GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

ZONING COMMISSION

PUBLIC HEARING

IN THE MATTER OF:

Providence Place I LP-Consolidated PUD & Related Map @ Square 5194,

: Case No. : 17-08

Lot 824

Thursday, October 19, 2017

Hearing Room 220 South 441 4th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C.

The Public Hearing of Case No. 17-08 by the District of Columbia Zoning Commission convened at 6:30 p.m. in the Jerrily R. Kress Memorial Hearing Room at 441 4th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20001, Anthony J. Hood, Chairman, presiding.

ZONING COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT:

ANTHONY J. HOOD, Chairperson ROBERT MILLER, Vice Chairperson MICHAEL G. TURNBULL, FAIA, Commissioner (AOC) PETER G. MAY, Commissioner (NPS) PETER SHAPIRO, Commissioner

OFFICE OF ZONING STAFF PRESENT:

SHARON S. SCHELLIN, Secretary

OFFICE OF PLANNING STAFF PRESENT:

JOEL LAWSON KAREN THOMAS

DISTRICT DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION STAFF PRESENT:

JONATHAN ROGERS

The transcript constitutes the minutes from the Public Hearing held on October 19, 2017.

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

2	(6:30 p.m.)
3	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Good evening. We're ready to get
4	started. Good evening, ladies and gentlemen. This is a
5	public hearing of the Zoning Commission for the District of
6	Columbia.
7	Today's date is October the 19th, 2017. My name
8	is Anthony Hood and we're located in the Jerrily R. Kress
9	Memorial Hearing Room.
10	Joining me this evening are Vice Chair Robert
11	Miller, Commissioners Peter Shapiro, Peter May, and Mike
12	Turnbull, Office of Zoning staff Ms. Sharon Schellin, as well
13	as the Office of Planning Mr. Lawson and Ms. Thomas, the
14	District Department of Transportation Mr. Rogers.
15	Okay. This proceeding is being recorded by a
16	court reporter and it's also webcast live. Accordingly, we
17	must ask you to refrain from any disruptive noises or actions
18	in the hearing room, including display of any signs or
19	objects.
20	Notice of today's hearing was published in the DC
21	Register, and copies of that announcement are available to
22	my left on the wall near the door.
23	The hearing will be conducted in accordance with
24	the provisions of 11 Z DCMR Chapter 4 as follows:
25	Preliminary matters, Applicant's case, Report of the Office

of Planning, and Report of other Government Agencies, Report of the ANC, organizations and persons in support, organizations and persons in opposition, rebuttal, and closing by the Applicant.

The following time constraints will be maintained as needed. Applicant has up to 60 minutes, but I think this record is complete -- sufficient enough for my colleagues and I believe, and we can probably hear this in ten minutes, 15 at the most.

Organizations, five minutes; individuals, three minutes.

The Commission intends to adhere to the time limit as strictly as possible in order to hear the case in a reasonable period of time.

The Commission reserves the right to change the time limits for presentations if necessary, and no time shall be ceded.

All persons wishing to testify before the Commission in this evening's hearing are asked to register at the witness kiosk to my left and fill out two witness cards. These cards are located to my left on the table near the door.

Upon coming forward to speak to the Commission, please give both cards to the reporter sitting to my right and will take a seat at the table.

2.0

	5
1	When presenting information to the Commission,
2	please turn on and speak into the microphone. First give
3	your name and home address. When you are finished speaking,
4	please turn your microphone off so your microphone is no
5	longer picking up sound of background noise.
6	The staff will be available throughout the hearing
7	to discuss any procedural questions.
8	Please turn off all electronic devices at this
9	time so not to disrupt these proceedings. Would all
10	individuals wishing to testify please rise and take the oath.
11	Ms. Schellin, would you please administer the
12	oath.
13	MS. SCHELLIN: Yes. Please raise your right hand.
14	WITNESSES SWORN
15	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. At this time, the
16	Commission will present any preliminary matters. Does the
17	staff have any preliminary matters?
18	MS. SCHELLIN: The only preliminary matter staff
19	has is the proffered expert witnesses. They both have been
20	accepted by the Commission before Ms. O'Neill as an expert
21	in planning and Mr. Andres as an expert in traffic
22	engineering and planning I believe it is.
23	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Schellin.
24	Any objections to continuing our status of experts for those
25	two proffered experts?

1	(No opposition.)
2	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. We'll keep that. Anything
3	else, Ms. Schellin?
4	MS. SCHELLIN: No, sir.
5	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Let me just ask: Is there anyone
6	here in opposition to this project?
7	(No response.)
8	Okay. Ms. Batties, you really probably don't need
9	15 don't need 15 minutes, but I'll let you if you could
10	just answer some of the questions OPED may have had. I think
11	we can do that and then we'll ask our questions.
12	MS. BATTIES: Sure. Good evening. For the
13	record, Leila Batties with the law firm of Holland & Knight,
14	800 18th Street NW.
15	Mr. Chairman, Ms. Gina Smith from the Project
16	Manager from DMPED is here this evening to speak on behalf
17	of the administration. She's not part of our presentation.
18	And so because her testimony provides context for what we're
19	the application and what we're presenting today, we'd
20	respectfully request the Zoning Commission allow Ms. Smith
21	to testify before we give our remarks.
22	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Sure. That's fine. She can come
23	on up and you can bring your team up as well.
24	Okay. You may identify yourself and you may
25	begin.

MS. SMITH: Good evening, Commissioners. For the record, my name is Gina Smith and I serve as Project Manager in the Office of the Deputy Mayor of Planning and Economic Development, otherwise known as DMPED.

I am here tonight to testify on behalf of the administration in support of Zoning Commission Case Number 17-08 relating to the proposed Plan Unit Development and Zoning Map Amendment at the corner of Nannie Helen Burroughs and 50 Streets on the campus of the Progressive National Baptist Convention, and this project is known as Providence Place.

I want to start by recognizing the invaluable contributions of the community, particularly the residents of Lincoln Heights and Richardson's Dwelling, the New Communities' Advisory Committee, ANC 7C, and the Dean Wood Civic Citizens Association.

Commissioners, in November 2005, the District of Columbia and DC Housing Authority, in collaboration with the residents of the Lincoln Heights neighborhood, initiated a community-driven planning process for the redevelopment of Lincoln Heights and Richardson Dwellings. The result was Lincoln Heights/Richard Dwellings Revitalization Plan, which was adopted by the DC Council under the District's New Communities Initiative. New Communities focused on physical

2.0

redevelopment, care with human capital investment, the guiding principles of which include a commitment to mix income neighborhoods, one-for-one replacement of existing public housing units, the opportunity for residents to access new quality housing units within their neighborhood, and build first to minimize displacement.

The revitalization plan and Lincoln Heights cost for the demolition and one-for-one replacement of 440 public housing units at Lincoln Heights and 190 public housing units at Richardson Dwellings, these units will be woven into a vibrant mixed income community in a mixed-use neighborhood.

DMPED is committed to advancing the plan for redevelopment of Lincoln Heights and Richardson Dwellings in a way that meets core principles of NCI.

DMPED has determined that Providence Place should serve as one of the several build-first sites for Lincoln Heights and Richardson Dwellings, along with the provision of replacement housing prior to the demolition of existing homes at the public housing sites.

In addition to the proposed development of Providence Place on the campus of the PNBC, other notable NCI investments include 5201 Hayes Street, a Strand Theater redevelopment, Wilson High School, the Dean Wood Recreation Center, multi-family apartments at 4800 Nannie Helen, as well as capital improvements at Marvin Gaye Park and the Nannie

Helen Burroughs Street estate.

