# GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

ZONING COMMISSION

+ + + + +

REGULAR PUBLIC HEARING CASE NO. 24-10

+ + + + +

THURSDAY

FEBRUARY 20, 2025

+ + + + +

The Public Hearing of the District of Columbia Zoning Commission convened via teleconference, pursuant to notice at 4:00 p.m. EDT, Anthony J. Hood, Chairperson, presiding.

### ZONING COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT:

ANTHONY J. HOOD, Chairperson ROBERT E. MILLER, Vice Chair JOSEPH IMAMURA, Commissioner GWEN WRIGHT, Commissioner TAMMY STIDHAM, Commissioner

## OFFICE OF ZONING STAFF PRESENT:

PAUL YOUNG, Zoning Data Specialist ELLA ACKERMAN, Assistant Secretary

OFFICE OF ZONING LEGAL DIVISION STAFF PRESENT:

HILLARY LOVICK, Esquire

OFFICE OF PLANNING STAFF PRESENT:

CRYSTAL MYERS

The transcript constitutes the minutes from the Regular Public Hearing held on February 20, 2025.

## T-A-B-L-E O-F C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S

Case No. 24-10 DC Office of Planning:
Introduction - Chairman Hood
Preliminary Matters - Waiver Request
Applicant's Presentation - Crystal Myers, OP10
Questions/Comments by Commissioners
Commissioner Imamura 14
Commissioner Wright19
Commissioner Stidham 20
Vice Chair Miller 21
Chairman Hood23
Roll Call Vote

#### P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

2 (4:00 p.m.)

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. We are convening and broadcasting this public hearing by videoconferencing. My name is Anthony Hood. Joining me are Vice Chair Miller, as well as Commissioners Wright, Stidham, and We're also joined by the Office of Zoning staff, Ms. Imamura. Ella Ackerman, who's our Assistant Secretary, and Mr. Paul Young, who will be handling all of our virtual operations. Also, from our Office of Zoning Legal Division, we have Ms. Hillary Lovick. I will ask all others to introduce themselves at the appropriate time.

Copies of today's virtual public hearing notice are available on the Office of Zoning's website. Please be advised that this proceeding is being recorded by a court reporter and is also webcast live via Webex and YouTube Live. The video will be available on the Office of Zoning's website after the hearing. Accordingly, all those listening on Webex or by phone will be muted during the hearing, and only those who have signed up to participate or testify will be unmuted at the appropriate time.

Please state your name before providing oral testimony on your presentation. Oral presentations should be limited to a summary of your most important points. When you are finished speaking, please mute your audio so that your microphone is no longer picking up sound or background noise. If you experience

difficulty accessing Webex or with your telephone call-in, then please call our OZ Hotline number at 202-727-0789 to receive Webex log-in or call-in instructions or if you need assistance to sign up to testify.

2.

All persons planning to testify either in favor, opposition, or undeclared must sign up in advance and will be called by name at the appropriate time. If you wish to file written testimony or additional supporting documents during the hearing, then please request that the submission be entered into the record and be prepared to describe it at the time of your testimony.

The subject of this evening's hearing is Text and Map Amendments to create a new Pennsylvania Avenue East Neighborhood Zone use, NMU-5A/PAE, a new Subtitle H, Chapter 11, and amend Subtitle W, Chapter 1, Squares 5553, Lots 1, 4, 5, 26 through 28, 809 and 810; Square 5556, Lots 29, 38, 39, 61, 62 and 823 through 826; Square 5559, Lots 35 and 806; Square 5560, Lots 39, 40 through 46, 52, 55 and 814 through 817; Square 5579, Lots 63, 64, 57, 806 and 807; and Square 5581, Lot 804. Again, today's date is February 20th, 2025.

