GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

ZONING COMMISSION

VIRTUAL PUBLIC HEARING

VIA WEBEX

MONDAY, FEBRUARY 12, 2024

The Public Hearing by the District of Columbia Zoning Commission convened via videoconference pursuant to notice at 4:00 p.m. EST, Anthony Hood, Chairperson, presiding.

ZONING COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT:

ANTHONY J. HOOD, Chairperson ROBERT MILLER, Vice Chairperson JOSEPH S. IMAMURA, Commissioner TAMMY STIDHAM, Commissioner

OFFICE OF ZONING STAFF PRESENT:

SHARON SCHELLIN, Secretary PAUL YOUNG, Data Specialist

OFFICE OF ZONING LEGAL DIVISION STAFF PRESENT:

JACOB RITTING, Esquire

The transcript constitutes the minutes from the Public Hearing held on February 12, 2024.

Diversified Reporting Services, Inc.

1426 Duke Street
Alexandria, Virginia 22314
(202) 467-9200

${\tt C}$ O N T E N T S

Case No. 23-02

Map Amendment Submitted by Office of Planning to rezone from the MU-4 zone to the MU-10 zone the contiguous properties at 1617 U Street, N.W. (Square 175, Lot 826) and at 1620 V Street, N.W. (Square 175, Lot 827), and to apply IZ Plus

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	(4:00 p.m.
3	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: All right. First of all let mo
4	say good afternoon, ladies, and gentlemen. Today's date is
5	February the 12th, 2024, and we are convening and
6	broadcasting this public hearing by video conferencing.
7	I will institute the other the rest of the
8	opening statement from my previous hearings from my first
9	hearing into this one.
10	Again, we are reconvening Zoning Commission case
11	number 23-02, this is the Office of Planning map amendment
12	from MU-4 to MU-10. It's Square 175, Lots 826 and 827 in
13	Ward 1.
14	Again, today's date if February the 12th, 2024.
15	Joining me are Vice Chair Miller, Commissioner Stidham, and
16	Commissioner Imamura. Also joined by the Office of Zoning
17	staff, Ms. Sharon Schellin and Mr. Paul Young who will be
18	handling all of our virtual operations, and our Office of
19	Zoning Legal Division Mr. Jake Ritting.
20	I will ask all others to introduce themselves at
21	the appropriate time.
22	Ms. Schellin, do we have any preliminary matters?
23	MS. SCHELLIN: Just briefly. There was a motion
24	from the parties in opposition, as you know, asking for a
25	change in the order of the hearing, however, they withdrew

1 that motion so that the public can go first, as originally 2 scheduled. And so that was taken care of. But there was a --3 4 there was a motion filed by a non-party, so they don't have 5 standing in this case, at Exhibit 16, asking for a postponement due to the lack of outreach. 6 7 So I don't know what the Commission wants to do about that. Maybe Mr. Ritting could weigh in on that. I 8 know in the past, when a motion was made by a non-party, the 9 Commission has just dismissed it for lack of standing since 10 11 they're not a party, but Mr. Ritting, maybe you can weigh in 12 on that? MR. RITTING: No, I don't have anything to add. 13 14 Although, I mean, you could also present another alternative to the commissioners that since the substance of the motion 15 16 was to request a complete postponement of the case, you 17 might want to just dismiss it because you don't agree with 18 the substance, as another alternative to lack of standing. 19 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Thank you both. 20 MS. SCHELLIN: Thank you, Jake. CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you both. 21 22 So Ms. Schellin, this issue about the first motion, which was -- you have I have been going back and 23 24 forth with all day about the order of the hearing.

So I really and I mean, it's all right to file

```
1
    motions. I can't stop that, but let's make motions that --
 2
    let's make motions that make sense.
 3
              Ms. Schellin and I have been going back and forth
    trying to recreate something and I have made announcements
 4
 5
    before on this proceeding twice. Nobody said anything
    until, I guess, Friday, and I found -- and I was made aware
 6
    of it this morning.
 7
8
              So that's dealt with. So the motion for, and I'm
    talking to my colleagues, the motion, which is Exhibit 619,
9
10
    from the Freedom Baptist Church about postponement and
11
    reject.
12
              I think our counsel is -- I'm ready to deny the
13
    motion, but I think what we need to do is dismiss the
14
    motion, just to correct.
15
              Let me hear from others. Any objections?
16
              Okay. All right. So do I need to make a motion
17
    or do we do it by -- we can just do it by general consensus.
18
              MS. SCHELLIN: I believe we can do it by general
19
    consensus for a lack of standing, is that correct?
20
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yeah. Lack of standing.
    is our fifth --
21
22
              MS. SCHELLIN: And you don't agree with it. Yes.
23
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yeah. We don't agree with it.
24
    So yeah.
              I think it's pretty much out of order at this
25
    point.
```

1 All right. Anything else? 2 MS. SCHELLIN: No, sir. That's it. CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Let's bring up, now, I 3 believe we've been going back and forth so much, I think --4 5 MS. SCHELLIN: Other than just to announce, I'm sorry, I think doing this early would be good. That it was 6 7 decided that tonight we would only hear from the public 8 witnesses and that the parties in opposition would start their presentations on Monday, February 26th, starting at 4 9 o'clock p.m. and I've asked them to please email me the 10 11 amount of time they are planning to take, the order they 12 plan on going in, and also, the exhibit number of numbers of 13 their statements that provide their arguments for the case. 14 So I've already sent an email to them asking them to provide me with an email with that information. So just 15 for the witnesses also, so that they know that will happen 16 17 on February 26th. Thank you, Ms. Schellin. 18 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. 19 And again, I will just state it as well, tonight 20 we are only going to hear from the public. Those, I believe only those in opposition. We've heard from memory, the 21 22 opposition. Did we get from undeclared yet? 23 MS. SCHELLIN: No, they come after the opposition. 24 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. So we're going to try to 25 get all that in tonight and whatever we -- whatever time it

```
1 is, I'm going to stop at 9, wherever we are. Because I
```

- 2 | think the Commission is five hours, I think, we're human
- 3 too.
- 4 Our capacity, we only can have so much capacity,
- 5 | like all humans do. So at 9 o'clock we're going to stop
- 6 where we are. Hopefully we can finish the -- those who are
- 7 in, you know, opposition or undeclared.
- 8 Then, wherever it is, on the 26th at 4 p.m. we
- 9 | will start with those who are -- parties in opposition, in
- 10 that order.
- 11 All right. Anything else, anybody? Anybody have
- 12 any issues with that? Everybody's going to be able to make
- 13 it on the 26th?
- Okay. All right. So Ms. Schellin, if we can go
- 15 | ahead and get started with our list?
- 16 MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, sir. I will start calling the
- 17 | witnesses.
- Okay. We have Meg Staines, Courtney Stockland,
- 19 | Evan Carraway, Alan Kensek -- Chairman Hood, do you want to
- 20 call six or four?
- 21 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Let's do five. Can we get
- 22 five?
- 23 MS. SCHELLIN: Five? Okay. The fifth one will be
- 24 Nicholas Delladonne or Delledonne.
- 25 Mr. Young, you can let me know because I'm looking

```
at a different screen, if you have five yet?
1
 2
              MR. YOUNG: Yeah, I got all of them.
 3
              MS. SCHELLIN: Okay. Great. Thank you.
 4
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. And I may not call in
 5
    the exact order that Ms. Schellin, but I'm going to call the
 6
    order I see on my screen.
 7
              And we're going to go with Commissioner Kensek.
    Hopefully I pronounced your name correctly, if not, you can
8
9
    correct me.
              MR. KENSEK: Yes, sir. I appreciate it, Chair
10
11
    Hood.
12
              First off, I want to thank because I've really
13
    even two years as a commissioner never gone through this
14
    detailed of a process.
15
              Ms. Ackerman, Ms. Schellin have been absolutely
16
    amazing. And you, Chair Hood, and the rest of the
17
    Commission, I would like to thank you guys for your
18
    patience, being the last three meetings and the amount of
19
    time that you guys listened to both sides, and I think it's
20
    very fair and I appreciate it. I've learned a lot from you
21
    guys.
22
              So thank you for that. So let me get into my
23
    statement.
24
              Good evening, Chair Hood, and the Zoning
25
    Commissioners. My name is Alan Kensek, and I am the
```

commissioner for single-member district 1B-05. My SMD starts directly across the street from 1625 V Street,

Northwest and is the proposed rezoning site.

Last week or two weeks ago, our Chair of 1B stated that I, one of the nay's, was not close to the site. You could throw a rock from my house and it will hit the site and it's about a less than a minute walk to the site.

When we -- this came up for a vote back in 1B on April of 2023. I voted nay and stated that there wasn't adequate information given to the public. There was a lack of engagement and people felt like no one was listening to their concerns.

A lot of notifications about the possible MU-10 height increase were done through email or online, not direct engagement, which left out a lot of people.

The parcel of land could be split zoned, so both Seaton Street and V Street do not have a 10-story, 12-story with the penthouses, building in front of the people's row houses in the area which are 40 feet in height and have been there for over 100 years.

I was originally told we couldn't split zone the property by the chair of 1B. That was part of the reason why it concerned me as we were getting closer to the vote and now, I found out we can do custom zoning, and we can do split zoning. It doesn't have to be under two acres, like

Chair of 1B stated. It was incorrect.

So I originally was told it couldn't be split zoned, but after doing more research found out we can do it. The land is DC owned and I don't believe we should give away the valuable public asset for a profit developer for 99 years.

I have lived in single-member district 1B-05 for over seven and a half years, living at the Camden Roosevelt located at 2101 16th Street Northwest for six years and know the area well.

A structure that can potentially go to the suggested MU-10 height wouldn't fit the character of the neighborhood.

I am sure you're aware of 1898, it was proposed to have a colossal presidential mansion in Malcolm X Park to replace the White House. There was even a proposal to have the Lincoln Memorial built there.

There was obvious reason why this was proposed because of the reasonable height of the buildings in the immediate area, including the site we are discussing today.

I am advocating for 50 percent deeply affordable housings for people making less than 35k. The same people who work at our local restaurants, retail stores, teachers, firefighters, police, and other public servants.

Sometimes we forget that affordable housing is

based off area median income, which is D.C., Virginia, and
Maryland.

I am also in favor of a new police station and fire station, both of which are over 60 years old. I know the police station had a little bit of face lift 25 years ago, but still in need of repair severely.

I just don't think we need to go to the extreme of an MU-10. We looked at the split zoning, which I brought up earlier. It's an important aspect. Maybe doing an MU-8 on U Street to match what's existing and matches the other side of the surrounding buildings in the area.

Having no more than MU-8 on both Seaton -- I'm sorry, MU-6 on both Seaton and also V Street would be like the max that I think would be doable.

I know that ANCs are typically given great weight and, in these hearings, but in fact, I want you to know that in the vote taken by the ANC in 1B in support of the proposal of the before, before everything was understood, discussed, like the split zoning social housing. And the slate of ANC 1B commissioners that took the vote on that night of April, including myself, there was nine of us.

Seven which said yay's, two nay's. Out of those seven yay's one moved a month later to San Francisco and was only a commissioner for about two months, not disregarding his position, as I got him into the Commission.

MS. SCHELLIN: Time.

2 MR. KENSEK: Thank you. Sorry. I'm almost done.

The other person is actually retiring at the end of this month. Has decided to step down as IB-02. So now we're looking at a 7-2, 5-2 vote and out of those five, one of the commissioners, who's in the immediate area of IB-07, her name is Commissioner Ashley Fields, asked for Impact Studies and Surveys and how possible taxes would increase.

So I'm ending on this note. I just want to let you know, the nights before the actual vote came in April, I was a yes. I was, let's go about it. I went to every aspect of V Street, knocked on every door, and I'm not using this as a trump card or black/white card, 95 percent are block homeowners on the street and that's fact. It's not questioned. I've spoken to them.

And out of those 95 percent, each one has told me that their homes have been in their histories for 75 to 100 plus years. So I just think we really need to keep that inside, because we know, as this site potentially goes to an MU-10, we know the taxes are going to be raised and we know that people are going to be displaced just because of this.

So I just urge you guys, kindly, to please take heed to this testimony and the last thing, Chair Hood, I'd like to say is I did this testimony and I've made a couple of updates.

1 If it's all right, I'd like to send the final 2 update to the record to Ms. Schellin, if that's okay with 3 your permission? Thank you. 4 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Sure. Thank you. That's no 5 Stick around, we may have some questions. problem. MR. KENSEK: Yes, sir. 6 7 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: We'll go with Ms. Stockland. 8 MS. STOCKLAND: Thank you so much. I appreciate your time today and over the course of these, you know, 9 having these five meetings. 10 11 I live on Seaton Street. I've lived there with my 12 family since 2012 and in the area since 2005 and just really appreciate the stance of this Committee. This is the first 13 14 time that I feel like the neighbors have really started to 15 feel a little bit more heard. We've been at this for, these four or five 16 17 meetings plus a year after we found out what was being planned for our little neighborhood, and I think it's an 18 19 absurd upzoning. 20 The drastic change from MU-4 to MU-10 that would allow a building that would be taller than some of these 21 22 streets are long just seems out of the realm of any common sense, especially with no studies that prove that it's going 23

to displace a bunch of folks and provide housing to the

folks that we're looking to provide housing to in the city.

24

We have, of course, already surpassed our housing goals in our area as well.

You know, just to say that this seems to have been set up from Commissioner Nadeau back as folks were barely emerging from COVID with a very fast process to change the plan and FLUM.

And about a year after that or two years after that was when we started to hear more about this kind of proposed spot zoning change. A little bit of a gerrymandering, if you look at the map.

Since then we've been trying to engage with our ANC and other folks who seem, quite frankly, annoyed that we found out about this effort. And we've been met with both, rather again, annoyance and some misinformation, importantly, about the spot zoning.

The Chair of our ANC said that split zoning is not a thing. We found out much later that it is, quote, a thing, which I think would be a lot more of a, you know, would be a more creative solution to this space.

And I think that's my biggest issue is to turn a large parcel of public land that currently houses a vital public service of our police force and the fire department into, you know, this isn't the realm of the Zoning Committee, but of course we have heard the plan is to turn it over to a private developer to develop a high-rise rental

1 apartment building. 2 It just seems like we would be, essentially, 3 giving away our public resource and for what? You know, we don't know the effectiveness of those rental apartments yet, 4 5 in terms of improving our community. I've started to talk to more of the police 6 7 officers at the station at the end of our block, as well as Henry's which is a soul food café. They specialize in sweet 8 potato pie across the street. They've been there for 9 generations. 10 11 Hana is a Japanese market, also right across the 12 They provide Japanese cuisine, which is where I am. 13 I'm calling you from Tokyo today by the way, because I feel 14 so strongly about this. I'm visiting some family stationed 15 over here. 16 So it's already tomorrow here and so I'm calling 17 from the future a little bit and I just think this is a 18 really unwise use of our public land, especially in the 19 middle of this crime spree that we're currently 20 experiencing. I'll submit a more formal testimony. I thought I 21 22 would just speak from my current thoughts and just thank you 23 so much for your work and I appreciate you hearing us.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Great.

Thank you, Ms.

I know you are not here, but if you could hold

24

25

Stockland.

```
tight for a minute, if you're able, we may have some
 1
 2
    questions.
 3
              MS. STOCKLAND: Of course. Thank you so much.
 4
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD:
                                 Thank you.
 5
              Let's got to Meg Staines, Staines, I believe?
 6
    Hopefully I pronounced that right.
 7
              You may begin.
              Ms. Staines, did I lose you?
 8
              Unmute. I can't -- there you go.
9
                            Thank you, Commissioner Hood, and
10
              MS. STAINES:
11
    other commissioners for hearing my testimony.
12
              My name is Meg Staines and I've lived in the
    neighborhood for 28 years. I live a few blocks away from
13
14
    ANC-1C-05 and I am testifying in opposition to the map
    amendment from MU-10 -- I mean, MU-4 to MU-10.
15
16
              I am not at all anti-development. I can clearly
17
    see that we need a lot more affordable housing in the city
18
    and I wish that we could all participate in it. I am deeply
19
    in favor of maximizing affordable housing at this parcel and
20
    throughout the city.
              D.C. has not come close to providing the
21
22
    affordable housing promise by the included area zoning that
    was passed a few years ago.
23
              I am deeply opposed to the practice of giving
24
25
    public land to private developers, which is what the plan is
```

for this site. I feel like they're probably trying to upzone it because now -- 20 years ago people were okay with making millions and millions of dollars on these projects, but now, everybody who takes on these projects expects to make billions and billions of dollars and then they take the money and they go to Potomac or Chantilly. They don't invest it in the city. They're just going to drain our city of resources on the backs of the people who live there.

And that's the main thing I want to talk about in my testimony. This is just an end run around the community. To pull wool over our eyes. That's why the cross examine took so long because the documents presented by the parties promoting this were full of holes.

The parties said several times during the cross examination that details like traffic management and noise management, parking, quality of life issues will be resolved during the permitting.

And I am here to tell you, unequivocally, that is not true. I have lived -- like five years ago I lived through the construction of a six-story building and it was a before Mayor Bowser, so things were a little tamer then.

And you know it's, of course, construction is going to be rough, but I could call parking enforcement and they would usually come. But now, for the past nine years, I've had a row house adjoined to another row house that was

1 bought by a developer. It has sat vacant for nine years. Ι 2 don't see how that's providing anybody with affordable housing, okay? 3 4 And you -- the people who hand out the D.C. Building permits knows these bozos. They're pathological 5 liars. Every other thing that comes out of their mouth is a 6 7 lie. They'll -- I mean, they lie so frequently they can't even keep track of their lies. 8 9 And you guys know these people. They come in to you under other LLC's every time, but it's the same bozo, 10 11 Adolfo Requeno, if you're wondering who I'm talking about, 12 and Alberto Silviero. You know these characters. They might -- made my life a living hell for nine 13 I've had homeless people living in the yard, in the 14 15

They might -- made my life a living hell for hine years. I've had homeless people living in the yard, in the house next door to me for nine years. You've sold the place under -- it got sold under tax sale twice, but they can claw it back on the last day if they get their investors to put more money in.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And they throw used condoms over the fence and drug paraphernalia and used tampons. There's people passed out over there every single evening at sunset. They come in and sleep in the backyard.

You will not be able to get -- the people who live near this project are not going to be able to survive this.

They don't even pick up my trash, because I also have

```
1
    another construction site directly across the back of my
 2
            There's another six-story building and DDOT does not
    house.
 3
    enforce public occupancy permits at all.
              Those people think that once they get that piece
 4
 5
    of paper or the public occupancy permit, they -- that's all
    they have to do. It's carte blanche to do whatever they
 6
 7
    want to the neighbors.
8
              And you know what? That's not what it is, but
    they're right because there is a zero percent change of DDOT
9
10
    ever --
11
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Ms. Staines, you're --
12
              MS. STAINES: -- enforcing anything. So this city
13
14
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: -- time is -- your time is up.
15
    Ms. Staines --
16
              MS. STAINES: -- has a lot of laws to protect its
17
    citizens and --
18
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Ms. Staines, can you hear me?
19
    Can you hear me? Can you hear me?
20
              MS. STAINES: Yes.
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Slow down. Your time is up.
21
22
              MS. STAINES: Okay.
23
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Let me help you. I want you to
    give me a closing thought. This is the Zoning Commission.
24
25
    You can call 311 for those other issues because this is the
```

```
Zoning Commission. We're dealing with a zoning.
1
              MS. STAINES: 311 doesn't work.
 2
 3
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I don't pick up trash.
              MS. STAINES: Believe me. Believe me.
 4
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I don't -- I don't do -- I'm
 5
 6
    not a -- I don't work for the Mayor's office.
 7
              MS. STAINES: I'm just showing everybody this is
8
    what we have to look forward to.
9
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: This isn't -- this is why
    you're losing your time. You're losing your time, right
10
11
    here. We're all at because we're talking about zoning
12
    tonight.
13
              MS. STAINES: This is what -- the people who live
14
    next to 1617 U Street --
15
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Ms. Schellin?
              MS. STAINES: -- have to look forward to.
16
17
    life will be (crosstalk) --
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Ms. Staines, I'm asking you,
18
19
    give me your closing thought about zoning. Help us. What
20
    about the MU-4 versus the MU-10?
              MS. STAINES: The people living next to 1617 U
21
22
    Street, their lives are going to become a living hell and if
23
    they're not -- if they're smart, they'll just sell their
24
    house the minute it goes through because you can't survive
25
    these construction projects. You can't.
```

1 Like it's impossible. You can't even get your 2 trash picked up. It's not true that they'll -- Department 3 of Buildings they -- they don't -- they have never come to any of the construction sites around my house. 4 These -- the residents around here deserve to have 5 somebody that they can call to resolve problems with this 6 7 permit, whatever it ends up being. 8 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Okay. Thank you. you, Ms. Staines. Thank you. 9 10 Hold on -- we may have some questions for you, but 11 I don't have any 311 questions. I deal with 311 myself. 12 I live in the city too. I don't live somewhere 13 else. I know what's going, as far as that goes, but we're 14 talking about zoning tonight. 15 The next person is Mr. Delledonne. 16 MR. DELLEDONNE: Thank you. 17 My name is Nick Delledonne and I am the president of Dupont East Civic Action Association. Our borderline of 18 19 our association includes the police and the fire station on 2.0 the north side of U Street at 17. I know the issue was raised; did OP consult with 21 22 civic associations in the neighborhood. They did not consult with us. 23 24 We are opposed to surplusing public land and

turning the land over to developers. There -- motivation is

to create a profit and they are biased in creating units that are market rate. And I don't blame, but there are other alternatives.

The 10 to 13-story building here is out of character with the neighborhood and the city ought to study it carefully and undertake a study, even if it takes time, to show that it would be inappropriate. So we are against the upzoning of the property.

There is an axiom that occurred in the testimony that we need housing and the more housing there is the more affordable housing there is.

If that were true, all the housing in Manhattan would be affordable. I think it's a misnomer. If you have widgets and you have 1,000 widgets, they may be governed by -- the price of the widgets may be governed by the number of widgets that are available, but that isn't true in real estate and housing. It turns out not to be true.

So we have to be weary of that. We are for affordable housing. Our association was responsible for inserting, into the Comp Plan, the phrase "social housing" and that was picked up, we were gratified, in the proposed law, the Green New Deal for housing, which suggests that there may be a pilot project for social housing.

This would be a great site for something like that and we'd like to see that sort of thing get a chance to be

realized. 1 2 Those are my comments. I'm glad I had this 3 opportunity. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you, Mr. Delledonne, and 4 5 again, if you could stick around for a moment, we may have some questions for you as well. 6 7 I think I saw Evan; last name was -- first name -last name Evan? Okay, Mr. Evan, you can go right ahead. 8 9 MR. CARRAWAY: Thank you. My name is Evan Carraway. I live one block from the 1617 U and 1640 V 10 11 Streets and at the block of the 1700 Seaton Street 12 Northwest, which my wife and I bought as a home in 2014 with 13 a VA loan. 14 I opposed upzoning this public land and the removal and relocation of police and fire station, even 15 temporarily. Public safety is a major, major concern right 16 17 now. 18 Just in the last year I witnessed two carjackings 19 in person, violent carjackings in which members of the 20 community here were injured and an increase in criminal activities around the new CVS a block away from the police 21 22 station.

And with one of those instances I attempted to

call 9-1-1 and was, you know, met with a hold time that

essentially made that pointless.

23

24

1 So there's a police officer on foot, on the scene, 2 you know, within minutes of that happening, which wouldn't be the case, I don't think, if we were in a situation where 3 4 there was no police station within five miles, basically, of where we all live. 5 So I'm definitely opposed to, at least at this 6 7 time, removing a critical police station and not having that 8 for the neighborhood and the surrounding city. 9 I ask that you deny any manner of upzoning application. So police, EMS, and firefighting services can 10 11 remain in place to handle the increased crime in the area. 12 Thank you for your consideration. 13 MS. SCHELLIN: Okay. Thank you. I believe I have gotten everyone. Let's see if we 14 15 have any questions or comments from my colleagues. Commissioner Imamura, any questions, or comments 16 17 on this -- of this panel? 18 COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 19 No questions, but I do have a comment. 20 I want to thank all of you who prepared your testimony and gave it succinctly to us. That's very helpful 21 22 and I appreciate your point of view and want to thank you for participating in the public process. 23 24 And for those that follow, at least for me, I

won't speak for the rest of my colleagues, but prepared

1 testimony in a succinct manner and on topic is helpful. 2 There are -- and focused too. Topics that are 3 outside of the Zoning Commission are not helpful. So I just 4 encourage everybody to keep your comments germane. 5 you all again, and please be safe. CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you, Commissioner 6 7 Imamura. Let's go to Commissioner Stidham. Any questions 8 or comments of this panel? 9 10 COMMISSIONER STIDHAM: I do have a comment 11 seconding Commissioner Imamura in keeping to the testimony. 12 I appreciate it and everybody's time, who came out, it's very thoughtful of you and thank you very much. 13 14 hearing from you. 15 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you. 16 And Vice Chair, any comments of this panel? 17 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and 18 thank each of you for your testimony and I know that a 19 couple -- at least a couple of you, Commissioner Kensek and 20 at least Mr. Delledonne, I recall seeing written testimony 21 from you. 22 There may be written testimony from others, but if you haven't submitted it, as others have suggested, if you 23 24 could submit written testimony that would be helpful to us 25 down the road.

1 Commissioner Kensek, you talked about the -- your 2 support of a split zoning and I think you said -- I think 3 your testimony says no more than -- no more than MU-8 along U Street, because that would be more complementary with the 4 5 other taller buildings in the area. And then you said no more than -- I think you said 6 7 no more than MU-5 or MU-6 on V Street and Seaton Street, 8 because of the height -- they're across the street from the two-story townhomes. 9 But what -- I'm not sure MU-6 is the right --10 11 well, what is -- let's forget the MU-6. What is the height 12 that you think it should -- what's the maximum height you think it should be on the V Street and Seaton Street side? 13 I think the MU-8 goes up to 70 or 75 feet as I 14 15 recall. I'm just doing it off the top of my head. I'm not 16 looking at anything. I think the MU-6, that you mentioned, 17 might actually have a height of the same. It might be 90 18 feet, but I don't know. 19 And I know you're not -- you're not suggesting 20 that on V Street or Seaton. What was the height -- so if 21 you could just give me the maximum height that you would 22 find acceptable on V Street? 23 Mr. KENSEK: Thank you for the question, Vice Chair Miller. 24

I actually noticed that, when I was reading my

1 testimony, I did put 6, and realistically, I think it should 2 be no more than 5. I will update that. 3 When I walked around real quickly to the 4 neighbors, I met a gentleman who has been in the area for a year and he explained to me, like, he's in a wheelchair. 5 His daily light, that's what he gets. 6 7 So he rolls up to his door, he looks outside and he can see light. So when I started hearing stories like 8 that, that's what really compelled me to switch my vote, 9 literally the night of the vote from yes to no. 10 11 So when we start going past MU-4, MU-5, that's 12 really going to start -- even with a 40-set cut -- a 40 feet 13 cutback, I work in property management for Howard University. I've been in this field of construction for 14 15 over 26 years. 16 You know, I just think that these two-story row 17 houses, they're really going to take the blunt of this. Even with a 40 feet setback, it's still going to show on how 18 19 the sun raises. They're not going to see light until close 20 to 11 to 2, and that's if we do the eight stories only on the U Street side. 21 22

But going to an MU-10, up to 120 all around and then setting back 40 feet is really not going to make a significant difference at all, in terms of the lighting.

