GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA + + + + +ZONING COMMISSION + + + + +PUBLIC HEARING + + + + + ----: IN THE MATTER OF: 23 I, LLC - Design Review - : M & South Capitol Streets : Case No. 17-25 Sub-Area, 950 South Capitol : Street, SE ----: Monday, February 5, 2018 Hearing Room 220 South 441 4th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. The Public Hearing of Case No. 17-25 by the District of Columbia Zoning Commission convened at 6:30 p.m. in the Jerrily R. Kress Memorial Hearing Room at 441 4th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., 20001, Anthony J. Hood, Chairman, presiding. ZONING COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT: ANTHONY J. HOOD, Chairperson ROBERT MILLER, Vice Chairperson MICHAEL G. TURNBULL, FAIA, Commissioner (AOC) PETER G. MAY, Commissioner (NPS) PETER SHAPIRO, Commissioner OFFICE OF ZONING STAFF PRESENT: SHARON S. SCHELLIN, Secretary

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1

OFFICE OF PLANNING STAFF PRESENT:

STEVEN COCHRAN JOEL LAWSON

DISTRICT DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION STAFF PRESENT:

JONATHAN ROGERS

The transcript constitutes the minutes from the Public Hearing held on February 5th, 2018.

## T-A-B-L-E OF C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S

## <u>Page</u>

3

Opening Remarks	4
Preliminary Matters	5
Applicant's Case Jessica Bloomfield	6
Report of the Office of Planning Steve Cochran	27
Report of other Government Agencies Jonathan Rogers, DDOT	-
Report of ANC ANC 6D	38
Rebuttal and Closing by the Applicant	39
Adjourned	43

	4
1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	6:30 p.m.
3	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Good evening, ladies and gentlemen.
4	This is a public hearing of the Zoning Commission for the
5	District of Columbia. Today's date is February 5th, 2018.
6	My name is Anthony Hood. Joining me are Vice
7	Chair Miller, Commissioner Turnbull, Commissioner Shapiro,
8	and Commissioner May. We're also joined by the Office of
9	Zoning Staff, Ms. Sharon Schellin, as well as the Office of
10	Planning, Ms. Steingasser. And Mr. Cochran will be joining
11	us shortly.
12	This proceeding is being recorded by a court
13	reporter. It's also webcast live. Accordingly, we must ask
14	that you refrain from any disruptive noises or actions in the
15	hearing room, including the display of any signs or objects.
16	Notice of today's hearing was published in the
17	D.C. Register and copies of that announcement are available
18	to my left on the wall near the door.
19	The hearing will be conducted in accordance with
20	provisions of 11Z DCMR Chapter 4, as follows: Preliminary
21	Matters, Applicant's Case, Report of the Office of Planning,
22	Reports of Other Government Agencies, Report of the ANC,
23	Organizations and Persons in Support, Organizations and
24	Persons in Opposition, Organizations and Persons who are
25	Undeclared, as well as Rebuttal and Closing by the Applicant.
ļ	I

(202) 234-4433

	5
1	The following time constraints will be maintained
2	in this meeting. The Applicant has up to 60 minutes.
3	Organizations, five minutes. Individuals, three minutes.
4	All persons wishing to testify before the
5	Commission in this evening's hearing are asked to register
6	at the witness kiosk to my left and fill out two witness
7	cards.
8	The decision of the Commission in this case will
9	be based exclusively on the public record. The staff will
10	be available throughout the hearing to discussion procedural
11	questions.
12	Please turn off all electronic devices at this
13	time, so as not to disrupt these proceedings.
14	Would all the individuals wishing to testify
15	please rise to take the oath? Ms. Schellin, would you please
16	administer the oath?
17	(Witnesses sworn.)
18	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. At this time, the Commission
19	will consider any preliminary matters. Does the staff have
20	any preliminary matters?
21	MS. SCHELLIN: The Applicant has proffered some
22	expert witnesses, all of whom have been previously accepted:
23	Eric Colbert, Morris Adjmi, Rick Parisi, Shane Dettman,
24	Daniel VanPelt. Actually, is Shane here?
25	MS. BLOOMFIELD: No, he's not here.
ļ	

(202) 234-4433

	6
1	MS. SCHELLIN: Okay. So, we'll mark him off. All
2	of the others are here for questions if needed?
3	MS. BLOOMFIELD: Yes.
4	MS. SCHELLIN: Okay.
5	CHAIRMAN HOOD: If questions arise?
6	MS. SCHELLIN: Yes.
7	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.
8	MS. SCHELLIN: So I just need the Commission to
9	accept them in this case.
10	CHAIRMAN HOOD: We will continue our status of
11	expert witnesses. Anything else?
12	MS. SCHELLIN: No, sir.
13	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Also, we have Mr. Rogers
14	from the District Department of Transportation. I will make
15	a correction. It's not Ms. Steingasser, it's Mr. Lawson and
16	Mr. Cochran from the Office of Planning. Okay. All right.
17	Anything else, Ms. Schellin?
18	MS. SCHELLIN: No.
19	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Ms. Bloomfield, are you
20	taking the lead tonight?
21	MS. BLOOMFIELD: Yes, sir.
22	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. You may begin. Now, we
23	don't need 60 minutes. I don't even think we need five, but
24	I'll let you figure it out.
25	MS. BLOOMFIELD: Wonderful. Well, I'll start by

(202) 234-4433

	7
1	saying, good evening, my name is Jessica Bloomfield from the
2	law firm of Holland & Knight. I'd like everyone introduce
3	themselves at the table before we begin.
4	MR. GLASGOW: Norman M. Glasgow, Jr., Holland &
5	Knight, here to assist Jessie.
6	MR. SASSON: Good evening, Jordan Sasson with the
7	Related Companies.
8	MR. ADJMI: Morris Adjmi, architect, here to answer
9	questions.
10	MS. BLOOMFIELD: Thank you. We also have our whole
11	team here. So if anything comes up, they're ready for you.
12	Good evening, Commissioners. We're here this
13	evening requesting approval for a new residential building
14	at 950 South Capitol Street, Southeast.
15	The site has frontage on South Capitol between I
16	and K Streets, and is subject to design review pursuant to
17	Subtitles X and I of the Zoning Regs. The site is located
18	within the D-5 Zone District and is within M and South
19	Capitol Streets sub-area.
20	The proposed project will be the second phase of
21	a two-phase project that will be a single building for zoning
22	purposes. Phase One, delivered in 2017 as a matter-of-right,
23	with frontage on Half Street, and now contains 383
24	residential units and approximately 22,000 square feet of
25	ground floor retail. Phase Two will be a residential-only

