GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

ZONING COMMISSION

+ + + + +

REGULAR PUBLIC HEARING

+ + + + +

THURSDAY

MARCH 13, 2025

+ + + + +

The Public Hearing of the District of Columbia Board of Zoning Adjustment convened via teleconference, pursuant to notice at 4:00 p.m. EDT, Anthony J. Hood, Chairperson, presiding.

ZONING COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT:

ANTHONY J. HOOD, Chairperson ROBERT E. MILLER, Vice Chair JOSEPH IMAMURA, Commissioner GWEN WRIGHT, Commissioner

ZONING COMMISSIONER STAFF PRESENT:

SHARON SCHELLIN, Secretary PAUL YOUNG/ A/V Operations

ZONING COMMISSION LEGAL DIVISION PRESENT:

HILLARY LOVICK, ESQUIRE DENNIS LIU, ESQUIRE JACOB RITTING, ESQUIRE

The transcript constitutes the minutes from the Regular Public Hearing held on March 13, 2025.

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

2 (4:00 p.m.)

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. We are convening and broadcasting this public meeting by videoconferencing. My name is Anthony Hood and I'm joined by Vice Chair Miller, Commissioners Wright and Imamura. We are also joined by the Office of Zoning staff, Ms. Sharon Schellin as well as our Office of Zoning Legal Division, Mr. Dennis Liu, Ms. Hillary Lovick and Mr. Jacob Ritting. We will ask all others to introduce themselves at the appropriate time, if needed.

Copies of today's meeting agenda are available on the Office of Zoning's website. Please be advised this proceeding is being recorded by a court reporter. It is also webcast live via Webex and YouTube Live. The video will be available on the Office of Zoning's website after the meeting. Accordingly, all those listening on Webex or by phone will be muted during the hearing.

For hearing action items, the only documents before us this evening are the application, the ANC setdown report and the Office of Planning report. All other documents in the record will be reviewed at the time of the hearing. We do not take any public testimony at our meetings unless the Commission requests otherwise. If you experience difficulty accessing Webex or with your phone call-in, then please call our OZ hotline number at 202-727-0789 for Webex login or call-in instructions.

1	To begin, I'm making sure I did this, but I'm joined
2	by Commissioner Imamura, Commissioner Wright, I think I did that,
3	and Vice Chair Miller. I'm sure I did that. Sometimes you
4	forget, but anyway, especially when you try to go off the top of
5	your head which is dangerous.
6	Okay. At this time does the staff have any preliminary
7	matters?
8	MS. SCHELLIN: Just to say that staff has received
9	absentee ballots from Commissioner Stidham, who is not present.
10	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Thank you.
11	And, Ms. Schellin, could you help me remember the
12	second case. (Indiscernible) case, 24-01, where Commissioner
13	Imamura did not participate.
14	MS. SCHELLIN: Yes.
15	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: All right.
16	Let's go to our first case. Let's go to modification
17	without hearing Zoning Commission Case No. 88-02A 7K 2401, LLC
18	PUD modification without a hearing at Square 26. I think it's
19	Square 26.
20	Ms. Schellin?
21	MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, sir.
22	So on October 21st the Applicant requested modification
23	to an approved PUD to convert the originally approved office use
24	on the second through fourth floors to residential use. The
25	Applicant states that due to the current office economic climate

and District housing and affordable housing goals, it 1 proposing to convert the three office floors to residential use. 2 At Exhibit 5 there's an OP report recommending approval of the 3 application and ANC 2A has not submitted a report as you know 4 recently they've been unable to submit reports because they do 5 6 not have a quorum at this time. 7 So the requisite 35 days since the application was 8 filed has elapsed and if the Commission chooses to move forward, 9 this case is ready for final action. 10 Thank you. 11 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you, Ms. Schellin. Again, ANC 12 2A noted the Zoning Commission is pulling for you to get a 13 commissioner so you all can have a quorum and do your area's 14 business. 15 So let me first of all ask any of my colleagues, does 16 anyone believe this could not be a modification without a hearing? 17 Any objections to proceeding in that manner? 18 (No response.) 19 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. All right. 20 Let me first go to Commissioner Wright. 21 COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Thank you. definitely 22 Yes. I think this is а pretty 23 straightforward case which is the reason why I think it's a modification without a hearing kind of case because there are 24 25 minimal exterior changes to the existing building. It does

