GOVERNMENT
OF
THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

ZONING COMMISSION

+ + + + +

PUBLIC HEARING

+ + + + +

-----:

IN THE MATTER OF:

FP ECKINGTON HOLDINGS, LLC : Case No.

: 17-09

· :-----:

> Thursday, December 14, 2017

Hearing Room 220 South 441 4th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C.

The Public Hearing of Case No. 17-09 by the District of Columbia Zoning Commission convened at 6:30 p.m. in the Jerrily R. Kress Memorial Hearing Room at 441 4th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., 20001, Anthony J. Hood, Chairman, presiding.

## ZONING COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT:

ANTHONY J. HOOD, Chairperson ROBERT MILLER, Vice Chairperson MICHAEL G. TURNBULL, FAIA, Commissioner (AOC)

## OFFICE OF ZONING STAFF PRESENT:

SHARON S. SCHELLIN, Secretary

OFFICE OF PLANNING STAFF PRESENT:

STEVE COCHRAN

D.C. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENT:

JAY WILSON

DISTRICT DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION STAFF PRESENT:

AARON ZIMMERMAN

The transcript constitutes the minutes from the Public Hearing held on November 6, 2017.

## C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S

<u>PAG</u>	<u>E</u>
Preliminary Matters	6
Opening Statement - Applicant	9
Office of Planning Report	9
DDOT Report	1
DOEE Report	2
ANC Report	0
Eckington Civic Association Report 5	3
Parties in Support 5	3
Parties in Opposition	5
Closing Statement - Applicant	4
Adjourn	4

## P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

	P-K-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	6:41 p.m.
3	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Good evening, ladies and
4	gentlemen. This is a public hearing of the Zoning Commission
5	for the District of Columbia. Today's date is December the
6	14th, 2017.
7	My name is Anthony Hood. We're located in the
8	Jerrily R. Kress Memorial Hearing Room.
9	Joining me this evening are Vice Chair Miller and
10	Commissioner Turnbull, as well as Office of Zoning staff Ms.
11	Sharon Schellin, Office of Planning staff, Mr. Lawson and Mr.
12	Cochran, District Department of Transportation, Mr.
13	Zimmerman, as well as the District Department of the
14	Environment, I think Mr. Wilson will be joining us as well.
15	This proceeding is being recorded by a court
16	reporter. It's also webcast live. Accordingly, we must ask
17	you to refrain from any disruptive noise or actions in the
18	hearing room, including display of any signs or objects.
19	Notice of today's hearing was published in the DC
20	Register, and copies of that announcement are available to
21	my left on the wall, near the door.
22	The hearing will be conducted in accordance with
23	provisions of 11 DCMR Chapter 4, as follows. Preliminary
24	matters, Applicant's case, report of the Office of Planning,
25	report of other government agencies, report of the ANC,

1 organizations and persons in support, organizations 2 persons in opposition, rebuttal and closing by the Applicant. The following time constraints, we maintain in 3 this meeting. The Applicant has up to 60 minutes, but I want to show you that we have read the record, and we can probably 5 do this in about 10 minutes, and let us ask our questions if 6 7 we have any. Organizations, five minutes, individuals, three 8 minutes. All 9 wishing to testify before the persons Commission in this evening's hearing are asked to register 10 11 at the witness kiosk. That's to my left. 12 If you have any problems doing that, you can see The staff will be available throughout the 13 Ms. Schellin. hearings to discuss procedural questions. 15 Please turn off all electronic devices at this to disrupt these proceedings. 16 Would individuals wishing to testify please rise and take the oath? 17 Ms. Schellin, would you please administer the oath? 18 Please raise your right hand. 19 MS. SCHELLIN: 2.0 you solemnly swear or affirm the testimony you will give this evening will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but 21 the truth? 22 23 (Witnesses sworn.) 24 CHAIRMAN HOOD: First, let me ask, is there anyone 25 here that's in opposition of this case? Okay.

1 Ms. Schellin, do we have any preliminary matters? MS. SCHELLIN: 2 We do. I'm looking for one more 3 First of all, we received a party status request that, at Exhibit 35, it came in late. (Whereupon, the above-referred to document was 5 marked as Exhibit No. 35 for identification.) 6 7 They are asking for a waiver of the MS. SCHELLIN: rules for the late filing, but I didn't really see the reason why it was late, so it's up to the Commission to make that decision, of course, about the waiver. 10 11 It is a party status request in support from the Eckington Civic Association, and it asks the Commission to 12 13 first rule upon that. 14 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you. The last name, I think, is --15 MS. SCHELLIN: Velasquez. 16 17 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Well, I'm Velasquez? Okay. looking at something else. President, what's the first name, 18 Katherine? 19 MS. SCHELLIN: Katrina. 2.0 21 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Katrina. Okay. Is Ms. Velasquez Commission, while I know this, again, 22 Okay. Okay. still kind of new for a lot of our residents, especially our 23 volunteers, of how party status is done, and might not hit 24 25 all the high points.

1 I think this is very simple, and I would be 2 willing to grant party status in support of this case. 3 objections? Okay. 4 Do we need a, I would, I would move that we give 5 Eckington community, I mean, citizens, no, the Eckington Civic Association party status in this case, and ask for a 6 7 second. 8 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Second. It's been moved and properly 9 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any further discussion? All in favor, aye. 10 seconded. 11 opposition? Not hearing any, Ms. Schellin, would you record 12 the vote. And I take it that includes the, 13 MS. SCHELLIN: approving the waiver, the late filing? 15 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes, the waiver too, yes. MS. SCHELLIN: 16 Okay. 17 Everything. CHAIRMAN HOOD: 18 MS. SCHELLIN: Staff records the vote 3-0-2, 2 19 The party status request in support from the Eckington Civic Association, along with approving the waiver 2.0 for the late filing, Commissioner Hood moving, Commissioner 21 seconding, Commissioner Turnbull 22 Miller in support, 23 Commissioners May and Shapiro not present, not voting. 24 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Do we have anything else 25 preliminary?

Also, we had, I'm sorry. MS. SCHELLIN: Αt Exhibits 36 and 36A, we have a request from the ANC to keep the record open after the hearing in order to allow them to submit the CVA, which they're actually working with the Applicant on, and that's something I'm sure the Applicant and the ANC may go into. MS. SCHELLIN: And that's something maybe throughout, through the hearing, you guys can work on. I just wanted to bring it to your attention. Not necessarily rule on now, but just to bring it to your attention. And Hannah Powell has been approved to represent

And Hannah Powell has been approved to represent the ANC. She has submitted testimony on her own, but she is representing the ANC this evening, I believe. I don't know if she's here. She is at the table. Okay.

And then, the experts that have been proffered, Sarah Alexander, Trini Rodriguez, Erwin Andres, and Shane Dettman, they've all be previously accepted by the Commission. And so, just ask the Commission to accept them as experts in this case.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Let's do the expert witnesses first. I think if, I don't think, I don't guess we have any objections to continue our status expert witness of all who have already been accepted. Okay. We will keep that status.

Now, we will see as we go along, I'm pretty sure we will continue to leave the record open for the ANC to be

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

1 able to work that out. 2 I'm sure that, again, shows the collaborative, and working together on that. Anything else, Ms. Schellin? 3 4 MS. SCHELLIN: I have nothing else. 5 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. So with that, you've heard my announcement about the 10 minutes, so just hit the 6 7 highlights. 8 You may want to talk about the affordability 9 question, the Office of Planning and things, question. 10 Anything that's outstanding. Other than that, I 11 think we'll ask our questions and we'll move forward. 12 Thank you. You may begin. MS. MOSELEY SHIKER: Good evening, Chairman Hood, 13 Members of the Commission. My name is Christy Shiker with 15 the law firm of Holland and Knight. I am representing the Applicant today, along with Joe Gaon from Holland and Knight 16 as well. 17 18 From my right to my left, I have Erwin Andres, our traffic consultant, Shane Dettman, our planning expert, Sarah 19 Alexander from Torti Gallas, our architect, Josh Etter from 2.0 21 Foulger-Pratt, representing the Applicant, and then we have Hannah Powell, from ANC, and we'll get to them in a few 22 2.3 minutes. 24 We are here today to present an application for

a consolidated PUD, and zoning map amendment from PDR 2 and

PDR 4 to MU 5A for property that is located at the corner of R Street and Harry Thomas Way in Eckington.

The project is a residential building with ground floor retail. It has a proposed FAR of just over four. The height of the building is 81.5 feet with an 18 inch parapet.

The proposed FAR and height are below what's permitted in both the existing zones and the proposed zone.

The project's going to include two and three bedroom inclusionary zoning units, and four artist-live work studios, all of which will be affordable to households at 60 percent at MFI.

Since set down, the project has also been modified to include solar panels that will generate approximately 1 percent of the energy of the project, and it's also been designed to meet the LEED gold standards.

The project really focuses on the creation and enhancement of park land, with a dedication of a large park on the east side of the PUD, and with a design that compliments and supports both that space, as well as the proposed 2 acre park immediately to the south.

The project, as Sarah will briefly talk about, has been fully designed to fit in with all of the various context that it surrounds, the large Eckington Yards project to the west, residential and lower rise residential to the northwest, industrial uses both to the north and the south,

2.0

and then the MBT, the Metropolitan Branch Trail, and the tracks to the east. Since the inception of the project, we have worked closely with the District.

We've appreciated the Office of Planning's help and their support in the report. We filed, in response to the specific questions and clarifications they requested, we filed a written response to each of those, addressing those items, and that's in Exhibit 33 of the record.

Those responses also included comments to DOE's report. With respect to DDOT, we've worked closely with them since the inception of the project as well.

We worked on the access points in making sure that we created a safe pedestrian and bicycle access along our street, while at the same time, having our access to the loading and the parking.

We did that by including front-in front-out maneuvering, and the Public Space Committee has actually approved those access points back in June.

In the last few days, we've worked closely with DDOT to address their comments in the report. We filed a written submission, which is at Exhibit 34.

Since then, we've had further conversations, and we have come to agreement on all of the additional mitigation. Mr. Andres will talk about that, and DDOT is here to confirm that as well. I don't believe there's any

2.0

outstanding issues there.

2.0

2.3

Finally, and really most importantly, our community engagement process started over a year ago.

We've worked very closely with all the stakeholders, with the single member District representative, the ANC, the Eckington Civic Association, interested neighbors.

When we first began this process, there were concerns about the project related to traffic, massing, design, and we are pleased to have worked collaboratively with the community, and have arrived at a design and traffic mitigation program that's resolved many of those concerns.

As part of that work, just this week, we've added three additional amenities that were not included in our supplemental pre-hearing submission that I want to let you know about.

