# GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

ZONING COMMISSION

+ + + + +

REGULAR PUBLIC MEETING

+ + + + +

THURSDAY

JUNE 12, 2025

+ + + + +

The Public Meeting of the District of Columbia Zoning Commission convened via teleconference, pursuant to notice at 4:00 p.m. EDT, Anthony J. Hood, Chairperson, presiding.

## ZONING COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT:

ANTHONY J. HOOD, Chairperson ROBERT E. MILLER, Vice Chair JOSEPH IMAMURA, Commissioner GWEN WRIGHT, Commissioner TAMMY STIDHAM, Commissioner

#### OFFICE OF ZONING STAFF PRESENT:

PAUL YOUNG, Zoning Data Specialist SHARON S. SCHELLIN, Secretary

## OFFICE OF ZONING LEGAL DIVISION STAFF PRESENT:

HILLARY LOVICK, Esquire DENNIS LIU, Esquire JACOB RITTING, Esquire

## OFFICE OF PLANNING STAFF PRESENT:

SHEPARD BEAMON MICHAEL JURKOVIC

## ALSO PRESENT:

PATRICK BROWN, ESQUIRE, Counsel for Wesley Seminary DAVID MCALLISTER-WILSON, President, Wesley Seminary THOMAS SMITH, Neighbors for a Livable Community

The transcript constitutes the minutes from the Regular Public Meeting held on June 12, 2025.

## 

Methodist Church ...... 31

#### P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

2 (4:00 p.m.)

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. We are convening and broadcasting this public meeting by videoconferencing. My name is Anthony Hood. Joining me are Vice Chair Miller, Commissioners Imamura, Wright, and Stidham. We're also joined by the Office of Zoning staff, Ms. Sharon Schellin, as well as our Office of Zoning Legal Division. All of our counsel are here, Ms. Lovick, Mr. Liu, and Mr. Ritting. We will ask any -- we're also joined by the Mr. Paul Young, who's handling all of our virtual operations. And I will ask all others to introduce themselves at the appropriate time, if required.

Copies of today's meeting agenda are available on the Office of Zoning's website. Please be advised that this proceeding is being recorded by a court reporter and is also webcast live via Webex and YouTube Live. The video will be available on the Office of Zoning's website after the meeting. Accordingly, all those listening on Webex or by phone will be muted during the hearing.

For hearing action items, the only documents before us this evening are the application, the ANC setdown report, and the Office of Planning report. All other documents in the record will be reviewed at the time of the hearing. We do not take any public testimony in our public meetings unless the Commission requests someone to speak.

If you experience difficulty accessing Webex or with your phone call-in, then please call our OZ Hotline number at 202-727-0789 for Webex log-in -- Webex log-in or call-in instructions.

Before I go to the staff, I do have a preliminary matter. I am going to move -- the first case on our agenda tonight, final action, we're going to do that last. I'm going to go through the agenda and we're going to do final action last. Any objections?

(No response.)

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: And that will be Zoning Commission Case 23-08(1), Wesley Theological Seminary of the United Methodist Church, 2025 through 2023 (sic) Campus Plan at Square 1600. All right. With that, Ms. Schellin, do you have any preliminary matters?

MS. SCHELLIN: No preliminary matters.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Well, in that case, let's move right on with our agenda. Time extensions, Zoning Commission Case Number 16-02E, H&A DCU JV, LLC, two-year design review time extension as Square 665. Ms. Schellin, and then I'm going to come to Commissioner Imamura first on this one.

MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, sir. In this case, as you stated, the applicant is requesting a two-year time extension of the design review approval of Zoning Commission Order Number 16-02D, as in David. They want to construct a mixed-use building on

Parcel B, so the construction would commence by July 1, 2027. This subject property, known as Parcel B, is currently a vacant lot located in the -- which was known as Buzzard Point in Southwest DC. It may still be called Buzzard Point. sure, but -- so the applicant's justification is that, despite their diligent efforts, it's been very difficult to secure private funding needed for the market-rate portions of the project, and, because of that delay, it caused a lapse in the awarded financing -- public financing for all of the affordable senior housing component of the project. And the applicant is now actively -- not that they haven't been, but they are actively seeking occupants for the commercial component of the project and are diligently pursuing private and public financing needed, such as the -- there's a Housing -- a new Housing Production Trust Fund from DCHD that's available to apply for; low-income housing bonds issued by DCHFA; a ten-year tax abatement for a portion of the property intended for occupancy by Volunteers of America for their headquarters. Hopefully, they're in negotiations with them.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

At Exhibit 5, there's an OP report that found the extension request satisfies the criteria and the regulations, and they recommend approval. ANC 6D, as of today, had not filed a report in the case record, but the requisite time period has elapsed, and the Commission, if it chooses to do so, can proceed with final action. Thank you.

1	MS. LOVICK: Sorry. I just want to interject and add
2	something. There actually was an ANC 6D report that was filed
3	in the record yesterday, and it is in support, and, basically,
4	they asked that the applicant agree in writing that there would
5	be no scooter parking on Parcel B. And the applicant agreed to
6	that separately in writing. So I'm just letting you know that
7	that document is in the record. I believe it's at Exhibit 6.
8	Thank you.
9	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Schellin and
10	Ms. Lovick. Colleagues, I believe this meets our requirement
11	under Subtitle Z-705.2. I was going to I think Commissioner
12	Imamura was having some problems, so I'm going to go ahead and
13	start us off. Ms. Schellin has really teed this up, along with
14	adding the piece on about the ANC, and thank you both. As the
15	applicant stated, despite diligent efforts as we all know,
16	they've been having some unforeseen issues with financing. And
17	as all I have to say, after all that's been teed up, is that
18	I believe it meets our standard of review for Subtitle Z-705.2,
19	and I'll be voting to approve. Any objections? Any objections?
20	(No response.)
21	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Not seeing any. Any other comments
22	needed, anybody?

(No response.)

