## GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA ZONING COMMISSION

VIRTUAL PUBLIC MEETING

VIA WEBEX

MEETING SESSION

MONDAY, APRIL 1, 2024

The Public Meeting of the District of Columbia Zoning Commission convened via videoconference pursuant to notice at 4:00 P.m. EDT, Anthony Hood, Chairman, presiding.

## ZONING COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT:

ANTHONY J. HOOD, Chairperson ROBERT MILLER, Vice Chairperson JOSEPH S. IMAMURA, Commissioner TAMMY STIDHAM, Commissioner

OFFICE OF ZONING STAFF PRESENT:

SHARON SCHELLIN, Secretary

OFFICE OF ZONING LEGAL DIVISION STAFF PRESENT:

DENNIS LIU, Esquire

Diversified Reporting Services, Inc.

1426 Duke Street
Alexandria, Virginia 22314
(202) 467-9200

## C O N T E N T S

Case No. 23-14
14th Street Collective Property Owners

6

| 1  | PROCEEDINGS   |
|----|---|
| 2  | (4:00 p.m.)   |
| 3  | CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Good afternoon ladies and                 |
| 4  | gentleman. Today's date is April 1, 2024.                   |
| 5  | We are convening and no April Fool's jokes.                 |
| 6  | I'm not going to do that. We are convening and broadcasting |
| 7  | this public hearing by videoconferencing.                   |
| 8  | My name is Anthony Hood and I'm joined by Vice              |
| 9  | Chair Miller and Commissioner Stidham and Commissioner      |
| 10 | Imamura. We are also joined by the Office of Zoning Staff,  |
| 11 | Ms. Sharon Schellin, as well as Mr. Paul Young, who will be |
| 12 | handling all of our virtual operations, as well as Mr.      |
| 13 | Dennis Liu, our Office of Zoning Legal Division Counsel.    |
| 14 | We will ask all others to introduce themselves at           |
| 15 | the appropriate time. The virtual public hearing notice is  |
| 16 | available on the Office of Zoning's website. This           |
| 17 | proceeding is being recorded by a court reporter. The       |
| 18 | platforms used are Webex and You Tube Live.                 |
| 19 | The video will be available on the Office of                |
| 20 | Zoning's website after the hearing. All persons planning    |
| 21 | to testify should have signed up in advance and will be     |
| 22 | called by name at the appropriate time.                     |
| 23 | At the time of sign up all participants will                |
| 24 | complete the oath or affirmation required by Subtitle Z     |
| 25 | 48.7. Accordingly, all those listening on Webex or by phone |
|    |   |

will be muted during the hearing and only those who have signed up to participate or testify will be unmuted at the appropriate time.

On call, please state your name before providing your testimony. When you are finished speaking please mute your audio. If you experience difficulty accessing Webex or with your telephone call in, or have not signed up, then please call our OZ hotline number 202-727-0789.

If you wish to file written testimony or additional supporting documents during the hearing then please be prepared to describe and discuss it at the time of your testimony.

The subject of this evening's case is Zoning

Commission Case number 23-14. This is the 14th Street

Collective Property Owners Map Amendment at Square 2704,

Lots 1, 16,17, 824, 56 through 61, and Square 2706, lots 49

through 54, 18 through 26, 4600 through 4604, 4620 through

4632, 4700 through 4730, 14th Street, N.W.

Again, today is April 1, 2024.

The hearing will be conducted in accordance with the provisions of 11Z, Chapter 4 as follows: preliminary matters of this case. Our Applicant has up to 60 minutes if needed. I would ask that you hit the major highlights and maybe address some of the opposition that has been entered into the record.

| 1  | Report of other government agencies, report of the          |
|----|---|
| 2  | District Department of Transportation the Department of     |
| 3  | Transportation, Office of Planning, Report of the ANC,      |
| 4  | testimony of organizations five minutes and individuals     |
| 5  | three minutes, and we are hearing in the following order    |
| 6  | from those who are in support, opposition, or undeclared,   |
| 7  | and then we'll have rebuttal and closing by the Applicant.  |
| 8  | Again, the OZ hotline number is 202-727-0789 for            |
| 9  | any concerns during these proceedings.                      |
| 10 | Let me just remember who again, this ANC the                |
| 11 | ANC in this case is 4E, respectively.                       |
| 12 | At this time the Commission will consider any               |
| 13 | preliminary matters. Does the staff have any preliminary    |
| 14 | matters?  |
| 15 | MS. SCHELLIN: Just very quickly, the Applicant is           |
| 16 | represent by Marty Sullivan. There are no proffered expert  |
| 17 | witnesses. We do have an ANC 4E report at Exhibit 23 in     |
| 18 | support. The OP Hearing Report is at Exhibit 25. Then       |
| 19 | there is a DDOT Report at Exhibit 24.                       |
| 20 | The Applicant plans to take about 20 minutes for            |
| 21 | its presentation, and so I turn it over to the Commission.  |
| 22 | Thank you.  |
| 23 | CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you, Ms. Schellin.                  |
| 24 | Let's bring up Mr. Sullivan and his team. And               |
| 25 | again I would ask you to hit the highlights and some of the |

1 concerns that have been addressed, especially in opposition 2 and how you meet the necessary requests. CASE NO. 23-14 3 4 MR. SULLIVAN: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Marty 5 Sullivan with Sullivan & Barros here on behalf of the I believe we do have with us as, or we should, 6 7 several of the members of the Applicant team, and they 8 wanted to open -- our total presentation should be about 20 9 minutes and about 5 minutes of that was going to be open with the property owner. 10 If -- and I believe it's George and Audrey Nwanze, 11 12 and Taalib-Din Uqduh and Norm Veenstra, and they may be all 13 together on the same location. CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay, Mr. Young, if we can 14 bring everybody up. And Mr. Sullivan, whenever you're ready 15 16 you may start. So thank you, Mr. Chair. We'd like 17 MR. SULLIVAN: 18 to start with the Applicant team. They'd like to make an 19 introduction and talk a little bit about the background of 20 the ownership team and how we got to this point, and then I can go into my PowerPoint presentation after that. 21 22 Audrey or George, whoever wants to start. might be muted. We can't hear you. 23 24 MS. NWANZE: We're going to start a little out of

turn because Taalib-Din Uqduh is about to arrive in a couple

25

of minutes. 1 2 I am speaking to who we are as a collective. We 3 are a group of property owners who have been here most of us 4 over 20 years. Most of us are long term property owners, 5 we're family owned businesses. Several of us operate businesses within our properties, and we've always wanted 6 7 the best for our community. 8 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: If you could just identify yourself, who is speaking, that would be --9 MS. NWANZE: Oh, sorry. Sorry about that. 10 Му 11 name is Audrey Nwanze, and I am representing I guess property 4710 14th Street. Was that enough or did I have to 12 13 add any more? CHAIRPERSON HOOD: No, that's good. I just wanted 14 15 you to give your name. You're good with the response. 16 MS. NWANZE: All right. So we've always wanted 17 the best for our community. We're not outsiders. 18 of us live here and work here. We want to stay here as well

the best for our community. We're not outsiders. Several of us live here and work here. We want to stay here as wel and leave legacies for our family, hence the coordinated effort. A lot of us have children that work along the corridors, and this is not just a business for us, this is also home.

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

What do we want, why are we doing this, and one of my colleagues will speak to that, Norm. But I just want to touch briefly. We want a better business mix. We want

increased foot traffic. We want better shopping, increased occupancy, and improved image. We also want our tax dollars to stay in our community.

A little bit about who I am and my husband. We have been in this community since 1996. We have worked along 14th Street since 1998. We have been a part of many of the business events, 14th Street Economic Development trying to bring 14th Street a Main Street, which was successful in 2002. That was our first Main Street. We were a part of the 14th Street Strategic Area Plan.

Our second main street was as a result of a nonprofit that we put together with businesses and residents to
help create the Uptown Main Street as well. We've been a
part of the 14th and Kennedy Farmer's Market, bringing an
Uptown Clean Team, and getting our community included in the
Grade Street's boundary.

We've also with Taalib-Din and his organization put on the Summer of the Arts Festival in 2012, and we won an award for that event as well.

We've also been instrumental in bringing the community together with community SUPs. We have a Marvel Comic free library, and we have artwork at Emerson, Arkansas and 13th Street as well.

So we're not just, you know, backseat property owners, we are actively involved, and we really care about

our community, and most of this of what we do is funded with our own monies.

Taalib-Din is here, and I'm going to finish up. I hope I haven't overstated my time. But we've got a wonderful mix of businesses here that we truly care about and in our leases we have put that the businesses will stay in their properties if we renovate it. We want to make sure that the businesses that we bring to the corridor do stay. We're not just landlords, we are actively involved in their operation, in helping them survive, in permitted processes. Whatever they need we are there, and they know they can call us at any time to help them.

And this is not just for our tenants. You can go along the block. We have helped several businesses along the corridor because if they thrive then we thrive as well.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you. Hold tight, hold tight for a second. I need to take two minutes. Indulge me for two minutes and we'll be right back. I'm going to take a two minute break because I don't want to miss any of this

(Pause.)

testimony. We'll take two minutes.

Thank you.

2.0

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay, we can come on back. Hopefully everybody is back. I am terribly sorry, so I apologize for that.

Okay, you all make the statement, thank you.

MR. UQDUH: Am I good to speak now?

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: You are, Mr. Uqduh. You can go right ahead.

MR. UQDUH: Thank you. First of all, I want to say good afternoon to the distinguished members of the D.C. Zoning Commission. My name is Taalib-Din Uqduh. I'm a native Washingtonian and D.C. PS educated graduate, Eastern High School Class of 1970. And should God allow me to live another 28 days I'll be 72 years old, and for at least 55 of those years, since the age of 16, I've been an entrepreneur and visionary. Hopefully you will see why that's important as I offer my testimony.

