GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

ZONING COMMISSION

+ + + + +

REGULAR PUBLIC HEARING CASE NO. 24-17

+ + + + +

THURSDAY

MARCH 6, 2025

+ + + + +

The Public Hearing of the District of Columbia Zoning Commission convened via teleconference, pursuant to notice at 4:00 p.m. EDT, Anthony J. Hood, Chairperson, presiding.

ZONING COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT:

ANTHONY J. HOOD, Chairperson ROBERT E. MILLER, Vice Chair JOSEPH IMAMURA, Commissioner GWEN WRIGHT, Commissioner TAMMY STIDHAM, Commissioner

OFFICE OF ZONING STAFF PRESENT:

PAUL YOUNG, Zoning Data Specialist SHARON S. SCHELLIN, Secretary

OFFICE OF ZONING LEGAL DIVISION STAFF PRESENT:

JACOB RITTING, Esquire

ALSO PRESENT:

CRYSTAL MYERS, DC Office of Planning
ERKIN OZBERK, DC Department of Transportation
ZACHARY G. WILLIAMS, ESQUIRE, Venable, Applicant's Counsel
DANIEL SOLOMON, Traffic Engineer, Gorove Slade
JOSHUA VACCA, Architect, SmithGroup
DAFENG CAI, SmithGroup
THOMAS MORRISON, Vice President, Capital Planning and
Facilities, Indiana University
CARY KADLECEK, ESQUIRE, Johns Hopkins University

The transcript constitutes the minutes from the Regular Public Hearing held on March 6, 2025.

T-A-B-L-E O-F C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S

Case No. 24-17 Indiana University Foundation, Inc.	
Introduction - Chairman Hood	4
Preliminary Matters	7
Mr. Solomon	9 11 15 17
Commissioner Wright	19 21 22 22 23
DDOT Report - Mr. Ozberk	24
Office of Planning Report - Ms. Myers	25
Summary of ANC 2B Report - Vice Chair Miller	27

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

2 (4:00 p.m.)

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. We are convening and broadcasting this public hearing by videoconferencing. My name is Anthony Hood, and I am joined by Vice Chair Miller, Commissioner Stidham, Commissioner Imamura, and Commissioner Wright. I'm also joined by the Office of Zoning staff, Ms. Sharon Schelling, as well as our Office of Zoning Legal Division, Mr. Jacob Ritting, and Mr. Paul Young, who will be handling all of our virtual operations. I will ask all others to introduce themselves at the appropriate time.

The virtual public hearing notice is available on the Office of Zoning's website. This proceeding is being recorded by a court reporter and is also webcast live via Webex and YouTube Live. The video will be available on the Office of Zoning's website after the hearing. Accordingly, all those listening on Webex or by phone will be muted during the hearing and only those who have signed up to testify will be unmuted at the appropriate time. When called, please state your name before providing your testimony. When you are finished speaking, please mute your audio. If you experience difficulty accessing Webex or with your telephone call-in, then please call our OZ Hotline number at 202-727-0789 to receive Webex log-in or call-in instructions or if you have not signed up to testify. All persons planning to testify should have signed up in advance and will be called by

name at the appropriate time. At the time of sign-up, all participants will complete the oath or affirmation required by Subtitle Z-408.7. If you wish to file written testimony or additional supporting documents during the hearing, then please be prepared to describe and discuss it at the time of your request when submitting.

The subject of this evening's hearing is Zoning Commission Case Number 24-17, Indiana University Foundation, Inc., Campus Plan, Johns Hopkins University -- sorry about that. Johns Hopkins University at Square 1207, Lot 0047, and Square 181, Lot 850, 1619 Massachusetts Avenue, Northwest. Again, today's date is March 6th, 2025, and I'm sure they'll explain the Indiana University Foundation with the Johns Hopkins University Campus Plan, so they'll get us straight on all that.

Okay. The hearing will be conducted in accordance with the provisions of 11-Z DCMR, Chapter 4, as follows: Preliminary matters; the applicant's case. The applicant has up to 60 minutes. When I look at the record, I believe we can hit the highlights -- hit the specific important parts and just hit the highlights, and I think we can be at a better advantage, if we move in that fashion. Also, we have a report of the Office of Planning, a report of the Department of Transportation, and report of other government agencies, report of the ANC -- in this case, it's ANC 2B, and Mr. Sprowls I believe has been permitted to testify on behalf of the ANC -- testimony of organizations and

individuals, each having -- organizations having five minutes and individuals having three minutes, respectively. And we'll hear in the order of support, opposition, or undeclared. And then we'll have rebuttal and closing by the applicant. At this time, this Commission will consider any preliminary matters. Does the staff have any preliminary matters?

