GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

ZONING COMMISSION

+ + + + +

PUBLIC HEARING

+ + + + +

-----:

IN THE MATTER OF:

AS YOU LIKE IT, LLC - : Case No. Consolidated Review and : 17-21

Approval of a Planned Unit : Development @ Square 498, Lot: 52 [501 I Street, S.W.] :

. -----:

> Thursday, March 28, 2019

Hearing Room 220 South 441 4th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C.

The Public Hearing of Case No. 17-21 by the District of Columbia Zoning Commission convened at 6:30 p.m. in the Jerrily R. Kress Memorial Hearing Room at 441 $4^{\rm th}$ Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., 20001, Anthony J. Hood, Chairman, presiding.

ZONING COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT:

ANTHONY J. HOOD, Chairperson ROBERT MILLER, Vice Chairperson MICHAEL G. TURNBULL, FAIA, Commissioner (AOC)

PETER G. MAY, Commissioner (NPS)

OFFICE OF ZONING STAFF PRESENT:

SHARON S. SCHELLIN, Secretary

OFFICE OF PLANNING STAFF PRESENT:

JENNIFER STEINGASSER, Deputy Director,
Development Review & Historic Preservation
STEPHEN COCHRAN
JOEL LAWSON

D.C. OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL PRESENT:

MAXIMILIAN TONDRO, ESQ.

DISTRICT DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION STAFF PRESENT:

AARON ZIMMERMAN

The transcript constitutes the minutes from the Public Hearing held on March 28, 2019.

1 P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S 2 6:35 p.m. CHAIRMAN 3 HOOD: Good evening, ladies and gentlemen. This is a public hearing of the Zoning Commission 4 5 for the District of Columbia. 6 Today's date is March 28, 2019. Mvname is 7 Anthony Hood. We're located in the Jerrily R. Kress Memorial Hearing Room. 8 9 Joining me this evening are Vice Chair Miller, Commissioner May and Commissioner Turnbull. 10 We're also joined by the Office of Zoning Staff, Ms. Sharon Schellin, 11 as well as the Office of Attorney General, Mr. Tondro, as 12 well as the Office of Planning, Ms. Steingasser, Mr. Lawson 13 And also, the District Department 14 and Mr. Cochran. 15 Transportation, Mr. Zimmerman. 16 This proceeding is being recorded by a court 17 It's also webcast live. Accordingly, I must ask reporter. 18 you to refrain from any disruptive noise or actions in the 19 hearing room, including the display of any signs or objects. 20 Notice of the hearing was published in the DC Register, and copies of that announcement are available to 21 22 my left on the wall near the door.

The hearing will be conducted in accordance with the provisions of 11Z-DCMR, Chapter 4, as follows:

Preliminary matters, applicant's case, Report of

23

24

the Office of Planning, Report of other government agencies.

Report of ANC, organizations and persons in support, organizations and persons in opposition, and also organizations and persons who are undeclared. And then we will have rebuttal and closing by the applicant.

The following time constraints will be maintained in this meeting. The applicant actually has up to 60 minutes, but I see we have 45 minutes on the clock. Organizations, five minutes, individuals, three minutes.

All persons wishing to testify before the Commission in this evening's hearing, are asked to register at the witness kiosk to my left, and to fill out two witness cards.

These cards are located to my left on the table near the door.

Upon coming forward to speak to the Commission, please give both cards to the reporter sitting to my right before taking a seat at the table.

When presenting the information to the Commission, please turn on the speaker to the microphone, first stating your name and home address.

When you are finished speaking, please turn your microphone off so that your microphone is no longer picking up sound or background noise. The staff will be available throughout the hearing to discuss procedural questions.

At this time, please turn off all electronic 1 2 devices at this time, so as not to disrupt these proceedings. 3 Would all the individuals wishing to testify 4 please rise and take the oath. Ms. Schellin, would you 5 please administer the oath. 6 MS. SCHELLIN: Yes. Please raise your right hand. 7 Do you solemnly swear or affirm the testimony you'll give this evening will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing 8 9 but the truth? 10 (Witnesses sworn.) 11 MS. SCHELLIN: Thank you. 12 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, at this time the Commission 13 will consider any preliminary matters. Ms. Schellin, do we have any preliminary matters? 14 15 MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, we do. If the Commission will recall, United Neighbors of Southwest was given advance party 16 17 status, but I was approached by their representative this 18 evening and advised that they are withdrawing their party 19 status request, that they have reached an agreement with the 20 So, they are withdrawing their party status 21 request. 22 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. 23 So, that's the first --MS. SCHELLIN: 24 CHAIRMAN HOOD: We don't need to do any action on 25 So, that clarifies the six or seven names I saw. that. The

whole request is being withdrawn, as I understand. 1 2 MS. SCHELLIN: The whole request being 3 withdrawn. Yes. 4 CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right. And their representative is here 5 MS. SCHELLIN: 6 and I think he'll be testifying later on this evening. 7 And so, next we have a party status request from Martin Welles at Exhibit 40 through 42A-2. He has requested 8 9 party status-in-opposition. His request was filed on time. 10 He did serve the parties late, seven days late, and he has asked for a waiver for that late service. 11 12 The applicant has filed opposition to the request 13 for party status. That's at Exhibit 43. 14 the first thing would be have to t.he 15 Commission to weigh in and decide party status to Mr. Welles, if I'm pronouncing that correct. 16 17 MR. WELLES: That's correct. 18 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Commissioners, I looked at 19 Mr. Welles' application and I understand all of the great 2.0 work that he's doing with the young folks. But when I looked at his -- and I looked at the 21 22 letter of the law in which we're bound to look at when we 23 grant party status. Typically, it's how you're uniquely affected. 24 25 I tried to -- I grappled with the young folks

being right next to the area which is in question. I did not see where they were uniquely affected, but they may be affected when they're playing.

So, it's not any different than the general public for me. I couldn't get past that and I went back and forth, back and forth.

But also know, as we've done in the past and as I've always stated, there's always opportunities to be able to get his point across through testimony. And I think it rises to that level, which I think, you know, is just normal.

But I don't see where it rises to the level of party status. But let me open it up for others. Any comments, questions or additions, or disagreements?

COMMISSIONER MAY: Mr. Chairman, I agree with your analysis. I mean, it's not always clear to folks when we undergo a proceeding like this what qualifies a person for party status, but it does have to do with being uniquely affected.

And there's no indication that in fact Mr. Welles is uniquely affected, and therefore, warrants being awarded party status in this case. But we're certainly happy to hear his testimony.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Any other comments or questions? Okay. I would move that we deny party status to Mr. Welles, and that we would also allow -- well, naturally,

allow him opportunity to testify. And I will be 1 2 convenient in that situation. 3 And I will be frankly honest. I didn't even get to the submission from the applicant. So, thank you all for 4 5 submitting it. I'm not even sure what you said, because I 6 just looked at the merits of Mr. Welles' application. 7 that's my motion. Can I get a second? COMMISSIONER MAY: Second. 8 9 CHAIRMAN HOOD: It's been moved and properly Any further discussion? 10 seconded. 11 COMMISSIONER MAY: I just have a question. CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes. 12 COMMISSIONER MAY: Since this was submitted late, 13 do we actually have to accept it? You know, waive the 14 request to be able to rule on it? 15 16 MS. SCHELLIN: That was just the certificate of 17 service. 18 COMMISSIONER MAY: Oh, just the certificate of 19 service. 20 MS. SCHELLIN: Serving the parties. 21 All right, so it would be COMMISSIONER MAY: 22 rendered moot by denying the party status. 23 All right, any other questions? CHAIRMAN HOOD: 24 It's been seconded, right? Did somebody second it? 25 PARTICIPANT: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, it's been moved and properly 1 2 Any further discussion? All in favor? seconded. 3 (Chorus of aye.) 4 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any opposition? Not hearing any. Again, Mr. Welles, you will be able to testify and give us 5 6 your points at that time. All right, Ms. Schellin, do we 7 have anything else? 8 I'm going to record the vote. MS. SCHELLIN: 9 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Oh. 10 Staff records the vote 4-0-1 to MS. SCHELLIN: deny party status to Mr. Welles, Commissioner Hood moving, 11 Commissioner May seconding, Commissioners Miller and Turnbull 12 in support of denial, Commissioner Shapiro not present, not 13 votina. 14 15 The next preliminary matter is the proffered witnesses. Shalom Baranes has previously been 16 expert 17 accepted. Craig McClure has previously been accepted as an 18 expert in landscape architecture. Of course, Mr. Baranes in architecture. 19 20 And then, Daniel VanPelt has previously been 21 And so, we'd ask that the Commission would accept accepted. 22 those three as expert witnesses in this case. 23 Mr. Avitabile, you have a change? CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes. I wanted to see if we could 24 MR. AVITABILE: 25 bring in Ms. Fry from Gorove/Slade Associates as an expert

2 Okay. MS. SCHELLIN: 3 MR. AVITABILE: -- as part of the preliminary Tab 4 submissions. It was в. Ms. Fry's been a traffic 5 engineer now for going on seven years and she's been the 6 primary person taking the lead on this case, doing 7 analysis, interfacing with DDOT, interfacing with stakeholders, and we thought it was time to bring her up to 8 9 the table and let her help present. 10 If now is not the appropriate time, of course we 11 can certainly do this another time. But we thought it was definitely appropriate. 12 13 COMMISSIONER MAY: So, she's the one who'll be testifying in this --14 15 MR. AVITABILE: Yes. 16 COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay. So, what's -- Ms. Fry 17 obviously worked on many, many cases that have come before 18 the Commission before. But we've not had her presented as 19 an expert in those cases. And seven years is substantial, but it's, you know, it's maybe a little bit on the low side 20 21 when it comes to having expert status. 22 So, I guess my question would be, of the many, many cases that were listed, projects that were listed, do 23 we have a sense of how many of those Ms. Fry had actually 24 25 been the project manager for?

witness tonight. We submitted her résumé today --

1	MS. FRY: Hello. Maris Fry. The projects listed,
2	probably two dozen of them have been I've been the primary
3	project manager.
4	COMMISSIONER MAY: Thanks. That's the information
5	I was looking for. So, Mr. Turnbull.
6	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: I would just like
7	Mr. VanPelt to nod his head and say that she's damn good.
8	Okay.
9	COMMISSIONER MAY: Better than you? You want to
10	come up to the microphone and say that? Because I think
11	Ms. Fry might have family members that want to all right,
12	thank you.
13	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, so any objections? So,
14	today we will add Ms. Fry to our list. I will think of
15	Ms. Fry also, as I do of other traffic consultants, when I'm
16	in traffic. Okay?
17	All right, so do we have anything else,
18	Ms. Schellin.
19	MS. SCHELLIN: I think that's it. Yeah.
20	CHAIRMAN HOOD: That's it? Okay. Mr. Avitabile,
21	you may begin.
22	MR. AVITABILE: All right, thank you very much.
23	We're here this evening for a case that has been years in the
24	making, like any good Shakespeare play.
25	And I think we have worked long and hard, and now

in the Fifth Act, we're here for the conclusion.

This project really -- you can go to the next slide, please. This project was born out of a desire by the Shakespeare Theatre Company to take its office, its rehearsal space, its actor housing, its costume shop, which are currently located across a number of different properties over on 8th Street, SE, and consolidate them into a location, through that co-location, and having a new location, they would have updated facilities and be able to continue their operations.

In doing so, they partnered with the Erkiletian Development Company, found this site, and have for many years pursued an opportunity to redevelop the property.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Mr. Avitabile, I hate to interrupt.

MR. AVITABILE: That's fine.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: The issue about the sidewalk -- the flip, the whole issue.

MR. AVITABILE: Yes.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Can you confirm that that has been resolved? Because that actually would have been a showstopper. So, if you could just put that on the record.

MR. AVITABILE: Sure. Sure, I'm sorry, but yes, we were at the Public Space Committee this morning. And after a spirited discussion, the committee voted 4-1 to

approve our -- give us concept approval for the sidewalk flip, and that's why we're here tonight.

Had we not gotten that, we would have been spending more time working with all of the stakeholders and not proceeding. But they did approve it. So, therefore, we're here tonight going forward.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you for putting it on the record this evening. I wanted to get that out there first. Thank you.

MR. AVITABILE: Thank you. So, Shakespeare Theatre Company and Erkiletian identified this site as a potential opportunity to take a site that is currently located in the R-3 zone, and through the PUD process seek a conditional rezoning and PUD to develop it to suit their combined needs.

The proposed height/density mix of use require the rezoning, given the current zoning. Go to the next slide, please.

The site is located, and you can see it here, it's in the institutional land use category on the future land use map. The comprehensive plan does allow for the rezoning of properties in the institutional land use category.

The Commission has done this numerous times. I've been a part of a number of those cases over the last decade.

And when you do that, as you all know, we look to the

2.0

surrounding context to figure out what's the appropriate zoning use height/density for that site.

Here, the surrounding context to the north and the west, in particular, is moderate density residential. And so, what we have done here is develop a project over time through a lot of push and pull, that fits within that surrounding context.

It's a four-story building with a four-and-a-half story annex, step-downs in height and other features that integrated into this moderate density transitional context.

And we have done so going through the comprehensive plan in exhaustive detail, and we are confident the project is not inconsistent with the comprehensive plan. Next page.

You may ask how we're confident that we did that work, and it's because we've done our homework. And I think the evidence is in the record this evening, where we are here with support and our lack of opposition from many of the stakeholders. And we have been and continue to work with them.

I think the story starts with the Commission. I had sat down, and if you remember, it took us a couple of tries, you made it very clear that you wanted to see us try very hard to work with the ANC and other stakeholders, and we did that.

2.0

This was also the same direction we got from the Office of Planning and Department of Transportation, who provided guidance on height, mass design, the appropriate zone for the site, affordable housing, public benefits.

I think certainly the ANC has played a critical role in this site. From the beginning, they have served as really a broker, understanding that this was an important project and we could play a valuable role in the neighborhood.

But to get there, we needed to work very hard to address their concerns, as well as the concerns of surrounding stakeholders. And I think you can see on this map the two groups that we work particularly closely with.

The United Neighbors of Southwest, the group that just withdrew its party status, is comprised of many of the people who reside within the townhouses that you see along 6th Street and the surrounding streets in this image.

We spent many, many days and nights working with them, addressing their concerns, making significant design changes to the project, and they also came to, you know, acknowledge that the project could fit within this context and they could not oppose it.

And really, the outcome of all of that work is a carefully crafted, detailed agreement that we submitted into the record today that covers the uses within the project, the

design of the project, the public benefits of the project, the mitigation measures that we're adopting within the project.

And we're going to integrate all of those terms as proposed conditions of the PUD to ensure that they're enforceable through the zoning order, of course at your discretion.

The other group that we've been working with more recently is the Amidon-Bowen Parent/Teacher Association. They're right next door. The school, and particularly, their playing fields, are right next door to our site.

We've been working carefully over the last couple of weeks. I think, personally, it became very important to me through the last project that we were here before you on, that they had very important concerns, and we've been working to address them.

They, in particular, emphasized the importance of providing affordable housing for families. It's one of the changes that we've made over the last two weeks, or three weeks, and we continue to work with them.

We are not there yet, mainly because we started the conversation late, and that's as much on us as anyone else. But I feel confident that we can get there as we continue to talk over the next few weeks.

We've also met with and worked with other

stakeholders. Mr. Welles has been present at some of those discussions. He has certain concerns, among them a light pole for the soccer field that is on our property that he wants to make sure we will move.

I will say it here on the record and we said it before, we will move that light pole, and we will continue to work with his other concerns, as well.

But the results of all of this effort with these various stakeholders is a project that fits carefully into its context. So, with that, I'll turn it over to Mr. Baranes to give you an overview of the architecture of the project.

MR. BARANES: Good evening. Shalom Baranes here. I'd like to start by just giving you an overall feel for the immediate neighborhood that our project is in.

And here, you can see, of course, we're at the corner of 6th and I, and we have basically a rectangular site with a tail at the north end that extends out to the east, which we've fully utilized, you'll see.

And I'll take you through here just a few views of the site as it exists today. It's vacant. Here, we are looking north along 6th Street. You can see the site is marked there. We're across the street from a park that has a very nice pond in it. And we're also, as you can see, right across the street from that 100-foot building that you see on the left-hand side of the slide.

2.0

Moving closer, here's the intersection of 6th and I. And, you know, generally to the north we have fairly low buildings, three-, four-story-type townhouses. And then, generally, to the south, besides having this large park, you know, we have much taller buildings that go up to 100 feet.

So, again, here we are on 6th Street looking south. You can see the low buildings in the foreground, the tall buildings further back, and here we are looking westward on I Street.

The school on the right there is in the foreground. And then, moving closer, there's the playground adjacent to the school, and beyond that is our site.

And then, this is the last image, I think. Looking directly from the north towards our site, you can see some of the three- and four-story townhouses I mentioned.

So, you know, I think you know from all the projects you've reviewed here in Southwest, and certainly many projects I present here, that this is a really unusual neighborhood in terms of its planning principles.

You know, unlike the rest of the city here, there's an underlying idea that low-rise is juxtaposed against high-rise.

And so, you see a lot of townhouses as you look at this image, directly abutting 90-, 100-foot-high buildings. And it's really one of the major things I think

that gives the neighborhood the character that it does. And you can see that here.

And, you know, generally we find, you know, whenever we're working along the edge of the seam of one of these sites where there's a major change in height, we wind up encountering a lot of opposition, and generally, pretty stressful approval situations.

So, you know, we tried to design a project that basically addresses both scales that are adjacent to us.

So, on the I Street facade here on the right, you see that it has a larger scale. It's much glassier and has a different set of proportions than the facade along I Street you see to the left, which takes on more of the townhouse character of the buildings across the street.

And then, of course, we manage this transition with a slightly taller bay on the corner.

It took us a long time to get here. What you see on the left here is a very early idea where we thought, okay, we're across the street from a large park, we have very tall buildings directly to the south, you know, let's make the I Street portion of this building tall, and then, we let it pinwheel down towards I Street.

And both in that scheme and the scheme you see in the middle, we also had, you know, townhouses directly across the street from the townhouses on I Street, and, I think

significantly, we had an open space.

2.0

We created a plaza in open space there between our townhouse tech structure and the taller structure to the south. You know, over time that evolved to the scheme that you see on the right, where we essentially leveled everything, made everything pretty much the same height.

We also developed the character. We changed the character of this project as we went through the various meetings with the neighbors, the ANCs, and so forth, where we had a somewhat more modern vocabulary that you see on the left, you know, became a little transitional, you see in the center.

And even that was objected to, because the feeling was that it had too much glass in the corner. So, we introduced more masonry on the corner as we went through this design process.

So, that basically covers the evolution of the design over the last three or four years, I think.

In developing this scheme, you know, we were, of course, very interested in activating the sidewalks on both sides and, you know, the pedestrian experience.

So, along I Street, we introduced, you know, two major entrances, one for the apartment building and one for the Shakespeare uses.

You'll see we have quite a bit of landscaping on

both this side and the other side, as Craig will get into shortly.

And again, you start to get the sense for what the scale of the building is here as you walk along the sidewalk, which, again, is very different than what you see around the corner.

So, around the corner, of course, we continue the landscaping, but we articulated everything, gave it more of a townhouse expression.

