GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

ZONING COMMISSION

+ + + + +

REGULAR PUBLIC HEARING CASE NO. 23-10A

+ + + + +

MONDAY

OCTOBER 28, 2024

+ + + + +

The Public Hearing of the District of Columbia Board of Zoning Adjustment convened via teleconference, pursuant to notice at 4:00 p.m. EDT, Anthony J. Hood, Chairperson, presiding.

ZONING COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT:

ANTHONY J. HOOD, Chairperson ROBERT E. MILLER, Vice Chair TAMMY STIDHAM, Commissioner GWEN WRIGHT, Commissioner JOSEPH IMAMURA, Commissioner

OFFICE OF ZONING STAFF PRESENT:

PAUL YOUNG, Zoning Data Specialist ELLA ACKERMAN, Zoning Data Specialist

OFFICE OF ZONING LEGAL DIVISION STAFF PRESENT:

JACOB RITTING, Esquire

The transcript constitutes the minutes from the Regular Public Hearing held on October 28, 2024.

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

2 (4:00 p.m.)

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Good afternoon, ladies and Today's date is October the 28th, 2024. We are gentlemen. convening and broadcasting this public hearing by video conferencing. My name in Anthony Hood. Joining me are Vice Chair Miller, Commissioners Wright, Imamura, and Stidham. We're also joined by the Office of Zoning staff, Ms. Ella Ackerman, as well as Mr. Paul Young, who will be handling all of our virtual operations, and Mr. Jacob Ritting, who's our Office of Zoning Legal Division counsel for this proceeding. I will ask all others to introduce themselves at the appropriate time.

The virtual public hearing notice is available on the Office of Zoning's website. This proceeding is being recorded by a court reporter. The platforms used are Webex and YouTube Live. The video will be available on the Office of Zoning's website after the hearing. All persons planning to testify should have signed up in advance and will be called by name at the appropriate time.

At the time of signup, all participants will complete the oath or affirmation required by Subtitle Z-408.7. Accordingly, all those listening on Webex or by phone will be muted during the hearing and only those who are signed up to participate or testify will be unmuted at the appropriate time. When called please state your name before providing your

testimony. When you are finished speaking, please mute your audio. If you experience difficulty accessing Webex or with your telephone call-in or have not signed up, then please call our OZ Hotline number at 202-727-0789. If you wish to file written testimony or additional supporting documents during the hearing, then please be prepared to describe and discuss it at the time of your testimony.

The subject of this evening's hearing is Zoning Commissioner Case Number 23-10A. This is the Georgetown University, Design Review Modifications with Hearing at Square 569, Lots 864 and 865, 120 -- the address is 120 F Street, Northwest. Again, today is October 28th, 2024. The hearing will be conducted in accordance with the provisions of 11-Z DCMR Chapter 4, as follows. We have preliminary matters, the applicant's case; we have the report of other government agencies, the report of the Department of Transportation and the Office of Planning, report of the ANC. In this case, I believe it's ANC -- one second -- somebody --

MS. ACKERMAN: 6E.

2.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: 6E. Thank you. I was about to say 6B, but thank you. Report of the Department of Transportation and the Office of Planning, the report of the ANC 6E. Thank you, Ms. Ackerman. Testimony of the organization is five minutes and individuals, three minutes, and we will hear in the following order from those who are in support, opposition, or undeclared.

Then we'll have rebuttal and closing by the applicant. Again, the OZ Hotline number is 202-727-0789 for any concerns during this proceeding. At this time, the Commission will consider any preliminary matters. Does the staff have any preliminary matters?

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. ACKERMAN: Yes. So Georgetown University is the applicant tonight. They plan to take five minutes to present. They are represented by David M. Avitabile and Lee Templin of Goulston and Storrs. There are two expert witnesses tonight, Jami L. Milanovich and Min Lee. The applicant has one witness tonight, Gregory Klass from the Law Center at the University. At Exhibit 8, we have a report from the Office of Planning in support of the application, which we will be -- which will be presented by Joel Lawson. At Exhibit 9, there is a report from DDOT. They have no objections to the application. And we did receive a report from 6E in support at Exhibit 7. They are not signed up to speak tonight, but they have been notified of the hearing. There are no other preliminary matters tonight.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Ackerman. Let's bring up Mr. Avitabile and his team, and we can go ahead and get started. And before you get started, Mr. Avitabile, let me just ask, I didn't see any opposition. Do you know of any?