2.0

At completion, Providence Place, other build-first sites, and Lincoln Heights and community dwellings will contain a mix of public housing, other affordable and market rate units as well as resident and public amenities.

We anticipate Lincoln Heights and Richardson Dwellings, like NCI's Park Morton redevelopment, will be complete -- completed without involuntary displacement of residents from the neighborhood.

Providence Place is what will make this possible. Like Park Morton, we expect Lincoln Heights and Richardson Dwellings to be national models that improve upon the history of public housing redevelopment, where in the past better quality housing in neighborhoods were only achieved at the expense of displacing long-term residents.

Providence Place, LP proposes to develop a 100-unit building and to provide 35 replacement units for Lincoln Heights and Richardson Dwellings' families. A significant portion of those units will be sized for families, including large debt re-size which is an important priority for permanent residents.

DMPED will continue to work with the DC Housing Authority, the developer, and residents of Lincoln Heights and Richardson Dwellings to develop an occupancy plan for the building which will be transparent, clear, and extensively

1	communicated throughout the community well before leasing at
2	Providence Place begins.
3	In conclusion, I want to reiterate the commitment
4	our commitment to creating a neighborhood of diverse
5	populations, extensive housing choice, neighborhood
6	amenities, and pathways to the middle class. This project
7	will move us closer to achieving those goals.
8	Thank you for the opportunity to testify and I'm
9	happy to answer any questions the Commission may have.
10	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Smith.
11	Let's see if we have any questions up here before we go
12	because I don't know if you have to leave or anything, so we
13	want to get you in. Any questions up here?
14	Do you have any questions?
15	MS. BATTIES: No.
16	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. ANC do we have a
17	representative from ANC 7C? Do you have any questions of Ms.
18	Smith?
19	MS. MALLOY: When I give my testimony I would
20	
	like for her to wait around.
21	like for her to wait around. CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right. Okay. I didn't say
21 22 23	CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right. Okay. I didn't say
22	CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right. Okay. I didn't say she I mean, I was just saying if she had to leave, but she
22	CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right. Okay. I didn't say she I mean, I was just saying if she had to leave, but she she's heard you now. I'm not sure what her schedule is.

1 MS. SMITH: Thank you. 2 CHAIRMAN HOOD: You can hold a seat just to take 3 some questions that might come up. 4 MS. SMITH: Okay. 5 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. You may begin. I'll just be very brief. 6 MS. BATTIES: 7 say that the Applicant appreciates the Office of Planning's recommendation in support of the application. 8 In response to a few outstanding items in your 9 10 Office of Planning Report, I want to note that the first 11 and CBE agreements have been submitted to the 12 respective agencies and copies have been filed with the Zoning Commission. 13 14 Also, the Applicant has no issue with modifying 15 the flexibility language as it relates to the exterior 16 materials, to read something to the effect that, "The final 17 selection of exterior materials will be within the color 18 ranges and material types as shown on the plan. Any changes to the exterior materials must be approved by the Zoning 19 Commission and may be considered as a consent calendar item." 2.0 That's consistent with the Office of Planning 21 22 Report. The other thing I will note is that you guys have 23 24 received a set of alternative building design drawings.

requesting that

25

the Zoning Commission allow

Applicant flexibility to build the project as shown in the original plans or as shown in the alternate plans. The alternate plans basically just remove the five-story portion of the building -- a portion of the five-story portion of the building and that's related to the most recent or updated production costs and budget for the project, and Ms. O'Neill can further describe how that changes the amenities or layout of the building in her -- when you -- if you have any questions for her about that.

And, lastly, I'll note that we appreciate also DDOT's report and support for the application. I know there were two outstanding issues that Mr. Andres was working on with DDOT, so I'm gonna let him address those two items. Thanks.

Good evening, Commissioner Hood, MR. ANDRES: Members of the Commission. My name again is Erwin Andres with Gorove Slade Associates. As part of our coordination with DDOT and our design team, DDOT had identified four conditions in their review letter dated October 10th and those four conditions included, the first, a pedestrian connection along 50th Street, which the Applicant has agreed The second is construct a six-foot sidewalk to implement. and four-foot-wide tree box along 50th Street from Fitch Place to Nannie Helen Burroughs. The Applicant also agrees implement that. Enhance the TDM plan to include two

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

elements -- one is extending the Capital Bikeshare membership for a period of three years and work with Go DC Go in order to implement the TDM. And the Applicant agrees to do that.

The one outstanding condition that DDOT had -- had requested was that they wanted an internal sidewalk on the rear side of the building from the -- one of the pedestrian exit points that they wanted a piece of sidewalk to extend to essentially an internal sidewalk network that's currently in place. Due to site constraints associated with the building and allowing for light and air to get into some of the lower level units on the back side, we are not able to -- to meet that condition. Based on our coordination with DDOT -- I don't want to speak for them, but they seemed okay with it. But Mr. Rogers is obviously here from DDOT to -- to comment on that.

The second item which Ms. Batties had alluded to, they talked about -- actually, we proffered in our TDM on bundling parking spaces. That was an inadvertent proffer from us because, unfortunately, we cannot unbundle the parking spaces given that it's an affordable housing project. So given that, we don't intend to charge for any of the parking. We are unable to unbundle the parking, so that is -- that's clarification that, again, we coordinated with DDOT and they seemed to be okay with it.

So those are my clarifications of DDOT's comments.

2.0

1	MS. BATTIES: And the last thing I just want to
2	note before we close our testimony here is at the direction
3	of the Office of the Attorney General, I want to clarify or
4	confirm that the project does fall within the IZ exemption
5	under Section Subtitle C, Section 1001.6. This is a
6	project that will be funded in large part by District funds,
7	including New Communities' funding, tax-exempt bonds, and
8	DCHFA housing tax credits. Also, the occupancy of these
9	units will be monitored by DCHA and DC Housing Finance
10	Agency.
11	And, lastly, the Applicant agrees that after the
12	40-year affordable term under these financing sources
13	expires, ten percent of the gross floor area of the building
14	will be reserved for households with incomes not exceeding
15	60 percent AMI, which is the requirement the IZ
16	requirement under the regulations now.
17	So, with that, we'll conclude our
18	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Before you conclude, show us what
19	floor is gonna where a portion of the top floor will be
20	taken off. Let's do that, too.
21	MS. BATTIES: Sure.
22	CHAIRMAN HOOD: It may save us a few questions.
23	MS. O'NEILL: Good evening, Commissioners. I'm
24	Cheryl O'Neill with Torti Gallas and Partners, 1326 H Street
25	NE. Bear with me a minute.

It initially has to do with a very small portion of the building, which is five stories tall, for a very small portion of the roof area and this slide indicates the extent that we are eliminating that portion of the building that causes this five-story condition which, again, presents budget difficulties for the building, which is to move it further south so that the building is continuously -- even though it steps out with the grade -- it is four stories.

So on the roof plan, what we've shown here is you can see the revised extent of the upper level roof there and then in place of that --

COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Can you move your mouse to sort of indicate -- the cursor.

MS. O'NEILL: So originally the extent of the -of the upper level roof was to here. That caused a condition
where this wing of the building was five stories, which
presented increased wage labor rates which presented an
increase in the budget of a considerable extent that made it
really difficult to afford the project.

So what we've done is to move the extent of the upper level roof over to this portion right here so that all of this portion of the roof is four stories, all of this portion of the roof is four stories. It simply steps down with the grade.