I do want to offer my apologies for not having been better prepared, but until the middle of yesterday it wasn't necessary because as a collaborative we were going to rest on the benefits and the merits of our case and not get caught up in the opposition, because up until whatever time it was last night there was none. So let's deal -- if you don't mind what I would like to do is deal with the here and now.

Of our six opponents one admits he doesn't have a dog in the fight, and the other five, three of whom know or recognize as close in neighbors they all to a person either attended or had the opportunity to attend one of the four

meetings we presented to the community, two of them at the
ANC. And it's worth noting that one of them who I know is
maybe a second or third generation Washingtonian was
informed of our plans before we even filed the Application.

Did that out of respect for our relationship.

- As I move forward with my brief statement I beg your indulgence as this is one of the rare times I'm the feeling sense of emotion of building that I want to get and keep under control, because one I am fasting this blessed month of Ramadan, but two no one has any idea how much I've committed and dedicated the last 22 years of my life just focused on these two blocks in particular, that is 46 and 4700 blocks of 14th Street.
- I own the corner property at 14th and Crittenden Streets, N.W., that's Square 2706, Lot 0054, that I purchased in May of 2002. The following is not supposition, hyperbole, speculation or exaggeration. They are facts in a timeline that can be substantiated by the public record should anyone care to look.
- 2002 I purchased 4700 14th Street. 2004 I started 14UBA. That is the 14th Street Uptown Business Association. From 2005 to the present I've always leased this property. My longest tenant has been there since 2010. And in 2007 14UBA played a prominent role in OP Small Area Plan for Kennedy Street for me to get an idea what a Small Area Plan

would look like for 14th Street.

In 2009 14UBA offered and accepted amendment over
the objections of OP and DMPED to then Counsel Member Muriel
Bowser's legislation expanding Kennedy Street to North
Capitol, to include 14th Street from Spring Road to
Longfellow.

In 2010 to 2011 we participated in 11 meetings and subcommittees that OP sponsored. The Central 14th -- the Central 14th Street Vision and Revitalization Strategy was born out of those meetings, which was approved by the Council in 2012.

In 2014 we received a \$25,000 grant to train 25 guys in urban landscaping along the 14th Street Corridor.

We redid 77 tree boxes. From 2108 -- 2014 to 18 we sponsored a biweekly summer music fest. 2016 we received two city grants, or a second city grant to sponsor the 16th Street Heights Summer of the Arts Festival.

18 and 19 we began ongoing discussions with OP looking for them to do what we're doing before you now. From 2021 to the present we began this process of reaching out to the property owners, and here we are. And last thing I'm going to say to us is this, none of the opponents collectively has immersed themselves more in this issue of this newly introduced racial equity component than I have, and I would remind -- and this -- this mission, you can

check this for itself, you will see testimony that I 1 2 submitted on ZZ Case Number 22-07 in 2022 relative to the 3 Map Amendment for Western Garage as I was looking at it to 4 do the same thing for the Northern Garage renovation. 5 I thank you for this opportunity. I'm sorry it was a little scattered but, you know, so it was yesterday 6 7 when I received this notice that we had this opposition. Thank you. 8 9 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you. MR. SULLIVAN: Thank you, Mr. Chair. And Mr. 10 11 Veenstra was going to have -- Norm, if you could keep it to, 12 like, a minute or so or less. 13 MR. VEENSTRA: 30 seconds. MR. SULLIVAN: Thank you. 14 15 MR. VEENSTRA: Thank you very much to all members 16 of the Zoning Commission for this opportunity to speak about 17 our endeavor and to listen to everything that we will be 18 presenting through Mr. Sullivan. 19 My name is Norm Veenstra. I'm a commercial 20 property owner along with my friends and neighbors on this strip with four properties and a couple of partners that I 21 22 have ownership of. We also have other commercial properties 23 in the same Ward 4 neighborhood. Here on this strip the properties I'm involved in 24

provide space for artists and musicians to rehearse and

25

create their work as well as local entrepreneurs including a Zeke's Coffee franchise here in D.C. If you can call it a franchise. Their location with us here is only their third.

I'm here at this table to support my friends and colleagues very much because this zoning request would give us the ability to have a wider number of commercial uses in the future and a slightly increased amount of commercial space that would be very valuable in the future. Right now it is next to impossible to add any kind of second floor space for commercial or creative entrepreneurial uses, whereas MU-5 space would allow us to do so.

Over the last number of years as I was trying to find somebody for this space that's now Zeke's, I had a great tenant candidate, a long standing dog care, and dog boarding, and dog grooming business, but as MU-3 would not have been able to do that. As MU-5 we would be able to provide pet services along with some other very important uses, such as bring a type of scooters, a bowling alley. Those are some other uses that the MU-5 would give us that we don't have at present.

So a key reason why we're all at this table is to have the ability to be able to do a little bit more with the spaces we already have and to provide even more commercial entrepreneurial space up here at this upper corridor.

Thank you for your time. We really appreciate the

```
1
    chance to speak to you today.
 2
              MR. SULLIVAN: Thank you, and Mr. Young, if you
 3
    could please load the PowerPoint presentation.
 4
              Thank you, Commissioners and Mr. Chair.
 5
              Next slide, please.
              The property is currently zoned MU-3A and the
 6
 7
    Applicants are proposing to change the zone to the MU-5A
8
    zone as is consistent with the FLU Map Designation as
    Moderate Density Residential and Moderate Density
9
    Commercial. The Map Amendment will allow for greater
10
11
    density, thereby allowing the owners to maintain and improve
12
    commercial uses while providing both market rate and
    affordable residential units where they do not currently
13
14
    exist. The rezoning may eventually result in more
    affordable units as the properties would be designated as IZ
15
16
    Plus.
17
              I'll note that ANC 4E is in unanimous support and
18
    the Office of Planning is recommending approval and has
19
    determined that it is inconsistent with the Comprehensive
20
    Plan, and DDOT has no objection.
              On the right you see the chart showing the
21
22
    difference in development standards between MU-3A, the
    existing zoning, and the proposed MU-5A.
23
              Next slide, please.
24
25
              This is the area of the property. This is the
```

west side of 14th Street between Buchanan and Crittenden if
I have that correctly.

Next slide, please.

14th Street Collective is primarily made up of minority business owners with a vested interest in the success of their community as you heard from the Applicants. There are 24 different properties and 13 owners of the subject properties. 10 of the 13 owners are minority. 6 of the owners live in Ward 4, and 4 of those 6 owners reside in the 16th Street Heights and are within walking distance of the subject properties.

It's comprised of nearly all of the commercial building owners along the west side of 14th Street across from the WMATA project at the North End Bus Garage.

Next slide, please.

The Future Land Use Map Designation is moderate density, commercial and residential. It was recently upgraded in the recent Comp Plan Amendment. This designation is used to define shopping and service areas that are somewhat greater in scale and intensity than the low density commercial areas, retail, office, and those uses. Areas within this designation range from small business districts to larger business district uses that draw from a broader market area.

The density typically ranges between FAR of 2.5

and 4.0, with greater density possible when complying with inclusionary zoning or when approved through a PUD. MU-5 and MU-7 zone districts are representative of the zone districts consistent with the moderate density category according to the Comprehensive Plan.

This designation is used to define neighborhoods or areas generally but not exclusively suited for mid-rise apartment buildings and the moderate density designation, that's a typo there, may also apply to taller residential buildings surrounded by large areas of permanent open space. Pockets of low and moderate density housing may exist within these areas. Density typically ranges from 1.8 to 4.0. I'm sorry, that's medium density residential. Although greater density may be possible when combined with the IZ or when approved through a PUD.

And again this is an IZ Plus project that would be 20 percent IZ component for any IZ projects within this area indefinitely.

Next slide, please.

On the Generalized Policy Map the property is located in a Main Street Mixed Use Corridor Area and the proposed amendment is not inconsistent with the Generalized Policy Map as Main Street Mixed Use Corridors are traditional commercial business corridors with a concentration of older storefronts along the street and the

area served can vary from one neighborhood. 14th Street

Heights, Barrack Road, to multiple neighborhoods, including

Dupont Circle, H Street, or Adams Morgan, and their common

feature is that they have a pedestrian oriented environment

with traditional storefronts.

IZ Plus as noted in this case going from MU-3A to 5A triggers a 320 percent increase in FAR if the maximum permitted FAR is utilized and the change in percentage will be enough to trigger a full 20 percent set aside requirement.

Next slide, please.

The Small Area Plan behind this area the properties are located in is Central 14th Street Vision
Plan, the Small Area Plan for the area. The purpose of this Small Area Plan was to direct economic growth along 14th
Street and improve neighborhood retail choices and amenities along the corridor.

The subject properties are categorized in Node 2 of the Small Area Plan, which describes the area as predominantly characterized by one story commercial storefronts and the WMATA Bus Barn. Node 2 has great potential as a future neighborhood hub on 14th Street. Wide sidewalks, attractive streetscape, an active business community, and the Catalytic Bus Barn site will help this Node realize its economic potential.

These properties are directly across from the Bus

Barn site and the Applicants have been part of the community

advocacy efforts for the redevelopment of that site.

Next slide, please.

Some of the Comp Plan policies affected here are the land use element. The proposed Map Amendment will encourage new housing and new affordable housing on the subject properties. 14th Street is a priority corridor in the District, and this would encourage growth along that corridor as well as contribute to neighborhood revitalization. It will create more opportunities for neighborhoods serving retail and commercial uses by increasing available FAR for commercial uses and incentivizing investment in the existing uses and any future uses.

Transportation element will produce transit oriented development around a major transportation bus corridor as 14th Street is designated as a priority corridor.

Housing element, the proposed Map Amendment will encourage new housing where none is currently practical or feasible under the current zoning, and this will help meet the needs for higher density and affordable housing in the area, and it will also aid in advancing the goal of achieving more affordable units in the area.

