GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

ZONING COMMISSION

VIRTUAL PUBLIC HEARING

VIA WEBEX

MONDAY, JUNE 3, 2024

The Public Hearing by the District of Columbia Zoning Commission convened via videoconference pursuant to notice at 4:00 p.m. EDT, Anthony Hood, Chairperson, presiding.

ZONING COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT:

ANTHONY J. HOOD, Chairperson ROBERT MILLER, Vice Chairperson TAMMY STIDHAM, Commissioner

OFFICE OF ZONING STAFF PRESENT:

SHARON SCHELLING PAUL YOUNG, Data Specialist

OFFICE OF ZONING LEGAL DIVISION STAFF PRESENT:

DENNIS NEU

The transcript constitutes the minutes from the Public Hearing held on June 3, 2024.

Diversified Reporting Services, Inc.

1426 Duke Street
Alexandria, Virginia 22314
(202) 467-9200

C O N T E N T S

Case No. 23-23

Map amendment to rezone 261 $17^{\rm th}$ Street Southeast, Square 1088, Lot 802 from RF1 to RA2, with an inclusionary zoning plus designation associated with the map.

PROCEEDINGS

2	(4:00 p.m.)
---	-------------

CHAIR HOOD: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. Today's date is June the 3rd, 2024. We are convening broadcasting this public hearing by videoconferencing. My name is Anthony Hood, and I'm joined by Vice Chair Miller and Commissioner Stidham. We're also joined by the Office of Zoning staff, Ms. Sharon Schellin and Mr. Paul Young, who will be handling all of our virtual operations, as well as Mr. Dennis Neu (phonetic), who's with our Office of Zoning Legal Division.

I will ask all others to introduce themselves at the appropriate time. The virtual public hearing notice is available on the Office of Zoning's website. This proceeding is being recorded by a court reporter and the platforms used are Webex and YouTube Live.

The video will be available on the Office of Zoning's website after the hearing. All persons planning to testify should have signed up in advance and will be called by name at the appropriate time during the hearing, and only those who have signed up to participate or testify will be unmuted at the appropriate time. At the time of sign up, all participants will complete the oath or affirmation required by Subtitle Z48.7. Accordingly, all those listed on Webex or by phone will be muted during the hearing and

only those who have signed up to participate or testify will be unmuted at the appropriate time.

2.1

When called, please state your name before providing your testimony and when you are finished speaking, please mute your audio. If you experience difficulty accessing Webex or with your telephone call in or have not signed up, then please call our OZ hotline number at 202-727-0789. If you wish to file written testimony or additional supporting documents during the hearing, then please be prepared to describe and discuss it at the time of your testimony. The subject of this evening's case is Zoning Commission Case No. 23-23, Morningstar Community Development Map Amendment at Square 1088, Lot 802, 261 17th Street Southeast and again, today's date is June the 3rd, 2024.

The hearing will be conducted in accordance with provisions of 11Z DCMR Chapter 4, as follows: preliminary matters, Applicant's case, report of other government agencies, report of the Department of Transportation and the Office of Planning, report of the ANC, in this case, it's ANC 7D. Testimony of organizations is five minutes and individuals is three minutes. And we will hear in the following order from those who are in support, opposition or undeclared. Then we'll have rebuttal and closing by the Applicant.

```
1
              Again, the OZ hotline number is 202-727-0789 for
 2
    any concern during these proceedings. At this time, the
 3
    Commission will consider any preliminary matters. I will
    also ask Ms. Schellin to include in preliminary matters, is
 4
 5
    there any opposition in this case? Does the staff have any
 6
    preliminary matters?
 7
              MS. SCHELLIN: So as of right now, I'm not aware
8
    of any opposition. The applicant is being represented by
9
    Eric DeBear and the Office of Planning is being represented
    by Crystal Myers. As you stated, ANC7D is in support.
10
11
    They've recommended support. The Office of Planning has
12
    recommended support. DDOT has not filed a report. And so
    everything in the record as of today has recommended
13
    support. So I think the Applicant is ready to present how
14
15
    the request is not inconsistent with the comp plan and ready
    to move forward.
16
17
              CHAIR HOOD: Thank you, Ms. Schellin. Let's bring
    everybody up. And I would agree, unless my colleagues have
18
19
    -- I don't think we need a 30 or 20 minute presentation. I
20
    think we have enough cases that take longer. I think this
    is -- unless something shows up that I don't see.
21
22
              So with that, Mr. DeBear, we'll turn it over to
    you and you may begin.
23
24
              MR. DEBEAR: Thank you. Good afternoon,
```