In place of that, we've relocated the roof

terrace, which used to be over here, over to this corner which actually we think is a more desirable location because you have better views from that outside corner of the -- of the building, closer to 50th Street and looking down the hill. We've also relocated the solar panels on the roof to be equivalent to the plus or minus six thousand square feet that we were originally proposing in terms of solar panels.

So if you look at the elevations, the appearance of the building from 50th Street is pretty much the same. This continues to be a vertical kind of accent that articulates the secondary entrance into the building. The upper level of this then becomes a screen around the roof terrace, and these become openings rather than windows.

Decorative railings and whatnot we'll incorporate and kind of continue the art that we have already on the facade.

And then this last one shows just a little bit of the detail of that bay and its transformation at the uppermost level to be this kind of open air screen that will encase and kind of enclose the roof terrace that is up at this level. And, again, the fourth -- the upper-most level, which used to stop over here, is now stopping a little bit further south on the facade.

This will reduce the number of units from 100 units to approximately 93. But 35 replacement units for

2.0

1	Lincoln Heights will remain.
2	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Are we finished? Okay.
3	MS. O'NEILL: Yes, sir.
4	CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right. Thank you all very
5	much. We appreciate your presentation to us. Let's see if
6	we have any questions up here? Commissioner Shapiro?
7	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Thank you. I just want to
8	make sure I understand. Are you are you coming to us with
9	two alternatives and you're asking us to approve them both,
10	or is this a done deal and you're revising your proposal?
11	MS. BATTIES: We're asking you to approve them
12	both so that the Applicant have can have flexibility to
13	construct either.
14	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: If the oh, I see.
15	MS. BATTIES: We're asking you to grant the
16	Applicant flexibility to construct
17	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Right.
18	MS. BATTIES: either design.
19	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: I mean, but I'm it may
20	be moot, but I'm hearing two different things. I'm hearing
21	that this so it's not about what it's not about the
22	financing. It's about this kicks you into some other
23	MS. BATTIES: This has to do with Davis-Bacon
24	wages.
25	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Davis-Bacon wages.

1	Right.
2	MS. BATTIES: We assumed that we were in
3	residential rates because of the way the podium and the
4	billing and
5	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: But that's not gonna
6	change, though; right?
7	MS. BATTIES: With the we just had a meeting
8	earlier in the week with the Housing Authority. They
9	because we have a very small piece of the building that's
10	five stories, they maintain that it would kick us into high-
11	rise building Davis-Bacon wages
12	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Right.
13	MS. BATTIES: which will add an additional ten
14	or 12 percent to the project budget. If we eliminate that
15	very small wing of the building, which we have done in this
16	revised design, we continue to be four stories throughout so
17	we are back in the residential wage rate.
18	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: So you're saying you're
19	still under negotiation enough where there's a possibility
20	that it might you might be able to get away with the five-
21	story version?
22	MS. BATTIES: That is correct.
23	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Okay. So just maybe
24	this is a question for for my more experienced colleagues,

but it doesn't seem like we're using the business of the

1	prudent two options. But if we approve the larger version,
2	then would they come back to us with some kind of a
3	modification of consequence to change it? I mean, what's a
4	normal process for us?
5	CHAIRMAN HOOD: I think what I mean, I think
6	that's a good question. What we can do is we can discuss
7	that as we deliberate 'cause I do have some comments on that
8	and that's why I wanted Ms. O'Neill to well, I think you
9	you said more experience.
10	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Well, I was talking about
11	Rob, but go ahead.
12	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. But I think I think
13	that's a good question. If you don't mind, can we put that
14	in the parking lot and we can come back and ask that
15	question?
16	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Yeah.
17	CHAIRMAN HOOD: 'Cause I think that's a good
18	question. I have some ideas and I'm sure my other colleagues
19	do as well, but I want to make sure we hit all our questions.
20	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Yeah. That's my only
21	question.
22	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. But we will we will
23	discuss that when deliberating.
24	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Thank you.
25	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Or if we get to that point. Okay.

Anybody else? The more experienced Vice Chair?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

VICE CHAIR MILLER: I am not the more experienced Vice Chair. You're the Chairman for life. So this is a great New Communities initiative. And I'm pleased -- very pleased to see the deeper and greater amount of affordability than what our modest inclusionary zoning would require and that you're -- you've made the commitment tonight to continue at least the inclusionary zoning minimums after the 40-year affordability period and the 35 replacement units for the Lincoln Heights one-to-one replacement. That's certainly -- that's -- that's 30 percent or lower, and that's all good.

And the materials and the design and the color all look very -- very attractive.

I just had one question. There are no balconies on this beautiful residential project? always love Ι residential project, balconies and think residential tenants love balconies just so they outside. I guess -- was it an increased cost or what was -are there balconies?

MS. O'NEILL: There are no balconies on the project. There's a few balconies in the corners of the projects, but predominantly the facade is without balconies. I think part of it has to do with the kind of siting of the project and the kind of larger landscape. I think part of it has to do with its frontage on 50th Street. And I think,

1	you know, within the vocabulary of the language that we have
2	here, we just thought the more streamlined kind of look was
3	more appropriate to the building to integrate it with the
4	larger campus.
5	On the courtyards, the inside corners of the
6	courtyards have units with balconies, and those are all
7	associated to the larger bedroom units, the three- and four-
8	bedroom units, which we thought it was appropriate to have
9	some kind of space for those larger units.
10	VICE CHAIR MILLER: So how many units do have
11	balconies then?
12	MS. O'NEILL: At least ten,
13	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Okay.
14	MS. O'NEILL: potentially more.
15	VICE CHAIR MILLER: All right. And the courtyard
16	space the amount of open space that's available to to
17	
	the tenants either in the courtyard or on the roof is what
18	square footage approximately?
18	
	square footage approximately?
19	square footage approximately? There is that there is that open space
19 20	square footage approximately? There is that there is that open space MS. O'NEILL: There is that open space. There's
19 20 21	square footage approximately? There is that there is that open space MS. O'NEILL: There is that open space. There's an open there's a courtyard on 50th Street. There is the
19 20 21 22	square footage approximately? There is that there is that open space MS. O'NEILL: There is that open space. There's an open there's a courtyard on 50th Street. There is the courtyard facing Campus Drive

1	terrace.
2	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Okay. All right. I don't
3	think I have any further questions. Thank you for your
4	presentation. Thank you for presenting this project.
5	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Commissioner May?
6	COMMISSIONER MAY: Yeah. I had just a couple of
7	quick ones. The Office of Planning had also raised the issue
8	at sat down and then stated in their most recent report
9	that it was still unresolved, which is their permanent
10	reservation to the open space portion of the site.
11	Do you want to respond to that?
12	MS. BATTIES: That area will remain as open space.
13	COMMISSIONER MAY: So and is it just by virtue
14	of it being the approved PUD, or are you going to separately
15	cut and adapt?
16	MS. BATTIES: I think it's by virtue of its a
17	privacy and it's actually but I'll let the architects
18	speak to that.
19	MS. O'NEILL: So this area of the site
20	COMMISSIONER MAY: Yeah. I know what you're going
21	to
22	MS. O'NEILL: Yeah will remain open space.
23	There's an incredible amount of topography on the site and
24	which, you know, the ridge is really way up here and it

starts to descend gradually here and then precipitously here.