MR. AVITABILE: No, I'm not aware of any opposition.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. So what I -- I think it would be best to abbreviate, hit the highlights, and then we will ask

our questions.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. AVITABILE: Certainly. Will do. Thank you very much, Commissioners. David Avitabile again from Goulston and Storrs, land use counsel for the applicant Georgetown University. And, Mr. Young, if we can bring up the presentation, though we'll only talk to three slides. This is a fairly straightforward application for a modification to an existing design review approval for a new building down at the Law Center, which is located on the University's capital campus. And the only reason we're before you for a public hearing is because one of those modifications requires additional zoning relief.

So if we can go to the next slide, briefly, the area located in orange is the location of the building we're talking about. It's located at the corner of Second and F Streets, Northwest. You reviewed and approved the design of this building a little over a year ago, and so we're here with some modifications. If we can go ahead two slides please. the approved building, as viewed from Second and F Street. if we go to the next slide, you'll see that is the first modification we're here for this evening, which is the addition of signage at the top of the building. If we can go to the next slide, it zooms in a little bit. It's relatively straightforward. Signage not inconsistent with the other types of building identification signage that the Commission has approved in other PUDs and design review applications. And we've included

information in the presentation and in the set of plans associated with the application, so you can get a sense of the width and height and material -- materiality of the lettering. So that's the first ask this evening is to add that signage to the building.

2.

And then if we can go ahead three more slides, the second area of relief is related to short-term bicycle parking. The building was required to provide 68 short-term bicycle parking spaces in and around the building. And what we'd like to do, after further reflection, is address short-term bicycle parking across the entirety of the Law Center campus holistically and pose some improvements to the existing Law Center parking that we think continue to meet the University's needs to accommodate bike parking for its students, faculty, and staff, and also respond to some feedback we received from the Office of Planning and District Department of Transportation on how to make sure that bike parking meets their expectations.

So, briefly, here is the existing Law Center campus. North is page right. There are two main Greens on the campus, the Dean Green, which is over the former G Street right-of-way, and then the Eleanor Holmes Norton Green, which is over the F Street right-of-way. Each Green currently has capacity for 64 bike parking spaces that would be used -- these wavy racks that you can see on the lower right-hand side of the screen -- that do not accommodate -- you know, tend not to accommodate the full number of bikes they're meant to accommodate.

If we can go ahead two slides please. What we'd like to do is essentially replace and improve the existing bike parking on both of these Greens. The first thing we'll do is we'll replace them with new inverted U racks, which are the DDOT standard and are indeed capable of holding -- each holding two bicycles per rack, so we will actually be able to accommodate the number of bikes we are designed to -- designed to park at each of these racks.

On the Dean Green, which is the one to the right, we're going to increase the number of bike parking spaces from 64 spaces to 90 spaces through a redesign of the Green. And we're also going to take other measures, improvements of landscaping and security, to make this sort of a desirable and a useful and a practical place for people to bike -- to park their bicycles. This is the primary location for many of the people that are coming to and from the Law Center, so this is where the greatest demand is for bicycle parking.

And then the second thing we'll do is, at the Eleanor Holmes Norton Green, which is on the left side, we'll maintain 64 bicycle parking spaces that will -- this will be 32 racks that actually hold 64 bicycle parking spaces, so it will really represent a net increase in bike parking, and, as you can see, will include bicycle -- short-term bicycle parking proximate to the new building, as well as the existing buildings. And I think, on balance, we believe that handling bike parking in this fashion

is the right way to go, because it's really meeting the needs for the campus as a whole, but the building that we are proposing here really isn't increasing the population of the campus at all; it's just new space for the existing population. And so taking a holistic view of the bicycle parking really accommodates the greater good, while also meeting the needs of that particular building. And so I think I can happily stop there, with thanks and appreciation to the Office of Planning and DDOT and the ANC, all of whom are in support, and we're happy to answer questions. Thank you.

2.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Thank you for getting right to it, Mr. Avitabile and your team. Let's see if we have any questions or comments. Commissioner Imamura, I'll start with you first.

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Thank you, Mr. Avitabile and the applicant's team. First, I want to say this is a much improved solution I think, so I'll share my cards at the outset here. Certainly, I think an improvement for pedestrian safety too, for those that are entering the new building there, instead of having all the bicycles collect at the entrance there. My question though -- I have two, Mr. Avitabile for your team. One, I think the dispersion of the bikes along the lawns is a good idea. I'm curious about how this evolved during the design process, as this was -- because there was a study I guess that was undertaken, so was that done concurrently with the design study or with the

design or -- I'm just curious about the iteration and the process of this. And then the second question that I have, I noticed that there were some security concerns with the type of racks that were used and the fact that there was some dense tree and shrub plantings around those bicycle racks. I'm curious how the locations were identified -- they seem to be strategic locations -- how they were identified and what's the lighting like and what's the vegetation planned around them. So I'll turn that back over to you, Mr. Avitabile, for your response.