2.

MS. SCHELLIN: Just very quickly. Staff did speak with the applicant regarding typical direction when there's no opposition, and they are agreeable to -- and they'll come to the table and tell you where they are with that, and if the Commission wants to hear presentation or not, you can let them know. But, with that, they do have a couple of expert witnesses; Daniel Solomon in transportation. He's previously been accepted, so if the Commission would accept him in this case. And then we'll move on to the other two, who I -- we did not find our list as being previously accepted, so --

17 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Any objections to Mr. Solomon?

(No response.)

19 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Let's keep moving. Let's go
20 to --

MS. SCHELLIN: So the other two, Josh Vacca in architecture -- his resume's at Exhibit 15A, as in apple; and then Lynden Garland, Jr., he's being proffered also in architecture. And I don't know, since they're both from the same group, if it's one or the other, but his resume is also at 15A,

one at page one and the other one, page six.

2.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: So what we normally do -- and I'm looking to the expertise on the Commission on this -- what we normally do is one architect, unless somebody's taking the lead on something else, but let's bring up their legal counsel first for verification.

MS. SCHELLIN: Mr. Williams.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yeah, let's bring up Mr. Williams.

I think I saw Mr. Williams yesterday. Good afternoon, Mr.

Williams.

MR. WILLIAMS: Good afternoon, Chairman Hill (sic) and members of the Commission. My name is Zach Williams with Venable. I am representing the applicant today.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: So I know you don't practice in front of us that much, and I know you're used to seeing Chairman Hill. Chairman Hill does not look as good as Chairman Hood, so -- no, I'm just playing. So let me ask, which one is taking the lead or are they both a different type of discipline.

MR. WILLIAMS: They're with the same group. I believe Josh Vacca will be on. He'll be the lead today.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. So, typically, colleagues, unless I hear otherwise -- and I'm looking at Commissioner Imamura and others -- we just could probably give one expert status, unless you all see it differently, since he's going to take the lead, but let me -- let me hear what you all have to

say. Let me -- Commissioner Imamura, about Vacca or both of 1 2. them? COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 3 I'm in agreement with you. We only need one expert witness here, 4 5 and I'm comfortable with Mr. Vacca's resume, as presented. 6 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Any other -- Commissioner 7 Wright. 8 COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: I'm also happy to have Mr. Vacca 9 identified as an expert witness. 10 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. And Commissioner Stidham. 11 COMMISSIONER STIDHAM: Yes, I agree with your 12 recommendation also. CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. And Vice Chair Miller. 13 14 VICE CHAIR MILLER: I agree also. 15 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Mr. Williams, we're going to 16 move in that fashion. Nothing against Mr. Garland, but we don't 17 just hand out expert status, and we'll go with Mr. Vacca today. 18 Anything else, Ms. Schellin? 19 MS. SCHELLIN: Just very briefly. As you stated, ANC 20 2B did submit a report at Exhibit 16 in support. They have that. 21 That's 2B. The OP hearing report is at Exhibit 19, recommending 22 approval with two conditions regarding student enrollment not to 23 exceed 200 students on a daily basis and that the Campus Plan be for a period of ten years. And Exhibit 18 is the DDOT report, 24

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
Court Reporting and Litigation Support
Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia
410-766-HUNT (4868)
1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

having no objection, as long as the applicant implements a TDM

25 l

Plan for the life of the project. And then through the OP report, DOEE made a submission at Exhibit 19, through -- like I said, through the OP report, and you'll see that at page 15, basically, praising the applicant for reusing an existing building, and you can read that paragraph there. So, other than that, staff has nothing further. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Schellin. Mr. Williams, you've heard what I've asked previously. If you all could just hit the highlights. I don't believe there's any opposition, but we'll wait and see how it goes. If you can hit the highlights, talk about the important issues, and then we'll go from there. It should not take no more than 10 minutes or 15 minutes. And I don't want -- don't proceed as you're rushing, so you have 60 minutes, but it should not take no more than 10 or 15 minutes, and I'll turn it over to you.

MR. WILLIAMS: Sure. Thank you. And, first, I'd like to hand it over to Tom Morrison, who's representing Indiana University, to do a brief introduction of the project, and then we'll walk through the application. Tom.