1 There are also several special trees down here, and so this, 2 by virtue of the PUD actually, will remain open space in 3 perpetuity. 4 COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay. Yeah. I think that was the only other question I had. 5 Thank you. CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okav. Commissioner Turnbull. 6 7 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Thank you. I want to also commend you and I agree with the comments of the Vice Chair 8 that this is going to be an exciting project and I think it's 9 going to be a great addition to the neighborhood, 10 11 commend you for doing it the way it is. I quess my only -- my only concern is -- and I 12 think with Commissioner Shapiro, I'm a little -- I struggle 13 14 a little bit with what you're doing on the roof and the 15 changing of -- normally, when we get to final reviews, you 16 have a pretty good determination of what's gonna happen so that when this comes out, the ZA's got a final set of 17 18 drawings that we're all nodding our head on. So -- and I'm a little bit confused as to what's 19 going in, what's going on, how that really gets addressed and 2.0 21 clarified, so I think we need to maybe go through that and talk about how we can address that -- the roof issue. 22 Other than that, I have no real issues. 23 24 MS. BATTIES: Commissioner Turnbull, we would be

willing to submit -- we just -- this issue came up on Tuesday

1	for us. What we would be willing to do is submit after the
2	hearing a full set of drawings related to the alternate
3	scheme so that you will have the floor plan, the mix of
4	units, more detail about the fifth level, the upper level of
5	the building, so you would have a full set of drawings
6	related to the alternate scheme.
7	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: I'm having a little bit
8	of trouble as to how the solar panels and everything else
9	gets fits in and what goes away, what doesn't go away.
10	Right now, I'm just a little bit confused on how it happens.
11	We can talk about it on the alternates.
12	MS. BATTIES: Solar panels are included on the
13	alternates.
14	MS. O'NEILL: Yeah. Solar panels are included on
15	the alternate scheme and we committed to approximately 6,000
16	square feet of panel which we can accommodate in the
17	alternate scheme.
18	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay. Thank you.
19	MS. O'NEILL: So that commitment is not changing.
20	COMMISSIONER MAY: Mr. Chairman,
21	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes.
22	COMMISSIONER MAY: I just want to say, you
23	know, I my recollection is that we have approved some PUDs
24	with options. And I think on this one, from the outside
25	physically it's gonna look pretty much the same so, I mean

-- I mean, I certainly think it's worth checking. We want to get clarity about what we may have done in the past. But I wouldn't have any problem with trying to approve something like that and saving the trip back here if they decide to go a different way than what we approve.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Sometimes if you don't say anything -- that's where I was going. If you don't say anything, the lip would be made for you. So I appreciate it.

Okay. Commissioner Shapiro.

COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Thank you. I agree with that, too. I'm more questioning our own process and whether, you know -- that's the history I was looking for. Have we done something like that, and is this a precedent that we do or don't want to be setting. Because it actually looks relatively minor and there's a pretty good rationale for it. But I'm mindful of precedent.

COMMISSIONER MAY: I mean, I think it's one of the -- but he talks about we've done options. It's not been the case where, you know, it's a ten-story building and we approve an option for a nine-story building and it's very obvious that it's a different -- you know, a completely different configuration. It's usually something that's fairly simple and not very obvious from the outside and this is, you know -- I mean, if you were talking about dropping down that front facade and shrinking the tower, then it would

2.0

be a very different look and I'd have more anxiety about it. 1 But I like -- I actually kind of like the idea of having that 2 bit of facade there and having sort of a protected enclosure 3 4 for the balcony. I think that's actually a neat feature. I think what scares us at times 5 CHAIRMAN HOOD: when we hear "flexibility," it's almost like a brand new 6 7 project. People -- we've had applicants come ask flexibility. I think in this case, as you've already stated, 8 Ms. Batties, that you all can give us something showing both 9 options -- well, the other option and give us a comfort level 10 'cause, as already stated, it's not a whole lot. 11 It's just that down here for some reason, the word "flexibility" gets 12 us a little shaky sometimes because some flexibility is not 13 14 that simple. Some flexibility is basically a brand new 15 project, so we'll look forward to getting that. And as all my colleagues have mentioned, I was really wanting to push 16 17 that and make sure we do it that way, but seems like I don't 18 have to do anything, so it's going well right now. 19 Okay. COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Yeah, Mr. Chair. 2.0 21 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes. 22 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: I just wanted to clarify. CHAIRMAN HOOD: 23 Yes. 24 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: I'm not opposed

What I'm -- from the standpoint of the Zoning

flexibility.

Commission and our policy, what we normally do is we normally 1 have a -- a set of drawings that when it goes to the ZA, 2 there's no confusion as to what he's looking at and we don't 3 4 put more flexibility on the behalf of the ZA. I think we just want to clarify what the possibilities are and that he's 5 got an accurate record of what we've approved. 6 7 And I think that's what --CHAIRMAN HOOD: COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: And that's what --8 That's what we'll be getting. 9 CHAIRMAN HOOD: 10 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Yeah. We would. Yeah. 11 CHAIRMAN HOOD: That's what we'll be getting and 12 that will take care of that. But we'll see when we get it. 13 Okay. Anything else up here? 14 15 (No response.) Okay. Let's go to the ANC. Do you have any cross 16 17 examination? We're going to do your report, but do you have 18 any cross examination with what you heard? You want to come to the table -- we want you to come and join us here. 19 don't want you to sit all the way back there. 2.0 Turn the 21 microphone on, introduce yourself. 22 MS. MALLOY: Good evening. My name is Patricia 2.3 Mallov. I wear several hats. I am the ANC Commissioner, 24 7C01 for Providence Place. I'm the Chair of Lincoln 25 Heights/Richardson Dwellings New Communities Initiative, and

I'm also a Lincoln Heights resident council president.

I'm hearing a lot of things tonight. The changes, they were -- we didn't get a copy. Actually, I thought it was only a four-story building. The fifth floor or fifth story, I just heard about it tonight. And listening to transportation, I've never received a report. Neither my ANC office. If there was a traffic study or something there with transportation, I have never received it.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Let me just ask: Ms. Batties, did you present to the ANC?

MS. BATTIES: Yes. The ANC issued a letter in support of the project. Admittedly, the drawings that -- the alternate drawings we really just received today ourselves, so I have a copy here for you, Ms. Malloy, but I can tell you substantively there are no material changes to the project with the alternate design, but I'm happy --

CHAIRMAN HOOD: So here's what I'm going to suggest. I'm going to suggest you go back out and talk to the ANC and just tell them about the floor change, what we're discussing here. I think it's pretty straightforward. And especially since you're wearing most of the hats, they don't have to go that far because you're doing a -- obviously doing a great job in bringing everything together, but you didn't get the whole thing. So we want to make sure you get the whole --

2.0

2	CHAIRMAN HOOD: I want to make sure you get the
3	whole thing. But it looks like when I was listening to Ms.
4	Smith and others testify, it looks like this is a very well
5	put-together program from other programs I've seen and dealt
6	with up here across the city, so I'm hoping that information
7	will be given to you and hopefully you all don't find any
8	flaws with it. But I do want them to come back out and
9	it shouldn't take a long time 'cause you're not doing a whole
10	lot come back out to the ANC. Even though they did write
11	a letter in support
12	MS. MALLOY: Yeah. We are in support of the
13	project.
14	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Right. Right. But you should get
15	it all.
16	MS. MALLOY: But when you make changes, it doesn't
17	hurt anyone to pick up the phone and say, X, Y, and Z.
18	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.
19	MS. MALLOY: That's what I'm saying.
20	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Right.
21	MS. MALLOY: A lot of things can be avoided
22	because our Chair if they would call our ANC office
23	even if they call me, I would say, What changes? Without
24	seeing this and this for transportation, I have some concerns

MS. MALLOY: No, I didn't.