23

24

25

It sounds good in theory, 40 feet back, but when

```
1
    you're actually looking at the site, which I do
 2
    occasionally, like I said, it's down the street.
              You walk to the middle of V Street; you start my
 3
    single-member district. You know, you can clearly see, in
 4
 5
    looking at the row houses, even how the sun is raised, if we
    were to do an MU-10, they wouldn't get the sun till probably
 6
 7
    four in the afternoon as it's going over their head.
8
              So MU-4, MU-5 is what it should remain on V/Seaton
    Street, and I'm saying 8, because 8 will match all other
9
    buildings in the area, including the building I live in,
10
11
    Camden Roosevelt. Including the Belmont, which someone used
12
    last week in their testimony. All that strip between 1617
    there is no other building that is higher than an MU-8.
13
14
              You know, across the street or on the same side.
15
    If that answers your question, sir.
16
              VICE CHAIR MILLER: Okay. Thank you very much.
17
    appreciate it.
              I don't have any other questions, Mr. Chairman.
18
19
    Thank you for your testimony.
20
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you. Commissioner
    Kensek, let me go straight to you.
21
22
              So, and again, in front of us tonight is a map
    amendment. So do you think, and I'm going to go this far,
23
    do you think that going to MU-10, there's not a design
24
```

solution that would at least curtail some of those impacts

1 | that you just mentioned?

Do you think there's an opportunity that could be a design solution, even though that's not in front of me tonight, it's about a map amendment, but do you think there could be a design solution that could curtail some of that?

But it's further down the road?

MR. KENSEK: Chairman Hood, absolutely yes. There could be an MU-10 on the U Street side and with some adjustments.

I'm just saying, when I look at this, the whole lot itself and I see that it's about 1.88 to 1.91 worth of acres, you know, we don't know what's going to happen three years from now.

Who knows who's going to be here on this Board or what we're going to be dealing with, who our Mayor could be.

As we know when these developers come in, they promise the world.

They're going to promise -- just like they did -- they did this to me at Reeves Center on 14th and U and it was already -- I was too late in the game to actually get my voice across, but we're going to have that going on simultaneously with one street later, another 1617.

So to answer your question, yes, there could be.

We could do things, but it would have to stay on the U

Street side. Anything on Seaton or V, MU-10, even if it was

- down to MU-6, it's not going to fit the neighborhood and it
 will absolutely impact the immediate residents along the
 side of Seaton and also V Street 100 percent.
- CHAIRPERSON HOOD: And Commissioner, didn't you
 mention that you had to update your testimony, your written
 testimony?

- MR. KENSEK: Yes, sir. That was one of the items because I had noticed here, I said 6 and I really meant to say no more than 4 to 5.
- So I do have to write that and then also confirm
 the two items that the ANC chair of 1B stated. One of which
 she said that no one else was local. The two nays were not
 local, which was not factual.
 - And the other one was that I was told by her, and it's on video as well and the neighbors have seen it, that there was no such thing as split zoning and me taking her, you know, her three years over my two years as commissioner, I said okay.
 - Well, if there's no split zoning, that can throw a little wrench in it. But when we started doing some more research and I'm finding out that not only is there split zoning, but there's custom zoning.
 - And as I'm digging deeper and deeper, I go back to some of those videos that I watched that I'm even on and like you, I say on them, it has to make sense to me and I

1 need to have more quarantees, not we think of this, we think 2 of that. And the whole reason, again, my whole doing the 3 split zoning kind of didn't even be brought up during my nay vote was because I was told by the Chair of 1B that it's not 4 a thing and that was incorrect, it was actually very 5 infactual. 6 7 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. So I appreciate that. Have you -- and I agree, things do change. People change. 8 This Commission is going to change. The ANC changes. 9 happens all the time because I've seen where other 10 11 commissions have engaged and went down a road that is not 12 realized until newer commissioners or newer residents come 13 in and then the newer residents say, well, how did this 14 happen? 15 Some of these things and some of these plans have 16 happened years before some of us even got around here. So I 17 just -- I mean, even the -- in my case, so I appreciate that. 18 19 So have you all been talking about this, not this particular project, but this parcel of land? Have you --20 how long -- you've been on the Commission two years, so I 21 22 don't know if -- is this the only project, that you've talked about, that's been proposed for this land or do you 23 24 have others that you have worked on that never were

25

realized?

MR. KENSEK: Thanks for the question again, Mr. Hood. I worked a little bit with the Reeves Center near the end. I had come in during a special election, so there was already things that were guaranteed at the Reeves Center, which then completely changed from first it was just going to be like, you know, it was all about affordable housing. That was the big push.

Then it went to be also senior housing, which was a big push. Then it went to being luxury rooms and now a luxury hotel. A lot of things have switched. I even asked them to think of their -- how they're allocating the land with V Street being so small and I run the entire V Street, you know, when I'm coming from Howard and I leave 9th Street and I'm driving up V.

Right now there's already a set of delays. One of the biggest one is, you know, not only the Reeves area, but also afterschool there's a childcare right after the Reeves Center. So parents tend to park three cars out.

So I'm not just thinking about the site 1617. I'm trying to think of the actual area that I manage and what could happen with the construction.

So in fact, for 1617, this had come up this year and we started discussing it. I will give the Chair of Economic Development Vice Chair HanderHan, he did hold a couple of events near the end that were very beneficial. We

```
1
    got a lot more pros and cons on the line to get the word
 2
    out.
 3
              But again, as I stated earlier, when I knocked on
 4
    a lot of these individual doors, and I literally, literally
 5
    knocked. I mean, it took me four hours to go through,
    people were either shocked, not understanding, had
 6
 7
    questions, you know, why are they doing this? What -- and I
    did my best at explaining at the time.
8
9
              Some of them said, well, why can't they look at
    possibly only doing it one side high and I said, well,
10
11
    that's ruled out. I was told by our chair we can't do a
12
    split zoning, but we're going to see what we can do and what
13
    we can work with.
              But yes, this has been in my purview since I
14
    started as a commissioner. This lot of land, 1617 U/1620 V
15
16
    Street.
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Thank you. And I don't
17
18
    want to keep prolonging it, but Mr. Delledonne, I do want to
19
    ask you and I think I captured pretty much -- first of all,
20
    Mr. Delledonne, what was the name of your organization
    again? Right off?
21
22
              MR. DELLEDONNE: Dupont East Civic Action
23
    Association.
24
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Okay.
```

So Mr. Delledonne, let's talk about something you

said. I think you were going more to economics and I'm asking a question. I'm no expert on economics, but I've watched how -- I've had one class, so I'm not an expert.

But your testimony was talking about basically supply and demand, and this is something I've been grappling with. It seems that the experts and the people with the PhD's, I don't have a PhD., but it seems like the economists with the PhD's and all the educational background, they say the more that you have -- the more you have the less demand it is and the price goes down; would you agree with that?

MR. DELLEDONNE: It depends on what you're talking

MR. DELLEDONNE: It depends on what you're talking about. Real estate doesn't work that way. It's true if you have widgets.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay.

MR. DELLEDONNE: And they're in demand and you have 1,000, the price will be set. And if you have fewer, the price will go up. But that doesn't happen.

And like I said, a sterling example of this is

Manhattan. You can't find affordable housing in Manhattan

and they continue to build. Building more is not the answer

to getting affordable housing.

I request an opportunity to provide, if I can find it, I believe that the DMPED website shows they have a website that shows that there is plenty of affordable housing in this area, and that the demand for, I mean, the

```
push for additional affordable housing because it is so dire
1
 2
    needed is baseless.
 3
              That should not be the reason for the development
    of this block. If I find that, I will provide it for the
 4
 5
    record.
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. I'll be looking for it
 6
 7
    if you're able to find it.
8
              All right. Ms. Stockland, Ms. Staines. Ms.
    Stockland, thank you for being in here tomorrow and taking
9
    time out of your busy schedule where you are to come and Ms.
10
11
    Staines, we appreciate you and Mr. Evans, we appreciate you
12
    as well.
              I don't have any further questions, unless my
13
14
    colleagues have additional questions?
15
              I'm not seeing any.
16
              Ms. Schellin, let's make sure that we can get our
17
    parties -- do we have any parties in support? I get
18
    confused. Do we have any parties --
19
              MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, sir.
20
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. So parties in opposition
    get a chance to cross examine each of you, if they have
21
22
    questions. If they don't, we'll keep moving.
23
              Mr. Kirschenbaum, Office of Planning?
24
              Mr. KIRSCHENBAUM: We do not have any questions.
```

25

Thank you.

1 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you. 2 Chair Harris from ANC 1B have any questions for 3 this panel? MS. HARRIS: Commissioner Alan Kensek, in your 4 testimony you stated that ANC 1B took a vote before all 5 options were discussed. At any ANC 1B meeting since that 6 7 vote, have you made any motions to reopen the topic? 8 MR. KENSEK: That's a great question. As you know, Chair, we're very in favorable on the Board, there is 9 is a set group that drink the Kool-Aid, about five of you, 10 11 so why would I bring up a vote if I only get a second and 12 get no other votes on it? Would that make logical sense to 13 you, Chair? 14 MS. HARRIS: I don't believe I'm being cross 15 examined, so I don't know if I can answer that. 16 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Let me do this. Let me try it 17 this way. 18 You two are going to work together long after I'm 19 gone, you won't see me anymore, and I think, personally, 20 what I'm hearing from you both, I think you all have a lot to offer to community, even if you're on different sides. 21 22 So we want to not have any personal attacks. Let's work together. You guys work together, because I can 23 24 tell you right now in this city, we need you. We need you 25 to work together. We don't need that.

So try to -- if you have questions, Commissioner or Ms. Harris or Chair Harris, ask the question and then let him respond, but let's try to -- let's try to tone it down some.

MS. HARRIS: Absolutely. That's why I wanted to ask. I wasn't going to answer. So I'm just asking about your testimony, Commissioner Kensek.

My next question, in your written testimony your final paragraph states that the votes of two members of ANC 1B should not be counted. Why do you believe it's appropriate to disenfranchise the neighbors who are represented by then Commissioner Johnson and Commissioner Holihand? Is that to request that the Zoning Commission base any D.C. Code -- base their decision in any D.C. Code other than D.C. law?

MR. KENSEK: Just as I said in my testimony,
Commissioner Johnson actually brought on in a special
election. So no ill will. He was there for about three
months. After he voted yes, less than a month later he
resigned and moved out to San Franscisco. So I don't know
how that's helping out or related to 1617 U Street.

And as for the other one, Commissioner Holihan, you know, he did vote yes as well, but that commissioner has resigned as the end of this month, as you know. So when you start looking at things like people not staying in the area,

```
1
    it doesn't mean that their vote shouldn't count. It just
 2
    that it should also hold great weight that you don't make a
 3
    vote -- it's like me saying yes to let's make every building
    larger and then I move out of the area to go back to
 4
 5
    Manhattan where I used to live for 15 years.
              It just has to make sense. And I think that it
 6
 7
    should be noted, for the Zoning Committee, that if you start
8
    looking at the votes and going through with them, you can
9
    then see that some of these votes might not hold as much
    water or great weight as we would say.
10
11
              MS. HARRIS: Okay. Those are all the questions
12
    that I have.
              MR. KENSEK: I would like to say one thing, if
13
14
    it's okay. I know that some people like to get on Twitter,
    I know I -- OP said to us that the setback of 40 feet would
15
16
    be enough and that's inaccurate.
17
              Again, I want to say that the setback of 40 feet
18
    will not function any better than any split zoning.
19
    that, again, I'll go for the record. Thank you.
20
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: All right.
                                             Thank you,
    Commissioner.
21
22
              All right. Let's see, Ms. Schellin, do we have
    anybody from ANC 2B? Either Zack Adams or Meg Roggensack?
23
24
              Okay. What about Randy Jones? Randy Jones.
```

MR. JONES: Hi there.

```
1
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Mr. Jones, you may begin
 2
    with your questions or your questions.
 3
              MR. JONES: Yeah. Just a couple quick ones just
 4
    to clarify.
 5
              Ms. Stockland, could you state your address,
 6
    please?
 7
              MS. STOCKLAND: -- (audio cutout) Northwest.
 8
              MR. JONES: Okay. So within a block of the site?
9
              MS. STOCKLAND: Yes, within eyeshot. Very much
    within a block.
10
11
              MR. JONES: Yes.
                                And then same question for Ms.
12
    Staines? I'm just trying to understand where everyone's
13
    coming from.
14
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Ms. Staines, you are on mute.
15
              MS. STAINES: 1768 Lanyear Place Northwest.
16
    in Margaret Stevens NC1C05.
17
              MR. JONES: 10-4. And then I guess just
18
    Commissioner Kensek, I wanted to ask you a couple of
19
    questions and kind of play a little bit on Chair Hood's
20
    illusion to a design solution.
21
              And I think -- I think what he's getting at,
22
    hopefully, is sort of just the level of nuance that we've
23
    been promised that will come with DMPED's RFP, and maybe, if
    you could just outline, in your capacity as an ANC
24
25
    commissioner, some of these meetings that happened?
```

I wasn't around for, but I know they had sort of outlined a process and they wanted to focus on this as a Zoning hearing. Could you sort of, you know, getting the zoning first and then go into the RFP for the nuance?

You know, they've been -- could you kind of just elaborate a little bit, you know, how the ANC deliberated that and how it was pitched by OP as were going to attack the zoning and then go into the RFP, I guess? I wasn't there for any of that and I'm just -- I think it's germane to understand sort of why the ANC voted the way they did?

Is that a fair question, Commissioners? I don't want to waste any time.

MR. KENSEK: Yes. No, I understand your question, Randy, and I'll be direct to the point. I think that we, you know, the OP did talk to us. We had some conversations through meetings, online, sometimes Daniel Lyons called in.

The Economic Development Meeting, there's been about two of them that have stated or talked about just this site alone.

You know, even being in my field, when you start talking about any types of setbacks or possibly working with the land, what just I get concerned with is that, and this is even on video on April 7th of me saying, there's no guarantee.

So we might have a set guarantee just say from the

- current developer about the police station, the fire
 station, but in two, three years from now and just say Mayor
 Bowser is not reelected, which could happen, my thought
 process also says well, that developer then speaks to the
 new mayor and can possibly say, you know what, we looked at
 our numbers and the police station is really -- we're not
 going to be able to get that, plus the fire station.

 That's where those things start happening. And
 - That's where those things start happening. And being here for eight years and living in Manhattan for 15 years, also working with zoning in Manhattan, I've seen this happen too many times and it concerns me, which is why I've been a pretty strong no since April.

- I love these five-hour calls, just like every one of us, but you know, I'm that passionate to stay here, to stay on this making this almost my full-time job because of how serious it is.
 - I hope that answers your question, Randy Jones.
- MR. JONES: Yes. And just to expand on that a little bit. I mean, the one ANC I was able to attest or able to attend, do you recall how much time was allotted for public testimony?
- MR. KENSEK: Yes. That's also a great question.

 The public was stiffened a bit. And when I say stiffened,

 you know, 1B and again, something I should probably look to

 revote on and I will, they're allowed to give two-minute

statements and then no other statements thereafter.

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So even if you have a follow-up question, you've 3 already discussed the topic, you're done. I do feel that 4 that one line in person, which was I believe on April 7th, only talking about this site, we had a huge in-person turnout and 90 percent of them were about this site and let's just say I don't feel everyone got their fair chance to either ask their full question or respond to the question asked back by the commissioners.

At one point, one of the commissioners stated, you know, we can only do so much. This is a slam dunk case, you know? So I feel like it was already in everyone's mind, going into this, that you know, there's nothing that the great weight of a Commission is really going to do to change that, and I just don't believe that's true, which is why I stayed on my stance.

So the answer to your question? No, I don't feel the public had proper information and/or were able to get their points discussed to not only the ANC, but then, depending on if the ANC really went back to OP or DMPED with those concerns.

I know I did with a couple, but I can't speak for my other eight colleagues.

MR. JONES: Yeah. You know, at that meeting did you feel that the public was rushed or that the Commission

1 as a whole was rushed into a decision, you know, that night? 2 Was there a sense of rushing? You know, that was in April 3 and we're here --MR. KENSEK: Understood. 4 5 MR. JONES: -- almost a year later? MR. KENSEK: Understood. Again, to keep this 6 7 point blank, like Chair Hood has said. I do feel that way. Yes, this is why I'm still going on about this. It's not --8 I felt that the, again, a lot of people looked at it like, 9 oh, we're going to get affordable housing. This is a slam 10 11 dunk. Let's just do it. It makes sense. We have the land; 12 it's just sitting there. And I don't really think anyone, including the 13 14 chair of 1B had a full understanding of what can be done or 15 how it can be done. And I think, as time has gone out, 16 we're almost at a year now, two months from now it will be a 17 year when we took this vote, I think that people might 18 actually have possibly even changed a vote or even, maybe 19 thought that the, you know, hearing the testimonies and 20 talking to the people. When I went around knocking there was not enough adequate time and/or energy spent into this 21 22 vote and I do feel it was a rushed vote. 23 If I recall, I even asked if we could possibly 24 postpone it to see about having more outreach to the public,

and I believe that was not -- it was seconded, but I don't

believe it took anywhere from that. 1 2 As I said, there's a knit group between us. MR. JONES: Understood. And I have one last 3 question for Mr. Delladonne. And I really do apologize for 4 5 taking so long here with questions. It's been 15 hours I promise I'm not trying to waste anybody's time. 6 7 I just -- we didn't have the outreach and this is our only 8 chance. So that's why you're getting this, Zoning 9 Commissioner members. It's because the city didn't play by 10 11 its own rules and hold themselves to the same standards they 12 do of private developers. So last question for Mr. Delledonne. Speaking to 13 14 the supply and demand and the economics, are you aware of the DC Office of the Attorney General lawsuit, which was 15 16 filed against Real Page and Landlords regarding collusion? 17 MR. DELLEDONNE: I am marginally familiar with it 18 only. 19 MR. JONES: Fair enough. I'm looking for someone 20 who might be able to speak intelligently about it. Sorry. 21 Thank you for the time. 22 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Let's go to Gregory Adams, Black Neighbors, do you have any cross? 23 24 MR. ADAMS: Yes. It's been apparent, from 25 listening to these hearings, what's been said here, that the

Office of Planning did not do its due diligence when it came 1 2 to outreach to the community. 3 And I think that they expect the Zoning Commission 4 to just rubberstamp that for them, and I think, based on 5 what --CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Mr. Adams --6 7 MR. ADAMS: Yes? 8 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Mr. Adams, this is time for you to ask questions, not to give us your testimony. 9 10 MR. ADAMS: Okay. I'm sorry. 11 Mr. Kensek, can you elaborate on what you've been 12 saying about the outreach that OP failed to do? Are you aware that they did any studies regarding the amount of 13 affordable housing already here in this community? 14 MR. KENSEK: Mr. Adams, great question, and the 15 answer would be a simple, no, they haven't. And I know that 16 17 because I've gone to some of these buildings. There is a building on W Street and V, which is also an SMD above 18 19 Tatte. They opened it about two years ago. 20 I spoke to their building management about a month and a half ago and they still, they said they had over 20 21 22 percent of their affordable housing units still open. 23 So again, that questions, well, if it's been opened two years, how long does it fill affordable housing? 24 25 On top of that, we have another site opening at 1250 U

1 Street of 106 units that are also going to be affordable 2 housing. 3 On top of that, we have Reeves Center that's going to be opening that's going to have affordable housing. 4 5 again, as I stated in my testimony, affordable housing is necessary and I agree with it. 6 7 I believe 35,000, around that mark, should be a fair number for affordable housing and I would love for at 8 least 50 percent, I know that's reaching, to be at that 9 affordable housing with only 50 percent at marketplace. 10 11 But again, I know we're not going to get 12 everything we want, but I don't think that OP really went 13 through the steps of making sure. I know that when I 14 visited these houses, some of them thought I was from my OP. 15 They thought I was like reaching out and saying, 16 listen, I got to vote on this today, tomorrow, or yesterday, 17 whatever it may be, and, you know, and I want to hear from you, hear from the horse's mouth, you know, living here how 18 19 this could impact you. 20 Does that answer your question, Mr. Adams? MR. ADAMS: Yes, it does. Thank you. 21 22 Mr. Delledonne, I think I heard you mention, in your testimony, that DMPED may have some information about 23 the amount of surfeit housing it has in this community. Are 24

you --

1 MR. DELLEDONNE: I believe they have a database 2 and I cannot speak for it, but if I find it, while the record is still open, I will present it. 3 4 MR. ADAMS: Okay. Thank you. 5 Thank you, Commissioner, no more questions. CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Thank you. 6 7 Let's go to Ms. Akel, Rochelle Apartments. MS. AKEL: Can you hear me? Okay, great. 8 9 you. I just have a couple questions for Commissioner 10 11 Kensek, who I did engage with about this and I want to thank 12 him for his objectivity. 13 Commissioner, would you characterize the meetings 14 that your ANC had about this? Would you characterize them 15 that we had a fair hearing or, you know, among your fellow 16 commissioners, or do you feel like a lot of them already had 17 their minds made up because they have certain, you know, worldviews about development? 18 19 I mean, how would you characterize that? 20 MR. KENSEK: Yes, Ms. Akel. The answer is no, I do not feel the public had fair time to discuss or ask 21 22 questions. I felt they were shut down and absolutely do I feel that they went in there with a predetermined notion, as 23 24 I started earlier, one of them had commented during it and 25 you can watch these recordings back, that this was already a

1 slam dunk, before we even took the vote of whether or not. 2 Again, this was also under the impression that 3 split zoning was a big no, couldn't happen. OP said they 4 couldn't do it so that was it. And that was also 5 misleading. So no, the answer to your question, the public did 6 7 not have the proper amount of time. I felt it was rushed, 8 and it was absolutely predetermined 100 percent. 9 MS. AKEL: Thank you. Second question, do you feel, having gone door-to-door on V Street with your 10 11 constituents, do you feel that OP did enough community 12 outreach or were you surprised at how little the neighbors 13 knew about what was going on in their neighborhood? MR. KENSEK: As far as I'm concerned, they did no 14 15 outreach and that's not just as of April when I walked 16 I still stay in touch with my community. I try to around. 17 get out at least once every two weeks just to walk my 18 parameters. 19 A lot of my time has been spent between 16th and 20 17th on V Street and talking to my constituents there, but no, they were ill-informed. They got more information from 21 22 what I spoke to them about then they did from anything from OP or DMPED, or even the 1B community, which this site 23

MS. AKEL: Thank you. And last question. Are you

resides in.

24

1 aware of any serious consideration that OP or DMPED or 2 anyone did on the risk of displacement with an MU-10 on neighborhood buildings like mine, like ours, and like your 3 constituents on V Street? 4 5 MR. KENSEK: That would be an absolute, no. You know, the process, again, in which it was set up, you know, 6 7 people don't think of long term. Anything that is built at 8 this site, again, I want to be clear. I want affordable housing. I want a new fire department, police department. 9 I want those guarantees that they're going to come 10 11 back, but I can that, you know, there wasn't really anyone 12 that gave explicit, like, besides when I was walking around talking to these different individuals, they didn't 13 14 understand what was going on, why it was going on, who was 15 determining this, why it was being determined, and I think 16 there was just a lot of confusion. 17 Did I answer your question, Deborah? 18 MS. AKEL: Yeah. Just about the displacement, if 19 you heard anybody take any note of that risk? 20 MR. KENSEK: Yes, ma'am. I would say the displacement really was not any type of scenario that anyone 21 22 brought up. The one thing I thought of, just during the process is that no matter what happens, you know, taxes are 23 24 going to be raised in the area because you're building up

25

more housing units.

```
1
              So my concern is like everyone on V Street and on
 2
    Seaton Street that are in areas of either affordable
 3
    housing, it doesn't matter how affordable it is, taxes going
 4
    up, you're not affording that.
 5
              So I think that was also a misconception or not
    really, you know, understood, or said to the public.
6
 7
    almost -- again, this whole process has been putting the bug
8
    before the horse kind of thing, but I think that's a great
    question and the answer to that simply is, is no.
9
              MS. AKEL: Thank you. That's it for me.
10
                                                         Thank
11
    you.
12
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD:
                                 Thank you.
13
              Let's go to Homeowners within 200 feet.
14
    Feskanich?
              MS. FESKANICH: I would like to continue with
15
    Commissioner Kensek, if I might?
16
17
              Do you know if Commissioner Ashley Fields, who is
18
    the ANC representative of this parcel of land where the
19
    police and fire station are currently located, do you know
20
    if she conditioned her vote in support of this resolution
    because she expected some impact studies to be done that
21
22
    were associated with this rezoning proposal?
23
              MR. KENSEK: Thanks for the question, Ms.
    Feskanich.
24
25
              I don't want to speak for Commissioner Fields.
```

What I will say is that she was -- she really does care
about her constituents in that area. That is her SMD 1B-07.

I will say she is the only commissioner with a yes vote, but it did have conditions, and the conditions were impact studies, impact survey, lighting studies, none of which, to date, that I have heard any responses back and that's why I stated in my open testimony, if we were to go and literally start looking at the commissioners that have left and now you're bringing it down to 5 to 2, and even out of those give yeses, one of the five, which was Commissioner Fields, had some questions she wanted to have done, and from my understanding as of today, there has been no answers or responses to her questions in regards to impact studies or surveys completed of any to this date.

MS. FESKANICH: Thank you. Another question for you, Commissioner Kensek. You talked about split zoning and a portion in the redevelopment, according to differences between V Street and U Street, but this particular request is to rezone the entire site.

So do you think that this rezoning of the entire site would allow developers, by right, to build up the maximum on the entire site and we would have no recourse to address any kind of mitigations?

MR. KENSEK: Well, I want to be clear, if you raise the MU-10 to the entire site, we can say we're going

to do a 40-foot setback, we can say that were only going to
get MU-4, MU-5. They can say a lot. They're going to say a
lot. Developers, as we know it, again, being in property
management myself, we say a lot of things, not that they're
lies, we have good intentions, but when the things come up

or come down the road, they're not always set b.

vote I would like to say yes on.

- I do want to say that I believe this process, we
 have to be fair. And I think that whether I'm against this
 vote, there's not things that -- there are things about this
- I mean, MU-10 as the whole site? The answer is
 no. I think that's why I kept bringing up the split zoning
 because it just would be uncharacteristic of the
 neighborhood itself, even if we go to 120, it would be the
 largest building in the area.
 - I can't even think of another -- including down to 14th and U, going into Dupont Circle, where we would have a building of anywhere from 100 to 120 stories and also, as I read in my testimony, you know, back in 1898 when they looked, the White House looked at possibly putting some monuments right here on Malcom X Park, which is literally in my backyard. And the reason for that is because of the openness of the city.
 - Having an MU-10 there, that would absolutely block any reasoning. And I get it was 1898 and we're now in 2024,

1 I understand that. It was just to bring some history to the 2 area. 3 I hope that answers your question, Ms. Feskanich. 4 MS. FESKANICH: Yes, thank you. Thank you, 5 Commissioner Kensek. I have a question for Ms. Stockland. You 6 7 mentioned spot zoning in your testimony. Why is it that 8 you're concerned about the spot zoning? 9 MS. STOCKLAND: Take a real close look at just building heights in our community and beyond and if this 10 11 plot gets upzoned to MU-10 and a developer develops it, by 12 right, up to let's call it was it is, it's 12 stories, it would be like a middle finger in the middle of our 13 14 community. There is nothing around that is even remotely that 15 16 high and even on the U Street side or on the 16th Street 17 side, and I thought that's kind of a misnomer of a -another kind of misnomer from our meetings is when you hear 18 19 Chair Harris and others make their case about a section is 20 just as high, it's really not. I work downtown in a 11-story plus penthouse 21 22 building and to think that that would be in our community is wildly out of place. So that's what a spot zoning is. 23 24 It's, you know, gerrymandering ain't just about voting. It 25 is about carving sections of any given community to suit

what a group of individuals wants to happen there.

And that's what this would be in a zoning and development perspective. So you know, we all live in these little -- these are condo alternative row houses is what they are and some folks have tried to make these seem like an elite enclave, absolutely not.

