GOVERNMENT OF

THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT

+ + + + +

REGULAR PUBLIC MEETING

+ + + + +

WEDNESDAY

DECEMBER 13, 2023

+ + + + +

The Regular Public Meeting of the District of Columbia Board of Zoning Adjustment convened via Video/Teleconference, pursuant to notice at 9:30 a.m. EST, Frederick L. Hill, Chairperson, presiding.

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT MEMBERS PRESENT:

FREDERICK L. HILL, Chairperson LORNA L. JOHN, Vice Chairperson CARL BLAKE, Board Member

ZONING COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT:

ROBERT MILLER, Vice Chairperson

OFFICE OF ZONING STAFF PRESENT:

MARK HAMALA, Zoning Specialist PAUL YOUNG, A/V Production Specialist

OFFICE OF ZONING ATTORNEY ADVISORS PRESENT:

SARAH BAJAJ, ESQ. COMETRIA COOPER, ESQ. CARISSA DEMARE, ESQ. RYAN NICHOLAS, ESQ.

the	Regular	The tra	anscript Meeting	const held	titu on	ites the r December	minut 13,	es from 2023.	m

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

2.0

2.1

2.3

9:30 a.m.

CHAIR HILL: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen,
The Board of Zoning Adjustment. Today's date is December
13th, 2023. This public hearing will please come to order.
My name is Frank Hill. I am the chairperson of District of
Columbia Board of Zoning Adjustment. Joining me today is
Vice Chairperson John, Board Member Carl Blake, and Zoning
Commissioner Rob Miller. Today's meeting and hearing agenda
are available on the Office of Zoning's website.

Please be advised that this proceeding is being recorded by a court reporter and it is also webcast live via WebEx and YouTube Live. The video of the webcast will be available on the Office of Zoning's website after today's hearing. Accordingly, everyone who was listening in on WebEx or by telephone will be needed during the hearing. Also please be advised that we do not take public testimony at our decision meeting session. If you are experiencing difficulty accessing WebEx or with your telephone call in please call OZ hotline number at (202) 727-5471 to receive WebEx log in and call in instructions.

At the conclusion of the decision meeting session

I shall have a consultation with the Office of Zoning to

determine whether a full or summary order may be issued a

full order is required when the decision contains an adverse

to a party including an affected ANC. A full order may also be needed if the Board's decision differs from the Office of Planning's recommendation. Although the Board favors the use of summary orders whenever possible, an Applicant may not request the Board to issue such an order. In today's hearing session everybody who is listening on WebEx or by telephone will be muted during the hearing, and only persons who are signed up to participate or testify will be unmuted at the appropriate time.

Please state your name and home address before providing oral testimony presentation. Oral or your presentation should be limited to a summary of your important points. When you are finished speaking please mute your audio so that your microphone is no longer picking up sound or background noise. Once again, if you are experiencing difficulty please call (202)727-5471. It is also listed on the screen. All persons planning to testify either in favor or in opposition should have signed up to Simply call by name and testify. participate in advance.

If this is an appeal only parties are allowed to testify. By signing up to testify all participants take the oath or affirmation as required by Y408.7, request to enter evidence at the time of the online virtual hearing such as written testimony and additional supporting documents other than live video, which may not be presented as part of the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

testimony may be allowed pursuant to Y103.13 provided that the persons made their request to enter an exhibit explaining (a) how the proposed exhibit is relevant, (b) a good cause to justify allowing exhibit in the record, including an explanation of why the requestor did not file the exhibit prior to the hearing pursuant to Y206, and (c) how the proposed exhibit would not reasonably prejudice any parties. The order of procedures with special exception and variances are in Y409.

At the conclusion of each case an individual who is unable to testify because of technical issues may file a request for leave to file a written version of the planned testimony to the record within 24 hours following the conclusion of public testimony in the hearing. If additional written testimony is accepted then parties will be allowed a reasonable time to respond as determined by the Board. Board will then make its decision at its next session, but no earlier than the 48 hours after the hearing. additional Moreover, the Board request specific many information to complete the record. The Board and staff will specify at the end of the hearing exactly what is expected, and the date when persons must submit the evidence to the Office of Zoning. No other information shall be accepted by the Board.