about the transportation part but, you know, I think --

1	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Of what who said?
2	MS. MALLOY: No. He was saying that that the
3	traffic on 50th Street
4	CHAIRMAN HOOD: On 50th okay.
5	MS. MALLOY: Nothing. There's just a lot of
6	things that need to be done as far as the traffic because
7	this is a bus route and it's hilly. It's just when
8	Transportation come out, we would like to be a part of that.
9	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Do
10	MS. BATTIES: The DDOT report and recommendations
11	stay the same. The only thing that we work with DDOT on is
12	making sure that the residents in this building don't have
13	to pay extra to park in the building and we work with DDOT
14	on modifying the condition that creates internal internal
15	to the campus. It has nothing to do with public space, so
16	MS. MALLOY: Why would they have to pay to park?
17	MS. BATTIES: That's I agree.
18	MS. MALLOY: No. Why would they have to pay to
19	park in a building that they live in?
20	MS. BATTIES: That's
21	MS. MALLOY: There is no accessible parking.
22	Where the building is, there is there is parking on the
23	street. But even in the building, why would the residents
24	have to pay for parking?
25	MS. BATTIES: We agree. That's why we changed

1 that condition. We agree. 2 CHAIRMAN HOOD: I think what we need to do is go back out to the ANC meeting, make sure that we all understand 3 it, and then give them a comfort level as they continue to 4 Even though we have a letter in support, we 5 move forward. do want to make sure that you go back out to the ANC and 6 7 answer some of those questions. At least tell us the changes, but my 8 MS. MALLOY: thing is I never knew the residents had to pay to park in 9 10 Providence Place. I always thought it was -- they told us 11 about the parking, but they never said it was going to be an anticipated fee. 12 She's talking about the bundling 13 CHAIRMAN HOOD: 14 and unbundling. Okay. They're going to come out and explain 15 I can understand how it may sound confusing that to you. whether it's unbundled or bundled. 16 17 Confusing to me. MS. MALLOY: CHAIRMAN HOOD: Right. But what we're gonna do 18 is we're gonna come back out to the -- well, not -- they're 19 going to come back out to the ANC meeting and explain that 2.0 21 to you. Okay? 22 MS. MALLOY: They need to come out to our New Communities Advisory Council meeting and explain it to our 2.3 residents. I'm being honest with you. 24

Okay.

CHAIRMAN HOOD:

1	MS. MALLOY: Because at this point, we are in
2	support of the building,
3	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Right.
4	MS. MALLOY: but there's just some things that
5	I heard that the communication is just not there.
6	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Well, what we're gonna ask
7	what the Zoning Commission is going to ask that they come
8	back out to give you some whichever meeting maybe you
9	can combine some of them or however you all do it I'm not
10	sure but it would be good to come out and just have a
11	thing where everybody can get clarification 'cause that's
12	what it sounds like.
13	MS. MALLOY: Right.
14	CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right. So do you have any
15	questions other than that?
16	MS. MALLOY: No.
17	CHAIRMAN HOOD: And we're going to bring you back
18	up for your testimony and we heard you loud and clear. Okay?
19	MS. MALLOY: All right.
20	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Do you want to just hold your seat
21	for stay right there?
22	MS. MALLOY: I'll sit here.
23	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. All right. Let's go to the
24	Office of Planning and District Department of Transportation.
25	MS. THOMAS: Good evening, Mr. Chairman, Members

of the Commission. Karen Thomas for the Office of Planning.

And we are recommending approval of this project, as outlined in our report, where we essentially stand on the record of our report.

We would like to commend the National -- the Progressive National Baptist Church and the community for accommodating an important housing goal for the District in the one-to-one replacement for the Lincoln Heights, which is regarding residents, and we are satisfied that the Applicant addressed some of our concerns and are available for any questions you might have.

Thank you.

2.0

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you. Mr. Rogers?

MR. ROGERS: Good evening, Mr. Chairman, Members of the Commission. Jonathan Rogers with the District Department of Transportation. DDOT has no issues with the change in the mitigations that were proposed or modified by the Applicant. With respect to the internal sidewalk, while DDOT finds there to be value in that internal sidewalk to connect to the rest of the pedestrian network that's internal to the site, we understand the challenges of implementing that.

And so, with that, I'll pause and answer any questions that you may have.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Well, thank you, Mr.

1	Rogers. Does anyone have any questions or follow-up of DDOT
2	or Office of Planning?
3	I'm not seeing any. Does the Applicant have any
4	cross?
5	Okay. Commissioners, do you have any cross of
6	Applicant or DDOT, what you just heard; any cross?
7	(No response.)
8	Okay. All right. Let's go to the Report of the
9	ANC.
10	MS. MALLOY: Good evening again. My name is
11	Patricia Malloy. I wear several hats, including ANC 7C01 and
12	Chair of Lincoln Heights/Richardson Dwellings New Communities
13	Advisory Council. Today, I'm here to speak on Providence
14	Place.
15	The property's owner, Progressive National Baptist
16	Convention, 601 50th Street NE. We, the residents of Lincoln
17	Heights, are in support of the project. Providence Place is
18	going to be a multi-family green community which consists of
19	a hundred units, of which 35 will be replacement units for
20	Lincoln Heights. We will get five one-bedrooms, 19 two-
21	bedrooms, eight three-bedrooms, and four four-bedrooms.
22	Providence Place is going to be a vibrant
23	community for our residents. There are a lot of oppositions
24	in some of our neighbors because they feel people living in
25	bublic housing do not deserve anything decent or affordable

So they're always criticizing certain things. But Providence Place I think is going to be different from any development that's been built within Ward 7.

Also, I have a little concern with some of the promises from DMPED and the outreach that's been taking place. I know this is the Board of Zoning and this is my first hearing that I've been to. It's been puzzling me -- we have an advisory council for New Communities. When we did NCI, we put forth guiding principles. I'm hung up on one of our guiding principles -- the right to return.

We've been told by DMPED, Once you move out of Lincoln Heights, you cannot come back. What is the purpose of the right to return, regardless of where you go? -- give us the opportunity if we want to come back to come Don't tell us, You can't come back. Because at some back. we're not gonna go anywhere. Some will and some But just acknowledge our guiding principles. won't. Onefor-one replacement is good. The right to return should be respected as well. And until that's done, I don't have any faith in DMPED or anything they're saying. I really don't.

I know the projects have to be approved, but we have the right to refuse if we cannot return.

That's the end of my testimony.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you very much. Let me see if -- any questions or comments up here for Commissioner

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

Malloy? I didn't get that, Ms. Smith, from your testimony. Could you comment on -- you know, that's always been an issue with me, not just with this development but a lot of 'em across the city -- making sure that the residents return.

I thought this -- the way you spelled it out in your testimony, it looked like everything was programmatically to the point that it had been well thoughtout. And now I'm hearing -- that's the first I've heard of not having the right to return.

Commissioners, again this is SMITH: Yes. MS. Gina Smith of the Deputy Mayor's Office of Planning and Economic Development. Commissioner Malloy is correct that quiding principles of NCI is οf the one-for-one replacement and the right to return. A distinguishing factor there with which Ms. Malloy takes exception is that Lincoln Heights provides us the opportunity, only our second one in the NCI program, to actually relocate families within the neighborhood. And so they will be relocated within a few short blocks of the Lincoln Heights community and once a family is relocated to a public housing unit in one of these build-first communities, then that will be their home.