The hearing will be conducted in accordance with the provisions of 11-Z DCMR, Chapter 5 (sic), as follows: preliminary matters; presentation by the petitioner -- in this case, the Office of Planning -- report of other government agencies; report of the ANC -- in this case, we have ANC 7B and 8A -- testimony

of organizations and individuals. Organizations will have five minutes, individuals will have three minutes, respectively. Then we will hear in the order from those in support, opposition, or undeclared. While the Commission reserves the right to put time limits for presentations, if necessary, it intends to adhere to the time limits as strictly as possible and notes that no time shall be ceded.

2.

At this time, the Commission will consider any preliminary matters. Ms. Ackerman, do we have any preliminary matters?

MS. ACKERMAN: Yes, we do. So since setdown on July 25th, we have received the OP report, which is in support, at Exhibit 7. This will be presented by Crystal Myers, and she plans to take 10 to 15 minutes. There's a DDOT report at Exhibit 8. They stated they have no objection to the requested text and map amendments. And ANC 7B submitted a resolution at Exhibit 10. They are in support as well. Lastly, the Office of Planning submitted a waiver request at Exhibit 9. OP stated that it failed to post properly to the property because it would have been impractical, given the number of properties involved and that most of them are privately owned. The Commission should rule on the waiver requested. And there are no other preliminary matters tonight.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you, Ms. Ackerman. Let me start of first by talking about the waiver request and the

property not being posted. Typically, that is usually requested at setdown, and typically we grant it. This is always a touch and go for me. I believe that with the record and the ANCs being involved with this and many charrettes and the many conversations that have been had. I'm -- I am truly fine with going forward. Again, it's always a touch and go. Somebody will show up, didn't know about it. We can't always dot all the I's in situations like this, but I believe -- I would hope -- I think moving forward would not do any harm or prejudice anyone, because I believe there's been plenty of discussion, but let me hear what other's thoughts are, because there are a number of things that we can do here. Let me hear first from maybe Commissioner Imamura, if you can start us off please.

2.

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I'm in agreement with you. I think there's been ample involvement and I'm comfortable with granting the waiver.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Commissioner Wright.

COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: I am comfortable with granting the waiver, because there has been a great deal of outreach. I will note that other applicants -- for example, Georgetown University -- have posted notices for activities that involved very large geographic areas, the -- several blocks of the campus, so it is possible to do. And, you know, I think that in future cases, really, the city and the Office of Planning should look into the kind of posting that other applicants like Georgetown

have done. In this case, because there has been a great deal of outreach and they listed very clearly all the community meetings that have been held, I'm willing to vote for the waiver, but I really think that this should not be the rule; it should be the exception.

2.

MS. ACKERMAN: I just wanted to make a note quick that there is no one signed up to testify in support or opposition for the case tonight.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Ackerman. All right. Thank you, Commissioner Wright. I'm trying to figure out the nexus, but that's a good one. Thank you. Maybe that's telling us something different. All right. Commissioner Stidham, any comments on that?

COMMISSIONER STIDHAM: No. I tend to agree with granting the waiver with the amount of -- (coughs) -- I'm sorry -- outreach that OP has done in the community over a number of events.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. And Vice Chair Miller.

VICE CHAIR MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Yes, I agree that -- I support the waiver request, and I agree with all of the comments of my colleagues, but particularly Commissioner Wright. I think the city should make some effort to post, you know, at intersections or in public space, since it's private properties that are involved. The city should abide by the rules that we ask private and nonprofit applicants to abide by. It

should make some effort in the future, so I would join in that request for the future.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. And I think -- I think we can have this discussion about that -- we can move forward today, but let's have this discussion, because I'm trying to remember have they ever. To my knowledge, they have never -- I've been around awhile -- and there was a reason why they have never, but let's put that in the parking lot. The parking lot's getting full -and let's have that -- revisit that discussion. Every so many years, it's always good to either refresh our memories or understand exactly why they're not doing it and maybe revisit decisions that have been made previously. I have no problems with that. So great discussion. Looking forward to that discussion. I would ask Ms. Ackerman to let Ms. Schellin know that we need to have that discussion please. Okay. All right. Let's bring up the Office of Planning. And I am having a problem with my files. One second. Ms. Myers,

MS. LOVICK: Sorry. Excuse me please.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yes.

