GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

ZONING COMMISSION

+ + + + +

REGULAR PUBLIC HEARING

CASE NO. 24-18

.

MONDAY

JUNE 16, 2025

+ + + + +

The Public Hearing of the District of Columbia Zoning Commission convened via teleconference, pursuant to notice at 04:03 p.m. EDT, Anthony J. Hood, Chairperson, presiding.

ZONING COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT:

ANTHONY J. HOOD, Chairperson ROBERT E. MILLER, Vice Chair JOSEPH IMAMURA, Commissioner GWEN WRIGHT, Commissioner TAMMY STIDHAM, Commissioner

OFFICE OF ZONING STAFF PRESENT:

PAUL YOUNG, Zoning Data Specialist SHARON S. SCHELLIN, Secretary

OFFICE OF ZONING LEGAL DIVISION STAFF PRESENT:

HILLARY LOVICK, Esquire

ALSO PRESENT:

SHEPARD BEAMON, Office of Planning DAVID AVITABILE, ESQUIRE, Goulston & Storrs DERICK WALLACE, ESQUIRE, Goulston & Storrs TERESA MARTIN, Living Classrooms Foundation ALEX DEFEE, STUDIOS Architecture

The transcript constitutes the minutes from the Regular Public Hearing held on June 16, 2025.

T-A-B-L-E O-F C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S

Case No. 24-18 Living Classrooms Foundation:
Introduction - Chairman Hood 4
Preliminary Matters 5
Applicant's Presentation: Mr. Avitabile
Questions/Comments from Commissioners:17Chairman Hood17Commissioner Stidham20Commissioner Imamura21Commissioner Wright21Vice Chair Miller22Chairman Hood26
Office of Planning Presentation - Mr. Beamon 27

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

(4:03 p.m.)

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. We are convening and broadcasting this public hearing by videoconferencing. My name is Anthony Hood. Joining me this evening are Vice Chair Miller, Commissioner Wright, Commissioner Stidham, and Commissioner Imamura. We're also joined by the Office of Zoning staff, Ms. Sharon Schellin, as well as Mr. Paul Young, who will be handling all of our virtual operations; also, our Office of Zoning Legal Division, Ms. Hillary Lovick. We will ask all others to introduce themselves at the appropriate time.

Copies of today's virtual public hearing notice are available on the Office of Zoning's website. Please be advised that this proceeding is being recorded by a court reporter and is also webcast live via Webex and YouTube Live. The video will be available on the Office of Zoning's website after the hearing. Accordingly, all those listening on Webex or by phone will be muted during the hearing, and only those who have signed up to participate -- to testify will be unmuted at the appropriate time.

Please state your name before providing oral testimony on your presentation. Oral presentations should be limited to a summary of your most important points. When you are finished speaking, please mute your audio so that your microphone is no longer picking up sound or background noise. If you experience

difficulty accessing Webex or with your telephone call-in, then please call our OZ Hotline number at 202-727-0789 to receive Webex log-in or call-in instructions or if you need assistance to sign up to testify. All persons planning to testify either in favor, opposition, or undeclared, must sign up in advance and will be called by name. If you wish to file written testimony or additional supporting documents during the hearing, then please request that the submission be entered into the record and be prepared to describe it at the time of your testimony.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The hearing will be conducted in accordance with the provisions of 11-Z DCMR, Chapter 5, as follows: preliminary matters; presentation, in this case, by the petitioner; report of other government agencies; report of the ANC; testimony of organizations and individuals, each five and three minutes -- for the organizations, five minutes; individuals, three minutes, respectively -- and we'll hear in the order from those who are in support, opposition, or undeclared. While the Commission reserves the right to change the time limits for presentations, if necessary, it intends to adhere to the time limits as strictly as possible and notes that no time shall be ceded. At this time, the Commission will consider any preliminary matters. Does the staff have any preliminary matters?