The first is a skills and training program at McKinley Tech for their high school students. Second is contributions of \$60,000 to fund needs that have been identified for local public schools, and educational needs that have been identified for the Recreation Center, the Eckington Recreation Center, and the Bloomingdale Civic Association.

Finally, we've also agreed to a contribution of \$40,000 to fund an affordable housing training program for

1 Eckington residents that has been crafted by the Eckington 2 Civic Association in concert with nonprofit some 3 organizations. These amenities are an addition to what we had 4 5 proposed in our most recent supplemental pre-hearing 6 submission. 7 And for a completeness of the record, we did file, at Exhibit 33A, a full chart showing all of the amenities 9 we've proffered thus far, including these additional ones. 10 pleased We are that the Eckington Civic 11 Association voted unanimously to support us, and they're here tonight to support the project as well. 12 ANC 5E voted to support the project as well. 13 cited no issues with, or concerns with the use or the design of the project. 15 However, they did ask for additional time to 16 provide something to the record on the community benefits, 17 18 and we do not object to maintaining the record open as 19 they've requested. And our team's also agreed to go to their next ANC 2.0 21 meeting on December 19th. With respect to the benefits, members of ANC 5E have indicated that additional funding is 22 needed for schools and local rec center priorities. 23 At the ANC, earlier this week, we agreed to go 24

back and look at that, and suggestions were made that funding

for the park amenities should be taken away and shifted to these other priorities.

Since that meeting, our team has reviewed the information provided by the schools and the local rec center, and we note that the \$60,000 that has been proffered will fund the significant majority of all the needs that were identified.

Furthermore, based on our work in the community, we believe that there is strong support in the community for funding the priorities as currently proposed in the application, and that's especially as it relates to the park amenities and to the MBT.

Accordingly, the Applicant believes that the community benefits, as proposed tonight, is appropriate for this case.

Mr. Dettman, we'll let him just answer questions, but he is prepared to talk to you about how those benefits and amenities, when compared with the very limited development flexibility requested for this case, satisfy the PUD standards for judging, reconciling, and balancing those two factors.

At this point, I'm going to go straight to Ms. Alexander to walk through the design, and then Mr. Andres will just briefly touch on the final resolution with DDOT, and then we're available to answer any questions. We have

2.0

a large team here. Thank you.

2.0

MS. ALEXANDER: Good evening. Thank you, Christy.

As Christy said, my name is Sarah Alexander, and I'm with

Torti Gallas Urban.

We are excited to be here tonight to share the project. I am going to go very quickly, but everything I'm showing you is in the book, and I'll be happy to go in more detail with any questions. Quickly, some context photos, both north and south of the site.

We wanted to make sure our building fit in seamlessly with the existing context, which is generally industrial in character. Here are some precedents that we were inspired by when we started the design process.

A mixture of most traditional and modern industrial stall buildings. From an urban design standpoint, we really wanted to make sure that our building capped off the end of the Eckington Yards project in a very effective way, which you'll see as we move forward, as well as embrace the park and our unique situation between the neighborhood and the tracks.

Zooming in on the ground floor, you'll see that both corners are red, retail use. We wanted to activate both corners, as well as activate the remainder of Harry Thomas Way with our lobby and amenity space.

Additionally, we have front-in front-out loading

and a garage entry on R Street, and we have gently bent our building to emulate the shape of the realigned Metropolitan Branch Trail.

The four artist-live work units are located on the eastern side of the building, and on the south side, we've also provided a secondary lobby so residents can go right out to the park, as well as access for parking and bike parking directly into the garage from the Trail.

Service and loading, I could go into this in detail, but all service and loading and trash needs have been met by this project internally. 328 units, a mixture of studio to 3 bedrooms.

On the, on the southeast corner, we have provided a small roof terrace for amenity of our building, which we think will help activate and enliven the park, as it overlooks the park. We are providing solar panels, as Christy mentioned.

So, we are putting solar panels directly on top of the green roof, and we've worked DOEE on the detailing of that.

All parking is below grade, 124 spaces for cars, and 174 spaces for bikes. For the outside of the building, again, we really wanted to make sure we crafted and honed the design so that it fits into this wonderful and unique neighborhood.

2.0

1 Majority of the buildings are industrial character in the neighborhood, so we also took that theme 2 3 with our buildings. We scaled the buildings to be in concert with the 4 adjacent context, and we wanted to, most importantly, step 5 6 down the northwest corner where we are adjacent to some of 7 the smaller town homes to our northwest. 8 So, we made sure to step back the top floor 10 9 We also dropped the corners to give an attic level to the 6th floor, and additional added balconies, which brought 10 11 the scale down even further to the fifth floor. We also, as you can see here, we've divided Harry 12 Thomas Way into a series of four different facade types. 13 14 That way, the scale and rhythm and proportion of those pieces were in keeping with across the street, which 15 is folded down on this page for you. 16 17 So, you can see everything really works in harmony in the context. As you go clockwise around the building, 18 this is if you were standing on the red line track looking 19 at our building, we thought a more unified appearance of the 2.0 21 building on the tracks was appropriate because on such industrial sites, often there are more linear style buildings 22 along the tracks. 23 24 So, again, responding the building in each face,

based on its context.

25

Additionally, we have modified the

1 penthouse in, request at the set down. Added as 2 articulation and darkened the color. 3 And I did forget to note, we also eliminated a facade type on Harry Thomas Way as a request of -- studying 5 that, as a request of the set down. We are proposing some artwork. We are still 6 7 working with the artist on the exact design of the artwork along the Metropolitan Branch Trail, but we will continue to hone that as we move forward. 9 10 Moving again down the red line tracks here, you 11 can see the plaza of the park in front of our building. We have a wonderful green canopy on the, on the 12 building, as well as that setback I noted before for the 13 public terrace. And then, we are proposing artwork again on that public terrace. 15 I can read more of the design inspiration for you 16 at length, but essentially, it's a combination of the more 17 18 floral and garden aspects of the park, as well as the more hard lined and industrial aspects of the tracks. 19 2.0 Moving all the way to Harry Thomas Way, I think 21 this was the one facade type that was noted for a couple of comments in the, in the set downs. 22 23 So, we have provided a little more information on this building. We did want something lighter and more modern 24

on the park and adjacent to the Eckington Yards project, so

we did pick a combination of dark gray brick for the first two stories with a light gray.

It was previously white, and as noticed, we, noted, we have made it light gray instead of white, cementitious panel, and metal panel combination for the upper floors, all working in concert with the window pattern to give a nice light industrial character to this building.

We also honed the detail of the corner, as noted, so that will be an aluminum reglet detail for the panels at the corners, so it's a nice, neat corner.

So, I think you can see, we've really worked to sculpt our building to work seamlessly into the landscape.

A little more detail on the landscape, we are proposing, again, the realignment of the Metropolitan Branch Trail so that you don't have to make two really sharp turns as you currently do.

And in the northeast corner of the east park, there will be a dog park. And then, in the southern portion, there is a nice public plaza adjacent to our artist-live works, and proposed two-story residential level. And doing a wonderful courtyard.

It's a combination of outdoor terrace, lap pool, private terraces for the units. And again, that wonderful outdoor space overlooking the park, kind of activating that corner of the building. I think that's in a nutshell. So,

2.0

Erwin.

2.0

MR. ANDRES: Great. Good evening, Chairman Hood,
Members of the Commission. Erwin Anders of Gorove-Slade
Associates.

DDOT had reviewed, in our coordination with them, our project and our comprehensive transportation review document, and issued a letter dated December 4, 2017 that identified their recommended mitigations.

Those mitigations fall into two categories. The first are physical recommendations with respect to physical improvements that they recommended to be made.

And the second are recommendations associated with the proposed transportation demand management plan that we've proffered.

As part of our coordination with DDOT, we issued a letter dated December 13th, and had subsequent meetings with them to finalize a plan that meets our needs, as well as meets DDOT's initiatives to satisfy the mitigation for the project.

With respect to the recommended physical mitigations, what we have agreed with DDOT consists of installing crosswalks and curb extensions, and an all-way stop at Harry, at the intersection of Harry Thomas Way and Eckington Place.

We've also agreed to install crosswalks and curb

extensions on the southern, on the southeast and southwest corners of the intersection of Harry Thomas Way and R Street.

We also agreed with DDOT not to install the bike lane striping that they had recommended, and not to install the bike counter digital display that they recommended, considering that we've made significant bike amenities associated with the realignment of the Trail.

With respect to the transportation demand management plan, what we've, what we've agreed to consists of everything in our comprehensive transportation review.

But in addition to that, we've also agreed to purchase 13 shopping carts for the residents. We've agreed to the unbundling recommendation that DDOT had identified.

We've also agreed that for the car sharing spaces, in the event that we cannot secure a car share provider, we are committed to host the Washington Area Bike Association bike seminars twice a year for three years, and DDOT had agreed that that was appropriate.

In addition to that, some clarifications. We are agreeing to install an electric car charging station for two cars, and we've also confirming that the R Street sidewalk connects to the Metropolitan Branch Trail, and that the Trail will remain open during the construction of the project. So, with that, I'm available for questions. Thank you.

MS. MOSELEY SHIKER: And with that, we would be

2.0

1	happy to answer any questions that the Commission has.
2	CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right. Thank you all very
3	much for your presentation. Let's see if we have any
4	questions or comments up here. Anybody? If not, I had one
5	or two. Okay. Mr. Turnbull?
6	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Thank you. Thank you, Mr.
7	Chair. Thank you for your presentation tonight. It was very
8	thorough, and it's a very, I think, since that set down, it's
9	greatly improved, and I was just going back over our notes
10	from that.
11	And I looked at Commissioner May's comment, who
12	I think had made something to the effect that there was nine
13	different facade types.
14	So, we're happy to see that you've got down to,
15	I think you said four, but I, could be five, depending upon
16	how you look at it.
17	MS. ALEXANDER: Yes, it's four with one that
18	repeats.
19	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Yes. Okay. So, thank you
20	for simplifying the design, and I think it makes a big
21	difference. I think it's a very, I think it fits very well
22	in with the neighborhood, and I think it's a very appropriate
23	situation.
24	So, I guess the other thing, the big, is the
25	retail Is this going to be neighborhood serving retail or

1	what do you see as this? Restaurants or
2	MR. ETTER: Yes, sir. Josh Etter, Foulger-Pratt.
3	Neighborhood goods and services. The tenants haven't been
4	identified yet, but local restaurants, dry cleaners, things
5	of that nature.
6	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay. Because I think one
7	of the spaces is about 2,500, the other one's about 3,500
8	plus. Something like that.
9	MR. ETTER: Yes, sir. The 3,500 that faces the
10	park, we envision that as more of a café, that
11	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay.
12	MR. ETTER: gray kind of space that connect the
13	south park to that retail space
14	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay. Okay.
15	MR. ETTER: at the bottom of our building.
16	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: I guess the only, my other
17	question is on the solar. By your, by your calculations,
18	you're at 1 percent, which is at the low end of what DOEE was
19	recommending, 1 to 3 percent.
20	Is there any way that you can enhance that? It
21	looks like there is some more room up there that you might
22	be able to add, and I'm sure Commissioner Shapiro is very
23	interested in wanting to see if you could increase that.
24	MS. MOSELEY SHIKER: So, we had gone from having
25	no solar

COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: None.

