# GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA Board of Zoning Adjustment



**Application No. 19644 of Meenakshi Nankani**, as amended<sup>1</sup>, pursuant to 11 DCMR Subtitle X, Chapter 9, for special exceptions under Subtitle D § 5201 from the lot occupancy requirements of Subtitle D § 304.1, the rear yard requirements of Subtitle D § 306.2, and the side yard requirements of Subtitle D § 307.1, to construct a rear deck addition to an existing one family dwelling in the R-1-B zone at premises 1315 Delafield Place, N.W. (Square 2808, Lot 30).

**HEARING DATES**: February 7, 2018, February 28, 2018, April 11, 2018, May 30, 2018,

and June 20, 2018.<sup>2</sup>

**DECISION DATE:** June 20, 2018

## SUMMARY ORDER

### **SELF-CERTIFICATION**

Telephone: (202) 727-6311

The zoning relief requested in this case was self-certified, pursuant to 11 DCMR Subtitle Y § 300.6. (Exhibits 33 (original/incomplete), and 40 (final).) In granting the certified relief, the Board of Zoning Adjustment ("Board" or "BZA") made no finding that the relief is either necessary or sufficient. Instead, the Board expects the Zoning Administrator to undertake a thorough and independent review of the building permit and certificate of occupancy applications filed for this project and to deny any application for which additional or different zoning relief is needed.

The Board provided proper and timely notice of the public hearing on this application by publication in the *D.C. Register* and by mail to Advisory Neighborhood Commission ("ANC") 4C and to owners of property located within 200 feet of the site. The site of this application is located within the jurisdiction of ANC 4C, which is automatically a party to this application. The ANC

E-Mail: dcoz@dc.gov

441 4th Street, N.W., Suite 200/210-S, Washington, D.C. 20001

Facsimile: (202) 727-6072

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The original application included a Zoning Administrator's memorandum (Exhibit 6) indicating that a lot occupancy variance was needed, but the Applicant amended the application (Exhibit 40) by removing the request for a variance from the lot occupancy requirements of Subtitle D § 306.2. The Applicant submitted revised plans (Exhibit 41) for what is allowable by special exception and submitted a self-certification form to certify the revised relief. (Ex. 40.) (The Applicant previously submitted an incomplete (unsigned) self-certification form at Exhibit 33.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The application was originally scheduled for hearing on February 7, 2018. The Board continued the hearing to February 28, 2018, then postponed to the dates listed in April, May, and June 2018 at the Applicant's request. (Exhibits 36-38.)

# BZA APPLICATION NO. 19644 PAGE NO. 2

submitted a report recommending approval of the application. The ANC's report indicated that at a regularly scheduled, properly noticed public meeting on June 13, 2018, at which a quorum was present, the ANC voted 8-0-0 to support the application. (Exhibit 45.)

The Office of Planning ("OP") submitted a timely report, dated January 26, 2018, recommending approval of the application for special exception relief, with the condition that the Applicant include a screened wall, such as a closed lattice wall, between the deck and the neighboring property to the east. OP noted that the Applicant agreed to this condition. OP noted that its recommendation was made with the understanding that the Applicant would be formally amending the application to reduce the lot occupancy of the deck so that no variance relief would be necessary. (Exhibit 30.) At the hearing on February 7, 2018, the Board continued the hearing so that the Applicant could submit a signed self-certification form and revised plans to the record in keeping with the amended relief. Next, prior to the Applicant's submitting a signed selfcertification and revised drawings in order to amend the application and after the Applicant's representative indicated to OP that the Applicant did not want to amend the plans, OP filed a Supplemental Report, dated May 18, 2018, recommending approval of the special exception relief, but denial of the variance relief originally requested. (Exhibit 39.) Finally, after the Applicant amended the application by submitting a properly signed self-certification form and revised plans reducing the lot occupancy of the deck so that no variance relief would be necessary, OP submitted a Second Supplemental Report, dated June 8, 2018, recommending approval of the amended application for special exception relief. (Exhibit 44.)

The District Department of Transportation ("DDOT") submitted a timely report indicating that it had no objection to the grant of the application. (Exhibit 29.)

Two letters were received from adjacent neighbors, both expressing support for the application. (Exhibits 42 and 43.)

As directed by 11 DCMR Subtitle X § 901.3, the Board has required the Applicant to satisfy the burden of proving the elements that are necessary to establish the case pursuant to Subtitle X § 901.2, for special exceptions under Subtitle D § 5201 from the lot occupancy requirements of Subtitle D § 304.1, the rear yard requirements of Subtitle D § 306.2, and the side yard requirements of Subtitle D § 307.1, to construct a rear deck addition to an existing one family dwelling in the R-1-B zone. The only parties to the case were the ANC and the Applicant. No parties appeared at the public hearing in opposition to this application. Accordingly, a decision by the Board to grant this application would not be adverse to any party.