The environmental protection element, the proposed Amendment would allow for new development on the properties compliant with the Green Energy Codes.

Economic development element, the Map Amendment will encourage additional shopping opportunities along the corridor to better meet the demand for goods and services, and will increase and promote the continuation of the neighborhood's commercial vitality and diversity and the continuation of retaining existing businesses, attracting new businesses, and improving the mix of goods and services to residents and neighbors.

Finally, the Rock Creek East Element, the proposed Map Amendment furthers the goals stated in the Comp Plan regarding the Rock Creek East Element by allowing for potential mixed use housing. Further, it will support the Element's neighborhood shopping area by maintaining and encouraging the development.

Next slide, please.

We will go through the, briefly through the racial equity analysis tool as the Office of Planning has also addressed this in great detail as well.

Next slide, please.

First is the chart showing the guidance regarding the Comprehensive Plan, and the Equity Crosswalk Policies are highlighted on red on this sheet. These are the

elements affected and the areas within them.

Next slide, please.

Part two is community guidance and engagement.

Stakeholders to 16th Street Neighborhood Association, CBE

Neighborhood Association, Crestwood Citizens Association,

and ANC 4E. ANC 4E is unanimous support.

On June 12, 2023 the Applicant sent an email notice to the list serves for the 16th Street Neighborhood Association and the CBE Neighborhood Association, as well as the council member's office for ANC 4E and WMATA advertising a June 2023 in person meeting organized by the Applicant team, and about 20 people attended the first meeting.

On June 14th the Applicant sent an email notice to the list serve for the Crestwood Citizens Association, also mailed fliers advertising the meeting to those located on the 14 and 1500 blocks of Buchanan, Crittenden and Decatur Streets, N.W., was well as the 4500 block of 14th and all the remaining properties within 200 feet.

On June 21st the Applicant provided a summary of the 20th meeting to various stakeholders including Council Member George and ANC 4E Commissioners. The Applicants also informed the stakeholders of the upcoming ANC meeting to discuss the Map Amendment.

The Applicant attended the meeting on June 27th, presented before Commissioners and the property owners.

1 Discussed the history and touched on potential benefits.

2 The owners addressed a few questions about the neighborhood

3 presentation on June 20th to the Commissioners' satisfaction

4 | and the Mayor's -- a representative of the Mayor's office

5 was also present at that meeting.

The Commissioners ultimately voted to recommend a Set down hearing. Commissioner Campbell elected to complete the zoning form. Commissioners Campbell and Ferris held a joint SMD meeting also on July 19th at the Mosaic Church, which the Applicant attended and presented the Map Amendment Application. That notice of the meeting was also sent to the 16th Street Neighborhood Association.

Next slide, please.

Regarding past and present racial discrimination, the first generation of owners in this area who were predominantly white and Jewish lived in the community from about 1920 to 1960, and many homes in this neighborhood had racially restrictive covenants, language in the deeds that forbade the sale or rental to black persons.

In 1948 the Supreme Court ruled that these covenants were not legally enforceable, and the second generation was made up largely of black Americans who moved in from about 1960 to 2000 and became a majority. Now as newer residents of varied races, ethnicities, sexual orientation, ages and life stages move in the neighborhood's

makeup is more diverse than ever.

The 16th Street Heights area in general has undergone a lot of change since the 60s, but still has a neighborhood feel. There are some concerns with house flippers or developers trying to possibly take advantage of older senior citizens. This Map Amendment could address these concerns by increasing density on existing multifamily sites on a major thoroughfare through the addition of new market rate and affordable units and by keeping multifamily development along 14th Street it can potentially curb or slow the drive to flip or convert existing single family homes and avoid driving out existing residents in those homes.

The increased potential for commercial opportunities along a major corridor that are walking distance from neighborhoods would also benefit the community.

Next slide, please.

And the specific areas on Part 4, evaluating the zoning action through a racial equity lens. Regarding direct displacement, there will not be any direct displacement of residents or tenants. There are no residential uses there now, and as you heard the Applicant state that they have promised their tenants that have leases right now that they can stay. And no indirect displacement

as well is not expected to occur as a result of the zone change.

Cultural displacement is unlikely as the additional housing will help Rock Creek East residents remain in the neighborhood and provide greater access to neighborhoods serving commercial uses.

Availability of housing. The current zoning would at most produce approximately six units of housing, resulting in no affordable housing compared to the potential for the up zone, which would result in 20 percent set aside requirement for affordable housing. Current zoning of course is very low density, MU-3A, of 1.0 FAR.

Preservation of affordable housing. There's currently no affordable housing on any of the subject properties. Existing uses are primarily commercial uses.

Home ownership opportunity, the action could provide ownership opportunities as it would provide much greater potential for multi-family condominiums not currently supported by the density in the MU-3A zone.

And housing burden, it would alleviate housing burden by allowing the opportunity for multi-family development that is not currently supported by the limited density of the MU-3A zone and larger unit size. There's no specific project contemplated at this time.

Next slide, please.

And I think that's it. And I'll -- and I'll take a minute to address some of the opposition as well.

The opposition last minute, this application is the last step in what was a long process. You heard Mr.

Uqduh talk about the Small Area Plan and planning activities that started ten and twenty years ago leading up to this point.

This specific step of the process has been going on for about a year. It's had extensive community outreach, and we were all surprised to see letters come in at the last minute, the day before the hearing.

It seemed like a lot of the issues discussed or the issues discussed in those submissions were sort of macro issues, maybe with some dissatisfaction that the property was upgraded to the Comp Plan by the Council's actions, which doesn't really apply to this specific action. And as you heard from the Applicant several of these people did participate or had the opportunity to participate in the public engagement process, and everybody was sort of a bit surprised that this came in at the last minute because they hadn't heard anything up until -- up until that point, any type of concerns or objections to this.

So that's what we have and we're available for questions as is the Applicant. So thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Thank you, Petitioners

```
1
    and Mr. Sullivan and the team. We appreciate Mr. Ugduh, Ms.
 2
    Nwanze and all. Thank you very much for your presentation.
 3
              Let me start first with -- I'll go last -- let me
 4
    start first with Vice Chair Miller. Any questions or
 5
    comments?
 6
              VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman,
 7
    and thank you Marty Sullivan, Audrey Nwanze, Mr. Uqduh, Mr.
8
    Veenstra, others who are part of the 14th Street Collective
    Property Owners Group who is the Applicant in this case.
9
10
    Thank you for your -- thank you to the Applicant for your
11
    community outreach and engagement, particularly with ANC 4E
12
    who has -- which is given unanimous support to the project
13
    as you've said, as well as the Office of Planning given
14
    support.
15
              So this is largely a Zoning Map consistency case
16
    with the Comprehensive Plan, which as you noted was recently
17
    in the most recent cycle of amendments, the Future Land Use
18
    Map I believe was changed to include -- well, correct me if
19
    I'm wrong, Mr. Sullivan or whoever might know to the answer
20
    to the question, I think it may have been previously just
    moderate residential and moderate commercial stripe was
21
22
    added to these properties and other properties along this
    14th Street side of the corridor?
23
24
              MR. SULLIVAN: Yes, that's correct.
25
              VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: So the -- so adding the
```

moderate commercial designation allows for an increase in density particularly to the MU-5A zone as being proposed here from the MU-3A lower density zone. The MU-5A zone is called out in the framework element as appropriate. one of the zones called out as appropriate for moderate density commercial, and this is a mixed use, moderate density residential, moderate commercial designation on the Comp Plan now.

So I see it as more consistent with the Comp Plan than the existing MU, lower density MU-3A zoning, and it will allow for the upgrades and improvements that the Applicant is seeking for this corridor that are consistent with the goals both of the land use element of the Comp Plan and in the 14th Street Small Area Plan.

So let me just ask you to elaborate on your response to the recent opposition from a few people who may be here or not to testify, and I'll look forward to hearing from them if there are here. I've looked at their submissions.

There was concern I guess, and the Office of
Planning noted this concern, and you -- and the Applicant I
think even noted the potential inconsistency of potential
displacement of existing small businesses that are along
this -- that are on the site, on this collective site.
You've pointed out in your statement that I think -- and

```
1
    they were concerned particularly about displacement of small
 2
    minority business owners, and I think you all pointed out
 3
    that most of the -- most of the owners -- most of the
    members of the group that is part of the Application in this
 4
 5
    case are in fact small minority business owners.
 6
    correct?
 7
              MR. SULLIVAN: Yes, that's correct. And I don't
    know if you'd like the Applicant team to respond --
8
9
              VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Yes.
              MR. SULLIVAN: -- in more detail about that
10
11
    question as well.
12
              VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Yeah, just a little bit,
13
           I think it just -- I had saw the written submission,
14
    but I think in a public hearing record it would be helpful.
15
              MR. SULLIVAN: Okay. Yes, the Applicant team,
16
    okay, Audrey, if you would like to address that.
17
              MS. NWANZE: I'll start it.
18
              MR. SULLIVAN:
                             Thanks.
19
              MS. NWANZE: You know, it's hard finding a tenant,
20
    but when we find good tenants we don't want to lose them. A
21
    part of our team is also an agent who could find if we do
22
    renovate any of these buildings, who could find temporary
23
    space for our tenants, a real estate agent, let me say that,
24
    not the FBI or anything, but a real estate agent, who could
25
    find temporary space if we need it.
```

It is definitely all of our intentions to keep the tenants that we have. We in this room have some pretty good tenants that bring a lot of foot traffic along the corridor. It's not just -- and that word tenant, they're more than tenants I think to most of us. We care about their business and their families as well.

2.0

2.2

So we will for sure make an effort to keep the ones that want to stay by temporarily relocating them and then bringing them back, those that want to return to the corridor, because it is our goal to keep diverse business uses as well as diverse business owners. At one time, you know, 14th Street was full of African American businesses and now whether you're going from Colorado down to Spring it's very difficult to find a business owner that's been here, an African American business owner that has been in the community for any length of time.