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. So, with that, I would move that we approve, as stated, Zoning Commission Case -- for the

1	time extension on Zoning as requested, for the time extension
2	on Zoning Commission Case Number 16-02E, and ask for a second.
3	COMMISSIONER STIDHAM: Second.
4	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. It's been moved and properly
5	seconded. Any further discussion?
6	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Second.
7	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Any further discussion?
8	(No response.)
9	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Not hearing any, Ms. Schellin, would
10	you do a roll call vote please?
11	MS. SCHELLIN: I will. I think that Commissioner
12	Imamura has frozen. Oh, he's back.
13	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: He's back.
14	MS. SCHELLIN: There we go, because we got that delayed
15	response. Okay. So I'm going to do roll call. Commissioner
16	Hood.
17	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yes.
18	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Stidham.
19	COMMISSIONER STIDHAM: Yes.
20	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Commissioner Miller.
21	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yes.
22	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Wright.
23	COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Yes.
24	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Imamura. Commissioner
25	Imamura, maybe a thumbs up?

1	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I think he's delayed or maybe he's
2	not. There he is.
3	MS. SCHELLIN: Oh, I saw I saw movement for a second.
4	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Yes.
5	MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, there we go. Okay. So staff record
6	the vote five to zero to zero.
7	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Yes.
8	MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, there we go five to zero to zero
9	to approve final action in Zoning Commission Case Number 16-02E.
10	And can this have a summary order? This was Hillary's case.
11	OZLD, Ms. Lovick, can this have a summary order issued?
12	MS. LOVICK: Yes, that's fine with me.
13	MS. SCHELLIN: Chairman Hood, that's okay?
14	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yeah. Yeah. I was going to say
15	go to the Commissioners for any objections. That's fine, but
16	I'll leave that up to the Legal Division. If they can do a
17	summary, that's great.
18	MS. SCHELLIN: Okay. So if they could submit a draft
19	order in two weeks, that would be great. Thank you.
20	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I'll leave that up to our counsel.
21	That's what I want to say. The Commission it's already up to
22	the Commission. All right.
23	MS. SCHELLIN: Thank you.
24	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: All right. Thank you. Let's move
25	I'm going to try to go to Commissioner Imamura this time, but I

think he's having a delay, so we can work through that. Don't log, because we don't want to lose you.

2.

Let's go to Zoning Commission Case Number 04-14I, Florida Rock Properties, Incorporated, ten-year time extension to Zoning Commission Order Number 04-14D to file a building permit to the marina design review portion of the project, Square 708. Before I go to Ms. Schellin, I'm going to first go -- after Ms. Schellin finishes, I'm going to go to Commissioner Wright.

MS. SCHELLIN: Sure. So at the last meeting, May 29th, the Commission was a little hesitant about the request for a tenyear extension, and asked them to go back -- the applicant to go back, and so the applicant -- to reconsider maybe a different time period. So they went back and submitted a supplemental statement at Exhibits 5 and 5A, reducing the time to six years, so you have that. And that would change the time period to May 26th, 3031 (sic) and commencing construction on -- a year later, May 26th, 2032. And then that is -- as of today, I don't believe we have ANC report. I checked about two hours ago. But OP had previously provided their report, and they were okay with the ten-year extension, and I don't believe they've provided anything additional, but you had already received that. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you, Ms. Schellin. Colleagues, you know, this was -- troubled us, as we went -- as we were requested to do the ten-year time extension, and we had some reservations, so let's have -- continue that discussion.

And we know now what's being proposed, so I'm going to start off with Commissioner Wright.

2.

COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Thank you. And I hope you can hear me. I'm having some technical difficulties as well. But I appreciate that the applicant went back and sort of sharpened their pencil a little bit on what their schedule would be, and they have presented a fairly, I think, detailed response about how long it's going to take to build the two recently approved buildings and to finish those phases of the project and then move on to the marina portion. And they are -- and, based on that, they changed their request from ten years to six years.

They also verified -- and I appreciate this, because I know it was something that we were concerned about -- that all of the improvements along the waterfront that we had seen when we approved the recent amendments for the final two cases of the buildings, that those are going to be built and that that is not delayed or connected with the marina project. They will be doing the improvements along the waterfront. And really, based on that, you know, I think -- I think they're trying to be realistic and not spend their time and our time coming back frequently for extensions, when they know it's going to take them about six years to get to this project, given the other construction that they're going to be doing on the site. So I think that the six-year proposal is something I can support and I think it's realistic.

1	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you, Commissioner Wright, for
2	teeing that up. Let's see if we have any other comments or
3	questions? Let me just say, I would agree and echo everything
4	that you said, I would agree and can support what's being asked
5	of us now. Commissioner Imamura.
6	(No response.)
7	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Let's give him a few minutes. I
8	think he's on a delay.
9	(Brief pause.)
10	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Can you hear me, Commissioner
11	Imamura?
12	(No response.)
13	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: All right. Let's go to Commissioner
14	Stidham. Commissioner Stidham.
15	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman
16	(indiscernible due to technical problems)
17	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. That's all right.
18	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: (Indiscernible due to technical
19	problems.)
20	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: So, Commissioner Imamura, you may
21	want to when you get this message, because it's going to be a
22	minute, you may want to log off and come back on, because I think
23	that will solve the problem, because you're real delayed, and
24	we're not hearing anything you're saying. It's chopping up. So
25	why don't you we'll give you a minute to log off and come back

on. It'll probably be a minute before he gets this -- yeah, it's 2. a delay. Let's just give him a minute. Meanwhile, Commissioner Wright, did you have any problems getting on it today? 3 COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Yes, I have, but I --4 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Oh, okay. Well, I'd like to keep --5 6 I'd like to keep you company, because I had problems too. 7 COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Yeah. I'm on via my phone right 8 I had trouble getting on my computer and trying to figure 9 out if the problem is my computer or Webex. Webex seems to be 10 very touchy these days. CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yeah, it is. And it kept telling 11 12 me I was already in the meeting, and I couldn't get in. 13 VICE CHAIR MILLER: But it seems to evenly distribute 14 their problems to each of us, because I don't -- I'm not having any problems today. I shouldn't have said that, because I 15 16 probably will now. 17 COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: You'll jinx yourself. 18 COMMISSIONER STIDHAM: You're normally the one that has 19 all the problems, so it's a gift. 20 Yep, you never know. Paul, you CHAIRPERSON HOOD: 21 think you may be able to call Commissioner Imamura maybe to see 2.2 if he needs some assistance? But he's tech savvy; he's not like 23 I am. Okay. He's gone now. So he'll come back. 24 (Brief pause while waiting for Commissioner Imamura to 25 rejoin videoconference.)