2.

MR. AVITABILE: Great. Thank you. Mr. Klass, do you want to address those questions?

DEAN KLASS: Yeah, I'd be happy to. So let me -- my name is Greg Klass. I'm the Associate Dean for External Programs at the Law Center, and I've been involved with both of these projects, the bicycle parking and the new law building, from the beginning. We basically started on the building before we started looking at bicycle parking on the campus as a whole. I wish we had done it in the reverse, but we didn't, and so here we are today. That's the short answer. And so we weren't prepared for this when we first came to you for design review.

With respect to the second question, there are two aspects for why we've chosen to put the bicycles where they are.

One is the uses of the different Greens. The Eleanor Holmes Norton Green is the larger Green on the campus, and it gets a lot more recreational use, and it's a large quadrangle sort of

space there. The Dean Green on the other side, the former G street, is a narrower Green. Our plan is -- and that's where we can provide the best security. So our plan is to be taking out vegetation right now. There's a lot of hedges around the center of that Green, to take out those, to add cameras, to insure that the lighting is good, and, basically, that would be the safest place to park your bike on the campus, although, you know, we have to scatter parking everywhere, but the goal is to make that the place where your bike is going to be most secure. Does that answer the question?

2.

MR. IMAMURA: It does. Thank you, Dean Klass. I appreciate it. I think what a great way to pivot after the design solution. Again, this is much improved I think and a great way to sort of sprinkle these bicycle racks across both Greens, and it makes a lot of sense. So I'm actually interested to see other solutions down the road with other cases where this might make sense too, so really appreciate you leading the way on this. Thank you, Dean Klass. Mr. Chairman, that's all that I have.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: All right. Thank you. Commissioner Stidham, any questions or comments?

COMMISSIONER STIDHAM: Commissioner Imamura took my question, so I really appreciate the additional detail on the planning for the bike parking, because, I mean, I think that it makes a lot of sense where you're looking to do so, and I'm sure that it will be greatly utilized, so thank you for that. I did

have just one question about the sign, and I didn't see it in the materials, but maybe I missed it. Is it lit or is it just the lettering on the building without any lighting?

MR. LEE: I can take that question.

2.

MR. AVITABILE: Yeah, Min, please go ahead and answer. Thank you.

MR. LEE: Sure. My name is Min Lee and I am (indiscernible) for the project. The exterior signage will be illuminated from behind using (indiscernible) LED lighting, and the color temperature would be warm white, 3,000K with 90-plus CRI and 300 lumens per square foot. Does that answer your question?

COMMISSIONER STIDHAM: Yes. Is the idea that it will be lit all night long or what is the duration for the lighting?

MR. AVITABILE: Mr. Klass, do you want to maybe speak

to the intent, in terms of the length of lighting?

DEAN KLASS: Oh, that's a great question. I don't think that we'd really ever thought of not having it lit all night long, although I know, like, when birds are migrating, that could be an issue, and I -- we could think about -- we could think about turning it off, you know, after midnight or something like that. The goal is really from that F Street -- from that F Street direction to the west, just to be visible and to let people know this is where Georgetown Law is. So, you know, if there were some request to turn it off after a certain hour, we would

certainly be open to that.

2 COMMISSIONER STIDHAM: Okay. Thank you for that.

3 Chairman, back to you.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Commissioner Wright, you have any questions or comments?

COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: I don't have any questions. I have no problem with the application and I support the revisions.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: And, Vice Chair, do you have any questions or comments?

VICE CHAIR MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I agree with Commissioner Wright and I thank Commissioners Imamura and Stidham for their questions and the Georgetown University's -- Georgetown Law's response to those questions. I think this will be -- these are -- these modifications are appropriate, as recommended by the ANC 6E and Office of Planning, both the signage and the bicycle more holistic approach, which actually results in a net increase in parking on that campus, so -- bicycle parking on that campus, so that -- I think that they are improvements, so I'm supportive as well. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I would just agree with everything I've heard from my colleagues and also say that I appreciate the way that the applicant had put the solution. I know it was a safety issue, protecting bikes from being stolen, and I get all that, so I think it's already been stated. I think this is a solution that people feel comfortable and they feel more

comfortable leaving their personal gear there, so I know that's very important. I do have one question about the sign as well.

How tall is the building again? Refresh my memory.

2.