MS. SCHELLIN: Just very briefly. In this case, the applicant is being -- or petitioner, rather, is being represented by Dave Avitabile with Goulston and Storrs. They plan to take

about 15 minutes to present, unless the Commission directs them otherwise. There's a letter from ANC 6/8F in the record at Exhibit 17 in support. The OP hearing report is at Exhibit 18, recommending that the Commission approve the text amendment petition. And as far as other agencies, the Homeland Security and Emergency Management Agency at Exhibit 16 submitted a report stating they have reviewed the petition materials and have no objection to the text amendment. So I believe this is ready to turn over to the Commission for consideration. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you, Ms. Schellin. As Mr. Avitabile is coming up, this is Zoning Commission Case Number 24-18, Living Classrooms Foundation, text amendment to Subtitle A, Section 301.3H, and Subtitle K, Sections 200.6 through 200.9; 230.5, 230.6, and 239. Again, today's date is June the 16th, 2025. That's the subject of our hearing this evening. So, with that, I will turn it over to Mr. Avitabile, and he can introduce everyone. And, Mr. Avitabile, you all may begin. The 15 minutes is fine, if you can bring us up to speed. And, first, let me ask also, is there opposition? I didn't see any opposition in this record, unless some just came in, but --

MR. AVITABILE: I'm not aware of any, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Well, ten minutes is what you

23 can do. Thank you.

2.

MR. AVITABILE: Sounds good. Thank you very much. Good afternoon, Commissioners. David Avitabile from Goulston and

Storrs, joined by my colleague, Derick Wallace. And we're here to present this petition for Living Classrooms. We're also joined by Teresa Martin, who's the Executive Director of Living Classrooms, and Alex DeFee, who is with STUDIOS Architecture and is the project architect. So, Mr. Young, if we could bring up the presentation please.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(PowerPoint presentation shared on screen.)

MR. AVITABILE: Very briefly, to sort of get started, we're presenting this petition on behalf of Living Classrooms. We'll go to the next page please. And Living Classrooms has long planned, and as has the General Services Administrations and Forest City and now Brookfield, all planned together for Living Classrooms to occupy this little orange corner of Yards Park. And so what we're here today is a text amendment that is the first step towards realizing that vision. We will then file a design review application to approve the design and use of the building, but, in order to set the table for that, we need to move forward the text amendments that are before you this afternoon. And we are doing this on behalf of and for Living Classrooms, and so I thought it made sense to start with a little bit about Living Classrooms, and so I'll turn it over to Ms. Martin to give you a brief overview of who they are. And if we'd This is just the existing site, go to the next page please. which is right now a grassy field. And next slide. All right. And, with that, Teresa, I'll turn it over to you.

MS. MARTIN: Thank you so much for that lead-in. My name is Teresa Martin. As David said, I am the Managing Director for Living Classrooms National Capital Region. Living Classrooms has been around for 40 years. We're actually celebrating our 40th anniversary this year, and 25 of those years in the District. We bring a focus on hands-on education, job training, and health and wellness of communities to whatever work we're doing, and we really focus on trying to meet the needs of the communities that we're serving, so what we do in Baltimore is a little different than what we do in DC and what we've applied our learning-by-doing approach to. We bring that to whatever Living Classroom we encounter.

So over the last 17 years, part of our work has been at Kingman Island, the District's only conservation area, and there we have provided outdoor education programs. We have provided access to a community green space, and we have developed that through a Ranger Program. We have done workforce development programming and training through that Ranger Program as well, so training folks to work there full-time and create that access for the community, but also training folks who are able to move on to other positions within the District and building out those programs.

We've also been here in our Community Center here at Dent House in Southwest for about six years. And here we continue some of that workforce training. It is -- if you're familiar

with this building, it's a small building and we are bursting at the seams, especially as we go into the summer months right now. We provide workforce training for adults, so, as I mentioned, sort of that -- those green jobs related to Kingman Island, but we also provided hospitality programs training in nationally-recognized certifications for adults with barriers to employment.

2.

And, as I mentioned earlier, we really are focused on trying to meet the needs of the community wherever we are, and we're watching -- as this neighborhood changes, there's a need for folks in this neighborhood to be employed by the businesses that are coming in, so we've really changed our focus into that workforce training here. We've also offered robotics and music programs, tutoring after school, things like that throughout the year at the Dent House.

