GOVERNMENT

OF

THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

ZONING COMMISSION

+ + + + +

PUBLIC HEARING

+ + + + +

-----:

IN THE MATTER OF:

:

Office of Planning : Case No.

Text Amendments, Daytime Care: 17-20

Use, Subtitles B, U, and K

Thursday,

February 8, 2018

Hearing Room 220 South 441 4th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C.

The Public Hearing of Case No. 17-20 by the District of Columbia Zoning Commission convened at 6:30 p.m. in the Jerrily R. Kress Memorial Hearing Room at 441 4th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., 20001, Anthony J. Hood, Chairman, presiding.

## ZONING COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT:

ANTHONY J. HOOD, Chairperson ROBERT MILLER, Vice Chairperson MICHAEL G. TURNBULL, FAIA, Commissioner (AOC) PETER G. MAY, Commissioner (NPS) PETER SHAPIRO, Commissioner

## OFFICE OF ZONING STAFF PRESENT:

SHARON S. SCHELLIN, Secretary

# OFFICE OF PLANNING STAFF PRESENT:

JENNIFER STEINGASSER, Deputy Director, Development
Review & Historic Preservation
MAXINE BROWN-ROBERTS
ANNE FOTHERGILL

The transcript constitutes the minutes from the Public Hearing held on February 8, 2018.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Welcome and opening remarks	4
Preliminary matters	6
Office of Planning Text Amendment	6
OSSE	9
	• • • • •
ANCs	19
Persons in support	22

#### P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

6:32 p.m.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right, good evening. This,
we have two hearings tonight, and I want to call both of them
at the same time, but we're going to hear the first one in
the order in which I call them. Good evening, ladies and
gentlemen. This is a public hearing of the Zoning Commission
for the District of Columbia.

Today's date is February 8th, 2018. My name is
Anthony Hood joined by Vice Chair Miller, Commissioner
Turnbull, Commissioner Shapiro, and Commissioner May, Office
of Zoning Staff Ms. Sharon Schellin, as well as the Office
of Planning Staff, Ms. Steingasser, Ms. Brown-Roberts, and
Ms. Fothergill.

This proceeding is being recorded by a court reporter and it's also webcast live. Accordingly, we must ask you to refrain from any disruptive noise or actions in the hearing room, including displaying any signs or objects.

Notice of today's hearing as published in the DC Register. Copies of that announcement are available to my left, or the wall near the door.

I think, I want to make sure I'm clear that I'm calling, I'm reading this one time for Case number 17-20 and 17-24. We're going to hear 17-20 first and then 17-24 second. Is that correct, Ms. Schellin?

(No audible response.)

CHAIRMAN HOOD: The hearing will be conducted in accordance with the provisions of 11 DCMR Chapter 5 as follows. Preliminary matters, presentation of the petitioner, in this case the Office of Planning, report of other government agencies, report of the ANC, organizations and persons in support, organizations and persons in opposition, organizations and persons undeclared.

The following time constraints will be maintained in both of the hearings. Petitioner, which is Office of Planning, has up to 60 minutes. I don't think we're going to need 60 minutes on either one. Organizations, five minutes and individuals, three minutes.

All persons wishing to testify before the

Commission in this evening's hearing I ask to register at the

witness kiosk to my left and fill out the witness cards, the

two witness cards.

If you need assistance, you can see Ms. Schellin who is sitting to my left, and she can help you with the kiosk. Ms. Schellin, is the kiosk working this evening?

MS. SCHELLIN: Yes.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. The staff will be available throughout the hearing to discuss procedural questions.

Please turn off all electronic devices at this time so as not to disrupt these proceedings.

At this time, the Commission will consider any preliminary matters. Does the Staff have any preliminary matters?

MS. SCHELLIN: No.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Again, the first case is going to be 17-20. This is the Office of Planning Text Amendment regarding Child Development Centers. Let's go straight into it, Ms. Brown-Roberts.

MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Good evening to you and to Members of the Commission. For the record, I'm Maxine Brown-Roberts from the Office of Planning.

Last year, you approved amendments regarding the child development homes and extended child development homes which were outlined and had a pressing need that existed for child facilities in the District.

This need persists, and the Mayor and City Council has set aside monies to increase new infant and toddler slots for approximately 300 infants per year starting in 2018. To this end, OSSE and DCRA approached OP to examine some of the changes made during the transition to ZR-16, as regulations were made more restrictive.

OSSE requested that the regulation reflect current standards for establishing new or expanding child development centers. To meet this mandate, it also requested that regulations governing daytime care be amended to allow child

development centers by special exception in low-density residential zones with no pre-established limitation on the number of persons, and as a matter of right, in high-density residential, mixed use, and other zones.

In summary, the proposal before you this evening is an amendment to the description of the daytime care use category which is outlined in Subtitle B, Section 200, then amendment to the use provision of the RF zone.

And that portion of the RF zone only applies to care which would be in churches and in regard to the parking. That is already covered under OSSE's regulation, and therefore we thought that that had to be removed as there were some conflicts there.

In addition to that, the use provisions in the RA and the MU zones, and the WR zones were also amended to make daytime care use as a matter of right. At the set down, the Zoning Commission asked for clarification zones by the daytime use will be permitted by special exception.

The proposal is to allow daytime care by special exception in the R and RF zones, and as a matter of right beginning in the RA zones. Second, there were concerns regarding the impact on parking and drop-off.

In consultation with DCRA, the centers will continue to be required to demonstrate to DDOT and DCRA that their parking requirements are being met, and which and where

drop-off and pickup will be provided. These will be evaluated at that time along with all the public space issues.

Providers also express that many parents prefer to have centers which are close to their home, and many walk children to the facilities or use public transportation.

Many of the providers also expressed to us that they have areas where parents who come with strollers can leave the strollers there and continue on to work. So they try to make and accommodate the parents as best as possible.

At set down, the Zoning Commission also stressed the need for community outreach prior to the public hearing. The proposed amendments have been featured in two online newsletters, and OP has also met with some providers.

OSSE undertook the public outreach, and we have

Ms. Eva Laguerre here tonight who will address some of the

issues providers face in their public outreach. Thank you,

Mr. Chairman, and I'm available for any questions.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Brown-Roberts. Let's see if we have any follow-up questions, comments up here. Okay. Commissioner May?

COMMISSIONER MAY: I just have one quick question.

I mean, this is, what you're proposing now is identical to what you would have proposed at set down, or did anything change because it was kind of I was trying to track it

against the chart you had given us, and I couldn't really see anything different.

MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Yes, I think it was basically the same.

COMMISSIONER MAY: Basically the same?

MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Yes, right, the same areas.
Yes.

COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay. Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any other questions, comments?

Okay, not seeing any. Do we have any other government agencies that want to respond? Do we have a list, Ms. Schellin?

MS. SCHELLIN: Yes.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. All right. Okay. The representative from OSSE, if you can come forward. Okay. Let's just hear from the representative first, and then I'll call everybody else up. Okay, are you Ms. Eva Laguerre? I'm sorry, I didn't want to mess your name up. Ms. Laguerre, could you turn your mic on and introduce yourself? And you may begin.

MS. LAGUERRE: I'm Eva Laguerre from the Office of the State Superintendent of Education. I'm the Director of Licensing and Compliance. Thank you for the opportunity to provide testimony regarding the Office of Planning's request for text amendments to the District Zoning

regulations.

These amendments are necessary to ensure that the District is able to meet the growing demand for childcare across our great city. We also believe that these text amendments will help increase access to high quality childcare.

We are concerned that without these changes, it will be difficult for child development providers to sustain and grow their business, leaving families in the District without access to high quality childcare.

Therefore, we ask the Zoning Commission to adopt
the proposed text amendment changes that can expand daytime
care use as a matter of right instead of a special exception,
remove preestablished caps on the number of children, and
eliminate the requirement that play areas must be located on
the same premises as the facility.

The current zoning regulations require providers to duplicate efforts in order to meet the requirements of multiple agencies and codes. This is not an efficient process for these businesses and it also makes it difficult for families to access the care they need.

The proposed text amendment seeks to remove the limitations on the number of children a provider can serve which helps to incentivize the expansion of child development facilities.

OSSE supports removing these current limitations that will impact negatively the Mayor's current investments to expand seats for infants and toddlers. Additionally, the proposed text amendments will address the very real concerns from child development operators and owners regarding the process to obtain a certificate of occupancy, which is required to receive a child development license, a child development facility license from OSSE.

It is important to note that the licensed capacity of a facility is already regulated by the District of Columbia construction codes, supplement of 2013 at OSSE's child development facilities licensing regulations.

The construction codes establish the maximum allowable occupant load for a child development center which is based on the ages of the children and the size of the space, and the number of exits. OSSE's child development facility licensing regulations Section 5A Chapter 1 of DCMR limit the number of children based on their ages and the size of the space.

Another important point to note here is that we found in our 2016 study modeling the cost of care in the District of Columbia, the report concluded that most child development facilities in our City operate on very small margins, and maintaining enrollment is one of the most important factors to a facility's financial sustainability.

Every day that a child development facility sits

empty because they cannot open their doors, their bottom line

moves closer to negative which decreases District's families'

ability to go to work due to the lack of available care.

Currently, DC has enough space in its licensed child development facilities to serve approximately one third of the city's infants and toddlers. To address this pressing issue, Mayor Bowser included \$9 million in her fiscal year 2018 budget to increase high quality infant and toddler seats for DC residents.

These funds establish the access to quality childcare expansion grant which is designed to increase the supply of childcare services in the District by adding a total of 1,000 new infant and toddler slots by September 2020.

By supporting these proposed amendments, the
Zoning Commission will help expedite the process for
increasing slots in licensed childcare facilities, which
addresses concerns commonly raised by parents in all eight
wards.

OSSE has engaged ANCs about the proposed text amendments. Over the last six weeks, Elizabeth Groginsky, Assistant Superintendent of Early Learning at OSSE and I participated in nine ANC meetings across six different wards to present the proposed amendment.

We reached out and connected with nearly half the ANCs across all eight wards to discuss this matter, and received overwhelming support from a majority of community leaders we spoke with.

As we met with community leaders across the City, it was clear that adopting the proposed text amendments will have a significant positive impact on our providers, communities, families, and children.

In conclusion, we are grateful for your attention to this important topic, and we thank all of the organizations and individuals who will provide testimony in this matter. We are pleased to work with such strong and dedicated partners to provide District families safe, nurturing, and supportive childcare and early learning opportunities to our youngest residents.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you very much,

Director. We appreciate your testimony, and we also

appreciate your work because when I look at the record, I'm

not sure what the audience is about. But when I look at the

record, it's nothing but support here.

And I think that goes a long way with how you all got the message out of what you're trying to untangle some of the regulations that I guess the City had put in place. So I want to commend you and whoever else went out with you to do that because I do see nothing but support. It remains

to be seen who's in the audience, but we'll keep it moving.