I think what comes to mind in just one, and that's Hedzole, is the only one, which is at 14th and Colorado, is the only person of African descent to run a business along the corridor besides us and Treehouse. So we want to do everything we can to maintain the diversity that we have now.

I don't know if anyone else wants to -
MR. VEENSTRA: I would like add to that if I may.

Again, Norm Veenstra here. As usual Audrey is underselling

herself. Audrey and George in addition to being owners here they're owners of four properties on this strip and they were owner operators. So they have a completely different perspective of the challenges, and therefore respect for their own tenants and will continue to be respectful.

In addition, George and Audrey and myself own a total of eight of the sites here and also have involvement with sites in other parts of town that if it were to come to a point where we were doing work in any of our buildings we could coordinate with each other, as we have coordinated to create this moment that we're in right now. We would coordinate to help people that are here. If it's, oh, we need to do x, y and z at a location we'll find them a place and then bring them back.

None of this is about any displacement effort in the slightest way at all, zero. We want to bring more here. We are collectively in a place making endeavor. We're in love with this strip up here and we want even more to happen up here.

MR. UQDUH: Lastly, I will just -- lastly, I will just add -- and this is Taalib-Din Uqduh. Lastly, I would just add we have met informally, privately, you know, to discuss that we want to leave this -- these two blocks to look like it is now with commercial on the lower levels and housing above. You know, we've discussed that. We don't

```
1
    want our -- this -- these two blocks to look like Kennedy
 2
    Street where a lot of commercial properties have been lost
 3
    to housing.
              MS. NWANZE: Yes. Yeah, absolutely.
 4
 5
              VICE CHAIRMAN MILLER: Thank you. Oh, sorry.
                             I just wanted to address that
 6
              MR. VEENSTRA:
 7
    inquiry.
8
              VICE CHAIRMAN MILLER: Well, thank you for that
    information. I think that was very helpful.
9
              So you said that you've communicated it to the
10
11
    other business owners who aren't part of the group or to
12
    everybody who is part of the group and not part of the group
    who are in this location, that they can stay and that you
13
14
    would want them to return if there was any redevelopment.
              Is that commitment -- how was that commitment made
15
16
    or how -- or memorialized if it was at all? Is that
17
    commitment just -- it's just a goal that you have that you
18
    want every -- you want everyone who is there to be able to
19
    stay and if there's any future redevelopment that you want
20
    them to be able to have the opportunity to return, but you
    don't necessarily have any memorialization of that
21
2.2
    commitment at this time?
23
              MR. UQDUH: The only memorialization that I would
24
    submit to you, sir, is that my current tenant has been in
25
    place since 2010. I don't know -- I mean, you know,
```

1 longevity is the key. I mean, every landlord will tell you 2 the first thing they want is a good tenant. Mine has been there since 2010. I can't tell you how happy I am with 3 them. No, I do not want to see them leave and will bend 4 5 over backwards, you know, to make it so -- to assist them in whatever way I can so that should any development take place 6 7 that they would -- they would be coming back. And yes, I 8 will put that in writing. 9 MR. NWANZE: If I may. VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: 10 Yes. 11 MR. NWANZE: Commissioner Miller, if I may, George 12 Nwanze, to add to that testimony. 13 One of the things we've been doing with our commercial properties, and we've been doing this going back 14 15 to 2012, in the leases there are provisions in there that

say that there is a possibility that we as the landlords are going to be trying to improve the density on these two blocks, and our properties in particular.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So there is a provision that basically says they have the right of first refusal to choose to stay, and also if we do increase the density, if we're able to do that in the future with commercial space, they also have first dibs at that commercial space before we lease it out to anybody else. And those are in the leases that we currently have with the tenants we have in our properties. So those

```
provisions are written, memorialized in the leases they
 1
 2
    have.
 3
              VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you. Do you
 4
    happen to know what the current vacancy rate is for the
 5
    commercial properties, for these properties in this area
    along -- on the first floor or the vacancy rate just --
 6
 7
    commercial vacancy rate, retail?
8
              MR. NWANZE: There's none on --
              MR. VEENSTRA: Is Ernie on the call?
9
              MS. NWANZE: No, Ernie is not on the call.
10
11
              MR. NWANZE: So it's just Ernie's property.
12
    That's got to be -- on the 2700 block, there's nothing --
13
    there's nothing empty there. Most of the tenants have been
14
    there at least five years or longer. We have a tenant
    that's been in our property for 15 years.
15
              On the 4600 block --
16
17
              MR. VEENSTRA: That's Ernie's.
              MR. NWANZE: -- there's two vacancies.
18
19
              MR. VEENSTRA: Yeah, there's two vacancies.
20
    restaurants, bars were affected by Covid and went out of
21
    business, and both the management company and their agent
22
    and myself as a vested neighbor or agent are trying to put
    new users in the empty spaces in that particular section.
23
    It's 4620, 4630. So that's 4622.
24
25
              MR. NWANZE: So that's two out of 24.
```

1 MR. VEENSTRA: Yeah. 2 VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Well, congratulations on 3 your efforts in challenging times to keep those spaces filled, and obviously this -- one of the goals of this 4 5 proposal is to keep those spaces filled with thriving, with thriving businesses that serve the neighborhood and serve 6 7 the city ultimately. 8 There are no -- there are no residents you said 9 above -- living in the -- in these now? 10 MR. VEENSTRA: No, we don't. 11 MS. NWANZE: None. 12 VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: And so this proposal would facilitate with the -- especially with the IZ Plus, 13 Inclusionary Zoning Plus designation that the Office of 14 15 Planning has recommended go along with a Map Amendment like this that increases density. It will facilitate, maybe 16 17 encourage housing. I think DDOT's report cites 163 total maximum 18 19 I don't know if that's accurate, but certainly 20 people living and working in this -- along the corridor will help contribute to the vitality and diversity of that 21 22 corridor. So I think that that's a good outcome that could 23 happen here. 24 Do you have any comment on that? 25 MR. NWANZE: I guess I'm speaking on behalf of my,

```
1
    my better half, my wife, but this is something that we have
 2
    been working on for years basically to try and attract more
 3
    activity to the corridor because it does -- I mean, we live
    right around the corner. It does benefit us to have a
 4
 5
    neighborhood that we can all walk to and participate in the
    businesses in the corridor.
 6
 7
              And starting with, you know, forming the
    businesses and the organizations that my wife has been
8
    involved in has been all directed to us trying to attract
9
    more people to the neighborhood, residential and commercial.
10
11
    Of course we don't have the residential ability, but we hope
12
    to have that with the approval of the Commission of course.
13
              VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you. Well, thank
14
          I don't think I have any further questions.
15
              Mr. Chairman, I thank the Applicant again for
16
    bringing this -- for your community outreach and engagement
17
    and for bringing this Zoning Map Consistency Case forward to
    the Zoning Commission.
18
19
              I turn it back to you, Mr. Chairman.
20
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Well, thank you very much.
    Let's go to Commissioner Imamura for questions and comments.
21
22
              COMMISSIONER IMAMURA:
                                      Sure. Thank you, Mr.
    Chairman, and thank you to the Applicants.
23
24
              I align myself with Vice Chair Miller and his
```

comments, which were very thorough, so thank you Vice Chair

25

Miller.

The only questions that I had were focused on the relocation assistance, and it sounds as if you're willing to develop, put together an agreement for that, to memorialize that as Vice Chair Miller had described, and that it's more than just helping with the real estate agent to find another suitable suit, but in addition to the logistics there's financial assistance too that it sounds like you're also willing to support for the current tenants that you have.

I think as Vice Chair Miller pointed out to me, Mr. Chairman, this is much of a Comp Consistency Case here. Certainly I think that the increase in density will help with the vitality of the neighborhood there. I don't think that I have any questions for the Applicant. Here again appreciate them bringing this forward.

I do share -- I do want to make one additional comment, Mr. Chairman. I do share sort of the -- or understand their frustration. Looking at the slide that Mr. Sullivan put up about their community engagement and outreach efforts back in June 2023, and here we are ten months later, that they hadn't heard any opposition until the eleventh hour, literally the eleventh you're here, when in fact there was probably some opportunity to convey some of those dissatisfactions at the ANC meetings.

So this seems to be happening a little bit more on

the Zoning Commission, where individuals are coming to the 1 2 Zoning Commission to express their discontent when they've 3 had ample opportunity through the ANC. So I just wanted to make note of that. 4 5 So thank you, Mr. Chairman. I yield back. I will respond, Mr. Imamura. 6 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Ι 7 think people know are trying to misvalue some of the regulations we've put in place and use that to their 8 benefit, but I want them to know, and I think you hit right 9 on it, we're smarter than that. So, anyway. 10 11 All right. Commissioner Stidham. 12 COMMISSIONER STIDHAM: I think my fellow 13 Commissioners laid -- laid things out pretty well, and I 14 really don't have anything to add to what they've already so well covered. 15 16 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. I too want to thank my 17 colleagues. For full disclosure I actually hadn't planned 18 on being here today. I was going to the home opener of the 19 game, Vice Chair, and I didn't even tell you that. 20 because of the rain and stuff I said, well, let me just do 21 my hearing. 22 So that's full disclosure. So -- but when I saw 23 the opposition I too was taken aback. And we take 24 everything seriously. I'm waiting to hear from them. I

think the record in this case, and especially with Ms.

Nwanze's opening statement, not only do we have -- and we don't see this a lot. Not only do we have business owners working together, but we have business owners who are from the community.

And I know Mr. Uqduh. I've seen Mr. Uqduh many times here on the BZA, the Zoning Commission as well and I'm sure others as well. So -- and the full support of the ANC, until I guess -- because when I looked at this Friday I didn't see this opposition, but when I looked at it again this morning I noticed this, and I did put out a call to Ms. Schellin.

