GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

ZONING COMMISSION

+ + + + +

PUBLIC HEARING

+ + + + +

----:

IN THE MATTER OF:

MIRV Holdings, LLC : Case No. Zoning Map Amendment : 17-26

-----:

Thursday, April 12, 2018

Hearing Room 220 South 441 4th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C.

The Public Hearing of Case No. 17-26 by the District of Columbia Zoning Commission convened at 6:30 p.m. in the Jerrily R. Kress Memorial Hearing Room at 441 4th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., 20001, Anthony J. Hood, Chairman, presiding.

ZONING COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT:

ANTHONY J. HOOD, Chairperson ROBERT MILLER, Vice Chairperson MICHAEL G. TURNBULL, FAIA, Commissioner (AOC) PETER SHAPIRO, Commissioner

OFFICE OF ZONING STAFF PRESENT:

DONNA HANOUSEK, Zoning Specialist

OFFICE OF PLANNING STAFF PRESENT:

JENNIFER STEINGASSER, Deputy Director, Development Review & Historic Preservation STEPHEN MORDFIN KAREN THOMAS

The transcript constitutes the minutes from the Public Hearing held on April 12, 2018.

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

2 6:35 p.m. 3 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, we're going to get started. Tonight we have two cases. One is contested and one is a The first case is 17-26. The next case is 18-5 rule-making. 6 Let me read. I was going to try to incorporate both, 02. 7 me just read the first one which is 17-26. 8 Good evening, ladies and gentleman. This is a public hearing of the Zoning Commission for the District of Today's date is April the 12th, 2018. 10 Columbia. My name is 11 Anthony Hood. We're located in the Jerrily R. Kress memorial 12 hearing room. Joining me are Vice Chair Miller, Commissioner 13 Shapiro and Commissioner Turnbull, Office of Zoning staff, 15 Ms. Hanousek, Office of Planning Donna staff, Ms. Steingasser, Ms. Thomas, and Mr. Mordfin. 16 17 We are also - this - I'm sorry. This proceeding 18 is being recorded by a court reporter. It is also web case Notice of today's hearing was published in the DC 19 Register and copies of that announcement are available to my 2.0 21 left on the wall near the door. 22 The hearing will be conducted in accordance with provisions of 11 DCMR Chapter 4 as follows, preliminary 23 matters, applicant's case, report of the Office of Planning, 24

report of other government agencies, report of

25

1

the ANC,

1 organizations and persons in support, organizations and 2 persons in opposition, rebuttal and closing by the applicant. 3 The following time constraints are going to be maintained in this meeting. The applicant has up to 60 minutes. I think this record is complete that we will need 5 nowhere near 60 minutes. Maybe we do introductions and hit 6 7 a highlight, and I think that will do it for this case. organizations have five minutes individuals, 8 and 9 minutes. Please turn off all electronic devices at this 10 11 time so not to disrupt these proceedings. Would all the individuals wishing to testify please rise to take the oath 12 13 in case number 17-26? Hanousek, would you please ${\tt Ms.}$ administer the oath? 15 MS. HANOUSEK: Do you hereby swear or affirm that the testimony you will be giving in tonight's proceedings 16 will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the 17 truth? 18 I do. 19 PARTICIPANTS: 2.0 MS. HANOUSEK: Thank you. Please be seated. 21 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, at this time, the Commission will consider any preliminary matters. Ms. Hanousek, do we 22 23 have any preliminary matters? 24 MS. HANOUSEK: There are no preliminary matters. 25 Okay, with that, I will turn it CHAIRMAN HOOD:

over to you, Mr. Tummonds.

2.0

MR. TUMMONDS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, Paul Tummonds with the law firm of Goulston & Storrs. As you mentioned, we have, I think, a pretty simple case here. We are going to just have one witness, Mr. Bell, our urban planner, to walk through the proposed amendments, this proposed zoning map amendment.

This case has the support of OP, DDOT, and ANC 5A. With that, Mr. Bell?

MR. BELL: As noted in our initial statement and in the Office of Planning report, this property is designated for mixed use, medium density residential and moderate density commercial on the future land use map of the comprehensive plan which you can see here.

Currently, there is no underlying zoning for the property given the federal ownership. Therefore, we are proposing to rezone the property to a MU-5-B zoning district. So you can see the limitations and the constraints of that zone here with the FLUM.

To give a sense of the appropriateness of this, this district, this zone will be located between some institutions like the Washington Hospital Center, Trinity Washington University, Catholic University, and a very close proximity to the Brookland Metro Station. You can see the walking distances there.

1	This is a sketch we made showing one possible
2	outcome of this rezoning. This will enable us to put
3	commercial uses such as hospitality uses which will serve the
4	university and the hotel, retail uses, and residential uses
5	in a way that will in-fill this area and bring some vitality
6	to what is currently parking lots, and serve the community
7	by bringing retail and bringing the opportunity to serve
8	people who are visiting these institutions via hospitality
9	uses.
10	So we believe the MU-5-B is totally appropriate
11	here and will allow for some very significant new development
12	that will serve the community.
13	MR. TUMMONDS: That's all we have. We're here to
14	answer any questions.
15	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you. Any questions up here?
16	Mr. Turnbull?
17	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Mr.
18	Tummonds, but the property has been transferred from the
19	federal government to the city, right?
20	MR. TUMMONDS: Jurisdictional authority has been
21	transferred.
22	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay.
23	MR. TUMMONDS: Title to the property still exists
24	
25	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: And it still is?
J	I and the second

