GOVERNMENT OF

OF.

THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT

+ + + + +

REGULAR PUBLIC MEETING

+ + + + +

WEDNESDAY

JANUARY 31, 2024

+ + + + +

The Regular Public Meeting of the District of Columbia Board of Zoning Adjustment convened via Video/Teleconference, pursuant to notice at 9:30 a.m. EST, Frederick L. Hill, Chairperson, presiding.

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT MEMBERS PRESENT:

FREDERICK L. HILL, Chairperson LORNA L. JOHN, Vice-Chairperson CHRISHAUN S. SMITH, NCPC Designee CARL H. BLAKE, Member

ZONING COMMISSION MEMBER PRESENT:

TAMMY STIDHAM, NPS Designee

OFFICE OF ZONING STAFF PRESENT:

CLIFFORD MOY, Secretary
PAUL YOUNG, A/V Production Specialist

OFFICE OF ZONING ATTORNEY ADVISORS PRESENT:

SARAH BAJAJ, ESQ. COMETRIA COOPER, ESQ. CARISSA DEMARE, ESQ. RYAN NICHOLAS, ESQ.

the	Regular	The tra	anscript Meeting	const held	itu on	ites the January	minu 31,	ites fro 2024.	om

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

2.0

2.1

(9:46 a.m.)

BZA CHAIR HILL: Good morning, ladies, gentlemen. Board of Zoning Adjustment. Today's date is 1/31/2024. Public hearing will please come to order.

My name is Fred Hill, Chairman of the District of Columbia Board of Zoning Adjustment. Joining me today is Vice Chair Lorna John, board members Carl Blake and Kershawn Smith, and zoning commissioners Tammy Stidham and Chairman Anthony Hood. Today's meeting and hearing in general are available on the office of Zoning's website.

Please be advised that this proceeding is being recorded by a court reporter, is also webcast live via Webex and YouTube Live. The video of the webcast will be available on the Office of Zoning's website after today's hearing. Accordingly, everyone who is listening on Webex or by telephone will be muted during the hearing.

Also, please be advised that we do not take any public testimony at our decision meeting sessions. If you're experiencing difficulty accessing Webex or with your telephone caller, then please call OZ hotline number at 202-727-5471 to receive Webex call and instructions. At the conclusion of a decision meeting session, I shall, in consultation with the Office of Zoning, to determine whether a full or summary order may be issued.

A full order is required when the decision contains its adverse to a party, including affected ANC. A full order may also be needed if the board's decision differs from the Office of Planning's recommendation. Although the board favors the use of summary orders, whenever possible, an applicant may not request the board to issue such an order.

In today's hearing session, everyone who is listening on Webex or by telephone will be muted during the hearing, and only persons who have signed up to participate or testify will be unmuted at the appropriate time. Please state your name and home address before providing oral testimony or your presentation. Oral presentation should be limited to a summary of the most important points. When you're finished speaking, please mute your audio so that your microphone is no longer picking up sound or background noise.

Once again, if you're experiencing difficulty, please call our OZ hotline number 202-727-5471. All persons playing to testify in their favor and opposition should have signed up in advance. They'll be called by name to testify, and this is an appeal only parties are allowed to testify.

By signing up to testify, all participants will need the oath or affirmation as required by subtitle Y 408.7. Request to enter evidence at the time of online virtual hearing, such as written testimony or additional supporting

2.0

2.1

documents other than live video, which may not be presented as testimony, may be allowed pursuant to Y 102.13, provided that the person made the request to enter an exhibit, explain (A) how the proposed exhibit is relevant, (B) the good cause justifies lagging exhibit into the record, including an explanation of why the requester did not file the exhibit prior to the hearing pursuant to Y-206, and see how the provost exhibit would not unreasonably prejudice any parties. The order of procedures for special exceptions and variances are in Y-409. Somebody might want to mute themselves if they aren't muted. Just an FYI.

At the conclusion of each case, an individual who is unable to testify because of technical issues may file a request for leave to file a written version of planned testimony to the record within 24 hours following the conclusion of public testimony in the hearing. If additional written testimony is accepted, then parties will be allowed a reasonable time to respond as determined by the board. The board will then make its decision at its next meeting session, but no earlier than 48 hours after the hearing.