Based upon the record before the Board and having given great weight to the OP and ANC reports, the Board concludes that the Applicant has met the burden of proof, pursuant to 11 DCMR Subtitle X § 901.2 and Subtitle D §§ 5201, 304.1, 306.2, and 307.1, that the requested relief can be granted as being in harmony with the general purpose and intent of the Zoning Regulations and Map. The Board further concludes that granting the requested relief will not tend to affect adversely the use of neighboring property in accordance with the Zoning Regulations and Map.

## BZA APPLICATION NO. 19644 PAGE NO. 3

Pursuant to 11 DCMR Subtitle Y § 101.9, the Board has determined to waive the requirement of 11 DCMR Subtitle Y § 604.3, that the order of the Board be accompanied by findings of fact and conclusions of law. The waiver will not prejudice the rights of any party and is appropriate in this case.

It is therefore **ORDERED** that this application is hereby **GRANTED AND**, **PURSUANT TO SUBTITLE Y § 604.10**, **SUBJECT TO THE APPROVED PLANS AT EXHIBIT 41 – UPDATED ARCHITECTURAL PLANS AND ELEVATIONS.** 

**VOTE**: **5-0-0** (Frederick L. Hill, Lesylleé M. White, Lorna L. John, Carlton E. Hart, and Anthony J. Hood<sup>3</sup> to APPROVE).

### BY ORDER OF THE D.C. BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT

A majority of the Board members approved the issuance of this order.

**ATTESTED BY:** 

SARA'A. BARDIN

**Director, Office of Zoning** 

FINAL DATE OF ORDER: June 25, 2018

PURSUANT TO 11 DCMR SUBTITLE Y § 604.11, NO ORDER OF THE BOARD SHALL TAKE EFFECT UNTIL TEN (10) DAYS AFTER IT BECOMES FINAL PURSUANT TO SUBTITLE Y § 604.7.

PURSUANT TO 11 DCMR SUBTITLE Y § 702.1, THIS ORDER SHALL NOT BE VALID FOR MORE THAN TWO YEARS AFTER IT BECOMES EFFECTIVE UNLESS, WITHIN SUCH TWO-YEAR PERIOD. THE APPLICANT FILES PLANS FOR THE PROPOSED STRUCTURE WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS FOR THE PURPOSE OF SECURING A BUILDING PERMIT, OR THE APPLICANT FILES A REQUEST FOR A TIME EXTENSION PURSUANT TO SUBTITLE Y § 705 PRIOR TO THE **EXPIRATION** OF THE TWO-YEAR **PERIOD** AND THE **REQUEST** GRANTED. PURSUANT TO SUBTITLE Y § 703.14, NO OTHER ACTION, INCLUDING THE FILING OR GRANTING OF AN APPLICATION FOR A MODIFICATION PURSUANT TO SUBTITLE Y §§ 703 OR 704, SHALL TOLL OR EXTEND THE TIME PERIOD.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Mr. Hood read the record to participate in the decision on this application.

## BZA APPLICATION NO. 19644 PAGE NO. 4

PURSUANT TO 11 DCMR SUBTITLE Y § 604, APPROVAL OF AN APPLICATION SHALL INCLUDE APPROVAL OF THE PLANS SUBMITTED WITH THE APPLICATION FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF A BUILDING OR STRUCTURE (OR ADDITION THERETO) OR THE RENOVATION OR ALTERATION OF AN EXISTING BUILDING OR STRUCTURE. AN APPLICANT SHALL CARRY OUT THE CONSTRUCTION, RENOVATION, OR ALTERATION ONLY IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PLANS APPROVED BY THE BOARD AS THE SAME MAY BE AMENDED AND/OR MODIFIED FROM TIME TO TIME BY THE BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT.

IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE D.C. HUMAN RIGHTS ACT OF 1977, AS AMENDED, D.C. OFFICIAL CODE § 2-1401.01 <u>ET SEQ.</u> (ACT), THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA DOES NOT DISCRIMINATE ON THE BASIS OF ACTUAL OR PERCEIVED: RACE, COLOR, RELIGION, NATIONAL ORIGIN, SEX, AGE, MARITAL STATUS, PERSONAL APPEARANCE, SEXUAL ORIENTATION, GENDER IDENTITY OR EXPRESSION, FAMILIAL STATUS, FAMILY RESPONSIBILITIES, MATRICULATION, POLITICAL AFFILIATION, GENETIC INFORMATION, DISABILITY, SOURCE OF INCOME, OR PLACE OF RESIDENCE OR BUSINESS. SEXUAL HARASSMENT IS A FORM OF SEX DISCRIMINATION WHICH IS PROHIBITED BY THE ACT. IN ADDITION, HARASSMENT BASED ON ANY OF THE ABOVE PROTECTED CATEGORIES IS PROHIBITED BY THE ACT. DISCRIMINATION IN VIOLATION OF THE ACT WILL NOT BE TOLERATED. VIOLATORS WILL BE SUBJECT TO DISCIPLINARY ACTION.