Ms. Malloy takes exception with the fact that there may not be an opportunity to return to the onsite Lincoln Heights redevelopment. What I'd like to offer -- also offer for the Commissioners is that this will be a phase

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

redevelopment. It's expected to take place over at least five years. And so while the first residents to move will be those that would move to a unit that would be considered their permanent housing, that for those families that want to remain on Lincoln Heights, that they would have the opportunity to circulate or relocate onsite as the phases move around and then they wouldn't necessarily have to use — or leave the property proper.

So we understand this is a point of contention with some of the community members and we're committed to continue that conversation with them.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Smith. Τ will tell you that I've heard this a lot in the past few What I've found out, this and a couple of others here lately, as we're doing more and more redevelopment is that those things are being considered, how to move so you can do And from what I'm hearing from you is -- and development. here's another thing that I've learned. I've heard residents come down and say that they want to be able to return. then once they go somewhere else -- and this is what I've lived -- once they go somewhere else, they like it better where they are and then a lot of times -- and I got this -this is -- I'm sure this is -- there's a track record out Some of them -- I know one particular development there. that we dealt with over the years eventually we heard that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

people didn't return. But once they got where they were going, they liked where they were.

I'm not saying -- I'm just saying everybody has a different way of whether they want to return or not, so -- and from what I'm hearing from Ms. Smith, it sounds like they have a plan put together. It sounds like it.

MS. MALLOY: It's -- talk is cheap. If you would come out to one of our advisory council, the residents will tell you what -- and the question was asked over and over. Offer -- whether they want to come back or not, offer them that right. Something needs to be put in writing. If you want to return, you can. We have been told over and over and over that once you move into something new, you cannot come back. I don't like double talk. You either tell me the truth or step away. There is no truth in DMPED.

They have their -- and whoever's saying "hmm," you know there's no truth in DMPED. We have an advisory council meeting the first Monday of each month, but DMPED takes it upon themselves the next week have their own little meeting because they don't speak at our advisory council meetings. They have these little pop-up meetings or whatever meetings they call them.

If you will come out -- how many residents show up? My thing is why come to us? You're gonna do what you're want to do anyway. We wouldn't be in this predicament if the

2.0

Housing Authority would take charge of their housing stock, maintain our units. We would not be in this predicament.

Because they did not do what they were supposed to do, we are. I feel Housing and DMPED is treating us like And it needs to stop. a stepping stone. It really do. A lot of us work. I just retired. A lot of us You would be surprised, but they treat us as though work. we're cattle. What we say don't matter. You get whatever And in time, that needs to stop. we give you. I'm just tired of DMPED lying. I have no faith in them anymore. I'm gonna be honest with you. This process has been going on I know it takes time to do since 2008. Enough is enough. any development, but stop building our residents' hopes up; three months later, knock 'em down. We have 33 units that have been vacant since 2009. I live right across the street It's a total eyesore. You got rats and mice from them. running like we run. Nobody cares. I'm being honest. Nobody cares. I'm to the point do away with NCI and make the Housing Authority take care of their stock. Because we're just gonna get pushed in the bottom of the barrel until somebody realize -- someone needs to come in here and just take over what's going on. We're just being lied to. And the council and I quess you, if that's what you want, hey, --

say that my experience before I got on the Zoning Commission,

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Commissioner Malloy, I would just

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

I worked with the -- the City started working with years ago So I'm well aware of the concerns 'cause Montana Terrace. I was asked to come over. I was the President of the Civic Association. I was asked to come over and work with the residents' council, so I understand what you're saying. some of the same concerns I'm hearing from you now, 20 years later I'm hearing the same things being said by you that we said 20 years ago. But one thing that I notice and I look at when I ride -- 'cause I live over there -- when I ride by there, I look at some of the concerns that we had actually did not materialize. And I'm not saying you don't have any valid concerns 'cause you do. But I think sometimes if we give things an opportunity -- yeah, change -- not just in anybody's case, change sometimes is a little scary. -- because we don't know what the outcome's going to be.

But I can tell you this: When you come down and you talk about what you're talking about, I know. I've lived it. I haven't always sat up here. I worked hard with Montana Terrace. And when things got going well, then I noticed that everybody seemed to start getting happy. And I have a track record with that particular development and what went on over there in like '95 or so or whatever it was.

But I hear you loud and clear. I'm sure the developer hears you loud and clear. Ms. Smith here -- she's representing DMPED -- loud and clear. And the Deputy Mayor

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

for Planning and Economic Development is well experienced and I'm sure -- I know sometimes we feel like we -- nobody here I'm just telling you what is trying to plead nobody's case. I've lived. This is one of the better Deputy Mayors that We've had some good ones, but this one here is we've had. compassionate. I think he -- I will say this: Deputy Mayor Kenner was raised in the Deputy Mayor's Office. I'm qonna say that so you can go back and tell him I said it. raised in the Deputy Mayor's Office because I remember when I remember he was a Chief of Staff. he was a staffer.

MS. MALLOY: I remember that.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. So sometime -- you know, it might not look like it sometime -- I'm not defending anybody 'cause I'm just trying to help you rest assured that I believe -- I believe that they're going to make sure that you folks are taken care of. I believe that. I've seen it in Montana Terrace when we were all up- -- 'cause I was on the side where we were all upset. We didn't know what was We complained the City wanted to do this gonna to happen. and that, and now it seems like everybody's real happy over It may have been 20 years ago, but everybody's real happy.

So, anyway, that's enough said on that.

Commissioner Shapiro.

COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Thank you, Mr. Chair, and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

thank you for your words. And, Commissioner Malloy, first I just want to say I appreciate your leadership. And one of the things that I really appreciate about what you said is you started off with a clear description of what -- of the benefits that you saw in this project and the potential for it, and I hear you loud and clear about not just the hopes you have but the fears you have.

And I -- all I can say is I think that's your job, is to -- is to speak to both. I mean, I'm looking at a project that feels like there's a whole lot of potential and I hang onto the words that you started with, describing what the potential benefit for the community was from this project. And I think it continues to be your job to also continue to do the hard work of holding people accountable.

So all I can say is I appreciate what you did.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Vice Chair Miller?

VICE CHAIR MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. The New Communities Initiative promise has been around for a long time. And until it delivers, you know, people will feel like they're on promised land, not getting what was promised.

Ms. Smith, can you tell us -- I mean, this looks like a project that is trying to fulfill the principles of New Communities, similar to the project we recently approved for Parkview, with a building first in another location not too far away and then the -- and the replacement units.

2.0

1	So, here, we're going to have 35 one-to-one
2	replacement units, much high-quality units. How how
3	far away is it from Lincoln Heights? Is it the same how
4	far?
5	MS. SMITH: It's literally across the street.
6	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Okay. So it is the same
7	neighborhood.
8	MS. SMITH: Uh-huh.
9	VICE CHAIR MILLER: So these are 35 units. I know
10	we've approved I think we've approved other units, but how
11	many units how many units are occupied at Lincoln Heights
12	right now and how many people have already relocated, if any,
13	to other replacement units? And what's just to get an
14	overall picture of the Lincoln Heights if you have this
15	information I don't know.
16	MS. SMITH: So I can't I don't want to give you
17	wrong information. I'd like to bring that information back
18	to you.
19	VICE CHAIR MILLER: You can provide that yeah,
20	provide it
21	MS. SMITH: But there have been other developments
22	where a few families have moved from Lincoln Heights
23	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Right.
24	MS. SMITH: to replacement housing. One is on
25	Nannie Helen Burroughs Avenue as well, which is 4800 Nannie