1	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: You good?
2	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: I'm good. Sorry.
3	MS. SCHELLIN: Even his picture is better, because his
4	picture was fuzzy before, so all of it's good now.
5	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: My apologies. I know that's
6	frustrating.
7	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: No problem. Commissioner, you'll
8	be happy to know it's a number of us that have problems. So,
9	Commissioner Imamura, you made some comments on that case,
10	Florida Rock. Could you
11	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: I was trying to be brief, Mr.
12	Chairman, and just say that I agree with Commissioner Wright. I
13	think that's what I'll stick with.
14	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Great. Thank you.
15	Commissioner Stidham.
16	COMMISSIONER STIDHAM: I do appreciate the applicant's
17	further justification related to the time frame. We didn't have
18	that information when we originally were looking at this case,
19	so the extra detail is really helpful. While I would prefer a
20	much a shorter time frame, like less than five years, because
21	this has been on the books for a long time, I can live with and
22	support the six-year time period.
23	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Thank you. Vice Chair Miller.
24	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I
25	generally agree with the comments of each of my colleagues. Like

Commissioner Stidham, I would have preferred a shorter time frame for the -- at least the beginning of the construction of the I think the marina always was envisioned as being done marina. in phases anyway, but the public pier portion was going to be done -- the fixed pier was going to be done first. know, I'm willing to go along with the six-year time extension, but given what we're seeing with other time extensions, even today by the same attorneys representing a different applicant, where private financing of housing is difficult in the current market -- so we're delaying the marina -- the public marina -public portion of the marina for housing, much of which would be affordable, but there's a difficulty in the market right now for housing, so maybe it's the time to build the public pier -- the fixed -- at least the fixed public pier part of the marina, maybe not all the private piers -- the floating piers, although they were going to be accessible by the public as well.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And, originally, this was phased to be between phases 1 and 2, and 3 and 4 -- we don't want to -- we're allowing 3 and 4 to go ahead of the marina by -- if we take action today to approve this time extension, I'm concerned that we're going to have a time extension on the phases 3 and 4, given the current market and -- although I'm hopeful that it will change and get better. The city made an incredible -- well, the public made an incredible investment in the South Capitol Bridge -- the Frederick Douglass and the South Capitol Oval. That's what the

marina originally was waiting for. So I will -- I will support going along with the six-year time extension, but I would encourage the applicant, if there is difficulty in securing financing, please proceed as originally planned with the public portion of the marina, the fixed pier, so that it can have access from the esplanades that the applicant has beautifully already built there along the waterfront. Even though that's just part of phases 1 and 2, there will be greater access when 3 and 4 are built, but we've seen a number of time extensions, modifications, changes to this project over the 24 years, since its original application, and it was six years before that -- there was an earlier version as well.

2.

So I really would encourage the applicant to proceed with at least the fixed pier while they're trying to seek financing for the housing. They'll be able to get, hopefully, as we've seen, public financing for the affordable housing, but if they're having difficulty securing all of the financing, they should proceed with what's originally planned, at least for the public portion. That would activate the waterfront even more than they already have done. So that's just my encouragement, Mr. Chairman, to the applicant.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: So let me -- let me ask my colleagues -- and I know where Commissioner Wright and Commissioner Imamura and myself are, but I also know where Commissioner Stidham -- and I've heard the Vice Chair loud and

clear. Do we want to go back at the applicant and see if they can pivot -- and I like using that word now -- pivot what Vice Chair Miller was speaking of and I think Commissioner Stidham? So I don't know if you -- is that any interest? Let me go to Commissioner Wright and Commissioner Imamura on what we heard from our colleagues. Any interest, Commissioner Wright?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: No. I really think we need to move forward with this case and this extension at this time. Т think they've given us the information they can. I mean, I would point out, I don't know the financial structure of this project, I don't have, you know, any inside information, but the reality is to build the marina they need financing for that too. I don't think there is a pot of money that's been sitting there waiting to spend to build this public amenity that is part of the overall project. So if there are financing problems today, which, you know, we're hearing that with many different projects, I don't think it's a matter of them saying, well, we'll figure out how to get the money to build the marina now and we'll delay the I think if there are financing problems, there will be housing. financing problems with all of the project. So I just don't think that by changing an extension time period we are going to be able to leverage them to build something that they either may not have the financing to build or that they think is not in the best sort of orderly development of their project.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Thank you. Commissioner

Imamura, you want to add to that or opine on that?

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Again, I'll try to be brief, just because of connectivity issues. Earlier, my comment really was that I still had some heartburn over the six years, but I thought Commissioner Wright made a very good point that this is something that, you know, if -- the applicant would have probably come back with a shorter time frame, if it were realistic, and so I agree that we should probably move forward. To your point, Mr. Chairman, about pivoting and seeing if the applicant will come back again, I think if they could, they would have already proffered that. And so I'm comfortable moving forward with it, but certainly appreciate and, you know, share the same concerns that Vice Chair Miller and Commissioner Stidham have.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. All right. Commissioner Stidham and Vice Chair Miller, you have anything to add. We know where you all are. I think you all will support it as it is, but I was just seeing if we wanted to try one more time. And I think Commissioner Imamura brings up a good point. I would hope they would have exhausted all that about pivoting and making the changes, if necessary, and I think if they had that, they would have -- I think that maybe this is the best offer they can do at this time, so that's -- I'll leave it at that. Any other questions, Commissioner Stidham or Vice Chair Miller?

(Commissioners Stidham and Miller shake head no.)