And, finally, we've been managing Yards Marina, at first in partnership with Oasis, and now directly for a number of years, which is part of why we're so excited and interested in this particular site. It will really be able to grow the capacity that we have for our programs there. We operate that marina for the public, but we also have a historic ship, Mildred Belle, that we bring to The Yards to run programming with youth from different schools, as well as our interns, as well as other community members, and having a building in that space would enable us to really grow our capacity to be able to do that. Right now we're limited by the size of the boat, but if we were

able to have STEM lab there or we're able to have other spaces for youth to go to in between the time that they're on the boat, and maybe have half of the students up in the STEM lab and then be able to switch, it really grows our capacity to be able to serve the students that we work with. We have a longstanding relationship with DCPS, and we focus our work primarily on Title I schools, but also a lot of our work is really on Wards 5, 6, 7, and 8. That's really the core of the work that we've been doing over the years.

2.

We're also looking to grow our Workforce Development Program. As I said, we're kind of bursting at the seams at this -- in this small space, and we really hope to grow out our hospitality program into a culinary program, similar to what we have up in Baltimore as well, where we have a fully functioning restaurant, but it's used as culinary training space for community members to grow their skills and then placed with folks that are -- restaurants that are nearby. I think, actually, I've talked a lot through this slide here. Our mission statement I've kind of highlighted, but it's really those pieces around the hands-on education, how we do that through -- when we work with children all the way through adults.

If you want to go to the next slide for a moment there. And then this slide goes a little bit more -- this is a quote from my President and CEO of overall Living Classrooms, talking about sort of facing the barriers that folks bring to these

things. People do not come to Workforce Development Programs necessarily because they are ready to get a job tomorrow; they may need some assistance and some barrier reduction, so we do that through our very targeted, focused workforce development training programs; also through case management, really providing supports to individuals so they're able to work through those barriers and be successful in whatever jobs they take on after our trainings.

2.

Same thing with all of our school programs; we're really focused on working with youth from our youngest ones this year were Pre-K-4, but all the way up through high school. And, with our high school students, we're really looking at providing hands-on education, science-based programming, but introducing them also, really importantly, to experts in the fields, career opportunities, and providing some of those career opportunities, introducing them to internships, placing them in internships, and then hopefully guiding them a little bit further beyond that. I'm going to pause right there. I don't know. If it's appropriate to ask for questions at this point or pass it back to David now.

MR. AVITABILE: I'll take it from here and go through the rest of the presentation. Thank you, Teresa, very much. That was perfect.

All right. Next slide please. So what we're doing today is really implementing a vision that was long planned as a part of the Southeast Federal Center Master Plan. And I know,

Chairman Hood, you've been there from the beginning for this. Other members of the Commission have seen pieces of this over the years, but just to remind everyone, everything that happens down in this part of the District is pursuant to Southeast Federal Center Master Plan, which was developed by the General Services Administration over 20 years ago and really set forth the blueprint for building out this 42-acre part of land. And one of the parcels that was always contemplated down in the southeast corner over here was the P3 parcel, right on the water, for this particular use.

2.

If we go to the next slide, you see here, this is the use -- approved uses -- Land Use Plan from the Master Plan.

Again, you see this site envisioned as a cultural/community use, which is exactly the use we're talking about today.

If we go to the next slide, again, Chairman Hood, you will remember the Yards Park was built out, pursuant to this Master Plan, through a series of Zoning Commission reviews, and the vision -- this is from the 2008, the very first set of drawings we shared with the Commission, always sort of envisioned this idea of Yards Park being this five-acre park with a series of pavilions in it that would include both retail pavilions, some of which you see out there today, and this Living Classrooms parcel over in the southeast corner.

If we go to the next slide, again, going back to that visioning from 2008, this idea of this waterfront park with these

pavilions nestled within it. You can sort of see tucked into the corner the Living Classrooms parcel.

2.

Next slide please. So the first phase of Yards Park was the park itself. This was actually I believe one of the very first things that was built out as part of the Southeast Federal Center, the Yards, was getting this park approved and underway. And so the park was approved with sort of spaces carved out for the future parcels. And if we go to the next slide, the next phase happened shortly thereafter, a year later, which is when we got the retail pavilions that are sort of in the core of the park approved; Living Classrooms off to the corner, sort of a to be -- to be presented later, and, well, later is now. So that's why we're here today.