2.0

MS. MOSELEY SHIKER: -- and just green roofs, to getting up to the 1 percent. And it, and it took quite a, quite a bit of design work to do that in light of the green roof that's there, the mechanical systems that are there. I'm happy, we worked very closely with Office of Planning, with Mr. Wilson at DOEE to --

COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Right.

MS. MOSELEY SHIKER: -- try to make this happen with the new types of standards that they have with solar over green roofs.

But I think, at this point, this is kind of where

we're comfortable. We've gotten to that 1 percent, which -COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay. And, well, I'm just
sort of trying to represent Mr. Shapiro, who's not here. So,
if you could take another close look and see if you could
somehow increase it, I'm sure he would be very appreciative
of that.

The other aspect is, on the affordable housing. And OP and, responding to what OP had said, going to 10 percent, and you had sort of given a response, but still really never really addressed the OP issue, and I wonder if you could go back and explain further on that.

MS. MOSELEY SHIKER: Sure. So, where we are in terms of the affordable housing is that we're at 10.3 percent

of 1 the residential square footage that's devoted to 2 affordable housing. 3 Within that, we have 8 percent that are the IZ units at 60 percent MFI, and we have made a commitment to maintain all of those units as either two bedrooms or three 5 6 bedrooms. 7 And so, we've committed to four three-bedroom units and 14 two-bedroom units. So, we will have zero 8 9 studios, zero one-bedrooms. 10 This is very important priority the 11 community, and so, therefore, within that area of IZ, we have committed to larger family sized units. 12 We --COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: 13 And --14 MS. MOSELEY SHIKER: -- also have --15 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: And the rate that they're 16 at? 17 MS. MOSELEY SHIKER: 60 percent MFI. 18 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: 60 percent AMI. We also have committed to four artist live-work studies that will be 19 at 60 percent MFI for the life of the project as well, and 2.0 21 so, that brings the total up to 10.3 percent. And with this project, there are, there is a 22 significant amenities and benefits program, and we have, we 23 24 believe that we are very, very strong in the parks and open 25 space with the dedication of over 20,000 square feet for a

1	park, the significant contributions and the work to make
2	these parks happen.
3	And so, therefore, in the midst of the overall
4	benefits package, we felt that we did meet the balancing
5	test, and we were exceeding both the amount and the types of
6	units that are desired for the affordable housing.
7	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay. I'm sure that, I'm
8	sure Commissioner Miller may have some more comments.
9	On the First Source CBE, you wrote that you're,
10	you've got all these alternatives. But is there a chart or
11	something that sort of shows how First Source CBE relates to
12	all of the different programs you're doing, and
13	MS. MOSELEY SHIKER: Not in the record. First
14	Source is no longer permitted on a voluntary basis.
15	So, only those projects that have public funding
16	and that get mandated for First Source can opt into, or can
17	participate in First Source.
18	There's no longer an opt in process, according to
19	everything that we've seen from DOES.
20	So, what we did instead is we worked with the
21	community about what type of training skills we could do to
22	take, to put in place of that type of an amenity, and that's
23	how we did the McKinley High School construction training
24	program.
25	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Yes, I guess my only, I

1 was trying to look at that and, as you say, you just added 2 some things tonight. 3 Could you put together a, sort of spreadsheet, but something that sort of shows all of the programs that you're doing that we could readily see at once 5 that fill in the, maybe it's in the record. 6 I just didn't 7 see it. 8 MS. MOSELEY SHIKER: So, we provided some 9 information about that training program. We're happy to provide more to you. 10 11 I mean, it is a different program than a First Source Employment Program, but it does provide training and 12 construction skills for students in the District of Columbia, 13 and I think really goes to kind of what the intent of providing employment and training is. 15 But we're happy to provide more details about that. 16 17 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Well, yes, and what kind of numbers are we looking at? I mean, how, like, how many 18 people would be involved in this? 19 Do we know? 2.0 MR. ETTER: So, within the engineering program at 21 McKinley Tech, I believe it's about 50 to 60 students, we were planning on offering multiple 22 currently. So, 23 workshops through the 24 month construction period. 24 And what other COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay. 25 programs are then, is the --

1 MR. ETTER: So, those programs would be related 2 soft skills, such as project management, you 3 scheduling, negotiating contracts, as well as trade skills. 4 You know, how do electricians, how do mechanical, 5 plumbers, and engineers work together to design, and then 6 ultimately construct a building? COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: 7 Okay. I'm sure the Chair will have some more comments on that, but Mr. Chair, that'll 9 be it for me, then. 10 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you, Mr. Turnbull. Vice 11 Chairman, will you have any questions or comments? 12 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And thank you for your presentation and all the materials that 13 you've provided, and thank you for all of your community engagement with the Eckington Civic Association and ANC 5E, 15 whole and with the single member 16 commissioner, and your responsiveness to concerns that they 17 have raised, and that the Commission and Office of Planning 18 and DDOT and DOEE and others have raised. 19 And one of the community's responses you noted was 2.0 21 on the, on the affordable housing, to make it, make all the affordable two or three-bedroom, and that is commendable 22 because we need affordable housing and market rate housing, 23 of all types, but particularly family sized units for both 24

affordable and market rate.

1	And so, it's great to, that that's two and three-
2	bedroom. But I, but OP still seems to be suggesting, and I,
3	and I would agree with their recommendation that you perhaps
4	increase the artist loft space by a certain amount so that
5	you can, not only strengthen what is already a very strong
6	affordable housing amenity, but also satisfy that PDR
7	designation for this site since there, you gave a response
8	as to why, I think, some other maker spaces might be
9	incompatible with the residential. So, and I think that was
10	a good point.
11	So, but I think the artist loft space is
12	compatible, and I think if you're able to increase that, that
13	would be, that would be great. I don't know if you wanted
14	to comment. That's fine.
15	MS. MOSELEY SHIKER: So, certainly, we have read
16	the Office of Planning report. We've had conversations with
17	the Office of Planning. And I would just have a couple of
18	comments.
19	One, we do believe that the artist live-work that
20	we're providing is consistent with the comprehensive plan and
21	the designation for PDR. We had many slides to go through
22	on it. We'd be happy to do that. Why don't we pull up just
23	
24	VICE CHAIR MILLER: I agree that it is, yes.
25	MS. MOSELEY SHIKER: Okay. So, but even the

amount that we're providing, when you look at the comp plan, you need to look at it in terms of the planning areas. It's not parcel-specific.

And so, if you look at the amount of makers space that we're supporting with the different types of uses in our building, there is a significant amount of PDR in the general area.

And I think that you can see here, this is where, this is where our site is with, you know, the more than 5,400 square feet. You have 70,000 square feet across the street, and you have a large node that really starts to satisfy that.

We compared that to other policies in the comp plan, which also call for the importance of family sized housing units.

And so, every time you put in another artist livework unit, you take away a three-bedroom unit, whether it be an affordable or a market rate.

And so, we were balancing those two competing priorities of the comprehensive plan to make sure that we satisfied the PDR, but that we also provided those three-bedroom market and affordable housing units for the community to fulfil those other needs, which was also a stated priority from the community.

So, it, we felt like we balanced the two competing priorities of the comp plan, which is why we thought the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

number of units we provided was sufficient.

2.0

VICE CHAIR MILLER: Well, thank you for that explanation. We're very aware of the challenge of balancing competing priorities in a comprehensive plan, and so I appreciate your effort to do so.

If you're able to take a look again and to make a gesture toward an additional, any additional artist loft space, that would be appreciated.

And I guess, I do appreciate that you, the design and color changes that you made as a result of comments, and I think it is a very attractive building, and compatible with the neighborhood, as Commissioner Turnbull said, with the setbacks and the, and just the way it, the way it fits in. It's right next to the railroad tracks, and obviously you can, you can fit almost anything into there.

(Laughter.)

VICE CHAIR MILLER: But you, but you did a good job of showing that industrial motif on that side.

I guess, on the, and I appreciate that you added the solar that wasn't there originally, but DOEE did say, I don't know.

Maybe you can provide a written response to the DOEE memo of December 4th, which suggests certain ways to increase the solar or, now, maybe you've already looked at it and that's not possible, but that you could just try to

1	bring a response so we have that in the record.
2	And if you're able to be responsive, that would
3	even be great, that would be great. I have some, I had some
4	DDOT questions, but I can't remember what they are. Maybe
5	when DDOT comes up, I'll remember them. So, thank you very
6	much.
7	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. I think I just have a few
8	questions. Who from your, my standard question, who from
9	your development team is from the neighborhood?
10	MR. ETTER: From the neighborhood? None of us
11	are.
12	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. All right. I'm not going
L3	to expand on that because it seems like you have overwhelming
L4	support.
L5	MS. ALEXANDER: I live in Brookland.
L6	MR. ETTER: Excuse me, our architect lives in
L7	Brookland. But from the neighborhood of Eckington, none of
18	us are.
L9	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Well, that's close. That's close,
20	so good.
21	(Laughter.)
22	CHAIRMAN HOOD: You live in Brookland. That's
23	still in, that's in, that's in Ward 5, so, good. We're
24	getting there. We're getting there.
25	Let me first of all thank you for what you're

1 going to do with McKinley. Ι just need to it 2 memorialized. I know I've mentioned that at the set down. 3 Being a McKinley alumni, I would be less than diligent if I didn't look out for my old high school. anyway, let me ask this. 5 The school north used to be the Penn Center. What is, what is that now? Does anybody know? 6 7 MS. MOSELEY SHIKER: It is a storage warehouse. 8 CHAIRMAN HOOD: A storage, probably been, I've 9 been out of school for 35 years, so --10 (Off mic comments.) 11 MS. MOSELEY SHIKER: For the library? Yes. Α storage warehouse for the library. And I thought they were 12 13 going to move some of the DC archives there too, I thought, but --14 15 So, no students are --CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. MS. MOSELEY SHIKER: 16 17 CHAIRMAN HOOD: -- in there anymore? Okay. Things do change. Harry Thomas Way, one of the things that 18 19 jumps out of that area was FedEx, as well as XM Satellite. And when they named Harry Thomas, when they named 2.0 21 that street, it was a private street first, and I think it's public now. 22 Is there anything on Harry Thomas Way, he was a 23 former council member in our ward, but is there, is there 24 25 anything that memorializes anything about him other than the