1	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: We're good? All right.
2	Commissioner Wright, would you like to make a motion please?
3	COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: I yes, I would be happy to.
4	I move that we approve Zoning Case Number 04-14I, Florida Rock
5	Properties, Inc. for a six-year time extension to Zoning
6	Commission Order Number 04-14D to file a building permit for the
7	marina, the design review portion of the project, at Square 708.
8	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Is there a second?
9	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Second.
10	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. It's been moved and properly
11	seconded. Any further discussion?
12	(No response.)
13	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: All right. Ms. Schellin, would you
14	do a roll call vote please?
15	MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, sir. Commissioner Wright.
16	COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Yes.
17	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Imamura.
18	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Yes.
19	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Hood.
20	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yes.
21	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Miller.
22	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yes.
23	MS. SCHELLIN: And Commissioner Stidham.
24	COMMISSIONER STIDHAM: Yes.
25	MS. SCHELLIN: The vote is five to zero to

approve Zoning Commission Case Number 04-14I for a six-year time 1 period, as discussed on the (indiscernible), and also a summary 2. order for this in two weeks. Who is the attorney on this one; 3 Jake? 4 5 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: You're on mute, Mr. Ritting. 6 MR. RITTING: Sorry. I think a summary order would be 7 appropriate. And since I've got the opportunity, I just wanted 8 to clarify that that motion should also include the waiver to 9 allow the longer time period than required by the zoning 10 regulations. COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Thank you. That would be 11 12 incorporated in my motion, yes. 13 MS. SCHELLIN: Okay. Thank you. 14 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Understood. Thank you, Mr. Ritting. All right. We ready to move on, Ms. Schellin? 15 16 MS. SCHELLIN: (Nods head affirmatively.) 17 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: All right. Let's go to hearing 18 action, Zoning Commission Case Number 25-08, Office of Planning 19 text amendment to update the residential apartments to RA-1 zone. 20 And, again, I want to thank the Office of Planning for even 21 bringing this. It's been a long time coming, and I appreciate 2.2 the Office of Planning bringing this forward. So Mr. Beamon. 23 MR. BEAMON: Okay. Yes. Good evening. And, yes, as

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
Court Reporting and Litigation Support
Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia
410-766-HUNT (4868)
1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

you mentioned, Chair Hood, this has been a long time coming, so

we're happy to be here presenting this to you all. So good

24

25

evening Commissioners and Chair Hood. My name is Shepard Beamon. I'm with the Office of Planning. I'm joined by Michael Jurkovich, also with OP. OP recommends that the Zoning Commission set down ZC 25-08 for a public hearing. As requested by the Zoning Commission, OP has filed this petition for a zoning text amendment to amend the RA-1 residential apartment zoning requirements.

2.

Next slide please. So the RA-1 zone is intended to permit low to moderate-density residential development. Currently, new rowhomes -- new rowhomes, flats, multifamily residential buildings, and additions to multifamily buildings are required to have BZA approval before obtaining a permit. And if we look at the map here, we'll see that RA-1 is scattered throughout the District, but the primary concentrations are within Ward 7 and 8.

Next slide please. So the goals of this text amendment include refinement of the current RA-1 zoning development standards; to allow uses and expansions permitted by right that are unlikely to have substantial impacts on character or context of the surrounding area; to clarify application and BZA review criteria to reduce the number of BZA applications for smaller or less intense proposals; and to address concerns identified by the ANCs, by the community, and by BZA members. And, with that, I'll turn it over to Michael. Next slide. Sorry.

MR. JURKOVIC: Yes. Again, this is Mike Jurkovic with OP. The first of the two development requirements we're proposing

to change in the RA-1 zone is an introduction of minimum lot requirements through lot dimensions being a minimum lot area of 1,800 square feet and a minimum lot width of 18 feet, while now allowing opt-in of voluntary IZ developments by right, while currently this also requiring a special exception.

Next slide please. The other change we are proposing to the development requirements is to -- is to the required side yards. Currently, side yards are determined by building type and by the number of units per floor. We proposed to keep the minimum side yards at eight feet, when applicable, but, instead, require new construction containing five or more units to maintain two side yards, thereby requiring new apartment construction to be detached buildings.

Next slide please. The next two slides will talk about the use permissions for the RA-1 zone. Currently, only detached and semi-detached dwellings of one or two units are allowed by right, while new row buildings, as Shepard just mentioned, as well as apartment houses are required to pursue the special exception. We are proposing to allow all detached, semi-detached, and row building developments of up to four units by right, while all new apartments buildings of five units or more would still be required to pursue the special exception for their use.

Next slide please. Additionally, we're proposing limited expansions of existing apartment houses. Structural

expansions would be required to maintain their existing unit counts, while renovations may convert spaces not used for -- not currently used for dwelling units into such units through -- some examples of what the BZA typically approves that would fit this category would be cellar excavation. That's a pretty common request. And I'll turn it back over to Shepard on the next slide.

MR. BEAMON: So looking at U-421, this section has BZA requirements and standards for new residential development in the RA-1 zone currently. OP proposes refining and expanding on the current applicant submittal requirements to include sufficient information about the proposal and potential impacts on adjacent properties. OP also recommends requiring site plan review for larger projects measuring over 20,000 square feet and clarification of the BZA's authority over special treatment for certain projects.

Next slide. Also under U-421, OP no longer recommends BZA review new residential -- new residential development, but, instead, residential development that does not meet the criteria for permitted uses and development standards, so anything that does not meet either U-401 or F-202 will still be subject to BZA review.

Next slide. And then, lastly, OP proposes adding filing requirements for larger sites or projects, again, with more -- sorry -- projects with more than one principal dwelling seeking relief, including additional plans and a statement on how

the new development could impact adjacent properties. And next slide.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JURKOVIC: So, yeah, this text amendment was MR. evaluated using the Commission's racial equity tool and found would not be inconsistent with proposal Comprehensive Plan, included when viewed through a racial equity The amendment would help further policies related to lens. equity, such as preserving existing housing, infill development, and finding new ways to accommodate housing in existing neighborhoods.

Regarding the Commission's evaluation factors, given the RA-1 zone's location throughout the District, the amendment should not impact access to opportunity and, instead, would allow property owners more opportunity for reasonable expansions or redevelopments of their properties by right, while maintaining the public process through special exception for the larger more intense developments. OP does anticipate any other significant impacts from these changes.