But we're going to hear from those who have concerns. But I hear what they're trying to do. I think it's a well thought out plan and as the Vice Chair and my colleagues have already mentioned the consistency. But for me to have a community like this to work together that's a big deal. We don't get a lot of this. I've been around a while; we don't get a lot of this. So I'll be interested to have a discussion with the -- those who have some concerns right now.

Now I understand some of the concerns. There may be unpredictability, but when I hear from the owners, the people who have the money, who are putting -- who are putting the money up, that gives me a lot of assurances, and especially knowing some of the track record and wanting the

```
best for Ward 4 and for their own businesses and being
1
 2
    community neighbors.
              And I get the tenants, tenants, having good
 3
    tenants makes you very nervous. I know all about that. So
 4
 5
    I get that, trust me. I'm nervous now. Hopefully mine is
    not watching. But -- so I get that.
 6
 7
              So let's see -- let's move forward and see how we
    can -- how we can have some resolve with those who may have
8
9
    some issues. But I want to applaud you for the work that
    you all have done. You have plenty of support. You do have
10
11
    a few letters, but we can work through that.
12
              Let me see if my colleagues have any follow up
13
    questions or comments. Okay, none.
14
              Ms. Schellin, do we have anyone here from 4E who
15
    may want to cross examine?
16
              MS. SCHELLIN: Let me see if we have anyone from
17
    the ANC.
18
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: That's not for their report,
19
    it's just to cross examine right now.
20
              MS. SCHELLIN: Just to cross examine. I don't
    think we have anyone here, but --
21
22
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay.
23
              MS. SCHELLIN: -- that would be -- yeah, I looked
```

earlier, and I didn't see any of them, but we're going to

24

25

check again.

```
1
              MR. YOUNG: Mr. Campbell is on.
 2
              MS. SCHELLIN: Mr. Campbell.
 3
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Mr. Campbell, okay.
 4
              MS. SCHELLIN:
                             I only see the Chairperson and the
 5
    Vice Chair being authorized to represent 4E, but we can ask
    Mr. Campbell if he was authorized to represent.
 6
 7
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: We know Mr. Campbell. We will
8
    work through that.
9
              MS. SCHELLIN:
                             Okay.
10
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Mr. Campbell.
11
              MR. CAMPBELL: Yes.
12
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay.
13
              MR. CAMPBELL:
                             I am here. Thank you. Yeah, and
14
    the ANC letter, in fact it's myself or any member of the
15
    Executive Committee is authorized to represent the ANC in
16
    this matter. So -- because -- and it just happens that the
17
    single member district where this project happens to be
    located is mine.
18
                     So --
19
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Oh, really? Due to that
20
    testimony we will see first duly what you just mentioned,
    which you just verified, Mr. Campbell. Do you have any
21
22
    questions of the panel now? We'll hear your testimony, but
23
    do you have any questions?
24
              MR. CAMPBELL: Oh, well I don't want to delay
25
    anything, and I have tried to keep in regular contact with
```

```
1
    the Applicants so, you know, in -- and you all have been
 2
    very thorough, so I don't have any questions. As long as I
 3
    will have the opportunity to offer testimony on behalf of
    the ANC I will be guiet and let the hearing go on.
 4
 5
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yes. And it says or any other
    member, yes, in our Exhibit 23. You're correct,
6
 7
    Commissioner Campbell. We will come back to you shortly.
8
              Ms. Schellin, do we have anyone here from any
    other government agencies?
9
              MS. SCHELLIN: No, sir.
10
11
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Do we have anyone here
12
    from DDOT?
              MS. SCHELLIN: DDOT, I don't think so.
13
14
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: We usually don't, but I believe
15
    -- Mr. Sullivan, you could help me, I believe they are in
16
              I have about six files open, and I'm scared to
17
    close any and then it will shut down.
              MR. SULLIVAN: Yes. Yes, Mr. Chairman, they are.
18
19
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Let's go to the Office
20
    of Planning, Ms. Thomas.
              MS. THOMAS: Yes. Hi. Good evening, Mr. Chair
21
22
    and members of the Commission, Karen Thomas with the Office
23
    of Planning, and we are recommending approval of this Map
    Amendment from the MU-3 to the MU-5 proposed by 14th Street
24
25
    Collective, LLC for those lots within the 4600 and 4700
```

block of 14th Street.

I would just like to recognize again that this rezoning request is -- is actually a combination of the efforts of five property owners who inquired about redevelopment of their properties prior to the 2021 Comp Plan Updates, which at the time would have been inconsistent with the zoning in the Comp Plan. As a reminder, a Map Amendment is reviewed against the maps and policies of the Comp Plan, and it cannot be inconsistent with the Comp Plan.

In particular the FLUM provides guidance to determine what zoning is appropriate for a property, which brings us to this Application, which on balance when viewed through a racial equity lens is now not inconsistent with the Comp Plan including its policies, the Future Land Use Map, and the Generalized Policy Map.

As we've already discussed in our report and by the Applicants' representative, the MU-5A zone directly aligns with the density and vision for the properties' FLUM designation by the framework element, and here we have a traditional commercial business corridor with a concentration of older storefronts along 14th Street, and we have some properties which are underutilized.

This Map Amendment can facilitate other funding and business development mechanisms, which could add a significant amount of new housing and affordable housing.

It could create new commercial space in support of the development goals for the Small Area Plan as well as create new public space to public -- public space improvement requirements.

And the IZ Plus requirement would almost likely be at 20 percent if the properties were to be developed, new development under IZ Plus with income restricted to households with an average income at 50 percent and 60 percent medium family income -- median FFI rather, sorry.

So I won't go through much more of our report except to say that this project, this proposal as we've put here today balanced conflicting policies. While there may be concerns about displacement of small minority businesses we see it is outweighed by potential new development, which is needed, and which would partner with other District programs to support jobs and commercial activity in affordable spaces outside of the central employment areas and the commercial corridors of D.C., and this could in fact reduce the displacement risk for existing small businesses currently at the location.

Again, a rezoning does not dictate the type of development that will actually be built, rather it sets the parameters for the type of building that could be built.

And with that I'll rest the report, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you, Ms. Thomas, and I

really like your last statement. I will probably go back
and keep that in mind when I -- in other cases because I
think that's the gist of it.

We appreciate y'all's testimony, but that last part I think is the gist, especially with the public -- to help educate the public and understand we stay focused on exactly the last two sentences you said, which I think are the focus to these, some of these cases.

So with that let me see if there are any other questions or comments. I'm thinking out loud, so maybe I shouldn't have done that.

Vice Chair Miller.

VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank you, Karen Thomas, for your presentation today and for your thorough Office of Planning Report recommending approval of this Application with Map -- of this Map Amendment with the IZ Plus designation. And thank you also for, particularly for the Comprehensive Plan Consistency Analysis through the racial equity lens.

The -- do you, Ms. Thomas, have a -- I think your report mentioned the potential, and it's just potential since we don't have a project before us. It's a Map Amendment as you've pointed out and emphasized. But the potential for the number of affordable housing, I think in your report it says 17 with the IZ Plus designation. The

1 maximum required potential affordable housing could be 17, 2 which I quess if that's 20 percent that's a maximum 3 potential total housing of 85 residential units? Math is 4 not my forte. But could you just confirm that those are the 5 maximum potential numbers with this Map Amendment that are obviously quite significantly larger numbers than what the 6 7 existing zoning would allow. 8 MS. THOMAS: Yeah, that's -- that's based on our calculations that we -- we use. We map the full potential 9 of the block. We use -- use the total square footage and we 10 11 do our calculations based on that. So that's -- that's an 12 approximate of what we believe that could be potentially created on the site if in fact some of the lots do -- or all 13 of the lots do include residential in the future to the 14 maximum, but to the max of the FAR. 15 16 VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Right. And it's --17 MS. THOMAS: If it doesn't happen, it doesn't have 18 to do that. But it is significantly more than none that we 19 have right now. So whatever, whatever comes up. 20 And when we look at -- when we look at it, as in past cases we have discussed that there's not a lot of 21 22 opportunity west of 16th Street for adding affordable housing units because of the develop -- they're developed in 23 24 D.C. at the moment, and particularly up that, up that way.

It does not allow for a lot of multi-family units and as --

```
1
    as the Applicant brought out it could prevent some -- some
 2
    of these homes from flipping into a multi-family units where
 3
    it is not as desirable for the neighborhood, and it
    maintains multi-family units along the corridor where it's
 4
 5
    more beneficial for transportation and having access to
    Metro, et cetera, and shopping.
 6
 7
              VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you for that
8
    response. I appreciate that.
9
              You don't know where DDOT got their 163 maximum
    potential housing count from? It looks to be almost double
10
11
    what your --
12
              MS. THOMAS:
                           No.
13
              VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: -- your estimate is.
14
    I assume it didn't come from you all.
15
              MS. THOMAS: No, I can't -- I can't speak to
16
    DDOT's calculations, yeah.
17
              VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER:
                                        Okay.
18
              MS. THOMAS: I'm sorry.
19
              VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: No, that's fine.
20
    don't have any further questions. As you pointed out it is
    primarily a Zoning Map consistency case with the recent
21
22
    upgrade of the Comp Plan to include moderate commercial
23
    striping along this corridor on this side of 14th Street,
24
    and it's also -- it supports goals in the 14th Street Small
25
    Area Plan.
```

1 And in the Policy Map your report points this out, 2 you may have just said this as well, but I forgot to say it 3 when I was talking about Comp Plan consistency. This site is a big part of the Main -- 14th Street Main Street Mixed 4 Use Designation on the Comp Plan. So Main Street Mixed Use 5 that's what this Map Amendment also will facilitate to MU-6 7 5A. So thank you very much for your report again and 8 that's it, Mr. Chairman. 9 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you. Commissioner 10 11 Imamura. 12 COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 13 Again thank you Vice Chair Miller for your probing and 14 insightful questions. 15 I don't have any questions for you, Ms. Thomas, 16 other than just a comment, and I guess I just share this and 17 put this out there. Any time we're talking about MU-5 and 18 moderate density I am optimistic and hopeful that, you know 19 -- let me back up. 20 As I've said before, zoning is an imperfect -isn't perfect and it's also -- and I'll add to that, it's 21 22 also nuanced. And what I mean by that is the Regulations ZR 16 describes MU-5 as medium density, whereas the FLUM shows 23 24 it as moderate density. Any time we get a Map Amendment

that puts MU-5 out there it's helpful to have some

clarification around that, especially for those who don't work in zoning day in and day out.