Okay. Let me open up, any questions or comments?

Vice Chair? Then I'll come to you, Commissioner May.

VICE CHAIR MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman and thank you Ms. Laguerre for your testimony and for this initiative by the executive to increase child development centers, facilities in the District of Columbia. It's a real need. And I appreciate the community engagement and outreach that you did.

So, since we are, since the proposal does change some of the higher density zones from special exception to a matter of right process, does your child development licensing process involve some kind of outreach to ANCs so that they're aware that, the affected ANC in the particular neighborhoods are aware of a proposed facility coming in since they won't be coming before the BZA under this proposal anymore?

MS. LAGUERRE: Currently, no. Our licensing regulations don't cross any ANC or community outreach --

VICE CHAIR MILLER: Is there any way you can include a notification procedure in there just so the neighborhood's aware? We had one, ANC 2D I think that asked for some involvement in the matter of right process. They said they were supportive, and I'm supportive.

But I don't know if there's a way you can include

1 -

(Off microphone comments.)

VICE CHAIR MILLER: Oh.

MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Yes. That's something I spoke to DCRA about. And I was told that they have a notification that goes out to the ANCs every month, and that it will be added to that notice.

VICE CHAIR MILLER: Okay. That answers my question. Thank you very much.

MS. LAGUERRE: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: It's part of the ANC Act as well.

So I think they do get some notification. I just didn't know how to get here. So I think we're covered with that.

Commissioner May?

appreciate the outreach that has been done, and having your report on what outreach was done because I had concerns when this was first sat down about certain neighborhoods having concerns about, you know, some of the impacts that might be associated with a childcare center like, you know, cars doing drop-offs and things like that because that's sometimes been contentious in the past. So I appreciate all the outreach. Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Commissioner Shapiro?

COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I

join with my colleagues, and I just want to share my 1 2 appreciation for all the work that you've done. I just have 3 a question about a comment that you had in your testimony. It's here in front of me so I just want to, I'm curious. 4 5 But you said that there are currently enough spots to serve one third of the City's infants and toddlers. 6 that's the number of spots versus the number of infants and 7 toddlers. How does that relate to the need that's being met? 8 9 MS. LAGUERRE: So, one third of the slots to accommodate infants and toddlers. We are --10 11 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: But not every infant and 12 toddler is looking for --13 MS. LAGUERRE: Looking for care, exactly. 14 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: -- care. So how do you 15 sort of gauge that? MS. LAGUERRE: So we are at the tail end of a 16 17 study that is going on in the District about, it's a need assessment for child development, or child care, period, in 18 19 the City from age zero to five. And so we haven't released the numbers yet. 20 that's roughly what it's been in other reports that have 21 22 happened in the past. And it remains to be that. 23 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Okay, thank you. Thank 24 you, Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN HOOD: Anybody else? Mr. Turnbull? 25

COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Yes, thank you. Ms.

Laguerre, how long does it take an applicant coming before
you to get a license? How long, what's the process?

MS. LAGUERRE: It is a very varied process. So,

I mean, the process isn't varied, but the experience will be
different based on what the provider is coming to get.

So a home provider may come, they may have no neighborhood issues and have the perfect home that has no deficiencies or no things that need to be fixed.

And depending on how quickly they can get a home occupancy permit from DCRA, and fire inspection, and then their clean hands and all the things they have to do outside of OSSE, once they bring the application, we make an appointment within ten days to visit.

If they're available, we can do that. I have gotten people through the process in as little as a month. It just depends on all the external things that you have to do prior to coming to OSSE for a license.

COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay. Yes, I know. At the Board of Zoning adjustment, when we've had daycares, I mean, depending upon the inspection and what the repairs that have to be done, sometimes it can take a while.

MS. LAGUERRE: It's also the reason why we're here and we are working so hard towards changing this is because we had one provider, or several providers but one that sticks

in my head because of the amount of money that was put out prior to being able to open the facility spent a year trying to work through zoning, DCRA, and then she had to come to the BZA, and spent over \$75,000 in rent because you have to get a lease for the building before you can even start any of the process.

So they can spend, I've seen people spend a year, people with bad contractors have spent up to three years trying to get through, before they even get to us. And they come to us in tears basically like we have to get this done.

And so when I started this job, I think three and a half years ago, I made it my first point to try to get partnerships. And so that's how we got partnerships with DCRA. And so we worked more closely together so when people get stuck in the process, we can work together as sister agencies to expedite and get people through the sticking points.

COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Yes. Okay, well thank you. Hopefully we can speed things up a bit. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any other comments or questions up here? Okay, Director, we thank you very much. We appreciate you coming down and providing insight for us.

MS. LAGUERRE: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Any ANCs, come forward.

Do we have any other ANC's that would like to speak on the

topic? Okay.

MR. JAYARAMAN: May I distribute these letters -- (Simultaneous speaking.)

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Sure. You can just hand them to Ms. Schellin and she'll pass them out. And you can have a seat, identify yourself, and you may begin.

MR. JAYARAMAN: Good evening, Members of the Board. My name is Chander Jayaraman. I currently serve as Vice Chair of ANC 6B. Due to technical difficulties, we didn't have a chance to submit this electronically and sooner.

And so I'm here today on behalf, representing ANC 6B. And essentially I'm going to read this letter and then speak a little bit about the reasonings of our statements in the letter.

At its regularly scheduled, properly noticed meeting on January 9th, 2018 with a quorum present, Advisory Neighborhood Commission 6B voted 8-0-0 in support of the proposed text amendments, but voted to request that the text amendments be limited to child development centers.

We further recommend that Subtitle U Section

301.1m which limits by right to no more than 16 occupants be removed to be consistent with other zones, and that the changes not apply to adult day treatment facilities.

ANC 6B is primarily composed of RF, RA, and MU

zones with small segments of PDR and NC zones. The

Commission recognizes the need for more childcare options

within ANC 6B and supports the purpose and intent of the text

amendments.

The Commission also concurs with the comments from members of the Zoning Commission that the changes may have broad reaching effects on residents within mixed use zones, and areas of 6B where MU zones abut residential zones.

Finally, the Commission authorized the chair of ANC 6B to designate a representative to be here before the board, which is me, on behalf of ANC 6B and address any outstanding questions in regards to the above referenced case.

Please contact Commissioner Nick Burger, ANC 6B's
Planning and Zoning Committee Chair at the email and phone
number provided if you have questions or need further
information. Sincerely, Daniel Ridge, Chair of ANC 6B.

I would be pleased to answer any questions that the Board has.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, Commissioner, we greatly appreciate it. Let's see if we have any questions or comments up here. Not seeing any. Thank you very much. Appreciate it.

All right. We also had letters from the ANC 2D, and I think the Vice Chair spoke to some of those concerns,

we ironed those out. Also ANC 6E in support, and we've heard the comments from the Commissioner from ANC 6B.

So we want to thank all our front line, grassroots workers for making sure that they weighed in as well.

Let's go to the persons who are in support. Let's go to Robert Gundling. Hopefully I pronounced that correctly. If not, you can correct me when you come up. I think I know this next name, Carrie Thornhill. Is there anyone else who's here? You can come forward at this time. Anyone else who wants to testify in support, you can come forward at this time.

Let me ask, is there anyone here in opposition?

Okay. You can come forward at this time. So we got support to my left, and I'm going to ask the gentleman who's in opposition to sit to my right. Anybody else who's here in opposition?

Is there anyone here who works for OSSE? I'm just trying to figure out who everybody is in the audience, who they are.

(Off microphone comments.)

CHAIRMAN HOOD: I'm sorry?

(Off microphone comments.)

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Far left from OSSE? Okay, well

I know some people. All right. All right, so you're in
opposition, right?

(Off microphone comments.)

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Is there anybody here in opposition? I thought you stood up when I said opposition.

I'm going to just tell you --

(Simultaneous speaking.)

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. I'm going to just tell you, you made me real nervous. I said here we go. All right, so we're going to start with Ms. Thornhill, and then we're going to go on down to my left. From my right to your left. Ms. Thornhill, if you could start us off. Oh, did you want to end it?

(Off microphone comments.)

CHAIRMAN HOOD: You've been working so hard, I'll let you come down and choose whichever one you want. You want to start us off or you want to end it?

MS. THORNHILL: I'm happy to begin.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.

MS. THORNHILL: Good evening Commissioners Hood,
Miller, Shapiro, Turnbull, May, ZC Staff, Office of Planning,
and the other representatives of the government and the
community at large.

I am Carrie L. Thornhill, a lifetime resident of this great City, a community developer, and retired non-profit executive. I am President of the DC Early Learning Collaborative.

In a few remarks, I want to do three things, let you know that we support the proposed text amendment proposed by the office of planning, I want to give you a bit of background on our organization as part of the basis of why we support the amendment, and third, I want to impress upon you the importance of your approval of this text amendment as expeditiously as possible.

I am pleased to be a witness before the Zoning

Commission and speak in support of Case number 17-20, Child

Development Centers, on behalf of the DC Early Learning

Collaborative.

We are a multi-sector advocacy alliance of over 100 early childhood educators and organizations who practice the craft of early care and education in traditional and public charter schools, center based, and home based early childhood settings, as well as Head Start programs.

The Collaborative seeks to build public knowledge, public will, and public action toward ensuring that every child in the District of Columbia from infancy through school entry has access to high quality, affordable early childhood programs.

Our collaborative is an outgrowth of the Pre-K for

All DC organization which work closely with then City Council

Chairman Vincent Gray to lead the citywide public awareness

and community mobilization campaign that resulted in the

City's nationally recognized legislation, the DC Pre-Kindergarten Expansion and Enhancement Act of 2008, and the subsequent amendments of 2009 and 2010.

The Collaborative's theory of change is simply that high quality early childhood programs for children from infancy through school entry serve as the foundation and building block for the District's pre-K through 12 school reform efforts, the City's workforce development initiatives, and the City's long term economic vitality.

In our current iteration, the Collaborative serves as a guardian of the purposes and vision inherent in the pre-K legislation and an advocate for the full implementation of the law with fidelity as well as supporting infants and toddlers.

We are at a very pivotal time in the long and successful history of early learning and early childhood development in this City. We have universal pre-K for three and four year olds in the City, an unprecedented achievement. We lead the nation.

Our Mayor just announced a major investment of \$9 million new dollars to increase the supply of high quality infant and toddler's slots across the city, an investment I will add that will be matched dollar for dollar by the Low Income Investment Fund which will provide loans and technical assistance to our early learning providers, including help

with complex zoning issues.

We have major legislation moving through the City

Council to enhance public investments in infant and toddlers,

including subsidy rates for providers, credentialing and

compensation of early learning workforce.

In this context, we are only able to serve one third of the little ones zero to three. You play a critical role in helping us to grow our facilities so we can increase the number of high quality early learning programs in the City to respond to the unmet need.