1	street name? I'm just asking because I
2	MS. MOSELEY SHIKER: I'm not aware of anything.
3	The street is named Harry Thomas Way, and I'm sure that was
4	dedicated and accepted by the Council, because the Council
5	is the one legally in charge of naming streets. But I
6	haven't seen anything else.
7	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes, I'm aware of that. I was
8	there when it was done. But I'm, my question is, I know it
9	was private, and I think now it's public.
10	MS. MOSELEY SHIKER: It is.
11	CHAIRMAN HOOD: It's a public street. So, is
12	there anything there memorializing or recognizing Harry
13	Thomas, Sr.?
14	I would encourage the ANC and Eckington Civic
15	Associate and everyone to do that, because Harry was very
16	into, Council Member Thomas was very instrumental in what's
17	going on now.
18	And if he, if he was living today, he would've
19	never thought the things that, you see, I was there when
20	there wasn't nothing down there.
21	I mean, so to see what's going on now, and this
22	project also evolving, what's going to, what's going to be
23	to the south of this in that park, the south park? What is
24	that?
25	MS. MOSELEY SHIKER: It is a two acre park that

will have a green lawn, and it will have an amphitheater. 2 Do you want us to talk a little bit more? Some retail 3 kiosks. 4 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. MR. ETTER: Yes, amphitheater, playground, retail 5 6 kiosks, and that connects to the east park that we're deeding 7 back to the District for the use of the MBT realignment. 8 And I know you're still CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. 9 having some conversation with the ANC, as well as Eckington, I believe. 10 11 I would suggest that we start looking at some kind of, we don't have to put it all on just this applicant, but 12 13 maybe to the community. 14 We need to start looking at some kind of way to memorialize a plaque like we've done in some other areas, 15 like we did on Rhode Island Avenue about Harmony Cemetery. 16 17 But we need to make sure we don't lose sight. Thomas a street named after former 18 Harry Way is 19 councilman. Some people know that and some people probably 2.0 don't. 21 Some kind of way that we can memorialize that so that we won't lose sight as newer residents move in and move 22 out, and that way that will still, so I'm depending upon the 23 24 ANC, Chairman Thomas and others, to get that done, hopefully,

in their conversations.

1 The other things is, I heard something that kind 2 of said, wait a minute, we're going to use this for, I heard 3 that some of the money's going to go towards recreation, the Recreation Department. Did I hear that correctly? 5 MS. MOSELEY SHIKER: To the Eckington Recreation It's a local recreation center that the ANC has 6 7 identified as having --8 Harry Thomas Way. CHAIRMAN HOOD: So, I'm going 9 So, here's the thing, and I'm going to also to ask this. give you, see, I've learned, I'm not saying this is the way 10 11 to do it. I don't want to do anything that the ANC has done, 12 because they work hard for nothing. 13 Trust me. But what I will say is one of the things I've learned when we got a grant from Allstate years ago was not to use the money that 15 he had on capital improvements. 16 17 And I'm just putting that out there. They can do what they want because, and if you do do it for Harry Thomas 18 19 Rec, I know there's a need there. We want to make sure that we don't put it in the 2.0 21 general fund, because we might not see it. So, those are just things, from my experience that I've had over the years, 22 I would suggest that. 23 24 And I can have that conversation with the ANC when

they do their report. But we want to push and make sure that

1	some stuff's capital improvements that we let the city and
2	our tax dollars take care of it, and you all use something
3	else for that to help benefit your community. That's just
4	my
5	MS. MOSELEY SHIKER: The
6	CHAIRMAN HOOD: two cents' worth.
7	MS. MOSELEY SHIKER: The identified need that
8	Foulger-Pratt had agreed to fund was \$8,500 to purchase
9	tablets and computers for the before and after programs
10	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.
11	MS. MOSELEY SHIKER: that would go to literacy
12	support. So, it was the need that they identified, and that
13	is the specific proffer that's been made to that rec center.
14	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Oh, okay. So, it won't go to the
15	general fund?
16	MS. MOSELEY SHIKER: No.
17	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Okay. All right.
18	MS. MOSELEY SHIKER: It will be an item delivered
19	to that.
20	CHAIRMAN HOOD: We have a need. We know where
21	it's going to be at, but it will be turned over.
22	The Applicant will purchase and make sure it's
23	turned over to that organization. Okay, great. Great.
24	Because I know that's a need. What else did I have?
25	Also, I think one of my colleagues had already

mentioned about the DOEE comments. I heard you say, one of my questions were, have you been working with them, but obviously you have, from what I've heard.

And as stated, if you could just comment on some of the things they had in the letter. Mr. Wilson does a great job, and really a thorough letter.

Everybody, Office of Planning as well as DDOT and, as well, but make sure you just comment on some of the things that he has to make that building more energy efficient. Okay?

MS. MOSELEY SHIKER: We'll submit that, yes.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right. But, again, I want to thank you for what you are doing. You have overwhelming support, and that means a lot. That shows that you are working with the neighborhood. That's why I didn't really push it.

When you do have somebody on your development team that lives in Brookland, which is no problem, but had you not had overwhelming support, I would've pushed that.

And I would've, you know what I would've said? I can say it now. What I, what I usually want to say is if you don't have anybody from the neighborhood on your development team, then it would've been a lot easier for you to get things through because the people that live there know what they want.

2.0

1 But obviously, you have overwhelming support, so 2 I can't, you stole my thunder from that line tonight, but I 3 quarantee I'll be able to use that line another night. 4 (Laughter.) All right. Anything else 5 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. up here? All right. Let's go to the ANC. Does the ANC have 6 7 any cross? Any cross? We're going to come back for your 8 report. 9 MS. POWELL: No, sir. 10 Let's go to the Office of CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. 11 Planning's report, as well as the District Department of Transportation, and then DOEE in that order. 12 MR. COCHRAN: Thank you, Mr. Chair. OP has worked 13 very closely with the Applicant on this PUD proposal. 15 And we believe that it is not inconsistent with the comprehensive plan. It's certainly with respect to the 16 balance between what they're asking for in terms of the zone 17 18 change, and what they're proffering, and what the project itself would provide as benefits, balance out the, as the 19 2.0 Applicant had stated. 21 The project would be less tall, less dense, than what could be permitted by right. 22 It's also providing housing where it couldn't not now be provided. 23 24 And it's providing affordable housing, most of it

having to be provided because they are going a residential

1 zone, and then plus another four units for artist live-work 2 space. 3 But we continue to have concerns about the way in 4 which the project addresses both PDR and affordable housing. And the concerns raised by Commissioner Miller are 5 6 the concerns that we still have. And we have pushed the 7 Applicant to really consider hard adding another live-work Unfortunately, they haven't. 9 So, at this point, we still think that it would be advisable for the Commission to ask the Applicant to 10 11 continue looking very hard at increasing its proffers for 12 affordable housing and PDR. But again, we note that the project's benefits and 13 amenities are considerable. It's providing a two and a half the city that doesn't 15 acre park area of in an significant providing 16 parks. It's for alternative transportation, much safer alignment of the bike trail. 17 18 It's designing to LEED Gold. It's making several contributions to schools and neighborhood associations. With 19 respect to those last two, they're very desirable. 2.0 also very difficult to track. 21 An affordable housing unit is not nearly 22 It stays for the life of the project. 23 difficult to track. 24 A computer wears out. 25 those are the kinds of things we've been So,

1 looking at, and therefore, we're yet prepared not to 2 recommend your approval. All right. DDOT, Mr. Zimmerman. 3 CHAIRMAN HOOD: 4 MR. ZIMMERMAN: Good evening. Thank you, Chairman Hood and Commissioners. For the record, my name is Aaron 5 6 Zimmerman with the District Department of Transportation. 7 DDOT is generally very supportive of this project, especially with the significant improvements to the Met 8 9 Branch Trail, and the surrounding transportation network that the Applicant has committed to. 10 11 With the conditions that the Applicant has agreed to in Mr. Andres presentation, with those included in the 12 zoning order, DDOT has no objection to the approval of this 13 consolidated PUD and related map alignment. 15 Thank you. Mr. Wilson? CHAIRMAN HOOD: Good evening, Chairman Hood and 16 WILSON: My name is Jay Wilson with the Department of 17 Commissioners. Energy and Environment. 18 To successfully reach the District's goal of 100 19 percent reduction in greenhouse gas emissions by 2050, the 2.0 21 District will need to increase the energy efficiency and all public and 22 renewable energy generation of buildings. 23 24 The Applicant has committed to dedicating 1,500 25 square feet of rooftop space to generate approximately 1

percent of the building's energy demand.

2.0

And while this does move the needle, it's not enough to meet the District's long term goals.

We see additional opportunities to include rooftop solar and the request the Applicant maximize these opportunities to integrate solar panels on the nearly 38,000 square feet of rooftop space beyond the 1,500 square feet committed.

In addition, the project should prioritize energy efficiency and revise the design to integrate variable refrigerant flow mechanical systems of the apartment units in lieu of the proposed split system units.

This would reduce the condenser farm on the room, allow space for solar, and increase the energy efficiency by up to 20 percent.

With regards to LEED certification, the Applicant is correct that the zoning regulations state that, "designing to a LEED standard is an allowable public benefit".

However, given the fact that without certification, the design can't be verified as being compliant with the rating system, and that the LEED version 2009 rating system is nearly nine years old.

