GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

ZONING COMMISSION

+ + + + +

PUBLIC MEETING

+ + + + +

THURSDAY
SEPTEMBER 8, 2022

+ + + + +

The Public Meeting of the District of Columbia Zoning Commission convened via videoconference, pursuant to notice, at 4:00 p.m. EDT, Anthony J. Hood, Chairperson, presiding.

ZONING COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT:

ANTHONY J. HOOD, Chairperson ROBERT MILLER, Vice Chairperson JOSEPH IMAMURA, Commissioner PETER MAY, Commissioner

OFFICE OF ZONING STAFF PRESENT:
SHARON SCHELLIN, Secretary
PAUL YOUNG, Zoning Data Specialist

OFFICE OF PLANNING STAFF PRESENT: JOEL LAWSON, Project Manager

LINDEN NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION:
ROBERT PITTMAN, Co-President

OFFICE OF ZONING LEGAL DIVISION STAFF PRESENT: JACOB RITTING, ESQUIRE

The transcript constitutes the minutes from the Public Meeting held on September 8, 2022.

T-A-B-L-E O-F C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S
OPENING STATEMENT: Anthony Hood
PRELIMINARY MATTERS: Anthony Hood
CONSENT CALENDAR: Case No. 20-06A: Felice Development Group Technical Corrections to Zoning Commission Order No. 22-06 to correct development, tables and Findings of Facts
VOTE:
Commissioners 10
Case No. 07-131I: JV MPDC, LLC Modification of Consequence at Square 643S
COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS: Commissioners
VOTE:
Commissioners 12
Case No. 14-01B: Jemal's Hecht's, LLC and Jemal's Heck Tower Original, LLC PUD Modification of Consequence at Square 430712
COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS:
Commissioners 14
VOTE:
Commissioners
FINAL ACTION: Case No. 22-02: Office of Planning Map Amendment from MU-4 to MU-5A at Squares 4510, 4511, 4513, 4514, 4515 4516, & 4517, and Parcel 149.60
COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS:
Commissioners
HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
Court Reporting and Litigation Support

Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

VOTE:	:	
	Commissioners	20
	Case No. 22-01: Office of Planning Text Amendments to Subtitles G, H, & I Permit Matter of Right Residential Use of Non-Residential Building Built Prior to 01/01/22 that Exceeds Development Standards for Residential Use	25
COMM		
COMME	ENTS AND QUESTIONS: Commissioners	26
VOTE:	:	
	Commissioners	27
Case	No. 21-18: Dance Loft Ventures, LLC Consolidated PUD & Related Map Amendment at Squares 4704	25
COMME	ENTS AND QUESTIONS:	
	Commissioners	26
VOTE:	<u>:</u>	
	Commissioners	27
Case	No. 21-03: WCP 1207 H Street, LLC Map Amendment at Square 1004	28
COMME	ENTS AND QUESTIONS: Commissioners	28
VOTE:	:	
	Commissioners	31
TIME	EXTENSION: Case No. 16-13I: MED Developers, LLC One-Year PUD Time Extension at Squares 748	58
COMME	ENTS AND QUESTIONS: Commissioners	58

HEARING ACTION: Case No. 22-20: 1301 Good Hope Road, LLC Map Amendment at Square 5768 Mr. Mordfin
COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS: Commissioners
VOTE: Commissioners46
PRESENTATION: Case No. 22-12: Mid-Atlantic Neighborhood Development Corporation Map Amendment at Square 2819 Mr. Cochran
COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS: Commissioners
VOTE: Commissioners
Case No. 96-13A: Street Retail, LLC PUD Modification of Significance & Related Map Amendment at Square 1661 Mr. Kirschenbaum
COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS: Commissioners
VOLE: Commissioners
CORRESPONDENCE: Case No. 06-11Q, 06-12at, & 01-17E: George Washington University Letter of Zoning Administrator Advising of End of Temporary Housing
COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS: Commissioners
OFFICE OF PLANNING STAFF: Jennifer Steingasser
HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support

COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS: Commissioners	65
CLOSING REMARKS: Anthony Hood	67
ADJOURN:	67

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

2 (4:00 p.m.)

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: We are all here. I first wanted to -- my name's Anthony Hood, chair of the Zoning Commission. I want to welcome everyone back from their month off. We take the month of August off. And I want to welcome you back. I hope you had some relaxation, enjoyable time off with your families and friends. I hope you had a good time on vacationing, trips, or whatever you did. Now it's time to get back to work -- business of -- work -- the business of the City. So thank you. We're glad that everybody's doing okay and everybody's back. And that also goes out to the public as far as I know. So thank you that everything is well.