(202) 234-4433

	8
1	building with active ground floor amenity spaces that are
2	designed to convert to retail in the future.
3	The Applicant's pleadings and the Office of
4	Planning's report set forth the project's compliance with all
5	zoning and design review standards and no zoning flexibility
6	is requested or needed in this case.
7	We are pleased to have support from the Office of
8	Planning, DDOT, and DOEE. As recent as this morning, we
9	confirmed with OP that we have addressed each of the
10	conditions listed in their hearing report, and the PowerPoint
11	that we submitted this afternoon reflects the refinements in
12	the plans that we made in response to their recommendations.
13	DOEE also had several comments and submitted a
14	report. They supported our proposal to achieve LEED Silver
15	Certification under Version 4. And also our inability to
16	construct solar on the building at this time, but our proffer
17	to construct the building as being solar-ready, if it happens
18	in the future.
19	Finally, we also believe that we've addressed all
20	of DDOT's comments related to the streetscape along South
21	Capitol Street. We added electric vehicle charging stations
22	in the garage, as requested. We also provided a direct
23	connection between the loading dock and the future retail
24	space on the ground floor. And we have agreed to what we
25	believe is a mutually acceptable transportation demand

(202) 234-4433

1	management	plan.

T	management plan.
2	With that, we believe we've address all of the
3	outstanding items that are currently in the record and we
4	would otherwise ask to rest on the record, noting that we are
5	all here to answer any questions that you may have on what
6	I just did a brief overview on.
7	So, please let us know what you'd like us to do,
8	but otherwise, that concludes our direct presentation.
9	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. We appreciate your succinct
10	presentation. We have read the materials, but we may have
11	a few questions up here.
12	Commissioners, any questions or follow-up
13	comments? Anybody? Okay. Vice Chair Miller?
14	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And
15	thank you for the information that you've provided in the
16	record and your presentation. Why no ground floor retail for
17	this project? Because you had the retail in the Phase One.
18	MR. SASSON: Yeah, so, when we master planned the
19	overall site for the Square 697 North, we looked at the
20	overall site and the proximity to the various landmarks on
21	South Capitol and Half Street and the baseball stadium and
22	everything that was happening around the neighborhood.
23	And we decided to include 22,000 square feet of
24	ground floor retail at the first phase, which obviously
25	fronts I, Half, and K. To date, we've been unsuccessful in

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

9

	10
1	renting any of that retail space, to date, despite our best
2	efforts.
3	Obviously, we're trying every single day to do
4	better about that, but have yet to succeed in signing a
5	tenant for any of those spaces.
6	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Where does that retail front
7	on?
8	MR. SASSON: It fronts on all three. So, K, Half,
9	and I.
10	VICE CHAIR MILLER: And none of it's
11	MR. SASSON: None of it's leased, to date. And in
12	addition, given the difficulty of given the heavy
13	vehicular traffic on South Capitol Street today, we don't
14	believe, given how much struggle we've had on Phase One, that
15	we'll be able to successfully lease, at least in the near-
16	term, any retail in Phase Two.
17	However, with that being said, we have designed
18	the amenity space on the ground floor of Phase Two to include
19	an active co-working amenity that will be open to both
20	residents in Phase One and Phase Two so that the street-front
21	is active.
22	It's approximately 4,000-5,000 square feet of
23	total area. And that would also be convertible to retail,
24	should the streetscape and the landscape of South Capitol
25	change in the near-term, or in the long-term, right, as the

(202) 234-4433

	11
1	South Capitol Street Redevelopment Plan progresses.
2	Obviously, with Phase One seeming to happen,
3	hopefully, in the short-term, as the future plans as the
4	budget evolves and those plans happen and I think our curb-
5	line gets expanded as DDOT is anticipating and I think it
6	becomes more of a boulevard street, obviously, hopefully
7	there's more of a retail opportunity.
8	And we're certainly ready to take advantage of
9	that, should that happen. But in the near-term, I think
10	having some sort of active amenity at that part of the on
11	South Capitol, with the co-working spaces, I think better for
12	everyone, more so than just leaving it as a cold, dark shelf
13	for the foreseeable future.
14	VICE CHAIR MILLER: The co-working space is what
15	is the co-working space?
16	MR. SASSON: So, in our first phase, we have many
17	amenities, of which one is basically a workspace. So, we
18	have conference rooms and kind of an open space for people
19	to work. And today, we've successfully leased up and
20	stabilized that building, and it's probably one of our
21	busiest amenities. People go down early in the morning and
22	reserve space and it's really not big enough for 383
23	apartments. So, there's a lot of work-from-home residents
24	in our building, and I think across D.C., and in particular,
25	this neighborhood as well.
ļ	1

(202) 234-4433

	12
1	So, this is meant to it's a lot of office
2	space, open work space, basically allows people to come down
3	at 8:00 a.m. every single day and basically go to work within
4	the building, should they not have a place to do that, you
5	know, a central office. Or there's a lot of companies that,
6	a lot of start-ups and things like that, that people have and
7	they're looking for space and they're really doing it out of
8	the amenities. So, we want to give them a place to actually
9	do that.
10	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Is the co-work space for this
11	building, for this phase of the building, does it occupy all
12	of the ground floor retail or do you have other amenities?
13	MR. SASSON: It's the only ground floor, street-
14	front retail that we have. So, we have other amenities that
15	are on that front our courtyard that's on the interior
16	private driveway. So, on K Street, we have the lobby
17	entrance. And then, it wraps around, you basically have this
18	co-working space that I talked about, and then that extends
19	up through South Capitol Street. And then there's some base-
20	building mechanical and loading dock and service and trash
21	up on the I Street side.
22	VICE CHAIR MILLER: And it's just for the
23	residents, not for the
24	MR. SASSON: Right now, just for the residents.
25	Obviously, if it evolves and, again, if it's for more than
l	