Moreover, the board may request additional specific information to complete the record. The board of the staff will specify at the end of the hearing, exactly what is expected, and the date when person must submit the evidence to the Office of Zoning. No other information shall

2.1

be accepted by the board.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

2.3

24

Finally, the District of Columbia Administrative Procedures Act requires that the public hearing on each case be held in the open before the public. However, pursuing section 405B and 406 of the act, the board may, consistent with its rules and procedures and the act, enter at the closed meeting on case for purposes of seeking legal counsel on a case pursuant to DC official Code section 2-575 B4 and deliberate on a case pursuant to DC official code Section 2575 B15, but only after providing the necessary public notice in case of emergency closed meeting after taking roll call vote.

Mr. Secretary, do we have any preliminary matters?

MEMBER MOY: Morning, Mr. Chairman, members of the board. Yes, I do have a very brief announcement regarding today's docket.

First, Application No. 2072-0772 of districtproperties.com, Inc., has been withdrawn by the Applicant.

Also, we have preliminary matters on select cases today, but I think it'd be more efficient if I bring that to your attention when I call those cases. Other than that, for the record, the chairman has reviewed and granted waivers to allow late filings into the applicable case records pursuant to Subtitle Y, Section 206.7 and Section 103.13.

If there are any other late filings during the course of today's live hearing, parties should bring that to the board's attention when I call that case. Other than that, I believe that's all I have for you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you.

BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay, great. Thank you, Mr. Moy. All right, everybody. So again, I'm sorry, the computer, it's so funny when you just keep pushing the button, it either starts to work or it doesn't start to work. And so I am so glad that it's starting to work, because I didn't think it was going to be a good day to try to pull this off off my phone.

So welcome, everybody. We have a couple of initial public meeting session cases to hear, and then we'll have Chairman Hood for a case, and then we'll be back with Commissioner Stidham for the remainder of the day. So, Mr. Moy, if you want to call our first case, please?

MEMBER MOY: All right, so in the board's public meeting session, the first of two cases is Application No. 21045, of Jane Nobler and Roger Nobler. This is an expedited review calendar case. It's a self certified application, pursuant to Subtitle X, Section 901.2, for special exceptions under Subtitle D, Section 520 1.1 from accessory building requirements of Subtitle D, Section 5004.1A, accessory building side yard requirements, Subtitle D, Section 5005.1.

Property's located in the R-2 zone at 3523 Border

2.0

2.1

Street, NW, Square 1957, Lot 113. And that's all I have for you. I just want to check one other thing. Yes, that's all I have. Thank you, sir.

BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay, thanks, Mr. Moy. So this is, again, for the location of the building in a rear yard, and then the accessory building side yard requirements of D 5005.1.

I've read through the record, and then also the Office of Planning's report and also the ANC report of which we need to give great weight towards. I didn't necessarily see any issues with the light or air or privacy. It seemed pretty straightforward to me. And then also there were no windows on the accessory building, or the building that's located in the rear yard, I should say, which would cause any privacy issues as far as I was concerned. So I will be voting in favor of this application, and also the abutting property was in support.

Mr. Smith, do you have anything you'd like to add?

MR. SMITH: Have anything to add? I agree with your assessment of this case, and we'll support the application as well.

BZA CHAIR HILL: Thank you. Mr. Blake?

MEMBER BLAKE: Yes, I've reviewed the application as well, and I do believe it does meet the burden of proof to be granted relief. I'll be voting in support of the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

2.3

1	application.
2	BZA CHAIR HILL: Thank you. Commissioner Stidham?
3	MEMBER STIDHAM: also and am prepared to
4	support as well.
5	BZA CHAIR HILL: Thank you. Vice Chair John?
6	VICE CHAIR JOHN: I'm in support. I don't know if
7	we received anything from the ANC.
8	BZA CHAIR HILL: We did receive the ANC report and
9	it was in support.
10	VICE CHAIRPERSON JOHN: All right. Thank you. So
11	I'm in support.
12	BZA CHAIR HILL: Thank you. Thank you, Vice Chair
13	John. All right. I'm going to make a motion to approve
14	Application No. 21045, as captioned read by the secretary and
15	ask for a second. Ms. John?
16	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Second.
17	BZA CHAIR HILL: Motion made and second. Mr. Moy,
18	if you take a roll call, please.
19	MEMBER MOY: Yes. Thank you, sir. When I call your
20	name, if you'll please respond to the motion made by Chairman
21	Hill to approve the application for the relief requested. The
22	motion to approve was second by Vice Chair John. Zoning
23	Commissioner Ms. Stidham?
24	MEMBER STIDHAM: Yes.
25	MEMBER MOY: Mr. Smith?