All right. So let's begin this public meeting. Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. We are convening and broadcasting this public meeting via video conferencing. My name is Anthony Hood. Joining me this evening are Vice Chair Miller, Commissioner May, and, you know, give me one moment. That's what happens when you come back and -- I have a old -- give me one moment please.

Let's try this again. Okay. And I'm just going to read from this. This is an old one that I had, still has Mr. Turnbull's name on it, so I don't know how that one popped back up. So I don't know what I'm reading here, but anyway. This shows when you've been off for 30 days, anyway. Let me try this HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

again.

Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. We are convening and broadcasting this probably public meeting via video conferencing. My name is Anthony Hood. Joining me this evening are Vice Chair Miller, Commissioner Imamura, and Commissioner May. We're also joined by the Office of Zoning staff, Ms. Sharon Schellin, as well as the -- Mr. Paul Young who's handling all of our virtual operations. Also we're joined by Office of Zoning legal division, Mr. Jake Ritting and Mr. Dennis Liu.

Copies of today's meeting agenda are available on the Office of Zoning's website. Please be advised this proceeding is being recorded by a court reporter and is also webcast live, Webex and YouTube Live. The video will be available on the Office of Zoning's website after the meeting. Accordingly, all those listening on Webex or by phone will be muted during the meeting unless the Commission suggests otherwise.

For hearing action items, the only documents before us this evening are the application, the ANC setdown report, and the Office of Planning Report. All other documents in the record will be reviewed at the time of the hearing. Again, we do not take any public testimony in our meetings, unless the Commission requests someone to come forward and speak.

If you experience difficulty accessing Webex or with your phone, call in, and please call our OZ hotline number at 202-727-0789. Again, 202-727-0789 for Webex login or call in HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

instructions.

Okay. Does the staff have any preliminary matters?

MS. SCHELLIN: No, sir.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Let's move right along with our agenda. Our first case is a consent calendar item, minor modification and technical corrections. Zoning Commission Case No. 20-06A, Felice Development Group, Technical Corrections to Zoning Commission Order No. 22-06 to correct developments, Tables and Findings of Facts 31.

Ms. Schellin?

MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, sir. As you stated, the applicant has requested to make a technical correction to this finding of fact to correct this development table. And there's nothing further to state other than to say that OP did submit a report at Exhibit 5, stating that they agree with this request and noted that the GFA and the FAR loading requirements and use information for the east tower and the west tower were apparently inadvertently reversed, and they agree with this technical correction request.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you. Ms. Schellin, I'm just going to read part of the caption for the record for the technical correction requests, as you've stated. Request to correct the development table provided in the Findings of Fact No. 31 of the original PUD order Zoning Commission Case No. 20-06 to correct the GFA and FAR. The order requires the uses of the east tower HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

1	and west tower are consistent with both the consolidated PDB
2	plans in Exhibit 79-A1, 79C, and the first stage PUD plans,
3	Exhibit 80, 81-80C, and the original PUD order case record, as
4	already been stated. So with that, we have this correction of
5	oversight or correcting something. Any discussion? If not, I
6	will move that we approve the technical correction as requested,
7	and note that the ANC was served and obviously there was I
8	don't believe we got a response. I don't think there's a response
9	to the record. Yeah, we have not gotten a response yet, so I
10	think it's pretty straightforward to make the correction. So I
11	would move that we grant Zoning Commission Case No. 20-06A for
12	the technical correction as noted captioned, and ask for a
13	second.
14	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Second.
15	COMMISSIONER MAY: Second.
16	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Been moved and properly seconded.
17	Any further discussion?
18	(No response.)
19	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Not hearing any, Ms. Schellin, would
20	you please do a roll call vote?
21	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Hood?
22	COMMISSIONER HOOD: Yes.
23	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Miller?

MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Imamura?

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

Court Reporting and Litigation Support

Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia

410-766-HUNT (4868)

1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yes.

24

25

1 COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Yes.

MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner May?

COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes.

MS. SCHELLIN: The vote is four to zero to one to approve the technical correction, final action. The minus one being the third mayoral appointee position being vacant.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Next, we have Zoning Commission, which is a minor modification. It's a determination of scheduling possible. Zoning Commission Case No. 07-13I, JJV MPDC, LLC, Modification of Consequences at Square 643S.

Ms. Schellin?

MS. SCHELLIN: Yes. On this one, they are requesting to extend the approved museum eating, drinking, establishment and retail uses into the west wing of the historic Randall School. Exhibit 6 is an OP report, saying they agree that it is a modification of consequence, and they recommend approval of the case. Exhibit 5 is AN-6D's report recommending support with two conditions which the applicant has agreed to already. So with that, the ANC being the only party, if the Commission chooses to do so after agreeing, deciding whether this is indeed a modification of consequence, if it chooses to do so, you could proceed with action on the case. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you, Ms. Schellin, for framing that and also making sure I do not forget to consider whether this is a modification of consequence or not. So first, let me

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

make sure, colleagues, does anyone think this needs to come off as a modification of consequence?

