GOVERNMENT

OF

THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT

+ + + + +

REGULAR PUBLIC MEETING

+ + + + +

WEDNESDAY,

MARCH 27, 2024

+ + + + +

The Regular Public Meeting of the District of Columbia Board of Zoning Adjustment convened via Video Teleconference, pursuant to notice at 9:30 a.m. EDT, Frederick L. Hill, Chairperson, presiding.

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT MEMBERS PRESENT:

FREDERICK L. HILL, Chairperson CHRISHAUN S. SMITH, NCPC Designee

ZONING COMMISSION MEMBER PRESENT:

TAMMY STIDHAM, NPS Designee

OFFICE OF ZONING STAFF PRESENT:

KEARA MEHLERT,, Secretary
PAUL YOUNG, A/V Production Specialist

OFFICE OF ZONING ATTORNEY ADVISORS PRESENT:

SARAH BAJAJ, ESQ. COMETRIA COOPER, ESQ.

The transcript constitutes the minutes from the Regular Public Meeting held on March 27, 2024.

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

2.3

24

9:36 a.m.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Regular Zoning Board of Zoning Adjustment's March 27th public hearing will please come to order. My name is Fred Hill, Chairman of the District of Columbia Board of Zoning Adjustment. Joining me today is Board Members Chrishaun Smith and Zoning Commissioner Tammy Stidham. Today's meeting and hearing agenda are available on the Office of Zoning website.

Please be advised that this proceeding is being recorded by a court reporter and also webcast live via Webex and YouTube Live. The video broadcast will be available on the Office of Zoning's website after today's hearing. Accordingly, everyone who is listening on Webex or by telephone will be muted during the hearing.

Also, please be advised that we do not take any public testimony at our decision meeting sessions.

If you're experiencing difficulty accessing Webex or with your call in, then please call the OZ hotline number at 202-727-5471; once again, 202-727-5471 to receive Webex login or call in instructions.

At the conclusion of the decision meeting session, I shall, in consultation with the Office of Zoning, determine whether a full or summary order may be issued. A full order is required when a decision it contains is adverse to a party

including an affected ANC. A full order may also be needed if the Board's decision differs from the Office of Planning's recommendation. Although the Board favors the use of summary orders whenever possible, an applicant may not request the Board to issue such an order.

In today's hearing session, everyone who is listening on Webex or by telephone will be muted during the hearing, and only persons that have signed up to participate or testify will be unmuted at the appropriate time. Please state your name and home address before providing oral testimony or your presentation. Oral presentation should be limited to a summary of your most important points. When you're finished speaking, please mute your audio so that your microphone is no longer picking up sound or background noise.

All persons planning to testify either in favor or in opposition should have signed up in advance. They'll be called by name to testify. If this is an appeal, only parties who are allowed to testify. By signing up to testify, all participants completed the oath or affirmation as required by Subtitle Y 408.7. Requests to enter evidence at the time in online virtual hearings such as written testimony or additional supporting documents other than live video which may not be presented as part of the testimony may be allowed pursuant to Subtitle Y 103.13 provided that the persons making the request to enter an exhibit explain a) how

2.0

2.1

the proposed exhibit is relevant; b) the good cause justifies allowing the exhibit into the record including an explanation of why the requester did not file the exhibit prior to the hearing pursuant to Y 206; and c) how the proposed exhibit would not unreasonably prejudice any parties. The order or procedures for special exceptions and variances are pursuant to Y 409. The order of the appeal is pursuant to Y 407.

At the conclusion of each case, an individual who is unable to testify because of technical issues may file a request for leave to file a written version of the planned record within 24 testimony to the hours following the of public testimony and the hearing. Ιf additional written testimony is accepted, the parties would be allowed a reasonable time to respond as determined by the Board. The Board will then make its decision at its next meeting session but no earlier than 48 hours after the hearing. the additional Moreover, Board may request information, specific information to complete the record. The Board and the staff will specify at the end of the hearing exactly what is expected and the date when persons must submit the evidence to the Office of Zoning. information shall be accepted by the Board.