convert several floors to residential use which actually in our 1 2. city's need for more housing is actually I think a positive thing to happen and, again, they did provide some architectural plans 3 showing the very minor changes to windows and to balcony railings 4 and a few other small exterior changes. 5 6 But really other than that the change to this PUD is a 7 use change and the use change is I think appropriate and in 8 keeping with the city's comprehensive plan and our general goals 9 of providing more housing. So I think this is pretty 10 straightforward and a pretty positive project. CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you, Commissioner Wright. 11 12 COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I agree with everything that Commissioner Wright stated 13 14 to include that this can be heard as a modification without a hearing, only because I don't think that we need witness testimony 15 16 to understand modification here. It is a really creative solution 17 I think to the problem of vacant office space. 18 So really delighted to see this come forward and 19 prepared to vote for final action in support. 20 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you. And Vice Chair Miller? 21 22 VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 23 I concur with the comments of Commissioners Yes.

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
Court Reporting and Litigation Support
Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia
410-766-HUNT (4868)
1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I would agree with everything I

Wright and Imamura and prepared to move forward.

24

25

1	heard, but I will tell you I wasn't always there, but I really
2	had to rely on the Office of Planning's report to get myself to
3	realize, as my colleagues have already mentioned. This is
4	definitely a good use and it goes with our goals.
5	I appreciate Commissioner Wright and Commissioner
6	Imamura mentioning that. So with that, I'm prepared to move
7	forward.
8	Okay. Any other comments?
9	(No response.)
10	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: All right. Commissioner Wright,
11	would you like to make a motion, please?
12	COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: I would be glad to.
13	I would like to move approval of Zoning Commission Case
14	No. 88-02A 7K 2401, LLC PUD modification without a hearing at
15	Square 26.
16	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Second.
17	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. It's been moved and properly
18	seconded. Any further discussion? Not hearing any, Ms. Schellin,
19	would you do a roll call vote, please.
20	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Wright?
21	COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Yes.
22	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Imamura?
23	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Yes.
24	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Hood?
25	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yes.

MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Miller?

VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Yes.

MS. SCHELLIN: And I have an absentee ballot from Commissioner Stidham, approved with such conditions as the Commission may impose. So the vote is five to zero to zero to approve final action in Case No. in 88-02A, and I would ask that the Applicant provide an order within two weeks.

Thank you.

2.4

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you.

Let's move to our next case. Final action Zoning Commission Case No. 24-01 Howard University map amendment, Square 3060, 3064, 3065 and 3074, 3075 and 3078. Ms. Schellin, let the record reflect Commissioner Imamura will not be participating on this one.

Ms. Schellin?

MS. SCHELLIN: Correct. So the status since the December 16th, 2024 public hearing, the Commission took proposed action to approve the case at the hearing and since then the case was referred to NCPC and a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking was published in the D.C. Register on January 31st, 2025. No responses were received to the proposed rulemaking, however NCPC staff filed a letter at Exhibit 41 stating that the proposal falls under an exception listed that this case is exempt from NCPC review.