This benefit, we feel, can be improved. LEED version 4 was launched in 2013, and is appropriate rating system for projects demonstrating a commitment to

1 sustainability beyond that required by current codes and 2 regulations. We recommend the Applicant commit to certification 3 of the project and explore the LEED version 4 rating system and target a minimum of LEED Gold certification. 5 6 The development team is encouraged to take 7 advantage of financial programs and incentives that may be needed defrav increased associated 8 to costs with sustainability measures as well. Thank you. I'm glad to answer any questions that the Commission may have. 10 11 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank Office of Planning, and DOEE. Let's see if we have any questions or 12 DDOT, 13 comments up here. Okay. Mr. Turnbull? 14 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: I just got set up here. No, I shouldn't say that. Mr. Cochran, thank you again for 15 an excellent report. 16 17 Just one question, and we, I think I agree with all of your comments that you've had and what we just said. 18 Again, I think it's, of all the people at OP, you give a very 19 great summary and reduce everything to its fine points, and 2.0 21 I appreciate that. 22 The question, you said you could not recommend 23 approval, in the report, it says you do recommend but 24 approval. 25 MR. COCHRAN: Thank you, Commissioner. We

1	recommended approval in the report subject to satisfaction
2	of the following items, and
3	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Oh, okay. I got you.
4	MR. COCHRAN: among those items were
5	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Oh, so you're, oh, okay.
6	MR. COCHRAN: Yes. Everything else has been
7	satisfied or will be satisfied, we know, before the order
8	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Well, the
9	MR. COCHRAN: would be issued because we'd be
10	working with the Applicant on those details. But those,
11	unfortunately, are not details.
12	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay. Well, that's what,
13	I was reading into your report that overall you felt very
14	confident about the project, so
15	MR. COCHRAN: We think it's an excellent project.
16	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Right. Okay. Thank you
17	very much. So, that, you've clarified that, which, Mr.
18	Wilson, on your, on your report, are you, we've seen at least
19	two or three PUDs now with VRF systems, I think, in the last
20	several months.
21	Are you, yourself, seeing more of those as you,
22	throughout, in general? Are you seeing more and more of
23	those coming forward?
24	MR. WILSON: Absolutely. They're becoming a lot
25	more common throughout the multifamily industry, and we're
ļ	

1	seeing them throughout the market.
2	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Is there a, overall,
3	there's got to be a cost saving with going to this system?
4	MR. WILSON: There's, it's the
5	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Is there an up-front cost
6	more so than the overall cost or
7	MR. WILSON: There is an up-front cost increase
8	of about \$1,000 per apartment unit. That's typically the
9	initial construction costs associated with those systems, but
10	then there's an energy savings of the system long term.
11	There's a split incentive issue where the energy
12	savings is passed onto the resident, and it isn't always
13	captured by the developer, depending on how the utilities are
14	run in that building.
15	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Right.
15 16	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Right.  MR. WILSON: But there are ways around that as
	MR. WILSON: But there are ways around that as
16	MR. WILSON: But there are ways around that as
16 17	MR. WILSON: But there are ways around that as well.
16 17 18	MR. WILSON: But there are ways around that as well.  COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay. All right. Thank
16 17 18 19	MR. WILSON: But there are ways around that as well.  COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay. All right. Thank you very much.
16 17 18 19 20	MR. WILSON: But there are ways around that as well.  COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay. All right. Thank you very much.  CHAIRMAN HOOD: Back, Vice Chair reminded me that
16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. WILSON: But there are ways around that as well.  COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay. All right. Thank you very much.  CHAIRMAN HOOD: Back, Vice Chair reminded me that we did have a party in support. Does Eckington Civic
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. WILSON: But there are ways around that as well.  COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay. All right. Thank you very much.  CHAIRMAN HOOD: Back, Vice Chair reminded me that we did have a party in support. Does Eckington Civic Association have any cross examination of the Applicant? Of
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. WILSON: But there are ways around that as well.  COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay. All right. Thank you very much.  CHAIRMAN HOOD: Back, Vice Chair reminded me that we did have a party in support. Does Eckington Civic Association have any cross examination of the Applicant? Of the Applicant. I've messed up, I just did the ANC.

1 other questions up here? Okay. Let's see if, does the 2 Applicant either of have any, one those 3 government agencies? 4 MS. MOSELEY SHIKER: I have a question for the 5 Office of Planning, please. 6 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Sure. 7 MS. MOSELEY SHIKER: So, we understand the importance of affordable housing and the policies of the 8 9 Department of Housing and Community Development. We certainly believe that our affordable housing 10 11 proffers are strong. My question is, does the Office of Planning find that the benefits and amenities as proposed do 12 not balance against the development flexibility that's been 13 requested, which is a side yard and restructuring of where the heights are on the site? 15 Would the Office of Planning, while they want 16 more, and they desire as a policy goal for more affordable 17 18 housing, would you find that the PUD did not balance without that affordable housing? 19 2.0 MR. COCHRAN: The PUD does balance. The benefits 21 and amenities do balance. Perhaps it's a policy disagreement on which types of benefits and amenities should be given 22 greater weight than others. 23 24 It's a primary objective of the District that 25 there be more affordable housing. It's also a policy that

there be more PDR.

2.0

MS. MOSELEY SHIKER: Okay. All right. Thank you.

MR. COCHRAN: Obtained, at least.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Finished?

MS. MOSELEY SHIKER: I'm finished.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Does the ANC have any cross of either one of those agencies? Sure. Ms. Powell.

MS. POWELL: We just have one quick question for the Department of Transportation, or more a comment, really.

Just as you all considered this project in light of all of the other developments that are coming to this, to this area, particularly the intersection of New York and Florida Avenues, there is another PUD that's Eckington Yards, which is going to be about 690 units just to the west of this project, as well as, this is also in my single member district MRP has the second phase of its Washington Gateway project, which will have at least 300, if not twice that, residential units, or just 300 units plus another office building.

So, just encourage DDOT, I know you all have been in conversations with this, but this is a major concern for the community. It's, we don't consider each individual developer to have a responsibility to fix Dave Thomas Circle, but we are eager to hear from DDOT as to how we're going to approach this problem once 1,500 units land smack dab on top

of the circle.

2.0

MR. ZIMMERMAN: Yes. So, Dave Thomas Circle, or the project that we're referring to is the virtual circle at New York Avenue, Florida Avenue, 1st, and O Street, a number of streets that all kind of come in around the Wendy's there.

DDOT is currently redesigning that intersection, and I don't, I don't know what your familiarity is with the plans for that, but Eckington Place is planned to go basically through the Wendy's property and connect to 1st Street NE, over by around where the McDonald's and ATF are located.

So, and then, eventually, to turn a number of those roads back into two-way streets around there. So, as part of that redesign process, they are factoring in future land uses that are going to be, that are going to come in, future apartment growth and office and other vacant parcels around the area.

So, those things are being considered, and also, you know, expanding the bike infrastructure, particularly the cycle track that's currently on the east side of 1st Street, down by the Harris Teeter, and bringing that north through the intersection and up through this community into Eckington. So --

MS. POWELL: Great. Thank you. And we're, we have seen some of the proposals. We're excited about it.

1 Do you have funding for these proposals? 2 MR. ZIMMERMAN: At this time, I'm not exactly sure I can't give you a crisp and clear 3 of the status of that. answer on that. 5 MS. POWELL: Okay, great. Thank you. Let' see, does Eckington 6 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. 7 Civic Association have any cross of either one of those agencies? 8 9 MS. VELASQUEZ: No, I do not. 10 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you. All right. 11 Let's go to the ANC report. Commissioner, Powell, I think you are representing the ANC tonight, right? 12 13 MS. POWELL: Yes, sir. Thank you. And as was mentioned at the outset of the meeting, the ANC does support the developer's request, and has identified no specific items 15 regarding the design or transportation plan about 16 However, it does request of the Zoning Commission 17 project. that it keep the record open for two weeks because the 18 19 Commission will meet on Tuesday. 2.0 The Commission requests additional time to work 21 with the developer, essentially to find another \$100,000 for items for our local schools, for McKinley Tech, which has a 22 middle and a high school, Langley Elementary, and Harmony 23 PCS, as well as the Harry Thomas Recreation Center.

So, that's where we are now.

25

I'm happy to take

questions. I did submit, as was noted, in my individual capacity, a separate testimony, which you all have written, so I will try very hard to distinguish what is the position of the ANC, and the, separately during statements from the general public, if you would like me to answer questions about my written testimony in my individual capacity, I'm happy to do so.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you. Any questions up here? Commissioner, any questions? So, you've heard me talk about a little history now.

And let me ask you, what do you think about the idea of making sure that those who are the newcomers, as well as those who there still remember the late council member Harry Thomas. We know it's Harry Thomas Way, but, and I see Mr. Clark in the audience.

But I don't see anything down there other than me being there that tells me who Harry Thomas was. And with all this development going on, like I say, we can do some type of plaque down there or something. We really need to. I would encourage that.

And while I can't do it here, I can ask that we maybe get with the, because we have other development PUDs that maybe come in with MRP or whoever else, can help to fund something like that or put something like that as I mentioned in the neighborhood.

2.0

1 So, in your conversations with your chairman and your ANC, as well as your civic associations in the area, 2 let's see if we can make that happen. 3 4 MS. POWELL: Yes, sir. I think that would be, 5 that would be great. I've lived in the District for 16 years now, so I had a bit more familiarity. 6 7 But there, we have a lot of residents moving into We've got a lot of buildings, we've got a lot of the area. 9 new people coming in. 10 And yes, I think there would be a lot of support 11 on the Commission to do something that reflects the history of Ward 5 and the neighborhood. 12 13 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Right. 14 MS. POWELL: And that would be great. 15 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Because some of the work that he did is, like I said earlier, is the rationale for some of the 16 things that are giving birth. 17 And there's others out there who I've been doing, 18 and Mr. Clark, I'm calling his name because he's in the 19 2.0 audience. He's been one of those ones who, well 21 remembers before FedEx and XM Satellite, which jump started that area. 22 So, we need to make sure that we memorialize that 23 24 and don't forget where, what helped us to get started to all

these nice things that are going on now as well.

25

So, anyway,

1	I'm hoping that happens. Okay?
2	MS. POWELL: Thank you, sir. I will definitely
3	take it back.
4	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Great. Any other questions up
5	here? Okay. Does the Applicant have any cross?
6	MS. MOSELEY SHIKER: We do not.
7	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Does Eckington have any
8	cross?
9	MS. VELASQUEZ: No, we do not.
10	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. That's right. You all are
11	on cross. I'm about to give you all a chance to cross. That
12	would've been the first time. Okay.
13	So, do we have any, well, we mentioned in most of
14	the reports that we have, do we have any persons,
15	organizations or persons who are here in, I'm sorry. Oh,
16	okay.
17	See, that's what happens when you go off the top
18	of your head. I'm getting a little older. Can't remember
19	everything. Okay. Let's go with Eckington Civic
20	Association. We'll hear from you.
21	MS. VELASQUEZ: Great. Thank you so much,
22	Commissioner Hood. My name is Katrina Velasquez. I'm the
23	president of the Eckington Civic Association.
24	Thanks so much for this opportunity. And
25	Commission Hood, we look forward to you coming back and

visiting McKinley and the rest of Eckington. I'm about a half a block away, and it's look good. It's looking real good.

But anyways, I just want to really give our support for this project. We've worked with Foulger-Pratt for over a year on this project. We had a community-wide survey on really what we wanted to see. We worked with them on the architectural design.

What I can say is when they first presented, there were gasps, and now there were, oh, that's so nice. So, what I would say is that they've really come a long way, and we're very, very happy with how they came.

We voted in unanimous support of the CBA and the project on November 6th, and so we're all very excited with everything, and we're really looking forward to coming through.