Every residential unit there on the ground floor has an entrance directly out to the street with a small walkway leading up to it. And it very much evokes the feeling of the townhouses across the street.

Here it is from further back. You know, we also tried to soften the vocabulary a little bit by introducing these wood pilasters on each bay, and then, resets to all the front doors, which also have wood that relates to the pilaster.

And of course, we also stepped the height down here one floor, so we have a setback at the top of the building. Here it is from a distance. And I think here you can get a better sense of how this all relates to the townhouses across the street.

PARTICIPANT: Can you go back one?

MR. BARANES: Sure. This is the east elevation

2.0

of the project. We're pretty much on the property line here facing the school. And, you know, in eliminating that plaza that we had on the opposite side facing the townhouses, because we eliminated that when we were able to reintroduce one here facing the playground.

And because we are on the property line here, of course, for various code reasons, we have fewer windows.

We also did something a little unusual here. We basically split the building in two. You have the large, rectangular element that you see on the left-hand side there.

We then introduced a break and continued the building along that tail. That allowed us to essentially carry the -- you know, I hate to call it an axis, but let's call it an axis, of the back of the townhouses to the north of us, you know, directly to the south. And it allows more sunlight to get into the backyards there of the townhouses directly to the north.

Also, in a little bit I'll get into all of the setbacks that we have along the north side there. But again, I think the important thing here is that we do have a pretty good distance between our building and the school, 125 feet at the south and about 85 feet on the north there.

So, here's the view of the northern-most elevation. And on the right you can see the building breaks. It basically stands almost as a freestanding building, and

2.0

this is a building that accommodates the fellows and the 1 2 artists, the actors who are part of the Shakespeare Company. 3 Let's see. In terms of materials, the building 4 is primarily brick, and you can see we have a fair amount of curtain wall. 5 And as I mentioned earlier, we've also introduced wood. 6 7 We do have a sampling of the materials, which I think is stuck in traffic. 8 9 PARTICIPANT: Yes. 10 It's not here yet. I had to abandon MR. BARANES: the car and take a cab. But hopefully, the material board 11 will appear before the hearing is over. 12 But the materials -- on the left you see three 13 We're going to be blending those bricks and bricks there. 14 15 the percentages that you see there, 70-20-10. That's the primary material of the building. 16 17 In the center there, the guill that you see on the 18 I Street portion of the facade will be molded brick. 19 a sample of molded brick above it. 20 And then, of course, we have a series of, you know, different metal colors for the curtain wall, for the 21 22 windows, the wood, and then, we have a stone base at the base 23 of the building. All right, I'll quickly just take you 24 through

the interiors here. Again, just want to mention again that

you see on the left-hand side along 6th Street we have individual units with individual entrances.

The main lobby to the residential building's on the corner there, the darker blue. And then, the yellow is all Shakespeare program, with its own lobby entrance off of I Street.

On the north, we have a driveway, which is very, very gently sloped. And it leads -- as you're coming out of the driveway, you take a right to go into the garage, or also to get into the loading area, and a pedestrian can walk straight down that driveway to the secondary lobby for the smaller building that we have at the tail end of the site on the east end.

Going down to the basement, we have parking, we have more Shakespeare program, rehearsal rooms primarily, sewing room.

Going up into the building it's fairly straightforward. Double-loaded corridor configuration for both buildings.

But then, as we get further up, the building on the right there, the smaller building, becomes a singleloaded configuration, because of the types of units that we have.

Going up to the roof, we have some amenity spaces that you see there in blue. We created as large a green roof

as we could. And there's a mechanical well just to the north of the amenity space.

And then, on the roof of the penthouse we have solar collectors, an array of solar collectors that basically provide, I think we figured out roughly one percent of the energy needs of the building.

And I'm going to wrap up here by just mentioning the zoning relief that we're looking for. First one is the rear yard setback. So, you see the driveway there, which is to the north of our large building.

We set our building back there 25 feet, although we only -- or 28 feet. And even though we only have a 15-foot required setback, but the reason we need relief is because a smaller building impinges on that 15 feet.

So, here's a diagram of the back of the building. The area you see in yellow is the area that would be required for a matter-of-right 15-foot side yard, and it would have about 4200 square feet.

The area that's hatched, which includes the pink area also, is the area that we're providing. And we're actually going from 4200 feet to 5900 feet. Plus, the area in white that you see just to the south of that.

And the relief, again, is required because on the right-hand side of this slide you see that we only have about a little over eight feet for the backyard.

2.0

We felt it made a lot more sense to create as much open space as we could directly behind the townhouses which have rear yards, you know, rather than on the right-hand side, where we have a sidewall of a townhouse facing us.

Another area of relief we need is for the closed court. You can see it's labeled there in the middle of the

Another area of relief we need is for the closed court. You can see it's labeled there in the middle of the slide. We indented our building slightly back from the property line so we could get some windows in there. And, of course, that created a non-conforming closed court.

And another area of relief is the penthouse on the upper portion of the larger building. You see we have a very small penthouse that is not connected to the other penthouse. And that's there because we have a fire stair that goes up to the roof.

We could have connected it and probably been conforming, but it didn't seem like it really made sense to do that here.

And then, finally, our final area of relief is our lot occupancy. And we are over by roughly four percent. I think we have 79 versus 75.

So, with that, we'll get into landscaping.

MR. AVITABILE: One second, just because I saw Commissioner May had a quizzical look on his face. That northern --

COMMISSIONER MAY: That was --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

1 MR. AVITABILE: That northern penthouse adjacent 2 to the stairwell also has an elevator overrun, and so, since 3 it has an elevator overrun, we thought that that, then, means 4 that you normally would have to connect it to the penthouse. So, we're asking for that relief. 5 6 COMMISSIONER MAY: Yeah, but isn't there 7 provision in the regulations if you have multiple elevators? 8 MR. AVITABILE: Yeah, it says if they're required 9 it, and I'm never sure what that means. And so, this was out of an abundance of caution. 10 11 COMMISSIONER MAY: And I thought that we actually had put something into CR16 to account for the multiple 12 13 stairwells alone. 14 MR. AVITABILE: Multiple stairwells alone 15 accounted for. And it's the fact that I've always -- here, 16 it's that we've chosen to put the -- that's the service 17 elevator that runs up there. 18 COMMISSIONER MAY: Right. 19 MR. AVITABILE: And whether that's required or --20 because the language in the regulations says it has to be one 21 multiple elevator's required. 22 required it in implement order the 23 operational design of the building. But it's not like it's 24 a code-required elevator. So, again, the request was out of 25 an abundance of caution.

We've certainly interpreted it both ways in the past. I'm just not leaving anything to chance on this project.

COMMISSIONER MAY: I certainly understand that. Yeah, I'm not sure. It just -- thank you for reading my quizzical look, because it did not -- I mean, it seemed like there was enough there to justify independent penthouse structures. And certainly, it's the right move to keep them separate, rather than create some artificial connection.

MR. AVITABILE: Right.

COMMISSIONER MAY: But that is sort of an interesting question as to whether it's really required. The relief, that is.

MR. MCCLURE: Good evening. I'm Craig McClure with Parker Rodriguez, the landscape architects on this project. And I'd like to begin with a little brief overview on this composite plan of the streetscape condition existing on 6th Street between I and G.

You'll notice on the street on the west there are the townhomes that face 6th Street and they all have lead walks and front yards, which is something that has inspired our design for the 6th Street streetscape that I'll get into in greater detail. But that's a very important component of this.

Also, some other general things. I want to point

2.0

out that the curb cut and entrance is located right here on the north edge of the property, which is using an existing location of a curb cut. And on I Street, there was one that was there previously that is being abandoned.

So, if we are going to talk about the 6th Street streetscape -- don't know why that happened, sorry about that. Okay, I'll keep my hand off of the pad.

So, on 6th Street, what we wanted to do was to create the same appearance of the front yard gardens that you see all along this section of 6th Street, where there is lead walks into individual units, and there's a parterre garden, if you will in front of each one that would be surrounded by a hedge with some planting in that parterre, that is appropriate and scaled to that unit.

And then, the lead walks, what we'd like to do is have some special accent paving that would go out to the sidewalk zone.

You'll notice that we have the sidewalk -- sixfoot sidewalk adjacent to the curb, which is also consistent with what happens in the streetscape in this corridor.

So then, we also have six trees located along this zone on the flipped part of the sidewalk, and some street lighting, as well. And some under-story tree planting, ornamental tree planting, in those parterne gardens, at random.

Then, around the when we go to Ι Street streetscape, we have two entrances. We have the residential lobby entrance right here, and we have the office entrance right here. Both of those are marked with special paving, as well, lush landscaping along the front, and there's a tree amenity panel along with street that's consistent with that streetscape of I Street, as well.

The -- I'm sorry, I'm going to go back a little bit here. This is a view of the 6th Street streetscape. And you can get an idea of the character of the 6th Street streetscape from this rendering, with the special paving that would be of stone and multiple colors, the parterne gardens and the standard DC concrete paving, scored in a three-foot square pattern.

This is the I Street streetscape rendering of that. And again, I'd like to mention that we're not showing the trees in this view in the tree panels, just so that you can see the character of the landscaping up against the facade of the building.

So, there is the entrance to the residential lobby right here that is marked with special paving. And there's lush planting in between that entrance and the office entrance, which is right here, that also has special stone paving.

And then, to embrace the aspirations of the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I Street art corridor, we're showing glass art panels that would be randomly placed along -- within the public parking zone, and those would be animated with images of Shakespeare themes to be developed by an artist in the future.

And this would be -- that streetscape, if you're looking -- if you're standing on the east looking west, you can see the street tree canopy that is created and the experience that you would have with the art panels.

MS. FRY: Good evening, Commissioners. For the record, I'm Maris Fry, a project manager with Gorove/Slade Associates.

We've been working with Erkiletian, Shakespeare Theatre Company, the project team, DDOT, and members of the community, on the transportation aspects of this project.

AS shown here, the project we are discussing tonight is situated on the northeast corner of 5th Street and I Street, SW -- 6th Street and I Street, SW, just a few blocks from the Waterfront Metro Station.

Along the Green Line Metrorail service -- along with the Green Line Metrorail Service, there are several Metro bus lines, a DC Circulator route, commuter bus lines and the southwest neighborhood shuttle in the vicinity of the sect.

Bike lines on 4th Street and I Street provide primary north/south and east/west bicycle connectivity. The

2.0

Anacostia River Walk Trail and several other bike lanes are also nearby and there are two capital bike share stations within a quarter mile of the site. Next slide.

Shalom did a great job describing the site plan earlier. But I think it's worth repeating the transportation aspects of the site. As you can see here, vehicle access to the site is situation on 6th Street, while the main residential and Shakespeare pedestrian entrances are situated along I Street.

Additionally, you'll see the walkout residential units, which are meant to mirror the townhouse condition across the street, and those are situated along 6th Street.

The vehicular curb cut provides access to 40 vehicular parking spaces and a loading area that accommodates head-in/head-out loading.

Of the 40 vehicular parking spaces, 25 are allotted to the condo units, and 15 are allocated to Shakespeare employees. An additional 15 parking spaces have been secured offsite for Shakespeare employees.

The project is also supplying 67 long-term bicycle parking spaces and 16 short-term bicycle parking spaces, well in excess of the zoning requirements. Next slide.

To support the project, we've developed a multifaceted transportation management plan, or TMP. The TMP is made up of four components addressing pickup/drop-off,

parking, loading, and transportation demand management. I'll review each of these sub-elements and how they work together to effectively accommodate the site needs and mitigate impacts. Next slide.

I first want to talk about the pickup/drop-off operations plan and the accompanying curbside management plan, which has been revised since completion of the transportation study, based on extensive discussions with DDOT, UNSW, Amidon-Bowen, and the ANC.

Through this coordination, we've developed a curbside management plan that meets the day-to-day needs of the site and the higher summertime pickup/drop-off activity of Shakespeare's camps.

This plan also results in pickup/drop-off space for Amidon-Bowen during the summer, which is doesn't currently have, and results in the loss of just two RPP parking spaces.

The proposed curbside management plan is shown in the image on the right, while the existing conditions are shown on the left. This plan will be further coordinated with DDOT and other stakeholders during permitting. Next slide.

The next element of the TMP is the parking management plan, which is broken down into residential and Shakespeare components.

2.0

For the residential use, the applicant has agreed to restrict residents from obtaining RPP permits. For the Shakespeare use, offsite employee parking will be provided, in addition to the onsite parking.

The offsite parking is expected to be secured at Arena Stage, which is shown on the graphic in orange. Visitors of Shakespeare will be encourage to use non-auto modes of travel, or park in nearby public garages, which are shown in blue in the graphic.

Although not shown on this graphic, other nearby planned developments will include additional public parking options in the future. Next slide.

The loading management plan includes many of the typical elements for projects in the District. But as agreed to with UNSW, it includes additional limited delivery hours for trash and recycling, deliveries and moving activities, and service vehicles. Next slide.

The TDM plan also includes components typical of a mixed-use development in the District. But I'd like to highlight the components added at the request of DDOT.

These include hosting transportation fares at the site, providing shopping carts for residents and employees to use for running errands or grocery shopping, and providing travel information on the Shakespeare website for visitors. Next slide.

2.0

I'll close with a brief overview of our coordination with DDOT on the project. We performed a comprehensive transportation review, or CTR, that was scoped with DDOT, and included a multi-middle review of ten intersections and driveways in the vicinity of the site.

The CTR included that the TMP would effectively mitigate the project impacts. DDOT staff report generally agreed, but identified enhancements to the TDM plan.

We have coordinated with DDOT on their comments from their staff report. We are pleased to have their support and we believe we have satisfied DDOT's conditions as contained in our response memo submitted today. I'll be happy to answer any questions you might have, but at the moment I'll turn back to Dave Avitabile.

MR. AVITABILE: Thanks a lot. All right, so I will wrap things up by briefly going over information we submitted in the record today in response to the work we've been doing over the last 20 days, the benefits of the project, and then, how we've addressed a number of the concerns in the record.

So, first, in terms of the new information in the record, as we discussed, we submitted the final executed memorandum of understanding with United Neighbors of Southwest, and we've also submitted the updated materials in response to DDOT's report.

2.0

Also in the package of materials submitted today are a series of updated shadow studies that we prepared at the request of the PTA. Among other things, what they do is they include later hours so that the PTA was able to evaluate the shadow impacts.

The end of the day, they have after-school programs that run after 3 o'clock and they wanted to see what the impact would be not only at 3:00, but at the end of that period at 6:00. So, we've done that in working with them.

We've also submitted the photo of materials board, which I think just arrived. So, we'll get that out so that you all can see the materials. We also submitted a survey, which I will come to later.

And finally, we submitted the attached, which is a revised affordable housing program. We originally had submitted an IZ package which had a mix of junior one-bedrooms, one-bedrooms and two-bedroom affordable units.

We've now revised it so that it will consist of three three-bedroom affordable units for families.

We heard from the PTA, the ANC, United Neighbors of Southwest, and the Office of Planning, that there was a desire to see larger units, and we saw an opportunity to do that here.

In addition to those three units, there's an affordable two-bedroom unit and an affordable junior one-

bedroom unit. 1 2 So, this project provides the IZ minimum, in terms 3 of square footage, but then allocates a significant amount of that towards these three-bedroom units. And that is how 4 we're going above and beyond. 5 6 So, that is something I wanted to make sure the 7 Commission was aware of, as a change that we made from what 8 we had previously submitted. 9 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Could you, while you've 10 got that screen up --Mm-hmm. 11 MR. AVITABILE: COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: -- three of those IZ units 12 are over the loading dock. 13 14 MR. AVITABILE: Right. 15 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Any reason why you have three over the loading dock? 16 17 MR. AVITABILE: So, that is where the three-18 bedroom unit could be located as we accommodate into the 19 project. I don't know whether, Shalom, if you or Patrick are 2.0 able to -- Patrick's not here? -- can speak to why that unit was the one that was the most easily adaptable. 21 22 Well, it's just the spans we were MR. BARANES: 23 working with there and the width of the overall leg of the 24 building accommodated those larger units better than

elsewhere.

2.5

COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: It's just unfortunate. 1 2 I just feel that IZ units often have this aura about them 3 that they get put in places that you can't -- want to sell 4 a market, you write unit, and it just bothers me that they're over the loading dock. The loading dock and the axis. 5 6 troublesome, and I wish you could think about it. But when I see it up there, it just -- it's one of those annoying 7 little things that I hate to see. 8 9 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Let me just say this, though, Mr. Turnbull, because I've heard you say that quite a bit and 10 now I'm starting to take notice of it. 11 12 So, I would support that comment, because I think 13 you just stated it almost like last week, if I remember 14 correctly. 15 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Yeah. 16 CHAIRMAN HOOD: But either way, I would support 17 that comment. And we need to see what we can do to stop 18 putting them over the loading dock. I keep hearing that --19 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: 20 CHAIRMAN HOOD: -- and it's starting to ring in 21 my mind now, it's over the loading dock. I understand about 22 the dynamics, but I would ask, as well, that we re-look at 23 that, because I'm going to probably bring that back up, as well. 24

I understand.

MR. AVITABILE:

25

I'll let you --

when we first decided to integrate these into the building, our first thought was to actually put them on the ground floor, so that for families, they would be able to walk out.

The problem was, from the design perspective, you'd have half as many entrances, and it didn't work with the design of the project. That was our initial thought was to actually put them on the ground floor. But from a design perspective, it didn't work. So, that's why we ended up there.

COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay. So, let's memorialize that, and that will give us, as we discuss it, we will revisit that, as well in our deliberations, if we get to that point.

MR. AVITABILE: Okay. All right, so to continue with the public benefits of the project, we go to the next slide.

We've submitted materials, and there are a number of benefits that are part of the project. Many of them are tied to Shakespeare Theatre Company, and they include opportunities for deeply discounted tickets to all of Shakespeare's performances for residents of southwest neighborhood over a period of 20 years. Next slide.

They also include performances -- Shakespeare has touring performances that are aimed at younger audiences that will be brought to the school, as well as an opportunity

partnering with Jefferson Middle School, to bring those students down to Shakespeare's Theater for performances that are tied to a broader educational curriculum where the teachers get professional development workshops.

COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: And those tickets are not discounted. Those are free tickets.

MR. AVITABILE: Those are free tickets.

COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay.

MR. AVITABILE: Those are free tickets, every year for 20 years. Next slide.

And the rest of the benefits are in the package. It includes the public art. We have -- in discussions with the Parent/Teacher Association, we are working to identify benefits for them.

We've identified -- I think we're gravitating around an amount, but what we're working on is figuring out how best to provide that benefit to them in a way that balances the flexibility they need with the clear direction that we've heard from the Commission on Monday night about providing specificity inconsistent with the regulations.

And then, finally, there's the streetscape improvements that we've discussed. Among others, it's in the package. We're going to construct a series of bump-outs along 6th Street, not just in front of our project, but going all the way up from I Street to G Street, which will help

2.5

improve pedestrian safety and slow vehicular traffic along the corridor.

To move along, I think we've spoken to the OP and DDOT issues as we've gone through the presentation. The ANC had three major conditions in its report.

The first was that we work with the Public Space Committee and get approval for a flip. We've done that. The second was that we sign and execute the memorandum of understanding with the United Neighbors of Southwest. We've done that.

Their third and final thing is for us to work with the PTA and get to an agreement with them. And we are in the process of doing that.