We encourage you to utilize the Bainum Family
Foundation's recently completed supply and demand study
conducted by the National Reinvestment Fund to support your
decision making. We encourage you to improve this child
development center text amendment to support our expansion
efforts as soon as possible.

We publically want to thank Maxine Brown-Roberts of the Office of Planning for assisting some of our affected members in understanding the amendments. We want to thank you for this opportunity to speak, and will be happy to answer any questions you may have. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you. Next?

MR. GUNDLING: Good evening, Members.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: See if you can get a mic pulled in -- there you go.

MR. GUNDLING: Good evening, Members of the Zoning Commission. My name is Dr. Robert Gundling, and I'm the President of the District of Columbia Association for the Education of Young Children.

DCAEYC is the local affiliate of the National Association for the Education of Young Children, the premier leadership and advocacy organization for children birth to eight with over 60,000 members.

In addition, DCAEYC is one of the member organizations of the DC Early Learning Collaborative. The DC affiliate represents slightly more than 500 members representing the broad range of roles and responsibilities in the field of early care and education.

Our mission is to promote high quality learning for all children birth through eight by connecting research policy and practice. We promote a diverse dynamic field of practice, and we support and advocate all for all who care for, educate, and work on behalf of young children in the District of Columbia.

We support the proposed text amendments to subtitles B, U, and K regarding the daytime care use category to address the need to establish and expand child development centers.

We want to thank the staff at the Office of Planning and the Office of the State Superintendent of

Education for their work to send a report to you to expand daytime care uses as a matter of right with no preestablished limitations on number of persons in high density residential, mixed use, and other zoned districts.

Some of the members of DCAEYC are owners and administrators of early childhood education centers in the City. The current regulations would have significant impact on their ability to renovate centers, to increase their license capacity.

For example, a center with a license capacity determined by OSSE to be 100 children interested in completing renovation or expansion of their facility would only be able to have five children under the current regulations.

Obviously, this would have a significant negative impact on their ability to sustain their business. The end result would be that this center would not be able to grow the business in response to the demand for their services.

According to the Office of Planning, the
District's growing population of families with young children
requires expansion of the number of early childhood centers.
In addition, the recent announcement by the Mayor of the Low
Income Fund will administer grants to childcare providers,
provide the one dollar to one dollar match in capital loans
to help create an estimated 100 to 200 additional childcare

seats.

In order to create these slots, owners of early childhood centers will need to serve more than five children.

With regard to parking that's needed by centers, most if not all families do not drop off and pick up their children at the same time. Normally, families are dropping off and picking up children for about two hours in the morning and two hours in the afternoon.

The family spends no more than 15 minutes at the center. For some of the centers in new buildings, a drop off and pick up area is established in the garage near the center.

The families are able to park in this area for up to 15 minutes, and the result is there's little to no impact on the residents in the immediate area.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify this evening, and I would be glad to respond to any questions you have.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, thank you. Next? Turn your mic on. There we go, yes.

MS. NELSON: Okay. Good evening, Zoning

Commissioner and everyone in attendance. My name is

Cassandra Nelson, and I am a provider of quality childcare in the District. And I am here to give you my first-hand experience about the state of childcare in the District of

Columbia.

I've been in the childcare business for over 30 years, first operating in Prince George's County, Maryland, and covering the whole gamut of childcare from infants to all the way up to third grade.

And you know, I come into the District, I've always wanted to get into the District because I've heard that there was a need. And truly, upon coming to the District to do childcare, I find that there is a serious need.

There is a serious shortage of supply of childcare in the District. When we opened our first center in the Northeast quadrant of the city, before we even opened the doors, the center was full. There was a huge demand.

I mean, we get calls every day, people begging, begging, sometimes even crying for childcare, which prompted me to go out and open another childcare, to find a location because staff begged me to open another childcare center because families were just calling and calling and needing childcare.

And this was really literally affecting staff
because their compassion for people, you know, prompted them
to say we need to open another childcare center. So we found
the first space available which was at 8th and A Street, two
blocks from the first location that we opened.

That experience was a nightmare. And you know, considering, I can't understand it considering the state of the need in the City why the regulations would be changed to make that situation even more dire because that's what it has done.

My experience with the second location, I got caught right in the middle of the laws changing, the regulations changing. I began negotiating the lease to operate the childcare center on 8th Street about July of 2016.

At that time, the regulation was wide open. I mean, you could allow any number of children, as long as the health and fire regulations allowed, and the space allowed.

So somewhere in between my negotiation of the lease, and I did make a trip to DCRA to tell them exactly what I was doing, and you know, indicated the number of children, proposed children that we wanted for the center.

And at that time, they said it was okay. Okay.

Then I went on to proceed to get investments to open the center. We did a complete renovation. The space was bare. So we did a complete renovation. We invested all of our money.

And by the time that it was over and ready to open, we were told by DCRA that we can only accommodate 20 children. And that was devastating because first of all, we

had been approved already for 60 children.

We had been given a permit, a building permit to renovate the space to accommodate 60 children. And to be told all of a sudden that we could only accommodate 20 children was just, you know, just devastating.

So we had to, you know, I have been fighting this issue for quite some time now going back and forth. I've been issued several temporary C of O's until we can get this matter resolved.

So I am here tonight to tell you that from the perspective of someone who is right there and experiencing this first-hand, that the amendments need to be made because it is creating, it's making a bad situation worse. And I ask that you would please consider amending that.

And also I would like to propose that we also include subtitle H in that amendment because that's exactly where we're located in subtitle H. And it doesn't make any sense to me because, and another thing, too.

There are a lot of high-rise apartment buildings that have been erected along the A Street corridor. And I can't understand how we can attract, we can put something to attract so many young, childbearing people, families, but yet decrease the amount of childcare slots that, you know, that we can -- that the amount of childcare slots. That just doesn't make sense to me. I mean, I can't make any rational

reason why that is the case.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you very much. Next?

MR. ECHEVARRIA: Good evening. My name is Raul

Echevarria, I'm Co-founder and President of Communikids

Preschool located at 4719 Wisconsin Avenue Northwest. And

I am here to testify in favor of the amendments to Subtitle

B, U and K of Title 11 DCMR.

These are amendments that would expand daytime care use as a matter of right instead of special exception, remove preestablished caps on number of children at child development facilities, and eliminate the requirement for the location of play areas in certain areas.

Communikids was recently selected by the DC Office of the State Superintendent of Education to become a provider of free pre-kindergarten to three and four year old children in the District of Columbia, and we are very proud of our selection into a program that provides District families with affordable access to high quality early childhood education.

We believe that this is an initiative that is an important first step to help bring the cost of early childhood education under control. The high cost of early childhood education is a major national problem, and District families are under particular pressure.

As of 2016, DC families spent an average of \$22,658 per year on early childhood education services.

That's approximately 35 percent of median household income for on par for what many families pay for housing.

This cost puts high quality preschool out of the reach of most families. And the dearth of affordable early childhood education options has been a drag on the District of Columbia for decades.

As young families leave the City to find less expensive, higher quality educational options for their children, the City's tax base is eroding, and the overall competitiveness of the Capital is adversely impacted.

For this and other reasons, it is imperative that we do all we can to reduce the cost of early childhood education in the City. And in order to do that, it is important to understand the reasons why it is so expensive to educate a young child in the District.

While there are many factors that can affect the cost of service, it mainly boils down to supply and demand.

In DC, there are simply not enough early childhood education seats to go down, and this scarcity leads to sky-high prices.

A study by the DC Appleseed Center for Law and Justice in 2015 found that there were 22,000 children under the age of three in the City, but only about 7,600 seats at licensed child development centers.

Lack of access to affordable space in the expansive and crowded District of Columbia market is one of

the main barriers to expansion that many child development centers such as ours face.

We believe that zoning regulations as they are currently written unduly burden the child development industry by requiring child development facilities in the impacted zones to go through the cumbersome, lengthy, and expansive process of the BZA.

And make no mistake, these delays and expenses have a significant impact on the bottom line of our centers and other centers across the City that limit the amount of investment providers can make in increasing the capacity of their facilities.

And without providers making these types of investments, the cost of early childhood education in our City will continue to rise, and families will continue to have trouble finding high quality early childhood education for their children.

So all I'm asking is that we make sure that the zoning regulations mesh with District policies that are making, providing access to high quality childcare a priority.

Indeed, just last week Mayor Bowser announced a \$5 million, \$9 million rather initiative to help add 1,000 infant and toddler seats to the DC child development facilities by 2020.

Let's make sure that zoning regulations are in line with this most important goal. Let's amend the current regulations to remove requirements for location in play areas in RF zones, let's allow child development centers as a matter of right with no limitations in RA, MU, and WR zones.

And let's make the promise of high quality early childhood education a reality for all. Thank you for your time.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: I want to thank you, all. We appreciate your testimony. Let's see if we have any comments or questions up here. Vice Chairman?

VICE CHAIR MILLER: Thank you all for all the work
you do on behalf of children in the District of Columbia.

Of course many of us are familiar for decades of the service
that you, Ms. Thornhill, have provided to the residents and
the effective and passionate advocacy you've done for early
childhood education and development.

And we appreciate all of that service. I think you were chair of the BZA, weren't you, at one time?

MS. THORNHILL: For ten years.

VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yes. And many other boards, obviously, and all the work on behalf of the residents, throughout the District of Columbia but particularly the east end. And I'll ask about the Subtitle H question to the Office of Planning when I get a chance to. So thank you for

bringing that up.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Everyone, thank you all. But let
me ask you a question. Right now, from a regulatory
standpoint, how do we compare with Prince George's, not that
we're trying to compete. But I heard you mention. How do
we compare with Prince George's County? And I have a lot of
friends over there, so I just was curious how do we compare
with Prince George's?

MS. NELSON: Yes, I think that it's a lot easier
I think in Prince George's County. One of the things that
I see in the District is there is a lot of overlap. You
know, a lot of the agencies are doing the exact same thing
over and over and over again.

When we opened up, we had to first of all go
through the DCRA. And that process, that was the longest
part of the process through DCRA, getting through getting
your permits done. And in my case, we were doing
renovations, so that's a slightly longer process because you
have to get them all approved, inspectors have to come out
throughout the renovation process.

And then after that was over, we have to go
through the process of OSSE, you know, getting licensed.

License was the shortest part of the process. They were able
to come in very expeditiously and, you know, license us.