So I do look forward to when OP puts forward a Text Amendment to change that description in ZR 16 from medium to moderate so that way we can have better alignment there and there is consistency. But outside of that, Ms. Thomas, thank you as always for your report and for the work that you do for the residents of D.C. I know these reports take time and yours are always through and very helpful to contribute to helping us make an informed decision.

So Mr. Chairman, I yield back.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you. And I would say more -- not that I have to respond or anything, but I think it's important to note it took us nine years to do ZR 16, nine -- I was here for the whole time.

And one of the things that Price George's County when they reached out to ask D.C. how we did it I told the Council of Prince George's County whatever you do don't take nine years. And as you can see we still have some corrections that we need to tighten up. So thank you for bringing that up and looking forward to it as well and making it easier as well, so thank you.

Commissioner Stidham, any questions or comments?

COMMISSIONER STIDHAM: No, sir. Just thank you,

Ms. Thomas, for your report.

1 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. I too want to thank you 2 Ms. Thomas. I actually want to ask that Applicant or Mr. 3 Sullivan when you presented to -- and maybe Mr. Uqduh you 4 can help me. When you all presented to the community did 5 you talk project specific or did you talk upzoning or zoning, change of zoning? What was the conversation like? 6 7 I know we're doing OP right now, but I'm just curious. 8 MS. NWANZE: It was just the change of zoning. don't have a project. There is no project. 9 10 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. 11 MR. VEENSTRA: And we spoke to the benefits. 12 Again I'll beat my horse to the ground here of increased 13 commercial potential. CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay, okay. Thank you for that 14 15 answer because that's the answer I was looking for, but I 16 didn't think I was going to get that answer. But maybe --17 I'm -- I asked that because it's helped me in other cases. 18 I'm trying to wrap my arms around something that's been 19 going on, but this is -- this may not have been the best 20 case to ask it, but I've always believed seize the moment, 21 so thank you. 22 Let's go to the ANC. Do you have any cross or any cross of Office of Planning, Commissioner Campbell? 23 24 MR. CAMPBELL: I do not, but I do want to note 25 that I had the opportunity to speak with the Office of

```
1
    Planning. Ms. Thomas was very generous with her time, and
 2
    we spent over an hour on the phone prior to the ANC having
    drafted the letter. So I'm very grateful for the thorough
 3
    report that was produced and for the amount of time that she
 4
 5
    took with me to educate me particularly about the ins and
    outs of IZ Plus, so thank you.
 6
 7
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you, Mr. Campbell, for
8
    bringing that up, and I'm sure the Office of Planning
9
    appreciates it. They don't get a lot of compliments, but
    when they do get them it's so noted. We know the work that
10
11
    they do, and all the time it's not as easy, but we
12
    appreciate you bringing that up.
13
              And Ms. Thomas, I'm sure that Ms. Steingasser and
14
    upper management has probably heard that, but if not play
    this back and let them hear it. Okay.
15
16
              All right, Ms. Schellin, I think is the ANC,
17
    correct?
              MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, the ANC Report is next.
18
19
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Mr. Campbell, you may
20
    begin.
21
              MR. CAMPBELL: All right. Shoot, I had just
22
    killed my video but -- all right.
23
              I need to begin my testimony with an explanation
    regarding the ANC letter. The Applicant had brought to my
24
```

attention several weeks ago that some of the documents in

the case file were incomplete in their reference to the street addresses of the subject property, and the ANC letter used the description from the OP report, which was 4600 through 4630 14th Street, N.W., except for 4606 through 4618. The Application as filed lists the address as 4600 to 4604, 4620 to 4632, and 4700 through 4730 14th Street, N.W.

So the intention of the ANC was for the letter to cover the entirety of the Application, and I also do want to note that the ANC letter includes lots and squares which are all accurate, so just to clear up any confusion because I was told I could make this explanation in lieu of actually amending the existing letter.

So ANC 4E was created through the redistricting process and convened in 2023. However, I've represented this area previously as a member of ANC 4C. I've been somewhat dismayed by the response of some people in the community, which I noted last year when the Applicant initiated is outreach and engagement efforts.

And prior to an exceptionally poorly attended

Joint SMD meeting about the Application that was held with

me and my colleague at the time, Commissioner Carla Ferris,

it was conveyed that there were those who remained

dissatisfied and generally apathetic regarding the

previously rendered decision of this body relating to the

planned unit development at 4618 14th Street, and this

apathy was generally expressed with the sentiment what difference does it make how the neighbors feel.

So now, of course, this fails to take into account that 14th Street is a commercial corridor in a major metropolitan area. Development in this area has always been a when rather than an if. Nor do I believe that the prospect of development in an urban area is a portent of the apocalypse.

I do note that the fears initially voiced by some of the neighbors have been realized to a degree. The 4618 PUD served as sort of a beach head the Application before you today is the second Map Amendment request to be considered in this immediate area since the 4618 PUD decision.

However, there is no imminent development project associated with this Application, and the collective property owners have been compelled to take this action. Increased density was a component of the 2012 Central 14th Street Vision Pla, the Revitalization Strategy Small Area Plan. But this element was never implemented. The future Land Use Map and the 2021 Comprehensive Plan indicate the lots in this Application are appropriate for mixed use development, a combination of moderate density residential and moderate density commercial.

A large percentage of the property owners are

residents in the area and have existing businesses in this corridor. Also the business owners collective is comprised primarily of people of color. In addressing a racial equity element the ANC finds this highly relevant.

Further, the owners are good neighbors and they have expressed a desire to continue to be such. They have no designs to initiate immediate development, and the ANC notes that in order to maximize development would require the entire parcel -- or the entire parcel of the properties, which would necessitate a consensus among those owners, and that doesn't seem likely to me. And ownership has indicated that they would be inclined to buy out any of their group whose primary interest became to capitalize on the increased density were the Application to be granted.

The ANC believes this Application to be about the future of the Upper 14th Street Corridor. Included in the summary of the planning analysis of the Application the OP set down report reads that, and I quote, "It is not anticipated that there would be negative impacts or outcomes associated with the zoning action given the potential that the MU-5A zone provides for development of more housing and affordable housing with varied unit sizes and affordability, which in turn can help provide additional housing options for low income households who are majority residents of color," unquote.

1 This and the various other factors listed in the 2 ANC letter lead the ANC to offer its full support for this 3 Application. Thank you, Commissioner 4 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: 5 Campbell for all the work that you have done. I think the first ANC -- was it 4C before restricting? 6 7 MR. CAMPBELL: That is correct. 8 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: And now you're in 4E? MR. CAMPBELL: That is correct. 9 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. So thank you for all the 10 11 work and the thoughtfulness you have done in many projects, 12 you and your colleagues both past and present. Let me see if my colleagues have any questions of 13 you. Vice Chair Miller. 14 15 VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, 16 and thank you Ulysses Campbell for your testimony and report 17 from the ANC 4E and for all of your work in the community. I have no questions, thank you. 18 19 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Commissioner Imamura. 20 COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you, Commissioner Campbell for your report and your 21 22 analysis. I always enjoy when you come before the 23 Commission. You always, yeah, deliver a really great 24 assessment of what's happening in your neighborhood, so I 25 appreciate that and the lens that you look through.

1 So thank you for the work and time that you've put 2 into your neighborhood and for the work that you do as a 3 Commissioner and for the city, so thank you. Mr. Chairman, I yield back. 4 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay, thank you. Commissioner 5 6 Stidham, any questions or comments? 7 COMMISSIONER STIDHAM: No questions or comments, just thank you for your time here today and to emphasize 8 9 Commissioner Imamura's appreciation of your efforts in the community. It's greatly appreciated. Thank you. 10 11 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. And let me see if -- Mr. 12 Sullivan, any questions or cross? 13 MR. SULLIVAN: No. Thank you, Mr. Chair. CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay, thank you. Thank you 14 15 again Commissioner and keep up the good work. We appreciate 16 you. 17 MR. CAMPBELL: Thank you, Mr. Chair, and to all the members of the Zoning Commission. 18 19 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: All right, Ms. Schellin, I 20 think now we are ready for support, opposition or undeclared, and I know we don't have a lot of -- well, we 21 22 have support. I don't know if they've signed up, but I know 23 we have a few opposition. 24 MS. SCHELLIN: We only have two people signed up 25 and they're both in opposition.

```
1
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Let's bring both of them
 2
    on.
 3
              MS. SCHELLIN: Gabrielle Butler and Christina
 4
    Boland.
 5
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. We do have a letter from
 6
             Okay, let's bring both of them up. I think we have
 7
    one from Ms. Boland too, I'm not sure, yeah.
8
              Ms. Butler, you may begin and then we'll go to
9
    Christina Boland.
10
              MS. BUTLER: Hello. Can you hear me?
11
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yes, we can.
12
              MS. BUTLER: Hi. My name is Gabrielle Butler.
13
    reside in the 1400 block of Crittenden Street, N.W.
    my second time in front of the Commission in the last two
14
15
    years regarding the zoning issue. I was one of the neighbors
16
    involved in the opposition to the large parcel of the Map
17
    Amendment in the Upzone in the 4600 block of 14th Street,
18
    N.W.
19
              With regard to the Application in front of you,
20
    23-14, I attended two in-person meetings at least. One was
    held outdoors behind the parcel in the 4600 block of 14th
21
22
    Street, N.W., and I was I believe the only member of the
    public at the meeting at the Mosaic Church where the two ANC
23
24
    members were there along with the Applicants.
```

I expressed at the outdoor meeting that I had

intended to oppose this Application. I also expressed when
I was the only member of the public at the meeting at Mosaic
that I intend to oppose this meeting -- I mean this
Application.