You have the commitments that we've made to the United Neighbors of Southwest before you. To summarize them briefly, they include commitments regarding the residential use, that it will be a condominium use, that it will be an owner-occupied condominium use.

Commitments on Shakespeare's uses within the project, including not only what types of uses those will be, but also how long Shakespeare will be at the site.

We have commitments regarding the building design that you see before you this evening, commitments regarding all the benefits. And in addition to the commitment to the benefits, a commitment to provide annual reporting to the ANC

on how those benefits are being implemented, so that the ANC can help make sure that everyone is getting what's been promised.

And then, finally, commitments on mitigation, trash loading, noise, curbside and parking, pets. And then, finally, we've developed а very robust construction management plan that's part of that memorandum of understanding, and that we've agreed to do.

But I have the PTA image up here. And the PTA submitted its testimony in advance and I expect they'll speak later this evening. We will -- we certainly appreciate the issues. We've had a good dialogue over the last few weeks with them, and we will continue to work on their issues.

The things that we've been gravitating towards is discussing how we can best benefit the school through meeting their needs, as well as some of the benefits they describe that will improve educational experience for the students.

We are working on operational good neighbor issues related to the proximity of our building to the playing fields.

We're also integrating additional construction management features, which include, among other things, funding a crossing guard during construction, so that the students are able to safely cross the street to the extent that they need to.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

I think where I wanted to last end, where on some of the issues that Mr. Welles brought up, at least in his party status application that I expect he'll get into later, Mr. Welles seems to focus a lot on a fear that this PUD will create a precedent for rezoning I Street.

And as I think the Commission has often reminded all of us, every PUD stands on its own. It's reviewed based on the facts and circumstances. It does not set a pattern. It does not set precedent. It does not allow the next project to go more easily just because it's happened before.

You take very seriously the fact that you look at the comprehensive plan and the small area plan and the guidance that they provide.

I think the other thing I note is, I think he's mischaracterizing the character of I Street. When you look at the character of I Street -- go to the next slide -- all the way from South Capital over to 7th Street and Main Avenue, this is not just a residential street.

You've got the hotel at South Capital and I Street and the Randall Rec Center. You have the redevelopment of the Randall School, which include a museum, some institutional office and non-profit space and a new large apartment building.

You've got apartments, as well as smaller scale townhouses, all along the corridor a mix of those two.

2.0

You've got institutional uses like Amidon-Bowen, like a number of churches, the Southwest Library, multiple parks, both the duck pond, the park that's in front of the library. And then, as you turn the corner towards Main Avenue, you have, again, a mix of uses.

So, I think our project fits perfectly, then, with that context. It's not just commercial use. notwithstanding what Mr. Welles suggests. There isn't a sewing factory here. You may hear that later. There's a garment shop that's making for Shakespeare costumes productions.

We don't have a dormitory. We have housing for professional actors that are coming to the District of Columbia for production. And then, we have housing for fellows that are specially selected for their first year out of college. First year entering into their professional lives in the theater business.

And I think that's a relatively unique use to have on a site like that. Go to the next slide.

You will hear about this later. This is a survey that we've had for a number of years and Mr. Welles has seen before, as recently as a couple of weeks ago. You can see on here our properly line, the area that's bubbled is the location of a light that is on our property, but it provides light to the soccer field to the south. We will move that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

light -- we've agreed to do that.

To make sure that the field remains lit, we'll work with the PTA and other stakeholders that need to be part of that conversation.

I also note you see at the end of this image there's a shaded area. That's an area where, for reasons that we don't entirely understand, the fence around the school property does not align with the property line. It comes further into the school property.

So, that area right now, even though it's on the school's property, it's kind of basically captured on our side of the fence. And we've agreed to move that fence in at our portion of the property, which will increase the usable area for the school. Go to the next slide.

And I guess that's everything that we have to speak to this evening. The only other thing that I wanted to address, we saw late in the record a one-page email from Mr. Otten, which doesn't really say much of anything, other than I think suggests that there are -- I don't actually have a copy of it. We were on our way over here when we received it.

It has a couple of generalized comments on it. But we would like to look at it and respond in the record in writing after the hearing to the extent there's anything we need to address.

And I think with that I'll end the presentation. And we're happy to answer questions.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. I want to thank everyone for their presentation. Before I go to my colleagues, I have one or two questions I want to ask.

The letter from Mr. Otten is from the DC for Regional Development. It's from the Ward 6 study group. Has the Ward 6 study group been a part of the, I guess, negotiations all this time working with the ANC? Have they been a part? Have they been actively involved?

MR. AVITABILE: I don't know if they're here and I don't want to necessarily speak for them. I do know that some members of that group were at least present at the ANC this last time.

One of those members, not in his capacity, I think, as a member of that group, but otherwise has been involved in some of the discussions we've had with the PTA. And I think it's actually been a good opportunity for us to get to know each other.

I think that's part of the reason why the PTA was pushing strongly for us to integrate some three-bedroom housing units and we responded to that. That's one of the concerns that we've heard that group articulate in any number of PUDs. That all said, there hasn't been a direct engagement with that group.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Well, I will say this again. I've said this in other cases. It seems like the Ward 6 study group, whatever Ward it is, the study group always seems to find a way to get things in at the very last minute.

And I think a lot of times they don't even participate the process. So, this, for me, is going to be further discovery to find out exactly what's going on here, what the agenda is, to make sure that they are working with communities.

Because I'm hearing that some of these groups, they give it to us five minutes to five, they don't work with the residents who've been working on this for, what, two to three years, or however long it's been working on.

It's starting to be very concerning to me. And we're going to do -- I'm going to insist upon further discovery and find out why this keeps continuing to happen like that.

MR. AVITABILE: Chairman Hood, I wanted to just also note -- excuse me -- that, interesting, in the past when they've submitted something, it typically has come from the Ward 6 study group or the Southwest accountability group, and signed by members of the neighborhood with their addresses.

And I did want to note for the record that this was different than that. This was an email from Chris Otten,

2.0

who does not live in Ward 6. He represented that he was doing this on behalf of those groups. But I don't know that there's any evidence in the record of this case that he actually is doing it on -- he may have just, you know --

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.

MR. AVITABILE: I have to say that for the record for later.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right. Thank you. I'm sure he'll clarify, because it says here, he was a co-facilitator. So, I don't know who all was being facilitated to. But I'm sure he will help us clarify the record.

Let me just ask, we dealt with the flip, the sidewalk flip. We dealt with that. There were some issues with the United Neighbors of Southwest about the comprehensive plan. Did that get worked out?

Because when they withdrew their party status request, are those issues are still lingering? Or did you all come to an agreement? Did you all get on the same page in understanding the policies of the comp plan, or are those issues still lingering?

MR. AVITABILE: I don't want to speak for the group. I believe that in coming to an agreement, we all came to an agreement that our project fit within the context, which I think is the overall thrust of the comprehensive plan, is that we fit within the context.

For the record, because we hadn't yet reached an agreement when we filed our pre-hearing submission three weeks ago, we did provide a response to that, so that for the record, you know, there is the counter argument to the positions that they laid out.

But I don't want to speak to them and their position on the comp plan.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: So, I was just wondering if there was an agreement you all came to. Not necessarily you have to agree on the comp plan. Understanding and agree that the comp plan reads the same.

That's kind of where I am. Because about the council hearing imposed, and I wanted to know if some of those things was answered. If not, as we proceed, I would like to make sure that those things are covered.

I'm not going to go over it. It's like four or five different comp plan issues and things that should have happened did not happen. The neighbors for Southwest mentioned, and I know they have an agreement, but I want to make sure those are covered, as well.

The only other thing -- you know what? I'll ask those questions as we move along. Let me see if my colleagues have any questions or comments.

Well, you know what? Since I have it, Ms. Fry, I have a question about the RFP, or the applicant, whoever.

2.0

2.5

1	You all mentioned that you were going to restrict
2	the residents from applying for RFP. How were you going to
3	work that? What were you going to do? How is that going to
4	work? How does that look?
5	MR. AVITABILE: So, what we were going to do is
6	put within the a couple of things. One, we were going to
7	put within the covenant within the condo documents, make it
8	very clear that you're restricted from participating in the
9	program.
10	And then, beyond that, do what we can to work with
11	DDOT to remove this address from the database, so that
12	residents cannot get permit parking, as well. But we'll make
13	it very clear that they're not eligible for the system.
14	CHAIRMAN HOOD: So, it'd be on a lease or
15	something? Or
16	MR. AVITABILE: Well, these will be condos.
17	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Condo. Okay.
18	MR. AVITABILE: So, it will be in the condo
19	documents.
20	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Condo documents.
21	MR. AVITABILE: So, it'll be something that's very
22	clear.
23	CHAIRMAN HOOD: And how is that enforceable? Who
24	will enforce it?
25	MR. AVITABILE: I think that becomes part of the

1	work with DDOT and DMV and
2	CHAIRMAN HOOD: It'll be DMV and it'll be the
3	administrator of the facility
4	MR. AVITABILE: Right.
5	CHAIRMAN HOOD: whoever's handling the condos.
6	So, we want to make sure we have dual enforcement. Because
7	I believe some of the neighborhoods' support came I'll let
8	them speak for themselves, but I see that. And we don't want
9	to put them on promised land because one of the things that
10	I do want to start asking for, I want to we've been
11	talking about that enough that we should have a sound track
12	record to know if this really works.
13	Or are we just talking about it in zoning hearings
14	and ten years later it doesn't work, nobody knows anything
15	about it, everybody has a resident RPP sticker.
16	So, that's something that we need to kind of go
17	down those lines. So, I won't put the onus on you yet, but
18	know that it's coming. Okay?
19	MR. AVITABILE: Understood.
20	CHAIRMAN HOOD: It's coming. All right, let me
21	open up to my colleagues. Any questions or comments? Vice
22	Chair Miller?
23	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Thank you, Mr.
24	Chairman. And thank you for your presentation.
25	And I want to compliment the applicant, and
26	working obviously very hard with the Advisory

Neighborhood Commission 6D and with the United Neighbors for Southwest in reaching, in making all of the changes that, or most of the changes that were requested in reaching this memorandum of agreement.

It's always good to get to a hearing to see a party in opposition have an MOU with the applicant where there seemed so much distance between them 13 months ago whenever we set this down, and maybe it was even a few months ago when you were still working.

But obviously a lot of work has gone into that, and so I compliment the ANC, and the applicant, and the party, United Neighbors of Southwest, and others who may have been involved with that whole effort.

There obviously have been a lot of revisions to the design, and the whole, the townhouse rhythm along 6th Street is to be commended, and the use of materials. It's very attractive.

And the larger family-sized units that the ANC is historically concerned about, you've responded to that by having three three-bedroom units that are part of the affordable IZ units.

2.1

1	And I think you still have a greater
2	square footage of IZ than the minimum is required
3	or no? It's just the minimum?
4	MR. AVITABILE: No, that was one of
5	the changes when we
6	(Simultaneous speaking.)
7	VICE CHAIR MILLER: When you went to
8	the three bedrooms.
9	MR. AVITABILE: to offset that.
10	VICE CHAIR MILLER: So you're now at
11	the minimum square footage in terms of is it
12	eight percent, 10 percent?
13	MR. AVITABILE: It is a zone with
14	either eight percent or 50 percent of the bonus,
15	and
16	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Right.
17	MR. AVITABILE: I can't remember
18	which one. We've always been very close to that
19	trigger. We are in eight percent, eight percent.
20	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Right.
21	MR. AVITABILE: And mind you, that
22	includes the actor housing units as part of the
23	base, even though those units, of course, are not
24	being sold.
25	They are, you know, part of the

Shakespeare pro forma, but the Office of Planning made it very clear that since those units look -and actually the regulations do as well. Since units look and feel like one-bedroom apartment buildings, we should include them as part of the denominator so to speak, so that's --VICE CHAIR MILLER: So those 36 units, 18 for the actors and 18 for the fellows, they're subsidized and there will be no cost to those actors or fellows for the --MR. AVITABILE: Correct. VICE CHAIR MILLER: 20-year commitment that you're making that there will be Correct. MR. AVITABILE: VICE CHAIR MILLER: -- housing for the actors and the --MR. AVITABILE: The fellows. -- fellows, and VICE CHAIR MILLER: that will somehow be memorialized in addition to -- I think I saw it in the MOU, but that will somehow be memorialized in a condition of the PUD as well? MR. AVITABILE: Yes, yes, I think the intent is the language, and obviously we'll have

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

to work with Mr. Tondro and Mr. Ritting on this, but the terms of the MOU to basically integrate those to the maximum extent we can as the conditions of the zoning order.

I think we tried to structure them in a way that they fit within the bounds and parameters of what you all do, and we would certainly be willing to proffer them all as conditions.

VICE CHAIR MILLER: Right, and I see that there are references to specifically the Shakespeare Theatre in the MOU.

I think in past cases, we've gotten advice from counsel that in a condition of the PUD, we have to say something like theatrical or related, or actor housing, and not make it specific to a user, but you have the separate agreement, but I'll let you work that out with our counsel.

MR. AVITABILE: Right, all I'll say is I had a case back before the BZA a few months ago which involved the Children's National Medical Center going to the Takoma Theatre, and it was very important to that ANC that it was Children's National and not anyone else, and I believe OAG

2.1

said, "Look, if we're willing to proffer it, then at least the BZA could accept it," but we'll continue to work on that.

VICE CHAIR MILLER: Well, yeah, so, yeah, if that satisfies, that would be good, so we'll see where we get to with that.

MR. AVITABILE: Right.

VICE CHAIR MILLER: So the 36 units are going to be just offered for free as part of your program to the actors and fellows. Is there a way -- so they're obviously --

(Simultaneous speaking.)

VICE CHAIR MILLER: From the city's perspective, there's no income requirement. Is there a way that they could be categorized as affordable dwelling units from the city's perspective and as a condition in our PUD so that it actually is memorialized to be what it is, which is there are more affordable units than just the five --

MR. AVITABILE: Right.

VICE CHAIR MILLER: -- which most of the five have mostly family size, but there's the 36 that are clearly affordable too to people who are living in the District of Columbia for 20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

years?

2.1

MR. AVITABILE: So I think a couple of clarifications might help. So the fellows' housing, we actually, it's designed and structured as single room occupancy housing, so that's actually exempt from IZ. So it's only the actor housing units, the apartments that count towards the denominator. The actor housing --

VICE CHAIR MILLER: But are you proffering the SRO as a public benefit as well?

MR. AVITABILE: I think we think providing housing opportunities in the District of Columbia co-located the facility for these fellows who come into the District --

VICE CHAIR MILLER: I think so too.

MR. AVITABILE: -- is a benefit, and a number of them -- and Chris Jennings is the executive director of Shakespeare is here, along with Neal --

MR. RACIOPPO: Racioppo.

MR. AVITABILE: -- Racioppo, thank you. I'm Italian. I should be able to get that, right -- are both here who can speak a little bit more to those particular programs, but we certainly do think that those are benefits.

1 Many of the fellows go on either at 2 Shakespeare or other theatre organizations here 3 in the District and contribute to the cultural 4 vibrancy of the city. 5 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yeah. 6 MR. AVITABILE: But, you know --7 VICE CHAIR MILLER: So, I mean, to the 8 extent can capture that into the whole we 9 balancing of the benefits that are being balanced 10 against the extra, then the mitigations that are here, that would be good to capture that. 11 12 So you're working on a MOA separately 13 with Amidon-Bowen, and we should expect hopefully 14 to see that sometime before we take proposed 15 action, I suppose? 16 MR. AVITABILE: That's right. Well, the ANC would like us to have it wrapped up 17 18 within 30 days of tonight, and I think that's 19 achievable. 20 We just met on Monday night and had a 2.1 long conversation. Actually as we were meeting, 22 you all were having your hearing on their other 23 benefits, so we were all able to together live 24 caucus about that as well.

But I think we're close.

25

T think

we've fully fleshed out the issues. We've talked 1 2 through a lot of the ways in which we're going to 3 address them, and really we just need to reduce 4 that to paper. So we'll look 5 VICE CHAIR MILLER: 6 forward to seeing that MOU or MOA and also at 7 some point the quantification of all of those 8 other specification, as you mentioned, of all of those other public benefits, the tickets 9 10 everything, and how we can quantify that, working with counsel to get that. 11 12 MR. AVITABILE: Right, we've started 13 It's pretty high when you doing the math on it. 14 take the amount of those values and you multiply 15 them over 20 years. It's well north of million. 16 17 VICE CHAIR MILLER: \$2 million? 18 MR. AVITABILE: Yeah. 19 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Okay, so I think 20 I've covered that, at least for now. 2.1 The offsite parking spaces that you 22 expect to have at Arena Stage, the 15 of the 55, 23 which will be for Shakespeare employees, I think

you said, do you have an agreement with Arena

Stage for their parking, or is something in the

24

1 works, or --2 (Simultaneous speaking.) 3 Neal Racioppo with the MR. RACIOPPO: 4 Shakespeare Theatre Company. We are 5 discussions with Arena Stage and a representative 6 is here tonight to speak to that, and we are 7 fully prepared to work with them to solidify that, and if it's not something we're able to do, 8 9 elsewhere in the we're prepared to go 10 neighborhood. 11 VICE CHAIR MILLER: So we may 12 something that memorializes that or somewhere else? 13 14 MR. RACIOPPO: Correct. 15 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Even though I think you're above the minimum that's --16 17 MR. RACIOPPO: Right. 18 VICE CHAIR MILLER: -- required in 19 this particular area. 20 MR. AVITABILE: Correct, this 2.1 about, I think, addressing a neighborhood concern 22 of making sure we're providing enough practical 23 parking. 24 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Right, and I'd be 25 remiss if I didn't also -- I forgot to commend

1	you on the sustainable urban design elements, the
2	LEED Gold and the solar panels on behalf of
3	our Commissioner who's not there that's
4	providing one percent, I think you said, of the
5	energy there. That's all to be commended.
6	So there really are a lot of benefits,
7	and amenities, and attractiveness to this
8	project, certainly much more attractive than the
9	vacancy that's how long has it been vacant,
10	the site? And it was
11	And even it's certainly much more
12	attractive than the building that was there for
13	many years.
14	MR. AVITABILE: Well, beauty is in the
15	eye of the beholder, I suppose, but I think it's
16	been vacant
17	VICE CHAIR MILLER: I think there's
18	pretty much consensus on it.
19	(Laughter.)
20	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Maybe not as bad
21	as the FBI.
22	MR. AVITABILE: Yeah.
23	COMMISSIONER MAY: Do you want me to
24	weigh in on that one?
25	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yeah, I always

1 respect you. 2 COMMISSIONER MAY: It didn't bother 3 mean, I thought it was a kind of me. Ι 4 interesting building. It was a period piece. 5 VICE CHAIR MILLER: There you go. AVITABILE: I think it's been 6 MR. 7 vacant for about four or five years at this point if I recall correctly, right? 8 Yes. 9 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Okay, well, again, 10 commend everybody for working together and continuing to work together as we go forward, and 11 12 that's all I have right now, Mr. Chairman. 13 you. 14 CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right, thank you 15 Commissioner Turnbull? 16 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Thank you, Mr. 17 Chair. I would echo the Vice-Chair's comments, I 18 think. I compliment the applicant and the 19 neighbors, UNSW, the ANC, and all of the others 20 that worked on this project. I think it was a 2.1 well-conceived and well-executed combination of 22 people and things happening, and I think 23 really works out well. compliment Mr. 24 And I Baranes on

well-designed building. I think

25

it's

1	thoughtful. It's innovative. I think the scale,
2	the color, the detailing shows the depth of his
3	abilities, so I think it's a well-defined
4	building.
5	It's an elegant building for this area
6	and I think it really fits in well. I really
7	have no issues with it at all, and I think it's -
8	_
9	My only question, you talked about the
10	street lighting. I didn't see any street
11	lighting. Is that you didn't show it, but
12	it's the standard Washington
13	MR. BARANES: Yes.
14	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: twins or
15	MR. BARANES: It's the single
16	Washington globe lights.
17	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Single
18	Washington globe, okay.
19	MR. BARANES: Yeah.
20	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: The only issue
21	really that I had, as I mentioned earlier, were
22	the IZ units. And maybe, Ms. Fry, you have
23	the transportation screens are in both lobbies of
24	both buildings?
25	MS. FRY: Yes, that's correct.

COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay, 1 2 The only other thing, the solar, right, good. 3 you have up on the top, the penthouse, there is a 4 screen going around. It's a glass screen? 5 MR. BARANES: Correct. 6 Okay, it was COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: 7 hard to read it. At first, I thought there 8 wasn't anything there at all, but, and then I 9 saw, I looked at another view and I saw the 10 screens, so. 11 MR. BARANES: Yeah. 12 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: And it's sedum around the --13 14 MR. BARANES: That's right, on the 15 green, on the other portion of the roof. COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: 16 Yeah, okay, 17 all right. Other than that, I really have no 18 real issues with this. Again, echoing the Vice 19 Chair's earlier comments, I think it's a well-20 designed building and you've worked well with the 2.1 community, and I compliment you on that. 22 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you. 23 Commissioner May? 24 COMMISSIONER MAY: Thanks, so one 25 thing I'll say up front, and I'm usually not very positive at the very beginning like this, but I will say that it's a very positive development, the way this has come along from where it was.

So this is yet another project that is, like, on my route to work, so I see it every day, and for five years, saw what was happening with it and what was happening in the neighborhood, and the signs in the yards and everything else.

I mean, it was kind of hard to avoid it, so I knew it was highly controversial, and then it came to us, and we had our own discomfort about it, and, I mean, it has come a long way from that point.

And of course we're going to hear from everybody in the room tonight to understand whether it has come far enough for us to make a decision about it, but I think there certainly has been substantial progress and I'm very pleased to see that that has happened.

Because I was fully expecting the room to be filled with people with t-shirts, and buttons, and signs, and stuff, and that hasn't happened, so that's, I think that's a positive so far. So, all right, that's it for the positive

2.1

1 stuff. 2 (Laughter.) 3 COMMISSIONER MAY: I do have a few 4 small questions. The evolution of the project, 5 how much did the FAR change from start to finish? 6 Because, you know, certainly things got squished, 7 and pushed, and such, but how much FAR was lost? 8 MR. AVITABILE: I mean, the original, 9 if you're going all the way back to the very 10 beginning, the original project was seeking 11 whatever the old SP zone was. It was well north 12 of a 4 FAR, maybe four and a half. Was it as 13 high as a six? Yeah, 4.8. Right, so it was at a 14 It's now down to a 2.8. 15 COMMISSIONER MAY: It went from 4.8 to 16 2.8, okay. 17 MR. AVITABILE: Yes, in that original 18 version that Shalom had up, at one point it was 19 as high as 90 feet --20 COMMISSIONER MAY: Right. 2.1 MR. AVITABILE: -- and it's now down 22 to 50 feet. 23 COMMISSIONER MAY: Right, and was --

MR. AVITABILE: Less than 50.

COMMISSIONER MAY: Was much gained by

24

1 pushing some of that program into the ground or 2 was it all --3 MR. BARANES: We significantly reduced 4 the number of units. 5 COMMISSIONER MAY: Yeah, well, no, I 6 mean, that's obvious, but I wonder, you know, 7 whether you went deeper as well. I see a head 8 shaking no. 9 MR. BURKHART: Good evening. 10 is Patrick Burkhart. I'm an architect with Shalom Baranes Associates. 11 12 Initially, we had three levels of below grade space in the very first study that we 13 14 did, and at this point, we've eliminated two of 15 those below grade levels so that we have just 16 one. 17 And in terms of number of units, we 18 initially had up to 177 units, including the 19 Shakespeare component. We're now at 100, so that 20 gives you kind of a percentage of drop. 2.1 COMMISSIONER MAY: Thank you. very helpful. So what's the height of 22 the 23 building now compared to what the height was of 24 Southeastern University?

MR. BURKHART: My recollection is the

Southeast University height was a little bit less than 30 feet. It had two academic floors, so I think it was like 29 feet probably. Our building height is 48 feet with the main building and 48 feet two inches with the annex, both of which measurements include the parapet.

COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay, and just to

COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay, and just to clarify, the extent of the sidewalk flip was just pushing the sidewalk out to the curb and having the trees in the front yard?

MR. BURKHART: That's correct.

COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay, so the solar panels, it's a little bit unclear to me. Maybe it's in a drawing that I missed, but they're sat at an angle on frames, right? So are they surrounded by a parapet around that penthouse or are they completely on top of it, and therefore potentially something that could be seen?

MR. BURKHART: They're sitting on top of the penthouse, the height of which is 11 feet, and we have a four-foot glass guardrail around the solar panel farm for safety purposes.

COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay.

MR. BURKHART: So I think that from long distance views, you may be able to see them,

2.1

but within I would say the immediate block, you 1 2 probably would not. 3 And they're in the MR. AVITABILE: 4 model. 5 MR. BURKHART: Correct. So to the extent that 6 MR. AVITABILE: 7 you would see them, you would see them in the 8 renderings that we have provided. 9 COMMISSIONER MAY: Right, but we don't 10 always get the longer views where it would be. 11 mean, you know, it's clear from sort of the 12 section that you're not going to see it across the street, but you might see it down I 13 14 Street or something like that. 15 And the reason I ask is that, you 16 know, they're not really that attractive, right? 17 you don't want to have the top of 18 beautiful building junked up with, you know, the 19 zigzag of solar panels, right? 20 MR. BURKHART: Right, we've set them back for that reason. 2.1 22 COMMISSIONER MAY: Yeah. 23 Yeah. MR. BURKHART: 24 COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay, did you 25 actually look at doing more of that? Because

we've been pushing lately for folks to put solar panels on top of their green roofs, and we're told that that's possible. Did you look at that question?

MR. BURKHART: Yes, we actually did. We have a type of solar panel that does allow green roof area underneath it, and the height of the panels from the structure of the roof is 42 inches in terms of scale.

In terms of the number of solar panels, you know, it's always an initial investment issue and one has to deal with the long-term pro forma on it.

At the time we made this decision, which was actually about two and a half, three years ago, the number we came up with, the one percent of the annual building energy use is what we targeted.

You know, it may be something that we can look at because the price of the panels have come down a bit, you know, so it's kind of an ongoing thing, but we do have a certain budget number that was worked towards to make the project feasible.

COMMISSIONER MAY: Right.

2.1

MR. AVITABILE: I'll also add that one of the major concessions we made with the United Neighbors of Southwest was to remove the habitable penthouse, and that was partly -- I mean, it was very strongly that -- by pulling the penthouse as far south as possible.

They did not want to see really anything encroaching back beyond the point where we have that. The stair tower and the elevator were accepted as things that had to be there, but beyond that --

So I guess that would be the other concern about adding more solar on that lower roof would be, how it would be perceived and felt by others in the room.

COMMISSIONER MAY: Right, well, certainly I'm not going to -- I mean, I just started out the conversation by complaining about what these things look like, you know, when you can see them, so I certainly don't want to do it to the point of them being visible.

I'm also not, you know, totally convinced that they have to be angled at that ideal angle, and I think that you might get more bang for your buck if you had more of them and

2.1

1 they were laying flat or, you know, tilted less. 2 Certainly there are many deployed 3 around the city that are either virtually flat or 4 at a very shallow angle, and they produce quite a 5 bit, so. 6 I think in this case, MR. BURKHART: 7 in to have the green roof survive underneath it though, the flatter the panel, the 8 9 more shadow you put. COMMISSIONER MAY: 10 Yeah, and I agree, 11 and that's --12 MR. BURKHART: So it's tricky а balance. 13 14 COMMISSIONER MAY: That's one of the 15 reasons why I'm asking some of these questions is to understand why on some projects they walk in 16 17 the door with these, you know, with grass or with 18 sedum growing underneath the solar panels, and on 19 other ones, it's like, "Oh, you know, oh, no, we 20 could never do that. It would never work." 2.1 you know, we're not the experts. We're trying to 22 understand, I think, a little bit about what the 23 ins and outs are, so I appreciate that. 24 There а view of the second was

building that was from the north side, and that

an interesting view, and it made it clear 1 2 that the building looked like it fit well in the 3 neighborhood. Oh, yeah, so this too. 4 So, I mean, what space is this? Is this a common space for the townhouses to 5 north or whatever that is? 6 7 MR. BARANES: We're looking at the north elevation of 8 the artists, actors, and 9 fellows' housing. Right, but --10 COMMISSIONER MAY: And these are units. 11 MR. BARANES: 12 COMMISSIONER MAY: But where standing when I'm looking at it? 13 Am I standing 14 in public space or --15 MR. AVITABILE: This is a common area 16 in front. Those three and four-story are 17 apartments that extend kind of perpendicular to 18 the street going north, and this is, I think, 19 Ι think there's green space, and 20 parking there as well. 2.1 COMMISSIONER MAY: There's a parking 22 lot to the north of that. 23 Right, right. MR. AVITABILE: 24 COMMISSIONER MAY: I mean, I could see 25 that in one of the plans or whatever.

1 MR. AVITABILE: Right, so that's what 2 this is. 3 COMMISSIONER MAY: Right. 4 MR. AVITABILE: It's that --5 COMMISSIONER MAY: So, I mean, people will actually see this view. 6 There may not be 7 quite as many people around in it, but, okay. is pretty clear that the design 8 it 9 sensitive to that context. 10 Oh, and I do appreciate the fact that there is substantial housing for actors. 11 12 say that where I live right now, we lived next 13 door to an apartment building that was rented to 14 necessarily for the Shakespeare not 15 Theatre, but any number. I don't know what it 16 was. 17 The who owned the building woman 18 really liked the actors who would come in, and 19 sometimes they would be there for short periods 20 and sometimes some of them were there for a good, 2.1 long period. We made friends with some of them and 22 23 they were always really great neighbors. 24 know, they kept some different hours, I think,

but, you know, they weren't loud.

25

They weren't

1	crazy even though it was a four-unit apartment
2	building in a rowhouse neighborhood.
3	So hopefully they will behave
4	similarly in this circumstance, but it's good to
5	see that that's being accounted for and I think
6	it's a very positive contribution.
7	The last thing is the penthouses
8	themselves. So the view that we see here, that
9	penthouse is a lighter, sort of a light gray. Is
10	that which metal is that?
11	MR. BURKHART: It is the lighter one.
12	COMMISSIONER MAY: It's the lighter
13	one?
14	MR. BURKHART: Yes.
15	COMMISSIONER MAY: So have you not
16	been here before when I've lectured on the value
17	of darker penthouse colors rather than lighter
18	ones?
19	MR. BURKHART: I have not.
20	COMMISSIONER MAY: You have not, wow.
21	You've been spared.
22	(Laughter.)
23	COMMISSIONER MAY: I am strongly of
24	the opinion that darker penthouses tend to recede
25	more than lighter ones, and it seems

counterintuitive because it's against the sky, 1 2 but it's not really the case, and so. 3 may disagree. Now, you You're 4 certainly free to disagree, but I think that it's 5 something I would like you to look at because, I mean, it's been a long time since I've had to 6 7 give this lecture. So I think it's worth taking a look at because, again --8 9 And I even convinced at least one of 10 my fellow commissioners who is no longer on the Commission that that was correct. 11 She spent a 12 lot of time looking at penthouses as a result of that discussion. So if I convinced her, maybe, I 13 14 don't know, take a look at it. 15 MR. BURKHART: All right. 16 COMMISSIONER MAY: Thank you. 17 VICE CHAIR MILLER: You should make a 18 recording of that. 19 (Laughter.) 20 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, Commissioner 2.1 May, I mean, Vice Chair Miller, you had a follow 22 up? 23 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Maybe I'll wait 24 until after the Office of Planning. It was going 25 the comprehensive plan, be about

1 appreciate all of the -- I was just going to say 2 that I appreciate --3 I look forward to hearing from the 4 United Neighbors of Southwest about 5 they're satisfied about the project fitting into the context now that all of these other benefits, 6 7 and mitigations, and design changes have been 8 made. 9 appreciate all of the But Ι applicant 10 comprehensive analysis that the 11 the Office of provided and that Planning 12 provided, which I think addressed many, 13 of that had all, the issues been raised 14 previously by the party in opposition and maybe 15 others filing. So I read through a lot of information 16 17 it was very comprehensive, both by the 18 applicant and by OP, so I appreciate that. 19 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, Commissioner 20 May? 2.1 COMMISSIONER MAY: Yeah, sorry, 22 forgot one thing, so, and maybe Ms. Fry 23 answer this question. I'm wondering about the 24 small island in Ι Street at 5th which

personally regard as more of a hazard than a

1	benefit because, again, this is my route to work.
2	And I'm riding my bike down the bike
3	lane, and the road gets, you know, squeezes down
4	there, and the parking lane squeezes down there,
5	and cars don't always pay attention to that and
6	they'll park there, and so things get a lot
7	tighter there than they need to.
8	And I'm wondering if that's one of the
9	hazardous conditions for pedestrians that might
10	have come up in discussions with the neighbors,
11	with the PTA, or with DDOT? And I'm going to ask
12	DDOT the same question.
13	MS. FRY: Yeah, that didn't come up in
14	any of our conversations with the community, but,
15	yeah, other than that, I would defer to DDOT.
16	COMMISSIONER MAY: Yeah, and you don't
17	have an opinion? You haven't looked at the
18	question of whether it's a good thing or a bad
19	thing?
20	MS. FRY: I'll defer to DDOT. No, I
21	assume it was
22	COMMISSIONER MAY: You're learning
23	about
24	(Laughter.)
25	MS. FRY: I assume it's

1	COMMISSIONER MAY: how to testify
2	well.
3	MS. FRY: there to provide a
4	pedestrian refuge at the crosswalk there.
5	COMMISSIONER MAY: Yeah.
6	MS. FRY: I know it was added to
7	provide I believe it was added to provide
8	access to the bus stop there.
9	COMMISSIONER MAY: Yeah, I mean, I can
10	understand that purpose and, you know, maybe it
11	does outweigh the danger that it creates by
12	MS. FRY: Yeah.
13	COMMISSIONER MAY: narrowing.
14	MS. FRY: Yeah, I'm not sure, and
15	again, DDOT may be able to weigh in on this more
16	on why it was added there. I'm not sure if it's
17	also meant to deter certain turning maneuvers. I
18	think it does stick out into that street a little
19	ways, so.
20	COMMISSIONER MAY: Yeah, yeah, well,
21	I mean, there's still a fair number of crazy turn
22	maneuvers that happen
23	MS. FRY: Certainly.
24	COMMISSIONER MAY: there, and I've
25	seen a few. So, all right, we'll take it up with

1	DDOT. Thank you.
2	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: So
3	Commissioner May, you got a standard VanPelt
4	answer.
5	COMMISSIONER MAY: A very politic
6	answer, yeah.
7	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: She's learning
8	well.
9	COMMISSIONER MAY: Yeah.
10	(Laughter.)
11	COMMISSIONER MAY: Well, she, you know
12	never mind. I'll leave it.
13	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, any other
14	comments or questions? All right, let's go to
15	the ANC. Do you have any cross examination? Any
16	cross of anything you've heard? Do you have any
17	questions? Okay, yeah, that's going to come in
18	at another time, so, okay, all right, no cross.
19	All right, let's go to the Office of
20	Planning and DDOT at this time, Mr. Cochran and
21	then Mr. Zimmerman.
22	MR. COCHRAN: Okay, thank you, Mr.
23	Chair. As you've heard well, first off, OP
24	recommends that you approve this planned unit
25	development and the related map amendment from R3

to MU4.

2.1

And as you've heard, it's a product of considerable consultation over several years by the applicant with the ANC, with the United Neighbors of Southwest, certainly with OP, and even by OP with about 12 different district agencies that we consulted with, and some of those are included at the end of our report.

They've made considerable contributions to improving the safety of the area, the comfort for future pedestrians, pushing hard for the LEED Gold, and it's just been very rewarding to be working with those other agencies.

But really most importantly, this PUD is not inconsistent with the comprehensive plan. When you look at the policy map, you see that about 20 percent of the site is in a neighborhood conservation area and 80 percent is designated for an institutional use.

Sometimes there's a slight misunderstanding on what a neighborhood conservation area is. It's a neighborhood. It's not just the immediate surrounding area.

And in this neighborhood conservation

area, we're looking at basically the entire Southwest urban renewal area with the exception of the Wharf and the old footprint of the Waterfront, what was it called, the Waterfront shopping center, the enclosed shopping mall there, and then the government-owned land that's kind of east of Delaware Avenue and west of South Capitol Street, so there's a lot of variety in that neighborhood.

You've got the three-story townhouses just to the north. You have the four-story apartment buildings to the north, but you also have nine and 10-story apartment buildings within a block or two, several of those.

You have some low density commercial uses, not just on 4th Street, but also on M Street, and soon to be on the very west end of I Street. You've got cultural uses like the other, the churches, the Blind Whino. You've got a hotel there. So all in all, this does seem like it would fit within, the proposal would fit within the concept of a neighborhood conservation area.

As far as institutional uses goes, the comprehensive plan, section, let's see, what is

2.1

it, sorry, it's section 226 of the framework element. It gives you all some guidance on how you're supposed to evaluate a proposal for the reuse of a site that's no longer an institutional use, which it isn't.

It says that if a change in use occurs, the new designations should be comparable in density or intensity to those in the vicinity.

So we're looking at a project that would be 87 percent residential and 13 percent basically culturally support facilities. It comes out to a four point, excuse me, sorry, a 2.8 or 2.87 FAR. That fits within a moderate density FAR and a low density non-residential FAR.

So that seems to be congruent with the notion of what should happen with institutional site when it. is no longer institutional use. And I'm trying to go quickly, but we did cover that in more detail in our report.

The small area plan, this project was probably first a gleam in someone's eye before the small area plan was started, and it was a very different project as Mr. Baranes discussed

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

then, but the small area plan didn't make a recommendation on it.

It specifically stated that it wasn't recommendation for land designation, that changes for the site until further outreach efforts could be conducted by the Shakespeare Theatre Company and its development address partners to community concerns would be inappropriate.

It did note, however, that a culture use for the site was preferred going forward, and that same small area plan said that I Street itself is supposed to be a cultural corridor all the way from the Blind Whino at the east end onto some of the uses at the west end, and Shakespeare fits right into that and the rest of the small area plan.

With respect to the written elements, I really don't think you want me to go through the 217 written elements that the applicant cited in their report. I would just be happy to note that the of most important one these is neighborhood infill, which is land use policy 1.4.