Next slide please. In terms of community outreach, OP conducted several virtual open houses with the ANCs earlier this year in January. We received generally positive feedback on the direction of our proposal. Additionally, we have a project website and a dedicated e-mail, which we have used to field various questions from ANC members -- or Commissioners. Sorry. In summary, OP recommends that the Commission set down the

application for public hearing. Thank you, and we're here to answer any questions.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you both. Mr. Jurkovic and This must have been -- took a Mr. -- excuse me -- Mr. Beamon. lot of time, because I see we got two people that worked on this RA-1. Let me just ask this question first. And I appreciate all the work you've done. I want to make sure -- I saw on the outreach that you all reached out -- sorry about that. to make sure that you all did -- I saw you had an outreach or something I think to Ward 7 and 8. Some of the cases that I remember -- and I'm not sure if we can do this, and I'm sure Ms. Lovick or somebody will let me know -- but one of the things I would ask the Office of Planning is to also reach out to some of those past cases that we had problems with and issues in RA-1, even though I'm not sure what has been done with them now -- they may all be done -- but how we can better write these regulations, for me, is to look at our past experience. But let me ask you this first. Did you all do that? Did you all look at some of the past --

MR. BEAMON: (Nods head affirmatively.)

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Oh, you did that. Okay.

MR. BEAMON: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I just want to make sure, especially some of the folks in Ward 4, where we had some of the major issues, and we had it citywide, but especially in Ward 4 I know

one particular, which I tried to sua sponte, but either way I just want to make sure that we've done our due diligence, especially looking at our past practice, but I guess -- I'm sure you all are experts in planning, so I know you've already done that. I'm looking forward to having this discussion, and I've heard about matter of right. I want to make sure that we do the necessary discovery, when these type of proposals come in front of the Commission and going -- well, in front of the BZA, and give them the tools that they need to be able to have better decisions and better outcomes. And that's all I have to say on that. I'm looking forward to discussion, and hopefully we'll set this down. Let me hear what others have to say. Commissioner Wright.

2.

COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: I am happy to set this down and have an opportunity to have a public hearing on it. I do have, you know, a few questions and would appreciate, when we, you know, get to the public hearing, and maybe it's consistent with what the Chair is saying, you know, a few actual examples that you could share with us in your presentation about cases that perhaps had to go through the BZA, but now would not have to go through the BZA, you know, some real life examples of projects that would have an easier path to approval, given this. I mean, I'm all for making it easier to build more housing in the District. We all know housing is a critical issue. And it sounds like this is part of the goal of this particular text amendment.

So I'm absolutely happy with setting it down, and I just would say when we get to the actual -- excuse me -- public hearing, some case studies or real life examples that you could include in the presentation would helpful.

2.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Commissioner Imamura, any questions or comments?

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Again, I didn't (indiscernible due to connectivity issues) I think some examples (indiscernible) that led to this (indiscernible) proposal would be very helpful. I'm in agreement too that we set this down and -- but I think that it's always a good thing to make more refinements to our regulations to better serve the public. And so, I think, Mr. Beamon, you used that slide deck there, so further refinements to regulations I think are -- is always better and needed. So thank you very much for both of you, as the Chairman said, working on this. So that's all I have, Mr. Chairman. Thank you.

18 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Thank you. Commissioner
19 Stidham.

COMMISSIONER STIDHAM: Sorry about that. I had trouble getting off mute. I agree with the comments of my other fellow Commissioners and support setting this down.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: And Vice Chair Miller.

VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yeah, I also appreciate the comments of my colleagues and support setting this down for a

public hearing. And to the extent that we are making matter-ofright cases that really were a burden upon the ANC and the BZA to hear, I think that the analysis that was requested by Commissioner Wright would be helpful to see how many cases are -would have been affected in one way or the other in the past few I just had a question. So I appreciate all the work of the Office of Planning in bringing this forward. I know it's been a long time coming on a very huge work list that we and others have -- the Mayor and others have given -- and the public have given to you. Just remind me -- and I think it's in your report, but remind me what the FAR permission is in the RA-1 zone, which isn't being changed, as I understand it, by this current proposal, although one -- apparently, ANC one Commissioner or somebody in the public comments recommended that you look at that, increasing the density, but just remind me what the FAR permission density is in the RA-1 zone versus the RA-2 zone, just to -- I could look that up, but I didn't.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. JURKOVIC: I can tell you for -- it starts at .9, and then just let me double-check on what the limit is for an inclusionary zoning development.

VICE CHAIR MILLER: You know, I don't need that now.

MS. LOVICK: Commissioner, I can tell you. It's 1.08 for the RA-1 zone for an IZ development, and for the RA-2 zone it's 2.16, and for just a regular, like, non-IZ development in the RA-2 zone it's 1.8.

VICE CHAIR MILLER: Thank you, Ms. Lovick. I appreciate you bringing that forward.

MR. JURKOVIC: There we go. Sorry, Zoning Commission. We, too, are also getting the technical difficulties bug. But to answer your question, for the majority -- all other structures besides the public library, RA-1 is .9, while RA-2 is 1.8.

VICE CHAIR MILLER: So it's about double.

MR. JURKOVIC: Yeah.

VICE CHAIR MILLER: And you did get one recommendation

I guess in your public outreach for that and you decided not to

include it in your proposal at this time. Can you just give us

some further elaboration on that now or at the public hearing?

MR. BEAMON: I think we can address that for the public hearing though -- when we evaluate more of the comments that we've received from the ANCs.

VICE CHAIR MILLER: Okay. I think I heard the technically-challenged response, so I'm ready to move forward, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Thank you. Again, thank you, Mr. Jurkovich and Mr. Beamon, for all the work that you all put into it. I'm looking forward to having this hearing and try to flush out some of the issues and see where we go from there, especially taking the comments of my colleagues. So would somebody like to make a motion and set that down? Commissioner Stidham, would you like to make a motion?