One of the things that I did want to point out is that we are still looking forward to everything with DDOT coming through with the traffic. Every single civic association meeting we have, DDOT is mentioned.

So, we do look forward to that. But otherwise, everything related to this, we are in full support and look forward to working with you guys, and particularly, looking forward to having some form of plaque for Harry Thomas Way.

And just also, I need you to know that we actually

2.0

1	are working on an oral history of Eckington. So, we work
2	with all of the older neighbors within the neighborhood to
3	really gather the history around Eckington.
4	And so, we're trying to figure out ways, how to
5	really memorialize that history. So, looking forward to
6	hearing and working with you guys. Thanks.
7	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you, Ms. Velasquez. Is that
8	how you pronounce your name? Velasquez?
9	MS. VELASQUEZ: Yes, that's right.
10	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. All right. Let's see if
11	we have any questions up here. Okay. I want to thank you
12	for your comments. I appreciate that.
13	And I was just thinking here, I think I asked DDOT
14	this, maybe a year or so ago, every time I'm going, coming
15	down, I don't even know the street, but by the Wendy's, I
16	always think about DDOT. So, I'm hearing
17	(Laughter)
18	MS. VELASQUEZ: Me too, Commissioner. Me too.
19	CHAIRMAN HOOD: So, I'm hearing that they're
20	working on that. So, we'll see how that evolves, because Mr.
21	Zimmerman, I will still be thinking about you all when I'm
22	riding around there. Okay. So, let's see if, does the
23	Applicant have any questions or cross?
24	MS. MOSELEY SHIKER: We do not.
25	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Does the ANC?

1 MS. POWELL: No, sir. 2 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you very much for 3 your enthusiasm. Looking forward to some great progress down there. Okay. 5 Any other organizations or persons who are here 6 in support? Any organizations or persons who are here in 7 opposition? 8 Mr. Clark, come forward. I think we have a seat 9 to my left, Mr. Clark. 10 MR. CLARK: Good evening. My name is Mike Clark. 11 I'm the president of the Edgewood Civic Association. 12 The Edgewood Civic Association is civic а association that was established in 1953, and covers the 13 areas of Stronghold, Edgewood, and Eckington. 15 The reason it covers so many boundaries is that the people of color then could not join the Eckington Civic 16 17 So, the people of color in those communities Association. joined together to form the Edgewood Civic Association, just 18 a little history. 19 2.0 The Edgewood Civic Association is a member of the DC Federation of Civic Associations and have been for over 21 22 60 years. 23 So, we've weighed in on a number of projects, Gales and some other projects that came into the community 24 25 over the, over the years.

And we're in opposition to this project, not that we don't want to see it develop, but in some of the things that have been offered to the community concerning this project, what the Office of Planning had noted, concerning affordable housing.

We think that the affordable housing that was offered, as a community amenity, just fulfils the law, which is 8 percent. And I think the Office of Planning was requesting 10 percent.

They finagled the numbers around to two to three-bedroom units, but we would like to see more of an inclusion of a higher percentage or lower AMI. One or the other with the developer.

Also, the Edgewood Civic Association, being having been in the community for so long, was never once approached by the Applicant to present at our meetings, which we do have, we do hold in Eckington and in Edgewood.

So, I met one of their members from the Applicant, from the Applicant twice, but that was just at an ANC meeting. So, we have never had a presentation to, at one of our meetings by the Applicant.

We have a question, concern about traffic. DDOT's, the report that they have submitted, the increased traffic, because I drive through there every day, the increased traffic at 3rd and R Street and Harry Thomas Way

2.0

with, when you sit down, almost, over 900 units, that's a lot of traffic.

If you go through there in the morning time, R Street is already backed up with FedEx trucks and school traffic and everything. So, I didn't see anything in the Applicant's report where it's going to address that concerning the traffic in the community, because a lot of that traffic will be actually pushed through the community from there.

Not just R Street, but it's going to go to S Street, Seaton Place, so, T Street. So, a lot of that traffic is going to end up coming through the, through the community. So, we have a great concern on that piece.

The Applicant also stated that they were going to be part of the, I guess it's the traffic light at 3rd and Rhode Island Avenue, which is also in the PUD of Bryant Street project.

It's also in the PUD of the Eckington, the other Eckington Yards project. So, and the Applicant said that they would pay up to \$60,000.

What DDOT has noted at a number of our meetings, that it would take \$300,000 to put in a traffic light, and we're asking the Applicant, are they willing to foot, after Bryant Street and Eckington Yards put in theirs, will they make sure that the balance of it is paid so that a traffic

2.0

light can be installed at 3rd and R Street, which has been in two other PUDs before the Commission, which had been approved?

We have, I don't know if this is still, maybe I can relate, maybe this was covered, why the Applicant is not participating in the First Source program.

I don't know if that's been solved yet or if they're still working on that, but I think that, with the number of jobs that are needed in the construction field in our community and in Ward 5, why are we not having the Applicant participate in the First Source program?

Or the Applicant not willing to participate in the First Source program, or what will they offer the community otherwise? I know they have offered some incentives to McKinley Tech students as internships.

But we're looking at real jobs for family people who can have a job in Ward 5. We've got these two developments coming up almost simultaneously, then we have a, the Bryant Street project, and we need applicants of DC to have jobs, and small business in DC to be able to participate in the First Source program in this project.

So, I don't know what they've offered. I hope they continue to work on that. I hope they can come to some resolution with that.

The affordable housing, like I said, that's the

2.0

law, 8 percent, so there's nothing there that surprises me, and nothing there that I can even know, the units having skewed down to two to three-bedroom units. 8 percent is inadequate.

We fought this on the Bryant Street project and the other project. 8 percent is just, and I placed it to the Mayor when she came to our meeting last month, and said, why don't we put in legislation to raise the affordable housing from 8 percent to 10 percent?

And I didn't get an answer from her on that. Community outreach. I looked at the report that the Applicant has submitted to community outreach, the number of ANC meetings and civic association meetings, and development meetings they've been to.

But like I said before, they've never been to the Edgewood Civic Association meeting. The CBA, the CBA that was presented by ECA and voted on and approved was utterly refused by the ANC.

Because at the last ANC meeting, the November ANC meeting, as it was presented, I spoke and said, you have this project coming into the community. You've got four schools and a rec center.

You haven't, you haven't allocated one penny to any of those entities. And from that point on, the ANC decided also on a procedural method, that they're going to

2.0

hold back in approving the CBA, and that now they want to, at the next meeting, they'll discuss it even more and work a goal out.

Just throwing money at the schools is not the answer. We can give them \$100,000, we can give them \$200,000, but it's got to be specified, and it's got to be long term.

So, that's what we're looking at, a more detailed CBA than just throwing money at the schools and saying, well, this is what they want, this is what they're going to get, and really have something significant tied into the Harry Thomas Rec Center, which has been a lot, has been allocated to that. But we need something specific in that.

So, those are the concerns that we, as a civic association, have in that community. I've been in that community for over 30 years, and I live in the SMD in that, and I travel that route every day.

And you know, I'm not against the development of that area, and the growth in that area. But I am developed with these issues here, I think they should be addressed and looked at very carefully than what they have been thus far. Thank you for the time.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right. Thank you, Mr. Clark. I'm going to begin by saying, I know the work that you've done in this city. Not just in Eckington or Edgewood, but

2.0

1	across the city. But let me ask you this.
2	I think we had this discussion once before. I
3	know that Edgewood Civic Association is a chartered member
4	of the Federation of Civic Associations. Is Eckington, is
5	Edgewood part of Eckington's boundaries? Is that what
6	MR. CLARK: Yes.
7	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.
8	MR. CLARK: Eckington, the whole Eckington
9	community, the whole Stronghold community, and the whole
10	Edgewood community are within the boundaries of DC Federation
11	of Civic Association of the Edgewood Civic Association.
12	CHAIRMAN HOOD: So, Eckington, so Eckington, and
13	I'm just trying to make sure that I've got this clear,
14	because, so Eckington is not under the charter?
15	MR. CLARK: No, they're not a member of DC
16	Federation of Civic Association.
17	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. So, that's Edgewood's area.
18	MR. CLARK: Correct. According to the Federation,
19	that is our area.
20	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Okay. So, for Eckington,
21	and I know this because I was president, as you know, for 20
22	years at Woodridge, for us, for another civic association to
23	give, be under the charter, they would have to get
24	permission.
25	And I'm, and that's actually how it works. You

1	have to get permission from the reigning civic association,
2	because I was asked, and we didn't give it some years ago
3	because of the institutional background of the Woodridge
4	Civic Association.
5	But I'm just curious, I know this came up once
6	before, but do you all work in tandem? Do you all work
7	together? I mean, because anybody can start, groups start
8	all the time, as you know.
9	MR. CLARK: And that's, and that's basically what
10	has happened, is it started up and
11	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.
12	MR. CLARK: I was there when they started. But
13	no one from that organization, the only person that was a
14	past president is an Eckington resident that came to us and
15	was actually, we worked together, was Ted McGinn.
16	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.
17	MR. CLARK: That was years ago. We worked
18	together in different projects and things.
19	CHAIRMAN HOOD: There was another lady I thought
20	you all worked with. She's on the ABC board for a minute.
21	MR. CLARK: Right.
22	CHAIRMAN HOOD: I can't think of her name now.
23	MR. CLARK: We did some work with her
24	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Right, okay.
25	MR. CLARK: also. But current leadership or
- 1	ı

whatever is --

2.0

CHAIRMAN HOOD: So, here's what I would recommend, and there were some statements that I made prior to you coming in. I always ask my normal question of who, on the Applicant's team, is from the neighborhood.

So, some of those questions, and I would tell you, I push them to go to, do something with McKinley at the set down.

But I do want to make sure that the Edgewood Civic Association is not lost. It sounds like you all can work together in including Eckington. I'm not throwing Eckington out. I'm not throwing anybody out, because I see nothing but a positive win-win.

And this goes back to what I was saying about the history and the institutional knowledge of the community. And I think Mr. Clark brings that value to this, to this equation. So, he mentioned that you all had not reached out to Edgewood.