It's a super diverse community, diverse in all ways. And these are, you know, 1,000 square foot homes, right? It's spread over two and three stories, which makes for lovely modest homes for folks.

And to think that there would be community services ripped out of neighborhood and replaced by a rental apartment building? Who in the world would want that to be their legacy, never mind to live next to that? It doesn't make sense.

And the supply and demand, I did take economics and have been speaking with some of the folks I know who have the PhD's in economics focused on real estate and housing. It's not as simple as it's (inaudible) to me unlike Econ 101 teaches you off the bat. It doesn't work like that.

It's not you build more and you solve it. That's a never-ending kind of hungry, hungry hippo beast. So I feel like the argument that we need more affordable housing, you build more and it solves, it doesn't exactly work like

```
that, unfortunately, you know, I wish it did.
1
 2
              Does that answer your question? I'm sorry.
 3
              MS. FESKANICH: It does. Thank you. Thank you,
 4
    Ms. Stockland.
 5
              I have a question for Mr. Carraway. You mentioned
    in your testimony that you were very concerned about safety
 6
 7
    and this particular site houses two of our essential
8
    services, the police and fire and EMS, do you know of any
    impact studies that were done, regarding the safety, if
9
    these emergency services were moved, even temporarily?
10
11
              Do you know of any impact studies? Were any
12
    shared with you?
              MR. CARRAWAY: Many of the instances I think we've
13
14
    engaged with members of the ANC to learn more about what the
15
    actual mitigation plans are. They've kind of just said, you
16
    know, not my problem.
17
              In terms of we've heard from the actual police
18
    force themselves. Most of them are opposed to this right
19
    now, for many reasons. I'm not sure if that answers the
20
    question.
              MS. FESKANICH: Yes, thank you. Thank you.
21
22
              And one last question for Mr. Delledonne.
    mentioned in your testimony that there are other
23
    alternatives to achieving affordable housing.
24
25
              Can you tell us what some of those are? Do you --
```

2 MR. DELLEDONNE: Well, there's a popular topic and 3 is gaining some attention called social housing, which would be basically mixed income. It's a derivative of public 4 5 housing where you put all the poor people together. So social housing would be many different incomes 6 7 and it would be owned by the state and managed by the 8 tenants and it has a lot of recommendations for it. And we favor that the bill proposed by one of the Council members, 9 Janeese Lewis George, called the New Green Deal for housing 10 11 incorporates a pilot study for that and we'd like to see the 12 city explore that. Certainly this would be a good site for it. 13 MS. FESKANICH: And so do you think MU-10 would be 14 the best way to maximize affordable housing on this site? 15 16 MR. DELLEDONNE: No. We're against upzoning and 17 we're against the MU-10 and we believe there's a certain 18 character in that neighborhood that is lower height. 19 MS. FESKANICH: Okay. Thank you. 20 I don't have any other questions, Chair Hood. CHAIRPERSON HOOD: And let me just say, Mr. 21 22 Delledonne, the Zoning Commission has already been having 23 conversations, I know I have with Council Member Lewis George about social housing. 24 25 So I want you to know that we're not just sitting

1

can you share (crosstalk)?

```
1
    back asleep. I have been talking to her about that. I know
 2
    there's some things in the Council she's working with and
 3
    she and I have spoken on that on a number of occasions and
    how we can institute that.
 4
 5
              So I just want you to know that we do a little
 6
    work sometime too. Okay.
 7
              All right.
 8
              MR. DELLEDONNE: Thank you.
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Let's go to Mr. Hanlon, BCCA?
9
              Mr. Hanlon, you have any cross for this panel?
10
11
              MR. HANLON: Yes, thank you, Chair Hood.
12
              I have a -- oops, you can only see the top of my
13
    head.
14
              I have a couple of questions. Thank you, Chair
15
    Hood.
16
              I'd like to ask Mr. Kensek several questions. In
17
    your testimony you referred repeatedly to Chair of 1B and
18
    you were doing that over a period of time where there had
19
    been some change in commissioners.
20
              When each time that you referred to the Chair of
    1B, were you referring to Chair Sabel Harris?
21
22
              MR. KENSEK: That would be a yes, sir.
23
              MR. HANLON: And did I understand your testimony
    correctly that the ANC 1B never considered alternatives to
24
25
    MU-10 for the zoning of this site, such as MU-5; is that
```

```
1
    correct?
 2
              MR. KENSEK: That would also be correct, sir.
 3
              MR. HANLON: And this site is actually 11 separate
    record lots. Was there any discussion by ANC 1B about
 4
 5
    trying to zone of these record lots one zone and some of
    these record lots a different zone?
 6
 7
              MR. KENSEK: That would also be a no, sir.
              MR. HANLON: And are you aware that the record
8
    lots, the 11 of them, some are townhouse size lots that
9
    stretch along V Street where the police station is now, and
10
11
    stretch along 17th Street, where part of the police station
12
    is?
13
              MR. KENSEK: I'm not understanding the guestion.
    I'm sorry. Do you mind saying that one more time,
14
15
    Counselor?
16
              MR. HANLON: Yeah. Are you aware that some of
17
    these record lots, that comprise this parcel, are the size
18
    of townhouse lots and they stretch along V Street and 17th
19
    Street?
20
              MR. KENSEK: Got it. Yes, that is correct.
    are several, I want to say at least four to six houses on
21
22
    the -- or the same side of V Street, which does -- is right
    next to the police station, which is 1620 V Street.
23
24
              MR. HANLON: Thank you. And you are aware that
    the building height for an MU-4 zone would be 50 feet, I
25
```

believe, is that correct? 1 2 MR. KENSEK: Yes, sir. 3 MR. HANLON: And was there any discussion on the 4 ANC about well, if we redevelop this parcel, we could put 5 the police and fire station on the first two stories of the building and still build three floors of affordable housing 6 7 within the 50-foot height of MU-4? 8 MR. KENSEK: That was not discussed. It seemed as though they just had this predetermined notion that we're 9 going to get 600 apartments and 200 of them are going to be 10 11 affordable housing, and that's kind of where I felt it kept 12 going. But yeah, that was not discussed at all. 13 14 MR. HANLON: And when you say what was discussed was 600 units of apartments at this site, did OP provide you 15 16 with any parking management plan for the redevelopment of 17 this area that would include 600 more apartments on this block? 18 19 MR. KENSEK: They did not. That was one of the 20 questions I had, again, during my original testimony when I went through of reasons that didn't make sense. We already 21 22 have a parking issue here in the area, especially in 1B. 23 I even have spoken to Councilmember Renea Doe

(phonetic) about it. Her response to me was if we stop

building parking garages, people will stop buying cars,

24

quote/unquote.

And that's kind of been the mentality. But I can tell you, from looking from the business aspect and seeing, especially after COVID, a lot of these businesses closing down.

You know the Reeves Center right now, that's pretty much the main parking for the area that's also being taken down. I know they were supposed to build another 130-spots there.

At this particular site, I have not heard of how many parking spots. I heard they would possibly be looking to go underground, which is a good thing, but in terms of the amount or being able to handle the amount of the 600 units, no. It's inconceivable.

MR. HANLON: Am I -- is it correct that you and members of the ANC were told that during construction, at least during construction, the police station would have to be relocated somewhere else?

MR. KENSEK: That really never came up. I think that I have raised the point that like what are we going to do because I know, being in construction, they'd have to do it phased.

So they would have to do -- take down like the fire department at once, possibly use the police station where it is then do the swap when they decide to do --

```
1
    however they're deciding. You know, one's going to have to
 2
    be out, if not both. It really depends on the planner.
 3
              But that wasn't really discussed to anyone in the
    1B or did we discuss it, like, well, what's going to happen
 4
 5
    to the fire department/police department? Are they just
 6
    going to go poof?
 7
              I do believe, from my other fellow commissioners,
    that if they knew that the fire and police were not coming
8
    back, I think there might have been some other votes and
9
    going nay versus yay, but yeah, it was not discussed really.
10
11
              MR. HANLON: And do you not think that it's an
12
    important topic for the ANC to discuss? Where the police
13
    station is going to be relocated temporarily or permanently
14
    when considering how to advise on this project?
15
              MR. KENSEK: Yes, sir.
16
              MR. HANLON: And you are aware that the D.C.
17
    Police Union opposes this project because of its concerns
18
    about how it's going to affect public safety in moving the
19
    police station even temporarily from this site?
20
              MR. KENSEK: Yes, I do, but I do want to state
21
    that, you know, sometimes people don't like change, so not
22
    to get away from our question, Counselor Hanlon, just that I
    think that some police might not want the change of just
23
24
    having to deal with going to a different area.
```

So I don't -- I didn't really put that in my

1 testimony, but I would answer the question that, of course 2 there's going to be a lot of people that don't want it. That want to move and I feel the Zoning Committee should 3 4 look at that appropriately as well, because some people just 5 don't like change. MR. HANLON: I was looking at the Comp Plan and I 6 7 was looking at Comp Plan 2013.11 and I had a question. 8 me read you this sentence and then I would like to see if 9 the ANC discussed this in its consideration. It says, "Utilize public land at the Reeves 10 11 Center, the Housing Finance Agency, Garnet Patterson, Engine 12 9, and MPD Ferrit District Headquarters to create mix-used neighborhood landmarks that acknowledge and continue the 13 14 history of U Street as a black business corridor." 15 Was there any consideration, when the ANC was 16 debating this project back in April of last year, was there 17 any consideration by the ANC at that time about how this 18 project would acknowledge and continue the history of U 19 Street as a black business corridor? 20 MR. KENSEK: To the best of my knowledge? it wasn't even discussed. 21 22 MR. HANLON: Do you know whether the ANC met with the Florida Avenue Baptist Church to discuss this project 23

MR. KENSEK: I can't speak for the other eight

before voting to recommend this project?

1 commissioners, but we do all talk and I have not heard of 2 anyone speaking to any church at all for that matter. 3 MR. HANLON: Do you know whether ANC 1B arranged any meetings with black business leaders along U Street to 4 discuss with black business leaders, black businessmen, 5 black businesswomen this project before voting to recommend 6 7 this project? MR. KENSEK: I am not aware of any. If there was, 8 I am not aware. Again, I can't speak for Commissioner 9 Fields who single-member district she is. She's very 10 11 thorough and she is a great commissioner. I don't know, 12 though, if that was taking place, nor did I hear of anything 13 like that. 14 MR. HANLON: Did ANC 1B ask OP for a displacement study about whether low- and moderate-income residents and 15 16 whether residents of color would be displaced if this 17 project goes forward? MR. KENSEK: You know, that only came up I'd say 18 19 in the -- this was after the vote was taken and about two or 20 three months ago, before these hearings. I want to say -actually, right in June, before this hearing originally 21 22 started, I believe June 20th, we did have a local meeting at

up and it was part -- we put in the resolution that we'd like to have that done, but again, I don't believe any

the police headquarters there at 1620 V and it was brought

```
1
    studies have been proven or shown to any of these questions,
    to be frank.
 2
 3
              MR. HANLON: Okay. So as far as you know OP has
 4
    not done any study about how this project might affect
 5
    displacement by income or by race; is that correct?
              MR. KENSEK: I'd be willing to put my life on it.
 6
 7
    That's correct.
8
              MR. HANLON: I don't have any more questions.
    thank you, Chair Hood.
9
10
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Thank you and thank the
11
    panel, the first panel and also thank the parties in
12
    opposition for the way you answered questions. It's greatly
13
    appreciated by my colleagues and I.
14
              Ms. Schellin, if we can bring up the next five.
              MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, I'm waiting for Mr. Young.
15
16
    Give him a second to take everyone down, and then I will
17
    move on to the next panel.
18
              So we'll move on to Ian Bell, I'm sorry, wrong
19
            We're going to opposition. Let me -- screen froze
20
    up, so we will move to page 2.
21
              James Brannon, I'm sorry. Wrong category, that's
22
    undeclared. So don't bring him up yet. Opponent, Debbie
    Hanrahan, Charles Blien or Bien, I'm sorry, B-i-e-n, Mr.
23
24
    Young, Chris Otten, William Schulz, Ian Hawkesworth.
```

25

Is that five?

```
1
              MR. YOUNG: Yes.
 2
              MS. SCHELLIN: Okay. That's five, Mr. Young?
 3
              MR. YOUNG: For the last name, I know I saw him on
    earlier.
 4
 5
              MR. BIEN: Charles Bien did you say? B-i-e-n.
 6
              MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, he's under Foxworth.
 7
              MR. BIEN: I'm here. I'm here. I can't --
8
              MS. SCHELLIN: You see him?
9
              MR. BIEN: -- I don't why I can't get my beautiful
    picture of me, but you've got my voice.
10
11
              (Laughter.)
12
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. While Mr. --
13
              MS. SCHELLIN: Do you see him, Mr. Young?
14
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Mr. Bien is working on his
15
    picture, (crosstalk) let's go ahead and get started.
16
              Let's go ahead and get started --
17
              MS. SCHELLIN: Mr. Young, he's under Hawksworth.
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. You all can bring him
18
19
    up.
20
              Ms. Hanrahan, you can go ahead and begin.
21
              MS. HANRAHAN: I can't get my picture.
22
              MR. YOUNG: That's okay.
23
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Hover over where it says,
24
    "start video".
25
              MR. BIEN: Yeah, I've done that and it doesn't
```

```
1
    seem to do it.
 2
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Well, why don't you all work on
 3
    it since we want to see you, Ms. Hanrahan, so why don't we
    just go to Chriss Otten. Let's go to Chris Otten first.
 4
 5
              And put yourself on mute so we can hear Mr. Otten.
              Mr. Otten?
 6
 7
              MR. OTTEN: Does that make --
8
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I'm going to ask everybody else
    to mute except for Chris Otten.
9
10
              MS. SCHELLIN: Yeah, I just muted Mr. Bien. He
11
    was unmuted.
12
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay.
13
              MS. SCHELLIN: So maybe Mr. Otten, you can --
14
              MR. OTTEN:
                         Is that all right?
15
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Let's try it again.
16
              MR. OTTEN: Can you hear me, Chairman Hood?
17
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay.
18
              MR. OTTEN: Okay, thanks.
                                         Thank you, thank you.
19
              Commissioners, my name is Chris Otten, I am here
20
    testifying tonight with D.C. for Reasonable Development as a
    co-facilitator and also in my personal hat because I live
21
22
    nearby the site. I live about a block and a half away.
              And I joined about 1,000 neighbors on the petition
23
    and over 200 letters in opposition to this MU-10 rezoning.
24
25
    The Commission is being asked to rezone this site to MU-10
```

by right in the future.

Once you zone it MU-10 for the whole site, it's by right, as Mr. Kensek said, anything happens in the future could really, you know, just be spelled out that way by the zoning you set. So obviously you have a very critical role here.

I heard at the last hearing testimony that there's no mandated for community outreach and I'm just kind of startled to hear that. I think we all know, whether you're for or against any of these projects, we should be engaged with and we should be invited into the conversation.

I think that's just common sense and I just have to wonder, does respect have to mandated?

I will point the Commission to 10 AD DCMR 2506.2, which is an equitable public participation. It's Policy IM 1.5.1 in the Comp Plan. You know, we heard that there's nowhere in the Comp Plan where it talks about this.

District-led planning activities shall provide meaningful, accessible, and equitable opportunities for public participation early and throughout these planning activities.

If that's not a mandate in writing, I don't know what it. But I think you all understand this. I mean, I've known this Commission long enough that public participation, community engagement, that's priority number one and if we

- actually had it here with the Office of Planning, directly
 with the affected community, we could have discussed some of
 the things that Commissioner Kensek talked about, a split
 zone.

 I'm just getting hip to some of the things,
 Subtitle 11K, a Special Use Zone I just found out about,
 where the community can actually set some zoning parameters
- for such a large site. It's been done around the city
 apparently, where we could talk about the required
- 10 affordability, the required setbacks, where on the site,
- 11 none of that happens without community engagement and
- 12 conversation.
- And that, I think, is a resounding sort of theme
 we're hearing both for and against this MU-10. That just
 didn't happen.
- The Racial Equity Tool, which you all put into
 place I think it's very important. That's IM 2501.8. That
 requires engagement.
- The community workshops that are in 10 DCMR

 20 2506.4, that's required. And so these are all mandates I've

 read in the Comp Plan, and the reason is, you know, so we

 could talk about the life safety issues, if the police and

 fire station are displaced by this rezoning.
- We could talk about the displacement and other
 impacts that are -- that would be brought on by such a large

change in land use for this area. 1 2 I speak about this, going to my personal hat, I live on Champlain Street. It's a block and a half from the 3 site. Right up the block this Zoning Commission rezoned and 4 5 remapped a site for a luxury hotel. You know, within a few months of that rezoning of 6 7 that luxury hotel, all of the properties on this block, 8 including my Co-op, it's a Limited Equity Co-op, founded by Marion Berry, Mayor for Life in 1986. 9 MS. SCHELLIN: (Crosstalk). 10 11 MR. OTTEN: Hello? I'm sorry. I missed that. 12 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Let's just make sure we stay on 13 mute. Go right ahead, Mr. Otten. 14 15 MR. OTTEN: Okay. I'm sorry. Yep. So for my co-16 op, within a few months after the rezoning for the luxury 17 hotel at the block, all of the properties on my block went up in value. 18 19 The tax appraiser came along within a few months 20 and said your property is now worth x amount more. was a building nearby the hotel that was worth 1.6 million 21 22 at the start of the rezoning. At the end of the process, it was 3.5 million, literally three months after it. 23 24 By the time the hotel opened up, that building was

worth six million and subsequently, so was their tax

appraisal.

And so for co-ops like mine and the co-op right across the street from the site, we're already on the brink because of some of these other projects. And so this is the sort of thing that we talk about when we talk about impact studies and community engagement.

We could have real conversation around that.

Community engagement and conversation didn't even happen around the future land use map amendment for this site,

Amendment 8050. Brianne Nadeau, our Council member didn't talk to us about it, the OP didn't talk to us about it, it happened last minute.

We didn't even get a chance to talk about that and look at the impact studies and so the origins of this map application is in doubt, is in question because of lack of outreach engagement and impact study.

So I would beg this commission to hear these voices, to understand your natural instinct, which is to demand that there is engagement and proper engagement as highlighted in the Comp Plan. So we can talk about things like a Special Use Zone with specific parameters so that OP and the affected community can come back to you together collaboratively with a real plan.

Right now, this isn't it, and I appreciate your time. Thank you so much.

```
1
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you. And if you can hold
 2
    tight, we may have some additional questions.
 3
              Ms. Hanrahan, are you able to come on now, or
 4
    should I go to Mr. Bien? Let me go to Mr. Bien.
 5
              MR. BIEN: We can't --
              MS. HANRAHAN: Mr. Chairman?
 6
 7
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yes?
8
              MS. HANRAHAN: I can't, but you don't need to see
              I just have a lot of white hair, so it doesn't
9
    my face.
10
    matter.
11
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Oh, okay. all right. You may
12
    begin.
13
              MS. HANRAHAN: No. I want to start off with
    something very heartfelt. I appreciate you're making
14
    everybody feel so comfortable today and you're getting the
15
    best out of them as a result.
16
17
              And I find this a very, very exciting experience
18
    to have such a meeting before the Zoning Commission.
19
    value in all of this. I'm not an expert on zoning, but I am
20
    an activist and I have collected signatures all my life
    going way back to the signature gathering to call a
21
22
    Constitutional Convention to create a state of Washington
23
    DC.
24
              I know how hard it is to collect signatures, but
25
    I'm telling you, I have never had such an easy time at
```

1 collecting signatures as when we were on 17th and U. 2 People I mean, it was hot. We were senior citizens. We all had white hair. We didn't -- everybody 3 except me had never done it before. And it was like 4 5 shooting fish in a barrel. We collected signatures so quickly on this issue. 6 7 I cannot tell you. I'll read you what people signed, but we 8 got over 1,000 signatures, in the heat of the summer, and people were stunned when they heard what 17th and U was 9 going to have. 10 11 They had not been told about it. And they asked 12 us to tell them about what was planned for their community. Our original impetus for going there to collect the 13 14 signatures was to find out what the community view was. We didn't want to be -- I live at 15th and O. I'm 15 16 an outlier. I don't want to go into a neighborhood where 17 I'm at odds with the views of the neighborhood. 18 So our original idea was to collect signatures to 19 see if we were in sync. Not only were we in sync, we turned 20 out to be the educators. I mean, it was so ironic. But anyway, I want to say that, in addition -- oh, 21 22 I wanted to read what people signed. 23 "We, the undersigned, urge the DC Zoning

Commission not to approve the proposed-up zoning of this

public land to MU-10 to allow a ten-story luxury apartment

24

building to be built on this public land.

We want transparent, data driven community engagement. Two, all two acres at 17th and UV remain in public control. Three, any proposed redevelopment be lower in scale, considering the real impacts on nearby neighbors and protect public safety."

Now, in addition to that over 1,000 signatures, we have submitted 200 letters in opposition. We have all these public -- this testimony today, which you are so graciously handling.

And I think there's a message here that people don't want what the District government is trying to impose on this site. I also would like to say just as some as an old lady who's watched a lot of these things happen.

There's a lot of energy in this city on a lot of things, but it's not on thinking creatively about low-income housing, affordable housing. They're just not -- it's just not being done.

We're shoving it over to the developers and saying you handle it. We're thinking -- trying to think creatively about a new football stadium, a new sports arena in downtown, and then an expansion of our Convention Center. But come on, people. Social housing is the thing that we ought to be looking at and exploring.

And I think this site is just perfect for it. And

1 thank you for your time. Amen. Finished. 2 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you. Okay. Hold tight. 3 We may have some additional questions. 4 MS. HANRAHAN: Yeah. Right. 5 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Mr. Bien, you may begin. I'm Charles Bien, a professional 6 MR. BIEN: community planner with over 50 years of experience. 7 8 member of several local organizations devoted to better 9 planning in the D.C. area. But I am here representing no organization, but 10 11 merely someone unfortunate enough to have my home about the 12 proposed land use change and monstrous proposed development. I have listened to all the previous four 13 development presentations and would like to correct some of 14 their statements with factual comments. 15 16 First of all, on the height of the buildings. The 17 reason I raise this is that a few of the proponents for development said how well it would fit into the existing 18 19 high-rise buildings, even though the tallest of nearby 20 buildings is four and a half blocks away and four stories shorter than the requested zoning change allows. 21 The building height across U Street from the 22 proposed development ranges from two to four and a half 23 24 stories. The half story is the pinnacle of the top of the

25

old fire station building.

The building height on 17th across from the police station range from two and a half to four stories. On the west side of 16th Street between U and V streets, the highest building, the Balfour Apartments is merely six stories.

The rest of the block, including an apartment building, is either three or four stories. Crossing V Street and continuing up 16th Street, all the buildings are either three or four stories until you reach the end of the block at the Washington House Apartments, which sit catty corner from Malcolm X Park and is eight stories high.

Similarly, all but one along New Hampshire Avenue are four stories below the height limit that the proposed zoning change would allow. The one other building is only two stories.

To me proponents' most glaring shortcoming is the failure to study the impact of development on people and needed public facilities. This shortcoming was especially disturbing when it came to the Office of Planning's failure to investigate minority needs and representation.

How can the claim be made that this proposed change would not threaten the officially designated historic districts that surround the site? It's like saying that one can build a McDonald's on the entrance to Mount Vernon.

A density increase of this scale would cause

considerable strain on existing facilities. Just a few of the things are that the huge increase in additional pedestrian and vehicular traffic on U, V, and 17th streets and demand for additional school recreation facilities and the removal of tons of additional garbage, which there is when there is already a major public health rodent problem.

The proposed development, while claiming that it will address affordable housing needs of the city when it will cater to white upper middle rather professionals who will change the current composition of the immediate neighborhood from a racial mix of middle and lower-income residents.

The map and the text of the Comp Plan were both inactive by the D.C. Council. The text of the Comp Plan has many provisions protecting conservation areas and similar manmade and natural resources, but it seems like some are saying that only the map counts.

Finally, I am amazed that no true market study was done. A simple but decent argument or certainly observation that there is no need for more costly high-rise departments in the area is to walk along V, U, and 14th streets at dusk and see the vacant apartments and for rent signs. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Thank you.

Ian Hawkesworth?

1 MR. BIEN: I'm sorry. What? 2 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: No. I was calling the next 3 person, Ian Hawkesworth. 4 MR. HAWKESWORTH: Thank you very much, 5 Commissioner Hood. Thank you for giving me the time to make an 6 7 intervention about this very important development. My name 8 is Ian Hawkesworth. I live right across from the development at 2036 17th Street with my wife and two 9 children and what I propose is simple and I think 10 11 reasonable, which is, you know, develop the land, but take 12 care of the area and the people live around here. The point was already made, and then I apologize 13 14 that some of the points I will make you've probably heard before, but I they bear repeating. This is not a downtown 15 environment. 16 17 There are no 11-story high rises here. Even U 18 Street doesn't have any of those. This is, apart from U 19 Street, low rise. And the most buildings, when they're 20 considered large, are four to six stories. And that's the nature of the neighborhood and particularly for this area is 21 2.2 not abnormal to other areas. 23 It's a very D.C.-type neighborhood where an 11-24 story building would be not in keeping with the 25 surroundings. So Commission members, please think of a

street where that you care about, a residential street and then imagine 11 stories. And I think it's not difficult for you to understand where we're coming from.

But let me make the point as well that we're not saying or I'm not saying don't develop. By all means, we understand development is necessary. Social housing is necessary and it can be done well.

And that's fine and we ask you to go ahead. We just ask you to keep it in nature keep it in the spirit of the buildings around it. So four to five stories, it would work fine.

Finally, my point would be that this is not complicated to convey and you understand it. And we would have been very happy to also convey it to the planners, but there seemed to have been very little genuine effort to reach out to those of us that live here.

There was silence followed by a downtown style proposal of 11 stories. And when questioned, and this is my personal opinion, I thought it was a process that was characterized by secretiveness and standoffishness and not a genuine willingness to engage.

And the questions remain, right? So why didn't the officials investigate other options when it's so obvious how out of place 11 stories would be? Why was splitting zones not looked at? And why have the consequences of such

```
a big development not been looked into?
1
 2
              There was a former intervention which mentioned
    that people are shocked. I think it's true. People are
 3
    shocked and upset about this process. It seems that it was
 4
 5
    decided far away from where we live.
              And let me end by saying, I hope that this block
 6
    can be modernized in a good way that that works, both for
 7
    the current residents and for the future residents. And
8
    with that, I thank you for your time.
9
10
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you very much, Mr.
11
    Hawkesworth.
12
              Let's see if we have any questions or comments.
13
    Commissioner Imamura, do you have any questions or cross?
14
    Ouestions?
              COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: No questions. Thank you,
15
    Mr. Chairman.
16
17
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you. Vice Chair Miller,
18
    you have any questions?
19
              VICE CHAIR MILLER: We thank each of you for
20
    taking the time to testify here this evening.
21
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. And, Commissioner
22
    Stidham, do you have any questions or comments -- I mean,
23
    any cross? Any questions?
              Okay. I don't have any. When's able to come back
24
25
    I'll go back to her.
```

```
1
              I don't have any as well. I appreciate everyone's
 2
    testimony.
              Ms. Hanrahan, it's good to hear your voice. I
 3
    have not seen you in a while and it's good -- I want to
 4
 5
    thank everybody.
              Commissioner Stidham, you have any questions?
 6
 7
              COMMISSIONER STIDHAM: Am I back? Sorry.
 8
              No, sir. Thank you.
9
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Ms. Schellin, I am going
    to try to call the parties so we can get ready for the
10
11
    parties in opposition.
12
              Mr. Kirschenbaum, Office of Planning?
13
              MR. KIRSCHENBAUM: No questions.
                                                 Thank you.
14
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you.
15
              Chair Harris, ANC 1B?
16
              MS. HARRIS: Yes, I have just a few questions for
17
    Ms. Hanrahan.
18
              Can you help me understand why a taller building
19
    would impact public safety as your petition language
2.0
    indicates?
              MS. HANRAHAN: Well, I, unfortunately, at the same
21
22
    time we were collecting the signatures there were a lot of
23
    carjackings and street robberies and people would talk about
24
    that and they felt that the presence of a tall building,
25
    where the police department was not guaranteed to swing
```

```
1
    back. I mean, a lot of people feel that we can't find the
 2
    building in the city that has a police station in it on the
    first floor.
 3
              We're looking for other cities that perhaps have
 4
 5
    been able to accommodate a police station in the first floor
    of a luxury building, but we haven't located it yet. I'm
 6
    sure it can be done, but we just haven't seen it.
 7
8
              So I think that I think that was very much on
    people's minds. And really, I'm a cipher. I was reflecting
9
    what people were telling me. They did not want to lose
10
11
    their public safety, their police station, and the fire
12
    station.
13
              And so I took that as a genuine concern.
14
            I'm talking too much. Yeah. But good question.
15
    Yeah.
16
              MS. HARRIS: And then have you gotten any
17
    indication that the intent of the government is to no longer
18
    maintain ownership of the land?
19
              MS. HANRAHAN: You mean -- well, you mean the fact
20
    that whether it will be leased or sold to whoever develops
    it? Is that your question? I'm not sure I understand the
21
22
    question.
```

24 yeah --

MS. HARRIS: That is the question. What I quess

MS. HANRAHAN: Right.