1	Helen Burroughs. So but I would like to be able to give
2	you specific information and make sure I don't get those
3	numbers
4	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Right, so I think it would be
5	good for our record just to have the overall Lincoln
6	Heights
7	MS. SMITH: Sure.
8	VICE CHAIR MILLER: replacement units and
9	what's and then what the what the plan is on site in
10	terms of number of units that will be there for for
11	MS. SMITH: Sure.
12	VICE CHAIR MILLER: mixed
13	MS. SMITH: We're happy to provide that
14	information.
15	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Okay. That would be great.
16	MS. SMITH: And then we also I just also want
17	to note that, in addition, we have 5201 Hayes, which was
18	recently approved by the Commission,
19	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Right.
20	MS. SMITH: which is well under construction.
21	So those units, while not as close to Lincoln Heights
22	development as Providence Place, are probably in a three- to
23	four-block distance from the property or from the
24	development. And then we also have our Strand Theater that's
25	in the pipeline as well. So we'll send over a table

1 detailing all of those -- all of those developments as well 2 as the replacement units that --That would be good, and the 3 VICE CHAIR MILLER: size of the units and it's great that there's the three- and 4 four-bedroom units that are here for -- for the existing 5 Lincoln Heights tenants. But if you can have that all in 6 7 that information as to what's being provided and what will then be back at -- what the development plan --8 That will be our pleasure. 9 MS. SMITH: Sure. 10 Thank you. 11 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Okay. Thank you. 12 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Any other questions? COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Yeah, Mr. Chair. 13 14 had -- I just have a couple of points. I want to thank 15 Commissioner Malloy for coming down. It's always -- I think it's always something for us commissioners up here to hear 16 17 an impassioned plea from an ANC commissioner. I think brings 18 a little bit better insight into what the issues are. 19

I just want -- my main question is the schedule, phasing. We talked about DMPED's removal of people and the new building -- how long -- when will the new building be done, and is there a way that -- how do we offset some of this to maybe not move people. I don't know what DMPED's schedule is for removing residents, but can it be delayed until the new building -- I'm just curious as to what your

2.0

21

22

23

24

1 overall phasing schedule might be. 2 MR. COLE: Hi. Rex Cole, pleasure to speak with you, Atlantic Pacific Communities. The current schedule is 3 4 that this project will break ground in fall of 2018. It's about a 12- to 14-month build, so it will be delivered by the 5 end of 2019 is our proposed schedule. 6 7 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: When in 2019? The end of 2019, so --8 MR. COLE: COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: The end of 2019. 9 Yes, sir. 10 MR. COLE: COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Ms. Smith, do you have any 11 idea on the schedule for residents being relocated or --12 MS. SMITH: I'd like to remind the Commission that 13 14 this -- you know, that the residents are the tenants of DCHA, 15 and so DMPED itself doesn't -- doesn't exercise control over 16 when the relocation will begin. COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Yeah. 17 MS. SMITH: So I did mention 5201 Hayes which is 18 currently under construction, and so our -- DCHA has begun 19 conversations with residents making them aware of 2.0 21 opportunity, and those who wish to exercise that option are 22 free to do so, and those who decide that that's not for them 23 can take a pass and wait for the next opportunities as they come online. 24

COMMISSIONER TURNBULL:

25

So they would stay where

1	they're at then?
2	MS. SMITH: Yes, sir. Again, I can't speak on
3	behalf of DCHA.
4	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Right.
5	MS. SMITH: However, that's generally how the
6	process works.
7	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Yeah. I'm just trying to
8	as I say, we feel very sympathetic to Commissioner Malloy.
9	We're just trying to understand the schedule, the balance,
10	and if there's a way to make a win-win for everybody trying
11	to satisfy everybody's needs.
12	So I don't know how we could organize that or get
13	some more information, but I would just like to know a bit
14	more about how what the transition might be.
15	Thank you.
16	CHAIRMAN HOOD: I'm sorry. I didn't get your
17	name.
18	MR. COLE: Rex Cole from Atlantic Pacific.
19	
	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Atlantic Pacific, and I'm
20	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Atlantic Pacific, and I'm understanding your role. I noticed that you were called up
20 21	
	understanding your role. I noticed that you were called up
21	understanding your role. I noticed that you were called up to the table.
21	understanding your role. I noticed that you were called up to the table. MR. COLE: Yes. We're the development partner
21 22 23	understanding your role. I noticed that you were called up to the table. MR. COLE: Yes. We're the development partner with Progressive National Baptist Convention.

1	and I am in charge of the development.
2	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Oh, so you're in charge of
3	development?
4	MR. COLE: Yes.
5	CHAIRMAN HOOD: And do you go out and meet with
6	the community like Commissioner Malloy?
7	MR. COLE: Yes. Our team has met with the ANC
8	three times, I believe.
9	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. And what kind of response
10	were you getting when you were out there? I mean, you know,
11	how how was the response from the ANC? First of all, how
12	many people and it's not a popularity for me. I just want
13	to know 'cause back when we did Montana Terrace, sometimes
14	we only had eight people there.
15	MR. COLE: Uh-huh.
16	CHAIRMAN HOOD: So how many people?
17	MR. COLE: When we went to the ANC meetings, there
18	were typically 20 to 25 people I would say.
19	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.
20	MR. COLE: I think the response was in general
21	positive. They were able to recognize many of the benefits
22	that we will bring as Ms. Malloy noted. There were concerns
23	about I think the major concerns were about participation
24	from the community in terms of development of the project
25	that I think that we were able to address and we're committed

1	to, you know, working with the community to provide job
2	opportunities and subcontracting opportunities for the
3	community. That was a majority of the concerns, as well as
4	there were lots of questions about the affordability range
5	that we were able to answer.
6	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. And the job opportunities,
7	you all are doing like a list of I mean, you've got
8	everything up front because one of the things I've found over
9	the years, if we wait till the last minute and then we find
10	out we don't have anybody that's qualified for the jobs that
11	we have. Obviously, you all are working on that far in
12	advance.
13	MR. COLE: Yes. We're working that far in
14	advance
15	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.
16	MR. COLE: in terms of especially in terms
17	of working with local subcontractors to begin the process and
18	making sure we have all the qualifications for them to submit
19	a bid as well as, once construction begins, to ramp up we
20	will post notices and work with the community to ensure that
21	they're aware of any opportunities in hiring, obviously.
22	CHAIRMAN HOOD: And what's your last name again?
23	MR. COLE: Cole, C-o-l-e.
24	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Cole. Okay. I'm going to
25	remember you. And is this your first time in front of DC

1	Zoning?
2	MR. COLE: Yes, it is.
3	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Are you nervous?
4	MR. COLE: A little bit. She she asked me
5	earlier if I was if it was hot, and I said yeah. I don't
6	know I just may be nervous.
7	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Do you know why I asked you all
8	those questions?
9	MR. COLE: Why?
10	CHAIRMAN HOOD: 'Cause I don't want you to be
11	nervous.
12	MR. COLE: Okay.
13	CHAIRMAN HOOD: She told me the same thing. So
14	the next time you come back, I want you to follow up and say,
15	I'm not nervous.
16	MR. COLE: Okay.
17	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.
18	MR. COLE: Just remember that you said that
19	because it's going to be a little awkward if I do it.
20	CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right. No, but, seriously,
21	I appreciate your answering those questions. So we're going
22	to take that and I'm hoping that we can continue to work with
23	the community because, like I said, even though it's been
24	some years, but I've been down that road, so I understand,
25	so hopefully we can continue to give Commissioner Malloy and

2 MR. COLE: Yes. And I do want to say that this is what Atlantic Pacific does. We've done this across the 3 nation -- in Florida, Texas, as well as we've built in this 4 area in Washington, D.C., so we're definitely committed to 5 working with the community and working with nonprofits and 6 7 faith-based organizations and housing authorities to, you know, rebuild communities and that's kind of our initiative 8 and our mission. 9 CHAIRMAN HOOD: 10 Anything else up here? Okay. 11 Thank you. Anything else up here? 12 (No response.) Let's go to persons in -- organizations or persons 13 in support who would like to testify? I think everybody can 14 15 keep their seats -- organizations or persons in support, organizations or persons in opposition. Ms. Batties, do you 16 have any rebuttal or closing? 17 I have closing. 18 MS. BATTIES: Closing. 19 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. 20 MS. BATTIES: I want to start my closing by 21 personally apologizing to Commissioner Malloy for not -- for not being informed of the changes that were presented to the 22 Commission this evening. It was purely a function of time. 23 24 But I can assure you that the changes will not negatively 25 affect the project or the community and, as a team, we look

others a comfort level and we can build that trust back.