MS. MOSELEY SHIKER: So --

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Ms. Shiker, do you want to say something, or --

MS. MOSELEY SHIKER: I was just going to say, so our primary outreach, we went to four ANC meetings and three ECA meetings, and we primarily worked through the SMD and the ECA. So, we did not present. He is correct. We did not

1	present.
2	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.
3	MS. MOSELEY SHIKER: But we were going through the
4	civic association in the way we thought we were supposed to.
5	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Right. I understand. But under
6	the charter of the District of Columbia, Edgewood is a civic
7	association. I'm not throwing Eckington away, because I've
8	been through it, that's why I remember the whole discussion
9	previously. This probably predates you.
10	So, I think there's room for everybody to work
11	together, because I know that Edgewood did a lot in
12	discussion when it came to Harry Thomas Rec, when the, when
13	the shelter, is the shelter still open down there on 1st?
14	MR. CLARK: Well, it's not a shelter anymore.
15	It's a
16	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.
17	MR. CLARK: It's a home for men who actually have
18	employment, and so, they are in there at night, they go to
19	work during the day. No one's hanging around there, not
20	working, but
21	CHAIRMAN HOOD: So, that is progress from the
22	years ago when it was not
23	MR. CLARK: Oh, yes. Big progress.
24	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. So, those are the kinds of
25	things that we need to do. So, I think with everyone here

1 taking the lead, especially when Ι ask for them to 2 memorialize something about Harry, Sr. On Harry Thomas Way, 3 hopefully we can get that. So, I think it's enough room, and we're not going 4 to just look at, what I would, what I implore you to do is 5 6 like one of the other wards in the city. 7 When you start having a lot of PUDs, start putting them together, but it takes the community to make that Edgewood, Eckington, the ANC, and whoever else, happen. whatever group may form. 10 11 So, I think, I think there's enough room for everyone to get together, and you all can come up with 12 You're not going to get everything, but come up 13 something. with some resolve. 15 So, I'm looking forward to hearing a success story down there because this is, this is a thriving area. 16 17 And as you said, you're not, you're not against it, but there's some things that we need to work together. 18 We need to have the past so we can respect the future. 19 So, I'm looking forward to that. 2.0 Okav? 21 Is everybody clear of what I'm looking for? my colleagues may not agree with me, but I think I can get one or two of them to support me on this. Okay. So, anyway, 23 24 let me see if there are any follow up questions. Mr.

Turnbull.

1	COMMISSIONED TUDNILLI. Vog Mr. Chair I just
	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Yes, Mr. Chair, I just
2	have one question for Mr. Clark. I always understood from
3	the Edgewood boundaries, I think it's on your homepage, that
4	the southern boundary was Rhode Island, North Capitol, Rhode
5	Island to the tracks. Am I misunderstanding that? I
6	MR. CLARK: Well, they run
7	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: I thought that's on your
8	homepage, your boundaries.
9	MR. CLARK: Yes, boundaries are on our homepage.
10	It does include along the tracks down to New York, then up
11	Florida to North Capitol, all the way up to Michigan
12	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Right.
13	MR. CLARK: to the tracks again by the, we'll
14	say the 8th Street Bridge, and then down the tracks to
15	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: To Rhode Island.
16	MR. CLARK: Right.
17	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: And I guess, I think maybe
18	that's the confusion that the Applicant's having is that they
19	saw Edgewood as being further to the north and not definitely
20	in the Eckington map area. So, I mean, maybe that's the
21	confusion. So, I'm just throwing that out there.
22	MR. CLARK: Okay.
23	CHAIRMAN HOOD: I would suggest though, if that
24	is the issue, there is a map that the Federation of Civic
25	Associations, and I, if you all need a copy, I have it. But

1	there is a map that shows your boundaries.
2	Each civic association under the charter in the
3	city. And I think Mr. Turnbull's right. We may want to
4	correct that on your website, if it's incorrect.
5	MR. CLARK: It has the correct boundaries there.
6	I mean, that includes, even though it says that the website
7	may have Edgewood, Stronghold, and Eckington, but within that
8	parameter of the boundaries laid out, those communities
9	reside in those boundaries. I'll take another look at it and
LO	see if
11	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.
12	MR. CLARK: and see what it's saying.
13	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Yes, I would, because I
L4	think there might be some confusion that below Rhode Island
15	Avenue, it's this maybe unrepresented area on the map, sort
16	of. And that's where I think maybe the Applicant may have
L7	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.
L8	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: saw that the Eckington
L9	is just that, and you're up further north. SO, I'm just
20	throwing that out there.
21	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.
22	MS. POWELL: If I may, yes, so I just want to
23	speak, jump in here for Katrina. She was just elected
24	president of the Eckington Civic Association.
25	So, we, from the ANC's perspective, the sort

68 1 differing boundaries between the Edgewood and the Eckington 2 Civic Association, have been a concern for some time because Eckington 3 there's areas of overlap where the Association says this is our boundaries, and the Edgewood 5 says theirs. think last year, the Federation of Citizens 6 7 Associations invited Eckington to join. There's also the Federation of Civic Associations, which I know has a long 8 history going back with the city. 9 10 And anyway, we really do all want to have a 11 positive working relationship going together, and it doesn't 12 have to be antagonistic, and I hope that we with can, Katrina's new leadership, move forward. 13 14 As a general practice within the ANC, it has been the practice of the Commissioners, at least over the past few 15 terms, for those Commissioners coming from Eckington to work 16 through the Eckington Civic Association. 17 18 19 Association and work through these, the sort of overlapping 2.0 21 confusion that might be coming up.

And, but we will definitely look in the future to, we can work better with the Edgewood Civic

So, let me ask this. CHAIRMAN HOOD: So, it's called the Eckington, you all are chartered members of the Citizens Association?

> No, not at the, not at the moment. MS. POWELL:

22

23

24

CHAIRMAN HOOD: 1 Oh. 2 MS. POWELL: I don't know, and I also don't know legally if the District of Columbia put some sort of legal 3 emphasis behind the Citizens Association versus -- the DC Federation of Citizens Association versus the DC Federation 5 6 of Civic Associations. 7 And I mean, bottom line, as far as, you know, what I do as the, as the single member district, the ANC for this

I go door to door. I am present on social media, and we have signs out. I have single member district meetings.

SMD, I try and reach out to everyone in my district.

And you know, we, I encourage everyone possible to come to the Eckington Civic Association meetings because so much of this development is happening, particularly these PUDs are happening in the southern half of Eckington. And so, that's just the natural place to go.

But that's not to say that, you know, we can't always do better. And so, definitely want to do, to be more with the Edgewood Civic Association.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Well, let me just encourage you to, and I know the answers, but let me just encourage you all to make sure you look at what Citizens, because I've been through that. I've been, I've been down those roads.

But again, for me, it was, it wasn't about

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

1 boundaries. It was about working together to make things Because there is a distinct difference. 2 3 Citizens can exist in a civic area, but you have to be a chartered member of the Citizens Association. The Civic Association, I'm a little more aware of because I was 5 There's a charter that has them and has their 6 7 area. 8 But I'm sure, at the end of the day, we can tear 9 those boundaries down, but let's not forget them. But we can tear them down and work together. 10 11 And I would just ask that we make sure that we include Edgewood as well in any discussions. Okay? So, I'll 12 13 leave it at that. Mr. Clark, you have something you want to 14 add? 15 I just want to add that the, there are MR. CLARK: those on the ANC Commission who recognize the boundaries of 16 the, of the Edgewood Civic Association as including the 17 Eckington and Stronghold, because that's what we were able 18 to weigh in on other projects in the past that have come 19 before the Commission because they would tell the Applicant, 2.0 you can go to Eckington, but you've got to go to Edgewood 21 22 before you come before us. 23 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Right. MR. CLARK: So, that's something that historically 24

has been part of the ANC 5E.

1	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.
2	MR. CLARK: So, it's, you know, there are some new
3	Commissioners who may be brought up to speed on it, but those
4	who have been there for a while know that, know what the
5	boundaries are and they are familiar with our representation
6	in the community.
7	Like I said, I live in the community, and I have
8	people on my, on Todd, U, and Uhland Terrace who have no clue
9	about this project.
10	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.
11	MR. CLARK: Yes.
12	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Well, we have a new president over
13	at Eckington, and you have a seasoned president over at
14	Edgewood.
15	So, I'm sure that working together is a, be a
16	great project. Not just for Eckington and Edgewood, and not
17	just for Ward 5, but for the city as a whole.
18	MR. CLARK: Yes.
19	CHAIRMAN HOOD: I believe we can get there. So,
20	I'm looking forward to it. I'm looking forward to hearing
21	the progress.
22	And one thing I do do is in other hearings, I brag
23	on people who come back and won. I think my colleagues hear
24	me do that quite a bit, so this is one that I'm looking
25	forward to talking to somebody in another ward about it and

1	say, you need to be more like this group over here.
2	So, anyway, let's work together on that and let's
3	make it happen. Anybody else up here? Vice Chair Miller.
4	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I
5	would echo your comments, and I appreciate all the comments
6	of Commissioner Powell, be inclusive and work together going
7	forward.
8	Mr. Clark, you mentioned the affordable housing,
9	which I mentioned in my questioning of the Applicant, and
LO	it's certainly a big part of, and subject to other
11	Commissioners.
12	And that's certainly a big part of the Office of
L3	Planning's report, and we're pushing them to do more. They
L4	are doing larger size than what was required.
15	They are doing, in addition to the inclusionary
16	zoning 8 percent, they are doing additional affordable life
L7	of the project units that could bring it up to 10.3 percent.
18	I don't know if you are aware of that. We still
L9	want them to do more. We always need more. But I just
20	wanted to make sure that you were aware of that because I
21	don't know if you heard that testimony earlier.
22	MR. CLARK: No, I didn't hear that testimony.
23	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Okay. Well, thank you for
24	coming down.
25	MR. CLARK: Thank you.

1	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Anything else up here? Okay. Ms.
2	Shiker, congratulations, a new president. We don't want to
3	run you away. We want you to come on and help us get it
4	done. So, Ms. Shiker, do you have any rebuttal or closing?
5	MS. MOSELEY SHIKER: I just have a brief rebuttal
6	before we close, if that's okay.
7	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Rebuttal?
8	MS. MOSELEY SHIKER: Or I could do it as a
9	closing.
LO	CHAIRMAN HOOD: I'm saying, you have a rebuttal
11	or
L2	MS. MOSELEY SHIKER: I was, I just wanted to do
13	a closing. How about that?
L4	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Because I was going to ask
15	you, well, who was the rebuttal on? Okay, just do a closing.
16	MS. MOSELEY SHIKER: I'll just do a closing, if
L7	that's okay. Thank you very much for considering this case
18	tonight. I just wanted to remind the Commissioners that in
L9	order for a PUD to be considered and granted, there are three
20	things that we have to find.
21	One, we have to find that it is not inconsistent
22	with the comprehensive plan, and I think that the record is
23	full with information about how this is not inconsistent with
24	the comprehensive plan.
25	There is a PDR residential mixed use stripe. We

have PDR uses, as we have residential uses. There are significant PDR uses throughout the neighborhood that help to bolster that mixed use designation.

As the Office of Planning noted in the report, the map is to be interpreted broadly, and it's not parcel-specific. So, we want to make sure that we're looking at the sufficiency of the PDR uses within that larger context.