MS. LOVICK: Hi. Can we just get an agreement by consensus or take on a vote on that waiver please before --

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Oh, we didn't it. Thank you. Can we do general consensus? Thank you, Ms. Lovick. General consensus. General consensus, Ms. Lovick. Thank you for -- I just assumed, but I didn't record it, so that's been a general

consensus with the discussion that we're going to have later, Ms.

Ackerman, as we have requested. Okay. By general consensus, we

will move forward. Okay. Ms. Myers. Good afternoon. You may

begin.

MS. MYERS: Good afternoon, Commissioners. Crystal Myers with the Office of Planning. The Office of Planning proposes a zoning text and related map amendment for the new Pennsylvania Avenue East neighborhood mixed-use zone, which would also be known as MMU-5A/PAE.

Next slide please. The zone is proposed for properties generally fronting on Pennsylvania Avenue Southeast between Fairlawn Avenue Southeast and 27th Street Southeast. These properties are currently zones MU-4, which is a low-density zone.

Next slide please. During the 2021 Comprehensive Plan update, community members and other stakeholders requested for a Small Area Plan to be done for the area. The Pennsylvania Avenue East Plan was completed in 2022 and approved on February 7th, 2023. The plan recommends for a new zone to be created for the eastern end of the corridor. The proposed text and map amendment for the new zones are the next steps towards implementing the Comprehensive Plan and the Pennsylvania Avenue East Small Area Plan's vision for the eastern end of the Pennsylvania Avenue East Corridor. Thanks.

Next slide please. The proposed text and map amendment would not be inconsistent with either the Generalized Policy Map

or with the Future Land Use Map designations. The Generalized Policy Map recommends Main Street Mixed-Use Corridor. The Future Land Use Map recommends moderate density commercial land uses in these areas. This Future Land Use Map recommendation changed in the 2021 Comprehensive Plan update from the previous low-density commercial recommendation. This was a change requested by the Ward 7 Economic Development Council to encourage more development in the area. The proposed text and map amendment would allow the additional density and height needed to encourage moderate density development.

2.

Next slide please. The new zone would be a neighborhood mixed-use zone based on the MU-5A zone. It would allow moderate-density mixed-use development with generally ground floor retail and residential uses above. There are many neighborhood mixed-use zones in the District. I think one of the more recent ones is the Chevy Chase one that you all have been working on.

Next slide please. The new zone's height would be up to 70 feet with IZ and up to 4.2 FAR with IZ. It includes a 0.5 FAR density bonus option for a grocery store. This could help to implement the Small Area Plan's strong recommendation for a grocery store in the area. The zone also includes a stepback requirement to protect adjacent low-density residential properties in the R and RF zones.

Next slide please. Some other things just to highlight area that the ground floor commercial uses would be required in

the new buildings, and the new zone would include some of the design guidelines from the Small Area Plan that improve pedestrian experience on Pennsylvania Avenue.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Next slide please. Oh, and I should note that IZ Plus is recommended in this new zone. So changes since setdown; when we last saw you, there's been just a couple of changes that we've made since then, one being that we streamlined the text for the grocery store bonus by referring to the grocery store definition in Subtitle B. Another one is that we removed the addition of the entertainment and arts use in the NMU-Use Group B Matter of Right section because that use group is already permitted in the neighborhood mixed-use zones.