They're bringing home ownership into

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

this market, both for the market rate units and They're bringing family oriented units for IZ. They have three three-bedroom units. That in. be consistent with the notion appropriate neighborhood infill in an area like this. And then it of course meets the It balances general purposes of a PUD. the

And then it of course meets the general purposes of a PUD. It balances the flexibility that's being requested with respect to zoning with a quality designed project which has a considerable number of benefits.

Not all of these benefits, admittedly, are proffers. Some of them are required by an earlier agreement that the applicant had, but they do all seem to qualify as public benefits and they're considerable.

That really covers pretty much everything I wanted to cover now, but I'm of course open to questions.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you, Mr. Cochran. Let's go to Mr. Zimmerman and then we'll come back for questions.

MR. ZIMMERMAN: Good evening, Chairman Hood and Commissioners. For the record, Aaron Zimmerman with the District Department of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

Transportation.

2.1

As you heard in Ms. Fry's presentation, the applicant has addressed all of the comments in the DDOT staff report dated March 18, 2019, and has come to an agreement with us on all of the conditions.

These conditions include the implementation of an enhanced TDM plan that encourages non-automotive travel to the site, as well as a parking and loading management plan which were proposed by the applicant to address community concerns.

So with all of the conditions included in the final zoning order as memorialized in the March 27, 2019 Gorove/Slade response to DDOT memo, Exhibit 52A on the record, DDOT has no objection to the approval of this consolidated planned unit development and related map amendment application. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you both. Let me see if there are any questions for either the Office of Planning or DDOT. Commissioner May?

COMMISSIONER MAY: So, Mr. Zimmerman, do you want to take on that island issue?

MR. ZIMMERMAN: Sure, yes, so that was

installed as part of our Safe Routes to School program because I Street is actually pretty wide when you take a look at the cross section, two lanes of parking, two bike lanes, two lanes of travel, and then some leftover space in middle, that was installed to shorten so the crossing distance across Ι Street for people walking to the school.

COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay, so has there been any examination of it since it was installed to make sure that it's actually doing the job? I mean, has there been a reduction in incidents or is that anything you track?

MR. ZIMMERMAN: I haven't seen any statistics that directly answers that question.

COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay, all right, well, I mean, I don't know if anybody in the audience will have anything to say about that. It just seemed like an anomalous circumstance.

And I think one of the things that runs contrary to sort of the safety element is the fact that people park beyond where the parking is allowed, which cuts into the bike lane, and then of course there are a fair number of trucks that go down I Street, and the lane

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

narrows just enough that it also pinches the bike 1 2 lane a little bit. 3 Ι I've never really had mean, 4 serious problem there, but it does seem like it's 5 little bit questionable whether it's really 6 job. Maybe it's wonderful doing the and 7 everybody loves it, in which case I'll just shut 8 up. 9 MR. ZIMMERMAN: Yeah, I know we really 10 focus on, you know, on anything we can do to make it safer to walk to and from school. 11 12 COMMISSIONER MAY: Absolutely. 13 MR. ZIMMERMAN: And I can, you know, 14 go back to the office tomorrow and chat with our 15 planners and just see what --16 COMMISSIONER MAY: mean, if it Ι 17 come up, if it wasn't issue that an 18 somebody else raised, I don't think we need to 19 investigate it any further, but I just thought I 20 would mention it to you and explore it, but we'll 2.1 see if anybody else has anything to say. 22 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, I want to thank 23 you, Mr. Cochran. I think you've answered a lot 24 of the comprehensive plan questions both in your 25 report and verbally, so I think I am satisfied with your responses.

2.1

Mr. Zimmerman, two questions. We've been doing this not being able to get an RPP for a long time, and so I think we have enough now where we can kind of look at the track record and see if it's really working.

So I would ask if that information is available, let's try to see if it's available. Let's see if it works. You know, I know what's being asked here, but if you can come back in this case and let me know what our track record has been and actually does it really work?

And the other question I have, and I'm not trying to be hard on bicyclists, but I'm just curious, are they supposed to stop at stop signs and traffic lights?

MR. ZIMMERMAN: Absolutely, yes.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, all right.

(Laughter.)

CHAIRMAN HOOD: That's all I wanted to know. I've been wanting to ask that for a while, but the last time I said something about bicyclists, there was a petition. The Chairman of the Zoning Commissioner was backwards thinking and I just asked. I can't ask questions.

What was it, 10 bags of groceries on 1 2 the bicycles? I don't want to bring that back 3 I look at that petition every so often, so, 4 anyway. 5 COMMISSIONER MAY: Yeah, I max out at about three, maybe four. 6 7 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Three bags? Okay, 8 yeah. 9 COMMISSIONER MAY: But there are 10 plenty of people who do a lot more. 11 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, I'm not going to 12 go down that line anymore. So thank you, Mr. 13 Any other questions or comments for Zimmerman. 14 the Office of Planning or DDOT? Okay, I'm not 15 hearing any. 16 Does the ANC --I mean, does the 17 applicant have any cross? Does the ANC have any 18 cross of either the Office of Planning or DDOT? 19 If you could come forward, Ms. Fast, 20 and we'll find a seat for you. You can turn your 2.1 identify yourself, and the floor mic on, 22 yours. 23 MS. FAST: Gail Fast, I'm the Chair of 24 ANC 6D and also the single member district that 25 this project is located in.

1 So my question is for DDOT, and Aaron 2 knows that I'm going ask this, and it has to do 3 with Commissioner May's question about the median 4 that is in there. Is there any reason why there 5 is not a school zone sign for that? I think that 6 is part of the problem with the median. 7 And we've asked Safe Schools and Safe 8 Routes, and I was told that it is enough that 9 there are signs on the perimeter of the property, 10 meaning on 6th Street buried by a tree and on 4th Street buried by a tree, but you would think that 11 12 Safe Routes would want the people that driving past the entrance to the school to know 13 that that should be a school zone 15 mile an hour 14 15 speed limit. 16 Is there any reason why not? I can't 17 answer from DDOT. You're here. 18 asking you now. 19 I'll go back and get MR. ZIMMERMAN: 20 you an answer on that. I don't have an answer 2.1 for you tonight on that, but --22 MS. FAST: Okay. 23 MR. ZIMMERMAN: I'll get you an answer 24 and personally respond to you.

MS. FAST: All right, well, as part of

the curb site management plan, as you'll hear in 1 2 the report, we really feel that a 15 hour speed 3 limit sign on that street is appropriate and ask 4 that you take that back to Safe Routes. 5 MR. ZIMMERMAN: Will do. COMMISSIONER MAY: And that applies to 6 7 bicycles too, right, 15 miles an hour? (Laughter.) 8 9 CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right, thank you. 10 We will call you back. Hold on a second. Did you bring your testimony forward with you? Okay, 11 well, you can come on back. So do we have any 12 other reports? I know we've had DDOT. Are there 13 14 any other reports that I may have missed? 15 Okay, so now we will go to the ANC. 16 Ms. Fast, Chairperson Fast, you may begin. 17 MS. FAST: Do you want to 18 reintroduce myself? 19 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes, yes, if you don't 20 even though I've called your name maybe 2.1 about four or five times. 22 MS. FAST: That's okay. Gail Fast, 23 I'm the Chair of the ANC 6D and also the single 24 member commissioner for this project. 25 So good evening, Chairman Hood and fellow Commissioners. As I said, I'm Gail Fast, Chair of ANC 6D and the single member district commissioner for this development project.

First of all, let me say if you had asked me five years ago if we would be here today in support of Zoning 17-21, I probably would have called you all crazy, but we've come a long way.

And it was through tough negotiations and many compromises by both the applicant and the Southwest community that I sit before you would if today, and Ι be remiss Ι didn't acknowledge all of the hard work of my Southwest including the United Neighbors of neighbors, Southwest and the PTA and Amidon-Bowen.

As I've said in my report, it was not Councilman Allen's office, nor the Office of Planning, but the ANC, the United Neighbors of Southwest, more recently the PTA and the applicant who have spent the last two and a half years in constant negotiations, and believe me, these were tough.

The applicant went back to the drawing board, as you've seen, numerous times to show different iterations of the proposed design, and the United Neighbors of Southwest stayed the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

course, continuing to push the applicant to bring the Mendelson down to where it is today. To that end, ANC 6D is pleased with what has been proposed by the applicant.

As far as the building design, in one of the ANC meetings with the applicant, we told them that their current design really didn't exemplify the fact that they were touting to the Southwest community that they were a theatrical arts community.

Their design lacked, for a better word, pizzazz. It was dull and boring. No one would walk by it and say, "Wow, the Shakespeare Theatre is here."

The ANC is pleased that their redesign incorporates art panels along I Street as well as, I don't know if you know this, they've proposed a mural on the east side of the annex.

The ANC is also pleased that the applicant heard the neighbors and have incorporated that contextual design of townhouse rhythm and ground floor walkout units, and a flip of that 6th Street sidewalk and tree area to match the sidewalk and planting area layout that is both on the west and east sides of 6th Street.

2.1

This new design reflects the urban renewal design of the surrounding townhouses, and for the first time fits into the neighborhood, and thankfully it was approved this morning at the Public Space Commission hearing.

As far as affordable housing, the ANC is particularly pleased that the applicant is including those three three-bedroom units in its affordable housing mix. The ANC has long advocated for affordable housing designed to attract families to Southwest.

With its close proximity to both Amidon-Bowen and Jefferson Middle Schools, we think this project will be attractive to bringing new families to Southwest home ownership.

Building a community and sustaining it requires investment by the people who live there. The ANC was excited when Erkiletian converted the residential portion of the project to for sale units, and we also appreciate Erkiletian's commitment that no less than 90 percent initially and 80 percent thereafter of the condominium units will be owner occupied. Communities are not built on Airbnb.

For the Shakespeare Theatre, in 2014,

2.1

an agreement between the Southwest Neighborhood Assembly or SWNA and the Shakespeare Theatre was signed to provide certain benefits and amenities to the Southwest community upon approval of the PUD and/or completion of the proposed development.

Honestly, Shakespeare did not live up to its end of the agreement. Free tickets, scholarships for Camp Shakespeare, even advertising in the Southwest newspaper never really came to fruition.

ANC 6D is pleased to see a renewed from Shakespeare commitment on all of those previous amenities and benefits, as well as the additional ones detailed in the United Neighbors of Southwest and what I've seen from the Amidon-PTA MOUs, which will now be under watchful eye of parents and neighbors and not a civic organization. I'm confident that the PTA and the United Neighbors will make sure Shakespeare delivers on its promise.

Also, the ANC wanted a commitment from Shakespeare that they would be an integral part of the Southwest cultural community, and we are pleased to see that they plan to stay around for

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

at least 20 years.

2.1

As far as the curbside management, I'm not sure I can get these words out, but for once, ANC 6D agrees with Gorove/Slade on their curbside management plan --

(Laughter.)

MS. FAST: Sorry, I had to say it.

I'm sorry -- as attached in Exhibit B, and we welcome that DDOT now has also approved it.

It is really critical for the safety of the children attending Amidon-Bowen and the summer camps at Shakespeare that we have that no parking from 7:00 a.m. to 5:30 and not just on the school days.

Construction management, there is no question that traffic, trucks, staging, and keeping our school kids safe is going to be a challenge. The ANC is pleased that the applicant is working with the Safe Routes to School to ensure parents and teachers are aware of the new suggested walking routes.

We were also pleased to see the funding of a crossing guard at Makemie Place -- that's where that median is, Makemie Place -- during school and after care hours was included.

The ANC wants to be sure that the applicant does not use a flag person as a replacement and works with DCPS and the PTA to ensure that we have a true crossing guard.

Since this project will be on about the same time as other development projects in the pipeline -- so that's 1000 4th Street. We have the Southwest Library going under development. We have 375 M Street. Currently right now the Kiley is happening, as well as phase two of the Wharf, all within feet of this new project.

The ANC would appreciate a broader discussion with DDOT and the Commission on how DDOT plans to manage all of these projects. It cannot be as my esteemed colleague, Commissioner Litsky, would say, fait accompli.

How are you, DDOT, going to make this work so residents can get to and from work, that we don't end up with the entire arterial streets closed for days at a time, that our kids can get to and from school safely, and that gosh, we can hear ourselves think? We need you to tell us.

In conclusion, I've always felt we could figure this out and make it work for the

2.1

applicant and the community, and I think we've come as close to success as we possibly could. That being said, we are bombarded with new development everywhere you turn.

And while the applicant may be doing their small part to minimize its impact on the neighborhood, it doesn't absolve my call out to DDOT, the Commission, and other District agencies to ensure that our quality of life is not diminished in the process. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you, Chairperson Fast. Let me just say on the note about all of the construction that's going on, and a lot of it, we had a lot to do with over the years.

But want to make sure, Mr. Zimmerman, I don't know if it's you or whomever, continues to work with the ANC and do exactly what the Chairperson has asked. I'm not sure if if it's the director, it's you, or or whom, because I think that is a very fair ask with everything that's going on.

Now, when it's all complete and done, we'll all be enjoying it, but for the time being, they're going to have to endure because I'm not going to go down there probably until it's all

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

done.

2.1

(Laughter.)

CHAIRMAN HOOD: But they're going to have to endure it, on a serious note, while it's going on, so hopefully you all can work with DDOT. And DDOT, I would put that request to the director for you all to work on that with the ANC.

There was something else. Chairperson Fast, let me ask you, with all of the outstanding concerns that were raised in the ANC letter and what I've heard, has all of that been resolved to your satisfaction, everything? I'm kind of asking that all clumped together.

MS. FAST: Sure, from the ANC's perspective, it has. Our concern as an ANC that represents the residents that we were elected by was to ensure that the United Neighbors of Southwest and the PTA were comfortable with the project.

We worked very hard with the applicant to reduce its massing. Our goal as an ANC was to get the project. We felt that we could do this if we could get it to fit within the framework of the neighborhood. And I will tell you that we

went back many times.

2.1

Some days we walked out of negotiations where we had gotten nowhere, but I will give the applicant credit in that we said it's too big, and it needs to be set back, and you need to make that work, and they did.

And so based off of that and the agreements that they were able, the MOUs that they were able to work out with the United Neighbors and soon to be with the Amidon PTA, we're satisfied.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, thank you very much, and I want to thank this ANC's neighborhood for all their work. It's been going on for a while. It's been going on a long time, since I've been here, and so I know you all have put a lot of volunteer time in and we greatly appreciate all of the hard work that has went in, and even in this case as well.

So let me open it up. Any questions or comments from anybody? Commissioner May?

COMMISSIONER MAY: Yeah, I just was wondering if it's just a coincidence that the ANC is supporting Gorove/Slade when Ms. Fry is at the table, but --

MS. FAST: Well, maybe, I don't know.

COMMISSIONER MAY: Maybe, I don't know.

Somebody will have to test that theory, not just at this table, but, you know, through all of the work.

COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: So Commissioner

Fast, was Mr. Avitabile easy to work with?

(Laughter.)

MS. FAST: Let me just say this. So this has been a five-year project. Obviously we've come before the Commission quite a few I will say that probably one of the best Shakespeare things that the Theatre and Erkiletian did was to switch firms and bring Mr. Avitabile in to work through the project. don't know that we would be sitting here today. I know we would not be sitting here today with the first firm that we went through it with. think that from our perspective, and I'm not going to speak for my Southwest neighbors, other than the fact that they have come to a memorandum of understanding and I believe now maybe do not support, but certainly do not oppose the project, that it is part of his initiative and on his behalf.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you, Chairperson Fast. I think you stated it well. And I actually read your first couple of sentences in your report two or three times and it said you thought we would have been crazy or however that was, because I thought that was very unique to show you where you were and where you've come to. So some nights we do leave out of here and we wonder if we are crazy, but I can tell you that just shows it already.

Now, is everybody probably with you?

No, but are you a lot further along than where
you were? Yes, so, and I'm speaking probably for
the whole community. There are some who probably
don't agree even with what's going on this
evening and what we're hearing, but at least
you're closer, and then in one case, you're still
having those discussions.

So I think that is very admirable for everyone to continue to have those talks because I think with everybody's input, what I've found, even with all of us up here, when we are deciding cases, you know, we may not agree, but after it's all said and done, sometimes you come out with a better outcome.

2.1

So, any other questions or comments?

Vice Chair Miller?

VICE CHAIR MILLER: I just wanted to concur with your comments, Mr. Chairman, and again commend the Chair of the ANC and all of the ANC members, and the applicant, and UNSW for working so hard, persevering so hard, and getting to this outcome, and hopefully we'll get to that outcome with Amidon as well.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, does the Okay, you don't? applicant have any cross? Okay, after all of those nice things, probably wouldn't, would you?

(Laughter.)

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, thank you, Chairperson Fast. We appreciate all of the work that you all are doing. Okay, now I'm ready to organizations. have list? qo to Do we Organizations and persons who here in are Let me just see a show of hands all of who is going to testify whether in support or opposition.

Okay, all those who are here in support, if you can come to the table, they're going to make way. Organizations or persons who

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

are in here in support, if you can come forward 1 2 at this time? 3 Ms. Schellin, are we going to put the 4 screen up or -- okay, I used to have a little 5 screen up here. I don't -- I guess they figured 6 I probably haven't used it, so. 7 Do we have any proponents? Oh, okay. Yes, support, did you want to come up after all 8 9 that you've heard or do you want to wait? 10 (Laughter.) 11 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes? Turn your mic 12 Hold on. Let us get the -- okay, so we have on. 13 one person? So we have time for it, okay. 14 MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, only one person 15 registered. 16 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, let me go to your question first. 17 Yes? 18 MR. EICHER: I'm here representing the 19 United Neighbors of Southwest, and as you've 20 we've withdrawn our party status 2.1 opposition application, and I will be testifying 22 to that effect, neither in support nor opposed if 23 that's all right. 24 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, you were coming 25 undeclared, but since you're there, that's fine.

1 Thank you. MR. EICHER: 2 All right, and Mr. CHAIRMAN HOOD: 3 Welles, if you want to come on up, that's fine. Anybody who wants 4 just do this. 5 testify can come up at this time because it 6 didn't seem like there were --7 How many other people want to testify 8 wherever you are, opposed, support? Okay, just 9 I think I see one more person, so 10 that's it. So we will -- we can distinguish the difference, believe me. 11 12 (Laughter.) 13 have a seat CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yeah, 14 right there. Okay, let me start to my left, your 15 right, and you may begin. Identify yourself and 16 you may begin. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 17 MR. EICHER: 18 My name is Peter Eicher and I'm here as 19 authorized representative of the United Neighbors 20 of Southwest who is a community group of about 60 2.1 neighbors who had been granted advanced party 22 status by the Commission. 23 For the past five years,

been

the

that's

community opposition to this project.

organization

24

25

We fought

of

vanquard

for and obtained language in the Southwest small area plan to oppose the development, and we originally opposed the 10-story building, and then the seven-story building, and then the first iteration of the five-story building.

We filed petitions and handed out fliers, and as you've noted, Mr. May, posted signs in our yard for many years, and we've repeatedly met with city officials and elected representatives to insist on something better.

And over the past months, we have met intensively with the developers, and we're pleased that these negotiations have led to the current plan, which is a substantial improvement. We appreciate the steadfastness and support of the ANC in this process.