I would also note that the future land use map is supposed to be interpreted in connection with the specific elements and policies of the comprehensive plan.

And in housing element h.1.3.1, larger family sized housing is a priority for this city. This project has 38 percent of its units as two and three-bedroom units.

For every additional artist live-work, we take away a three-bedroom unit for housing, whether it be residential, excuse me, whether it be market or affordable housing.

And so, therefore, in balancing the PDR designation and the housing policies for the city, we believe we found a good balance on that.

In addition, the next thing is that the project is not unacceptable in its impacts, and I think that we've heard the testimony tonight from DDOT that the Applicant's team has been able to work to find mitigations for each of the unacceptable impacts with respect to the transportation,

2.0

1 and they have testified tonight that we have satisfied that. 2 The final, the final category that has to be looked as it that the project amenities and the benefits must 3 be balanced against the development flexibility. And I don't think we really got into that tonight, 5 but we've requested side yard flexibility in order to have 6 7 a five foot set back from the south park. 8 Otherwise, a 13 foot 10 inch set back would've 9 But this is just allow an emergency egress been required. on our property, abutting the south park and really opens the 10 11 south park to the, to the building. We are below the FAR under the existing and the 12 proposed zones, and we're below the height of the existing 13 and proposed zones. 15 So, therefore, when you look at the significant amenities and benefits, I believe and I've heard the Office 16 of Planning say that they do balance, even though there are 17 policies for additional amenities and benefits that would be 18 desired. 19 2.0 The zoning regulations say that you look at the amenities basket that is provided by the Applicant, and you 21 do that balancing. 22 We believe that 10.3 percent of the residential 23 square footage, in connection with the all two and three-24 25 bedroom units, is a sizeable affordable housing amenity.

1	It is in keeping, or if not greater, than many of
2	the PUDs that have been approved in this area, and I think
3	it gets you on the right track to affordable housing for this
4	project.
5	So, with that, I would ask for the Commission to
6	take this application under consideration as soon as the
7	record has been closed, knowing that the ANC has asked for
8	that extension, which we don't have an objection to, and we'd
9	be happy to answer any final questions. Thank you.
10	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you for the closing,
11	Ms. Shiker. Let's see, before, let me ask this. Did
12	Commissioner May, he said he was going to leave me some
13	questions. Did he leave me some questions?
14	MS. SCHELLIN: He did not get to them. He said
15	if he had a chance, he would.
16	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Well, I'm going to have to
17	tell, he'll probably watch this. I'm going to have to tell
18	him next time he needs to find some time to give me those
19	questions. Okay, Commissioner. I only said that because he
20	was going to look at it. Mr. Turnbull.
21	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Yes, thank you, Mr. Chair.
22	Ms. Shiker, you said that adding a loft work unit would take
23	away a three-bedroom. How would it do that?
24	MS. MOSELEY SHIKER: That's correct. So, there's
25	nine three-bedroom loft units on the ground floor. In order

1	to accommodate the artist work portion of it, you have to
2	remove a bedroom on the first floor.
3	So, all of the artist live-work units were
4	originally going to be designed as three-bedrooms, and they
5	became two-bedrooms plus the work section. So, that's how
6	that happens.
7	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: So, on that track side
8	where, if I'm looking at the ground floor plan, if you take
9	away, if you make the next unit an artist live-work unit,
10	what changes then?
11	MS. MOSELEY SHIKER: The ground floor portion of
12	it, it becomes a two-bedroom unit, and the first floor
13	becomes an artist studio.
14	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: I guess I'm missing
15	something. Am I missing how that changes
16	MS. MOSELEY SHIKER: Yes, I can ask the architect
17	to explain.
18	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Yes, could you, could you
19	explain?
20	MS. ALEXANDER: Sure. So, this is a two story
21	unit. The upper floor is always going to be two bedrooms.
22	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay.
23	MS. ALEXANDER: And the delta between the two is
24	the use of the lower
25	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: So, are all the three

1	bedrooms down here then, or is that what you're saying?
2	MS. ALEXANDER: All the three bedrooms are on the
3	ground floor with the second floor portion, yes. With the
4	loft portion, yes.
5	And so, there's a two story unit, ground floor can
6	either be a bedroom or this studio space that's open, more
7	open to the rest of the ground floor.
8	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: And those are all
9	affordable? Or they're not all affordable?
LO	MS. ALEXANDER: A portion of the three bedrooms
11	are
L2	MS. MOSELEY SHIKER: All of the artist live-work
L3	studios are affordable. And then, almost half of the three-
L4	bedroom units are affordable.
15	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Have you, have a provided
L6	a floor plan showing where the affordable units are?
L7	MS. MOSELEY SHIKER: We have. It's in the
18	supplemental pre-hearing submission as Exhibit C.
L9	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Exhibit C. Okay. I'll
20	have to go back and take a look at that. Okay. Well, I
21	guess I'd just reiterate the comments of OP and the Vice
22	Chair. We're still interested in having a look at it.
23	MS. MOSELEY SHIKER: Okay.
24	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Right. So, you want to be looking
25	at that. Is there anything else that we asked for?

	19
1	VICE CHAIR MILLER: As for a written submission
2	responding to the DOEE
3	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.
4	VICE CHAIR MILLER: memo.
5	CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right. I know it wasn't a
6	whole lot, even though that affordable piece is
7	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Yes, whether or not they
8	could add more solar onto the roof.
9	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Okay. All right. Anything
10	else that we, that we asked for? Okay. Can we get some
11	dates. Now, is Commissioner May and Shapiro going to read
12	the record on this one?
13	MS. SCHELLIN: I know that Commissioner May said
14	he would.
15	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, let's ask both of them to
16	read it.
17	MS. SCHELLIN: Both of them? Okay.
18	CHAIRMAN HOOD: We'll teach them for missing a
19	night.
20	MS. SCHELLIN: Okay.
21	CHAIRMAN HOOD: So, anyway, yes. Let's get both
22	of them to read it so we have full Commission on this.
23	MS. SCHELLIN: Okay. All right. Christy, how
24	much time do you think you guys need?
25	MS. MOSELEY SHIKER: The ANC has asked the record

1	to be open until January 2nd.
2	MS. SCHELLIN: January 2nd?
3	MS. MOSELEY SHIKER: But we can certainly get our
4	items in by January 2nd as well, and then the seven days to
5	respond.
6	MS. SCHELLIN: Okay, which would be the 9th.
7	Okay.
8	MS. MOSELEY SHIKER: We could do it sooner. I
9	just was trying to go with what they had requested.
10	MS. SCHELLIN: Okay. So, the ANC, I think, has
11	a meeting in December, one more meeting in December. Is that
12	what it is?
13	MS. POWELL: Yes, that's correct. So, we meet on
14	Tuesday, and it is our intention that we will have a vote.
15	We have to have, it has to be a public vote, and that's our
16	next public meeting. So
17	MS. SCHELLIN: Okay.
18	MS. POWELL: we
19	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Is that enough time for all of you
20	all to collaborate from now to Tuesday? The know the ANC has
21	to vote, but I mean, I know you were working with Eckington
22	and Edgewood, and you all collaborated and were working
23	together.
24	Is that, is Tuesday going to be enough time?
25	Because today is, what's today? Thursday. When do you meet

1	in January?
2	MS. POWELL: We meet the third Tuesday of each
3	month.
4	CHAIRMAN HOOD: I always, whenever I, whenever I
5	push something else, I'm going to always look at the
6	Applicant and see if they, you know, see, I've been here long
7	enough to see all that.
8	So, but some kind of, where you all need to, if
9	we push it to January, Ms. Shiker, I know you just told us
10	something, so
11	MS. MOSELEY SHIKER: We were under the impression
12	that much of this collaboration has been done over the last
13	year, and I certainly hope that Mr. Clark could have some
14	discussions in the meantime.
15	But I believe the ANC is ready to move forward on
16	Tuesday, Monday, the 19th, and we would like to move forward
17	with all due speed, if we could.
18	MS. SCHELLIN: It wouldn't change the meeting to
19	give them a little bit of extra time because our meeting on
20	the 8th
21	CHAIRMAN HOOD: No, I was, I was thinking about
22	MS. SCHELLIN: would be after
23	CHAIRMAN HOOD: But see, hold on. I was thinking
24	about us taking this up in our meeting in January, unless
25	you're saying that's

1	MS. SCHELLIN: The second meeting in January.
2	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Oh, the second meeting. Okay.
3	MS. SCHELLIN: Because they asked the record to
4	be open until January 2nd, right?
5	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. So, they won't make our
6	first meeting, they'll make our second meeting.
7	MS. SCHELLIN: Right. And then
8	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.
9	MS. SCHELLIN: Right. And
10	CHAIRMAN HOOD: The 30th or whatever.
11	MS. SCHELLIN: Right. They wouldn't make the
12	first meeting.
13	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Well, let me be quite, Ms.
14	Schellin, and I'm going to let you go ahead.
15	MS. SCHELLIN: Right. Okay. So, even working
16	with your January meeting date of January 16th, I think that
17	we could, working backwards from the January 29th meeting,
18	because that's where you would end up being anyway, if we
19	could, we would have draft findings of facts, conclusions of
20	law, of course, by the 16th of January, Ms. Shiker, if you,
21	well, you have to submit one, and then, we could also have,
22	if we could have your documents by the 9th of January
23	MS. MOSELEY SHIKER: Yes.
24	MS. SCHELLIN: and then, the ANC and the party,
25	Ms. Velasquez, Eckington, would have until January 16th,

1	well, I'm going to say January 17th since the ANC meets on
2	the 16th, in case something new comes up to file a response
3	to those documents.
4	MS. POWELL: Okay. Thank you.
5	MS. SCHELLIN: That work?
6	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. All right. So
7	MS. MOSELEY SHIKER: We can do that. Yes, thank
8	you.
9	MS. SCHELLIN: Okay.
10	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. So, we're all on the same
11	page?
12	MS. SCHELLIN: That way it gives them a little
13	more time to work
14	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes, that's good. Thank you.
15	MS. SCHELLIN: with the holidays.
16	CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right. So, we're all on the
17	same page?
18	MS. SCHELLIN: I think so.
19	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Anything else, Ms. Schellin?
20	MS. SCHELLIN: No.
21	CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right. I want to thank everyone
22	for their participation tonight and all the work that you all
23	have done. And with that, this hearing is adjourned.
24	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the
25	record at 8:12 p.m.)

## <u>CERTIFICATE</u>

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: FP ECKINGTON HOLDINGS, LLC

Before: DCZC

Date: 12-14-17

Place: Washington, DC

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Court Reporter

near Nous &