DR. MORRISON: Good afternoon. My name is Tom Morrison, and I am -- serve as Vice President for Capital Planning and Facilities at Indiana University. Our pleasure to be with you today and to just give you a slight overview of the -- very quick overview of the project.

Indiana University for decades has had a presence in

Washington, DC, offering academic programs for our students who are maybe taking classes, maybe interning on the Hill or with other entities within Washington, and it has been immensely successful over the years, but we have -- we have been challenged by space. We have been the beneficiaries of people who have allowed us to borrow classrooms and conference rooms and their offices over the years, and while we have often had small office space within the District, we desired a more permanent presence for our programs in Washington, DC, and so two years ago we began the effort to try to seek if there was an opportunity for us to purchase a building within the District that we could utilize for academic programs, again, think classrooms, think small seminar rooms, things like that.

2.

But the other challenge that we were having was, one, being able to locate our faculty there when we needed to, in terms of the offices, but a bigger issue was finding opportunities for our students to live on a very short-term basis, so think students who would be coming for an internship or a specific period of study; might six weeks, might be a month, could be a semester, in terms of four months. And so we were looking for an opportunity where we could also establish a dormitory-style of living, and so this project gave us the opportunity to do that.

We are very excited as an institution. Indiana University is a university of just under 100,000 students, over

nine campuses across the state of Indiana. This facility would
be proposed to be used by students on all of our campuses who,
again, might be in academic programs in Washington, DC. Location
for us is ideal. It is close to Dupont Circle. It's close to
Metro, close to restaurants, and very much a pedestrian-accessed
facility. We do not foresee that our students will be big users
of bringing vehicles. Students would be coming for a very short
term, and while the building does have some parking below it, we
don't we see this as an opportunity where students will come
live for a short period of time, take advantage of academic
programs. It also gives us the opportunity to do limited meetings
and seminars within Washington, DC, think faculty coming who
would do a session for folks locally who might be alums or
interested in what we are able to provide. With that, I will
I will stop there and not get into the specifics of the project,
itself, but I am glad to answer any questions, and I'll turn it
back to Zach.

MR. WILLIAMS: Great. Thank you, Tom. And I do have a presentation I can go through expeditiously. Mr. Young, could we bring that up to the screen?

(PowerPoint presentation shared on screen,)

MR. WILLIAMS: Great. Thank you. We can go to the next slide please. So this application is for a new Campus Plan at 1619 Massachusetts Avenue, as was alluded to earlier when the case was called. There's an existing Campus Plan from Johns

Hopkins University on this site.

Next slide please. This is the existing building on the site where the Johns Hopkins SAIS Graduate School operated since the mid-1980s, and they obtained a Campus Plan from the BZA in the 1980s. That process later was transferred over to the Zoning Commission, which is why we're here today. And they operated there continuously until recently, when they went under contract with Indiana University for Indiana U to take over.

Now, because there's a new university and we are adding some dorms, the Zoning Administrator -- we met with the Zoning Administrator, and we were instructed that we will need a new Campus Plan. So this is a brand new Campus Plan. It's not an amended or a modified version of the prior one; it's a brand new one. And when and if this is approved, the Johns Hopkins Plan will go away. It was previously covering this and another site, 1740 Mass Ave, which Johns Hopkins just sold to the Polish Embassy, so this Campus Plan from Johns Hopkins will go away entirely once the Indiana University plan is approved, just to explain sort of the mechanics of the way this is all working.

Next slide please. So I just went over the history of the site and the prior Johns Hopkins Campus Plan. You can go to the next slide. Now, we are in the MU-2/DC zone, so university uses are required with a special exception here, and they're subject to the Campus Plan provisions; hence, why we are before you today. As I mentioned, the Indiana University Campus Plan

will go into the existing eight-story building. It's an adaptive reuse project. No addition or exterior work is proposed. The building will be adapted to Indiana's use, and we'll be adding two levels of dormitories on the sixth and seventh floors of the building, which will accommodate 34 -- 35 to 40 students. Everything else will stay the same, in terms of density, lot occupancy, height; all of that is staying exactly as is.

2.

Next slide. I'll go through these very quickly now, and if there's any questions, just let me know. This is the ground floor level, which will be repurposed to include a multipurpose room and entry and reception area.