Commissioners. I believe my client -- there he is, my

- 1 client is here as well. He'll have a moment to introduce 2 himself. If Mr. Young could pull up our presentation, we 3 are certainly going to try to move expeditiously, given the 4 relatively clean record. So Mr. Voudrie, if you want to introduce yourself 5 for the Commission and then we'll move along. 6 7 MR. VOUDRIE: Good afternoon, Commissioners. Thanks, Eric. My name is Stan Voudrie, V as in Victor, O-U-8 D-R-I-E. I am a principle of Morningstar Community 9 Development and the Applicant in this case. 10 11 MR. DEBEAR: Thank you. And we'll stick with Mr. 12 Voudrie for the next slide, please. 13 MR. VOUDRIE: So I think most of you are familiar 14 with this site, but just for orientation, it is in Square 15 1088, as was mentioned, on the -- sort of the corner, if you will, of C Street and 17th and Mass. So it's got sort of 16 17 three frontages, Massachusetts, 17th and C Southeast. 18 area, 11,125 square feet and RA2 zoned currently. 19 Next slide, please, Paul. So there is a picture 20
- of the building. This is the former Eastern Branch Boys and Girls Club. It was built in 1938, as said there, and it was acquired by the district government after the Boys and Girls Club ceased to operate the site in 2010. It's very close to the Stadium Armory Metro Station, as you can sort of see from the map there and the new Hill East redevelopment. If

we can go to the next slide.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

please.

This property has been part of a DMPED RFP solicitation for redevelopment for quite some time. purchased it, as I said, in 2010, put out their first solicitation in 2014 and then you can read through the highlights there, but eventually, it was resolicited to Morningstar in 2019. Going all the way back to 2014, there have been significant community engagement process, a number of community meetings with broader community, with the ANCs adjacent and wider ANC. It's always been considered and sort of presented as though the redevelopment of this site would require some level of zoning similar to what we're proposing here, so it's consistent throughout this process. In 2022, the Council approved the disposition and then in 2023, we entered into our land disposition agreement with the city to redevelop the site. Next slide, Paul. MR. DEBEAR: Thank you, Stan. As the Commission is aware, the legal standard for approval of a zoning map amendment is that the amendment is not inconsistent with the comprehensive plan and other active programs. Next slide,

The future land use map recommends moderate density residential uses for this site. That is described as directly consistent with the proposed RA2 zone district. Next slide, please.

The generalized policy map does identify this as a neighborhood conservation area. We know that this is generally a lower density residential type designation, but it does specifically provide for development, particularly to address citywide housing needs, as identified in the comprehensive plan. Next slide, please.

Here's a comparison of the existing RF1 zone and the proposed RA2 zoning and then to provide the Commission with a little more context, the existing building, its approximate measurements on the right side, so what this allows -- what this would allow Morningstar to do would obviously be to potentially go to a multi-dwelling where the current RF1 zone would only allow for two dwellings. It does provide for modest increases to density onsite.

There's no FAR in the RF1 zone, but it does go up to upwards of 2.16 and actually, the building would be nonconforming, even with the map amendment, but again, we would have the use allowances. There are other increases to height and are relatively similar elsewhere in zoning. Next slide, please.

To walk through the racial equity tool, Part 1 is guidance to the comprehensive plan, so if Mr. Young could go to the next slide. We've identified in the record, in our statement, all of the comprehensive plan policies that we believe this proposal is consistent with. These include three policies highlighted in red here that are specifically

mentioned in the Office of Planning's equity crosswalk. And I think what the Commission will see is that really, the crux of this is allowing for this long vacant site to be potentially redeveloped with a not insubstantial amount of affordable housing and housing overall. Next slide, please.

Going through some of the history on Capitol Hill, you see that the Hill has actually been quite a diverse population for quite some time. During the mid-20th Century, though, while closer to the Capitol was being revitalized, Hill East, where the property is located, continued to face challenges. So while there's been an influx of your professionals in more recent time, we see that Hill East sort of lags behind Capitol Hill in terms of the neighborhood changing and since 2000, as will be discussed in connection with data, disaggregated dated, the black population has declined in the planning area, although we have seen accordant increases in Asian, Hispanic and two or more races population. Next slide, please.

Mr. Voudrie, if you'd want to walk through just some of the community outreach for the Commission.