(No response.)

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Not hearing anyone, not seeing anyone, so next this will be determined as a modification of consequence. As already stated by Ms. Schellin, the ANC has provided their report, they were the only party. And I think they did have two conditions which I won't read, but they're on the record, and I'm sure we've all read them already. So with that, I think this is ready, unless -- let me just open it up and hear from others.

Commissioner May?

COMMISSIONER MAY: I just want to emphasize the fact that this is still a modification of consequence, even though what we're talking about is a change to the use. The thing is that we're not introducing any new uses; these are existing uses already approved for the site. And if -- it's not enough of a change of use to warrant consideration as a modification of significance. Also helps that the ANC has weighed in and is in favor, so. That's about all.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Thank you. Any other question or comments? All right. Would somebody like to make a motion to approve what's before us?

VICE CHAIR MILLER: Sure, Mr. Chairman. I would move that the Zoning Commission approve Zoning Commission Case No.

1	07-13I JV MPDC, LLC Modification of Consequence at Square 643S
2	with the two ANC conditions related to parking and traffic and
3	ask for a second.
4	COMMISSIONER MAY: Second.
5	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. It has been moved and properly
6	second by Commissioner May. Thank you. Any further discussion?
7	(No response.)
8	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Not hearing any, Ms. Schellin, would
9	you do a roll call vote please?
10	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Miller?
11	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yes.
12	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner May?
13	COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes.
14	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Hood?
15	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yes.
16	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Imamura?
17	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Yes.
18	MS. SCHELLIN: The vote is four to zero to one to
19	approve final action Zoning Commission Case No. 07-13I. The
20	minus one being the third mayoral appointee, which is a vacant
21	seat.
22	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Let's move on. Let's go to
23	okay. Let's go to Zoning Commission under deliberation, Zoning
24	Commission Case No. 14-01B, Jemal's Hecht's, LLC and Jemal's
25	Hecht's Tower Original, LLC, PUD Modification of Consequence at HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868)

1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

Square 40-37.

2.

Ms. Schellin?

MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, sir. At the July 28th meeting, the Commission determined this case to be a modification of consequence, and the timeframe was set for submissions. Just to note, there was a letter from the SMD which staff has removed from the record because only parties can respond in modification of consequences. The ANC has not provided a response as of today, although the time has ended. The applicant did provide a letter at Exhibit 7 in response to, I believe it was, Commissioner Miller who asked them to provide a response regarding trash collection. So you have that in the record, and this case is ready for the Commission to deliberate on. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Thank you. Colleagues, I believe, and I mentioned this previously, I know we have some other things to deal with, but I mentioned this previously, this is something that -- this area is something that we dealt with years ago, it's flourishing. And I just live in an area I see this taking a turnaround. So I think that the developers and those folks over there need some help. And I think this is a way they can get that. And I think we need to do everything possible because they took a chance on an area where a lot of people wouldn't have.

So either way, I think whatever we can do to help them sustain and things start pulling apart. I know -- well, one of HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

the community folks mentioned to me about -- and I'm just -- I think about all this stuff all the time. One of the stores that was over there -- was it Adidas -- it was Nike left, and they asked me, Anthony, where's the Nike store? So people started leaving. They have to have an anchor to hold. So I'm not trying to make the case and I do think that what the Commissioner -- no, I don't think we need to weigh on that, but if the applicant agrees with it, I'm fine with it. But we want to make sure that we help that situation out over there because they always help out across the City.

So that's kind of where I am. And I know for a fact, I've seen things here recently, they need some help. So anyway, let me open it up for any questions or comments.

Commissioner May?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER MAY: Yeah, I think -- I agree with you, Mr. Chairman, this is something that we should support. It seems like a pretty simple change, and it's supportive of the overall goals of the development and the goals of the ANC for their neighborhood. As they've expressed to them -- to us before, even if we don't have an official response from the ANC, there is the indication in the applicant's submission that the SMD representative strongly supports it, and it's just a matter of timing that we didn't get an ANC letter. So I think this is easily supportable, and, you know, as long as the applicant is fine with the conditions that were suggested, I think that it

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

should be approved.

2.2

2 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay.

Commissioner Imamura?

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I was not present at the hearing, but I did review the complete record and agree with Commissioner May's summary as well as the points that you articulated, Mr. Chairman, and the points that Vice Chair Miller had also made about sort of bookending the trash collection to specific hours. So with that, I'm prepared to support.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay.

And Vice Chair Miller?