Go to the next slide please. The site here highlighted in purple is in what we call the SEFC-4 zone, which is one of those special purpose zones that was specifically created to govern how Yards Park would be developed and improved over time.

If you go to the next slide, the existing zoning requirements for the lot -- and this is all in our application, so I won't go through it in detail, but the core thing I wanted everyone to focus on, one of the core ideas of Yards Park was this idea that you could have development within the park, and there was a specific development area, which is this area in purpose, and then the rest of it was supposed to be sort of developed as park. And I think, for lack of a better way of

putting it, it was sort of an oversight and not thinking about, well, the Living Classrooms parcel also needed to be a development area to be able to build a building there that would be three stories tall, consistent with the other three-story buildings.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And if we go to the next slide, really what we're proposing to do in this text amendment is update the SEFC-4 zone to allow for the development of this parcel, and it's really sort of simple basic things. One, first and foremost, you know, create Parcel P3 as part of that development area where we can build a building; two, allow certain -- you know, the uses that we envision on this site within that zone. Right now, this zone only allows limited waterfront uses and some retail uses. in order to get to the mix of uses that the Living Classroom parcel will have, which includes education and institutional uses, we need to add those as permitted uses. In the process of doing this, we also determined there was an oversight in 2016. When the 2016 regs were adopted and use groups were created, the permission for eating and drinking establishments to be within this zone was inadvertently, I think, lost, and so we bring that back.

And then last major thing here is sort of the idea that -- there was always this idea that the SEFC-4 zone would allow for essentially all the density to be aggregated across the entire park, where you'd have a whole bunch of parkland where there would be no density being built, and then you'd have a few

concentrated areas where the density would be located. And the idea that across the entire thing it would be -- it would blend to a .5 FAR. And right now, the zone, the way it's set up, calls for using this combined lot development mechanism, which is somewhat cumbersome and requires entering into agreements that document it. And, instead, what we propose is a much more simple and straightforward -- it's all one piece of property that's managed collectively, so let's just acknowledge it that way and just do it much more simply; you know, the Zoning Administrator can easily account for it. And, frankly, our applications to the Zoning Commission will be the way in which we document here's where we are in terms of the density, here's how we're meeting that requirement.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And if you go to the next slide, you know, why we're doing this. Again, it's explained in detail in our application, and it's really to implement the goals of the Master Plan, to provide an increased mix of uses and levels of activity down within this waterfront park. You know, The Yards is a wonderful neighborhood and destination, but adding more activity, particularly during the day, only helps to build this out. was just a few months ago that we were here before you asking to make an office use in one of these retail buildings permanent, and, you know, this, again, adds to that level of daytime activity. We also think that the particular uses here, as Teresa described, really will enhance the waterfront and create activity

on the waterfront and to be on the water. You know, these are not uses that could just be located in any parcel, and they'll benefit from the waterfront location. And then, finally, of course, the use will bring education and workforce training opportunities.

2.

Next slide please. And this is just sort of an image of where the building will sit relative to the area and how it will be able to implement those goals by being located within the Park and right next to the marina where the Mildred Belle is docked.

Next slide please, and we're almost done. You know, I think the planning justification for this is well laid out in the application, but, again, the Southeast Federal Center Master Plan specifically calls for this sort of use in this location. The Future Land Use Plan calls for parks, recreation, and open space in this location, which is exactly what this use will further. This is a Regional Center under the Policy Map. This is not inconsistent with all of the other elements in the plan. We didn't identify any areas of expressed inconsistency. And, finally, this use will further racial equity in creating these opportunities for education, for workforce development.

And then, next slide please, just to close out where we'll go from here. The parcel is already under review through the federal review process that all of the parcels in the Southeast Federal Center go through. In fact, we'll be presenting

to the Commission of Fine Arts on Wednesday on the actual building, and NCPC will be in early July.

2.

Next slide. Once we get through there, we will then file an application with the Zoning Commission and return to you for design review of the building and approval of the uses. A lot of what this text amendment does is it sets up a further review by the Zoning Commission of the actual building to make sure it'll be consistent with the purposes and intents of the regulations and the Master Plan and the Comprehensive Plan. So this is really phase one, and phase two will come next, or step one and step two I should say.