1 MS. HARRIS: So you have gotten indication that 2 the intent is to either lease or to be sold? MS. HANRAHAN: Well, that's the pattern in the 3 4 District, but the people we talked to and in our petition, 5 we've specifically asked them if they wanted to give up ownership of public land for luxury housing, and it was a 6 7 resounding no. 8 MS. HARRIS: But there was no indication from the government --9 10 MS. HANRAHAN: Oh, I see. 11 MS. HARRIS: -- about no longer maintaining 12 ownership? MS. HANRAHAN: Well, I'm sure as you know and as 13 other people have brought up, it's very hard to get a 14 specific answer from the government as to the planned 15 situation as to the land. 16 17 You don't know if it's going to be leased. 18 don't know if it's going to be sold. You don't know the 19 details of the lease. You don't know the price of the sale. 20 In other words, it's a very murky area that nobody wants to explain. And I have quit trying. 21 22 MS. HARRIS: And then I guess I want to go back to the first question really quickly here. So because there is 23 24 the increased worry about public safety, as you've found 25 when you were out petitioning, would you agree that this

would be suitable for Third District station and FEMS to 1 2 return to the site? 3 MS. HANRAHAN: Oh, do I personally think that? Absolutely. But let me say again, I really think, from the 4 5 responses of people who live in the neighborhood, it's an overwhelming preference that both of them return, yes. 6 7 I don't live in that neighborhood. I live at 15th I defer to their feelings and it seems to me that 8 it's clearly overwhelmingly the preference. 9 MS. HARRIS: And then another question. Can you 10 11 explain how a different decision in zoning would make social 12 housing more possible here? MS. HANRAHAN: Well, first of all, you're asking 13 14 someone who's not an expert. And I appreciate your interest. And I hope all of us will become experts in 15 16 social housing, because it seems to me that the city has let 17 us down. 18

There is no creative thinking being done.

Inclusionary zoning has not worked. A friend of mine told me the other day that the black community long ago realized that inclusionary zoning does not -- is too expensive for black people to afford.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Well, that tells you right there. We're missing the mark. Anyway, so I'd like you -- I'm not knowledgeable. I have never seen it in real life. I would like to be able

to afford to go to Vienna and look at their social housing because that city won the award, for the second year in a row, as the most livable city in Europe. And why is that? Because they have this wonderful housing program of social housing.

- So I, you know, I am very optimistic that this is the answer that we've all been looking for to really deal with this critical question. You know, forget your football stadiums. Forget your convention centers.
- Let's deal with what we really need and let's solve the homeless problem in this city. We're smart enough to do it. And so let's just do it.
- MS. HARRIS: Would you agree that, I guess, more housing would help solve the homeless crisis here in D.C.?
- MS. HANRAHAN: It's a question of what the parameters are for occupying it. When you have your ceiling higher than the median income of a black family in -- for instance, let me look. Let me just read you something.
- The annual median household income in D.C. for black family is \$54,401. Of the 372 units produced under inclusionary zoning in Fiscal 2021, just 95 went to households earning less than 71,000.
- This means that someone earning up to \$71,000 a year would qualify for available IZ housing and beat out black applicants.

```
1
              You see, we're not we're not aiming for people who
 2
    are in need of housing who are making the median income for
 3
    black families. We've priced them out of the market.
 4
              What good is that? You know? I mean, come on.
 5
    These are the people that have run the city that make it
    work that during COVID kept it going. You know, I want
6
 7
    these people to be taken care of.
8
              MS. HARRIS: Yeah. Okay.
                                         Thank you.
9
              I have another question for Mr. Ian Hawkesworth.
    The Sonnet, an 11-story building is .2 miles away from 1617
10
11
    U Street. Do you not consider that part of your
12
    neighborhood?
13
              MR. HAWKESWORTH: Sorry. I'm trying to get the
    hardware to work.
14
15
              I walked around and I didn't -- which, sorry, what
16
    building? The Sonnett?
17
              MS. HARRIS: Yes.
18
              MR. HAWKESWORTH: I don't know. I can't give you
19
    a good answer. Obviously, it's not made a big impression on
20
    me.
              MS. HARRIS: It's at 1441 U Street. And again,
21
22
    it's .2 miles away from 1617 U Street and you mentioned you
23
    live in the nearby vicinity of 1617 U Street.
24
              So would you consider that not part of your
25
    neighborhood?
```

```
1
              MR. BIEN: That's not two miles away.
 2
    between 15th and 14th Street. That's not two miles.
              MS. HARRIS: It's .2 miles.
 3
                         Oh, .2 miles. Okay.
 4
              MR. BIEN:
              MR. HAWKESWORTH: Listen, the point is that if you
 5
    describe the nature of the neighborhood then you describe
6
 7
    the nature of it. You may have found one building that, you
8
    know, with a few 100 yards is in or out or whatever, but the
9
    nature of the neighborhood is not that an 11-story building
    would fit in any way, and it this is a massive lot.
10
11
              And around -- this is not U Street. This I mean,
12
    the part I'm talking about in particular is low rise
    residential. It's, I mean, you know this.
13
                                                It's an
14
    unreasonable thing to do. That's my point.
15
              MS. HARRIS: So what you're saying is you don't
16
    consider the building that is .2 miles away a part of your
17
    neighborhood?
18
              MR. HAWKESWORTH:
                                There is no 11-story building,
19
    as far as I can see, that is .2 miles away.
20
              MS. HARRIS: Can you remind me, what your address
21
    is again or how close you are?
22
              MR. HAWKESWORTH: 2036 17th Street.
23
              MS. HARRIS: Okay.
              MR. HAWKESWORTH: Across from the police station.
24
25
              MS. HARRIS: Okay. So that -- the Sonnet is
```

```
fairly close to you.
1
 2
              Okay. But --
 3
              MR. HAWKESWORTH: But you understand my point,
    right, Ms. Harris?
 4
 5
              MS. HARRIS: That is not for me to say at this
 6
    time.
 7
              MR. HAWKESWORTH:
                                Yeah.
8
              MS. HARRIS: Thank you so much. Those are all the
9
    questions I had.
10
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Thank. Let's see.
11
    Let's go to ANC 2B, either Zach Adams or Meg Roggensack.
12
              (Pause).
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. All right. Let's go to
13
14
    Randy Jones.
15
              MR. JONES: No questions. Thank you.
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Thank you.
16
17
              Gregory Adams, Black Neighbors?
              MR. ADAMS: For, Mr. Otten, I think in your
18
19
    testimony you mentioned something about the lack of
20
    outreach. And can you -- something about impact studies.
    Can you tell me what you mean by that? The lack of impact
21
22
    studies being conducted?
23
              MR. OTTEN: Sure, Mr. Adams.
24
              Well, the lack of outreach I think is pretty
25
    troubling. I think we all have admitted this that they just
```

```
1
    didn't do, OP the applicant, didn't do the outreach.
 2
              For a co-op like where I live, that's huge. I
 3
    mean, you have 30 families. They're all working class
    people of color, besides myself and they've not been engaged
 4
 5
    on this.
              They've not been told what by right means, what
 6
 7
    split zoning means. They've not been clued in about what
    could happen to our building and the taxes on our building
8
    if this paradigm of we need to build 12 stories of luxury
9
    housing to get a handful of affordable units, right?
10
11
              I mean that's the old paradigm. That's where I
12
    think social housing might be able to help us break that.
                                                                Ι
    think we all want to get to 150 -- I think the Attorney
13
14
    General's Office testified to they want 150 or there could
    be 150 units of affordable housing at this site.
15
16
              That is awesome, let's get there. Do we need to
17
    do that in under MU-10? Do we need to blow out the zoning
18
    and actually potentially displace the existing
19
    affordability?
20
              Like, where I live around it in order to get to
    150 units of so-called affordable housing here. As Ms.
21
22
    Hanrahan pointed out, the disparities in the racial wealth
    income gaps here in D.C. is so wide that an affordable unit,
23
24
    it really varies depending on your race and your class.
```

When you talk about -- I heard my -- the chair of

1 my ANC testified last hearing that ANC 1C defines affordable 2 housing at 60 percent AMI. That is for a studio one bedroom, that is an affordable unit for somebody making 3 \$65,000 a year.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- The minimum wage in DC is \$17 an hour. about 30,000, 35,000 a year. So the affordable housing is for somebody making two to maybe three times the living wage, the minimum wage in DC.
- It's untenable. It's untenable. The affordable housing isn't affordable. So when we hear that, I guess that's part of the outreach. It's sort of like, can OP come out and actually tell us what does affordable mean in DC? Can you tell us what by right means?
 - If this gets rezoned to MU-10, by right, anybody who owns the land whether it's the city or anybody else in the future can build to an MU-10 envelope whether you like it or not.
 - You know how about a conversation around custom zones that, you know, gets to the needs you're talking about? These are the conversations that I had hoped would have happened.
- I mean, OP filed this a year ago and it still hasn't happened with you, Mr. Adams, with any of the neighbors here, with the co-op right across the street from the site. To me, it's just unfathomable that OP thinks this

1 is okay.

So I guess to your question, it's like, yeah,

outreach it's required by the Comp Plan. There's plenty of

Comp Plan policies that talk about equitable public

participation. It just hasn't happened here.

The Racial Equity Tool is being ignored, and this Commission did their dutiful role there to actually create one per the Comp Plan requirement. They did it. And to make it seem like it's just kind of optional at this stage of the game when, you know, 60,000 black people have been displaced from our city over the last 20 years of all this building.

I mean, at this point, we need a new paradigm Just building up, going out, and not talking to us about it isn't it. It's not it. I hope that answers your question.

MR. ADAMS: Thank you, Mr. Otten.

I have a question for Ms. Hanrahan. You mentioned collecting signatures, and I'm just curious can you tell me something about if you heard anything specifically from the black residents or black neighbors in this area, if they had any special concerns?

MS. HANRAHAN: No. In fact, I'm trying to -- I'm scratching my head to try to remember specific black residents that I talked with. I can tell you, in general, that displacement -- I came home and told my husband I said

```
after the first day we went out.
 1
 2
              I said, honey, this is the first time that I have
 3
    noticed an anxiety among a young white people about being
    displaced. It's usually, in my experience when I've gone to
 4
 5
    meetings, they might have been a largely black attendance,
    and you used to mention luxury condos. Everyone would go,
 6
 7
    oh, no.
8
              Well, here, I encountered young white people
    feeling that this was that this was city-sponsored
9
    gentrification and it would have upward pressure on all of
10
11
    the rents around the neighborhood, and they would be
12
    displaced.
              I mean, they I wasn't saying that to them. They
13
14
    they're very smart, and they saw the connection. So I, in
    response to your question, I think, in general, everybody is
15
16
    in the same boat here that this development will upend
17
    the -- this wonderful neighborhood. I mean, it is a lovely
18
    neighborhood, and this will upend it and it would and ruin
19
    it.
20
              MR. ADAMS:
                          Thank you, Ms. Hanrahan.
              Thank you, Chairman Hood.
21
22
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Let's go now to Ms. Akel,
    Rochelle Apartments.
23
24
              MS. AKEL: Hello. I just have two questions for
```

Mr. Hawkesworth if he's still with us?

1 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yes. He's still with us. 2 MS. AKEL: Okay. Hi, Mr. Hawkesworth. I was the 3 one who organized our meetings for our neighborhood and I 4 wanted to ask you, do you recall any outreach to our 5 neighborhood by the Office of Planning or by our councilwoman, Brianne Nadeau, or by DMPED to come in person 6 7 and meet with us to talk about this massive project? 8 MR. HAWKESWORTH: No, I didn't. And quite on the contrary, I mean, it was striking how little that we had, 9 that you and others had to go out there and grab people from 10 11 street corners and say, listen, this is what's going to 12 Let's do something. happen. I mean, I would never have known if it wasn't for 13 14 people such as yourself. So, no, I don't. I think it's 15 shocking. 16 Thank you. And my last question is, do 17 you feel that the fact that we're being cross examined by our own ANC chair is a little troubling and points to the 18 19 fact that we may not have had a fair hearing in front of our 20 ANC? 21 MR. HAWKESWORTH: I think that's a great question. 22 I don't begrudge anybody to try to make their point, but, I -- there was a former speaker that said there was kind of a 23 24 clique that was running the ANC. I think, he sounds very 25 reasonable.

MS. AKEL: Thank you. That's it for me.
CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. We have been going
almost two hours. My colleagues let's take a, a break until
about 6:15. Okay? Let's take a break until 6:15.
MS. FESKANICH: Chair Hood?
CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yes.
MS. FESKANICH: The homeowners within 200 feet
have not had a chance to cross examine yet. Am I the last
one?
CHAIRPERSON HOOD: No. We're going to take about
a ten-minute break. We've been going for over two hours.
And as soon as I come back to you.
MS. FESKANICH: Okay. Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON HOOD: All right. Okay. So let's
come back at 6:15, everybody.
(Whereupon, at 6:08 p.m. a short break was taken,
to continue on following page.)

Τ.	E A F M T M G 2 F 2 Z T O M
2	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: All right. Commissioners, if
3	we are all coming back up.
4	And let me also say we apologize sometime for
5	chewing, but, it's not good when you eat at nine o'clock
6	when you finish these hearings.
7	So we try to get it in as we go along, because
8	then if we start eating it after nine, I know me, I'm up all
9	night.
10	So, we appreciate your indulgence, and we'll do
11	our best to be very discreet about how we were doing it. So
12	right. All right. We're waiting on Commissioner Stidham.
13	Make sure she's back and we'll get started.
14	(Pause).
15	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I'm sure she'll be back
16	momentarily. So let's go ahead and get started.
17	Ms. Feskanich, you wanted to do some cross exam on
18	this panel?
19	MS. FESKANICH: Yes, thank you, Chair Hood.
20	Question for Mr. Hawkesworth. As someone who
21	lives right across from this police station and fire station
22	and potential development site, are you concerned about the
23	impact a downtown sized building would have on property
24	values and possible displacement of some of your neighbors?
25	Mr Hawkesworth that did he hear me?

```
1
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Mr. Hawkesworth? There he is.
 2
    Mr. Hawkesworth, if you're talking, we can't hear you. I
    see you are muted.
 3
              Mr. Hawkesworth, I think you may be having
 4
 5
    problems with your headphones. You may want to take them
    off and switch over?
 6
 7
              Ms. Feskanich, do you have -- I want to say -- Mr.
8
    Hawkesworth?
9
                              Is here there? Do you want me to
              MS. FESKANICH:
10
    repeat the question?
11
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: It looks like he signed off,
12
    but he'll come back on.
              Do you have a question for somebody else until he
13
14
    gets back?
15
              MS. FESKANICH: Yes. For Ms. Hanrahan I have a
16
    couple of questions.
17
              I was very impressed with your signature
    gathering, over 1,000 signatures, and I wanted to ask you,
18
19
    do you think the people that who signed your petition would
20
    engage with OP if OP had said they wanted to discuss with
    the neighbors what was going to happen with that site? Do
21
22
    you think they would be willing to engage?
23
              MS. HANRAHAN: Yes. Absolutely.
24
              MS. FESKANICH: So it's not really an
25
    unwillingness to engage, but rather they're not being given
```

```
1
    an opportunity to do so?
 2
              MS. HANRAHAN:
                             Correct.
 3
              MS. FESKANICH: Okay. And is it, of the
 4
    signatures that you gathered, Ms. Hanrahan, is it is it fair
 5
    to say that between 80 and 90 percent of those signatures
    are within five to ten blocks of this site?
 6
 7
              MR. HAWKESWORTH: You're right again.
                                                     Yes.
    were standing in front of the police station, in front of
8
    the Korean store, which is very popular, and next to the
9
    liquor store right across the street. And there was people
10
11
    immediately in the neighborhood that passed us by and
12
    signed.
13
              MS. FESKANICH: Okay. And one last question for
14
    you, Ms. Hanrahan, does the Third District police and fire
15
    station serve your area where you live?
16
              MS. HANRAHAN: I think it does, but I'm not
17
    absolutely sure.
18
              MS. FESKANICH:
                              So you really would have a concern
19
    about police and fire moving or being displaced somewhere --
20
              MS. HANRAHAN:
                             Oh, yes.
              MS. FESKANICH: -- or lose services?
21
22
              MS. HANRAHAN: Absolutely. I mean, and it's not
23
    that I'm against change for change's sake. I mean, I just
    think whenever you upset an institution like this without
24
25
    any kind of outreach or planning or consideration, you may
```

be doing a lot of harm. 1 2 And this this government has not reached out. has not done that kind of information gathering. 3 4 MS. FESKANICH: Thank you. I have a question for Mr. Bien. You gave a very 5 good characterization of the neighborhood surrounding this 6 7 particular proposed rezoning site. Do you think that the 8 area was accurately taken into account when this map 9 amendment request was made? 10 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Mr. Bien, you're on mute. 11 MR. BIEN: Absolutely not. In fact, another 12 neighbor, who's on Seaton Street and I, went down to a 13 meeting with our council person when she was opening a new 14 office at City Hall and we ask her, this is before anything 15 happened in this. 16 We just heard rumors that something might be 17 happening. And we asked her, you know, what are we going to do about this? Her response was, quote, that's going to be 18 19 built, close quote. And she shoveled us out of her office. 20 Interesting. Okay. Thank you. MS. FESKANICH: And, a question for Mr. Hawkesworth. Is he there now? 21 22 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yes, he is. 23 MS. FESKANICH: Hi. As someone who lives right 24 across the street from the police and fire station here, are

you concerned about the impact that a downsized building

1 would have on property values and possible displacement of 2 some of your neighbors? 3 MR. HAWKESWORTH: Yeah. I'm certainly concerned about the displacement issue and I'm not an expert, but it 4 5 seems to be, you know, people who are worried. On property values, I don't know. I don't know if 6 7 it matters, but I would -- I don't know. I'm more concerned 8 with quality of life and sort of preserving the neighborhood while still giving ample opportunity for developing. 9 MS. FESKANICH: Appreciate that. Thank you. 10 11 And just a couple of questions for Mr. Otten. 12 testified about the FLUM change. And would you like to discuss more of your experience with that, the FLUM change 13 14 that precipitated this amendment? 15 MR. HAWKESWORTH: I did mention that in my 16 testimony. As I understand it, it's labeled Amendment in 17 need 50, by the Office of Planning Report. You know as the, 18 I think as this can certainly Commissioner Hood and Mr. 19 Miller know, probably know, I was working with Empower DC

And it was you know, years long process. It was

20

21

22

23

24

25

And it was, you know, years long process. It was quite detailed. There was a lot of outreach, then by the Office of Planning. I don't know what's happened since, but you know, I think it started in 2016, and the framework

element was voted on by the Council in 2018, and then we were gearing up.

There was public hearings by the Council on the rest of the plan in 2020, in November of 2020. And this -- none of the changes in Adams Morgan, let alone 1617 U Street was up for debate, was even being proposed as late as November of 2020, when we went to the Council to give our feedback about the amendments.

The first time I heard about any changes to Adams Morgan properties where I live, where I've lived for 25 years, was in March of 2021, like six weeks before the council was to take this up at a little noticed ANC Committee meeting, ANC 1C. Brianne Nadeau sent one of her staffers to the -- I happened to be there and she, yeah, the staffer just sort of presented suddenly these changes to all the planning maps for Adams Morgan and I was just shocked.

I couldn't understand it and I relayed my concern about that to David Meni who was a brand-new staffer who brought suddenly these changes in March of 2021 to my ANC Committee Meeting.

It wasn't even the ANC with a whole. The ANC never -- the ANC 1C never took a vote on it. None of the other ANCs in the area took a vote on it. It was just sort of presented as like, oh, we're considering this. It wasn't really fully thought out and maybe it was but, in fact, I

- 1 think it's on the record at that time in March of 2021 to 2 Mr. Kensek's talk about split zoning the actual FLUM map 3 only showed half of the site would be up FLUM'd to high density. 4 5 The other side along 17th Street and along V was not. So I just think it's interesting. Somewhere in May 6 7 from the Council dais, as I understand it like Brianne 8 introduced an amendment for the whole site to go high 9 density. 10 Nobody had a conversation about this in the 11 community. It just was introduced for the first time, you 12 know, at the City Council hearing where they're taking up the Comp Plan that had been discussed for years prior. 13 I just -- it was a stunning workaround of 14 community input and also impact study of something like this 15 and it was shocking. I don't know if that answers the 16 17 question. MS. FESKANICH: Yeah. I think that does. 18 19 then it sounded like there was no community engagement on 20 that last part of the Comp Plan change. You called it Amendment 8050. Is that right? 2.1 22 MR. HAWKESWORTH: That's what OP called it in
- MS. FESKANICH: Okay. And you sound really well versed on the and you've been following the call and its

their report.

1 changes really, really carefully. 2 Have you put a list of the Comp Plan policies on 3 our case record that you believe this application is inconsistent with? 4 5 MR. HAWKESWORTH: I know I might have mentioned it a couple of policies, verbally, and also, I think I might 6 have had it in some prior written testimony, but I'd be 7 glad, if it helps the Commission, I'd be glad to put a list 8 of what I believe how this application contradicts some of 9 the key Comp Plan policies, if that's helpful. 10 11 I mean, for example, like how about, you know 12 we're talking all this talk about affordable housing. There's entire sections of the Comp Plan about that, and I 13 14 don't see the Office of Planning engaging on those things. So Action H dash 2.1.f, Affordable Housing 15 Preservation Unit. I live in affordable housing. There's 16 17 affordable housing across the street from the site. 18 should have been engaging with this preservation unit to see 19 how MU-10 rezoning is going to affect us and I don't see 20 that happening. There's also Action, Comp Plan Action H-2.1.I, an 2.1 22 anti-displacement strategy, track neighborhood change development and housing costs to identify those that have 23 24 experienced, our experience or likely to experience

displacement pressures. Where does that happen?

1 Like, I was just kind of like I don't know why 2 these words exist in the Comp Plan if we're just going to 3 ignore them. It to me -- I'd be glad to put the list on the 4 record if that's helpful to you, Ms. Feskanich, and to the 5 Commission. MS. FESKANICH: I think it would be helpful and I 6 7 would like to see that. And I just, I have another question 8 related to that. 9 On balance, would you say -- I know the Comp Plan has a lot of bit different directive and policies, but on 10 11 balance would you say the negative impacts outweigh the 12 positive? That was brought up a couple of times during 13 previous testimony. And they said it's sort of a balancing 14 15 act, but in your opinion how do you see that on balance? 16 MR. HAWKESWORTH: I mean, I'm no expert and I --17 and this is where I come back to an actual community 18 engagement process and like an actual OP talking to us and 19 showing us data and all that. 20 It's hard to balance things when you don't actually have the data to do the balancing, right? So it's 21 22 like, yes, we can build all this affordable housing and luxury housing, but at what expense? 23 Is it going to displace my housing and 30 units 24

Is it going to displace the 10 units across the

25

here?

1 street? Is it going to displace the people? 2 So, like, without data, without an analysis, like 3 a true impact analysis or even a sense of what's happening in the neighborhood, like, before you make such a game 4 5 changing sort of remapping application. Like, it should be started at beginning this conversation. There should be 6 7 real some real data involved. That's all expected in the Comp Plan, by the way. 8 This is and basic I mean, common sense. I'm surprised even 9 a year on that, you know, we're talking about these 10 11 questions. 12 Like, clearly, we've made these issues known to OP and they have yet to respond to them. 13 I do want to point Chairman Hood, I saw, at 14 15 Exhibit 624, to your point about supply and demand, affordable housing versus, you know, I mean, how the prices 16 17 of housing comes up or go down. 18 There's a great submission there by a group that I 19 like to affiliate myself with, Save DC Public Land. 20 consider myself a member. I signed a petition thanks to Debbie, and it really breaks it down. 21 22 Like, you can't have supply and demand corrections when the major real estate companies that are getting these 23 24 big blocks of land and building these big buildings, if 25 they're colluding on rent prices and housing costs, the

price is never going to come down. 1 2 So we can keep building and there's always going to be somebody saying, oh, we just have to build more 3 4 because the price will come down eventually. But if the 5 real estate companies are colluding to keep the prices high, it's never going to come down and the Attorney General is 6 actually suing these real estate companies. 7 8 And anyway, that's all explained in in 624. I mean, which partly goes to like the city emptied out during 9 10 COVID. 20,000 people left. 11 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Mr. Otten, is that in response 12 to Ms. Feskanich's question? 13 MR. OTTEN: About the balancing? I think. 14 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Because you alluded to an exhibit that I'm well aware of because I said that some 15 16 years ago, and I still believe it today. That's why I asked 17 the question. 18 MR. OTTEN: Yeah. 19 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: So I'm just trying to make sure 20 that you deal with the cross examination from Ms. Feskanich. 21 MR. OTTEN: Okay. I guess I wanted to raise that 22 because when we do the balancing, like, we hear the touting, the benefit of this type of high-density rezoning is all 23 this housing and all this affordable and therefore some 24

25

affordable housing.

```
1
              But because if you build more housing, the price
 2
    come down and so the balancing issue there, it's like, oh,
 3
    well, yeah, of course, let's build more housing. But the
 4
    reality is if the real estate companies are colluding, the
 5
    price -- there's no supply and demand equation at play when
    the big boys are going to be colluding to keep the prices
 6
 7
    high.
8
              And so I think that's a very real thing in the
    balancing test. It's like, well, why are we building all
9
10
    this housing? The price ain't actually going to come down.
11
              Yeah.
                     About --
12
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Mr. Otten, I think you have
13
    captured that question very well. I appreciate you bringing
14
    that exhibit up.
15
              MR. OTTEN:
                          Yeah.
16
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: When I asked the question, I
17
    already knew the answer to that question.
18
              MR. OTTEN: I saw your quote in there.
19
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yeah. I saw that the other
20
    day.
              MS. FESKANICH: Chair Hood, actually, that was
21
22
    another question I was going to ask Mr. Otten about the
    supply and demand, but he preempted me. He got that in
23
    there. So, but, yeah.
24
25
              And I appreciate all of your analysis, Mr. Otten,
```

```
because it's obviously that you've thought about this a lot
1
 2
    and weighed all the, the factors involved, and I appreciate
    your testimony tonight. Thank you.
 3
              No, I don't have any other questions. Thank you,
 4
    Chair Hood.
 5
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Ms.
 6
7
    Feskanich.
8
              Let's see. We have Mr. Hanlon; do you have any
9
    questions?
10
              MR. HANLON: Thank you, Chair Hood.
11
              I have a couple of questions for several of the
12
    witnesses. Debbie Hanrahan, I saw you out there collecting
    signatures in the July heat, so I much admire you for
13
14
    collecting so many signatures.
15
              How long have you lived in the neighborhood?
16
              MS. HANRAHAN: Approximately 55 years.
17
              MR. HANLON: And so you're pretty familiar with
18
    this for with the neighborhood after 55 years, I assume?
19
              MS. HANRAHAN: Yes.
20
              MR. HANLON: And are you aware of any community
    outreach at all that OP did prior to filing this zoning
21
22
    case?
23
              MS. HANRAHAN: No.
24
              MR. HANLON: I would like to -- thank you, Debbie.
```

I would like to ask Chris Otten, is he there? Back already.