MR. AVITABILE: The building's 130 feet tall.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: So I know one of the hearings we had, it was a big deal about the sign. I can't -- I shouldn't bring it up, because we got through that and I don't have any problems with this, but basically those signs -- I'm basically just asking for informational purposes -- those signs like that are for me, like I'm at a distance, because if I'm close by, I'm not going to be able to see that sign. What is the purpose of it; identifying the building, correct?

MR. AVITABILE: Yeah. I think it's identifying the building and the user and particularly, as Dean Klass noted, if you're a little bit further away, it helps you really sort of see what you're coming across. And they've been fairly common, this sort of signage, in a number of applications, increasingly with office buildings and large institutional users and at least the ones that I've been involved with, with the Commission, haven't been terribly controversial, which is I think a good thing. They just have become more and more common.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. I wanted to put that out there specifically, because I know people have asked over the years. Can't nobody see that sign. It's not for nobody who's right there -- right on the block, no; it's just somebody who's

1	at a distance, so you can kind of like a landmark. So thank
2	you for putting that out there on the record. And I do want to
3	commend this applicant. I didn't know that there was an Eleanor
4	Holmes Norton and does Ms. Norton know this? I'm sure she
5	does. Maybe I just didn't know it.
6	DEAN KLASS: I've met with her on it, yeah. And, in
7	fact, we're talking about a redesign of the Green and to make
8	clear it's in her honor, and we've met with her about our ideas
9	for a design.
10	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Well, next time I see her, I
11	will mention something to her, so good. Great job on that. I
12	really appreciate y'all remembering those who work hard here in
13	the city, so thank you. All right. Any follow-up questions or
14	comments?
15	(No response.)
16	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: All right. Ms. Ackerman, do we have
17	anyone here from the ANC?
18	MS. ACKERMAN: No, we do not.
19	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Do we have any other

government agencies? I know we got the Office of Planning and 21 DDOT. I got that. But do we have anybody, like OAG or anyone else?

23 MS. ACKERMAN: I'm checking right now to see an update. 24 No, just DDOT and OP.

22

25

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Let's go to DDOT first.

1	MR. HAGEN: Good evening, Chairman Hood and members of
2	the Commission. For the record, I'm Noah Hagen with District
3	Department of Transportation. DDOT is supportive of the
4	applicant's design review medication application for the
5	previously-approved application to redevelop the property at 120
6	F Street, Northwest. In our October 18th report, which is in
7	the record at Exhibit 9, we recommended approval of the
8	applicant's bicycle parking proposal with no conditions. We look
9	forward to continuing to work with the applicant on the public
10	space elements of the project as they go through our public space
11	permitting process, and I'd be happy to answer any questions.
12	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you, Mr. Hagen. We appreciate
13	you getting right to it. Let's see if we have any questions or
14	any comments from any of my colleagues. I'm not going to say,
15	like, Fred, raise your hand; I'm going to say, just let me know.
16	I feel like I'm in school when he tells me to raise my hand.
17	(No response.)
18	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: All right. No comments. I don't
19	see any comments. Does the applicant have any questions of Mr.
20	Hagen, DDOT?
21	MR. AVITABILE: No, we do not.
22	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Hagen.
23	Appreciate your report. All right. Let's go to the Office of
24	Planning.
25	MR. LAWSON: Hi. Good evening. Joel Lawson with the

1	Office of Planning. I'm happy to stand on the record of our
2	report in support, which is at Exhibit 8 to the record. Thank
3	you.
4	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you, Mr. Lawson. Colleagues,
5	any questions of Mr. Lawson, Office of Planning?
6	(No response.)
7	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Mr. Lawson, thank you, but
8	let me see, does the applicant have any questions of Mr. Lawson?
9	MR. AVITABILE: No, we do not.
10	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you, again, Mr. Lawson.
11	Always a great report. We appreciate the Office of Planning and
12	all the work that you all do. All right. Ms. Ackerman, do we
13	have anyone here from the ANC?
14	MS. ACKERMAN: No, we do not.
15	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: And the ANC let me see. You
16	know, sometimes you hate to close your files up because then they
17	might not open back up, but let me pull up the report. Vice
18	Chair, do you have the report open?
19	VICE CHAIR MILLER: The ANC report?
	VICE CHAIR MIDDER. THE ANC TEPOIC:
20	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yeah, the 6E.
20 21	
	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yeah, the 6E.
21	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yeah, the 6E. VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yes, Exhibit 7. I've got it in my
21 22	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yeah, the 6E. VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yes, Exhibit 7. I've got it in my hand.