So this case is ready for final action if the Commission

chooses to move in that direction and once again, I do have an 1 2. absentee ballot from Commissioner Stidham. 3 Thank you. CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you, Ms. Schellin. 4 Vice Chair Miller, you want to start us off on this 5 6 one, please? 7 VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. Thank you, Mr. 8 Chairman, and thank you, Ms. Schellin, for that summary of our 9 hearing and proposed action on this case on December 16th. 10 This is up for final action. This is a map amendment that Howard University is asking for for some of its properties 11 and also I think the Florida Avenue Baptist Church property that's 12 13 adjacent along the lower Georgia Avenue corridor. A map amendment 14 from RA-2 and PDR zones to a mixed use 9B and mixed 10 zone. 15 Our standard of review for map amendment cases is the 16 consistency with the comprehensive plan and the comprehensive plan future land use map calls for medium and high density 17 18 residential and I think moderate commercial. I'm not sure about 19 that but I think it was moderate commercial and institutional and 20 I think the proposed --MR. RITTING: Medium commercial. 21 22 VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Medium commercial. So it's 23 high residential, medium and medium commercial, and institutional? 2.4 Some of them had some institutional. 25 MR. RITTING:

VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Yeah. So different parts have different designations. Thank you, Mr. Ritting, for that clarification.

So I think the proposed zoning is much more consistent with the future land use map and other housing policies that call for housing and commercial office and institutional uses in this neighborhood. The PDR zone doesn't even allow for residential development. So this is consistent with the comp plan. It's consistent with the small area plan for this area, the other elements of the comprehensive plan and the Howard University campus plan.

Most of the housing would be for students or staff and administrators of Howard which would free up housing in the adjacent neighborhoods. The Office of Planning has recommended IZ Plus designation, inclusionary zoning plus designation for this map amendment due to the planning area I believe not meeting the housing, affordable housing goals set forth by the Mayor, as well as other housing policies in the comprehensive plan.

So I'm prepared to move forward. So we had testimony from ANC 1B at the hearing. ANC 1E, also an affected ANC was in support. Office of Planning is in support. There was some opposition which I think we addressed at proposed rulemaking and the ANC and OP rebutted as well.

So I'm prepared to move forward tonight with final action on this case and that's it, Mr. Chairman.

1 Thank you. CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you, Vice Chair. 2 Commissioner Wright? 3 COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Yes. I'm also in support of this 4 5 application and I think it is in accord with the comp plan and 6 the future land use map. 7 One of the things that we did note at our first meeting 8 on this is that Howard had done a very, very good job of reaching 9 out to many people in the community. There was a lot of support. 10 There were one or two people who were not in support but really both ANCs were in support and the Le Droit Park Civic Association 11 12 was in support, and we complimented them and I will compliment 13 Howard again. I think they've done a very good job on community 14 outreach. 15 So I think this is definitely a case I'm ready to vote in favor of and I note, as Vice Chair Miller did, that we're 16 17 agreeing with OP in saying IZ Plus should apply. 18 So, thank you. 19 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you. 20 I would agree with both of my colleagues and the only 21 thing I would add is I'm hoping, and I know the Office of Zoning's probably going to look at me and say Anthony Hood has lost his 22

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
Court Reporting and Litigation Support
Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia
410-766-HUNT (4868)
1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

mind, but I think unfortunately the wrong news is always

circulated better than the right news and we keep getting out

there about this rulemaking versus contested case. We need to

23

24

25

kill that.

The Commission has the discretion and we have certain things that we have to look at and it'll be good for those, if you really want to understand how that's done and how we look at it as a Commission, you can come to the 101 class. He said the 101, Ms. Schellin? I think they have, we have a 101 class. Whatever class did the Office of Zoning, you can reach out to the Office of Zoning at 202-727-6311 and they will help you understand how we get there because I'm starting to see more and more and more of that, the rulemaking case versus the contested case and trust us, either way we're going to hear from the public. We've always done it.

I think if you look back at the cases we have always done it and I appreciate Commissioner Wright bringing up the engagement because Howard has always pretty much done a great job with making sure that whatever they're proposing is communicated through the community.

So with that, I don't have anything else to add and I'm going to ask Vice Chair Miller, would you make the motion, please.

VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Yes, and I thank my colleagues for supplementing my comments with the community engagement and other comments that you made which I meant to emphasize as well, but sometimes it's good to leave out things so other people can mention.