Let me go to Charlie Bien first and before I go to Chris Otten because I only have a quick question, Chair Hood, for Charlie Bien.

2.1

I was going to ask you as well. How long have you lived in this neighborhood with your wife, Mr. Bien? I can't hear you.

MR. BIEN: We first moved in, and in fact, we were neighbors of Debbie. I think it was 1969. Then I had, a tremendous career opportunity to be an assistant director of the California Coastal Conservation Commission when, an initiative out there.

I set this two-year commission up to do a plan to save the coastal lands and waters of California. And so we moved to San Francisco for a couple years, then came back, could no longer afford to live where we were living on at 1511 O Street.

We didn't have any money. And then we made some money on a house at 9th and D that we fixed up Northeast and made quite a bit of money on that. And took that money and paid cash for the house we're in now, which was really, really rough.

I mean, this neighborhood was unbelievably tough at the time and most of the houses were vacant and boarded up back then. And my wife and I strip paint for day for years and worked like hell. And we have a very, very lovely

```
1
    house now.
 2
              But we've been in --
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Mr. Bien, I want to commend
 3
          You doing your lovely house and all of that. And I'm
 4
 5
    glad that things work out for you.
              But, Mr. Hanlon, we really need to talk about
 6
 7
    zoning because I could talk about my house. Commissioner
8
    Imamura could talk about his situation. Commissioner
9
    Stidham would talk about it, Vice Chairman Miller. We all
10
    could do that. We need help in this case.
11
              So I would ask you to ask questions about it.
12
    And, Mr. Bien, I'm glad all that worked out. Believe me.
13
    But, Mr. Hanlon, please help us. Thank you.
14
              MR. HANLON: I guess the long and the short of it
15
    is, Mr. Bien, you've lived in this neighborhood for decades;
16
    is that correct?
17
              MR. BIEN: Yes.
              MR. HANLON: Okay. Now, we've heard about the
18
19
    EMS, the fire station, the police station moving permanently
20
    or at least temporarily? Do you have concerns about that
2.1
              MR. BIEN: Me, are you talking to?
22
              MR. HANLON: Yes.
                                 Yes.
23
              MR. BIEN: Not particularly. No. I mean, I think
    we'll get fire coverage, and, I'm a little concerned about
24
25
    the police not being here, but not terribly concerned.
```

```
1
    Well, as long as it's temporary.
 2
              MR. HANLON: And not permanent? All right.
 3
              I'd like to ask Chris Otten, thank you, Mr. Bien.
    I'd like to ask Chris Otten a couple of questions.
 4
 5
              Chris, in your testimony you talked about, I'm
    sorry, you talked about Special Use Zone for this property
 6
    rather than upzoning to M-10. Can you explain briefly what
 7
    you meant?
8
                                Yeah.
                                       Again, I just got hip to
9
              MR. HAWKESWORTH:
    this recently and I talked to the Attorney General's Office
10
11
    about it to see if it would even be applicable for this
12
    site. And turns out it could be.
              They've done it around the city, and I'm just sort
13
    of learning about it. But, you know, for example, the
14
15
    commission could require 70 percent of the gross floor area
16
    of a zone, a Special Use Zone for this site to be dedicated
17
    to affordable housing, which would be the social housing
    model if the Zoning Commission wants to do that.
18
19
              The Zoning Commission could guarantee, ensure the
20
    affordability, the future affordability of this site so it
    doesn't slip away as Commissioner Kensek talked about in the
21
22
    future.
23
              But I think the main point of that, to me,
24
    resonates around the collaborative approach here versus just
```

like the take it or leave it from OP that we could actually

- work with OP, Debbie will reach out to her list, get
 everybody in the room, and, like, let's design a zone
 together here to, you know, that that gets at all the issues
 and still gets us the 150 affordable units.
 - You know I think it's interesting too that Sonnet came up. That was a split zone there. They didn't do one monolithic zone for the whole site. That's a, it's a split zone.

- And so I mean, I just don't understand why we couldn't have a conversation around that at the beginning of the process to talk about these type of potential opportunities with zoning.
- MR. HANLON: Can you explain in a little more detail why community outreach is important before the zoning application is filed rather than route 20 hours of Zoning Commission hearings?
- MR. HAWKESWORTH: I think I was going to say so we don't have a 20-hour zoning hearing. I mean, we could have come in together in supporting OP instead of fighting, or just asking for outreach, right?
- That's why, I mean, a lot of this a lot of the zoning, the origins of zoning as I understood it, again, a neutral tool. You could use it to do bad things. You could use it to do good things. It's really up to the players involved.

1 And, like, you know, but one of the purposes was to get out in front with the community to say how do we want 2 3 to develop. And that just hasn't happened here. could've we could've talked about a Special Use Zone. 4 5 could've talked about custom zoning. We could be guaranteeing truly affordable housing here like they did at 6 7 Barry Farms or the Walter Reed zones or the, you know, 8 Hampton, the Howard North zone. 9 I mean, there's a bunch of different things we could do here that unfortunately without that conversation 10 11 at the beginning. Now, we're here just like dealing with 12 MU-10 and having to, like, go pull our hair out. 13 And it's just not fun. It's not enjoyable, this Also what about all the jargon? I mean, at the 14 beginning of the conversation like folks across the street, 15 folks in my building, they want to know what buy right 16 17 means, they want to know what Special Use zones are. 18 They want to know what these different zones might 19 be, MU-4, MU-6. That sort of stuff. The zoning handbook 20 could have been given out to all of V Street and 17th Street by the Office of Planning. 2.1 22 Do you think that happened? No. I mean, anyway, I just think this could all be solved if we go back to the 23

beginning and have OP do two community forums, at least, and

invite everybody and really get to a place where we're

24

```
1
    coming back to the Commission together to make this a lot
 2
    easier.
 3
              MR. HANLON: So you would recommend that the
    Commission dismiss this case, go back, and do community
 4
 5
    engagement, and see whether they can work out a compromise
    with the community; is that right?
 6
 7
              MR. HAWKESWORTH:
                                That's just that's a one
    solution. Yep.
8
9
              MR. HANLON: And do you believe that if OP did
    that, if this case were dismissed and OP went back and did
10
11
    community engagement about what the community wanted here,
12
    there'd be less opposition to the zoning change here?
              MR. HAWKESWORTH: I do believe that firmly.
13
    mean, look. The OP figured, I mean, I'm trying to think
14
15
    about it. It's like OP figured, let's just try it. Let's
16
    see if we can get NU-10 across.
17
              When they saw the opposition, instead of actually
    dealing with it in a real way, they come back with this sort
18
19
    of knee jerk reaction of this text amendment that's going to
20
    follow after the fact to be discussed later.
              So it's like this disjointed unfair process again.
21
22
    And by the way, OP never talked to anybody about this text
    amendment that's supposed to happen, that's supposed to be
23
24
    conjoined with this map amendment.
```

It's beyond silly. It's unfair, and it does break

with many Comp Plan policies that I'd be glad to put on the record. But it's just, yes.

The bottom line is if they reached out, we work together. I think we could come back together and get this done and move forward quickly.

MR. HANLON: You mentioned that the FLUM

Amendment, Brianna Nadeau's FLUM Amendment, was discussed

the only thing you could recall to discuss at one ANC

Committee Meeting before it was voted on. Is that -- did I

understand your testimony correctly?

MR. HAWKESWORTH: Brianne Nadeau sent a staff of hers to ANC 1C's Planning, Zoning, Transportation Committee meeting in March of 2021, and threw the idea out at us.

They didn't get it. There was no votes on it or anything. And then somehow magically, what they threw out at us at this Committee meeting, which was only half the site would be up zoned, up FLUM'd, then in May, a few weeks later, like six weeks later, from the dais there was an amendment introduced in the morning of the first vote on the Comp Plan by Brianne Nadeau that said the whole site would be up FLUM'd to high density.

There was no conversation about it. We didn't talk about it during the council hearings in November of 2020. There was nothing. There was nothing in the years all leading up to it. Yep. That's my testimony.

1 MR. HANLON: And, this committee meeting you spoke 2 about where she sent a staff, Ms. Nadeau sent a staffer, 3 about how many people were at that committee meeting? MR. HAWKESWORTH: It was by Zoom. It was during, 4 5 So it was -- I do recall. I remember being startled about how little it was probably, like, ten people, maybe. 6 7 MR. HANLON: Ten people, maybe. And I just want to be clear. You don't know of any community discussion 8 with any community group at any point about upzoning the 9 back half of this property along V Street; is that correct? 10 11 Before this map amendment was filed? 12 MR. HAWKESWORTH: Nope. 13 MR. HANLON: No, you don't or you don't know? 14 MR. HAWKESWORTH: Oh, there was the diagram that 15 was showed to the community in March of 2021, by Brand 16 staffer showed half the site would be up FLUM'd. The site, 17 the portion of the site along U Street would be up FLUM'd to 18 high density, but the portion along V and on 17th was 19 untouched. 20 MR. HANLON: And you're not aware of any community input to change the entire site in the FLUM amendment? 21 22 MR. HAWKESWORTH: I will say I did sign a letter, with 80 others, a letter to Brianne Nadeau after that March, 23 24 sometime in April, I would say, suggesting that all of these 25 last-minute changes is inappropriate.

1 That we've had years of discussion, why are we 2 doing this at the last minute without any impact study or 3 anything, without a real conversation, but it got ignored. MR. HANLON: Thank you, Chair Hood. I don't have 4 5 any more questions, Mr. Otten, and I don't have any more questions for the other panelists. 6 7 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Thank you. I was sitting, taking note of the way this is going. I see that 8 the opposition parties all have questions for the 9 opposition. 10 So I don't know if it's, this is how you all plan 11 12 it, if, or whatever the case is, but I've never seen this because I know where all the questions are either repetitive 13 or some of them have been irrelevant. 14 And I've been and I'm just saying this for the 15 record, but I know one thing about DC, people want to have a 16 17 right to speak. Again, let's stay focused on the MU-4 to the MU-18 19 10. So, again, I'm saying that so we can kind of nail it 20 down. We're doing better than today, I believe, than what 21 we had previously. 22 So let's help us stay focused. And I want to commend again, those in opposition for the most part, about 23 your line of questioning. I think it's -- today has been 24

more beneficial in your line of questioning to help us see

```
it your way than we've had previously.
1
 2
              So let's stay to the zoning topics and some of the
 3
    issues.
              All right. Ms. Schellin, can you call the next
 4
 5
    five, please?
              MS. SCHELLIN: So next, we have Barbara Meeker,
 6
 7
    Bridget Honeycutt, Robin Diener, Doug Honeycutt, and Maria
8
    Gillem.
             I believe that's five, Mr. Young.
9
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. And I do -- I am
    looking, also, Mr. Otten is going to be providing something
10
11
    that Ms. Feskanich had mentioned. So I'm looking for that
12
    too, Mr. Otten. So hopefully you'll be providing that.
13
              All right. So I'm going to go by the names that I
    see here on -- the way I see them. Let me go with first
14
15
    Barbara Meeker.
              MS. MEEKER: Yes. Yes. Hi. Can you hear me?
16
17
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yes, we can.
              MS. MEEKER: Okay. Good. Hi. My name is Barbara
18
19
    Meeker. I live on R street Northwest, between 16th and
20
    17th. This is about six blocks from the property at 17th
    and U.
2.1
22
              I have lived here for more than 30 years. First,
    I want to thank Chairman Hood for his actions in providing
23
    genuine community outreach on this issue. It has been a
24
```

long process requiring patience and I want to acknowledge

- that I really appreciate the community interaction that
 Chairman Hood, the other commission members, and their staff
 have made possible.
 - I live just two blocks south of the edge of the historic Strivers District. Although I am in Ward 2, not Ward 1, the police and fire station at U Street are my neighborhood services.

- If I need police service or an ambulance, this is the place I call. I do not want this facility moved away.

 I often walk-up 17th Street to Florida Avenue, which takes me right by the U Street police station.
- The neighborhood I walk through is a particularly lovely medium dense urban setting. A tall building here is five or six stories high, about half the proposed height of the rezoned area.
- To walk up 17th Street and suddenly come upon a 10 or 12-story structure would be a shock. The proposed rezoning is not, to my view, at all compatible with the existing neighborhood nor with the plans of the Comp Plan to preserve such neighborhoods.
- I also want more affordable housing everywhere and also in my neighborhood. That is I want more affordable housing than would be provided by 30 percent of a large structure with otherwise market rate that is unaffordable housing.

1 The idea that any amount of affordable housing can 2 only be squeezed in if much more luxury housing is allowed is to my mind a false premise. Forms of administration, for 3 4 example, social housing exist that could be used to achieve 5 this. Finally, I do not want this property given away to 6 7 any private organization. This is city property and should 8 remain under the control of the city. I don't want to hear that DC is incapable of managing a project that would meet 9 all of these goals that I've mentioned. 10 11 Well, we're just poor helpless politicians and 12 bureaucrats. We can't solve these problems. Our city officials can manage such a project, and they should do it. 13 14 Thank you very much. 15 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you. If you could stick 16 around, we may have some questions for you. 17 MS. MEEKER: Okay. CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Ms. Bridget Honeycutt? 18 19 MS. HUNNICUTT: Hi. I'm Bridget. I've lived in 20 this neighborhood for 20 years and not just this neighborhood, but this one block. So square 150 directly 21 22 across the street from the police station and the fire 23 station. And I guess I just you know, having lived here for 24 25 so many stages of life as a single person, young going out

to having two young children and raising them here. You really get to know a neighborhood and the people within it.

2.1

And I guess I just like people to know that this is a very special place and that that's kind of maybe why there's so much opposition to a 12-story building coming.

I think the social connections between neighbors, and across socioeconomic divides, across racial divides, across cultural divides, our social connections here are very strong.

So it's not like we just shovel each other's, sidewalks, right? Like, we know when people have kids in the hospital. We know when people get a new wheelchair and they need help getting around.

It's very unusual, I think, for a lot of people in DC that that are maybe for this to understand sort of that historic preservation, the historic small row house neighborhood feel.

I guarantee you; you ask almost anyone in our area what the Sonnet is, and they won't know. They won't know.

And that makes perfect sense to me. I had to look it up.

We don't think of the Sonnet as our neighborhood. It's DC.

It's a small little row house area, and we look out for each other. And I think when I don't even know if this is in the thing that you need to the plan that you need to listen to, but when it says historic row house

preservation, if that is part of your call, I hope that you think about the beautiful lifestyles and life connections that sort of come with that.

And I think when the pandemic happened, I really saw how strong connected neighbors are what really help lift and help care for people that have struggled with intergenerational poverty.

So, you know, I saw this community, you know, our neighborhood school is a Title 1 school, so it serves about 460 kids. It's a bilingual school and we had a lot of families that have been thriving in this neighborhood for a very long time, all of a sudden dealing with food scarcity.

And this neighborhood got together and we're all doing mutual aid. So people were waiting in line for each other when some families couldn't wait in food lines.

People were donating money who could donate money. People were raising money that could raise money to help keep those families in this area. That is the -- I mean, it was the most amazing, beautiful thing and it's the reason why my family is still here and we are very invested in many ways.

So I am very pro-development as many people are that are in opposition to this. I'm very pro-affordable housing. I recognize this as an incredibly resource rich, neighborhood. Our Title 1 school is incredible. They're

doing a great job. 2 Mary's Center is here. Sitar Arts is here. have a lot to offer, but the number one thing we have to 3 offer is community and this kind of beautiful support that 4 5 this small-scale neighborhood does foster and contribute to. And I think that we really, I would hope -- I just 6 7 appreciate getting to share some of this. I think that the 8 people that participate in these tend to have more 9 flexibility. They tend to be older, but those people are representing a lot more. 10 11 There's younger people, all different races, all 12 different socioeconomic status. So I just would like us to think about making sure that we have the services. 13 14 At the same time that we're having this 15 conversation about developing this public land, our public 16 school doesn't have a middle school to go to. Our middle 17 schools are being told that they don't have a high school 18 that Jackson Reed, which is, where our middle school 19 (crosstalk) --20 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Ms. Hunnicutt? MS. HUNNICUTT: Yeah. 2.1 22 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yeah. I know I'm going to Doug Hunnicutt and I don't know if there's any relation, but I 23 24 will tell you that I appreciate all that about Jackson Reed. All that's great. 25

1 I appreciate your compassion, but I want to hear 2 about the MU-4 and MU-10. I get it though. I hear your 3 passion. I get it. So I want to stick to the zoning. 4 So I get what you're saying. So I'm going to ask 5 give us your closing thought and I'll going to go to Doug Hunnicutt after you. 6 7 MS. HUNNICUTT: Yeah. Yeah. So, I mean, M-4, scaling all the way to the most aggressive, MU-10 is just so 8 out of place for this neighborhood. And to me, it should be 9 on, the Office of Planning and DPMED to really say, this is 10 why that's needed and to the onus should be on them to do 11 12 the basic due diligence. This is primarily a one-story parking lot. 13 14 two-acre thing. So they keep saying like, oh, you can't get affordable housing unless you go all the way to MU-10. 15 That's a false thing. That's not true. 16 17 We've had other developers one block away come in 18 and say, you can't develop without going above the zoning, 19 Reed Row. And they ended up selling a lot and new 20 developers came in. They stayed within zoning and the it's a scale that makes sense for this neighborhood. 21 It's a 22 style that made sense with the neighborhood and they offered 23 a number of affordable housing units that actually went to 24 families that really needed it that might have been

25

displaced.

```
1
              So I would just like the zoning to encourage more
 2
    development here, but not all the way to M-10. That's just
    so unreasonable for this work area.
 3
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you, Ms. Hunnicutt.
 4
 5
    Let's go to Doug Hunnicutt.
              MR. HUNNICUTT: Hi there. Thank you for letting
 6
 7
    me speak for a minute. I will keep this brief.
8
    actually in the car at the moment.
9
              So I just wanted to, give my opposition to the MU-
         I think it's out of scale with the area that we're in,
10
11
    the block. You know, people have -- I've heard another a
12
    lot of talk about other buildings in the in the neighborhood
    that are, you know, maybe MU-10 or higher number of stories.
13
              But I think, when you talk about neighborhood,
14
    it's sort of block by block in DC. I mean, it's not -- you
15
16
    can't just define the neighborhood as the whole area, right?
17
              There's going to be some tall buildings, some
18
    short buildings, but the Square 150 and V Street, which are
19
    on 17th and V, those are all low two-story, three-story
20
    buildings.
              And it's a historic neighborhood, and I'm pro-
21
22
    development. I think everything -- that that block should
23
    be redeveloped. I just think that it would be much higher
    than it needs to be. So that's really all I have to say.
24
25
    appreciate the time and thank you very much.
```

```
1
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Thank you.
 2
              Let's go to Marie Gillem? Maria Gillem, G-i-l-l-
 3
         If I'm pronouncing your name incorrectly.
 4
              Ms. Schellin? Ms. Gillem, you went back on mute.
 5
    You were unmuted.
              Okay. Now you're unmuted. Let's see if we can
 6
 7
    hear you.
8
              Nope. We can't hear you. Maybe if you log you
    may have to log off and come back on.
9
10
              Ms. Schellin, leave Ms. Gillem up. The oh, that's
11
    right. I have one more person. Ms. Robin Diener?
12
              Ms. Robin Diener?
13
              MS. DIENER: Hi, I'm sorry. Can you hear me?
14
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yes. We can hear you now. You
15
    may begin.
              MS. DIENER: I'm so sorry. I'm having terrible
16
17
    technical problems. I can't get my video to work.
18
              Anyway, thank you, everyone. Good evening. My
19
    name is Robin Diener. I'm the director of the Library
20
    Renaissance Project, which was founded in 2002 to protect
2.1
    and promote the public interest in the library.
22
              I'm a 32-year resident of DuPont Circle here. I
    live about six blocks away from this property and I am not
23
24
    now and not testifying under that aegis, but I'm a past
25
    president of the DuPont Circle Citizens Association.
```

So I'll try to be brief. I've made a lot of notes on my testimony. I hope I'll be coherent.

First of all, the Library of Renaissance Project,

I must say, is opposed to giving away public land. The

stewards of our city, that is to say our elected officials,

have, asked you, the Zoning Commission, to increase or up

zone the amount of building height and density that can

occur on this publicly owned site.

The sole purpose of the upzoning is to make the property financially attractive to developers. And, unfortunately, developers have no interest in the well-being of our city.

They are profit based, and that's perfectly legal, but it doesn't necessarily contribute to the best things for the city. Then, after the upzoning, the city will declare its surplus.

And in answer to Commissioner Harris's question about whether or not the city had indicated it wanted to sell this land, well, having a surplus hearing, which we did in August of, I think, '22, clearly shows that intent.

And as to transparency and public inclusion, at that hearing, DMPED had who conducted it, refused to answer any questions and they said that they were only there to take testimony and that that testimony would not be made public or any report issued or any analysis given until such

time as a surplus resolution was delivered or legislation
for service was delivered to the council.

2.1

Okay. So we're against that. Then our second point is creating affordable housing. That is the reason given by the city for the upzoning, creating more affordable housing. Because we do have an affordable housing crisis.

But this project, if upzoned, will create far more market rate and luxury housing than affordable, and it would need to do so in order to be profitable for the private developer, in order to pencil out, as they say, in the loan and banking industry.

But in fact, the same amount of affordability could be achieved at the existing allowable height and density, and several people testified to this. I'm sorry to be repetitious, but that that is what we need to do. Is to build it ourselves, perhaps under the social housing model, which is currently in legislation before the DC Council and Chairman Hood, I was so delighted to hear that you guys are engaging with the Council on this.

That was my time did I hear? Did someone say time?

MS. SCHELLIN: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Just keep going and give us your closing thought. I haven't cut anybody off yet, but share your thoughts.

MS. DIENER: Okay. I'll try to be really short.

So, essentially, just the way we build schools and libraries is how we would build social housing for those who maybe don't understand the economics. Because there's no private part to it than the rent since it was come back, and we use it to pay off the bond when you actually don't have that ability with schools and libraries.

So this is actually better deal for the city when we do it ourselves if we were to do it under that model.

And finally, my third point is that and this is about historic preservation. If we only build to the current allowable height, then we are able to preserve the U Street historic feel.

So that current height is higher than what is being utilized now on the site, but it's lower than the proposed ask for zoning.

And I'd like to say that were we to do that, it would create an architectural bridge to the surrounding predominantly low-rise historically designated neighborhood. Instead, it it's been proposed to block off the neighborhood by building as tall as possible, depriving neighbors of their light and air instead of creating what could really be a highlight or a link to the historic neighborhood.

So as in short, our city continues to expand. We seem to want to bring many, many more people here, and we're

```
1
    going to run out of public land if we do that. So it seems
 2
    that if we're going to convert the purpose of this land, and
 3
    we want it to be not just public services, but also
    affordable housing, let's at least keep it, to a suitable
 4
 5
    and appropriate height and density.
              And then I'll just note, you may have seen my
 6
 7
    written testimony. I put in some notes about some questions
    that were raised at earlier hearings.
8
9
              I've been to all of them, and I really appreciate
    the amount of time and consideration that ZC is giving. So,
10
11
    in particular, I just wanted to say regarding Vice Chair
12
    Miller's previous question about swing space between MPD and
13
    the fire department.
14
              We have been given a bunch of crazy stories over
15
    the years and it's clear the city has something in mind, but
16
    as you know, to date, they have not made clear what that is.
17
    And that's an important part of this, and it's a shame that
18
    the city can't just level with us. I think like, Chris
19
    Otten was saying, let's get everything on the table, discuss
20
    it.
21
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Give us your -- give us your
22
    closing thought, please.
23
              MS. DIENER: Yeah. Well, that's it.
```

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: All right.

MS. DIENER: I wish the process, that it was more

24

```
inclusive, more open and that begins earlier before
 1
 2
    everything is set in stone would be greatly appreciated.
              And then as Chris said, we could all come in
 3
    support of these projects instead of feeling like it's being
 4
    shoved down our throats.
 5
 6
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay.
 7
              MS. DIENER: Thank you very much.
 8
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you.
 9
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you. Let's go to Marie
10
    Gillem.
              Ms. Gillem, are you ready? Marie Gillum?
11
12
              Ms. Schelling, let's leave Ms. Gillem up, or maybe
    they need to -- Ms. Gillem, you all may -- Marie Gillem, you
13
14
    may need to log off and come back on and we'll bring you
15
    right back up.
16
              All right. I think I've gotten everybody in this
17
    panel.
18
              Commissioners, any questions of anybody on this
19
    panel?
20
              Commissioner Imamura, Commissioner Stidham and
2.1
    Vice Chair? No.
22
              VICE CHAIR MILLER: Thank you, each of you, for
    your testimony.
23
24
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. I have no questions
25
    either.
```

1 Let's go to Office of Planning. Any questions for 2 this panel? 3 MR. RITTING: We don't have any questions. Thank 4 you. 5 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Chair Harris, any questions for this panel? 6 7 MS. HARRIS: For Bridget Hunnicutt, what is it 8 about residents of a higher density building that would impact the community dynamics you talk about? 9 10 MS. HUNNICUTT: What I'm concerned about is that higher density. We have a lot of affordable housing in this 11 12 little area. We need a lot more. But what happens is if you don't have services and a holistic approach to building 13 a community, the most vulnerable suffer. 14 15 And if you don't plan having a good pipeline of education when all of our schools are crowded and we're 16 17 being told that there's no middle school for our kids to be 18 able to go to and to be able to walk in the neighborhood or 19 get to safely and easily. And that the high school, the 20 only high school option is far away, you start having kids and families that have social connections that are really 2.1 22 tight, break up and it's starting to happen in our community 23 because we don't have a middle school in 6th grade. 24 So then you see what starts to happen, especially 25 the most vulnerable kids among them. When families aren't

moving because of affordable housing, they actually
oftentimes have affordable housing in our area, but what
they don't have is a middle school that they feel
comfortable with their child going to.