(202) 234-4433

13 just the residents, I think it's a sign that the market is 1 there for potentially a retail concept or whatever it may be. 2 So, I think, again, the future-proof idea is, 3 4 should the market evolve and should the streetscape improve and South Capitol Street be redeveloped, obviously, 5 and 22,000 feet of retail gets absorbed in the first phase, 6 7 there's an opportunity to, obviously, add more. And we'll be ready for that should that need to be. 8 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Okay. 9 MR. SASSON: And we've addressed DDOT's concerns 10 11 too, about -- they were concerned about the need to load for future retail off the street. And so our loading dock will 12 have a direct connection to that retail space. 13 And to the extent that it is sub-divided in the future, we would extend 14 that corridor along, within our retail space, so that, again, 15 16 every single storefront would be accessible via the loading 17 dock. VICE CHAIR MILLER: Okay. Thank you. Do vou all 18 have any response to both the Office of Planning's and the 19 ANC's comment that some color should be added, particularly 20 -- well, I quess, the ANC said the vertical elements and the 21 OP said, I think, the ground floor area. 22 Do vou have any response to that, to enliven the pedestrian experience? 23 24 SASSON: Sure. So, when we received that MR. 25 feedback, obviously, the ANC, I think the phrase they used

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1 was "heavy," the building seemed heavy to them. So, after 2 receiving the feedback from the ANC and then, obviously, the 3 Office of Planning as well, we went back and looked at our 4 submission.

In particular, in reading OP's comments, they had highlighted what they thought was a very strong storefront, ground floor design on South Capitol, felt that it was a good design, with respect to the monumental boulevard intent of South Capitol Street and the importance of the Capitol Dome.

So, we went back and we looked at the rendering 10 11 that we provided for South Capitol Street, because there was a very up-close shot of the materials. And I think we felt 12 like that particular rendering did a great job of showing the 13 various depths of the facade, the color palette, which is 14 15 kind of a medium gray vein stone, marble, granite at the 16 ground floor. And then you have a lighter stone up top, with 17 kind of a charcoal-gray metal. Ι think And so, that 18 rendering in particular did a good job.

So, then we went back and we looked at what we did on the K Street side and also on the I Street side and realized that the renderings were definitely further back and did not do a good enough job.

Also, the glass was very opaque and frosted in the initial renderings that were submitted as part of the original record.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

So, we, last week, improved those renderings. And 1 in our presentation tonight, and in the materials that were 2 submitted earlier today, included updated renderings, to help 3 show that there is no hierarchy of street. It's not that the 4 design -- the design is not that South Capitol gets higher 5 quality materials and more variation. In fact, those 6 7 materials wrap around to all three main elevations.

So, the rendering you see here is of the K Street 8 You can see, now there's a double-height 9 lobby entrance. obviously, a lot 10 lobby behind, with, of nice interior materials being used. You can understand the double-height 11 space and the light that's coming through there. 12

Also, you can see the granite pilasters that were, 13 I think, well-illustrated on South Capitol are brought around 14 15 and I think clearly showed on K Street. And I think that helps tremendously to show the 16 importance of how we're treating the overall facade. 17

18 Again, we're not trying -- in a building of this size, while it is a large building, it is not meant to be, 19 I think -- it's not meant to have many different materials 20 21 on, maybe, I Street versus South Capitol. I think it's important that you don't see this building only from one --22 from just I Street or just from K Street or just from South 23 I think it's meant to be read altogether. 24 Capitol. 25

> **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

And then, on I Street, we also improved the ground

(202) 234-4433

1 floor rendering, which I think we improved the ground floor 2 rendering here as well, to show the importance of, again, the 3 materials at the ground floor relative to the overall. And 4 we brought those materials here tonight to show you guys.

Again, I think, renderings, of course, are helpful illustrations, but I think feeling and seeing the physical materials, there is actually a vast amount of difference in the color palette being proposed, both at the ground floor and also the variation in the overall facade.

The kind of lighter beigeish-gray stone and how 10 11 that relates to the charcoal metal at the overall main And then, obviously, how the ground floor kind of 12 facade. medium-gray granite with all the white veins, I think it's 13 14 a pretty rich palette that I think speaks loudly to the 15 importance of South Capitol Street and, obviously, the 16 boulevard.

So, that's how we specifically addressed OP's comments with respect to -- and, again, also ANC's comments with respect to the color palette, and also I Street and K Street in particular.

And then, with respect to the heaviness comment 21 22 that provided by ANC, we developed this up-close was rendering of the facade. And we had two meetings with the 23 And in the first meeting, they had made a comment 24 ANC. 25 about, they used also the word "flat," the building seemed

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1 flat to them, in particular on South Capitol Street. I think 2 they, during the first meeting, didn't understand that there 3 was a very strict street wall requirement here on South 4 Capitol Street, so we were limited in how much variation. 5 Obviously, on the first phase, we utilized the bay

6 projection window, which is not permitted on South Capitol 7 Street. So, our ability to create variation was really with 8 fins and pilasters, the stone pilasters and the fins, the 9 metal fins, on the windows.

And so what we did is we went back and we really 10 11 studied the amount of depth that each one of those unique building elements needed to have in order to really provide 12 good variation, depth, shadow, 13 and all the, Ι think, important details that this facade needed. 14

And so we prepared this as part of finishing with ANC, and also in our resubmission of our materials back in the middle of January to OP. And I think, in OP's memo that they prepared on I think the 25th, I think they correctly kind of pointed out the goal of creating the shadows and the depth. I think they appreciated it in that memo.