I sent in my opposition yesterday because, I mean, like someone had just mentioned previously I was beat down from my experiences in 2021 and 2022 in dealing with the project in the 4600 block. And I know the city's intentions in terms of having more density available in the city, but I've expressed that openly at the two meetings, at least two meetings that I have attended.

And if this were a situation where the Upzone would just be for additional commercial -- like, wider commercial use outside of something with another liquor license or outdoor entertainment I would not be before you with any opposition. I would have no problem with them having more commercial uses available for their properties.

But in terms of just having -- I'm opposing something that I don't even know the unknown end result of, and the end result as it could land in terms of the quality and the type of housing that goes up on any of the parcels in the 4700 block or the 46, it's totally unknown in terms of construction, the type of parcel -- the way the parcel would change. And parking it's -- 14 -- the 1400 block of Crittenden Street right now is going to catch the brunt of

many changes that are -- one, at least one big change in

likely this project that are going to negatively affect the

neighbors because we're right on the zone of the big project

there, big parcel on the other side.

And, you know, I've -- I'm making my opinion known. But I've expressed from the start of how I feel about this project. And again I have no problem if it were just for commercial uses, but I understand that the commercial and the residential piece go hand-in-hand.

I submitted a written a written statement yesterday as to how I feel about this issue, but again I was beat down from my experiences of dealing with the parcel at 46 -- on the 4600 block of 14th Street, N.W. and, you know, I have openly expressed how I feel about this project, I mean about this Application. I know many of the owners, have known them. I have lived in this neighborhood since I first took my initial breath in December of 1963. I mean, I'm very friendly with them. I like that they care so much about the neighborhood, and I'm always happy to have a more vibrant, busier area.

But I also know that in terms of the likely negative aspects that will come out of certain types of redevelopment in the 4700 block is something that I cannot support.

So I thank you Commissioners, ANC, Mr. Campbell,

and Applicants, and Applicants' counsel. I thank you for your time. Thank you.

3 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay, thank you, Ms. Butler. 4 Hold tight. We may have some questions for you.

MS. BUTLER: Sure.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Let's go to Christina Boland.

MS. BOLAND: Thank you for letting me say a few words. While I feel like Gabrielle, I think Gabrielle is her name, it's falling on deaf ears because I feel the decision has already been made.

You know, I did originally oppose the proposal for the dance loft and those of us who did it was originally for the reason of the height and density of the project, not the -- because of the affordable housing portion of it. We were all proponents for the affordable housing. It was just if it could be within the guidelines of zoning.

Now how I feel about zoning is that zoning is there for a reason, to protect the continuity of a neighborhood. I'm originally from San Francisco and San Francisco has had many changes, several of which -- many buildings have been torn down and built up, and once you tear down buildings and you build up you can't get that -- those buildings back, you can't get the continuity and the feel of that neighborhood back.

You know, we chose this neighborhood three years

ago for a reason. It was tree lined streets. It had the walkability of the unique balance of a neighborhood feel with the ability to have the restaurants of said block that they want the change. We could still walk to Columbia Heights.

- I'm not comfortable with the language where it states that there's no height limit. The flier that I received had eight stories, and I know that you are using comparisons to Dupont Circle, things of that nature. However, the surrounding neighborhoods, Petworth, Crestwood, Columbia Heights -- the Columbia Heights should you use it, it's way down, down near the Metro station. It is -- you cannot use that when you are thinking of our particular neighborhood.
- It -- I just -- I can't even express the fact that, you know -- like she was saying before me that you can't get on board on something that you don't know in the future what it will be like, especially if you are going to vote on something that says -- you know, doesn't have parameters. You don't know what the building is going to look like. Is it going to be brick, red brick, gray brick. You know, is it going to be sustainable materials.
- You know, again I'm not comfortable with some of the wording that said it doesn't have a height limit. I was not aware, except through a flier over the weekend, and I do

feel that, you know, what I have to say is falling on deaf
ears. I think the decision has already been made by the

Zoning Board, and I feel that those of the people who
purchased the buildings and have businesses knew of the
zoning when they bought the buildings to begin with and now
they want to change the parameters of the businesses they
purchased.

I understand that things change and, you know, I frequent the businesses in question. I try to give my business to the local businesses, but the fact of the matter is there is zoning -- zoning for a reason, to keep the continuity of the neighborhood. When you change the zoning you change the neighborhood. And, you know, that is how I feel.

And many of us, you know, can't change work to be here. I had a 4:00 meeting and unfortunately that is often what happens when a lot of the meetings are done during the day, and the walkabouts and things of that nature. People have work.

And again I thank you for allowing me to speak at short notice. I think that this should be tabled during a -- you know, if they don't know what they want to do until the project is made available to present, then I think this should be tabled until they have a project to present.

And thank you for allowing me the opportunity to

- 1 say a few words. Thank you. 2 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you both. Thank you, Ms. Boland and Ms. Butler. 3 Let's see if we have any questions of either one. 4 5 Vice Chair Miller. 6 VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, 7 and thank you Ms. Butler and Ms. Boland for testifying here 8 today and Ms. Butler for submitting the written comments 9 that you submitted, which I read, and for your previous participation in zoning -- in a Zoning Commission case. 10 Ι′m 11 sorry that you feel that -- a disagreement with that 12 decision if it means that we have deaf ears; we have ears. 13 I heard a lot of opposition testimony in that case. 14 your opposition testimony in this case. There are reasons to 15 support both cases, which we've articulated here today and 16 in the Zoning Order for that case and the deliberations we 17 had on that case. Sometimes I feel like what we're saying is --18 19 falls on the deaf ears of those who oppose the ultimate 20 decision. I assure you that no decision has yet been made, no deliberations have yet been held by this body on this 21 22 case until today. We have not articulated any public or 23 private position on it.
- I also -- I don't want to -- that's a comment. 25 I'm not asking for a comment in response. I do want to also

2.4

respond to the statement by Ms. Boland that there's no
height limit with the proposed Map Amendment before us.

That is incorrect. The MU-3 existing zone has a 40 feet
maximum height limit. The proposed zone, MU-5A, has a
maximum height limit of 65 feet or 70 feet with inclusionary

zoning.

So there is a height limit. It may be higher than what you would like to see along this corridor or for these properties. It is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan Land Use Map, particularly the change that was made two years — three years ago I guess now, 2021, by your elected representatives at the Council and the Mayor's Office to include moderate commercial striping along with the moderate residential striping that had been there previously, and to include this property and other properties along this side of the street in the Main Street Mixed Use Corridor designation, policy designation on the Comp Plan Policy Map.

So those changes are what have -- we are required as the Zoning Commission, one of our primary responsibilities under the Home Rule Charter is to ensure that Zoning is not inconsistent with the Comprehensive Plan. The Comprehensive Plan was changed. These property owners, this collective of property owners have brought forward a change that I believe is more consistent with the current Comprehensive Plan designation than the -- than the existing

lower density zoning.

So I would just point all that out just for the record to be complete. But I appreciate your testimony here today and I will review it even more carefully. But thank you very much.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you. Commissioner Imamura.

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Ms. Boland, Ms. Butler, thank you both for your testimony.

As Vice Chair Miller pointed out I don't think any decisions have been made. Another aspect of our job as a Commission here is to balance the needs of the community and ensure that our decisions are not inconsistent with the Comp Plan.

Ms. Boland, as Vice Chair Miller pointed out there is a height limit. There are also other requirements too. It's a complex process to work through a Map Amendment, a project, and the way I see it, I know you had preferred that they come forward with a project, but you have two bites at the apple here. I encourage you to stay involved, stay engaged, and I'm not certain -- I don't -- if you attended your ANC meetings at all about this, but I'm certain that they will continue to engage the surrounding neighborhood. I would expect that. I would certainly expect you, Ms.

Butler, and others to continue engaging with them and help shape this, whatever the project may be.

I certainly think that putting forward a Map
Amendment first is sort of the first step in the process.

Other times you see where projects come with a Map Amendment all together, bundled. It certainly moves things along a little more quickly. I think here you have an opportunity to work with them.

I understand your concerns and I thank you for bringing them forward this afternoon and hope that both of you again remain involved.

Ms. Butler, I understand that your term was you've been beat down or you felt sort of exhausted from your efforts. You know, zoning sort of has a long horizon and I would just encourage you to remain engaged too to help shape this. So different points of view help contribute to a better outcome. So I think the Applicant here would benefit from your points of view and others if and when a project does emerge.

So again there hasn't been one put forward yet, so we're just talking about a Map Amendment. So that's consistent or not inconsistent with the Comprehensive Plan and the Future Land Use Map.