Finally, the District of Columbia Administrator's

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

2.3

24

Procedures Act requires that the public hea	aring on each case
be held in the open before the public. How	vever, pursuant to
405(b) and 406 of that Act the Board may, co	onsistent with its
rules and procedures and the act, enter int	o closing meeting
on a case for purpose of seeking legal con	unsel on the case
pursuant to DC Official Code Section 2-57	75 (B)(4), and/or
deliberating on a case pursuant to DC Offi	cial Code Section
2-575(B)(13), but only after providing	necessary common
notice in the case in which a closed meeting	ng have taken roll
call vote. Mr. Hamala, do we have any pre	liminary matters?
MR. HAMALA: Let's see. We have	ve two scheduling
announcements. First, Application Number	20919 of William
Huffman and Colleen Bevins has been reschedu	ıled from December
13th, 2023 to the December 20th, 2023 pub	olic hearing, and
Application Number 20928 of Matt and Sarah	h Tucker has also
been rescheduled from the December 13th p	public hearing to
December 20th, 2023 public hearing. Then	the Chairman has
reviewed and granted waivers to allow late	filings into the
applicable record cases pursuant to subtitl	le Y Section 206.7
and Y Section 103.13. We do have two prelim	minary matters for
expert witnesses, but those can be addressed	d when the hearing
lcases are called.	

CHAIR HILL: Okay, great. Thank you. Can you call our first public meeting case, please?

MR. HAMALA: Our first public meeting case is

Application Number 21037 of Nathaniel and Patricia Robb. 1 This is an application pursuant to subtitle X901.2 for 2 3 special exception under subtitle E5201, the law documents, the requirements of subtitle E210.1, and the rear 5 requirements of subtitle E207.1, and the project is for a rear deck addition to an existing three-story two unit flat 6 7 building in the RF1 zone located at 1361 0ak Street Northwest, square 2835, Lot 73. 8 9 CHAIR HILL: Okay. Let's see. A couple things. 10 First of all, I would like to welcome back Carl Blake to the Board of Zoning Adjustment. 11 It is so fortunate for us and 12 the city that the mayor and the council has reappointed him, and it is so kind of him to also help out with all of his 13 time, as this does take a tremendous amount of time to serve 14 15 So I just wanted to welcome back Mr. Blake. back, Mr. Blake. 16 17 MEMBER BLAKE: Good to be back. Hey everybody. 18 CHAIR HILL: All right. 19 VICE CHAIR JOHN: Welcome back, Mr. Blake, we are 20 happy to have you. 2.1 MEMBER BLAKE: Thank you, Vice-Chair John. 22 ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: It is good to see you. 2.3 MEMBER BLAKE: Thank you, Vice Chair Miller. 24 CHAIR HILL: It is wonderful. We have a full board We can have split votes. All right. So Let's see.

in this first expedited review I have reviewed the record, and in the record there are a couple of things that are still missing. One is that the Office of Planning has been unable to issue a report. Then there seems to be community concerns about the project, one of which is from the ANC. The ANC actually is a party to all cases, however, I guess if it is a public meeting case then we do not take public testimony for those cases. So what I would like to do is go ahead and take this off of the meeting calendar expedited review and set it for hearing. Does anyone have any opposition to that? If so, then please speak up.

Okay. Mr. Hamala, when can we hear this? When are we scheduling things now?

MR. HAMALA: Typically expedited review cases are scheduled sooner than later, but the calendar is also very full, so I can give you a couple of dates near and far. Near, perhaps January 31st we have eight hearing cases and one expedited review case. Further down to the calendar, March 20th only has two applications so far.

CHAIR HILL: All right. So unless the Applicant has any other things that they would like to put before the Board in terms of asking us to reschedule it, we are going to go ahead and put them on March 20th. They still have to work with the Office of Planning, and then also, I guess, they have not presented to the ANC yet, so that will give

2.0

2.1

them an opportunity to get better organized. So 3:30 we will come back unless the Applicant convinces us otherwise, okay? All right. The next one you can call when you get an opportunity.

MR. HAMALA: The next Application is Number 20507A of Solid Brick Ventures, LLC and 93 Hawaii Ventures, LLC for modification and a consequence. This is a request pursuant to subtitle Y Section 703 for modification and consequence to the Board's order, and Application Number 20507, and that is to reduce the number of dwelling units from 16 to 11 and to remove a third-story addition from the approved plans. The project concerns two of the 11 lots where the Board approved increases in the number of units in addition to existing two-story detached eight unit apartment houses in the RA1 zone.

CHAIR HILL: Okay.

MEMBER BLAKE: Mr. Chair.