Next slide. There will be classrooms on L2, which is similar to what exists today for Johns Hopkins. Next slide. Offices on L3. Next slide. And L4 as well. Next slide. L5 will have offices and classrooms.

Next slide please. This is where the new dormitories will be going in. This is sort of the most substantial change of the building is adding these dormitories, which did not exist previously with Johns Hopkins. We'll get into a little bit of why we think this is appropriate and shouldn't create any adverse impacts on the community, number one being that the building has inoperable windows and will continue to have inoperable windows, so that is one aspect which should not create issues for the nearby residents and neighbors.

Next slide please. Just a typical dorm room layout.

Next slide. Typical -- L8 will have conference and office space. Next slide please. Here's some renderings. We'll go through these quickly, just to give you a sense of how the new space will look. Next slide please. Thank you. Next slide. Next slide. So this is the exterior of the building, which, as I said, will stay the same. Obviously, the Johns Hopkins branding will be replaced with Indiana University branding. Other than that, the building is not going to change. It's actually a historic modernist building from the 1960s, and it will stay as is on the exterior.

Next slide please. Just another rendering of the multipurpose room. Next slide. Another rendering of the multipurpose room. Next slide please. Here's a typical dormitory. Next slide. A typical common space within the dorm area. Next slide please. Typical restroom, if folks are interested. Obviously, all of the restroom areas will be updated. That is one of the things that will change on every floor. There will be new restroom areas.

Next slide please. This is the parking area. There will be 22 parking spaces. One of the existing spaces will be converted to new long-term bike parking spaces, and there will be as well -- there will be 38 parking spaces as well on the site for bicycles. And, as we'll get into, DDOT is in support of the project with a TDM, which Mr. Solomon can talk a little bit more bout. Next slide please. I'm going to hand over to Mr.

Solomon -- Daniel to address the transportation and DDOT discussions.

2.

MR. SOLOMON: Thanks, Zach. Good afternoon, Commissioners. For the record, I'm Daniel Solomon, Principal with Gorove Slade. We've been working with Indiana University, the project team, and DDOT related to the transportation aspects of the Indiana University DC Campus Master Plan. I'm going to touch on those highlights and of our review in coordination with DDOT.

Next slide please. The project site is situated in the Dupont Circle neighborhood and is bounded to the north by a public alley, to the south by Massachusetts Avenue, to the east by the Philippine Embassy building, and to the west by a private alley. It's located within a half-mile of the Dupont Circle and Farragut North Metrorail stations to the north and southwest, respectively. There are existing bus stops near site along P street. 16th Street, Massachusetts Avenue, and Connecticut Avenue. The project has access to several on and off-street bicycle facilities, including one block away on 17th Street. There are five Capital Bikeshare stations located within a quarter-mile of this site.

Next slide please. On this site, we show anticipated vehicle circulation in orange and loading circulation in purple. Five existing surface parking spaces will be replaced by a loading zone along the northern side of the building, off the public

Twenty-two vehicular parking spaces located in the alley. existing below-grade garage will be reserved for faculty and staff parking, with an entrance along the northern side of the All vehicular and loading access will be by the existing public alley. Anticipated bicycle circulation is shown in green and pedestrian circulation is shown in blue. eight existing short-term bicycle parking spaces will be preserved along Massachusetts Avenue, and eight long-term bicycle parking spaces will replace one of the existing vehicular parking spaces in the below-grade garage. The number of long-term and short-term bicycle parking spaces or exceed meet requirements, and DDOT is supportive of the amount of bicycle parking being provided by the plan.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Next slide please. Here I've listed the highlights of the Transportation Demand Management Plan. It includes many of the typical components expected of such a project. We believe the TDM Plan is appropriate for this type of project and helps encourage non-single-occupancy vehicle trips non-auto trips, in general. DDOT finds the TDM Plan to be sufficient with the condition that the applicant also commit to enrolling in the Capital BikeShare University Membership Program and distribute marketing materials to students to promote the discounted Capital BikeShare membership, which the applicant has agreed to do.