So I would move that the Zoning Commission take final

1	action on Zoning Commission Case No. 24-01 Howard University map
2	amendment at the Squares that were cited by the Chairman when he
3	called this case. I don't want to repeat or make a mistake about
4	that, 24-01, I would move for final action, with the IZ Plus,
5	the map amendment with the IZ Plus designation and ask for a
6	second.
7	COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Second.
8	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: It's been moved and properly
9	seconded. Any further discussion?
10	(No response.)
11	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Not hearing anything, Ms. Schellin,
12	would you do a roll call vote with the absentee ballot as well,
13	please.
14	MS. SCHELLIN: yes, sir.
15	Commissioner Miller?
16	VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Yes.
17	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Wright?
18	COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Yes.
19	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Hood?
20	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yes.
21	MS. SCHELLIN: And I have an absentee ballot from
22	Commissioner Stidham to approve with such conditions as the
0.0	
23	Commission may impose. Staff will record the vote four to zero
23	Commission may impose. Staff will record the vote four to zero to one, the minus one being Commissioner Imamura who did not

1	Thank you, and that's it for that case. Thank you.
2	(Pause.)
3	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Mr. Chairman, you're on mute.
4	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you, Commissioner Imamura.
5	I've got to repeat all that again. Well, I'm going to do exactly
6	what I just said as Commissioner Imamura comes back up.
7	Let's go to Zoning Commission Case No. 04-14H Florida
8	Rock Properties, Incorporated, PUD modification with hearing at
9	Square 708.
10	Ms. Schellin.
11	MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, sir.
12	Since the January 23rd, 2025 hearing, the Applicant
13	submitted some documents relating to the PUD proffers and
14	conditions process. They submitted some updated plans and a
15	draft order. Those are located at Exhibits 28 through 32. ANC
16	6/8F provided a report at Exhibit 30 stating that it supports the
17	application and their report listed no issues or concerns, and
18	that is at Exhibit 30. So this case too is ready for the
19	Commission to deliberate on final action if it chooses to do so.
20	Thank you.
21	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you, Ms. Schellin.
22	Commissioner Imamura, can you get us started, please?
23	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Yes, Mr. Chairman. Thank you
24	for the opportunity to do so.
25	So we met, as Ms. Schellin stated, January 23rd to hear

this case and I think in general we all were in agreement and thought favorably of the project, and what it will bring to the neighborhood. We did have some concerns about the waterfront setback but that was sufficiently addressed by the Applicant. We also had some concerns about the bike parking that the Applicant had also addressed and did confirm that they're providing the required amount.

2.

2.2

I think Commissioner Wright had asked them the type of questions about project phasing which the Applicant also sufficiently addressed. The Applicant also agreed to provide as a revised condition requiring itself to commence construction on the final phase within the time required by the regulations. They did also agree to continue their outreach with the ANC which Ms. Schellin had mentioned that the ANC 6/8F had provided a report in the record in support.

And we had asked them also, had asked the Applicant to comply with the PUD proffers and conditions which they had provided a list at Exhibit 28, and they also provided an updated set of plans for us to review, and with that, Mr. Chairman, I am prepared to vote in support. I think this was pretty straightforward and I don't think I have anything more to add and defer to my colleagues should they want to add anything else.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you, Commissioner Imamura.

I think that's a great wrap-up. I know when it comes to me I don't nave anything to add but let me hear what others

have to say.

Commissioner Wright?

COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Yeah. I don't have anything to add except, you know, to note that this is a very, very large and complex project that has a lot of history. We've been looking at it for a while. I've only been on the Commission since October and I think this is the third meeting where we've had a case regarding this property.

You know, I think that they are moving forward in a way that's realistic, given the, you know, the economy. They have some excellent landscaping that they've proposed. They're including, you know, creative way of doing a dog park. Ultimately, I thought that some of their solutions were just very, very creative. It's a very prominent location, you know, looking across the river seeing what will be some very important buildings and, you know, I think they will be a great entry point for the city.