MR. VOUDRIE: Sure. As I mentioned, the DMPED RFP process goes back a number of years now and you can see meetings starting as early as January of 2017 and I won't go through all of these but highlight that, you know, once we were selected in 2021 through today, we have endeavored to

go and meet with not just the ANC commission, but any other neighbors and, you know, community and civic organizations that are interested in meeting. Most notably, we also have a condominium building across the ally from this site and the condo association has reached out on numerous occasions. We've exchanged email and ideas with them on how to mitigate their concerns and make sure that the development is sensitive to our neighbors and the neighborhood.

And then as was mentioned in the record, we have a letter of support from our ANC 7D and then also on the call but I don't think slated to speak is one of our other members of the development team, Nikosi Bradley, who is a neighbor. He lives -- he lives a few hundred yards away from the site and so it's been super advantageous for us to be able to have him already on our team and in the neighborhood. So he sees people walking their dogs and whatever else. So constant outreach to the community and constant communication is our goal.

MR. DEBEAR: Thank you. Next slide, please. Some of the community priorities, just to summarize this slide, as Stan mentioned, we've heard from the community dating back to the RFP process and really, the genesis of Morningstar's proposal was responsive to the community's concerns and issues raised and really the primary issue was that they wanted to see more housing and affordable housing

on this site. There were certainly some other wants and asks, but I think that was the headline of what we heard, dating back to the RFP process. And, you know, this map amendment would certainly allow us to achieve that goal.

While I know it's a question of policy and not a project, it obviously -- the RA2 zone does provide for multifamily, where the RF1 does not. So it certainly furthers some of

Those are -- you know, go to this day and are reflected in ANC7D's resolution that specifically mentions increased housing in this primary residential neighborhood.

the priorities that the community has raised.

12 Next slide, please.

I touched on the disaggregated data. It's in the Office of Planning's report, primarily, although it is noted in our initial application statement as well. Some of the data that does pop out, what I mentioned before, that there has been a decrease in the black population in this planning area since 2000, however, there has been a corresponding increase in other racial groups, so it does remain a fairly diverse neighborhood. The percentage of homeowners exceeds the district average, although, nonwhite homeownership did decline in the past ten years. Median household income is generally higher than district-wide average but remains lower for black residents. And the unemployment rate for the black population does exceed the district-wide average.

Again, the potential for affordable housing will offer opportunity for those with lower income to participate and be a member of this community, potentially living at the property if and when it is redeveloped. Next slide, please.

The Mayor's affordable housing goals certainly in Capitol Hill lag far behind. So this project could potentially be a wonderful opportunity to kind of chip away at some of that lag there in Capitol Hill. Next slide, please.

And finally, to tie it all together, evaluating this proposed action through a racial equity lens, we do believe the outcomes that are or the indicators that are identified in the Zoning Commission's tool all end up with positive outcomes. Again, there would be no physical displacement because the property has been vacant for a decade-and-a-half now, at least. We do think this map amendment allows for potential increase in housing and affordable housing. Nonetheless, it should be noted, again, that the prior community club, which was certainly an important aspect in the community, has not been operating here for quite some time, so there would be no at least indirect cultural displacement in that regard.

And finally, a redevelopment would improve the surrounding infrastructure in public space, in close proximity to, again, the metro rail, which is approximately

two to three blocks away. Next slide, please.

And in closing, I believe we -- to summarize, again, expeditiously for the Commission all the reasons why we believe the application is consistent with the comprehensive plan and therefore can be approved and that starts, certainly, with the future land use map designation but goes all the way through. And, again, Mr. Voudrie detailed the significant community outreach extending all the way back to 2017. And with that, we will close the presentation and make ourselves available for any questions.

CHAIR HOOD: Thank you very much, Mr. DeBear, as well as Mr. Voudrie. Appreciate your presentation and I appreciate -- I shouldn't jinx myself because I just may do that, but I appreciate the full support that I see here in the record. And I think a lot of that -- I was sitting here thinking well, there seems to be not any concerns or any issues, but I think a lot of that may go to Mr. Bradley. I think you said his name is Bradley.

One of the things I always ask is who from the development team or whatever team is going on is from the neighborhood. And Mr. DeBear, you conquered that question and you took that right off the table immediately, so I think that has a lot to do with it, walking the dog. And that's the kind of interaction I think that the community appreciates, so as far as I'm concerned, well done. I don't

have any questions. I just hope I didn't jinx everything that's going on here. So we're going to see I my colleagues have any questions or comments. Commissioner Stidham? COMMISSIONER STIDHAM: No, I also -- very well I think you've covered everything and I don't have any questions or comments, either. CHAIR HOOD: And Vice Chair Miller?