CHAIR HILL: Yes, go ahead.

MEMBER BLAKE: I recused myself from this case when it came before us initially, and I would like to do so at this time as well.

CHAIR HILL: All right. Thank you, Mr. Blake.

Okay. I remember this case, and they get a reducing from 16

to 11 units and removing the third story. I think that the

fact that they are reducing rather than increasing makes me

1

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

more comfortable with this being an expedited review. will note that as reading through the Office of Planning's with the Office of Planning's report Ι would agree recommendation and analysis as how this could modification and consequence. They were also in approval of We do not have anything new from the ANC, however, this. again, the fact that they are reducing the number of dwelling units from 16 to 11 and also then removing the third story, again, makes me comfortable with this as a modification and consequence. So I will be voting to approve. Vice Chair Miller, do you have anything you would like to add?

ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: No, I agree with your analysis of the modification and consequence, so that is a modification and consequence as opposed to significant since it is a reduction and that it can move forward.

CHAIR HILL: Thank you. Vice Chair John.

VICE CHAIR JOHN: Application, and I also agree it is a modification and consequence, and also there should be no potential adverse impact on any neighbor. The Applicants will remove the request for the third-story addition, which would make it less likely to be impactful on the neighborhood, so, again, I am in support.

CHAIR HILL: Thank you. I did misspeak, it is a modification and consequence. Thank you, Vice Chair John.

I'm going to go ahead and make a motion to approve

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

1	Application Number 20507A as captioned read by the secretary
2	and ask for a second. Vice Chair John.
3	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Second.
4	CHAIR HILL: Motion made and seconded. Mr. Hamala,
5	if you could take a roll call, please.
6	MR. HAMALA: When I call your name please respond
7	to Chairman Hill's motion to approve the application a yes,
8	no, or abstain. Chairman Hill.
9	CHAIR HILL: Yes.
10	MR. HAMALA: Vice Chair John.
11	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Yes.
12	MR. HAMALA: Zoning Commissioner Miller.
13	ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yes.
14	MR. HAMALA: Staff would record the vote as three
15	to zero to two to approve Chairman Hill's motion to approve
16	the application, seconded by Ms. John with Zoning
17	Commissioner Miller in support with Board Member Smith not
18	present not participating, and Board Member Blake not
19	participating motion passes.
20	CHAIR HILL: Thank you, Mr. Hamala. All right.
21	You may call our next one when you get a chance.
22	MR. HAMALA: Our next application is Number 20523B
23	of AMSQ, LP. This is a request pursuant to subtitle Y,
24	Section 705.1 for two-year time extension of the validity of
25	the Order Approving Application Number 205231. This would

be for a path addition to an existing detached commercial building in the D3 zone located at 300 New Jersey Avenue, NW, and 51 Louisiana, NW, square 631, Lot 808 and Lot 809.

CHAIR HILL: All right. Thank you. reviewed this, and do not really have any issues with the time extension. The Applicant is pointing to market factors concerning downtown DC, which continues to have difficulties, and they had a hard time or having a hard time securing a tenant, and so in addition to that these have been vetted through the ANC and the ANC did not have any issue in setting the time extension, nor did the Office of Planning. thing is, I guess, that in terms of when we would do this time extension now what the Board has been doing is doing the time extension from when the order became final as opposed Two-year time extension based on that to different days. date the order became final when it set the validity of the relief in Order 20523 until October 22nd, 2025. the only point that I wanted to raise, and, again, I think that the economic and market conditions I do wish them all They are in that area of town. Vice Chair Miller, do you have anything you would like to add?

ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: No, I agree with you that good cause has been demonstrated. The economic and market conditions beyond their control, and we have the support of Office of Planning and ANC City, so, yes, I support the time

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

1	extension.
2	CHAIR HILL: Thank you. Mr. Blake.
3	MEMBER BLAKE: I agree with the statements that you
4	and Vice Chair Miller made, and I too would be in support of
5	the time extension.
6	CHAIR HILL: Thank you. Vice Chair John.
7	VICE CHAIR JOHN: I am also in support of the time
8	extension under Y705.2, and agree, Mr. Chairman, that the
9	applicable date is the date of issue, which is October 22nd,
10	2021.
11	CHAIR HILL: Thank you. Thank you. So two years
12	will take it to October 22nd, 2025.
13	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Yes.
14	CHAIR HILL: Thank you. All right. I am going to
15	go ahead and make a motion to approve Application Number
16	20523B as captioned read by the secretary, and pointing the
17	expiration date now to October 22nd, 2025, and ask for a
18	second, Vice Chair John.
19	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Second.
20	CHAIR HILL: Mr. Hamala, if you could take a roll
21	call, please.
22	MR. HAMALA: Respond with a yes, no, or abstain.
23	Chairman Hill.
24	CHAIR HILL: Yes.
25	MR. HAMALA: Vice Chair John.