And then next and last slide. Really what this -- what this will allow us to do is complete phase three of Yards Park, which is the build-out of this corner, which has been long awaited and we really look forward to the opportunity. So, with that, we'll stop. I think we probably were closer to 15 than 10 minutes, so I apologize for that, but thank you for indulging us, and we're happy to answer your questions.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you, Mr. Avitabile and Mr. Wallace and Ms. Martin. Even though you went over 10 minutes, I think it was very helpful, so thank you. I have one or two questions, and I'm going to go to Commissioner Stidham first this time. I think I understand the proposed text amendment to K-200.6 and 200.7, and I think you mentioned it, but can you kind of just expound upon that a little bit; update the purpose, as

you have in your PowerPoint? Can you kind of just give me a quick scenario of what you're doing again here for that text amendment?

Yeah, for those provisions -- sorry MR. AVITABILE: (indiscernible) -- for those provisions, it's really just to make it clear that educational and institutional uses are also permitted.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. I thought that was it. Ι know you mentioned that, but I just want to make sure I understood exactly. The rest of them I think are pretty straightforward for Ms. Martin, I do want to ask you a quick question. may be off-scale a little bit. You mentioned the Kingman Island.

> MS. MARTIN: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: What is going on at Kingman Island now? You really -- what's going on over there?

MS. MARTIN: Do you mean with the -- that we work there or the actual activities that happen there?

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Actually, I will tell you -- and the reason I -- when you brought up Kingman Island and I saw -- and I was thinking, you know, some 45 years ago I spent the night on Kingman Island. I was in the Boy Scouts. And that was a big I know it was supposed to be an amusement park at one time; it was supposed to be -- so what's going on over there now? But I'm going way back. I'm sure that's off the table now.

25 What's going on?

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MS. MARTIN: Well, you'd be surprised. We actually
spent the night out there in 2019 for one exciting night and
camping comes up as a use every once in a while, but right now,
because of the discovery of a rare plant on the island in 2018,
Mayor Bowser declared it a conservation area, which means that
it cannot be developed beyond the uses of education and
conservation. So, at this point in time, we manage that space
(indiscernible) like they're there to make the space accessible
to the public, also to protect the wildlife (indiscernible)
additional programming for youth. We see about 2,000 students
out there a year at a time, and then we work with a variety of
partners to provide (indiscernible) programs (indiscernible due
to audio feedback).

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. That's good to hear, but I'm going to push it a little more, see if we were tougher than they are nowadays. Did you all have tents and stuff or did y'all just sleep on the ground like we did? You're on mute. I think you're on mute. I definitely want to hear that answer.

MS. MARTIN: Sorry. And you cut out. What was the question?

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. For some reason, it put me on mute without me touching it. Okay. Let me see. I just want to know, did you all have tents -- did you all have tents, or did you just -- we slept on the ground. We didn't have any tents. We didn't have anything around us.

MS. MARTIN: We did have tents, and a generous donation from REI, we had sleep bags.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Well, we didn't have tents. We had a half a peanut-butter-and-jelly sandwich with a half a glass of milk, and they made us survive. So thank you for that, Ms. Martin. All right. Commissioner Stidham.

COMMISSIONER STIDHAM: Thank you. While I have not slept at Kingman Island, I have spent a fair amount of my time in waders on the edge working the fringe wetlands, as well as picking up trash and collecting trash and teaching kids about how important it is to actually get the trash in the can versus how hard it is to pick it up in waders, and after the second getting stuck in the mud, I think they got it, that it's a lot easier to making sure it gets put in the trash. Anyway, that is an aside.