21 So, hopefully, with this increased level of detail 22 and specification, we've properly addressed that concern as 23 well.

VICE CHAIR MILLER: I appreciate that explanation.
I think the design and the materials and the recesses and the

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

17

	18
1	fins and the curves are all very attractive.
2	Although, when I first saw the rendering, before
3	I read anything about the case, I thought it was an office
4	building, because I always like balconies on my residential
5	buildings. So, you don't have any balconies in the courtyard
6	even?
7	MR. SASSON: We don't have balconies, we have the
8	terraces, because we have the setbacks.
9	VICE CHAIR MILLER: You have the terraces on the
10	top two floors.
11	MR. SASSON: Yeah.
12	VICE CHAIR MILLER: And that'll be nice, if you can
13	afford those.
14	MR. SASSON: You know, especially on the exterior,
15	with the street wall requirement and trying to have with
16	how the corners turn from I and K and wrap around, and South
17	Capitol, keeping the reading consistent on South Capitol
18	Street, holding that rhythm in the facade that Morris and
19	team designed, I think it's really important to wrap that
20	around and not break it up with the balconies.
21	Obviously, working within the massing, the
22	prescribed massing, is difficult, too, to create balconies
23	in this particular context. But, yes, as designed, we just
24	have terraces on 12 and 13 on South Capitol Street.
25	VICE CHAIR MILLER: With the updated renderings

(202) 234-4433

	19
1	that you've provided, did you answer the question about
2	whether the artwork that's included at one of the entrances
3	is definitely part of the submission?
4	MR. SASSON: Yes. So, the short answer is, yes,
5	it is part. Again, after receiving OP's comments, we went
6	back. It was originally intended to be illustrative and
7	that's probably our fault for not being more clear on that.
8	But with that being said, based on OP's comments
9	and follow-up with them, we understood the importance of
10	including something there. And we looked at it both with and
11	without and we think it's important too.
12	The revised rendering you see here changes the
13	illustrative artwork, because when we, again, master planned
14	the overall site, we decided to include kind of working
15	with DDOT, too, to put all of our vaults in private space.
16	By doing that, we have to keep a 40-foot opening for future
17	Pepco to the extent that Pepco has to change out a
18	transformer, there's a 40-foot, basically, no-fly zone.
19	So, with that being said, from basically top of
20	vaults to underside of the soffit here, we have about 48 and
21	a half feet. So that gives us about eight and a half feet
22	of space to design a decorative art, light fixture, whatever
23	it may be, in this space.
24	So, we are committing as part of this to
25	commission, hopefully, a piece of art/light or it's really
I	1

(202) 234-4433

	20
1	going to be a decorative light that will serve as art as
2	well, that will go in this opening and I think, hopefully,
3	make a nice statement for that space.
4	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Thanks. My last question. I
5	think your statements, your submission, your pre-hearing
б	statement said that, and I think DDOT even said that, you're
7	exceeding the minimum zoning requirement for bicycle parking
8	spaces, both long-term and short-term. But did you provide
9	a specific number to DDOT in response to their comment that
10	they didn't see a specific number of spaces identified?
11	Maybe they'll address it in their comments.
12	MR. SASSON: So, on long-term, I think our current
13	plan is if you'll bear with me for one second. I think
14	the short-time requirement is 15 and the long-term is 75, I
15	think, on this site. I think, as of now, what our bike room
16	is planned for has, I want to say, approximately 20 percent
17	more than that long-term number. I want to say it's around
18	90 spaces, if not more.
19	And our short-term requirement is, those are the
20	U-rings typically on the street, but I think it's two or
21	three bikes per U-ring, but I want it's definitely more
22	than the 15. If you'd like an exact number, I can get you
23	that.
24	VICE CHAIR MILLER: No, if it's more, that's
25	we'll probably see what DDOT
ļ	I

(202) 234-4433

	21
1	MR. SASSON: Sorry, we committed to a minimum of
2	100 long-term and a minimum of 16 short-term.
3	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Okay. Thank you.
4	MR. SASSON: Yeah, absolutely.
5	VICE CHAIR MILLER: I think that's it, Mr.
6	Chairman. Thank you for your responses and your
7	presentation.
8	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Any other questions or
9	comments up here? All right. And I think we covered the ANC
10	letter. The only thing I have is our Vice Chair did
11	mention that. Oh, okay. I'm sorry, I didn't see you.
12	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: That's okay.
13	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Commissioner Turnbull?
14	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: I just wanted to go back
15	and confirm some things with the OP and the Department of
16	Transportation report. Well, first of all, you're going to
17	go for LEED certification? Is that confirmed?
18	MR. SASSON: Correct.
19	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay. LEED Silver?
20	MR. SASSON: Correct. In discussion with DOEE, we
21	changed we had originally committed to LEED Gold Version
22	3 under self-certification.
23	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay.
24	MR. SASSON: And in working with Jay Wilson at
25	DOEE, he had requested that we pursue LEED Version 4 Silver,
ļ	

(202) 234-4433

	22
1	but certify with USGBC. And after discussion with him, we
2	agreed to do that.
3	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay.
4	MR. SASSON: And so our revised submission today
5	includes an updated LEED Scorecard, under Version 4 Silver,
6	and a commitment to certify as well.
7	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay. Thank you. You had
8	a clarification on the signage?
9	MR. SASSON: Sure. So, OP had specifically
10	identified two comments with respect to signage. One was
11	clarification as to where on the building the signage would
12	be located; in particular, not locating it above the first
13	floor.
14	So, the commitment, again, for and this is
15	showing the South Capitol Street frontage. Again, the
16	signage is really specific and relevant for the future
17	retail, because, obviously, we're not intending to have
18	signage on the South Capitol Street frontage without a
19	retailer to be there.
20	But, again, there's intended to be a sign band at
21	the ground floor, right above the storefront windows, below
22	the second floor. It is shown, again, for illustrative
23	purposes, to extend along the entirety of the South Capitol
24	Street frontage.
25	Again, depending on how many retailers are there,
I	