1	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Yes.
2	MR. HAMALA: Mr. Blake.
3	MEMBER BLAKE: Yes.
4	MR. HAMALA: Zoning Commissioner Miller.
5	ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yes.
6	MR. HAMALA: Staff would record the vote as four
7	to one to zero to approve the application on the motion made
8	by Mr. Hill and seconded by Ms. John with Mr. Blank and
9	Zoning Commissioner Miller in support of the motion with
10	Board Member Smith not present, not participating.
11	CHAIR HILL: Thank you. All right. The next one,
12	I guess, I believe is 20308A, is that correct Mr. Hamala?
13	MR. HAMALA: That is correct.
14	CHAIR HILL: Okay. Vice Chair Miller, if you could
15	just sit on this with us. Mr. Blake you can unless you
16	read in, I do not know, but I need a quorum, Vice Chair
17	Miller if you could sit with us.
18	ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: I have no problem staying
19	with you. I did not read in. I did follow the hearings that
20	you have had on this in the past, but I did not read into the
21	record.
22	CHAIR HILL: Thank you. So in order for you to
23	Mr. Blake, did you read into the record? You are on mute,
24	Mr. Blake.
25	MEMBER BLAKE: I said I did not read in on this,

so I will sit this one out.

2.0

2.1

CHAIR HILL: Okay. Thank you. Yes, Vice Chair Miller, I just need for a quorum to have someone present, and then we will see. We have absentee ballots for this, and so we shall see what happens in terms of our other Board members that were on this. Vice Chair John, let's see, so are you ready to deliberate, Vice Chair John? Then I am going to have Mr. Hamala read into the record -- or read us in the case.

VICE CHAIR JOHN: Yes, I am read.

CHAIR HILL: Okay. Thank you. Mr. Hamala, could you please read us in on this, please?

MR. HAMALA: Certainly. This is Application Number 20308A of 4865 MacArthur Landlord, LLC, and as amended this is a request pursuant to subtitle Y, Section 704 for a modification of significance to change a condition adopted and order 20308 to make the wording of a memorandum of agreement between the Applicant and ANC 3D. This would be for a continuing care retirement community use in the R1B zone located at 4865 MacArthur Boulevard, NW, Square 1389, Lot 25.

CHAIR HILL: Thank you. Okay. All right. As you all recall, or at least Ms. John you recall, and I guess Vice Chair Miller did listen in, but he is not participating in this, we took a lot of testimony during this hearing, and

really what it all came down to was that one condition about
building the sidewalks that we had originally added into our
order as a condition, and the condition was I think actually
incorrect on the Board's part. This was done in a way that
I think I know that I am going to learn from now again,
which is that the Board is unable to ask the applicant to pay
for things that are within public space. So these sidewalks
are all within public space. There are some sidewalks that
it seems as though, and some conditions, that the applicant
was able to do, or is able to do, and what they are asking
the Board to modify in terms of the conditions are asking
them to basically change actively trying to see here what
the wording is. So its condition it is actually condition
2C, which would go from actively seek promote with the
Department of Transportation in writing and orally the
following safety improvements near R1B's building project
site, and if approved, construct these improvements. So they
want to strike the words seek R1B building, and if approved
construct these improvements. Basically tying them to
constructing those improvements. I think we spoke a lot
about what the Board is and is not able to enforce, and I
think that the Applicant is building what they can build
within their abilities within the cost parameters that they
are able to accommodate. There was some pricing that has
been included estimates about kind of the sidewalks and,

again, these are just estimates. Then the ANC actually,
again, added some discussion about those pricing estimates.
And it's unfortunate that myself, the Board members, I guess,
I think I need to drill down much more into what things are
being asked of the Applicant during conditions when they are
working with the community, and making sure that whatever
those conditions are, conditions that the Board could
actually enforce, and building sidewalks is not one of them.
So I am disappointed that I have also approved something, or
put something into the order, that is something that the
Board is really not able to enforce. So I would agree with
what the Applicant is trying to do just striking the language
saying that they would build the sidewalks. They are still
saying that they will work with DDOT to build, I guess,
whatever they can, but even that is just so vague, I mean,
I think, again, I do not like to use the, I guess, our
colleague, Chairman Hood, uses the term promise land, if you
will, like, saying that we can promise them things that we
cannot actually enforce. So in the future I will not be
I will be very careful about what things we can and cannot
enforce and including them in the order. So I would be in
favor of changing the language that the Applicant is putting
forward in this modification. Vice Chair John, do you have
any comments?