Next slide please. The Pennsylvania Avenue East/Southeast Corridor is within the far northeast and southeast In this planning area, over 90 percent of the planning area. residents are black, and the median income is roughly half that of the District. This planning area has experienced many years of disinvestment, poverty, and unemployment. It has also not received the same level of investment as other parts of the District. The additional density proposed in the new zone could bring more residents to the area, which could help support existing local businesses and attract more services to the area. Displacement pressures on existing businesses may occur from the proposed text and map amendment. This could be mitigated through recommendations in the Small Area Plan, such as financial and

technical assistance programs that are not within the purview of Zoning. In addition, the new zone would require providing ground floor retail space, which could accommodate existing businesses and provide opportunities for larger or better spaces.

2.

Next slide please. There has been extensive community outreach related to the creation of the new zone. Most recently, OP presented and attended ANC 7B's full Commission meeting last month. ANC 7B voted to support the new zone, and their letter of support is in the record. Previously, OP attended and presented at ANC community meetings last summer and spring. OP also conducted extensive community outreach for the Pennsylvania Avenue Southeast Small Area Plan between April 2021 and July 2022. The outreach centered on engaging with residents, ANCs, local businesses, and community organizations through various online and in-person activities.

The community has expressed support for affordable housing and mixed-use development and having a neighborhood grocery store. That's some of the feedback we've received. There's also been a strong interest in seeing pedestrian improvements along Pennsylvania Avenue Southeast. Some residents and business owners have expressed a desire for this process to move expeditiously. The want to see the zone in place, so that the expected improvements to the corridor can occur as soon as possible. And, with that, I will conclude the OP's testimony, but, of course, here for questions. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you, Ms. Myers. We appreciate your report and the Office of Planning's work. You went straight to the report. You got us the information we needed and did a great report, so thank you. Let's first see if we have any questions or comments. Commissioner Imamura, and then I'll come to Commissioner Wright.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Appreciate it. Thank you, Ms. Myers, for your succinct report. I do have a couple questions and would just like your perspective a little bit. Appreciate the outreach that OP has done, certainly, providing a number of dates. One of the things that I'm kind of interested in is that in these kind of case we always hear about the number of dates or number of touch points, but, in addition to that, I'm interested in how many -- I guess the number of people that we've touched, that have attended these So it's one thing to say we've had 20 events and only maybe five or six people show up, but I'm kind of curious, just if you know off the top of your head, just a ballpark, are we talking several hundred people have been involved in some of these events over the course of time or --

MS. MYERS: So if you were to look at it as a combination of all the outreach efforts that were done at the Small Area Plan side of it, as well as what we did with the outreach, when it comes to the specific to the zone, it could -- it could be roughly about a hundred. I know that from the

Small -- from the neighborhood planning team, they gave me a list of all their contacts in order to reach out to, and it was quite extensive. There's also community groups that we've reached out to who would, you know, express what we were doing for the Small Area Plan to their members and their constituencies. For, more specifically, directly what I've done when it comes to the new zone, I'll admit they were relatively small attendance at, like, the ANC meeting. They're also online, so sometimes I can't really tell how many people are listening to me.

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Sure.

2.

MS. MYERS: But we did make an effort to go to multiple meetings, especially I recall the first meeting I attended was pretty low attendance, so I wanted to make sure I came out to a few more to try to get more of the word out, and that was more successful. Especially, I would say, the last meeting I went to, there was a bit more attendance, so, you know -- and I remember one of the ANC Commissioners had invited me to one of the community meetings that he participates in, in order to help me get the word out more, because not everybody attends ANC meetings. So there was multiple efforts for -- directly for the zone and when -- like I said, for the Small Area Plan there were multiple efforts that the neighborhood planning team did.

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Terrific. Thank you, Ms. Myers. I appreciate your honesty and forthrightness with that, so -- and that's something that I plan on asking others in following cases.

