created over the past four years. MS. STEINGASSER: So we expect as painful as it is to lose them, to lose the schedule. We're staying on schedule. We will be back filling the positions and building a new team, possibly with some inhouse staff support as well to help move the text forward. But we do expect to stay on schedule. CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. I want to thank you, Director Parker. Oh, is that or should? appropriate now --We want to thank you all for the update, Let me open it for any questions? Vice Chairman up Schlater? VICE CHAIRMAN SCHLATER: just so to review it's a huge loss. Just an

don't think, particularly with Travis, has a

working knowledge of all the moving parts

amazing amount of institutional memory.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Well,

don't

within the text and certainly a lot better knowledge than I do. I always use you as a resource. You had a mastery of your subjects and doing a great job. And it's going to be tough to finish the project without you so, you'll be missed. But congratulations, good to see you growing and moving on and moving up. So that's great.

In general, on the schedule I just think it's important for all of us to keep an eye on the ball and keep it moving forward. I think there's a risk that if we do slow down, the thing could grind to a halt. I think, you know, there's always a risk of turnover on the Commission, turnover within OP staff, turnover within your task forces. And people won't remember what we voted on two years ago, or why we voted on it. And we'll constantly reevaluate those issues.

So, I'm looking forward to pushing this forward. I'm looking forward to the public outreach process and hear some fresh

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

1	voices on the topic and then getting to the
2	hearings and finishing this up.
3	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Anyone else?
4	Commissioner Turnbull?
5	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Well the
6	two of you can obviously still watch us
7	webcast. And if you have any comments, you
8	can email them to us.
9	MS. STEINGASSER: Travis has said
10	we can call yet he has not yet given me his
11	phone number.
12	CHAIRMAN HOOD: And I noticed that
13	when he said enjoyed working with Joel and
14	Jennifer and Mr. Emerine and everybody, I
15	noticed he left all five of you guys four
16	of you guys out. Okay.
17	Any other questions or comments?
18	COMMISSIONER MAY: You know if you
19	need me to deliver any messages to him, I go
20	out to Denver every once in a while and I'll
21	find him.
22	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. So we're

1	okay? Anything else? Okay.
2	Ms. Schellin, do we have anything
3	else tonight?
4	MS. SCHELLIN: Yes.
5	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Oh, we do?
6	MS. SCHELLIN: One item under
7	Other Business. We have a notice of closed
8	meeting, and then we'll be finished.
9	CHAIRMAN HOOD. I almost forgot
LO	about that. I knew I was going to lose it
11	before. I'll find it.
L2	Okay. If everyone could bear with
L3	us for a moment, As Chairman of the Zoning
L4	Commission for the District of Columbia in
L5	accordance with 407 of the Open Meetings
L6	Amendment Act, of 2010 I move that the Zoning
L7	Commission hold a closed meeting on July 26,
L8	2011 from 8:30 a.m. until 12:30 p.m. for the
L9	purpose of conducting an internal training
20	pursuant to 45(b)(12) of the Open Meetings
21	Amendment Aact of 2010.

Is there a second?

# **NEAL R. GROSS**

21

1	COMMISSIONER SELFRIDGE: Second.
2	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Will the Secretary
3	please take a roll call vote on the motion
4	before us now that has been second?
5	MS. SCHELLIN: Okay. Chairman
6	Hood? Yes or no?
7	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes. This is still
8	new. Yes.
9	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner
10	Schlater?
11	COMMISSIONER SCHLATER: Yes.
12	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner
13	Selfridge?
14	COMMISSIONER SELFRIDGE: Yes.
15	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner May?
16	COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes.
17	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner
18	Turnbull?
19	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Yes.
20	MS. SCHELLIN: Motion passes.
21	CHAIRMAN HOOD: As it appears that
22	the motion has passed this so just so

1	okay. As it appears that motion has passed.
2	I hereby give notice that the Zoning
3	Commission will hold a closed meeting in the
4	Office of Zoning Hearing Room and Conference
5	room to conduct an internal training on July
6	26, 2011 from 8:30 a.m. until 12:30 p.m.
7	Notice will also be posted in
8	Office of Zoning Electronic Reading Room,
9	placed on the Office of Zoning's Electronic
10	Calendar on its website and published in the
11	District of Columbia Resister in as timely a
12	matter as practical. Okay.
13	Anything else Ms. Schellin?
14	MS. SCHELLIN: No. That's it
15	unless OP has something they want to add?
16	Then we're done.
17	CHAIRMAN HOOD: I want to say this
18	to the Office of Planning, When we talked
19	about the outreach, one of the other things I
20	was listening to, I know a lot of people in
21	the city listen to, is Eugene Kinlow on WPOW.

I don't now if we can get a spot there.

1	Somebody just going and briefly talk about.
2	Think that would be a good avenue, as
3	something we might want to do. That might
4	even be free. I don't want to get Eugene in
5	trouble but I don't know how that works.
6	Okay. Vice Chairman Schlater?
7	VICE CHAIRMAN SCHLATER: I have a
8	question about Zoning Case No. 11-14. It says
9	it got withdrawn?
10	MS. SCHELLIN: Yes. As soon as it
11	was filed it ended up that they found that
12	they did not need it. So they withdrew it
13	before it ever was placed any further.
14	VICE CHAIRMAN SCHLATER: Thank you.
15	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Do we have
16	anything else, Ms. Schellin?
17	MS. SCHELLIN: No, sir.
18	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. With that
19	thank everyone for the participation tonight
20	and this meeting is adjourned.
21	(Whereupon, this meeting of the
22	Zoning Commission was adjourned at 7:06 p.m.)