(202) 234-4433

	23
1	the need for louver bands, they can be interchanged with
2	signage, again, tenant signage and with louver bands. But
3	the overall depth is specified the overall height, I'm
4	sorry, of the sign band is specified.
5	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Was that a two-foot band?
6	MR. SASSON: Yeah, I believe it's two feet exactly.
7	Yes.
8	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: And on K Street, there is
9	a sign about the address at 14 K. There's no height given
10	of that. Is that two feet? Or will it be two feet? Can
11	that be clarified?
12	MR. SASSON: Yeah, I believe it's a very small
13	note, and I apologize for maybe not making it as clear as
14	needed, but it, again, will be no bigger than two feet.
15	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Two feet? Okay.
16	MR. SASSON: Correct.
17	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Getting back, Department
18	of Transportation had a comment that they believe the canopy
19	exceeds the
20	MR. SASSON: So, in our original submission, many
21	months ago again, this came out of preliminary discussions
22	with OP we included a plan to show the desired depth and
23	width of the canopy for Phase Two. Given that it's on K
24	Street and adjacent to the lobby of Phase One, which has a
25	similar canopy in terms of depth, that was approved through
ļ	I

(202) 234-4433

	24
1	the public review process with DDOT when Phase One was
2	permitted.
3	We had requested a similar depth for consistency
4	across buildings. In light of DDOT's comments in their memo,
5	we've agreed with them to not pursue that approval as part
6	of this zoning submission and to deal with it directly with
7	DDOT via the public space review process.
8	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: So, as far as we're
9	concerned, you're at five feet then?
10	MR. SASSON: Correct, for now, yes.
11	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay. Although, I don't
12	know if it shows that on the drawings.
13	MR. SASSON: I don't believe we've submitted a
14	revised drawing. It would almost be and I'll defer to
15	Chip and Jessica but be stricken from our as if we
16	never requested anything with respect to the canopy. We have
17	no intent to pursue any approvals as part of this process for
18	that.
19	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay. Getting back to the
20	Department of Transportation, the mitigations, are you
21	complying with everything in their report on Page 3?
22	MR. SASSON: For the TDM mitigations?
23	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Yes.
24	MR. SASSON: So, with DDOT and our traffic
25	consultant, Gorove/Slade, we prepared a we went through

(202) 234-4433

	25
1	the listed TDM mitigants and agreed on a slightly modified
2	list that differs and I can go through them, if you'd like
3	me to, and how they differ from what was listed in DDOT's
4	original memo.
5	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Well, maybe they can
6	comment on what's not being provided, then. Okay. I had one
7	question on the rooftop, which was on your drawing L204,
8	which is showed fronts on I Street.
9	MR. SASSON: Okay. L204?
10	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: No, it's on the penthouse
11	plan.
12	MS. BLOOMFIELD: I'm sorry, we don't have it in
13	our PowerPoint. Do you want us to pull it up? Because we
14	have another slide deck in the back.
15	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Yeah, because you're
16	calling for recovering over the dining area, and I don't know
17	if I ever saw a section that shows that it's got the one-to-
18	one setback, whatever that covering is.
19	MR. SASSON: The dining area
20	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Up on the penthouse on the
21	I Street.
22	MR. SASSON: Oh, so, this is designed to be a
23	I don't believe we've provided a section showing that. The
24	intent is certainly to maintain the one-to-one setback.
25	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Yeah, I didn't see

(202) 234-4433

	26
1	anything. It was just avoided, there was no cut-through or
2	section through there. But I see it and it's just a small
3	sign on the L drawing, landscape one, that showed a canopy
4	being a covering over that area. So, my comment was, is
5	that going to be at the one-to-one setback?
6	MS. BLOOMFIELD: Yes.
7	MR. SASSON: Yes.
8	(Pause.)
9	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Yeah, it was hard to tell
10	from your perspective there whether nothing really shows
11	up, but you can't tell. So I was assuming that it was, but
12	there's nothing that really shows that it's at a one-to-one
13	setback.
14	MR. SASSON: It is definitely intended to be at a
15	one-to-one setback.
16	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay. My only other thing
17	was in the Holland & Knight Exhibit Number 16, where you talk
18	about design flexibility. Number 6. Again, I don't want to
19	be picky, but that language, you need to talk with OAG and,
20	in particular, Jake Rittig, about the format.
21	You had mentioned about final selection of
22	exterior materials changing. It's not the materials that can
23	change, it's the color of the materials that can change.
24	Once you've picked your materials, you want to pick your
25	materials, and you can change the color variations within
Į	1

(202) 234-4433

	27
1	that. So, I think that language needs to be tweaked a bit
2	and I think OAG has better language for doing that.
3	MS. BLOOMFIELD: We'll work with them, thank you
4	for pointing it out.
5	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay. Thank you. Mr.
б	Chair, those are my comments.
7	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Comments or questions up here? All
8	right. Is anyone here representing the ANC? Okay.
9	Let's go to the Office of Planning and DDOT. Mr.
10	Cochran and Mr. Rogers.
11	MR. COCHRAN: Thank you, Mr. Chair. As Ms.
12	Bloomfield mentioned, we did have a conversation this
13	morning. And the applicant has addressed all of OP's
14	concerns, with the exception of that color on the ground
15	floor. And this is just something we thought one thing, they
16	thought another. We respect their opinion, but we would
17	encourage them to look at their landscape plan, at least, if
18	they're not going to do color in the building, and try to put
19	something that will have color more than the three weeks that
20	the liriope would.
21	If we want to bring people to South Capitol
22	Street, having something this is a very dignified
23	building. Having something that might be a little bit less
24	dignified, a little bit more fun in the plantings, might be
25	helpful to get people to feel like it's a street where you
l	