1 forward to meeting with you and the community at the earliest 2 opportunity after -- after this evening. So I hope yo accept 3 that apology. As it relates to the Zoning Commission, given the 4 Office of Planning and DDOT report, given the fact that there 5 is no opposition, and given that there's community support 6 7 for the project overall, subject to our submitting additional plans and information on the alternate building design, 8 providing the information from DMPED regarding the New 9 Communities Initiative, as well as a relocation process for 10 11 the Housing Authority, we'd respectfully request favorable action on the application this evening. 12 13 Thank you. 14 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okav. I think we only asked --15 Any follow-up questions up here? thank you. (No response.) 16 I think we only asked for one or two things. 17 We don't have 'em, but -- all right. 18 Okav. One of the things I think that --19 MS. SCHELLIN: I believe Commissioner May may have brought up was making 2.0 21 sure that a complete set of plans that maybe shared both options, Option 1 and Option 2 -- somebody brought up --22 It was Commissioner Turnbull. 23 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, so that both options were on 24 MS. SCHELLIN: 25 there -- in there if the Commission decides to approve both

1	and, if not, then DCRA will know which option was approved.
2	CHAIRMAN HOOD: And I would say some of the
3	coordination with the community, as you know, is not I'm
4	not gonna say purview I'm gonna use a new word for
5	somebody to say in front of the Council, not within our
6	jurisdiction but I'm going to ask that you continue to
7	work with the community. The new things that Commissioner
8	Malloy and others have not heard, go ahead and explain the
9	bundling, unbundling, and just give them a comfort level and
10	then we continue to work to get rid of some of the fears and
11	some of the changes that that may be happening so we can
12	make that a better community like we're doing all over the
13	city.
14	So, Commissioner Malloy, do you have any I was
15	doing my closing. Do you have something else?
16	MS. MALLOY: Yes.
17	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.
18	MS. MALLOY: I'm reading what you just gave me.
19	So you're saying it would not be a hundred units. It will
20	only be 93 units?
21	CHAIRMAN HOOD: That's one of the changes. It
22	depends on whether or not they cut back some of that floor,
23	but those are the things she's going to come out to your
24	meeting and talk to you about.
25	MS. MALLOY: My point is will they knock down our
	II

-- will we get less? If there's only 93 units, will we get the same number of units or less units?

MS. BATTIES: The same number of replacement units.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Same number 'cause she said -- but why don't we -- why don't we do this and I'm not trying to just hurry. You know, I think it will be better to take the time, you and whoever else that you want to be in the meeting, or however you all work that out, and take your time and ask those questions 'cause you will have a chance -- I'm gonna open up a chance for you to at least respond back to us in writing before we take final or if we get the proposed. I don't know where we'll be right now, but some kind of --I want to hear back from you that you have -- and I'm sure -- I can rest on Ms. Batties's word that she has come out and you all -- now, you might not agree with everything, but at least you're gonna come out and get those updates that were And she apologized at the very beginning because lacking. make sure that you have а comfort Commissioner Malloy.

And as my colleague mentioned, we appreciate all the work you do. ANC's our front line workers and they do a lot of work for no pay, so we appreciate all the work that you do, especially with all the hats that you've mentioned that you're wearing. We appreciate that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

2 MS. BATTIES: Nothing. CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right. So Commissioners, I'm 3 4 not sure where you all are. I know -- I will tell you --5 okay. Okay. I'm getting a nod. But there are some things that we ask for before final, okay, 'cause we don't -- we've 6 got a lot on my docket. And we're not trying to rush it 7 along, but we have some things -- we have to take two votes. 8 Some of those other things that we asked for, we're going to 9 ask for between this vote and the last vote. 10 Okay? want to see those things, Ms. Batties, between those two 11 12 votes. Okay? 13 MS. BATTIES: Yes. 14 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. All right. So we're going to take a proposed action. Would someone like to make a 15 motion? 16 17 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Mr. Chairman, with the caveat 18 that we get the information that we've requested in terms of building plans 19 alternate and the New Communities Initiative information and the additional engagement with the 2.0 community before we get to final action, I would move that 21 tonight we take proposed action on Zoning Commission Case 17-22 23 08 Consolidated PUD and Map Amendment -- Related 24 Amendment from RA-1 to RA-2 at 601 50th Street NE, Square 25 5194, Lot 824, and ask for a second.

So -- anything else, Ms. Batties?

1

Okay.

1	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Second.
2	CHAIRMAN HOOD: It's been moved and seconded. Any
3	further discussion?
4	(No response.)
5	All those in favor, aye?
6	(Vote taken.)
7	Any opposition?
8	(No response.)
9	Not hearing any, Ms. Schellin, would you record
10	the vote.
11	MS. SCHELLIN: Yes. Staff records the vote five
12	to zero to take proposed action on Zoning Commission Case
13	Number 17-08. Commissioner Miller making the motion,
14	Commissioner Shapiro second. Commissioners Hood, May, and
15	Turnbull in support.
16	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Do we have anything else
17	before us this evening?
18	MS. SCHELLIN: Yes. I need to give some dates.
19	So if we could have the additional submissions by three
20	o'clock p.m. November 6, responses so the ANC would be
21	able to file a response to what they submit by November 23rd
22	wait a second that's not
23	CHAIRMAN HOOD: And let me say this. In that
24	response, I
25	MS. SCHELLIN: November 13th.

1	CHAIRMAN HOOD: In that response, I just want to
2	know make sure that they have a well, not necessarily
3	that they agree, but that they did have that meeting and find
4	out where the where the differences are and if we could
5	make it a sound byte, that would be very helpful. Sound byte
6	means, you know, just hit the bullet points.
7	MS. SCHELLIN: Right. So the ANC, if they could
8	provide their response by 3:00 p.m. on November 13th draft
9	findings, facts, conclusions of law from the Applicant by
10	3:00 p.m. on the 13th also, and we can put this on for
11	November 27th.
12	Got it?
13	CHAIRMAN HOOD: We all on the same page? Now, Mr.
14	Cole, I told somebody some years ago don't come in here
15	nervous. Now they come down here and be telling me what to
16	do, so all right. Do we have anything else?
17	(No response.)
18	All right. So I want to thank everyone for their
19	participation and this hearing's adjourned.
20	MR. COLE: Thank you.
21	(Whereupon, at 7:42 p.m., the hearing was
22	adjourned.)
23	
24	
25	

<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Public Hearing

Before: DCZC

Date: 10-19-17

Place: Washington, DC

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Court Reporter

near Nous &