VICE CHAIR MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman and thank you, Mr. DeBear and Mr. Voudrie for your presentation. I don't think I have any questions. I appreciate the community outreach and the garnering of support from ANC 7D and the Office of Planning. The 35 dwelling units -- I mean the project that is related to the map consistency zoning case that's gone through the DMPED disposition and the Council, the Mayor Council disposition process. That project that this zoning will facilitate has 35 dwelling units and 11 of which are going to be affordable at the deeper levels that -- a higher set aside and the deeper affordable levels that DC Law 10-801 requires as opposed to our IZ+, which will -- if we approve this, we'll approve it with IZ+. But the disposition -- the LDA actually requires more, is that correct, and deeper levels?

MR. DEBEAR: That is correct. And Stan can jump in, but we didn't touch on IZ+, but Commissioner Miller,

yes, you know, that's 33 percent, roughly speaking, 11 out of 35, I guess 30 percent. So yes, it would well exceed both what's required under the law and what's required under IZ+.

- VICE CHAIR MILLER: And the redevelopment of this
 Boys Club site has had a lot of fits and starts, as you've
 alluded to over the last decade and a half. Is it ready to
 go? Is this the last thing or you still need financing or
 other approvals in order to begin construction should we get
 to a final order approving this?
 - MR. DEBEAR: This is the next logical step. It's not, you know, permit-ready ready to go, but this is required for us to be able to move to the next step, which is to complete design, you know, to get the financing and be ready to build the project. So we have -- yeah, we're not shovel ready, per se, but we are moving expeditiously in that direction.
 - VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yeah. Well, I'm sure you are anxious as the community for a long time to see this long vacant property redeveloped, so thank you very much for your work on this and for your presentation today. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- 23 CHAIR HOOD: Okay. Thank you. And no other 24 follow up questions. Let's move on to -- Ms. Schellin, do 25 we have anyone from the ANC here to represent I think they

```
had mentioned two people?
1
 2
              MS. SCHELLIN: I did not see the chairman that was
 3
    named --
              CHAIR HOOD: Mr. Felder.
 4
              MS. SCHELLIN: Mr. DeBear, who was it that you
 5
    guys worked with at the ANC? Maybe that person is on.
6
 7
              MR. DEBEAR: Brianne Eby Stockert and then the
8
    chair is Wendel Felder, but we (crosstalk) --
9
              MS. SCHELLIN: Yeah, none of those -- none of them
10
    are.
              MR. DEBEAR: Brianne is the S&D commissioner.
11
12
              MS. SCHELLIN: Who is that?
              MR. DEBEAR: Brianne is the S&D commissioner.
13
14
              MS. SCHELLIN: Yeah. None of them are on,
15
    Chairman Hood.
16
              CHAIR HOOD: Okay. I know Mr. Felder is very busy
17
    right now, so --
18
              MR. DEBEAR: He is a busy man.
19
              CHAIR HOOD: Yeah, he's very busy right now. All
20
    right. And I don't see the other person, so we will just
    that letter when we get to that point. Okay.
21
22
              Ms. Schellin, do we have any other government
    agencies, other than DDOT and Office of Planning, any other
23
24
    government agencies?
              MS. SCHELLIN: No, sir.
25
```

```
1
              CHAIR HOOD: I don't believe we have DDOT as well,
 2
    right?
 3
              MS. SCHELLIN:
                             I'm sorry. What was that?
              CHAIR HOOD: I said I don't think we have DDOT as
 4
 5
    well, right? We don't have DDOT.
 6
              MS. SCHELLIN:
                             That's correct.
 7
              CHAIR HOOD: Okay. All right. Let's go to the
8
    Office of Planning.
9
              MS. MYERS: Good afternoon, Commissioners.
              CHAIR HOOD: Good afternoon, Ms. Myers.
10
11
              MS. MYERS: Crystal Myers for the Office of
12
    Planning. The Office of Planning recommends approval of
    this request for a map amendment at 261 17th Street
13
14
    Southeast. This map amendment would rezone the property
    from RF1 to RA2. The future land use map designates the
15
16
    property for moderate density residential uses and the
17
    generalized policy map designates the property as a
    neighborhood conservation area. RA2 is a moderate density
18
19
    residential zone, so the proposed map amendment would not be
2.0
    inconsistent with these designations.
              OP recommends that IZ+ be applied in this case.
2.1
22
    IZ+ would be the base affordable housing requirement, but
23
    the Applicant's DMPED approved plans include more affordable
24
    housing than required by IZ+.
```