But the longest part was the DCRA. And I think

that we got caught right in the middle of the change of the 1 2 regulations. So that created another snag in the process. 3 But I do find that there is a lot of overlap. CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. All right, thank you. 4 5 I think that's what the Director was eluding to as well. So 6 I really appreciate it. And we all appreciate you all coming 7 down, providing your expertise in your long work that you all have done in this field. 8 9 Let's see, any other questions or comments up here? Okay, not seeing any, thank you very much. Appreciate 10 11 it. 12 I left out organizations or persons that are undeclared. I seem to leave that out all the time. 13 I'm 14 still getting used to it. Okay, not seeing any. 15 Commissioners, we have any comments? We have the request before us. Vice Chair Miller? 16 17 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. so I wanted to ask the Office of Planning if you could 18 19 respond to the, now I forget the witness' name. MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Ms. Nelson. 20 VICE CHAIR MILLER: 21 Yes. 22 MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Ms. Nelson. Yes, she did 23 bring it to my attention also. 24 VICE CHAIR MILLER: So that's a particular use 25 group or location that is not being made matter of right, or

is it? 1 2 MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: It's going to take some cross-3 referencing for us to take a look at it. And we're going to be working with OAG to take a look at it and --4 5 VICE CHAIR MILLER: So we may be able to get to that --6 7 MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: To address it, yes. VICE CHAIR MILLER: -- point before we take final 8 action? 9 MS. STEINGASSER: We would ask that the Commission 10 11 specifically grant OP permission to work with OAG to ensure 12 that cross section is there. VICE CHAIR MILLER: I certainly will do that. 13 MS. STEINGASSER: So that we don't have to --14 15 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Myself and maybe my colleagues 16 if they don't object. CHAIRMAN HOOD: Steingasser is so nice. 17 Commission would grant. That is so gracefully asked for. 18 19 I mean, how could you turn that down? Okay, any other questions or comments? Commissioner Shapiro? 20 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 21 22 This is a question for OP as well. But there was something 23 in the Advisory Neighborhood Commission report that there was

a "but" after the vote in support. I just want to make sure

I understand.

24

The "but" was, "but voted to request that the documents be limited to child development centers." That was in the ANC letter, 6B.

MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: It seems --

COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: It might be helpful to --

MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: The child development, the daytime care includes a number of uses. And I think that may be what they're talking about.

COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: I just want to make sure we're addressing the ANC's concerns, and it wasn't quite clear to me whether that was a request to have this changed in some way or if it was just emphasizing something that's already being done. Perhaps we could ask the Commissioner step forward and clarify that? I'm sorry, I didn't catch that before when you were before us.

MR. JAYARAMAN: No, and I had meant to speak about that as well. The Office of Planning is correct, there are a number of uses in that category, including adult day treatment facilities, and for we're not concerned about services to individuals with development disabilities. That is specifically state.

But what I came before the BZA probably about a year and a half ago where certain adult day treatment centers which included potentially mental health issues, were also we already don't get any notice from behavioral health.

All this would do is expand that is what our concern was because it's covering the entire use category, we thought it should be limited to just child development centers, which I'm very, very supportive of and very supportive of that expansion, for sure.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you, that clarifies it.

Thank you. Okay, so I think to me, the reason I didn't respond, I didn't really piggy back on what he had mentioned because I thought that was already in the pipeline and already excluded. Is that a correct statement, Ms. Brown-Roberts?

MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: No, I don't think -- I have to go back and take a look at it to see that it wasn't excluded in all.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay but some of that I have no pause, when I first got on the Commission in the '90s, what was it, the Fair Housing Act. I think the City was being sued and all that piece that goes into that.

I actually voted against, I can say it now, I voted against that because it did exactly what he said, it took out the right for neighborhoods to be notified. And I don't even want to ask how that's working because I actually know.

But I was just curious. So that's something I think we need to look at. I'm definitely supportive of the

children. I think, I understand the Commissioner's concern.

Let me hear what others, any others have any comments on
that? Commissioner May?

COMMISSIONER MAY: No, I agree. I mean, that stood out in the Commissioner's testimony that there was, you know, there was that concern that it would only be at this effort to broaden the ability to establish child development centers, not include all facilities.

And I mean, frankly I'm surprised we didn't hear more about that from others. But I mean, is the intention of the Office of Planning at this point, I mean, was it really just about the child development centers and not about the adult --

MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: It was, yes. We didn't do any research at all on the other --

COMMISSIONER MAY: All right. So then, I mean, it seems to me it makes sense that we grant OP with flexibility to tweak the language with that intent along with the other change that we just got to.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thanks. I appreciate my colleagues. I think that is the way that we need to move. I definitely don't want to hold up and I don't want Prince George's County to be doing a lot better than we are, so I don't want to hold this up.

Any other questions or comments up here?

(No audible response.) 1 2 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Would someone like to make 3 a motion? Okay. COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Mr. Chair, I move that we 4 5 take preliminary action on Case 17-20, Child Development 6 Centers, text amendment to the daytime care use category provided by the Office of Planning and look for a second. 7 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Second. 8 9 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, it's been moved and properly 10 second. This is a two vote case. And hopefully Office of Planning will have, we will have that fine-tuned before we 11 12 take our second vote. But we're definitely enthusiastic 13 about moving this forward. Any further discussions? All in favor? 14 15 (Chorus of ayes.) 16 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any opposition? 17 (No audible response.) 18 CHAIRMAN HOOD: None hearing, Ms. Schellin, would 19 you record the vote? Staff records the vote as 5 20 MS. SCHELLIN: Yes. 21 to 0 to 0 to take proposed action on Zoning Commission Case 22 Number 17-20, Commissioner Turnbull moving, Commissioner 23 Miller seconding, Commissioners Hood, May, and Shapiro in 24 support.

And as Commissioner, or Chairman Hood stated, this

is a two vote. So now proposed rulemaking will be published for a 30 days comment period.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: okay, I want to commend everyone.

Let's keep taking care of our little people because little people got feelings too. Okay? All right. Anything else in this case?

All right, we're going to take one minute and give everybody a chance to leave. If you want to stick around for the next one, stick around and see what we do. If not, thank you for coming. With that, we'll give it one minute.

(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the record at 7:27 p.m.)

## ability 12:4 27:8,16 41:7 **able** 10:3 18:2 25:6 27:13,17 28:13 36:23 38:5 above-entitled 43:11 abut 20:8 access 10:5,10,21 12:11 23:20 32:18 33:24 34:20 accommodate 8:10 16:10 30:24 31:3.4 achievement 24:18 Act 15:11 24:2 40:17 action 23:18 38:9 42:5 42:21 actions 4:17 add 24:23 34:23 **added** 15:7 adding 12:13 addition 7:14 26:9 27:22 additional 27:25 Additionally 11:3 address 8:16 11:4 12:7 20:11 26:22 38:7 addresses 12:19 addressing 39:10 adjustment 17:20 administer 27:23 administrators 27:6 adopt 10:11 adopting 13:6 adult 19:24 39:18,23 41:13 adversely 33:10 **Advisory** 19:17 38:23 advocacy 23:12 26:7 35:16 advocate 24:12 26:17 **affect** 33:16 affiliate 26:5.11 affordable 23:20 32:18 33:4.24 afternoon 28:8 age 16:19 33:22 agencies 5:6 9:11 10:19 18:16 36:12 ages 11:15,18 ago 18:12 39:23 agree 41:4 alliance 23:12 **allow** 6:25 7:19 30:12 35:4 allowable 11:14 allowed 30:13,13

amended 6:25 7:15 amending 31:14 amendment 3:12 6:7 7:6,8 10:12,22 12:25 23:2,5,6 25:15 31:16 42:6 **amendments** 1:10 6:12 8:13 9:25 10:2,5 11:4 12:16,22 13:6 19:19 19:20 20:4 24:3 25:19 26:20 31:12 32:6,8 amount 18:1 31:23,24 34:10 **ANC** 5:6 12:24 14:14,19 14:22 15:11 19:9,12 19:25 20:3,10,11,14 20:17,24 21:1,2 39:3 ANC's 18:25 39:10 **ANCs** 3:17 12:21 13:2 14:13 15:6 18:24 **ANNE** 2:10 announced 24:20 34:22 announcement 4:20 27:22 answer 20:18 25:21 answers 15:8 **Anthony** 1:17,19 4:10 anybody 16:25 21:15 22:2 anymore 14:17 **AOC** 1:20 apartment 31:19 Appleseed 33:20 applicant 17:2 application 17:13 applies 7:9 apply 19:24 appointment 17:14 appreciate 13:17,18 14:8 15:16.22 18:22 20:21,23 35:10,18 37:6,6,10 41:20 appreciation 16:2 approached 6:19 approval 23:6 approved 6:12 31:1 36:19 approximately 6:18 12:6 33:1 area 28:11,13,15 areas 8:8 9:6 10:15 20:8 32:12,12 35:3 aside 6:17 asked 7:17 14:22 38:18 **asking** 34:18 assessment 16:18 assistance 5:18 24:25 Assistant 12:23

assisting 25:18 associated 15:20 **Association** 26:3,6 attendance 28:22 attention 13:9 37:23 attract 31:21.22 audible 5:1 42:1,17 audience 13:19 14:1 21:18 authorized 20:9 available 4:20 5:22 8:18 12:4 17:15 29:24 Avenue 32:5 average 32:24 aware 14:14,15,22 awareness 23:24 ayes 42:15

# В

**B** 1:10 7:7 26:21 32:7 back 31:7 40:8,13 background 23:4 bad 18:8 31:13 **Bainum** 25:11 bare 30:20 barriers 34:1 **base** 33:9 based 11:15,18 17:6 23:15.15 **basically** 9:3,5 18:10 **basis** 23:4 began 30:8 **begged** 29:18 begging 29:15,16 beginning 7:21 behalf 19:12 20:11 23:10 26:18 35:13,22 behavioral 39:25 believe 10:4 32:19 34:3 **best** 8:10 **better** 41:23 birth 26:7,15 bit 18:19 19:14 23:3 block 24:7 **blocks** 29:25 board 17:20 19:8 20:11 20:19 **boards** 35:21 **boils** 33:17 **bottom** 12:2 34:9 **Bowser** 12:8 34:22 bring 17:13 32:20 37:23 bringing 36:1 broad 20:7 26:12 broaden 41:7 **Brown-** 8:19 40:10 **Brown-Roberts** 2:9 4:13 6:8,9,11 9:3,6

15:4 25:17 37:20,22 38:2,7 39:4,6 40:12 41:14 budget 12:9 build 23:17 building 18:5 24:7 31:2 buildings 28:10 31:19 burden 34:4 Burger 20:14 business 10:9 27:16,18 29:2 businesses 10:20 BZA 14:16 18:4 34:7 35:19 39:22