Next slide please. Our study concluded that the site will not have a detrimental impact on the surrounding

transportation network and will support the existing wellconnected network of transit, bicycle, and pedestrian facilities by meeting or exceeding the amount of long and short-term bicycle parking spaces that are required, accommodating loading on site and providing a robust TDM Plan. We have coordinated with DDOT during the review, and we're pleased to have their support in the form of a no-objection staff report. As mentioned previously, DDOT's support did include the conditions which required the applicant to update the TDM Plan to include enrollment in the Capital BikeShare University Membership Program, as well as distributing marketing materials to promote that program, as well as implementing the revised TDM Plan for the life of the project, which the applicant has agreed to do for both items. At this time, we believe we have addressed all DDOT comments and concerns. That concludes my testimony, and I'll be available to answer any questions. Thank you. So next slide.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WILLIAMS: Great. So we'll wrap up just with going through the Campus Plan Approval Standards, which are the typical special exception standards that we're familiar with, that, first, the use should not become objectionable to neighboring property for noise, traffic, parking, students, other conditions. As I mentioned, this is an adaptive reuse project. The Campus — University Campus is replacing University Campus Use and no exterior changes are proposed. We meet the parking requirements. It's not expected, as you heard from Mr. Morrison, for students

to have vehicles. While we are adding dorms, the windows of those -- of the building will remain inoperable, which should mitigate any concern of noise. And students will be governed by the Indiana University Code of Conduct as though they are on the main campus. The second major standard is that the application should be in harmony with the general purpose and intent of the zoning regulations and zoning maps, and we meet this requirement as well. University uses are permitted in this zone, and we are not -- with a special exception, and we are not asking for any other zoning relief, so everything about this project is as a matter of right. No other variances or relief are requested.

2.

Next slide. The record in our filings as well as in the Office of Planning report goes through the Comprehensive Plan analysis. In short, the proposed Campus Plan is not inconsistent with the goals and policies of the Comprehensive Plan. And here you can see we've identified a number of elements that this Campus Plan meets, and there's further analysis in the record on each of those.

Next slide please. Finally, we have ANC 2B and Agency support. We met with ANC 2B twice, received unanimous approval at their February meeting. We also toured the facility with our ANC Commissioner this site, 2B04. Office of Planning recommends approval, and, as you already heard, DDOT has no objection to the application. And that concludes our presentation, and we're here and available for questions. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you, Mr. Williams, and to the team. We appreciate all the -- I appreciate, and I'm sure my colleagues do too, all the work that's been done. It's pretty straightforward for me, and I appreciate the presentation. Let's see if we have any questions or comments. Let me start off with Commissioner Imamura first, and then I'll come to Commissioner Wright.

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: All right. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I appreciate it and echo your comments. Mr. Williams, thank you for the succinct presentation. Mr. Solomon, Dr. Morrison, thank you as well, and Mr. Vacca too. Just a couple questions. Mr. Solomon, this is just for you. You might have mentioned this, and forgive me if I missed it, but I just wanted to confirm that for the bicycle parking, that there will be a charging station for e-bikes. That might be for Mr. Vacca. Either one of you.

MR. SOLOMON: Josh, do you have --

MR. VACCA: There can be, yes. Hello. Sorry. I guess I should introduce myself. I am Joshua Vacca with Smith Group Architects. I'm a registered architect in the District of Columbia and responsible charge of the project. We are still somewhat early in the design of the technical documents of the project, but if that is a requirement, that can be provided.

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: I think that you should probably provide at least a charging station.

MR. VACCA: Sure.

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: So it is a create design solution for adaptive reuse, so I was pleased to see that. In general, I don't really have many questions or comments. I just wanted to confirm -- I was delighted to actually hear that Dr. Morrison had mentioned it sounds like at least students that are coming to the Hill for either an internship or for a short semester. I was one of those many years ago. I lived First and D street, so I know how important it is to find housing for a student, and this certainly is a really nice location and a really great opportunity for your students. So I think, with that, I guess I'm curious if both floors, I guess six and seven -- it shows eight single, six double-occupancy rooms -- if both floor are co-ed or -- that wasn't really articulated.

DR. MORRISON: Yeah, I'm happy to answer. Thank you for your comments. The floors are being designed to be co-ed, because they'll be private rooms, and the restrooms will accommodate both genders. And so we -- in university life, most of our residence halls now are co-ed, and even co-ed on the same floor. We've been able to -- and that's really out of student demand, and we've found it's very easy to do, so this -- the rooms will be a combination of singles and doubles. Some of that's by size, and some of that will be by choice. And so I hope that answers your question.

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: It does. Thank you, Dr.

Morrison. And I guess this is a question for Mr. Vacca. I guess at some point I imagine there may be up to 35 or 40 that might be fully occupied, and I only seem to see -- and I might have missed it in the plans -- only one kitchenette. Is that right or is there a kitchenette on each floor, six and seven both?