So I am, any questions I had had have been answered and I am in support of this application.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Vice Chair Miller?

VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Yes. I concur with the comments of Commissioners Imamura and Wright and also would note that this project has been around, I mean this site has been around for a long time and hopefully this will, this PUD will result in positive development

1	on the site and for the surrounding neighborhood. It's a large
2	project. I think it's almost 600 residential units and there's
3	inclusionary zoning affordable housing that I think exceeds the
4	minimum requirements not by enough, never by enough but slightly
5	by enough. So I'm prepared to move forward.
6	Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
7	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay.
8	Any further discussion? Not hearing, Ms. Schellin?
9	No. Somebody like to make a motion? Who went first?
10	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: I'll make the motion.
11	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Commissioner Imamura. Okay.
12	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Sure. All right. Thank you,
13	Mr. Chairman.
14	I move that the Zoning Commission take final action for
15	Case No. 04-14H Florida Rock Properties, Inc., a PUD modification
16	with hearing at Square 708 and ask for a second.
17	COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: I'm glad to second that.
18	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Moved and properly seconded. Any
19	further discussion?
20	(Pause.)
21	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Not hearing any, Ms. Schellin, would
22	you do a roll call vote, please.
23	MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, sir.
24	Commissioner Imamura?
25	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Yes.

1	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Wright?
2	COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Yes.
3	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Hood?
4	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yes.
5	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Miller?
6	VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Yes.
7	MS. SCHELLIN: And I have an absentee ballot from
8	Commissioner Stidham, again, approved with such conditions as the
9	Commission may impose. So the vote is five to zero to zero to
10	approve final action on Zoning Commission Case No. 04-14H.
11	Thank you.
12	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: So I, let me just say this. I almost
13	made it without saying how long this case has been around. I
14	tried hard. That's why I was forgetting things because this was
15	one of the first cases that I, I didn't want to say it. That's
16	why I (indiscernible) to Commissioner Imamura, then I heard
17	Commissioner Wright say how long, and then the Vice Chair.
18	But this is one of the first cases I was with and,
19	because I knew I was going to get into this long story that I
20	didn't need to get into but I'm going to get into it anyway since
21	I waited. I tried hard not to, it's almost like wanting some
22	candy and then you try hard and say no, I'm not going to get it
23	and then you go get it.
24	I tried hard not to say this, but Ann Hill Plenners
25	(phonetic), Herb Franklin, John Parsons, Jerrily Kress and

1	myself, when I first got on the Commission this case was here
2	and the vote was two two and I think I broke the tie to keep
3	Florida Rock going. So anyway, I tried hard not to say that, so
4	I said it, got it out of my system. Let's go to the next case.
5	Thank you.
6	All right. And I'm sure someone
7	MS. SCHELLIN: That's the famous time extension case,
8	Chair Hood, remember why they're limited.
9	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Oh, yeah, yeah. Oh, okay.
10	MS. SCHELLIN: Herb Franklin.
11	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Herb Franklin.
12	MS. SCHELLIN: And John Parsons, so they were tired of
13	time extensions lasting for 20 years.
14	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yeah. And that was 20 years in '98
15	so you know now.
16	MS. SCHELLIN: This case pre-dates me too, yes.
17	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: All right. Let's go to hearing
18	action. Zoning Commission Case 24-16 MR 1401 15th Street Retail
19	LLC, map amendment at Square 210.
20	Mr. Bradford.
21	MR. BRADFORD: Good evening, Chairman Hood and members
22	of the Commission. For the record this is Philip Bradford,
23	Development Review Specialist with the Office of Planning.
24	The Applicant proposes a map amendment to re-zone the
25	property located at 1405 15th Street, Northwest from the RA-5

zone to the ARTS-3 zone. The site is occupied by the Grace Reformed Church which is a historic landmark in part of the Greater 14th Street historic district. Next slide, please.

2.