- So they get disbanded or they get -- so oftentimes that that's what's happening. So if you keep building without building holistically and thinking about health and other things, which being connected, seeing the sunrise, seeing the seeing the moonlight, seeing the rainbow occasionally, it doesn't have to be a full view, but being able to see those things occasionally and being connected to the cycles of life and rhythms of life, that does impact health.
 - And like, the ANC member was saying, most of the residents on V street are housebound. The one thing they do is sit on their patio, and they will be forever in a, in a shadow of this of this building.
 - So those things, they do matter, health, education services, and so giving away public lands and developing without any impact studies or thought about these things really can put our most vulnerable at risk.
 - MS. HARRIS: Okay. That didn't really answer my question, but that's fine.
- Doug Hunnicutt, can you tell me, did you say you
 live on Seaton Place?

```
1
              MR. HUNNICUTT: No. I live on 17th Street
 2
    directly across from the development.
 3
              MS. HARRIS: Okay. Would you say that that's part
 4
    of the neighborhood? Because you did mention your
 5
    definition of neighborhood is block by block. So isn't that
    a block?
 6
 7
              MS. HUNNICUTT: No.
                                   No.
                                        It's, I mean, we're
8
    right across the street from it. So, I mean, I'm just say I
9
    I'm just saying you can't classify a neighborhood. There's
10
    no there's no exact definition of a neighborhood.
11
              So, like, you said the Sonnet building is that on
12
    U street is, I don't really consider that part of the
                   That's 14th and U. That's a different --
13
    neighborhood.
    we're talking Adams Morgan here. This is that's like U
14
15
    Street or 14th Street Corridor.
16
              You know, it's kind of it's different. So but,
17
    yeah, I mean, I can consider everything part of the
18
    neighborhood. You know?
19
              MS. HARRIS: So what's your definition of
20
    neighborhood?
2.1
                              I'm just saying in DC, it seems to
              MR. HUNNICUTT:
22
    me that the neighborhood, as far as density goes, it goes
23
    block by block. So there are blocks that have (audio
24
    cutout).
25
              MS. HARRIS: Okay. Those are all the questions I
```

```
1
    have.
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: ANC 2B. Do we have Zach Adams
 2
    or Meg Roggensack?
 3
              Okay. Let's go to Randy Jones.
 4
 5
              MR. JONES: Hi. No questions. Thank you.
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Let's go to Gregory Adams,
 6
7
    Black Neighbors.
8
              MR. ADAMS: Nothing, thank you.
9
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Thank you.
10
              Let's go to the Rochelle Apartments, Deborah Akel.
11
              MS. AKEL: Hi. Chair Hood, may I just ask a quick
12
    question of you? Am I allowed to ask questions of OP or
13
    not?
14
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Not at this time.
15
              MS. AKEL: Not at this time. Okay. Fine.
16
    just have two or three questions of our Ms. Diener, if she's
17
    still with us.
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Right. What you're doing --
18
19
    let me specify that, Ms. Akel, so everyone knows what to
20
    say.
2.1
              MS. AKEL:
                         Sure.
22
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: We just had a panel, and this
    is for everybody, not just Ms. Akel. We just had a panel,
23
24
    and I'm going through the parties in opposition to be able
25
    to ask those who just testified, this last panel, in a cross
```

examination of them in, which Ms. Akel is getting ready to do.

So she's looking for Ms. Diener. So Ms. Diener?

Ms. Akel, go ahead and ask your question.

MS. AKEL: Yeah. Thank you, Chair Hood. I asked because it's an article has come to light since we had an opportunity to cross examine OP about a development in Shaw that OP was involved with, which was almost a 100 percent affordable housing.

The mayor just cut a ribbon on it, and it's really relevant to this conversation. So I just wanted to have the opportunity to bring it up. That's fine.

Ms. Diener, you are affiliated with DC Public Library? I know you're not speaking on their behalf, but can you talk about, because a lot of the testimony in favor of this has mentioned a library as part of this development, and I wanted you to have a chance to tell the Commission what you might know about DCPL's intention on that.

MS. DIENER: Right. Well, thanks for asking that. Yeah. That's a little bit more of my expertise. And I can tell you that people in DuPont Circle area have long asked for a library, but it was considered -- the restrictions that the library system had put on where libraries are located, they had to be at least a mile apart from each other.

And there are four libraries near to DuPont
Circle, which made it not possible, and including U Street,
which is a part of that. But in the Comp Plan, it was
changed to three quarters of a mile, and I think it was not
done by the library, but rather by forces that wanted this
to occur.

Anyway, I don't know that we're absolutely sure. But now it's possible under the rules for a library to be built here, and I know the neighborhood would love it.

However, emerging from COVID, the DC Public
Library has said they are very worried about building any
new libraries in the near future because the use of, you
know, what they call the gate at the branch libraries.

Now MLK library is an exception, but the branch libraries have not come back strongly yet as much as they would like, to justify, you know, the cost of operating our public libraries.

The hope is that they will in time, but so far, they haven't. And the director of the library, Richard Reyes-Gavilan, has said publicly that he's not interested in considering any new libraries at this time.

However, they did put \$50,000 in their request -oh, I think it was I don't know if it was last year or if
it's in a request now. They are going to look at a small
study of whether or not a library would be viable there.

```
1
              MS. AKEL: I'm glad somebody's doing studies.
 2
    Thanks.
 3
              Another question, about the DMPED meeting, the one
    and only meeting, public meeting that DMPED had with the
 4
 5
    community. Both of us were there. Do you recall of the
    people that attended that meeting, what percentage would you
 6
 7
    say was in favor and what percentage opposed?
8
              MS. DIENER: Oh, gosh. I'm not positive.
                                                         I would
    say about three quarters opposed, maybe two thirds.
9
                                                         Ιt
    wasn't that big of a meeting, and I think that a lot more
10
11
    testimony was delivered, in writing online, but I don't
    know. I haven't seen it --
12
13
              MS. AKEL: Okay.
14
              MS. DIENER: It was a small turnout, but I think
15
    it was in August, and it was just not promoted.
16
              MS. AKEL: Okay. Yeah. And then last question.
17
    Are you aware, and I ask you this because you're active in
18
    the community, are you aware of Parcel 42 in Shaw that just
19
    had a ribbon cutting in January? It's right near the Shaw
20
    Metro, 108 affordable homes and 98 percent of this is
    affordable. The mayor did an event and, that --
2.1
22
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Excuse me. I need I need to
    know the relevance.
23
24
              MS. AKEL: Okay. Yeah.
                                       I'm sorry. The relevance
25
    is the process that OP used for this development could be
```

```
2
    they couldn't do this here.
 3
              Not only, the fact that it's almost a 100 percent
 4
    affordable, but the RFP process was unique. They really
 5
    engaged the community and they're they even call it our, o-
    u-r RFP process. And this model --
 6
 7
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. That's a question --
8
              MS. AKEL: So I just --
9
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: That's a question -- let me
    finish. That's a question you will ask OP.
10
11
              MS. AKEL: Okay. Thank you. Thank you. Thank
12
    you. Thank you very much.
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Ms. Schellin, somebody make
13
    sure that we ask OP that question, because Ms. Diener is not
14
15
    the correct person to ask that question too.
16
              Okay. That's it. No more questions. Thank you.
17
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: All right. Thank you.
18
              All right. Let's go to Ms. Feskanich, any cross
19
    examination of this panel?
20
              MS. FESKANICH: Yes. thank you, Chair Hood.
              I liked to ask Ms. Hunnicutt -- I appreciate your
21
22
    testimony on the, giving the human perspective of this up
    zoning project. It's not just words. It's actually going
23
    to affect human lives and livelihoods.
24
25
              Do you, from your perspective, do you think that
```

used here, and I'm not -- that's why I wanted to ask OP why

- this MU-10 proposal actually addresses this human

 perspective at all and, you know, the whole neighborhood

 approach that you talked about?
- MS. HUNNICUTT: No. I think that's my biggest As I said, I'm pro-development and pro-affordable housing, but I think the approach that they've taken instead of reaching out to the community and saying, hey, what do you need here? How can we work together to make this fantastic? It's let's go all the way to the most aggressive zoning and let's not have any information to back up how it will impact any of it while simultaneously no one seems to be aware that there's literally education needs that are not being met existing already.

So if we're going to give away the only plot of land, I know of that could possibly service a school, and nobody's even talking about using it for that, like, when they're telling us that there aren't other options.

I mean, it's just things like that are not being discussed, and it's very concerning. To me, the onus should be on them to do their basic due diligence to say how they can support all the services that this new development at that scale would bring.

MS. FESKANICH: And as a corollary to that, it sounds like you've been very engaged with the public schools in DC. Have you ever -- have you or any of the other

```
parents ever been told about or presented with any kind of
1
 2
    master plan for our public lands on how they would
 3
    accommodate community needs?
              MS. HUNNICUTT: No. Right now, they're just
 4
 5
    having discussions about how the schools are, in our area
    for our kids are all overcrowded, and they're going to have
 6
 7
    to change the theaters and they're trying to come up with
8
    something, but it's not -- they're not considering this land
    or anything close in our neighborhood that can make it for
9
    young children.
10
11
              MS. FESKANICH: So there's -- so you haven't
12
    gotten any idea that there's a master plan on how to use our
13
    public land?
14
              MS. HUNNICUTT: No. No.
                                        That it seems that
15
    that's in there pretty much isn't it (crosstalk) --
16
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Let me interrupt. Let me
17
    interrupt. We talked about schools. I think have we talked
18
    about the subway? Have we talked about monumental, the
19
    basketball? Basketball? We're talking about everything
20
    under the sun.
              It's time now for us to talk about zoning, which
21
22
    helps my colleagues and I. Okay? I appreciate all of the
23
    conversation. The issue is going to go back to just about
24
    zoning. All right?
```

So you may continue, Ms. Feskanich, but I can tell

```
1
    you this is not helpful. We're going down there, but we
    started off doing all right. But now we're getting
 2
 3
    repetitive.
              And if it's not repetitive, it's not germane to
 4
 5
    what our decision is, our decision-making process is. So,
    anyway, keep going.
 6
 7
              MS. FESKANICH:
                              Okay. Yeah. The only reason I
8
    brought it up was because this parcel is public property.
9
    And I have one question for Ms. Diener.
10
              In your testimony, you mentioned something about
11
    an architectural bridge or link to the historic district.
12
    Could you could you elaborate on that a little bit? I'm not
    quite sure what you what you meant by that.
13
14
              MS. DIENER: Yeah. It's simply by building a
    little bit lower, then we're not cutting off the historic
15
16
    district from view, from being readily seen and visited.
17
              Building a giant building, your kind of building a
    wall, walling it off.
18
19
              MS. FESKANICH: Okay. Thank you. I don't have
20
    any other questions, Chair Hood.
21
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Let me try to just
22
    refocus everybody again. I think I have it written down
```

The determined question in this case is whether

because I was trying to think of what actually am I

23

24

listening to.

1 the MU-10 zone is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan. Ι 2 just want to remind everybody is to stay focused on the 3 questions in their testimony. That question in their 4 testimony. 5 The determinative question in this case is whether the MU-10 zone is consistent with the Comp Plan and what our 6 7 decision-making is. 8 All the rest of that and let me just ask my other colleagues, because here's what I've been just, has just 9 been mentioned to me, and let me just read. 10 11 We have 118 witnesses. We've only had 26. 12 we're meeting again February 26th, and we're probably going 13 to still be in right here where we are. 14 So I don't know. We're going to keep moving 15 through, but I, you know, I want us to kind of stay focused. 16 We started off getting there, but now we started talking 17 about schools, the metro, the wizards. 18 We're getting everything in the Shaw, whatever was 19 announced today. You know, we're starting to bring too much 20 into this and we just need to stick with this. Those others will take themselves. 2.1 22 My colleagues want to say anything on that, or

VICE CHAIR MILLER: I would second all of your comments, Mr. Chairman. And it's not just the substances

we're good?

- 1 off what we're talking about, in terms of zoning, it's that the questioners are -- the parties and many of the parties, 2 3 not all, but some of the parties in opposition continue to testify, use the cross-examination period to instead just 4 5 continue to do their same testimony over and over again, which we haven't even gotten to their parties in opposition 6 7 testimony. 8 But they've managed to do it anyway every time they ask a question, almost every time they, some of them 9 have asked questions. 10 11 So I think not only should they stay in point with 12 zoning, Mr. Chairman, they also should not be redundant and 13 not use the cross-examination process to just give their 14 additional testimony. 15 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. And let me just say 16 We've been doing this a long time. We know how that 17 goes. Okay. We know how the cross examination goes. 18 Again, we're just asking you because we know that 19 you all have a problem with engagement. We know that you 20 have a problem with the density. I mean, it didn't -- I learned that the first night, and I learned that when I was 21 22 reading.
- I don't need that 300 times every time. We get that. We get that. We retain stuff. Help us to continue to help those and I want to make sure we afford those who

```
1
    have not had a chance or opportunity because here's what
 2
    happens during the day, Ms. Schellin gives me messages.
 3
    This group is mad because they had to wait so long.
 4
    then this other group is mad because one person says I'm
 5
    cutting them off.
              It ain't no winning here, so -- but we're going to
 6
 7
    make the decision. But I just ask you all to be considerate
8
    of your -- I hear community is an overwhelming theme here.
    So be considerate of your other community members as you're
9
    doing this so they can get in and not have to come see me
10
11
    and the Commission every week.
12
              So let's work together, you all.
13
              All right, Ms. Schellin, where am I?
14
              MS. SCHELLIN: I believe, Ms., Feskanich is next
15
    to cross, I believe. Who was next to cross?
16
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Ms. Feskanich? She just did --
17
              MS. SCHELLIN: After Ms. Akel. I believe Ms. Akel
18
    just finished.
19
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Ms. Akel, no, Ms. Feskanich
20
    just finished.
              MS. SCHELLIN: Oh, she just finished. Okay.
2.1
                                                             So
22
    Mr. Hanlon.
23
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: But bring Ms. Feskanich, I
```

think she did just finish, but I want to make sure.

Yeah, she did. She did. If she didn't --

24

```
1
              MS. SCHELLIN: Okay. Mr. Hanlon's already up and
 2
    he's got his mute off, he's ready to go.
 3
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Mr. Hanlon, I'm going to stop
 4
    asking you do you have any questions? Mr. Hanlon, you may
 5
    proceed.
              MR. HANLON: It's the first time I was going to
 6
 7
    say I didn't have any questions.
8
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Oh, I mean, I spoke too soon.
9
              MR. HANLON: I'll have just a quick one for
    Barbara Meeker and Robin Diener.
10
11
              Each of you. You've offered your opinions that
12
    this upzoning to MU-10 is inconsistent with the size and
    scale with the neighborhood. I simply want to ask, Barbara
13
14
    Meeker, how long have you lived in this neighborhood with
15
    your husband?
16
              MS. MEEKER: More than 30 years. About, we moved
17
    here in 1989.
18
              MR. HANLON: Okay, thank you. Robin Diener,
19
    you've also offered your opinion that this project up zoning
20
    to MU-10 is inconsistent with the scale and size of the
    neighborhood and neighborhood in general. How long have you
21
22
    and your husband lived here?
              MS. DIENER: In this in this location?
23
24
              MR. HANLON: Thank you.
25
              MS. DIENER: Can you hear me?
```

```
1
              MR. HANLON:
                           Yes.
 2
              MS. DIENER:
                           Okay. Great.
 3
              MR. HANLON:
                           Thank you, Chair Hood, those are my
 4
    questions.
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Mr. Hanlon, help me understand.
 5
    So those questions you just asked, what are you trying to
 6
 7
    show me?
8
              MR. HANLON: Well, when somebody testifies in
    their opinion that it's that the size and scale of an MU-10
9
10
    is inconsistent with the nature and character of the
11
    neighborhood in terms of size and density, yeah, somebody
12
    could testify to that who's lived in the neighborhood three
13
    months and somebody else could testify to that who's lived
14
    in the neighborhood 30 years.
15
              And I can't, you know, I can't tell you, Chair
16
    Hood, how you should weigh those testimonies. But it seems
17
    to me that one who has lived here a very long time might
18
    have a better feel for whether this type of building is
19
    inconsistent with the neighborhood.
20
              It goes to the weight of their testimony, not to
21
    the admissibility.
22
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: So, Mr. Hanlon, I -- and I
    don't want to go because I just, I'm getting ready to go
23
24
    because now I said, but that what you're saying is debatable
25
    because I've had counsel, not my current counsel, since I've
```

```
1
   been on the Zoning Commission who told me character is not
2
   part of my Zoning Code, which I think character is.
3
             But, you know, it's all about interpretation, but
```

- 4 longevity is as, you know, it's been said, has its place. But so whether you're there three months or whether you're
- 5 6 there 30 years, and I agree.
- 7 Somebody who's very, I mean, the person three months has just as much right as someone who's been there 8 for 30 years. And I've lived in the city my whole year, so 9 I'm just trying to understand the relevance of that question 10 11 that you're trying to get us to ascertain.
- 12 That that was all. That was all. We'll leave it 13 at that for now.
- 14 All right. Ms. Schellin, oh, wait a minute. 15 Marie Gillem, were you able to come up? Can we hear you
- 17 So, Marie Gillem, it looks like you're coming off 18 of mute, but we can't hear you. So we're going to take you 19 Why don't you cut your computer off and reboot and 20 then you'll probably be in there. Let's bring them back up,
- 22 MR. YOUNG: Mr. Chairman, I have a quick suggestion for her.
- 24 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay.

Ms. Schellin and --

16

2.1

23

now?

25 MR. YOUNG: It seems like she's taking herself off

```
1
    mute.
 2
              Ms. Gillem, there's an audio and video tab. If
 3
    you go to that, you can switch which microphone is selected.
 4
    So I think you just have the wrong microphone selected, and
 5
    that's it. Thank you.
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Let's leave her up, Mr.
 6
 7
    Young, she can go on our next panel.
 8
              Ms. Schellin, and Mr. Young, can we bring up our
9
    next panel? And thank this panel. We appreciate your,
10
    testimony.
11
              MS. SCHELLIN: Sure. As soon as Mr. Young gets
12
    everybody down, I will call the next --
13
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Except for Marie Gillem.
              MS. SCHELLIN: Right.
14
15
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Let's leave her.
16
              MS. SCHELLIN: He's --
17
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Let's bring five more up, but
    let's leave her.
18
19
              MS. SCHELLIN: Right. And if Maria Gillem wants
20
    to speak at some point after she tries changing her audio,
21
    her microphone, and once she speaks, we'll know she's
2.2
    workable.
23
              But I'll go ahead and call up four more.
24
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD:
                                 Okay.
25
              MS. SCHELLIN: So moving to the list, we have,
```

```
1
    Robert Leardo or Leardo, Parisa Norouzi, Andria Chatmon,
 2
    Micheal Shelby. And I believe that was four more.
 3
              Are they all there, Mr. Young.
              MR. YOUNG: I don't see Mr. Shelby.
 4
 5
                            Okay. So I'll call one more.
              MS. SCHELLIN:
    about Scott Kuchenmeister? And I'm sure I probably messed
 6
 7
    that up.
8
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. I'm going to go by the
    names that I see on my screen. So forgive me if it's not
9
10
    the name that Ms. Schellin called.
11
              Andrea Chatmon.
12
              MS. CHATMON: Thank you, Mr. Hood, Chairman Hood.
    My name is Andria Chatmon. I am a housing organizer at
13
14
    Empower DC, and I'm testifying in opposition to the proposed
    map amendment to up zone 1617 U Street from MU-4 to MU-10.
15
16
              I ask that the Zoning Commission postpone or
17
    reject this application.
18
              Firstly, the proposal is misaligned with community
19
    engagement requirements articulated in the Zoning
20
    Commission's Racial Equity Tool and in the mayor's Office of
    Racial Equity Meaningful Community Engagement Resource Guide
21
22
    for District government agency personnel of summer 2022.
23
              In addition, the proposal, which paves the way for
    more high-cost luxury housing, is misaligned with the racial
24
25
    equity goals mentioned in OP's comprehensive plan and the
```

Racial Equity Tool.

These goals include creating affordable housing, preventing displacement, and expanding access to opportunities for disadvantaged residents. OP, DMPED, DC council members, and other relevant officials proposing this change failed to conduct meaningful outreach with impacted residents, such as canvassing, creating flyers, and language accessible hearing notices.

Nor was there any outreach conducted to black residents in churches and other civic associations. As stated in a letter submitted to the case record by Freedom Baptist Church, a predominantly black church nearest the site, the congregation would not have even known about the proposal were it not for Empower DC's outreach.

The same resident's name, Deborah Akel, also appears in the DMPED community outreach log. Ms. Akel was compelled to arrange community meetings with OP for her and her neighbors because OP did not take the initiative to do so.

There were no specific meetings to 1617 U Street arranged by OP to discuss the proposal, explain jargon, or outline implications of the changes. Making impactful decisions for communities rather than with them is not in alignment with the Zoning Commission's racial equity strategies.

The 2024 mayor's Office of Racial Equity District wide Racial Equity Action Plan or REAP mentions that OP is responsible for leading the development and implementation of district wide and agency level REAP's.

The Racial Equity Tool itself even refers to applicants to address OP when they have, quote, questions about their outreach and engagement. Despite this leadership role, OP did the bare minimum for community engagement in this case.

The proposal also fails to consider DC's affordable housing crisis that is prompting the displacement of low income black and brown families. In Ward 1, the median family income for black households is \$49,148, and affordable annual rent for that income would be up to \$14,744.

Yet on U Street, current annual rents for onebedroom units are \$38,304, over \$23,000 more than what most Ward 1 black families can afford.

At the same time, Census tracts are showing that, in the area around U Street is only 3 percent black. OP mentions that upzoning the site would lead to more housing opportunities.

And while DC law regarding public land requires the creation of housing at affordability rates and income limits that exceed IZ and IZ plus, these units are still

going to be the minority of the total number of units on the site.

This does not effectively meet the housing needs of majority black and brown low-income folks in the District.

I urge the Zoning Commission and OP to instead consider the use of 1617 U Street for social housing. Social housing offers deeply affordable housing with essential environmental protections that would allow more low-income residents to stay in DC.

DC's lowest income residents would never pay more than 30 percent of their income, relieving affordability pressures.

In conclusion, I believe the Zoning Commission should postpone and/or reject this map change because OP has disregarded steps of meaningful community engagement.

Moreover, upzoning the site and facilitating the creation of high-rise luxury units will not address past and present harms of displacement that impact black and brown low-income communities.

Finally, I ask the Zoning Commission, OP, and the District as a whole to strengthen its commitment to the communities it serves and the housing needs of its lowest income residents by considering social housing on the site. Thank you.

1 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you, Ms. Chatmon. If you 2 can hold around, stick around, we may have some questions. 3 Let me try, Marie Gillem. I see that you're off 4 Can we hear you now? 5 Okay. Let's keep right on going. Let's go to Ms. 6 Norouzi. MS. NOROUZI: Good evening, Chairman Hood, and 7 8 Commissioners. My name is Parisa Norouzi, and for the last 20 years, I've led the citywide grassroots organization 9 Empower DC, which has worked with residents across the 10 11 District to advance housing justice, equitable development, 12 environmental justice, and racial equity. The site at 17th and U, the subject case, 13 14 represents one of the last opportunities to use public land to benefit the public along the historic U Street corridor. 15 16 Empower DC has retained offices in this area at 17 1419 V Street Northwest since our founding in 2003. We have seen the development of the area contribute to the loss of 18 19 affordable rental housing, the displacement of black 20 residents, and increased costs of commercial rents causing hardship to small businesses. 21 22 We oppose the upzoning of this parcel at this time. As this is a public property, its future use is 23 subject to approval by the DC Council. The DC Council has 24 25 not yet held a public hearing or a vote on the surplus and

disposition of the site.

The zoning change should not take place unless and until the DC Council approves surplus disposition and if the Land Disposition Agreement, approved by the Council calls for a use, which requires the zoning change.

Now, I know that that might not be the standard practice of the Zoning Commission, perhaps it is typical for you to hear cases and make decisions on cases when put forth to you by the Office of Planning, but I fear that the Office of Planning and DMPED is making the Zoning Commission upon in a politically motivated effort to define and justify future development and to do so without following the protocols that are in place.

The issue of surplus disposition is one that is really close to my heart. You all may know that I have worked, for instance, on a piece of public land at Crummell School in Ivy City for over 20 years of my life, and I've seen how these, the agencies involved have time and time again ignored the community and the community's, you know, visionary genius about what their communities need and have pushed through, whether its developer driven or, you know, other interest for public sites.

If the Zoning Commission, for instance, had been asked by the Office of Planning to up zone the Cromwell School site, and if the Zoning Commission had taken that

1 case and done it, it would have been for not because what we 2 were able to do, what the public was able to do when that 3 case came to the DC Council was, prevent the surplus disposition and ultimately, encourage the city to use to 4 retain that land for public land.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And so when I look at a piece of land like this, I know it may not be, again, your typical, you know, thing to think about, but I'm thinking of this in the context of our work and the way that these decisions play out.

I am also very passionate about 10801, which is the statute in the dis in the, DC Code that requires that community hearing on whether a piece of land is surplus or not because it was because of Empower DC's efforts in a campaign that we had called the People's Property Campaign that that requirement even exists.

And, unfortunately, we've seen that requirement be, you know, really tokenized and done -- carried out not in good faith. So there's times when DMPED is holding these hearings and there's very few people in the room, and there's really not a real conversation taking place.

The reason why that's at issue here is because that hearing is the only hearing, the only, you know, real community engagement that's being, lifted up in this case by Office of Planning as the community engagement that took place.

```
1
              So I, will summarize to say that I don't (audio
 2
    dropout) Office of Planning has failed to do their jobs
 3
    properly, but I do believe it's imperative that the Zoning
    Commission set the tone for the standard of community
 4
    engagement that is required, especially given the new Racial
 5
    Equity Tool, and that it not allow itself to, you know, fall
 6
 7
    prey to what DMPED is doing, which is seeking a premature
8
    approval of a zoning change in order to later justify a
9
    development decision or make it seem as a fait accompli.
                                                               So
10
    we do urge you to postpone or vote no.
11
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Thank you.
12
              Again, if you could stick around, we probably have
13
    some questions for you.
              Ms. Marie Gillem, are we going to get you tonight
14
15
    or? Maybe you may want to call in because it seems to be a
16
    problem.
17
              You may want to call in, and I'm sure you call
18
    202-727-0789, they can help you, call in.
19
         Okay. Let's go to Rob Leardo. Mr. Lerdo, I think
20
    that's how you pronounce it. Hopefully, I didn't mess your
21
    name up.
22
              MR. LEARDO: Can you hear me?
23
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yes, I can hear you. Go right
24
    ahead so we can hear you.
```

MR. LEARDO: Okay. Maybe there's no need to

really go into because it's what many witnesses have
discussed that there are no real impact studies done, no
real due diligence or if any due any diligence on meeting
the law's requirements for anti-displacement studies,
environmental studies, and the like.

I even think I remember where one development had just simply a blank page basically for environmental studies. So this is really developer driven from the top down in the Office of Planning. It's driven by them. It's not supply and demand. It's driven by them what they want to develop, and then they get, oh, huge pieces of millions and millions of dollars' worth of public property, government subsidies, tax breaks, et cetera, to build luxury housing that not it's not supply and demand, it doesn't fulfill any affordable housing need.

A lot of these units remain empty. They get a tax rate for remaining empty, and it's the way business is being done in this town. And we think that, of course, it would be nice to stop it, but usually that only happens when we get a bunch of very dedicated advocates that really get the ear of the different regulatory agencies, especially sometimes the ANCs and change things.

Now, to my memory, there have only been maybe four cases where in the past 40 years where developers did not get everything they wanted.

One recent one was Crummell and another was in the nineties. Mendelson himself led it before he was elected to the Council. Another was the Brookings Institution in the 1980's, and that's just about I remember. They got a little bit on another project. I forget what it was.

2.1

So out of all the hundreds and millions of dollars given to the developers, it looks like, to my knowledge, really, the only real public input has been on these three or four projects.

For example, the Masonic Temple over in 2B, talked about, you know, the fact that it was did not meet certain legal requirements and that we got a stop work order for it under the DuPont East Citizens Group, but they went ahead anyway.

And the ANC was quoted as saying, we don't need to enforce the laws. Yeah. We have -- it's our job to change policy for developers. So, this is, you know, business as usual and even developers themselves have said, in order to do business in the District, we have to go through a dog and pony show before getting what we want, something to this effect.

So these comments reflect the reality of current and long-held practices. Now we, of course, want, the U street site to be used for MU-4, zone M-4 for affordable housing. We've seen that communities can build affordable

1 housing; the city can build affordable housing. We just 2 have those 100 plus units in Shaw of affordable housing.

It is a myth, I believe, that developers need to build a lot of luxury housing in order to make up for the profit they would lose for these affordable units.