Finally, the District of Columbia Administrative Procedures Act requires that the public hearing on each case be held in the open before the public. However, pursuant to

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

405(b) and 406 of that Act, the Board may, consistent with its rules of procedure and the Act, enter into a closed meeting on a case for purposes of seeking legal counsel on a case pursuant to D.C. Official Code Section 2-575(b)(4) and/or deliberate on a case pursuant to D.C. Official Code Section 2-575(b)(15) but only after filing necessary public notice in the case of an emergency closed meeting after taking a roll call vote.

Madam Secretary, do we have any preliminary matters today?

MS. MEHLERT: Good morning, Mr. Chairman, members of the Board, just a few. Application Number 21080 of Aulona Alia was originally scheduled for today's hearing. That's been rescheduled to the June 12, 2024 public hearing.

Also, the Chairman has reviewed and granted to allow late filings into the applicable case waivers pursuant to Subtitle Y Section 206.7 and Section Any other late filings during the course of today's live hearing should be presented before the Board by the applicant, parties, or the witnesses after the called. Any other preliminary matters will be noted when the case is called.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Thank you. And I'd like to welcome Keara Mehlert. She's going to be the new Secretary now taking over for Cliff Moy. And, you know, we're happy

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

to have you with us and thank you for your service. Okay. Why don't you go ahead and call our first case here if you would?

MS. MEHLERT: The first case in the public meeting session is for expedited review. This is Application Number 21078 of Inci Otker Revocable Trust dated June 5, 2014. application is pursuant to Subtitle X 901.2 for a special exception under Subtitle D 5201.1 from the rear yard Subtitle 207.1 requirements of D and the side yard 208.1. requirements οf Subtitle D This project is to construct a one-story rear addition to an existing two-story attached principal dwelling with an existing one-story accessory structure in the rear yard. The project is located in the R-1B Zone at 5504 33rd Street, NW, Square 2014, Lot And I will note that last night a resolution in support from ANC 34G was submitted to the record, and that's in Exhibit 24.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Thank you. All right. So I have had a chance to review the record. I thought this was relatively straightforward. I didn't have any issues with the light and air or adverse impact on the neighboring properties. I did note that the Office of Planning's report recommended approval, and I would agree with their analysis.

This also is one where I was, up until we just recently got somebody from the ANC, was concerned about the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

outreach that had taken place. I appreciate that the
applicant had put forward something into the record about
their statement of outreach. However, in the future, if
there is someone from this applicant for expedited review and
actually any of the attorneys that work with the BZA on a
regular basis, particularly for expedited review, it's
helpful to see what kind of outreach has happened with the
ANCs more than just "we've referred this case." And the ANC,
you know, something that followed up saying we did hear back,
we did an opportunity we had an opportunity to hear from
them is just something that I would rather feel more
comfortable with knowing a little bit more about what's going
on with the ANC if it's an expedited review. Otherwise, it's
going to get pulled off the expedited review calendar, and
then it just takes more time for all of us if, in fact, it's
something that is something that could have been handled on
the expedited review calendar.
So that being all the items I have to mention for
it, I'm going to be voting approval of this application. Mr.

Smith, do you have anything you'd like to add?

MEMBER SMITH: (Audio interference.)

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Thank you, Mr. Smith. You're kind of broken up or gargly just a little bit, Mr. Smith. I did hear your comments, however. Commissioner Stidham? Commissioner Stidham, can you hear me?

1	MEMBER STIDHAM: I don't think I heard you before.
2	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. I'm saying do you have
3	any comments you'd like to add?
4	MEMBER STIDHAM: No. I agree with you, it's
5	pretty straightforward. I'm prepared to support as well.
6	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. I'm going to make a
7	motion then to approve Application Number 21078 as captioned
8	and read by the secretary and ask for a second. Mr. Smith?
9	MEMBER SMITH: Second.
10	CHAIRPERSON HILL: That motion has been made and
11	seconded. Madam Secretary, if you can take a roll call,
12	please?
13	MS. MEHLERT: When I call your name, please
14	respond to the Chair's motion to approve the application.
15	Zoning Commissioner Stidham?
16	MEMBER STIDHAM: Yes.
17	MS. MEHLERT: Member Smith?
18	MEMBER SMITH: Yes.
19	MS. MEHLERT: And Chairman Hill.
20	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yes.
21	MS. MEHLERT: Staff records the vote as 3 to 0 to
22	2 to approve Application 21078 on the motion made by Chairman
23	Hill and seconded by Mr. Smith with two Board members not
24	present or participating.
25	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Thank you. Ms. Mehlert,