I think this is a fairly straightforward case and, in fact, maybe long overdue. The work of Living Classrooms and its need to be adjacent to the water and these changes seem to go hand in hand, as well as further seeing the Anacostia Waterfront Initiative move forward. And I think amongst all of the Comprehensive Plan policies that you are contributing to and seeing move forward, I think that is an -- you know, not a Comp Plan policy, but, you know, another initiative and policy that will further the work on the Anacostia and the good work of Living Classrooms, frankly. So thank you for bringing this. I don't really have any questions. That was probably more than I needed

to say, but thank you very much. Back to you, Chairman Hood. 2 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Thank you. Commissioner 3 Imamura, any questions or comments? Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 4 COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: 5 Martin, thank you for the work that you do in Living Classrooms 6 for the community. It's important work, and so I'm glad that this has come before us. Mr. Avitabile, you always do a great 7 8 job in distilling all the moving parts in a very simple way, so 9 I appreciate the lead-in and introduction and explanation and 10 description for setting the stage essentially for us to, you know, review this again, and the design review later this summer, 11 12 so -- which I look forward to. So happy that we're able to set 13 the stage here and I'm prepared to vote in support and look 14 forward to seeing more down the road. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 15 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Thank you. Commissioner 16 Wright, any questions or comments? COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: No questions, just that I fully 17 18 support this application. I am familiar with the work of Living 19 Classrooms. I think this will be a great addition to the area. 20 I think it will enhance the waterfront activity, and I think the 21 technical changes that are being proposed, as was said by one of 22 my colleagues, are probably long overdue and very appropriate. 23 So I don't have any additional questions or comments. CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Thank you. Vice Chair Miller, 24

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
Court Reporting and Litigation Support
Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia
410-766-HUNT (4868)
1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

25

questions or comments?

VICE CHAIR MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank you to the Living Classrooms team for your presentation in bringing this text amendment forward. And, Ms. Martin, I appreciate -- we -- as my colleagues -- I agree with all the comments of my colleagues and appreciating all the work that Living Classrooms provides for the community, particularly youth -- particularly disadvantaged youth and the workforce training and the educational opportunities, in-water training, in-water activities. It will be a great -- I'm glad that you'll be able to expand the programs that you currently have, and I appreciate the community outreach that you've done, garnering the support of ANC 6/8F, as Ms. Schellin indicated at the outset.

I guess I just had one question, and I think you've covered it in your supplemental statement, Mr. Avitabile. But the -- just on the -- on the DC Homeland Security and Emergency Management Agency comments at Exhibit 16 -- I assume you saw those -- where they support it, but they -- it's from Tony Goodman, Senior Program Manager, who I recall is -- was an ANC Commissioner I think in an adjacent ANC or NoMa. But, anyway, the -- he -- they support it, but they -- they had no objection, but they said that the text amendment would add educational and institutional uses, and any such uses should still be subject to the requirements -- the floodplain review requirements, I guess, of -- Subchapter C, Chapter 11 is what they cite. I think you said that the design review process -- the mandatory design

review process will cover all of that mandatory review, so if you can just confirm that.

2.

MR. AVITABILE: Yeah, that's exactly right. We'll include that. When we come for the design review, it'll be the design review of the building, approval of the various uses, and then on top of that, we will also include the -- any special exception or other relief we need, which would include that relief. In fact, in an earlier iteration of this text amendment, we considered asking for the property to be exempted from those requirements for these uses, and our colleagues at the Office of Planning said, no, no, no, you should have to go through that process and use, and then we'll determine, as a part of that, that it's appropriate. So that's exactly what we're doing, and so we'll address it when we are back before you next.

VICE CHAIR MILLER: Well, we'll look forward to seeing you then. There are (indiscernible) -- so when do you think we'll see the design review (indiscernible due to connectivity issues)?

MR. AVITABILE: It broke up at least a little for me there.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I think it broke up for me too. Can you repeat that? Unless it's just me, it was breaking up, Vice Chair. Could you repeat your question?

(No response.)

MR. AVITABILE: I think I got the gist of it, which was

1	when will we be back, so I can answer that.
2	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Mr. Avitabile, hold on tight. I'm
3	not sure if he can hear. He disappeared now, so he may be down
4	for a minute. I hate to have you repeat the question I mean,
5	answer, so hold tight.
6	(Brief pause.)
7	VICE CHAIR MILLER: You don't see me?
8	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I don't see you. Can you hear us?
9	(No response.)
10	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Commissioner Stidham, can you hear
11	me?
12	COMMISSIONER STIDHAM: (Nods head affirmatively.)
13	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Okay. Good. Vice Chair
14	okay. There you go. You back?
15	(No response.)
16	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: You might Vice Chair Miller, can
17	you hear me?
18	(No response.)
19	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: You might want to turn your camera
20	off and just unmute.
21	(Brief pause.)
22	MS. SCHELLIN: Mr. Young, do you have the number to
23	reach out to him?
23 24	reach out to him? CHAIRPERSON HOOD: He needs to reboot, just cut it off