(202) 234-4433

	28
1	want to walk. We're not suggesting that it's a criticism
2	from OP, but the Commission may want to consider it as a
3	possible condition.
4	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Mr. Rogers?
5	MR. ROGERS: Good evening, Mr. Chair, members of
6	the Commission. For the record, Jonathan Rogers with the
7	District Department of Transportation. Just have a few minor
8	comments to go over this evening.
9	DDOT appreciates the close coordination that the
10	applicant has had with DDOT, and the Office of Planning, on
11	the public realm design for South Capitol Street.
12	The revisions that have been made I think will
13	make for a very nice streetscape consistent with the vision
14	for South Capitol Street Boulevard, and grow in along with
15	the future South Capitol Street project that DDOT will be
16	embarking in the future.
17	One note, in the setback area, DDOT would like to
18	see that six feet be left clear in that space for pedestrian
19	circulation, although we do very much encourage activation
20	of that space through things like tables and chairs and other
21	pedestrian-scale amenities.
22	In terms of the loading corridor, DDOT appreciates
23	the inclusion of a connection between the loading dock and
24	the future retail space. We do note that, if the retail
25	space is subdivided into multiple locations, that the
I	

(202) 234-4433

corridor be extended throughout the space to connect to each
 of the retail berths, which the applicant has indicated that
 they would do this evening.

In terms of TDM, there is a significant amount of 4 parking for the site, particularly given the proximity to 5 transportation alternatives. Given that, our preference 6 7 would be for the parking to be contained and offered only to people in the site, whether that be residents or future 8 However, the overall TDM plan retail employees or tenants. 9 is strong and would be able to largely support the traffic 10 11 assumptions that have been made for this project.

The canopy has been discussed this evening. 12 Our preference would be to see a plan be submitted to the record 13 14 that shows a code-compliant canopy. And if the applicant 15 wishes to pursue a non-code-compliant canopy in the future, they can do that through the available process steps, which 16 would require a code modification and would be reviewed for 17 18 public space as well.

And there was a comment about the bicycle parking.
DDOT's understanding is that the zoning requirement would be
for 75 long-term spaces and 15 short-term spaces, which the
applicant has indicated tonight that they will be exceeding.
So, again, DDOT appreciates the close coordination
with the applicant and will rest at this point and take any
questions.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

29

	30
1	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Well, thank you both, Mr.
2	Cochran and Mr. Rogers. Let's see if we have any follow-up
3	questions or comments. Commissioner May?
4	COMMISSIONER MAY: Yeah, one question for DDOT.
5	So, when it comes to the parking that's in the building, you
6	don't want them to bundle that with the units and you don't
7	want them to lease the spaces out to outside users. So, do
8	you want them this is just a way of discouraging people
9	from driving?
10	MR. ROGERS: Correct. So, the idea would be that
11	the spaces would be contained for onsite uses and an office
12	building or an office user nearby wouldn't be able to come
13	and use these spaces.
14	And that's just a way to, if the level of parking
15	is going to be provided at the level that's requested, that
16	it wouldn't contribute to nearby buildings and users using
17	those extra spaces and generating additional vehicle trips
18	sort of beyond this localized building.
19	COMMISSIONER MAY: All right. So, is that as
20	I recall, part of the parking strategy for the stadium was
21	that there would be garages replacing the vacant lots that
22	were parking lots. And, I guess, because this is an
23	apartment building, you don't have the benefit of that dual-
24	use, right?
25	Apartment building cars tend to stay, tend to be
I	

(202) 234-4433

	in the garage when there's a ballgame, as opposed to the
2	office buildings, which are often empty at that time. So,
3	that's why you're trying to keep non-building-users from
4	using those spaces?

MR. ROGERS: From an access management perspective, 5 would be difficult to open residential 6 it. more up а 7 building's parking for stadium use. It's not clear how the spaces would be made available for retail and other sort of 8 9 offsite or non-resident uses. Presumably, there could be I'll let the applicant speak to how the 10 some arrangement. 11 spaces could be accessed differently for the different uses that might serve the site. 12

13 COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay. But in any case, they've 14 agreed to this condition anyway, right?

MR. ROGERS: I believe they've agreed to offer the spaces first to onsite users, but do not want to commit to limiting the spaces to onsite only.

18 COMMISSIONER MAY: All right. And for the Office of Planning, this is -- I feel like I have to go back in time 19 to the Zoning Regulation rewrite, because I'm trying to 20 understand -- and, I quess, maybe this predates the ZR, but 21 South Capitol Street design reviews 22 don't do we verv 23 Is this something that we would have ordinarily frequently. wanted to get a referral to NCPC as well? 24

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

MR. COCHRAN: The D-Zone design review for three

(202) 234-4433

25

	32
1	sub-areas is in the regulations directly because of NCPC.
2	COMMISSIONER MAY: Right.
3	MR. COCHRAN: The agreement was that, if they
4	couldn't review it, you were good enough for it.
5	(Laughter.)
6	COMMISSIONER MAY: I'm glad you're here to remember
7	for me, because I remember we had all those discussions, but
8	
9	MR. COCHRAN: Right.
10	COMMISSIONER MAY: But they still they retained
11	
12	MR. COCHRAN: They will not this won't go to
13	NCPC.
14	COMMISSIONER MAY: Right, but there are some areas
15	where NCPC will review, right?
16	MR. COCHRAN: Oh, absolutely.
17	COMMISSIONER MAY: And which ones are those again?
18	MR. COCHRAN: I'm sorry, I'd have to look at the
19	Regs again, but
20	MS. BLOOMFIELD: We can pull it up for you in a
21	sec.
22	MR. COCHRAN: Certainly in the D-8 Zone, for
23	instance, I know that NCPC does review there.
24	COMMISSIONER MAY: And where is D-8? I have not
25	memorized them.
I	