2.

MR. VACCA: Each floor, yes. What Mr. Williams showed was just one typical floorplan, so there are two floors like that.

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: All right. Very good. I just want to make sure that there's enough space for some students who maybe use the kitchenette there. So, otherwise, I think the layout and program requirements here, arrangement of spaces seems pretty straightforward, as the Chairman said, and I think it's actually a really great design solution you put together and a really fantastic historic building there. So, with that, Mr. Chairman, I have no other questions. Again, thank you, Dr. Morrison, for providing this opportunity for your students --your future students.

DR. MORRISON: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you. Commissioner Wright, any questions or comments?

COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Yeah. I have no questions. My only comments are I think this is a fantastic project. It's a great adaptive reuse of a modernist building. It's a perfect location for this use. I think it will help continue to enliven

and invigorate the Dupont Circle neighborhood. And I have actually no -- nothing negative to say. I just think it's a great project, and I'm so glad Indiana University is choosing to locate here.

DR. MORRISON: Thank you.

2.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Commissioner Stidham, any questions or comments?

COMMISSIONER STIDHAM: No questions and to echo my fellow Commissioners, I appreciate the way that you are adaptively -- excuse me -- reusing the building and have found a way to fit your program into the existing structure. I wish more looked at adaptive reuse over new construction, and I do -- I agree it's a great project, so thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you. And Vice Chair Miller.

VICE CHAIR MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank you to Indiana University for your presentation here late this afternoon and for your permanent investment in our city, even though you've been here you said for many decades, I guess, a Semester in Washington or Washington Program for your students, but that's great. I also did a Semester in Washington Program, even though I was from Washington. It's mostly because my then girlfriend, now wife of 47 years, was here doing something similar and I wanted to be with here, but it's a valuable experience, the governmental public service type of experience that I gained during that time and have continued in public service since then.

So I wish you luck in completing the adaptive reuse, which looks very well done, so thank you. 2. DR. MORRISON: Thank you. 3 Vice Chair Miller, I'm glad that 4 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: 5 your comments went the way they did, and I'll just leave it at 6 I too want to thank Indiana University and -- thank you for taking the time and the team for taking the time and you've 7 8 done. I can't say anything more than what my colleagues have 9 already said, so I won't belabor it. We're going to keep on

DR. MORRISON: Thank you.

Great job, so thank you.

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

moving.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Ms. Schellin, do we have a representative from ANC 2B here to do cross-examination?

MS. SCHELLIN: Let me check. That was Mr. --

15 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Sprowls I think. I think that's how 16 you pronounce that.

MS. SCHELLIN: Wait, let me refresh. I do not see a rep from the ANC.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. So when we get to the ANC, I'm going to ask the Vice Chair if he could read their -- not the whole thing, but just give us the bottom line of their vote when we get to that point. Now, Ms. Schellin, do we have anyone -- any other government agencies here, besides DDOT -- besides DDOT --

MS. SCHELLIN: I see DDOT. DDOT is here, and, of

course, OP, and other than that, no.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Well, let's bring up DDOT I always know we got either DDOT or OP. I'm talking first. about other government agencies.

> They're a given. MS. SCHELLIN:

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Who is our representative from DDOT?

MR. OZBERK: Good evening. Can folks hear me?

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yeah, go right ahead. I see you now. Go ahead.

MR. OZBERK: Good evening, Chairman Hood and members of the Commission. For the record, my name is Erkin Ozberk with the District Department of Transportation. DDOT is supportive of the applicant's proposal to establish a Campus Plan at 1619 Massachusetts Avenue Northwest. In our February 21st, 2025 report, which is in the record as Exhibit 18, DDOT recommended approval on the condition that the applicant commit to enrolling in the Capital BikeShare University Membership Program as part of their Transportation Demand Management Plan, which the applicant has agreed to. So we look forward to continuing to work with the application on any future improvements to the streetscape fronting 1619 Massachusetts Avenue through the public space permitting process. That's it. Thank you, and I'd be happy to answer any questions.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Mr. Ozberk, have you been in front 25 of us before?