We can't say that we're entirely happy with the outcome. It does remain short of our vision of no zoning change and townhouses at the site, but this is the nature of a compromise, and I'm sure the other side is not entirely happy either with the outcome.

So after reflection, our community group agreed that we could live with this plan, and therefore, we were able to reach an agreement

2.1

with Erkiletian Development and the Shakespeare 1 2 Theatre Company that we'd withdraw our opposition 3 to this project on the basis of the changes 4 they've made to the building and 5 commitments for the future public benefits 6 mitigations. 7 Only yesterday evening, we finally 8 signed a memorandum of understanding, which is 9 now before you as Exhibit 52 in the case, and we 10 request, as did the PUD applicants, that this memorandum of understanding and its commitments 11 12 be included as conditions of the final written order of the PUD. 13 14 And on that basis, UNSW, the United 15 Neighbors of Southwest, is withdrawn as a party 16 in opposition to the proposed development, and 17 finally, especially for Mr. May, if you approve 18 the PUD this evening, we'll be taking our signs 19 down starting tomorrow. Thank you. 20 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Great, thank 2.1 Okay, next? 22 MS. GOMER: Hello. 23 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Turn your mic on. 24 MS. GOMER: Hello, my name is Donna

I'm at 506 and 508 H Street.

Gomer.

25

And I

notice on the pictures that they were showing, 1 2 you never saw the alleyway. If you notice that, 3 they never show that. 4 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. 5 MS. GOMER: Well, that's where our house is located. 6 7 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. 8 MS. GOMER: And they never showed how 9 high it is. We have a 4th floor deck and they're 10 doing penthouses. And we're trying to figure out, are 11 12 they overlooking our house or, I mean, we can't even, there's nothing in the picture. 13 We don't 14 that alleyway. think see And Ι 15 purposely didn't show it. 16 And parking, there's nowhere to park 17 So, I'm just trying to figure out, I mean, 18 it seems like they're just trying to force this 19 project on us. 20 There is no parking, and we just don't 2.1 really want it. This is bad. This is a bad, 22 it's an eyesore actually. Especially if you're 23 on that row. 24 I mean, a lot of these people who want

it, they didn't invest as much money as we did in

our townhouse, and they don't even live in the 1 2 direct line of the building being right behind 3 Like, your deck is there and then your house. 4 you're looking at this eyesore right across the 5 So I'm opposed. street. 6 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. And I'm sorry, 7 I didn't get your name. 8 MS. GOMER: Donna Gomer. 9 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Ms. Gomer. 10 MS. GOMER: 506 and 508. 11 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. If you can hold seat if we have some questions. 12 Somebody, let me go back to the 13 Next. 14 young lady who came up afterwards. 15 MS. STOCKER: Sure. So, I'm Lauren Stocker. 16 I am representing the PTA at Amidon-17 Bowen, and I'm a neighbor to the development. 18 thank you for your time. 19 I'm here representing the PTA because 20 we care about the students and the parents and 2.1 the teachers at the school. And we are concerned 22 about how the development will impact them. 23 I'd like to start by saying, we didn't 24 think that this was going to be an empty lot

forever, but we're not the only group here that

had hoped for more townhouses to be built on this 1 2 land, but that's not what's currently planned. 3 So we're here because we have several 4 major concerns that we're trying to work through 5 with the developer and with Shakespeare. And those conversations started several weeks ago, 6 7 not several years ago. So, putting that out 8 there. 9 The first of those concerned is 10 safety. So with the addition of these condos, with the office space, with the actor housing, 11 12 there will be an increase in traffic where lots of these kids are walking to and from school. 13 14 lot of them are walking without 15 They're walking with older siblings or parents. 16 they're by themselves. 17 We've had several near misses in the 18 last month, and we want to make sure that we and 19 the developer can work with DDOT to come up with 20 a traffic mitigation plan that's satisfactory. 2.1 We are close to an agreement, but DDOT 22 needs to work closely with us to make sure that we're satisfied that the safety of our students 23 24 is foremost in people's minds.

I'll say that I cross the street at

the median multiple times a day and it keeps my 1 2 two kids safe. 3 So, our second concern is the impact 4 to our outdoor play areas. And very simply put, 5 I want my kids who are on the playground after 3:00 p.m. to be in the sun and not in the shade. 6 7 The outdoor play areas are also used 8 the community after school and on the 9 weekends. 10 So no other Ward 6 elementary school has a tall development directly adjacent to the 11 12 playgrounds. Some schools have townhouses or other low-rise buildings, but in all cases, there 13 is a separating alley or road. 14 15 So, we leave it up to the Commission to decide if this elementary school will be the 16 17 exception to that rule. 18 Our third concern is the impact to our 19 diverse and family centric southwest community 20 that's been touched on tonight. It's what we 2.1 think makes our quadrant so special. 22 believe that the We zoning 23 And that intentional designed with intention. 24 zoning has created the neighborhood that we have 25

We want to keep it that way.

today.

So we continue to urge Erkiletian and the Shakespeare Theater Company, to include those larger and more affordable three-bedroom condo units so that families can buy in that building and own their home and afford to be in there and come to this school.

So, if the current plan does include this and if the Commission moves forward on approving the PUD, we strongly encourage that those larger, more affordable buildings, or condos, be in that building.

So, until recently, until three weeks ago, we, as the PTA, hadn't had the opportunity to meet with the developer and Shakespeare Theater Company. So while agreements have been reached with several of the other community groups, we don't have an MOU in place yet.

That said, we do appreciate the time that developer and Shakespeare has taken in the last few weeks to address our concerns and to start those conversations, but we're not quite there yet.

A few things that they've done, they've incorporated the school into further renderings, they've expanded the shadow study up

2.1

to 6:00 p.m., they've committed to moving the light pole and they've revised construction management plans. But we've got a few other things that we need to work through with them.

And just one brief note, in regard to community benefits. We recognize that the Commission is currently changing how community benefits are done.

While we appreciate that, we hope that the Commission will take into consideration our school's needs and we're not alone. So, for example, this past year the PTA has provided support to two separate families.

When parent was lost to violence, we've paid for toilet paper, we've paid for trash pick-up, emerging needs. And so, when we're asked to state how the money will be spent advance and we don't of have any flexibility around emerging needs, that really ties our hands and we want to be there to support the students at the school and the families.

So we hope that the Commission will take into consideration the vast and differing needs of the school community and help us continue to provide those critical benefits. So

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

1	thank you for your time.
2	We are, I think as it states,
3	undeclared, and we look forward to continue
4	negotiations with Shakespeare and the developer.
5	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. And thank you.
6	Next? The young lady right here, right in front
7	of me.
8	Mr. Welles, you're going to go last
9	actually. The young lady right here in front.
10	In front of me. The young lady who's talking to,
11	you.
12	Oh, you want her to go? You're not
13	going to speak?
14	MS. TRACTON: I will.
15	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Oh, you
16	MS. KAMARA: She's deferring to me
17	first, I guess.
18	MS. TRACTON: I've been asked to speak
19	third.
20	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Oh, you have a panel.
21	Oh, okay. Well, you all go right ahead.
22	MS. KAMARA: Good evening. My name is
23	Khady Kamara and I'm the managing director at
24	Arena Stage. I'm also a resident of Southwest
25	and have been a resident for the last five years.

So, I'm pleased to offer my strong support for the Bard Building, as both the representative of Arena Stage and as a Southwest resident.

As you know, arts and culture are a key component of the Southwest neighborhood. In addition to the Tony Award winning theater arena stage, we have the wonderful jazz nights at Westminster Presbyterian, the daring visual art scene at Blind Whino, the marvelous performance venues at the Wharf and so much more.

I believe that adding the prestige and influence of the Shakespeare Theater Company will further enhance the Southwest celebration of the arts.

The Bard will offer the community new rehearsal and classroom spaces for residents, young and old, to learn acting, play writing, sewing, directing, improvisation and more.

I recognize that I may seem bias, after all, I current work with more than 430 artists, artisans, designers, teachers at Arena Stage. I know the kind of special, thoughtful, positive people that choose to work in the world are of non-profit theater. They enhance my life

2.1

every day.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

So I think I can say with authority, that having more theater people in the community is a good thing.

Much of what I say can be said of the theater community in D.C. as a whole. We support each other, we share resources, we share over higher personnel who help build our shows. We often have the same ushers, we communicate with each other about shared needs, we create citywide events like the Women's Voices Theater Festive.

When Shakespeare Theater Company reached out to us to say that, for this new building that they would likely need additional parking spaces for their employees, we said we'll figure out it, we'll find a way to make it work.

And Arena Stage is committed to helping Shakespeare Theater Company find their parking solution.

So, having Shakespeare Theater Company administrative offices, shop costume and rehearsal spaces just down the street from our administrative offices, costume shop and will of rehearsal spaces, make each our

organizations stronger and make our neighborhood 1 2 stronger. 3 Lastly, I'm pleased to support this 4 project because I honestly do believe that the of 5 collaborative nature the meetings that 6 Shakespeare Theater Company has had with their 7 soon to be new neighbors, has led to a better system for communication. 8 of 9 Ι believe those lines 10 communications will remain open while the project is built, and once it is filled with residents, 11 12 Shakespeare Theater Company employees and life. 13 Thank you. 14 Thank you. Who's CHAIRMAN HOOD: 15 next? 16 Rob Hughes. MS. TRACTON: 17 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Who's going second? 18 You second? 19 MR. HUGHES: Yes, that would be me. 20 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. 2.1 MR. HUGHES: Greetings, Chairman Hood 22 and Members of the Commission. My name is Rob 23 Hughes. 24 My wife and I recently moved to 301 M 25 Street in Southwest, D.C. I own a small video

production company called Newbury Digital, and my 1 2 wife is a marketing manager for Nestle. 3 And I'm here to offer my support for 4 Building located at 501 5 Southwest. 6 wife and I moved to Southwest Mv7 because we're sort of tired of the homogeneous, 8 sort of monocultural environment that you find in 9 Northwest D.C. And to us Southwest felt really 10 special. It feels like a small neighborhood, 11 12 but it doesn't feel disconnected from the rest of We like being close to the mall and to 13 the city. 14 the water and the new D.C. United Stadium. 15 just generally kind of love the energy and 16 diversity of the community. 17 When we got to Southwest one of the 18 first things we noticed was all of the signs. 19 The tribute the direct action to of the 20 neighborhood groups. 2.1

Since we were new to the neighborhood, we didn't really understand the objection and we weren't sure what the issue people could have with Shakespeare really was. And it kind of felt like we were eves dropping on somebody else's

22

23

24

conversation.

2.1

So, we took that opportunity to listen and kind of learn. You know, just listen before we spoke.

And from what we've seen of the current design and what we've heard about what's going on with the Shakespeare's office space, I've got to say, we're completely on board with the project. And we think the Bard will be a great addition to the neighborhood.

On a professional note, part of my job includes hiring actors and crew members and other creative types from the local talent pool. Which in D.C. can feel very, very small and often insular and often homogeneous.

And I think Shakespeare offers, then fact that they offer acting classes to adults at all levels is really intriguing to me. Because I think that the more people who take these sorts of classes and get more involved in the arts, the bigger and more diverse the talent pool gets.

And that really matters to me as a small business owner. And I just think the better off we'll all be for it.

And you know, just off the, looking at

the programming for the next two months on STCs website, introduction to acting, theatrical adaptation, voice and speech, movement for the actor, approaching Shakespeare, fundamentals as a scene study, acting for business professionals acting. I'm and advance You know, just tremendously excited that all this is happening, and it can be happening in our neighborhood.

And on a more personal note, and I know that housing in D.C. is a touchy subject for a lot of people, but I'm just generally encouraged, this building is only four stories and that it offers condos that people like my wife and I could conceivable buy. Which could keep us on the same road for decades to come.

It's just really tremendously exciting to think about putting roots down in this neighborhood. So, this was fun, thanks for hearing me out.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you. Next?

MS. TRACTON: Okay, my name

Lorraine Tracton, and as the saying goes, call me anything you want, just don't call me late for

24 dinner. But do call me grateful.

And I say this because I'm grateful

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

25

is

for all of the people here who have participated and brought us to this point in the process. To me, it's encouraging to see the community and Shakespeare Theater Company and all the parties involved, communicating with each other on the issues that have been raised.

And to know that people are actually listening to each other and being responsive and proactive to address and resolve the concerns.

I'm also grateful to be a southwest D.C. resident for 17 years. Longer than some here and shorter than others.

Like Commissioner May, I drove every day from Capitol the Street Bridge, through the neighborhood and I said, gee, would be great to live here. My husband and I relocated from suburban Maryland to our Southwest neighborhood because we feel in love with the green spaces, the places to walk or ride bikes, nearness to the waterfront and the convenience of the Safeway, CVS, nearby metro, Restaurant, and yes, there was a Pizza Hut there too.

We also loved how easy it was, and still is, to get to museum exhibits, concerts,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

dance and theater performances. Oh yes, and to work.

Over the years, we have seen a lot of change in our neighborhood. Although we know change is a part of life, we've seen that some changes are harder than others to go through.

One type of change in development, relevant to tonight's meeting, is the emergence of mix-use developments. Such as churches combined with residential buildings.

What sets these multi-use buildings apart from strictly residential buildings, is that the churches are non-profit organizations, as is the Shakespeare Theater.

The reason for existing is based in altruistic values. And their purpose is to provide services and benefits to their congregations and by extension to the surrounding community.

By contrast, a strictly residential real estate developers only reason to exist and build is to make a profit. The Shakespeare Theater Company falls into the former category. That of being a non-profit organization whose purpose is to bring literally art to life for the

2.1

community.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

As a mixed-use building, the Bard project would not have one, but multiple levels of benefit.

One, they would benefit the company by providing space to do work that occurs outside of the actual performance spaces.

Two, it will benefit the community by providing additional residential homes, condominiums, not rentals, some three-bedroom units and an affordable housing component.

Three, it would benefit the community by providing educational opportunities for people of all ages. From elementary and middle school to members of the senior village.

Showing students a variety of possible career paths, the production, business and production interns, show kids that theater is not just about acting, it is a team effort.

And yes, it would benefit the developers who are partnering with STC to build Coming back the project. to the theme of gratitude, I am thankful to have grown up communities where all the arts were taught, from elementary through high school.

1 Although time does not permit me to 2 all of the values or art education, 3 instead I will wrap this up by emphasizing that 4 many school budgets have been slashed with arts 5 education cut entirely. Denying students the 6 opportunity to learn appreciation of and skills in subjects that will enrich their lives from 7 8 early childhood to old age. 9 So I hope that the community and this 10 Commission will see, as I do, the importance of organizations like the STC and Bard project, as 11 12 resources for everyone in the Southwest community and help move it forward. 13 14 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, thank you. Mr. 15 Gomer. Yes, sir. 16 MR. GOMER: Chairman Hood 17 and the Panel, thank you very much for hearing me 18 and for hearing these, that these things have 19 been going on for a long time. 20 I'm still not a, I'm appointed of this 2.1 entire, in fact that it's 48 feet, I wish it was 22 a little smaller. I do live at 506. My wife is 23 over there. 24 And we do have solar panels on the

I'm sorry that Mr. May, that you don't

roof.

like the angle of them and that they're not attractive, but believe it or not, you get 30 or 40 percent more power in the winter by having them at that southern angle.

COMMISSIONER MAY: I'm not convinced of that, I have solar panels myself. They're also at an angle. I mean, I'm not complete unfamiliar with that.

MR. GOMER: Well, that's what we've been told and it looks that way. It does support about 75 percent of our house at this point in time. And we have two electric cars, so that does a little bit.

But we are happy that it's came down from the height it was to the height that it is now. I'm glad that we all see that there is a parking issue and they're working with Arena Stage and trying to working out the parking issue.

I can't imagine that you're going to buy a condo in that place, not be able to park your car. And then not even being able to get street parking by a condo association that's not going to let you have a special permit that's going to let you park your car on the street.

2.1

And we're already short quite a bit of parking. We're lucky enough to have a few spots in front of our house.

Unfortunately, we don't want people to start pulling in there because they have nowhere else to park. We had a big problem with that when Southwest University was there. People were rushed to go to class, they couldn't make it and they ended up parking in front of our house all the time.

So that is one of the issues we do bring up.

The other one is where I'm not happy with the green space. I walk around the neighborhood. The old town houses have a lot of green space, it's really nice to see that.

We do have a little pup that we take around, take around for walks and there's not a lot of green space. You go to the, by the stadium, either stadium, and they've wiped out all the green space. There is nothing, it's all concrete.

And I think this building has just, as it flattened, it just took over all the space. And in a way I'm glad it flatted because I didn't

2.1

want it over towering my house. 1 2 But at the same time it's, they gave 3 up all the green space. And I think if they 4 waited another year, maybe the actual prices of 5 the condos would go up enough that they wouldn't 6 have to have as many. 7 With them both opening up and the 8 stadium opening up and the soccer stadium opening 9 up, the prices are just going higher and higher. 10 So, maybe that would be a solution. 11 But anyway, thank you very much for 12 all that you guys have done. And thank you very much for do changing the design, 13 it is a lot 14 better than what it used to be. And that's, 15 thank you very much. CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right, Mr. Welles. 16 17 MR. WELLES: Okay. Well, thank you 18 very much for letting me testify tonight. 19 My name is Martin Welles, I'm a 20 I have lived within 20 year resident of Southwest. 2.1 about five or six blocks of that project for all 22 20 years in various houses. 23 I'm a landlord. I own a one-bedroom 24 apartment that I rent out. I'm also a renter.

rent where I live now.

My family spent about eight years at 2 Amidon-Bowen. I put three kids through there. persuaded the Mayor and the City Council invest in Amidon-Bowen. They poured \$17 million in there renovating it. I persuaded the Mayor and City Council to invest in Jefferson. They poured \$76 million into that. That project is underway right now. I'm the past president of the PTA. don't speak for the PTA today, although I am a member. I'm the elected community member on 13 the local school advisory team. I'm not speaking 14 for that either, I'm speaking for myself. I'm treasurer of Capitol Hill Little League, I'm treasurer of Near Southeast Community We've distributed the 650,000 that CSX 18 gave to the Community Mitigation Fund. the fiscal agents there. My standing in the community is more than the general public, okay. I appreciate your 22 ruling, I think it was a fair ruling based on my submission, I do appreciate that. But I think I

carry a little bit more weight than

general citizen.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

19

20

2.1

23

24

And so with that, I'd like to express my views in opposition to this project. First of all, this isn't about a tenant. Much of the testimony here tonight is about a tenant with a 20 year lease.

This is about a zoning change. Going from R-3 to MU-4, I believe is the designation. Which permits commercial use of that space. And despite Mr. Avitabile's declaration that there is commercial up and down I Street, it just doesn't exist.

There is a hotel that borders South Capitol Street and I, but there is no other commercial activity there. Churches, yes, townhouses, towers, apartments. You're not going to find a place to get your clothes cleaned down there. And that's deliberate.

Fifteen years ago, ten years ago, we were, before the Office of Planning, and we said we want 4th Street to be our local neighborhood commercial corridor, we want M Street to be commercial, we want Main Avenue to be commercial, we don't want I Street commercial.