VICE CHAIR JOHN: Not significantly different from

what you have said. I agree that the Board cannot enforce
these conditions, because they are within the purview of DDOT
as opposed to the Zoning Commission for PUD. The Zoning
Commission might be able to work out these kinds of
agreements, but for our purposes our regulations have strict
conditions under which we can approve Applications. So I
think this was a reasonable, I do not want to say mistake,
but the first two conditions did contain at least the
second condition 2A and B, did have language suggesting that
the Applicant would construct the sidewalks at its own
expense subject to the approval of the DDOT. The third
condition in 2C did not have that language as was proposed
in the MOA, and the language in the MOA said that the
Applicant would actively promote with the District Department
of Transportation both in writing and orally the following
safety improvements near the project site. I think that is
what the Applicant is asking us to replace or to modify, and
I am in support of that language. Again, I agree with you,
Mr. Chairman, that we should no longer include these
conditions in our orders because to being with they are
sometimes very involved, and the Board has sometimes ten
cases every week, and we do not have the time to go up to
peruse these agreements and make sure they are really
accurate in terms of what the applicants have agreed to. We
can accept the condition that the applicant has agreed to on

occasion even if it is something that we would not ordinarily approve, depending on whether there is any potential adverse impact. The Board has to approve conditions that mitigate a specific adverse impact, which is related to the zoning relief requested. So in short, I agree with everything you said, Mr. Chairman, and I support the motion to reform the condition so that it is consistent with what the applicant agreed to in the MOA.

CHAIR HILL: Thank you. All right. So I am going to make a motion to approve Application Number 20308A, which is to change condition 2C to match the wording in the MOA, which is, again, to actively promote with the District Department of Transportation in writing and orally the following safety improvements near project site. Then strike the if approved construct these improvements, and ask for a second Vice Chair John.

VICE CHAIR JOHN: Second.

CHAIR HILL: The motion is made and seconded. Mr. Hamala, can we see what our voting colleagues have said?

MR. HAMALA: We have absentee ballots from Zoning Commissioner Stidham to vote to approve, and we have an absentee ballot from Mr. Smith also voting to approve the application.

CHAIR HILL: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Hamala. If you could ask about our votes then as well.

2.0

2.1

1	MR. HAMALA: When I call your name please respond
2	with a yes, no, or abstain. Chairman Hill.
3	CHAIR HILL: Yes.
4	MR. HAMALA: Vice Chair John.
5	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Yes.
6	MR. HAMALA: Staff would record the vote as four
7	to zero to one to approve the application on the motion by
8	Mr. Hill, and seconded by Ms. John with Board Member Smith
9	voting to approve by absentee ballot, and Zoning Commissioner
10	Stidham to approve by absentee ballot with Board Member Blake
11	not participating. Motion passes.
12	CHAIR HILL: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Hamala. If you
13	want to call our next case and we can have our Board members
14	rejoining us.
15	MR. HAMALA: Yes, and for the record, I wanted to
16	clarify that Application Number 21037 of Nathaniel and
17	Patricia Robb has been postponed to the March 20th hearing
18	date and not March 30th.
19	CHAIR HILL: Okay, I'm sorry, 3/30 I'm sorry
20	3/20.
21	MR. HAMALA: 3/20.
22	CHAIR HILL: Okay. Thank you.
23	MR. HAMALA: Then I have one further scheduling
24	announcement, and sorry I am just learning about this now,
25	but Application Number 21010 of NL 1271 5th Street, LLC has

1	previously been postponed from the December 13th hearing date
2	to the February 28th, 2024 public hearing.
3	CHAIR HILL: Okay.
4	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the
5	record at 10:11 a.m.)
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

<u>CERTIFICATE</u>

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Public Meeting

Before: DC BZA

Date: 12-13-23

Place: teleconference

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate complete record of the proceedings.

Court Reporter

near aus 9