1	MR. OZBERK: I have. It's been a little while. You
2	asked me that then too, and you gave a nice warm welcome when I
3	was here before, so I'm happy to be here.
4	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Well, I guess it's been a long time
5	since the last time I seen you. I wasn't I couldn't remember,
6	but if I gave you the warm welcome, we'll just keep that welcome
7	back then, but glad to see you again.
8	MR. OZBERK: Thank you.
9	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Let's see if my colleagues have any
10	questions or comments. And, again, thank you for your report.
11	Commissioner Imamura is saying no. Commissioner Wright, she's
12	saying no. Vice Chair Miller, I don't see oh, there you are.
13	How did you move on my screen? Okay, there you go.
14	VICE CHAIR MILLER: No comments. Thank you for your
15	report.
16	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Oh, and Commissioner Stidham.
17	COMMISSIONER STIDHAM: No comments. Thank you for your
18	report.
19	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Mr. Ozberk, thank you for your
20	report. Let's see if the applicant Mr. Williams, you have any
21	questions of DDOT?
22	MR. WILLIAMS: We do not. Thank you.
23	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Don't make it be so long, Mr.
24	Ozberk, so I don't ask you the same question next time you come,
25	so thank you. Take care. Appreciate your report. All right.

Ms. Schellin, let's go to the Office of Planning, Ms. Myers.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. MYERS: Hello, Commissioners. The Office of Planning recommends approval of the Indiana University Campus Plan with the conditions stated in the OP report. This is the first Campus Plan for Indiana University campus. It will allow them to establish a campus in the District and to reuse the existing building at 1619 Massachusetts Avenue Northwest, which has a long history of being used as a campus building.

The Comprehensive Plan's Future Land Use Map identifies location for high-density residential use, Generalized Policy Map identifies it for neighborhood conservation. This Campus Plan would not be inconsistent with either of these designations. The Campus Plan also complies with the Campus Plan special exception criteria in the zoning regulations. When evaluated through a racial equity lens, the plan would also not be inconsistent with this Comprehensive Plan. This location is within the Near Northwest Planning Area, which is a planning area where the largest demographic group is White. The area's Black, White, and Asian groups have a lower median income than the Districtwide median for those respective groups. This Campus Plan would provide employment opportunities to residents and housing to students. The student housing should help to relieve student demand on the District's housing supply. And, with that, I will conclude the OP presentation for this Campus Plan, but, of course, here for questions. Thank you.

1	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you, Ms. Myers. We always
2	appreciate your report. Very well done. Let's see, Commissioner
3	Imamura? Okay, no. Commissioner Wright, no. Vice Chair Miller.
4	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Thank you, Ms. Myers, for your OP
5	report.
6	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: And Commissioner Stidham.
7	COMMISSIONER STIDHAM: No questions. Thank you for
8	your report.
9	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I don't have any questions as well.
10	Ms. Myers let's see, Mr. Williams, any questions of the Office
11	of Planning?
12	MR. WILLIAMS: No questions. Thank you, Ms. Myers.
13	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Again, thank you, Ms. Myers.
14	We appreciate it always. Have a great evening. Vice Chair
15	Miller, are you do you have the ANC report? Can you give us
16	the roundabout?
17	VICE CHAIR MILLER: I'll give you a quick summary, Mr.
18	Chairman. Yes, at Exhibit 16 we have a letter, as Ms. Schellin
19	I think mentioned, from dated February 13th to you, Mr.
20	Chairman, from ANC 2B from the Chairman of ANC 2B, Zachary
21	Adams, saying stating that they supported they took a vote
22	to support unanimously the by an eight to zero vote, this
23	Campus Plan application, adaptive reuse of 1619 Massachusetts
24	Avenue by Indiana University. They are in support, ANC 2B.
25	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Thank you. All right. Thank

you for doing that. Ms. Schellin, do we have anyone who's here 2. in support, opposition, or undeclared? MS. SCHELLIN: The only person I see would be Cary 3 4 Kadlecek, and I don't know whether he's actually here to testify 5 or not, but he is on the witness list. 6 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Well, let's bring him up. 7 MS. SCHELLIN: And let me check one other -- other than 8 that, everybody else was part of the witness -- I'm sorry -- the 9 applicant's team, so we are good. 10 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. So we will see Mr. Kadlecek. MS. SCHELLIN: In all categories, I should say. 11 12 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. All right. Mr. Kadlecek, you 13 want to introduce yourself? You may begin. 14 MR. KADLECEK: Hi, Commissioners. Cary Kadlecek. I'm land use counsel for Johns Hopkins, which is the property owner. 15 16 I'm not here to testify. I was just here in the event that any 17 questions came up on behalf of Johns Hopkins as the property 18 owner. 19 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. All right. Well, thank you for clarifying that. We appreciate it. Any questions of Johns 20 21 Hopkins? 22 (No response.) CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. All right. Thank you, Mr. 23 Kadlecek. All right. Mr. Williams, do you have any closing? I 24 l 25 know you don't -- I don't believe you have any rebuttal. Ι