So that's where we're at right now, just taking that first step. So please stay engaged both as citizens

and for the benefit of your community. 1 2 So thank you, Mr. Chairman. You're back. 3 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Commissioner Stidham, any questions or comments? 4 COMMISSIONER STIDHAM: No questions, but just to 5 reiterate what is really -- and to really drive home what 6 7 has already been said, this is the very beginning of the process and your engagement in the community can help shape 8 it moving forward. So it is very, very important that you 9 remain engaged as you seem to care about your community, and 10 11 what happens there, and I think that this has the opportunity to further enhance your community of course with 12 13 your and others participation. So please I do encourage you 14 to really stay engaged in the process. CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. And I know -- I don't 15 16 want to beat you down, but I'm going to give you live 17 examples. I've been here long enough to see live examples. And Ms. Butler, I want to come you because I 18 19 actually agree with you, but there are other things I have 20 to look at. But I'm going to come back to you, Ms. Butler. But I will say when this Commission approved the 21 22 Costco, nobody wanted the Costco, they didn't want the gas 23 station. And I think about that. These are real, live 24 situations. I think about that every time I go to the 25 Costco, and I've said this a thousand times here, every time

- I go to the Costco, it's crowded, I got to wait a long time,
- 2 and those people don't know I see them over there too.
- 3 They're some of the main people who are in line, some of the
- 4 main people crowding up the Costco.
- 5 Sometimes -- you know, one thing I -- one thing I
- 6 do appreciate about this city, while I don't always
- 7 understand it, because I remember my sister telling me,
- 8 "We're really messing up the height. I don't know if you
- 9 remember this, but I'll share this, I said this before,
- 10 we're really messing up the height in Brooklyn."
- 11 And then she finally came back and said, "You
- 12 know, I'm starting to -- I'm starting to kind of like that."
- 13 It kind of grew on her.
- So, you know, these -- these changes sometimes
- 15 when we first start is an unknown, and I think in this case
- 16 | what gives me a comfort level is that the people who are
- 17 coming to us live here. That gives me a big comfort level.
- 18 But I will tell you that I have always -- that's
- 19 | why I asked the question earlier because it seems like we're
- 20 getting more and more -- I've had three sets of attorneys
- 21 | since I've been on the Zoning Commission when I first
- 22 appointed by Mr. Barry. I had three sets.
- One of the questions that I always ask when we do
- 24 these Map Amendments how do you not talk about a project? I
- 25 have a dissertation, I just asked this maybe about a year

ago, and I have a dissertation from the lawyer, every time I ask the same question. Ms. Butler, I get a dissertation.

There are other factors that come into play that we have to look at, especially dealing with the Comp Plan. It's a whole long, drawn out -- and I would just encourage you sometime to call and see what the Zoning Commission has to look at. It's more than just what you and I think, because you and I actually agree to that. I actually agree with that. I've actually had a conversation today with staff I'm still trying to understand now, and I've been around a while.

But that is the regulations, and I have to follow the law, and that's what I'm doing. And I'm sorry things didn't go your way in these other cases, but guess what, I have a vote and sometimes things don't go my way. I just have to learn how to compromise, I have to learn how to understand and deal with it.

So -- but I will reiterate what my colleagues said, and I've said this previously, you get better outcomes when you have different sides. Just like my colleagues here and my previous colleagues that I've served with years ago, we always didn't agree on whether a case is up or down, how the case should be. We don't rubber stamp cases like some people accuse us of. We really put a lot of thought behind these cases and now a lot of work is being put into this

- before it even comes to the Commission, which makes our jobs
  a little easier.
- It looks like in this case, and I'll be frankly

  honest, Ms. Butler and Ms. Boland, it looks like a lot of

  work was put into this. It might not be to everybody's
- 6 liking. But, you know, continue to stay engaged, continue
- 7 to work with your ANCs.
- 8 And I was -- I was going to ask the question, Ms.
- 9 Butler, what was -- and I think Commissioner Campbell
- 10 mentioned a meeting that was not well attended. I was
- 11 curious what that was, but now I know because some meetings
- 12 I go to only three will be there. At least you all had one,
- 13 but sometimes only three persons from the public are there.
- It's important to stay engaged, as my colleagues
- 15 | have already mentioned and I've always said, so you can get
- 16 your input in, and you'll get a better outcome. It might
- 17 | not be the outcome you want, but you'll get a better
- 18 outcome. And then sometimes you may get all the outcome
- 19 you want. It all depends.
- Because guess what, there's more than I in the
  District of Columbia. We got a lot of views, and everybody
- 22 has a view. So that's all I'm going to say on that.
- 23 All right. Ms. Schellin, anybody else? And thank
- 24 | you -- let me just say this. Thank you both. I believe
- 25 you're going to stay engaged. I know you're getting beat

1 down, we do too, but I believe you're going to stay engaged 2 and I'm just going to leave it at that. 3 Ms. Schellin, do we have anybody else? MS. SCHELLIN: No one else. 4 5 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: All right. Mr. Sullivan, if you want to do a closing or do you have a rebuttal? 6 7 MR. SULLIVAN: No. No, I don't, Mr. Chair. 8 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Well, let's just do a closing. 9 I'll do super short closing. I 10 MR. SULLIVAN: 11 think, Mr. Chairman, as you stated this is quite a unique 12 group of Applicants, I know it was for me. To have a group 13 of Applicants that are property owners and business operators and entrepreneurs that have gotten together in 14 15 agreement and decided to take actions, following up on what 16 the Council has done to protect, and preserve, and enhance 17 their long term interest in their properties and their 18 neighborhoods. 19 I think from this point then development if it's 20 to happen will happen organically as it does with these interested property owners. Other than that I think that 21 22 the Application is -- has successfully put forth the case 23 that this action would not be inconsistent with the Comprehensive Plan, and we respectfully ask the Zoning 24 25 Commission to approve the Application. Thank you.

```
1
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: And again thank you, Mr.
 2
    Sullivan and the Applicant, the team, the owners, and those
 3
    who have applied for this Amendment.
              Any questions from my colleagues, any follow up
 4
 5
    questions or comments? Are we looking for anything, if not
    I personally am ready to dispose of this. Let me hear from
 6
 7
    others. Vice Chair Miller.
8
              VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Yes, Mr. Chairman, I
    think for the reasons that have been articulated by you and
9
    others here today I'm ready to move forward with the first
10
11
    -- this is a two vote case. I'm ready to move forward with
12
    the first proposed action on this case.
13
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Commissioner Imamura.
              COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: I'm prepared to move
14
    forward, Mr. Chairman.
15
16
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: And Commissioner Stidham.
17
              COMMISSIONER STIDHAM:
                                     I am also.
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. So Vice Chair, since
18
19
    I've been calling on you first this whole, the whole
20
    hearing, could you make the motion.
21
              VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay, thank you, Mr.
22
    Chairman, I appreciate that.
23
              I would move that the Zoning Commission take
24
    proposed action on Case Number 23-14, a Map Amendment from
25
    MU-3A to MU-5A for properties that have been generally
```

```
identified on the west side of 14th Street between Decatur
 1
 2
    Street on the north and Buchanan Street on the south with
 3
    the IZ Plus map designation that was recommended by the
    Office of Planning and ask for a second.
 4
 5
              COMMISSIONER IMAMURA:
                                     Second.
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay, it's been moved and
 6
 7
    properly seconded. Any further discussion? I would just
8
    add, Vice Chair, if the community can still work with the --
9
    I mean, the Applicants still work, and the business owners
    still continue to work with the community as we've always
10
11
    asked. If you would include that into your motion as well.
12
              VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Yes, I would certainly
13
    incorporate that into my motion.
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: All right. So it's been moved
14
15
    and properly seconded. Any further discussion? Not hearing
16
    any, Ms. Schellin would you do a roll call vote, please.
17
              (Roll call vote.)
              MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, sir. Commissioner Miller.
18
19
              VICE CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Yes.
20
              MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Imamura.
              COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Yes and yes to the friendly
21
22
    amendment by Chairman Hood.
23
              CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you.
              MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Hood.
24
25
              CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes.
```

| 1  | MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Stidham.                         |
|----|---|
| 2  | COMMISSIONER STIDHAM: Yes.                                  |
| 3  | MS. SCHELLIN: So the vote is four to zero to one            |
| 4  | to approve proposed action in Zoning Commission Case Number |
| 5  | 23-14. If we could have the Applicant provide draft         |
| 6  | findings, facts, conclusions of law within two weeks that   |
| 7  | would be great.   |
| 8  | CHAIRPERSON HOOD: And Commissioner Imamura is               |
| 9  | exactly right. He seconded it and I was supposed to come to |
| 10 | him. I only made one mistake today. No, it's like I made a  |
| 11 | lot of them today.  |
| 12 | Mr. Sullivan, do you have any I saw you raise               |
| 13 | your hand.  |
| 14 | MR. SULLIVAN: No, thank you. I was just                     |
| 15 | acknowledging the two weeks deadline. Thank you.            |
| 16 | CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Oh, okay. All right. Before I             |
| 17 | close this let me Ms. Schellin, everything else is in       |
| 18 | order for this case?  |
| 19 | MS. SCHELLIN: Yes.  |
| 20 | CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Let me see if I can get             |
| 21 | this right again. The Zoning Commission will meet again on  |
| 22 | April 4th on the same platforms at 4:00 p.m. on Zoning      |
| 23 | Commission Case Number 8604B, Green Harris, LLC, on these   |
| 24 | same platforms.   |
| 25 |   |

```
1
              With that I want to thank everyone for their
    participation. I know all the work that everybody continues
 2
    to do up in Ward 4, and also the ANC, the Applicant,
 3
 4
    everybody.
              So with that this hearing is adjourned. Goodnight
 5
6
    everyone.
7
               (Whereupon, the meeting was adjourned at 5:51
8
    p.m.)
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

| 1        | REPORTER CERTIFICATE                                   |
|----------|--|
| 2        |  |
| 3        | This is to certify that the foregoing transcript       |
| 4        | In the matter of: Public Meeting                       |
| 5        | Before: DCZC   |
| 6        | Date: 04/01/2024                                       |
| 7        | Place: Virtual Public Meeting                          |
| 8        | was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my  |
| 9        | direction; further, that said transcript is a true and |
| 10       | accurate record of the proceedings.                    |
| 11       |  |
|          | Cheel Shifflett  |
| 12<br>13 |  |
| 14       | Reporter Name  |
| 15       | Reporter wante   |
| 16       |  |
| 17       |  |
| 18       |  |
| 19       |  |
| 20       |  |
| 21       |  |
| 22       |  |
| 23       |  |
| 24       |  |
|          |  |