It's great that we do these extensive outreach, but it's also important to try to reach as many people as we can, and that's why -- the purpose behind multiple engagements, right? So the other question I have, you went through -- just touched on briefly, and I understand why, but I wanted to ask if you could talk a little bit more about some of the design guidelines to improve the pedestrian experience. We talked a little bit about ground floor retail, and just at a very, very high level, if you could just touch on that a little bit.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. MYERS: Certainly. Now, just -- so, you know, I think you all know this. There's only so much we can do with zoning, so we kind of try to touch on things that could be expressed in zoning, one of them being a requirement for, like, storefront windows for the retail, in order to make it a little bit more engaging on the street. Just taking a look at some of the other stuff here. I know that there was setback requirements or, you know, pulling up the buildings to the street in order to, again, help the street experience be more engaging. I talked with DDOT about some other ideas, so in the community there was interest in, like, bike lanes and that type of experience, but that's not something we can do through the new zone, so some other efforts to, you know, make the street more engaging or activity was limited. Ground floor retail is another thing to just bring up. So it -- you know, it was some things we could do, but not as much as perhaps we would like to do. And I

think -- I'm just checking on one other thing here. Yeah, so at least 75 percent of the front building façade would be built up to the front line. The commercial entrances would be spaced out on every 40 feet on the ground floor. So, you know, those are things that we could express in zoning. There are also some things that we've done in some of the other neighborhood mixed-use zones that we have found to be helpful. So that's, you know, kind of as far as we went with the design guidelines and incorporating them.

2.

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Terrific. I appreciate your answer on that. I also wanted -- had a question about the stepback, but I'm glad that you at least touched on that a little bit. And then my last question is, in your professional opinion, how do you see this really transforming the area or reshaping the demographics of the neighborhood in sort of the optimal way? And I guess what I'm asking is help me reimagine what this might look like.

MS. MYERS: Well, the hope is to attract more development. I mean, right now, there's a little bit of commercial development, but, for the most part, there's not a lot going on. There's no -- there's one residential building, but, otherwise, we're not aware of any other residential in this planning area. So this new zone could potentially attract more mixed-used development, some more residential, affordable as well as market rate housing, and, hopefully, attract more commercial

development, and we're really hoping for a grocery store, but, you know, we're hoping this could attract that type of development as well. So it's just trying to make the right situation to attract just more development in the area, which I know the community has been very strongly interested in. So it's just the density and height that potentially could attract that.

2.

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Terrific. Thank you. And I guess just to come back to that, about what the residents are looking for, I know you had mentioned in your report there that -- just to expedite this; I'm really interested in moving this -- you know, sort of fast-tracking it I guess -- my words, not yours -- but just, in general, just the general tone, comments, or concerns, other than what you've already mentioned about the community, sort of, general feedback about this?

MS. MYERS: It's been positive; it's been supportive, the types of development they want to see, which is more residential, more retail. That's why -- they're supportive because they can see the zone potentially bringing that. The interest in Pennsylvania Avenue also becoming more pedestrian friendly and comfortable experience. They understand that, you know, there's a point that zoning can do, and then there's a point that, you know, Department of Transportation would have to take over on, and, you know, other development projects would potentially have to do privately, but when it comes to zoning, they're hoping for -- just to see more happen along Pennsylvania

Avenue Southeast.

2.

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Terrific. Well, as I've always said, the Zoning Commission really sets the canvas for good things to happen, but those good things have to happen with vision, and so it sounds like the residents here have that kind of vision and hope, and so I'm pleased to hear that. So, again, thank you for your report. Mr. Chairman, I yield back.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Thank you. Commissioner Wright.

COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Thanks. I really don't have any questions. I just have a couple of comments, which are that I really, really like the language in this proposed zone about a number of the design issues, and I think that we could perhaps learn from this and use this in some of the other zones that we have talked about over the last few months.