(202) 234-4433

	33
1	MR. COCHRAN: That's the so-called that's the
2	area south of Independence where GSA was going to be.
3	COMMISSIONER MAY: Yeah, okay. Do they want to
4	do North Capitol, too?
5	MR. COCHRAN: I'd have to look back.
6	COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay.
7	MR. COCHRAN: They did want to do it. I'm not sure
8	which way it wound up, I'm sorry.
9	COMMISSIONER MAY: And they were doing the Capitol
10	Gateway stuff, right? Because they were providing I mean,
11	they provided a review on the last Capitol Gateway overlay
12	project we did. But that's the old zoning, right? I see
13	I've gotten everybody confused.
14	MS. BLOOMFIELD: If I may?
15	COMMISSIONER MAY: Ms. Bloomfield knows it all, so
16	we'll let her explain it.
17	MS. BLOOMFIELD: Well, no, but I know where it is
18	in the regulations. If you're at Subtitle Z, which is Zoning
19	Commission Referrals to and Reports of Public Agencies.
20	405.2 lists five different areas, properties, boundaries,
21	that are specifically referred to NCPC.
22	And we do not fall into any of those. There is
23	some design review. Subtitle I Section 618, for example,
24	falls under NCPC's review. We are 616. We're just not on
25	this list.
	1

(202) 234-4433

	34
1	MS. SCHELLIN: And, Commissioner May, just to
2	remind you, or just to confirm, the CG overlay reviews have
3	not changed. It's the same sections, it's like A and D.
4	COMMISSIONER MAY: Yeah, it's just applying
5	different zones
6	MS. SCHELLIN: It's just in a different part of the
7	regulations now, but it's the same.
8	COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay.
9	MR. COCHRAN: Commissioner, the design review for
10	Downtown was intended to be slightly different than the
11	design review that you have for Capitol Gateway and other
12	areas, in that there were certain stipulations set on
13	setbacks, primarily, and build-tos.
14	COMMISSIONER MAY: Right.
15	MR. COCHRAN: And the idea was that, you checked;
16	as long as they met those, that was intended to be the sum
17	total of the design review. For various reasons, it's been
18	interpreted differently. And we'll be seeing if that's an
19	appropriate way to continue.
20	COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay. Thank you. It just all
21	got a little bit muddled. And, again, I think, largely, it's
22	due to the fact that these sorts of design reviews are not
23	that frequent. And we had done enough of the Capitol Gateway
24	ones where I was
25	MR. COCHRAN: This is the first one for the
I	

(202) 234-4433

	35
1	downtown zones.
2	COMMISSIONER MAY: Yeah, but we did do other South
3	Capitol Street design reviews and they were
4	MR. COCHRAN: Outside of the D-Zones.
5	COMMISSIONER MAY: Before they were D-Zones,
6	right? So, they're far enough in-between, and I spend some
7	time thinking about other things than zoning, so it's hard
8	to remember sometimes. So, I appreciate your education and
9	I thank the Chairman for his indulgence as I try to sort
10	these things out.
11	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Commissioner Shapiro?
12	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Just
13	a question for Mr. Rogers around this parking. I just want
14	to make sure I understand. So, you're saying that what you
15	would like us to condition for them is to not allow parking
16	to be available for retail users?
17	MR. ROGERS: Not exactly. It's for restrictions
18	of the parking for uses associated with the building. So,
19	that's, right now, residents. But in the future, there may
20	be retail tenants in the building, and so that would generate
21	trips from visitors as well as employees of that retail. And
22	so, that we would not have an issue with. Our main concern
23	is with
24	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: That actually addressed it,
25	because when you first said it, you were talking about

(202) 234-4433

	36
1	employees and residents and I didn't hear retail users.
2	MR. ROGERS: Got it.
3	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: So, you don't have a problem
4	with that?
5	MR. ROGERS: Okay. No.
6	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Okay.
7	MR. ROGERS: No.
8	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: All right. Thank you,
9	that's all I had for that. And I had a couple quick
10	questions for the applicant. I just want to make sure, from
11	your perspective, a code-compliant canopy isn't a problem.
12	MR. SASSON: No.
13	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: And do you have an
14	understanding of where OP is related to the landscape
15	improvements and any concerns with that?
16	MR. SASSON: No. I mean, I think, to the extent
17	that OP and DDOT and any other agency that may oversee the
18	landscape and the streetscape for South Capitol Street is
19	okay with certain plantings, we certainly would be happy to
20	consider plantings that bring color or other ways to create
21	some vitality on South Capitol Street. So, if that's
22	important to OP, we can certainly do that.
23	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: And, Mr. Cochran, that's
24	good enough?
25	MR. COCHRAN: Yes, sir.

(202) 234-4433

	37
1	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Thank you. That's all I
2	have, Mr. Chair.
3	CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right. Any other questions or
4	comments? Commissioner Turnbull?
5	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Yeah, I just have one
6	question that I forgot when I was asking about the signage.
7	The signage is not going to have motion, correct?
8	MR. SASSON: Correct, no motion.
9	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay. So, it's basically
10	a backlit sign or something? It looks like you're trying to
11	do something fairly elegant with the signage and so it's
12	fairly simple and basic.
13	MR. SASSON: That's exactly right. It's simple,
14	it's basic, we're not trying to draw attention to the sign,
15	the street, in any way other than to call-out the address of
16	the building, the name of the building, the name of the
17	retailer. Again, it's very consistent with what we did in
18	the first phase as well. We're not trying to do anything
19	that would, I think, be confrontational at all.
20	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay, great. Thank you.
21	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Any other questions or
22	comments up here? Okay. ANC oh, no, let me back up.
23	We did have, as I think somebody alluded to, the
24	letter from DOEE. And basically what it says is, DOEE
25	recommends the applicant take advantage of financial programs

(202) 234-4433

	38
1	and opportunities that would finance and increase commitments
2	to sustainability. DOEE is glad to serve as a technical
3	resource for the applicant as the project continues forward.
4	So, that's in the record. I don't want to read
5	their whole letter. I'm sure that you all will continue to
6	have discussions and I'm sure, with the financial programs,
7	everybody, I'm sure, will be looking for those. So, anyway.
8	Next, all the other government reports. Any
9	others? Okay.
10	Let's go to the ANC. Anyone here representing the
11	ANC? ANC 6D had a letter, and I think the Vice Chair really
12	hit most of the points that were outstanding. I think they
13	have been already dealt with and articulated from the
14	applicant back in the responses to him.
15	So, but they did vote in support of those
16	comments. It was 7-0-0 to send the following design review
17	comments for the residential building. I think that the Vice
18	Chair has discussed those thoroughly within their letter.
19	Next, do we have any organizations or person who
20	are here in support?
21	Any organizations or persons who are here in
22	opposition?
23	Any organizations or persons who are here
24	undeclared?
25	Okay. Ms. Bloomfield, we can have any rebuttal.