The comprehensive plan map identifies the site as a mixed designation appropriate for medium density residential and moderate density commercial uses while the generalized policy map identifies the site as a neighborhood conservation area. The proposed project would not be in conflict with either of these designations.

The proposed map amendment furthers various comprehensive plan policies contained within the land use, transportation, environmental protection, economic development, and historic preservation elements and the near Northwest area element. When viewed through a racial equity lens, the proposed action should not result in displacement of tenants or residents or have any impact on housing and does not result in any negative changes to the physical environment.

The map amendment results in a down zoning as the ARTS-3 zone has a lower FAR than the RA-5 and therefore does not meet the applicability requirements for IZ Plus. Therefore, OP does not recommend applying IZ Plus with this map amendment. The property also has limited potential for new housing due to its historic status.

Therefore, OP is recommending the Commission set down for public hearing the proposed map amendment, and I am available

for any questions.

2 Thank you.

2.4

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you, Mr. Bradford. I know we may have some questions but let me see. Who would like to go first if you have any questions, or do we have any?

Commissioner Wright?

COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Yeah. Thank you.

I think that one of the things that I want to sort of make a point about is that historic preservation and housing are not at odds with each other and that there are many historic buildings that have been converted to housing.

Now, I don't know if that's the plan for this particular property or not but, you know, I do want to, I'm a big fan of historic preservation and I do want to sort of just note that historic buildings can have creative additions put on. They can create very interesting opportunities for housing. So that's just a general comment.

You know, it sounds to me that there is a focus however in the proposed zone ARTS-3 for different kinds of mixed use and other kinds of uses in that housing is not the primary use in an ARTS-3 zone which, you know, I think is fine. This is an area that has a lot of different kinds of uses and I think could, definitely this building could be converted into something very creative.

I understand what the Office of Planning is saying

about why IZ Plus isn't appropriate. I do think about that a little bit because I know this is a part of the city where we need more affordable housing, where housing prices have gone up a great deal in recent history and that affordable housing is at a premium. I understand it's a down zoning so it doesn't meet the criteria for IZ Plus but, you know, I just think there might be a little more discussion about that or a little more dialog about that when we actually do have the hearing.

I fully believe this case is ready to be set down. No question about that and, you know, my comments are just sort of some general initial thinking, and that was all I was going to say.

Thank you.

2.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Thank you. Any other comments, questions, Commissioners? Okay.

Vice Chair Miller?

VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank you, Mr. Bradford, from the Office of Planning report and recommendation to set down this case, and thank you, Commissioner Wright, for your comments which I concur with.

So to re-zone this site that has the former Grace, the vacant Grace Reformed Church property from RA-5 to ARTS-3 and although we know that re-zoning map amendments are, the primary standard of review is consistency with the comprehensive plan which I think this is consistent with as your report notes. We

know that there is, in a statement of the Applicant that there's a project being contemplated that would have, that the ARTS-3 zone would facilitate that the current zone does not facilitate and that would be I think, I think it's an eating and drinking establishment in the church, the historic landmark church property whose density would be limited and whose renovation alterations might be limited as well by that designation and then a residential I think in the Parrish house.

2.

My question, well correct me if I said anything wrong and if, the ARTS-3 zone would permit retail or eating and drinking establishment, including an eating and drinking establishment used as on the site which wouldn't currently be permitted. Is it cy matter-of-right or is it by special exception, or is it under certain conditions, do you know? I don't need to know that now. We can go into that at the hearing in terms of what the nuances are or what types of conditions are applicable. I support the setdown of this case because of its consistency with the comprehensive plan and because it will facilitate a vacant property being adaptively re-used.

But if you have an answer to my question or my comment at this time, feel free to make it, Mr. Bradford, or we can wait your OP comments at the public hearing which I support having.

MR. BRADFORD: The ARTS-3 would allow eating and drinking or other commercial uses by-right in this location, so that was correct.