I think that's a myth. I think that needs to be looked into. They need to do a study on that. They need to do a study. We need to find out how many units are being unoccupied, left alone. I mean, it's a far-fetched analogy, but, you know, they do that in China. They build massive cities that no one occupies and it's all done by the government in cahoots.

Here, it's done by the government in cahoots with the developers.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Time.

MR. LEARDO: No real public input at the beginning too. It's just like they're giving from above. And I really -- I don't need to belabor these points. I think everybody's gone through them and we see that it is an outsized project for the neighborhood.

Just one final point, it's a potential for a blockbuster. It's a potential for a blockbuster because what would happen is they would hope, I think, that you'd want to use it to do that to then break up the neighborhood, displace families, demolish those beautiful single-family

```
1
    homes and townhouses, and then replace them with 11-story,
 2
    12 story glass boxes.
              And I think that's its potential too, and I think
 3
    that's part of, quote, unquote, the plan.
 4
 5
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Thank you.
 6
              Hold tight. We have some questions? Let's go to
 7
    Scott Kuechenmeister.
8
              You're on mute, Mr. Kuechenmeister. You're on
9
    mute. There you go.
10
              MR. KUECHENMEISTER: Okay. Good. Ladies and
11
    gentlemen, esteemed council members and cherished members of
12
    our community, I sit before you today not in the capacity of
13
    a city planner or developer, but as a concerned resident
14
    living next to the proposed development site.
15
              My objections are grounded in three principal
16
    concerns. Firstly, it's imperative to acknowledge the
17
    dedication of the longstanding residents, regardless of
    race, who have invested in and nurtured this neighborhood
18
19
    through its challenging times.
20
              Their commitment has been instrumental in
    preserving the Strivers section, transforming it into the
21
22
    desirable locale it is today. This commitment extended to
    resisting disruptive infrastructure projects that would have
23
    severed the community fabric for the convenience of
24
```

25

commuters.

Secondly, the city's intentions ostensibly aimed at expanding affordable housing appear more aligned with broadening its tax revenues. The notable absence of any mandate for rent control measures within this project, despite the city's capability to impose such requirements, strikes me as a glaring omission and a practice of double speak.

Thirdly, in today's polarized climate, the unity we yearn for seems more like a distant ideal. There's an alarming trend where community activists, supported by developers and some governmental figures, resort to vilifying those who dare to express dissent or engage in the legal avenues available for recourse.

While this dialogue has often been protracted and at times excessively repetitive, the high stakes involved justify a thorough examination. Were the community accorded even a fraction of the consideration lavished upon developers during decision making processes, I believe the public's concern would be far more tempered.

I advocate for development that is sensitive to the historical and cultural essence of our community, not a towering structure that would overshadow the community's rich heritage and jeopardize pedestrian safety.

Even today, emergency services and MPD navigate against traffic on 17th Street between U and Florida

```
regularly at the expense of public safety. It's about
 1
 2
    preserving the diverse legacy of this area, a cause that
    epitomizes the American spirit of resilience and advocacy
 3
    despite the ongoing assault against DEI that is taking place
 4
 5
    today in corporate America.
              Thank you for allowing me the opportunity to share
 6
 7
    my perspective.
8
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you. Let's see. Let me
    try one more time. Ms. Maria Gillem.
9
10
              Okay. All right. Let's see if we have any
11
    questions.
                I think I've gotten everyone. Let's see if we
12
    have any questions to this panel, Commissioner Imamura?
              Commissioner Stidham?
13
              And Vice Chair Miller.
14
15
              VICE CHAIR MILLER: Thank you.
16
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I am going to ask and probably
17
    see because Ms. Norouzi and Ms. Chatmon. Ms. Norouzi said
18
    something that struck me when she says, at this time.
19
    They're asking us not to approve this at this time.
20
              And that's the first time I've heard that in, this
    is our fifth hearing, fourth hearing. And I know all the
21
22
    work that Empower DC has done. They have done a lot of
23
    work, especially over here in my area in Ward 5.
24
              As you mentioned, Crummell School and others. So
25
    one of the things I've heard, and I think I saw a letter,
```

```
from you, Ms. Norouzi, that mentioned about public land.
 1
 2
              And you mentioned, I think, 108 and I'm not
 3
    familiar with that. I probably read it, but I'm not
    familiar with that.
 4
 5
              Can you -- can you help me understand what that
    means about using public lands?
 6
 7
              MS. NOROUZI: Sure. Thank you so much for the
    opportunity. In DC Code 10-801 lays out the mandates of the
8
    city, the procedures regarding surplusing and disposition of
9
    public land.
10
11
              And this is something that I started learning
12
    about 20 years ago, 20 plus years ago when I started working
    with the Ivy City community and trying to figure out why is
13
14
    it that this incredible public land in the middle of their
    neighborhood was boarded off and why was it that the city
15
16
    wasn't listening to the community about what they wanted to
17
    see there.
18
              And what we, immediately became aware of was the
19
    Comprehensive Plan. And the Comprehensive Plan guiding, not
20
    just, you know, some of the specific parcel saying certain
    things and the land use maps, of course, but also the
21
22
    Comprehensive Plan calling for a master facilities plan,
23
    which is also referenced in the 10801 statute, which
24
    unfortunately, we don't seem to have as a city.
```

So there's certain agencies that have facilities

plans, libraries, rec centers, but the -- and the schools to some extent, but the idea of a master facilities plan that would, you know, really look at all of the facilities needs of the District government, to my knowledge still does not exist.

So for instance, the city has rented a lot of space where we maybe could have replaced that with our own space or in, many cases, we don't have for instance, even my daughter's neighborhood school, we don't have a swing space for her school when they're trying to do renovation in the next couple of years.

But 10801 now does require that DMPED hold a hearing in the community on the question of whether a piece of land is surplus. And that was not previously part of the law until this campaign that we had several years ago.

And, then when they were to actually go to the Council seeking approval of a surplus resolution, they would have to deliver this report that would speak to the economic factors, that would summarize the community feedback, and would have the Land Disposition Agreement attached to it that would get into the affordability levels of all the new units to be created.

And then the City Council will vote, yay or nay, and decide whether to approve that or amend it, instead of approving it.

```
1
              So, we've had this happen with Crummell School a
 2
    couple years back where a surplus resolution did become
    before the Council, which would have disposed of Crummell
 3
    School to a developer to build largely high-cost housing.
 4
 5
    Of course, the same mandates as here in terms of public
    land, 20 to 30 percent affordable.
 6
 7
              And the room was packed with residents who said,
    no, this is not what we've been asking for all these years,
8
9
    and the Council declined to approve it.
              And then in the coming years, we were able to
10
11
    convince the mayor to actually invest in the creation of a
12
    full community center in the park.
              So I don't think it's the Zoning Commission's
13
    fault, but I think these pieces are not working well
14
15
    together. And what I would ask is that you delay at this
16
    time.
17
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Let me ask you this. And I and
    I'm very familiar with Crummell School because I know it
18
19
    goes back to a lot of people who are now deceased.
20
              MS. NOROUZI: Yeah.
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: My father being one of them,
21
22
    Harris senior.
23
              MS. NOROUZI:
                            Yep.
24
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Bill Spalding, a lot of guys.
25
    So I know all about Crummell. So appreciate the work.
```

```
1
    let me ask you, what happened there? And this is -- what
 2
    happened at Crummell that didn't happen here?
 3
              I'm trying to understand. Because the Council
    voted here, in this case. I think it was 12 to --
 4
 5
              MS. NOROUZI: Yeah. The Council has not voted.
    So what has happened here is actually the same thing that
 6
 7
    had happened at Crummell, which is DMPED held the hearing.
8
              They call it a hearing, but it's like a community
    meeting that is required by the statute. But they have not
9
10
    done the next part, which would be to actually go to the
11
    City Council, have a resolution introduced surplus
12
    disposition resolution introduced, have an actual City
    Council hearing.
13
              There's two Council committees involved. One
14
15
    committee oversees the declaring of a land surplus.
16
    other committee oversees the disposition of the land. So it
17
    involves a lot of people, right, of the lot of process.
18
    then now, we would also have a racial equity impact
19
    assessment from the DC counsel Office of Racial Equity done
20
            So none of that has happened.
    on it.
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. I'm getting mixed up
21
22
    with the Comp Plan. I've been hearing so many different
23
    things. I'm thinking the Comp Plan. I think it's the
    amendment for 1201 is what the Council's going on.
24
25
              So I want to ask my counsel, not tonight.
```

```
to know, because I've been hearing a lot about this public
1
 2
    plan. I want to know where we fall in the scheme of things.
              I'm sure I think I know what I'm going to hear,
 3
    but I'm going to ask my counsel to help us to make sure that
 4
    we dotted all our i's across all our t's. I think that's
 5
    all I have for now.
 6
 7
              While I'm doing it, did anybody else -- nobody
    else had any questions? I may come back. But look, while
8
    you let's hold tight. Let's go to the Office of Planning.
9
10
              I may come back and have a few more questions. I
11
    got to think about them.
12
              So that everybody's testimony is very helpful for
    me. All right. Let's go to the Office of Planning, Mr.
13
    Kirschenbaum.
14
15
              MR. KIRSCHENBAUM: We do not have any questions.
16
    Thank you.
17
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Let's go to Chair
18
    Harris.
            Any cross?
19
              Okay. Ms. Schellin, have we had anybody here from
20
    ANC 2B tonight?
2.1
              MS. SCHELLIN: No, sir.
22
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay.
23
              Randy Jones?
              MR. JONES: No questions. Thank you.
24
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. And Gregory Jackson?
25
```

1 I'm sorry. Gregory Adams. I'm thinking about a friend of 2 mine. I'm sorry. Oh, Mr. Adams. You're a friend of mine 3 too, but I'm sorry. I have a question for, Ms. Chatmon. 4 MR. ADAMS: 5 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Ms. Chatmon. MR. ADAMS: You mentioned that OP is responsible 6 7 for leading the development of racial equity action plans 8 that include community engagement. Can you elaborate on 9 that responsibility? Can you tell us more about that? 10 Yes. So I was basically talking MS. CHATMON: 11 about how OP is supposed to be a leader in designing and 12 implementing racial equity action plans, and how to comply 13 with those plans. 14 And that was actually, I'm going back to when OP 15 was a cohort agency in the September 2021, the Office of 16 Racial Equity had a yearlong pilot program with ten district 17 government agencies, and they were tasked with having these 18 racial equity action teams that were supposed to draft, 19 like, agency level action plans to close these racial equity 20 gaps that we're seeing in the District. 21 And as I mentioned, and even the Zoning 22 Commissions Racial Equity Tool lists OP as the go to agency 23 to answer those questions related to outreach and engagement, when, you know, applicants are trying to make 24 25 these decisions or applying to make these changes.

1 And then, I also just will add that OP, if you 2 look at Action H-2.1 in Chapter three of the Comprehensive 3 Plan, this kind of details how OP is responsible for 4 developing and implementing these racially equitable anti-5 displacement strategies. And I guess, you know, just reiterating that we've 6 7 seen the bare minimum in this case. And also, you know, the 8 little outreach that was done, it was done not by OP itself, but by DMPED. I just wanted to point that out. Hope that 9 answers your question. 10 11 MR. ADAMS: As an organizer, have you been 12 conducting any research of your own on this particular case? 13 What I mean, I guess, is have you been talking to residents 14 around here about this particular case? And if so, what 15 have you been hearing from them? 16 MS. CHATMON: Yes. So, I have been working 17 especially closely with the black neighbors of, you know, 18 within the vicinity of the site. You, for example, and, 19 others that are members of the Black Neighbors Party. 20 I've also been working with Freedom Baptist Church. And, I guess, you know, I will say that all most 21 22 people that I've spoken with, especially the black residents and civic associations and churches, they have not heard 23

In fact, I was compelled to hold a meeting

about this zoning change.

- specifically for the black neighbors in the community, and 1 2 they all expressed concerns about the lack of outreach, 3 especially targeted outreach, to the, you know, to them about the site. 4 5 And then, I also was compelled to arrange a meeting at Freedom Baptist Church because they were also 6 7 unaware, and I attended a health fair, actually at the 8 church, and Brianne Nadeau, who has been an active proponent of this change, was there. 9 And I just, you know, I find it interesting that, 10 11 you know, the church has had these, you know, communications 12 and relationship with Councilmember Nadeau, but they were 13 unaware of the changes. 14 And I know that's not exactly her job, but, you 15 know, it's more of the applicant's job. But I just want to 16 point that out that there has been a severe lack of 17 communication in regards to this case. 18 MR. ADAMS: Okay. Thank you very much, and thank 19 you, Chairman Hood. 20 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you. Rochelle Apartments, Ms. Akel. Ms. Akel, still with us? If we don't 21
- MS. AKEL: I'm sorry. They weren't allowing me to unmute until just now, so I apologize.

Ms. Akel, any cross?

get Ms. Akel, we can come back to Ms. Akel. There she is.

22

Τ	MS. SCHELLIN: Wait. Chairman Hood, I want to
2	we do not control whether you mute or unmute, Ms. Akel.
3	That is on you. So I just want you to know, we're not
4	controlling that.
5	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yeah, you have to come up.
6	So we're not nobody's doing anything not to
7	so go right ahead, Ms. Akel.
8	MS. AKEL: Okay. I have a question for someone
9	from Empower DC, either Andrea or Ms. Norouzi.
10	Does somebody want to okay. Ms. Norouzi, since
11	you're on the screen, I'm the only party here that is
12	specifically, representing renters who are at high risk of
13	displacement for this upzoning.
14	And I have been doing my own research about anti-
15	displacement mapping that other cities are using in
16	conjunction with their departments of housing. And I'm not
17	an expert on this. I've been trying to get up to speed on
18	it, but I do know quite a few cities are already doing this.
19	And my knowledge is that OP collects disaggregated
20	data. They're just not using it to do anti-displacement
21	analysis or any kind of affordable housing overlays.
22	This goes to your maybe master facilities plan
23	that you talked about. We should have affordable housing
24	overlays.

So I wanted you to, maybe elaborate about your

1 experience and what OP could be doing in these cases to 2 alleviate or to mitigate the risk of displacement in up 3 zoning? Thank you. Thanks, Deborah. 4 MS. NOROUZI: 5 Yeah, I think that, you know, preventing displacement has to be very intentional. And unfortunately, 6 7 as a city, we've been intentional about building, but we 8 haven't been intentional about preventing displacement. 9 And, we, Empower DC, were part of a coalition of groups that pushed for that stronger language in the Comp 10 11 Plan requiring the Office of Planning to develop an anti-12 displacement strategy. We actually just met with Ryan Hand, who's one of 13 14 the lead, planners at OP this week to find out or like as it was last week to find out, what the status of that plan was, 15 16 and it seems to be working very slowly. 17 In fact, he did mention that it's a collaborative effort with DMPED, and that DMPED's first focus is on this 18 19 housing demand study. 20 So I guess they're focusing again more on the housing demand side than on the forces of displacement side. 21 22 You're right that other cities like Boston, I believe Seattle, New York, they're far ahead of DC in terms of the 23

displacement mapping, but it's also part of the racial

24

25

equity analysis.

```
1
              So when we were working, on implementation of the
 2
    racial equity analysis component of the Comp Plan, we did
 3
    present testimony at the Zoning Commission about some of the
    research that we had done around what other cities are
 4
 5
    doing.
              And my understanding is, yes, collecting the data,
 6
 7
    disaggregating the data, but then designing interventions
8
    that are required by developers, based on the vulnerability
    of the community that you're working in.
9
10
              And we have not seen that here in DC yet. We have
11
    not gotten to the point where any of the data is connected
12
    to any required action. So we have a long way to go.
13
              MS. AKEL: Thank you. And one last thing, we do
14
    have black residents in my building, long time, long time,
    intergenerational black residents, and that's all, that's
15
16
    all the questions I have, thank you.
17
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Thank you.
18
              Ms. Feskanich, do you have any questions in the
19
    cross?
20
              MS. FESKANICH: Yeah.
                                     I don't have questions,
21
    Chair Hood. I just appreciate the testimony we just heard.
22
    Thank you.
23
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD:
                                 Thank you.
24
              Mr. Hanlon, you have any cross?
25
              MR. HANLON: I would like to ask, Andrea Chatmon a
```

```
1
    question. Is she there?
 2
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yes.
              MR. HANLON: Okay. You testified about the
 3
    Freedom Baptist Church and you've been working with the
 4
 5
    Freedom Baptist Church and the minister there; is that
    right, concerning this project?
 6
 7
              And I want to make sure I understand your
8
    testimony. The Freedom Baptist Church is one block away
9
    from the site; is that right?
10
              MS. CHATMON: On mute. Yes.
11
              MR. HANLON: Yes. And the minister and the board
12
    of trustees and the parishioners of Freedom Baptist Church
    had no idea that this upzoning case had been filed?
13
    were not -- OP didn't out reach out to them?
14
15
              MS. CHATMON: Correct. I was the first one to
16
    kind pf talk to Reverend Younger, who is the pastor, about
17
    that.
18
              MR. HANLON: All right. So as far as you know,
19
    neither OP nor a DMPED nor an ANC reached out to the Freedom
20
    Baptist Church a block away about this project; is that
2.1
    right?
22
              MS. CHATMON:
                            Correct. And it was also that's
    exactly what, you know, the Reverend Younger also said, and
23
    it's on the record too.
24
25
              MR. HANLON: Good. And I know today that the
```

```
1
    Freedom Baptist Church requested a postponement of this case
 2
    so that outreach could be done to their congregation and to
 3
    others in the neighborhood.
              Is it your understanding that Freedom Baptist
 4
 5
    Church would likely have been a party to this case, asked to
    be a party to this case had they known about this upzoning
 6
 7
    initially when the application was filed?
8
              MS. CHATMON:
                            I can't speak, you know, directly
    for the pastor. I would assume that he -- that they would
9
10
    be interested, but I, again, I can't speak for them.
11
              I would say that there has been interest in also
12
    seeing what, you know, has been done with other churches in
    the area and from what I've been told from residents and
13
14
    other folks, it has not been any outreach.
15
              So, I think there would be some consideration of
16
    maybe, like, something with other churches, but I can't
17
    really speak to that.
18
              MR. HANLON: Okay. Thank you.
19
              I have a one question for Parisa, if I may ask,
20
    Ms. Norouzi.
2.1
              Hi, how are you?
22
              MS. NOROUZI: Good.
                                   Thanks.
23
              MR. HANLON: I may have misunderstood something
24
    you said.
               I wrote down that you said the Zoning Commission
```

should use this case to set a standard for community

```
1
    engagement. Did I misunderstand? Or can you explain
 2
    briefly what you meant by that statement?
              MS. NOROUZI: Sure. Yeah. I think we're at a
 3
 4
    very important stage of implementing the Racial Equity
 5
    Analysis Tool that the Zoning Commission worked very hard to
    create and heard lots of testimony around and did its best
 6
 7
    job to try to create a meaningful tool.
8
              And so now we have to see it in action, right?
    Because I don't think any of us just want a lot of words on
9
    a paper. We want to see how is this effort going to improve
10
11
    people's lives, right?
12
              And so I think this case is a very important
13
    precedent setting case in the implementation of that Racial
    Equity Tool, particularly because it is public land that the
14
    Office of Planning itself is the applicant and the Office of
15
16
    Planning itself is the one of the implementing agencies of
17
    the Racial Equity Tool.
              So, yes, I do believe that if we allow minimum
18
19
    engagement to pass in this case, that we are setting a bad
20
    tone for future cases.
2.1
              MR. HANLON: And one other question. Are there
22
    other ways to increase affordable housing in this
23
    neighborhood, such as vouchers or other actions the city
    could take besides building an MU-10 on this site?
24
```

MS. NOROUZI: For sure. There are other avenues.

I mean, I think it's a matter of whether you want to rely on the private market or if you want to create mechanisms of affordable housing that are either city owned or owned by the residents themselves.

Affordable, you know, limited equity cooperatives are a great opportunity for affordable housing. Something like social housing is a great opportunity. Certainly, vouchers are an opportunity.

I don't believe we've had the opportunity to explore all of that. And it's one of the things that again makes me ask the Commission to pause its decision in this case, because we are being told by the Office of Planning two things at once.

We're being told that, we need to approve this so that we can get affordable housing. But then when we ask about how much of how much affordable housing and at what levels of affordable housing, we're being told, well, we can't talk about that right now because we're not talking about a specific project.

We're just talking about the zoning, the height.

And, and so that's again why it's so important that we allow this project to go through the surplus of disposition process, negotiate a Land Disposition Agreement that would have those definitions, those specific commitments, and then come back to the Zoning Commission.

MR. HANLON: I thank you. 2 I don't have any more questions, Chair Hood. CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I'm a seize the moment. I'm a 3 take advantage of all the work I know Empower DC does, so 4 5 I'm getting ready to keep asking a few more questions. Ms. Chatmon, let me just ask, free was it 6 7 friendship, not French? It's Freedom, is it Freedom Baptist 8 Is the church okay. That we that one of us 9 supposed to know tonight. Is that Carl Younger? 10 MS. CHATMON: Correct. 11 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Okay. I know him from 12 another life that I do other things in. But my question is, Carlos Younger is a pastor that is normally into the know. 13 14 And I know of him and he probably knows of me. 15 Sometimes and maybe this is for both of you. 16 trying to I've been trying to figure this out for the past 17 four hearings. In my neighborhood and growing up in Ward 5 18 and growing up in DC, I had people say nobody came and asked 19 me, did I want a job? Nobody came to my door and did this. 20 And this is one of the things I'm going to ask the Nobody is -- when they do stuff in my 21 parties. 22 neighborhood, if I don't attend my ANC meetings or look and 23 see a placket or find out from the community or something, 24 nobody comes to me and tells me anything.

And I'm talking about in my civic role as the

1

president of the Woodbridge Civic Association and a member of North Richland Park or wherever I am.

What is the difference here, which you're outlining versus me going out and like you do in Empower DC and find out what's going on? What's the difference?

I'm trying to figure out, do people normally go to people's doors and knock and tell them everything, or do you have all your blinds to go out the house and find out what's going on in your neighborhood? Help me try to understand.

I'm trying to understand the difference.

MS. CHATMON: My point is that we have seen repeatedly, just from everyone's testimony, that there has been a heavy weight on the community itself to do their own sort of information finding and outreach, versus, like, OP taking the initiative to do that when they're supposed to be according to the racial equity plans that we've established that they're supposed to be leaders in doing that.

And that includes, which is a quote in many of these documents, the Racial Equity Tool, I think, says this too, which is meeting people where they're at. That's a quote from the book.

And so, I guess, my concern and why I keep bringing up, you know, that people were compelled to arrange their own meetings is because I'm not seeing OP meeting people where they're at. I see community members having to

1 scramble and do this work themselves, do the work of what 2 the applicant should be doing. CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Now let me just ask. 3 Ι think it made it that way because of the efforts of a lot of 4 people in the city, of outreach. 5 So, Ms. Norouzi, let me ask you. 6 Is there a 7 burden -- isn't there some responsibility for us residents 8 to get involved with what's going on? 9 And I get it. I get it. Not and don't take me I appreciate the work you all do, especially telling 10 11 -- informing people, not just in this project, but all 12 projects in what's going on in the neighborhood. But isn't it, at some point, you know, some 13 14 responsibility of us to find out what's going on in our 15 community? 16 I mean, I'm just having this conversation with you 17 all, because I'm going to have it with the parties in 18 opposition as well. 19 MS. NOROUZI: Yeah. I mean, I think that once 20 people are given the information, then it's up to them to decide if they want to be involved, if they want to come to 21 22 a zoning hearing, if they want to sit through night after night of a zoning hearing. 23

24 But if people don't even know that a zoning
25 hearing is taking place or how a zoning hearing functions

or, you know, in this case, because zoning is a particularly difficult arena, particularly difficult.

And I think you could agree that, you know, land use attorneys, developers and land use attorneys have, you know, the expertise to navigate the zoning rules. And most of us, we're learning as we go, you know?

So I think, from the housing standpoint, our job is to give people the information of the opportunity to get involved, and then it is the person, the community's responsibility to then actually get involved, to make it a priority to attend meetings, to spend their time doing it.

But people don't even know, I mean, I hate to say it, but a lot of DC residents don't even really know about the Zoning Commission, about their ANC, what the ANCs are supposed to be doing.

And I will say this is another big issue that we rely so heavily on the volunteer labor of the ANCs to be our primary voice for connecting with the community. Yet, we also don't have any minimum standards of engagement for the ANCs.

There's no minimum that the ANC has to once they receive the notice of the zoning hearing, they don't have to do anything with it. And so that creates a lot of disparity across the board where some communities have ANCs who have the opportunity, they have the resources to go out and tell

```
1
    everybody, and other a communities hear nothing of it.
 2
              So we that's why the Racial Equity Tool is (audio
    cutout). We are trying to level the (audio cutout).
 3
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: All right. I want to thank
 4
 5
    this panel, and I'm going to request that Empower DC stood
    around for later hearings. I may have some additional
 6
    questions and I may even may have some information I need
 7
8
    some, information on, as we move forward in this process.
9
              All right. Any other questions or comments from
    my colleagues?
10
11
              All right. I want to thank the whole panel entire
12
    panel for taking the time to and then stick with us and
13
    taking the time to testify.
              Ms. Schellin, can we call up the next five,
14
15
    please?
16
              And I think it was important for me to take that
17
    time because I know the work that Empower DC has done,
18
    especially in communities that are not notified, especially
19
    in my area, the work that they've done. So.
20
              MS. SCHELLIN: Ready for cross?
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Oh, did I? Yeah, Mr. Hanlon
21
22
    was the last one.
23
              MS. SCHELLIN: Okay. All right.
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yeah.
24
25
              MS. SCHELLIN: I didn't hear him.
```

```
1
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay.
 2
              MS. SCHELLIN: All right. Let's move on.
              Randall Jones?
 3
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Where are we going to do with
 4
 5
    Marie Gillem? Has anybody been able to get in touch with
6
    her?
 7
              MS. SCHELLIN: We asked her -- you made the
8
    announcement for her to call the helpline. And so if she'll
9
    do that, then, you know, that's the only thing we can do at
10
    this point. There's nothing else we can do.
11
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay.
12
              MS. SCHELLIN: Okay. So let me get back to my
13
    list. I'm sorry.
14
              So Randall Jones, and then we move on to Linda
15
    Houghton or Houghton, Hussein Mousavi, Danielle Brian, and
16
    let's see, next would be Shelley Rapp.
17
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: So Ms. Schellin, we probably
    won't finish this tonight.
18
19
              MS. SCHELLIN: Oh, definitely not.
20
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. On 26th. So the parties
21
    in opposition, we'll have to come up with another date on
2.2
    the 26th.
23
              MS. SCHELLIN:
                             Okay.
24
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: All right. Let's go to, Linda
25
    Houghton.
```

```
1
              MS. HOUGHTON: Yes. I'm here. Can you hear me?
 2
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yes. You can go right ahead.
 3
              MS. HOUGHTON: How do I get my picture up? I
 4
    don't know. Do I get --
 5
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: You see where it says, video
    start video?
 6
 7
              MS. HOUGHTON: Start video. Okay.
                                                  There you go.
8
    Start video.
9
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Click on it once. If you click
    twice, it'll cut back off.
10
11
              MS. HOUGHTON: Allow. Allow. Oh, okay. Allow.
12
    Well, I don't know. Oh, yeah. There we go.
13
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: There you go. Okay.
              MS. HOUGHTON: I'm on. Okay. I must say this has
14
    been incredibly impressive. And I'm a resident of Beekman
15
    Place, 1672 Beekman Place since 2008. I actually came to
16
17
    Washington in 1984, so I've been here a while, but I've been
18
    at Beekman.
19
              I have learned and I've been watching all of this.
20
    I've been learning a lot. There's not much I can add
    following the recent Chatmon and these other people who were
21
22
    very, very well informed, far better than I am.
23
              My only issue with all of this -- everybody that
    takes care of the housing. I am interested in the fire
24
25
    department and in the police department and I think we have
```

1 to play special attention to them -- Beekman Place, since I
2 have been here has had three fires.