## С

C 31:8 call 4:4,6 9:17 calling 4:23 29:19,19 calls 29:15 campaign 23:25 capacity 11:9 27:9,10 34:11 capital 27:24 33:10 caps 10:14 32:10 cards 5:16.17 care 1:10 6:25 7:6.10 7:16,19 10:13,21 11:21 12:4 16:13,14 16:18 23:14 26:13,17 26:21 27:2 32:9 39:7 42:6 43:4 Carrie 21:8 22:22 cars 15:20 case 1:9.15 4:23 5:5 6:5 20:13 23:9 32:1 36:17 42:5,10,21 43:6 Cassandra 28:23 catch 39:14 category 7:7 26:21 39:18 40:2 42:6 caught 30:7 37:1 center 11:14 15:20 23:15 25:15 27:10,17 28:10,12 29:12,14,18 29:23 30:9,17,20 33:20 centers 3:13 6:7,24 7:1 7:23 8:5 14:7 19:20 23:10 26:23 27:6,8,21 28:3,4,10 33:23 34:2 34:9,10 35:4 39:2,23 40:4 41:8.12 42:6 certain 15:18 32:12 39:23 certainly 38:13

amend 35:2

certificate 11:6

chair 4:10 14:3,4,20

15:3.8.25 16:24 19:9 20:9,15,17,25 35:12 35:19,21 37:16,17,21 37:24 38:5,8,13,15 42:4,8 **Chairman** 1:17 4:3 5:2 5:22 6:5,9 8:18,19 9:9 9:14 13:16 14:4 15:11 15:24 16:25 18:20.24 19:4 20:20 21:21,23 22:2,6,13,17 23:24 25:22,24 28:19 32:2 35:9,11 36:2 37:4,17 38:17,21 40:6,15 41:20 42:2,9,16,18,25 43:3 Chairperson 1:19,20 **chance** 19:10 35:25 43:8 Chander 19:8 change 8:25 14:10 24:4 37:1 41:19 **changed** 30:3 39:11 **changes** 6:20 10:7,12 19:24 20:6 changing 17:24 30:7,8 **Chapter** 5:3 11:17 chart 9:1 charter 23:15 **child** 3:13 6:7,13,13,15 6:23,25 10:8,24 11:5 11:7,7,12,14,16,22 12:1,6 14:6,12 16:18 16:18 19:20 23:9,19 25:14 26:22 32:10 33:15,23 34:1,4,5,24 35:4 39:2,6 40:3 41:7 41:12 42:5 childbearing 31:22 **childcare** 10:3,6,10 12:12,13,18 13:14 15:20 20:2 27:23,25 28:23,25 29:2,4,9,11 29:16,17,18,20,23 30:9 31:23,24 34:20 childhood 23:13,16,20 24:5,16 27:6,21 28:3 32:18,21,22,25 33:5 33:12,18 34:14,16 35:7,17 **children** 8:6 10:14,23 11:15,18 13:8 24:5 26:4,6,7,15,18 27:11 27:13,20 28:3,6,7 30:12,17,17,25 31:1,3 31:5 32:10,15 33:9,21 34:17 35:13 41:1 **choose** 22:14

**Chorus** 42:15 churches 7:10 city 6:16 10:4 11:23 13:5,23 16:19 22:23 23:23 24:17.18.22 25:2,10 27:7 29:13 30:3 33:7,13,22 34:10 34:15 40:17 city's 12:7 16:6 24:1,8,9 33:9 citywide 23:24 clarification 7:17 clarifies 40:6 clarify 39:14 clean 17:12 clear 4:22 13:6 39:11 close 8:5 closely 18:14 23:23 closer 12:3 Co-founder 32:4 codes 10:19 11:11,13 collaborative 22:25 23:11,17,22 24:10 26:10 Collaborative's 24:4 **colleagues** 16:1 38:15 41:21 **Columbia** 1:2,16 4:8 11:11,22 14:7 23:19 26:3,19 29:1 32:16 33:6.25 35:13.23 come 8:8 9:15 14:3 17:7 18:3,10,24 21:7 21:9,10,13 22:14 29:6 36:19,24 coming 14:15,16 17:2,6 17:18 18:22 29:8 37:6 43:10 commend 13:24 43:3 **comment** 16:3 43:2 **comments** 8:21 9:9 14:2 15:2 18:20 20:5 20:22 21:2,20,22 22:1 22:12 35:10 37:9,15 38:20 41:2,25 **Commission** 1:4,16,18 4:7 5:15 6:1,10 7:17 8:11 10:11 12:17 19:18 20:2,5,6,9 23:9 26:2 38:10,18,23 40:16 42:21

Commissioner 1:20,21

1:21 4:10,11,11 8:21

8:22 9:5,8 14:3 15:14

15:15,24,25 16:11,14

16:23 17:1,19 18:18

20:14,20 21:2 28:22

38:20,21 39:5,9,13

42:25 Commissioner's 41:1,5 Commissioners 22:18 37:15 42:23 Committee 20:15 commonly 12:19 Communikids 32:4,13 communities 13:8 community 8:12 13:3,5 14:8,19 22:21,23 23:25 **compare** 36:4,6,7 compassion 29:22 compensation 25:5 compete 36:5 competitiveness 33:10 complete 30:20,21 completed 25:12 completing 27:12 complex 25:1 Compliance 9:23 composed 19:25 concern 40:2 41:1,6 **concerned** 10:7 39:19 concerns 7:21 11:4 12:19 15:17,19 20:25 39.10 concluded 11:22 conclusion 13:9 concurs 20:5 conducted 5:2 25:13 conflicts 7:13 connected 13:1 connecting 26:15 **consider** 6:1 31:14 considering 30:2,2 consistent 19:23 constitutes 2:13 constraints 5:9 construction 11:11,13 consultation 7:23 contact 20:14 contentious 15:22 **CONTENTS** 3:6 context 25:6 continue 7:24 8:9 34:15 34:15 contractors 18:8 **control** 32:21 convened 1:16 Copies 4:20 correct 4:25 21:7 39:17 40:10 correctly 21:7 corridor 31:20 cost 11:21 32:20,21 33:3,12,17 34:14

41:3,4,16 42:4,22,22

Council 6:16 23:23 25:3 County 29:3 36:6,10 41:23 **course** 35:14 **court** 4:15 **covered** 7:11 15:13 **covering** 29:4 40:2 craft 23:14 create 27:25 28:2 created 37:2 creating 31:13 credentialing 25:4 critical 25:7 cross 14:19 38:12 cross-38:2 crowded 33:25 crying 29:16 cumbersome 34:6 curious 16:4 36:7 40:24 **current** 6:22 10:17 11:1 11:2 24:10 27:7,13 35:2 **currently** 12:5 14:18 16:5 19:8 34:4

#### D **D.C** 1:14.17 **Daniel** 20:17 **date** 4:9 day 12:1 19:24 29:15 39:18,23 daycares 17:20 days 17:14 43:2 daytime 1:10 6:25 7:6 7:16,18,19 10:12 26:21 27:2 32:8 39:7 42:6 **DC** 4:19 12:5,10 22:24 23:10,23 24:1 26:10 26:11 32:13,24 33:18 33:20 34:24 **DCAEYC** 26:5,9 27:5 **DCMR** 5:3 11:17 32:7 **DCRA** 6:19 7:23,24 15:5 17:11 18:3,14 30:15,24 36:15,16,25 **DDOT** 7:24 dearth 33:4 decades 33:6 35:14 decision 25:14 decrease 31:23 decreases 12:3 dedicated 13:13 deficiencies 17:9 **definitely** 40:25 41:22

42:12

delays 34:8

demand 10:3 25:12

27:18 29:14 33:17 drop 28:5,10 establish 11:13 12:11 familiar 35:14 drop-off 7:22 8:1 26:22 41:7 families 10:9,21 13:8 demonstrate 7:24 density 14:11 27:3 **drop-offs** 15:21 established 28:11 13:13 27:20 28:5,6,13 dropping 28:6 29:19 31:22 32:17,23 depending 17:10,21 establishing 6:23 depends 17:17 due 12:4 19:9 estimated 27:25 32:24 33:2,4,7 34:15 Deputy 2:7 duplicate 10:18 **Eva** 8:16 9:17.21 families' 12:3 family 25:11 28:9 description 7:6 dynamic 26:16 evaluated 8:2 evening 4:3,6 5:20 6:10 designate 20:10 Far 21:23 Ε designed 12:12 7:5 19:7 22:18 25:23 favor 32:6 42:14 determined 27:11 early 12:23 13:14 22:24 26:1 28:17,21 32:3 featured 8:13 **devastating** 30:25 31:5 evening's 5:15 February 1:12 2:14 4:9 23:10,13,14,15,20 developer 22:23 24:5,16,16,25 25:5,9 everybody 9:17 21:18 feelings 43:5 development 2:7 3:13 43:8 fidelity 24:13 26:10,13 27:6,21 28:2 field 26:13,16 37:8 6:7,13,13,23 7:1 10:8 32:18,20,21,25 33:4 exact 36:12 10:24 11:5,7,8,12,14 exactly 16:13 30:15 33:12,18 34:14,16 fighting 31:6 31:16 40:20 11:16,23 12:1,6 14:6 35:6,16 **figure** 21:18 14:12 16:18 19:20 easier 36:9 examine 6:19 fill 5:16 23:10 24:8,17 25:15 east 35:23 final 38:8 example 27:10 26:22 32:11 33:23 Echevarria 32:3,4 exception 7:1,18,20 Finally 20:9 34:1,4,5,24 35:4,17 economic 24:9 10:13 14:11 32:9 financial 11:25 **find** 29:9,17 33:7 37:3 39:2,6,20 40:3 41:7 educate 26:18 33:15 **excluded** 40:10,14 41:12 42:5 education 9:22 23:14 **executive** 14:6 22:24 **finding** 34:16 devices 5:24 26:4,6,13 27:1,6 existed 6:14 fine-tuned 42:11 different 9:2 12:24 17:6 32:14,18,21,22,25 **exits** 11:16 fire 17:11 30:13 **difficult** 10:8.20 33:5,13,18 34:14,16 **expand** 10:12 11:3 first 4:5.24 6:5 9:16 difficulties 19:9 35:7.17 26:22 27:1 32:8 40:1 15:18 18:12 29:3,12 dire 30:4 educational 33:8 expanding 6:23 29:24,25 30:25 32:20 **Director** 2:7 9:22 13:17 educators 23:13 **expansion** 10:24 12:12 36:14 40:16 18:21 37:5 effective 35:16 24:2 25:15 27:12,21 first-hand 28:24 31:12 disabilities 39:20 effects 20:7 34:1 40:5 **fiscal** 12:8 discuss 5:23 13:2 efficient 10:19 **expansive** 33:25 34:7 **five** 5:12 16:19 27:13 discussions 42:14 effort 41:7 **expedite** 12:17 18:16 28:3 displaying 4:18 efforts 10:18 24:8 25:16 expeditiously 23:7 fixed 17:9 disrupt 5:25 eight 12:19 13:2 26:8 36:24 flexibility 41:18 disruptive 4:17 26:15 expenses 34:8 follow-up 8:20 following 5:9 distribute 19:2 either 5:12 **expensive** 33:8,14 **District** 1:2,16 4:8 6:15 **experience** 17:5 28:25 follows 5:4 electronic 5:24 **forget** 37:19 9:25 10:3,9 11:10,22 electronically 19:10 30:1,6 12:13 13:13 14:7 eliminate 10:15 32:11 experiencing 31:11 forth 31:7 16:17 23:19 26:3.19 Elizabeth 12:22 expertise 37:7 forward 9:15 18:24 21:9 28:24,25 29:6,7,9,12 eluding 37:5 express 8:4 21:11,13 39:14 42:13 32:16,17,22 33:5,15 expressed 8:7 Fothergill 2:10 4:14 **email** 20:15 33:25 34:19 35:13,23 found 11:21 29:23 emphasizing 39:12 extended 6:13 36:11 external 17:17 33:21 **empty** 12:2 foundation 24:6 **District's** 12:3 24:7 **encourage** 25:11,14 F Foundation's 25:12 27:20 engaged 12:21 districts 27:4 face 8:17 34:2 four 24:18 32:15 engagement 14:8 diverse 26:16 enhance 25:3 facilities 6:15 8:6 10:25 frankly 41:9 free 32:15 documents 39:2 Enhancement 24:2 11:12,23 12:6,18 14:7 enrollment 11:24 friends 36:7 doing 15:20 30:16 19:24 25:8 32:11 34:5 ensure 10:2 38:11 front 16:4 21:3 36:12,17 41:23 34:12,25 39:19 41:8 dollar 24:23,23 27:24 ensuring 23:18 facility 10:16 11:8,10 full 24:12 29:14 27:24 enthusiastic 42:12 Fund 24:24 25:13 27:23 11:17 12:1 14:15 18:2 dollars 24:21 entire 40:2 27:12 funds 12:11 door 4:21 facility's 11:25 further 19:21 20:16 entry 23:20 24:6 doors 12:2 29:14 42:14 erected 31:20 factors 11:25 33:16 Dr 26:2 **FAIA** 1:20 eroding 33:9 G drag 33:5 essentially 19:13 Fair 40:17