OP conducted a racial equity analysis of the

```
1
    rezoning and has updated it since the set down report. The
 2
    analysis for the hearing report used the recently released
    2018 to 2022 data, which is more up-to-date than the data
 3
 4
    that was available during when we reviewed the or did the
 5
    set down report.
              I won't go through all of the racial equity
 6
 7
    analysis again because the Applicant did a thorough job
8
    doing that, so I'll just cut to the conclusion of that,
    which is that the proposed map amendment would bring more
9
    housing, including affordable housing, to the area.
10
                                                         These
11
    affordable units would likely attract or help to attract new
12
    black and other minority households to the area and help to
    increase Capitol Hill's race and ethnicity diversity. And
13
    with that, I will conclude the OP testimony. But of course,
14
15
    as always, I am here for questions. Thank you.
16
              CHAIR HOOD: Thank you, Ms. Myers. We appreciate
17
    your report. Let's see if we have any questions or
18
    comments. I don't.
19
              Commissioner Stidham?
20
              COMMISSIONER STIDHAM: No, I don't have any,
    either. Thank you for your report, though.
21
              CHAIR HOOD: Vice Chair Miller?
22
23
              VICE CHAIR MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman and
    thank you, Ms. Myers, for the Office of Planning's report
24
```

and presentation and all of your work and analysis on this

1 case, with which I agree. And I primarily agree with the 2 conclusion that the proposed map amendment supports the policies in the comprehensive plan, that the future land use 3 4 map moderate density residential designation calls out RA2 as appropriate and the neighborhood conservation area allows 5 for in-fill or reuse and redevelopment of property, 6 7 particularly to meet citywide housing needs, which this, with its affordability set aside in deeper levels will help 8 -- will facilitate. 9 I just -- I note on your Page 11 of your report, 10 11 when discussing the future land use map, it states at the 12 top, it indicates, "This site is appropriate for moderate 13 density residential." Then it has a phrase, which I don't 14 think is -- I don't think it applies here, but just correct 15 me if I'm wrong, "Including a smaller portion at the rear of 16 the site designated as mixed use medium density residential 17 and commercial, low density." Do you see that on Page 11 of 18 the Exhibit 24 to your report? It mentions this small 19 portion. I was looking -- it looks like it's all moderate 20 residential, I don't see the mixed use at the rear, but maybe I'm missing something and you can help me out. 21 22 MS. MYERS: I'm looking at it now. Yeah, I think that's an error. I think we just missed that piece. It's 23 just moderate density residential, so apologize for that. 24

VICE CHAIR MILLER: Okay, thank you. Mr. Voudrie,

```
1
    did you want to speak on that? I saw your raise your hand.
 2
              MR. VOUDRIE: I was just saying that that -- that
 3
    there's a small area in the building that is available
    access for community members, but it's not -- but it's not
 4
 5
    commercial. So I don't know if there was some confusion
    with that, but it's -- there's no commercial.
 6
 7
              VICE CHAIR MILLER: And so just to clarify, and
    confirm, Ms. Myers, it's on the future land use map,
8
    moderate density residential is what the entire site is
9
    shown as; is that correct as far as --
10
              MS. MYERS: Just moderate density residential.
11
12
              VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yeah, okay. Thank you. I
    appreciate that clarification and all of your work on this.
13
14
    Thank you very much. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
15
              CHAIR HOOD: Thank you. Mr. DeBear, do you have
    any questions of the Office of Planning?
16
17
              MR. DEBEAR: I do not.
18
              CHAIR HOOD: Okay. Ms. Myers, I don't see anyone
19
    from the ANC, so we are still good to do. Thank you, Ms.
20
    Myers, as always, for your report. All right.
              Ms. Schellin, do we have -- okay, let me just go
21
22
    over the ANC report. I know that in the letter, Chair
23
    Felder had mentioned that I believe Brianne Eby Stockert,
    and forgive me if -- if you ever watch this, Ms. Stockert
24
25
    and I messed your name up, forgive me. And the Economic
```

```
1
    Development Housing Justice and Zoning Alcoholic Cannabis
 2
    Committee Chair, Zack Abramovitz (phonetic), I know I messed
    that up, too, had the authority, if need be, to testify, but
 3
    I think this letter speaks for itself. They voted 9-0-0
 4
 5
    with five commissioners required for a quorum. And that's
    our Exhibit No. 11. My screen is blocking it. So it's
 6
 7
    Exhibit No. 11 and they are in support of this application.
8
              All right. Ms. Schellin, do we have anyone here
    in support or opposition or undeclared?
9
10
              MS. SCHELLIN: Let me check real quick. I don't
11
    think we do, but I want to check one more time. Refresh
12
    that. I'm not sure if Nikosi Bradley was part of the
13
    Applicant's team or not.
              MR. DEBEAR: Yes, he is.
14
15
              MS. SCHELLIN: Okay. So then, in that case, we
16
    have no one else.
17
              CHAIR HOOD: Mr. DeBear, is it safe to say that
18
    Nikosi Bradley is in support or opposition?
19
              MR. DEBEAR: I think it's safe to say he's in
20
    support.
              CHAIR HOOD: I'm just -- I'm just having -- I
21
22
    don't have a lot of fun. We don't have fun most of the
23
    time, so we're having fun here today.
24
              MR. DEBEAR: I love it. I love it.
```