1 MR. TUMMONDS: - and is still on the fence, yes. 2 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay, all right, thank 3 you. Any other questions? Vice Chair? 4 CHAIRMAN HOOD: So is this the case where we 5 VICE CHAIR MILLER: 6 had a PUD previously approved and is that PUD expired now? 7 MR. TUMMONDS: So there was a consolidated PUD along the front, along Michigan Ave. That is expired. was a first stage PUD that expires at the end of this year. We are going to let that expire, and then this MU-5-B zoning 10 11 will guide future development of the property. 12 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Okay, well, hopefully this map amendment will finally facilitate the development of this 13 property. So I know, and it seems like a much, well, at least at this point in today's world, it seems like a much 15 more realistic mix of uses, so hopefully it will facilitate 16 that mixed use development. 17 18 And the federal government is supportive? 19 at one point there was a snaq, but they are supportive of 2.0 this going forward? There's no further federal approvals 21 that are needed other than NCPC's comments on the map amendment? 22 23 So, yeah, throughout the PUD case, MR. TUMMONDS: there was the concern about the SMDA with GSA and whether or 24

uses

would be permitted based

residential

25

not

the

authority transferring the property from the federal government to the District.

That is still ongoing, but what this zoning map amendment allows us to do, it allows us to move forward first with a hotel, which GSA never had a problem with, and then it allows us in the future phases of development to have residential in future phases.

By that time, we are hopeful that this issue will be disposed of. At the end of the day, the issue is, it's not really a zoning issue or a planning issue. It's a title issue in that it was - there was this transfer of ownership and they said the transfer of ownership is to allow a hotel, conference center, and other ancillary and incidental uses.

I think the development team certainly thought that having residential would fit that. For whatever reason, we're still working through that and we hope to be able to have that issue addressed well in advance of - we're going to need to have that addressed well in advance of any proposal for residential on this site.

VICE CHAIR MILLER: And I certainly think the map amendment is consistent or not inconsistent with the designation for the land use designation there, but what - and we're not really looking at the proposals, but they are presented in what we have before us, the alternatives, and it's a lot more residential units than was previously, and

2.0

	9
1	those residential units will be subject to the inclusionary
2	zoning, and do you know if condominium or rental is
3	contemplated?
4	MR. TUMMONDS: I mean, we are.
5	VICE CHAIR MILLER: You are?
6	MR. TUMMONDS: Yeah, they'll be subject to IZ
7	absolutely at this point.
8	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Okay, all right, thank you
9	very much for your information.
10	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Mr. Tummonds, how many times has
11	the Zoning Commission seen this partial infringed parking
12	lot? I think, I want to say at least this - I don't have any
13	problems with this. I'm ready to move this forward, but it
14	seems like this is about the fifth time, I think, I've seen
15	it.
16	MR. TUMMONDS: I think that's true, and Mr.
17	Mariani, a former chairman of the Zoning Commission is here.
18	He's been dealing with this property, he said, since 1990.
19	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.
20	MR. TUMMONDS: So not just your Zoning Commission,
21	the current Zoning Commission has seen it, but even previous
22	iterations.
23	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.
24	MR. TUMMONDS: And I think the benefit of this map
25	amendment is that we really think this is going to allow the

1 development on this site to move forward. 2 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, because Ι remember specifically the last time we dealt with this, I specifically 3 said, "Hopefully it gets done this time," so I will repeat what I said previously. Hopefully it will get done this 5 6 time.

Okay, let's go to - I don't see anyone here from ANC 5A. We do have a letter for the record. Let's go to the Office of Planning, Ms. Thomas?

MS. THOMAS: Yes, good evening, Mr. Chair and members of the Commission. The Office of Planning will stand on the record of our report recommending approval of the requested map amendment. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, any questions of the Office of Planning? Okay, any other government reports that I may have missed other than what we already have mentioned?

Okay, let's go to the ANC's report. This is coming from Chairman Ronnie Edwards. They did an interim report and they also did a final report, and let me take it right from what he says.

"On a motion by Commissioner Lynch, seconded by Commissioner Flex, we voted five to one to support the proposed zoning amendment and expressed the Commission's continued support for the proposed development at the property site," and this is by Chairman Ronnie Edwards April

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

1	3, 2018.
2	Do we have any organizations or persons who are
3	here who would like to testify in support? Any organizations
4	or persons who are here who would like to testify in
5	opposition? Any organizations or persons who are here who
6	are undeclared?
7	Not seeing any, Mr. Tummonds, I don't know if you
8	have any rebuttal, but I'm sure you have a closing.
9	MR. TUMMONDS: Just that we think that this case
10	is ready for your approval this evening. Thank you.
11	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, Commissioners, what's your
12	pleasure? Would somebody like to make a motion?
13	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Mr. Chair, I would move
14	that we take proposed action on zoning case 17-26 for a
15	proposed map amendment petition to map parcel 121/31 from
16	it's unzoned status to MU-5-B, and I'll ask for a second.
17	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Second.
18	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, it's been moved and properly
19	seconded. Any further discussion? All in favor?
20	PARTICIPANTS: Aye.
21	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any opposition? Not hearing any,
22	Ms. Hanousek, would you please record the vote?
23	MS. HANOUSEK: Yes, on the motion of Commissioner
24	Turnbull as seconded by Commissioner Shapiro, the Zoning

Commission took proposed action in case 17-26 by a vote of

2	Mary not progent not resting
2	May not present, not voting.
3	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, Ms. Hanousek, do we have
4	anything else before us on this case?
5	MS. HANOUSEK: No, sir.
6	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, thank you all very much for
7	your case, and sorry to take up so much of your time this
8	evening. With that, this case is closed and in one minute
9	we'll start our next case.
10	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the
11	record at 6:46 p.m.)
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

<u>CERTIFICATE</u>

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: MIRV Holdings, LLC

Before: DCZC

Date: 04-12-18

Place: Washington, DC

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Court Reporter

near Nous &