So if you, you know, Mr. Avitabile said you have to look at the project ad hoc, I

2.1

look it 1 would say that you need to 2 Zoning Commission comprehensively. This is a 3 looking at how zoning impacts our lives. 4 And by changing the zoning 5 commercial, you do put a beachhead on I Street 6 for commercial activity. 7 400 I Street is underway, that's going 8 to be appearing before you right now. There is 9 no commercial plan there. It is a church and 10 resident units. The public housing projects are going 11 projects 12 changed eventually. That 13 underway. 14 There is plenty of activity up and 15 New homeless shelter for Ward 6 down I Street. The Blind Whino is a church and it's 16 is there. 17 not on I Street, all right. 18 Keep I Street residential. Deny the 19 And don't cheat 4th Street of a zoning change. 20 commercial tenant, don't cheat M Street of 2.1 commercial tenant, and don't cheat Main Avenue of 22 a commercial tenant. 23 Now, there is a perfect solution, all 24 PN Hoffman is building on the empty lot

They are building a theater

next to CVS.

there and they have useable commercial 1 2 available. 3 Take the Shakespeare Theater 4 administrative component and it's sewing factory, 5 as David Avitabile called it, and put that over there. Let Erkiletian develop a partnership with 6 7 PN Hoffman, buy into it, rent it, whatever you 8 want. 9 But now you've got commercial activity 10 on commercially zoned space. Take this dormitory, and despite calling it an annex, it is 11 12 a dormitory. You're shuffling kids in and out of 13 14 there for ten months at a time, you're calling 15 them a fellow, whatever have you. You're 16 sticking kids in the basement, half grade below 17 ground. 18 indicated, Mr. May, as you 19 continue please? 20 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes. 2.1 MR. WELLES: Thank you. You indicated 22 that actors keep crazy hours, right? The play 23 ends at midnight, they got out and have a couple 24 beers, they're coming home 2:00, 3:00 in the 25

morning.

1	COMMISSIONER MAY: I don't think I
2	used the word crazy, but we get the point.
3	MR. WELLES: Different hours.
4	COMMISSIONER MAY: I get the point.
5	MR. WELLES: Different hours.
б	COMMISSIONER MAY: Not the hours I
7	get. Well, I used to be in the theater business
8	too.
9	MR. WELLES: Okay.
10	COMMISSIONER MAY: So I had long
11	hours.
12	MR. WELLES: And more power to them,
13	I wish I could stay up that late. But these kids
14	are on that playground at 8:00 a.m. in the
15	morning, while our actors are sleeping.
16	And you saw photographs or renderings
17	of the pretty front side that border somebody
18	else's property, but what you haven't seen is how
19	they're cramming this, what is it, 58 foot tall
20	building on a 38 foot wide parking lot.
21	And I've included a picture of what
22	the parking lot looks like. This was taken
23	probably a year ago maybe. Not much has changed.
24	It's a tiny narrow parking lot.
25	In the photos with the light poles in

them, you can see where that light pole is right 1 2 up against the fence line. And this is one for 3 the Attorney General's Office. 4 That light pole got there 25 years ago 5 And it got there one of three ways. or 6 Either with permission of the previous owners, 7 illegally by the District of Columbia when they 8 dropped it in there, or it sits on 9 property. 10 So, there's a concept called adverse Nobody complained about it for the 11 possession. 12 past 25 years. So that light pole, whether they're on 13 14 city property or on the developer's property, 15 doesn't add anywhere to the school. Now, I'm grateful, and I think it's a 16 17 fair compromise, for Erkiletian to either replace 18 or move the light pole to a suitable location. 19 And I think we have a good idea where it could go 20 that it wouldn't impact the development and yet 2.1 still light the field. 22 But I think there's a legal issue that 23 needs be decided here in, who owns 24 property.

That fence, that wrought iron fence

does not sit on the property line anywhere on the parameter. In one case it's 16 feet within inside the property line, another case, according to the developer, it's two or three feet, they're not really sure.

But they're certain that in this particular fence line, that's on the property line because the light pole, which is right next to it, is on their property. So there's a legal issue.

And what I would request is that the developer play for a surveyor, chosen by DGS, to survey all the surrounding property, to determine exactly where the property lines are for this little parking lot.

Now, what I'd really like to see happen is that dormitory not to be placed on that parking lot. Use it for a parking lot.

We've heard tonight that there's not enough parking. There is 20, 30 spots there. And then put the dormitory where the administrative office space is, at the 501 main building, and then have Erkiletian develop a partnership with PH Hoffman to lease that --

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Mr. Welles, you have

2.1

1	one minute left.
2	MR. WELLES: Thank you, very much,
3	I'll finish.
4	Yes, I really think you need to look
5	at the dormitory and see the impact that that's
6	going to have on the children. I appreciate your
7	time, I appreciate the extension of time, thank
8	you very much.
9	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you. Mr.
10	Eicher, let me go back to you. The MOU, and I
11	know my Counsel is here, we don't necessarily
12	make those conditions.
13	What we do, we point to it. I think
14	we just point to it. Is that a fair assessment,
15	Mr. Tondro?
16	MR. TONDRO: I think as Mr. Avitabile
17	indicated earlier, there's generally a process by
18	which we work with, with the Counsel, to
19	determine what of those conditions, in the MOU,
20	can be included as conditions within the order.
21	As Mr. Avitabile said, there may be
22	some that are outside of the purview of the
23	Zoning Commission's authority
24	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Right.
25	MR. TONDRO: so those would be let

out.

2.1

But, to the extent possible, those would be incorporated as we --

CHAIRMAN HOOD: The ones that, right, the ones that are enforced. So what I didn't want to do is having Mister Eicher, I don't like anybody leave here on promise land, but what I wanted to was make sure that Mr. Eicher, there was some kind of way we reflected there is disagreement, and we've done that in the past.

The other ones can be, that are enforceable by us, can be in the condition. I will leave it up to Counsel, but we need to at least mention it, this is there. And that's what we've done in the past.

Okay. All right, so, Mr. Eicher, I didn't want you leaving here, oh well, we get all our conditions in there and then you say, that Anthony Hood and them, they just went and did what they wanted to do. That happens all the time, so I wanted to put that out front.

Mister and Ms. Gomer, have you all been in discussions, I'm going to talk to Mr. Avitabile, because you have solar panels, right?

MR. GOMER: Yes.

I got that. 1 CHAIRMAN HOOD: 2 really didn't understand, giving you were 3 accolades and then you said you was in 4 opposition. You kind of went back and forth, 5 from my standpoint. 6 But the main issue, and I heard your 7 wife mention about the alley. Now, you all are 8 on 6th Street? 9 MR. GOMER: No, we're right Yes. 10 behind the actual place. We have 506 and 508. We actually, our back yard is that street. 11 12 And if you look at Number 38, Slide 38, I do have a question about that overhang over 13 14 that street. And I wonder how far out that is 15 from the original building? How close, how further out over the 16 17 street that actually is? 18 CHAIRMAN HOOD: So, let me back up. 19 Let me back up. Have you all, and this is what 20 the question line, I'm sure the Applicant, you 2.1 all work on that, but have can you 22 conversations, did you attend the ANC meeting, 23 have you had conversations with the community? understand 24 So they your issues.

You've talked them over, you worked for them,

1	you've talked to them to no satisfaction?
2	MR. GOMER: Some. Yes, we've had some
3	conversations.
4	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. All right, I
5	just needed to put that in the placeholder.
6	Okay.
7	MR. GOMER: Thank you, sir.
8	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: I just want to
9	clarify, you're one of the town homes that's
10	adjacent to their driveway?
11	MR. GOMER: That's correct.
12	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Which one in,
13	how far in are you?
14	MR. GOMER: We are right against the
15	driveway. We're 506-508 H Street, so we are the
16	double wide has the solar panels on the roof.
17	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay.
18	MS. GOMER: They don't have a picture
19	of the backside.
20	MR. GOMER: Well, you can see the
21	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: When Mr.
22	Avitabile comes up, I'll have them bring up,
23	there is a drawing in here which they can bring
24	up and I just want to, maybe we can clarify that
25	when they come back up.

1	CHAIRMAN HOOD: So, is your home the
2	one with the pop-up? You're the pop-up. You
3	have the pop-up?
4	MR. GOMER: That's correct.
5	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.
6	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay.
7	CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right. I have
8	some questions, but I'm not going to do that.
9	But okay. Okay, we will put that in the pot.
10	Any other questions to Mr. Gomer?
11	Okay.
12	I'm going to come back to Mr. Welles.
13	Stocker? Stocker.
14	MS. STOCKER: Yes.
15	CHAIRMAN HOOD: So, you mentioned, you
16	were referring some of your testimony to a
17	previous case that we heard, what, last week.
18	Were some of your comments germane to that?
19	Is that what you were, were you
20	talking about buying toilet paper and helping
21	families on down the line and give you some
22	flexibility, was that germane to a pervious case?
23	MS. STOCKER: That's come up recently.
24	And my understanding is that the Commission is
25	changing how community benefits are done.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: No. Commission --1 2 MS. STOCKER: And not allowing 3 flexibility there. 4 CHAIRMAN HOOD: No. That's actually, 5 the case we heard, what was that? When did we 6 deal with that, last week? 7 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Monday. 8 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Monday, oh. We done 9 had so much I don't know what day it was. 10 But anyway, that's the case and the 11 Commission has not changed anything. The 12 Commission has put that in place some 20 years 13 ago. That is not a change. 14 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: The only thing 15 that's come up, the only thing that's come up is 16 whether you can establish an escrow account. And 17 we've never allowed an escrow account before 18 because of the issues that came up with how the 19 money got spent and who accounted for it. 20 But that's come up again that we try 2.1 incorporate that. But we have some legal 22 issues on how you, usually the contractor 23 providing something. A service, he's 24 something, he's building something, or giving

computers or doing something for a school.

1 And the only thing that's come 2 recently is the whole business about trying to 3 establish an escrow account. 4 CHAIRMAN HOOD: And that, as already 5 stated, we've dealt with that previously. 6 had problems, and I'm talking about 20 years ago. 7 Where it's fine right now, you give it some time with the flexibility, and then we have 8 9 neighborhoods fighting each other. So we've been 10 through that, we're not going back through that. So we're going to work craft, I think 11 12 we've made a final, we didn't finalize anything on that, did we? 13 14 (Off-microphone comment.) 15 did? CHAIRMAN HOOD: We I can't 16 remember, but anyway. I know we discussed it, I 17 don't think we finalized anything but we're going 18 to make sure. And like I said the other night --19 20 (Off-microphone comment.) 2.1 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Right. Like I said 22 the other night, the way we're going is going to 23 be the best interests of the community, 24 because that's what we've done in the past.

been here to see it some 20 years ago.

I was here and I watched how that put 1 2 neighborhoods against neighborhoods and the 3 discussions that went on down here. So we'll 4 deal with that. 5 But I just wanted to find out if that 6 was germane to what we're doing tonight and it's 7 actually not. That's a previous case you got 8 that information from but we're going to, we'll 9 handle it. 10 But we understand, we're going to do the best we can on that. What else? 11 I think 12 that was it. The other ones were, other folks 13 were in support. Thank you. 14 Mr. Welles, Cap City. Represented as I'm familiar with 15 well, and the little league. 16 Cap City. 17 MR. WELLES: All right. Way to go. 18 CHAIRMAN HOOD: So I'm familiar with 19 Cap City even though I was with one of the 20 opposing teams. 2.1 (Laughter.) 22 But let me just ask CHAIRMAN HOOD: 23 you this. In your testimony you mentioned I 24 still don't get, I'm not there with you, of how

you are, how you are more unique, I still haven't

got that.

2.1

We don't necessarily have to do there, but in your submission, and even in your conversation, I didn't see how you were any different than anyone else who was sitting at the table, so that's why my ruling.

But if you notice, I had you go last and that was the reason. Because I wanted to, because you did submit this, and I have a few questions that I want to ask you.

MR. WELLES: Sure.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: You raised several issues in your submission about impacts, project impacts. You mentioned, I think, I have it jotted down, commercial uses in the project will adversely impact the resident street. How is that?

So, you've already defined this as being a residential street.

MR. WELLES: I believe the zoning, up and down I Street, is residential. And the change to a commercial nature impacts everybody up and down I Street.

And since I live within 150 feet, approximately of I Street, I think that impacts

1	me. I think it impacts me as I walk up and down
2	the neighborhood.
3	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Do you have any
4	neighbors?
5	MR. WELLES: Yes.
6	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. So it impacts,
7	so, from your characterization, it impacts you
8	and your neighbors?
9	MR. WELLES: Yes, you're right.
10	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.
11	MR. WELLES: Yes.
12	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. So that's kind
13	of how we go into looking at uniquely affected.
14	MR. WELLES: But I have neighbors on
15	I Street, and using that argument, somebody over
16	on G Street or somebody over on Delaware Avenue,
17	over by the stadium, doesn't have the same impact
18	as me. So in that regard
19	CHAIRMAN HOOD: But, on your street
20	MR. WELLES: Yes.
21	CHAIRMAN HOOD: do you have
22	neighbors?
23	MR. WELLES: Of course.
24	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. So, okay.
25	MR. WELLES: I understand your point.

1	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.
2	MR. WELLES: Yes.
3	CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right.
4	MR. WELLES: And I accept your ruling
5	on party status
6	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, I appreciate it.
7	Let me ask you this, so the field, is it just
8	used for soccer?
9	You coach soccer or you work with
10	these young folks on soccer?
11	MR. WELLES: The particular field that
12	is by the project is pretty much a soccer field.
13	I coach a summer soccer league for kids age 4 to
14	12. It's all volunteer.
15	And then adults use the field for
16	soccer in the evenings. And then the kids use it
17	for multiple activities during the day. Whether
18	it be playing tag or football or soccer. So it's
19	a multi-use field.
20	CHAIRMAN HOOD: So, it your view and
21	your argument that the height, which is 50 foot,
22	what was the height, 51, what, 48?
23	MR. AVITABILE: Forty-eight feet.
24	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you. So it's
25	your argument that the height will affect the

1	soccer field?
2	MR. WELLES: Yes, it definitely will.
3	CHAIRMAN HOOD: It definitely
4	MR. WELLES: We received the shadow
5	study from the developer for the 6:00 p.m.
6	shadow. And I included a picture that was taken
7	at 6:00 p.m. That will be covered in shade at
8	that time. And that's according to their shadow
9	study as well.
10	CHAIRMAN HOOD: And I don't, my
11	computer is updating. It picks the wrong time to
12	update.
13	But let me, what time of year was
14	that?
15	MR. WELLES: Summer time.
16	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Summer, 6 o'clock.
17	Okay.
18	MR. WELLES: Yes. Yes.
19	CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right.
20	MR. WELLES: That picture was either
21	June or July, I'm not sure the exact date.
22	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. All right.
23	Okay, so I think, and I understand, I think we're
24	talking about one or two or three. It seems like
25	I'm confused now with these light poles. I mean,

was it three or four or is it just one? 1 2 There's one in dispute. MR. WELLES: 3 CHAIRMAN HOOD: And you all are 4 working on that one, right? The way I gather. 5 MR. WELLES: Yes. I think we have a reasonable compromise but there is still the 6 7 underlying issue of who owns that property. 8 CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right. Well, I've 9 heard that. MR. WELLES: 10 That's for the city to determine. 11 12 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Right. So, 13 Welles, one of the reasons that I even looked at 14 really considered hard, because when you're 15 working with young folks, I want to deprive the 16 young, I'm not like that. I don't think any of 17 us are. 18 And especially when you're teaching 19 them soccer. And I know the reputation of Cap 20 City, even though I'm, like I said, I'm on the 2.1 other side sometimes. 22 But I think it's important that we 23 make sure that we keep these venues and avenues 24 for folks, for the young folks in our city to 25 continue. So that really caught my attention.

1 You all are having discussions, right? 2 From what is sounds like, you all, you're having 3 discussions with --4 MR. WELLES: Well, I'm on the 5 periphery. I don't have party status. I'm not, 6 I'm no longer an officer on the parent/teacher 7 association so I'm kind of on the outside. CHAIRMAN HOOD: 8 So, I know you don't 9 status, believe me, Ι know firsthand. 10 11 MR. WELLES: Yes. 12 CHAIRMAN HOOD: So we don't have to mention that again because I already know it and 13 14 understand it. But I thought even without 15 party status you all, you still, they still were 16 working with you? 17 MR. WELLES: Yes. 18 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. 19 MR. WELLES: Yes. 20 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. So, I'm going 2.1 to be interested to see, not to be going, be 22 holding hands and all on the same page, I'm going to be interested to see, for the sake of the 23 24 young folks, I'm going to be interested to see

And that's going to be one thing

how far we go.

1	I'm going to be asking for.
2	So whether you have party status or
3	not, I want an update on the young folks who are,
4	what we have done to look out for the young folks
5	from 4 to whatever age it is, okay?
6	MR. WELLES: Thank you.
7	CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right, let me open
8	it up. Any comments or questions?
9	Commissioners? Of anybody on the Panel? No.
10	Does the Applicant have any cross of
11	anybody up here? Okay, come forward.
12	If somebody can just, whoever, maybe
13	he may not have a question for.
14	MR. AVITABILE: It's just one
15	question, and it's only because I couldn't read
16	it myself. To Mr. Welles.
17	You said you live within 150 feet of
18	the property?
19	MR. WELLES: One fifty feet of I
20	street.
21	MR. AVITABILE: You live? Your unit
22	is within 150 feet of I Street? Our property?
23	MR. WELLES: I think so. Yes.
24	MR. AVITABILE: You sure about that?
25	MR. WELLES: I didn't take a tape

1	measure. Tell me how far I live?
2	MR. AVITABILE: You're in the
3	townhouses over on Waterside Towers.
4	MR. WELLES: The horse shoe.
5	MR. AVITABILE: The horse shoe. The
6	closest one of those, believe it or not, is 500
7	feet to the corner of our property.
8	MR. WELLES: Well, no, I'm not saying
9	the corner of the property, I'm saying I street.
10	MR. AVITABILE: Okay.
11	MR. WELLES: Right through the loading
12	dock.
13	MR. AVITABILE: Okay. To I, just
14	straight out
15	MR. WELLES: To I Street.
16	MR. AVITABILE: to I street, got
17	it.
18	MR. WELLES: Yes.
19	MR. AVITABILE: Okay. But not to our
20	project on I street.
21	MR. WELLES: I will say, the
22	development, the Waterside Towers, is directly
23	diagonal from the project, as you know. And I
24	live in that entity.
25	MR. AVITABILE: Got it. Thank you.

1	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Does the ANC
2	have any cross of any of the witnesses? Okay.
3	All right, thank you all very much.
4	Mr. Welles, you had something else you
5	MR. WELLES: I'm sorry, one quick
6	CHAIRMAN HOOD: There's a difference
7	between 150 and 500, right? Yes, there's a
8	difference there.
9	MR. WELLES: Well, I think it was
10	confused as to what I said.
11	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.
12	MR. WELLES: It was clear, I'm 150
13	feet from I Street, not from the project.
14	CHAIRMAN HOOD: I Street, not from the
15	project. Okay.
16	MR. WELLES: I just want to comment on
17	the safety island
18	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Commissioner May, did
19	you want to okay, go right ahead.
20	COMMISSIONER MAY: That's fine.
21	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Go ahead.
22	MR. WELLES: Yes, that was put there
23	to keep people from going around the bus and
24	plowing through that little intersection.
25	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.