CHAIR HOOD: All right. Okay. Do you have any --

1 thank you, we've already done our checks and no one's here. 2 The ANC letter stands as written. Mr. DeBear, do you have 3 any closing? MR. DEBEAR: I do not. I just want to thank the 4 5 Commission for their time. CHAIR HOOD: Okay. Thank you all. 6 I don't know 7 if my colleagues need anything else or have any questions, but I'm ready to move forward. Certainly, we don't want to 8 delay projects that -- I mean applications that we could 9 move forward when we have enough that we have to work quite 10 11 a bit on, so with that, let me, first of all, see how we 12 want to proceed. I think we're ready to move forward. 13 Would somebody like to make a motion? VICE CHAIR MILLER: I'll make a motion, Mr. 14 15 Chairman, if that's okay. I would move that the Zoning 16 Commission take proposed action, it's a two-vote case, I 17 believe, proposed action on Zoning Commission Case 23-23, 18 that's a map amendment to rezone 261 17th Street Southeast, 19 that's Square 1088, Lot 802 from RF1 to RA2, with an 20 inclusionary zoning plus designation associated with the map amendment and ask for a second. 21 22 COMMISSIONER STIDHAM: Second. 23 CHAIR HOOD: It's been moved and properly second.

25 Schellin, would you do a roll call vote, please?

Any further discussion? Not seeing any signs. Ms.

1 MS. SCHELLIN: Yes. 2 Commissioner Miller? 3 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yes. MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Stidham? 4 5 COMMISSIONER STIDHAM: Yes. 6 MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Hood? 7 CHAIR HOOD: Yes. MS. SCHELLIN: The vote is 3-0-2 to approve the 8 proposed action in Zoning Commission Case No. 23-23, the 9 minus two being the Commissioner Imamura who is not present 10 11 and not voting and the third mayoral appointee seat which is 12 vacant. 13 CHAIR HOOD: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Schellin. 14 Before I thank everybody for this case, I'm looking here for our next -- we don't meet again until June the 27th? 15 16 MS. SCHELLIN: No, I think it's before then. 17 have a meeting, I've got to send out the Webex invite for 18 that. We have a meeting on the 13^{th} , I believe, no, the 9^{th} , 19 so -- oops, wrong month, sorry. We have a meeting on the 20 13th, so next Thursday. CHAIR HOOD: Okay. All right. So again, the 21 22 Zoning Commission will meet again next Thursday, June 13th, 23 which is our regular meeting. So with that, and we'll be on 24 these same platforms at 4:00 p.m. So with that, let me 25 thank this applicant, Mr. DeBear and your team. Great job,

```
1
    quick night. You all keep doing the great work you're
 2
    doing, especially with -- let me get the person's name who
    walks the dogs in the neighborhood, keep communicating with
 3
 4
    the people, I don't recall his name and I'm sure -- Bradley,
 5
    make sure you continue to walk the dogs and keep the
 6
    constant communications with the community.
 7
              So with that, unless I hear from others, this
    meeting is adjourned -- this hearing is adjourned. Thanks,
8
9
    everybody.
10
               (Whereupon the hearing was concluded.)
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

ı	
1	REPORTER CERTIFICATE
2	
3	This is to certify that the foregoing transcript
4	In the matter of: Public Meeting
5	Before: DCZC
6	Date: 06-03-2024
7	Place: Virtual Public Meeting
8	was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my
9	direction; further, that said transcript is a true and
10	accurate record of the proceedings.
11	
12	
13	Elary Thell
14	
15	Reporter Name
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	