**G** 1:20.21 gamut 29:4 garage 28:11 gauge 16:15 gentleman 21:14 gentlemen 4:7 **George's** 29:3 36:4,6,8 36:10 41:23 getting 36:16,16,22 37:14 give 23:3 28:24 43:7,10 given 9:1 31:2 glad 28:17 **go** 6:7 12:4 21:5,6 22:7 22:9 25:25 28:20 29:17 33:19 34:6 36:14,21 40:13 goal 35:2 goes 13:21 15:6 40:18 going 4:5,24 5:11 6:6 16:17 19:13 21:14 22:4,6,8,8 31:7 38:2,3 43:7 good 4:3,6 6:9 19:7 22:18 25:23 26:1 28:21 32:3 **gotten** 17:16 governing 6:25 government 1:1 5:6 9:10 22:20 gracefully 38:18 **grade** 29:5 grant 12:12 38:11,18 41:17 grants 27:23 grassroots 21:3 grateful 13:9 **Gray** 23:24 great 10:4 22:23 greatly 20:20 Groginsky 12:22 group 37:25 grow 10:9 25:8 27:17 growing 10:3 27:20 guardian 24:11 guess 13:23 **Gundling** 21:6 25:23 26:1,2 Н **H** 31:16,17 35:24

H 31:16,17 35:24 half 13:1 18:12 39:23 hand 19:4 hands 17:12 happened 16:22 happy 22:16 25:20 hard 17:24 22:13 head 18:1 23:16 health 30:13 39:24.25 hear 4:5,24 9:16 41:2,9 heard 21:1 29:7 36:5 hearing 1:6,13,15,16 2:14 4:7,18,19 5:2,15 5:23 8:12 42:18 hearings 4:4 5:10 held 2:14 help 5:19 10:5 12:17 24:25 27:25 32:20 34:23 helpful 39:5 helping 25:8 helps 10:24 **high** 10:5,10 12:9 23:20 24:5,21 25:9 26:14 27:3 32:18,21 33:3 34:16,20 35:6 high-density 7:3 **high-rise** 31:19 higher 14:11 33:8 Historic 2:8 **history** 24:16 hold 41:22,24 **home** 8:5 17:7,8,10 23:15 homes 6:13.13 **Hood** 1:17,19 4:3,10 5:2 5:22 6:5 8:19 9:9,14 13:16 15:11,24 16:25 18:20.24 19:4 20:20 21:21,23 22:2,6,13,17 22:18 25:22,24 28:19 32:2 35:9 36:2 37:4 38:17 40:6,15 41:20 42:2,9,16,18,23,25 43:3 hopefully 18:19 21:6 42:10 hours 28:7.8 household 33:1

identify 19:6 immediate 28:15 impact 7:22 11:2 13:7 27:7,16 28:14 34:9 impacted 33:10 34:6 impacts 15:19 imperative 33:11

housing 33:2 40:17

huge 29:14

identical 8:23

impacts 15:19 imperative 33:11 implementation 24:12 importance 23:6 important 11:9,20,25

13:10 32:20 33:14 35:2 impress 23:5 improve 25:14 incentivize 10:24 include 14:21,25 31:16 41:8 included 12:8 39:24 includes 39:7 including 4:18 24:25 25:4 39:18 income 24:24 27:23 33:1 increase 6:17 10:5 12:9 12:12 14:6 24:21 25:8

27:8 increasing 12:18 34:11 indicated 30:16 individuals 5:13 13:11 39:20

industry 34:5 infancy 23:19 24:6 infant 6:17 12:9,14 16:11 24:22 25:3 34:24

infants 6:18 11:3 12:7 16:6,7,10 24:13 29:4 information 20:17 inherent 24:11 initiative 14:6 32:19

34:23 initiatives 24:8 insight 18:22 inspection 17:11,21 inspectors 36:19 intent 20:3 41:18 intention 41:10 interested 27:11 introduce 9:19

introduce 9:19 invested 30:21 investment 24:20,22,24 34:11

investments 11:2 25:3 30:19 34:14 involve 14:13 involvement 14:23

ironed 21:1 issue 12:8 31:7 issued 31:8 issues 8:3,17 17:8 25:1

39:24 iteration 24:10

J 1:17,19 January 19:17 Jayaraman 19:2,7,8 39:16 JENNIFER 2:7 Jerrily 1:16 job 18:11 join 16:1 joined 4:10 July 30:9 Justice 33:21

Kress 1:16

K

K 1:10 24:12 26:21 32:7 keep 14:1 43:4 kind 8:25 14:13 Kindergarten 24:2 kiosk 5:16,20,20 know 14:25 15:12,19,20 17:19 21:8,24 23:2 29:6,22 30:1,16 31:5 31:6,23 36:12,22,24 40:23 41:6 knowledge 23:17

L 22:22 lack 12:4 33:24 ladies 4:6 Laguerre 8:16 9:17,18 9:21,21 14:5,18 15:10 16:9,13,16 17:2,4,23 18:23 language 41:18

large 22:21 law 24:13 33:20 laws 30:7 lead 23:24 24:19 leaders 13:4,5 leadership 26:7 leads 33:19 learning 12:23 13:14 22:24 23:10 24:16,25 25:5,9 26:10,14

25:5,9 26:10,14 lease 18:5 30:8,15 leave 8:8 33:7 37:13 43:8 leaving 10:9 left 4:21 5:16,19 21:14

21:23 22:9,9 37:12 legislation 24:1,12 25:2 lengthy 34:6 let's 6:7 8:20 9:16 20:21 21:5,5 35:1,2,4,6,10

37:9 43:4 letter 19:13,15 39:3 letters 19:2 20:24

license 11:7,8 17:3,18 27:9,10 36:23,24 licensed 11:9 12:5,18

33:23 36:22 **licensing** 9:23 11:12,17 14:13,18

lifetime 22:22 38:19 41:4,9,10,11,16 national 25:13 26:5 obiects 4:18 limit 11:18 34:10 meant 39:16 32:22 obtain 11:6 limitation 7:2 median 33:1 nationally 24:1 **obviously** 27:15 35:22 **limitations** 10:23 11:1 meet 6:24 10:3,18 **NC** 20:1 occupancy 11:6 17:11 27:3 35:5 meeting 19:17 near 4:21 28:11 occupant 11:14 limited 19:20 39:2 40:3 meetings 12:24 nearly 13:1 occupants 19:22 necessary 10:2 **limits** 19:22 member 26:9 **office** 1:9,22,25 3:12 line 12:2 21:3 34:9 35:2 **members** 1:18 6:10 need 5:12,18 6:14,16 4:11,12 5:5,10 6:6,11 list 9:11 19:7 20:6 25:19.23 8:12 10:21 14:8 16:8 9:21,24 22:19 23:3 literally 29:21 26:1,8,11 27:5 16:17 17:9 20:2,16 25:18 26:24,25 27:19 Memorial 1:16 25:10 26:22 28:3 29:8 little 17:16 19:14 25:7 32:13 35:25 37:18 29:10,23 30:3 31:12 28:14 43:4,4 **mental** 39:24 39:17 41:11 42:7,10 live 4:16 mention 36:5 40:25 41:21 Oh 15:3 22:10 mentioned 40:8 load 11:14 needed 28:4 okay 5:22 6:5 8:19,21 loans 24:24 27:24 mesh 34:19 needing 29:19 9:8,10,14,14,15,17 13:16 14:2 15:8 16:23 **local** 26:5 **mess** 9:18 **negative** 12:3 27:15 located 10:15 31:17 message 13:22 negatively 11:2 17:19 18:18,21,24 met 7:25 8:14 13:5 16:8 negotiating 30:8 19:1 20:20 21:13,23 32:5 location 29:17,25 30:6 mic 9:19 25:24 28:20 negotiation 30:14 22:6,17 28:19,21 32:12 35:3 37:25 neighborhood 17:8 MICHAEL 1:20 30:18,18 32:2 37:4,10 microphone 15:2 21:20 long 13:21 17:2,3 24:9 19:18 38:23 37:14 38:19 40:7,15 24:15 30:12 37:7 21:22 22:1,12 neighborhood's 14:22 42:2,3,9 43:3,5 **longer** 36:18 middle 30:7 37:1 neighborhoods 14:15 old 32:15 longest 36:15,25 Miller 1:20 4:10 14:4,20 15:18 40:21 olds 24:18 look 13:18,19 38:3,4 15:3.8 22:19 35:12.21 Nelson 28:21.23 36:9 once 17:13 40:13.25 42:7 37:16,17,21,24 38:5,8 37:20.22 ones 25:7 38:13,15 42:8.23 looking 16:12,13 nervous 22:7 online 8:13 **lot** 31:19 36:6,9,11,12 million 12:8 24:21 new 6:17,23 12:14 **OP** 6:19 8:14 38:11,22 37:3 41:23 34:23,23 24:21 28:10 41:17 Low 24:23 27:22 minute 43:7.10 newsletters 8:14 open 12:2 14:2 18:2 low-density 7:1 minutes 2:13 5:11.12 nice 38:17 29:17,18,23 30:11,19 5:13,13 28:9,14 Nick 20:14 30:24 M mission 26:14 nightmare 30:1 opened 29:12,13,25 main 34:1 mistake 34:8 nine 12:24 36:14 maintained 5:9 mixed 7:4 20:7 27:4 **noise** 4:17 opening 3:8 mobilization 23:25 maintaining 11:24 non-22:23 operate 11:23 30:9 major 24:20 25:2 32:22 modeling 11:21 Normally 28:6 operating 29:3 money 18:1 30:22 majority 13:3 Northeast 29:13 operators 11:5 making 21:4 25:14 **monies** 6:17 Northwest 32:5 opportunities 13:15 **opportunity** 9:23 25:20 31:13 34:13,20 month 15:6 17:16 **note** 11:9.20 mandate 6:24 morning 28:8 notice 4:19 15:7 39:25 28:16 **noticed** 19:16 motion 42:3 **opposition** 5:8 21:12 margins 11:24 notification 14:21 15:5 **market** 33:25 move 41:21 42:4 21:15,16,25 22:3,3 Maryland 29:3 moved 42:9 15:12 42:16 match 27:24 notified 40:21 options 20:2 33:5,8 moves 12:3 **NPS** 1:21 order 4:6 10:18 28:2 matched 24:23 moving 14:1 25:2 42:13 number 4:23 7:3 10:14 33:13 matter 1:8 7:3,16,20 42:22 10:13 13:2,12 14:12 **MU** 7:15 19:25 20:8 10:23 11:16,18 16:7,7 organization 23:4,23 20:16 23:9 25:9 27:3 14:23 27:2 31:9 32:9 35:5 26:7 35:5 37:25 43:11 multi-sector 23:12 27:21 30:12,16 32:10 organizations 5:6,7,8 39:7,18 42:22 5:12 13:11 23:13 matters 3:10 5:4 6:2,3 multiple 10:19 **numbers** 16:20 26:10 37:12 maximum 11:13 Ν Maxine 2:9 6:11 25:17 nurturing 13:14 **OSSE** 3:15 6:19,22 8:15 **N.W** 1:14,17 9:15 11:1,8 12:21,23 Mayor 6:16 12:8 24:20 17:13,18 21:17,23 27:22 34:22 name 4:9 9:18 19:8 27:11 36:22 **Mayor's** 11:2 21:8 26:2 28:22 32:3 **O's** 31:8 mean 8:23 17:5,21 37:19 **OAG** 38:4,11 **OSSE's** 7:11 11:11,16 **object** 38:16 29:15 30:12 31:25 **nation** 24:19 outgrowth 23:22