1 VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you. 2 I thought I'd seen some conflicting information from the Applicant on that point and then I didn't quite understand 3 4 So thank you. I did see that in your report. Thank you. 5 Okay. Commissioner Imamura, you 6 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: 7 have any questions? 8 COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 9 Just one question and one comment. I agree with Vice 10 Chair Miller and Commissioner Wright, and just want to underscore 11 Commissioner Wright's comments about the adaptive re-use of 12 historic structures or historic buildings. In fact in December of 2023 the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation issued a 13 14 policy statement just to underscore the nexus between housing and historic preservation and so I'm pleased to see this, that the 15 16 idea for this adaptive re-use and creative solution for this 17 historic building. 18 And forgive me, Mr. Bradford, if you have already 19 mentioned this and perhaps I didn't hear this but just want to 20 confirm if there is or is not any opposition to this map 21 amendment? At this time OP is not aware of any 22 MR. BRADFORD: 23 opposition from the community or ANC. COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: All right. Terrific. 24 25 That's all that I have, Mr. Chairman. Again, Mr.

1	Bradford, thank you for the work you do on behalf of the residents
2	of D.C. and that's (indiscernible).
3	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I too want to thank you Mr. Bradford.
4	We appreciate your report and I'm looking forward to setting this
5	down and having a hearing as we move forward.
6	So thank you, Mr. Bradford.
7	Colleagues, you heard the request about this particular
8	car and setting it down. Would somebody like to make a motion?
9	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Happy to make the motion, Mr.
10	Chairman.
11	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you.
12	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Let me just scroll back up to
13	the top there.
14	So I move that the Zoning Commission set down Case No.
15	24-16 MR 1401 15th Street Retail, LLC map amendment from RA-5 to
16	ARTS-3, 1401 to 1405 15th Street and Grace Reformed Church Square
17	210, and ask for a second.
18	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I'll second it. It's been moved and
19	properly seconded. Any further discussion? Not hearing any, Ms.
20	Schellin, would you do a roll call vote, please.
21	MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, sir.
22	Commissioner Imamura?
23	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Yes.
24	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Hood?
25	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yes.

1	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Miller?
2	VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Yes.
3	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Wright?
4	COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Yes.
5	MS. SCHELLIN: And that leaves me with Commissioner
6	Stidham. Again I have an absentee ballot from her to approve
7	with any such conditions as the Commission may impose. The vote
8	is five to zero to zero to approve Zoning Commission Case No.
9	24-16 as a contested case.
10	Thank you.
11	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you, Ms. Schellin.
12	Do we have anything else?
13	MS. SCHELLIN: No, sir.
14	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: So let me ask my colleagues one
15	quick question. On the DDOTs (phonetic), did you all have a case
16	titled TMP1336 and the case name is Daisy (phonetic)?
17	VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Yes.
18	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I just wanted to make sure
19	MS. SCHELLIN: It's a test case. It was a test, you
20	have to know, we have to use funny names or else we'll think it's
21	real.
22	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. All right.
23	MS. SCHELLIN: It's a test case. I had to use my dog's
24	name. Sorry.
25	VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: I wanted to know who Daisy

1	was too.
2	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I was trying to
3	MS. SCHELLIN: You knew who Daisy was or you wondered?
4	VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: I wondered if it was your
5	Daisy.
6	MS. SCHELLIN: It was my Daisy, yes.
7	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. I got you. I got you. Okay.
8	Well, thank you for the clarification because I thought I missed
9	a case.
10	The next time the Zoning Commission will meet again
11	will be March the 17th. I see Daisy's on there too but we will
12	have our Zoning Commission Case No. 24-21, D.C. Department of
13	General Services on behalf of D.C. Department of Corrections and
14	we'll meet on these same platforms at 4 p.m.
15	So with that I want to thank everyone for their
16	participation in this meeting, and you all have a great weekend.
17	Good night.
18	VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you.
19	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the
20	record at 4:39 p.m.)
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATION

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Public Hearing

Before: DC OZ

Date: 03-13-25

Place: Videoconferencing

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Barrington Moxie