1	MR. SMITH: Yes.
2	MEMBER MOY: Mr. Blake?
3	MEMBER MOY: Vice Chair John?
4	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Yes.
5	MEMBER MOY: Chairman Hill? Then staff would record
6	the vote as 5-0-0. And this is on the motion made by Chairman
7	Hill to approve. The motion to approve was second by Vice
8	Chair John, who also voted to approve the application, as
9	well as approval from Zoning Commissioner Stidham, Mr. Smith,
10	Mr. Blake, Vice Chair John and Chairman Hill.
11	Again, the motion carries are 5-0-0.0
12	BZA CHAIR HILL: Thank you, Mr. Moy. If you could
13	please call that next one when you get a chance.
14	MEMBER MOY: The next case in the board's meeting
15	session is a time extension case. This is Application No.
16	20618 A, of Christine and Michael Galano. This is a request
17	pursuant to Subtitle Y, Section 705.14, for a two year time
18	extension of the validity of the order approving Application
19	No. 20618. And that case was issued back in February 17,
20	2022. Property is located in the R-2 zone at 20916 Upton
21	Street, NW, Square 2235, Lot 87. Thank you.
22	BZA CHAIR HILL: Thank you. All right, so in terms
23	of the time extension, I have reviewed the record and also
24	have seen the applicant statement in Exhibit 3 as to how they
25	are arguing for the time extension. They speak of the

economic conditions, a change in the applicant's household 1 that impacted project financing, supply chain due to Covid, 2 the pandemic. And then also, they now seem to be in line now 3 for being able to get their financing and moving forward with 5 the project in 2024. The Office of Planning did provide a report that 6 7 they had no issues. We did not hear anything from the ANC. 8 However, they had been notified for this time extension. I 9 am comfortable with the time extension. I'm going to be 10 voting in favor. Mr. Smith, do you have anything you'd like 11 to add? 12 I've also read the record and I am comfortable with the information that's 13 in the record, providing a burden of proof for 14 us to grant the 15 extension, given the unique economic circumstances 16 surrounding the pandemic and the labor and material shortages that occurred at that time. So stand on the record with that, 17 and we'll support the application. 18 19 BZA CHAIR HILL: Great. Thank you. Mr. Blake? 2.0 MEMBER BLAKE: Yeah. I agree with the assessments 21 you've made. The applicant has demonstrated good cause. I'll be voting in favor of the application. 22 23 BZA CHAIR HILL: Thank you. Commissioner Stidhap. 24 MEMBER STIDHAM: So, reviewed and agree and support

the time.

1	BZA CHAIR HILL: Thank you. Vice Chair John?
2	VICE CHAIR JOHN: I'm in support of the
3	application. And I would also add that there's no substantial
4	change in any of the material facts upon which the board
5	granted its original approval.
6	BZA CHAIR HILL: Thank you. All right, I'm going
7	to go ahead and make a motion then to approve application
8	number 20618A, as captioned read by the secretary and ask for
9	a second. Ms. John?
10	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Second.
11	BZA CHAIR HILL: Thank you. Motion made and second.
12	Mr. Moy to take a roll call.
13	MEMBER MOY: So when I call your name, if you'll
14	please respond to the motion made by Chairman Hill to approve
15	the application for the request for time extension. This
16	motion was second by Vice Chair John. Zoning Commissioner
17	Stidham?
18	MEMBER STIDHAM: Yes.
19	MEMBER MOY: Mr. Smith?
20	MR. SMITH: Yes.
21	MEMBER MOY: Mr. Blake?
22	MEMBER MOY: Vice Chair John?
23	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Yes.
24	MEMBER MOY: Chairman Hill? Then staff would record
25	the vote as 5-0-0. And this is on the motion made by Chairman

1	Hill. Motion was seconded by Vice Chair John. She also voted
2	to approve the application, as well as approval from zoning
3	Commissioner Stidham, Mr. Smith. Mr. Blake, Vice Chair John,
4	and Chairman Hill. The motion carries, sir, 5-0-0.
5	BZA CHAIR HILL: Great. Okay. Thank you, Mr. Moy.
6	You may call our first case when you get an opportunity.
7	Commissioner Stidham, we will see you afterwards, and we'll
8	welcome Chairman Hood.
9	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the
10	record at 10:01 a.m.)
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

<u>CERTIFICATE</u>

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Public Meeting

Before: DC BZA

Date: 01-31-24

Place: teleconference

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate complete record of the proceedings.

Court Reporter

near aus 9