outlined 6:14 7:7 outreach 8:12,15,17 14:8,13,19 15:16,17 outside 17:12 outstanding 20:12 overall 33:9 overlap 36:11 37:3 overwhelming 13:3 owners 11:5 27:5 28:2 P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S 4:1 **p.m** 1:16 4:2 43:12 par 33:2

parents 8:4,8,10 12:19 park 28:13 parking 7:10,22,25 28:4 part 15:11 23:4 36:16 36:23,25 participated 12:24 particular 14:14 32:23 37:24 particularly 35:23 partners 13:13 partnerships 18:13,13 pass 19:5 passionate 35:16 **pause** 40:16 pay 33:2 **PDR** 20:1 **people** 17:16 18:7,8,14 18:16 21:24 29:15,22 31:22 43:4.5 percent 33:1 perfect 17:8 **period** 16:18 43:2 permission 38:11 permit 17:11 31:2,2 **permits** 36:17 permitted 7:18 persists 6:16 persons 3:19 5:7,7,8,14 7:3 21:5 27:3 37:12 perspective 31:11 **PETER** 1:21,21 petitioner 5:5,10 **phone** 20:15 pick 28:5,11 picking 28:7 pickup 8:1 piece 40:18 **piggy** 40:8 pipeline 40:9 pivotal 24:15

4:13 5:5,11 6:6,11 20:15 22:19 23:3 25:18 26:25 27:19 35:25 37:18 39:17 41:11 42:7.11 Planning's 9:24 play 10:15 25:7 32:12 35:3 please 5:24 20:14 31:14 pleased 13:12 20:18 23:8 point 11:20 18:12 38:8 41:11 points 18:17 policies 34:19 **policy** 26:16 population 27:20 portion 7:9 positive 13:7 possible 8:10 23:7 25:16 potentially 39:24 practice 23:13 26:16,17 pre-24:1,11 pre-established 7:2 Pre-K 23:22 24:7.17 pre-kindergarten 32:15 preestablished 10:14 27:2 32:10 prefer 8:4 preliminary 3:10 5:4 6:2.2 42:5 premier 26:6 premises 10:16 preschool 32:5 33:3 present 1:18,22,25 12:25 19:17 presentation 5:4 Preservation 2:8 **President** 22:24 26:3 32:4 presiding 1:17 pressing 6:14 12:7 pressure 32:23 **prices** 33:19 primarily 19:25 **Prince** 29:3 36:4,6,8,10 41:22 prior 8:12 17:18 18:2 priority 34:21 probably 39:22 problem 32:22

procedural 5:23

procedure 14:21

proceeding 4:15

proceedings 5:25

process 10:20 11:6

**proceed** 30:19

12:17 14:12.13.23 17:3,4,5,16 18:6,15 34:7 36:15,16,18,20 36:22,23 37:2 profit 22:24 program 32:17 programs 23:16,21 24:5 25:9 promise 35:6 promote 26:14,16 **prompted** 29:16,22 pronounced 21:6 properly 19:16 42:9 **proposal** 7:5,19 14:10 14:16 **propose** 31:15 proposed 8:13,24 10:12,22 11:4 12:16 12:21,25 13:6 14:15 19:19 23:2,2 26:20 30:17 42:21 43:1 proposing 8:23 **proud** 32:16 provide 9:24 13:11,13 24:24 27:24 **provided** 8:1 20:16 35:15 42:7 provider 10:23 17:6,7 17:25 28:23 32:14 **providers** 8:4,7,14,17 10:8.17 13:7 17:25 24:25 25:4 27:23 34:11,13 provides 32:17 providing 18:22 34:20 37:7 provision 7:8 **provisions** 5:3 7:14 **public** 1:6,15 2:14 4:7 8:2,6,12,15,17 23:15 23:17,18,18,24 25:3 publically 25:17 published 4:19 43:1 **pulled** 25:24 purpose 20:3 purposes 24:11 put 13:23 18:1 31:21 **puts** 33:3

Q

quadrant 29:13 quality 10:5,10 12:9,11 23:20 24:5,21 25:9 26:14 28:23 32:18 33:3,8 34:16,20 35:6 question 8:22 15:9 16:3 35:24 36:3 38:22 questions 5:23 8:18,20

9:9 14:2 18:20 20:12 20:16,18,21 25:21 28:17 35:11 37:9 38:20 41:25 quick 8:22 quickly 17:10 quite 31:7 39:10

quorum 19:17 R **R** 1:16 7:20 **RA** 7:14,21 19:25 35:5 raised 12:19 range 26:12 rates 25:4 rational 31:25 **Raul** 32:3 reach 33:4 reached 13:1 reaching 20:7 read 19:13 reading 4:23 ready 30:23 real 11:4 14:7 22:7 reality 35:7 really 9:1 29:21 37:6 40:8 41:12 reason 17:23 32:1 40:7 reasonings 19:14 reasons 33:11,14 receive 11:7 received 13:3 recognized 24:1 recognizes 20:2 recommend 19:21 record 6:11 13:18.20 42:19 43:12 recorded 4:15 records 42:20 **reduce** 33:12 referenced 20:12 referencing 38:3 reflect 6:22

regarding 6:7,12 7:22 9:24 11:5 26:21 regards 20:12 register 4:20 5:15 regularly 19:16 regulated 11:10 regulation 6:22 7:11 30:11