(202) 234-4433

	39
1	I don't know, I think we talked all this through, but I'm
2	sure you have I don't think we have too much rebuttal.
3	But, anyway, any closing?
4	MS. BLOOMFIELD: We have no rebuttal. I would say
5	in closing that it sounds like there may be three items that
6	the Commission wants us to respond to.
7	The first would be the canopy, that it complies
8	with code.
9	The second and I don't know if it's necessary
10	or not to provide a section through the roof. We're
11	committed to having it setback one-to-one, but if it's
12	something you need, we can absolutely submit it.
13	And then the third is to add some more color and
14	activity and fun to the landscape plan, which also sounds
15	like something we'd be willing to do.
16	So, given that those three items are
17	straightforward and we are willing to do them, we would
18	respectfully ask you to consider taking action this evening
19	and we can submit them for the record following the vote.
20	And that is it, thank you.
21	CHAIRMAN HOOD: How many votes? I get confused.
22	This is one? I think what we'll do is schedule this, unless
23	you guys want to take action tonight. Wait and see it later?
24	COMMISSIONER MAY: I'm sorry, what were the three
25	issues again? I mean, one was the add color. The section?

(202) 234-4433

	40
1	MS. BLOOMFIELD: The section through the roof. So,
2	Commissioner Turnbull asked, it looks like a canopy you
3	know what I'm talking about?
4	COMMISSIONER MAY: Yeah.
5	MS. BLOOMFIELD: Whether we should submit a section
6	that shows that it's one-to-one.
7	COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay. And then what was the
8	other one?
9	MS. BLOOMFIELD: The canopy at the ground level is
10	currently shown as not code-compliant on the plan. It's in
11	public space.
12	COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay.
13	MS. BLOOMFIELD: Yeah, we committed to provide a
14	code-compliant canopy.
15	COMMISSIONER MAY: Right.
16	MS. BLOOMFIELD: I don't know if you need a
17	submission on it. On either of those. And then the third
18	would be the landscaping. Which, again, is in public space.
19	COMMISSIONER MAY: So, can I ask you this: what
20	would happen if we didn't have code-compliant drawings in the
21	record for the canopy and you didn't get them approved?
22	MR. GLASGOW: Right, because it's all in Public
23	Space Committee anyway.
24	COMMISSIONER MAY: Right. But our approval of the
25	design doesn't go to canopies.

(202) 234-4433

	41
1	MR. GLASGOW: No, it does not.
2	COMMISSIONER MAY: So, if there's a slight
3	difference, it wouldn't really make a difference. It's not
4	like you'd have to come back to us with a revised design.
5	MS. BLOOMFIELD: No. Commissioner Shapiro asked
6	for it, which is why I raised it. But, no, it's public
7	space, so it would have to be approved by the Public Space
8	Committee.
9	COMMISSIONER MAY: All right. Well, I don't know
10	what you guys have been discussing, but I don't know that we
11	really need to do anything more with this, why we need to put
12	it off and why we can't just vote on it. Because if they
13	have to fix the canopy, they have to fix the canopy. If it
14	doesn't meet the one-to-one setback, they'll have to fix it
15	so it meets the one-to-one setback.
16	The only question, in my mind, is livening things
17	up. And I mean, yeah, that's a legitimate question, but is
18	it if we get a promise that they're going to continue to
19	work with OP to liven up the streetscape, tweak the
20	landscape, whatever, I mean, I'm okay with what they
21	submitted is what it comes down to.
22	CHAIRMAN HOOD: I think everybody is. Let me help
23	you out with that.
24	COMMISSIONER MAY: All right.
25	CHAIRMAN HOOD: I think everybody is.
I	1

(202) 234-4433

	42
1	COMMISSIONER MAY: Thank you. I guess I'm trying
2	to talk you guys into something you're already convinced of.
3	Sorry about that.
4	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Since everybody's okay, I will
5	entertain a motion. So, we don't want to deliberate.
6	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yeah, I think we can get it
7	without the drawing, but the order can reflect the comments
8	that we're talking about.
9	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Would somebody like to make
10	a motion, since we all seem to be on the same page?
11	COMMISSIONER MAY: I will move that we approve
12	Zoning Commission Case 17-25, 23 I Street, LLC, Design Review
13	at Square 697N.
14	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Second.
15	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. It's been moved and properly
16	second. Any further discussion? All in favor?
17	(Chorus of ayes.)
18	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any opposition? Not hearing any,
19	Ms. Schellin will record the vote.
20	MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, Staff records the vote 5-0-0
21	to approval final action Zoning Commission Case Number 17-25.
22	Commissioner May moving, Commissioner Miller seconding,
23	Commissioners Hood, Shapiro, and Turnbull in support.
24	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Ms. Schellin, do we have
25	anything else before us?
	I

(202) 234-4433

	43
1	MS. BLOOMFIELD: Well, do we have timeline for
2	submitting an order?
3	MS. SCHELLIN: I was just going to get to that.
4	(Laughter.)
5	MS. SCHELLIN: You're just so excited. If we could
6	have it within a week?
7	MS. BLOOMFIELD: Yeah.
8	MS. SCHELLIN: Okay. Thank you.
9	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Anything else?
10	MS. SCHELLIN: No.
11	CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right. I want to thank
12	everyone for their participation tonight. And with that,
13	this hearing is adjourned.
14	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the
15	record at 7:21 p.m.)
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
I	

## CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: 23-I, LLC - Design Review (Case No. 17-25)

Before: DC ZC

Date: 02-05-18

Place: Washington, DC

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

near A ans f

Court Reporter

## **NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 44