I particularly like the rear setback language saying that from a height of 25 feet, you then have a one-to-one setback; essentially, a 45-degree plane. I think that language is really, really good, and I guess I wish we had been able to incorporate that language in a couple of other zones that we've looked at over the last few months, because I think it's very clear, and I think it offers a good level of compatibility with the lower-density residential neighborhoods that are adjacent. So I would encourage, as the Office of Planning is looking at various zones and PUDs, because this issue comes up in PUDs as well, that we

think about using this as a sort of standard; you know, that maybe this becomes the boilerplate language. Because it feels like, you know, in some zones we've had, you know, certain setbacks starting at 40 feet; in some zones it starts at 60 feet. I mean, I think it's been a little different in each of the cases that I've seen, but I really like this language a lot, and I think it could become a good future standard.

I also like the language about how the street-facing retail is to be handled and the spacing of retail entries and the height of the retail, and, you know, I think it lays out a really good envelope for what hopefully will be future development and successful retain. So, you know, this is very much, you know, in keeping with the kinds of language I think we should be looking for, and I think this is a great example for future cases that you may see. So that's all I was going to say. I think it's really a good -- a good draft zone, and I hope we can move forward with it, as the community has said, expeditiously.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Great. Thank you. Commissioner Stidham, you have any questions or comments?

COMMISSIONER STIDHAM: No questions or comments, other than to echo what has already been said. I'm quite familiar with this neighborhood, and I think this is a great opportunity. And I have to agree with Commissioner Wright with the language and the guidelines that it's developed for these changes to take

place. I think they are well structured and provide for great opportunities for this neighborhood, so nothing more from me.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Thank you. And Vice Chair Miller.

VICE CHAIR MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank you, Crystal Myers and your colleagues, who I see often here usually here and listening virtually, Joel Lawson and Jennifer Steingasser. Thank you for the Office of Planning comprehensive report and all of your outreach efforts to develop the Small Area Plan really in response to the Ward 7 Economic Council -- I don't know if I got the name right, but I remember that being developed when I was at a different council actually. But this proposed zoning does implement the Small Area Plan that was -- for Pennsylvania Avenue East that was adopted by the Council Mayor and developed in -- at the request almost and in conjunction with the community, so I am supportive -- I support this proposed new neighborhood mixed-use zone. And the Council subsequently made that Comprehensive Plan Land Use Map -- Future Land Use Map change from low-density commercial to moderate-density commercial, as you noted.

On the community outreach on the proposed zoning, and we have the ANC 7B's very strong letter in support at Exhibit 10, which came in recently, which is important -- unanimous support -- but you -- there was -- we did grant the waiver request on the posting by the applicant -- Office of Planning in this

case -- posting, although there certainly are other means that we utilize to notify people, and a lot of people know about this case in that -- in that neighborhood. But you said on January civic 14th you did e-mail groups, property owners, neighboring ANC SMDs. Did you -- did you e-mail -- I guess you e-mailed all the property owners that you may have had e-mail addresses for. Do you know how many property owners -- private property owners there are? I mean, the Chairman read off a ton of squares and lots, which I hope we don't have to repeat when we approve -- when we get to the motion to approve proposed action, but do you know how many private property owners are involved here? I mean, that's why I think some kind of additional posting would have been helpful, even though this neighborhood is -- from my what experience and from what you've presented, it's very familiar with this case and, actually, initiated it So do you know how many property owners -- private property owners are affected by this change in zoning?

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. MYERS: I apologize. I do not know the number. It's quite a few, but I don't actually know the number. I believe Office of Zoning sent out the appropriate noticing for this case to all of the private property owners, but I just don't know off the top of my head.

VICE CHAIR MILLER: Well, I think this is a -- I'd be interested in just knowing that if -- from either our OZ staff or OP staff at -- I don't need to know it now before we take

any -- if we're going to take proposed action, but maybe -- I think this is a two-vote case. Is that correct, Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: (Nods head affirmatively.)