reform 24:8

refrain 4:17

regard 7:10 28:4

regulations 6:20,25 10:1,17 11:12,17 13:23 14:19 27:7,14 30:3,8,13 34:3,19

**place** 13:23

**planning** 1:9,25 3:12

starting 6:18 35:1.3 37:2 9:14 10:13 14:12.23 15:24,25 16:11,14,23 regulatory 36:3 22:19 38:20,21 39:5,9 state 9:22 26:25 28:25 19:22 20:24 21:15,24 Reinvestment 25:13 21:24,25 22:7,9 27:2 42:23 30:2 32:14 39:21 30:7 31:11 32:9 35:5 stated 42:25 relate 16:8 **share** 16:1 released 16:20 36:3 37:1,4,25 40:21 **Sharon** 1:23 4:12 statement 40:10 remains 13:25 16:22 41:16 43:5,7 **she'll** 19:5 statements 19:14 remarks 3:8 23:1 rise 34:15 shortage 29:11 Steingasser 2:7 4:13 Robert 1:20 21:6 26:2 remove 10:14,22 32:10 shortest 36:23 38:10,14,17 Roberts 8:20 40:11 significant 13:7 27:7,15 step 32:20 39:14 35:3 removed 7:12 19:23 role 25:8 34:9 stick 43:8,9 roles 26:12 **signs** 4:18 sticking 18:16 removing 11:1 room 1:13,16 4:18 **simply** 24:4 33:18 renovate 27:8 31:3 **sticks** 17:25 renovation 27:12 30:20 roughly 16:21 Simultaneous 19:3 stood 22:3 41:5 30:21 36:20 rulemaking 43:1 22:5 straight 6:8 renovations 36:18 Sincerely 20:17 **Street** 1:14,17 29:24 S **sister** 18:15 30:9 31:20 rent 18:4 **sit** 21:15 stressed 8:11 repairs 17:21 **S** 1:23 **safe** 13:13 sits 12:1 strollers 8:8,9 report 5:5,6 11:22 15:17 27:1 38:23 sitting 5:19 strong 13:12 **sat** 15:18 **situation** 30:4 31:13 reporter 4:16 scarcity 33:19 **stuck** 18:15 reports 16:21 scheduled 19:16 **six** 12:22,24 **study** 11:21 16:17 representative 9:15,16 Schellin 1:23 4:12,25 size 11:15,18 25:12 33:20 20:10 5:18,20,21 6:4 9:12 **sky-high** 33:19 **submit** 19:10 representatives 22:20 **slightly** 26:11 36:18 subsequent 24:3 9:13 19:5 42:18,20 **slots** 6:17 12:14,18 representing 19:12 school 23:19 24:6,7 subsidy 25:4 schools 23:15 16:9 24:22 28:2 31:23 subtitle 7:7 19:21 31:16 26:12 represents 26:11 seat 19:6 31:24 31:17 32:6 35:24 request 9:25 19:19 seats 11:3 12:9 28:1 small 11:23 20:1 subtitles 1:10 26:21 37:16 39:1,11 **snag** 37:2 successful 24:16 33:19.22 34:24 requested 6:22,24 second 4:25 7:21 30:6 **soon** 25:16 sudden 31:4 require 10:17 42:7,8,10,12 **sooner** 19:11 **sued** 40:18 required 7:24 11:7 seconding 42:23 **sorry** 9:18 21:21 39:14 summary 7:5 requirement 10:15 Secretary 1:23 sort 16:15 Superintendent 9:22 section 7:7 11:17 19:21 32:11 **South** 1:13 12:23 26:25 32:14 requirements 7:25 38:12 **space** 8:2 11:16,19 12:5 supplement 11:11 10:18 35:3 see 5:18 8:20 9:1 13:25 29:24 30:13,20 31:3 **supply** 12:13 24:21 25:12 29:11 33:17 requires 27:21 20:21 25:24 35:10 33:24 36:11 37:9 40:13 43:9 speak 18:25 19:14 23:9 requiring 34:5 **support** 3:19 5:7 13:3 research 26:15 41:15 seeing 9:10 20:22 25:20 39:16 13:20,25 19:18 21:1,5 resident 22:22 37:10.14 **speaking** 19:3 22:5 21:10,13 23:2,5,9 residential 7:2,4 20:8 seeks 10:22 23:17 **special** 7:1,18,19 10:13 25:13,15 26:17,20 seen 14:1 18:7 38:24 42:24 27:3 14:11 32:9 residents 12:10 13:15 supporting 12:16 24:13 segments 20:1 specifically 38:11 20:7 28:15 35:15,22 selected 32:13 39:21 **supportive** 13:14 14:24 resolved 31:9 selection 32:17 **speed** 18:19 14:24 40:4,5,25 respond 9:11 25:10 send 27:1 spend 18:7,7 **supports** 11:1 20:3 sure 4:22 13:19 19:4 28:17 37:19 40:8 sense 31:18,25 41:17 **spends** 28:9 response 5:1 27:18 September 12:14 spent 18:2,4,8 32:24 21:4 34:18 35:1 38:24 42:1.17 serious 29:9,11 **spoke** 13:4 15:4 20:25 39:9 40:5 responsibilities 26:12 serve 10:23 12:6 16:6 **spots** 16:5,7 surprised 41:9 19:8 24:6 25:6 28:3 restrictive 6:21 **staff** 1:22,25 4:12,13 **sustain** 10:8 27:16 result 27:17 28:14 5:22 6:2 22:19 26:24 **serves** 24:10 sustainability 11:25 resulted 23:25 service 33:17 35:14,18 29:18,21 42:20 retired 22:23 services 12:13 27:18 standards 6:23 Review 2:8 32:25 39:20 standpoint 36:4 **TABLE** 3:6 **RF** 7:8,9,20 19:25 35:4 **start** 18:5 22:8,10,15 set 6:17 7:16 8:11,24 tail 16:16 **Ridge** 20:17 23:16 take 17:2,22 38:2,3,4,8 settings 23:16 right 4:3 7:3,16,20 9:6 **Shapiro** 1:21 4:11 started 18:11 40:13 42:5,12,21 43:7

talking 39:8 tax 33:9 **tears** 18:10 technical 19:9 24:24 tell 22:4,6 30:15 31:10 temporary 31:8 total 12:14 ten 17:14 35:20 track 8:25 term 24:9 testify 5:14 21:10 28:16 32:6 testimony 9:24 13:11 13:17 14:5 16:3 35:10 text 1:10 3:12 6:6 9:25 39:23 10:4,12,22 11:4 12:21 trip 30:15 13:6 19:19,19 20:3 **trouble** 34:16 23:2,6 25:15 26:20 truly 29:8 try 8:9 18:12 42:6 thank 6:9 8:17,19 9:8 9:23 13:10,16 14:4,5 21:18 36:5 15:9,10,23,25 16:23 16:23 17:1 18:18,19 38:19 18:21,23 20:22 21:3 25:17,19,21,22 26:24 28:16,19 32:2 35:7,9 35:12.25 36:2 37:4.10 tweak 41:18 37:17 38:21 40:6,7 43:9 29:24 42:10 43:1 **Thanks** 41:20 **types** 34:13 theory 24:4 U thing 31:18 36:12 things 15:21 17:9,12,17 18:19 23:1 36:10 think 4:22 5:11 9:3 13:21 14:22 15:12,13 18:11 20:25 21:8 35:18 36:9,10,25 37:5 39:7 40:7,12,17,25 **unduly** 34:4 41:1,21 third 12:6 16:6,9 23:5 unmet 25:10 25:7 29:5 **Thornhill** 21:8 22:8,10 22:16,18,22 35:15,20 thought 7:12 22:3 40:3 40:9 three 5:13 18:8,11 23:1 40:2 42:6 24:17 25:7 32:15 33:22 utilize 25:11 Thursday 1:12 V time 4:5,23 5:9,24 6:1 8:2 21:9,11,13 24:15 varied 17:4,5 28:6 30:11,18,23 31:7 versus 16:7 35:8,19 37:13 **Title 32:7** today 19:12 today's 4:9,19 toddler 6:17 12:9,14

toddler's 24:22 toddlers 11:3 12:7 16:6 16:8,10 24:14 25:3 **told** 15:5 30:24 31:4 tonight 4:4 8:16 31:10 topic 13:10 19:1 traditional 23:14 transcript 2:13 transition 6:20 transportation 8:6 treatment 19:24 39:19 trying 8:25 13:22 18:2,9 **turn** 5:24 9:19 28:19 **Turnbull** 1:20 4:11 16:25 17:1,19 18:18 22:19 42:4.22 **two** 4:4 5:17 8:13 28:7.8

**U** 1:10 19:21 26:21 32:7 **undeclared** 5:8 37:13 understand 30:2 31:21 33:14 38:25 41:1 understanding 25:19 undertook 8:15 universal 24:17 unprecedented 24:18 untangle 13:22 **use** 1:10 7:4,6,8,14,16 7:18 8:6 10:13 20:7 26:21 27:4 32:9 37:24 uses 27:2 39:7,18

Vice 1:20 4:10 14:3,4 14:20 15:3,8 19:9 20:25 35:11,12,21 37:16.17.21.24 38:5.8 38:13.15 42:8 Vincent 23:24

vision 24:11 **visit** 17:14 vitality 24:9 **vote** 38:24 42:10,12,19 42:20 43:1 voted 19:18,19 39:1 40:19,20

W

**walk** 8:5 wall 4:21 want 4:4,22 9:11,18 13:24 15:15 16:1.4 21:3 22:10,14,15,15 23:1,3,5 25:17,19 26:24 35:9 38:24 39:9 40:22 41:22,22,24 43:3.8 wanted 29:7 30:17 37:18 wants 21:10 wards 12:20,24 13:2 Washington 1:14,17 wasn't 39:10 40:13 way 13:21 14:20,25 29:5 39:12 41:21 we'll 14:1 43:10 we're 4:5,24 5:11 15:13 17:23 22:8,8 31:17 36:5 38:3 39:10,19 42:12 43:7 we've 17:20 21:1 webcast 4:16 week 34:22 weeks 12:22 weighed 21:4 Welcome 3:8 went 13:24 30:19 43:11 weren't 35:19 whichever 22:14 wide 30:11 Wisconsin 32:5 wishing 5:14 witness 5:16,16,17 23:8 witness' 37:19 work 8:9 12:4 13:12,18 16:2 18:3,15 23:23 26:18 27:1 35:12,22 37:7 38:11 worked 18:14 workers 21:4 workforce 24:8 25:5 working 5:20 17:24 22:13 38:4 40:22 works 21:17

Χ

Υ year 6:12,18 12:8 18:2 18:7 24:18 32:15,25 39:23 years 18:8,12 29:3 35:20 young 26:4,6,18 27:20 31:22 33:7,15 youngest 13:15

Z **ZC** 22:19 zero 16:19 25:7 zone 7:8.9 **zoned** 27:4 zones 7:2,4,15,15,17,20 7:21 14:11 19:23 20:1 20:1,7,8,8 34:6 35:4,5 zoning 1:4,16,18,22 4:7 4:12 7:17 8:11 9:25 10:11,17 12:17 17:20 18:3 20:6,15 23:8 25:1 26:1 28:21 34:3

34:19 35:1 42:21 ZR-16 6:20

0 0 42:21,21

1 **1** 11:17 **1,000** 12:14 34:23 **100** 23:13 27:11,25 **11** 5:3 32:7 **12** 24:7 **15** 28:9,14 **16** 19:22 **17-20** 1:10,15 4:23,24 6:6 23:9 42:5.22 **17-24** 4:24,24 **19** 3:17

20 30:24 31:4 **200** 7:7 27:25 **20001** 1:17 **2008** 24:2 **2009** 24:3 2010 24:3 **2013** 11:11 **2015** 33:21 2016 11:21 30:10 32:24 **2018** 1:12 2:14 4:9 6:18 12:9 19:17 2020 12:15 34:25

worse 31:13

WR 7:15 35:5

written 34:4

16:12 34:24

<b>22</b> 3:19 <b>22,000</b> 33:21		
<b>22,658</b> 32:25		
<b>220</b> 1:13		
<b>2D</b> 14:22 20:24		
3		
<b>30</b> 29:2 43:2		
<b>300</b> 6:18 <b>301.1m</b> 19:22		
<b>35</b> 33:1		
4		
<b>4</b> 3:8		
<b>441</b> 1:14,16		
<b>4719</b> 32:5		
1th 1:14,17		
5		
<b>5</b> 5:3 34:23 42:20		
<b>500</b> 26:11		
<b>5A</b> 11:17		
6		
<b>6</b> 3:10,12		
<b>6:30</b> 1:16		
<b>6:32</b> 4:2		
<b>60</b> 5:11,12 31:1,3		
<b>60,000</b> 26:8		
<b>6B</b> 19:9,13,18,25 20:3,8		
20:10,11,17 21:2 39:3		
<b>6B's</b> 20:14		
<b>6E</b> 21:1		
7		
<b>7,600</b> 33:22		
<b>7:27</b> 43:12		
<b>75,000</b> 18:4		
8		
<b>8</b> 1:12 2:14		
<b>8-0-0</b> 19:18		
8th 4:9 29:24 30:9		
9		
<b>9</b> 3:15 12:8 24:20 34:23		
<b>90s</b> 40:16 <b>9th</b> 19:17		
9tii 13.17		
	I	

## <u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Public Hearing

Office of Planning

Before: DC Zoning Commission

Date: 02-08-18

Place: Washington, DC

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Court Reporter

Mac Nous &