1	resolves. The ANC this is a letter dated April September
2	30th, 2024 to you, Chairman Hood, from ANC 6E Chair, Chris Hart,
3	and the last two paragraphs say, "Resolved, that ANC 6E supports
4	the addition of building identification signage on the upper
5	stories of the northwest corner of the new law building, facing
6	2nd Street, Northwest, and be it further resolved that ANC 6E
7	supports relief from the short-term bicycle requirements, which
8	requires 68 spaces within a short distance of the new law
9	building's front door. The Commission endorses the University's
10	proposed plan, which treats short-term bicycle parking on a
11	holistic basis across the Law Center and provides a total of 154
12	spaces, including 64 spaces on the Eleanor Holmes Norton Green
13	and 90 spaces on the Dean Green." That's their those
14	resolutions in support.
15	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Thank you, Vice Chair.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Thank you, Vice Chair. Appreciate you reading that report into the record. Ms. Ackerman, do we have anyone here who wants to testify in support, opposition, or undeclared?

> MS. ACKERMAN: No.

1

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Let the record reflect that we've called for both.

MS. ACKERMAN: Well, we have Cory Peterson here. Ι believe they're with Georgetown University. I don't know if that is with the applicant.

MR. AVITABILE: Yeah, Mr. Peterson's part of our team.

1	He's our head of Community Engagement and External Relations.
2	MS. ACKERMAN: Okay. Did you want him or Carla Tiberi
3	to speak?
4	MR. AVITABILE: No, we don't need them to speak.
5	MS. ACKERMAN: Okay. Then that's all.
6	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Mr. Avitabile, are they here in
7	support or opposition?
8	MR. AVITABILE: Hopefully in support.
9	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Well, maybe we need to hear
10	from them. No, I'm just playing. Okay. Ms. Ackerman, so we
11	don't have anyone. Mr. Avitabile, do you have any well, you
12	don't have I don't think you have any rebuttal, but do you
13	have any closing?
14	MR. AVITABILE: No, sir, just to thank the Commission
15	for their time this evening, and we appreciate your action on
16	this. Thank you.
17	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. All right. Thank you.
18	Colleagues, I think this is really straightforward. I apologize
19	for us spending 25 minutes on something that's straightforward,
20	but we need to make sure we dot all our I's and cross all our
21	T's, but, either way, I think this record's complete for our
22	review of it this evening. Any questions or comments or would
23	somebody like to make a motion?
24	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: I'll make the motion.

1	first motion.
2	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: That's what I'm talking about. I
3	was going to ask you to second it, but you dove right in there.
4	Let's hear from Commissioner Wright. Okay.
5	COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Great. Well, I move approval of
6	Case Number 23-10A, Georgetown University, Modification with
7	Hearing of Mandatory Design Review at Square 569, Lot 865, and a
8	portion of Lot 864.
9	COMMISSIONER STIDHAM: Second.
10	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. It's been moved and properly
11	seconded. Any further discussion?
12	(No response.)
13	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Not hearing any, Ms. Ackerman, could
14	you do a roll call vote please?
15	MS. ACKERMAN: Commissioner Wright.
16	COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Yes.
17	MS. ACKERMAN: Commissioner Stidham.
18	COMMISSIONER STIDHAM: Yes.
19	MS. ACKERMAN: Commissioner Hood.
20	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yes.
21	MS. ACKERMAN: Commissioner Miller.
22	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yes.
23	MS. ACKERMAN: And Commissioner Imamura.
24	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Yes.
25	MS. ACKERMAN: The hearing has been approved for final

action, five to zero to zero. The applicant should provide a 1 2. draft order within two weeks. That's all. CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I believe --3 4 VICE CHAIR MILLER: And that can be a summary order, 5 right, Mr. Chair, because --6 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Summary order. Yeah, summary order. 7 Any objections? Yeah, summary order. 8 MS. ACKERMAN: Okay. 9 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: All right. I'm looking to see when 10 we meet again. Do we meet -- is it November the 14th? 11 MS. ACKERMAN: Let me check quick. 12 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I mean, that's fine with us. I'm 13 just trying to make sure. 14 MS. ACKERMAN: Yeah, November the 14th we have a public meeting. 15 16 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Thank you. Again, I always 17 like to announce it, so people know when we're coming back. The 18 Zoning Commission will meet again -- we have a public meeting on 19 November the 14th on these same platforms at four p.m. We have 20 various items on the agenda. And, with that, I want to thank 21 the applicant and all those who participated in this particular 22 case tonight and also my colleagues. And, with that, this meeting is adjourned. You all have a great time off. 23 (Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the 24 25 record at 4:28 p.m.)

CERTIFICATION

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Public Hearing on Case No. 23-10A

Before: DC Zoning Commission

Date: 10-28-24

Place: Webex Videoconference

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Deborah B. Gauthier

Deboral B. Sauthier