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

VICE CHAIR MILLER: So maybe at final, we can just have that information, or between now and final we can have that information, just for my own benefit. I just have curiosity, but also because we're changing zoning for private property owners who may not be aware -- some of them might not be aware that we're doing that, although it's -- I see the benefit of doing that for them and for the city and for the neighborhood. really have no other comments. I agree with all the comments of my colleagues. I thank you for your very thoughtful comments. I agree with the design guidelines that have been emphasized by you, Ms. Myers, and by Commissioner Wright, that that is a good template to provide that transition that we often come up -grapple with in all of our -- in many of our cases. So I think that this was -- this is a -- I support -- I'm very supportive of these design guidelines and this text and related map amendment, so thank you for bringing it forward it to us, and I'm prepared to support it tonight, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: And I want to thank you all of my colleagues. I really enjoyed listening to the questions and the discussion, which only led me to two questions extra that I need to ask, and one of them I think is self-explanatory, Ms. Myers, but I want to ask it anyway -- my way, so I can understand it.

This text and map amendment that went out to the community, it was -- was it a led effort by -- the Office of Planning took something out and the community adopted, or did you all work together to come up with what we have before us today?

2.

MS. MYERS: Well, this zoning text and map amendment is sort of the last stage of the whole planning process for the Pennsylvania Avenue Small Area Plan. So, during that part of the process, our planners went out with the community and had various community meetings and crafted a Small Area Plan that articulated what the community was saying were things they wanted to see. And so, at this stage, what we did was take that information and I guess synthesize it down or translate it into zoning, so some of it is the design guidelines straight from the Small Area Plan. And so there -- you know, there is some community involvement at that point, but a lot of this is just taking the Small Area Plan and turning it into language -- zoning language.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Great. So it was -- I'm going to -- I'm going to leave this as it was a joint effort. I'm going to leave it at that, so thank you. Also, let me just ask, do we know what's going on with 8A? Have they just chosen not to respond? I didn't see it, unless I missed it.

MS. MYERS: We made attempts to reach out to ANC 8A, and there was an initial response from them, but they did not respond after that. So, at that point, all we can do is just say -- kind of rely on some of the e-mails that we've been sending

out, and some of those e-mails have some of the ANC 8A SMDs on 2. them, but an attempt to actually present at their hearing, that was not successful. 3 4 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Thank you. We appreciate all 5 the work that you all have done on this. And, with that, any 6 other questions -- follow-up questions for Ms. Myers? 7 (No response.) 8 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Myers. 9 Excellent report. Appreciate it. We do have a DDOT report, and 10 DDOT says they have no objections to the -- do we have any -- I don't think we have anybody from DDOT, do we, Ms. Ackerman --11 12 MS. ACKERMAN: I'm checking now. 13 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: -- before I give their report, which 14 is one sentence I'm going to get. 15 MS. ACKERMAN: No, we don't have anybody. 16 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. DDOT had no objections to the 17 text and map amendment that's being proposed. Ms. Ackerman, do 18 we have anyone here from the ANC? We do know we have a support 19 letter from 7B. 20 MS. ACKERMAN: No, we don't have anybody. 21 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. All right. Do we have anyone 22 here to testify in support, opposition, or undeclared from the 23 public? 24 MS. ACKERMAN: 25 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. All right. So, with that,

1 colleagues, we have before us -- and this is a two-vote case, and 2. I think we've asked for one or two things. I can't remember. Vice Chair, I think you asked for something. But I think this 3 4 is ready to move forward. I'm not sure, Commissioner Imamura, 5 if you asked for something as well. 6 COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: I did not. 7 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Did anybody ask for 8 anything? 9 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Well, I asked for -- if they have --10 if we -- if our OZ staff or OP staff had the property owners that 11 were -- that are being affected by this -- the private property. 12 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Right. Yeah. We -- I got you. I 13 Yeah, because we don't want to do it and then we hear got you. 14 later on -- how we usually hear later on, after we've done our action, we didn't know nothing about this, so, yeah, I do agree 15 16 with that. So that's why you're hesitant, because it's touch and 17 go anyway. So if they could provide that between now and final, 18 that would be great. And maybe if they can even reach out --19 I'm not what the procedure is -- that would also be great. All 20 right. Anything else? 21 (No response.) 22 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: All right. Thank you, Ms. Ackerman. 23 Colleagues --24 MS. LOVICK: Hi. 25 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yes.