1	COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: (Indiscernible response.)
2	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Commissioner Wright, you
3	spoke up. Go right ahead.
4	COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: I'm happy to give someone else
5	the honor, but I'm happy also to make a motion that we set down
6	Zoning Case Number 25-08, Office of Planning text amendment to
7	update the residential apartment RA-1 zone and that we set that
8	down for public hearing.
9	COMMISSIONER STIDHAM: Second.
10	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: It's been moved and properly
11	seconded. Any further discussion?
12	(No response.)
13	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Not hearing any, Ms. Schellin, would
14	you do a roll call vote please?
15	MS. SCHELLIN: Sure. Commissioner Wright.
16	COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Yes.
17	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Stidham.
18	COMMISSIONER STIDHAM: Yes.
19	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Hood.
20	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yes.
21	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Miller.
22	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yes.
23	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Imamura.
24	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Yes.
25	MS. SCHELLIN: The vote is five to zero to set

down Zoning Commission Case Number 25-08 as a rule-making case.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: No wonder you didn't respond, because I had myself on mute. Ms. Schellin, we just have one additional item left on the agenda, correct?

MS. SCHELLIN: That's not always the reason why I don't respond, but that is correct, one case.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you. So, colleagues, with this case, we have final action, Zoning Commission Case Number 23-08, Wesley Theological Seminary of the United Methodist Church. I wanted to move that last, because I wanted to go into an emergency closed session, and I would ask for your support on that. I want to do a vote on it. We have to vote first, and then we will go to emergency closed session to get legal advice from our counsel. And let me read the statement.

As Chairman of the Zoning Commission for the District of Columbia and in accordance with 405 and 406 of the District of Columbia Administrative Procedures Act, I move that the Zoning Commission hold a closed emergency meeting on June the 12th, 2025, with regard to Zoning Commission Case Number 23-08(1), the Wesley Theological Seminary of the United States (sic) -- United Methodist Church -- I'm sorry, not United States -- United Methodist Church, 2025 through 2035 Campus Plan at Square 1600, pursuant to DC Official Code -- DC Official Code 2-575(b)(4) and 2-575(b)(13), for the purpose of seeking legal advice from our

1	counsel and on deliberating but not voting upon the cases for the
2	reasons cited in the Open Meetings Act. Is there a second?
3	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Second.
4	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Will the Secretary please call
5	do a roll call with the motion before us now that has been
6	seconded?
7	MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, sir. Commissioner Hood.
8	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yes.
9	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Miller.
10	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yes.
11	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Wright.
12	COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Yes.
13	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Stidham.
14	COMMISSIONER STIDHAM: Yes.
15	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Commissioner Imamura.
16	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Yes.
17	MS. SCHELLIN: The vote, Chairman Hood, is five to zero
18	to zero to go into the emergency closed meeting.
19	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. I was hesitant, because I
20	know we've been having some challenges, but I believe it's going
21	to all work out. As it appears, the motion has passed. I hereby
22	give notice that the Zoning Commission will recess this
23	proceeding on this date, June the 12th, 2025, at 4:51 p.m. to
24	hold a closed emergency meeting via Webex. Sorry for the
25	inconvenience, but we should be back as soon as possible. Thank

you. Ms. Schellin, will that be provided to us? MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, sir. Mr. Young will provide that 2 link to you via e-mail. 3 4 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. If anybody has any problems, 5 just let us know, so let's try to get over without any problems. 6 That's the goal. 7 MS. SCHELLIN: Thank you. Mr. Young, do they have to exit out of this meeting, because I'm not sure they can be in 8 9 two at the same time? 10 MR. YOUNG: No, they don't. They can stay in here; 11 just shut your -- just shut your camera off. 12 MS. SCHELLIN: Okay. 13 MR. YOUNG: You can stay in here. Whoever's still in 14 here, just stay in here and --15 MS. SCHELLIN: Okay. You haven't sent the invite yet, 16 because I haven't seen it. 17 MR. YOUNG: I did send it. 18 MS. SCHELLIN: Okay. I didn't get it yet. Okay. Thank 19 So turn the camera off. Thank you. 20 Okay. Gwen, your camera is still on. MR. YOUNG: 21 (Whereupon, the Zoning Commission recessed at 4:51 to 2.2 conduct an emergency closed meeting.) 23 Mr. Young, can you bring up Mr. CHAIRPERSON HOOD:

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
Court Reporting and Litigation Support
Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia
410-766-HUNT (4868)
1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

Brown and also NLC and the -- and Spring Valley Wesley Heights

Citizens Association? Can you bring them up? And I'm going to

24

25

1	ask them to stay muted for a moment please.
2	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. We are all here. Okay. I'm
3	going to call this meeting back to order. We have just finished
4	our emergency meeting, as we got advice from our counsel, let's
5	call this back to order. I'm going to ask Mr. Brown if you
6	could come up, Mr. Brown, and turn your introduce yourself and
7	turn on your camera. I have a question for you please.
8	(No response.)
9	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Mr. Brown.
10	(No response.)
11	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Mr. Brown, are you available?
12	MS. SCHELLIN: He just unmuted, so he should be able
13	to speak now, if he can turn his camera on. Is that what you
14	want?
15	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: And, again, let me just say
16	that I'm trying to get make sure these closed meetings
17	are there was no vote taken; we just discussed the process,
18	and, again, that's always open to the public. Mr. Brown, good
19	evening. Can you hear me, Mr. Brown?
20	(No response.)
21	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Mr. Brown, can you hear me?
22	MR. BROWN: Chairman Hood, can you hear me?
23	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I can hear you.
24	MR. BROWN: I can't hear you.
25	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. That's not a good sign.

1	MR. BROWN: And my camera is on.
2	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I can't hear you.
3	MS. SCHELLIN: So we can hear him, but he can't hear
4	us.
5	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: But I have a question to ask him,
6	so that's not going to help.
7	MS. SCHELLIN: Yeah. And he may need to turn the volume
8	up on his computer.
9	MR. BROWN: Can you I still can't hear you.
10	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Well, we can hear you. You may need
11	to log off and come back on quickly.
12	MS. SCHELLIN: I'll try sending him an e-mail too.
13	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Or ask him maybe Mr. Young,
14	can help him, if he gets the number.
15	MR. BROWN: I can't I can't hear you. Yes, David?
16	DR. MCALLISTER-WILSON: They can hear you.
17	MR. BROWN: I can't hear them.
18	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Don't say nothing bad about us, but
19	tell David David, tell him we can hear him.
20	DR. MCALLISTER-WILSON: (Laughs.)
21	MR. BROWN: What did they say?
22	DR. MCALLISTER-WILSON: Yes, it's not the confessional.
23	MR. BROWN: I'm going to log off and log back on.
24	DR. MCALLISTER-WILSON: That's their suggestion.
25	MR. BROWN: All right.