1	on. Okay. You can hear me? So that means you can hear Mr.
2	Avitabile, so oh, his name's gone. He just let me know he
3	could hear me. Technology is the best thing going, when it's
4	working.
5	MR. AVITABILE: Mr. Wallace and I were just talking
6	about before the hearing whether we might ever be back in person
7	doing hearings, and I guess the one good thing is (indiscernible).
8	VICE CHAIR MILLER: There's been a push for about a
9	year-and-a-half for us to go back in, and we're kind of pushing
10	that back a little bit, because we get I think we get more,
11	you know, participation here.
12	MR. AVITABILE: I think that's right.
13	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: And I appreciate the powers that be
14	kind of letting us get that participation.
15	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Can you hear me, Mr. Chairman?
16	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yeah, we can hear you now.
17	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Okay. I don't know what the problem
18	was. I could hear and see you the entire time.
19	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. So, Mr. Avitabile, you
20	remember the question?
21	MR. AVITABILE: I do. It was what the timing would be
22	on the text amendment the design review case. And so, you
23	know, should the Commission take proposed action soon, as early
24	as this evening, if you so desire, we could be filed have an

25 application filed in the near term and get ourselves scheduled

for a hearing, so that by the time final action happens on the text amendment, we could then have the hearing on the design review shortly thereafter. It dovetails well with the federal GSA process. We typically try to structure the design review applications to the Commission so that they fall after the General Services Administration has completed its reviews and brought the case to the Commission of Fine Arts and NCPC, and we're at that time as well. So it's been a long road to get there, but the schedule is all sort of laying out the right way.

2.

VICE CHAIR MILLER: Thank you. Thank you for all your work on this case and thank you to Living Classrooms for all your ongoing work. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Mr. Avitabile, I want to commend you on saying if you get an approval today. I like the way you slid that little bit in there, so I just want to commend you on that. You're representing your client very well, so I'll leave it at things at. I want you to know that did not go past me. All right. So let's go to reports of other government agencies. I thank the Vice Chair for already bringing up the Homeland -- DC Homeland. He brought that up and discussed it. If that's the Tony Goodman that I remember, he was a spectacular ANC Commissioner. I just wanted to note that. Also, ANC 6/8F voted in support, voted five to zero to zero for a resolution in support of this application and petition and the text and what's being proposed. And I think I've covered -- let me see. I think that

was it. Okay. All right. Ms. Schellin, do we have -- oh, let's go to -- I'm sorry -- let's go to the Office of Planning. I skipped by them. Let's go to the Office of Planning.

2.

MR. BEAMON: So good afternoon, Commissioners. Shepard Beamon with the Office of Planning. Since the application was set down, the Office of Planning recommends that the Zoning Commission approve the proposed text amendment for zoning application 24-18 for Living Classrooms Foundation to amend development standards and permitted uses within the SEFC zone for Parcel 3 within The Yards.

The proposed educational, institutional, and eating/drinking uses would not be inconsistent with the Comprehensive Plan maps and would uphold several policies relevant to the citywide and the Lower Anacostia Waterfront/Near Southwest Area Elements. When evaluated through a racial equity lens, the proposal should not result in direct or indirect displacement, as there are no business or residents on the site. The zoning action could result in physical changes to the site, as the action would facilitate new development of a site that is currently undeveloped with a building or park space.