shouldn't say I know you don't, but do you have any rebuttal or 1 2. any closing? MR. WILLIAMS: Nothing further from us. 3 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: All right. Thank you all. Again, 4 5 we appreciate your -- all the work you're doing -- what you will 6 be doing in this area and the way you all have done the re-7 adaptive use. And I'm sure my colleagues -- you've heard our 8 comments previously. I don't want to be redundant. So, with 9 that, colleagues, I think this is really -- we can really just 10 take this off our agenda and go ahead and move forward with this, but let me hear what others have to say, and, if not -- if you 11 12 don't have anything else to say, then if somebody can give us a 13 motion. 14 COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: I'm happy to make the motion, Mr. Chairman. 15 16 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. 17 COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Sure. So I move that the Zoning 18 Commission approve Case Number 24-17, Indiana University 19 Foundation, Inc., Campus Plan, 1619 -- at 1619 Massachusetts 20 Avenue Northwest at Square 181, Lot 850; that's a Campus Plan 21 that is good for a period of ten years, and ask for a second. 2.2 COMMISSIONER STIDHAM: Second. 23 COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: I'll second it. 24 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Great. It's been moved and 25 properly --

1	COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Two seconds.
2	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Two seconds, so it's doubled.
3	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: It's a great motion.
4	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: It's been moved and properly
5	seconded. Any further discussion?
6	(No response.)
7	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Not hearing any, Ms. Schellin, would
8	you do a roll call vote please?
9	MS. SCHELLIN: That was like a tie for that second, so
10	let's see who I think may have gotten this one in of the second
11	first, and I think I heard Commissioner Imamura make the motion.
12	Commissioner Imamura.
13	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Yes.
14	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Stidham.
15	(No response.)
16	MS. SCHELLIN: Doing the roll call. Can you
17	COMMISSIONER STIDHAM: Yes. Sorry.
18	MS. SCHELLIN: Sorry. Commissioner Hood.
19	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yes. Yes.
20	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Wright.
21	COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Yes.
22	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Miller.
23	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yes.
24	MS. SCHELLIN: Staff records the vote five to zero to
25	zero to approve final action in Zoning Commission Case Number 24-

17. And, Chairman Hood, may we ask the applicant for a draft order within two weeks, and is that going -- since there is no opposition, can they provide a summary order?

2.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yes, a summary order would be fine.

MS. SCHELLIN: Okay. Great. Thank you. Nothing further from staff.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. I'm going to say something and I probably shouldn't, because I'm going to jinx it -- jinx us, but, you know, I was thinking, as Mr. Williams mentioned about how BZA used to -- and I'm sure Vice Chair Miller was involved with some of this when they switched from the BZA to the Zoning Commission, but I think over the time that I've been around, that Campus Plans -- and this is one of our -- this area of Johns Hopkins previously, they're one of our better Campus Plans -- but I think the city, as a whole, has gotten a lot better with Campus Plans and working with the community. And I was debating on whether or not to say that, because I didn't want to jinx us, but I really do.

I've watched how these hearings used to take -- not this one, in particular, but others used to take three and four hearing, five hours per hearing, and now we're getting down to maybe an hour at the most. And I'm not just talking about this case, but period. So you all -- you all call me on that if I jinx myself. You all call me on that. So, with that, I thank again Mr. Williams and the team, Mr. Morrison and others.

1	Appreciate all the work that you all have will be doing and
2	continue to do for the city.
3	The Zoning Commission will meet again Ms. Schellin,
4	make sure I'm right March the 13th, and that's our regular
5	meeting.
6	MS. SCHELLIN: (Nods head affirmatively.)
7	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: And we'll be on these same platforms
8	at four p.m. So, with that, I want to thank everyone for their
9	participation tonight, and this hearing is adjourned. Good night
10	and have a great weekend.
11	(Whereupon, the above-entitled hearing was adjourned
12	at 4:45 p.m.)
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATION

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Public Hearing - Case No. 24-17

Before: DC Zoning Commission

Date: 03-06-25

Place: Webex Videoconference

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Deborah B. Gauthier

Deborah B. Sauthier