1 And I'll tell you, that MR. WELLES: 2 safety island is really important crossing that 3 street. 4 COMMISSIONER MAY: And that's fine, 5 I'm glad to hear that. I'm just basing it on my 6 observation of how cars park along there and it 7 narrows the road. 8 And Τ know it's not great for 9 cyclists, because that's how I go through there. 10 I just don't know how it is for pedestrians and the value of that. 11 12 I've seen some risky crossings 13 happening there with kids trying to make their 14 way across. And I understand the value of having 15 that island in the middle. I just didn't know whether, because it 16 17 me like it's a relatively narrow 18 street. But according to Mr. Zimmerman it's not, 19 so I'm happy to agree with that judgement and I'm 20 glad to hear that people will value it. 2.1 think of it differently. 22 CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right, I want to 23 thank you all, we appreciate your testimony. 24 Thank you.

MS. GOMER: Thanks so much.

1	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you. Okay, Ms.
2	Avitabile, we can do some rebuttal. If you can
3	just, when you all come back up, just let us know
4	how long you're going to be on rebuttal.
5	MR. AVITABILE: I think we'll be very
6	quick. I don't actually think there's a lot that
7	we need to cover, I just want to touch on a
8	couple of points, and we can do that quickly now
9	where we can take a break if the Commission wants
10	to take a break. But I'm only, I think, five
11	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Five minutes?
12	MR. AVITABILE: Five minutes.
13	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Are we good for five
14	minutes? Which is going to turn into 15. Okay.
15	(Laughter.)
16	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: I'm just
17	wondering, are you going to bring up that slide?
18	MR. AVITABILE: Well, we can bring up
19	the slide that you wanted to talk to.
20	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Yes.
21	MR. AVITABILE: It was, was it the
22	north perspective?
23	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: It's the one
24	looking, it's looking at the drive, your
25	driveway, which shows the townhouses next to it.

1	And it's a perspective. It's like a semi-aerial
2	view looking
3	MR. AVITABILE: Right.
4	MR. GOMER: 38. It's number 38.
5	MR. AVITABILE: 38.
6	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Number 38,
7	okay.
8	MR. AVITABILE: Great.
9	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: That's it.
10	MR. AVITABILE: There we go.
11	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Mr. Gomer has been
12	very helpful.
13	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Yes. So
14	mister, the Gomer's house is the pop-up one with
15	the solar on it?
16	MR. AVITABILE: Right. I believe they
17	said they actually, it's two addresses. I think
18	it's two.
19	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Oh, it's a
20	double.
21	MR. AVITABILE: It's two townhouses
22	that they own.
23	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay.
24	MR. AVITABILE: And one of them has
25	that pop-up.

1	MR. GOMER: We combined them to one.
2	MR. AVITABILE: Right. So it's one
3	now. And one of them is four stories tall.
4	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Have you
5	talked to them? You've had discussions?
6	MR. AVITABILE: You know, I don't know
7	that we, we haven't had direct conversations with
8	them. I think they were originally apart of the
9	United Neighbors of Southwest Group.
10	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay.
11	MR. AVITABILE: They were, they, along
12	with Mr. Welles, were part of the people that
13	were, withdrew from that group
14	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay.
15	MR. AVITABILE: earlier this week.
16	Or were withdrawn.
17	Anyway, I do recall, I think, seeing
18	them at least an early community meeting. I
19	don't recall, one way or another, seeing them at
20	the more recent ANC meetings but I may not
21	remember.
22	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: I guess one of
23	the things they're worried about is the shadows
24	on the solar collectors.
25	MR. GOMER: No, I don't think it's

1	going to block
2	CHAIRMAN HOOD: So, here's what we're
3	not going to do.
4	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: He's not
5	worried about that.
б	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Here's what we're not
7	going to do. And I say this all the time, so I'm
8	going to be consistent.
9	We are orderly down here, we don't
10	yell out from the audience. We just don't do
11	that down here. So I would ask, we've already
12	heard from residents.
13	We're now asking our questions, we're
14	trying to get responses so we can make an
15	informed, educated decision. So, thank you.
16	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay. All
17	right, well, thank you for showing that.
18	MR. BARANES: If I could just add one
19	thing. The required rear yard, I think I
20	mentioned earlier, is only 15 feet.
21	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: You got 28.
22	MR. BARANES: And we're at 28. So,
23	almost double, not quite.
24	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Right.
25	MR. BARANES: And we're a bit taller

than they are, that's true. But I don't think 1 2 there will be, there's a shadow issue related to 3 this. 4 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay, that's 5 fine. 6 All right. MR. AVITABILE: 7 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, so if you could do your rebuttal. 8 9 MR. AVITABILE: Sure, thank you. 10 Thank you, Commissioner Hood. So, I wanted to just touch on a couple 11 12 of things, just to make sure we've clarified it in the record. First, Shakespeare isn't a tenant 13 14 of the project, they're going to be an owner of 15 the project. They're going to own their piece of 16 it. 17 There's a commitment by them to 18 here for 20 years, that's part of the MOU. 19 they're intended to be as an owner, so this is 20 not just a commercial tenant coming in. 2.1 I also, again, don't agree that they 22 are a commercial tenant in the first place. 23 think, while there's some administrative office, 24 sorts of institutional organizations have

administrative offices, universities and

25

the

like, and that's what we're talking about here. 1 2 Along with rehearsal space, education 3 space, the costume shop. Those are unique uses, 4 those are not commercial uses. 5 Also, to clarify, I was quoting Mr. 6 Welles, and a number of neighbor posts 7 referred to it as a sewing factor, I very much 8 believe it's a costume shop, not a sewing factor. 9 Just for the record. 10 did want to, the other thing wanted to touch on, briefly, the PTA, I think I 11 12 understand, to some degree, the concerns about finding a way to make sure that the amenity that 13 14 we provide to them works within what they need. 15 Ι think we also very clearly Commission's 16 understand the consistent 17 regulations on this. And frankly, I think they 18 were strengthened two years ago on the issue of 19 escrow accounts. 20 And, Mr. Turnbull, you and I were on 2.1 a BZA case about an escrow account some time ago 22 and I remember that very vividly still. 23 understand the concern. 24 I think what we are looking to do is

try to find a way to provide specificity, but

also accept the fact that over time, even over a short period of time of a couple of years, the needs of a school can evolve in change and that we can accommodate that in a way and strike that balance.

So, we're going to work on that, that's a lawyer problem. And it's a problem that I'm going to have to solve and Mr. Turnbull is going to tell me if I get it right or wrong. But we'll work on that.

But I wanted to help explain the concern that they were articulating. Because I actually, I understand and I'm sympathetic to it.

And it's something that we've been struggling with, now in two cases. But I think we have a better way of getting there.

I think the other thing I wanted to address, some of the conversation about shadows on fields and comparing this project and that other schools don't have the same problem.

You know I think, first of all, the school itself, if you look at our shadow studies, it generates shadows on its own open spaces in the morning. And that would be true of any school.

2.1

And to the issue of shadows being generated by other schools, just within this ANC, this is Van Ness Elementary School, the aerial is not up to date, but the photos are. We just snapped them today from Google street view.

And for better or for worse, they happen to capture, it looks like afternoon. And you can see that there is a play area here right up next to the school that's in shadow. That's right in the same ANC. The same ward.

It's not shadows being caused by an adjacent property but there is shadow. And there are other examples in the District, particularly as you get to the more urban areas where you have, Oyster-Adams actually and Woodley Park would be a very good example, where at the school and in the play area and there's a, I think a nine-story apartment building right next door.

This is what happens when you have schools in an urban environment, in some locations. That said, we have said, and we are working with the PTA to address their concerns about the proximity of the two. And we've walked through the shadow studies with them a couple of times.

2.1

They are in the record, including the 6:00 p.m. I think they speak for themselves on the degree, relative degree of impact compared to matter of right.

I think if we needed to, we can bring the architects up to go through them. I'm not sure that that's necessary but I'm happy to do that if the Commission is interested in that, just because the issue has come up.

I think you'll see that during the school day there is no impact from our project, because of course we're to the west. There is some limited impact in the afternoon and in the evening, to the extent and times of year when there's evening.

And there's some additional degree of impact over what you would get of matter of right with townhouses, but I think to the extent that that is an impact that is unacceptable or adverse, I think the overall benefits of the project outweigh those. And obviously it's up to us to work with the PTA to get them to that point as well.

I don't know that I have any other rebuttal. We're certainly happy to answer any

2.1

questions, further questions that you may have.

And then I had a couple of closing thoughts,
which I can wait on.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, thank you for

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, thank you for the rebuttal. Let's see if there are any questions on rebuttal? Comments? Okay.

And you're going to continue to have those conversations with Mr. Welles?

I had a chance, as you were talking, to look at the shadow studies. Which I see in June, I see that there is really no affect at 6 o'clock, but I see in December. So, I don't know when the soccer, I see them playing soccer all year around. I haven't played that sport yet.

But I see that there is an impact in December so I think that probably can be balanced. But, again, it's nothing we can do about the shadow, but it is something we can do about certain, some things that can be worked out and worked with for the young folks.

And also, with the PTA. You've mentioned that as well. So I assume, no, I'm not going to assume, I'm going to be looking to make sure that you all are still working with that. With the community and the school.

2.1

MR. AVITABILE: One hundred percent. 1 2 CHAIRMAN HOOD: And Mr. Welles. Okay. 3 MR. AVITABILE: One hundred percent. 4 CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right. So, I will 5 be looking for that. I'm not sure how far we're 6 going to go but I need an update as we move 7 along, at some point. 8 MR. AVITABILE: Okay. 9 CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right. I think have. 10 that's all Ι Any other comments Vice Chair Miller. 11 questions? 12 VICE CHAIR MILLER: I quess I might I guess I'll be interested to see, at 13 have one. 14 the end of the 20 years, I think there's language 15 in the MOU with the UNSW, about a similar mix of 16 uses would be, hopefully Shakespeare will be 17 there beyond the 20 years, but if not, a similar 18 mix of uses. 19 I guess I'd be looking for some 20 language that assures that it is the, that it is 2.1 the mix of uses that being, that's being approved 22 here and that it wouldn't be, that the way it reads that it couldn't be all commercial office 23

or the kind of commercial activities that are on

4th Street and M Street and that kind of thing.

24

1	So I guess the language can take care
2	of that, but I would be, I'll be looking to see
3	that before we get to a final vote.
4	MR. AVITABILE: Right. And I think
5	we're going to look carefully at that. We
6	specifically use
7	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Otherwise, I think
8	there would need to be a modification.
9	MR. AVITABILE: Well, that's exactly
10	right. That's part of what I think is a
11	protection here, frankly, is the fact that we're
12	getting approval for this mix of office and
13	rehearsal space
14	VICE CHAIR MILLER: But if it's not
15	this mix, there would need to be a modification -
16	_
17	MR. AVITABILE: That's exactly right.
18	VICE CHAIR MILLER: and the public
19	would be involved, and we would be involved.
20	MR. AVITABILE: That's exactly right.
21	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Okay.
22	CHAIRMAN HOOD: So, Mr. Avitabile,
23	what do you understand to be the issue with
24	Mister and Ms. Gomer?
25	MR. AVITABILE: It sounds to me like

it's a general concern about the proximity of the project to them. Extensively height, impacts. It sounds like, I think parking, came up as a general impact.

quite honestly, mean, it's no different than the concerns that the United Neighbors of Southwest in general had requested. But I think the vast majority of the people who live in townhouses, including people who, five of the six other people who live in that particular row right behind us, that are part of UNSW, said they felt like the right balance is Mister and Ms. Gomer do not.

But, you know, I think you can have some people not necessarily agree that the balance has been struck.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: So, again, I'm always encouraging, always to have those discussions even though others have pulled back and they, I think they've come up with a balance.

I would always say, there's nothing wrong with having a conversation, so I would ask that you, we all continue to do that all the time. I'm always looking forward to that. Or pushing that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

1 Somebody made the statement 2 certain schools. Be careful what you ask for. 3 And I wrote myself a note. 4 I have a school in my neighborhood 5 that's in the PDR zone, right next to a trash 6 transfer station. So I'm sure that the folks in 7 my, I know we would love to switch. So something you have to be very careful of what you ask for. 8 9 Make sure you know what's all going on 10 around this city before you say, well, we don't Because I was just thinking, in my 11 have this. 12 neighborhood we would love to that. Instead, in the summer we smell trash. 13 shadow. 14 That's how I ended up on the Zoning 15 Commission. Smelling trash all summer. 16 So anyway, side not. All right, any 17 other questions up here? 18 And I'm sure Commissioner May 19 others have heard me say that for quite a bit for 20 years. 2.1 COMMISSIONER MAY: I heard about the 22 trash transfer station. You want me to talk 23 about the schools where my kids, the D.C. public 24 schools where my kids went and the conditions and

1 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Go right ahead. 2 COMMISSIONER MAY: -- then fields and 3 the lack of fields. The asphalt playing field 4 that they use. 5 No, I mean, the school is in much 6 better shape than it used to be and I'm really 7 glad that it is. Any many, many people 8 contributed to that. I'm sure Mr. Welles worked 9 hard to get money for it, but there was a long 10 effort by many, many people. I personally put hundreds of hours 11 12 into meetings on the school facilities master 13 plan, back in '99, 2000, that was the precursor 14 for much of the work that happened to all these 15 schools. So, it's all good. There might be a 16 17 little more shadow with this project but it's a 18 lot better than it was. 19 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. All right, so, 20 that's the side note, but I wanted to make sure, 2.1 some time we got to understand other people are 22 going through certain. We don't just show-up up 23 here, we also live in a neighborhood. 24 Does the ANC have any cross on

rebuttal?

MS. FAST: No.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. All right, Mr. Avitabile I guess we'll, no other comments up here, we'll have your closing.

MR. AVITABILE: Great. It's very, very short. And really, all I wanted to do is say thank you. Thank you to the Commission, thank you to the Office of Planning who, a couple of years ago, before I was involved in the project, had really pushed to say the project needed to find a way to be more consistent with the comp plan.

Thank you to the ANC, who really worked, worked hard with us when other people might not have necessarily wanted to. And really thank the neighbors of Southwest for countless hours in Peter and Stephanie's living room trying to figure out a way forward.

Thank you to the PTA more recently for being more than willing to sit down and talk through the issues with us. I spent the better part of three or four hours on Monday night talking through. And we made a lot of progress along the way.

And I think that this project is a

testament to when we sit down and talk, we can 1 2 find that way to find a project that 3 together. 4 And as Mr. Eicher said, not everyone 5 But with the result, not everyone can is happy. get what they wanted, but we struck a balance 6 7 that everyone can live. Or just about everyone 8 can live with. 9 And I think that's what we strive to 10 do here, every Monday and Thursday night. thank you for the opportunity to do that. 11 12 And the only thing I'll say at the end is, I appreciate Mr. 13 of this Eicher saying 14 they're taking down the signs. I'm not that good of a lawyer because I forgot to ask for that in 15 16 the MOU, so --17 (Laughter.) 18 MR. AVITABILE: -- I'm glad they're 19 coming down anyway. 20 At any rate, thank you very much. 2.1 really appreciate it. And I have to say this at 22 the end, all is well that ends well. Thank you. 23 Again, I CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right. 24 would say that I really appreciate the work that 25 everybody put into it, but I'm always looking to

1	see if we can continue to improve.
2	I've asked you to work with Mr. Welles
3	and continue to talk to the Gomer family and see
4	what we can do. If we come back with nothing, at
5	least we had the conversation.
6	So I'm going to look, and hopefully we
7	do. I know we can't get rid of some things, some
8	things are natural, but some things we can have
9	conversations about.
10	All right, any other questions or
11	comments up here? Commissioner Turnbull.
12	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: I just, I
13	wonder if I can get the Applicant to provide us
14	with something.
15	MR. AVITABILE: Yes.
16	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: I was
17	wondering if you could give us a street level
18	view of the driveway, looking back toward the
19	annex. Just for our own reference.
20	Maybe I'm nitpicking but I just think
21	of you walking down the driveway
22	MR. AVITABILE: We can do that.
23	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: at street
24	level, you know, eye level, looking straight
25	down?

1	MR. AVITABILE: Absolutely.
2	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Thank you.
3	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, so, do we have
4	anything else up here?
5	Okay, Ms. Schellin, do we have any
6	dates?
7	MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, I think if we
8	could have all of the submissions by 3 o'clock or
9	April 15th. And the ANC being the only party, if
10	they could respond by 3 o'clock p.m. on the 22nd
11	of April, we can put this on for April 29th.
12	MR. AVITABILE: I don't think that's
13	enough time.
14	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Does Commissioner
15	Shapiro
16	MR. AVITABILE: I think we need a
17	little more time.
18	CHAIRMAN HOOD: I'm sorry, is
19	Commissioner Shapiro going to read the record ir
20	this case?
21	MS. SCHELLIN: He did not indicate.
22	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Well, tell him I
23	suggest that he does. I'm just playing. I was
24	really just being mean but, no, I think we be all
25	right.

1	COMMISSIONER MAY: Well, wait a
2	minute, he gets off not reading the record and
3	you make me do it all the time?
4	CHAIRMAN HOOD: That's different.
5	(Laughter.)
6	CHAIRMAN HOOD: But I've been working
7	together a lot longer.
8	MR. AVITABILE: At the risk of
9	pulling, I think we need a, that's only really
10	two weeks from today. I know we
11	MS. SCHELLIN: You need more time?
12	MR. AVITABILE: I think we might need
13	another two weeks.
14	MS. SCHELLIN: Okay.
15	MR. AVITABILE: PTA members have
16	schedules that are such that I think we should go
17	for the main meeting.
18	MS. SCHELLIN: The main meeting, okay,
19	sure. So, working backwards from the May 13th
20	meeting, it's the only meeting we have in May, so
21	if we could get your information, get your
22	submissions by April 29th, and then the ANC would
23	have until May 6th, submissions due by 3 o'clock
24	p.m., then we can put this on for May 13th.
25	MR. AVITABILE: I'm learning other

1	relevant facts, give me one second.
2	(Pause.)
3	MR. AVITABILE: I take that back, the
4	PTA, I think we can find the time with the PTA
5	over the next two weeks to work things out, so
6	the first date could work.
7	MS. SCHELLIN: Okay, so we'll go with
8	the original schedule. Additional submissions
9	due by April 15th, 3 o'clock p.m., ANC has until
10	3 o'clock p.m., April 22nd and we'll put this on
11	for April 29th for a decision.
12	And draft findings, facts and
13	conclusions of law due, I'm going to need those
14	by the 15th because OAG needs at least 2 weeks.
15	COMMISSIONER MAY: So, if this is on
16	for April 29th, that assumes that we'll have,
17	you'll reach an agreement with the PTA in much
18	less than 30 days, right?
19	MR. AVITABILE: That's right.
20	COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes.
21	MR. AVITABILE: That's why I went to
22	check
23	COMMISSIONER MAY: Got it.
24	MR. AVITABILE: make sure that they
25	had frankly time to meet with us.

1	COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes, all right.
2	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, are we all on
3	the same page? Do we have anything else?
4	All right, I want to thank everyone
5	for their participation tonight and this hearing
6	is adjourned.
7	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter
8	went off the record at 9:44 p.m.)
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

<u>CERTIFICATE</u>

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Regular Meeting

Before: DC Zoning Commission

Date: 03-28-19

Place: Washington, DC

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Court Reporter

near aus 9