1	(Whereupon, Mr. Brown briefly existed the
2	videoconference.)
3	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Let's give him a moment to come
4	back.
5	MR. YOUNG: Sharon, if he still has issues when he gets
6	back on, you can e-mail him my cell phone number.
7	MS. SCHELLIN: Will do.
8	(Brief pause.)
9	MR. BROWN: Chairman Hood, can you hear me now?
10	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yes. Can you hear me?
11	MR. BROWN: Yes, I can, Chairman Hood. Thank you.
12	Apologies.
13	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: No problem. No problem. Let me
14	say, first of all, good afternoon, good evening. We're almost
15	there. Mr. Brown, you know there were some motions filed. And
16	I just want to ask you, in this record in this current record,
17	is there a CTR? Did you provide a CTR to the to this record?
18	MR. BROWN: The CTR in the current case, the CTR was
19	filed as part of the accompanying PUD at Exhibit 14A, and then
20	was subject to the hearing that occurred on September 11th and
21	then October 2nd, 2023.
22	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: So, Mr. Brown, you did not file a
23	CTR for this record?
24	MR. BROWN: Not specifically in 23-08(1) that I can
25	find in the record.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Well, I would -- I would -- I didn't see one. We have not been able to find one. That's why I wanted you to point us to the CTR. So since we do not, there's been an assertion -- a motion about -- as you know, about the CTR, which we need to make sure that we take and work through things. I think that's a valid point. That's a miss, and that needs to be filed. If we ask you for a CTR, how soon can you get us one?

MR. BROWN: As soon as we're finished today.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: That's -- you know what? First you was going downhill, but now you're going uphill. We would like to move expeditiously to process and do what we need to do, so we can deliberate and hopefully get to a vote. So what I would like for you to do is work out a schedule with Ms. Schellin, but first I have a couple of motions that we're going to have to deal with, and I would ask Commissioner Wright to lead us on that. Let me go to Commissioner Wright.

COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Thank you. In the case of Zoning Case 23-08(1), Wesley Theological Seminary of the United Methodist Church, 2025 to 2035 Campus Plan at Square 1600, we have received three motions from the NLC-SVWHCA, the -- what I will just note as the community groups that have been in opposition to this case, and they have asked for three actions by the Zoning Commission. So on the first one, they have asked for a motion to continue the hearing for further discussion of the offsite IZ issue. I move that we deny that request, because

IZ requirements are triggered by the university housing building, not the Campus Plan, and the potential offsite IZ conditions should not be addressed until further processing. The Campus Plan only authorizes a conceptual long-term plan for educational use on a campus and does not authorize construction of any specific building. So on that request and motion, I recommend and move that the Commission deny that particular motion. The second --

2.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. You're going to do them -COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: I'm going to do all three, yeah.
CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay.

COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: And the second motion that the NLC-SVWHCA community group made was to hold a continued hearing to allow cross-examination of Wesley regarding its proposed Campus Plan condition. And I include in my motion that we deny that, because the Commission's role is to decide the appropriate conditions of approval for the final Campus Plan. We may have had some proposed conditions submitted by the applicant, but it is the Zoning Commission's role to actually decide the appropriate conditions and to address any concerns on conditions in the Campus Plan.

Finally, the third motion that the NLC-SVWHCA made was to hold a continued hearing to discuss only an updated CTR that would need to be submitted by Wesley -- by the applicant. And I, as part of my motion, recommend that we approve that and that

1	we do hold a continued hearing that would be very limited in
2	scope. It would only be to review the updated CTR, that we hope
3	the applicant can submit as soon as possible, and for all parties
4	to do their cross-examination. But I, again, want to emphasize
5	that the hearing would solely be limited to discussion of the CTR
6	and no other issues. So that is my motion, and I'll see if anyone
7	agrees with it.
8	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. It's been moved. I will
9	second it exactly how she quoted it. And, let's see, it's been
10	moved and properly seconded. Is there any further discussion?
11	(No response.)
12	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I just will as the seconder of
13	the motion, I just want to reiterate what Commissioner Wright
14	mentioned about the CTR; CTR only, and that's how that hearing
15	will be moving forward. So, with that, no further discussion.
16	Ms. Schellin, would you do a roll call vote please?
17	MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, sir. Commissioner Wright.
18	COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Yes.
19	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Hood.
20	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yes.
21	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Miller.
22	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yes.
23	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Stidham.
24	COMMISSIONER STIDHAM: Yes.
25	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Imamura.

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Yes.

MS. SCHELLIN: The vote is five to zero to zero to deny the motion for further hearing to cross-examine on IZ conditions and Campus Plan conditions and to grant a further hearing specifically for the CTR -- the updated CTR by parties only, so it will not be open for public witnesses, just the parties. And we'll discuss dates after that, and we'll leave it at that. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. So that's where we are. Ms. Schellin, how fast can we do this? I want to put this -- I want -- Mr. Brown said --

MR. BROWN: Before we set the dates, could I ask for a clarification?

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Go ahead.

MR. BROWN: You refer to the updated CTR. The CTR was done with the -- for the original Campus Plan. It has been updated by virtue of exchanges between us, as the applicant, and DDOT and supplemental DDOT reports. All the supplemental activity is in the record. The only thing that is not in the record is the original CTR.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: So, Mr. Brown, I'm not getting it. I'm not understanding. You say the CTR is in the record and the updates are in the record, and I just asked you earlier if you could point me to them. We have looked in the record.