you can call the next when you get a chance.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Next in the meeting session, this MS. MEHLERT: is an advance party status request of Application Number 4711 Ellicott Street NW, LLC. Again, this advance consideration of a request for party status in opposition from a group of neighbors called "The Ellicott Street NW 200 Footers. This is to а self-certified application pursuant to Subtitle X 1002 for a use variance from Subtitle U Section 600.1 to allow a residential use on an alley lot. This is located in the R-1B Zone at 4711 Ellicott Street, NW, Square 1540, Lot 62. And I believe Andrea Ferster here is represent -- here to represent the hearing requester, and the public for this case was originally scheduled for April 10, 2024, and that has been rescheduled to September 11, 2024.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Ms. Mehlert, you do not need to let in the party status person unless the Board has questions for them. We're going to handle this, I guess, in the meeting section of our case -- I'm sorry -- of our docket. The applicant again is requesting for party status for the group of people that are being represented by Ms. Andrea Ferster.

And after looking over at where all of the requesters are located, one of the people that is in the group is actually adjacent to the subject property. And then

1	a variety of other members in the group are kind of
2	surrounding that property and the alley system. And so I
3	think that there are enough people in the group, particularly
4	the adjacent property owner and the ones that are kind of
5	across from that alley system to allow this group as a party
6	in opposition or allow them party status. And this
7	particular group, it seems, is being represented, or the
8	requester is at 4725 Ellicott Street, and that property
9	actually I don't know what I would have thought of that
10	property in terms of it having been uniquely affected on this
11	particular case, but I do think that the other ones
12	definitely would and, therefore, I would be in favor of
13	granting party status for this requester.
14	Mr. Smith, do you have anything you'd like to add?
15	MEMBER SMITH: No. I agree with your analysis of
16	the different parties requesting party status in this in
17	the case, and I would be inclined to support it as well based
18	on your analysis.
19	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Thank you. Commissioner
20	Stidham?
21	MEMBER STIDHAM: I also agree and support giving
22	them party status.
23	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Thank you. Let's see now, I'm

25 actually scheduled, or was there a postponement request that

1	we processed? I can't recall.
2	MEMBER STIDHAM: Right. It was recently just
3	granted a postponement to September 11th of this year.
4	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Great. So I'm going to
5	make a motion to approve the advance party status application
6	of the applicant request by the group that seems to be not
7	seems to be that is a group of people that are following
8	with the filing of 4725 Ellicott Street and represented by
9	Ms. Andrea Ferster and ask for a second. Mr. Smith?
10	MEMBER SMITH: Second.
11	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Motion was made and seconded.
12	Ms. Mehlert, if you could take a roll call, please?
13	MS. MEHLERT: When I call your name, please
14	respond to the Chair's motion to grant party status in
15	opposition to the Ellicott Street, NW 200 Footers group.
16	Zoning Commissioner Stidham?
17	MEMBER STIDHAM: Yes.
18	MS. MEHLERT: Member Smith?
19	MEMBER SMITH: Yes.
20	MS. MEHLERT: And Chairman Hill.
21	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yes.
22	MS. MEHLERT: Staff would record the vote as 3 to
23	0 to 2 to approve party status in opposition in Application
24	21089 on the motion made by Chairman Hill and seconded by Mr.
25	Smith. Two Board members are not present or participating.

1	CHAIRPERSON HILL: All right. Thank you, Ms.
2	Mehlert. I would mention also to the applicant and the party
3	status requesters, I see I will note that the applicant
4	put something into the record that they did not have any
5	objection to the request for party status. And I would point
6	out that since this is a long time before we're going to
7	actually hear this, it won't even happen until after our
8	August break, that it would be helpful for the Ms. Ferster
9	and the representation over there at Sullivan and Barros to
10	communicate together to see if things can be worked upon
11	before they get back to us. So I'll just kind of mention
12	that and this is postponed until, all right, 9/11. Okay.
13	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the
14	record at 9:51 a.m.)
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

<u>CERTIFICATE</u>

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Public Meeting

Before: DC BZA

Date: 03-27-24

Place: teleconference

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate complete record of the proceedings.

Court Reporter

near aus 9