2.1

The first fire, the lady died in her apartment.

The second fire took out six apartments. We didn't get totally burned out, but the damage was so much that people had to move out for six to eight months before their apartments could be returned.

The fire department got to Beekman Place within two minutes. That's how fast they got there once they got the call.

If that fire department hadn't been as close to where we are, we would have lost a lot more of the building. So I warn everybody to pay attention.

Those fire departments are put in specific places. They have certain areas which they cover and the time with which they cover those areas is critical. And that also goes for the medical aspect of the fire department.

If someone's having a heart attack or an aneurysm or something, time is of the essence. And that's what's going to keep us alive.

I think this is a job of the city to make sure that we have functioning fire departments. The police department is also critically important. Now, we've got the commissioners coming up with whole lot of new plans.

We've got apparently a lot of rising crime. We've

```
1
    got a lot of citizens who are clearly unhappy. And the
 2
    intersection with many citizens is through the police
 3
    department and through social services.
              And I would, you know, these institutions have to
 4
 5
    be supported and I hope the city focuses on them. This is
    not your job. I understand that. Your job is to looking at
 6
 7
    zoning, but as the Zoning Commissioners, you also play a
8
    very important role in that you create stability in our
9
    community.
10
              And you know far better than I do, what kind of
11
    changes happen as zoning changes are made. So I put that in
12
    your hands. I trust -- I look to the zoning to make my life
13
    and my neighbor's life stable. That's all I can say.
14
              And that's about all I have to say at the moment.
15
    I could go on about the city and the and creating better
    departments, but that's not your job.
16
17
              So I'll save that for another occasion.
18
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Houghton.
19
    Hold tight, we may have some questions for you.
20
              MS. HOUGHTON: Okay.
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: The next person is Hossain
21
22
    Mousavi. Hopefully I didn't mess that up too bad.
23
              MS. HOUGHTON: Excuse me? I didn't hear that.
24
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: No, I was talking to the next
25
    person, Ms. Houghton. Just hold tight.
```

1 MS. HOUGHTON: Okay. 2 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Mr. Mousavi, Hossain Mousavi? MR. MOUSAVI: Yes, I'm here. 3 4 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yeah. Go right ahead. 5 could help us pronounce your name, and then you can start. Yeah. You pronounced my name 6 MR. MOUSAVI: 7 perfectly. 8 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Oh, that's the first time I've done something right. Okay. 9 10 MR. MOUSAVI: You did very good. Very good. My 11 name is Hossain Mousavi. I have lived directly across the 12 street from the proposed zoning side at 1701 Seaton Street Northwest since 1984. 13 14 I raised my family here and I hope to live all of 15 my retirement years in this community. And I have invested 16 years and have room to love and respect for its diversity, 17 vitality, and neighborhood. I have been following the case for the rezoning MU 18 19 1617 U Street Northwest from MU-4 to MU-10 very closely and 20 have found more question than answer as to what will happen to this piece of public owned property. 2.1 22 I have not heard -- or any guarantee that rezoning in MU-10 will ensure that our essential public service 23 police, fire department, EMS will remain on-site. I have 24 25 not been shown any impact study on what massive construction

project will have on my two-story historical home at neighborhood.

I have not been any impact study on what the increased congestion will have on my neighborhood narrow one way street with limited parking. I have not been any study to show that building more luxury housing unit in an area already saturated with them, would lower housing costs.

Housing costs are determined by market value, not by volume. And believe me, on my letter December to honorable zoning department mentioned that if somebody come to visit our neighborhood, they realize space is no problem for us.

Affordability is problem for us because we have a lot of apartment around our -- this project, which all of them looking for the vacancy, hoping people they render praise.

I have not been shown any impact study on why MU10 construction project will affect responses time for our
critical police fire and MUS service. And I do not
understand why some say this property is an underutilized.

The third district police station is major station ground for police unit preparing for major event and district that require large police resident. The space and accessibility provided by 1617 US Northwest is an essential utilization of the public property and one that should not

```
be ignored.
1
 2
              In the short, the Office of Planning and DMPED
 3
    have not provide any answer that that needed to rezoning
 4
    property MU-10. That have not conducted any engagement with
    the community. Not only that, the question I have, the
 5
    zoning department also asked the same question to Office of
 6
 7
    Planning and DMPED.
8
              Unfortunately, I don't know. They were so
    disrespect. Didn't answer anything. But at the same time,
9
    they're demanding to zoning department, changing zoning
10
11
    system for them. That's not fair.
12
              Honorable people in the zoning department, which I
13
    hope because zoning department won't make any decision that
14
    last lifetime. Honorable people -- and a few seconds and
15
    I'm finished.
16
              Honorable people in zoning department, they should
17
    realize this. Their decision is very important for
18
    community. Therefore, I ask zoning community to deny OP
19
    request rezoning 1670 U Street Northwest until OP and DMPED
20
    can put can engage openly with us as community.
              I oppose the zoning application with the following
2.1
22
    I'm sorry. I'm a little nervous.
23
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: No, no, no. Don't worry about
24
    being nervous.
```

MR. MOUSAVI: Yes. Application for --

```
1
    (crosstalk). Application for community zoning, I ask you do
 2
    the same thing. I would like you to ask you to deny that
    until the Office of Planning and DMPED, they do their
 3
    homework and answer your question, which is more important.
 4
 5
              I want you guys respectable to me. I want their
    respect to you because you have the same question I have. I
 6
 7
    live for 38 years in this neighborhood.
                                             I love my
8
    neighborhood. It's a very diversable (sic) neighborhood,
    economically, culturally.
9
10
              Anyway, you see it is unique. This property is
11
    one thing I want in my chest to tell you, and they try to
12
    sell us constantly about the affordability. We make
    affordable houses.
13
14
              Affordable housing is good if not making a one-
15
    bedroom apartment or what they call efficiency. Oh,
16
    efficiency. If you make a two-bedroom apartment, three
17
    bedrooms, so honorable police officer, fire person.
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Mr. Mousavi?
18
19
              MR. MOUSAVI: Yes.
20
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Mr. Mousavi, go ahead and give
21
    us your closing comment.
22
              MR. MOUSAVI: Yes. If I'm finishing because their
    salary is not is not affordable to make this house. And
23
    this is the area price of housing goes for the market value.
24
25
              If somebody subsidizes beautiful, Allah, the
```

```
1
    teacher and police and fire department will serve us to be
 2
    our neighbor. But they're selling you to you as this
 3
    project as this is going to be affordable housing.
              If market value price them for the housing, I
 4
 5
    don't know. And, also, another thing I'm certainly
    concerned about the people if the police family or teacher
6
 7
    family come to our neighborhood as somehow managed to get
8
    one of the (inaudible) for the housing.
9
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Mr. Mousavi -- Mr. Mousavi --
              MR. MOUSAVI: Yes?
10
11
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I need you to wrap it up.
                                                             Wе
12
    need to move it along.
              MR. MOUSAVI: Okay. One second. The teacher the
13
    family, they need service. The kids, they need a school.
14
    We already have program for our kids in the neighborhood.
15
16
    We don't have enough school for them.
17
              How this project can work from it started when you
18
    look at it, it's something wrong here because the people,
19
    they won't force it to you not to intelligently think about
20
    it to be practical or not practical.
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: All right. That's it.
21
                                                         That's
22
    it.
23
              MR. MOUSAVI:
                            I'm finished.
24
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Thank you.
```

MR. MOUSAVI: Thank you very much.

```
1
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: So I want you -- all those
 2
    neighbors who want to call me tomorrow and complain about me
 3
    letting you and others go on, I'm a give them your phone
    number.
 4
 5
                   I'm not. I'm just I'm just having fun.
              No.
    I'm not going to do that.
 6
 7
              All right. Let's go to --
8
              MR. MOUSAVI: Most of them probably have my phone
9
             They're already (crosstalk) --
    number.
10
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay.
11
              MR. MOUSAVI: Very unique.
12
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: All right. Let's go. Let's go
    to Danielle Brian.
13
14
              MS. BRIAN: Thank you so much, Chairman.
15
              I heard you, Chairman Hood, that you said the
16
    question is whether MU-10 or the really the question the
17
    Zoning Commission is being asked is whether MU-10 is not
    inconsistent with the Comp Plan, but surely, you're
18
19
    empowered to consider whether a less dense zoning could also
20
    be not inconsistent with the Comp Plan.
2.1
              I live kitty corner from the proposed site at 2100
22
    17th Street, and I fully support adding deeply affordable
23
    housing on the site. But when Councilwoman Nadeau advocated
24
    for the upFLUM and OP presented their support of the map
25
    plan, as you've now heard many times, they did it with
```

absolutely no consultation with or input from our neighborhood.

2.1

And it shows. They argued, for example, the block in question is similar to the block with the Reeves Center and Portner Flats development. And we just heard our ANC chair made that same mistake.

It is not the same, and there are two significant differences. The V Street block behind the Reeves Center and Portner Flats has no residences behind it. None. That block has a bar and a church and its auxiliary buildings.

In contrast, the V Street block between 16th 17th Streets bordering the proposed redevelopment is almost entirely two-story single-family residences as many of my neighbors have noted.

Secondly, unlike that block, the narrowness of 17th Street would not and could not safely allow for the significant increase of traffic created by a dramatic upzoning.

For comparison, 14th, 15th, and 16th Streets have four lanes for car traffic or 15th Street, which has three lanes for cars plus a separate lane for bicycle traffic.

In stark contrast, 17th Street has only one one-way traffic lane that is shared by both cars and bikes together. This is also true for V Street. I also want to note that the DDOT study that was filed in support of the

map amendment to increase density to MU-10 for these proceedings, which is Exhibit 462, is also based on their flawed assumption that the maximum housing units would be a total of 408 units, a net change of only 252 units created by the upzoning.

But we've all learned from OP that their estimate is a total of 600 residential units, meaning DDOT support of this map amendment assumes the increased residential burden would be 30 percent less than what OP is proposing.

The DDOT study also says they excluded any police and fire traffic from their analysis as they argued the higher density would not increase the number of police or fire vehicle trips.

But as a result, not only did they not take into account the number of first responders who are driving to and from this site, they also didn't take into account that currently the police don't compete with any civilian drivers and service trucks for parking ingress or egress, even for emergency response.

Would the increased traffic competition on these two one-way blocks created by an MU-10 zoning be consistent with Mayor Bowser's vision zero initiative of zero traffic deaths?

Cross examinations of OP and the AG revealed several sections of the Comp Plan are in fact inconsistent

with their proposal to make this lot MU-10. There are other options that would not be inconsistent with the Comp Plan and would respect the neighborhood.

In conclusion, for the redevelopment of this site to be consistent with the Comp Plan or not inconsistent, any new construction must respect the neighborhood conservation designation of the Striver section.

This site is, in fact, directly across the street from that historic neighborhood on all three streets facing sides of the site.

The Comp Plan acknowledges the V Street border, but the redevelopment should also respect the 17th Street border as our neighboring ANC noted, and it is really very frustrating to see our ANC, not represented for the community, by our chair.

And that includes several properties that were once owned by Frederick Douglas. I implore you the Zoning Commission to reject OP's recommendation, but remain consistent with the Comp Plan by applying split zoning and apply height restrictions, setbacks, and covenants to preserve the historic neighborhood character and maintain the safety of the residents. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Thank you.

Let's go to Shelly Repp.

25 MR. REPP: Commissioners, I know it's been a long

evening. My name is Shelly Repp, and I am chair of the Committee of 100.

The Committee of 100 appears in opposition to this application. We're going to focus -- I'm going to focus tonight on what I see as the zoning issues in this case.

This upzoning would be inconsistent with the comprehensive plan's mid-city area element and the site's designation as a neighborhood conservation area.

Moreover, since any transformation of low density, of a low-density neighborhood, as is proposed will result in displacement, the proposal does not satisfy the Commission's racial equity criteria.

The site lies within the comprehensive plan's midcity area element. That element sets general policies and actions to guide growth and neighborhood conservation decisions in the mid-city planning area, including that the historic character of the mid-city neighborhoods, particularly its row houses, its apartment houses, historic districts, and walkable neighborhood shopping districts be retained and reinforced.

And infill development should be compatible in the scale and character with the adjacent uses. A 12-story building on the site would be inconsistent with these objectives.

The Committee 100 recognizes that the rezoning

responds to the site's new FLUM designation. However, the framework element of the Comprehensive Plan states that designation of an area with a particular future land use map category does not necessarily mean that the most intense zoning district described in that category is automatically permitted.

Given the slope of the site and with a penthouse, the up zoning will permit a matter of right structure of at least a 125 feet, which approaches the height of almost all any building downtown.

Bottom line, a building with a lower height and less density would be consistent with the Comprehensive Plan.

The northern half of the site is designated, on the general policy map, as a neighborhood conservation area. The Comprehensive Plan provides that in such areas, any change be modest in scale and that major changes in density over current conditions are not expected.

Apparently, in recognition of this designation and community opposition, the Office of Planning now says it will introduce a text amendment to provide a 40-foot setback in part of the neighborhood conservation area with a 60-foot maximum height before rising to 120 feet.

However, the permitted height would still be out of proportion out of proportion with the surrounding row

houses since the row houses on V Street are two stories.

This clearly is shown in the Office of Planning's rendering set forth in Supplemental Report Number 2. We challenge the Commission to recognize the neighborhood conservation area and rule that the setback include the full area of the neighborhood conservation area and that the allowed height be more in line with the two-story townhouses along V Street.

By doing so, the Commission will reconcile the two Comprehensive Plan maps. The site is surrounded on three sides by row house neighborhoods with a significant black population.

A racial equity analysis needs to take into consideration the potential displacement of those living in a defined surrounding zone. Further, the set down report states that under DC law 10-801, which Parisa Noruzzi, went through with you just, you know, the last panel, that any disposition or development of a public site would require affordable housing that exceeds the requirements of IZ Plus.

For this District property, at least 30 percent of the residential units should be affordable. The DC law also provides for deeper affordability levels than are applicable under IZ Plus.

Nonetheless, the Office of Planning states that out of an abundance of caution, the Office of Planning

recommends that the zoning is appropriate for IZ Plus, not the 30 percent, which we've been told previously.

This application should be revised to fully comply with the directive of DC law. We also add that we are surprised that the Office of the Attorney General is giving the Office of Planning a pass at compliance with this DC law.

In summary, any structure that would utilize the full potential of MU-10 zoning would stick out like an unwanted pop up and would deal a serious blow to the principle of adapting infill development to the surrounding neighborhood.

There has been much testimony from the Office of Planning that this is a map amendment and doesn't deal with a specific project. However, any subsequent building permit application in compliance with MU-10 zoning is assuming it's approved would be matter of right, which means the Zoning Commission would be powerless to consider the adverse impacts.

We respectfully request that this upzoning application be denied.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Thank you.

Ms. Schellin, I think I've we've gotten everybody on this panel. Let me see if my colleagues have any questions.

1	Commissioner Imamura?
2	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: No.
3	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Commissioner Stidham, any
4	questions? And Vice Chair Miller.
5	VICE CHAIR MILLER: No questions, Mr. Chairman.
6	Thank each of you for your testimony, particularly, the
7	focus on the Comprehensive Plan by Ms. Brian and Mr. Repp.
8	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay.
9	VICE CHAIR MILLER: But thank you all.
10	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I too want to thank you all. I
11	just want to say to Ms. Houghton, I believe and I've said
12	this previously. I believe that the fire department,
13	whatever happens there, fire department and the
14	professionals who are in the fire department and the police
15	department, they know responses times, and we did this back
16	when we did the rock different rocks some years ago.
17	And I'm sure that those professionals, those
18	subject matter experts will know what response times they
19	need to do with their position with me.
20	So I'm confident that that's going to happen. And
21	Mr. Repp, I will say that while I hear what you're saying
22	about, we will be powerless, but that happens all the time
23	when we do zoning cases. We do map amendments. But you
24	know, so far, I don't know if one, you know, people may not
25	have liked it but I don't know of any that were so just so

```
egregious.
 1
 2
              I mean, you know, I that's a personal,
    interpretation and opinion. So, but I appreciate your
 3
    comments. I appreciate this whole panel.
 4
 5
              I don't necessarily have any questions.
              My colleagues have any questions?
 6
 7
              None. Okay. All right.
 8
              Let's go through this -- the parties. Give me one
    second, please. All right. Does the Office of Planning
9
10
    have any cross?
11
              Okay.
12
              Does Chair Harris have any cross?
13
              MS. HARRIS: No. Thank you.
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Again and let me call it
14
15
    anyway. ANC 2B? Not present.
16
              Mr. Jones, you have any cross? Is Mr. Jones still
17
    with us?
              MR. JONES: My camera. I'm sorry. I don't see
18
19
    the option to unmute.
20
              At any rate, I did want to just -- you know what,
    never mind. You guys have spent long enough with this. No
21
22
    questions.
23
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Mr. Adams, any cross?
24
              MR. ADAMS: Thank you, Chairman Hood. No
25
    questions.
```

1	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Ms. Akel, any cross?
2	MS. AKEL: No. Thank you.
3	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Ms. Feskanich, any
4	cross?
5	MS. FESKANICH: I just have one question for Mr.
6	Repp.
7	What did you mean by right, by right development
8	and Zoning wouldn't have any power over that?
9	MR. REPP: Sure. In a by right development, the
10	owner, the developer can just ask for permits. It doesn't
11	have to go to the Zoning Commission to get approval of any
12	variances or upzoning or anything.
13	So basically, it will not go back to the Zoning
14	Commission. One of the things the Zoning Commission
15	normally looks at is what are the adverse impacts, and it
16	will not it would not have the possibility of taking a
17	look at that, you know, if this were upzoned.
18	Before, and the thing to me is, and this came up
19	in I think Parisa's comments also, is that, you know, is the
20	cart before the horse here?
21	If this were if there were a request for
22	proposal and we had a specific development in front of you,
23	then it could go to Zoning Commission, but no, it's going to
24	the Zoning Commission before there's a specific proposal.
25	And in another development, on upper Connecticut

```
Avenue, the Office of Planning has already -- or DMPED
1
 2
    anyway, is moving ahead with an RFP before the upzoning
 3
    occurs.
              Here, they're going with the upzoning before the
 4
 5
    RFP. The process, procedure in upper Connecticut makes a
 6
    lot more sense to me.
 7
              MS. FESKANICH: Thank you, Mr. Repp. Just one
    more quick question.
8
9
              You mentioned response time and there should be
    impact studies done. shouldn't those impact studies be on
10
11
    the record, especially something like response times?
12
              MR. REPP: Oh, I was not the one that testified to
13
    that.
              MS. FESKANICH: Oh, I'm sorry.
14
15
              MR. REPP: There should be -- there definitely
    should be impact studies, but that -- and they should be on
16
17
    the record early, rather than later.
              So sometimes the impact studies don't happen until
18
19
    after a project's been approved, which is to me is wrong.
20
    So.
              MS. FESKANICH: Thank you. I don't have any other
21
22
    questions, Chair Hood.
23
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you.
24
              Mr. Hanlon?
```

MR. HANLON: Thank you, Chair Hood. Given the

```
1
    lateness of the night, I think I'll only ask a couple of the
 2
    questions I had planned for Mr. Rapp. I don't have any
 3
    questions for the other witnesses.
              Mr. Repp, you in your written testimony, you state
 4
 5
    that a building with a lower height and density would be
    consistent with the Comprehensive Plan. Could you explain
 6
 7
    why that is?
8
              MR. REPP: Well, I think I mean, the what the
    Office of Planning here has said is, well, they believe on
9
    balance, this is not inconsistent with a Comprehensive Plan.
10
11
              Well, I'm turning this around and saying a less
12
    dense lower building would be consistent with the
    Comprehensive Plan. And frankly, on balance, I think
13
    because the Office of Planning, you know, focuses so heavily
14
    on affordable housing and as a matter of fact, that
15
16
    affordable housing might not occur at all because of certain
17
    exemptions from under the IZ program.
              But there are a lot of other provisions in the
18
19
    Comprehensive Plan, which is 1,500 pages long, that would be
20
    consistent with this with a less high, less dense proposal.
              So I think, my view is that on balance, a less
21
22
    dense lower building would be consistent with the
23
    Comprehensive Plan. So.
              MR. HANLON: So is it your testimony that, in
24
```

looking at OP's testimony presentation to the Commission,

```
1
    that OP is overweighting the affordable housing profit to
 2
    the exclusion of a number of other Comp Plan considerations?
 3
              MR. REPP: Exactly. Plus the affordable housing
 4
    requirement, both because of the OP is saying we're not
 5
    going to hold you to eight, you know, 10801 plus under IZ,
    the BZA can exempt any development in full or in part from
 6
 7
    affordable housing requirements.
8
              So I don't think that they -- I think they have
    over weighted it, but I don't think -- there's too much
9
    flexibility on the government's part. So I don't think it's
10
11
    something that we can all count on that there will be
    affordable housing here.
12
              MR. HANLON: My last question about the RFP
13
14
    process and this will be my last question for the night.
15
              We've heard about the RFP process, the RFP
16
    process. But could you explain that briefly? Am I correct
17
    that while the Council may hold a hearing on the RFP
18
    process, there is, it's not a contested hearing. There is
19
    no right to appeal from it. The citizens can say what they
20
    want and the Council votes. Am I -- do I misunderstand that
21
    process?
22
              MR. REPP: No, that is the process and there's no
    appeal from it. You know, with respect to the Zoning
23
    Commission, you know, there is the possibility of appeal,
24
25
    but there wouldn't be in the case of the Council.
```

```
1
              To me it's much more likely that the adverse
 2
    impacts of this development will be aired before this
    Commission than they would be before the DC Council.
 3
              And I want to thank all the commissioners on here,
 4
 5
    all four of you, sitting through four nights, and obviously,
    they'll be a fifth.
 6
 7
              I think you've, you know, this -- you ought to be
8
    commended with the way you've sat through all this
9
    testimony.
10
              MR. HANLON: Well, thank you, Chair Hood, and
11
    Commissioners. I don't have any more questions.
12
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. I have one quick
13
    question for Mr. Repp.
              Mr. Repp, you mentioned how this process is going
14
15
    versus something up on Connecticut Avenue. Who decides
16
    that? How can we get it across the board that this is the
17
    way this -- what is your advice of how to move in that
    fashion?
18
19
              They've brought this case to the Zoning Commission
20
    first. The other case went through the RFP process first.
    Who decides that and how come it's not -- well, you may not
2.1
22
    -- I may need to ask the government.
23
              How come it's not the same order each time?
24
              MR. REPP: Well, I think the process, as I said, I
25
    think the process up I think the process up there on
```

```
1
    Connecticut Avenue makes a lot more sense because that means
 2
    before it comes to you, there will be a specific project
    that people can you know, praise, or criticize.
 3
              And here, it's you're an action in the dark.
 4
 5
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Again, I'm going to ask
    my counsel to help me understand what Mr. Repp is saying.
 6
 7
              I mean, I understand what Mr. Repp is saying.
    That just adds on to my other issue about not talking about
8
    a project and a map amendment case in which I'm sure I'm
9
    going to get the same dissertation, but I've always asked
10
11
    that question.
12
              And I think Mr. Rep and I are finally really on
    the same page to a point, so I'll leave it at that.
13
              All right. Thank you, Mr. Repp.
14
15
              MR. REPP: You're welcome. Thank you.
16
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Let me thank this whole panel.
17
    We appreciate you all staying with us until nine o'clock.
    And let's see.
18
19
              Let me see my colleagues are like they're ready to
20
    go another hour. Are you? Okay.
2.1
              I got that. That was unanimous, including me.
22
              All right, Ms. Schellin, let's talk about, next
23
    steps.
24
              MS. SCHELLIN: Next steps are, February 26 at four
25
    o'clock and we continue with the list. And at the rate
```

```
we've gone tonight, I'm not so sure we'll finish with the
1
 2
    list that night.
              We've had a lot of cross, which is a bit unusual.
 3
 4
    We don't see that usually on when they're in opposition and
    the parties are in opposition, but that's the way they've
 5
    gone. And, so that's why I'm not so sure we'll finish on
 6
 7
    26th.
8
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD:
                                Okay. So here's what I want to
    do. On the 26th, we're going to announce another night.
9
10
              MS. SCHELLIN: Yep.
11
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I want to have a closed
12
    meeting, and I need Mr. Ritting to help me. I want to have
13
    a closed meeting.
              Maybe I'll open up the next meeting on 26th, and I
14
    just need about 10 minutes with my colleagues in a closed
15
              I don't know what I need to do.
16
    meeting.
17
              MS. SCHELLIN: You need to go ahead and do that
18
    tonight? Go ahead and make that motion if you have the
19
    paperwork to pull up. You want to read that?
20
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I'm going to go off the top of
21
    my head.
22
              I don't know if I have anything specific.
23
              MS. SCHELLIN: Okay.
24
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Mr. Ritting, is that the right
25
    -- can I do that tonight or do I have to wait until the
```

```
1
    meeting starts next time?
 2
              MR. RITTING: No, I think the idea is that you
 3
    want to you want to give the notice that you're going to
 4
    have to close the meeting and --
 5
              MS. SCHELLIN: Yeah. Five days prior.
              MR. RITTING: Yeah. If we don't have the text
 6
    exactly prepared from a script, that's okay. You can go
 7
8
    ahead.
9
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I'll wing it. I'll wing it.
    Okay. I move that the Zoning Commission have a closed
10
11
    meeting on February 26th, after the opening of the meeting
12
    that we're going to -- I mean, the hearing that we're going
13
    to have on 26th on Zoning Commission --
              MS. SCHELLIN: Did you want to do it 15 minutes
14
15
    prior, instead of after?
16
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: No. I --
17
              MS. SCHELLIN: You want to do it after?
18
    Sorry. (Crosstalk).
19
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I want to do it -- I want to do
20
    it 10 minutes into the meeting.
2.1
              CHAIRPERSON HOOD: So I move that we have a closed
22
    meeting after we announced the meeting for ten minutes on
23
    February 26th on Zoning Commission case number 23-02 and I
    ask for a second.
24
25
              It's been moved in properly second.
```

1	Any further discussion?
2	Not hearing any, Ms. Schellin, would you do a roll
3	call, please?
4	MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, sir. Commissioner Hood?
5	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yes.
6	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Stidham?
7	COMMISSIONER STIDHAM: Yes.
8	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Miller?
9	Commissioner Imamura?
10	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Yes.
11	MS. SCHELLIN: The vote is four to zero to one to
12	hold a closed meeting, but pursuant to the Closed Meetings
13	Act, but not to hold a discussion with the legal counsel,
14	but not take any votes ten minutes into the hearing that
15	starts on February 26 in case number 23-02.
16	The minus one vote being the third mayoral
17	appointee seat, which is vacant.
18	Hopefully, that captured it.
19	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Thank you.
20	Any questions? Anything else?
21	Okay, Zoning Commission will meet again. Give me
22	one second.
23	We'll meet again on February the 15th, and we will
24	have Zoning Commission case number 23-19, Elm Gardens Owner,
25	LLC, and the NHP Foundation on these same platforms.

```
1
              With that, I want to thank everyone for their
    participation tonight, and we will reconvene on February
2
    26th on this case. Good night, everyone.
3
4
              MS. SCHELLIN: Thank you.
               (Whereupon, the meeting was adjourned at 9:00
5
6
    p.m.)
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	REPORTER CERTIFICATE
2	
3	This is to certify that the foregoing transcript
4	In the matter of: Virtual Public Hearing
5	Before: DCZC
6	Date: 02-12-2024
7	Place: via remote link
8	was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my
9	direction; further, that said transcript is a true and
10	accurate record of the proceedings.
11	
12	
13	
14	<u>Lee Ann Tardieu</u>
15	Reporter Name
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	