1	MS. LOVICK: I just wanted to respond to your question.
2	So the rules for rule-making map amendments that are filed by
3	either an ANC or OP is that the notice does not have to go to
4	200 footers, so there was not a notice provided to 200 footers
5	in this case. Just letting you know that.
6	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. So the property
7	MS. LOVICK: The actual rule is
8	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: No, no. We'll take your word
9	we'll take your word for it. So the property owners don't have
10	to be notified.
11	MS. LOVICK: That's correct.
12	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay.
13	VICE CHAIR MILLER: That can be one of the discussion
14	items for your future discussion item at in the future.
15	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: That parking lot's getting full.
16	It's getting full, and I'm getting lost to what's in it, so,
17	anyway, that's why I have to ask staff to help me, so anyway.
18	All right. Thank you, Ms. Lovick. All right. So that's
19	something that we can add to our list. I think we need to talk
20	about that sooner than later, so let's try to help me remember
21	to tell Ms. Schellin, let's put it on one of our agendas to talk
22	about. All right. Would somebody like to make a motion on this?
23	Commissioner Imamura or
24	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Yeah, sure, I can make a motion,
25	Mr. Chairman. Thank you. So I move that the Zoning Commission

1	take proposed action on Case Number 24-10, as captioned and read
2	by the good Chairman, and ask for a second.
3	VICE CHAIR MILLER: I would second it.
4	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: It's been moved and properly
5	seconded. Any further discussion?
6	(No response.)
7	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: All right. Not hearing any, Ms.
8	Ackerman, could you do a roll call vote please?
9	MS. ACKERMAN: Yes. Commissioner Imamura.
10	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Yes.
11	MS. ACKERMAN: Commissioner Miller.
12	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yes.
13	MS. ACKERMAN: Commissioner Hood.
14	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yes.
15	MS. ACKERMAN: Commissioner Stidham.
16	COMMISSIONER STIDHAM: Yes.
17	MS. ACKERMAN: And Commissioner Wright.
18	COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Yes.
19	MS. ACKERMAN: This hearing has been approved for
20	proposed action, five to zero to zero.
21	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Mr. Chairman, I meant to I think
22	that the OP report mentioned this, but part of this did have the
23	IZ Plus designation. I think we just should emphasize that that
24	has the IZ inclusionary zoning Plus designation, that that was
25	part of the motion and second and approval.

1	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. I think I think that
2	adds so we'll just include that. Ms. Ackerman, no need to go
3	back through it. The record will reflect that that all was
4	included. Okay?
5	MS. ACKERMAN: Okay.
6	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Thank you for the amendment,
7	Vice Chair.
8	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Did he hear you? Okay. He accepted,
9	so we include it, as noted. Ms. Ackerman, do we have anything
10	else pertaining to this case this evening?
11	MS. ACKERMAN: No, we do not.
12	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. And, Ms. Ackerman, I always
13	get Ms. Schellin to check behind me, but I think our next case
14	is Zoning Commission Case Number 24-23 on February 24th, which
15	is Monday.
16	MS. ACKERMAN: Yes.
17	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: And the Cedar Tree Academy Public
18	Schools, Zoning Commission Case 24-23, on these same platforms.
19	All right. So, with that, I want to thank everyone for their
20	participation, and, with that, you all have a great weekend, and
21	this hearing is adjourned. Goodnight.
22	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the
23	record at 4:42 p.m.)
24	
25	

## CERTIFICATION

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Public Hearing - Case No. 24-10

Before: DC Zoning Commission

Date: 02-20-25

Place: Webex Videoconference

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Deborah B. Gauthier

Deborah B. Shuthier