MR. BROWN: The CTR is a very specific document. It's

dated --1 2 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I know what it is. MR. BROWN: Yeah. It's dated April 22nd of 222. 3 4 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Mr. Brown -- Mr. Brown -- Mr. Brown, 5 we're going back to where we are previously. You want us to deal 6 with this case and go look at another record to get the 7 information. Come on, man. 8 MR. BROWN: No, what I'm saying, Commissioner Hood, is 9 that we worked from the original CTR back in April of 2022, and 10 that was -- that was resubmitted in the context of these current proceedings to DDOT, and they reviewed that and updated their 11 12 reports going forward from that. So there isn't an updated CTR. 13 There is the CTR that was prepared that did not get into 23-14 08(1)'s record; it was in the PUD record. 15 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: So, meanwhile -- you know what? It 16 didn't get in there. Let's get it in there, and then you can 17 explain all that with the updates and all that. Put that in. 18 That's all we need. When you come to the hearing, that's all we 19 need is CTR information with the updates. We don't need to talk 20 about IZ. We don't need to talk about commercial versus -- we 21 don't want to hear any of that. We just want the CTR. 22 MR. BROWN: Very well. 23 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Put it in this record. everything you need to do concerning the CTR with the updates and 24

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
Court Reporting and Litigation Support
Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia
410-766-HUNT (4868)
1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

everything in this record this time.

25

1	MR. BROWN: Well, now all the updates are already in						
2	the record. Now, I can refile them so that they're in one						
3	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Refile them so they're all in one						
4	place.						
5	MR. BROWN: I can do that. I can do that.						
6	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. All right. Okay. Ms.						
7	Schellin, how fast can you're going to have it into us by						
8	tomorrow morning?						
9	MR. BROWN: If my secretary doesn't quit, I'll have it						
10	in to you tonight.						
11	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Well, I don't well, hopefully she						
12	stays at least to tonight.						
13	MR. BROWN: She's here. She's here.						
14	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Good. Well, don't quit,						
15	secretary.						
16	MR. BROWN: No.						
17	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: So, Ms. Schellin, could let's						
18	come up with some dates, sooner than later.						
19	MS. SCHELLIN: Okay. So we just need to do a minimum						
20	of 14 days, so we can get that e-mailed well, I have to ask						
21	OZLD when they can get me a notice to e-mail out.						
22	MS. LOVICK: I'll do it now.						
23	MS. SCHELLIN: Okay. So we should be able to send that						
24	out tomorrow, and so the hearing could happen as early as						
25	actually, we have it looks like June 30th is open. There's						

1	no hearing on June 30th.							
2	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: So let's do it June 30th. Is							
3	everybody good for June 30th?							
4	MR. BROWN: Yes.							
5	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: (Indicates thumbs up.)							
6	COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: (Indicates thumbs up.)							
7	VICE CHAIR MILLER: (Indicates thumbs up.)							
8	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. That's a regular what day							
9	is that on?							
10	MS. LOVICK: You mean July or you mean June 30th? Oh,							
11	you want to do it in June? Oh.							
12	MS. SCHELLIN: Well, if you do the hearing notice							
13	if you're doing it now, I don't normally work on Friday, but							
14	I I mean, I say I don't normally. That's a lie. I work on							
15	Fridays a lot, so I'll just have to make time to do that I							
16	keep having issues with my video and send that notice out							
17	tomorrow. And then, like I said, the earliest it could happened							
18	would be the 27th of June, and it just so happens June 30th is							
19	I have nothing on the agenda for June 30th.							
20	MS. LOVICK: I guess the only problem is that both							
21	Dennis and I are out of the office the week prior, so, like, we							
22	can't there's I can't prepare neither of us can prepare							
23	a report for June 30th. We can't do June 30th.							
24	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: That's all right. We'll be fine.							
25	COMMISSIONER STIDHAM: Chairman Hood, I'm out I'm							

1	not available on June 30th.							
2	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: You're not available June 30th.							
3	Okay. What other dates we have? Are you available on the 29th?							
4	No, that's a Sunday. Ms. Schellin, what other dates do we have							
5	in June? If not, let's move to the first							
6	MS. SCHELLIN: We have none in June. And in July we							
7	will have to double up or do an off day.							
8	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Is anybody doing anything July the							
9	4th?							
10	MS. SCHELLIN: Yeah. Well, I don't matter, so y'all							
11	can do it.							
12	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: What about the 1st? No, no, not the							
13	1st. What about the 2nd? Does July 2nd work with everybody							
14	for everybody?							
15	COMMISSIONER STIDHAM: I can do the 2nd.							
16	COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: I can do the 2nd.							
17	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Commissioner Imamura, can you							
18	do the 2nd, that Wednesday?							
19	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: (Indicates thumbs up.)							
20	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: And whoever has BZA, we just have							
21	to push they may not even have a hearing that day.							
22	VICE CHAIR MILLER: I think that's me.							
23	MS. SCHELLIN: I think they don't have one that day.							
24	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Well, you push them. Push							
25	them. All right. So let's							

1	MR. BROWN: July 2nd?
2	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: July 2nd.
3	MR. BROWN: Four p.m.?
4	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Four p.m. And, Mr. Smith let me
5	go to Mr. Smith you have any issue? Well, July 2nd is the
6	day we're going to do fulfill that request about the CTR and
7	the CTR only. Any issues on that?
8	MR. SMITH: I don't I don't see any issue, Mr.
9	Chairman. I think that I think that date will work fine.
10	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Good. All right. Ms.
11	Schellin, do we so do we have everything in order, like dates?
12	MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, except so just to clarify, we'll
13	just say no later than three p.m. tomorrow Mr. Brown will
14	upload have that updated CTR in the record and copies e-mailed
15	to the parties.
16	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Ms. Lovick.
17	MS. LOVICK: Oh, and I was just going to and then
18	the parties would have seven would they have seven days to
19	respond to file a submission in response?
20	MS. SCHELLIN: That is correct. If they want to file
21	a written response, they may do that, seven days.
22	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: All right. So, again, it looks like
23	we're all set. CTR; CTR only. My colleagues have anything else?
24	(No response.)
25	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: All right. I want to thank everyone

1	for their a	ttention in	this	matter,	and, wi	th that,	this mee	ting
2	is adjourne	d. Have a n	ice e	evening.				
3	(	Whereupon,	the	above-er	ntitled	public	meeting	was
4	adjourned a	t 5:58 p.m.)						
5								
6								
7								
8								
9								
10								
11								
12								
13								
14								
15								
16								
17								
18								
19								
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								

## CERTIFICATION

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Public Meeting

Before: DC Zoning Commission

Date: 06-12-25

Place: Webex Videoconference

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Deborah B. Gauthier

Deborah B. Sauthier