At the time of this public hearing, there are no plans for development associated with this application, but as the applicant has previously mentioned, any new development would be subject to mandatory design review before the Zoning Commission to insure that new development is compatible with the surrounding

Τ	Inistoric context and waterfront development. Permitting the
2	requested uses would increase access to opportunities for jobs
3	in culinary training, health and wellness programs, educational
4	programming, and waterfront destinations for residents within the
5	planning area and across the District. And, with that, this
6	concludes OP's presentation, and I can take any questions. Thank
7	you.
8	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you, Mr. Beamon. A good
9	report, as always. Let me see if we have any questions.
10	Commissioner Stidham, any questions?
11	COMMISSIONER STIDHAM: Nope, no questions. Thank you
12	for your report.
13	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Commissioner Imamura, any questions
14	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: No questions. Thank you, Mr.
15	Beamon.
16	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Commissioner Wright, any questions?
17	COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: No questions.
18	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: And Vice Chair Miller, any
19	questions?
20	VICE CHAIR MILLER: No questions. Thank you, Mr.
21	Beamon.
22	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Beamon. And
23	I'm trying to remember, this is a rulemaking, so we don't have
24	questions from the applicant. Yeah, I get confused. We don't
25	do many of these. Thank you, Mr. Beamon, for your report. Well,

1	I can't say we don't do many; I just get them confused at times.
2	All right. Ms. Schellin, do we have any okay. We did mention
3	the ANC. We mentioned other government agencies. Ms. Schellin,
4	do we have anyone who's here in support, opposition, or
5	undeclared?
6	MS. SCHELLIN: We have no one in any of the categories.
7	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. And, in a rulemaking case,
8	we usually don't have any closing by the applicant, but since
9	this is Mr. Avitabile, I think you've already asked us what
10	you would like to see happen, so I think we're good. So with
11	that
12	MS. SCHELLIN: Rebuttal.
13	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Rebuttal?
14	MS. SCHELLIN: Is there no rebuttal or no closing? No
15	rebuttal. I mean, no closing. I'm sorry. You're right.
16	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: All right. I'm confusing myself.
17	MS. SCHELLIN: If there's no rebuttal, there's nothing.
18	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I don't need any help to confuse me.
19	I'm already confused.
20	MS. SCHELLIN: I know. It's been a long day.
21	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: All right.
22	MS. SCHELLIN: There is no one in any category. I just
23	double-checked.
24	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. All right. Well, colleagues,
25	let's I think this is pretty straightforward, as you know,

1	as the recommendation has been as we've seen through this process.
2	So, with that, would somebody like to make a motion? Commissioner
3	Stidham, would you like to make a motion?
4	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: (Raises hand.)
5	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. And then Vice
6	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: No, I'll defer to Commissioner
7	Stidham.
8	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Commissioner Stidham.
9	COMMISSIONER STIDHAM: So I propose I am proposing
10	a motion to approve Zoning Case Number 24-18, Living Classrooms
11	Foundation text amendment to Subtitle A, Section 301.3(h) and
12	Subtitle K, Sections 200.6 through 200.9, 230.5, 230.6, and 239
13	for a text amendment and ask for a second. I hope I got all
14	that.
15	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Second.
16	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. It's been moved and properly
17	seconded. Any further discussion?
18	(No response.)
19	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Not hearing any, Ms. Schellin, would
20	you do a roll call vote please?
21	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Stidham.
22	COMMISSIONER STIDHAM: Yes.
23	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Imamura.
24	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Yes.
25	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Hood.

1	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yes.
2	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Miller.
3	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yes.
4	COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: And Commissioner Wright.
5	COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Yes.
6	MS. SCHELLIN: The vote is five to zero to
7	approve proposed action in Zoning Commission Case Number 24-18,
8	and that's it for us.
9	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Ms. Schellin, do we have
10	anything else pertaining to this hearing?
11	MS. SCHELLIN: No, sir. No, sir.
12	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. The Zoning Commission will
13	meet again on June the 23rd I believe, and that's 901 Monroe
14	Street, LLC. I would ask my colleagues to come and let's be
15	patient. I think we have a lot to deal with that evening. It's
16	going to be a little longer than this case for sure. So, with
17	that, I want to thank the petitioner tonight and all the work
18	they've been doing and everyone who's participated in this
19	particular application. And, with that, this hearing is
20	adjourned. Good night, everyone.
21	MR. AVITABILE. Good night. Thank you so much.
22	(Whereupon, the above-entitled public hearing was
23	adjourned at 4:45 p.m.)
24	
25	

CERTIFICATION

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Public Hearing - Case No. 24-18

Before: DC Zoning Commission

Date: 06-16-25

Place: Webex Videoconference

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Deborah B. Gauthier

Deborah B. Shuthier