VICE CHAIR MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And, yes, I support -- I concur with your comments, Mr. Chairman, and those of my colleague, Commissioners May and Imamura. And I want to thank the applicant for responding to my request about while we're expanding the loading dock operation to 24 hours a day so this bakery can fill this vacant space. A wholesale bakery is a great use in this neighborhood. That type of use used to exist in this neighborhood a lot. Wholesale operations used to exist a lot, so I think it's great that it's -- they've gotten a wholesale baker in there.

The trash collection, limiting the hours, I appreciate the applicant doing that because there are a lot of residents around there, and the trash doesn't necessarily need to be in the

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

middle of the night, even though the bakery is, obviously, making 1 2 nice baked goods early in the morning. So I look forward to that. Thank you. 3 4 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. 5 So with that, I think unless I hear something else, I 6 would move -- it won't let me go back, so I have to move on to 7 another case. I will move approval of Zoning Commission Case No. 14-01B as requested and captioned with the requests as noted by 8 9 Commissioner Miller about the trash and that -- as that condition 10 as noted. And I think I've captured everything. If not, I'm sure Mr. Ritting will show up on the camera. 11 12 So can I get a second? 13 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Second. 14 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: It's moved and properly second. Any further discussion? 15 16 Not seeing any, Ms. Schellin, would you do a roll call 17 vote please? 18 MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Hood? 19 COMMISSIONER HOOD: Yes. 20 MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Miller? 21 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yes. 22 MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Imamura? 23 COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Yes. 24 MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner May? 25 COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes. HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

17 MS. SCHELLIN: The vote, four to zero to one to approve 1 2 Final Action Zoning Commission Case No. 14-01B. The minus one being the third mayoral appointee position which is vacant. 3 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Thank you. Let's move right 4 5 along to Final Action Zoning Commission Case No. 22-02, Office 6 of Planning Map Amendment from MU-4 to MU-5A, Squares 4510, 4511, 7 4513, 4514, 4515, 4516 and 4517, and Parcel 149/60. 8 Ms. Schellin? MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, sir. 9 The new exhibits, we have 10 NCPC letter at Exhibit 11, advising that this case fell within the exception listed in Chapter 8 of their guidelines, and the 11 12 staff determined that the map amendment is exempt from review 13 after receiving no comments after it was available for public 14 review on their website. Exhibit 13 was the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking that was published in the Register on July 22nd, and 15 16 no comments were received. So this case is ready to proceed with final action. 17 18 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Let me go to -- thank you, 19 Ms. Schellin. Let me go to Commissioner May. 20 COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes. I just wanted to state for the 21 record that I did review the complete record minutes of the 22 hearing, and I am prepared to participate in the final action on

this case.

23

24

25

Okay. Thank you, Commissioner May, CHAIRPERSON HOOD: for stating that. Who would like to start us off? I know HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

Commissioner May -- I usually go to the Commissioner May, but since he read the record -- yeah, well, go ahead, Commissioner May. You're seasoned.

COMMISSIONER MAY: You know, I don't have an awful lot to say about this. I think that the hearing was pretty thorough and generally very positive about what the outcomes will be. And I'm very familiar with the structure of Benning Road. And I think that it will be beneficial in the long run, and it's consistent -- not inconsistent with the Comprehensive Plan, so I think a map amendment makes a lot of sense. So I don't think I have anything else to add, but would love to hear if any other commissioners have something to say.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Great.

Commissioner Imamura, anything?

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I don't have too much to add to that. I think the idea (indiscernible) possible. Certainly (indiscernible) some additional affordable housing. Its' worth noting that DHCD provided an email in support as well as DOU, and of course DDOT made comments that they don't anticipate any (indiscernible). And the Economic Development Advisor Committee -- Council rather, submitted a letter in support too, so I think this is very straightforward, and I am in support.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Thank you.

Vice Chair Miller?

I concur with my colleagues' 1 VICE CHAIR MILLER: 2 comments. I have no additional comments, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Thank you. The only thing 3 I'm going to say is I -- and I appreciate my colleagues who 4 5 captured everything -- when I look at the makeup of the Ward 7 6 Economic Development Advisory Council, I think they submitted a 7 letter of support. I know a lot of hard work. You have different 8 folks from different walks of their professional way of life and 9 the professionalism that they bring to that equation. 10 I think you have a win-win for the city. So I'm just hoping things continue to progress forward as it has here, which 11 12 makes our job a lot easier. So with that, would somebody like 13 to make a motion? 14 COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Mr. Chair, I move that Zoning Commission take final action on Case No. 22-02, Office of Planning 15 16 Map Amendment for -- from MU-4 to MU5-A at Squares 4510, 4511, 17 4513, 4514, 15, 16, and 4517 in Parcel 149-60 and ask for a 18 second. 19 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I'll second it. It's been moved and properly second. Any further discussion? 20 21 (No response.) 22 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Not hearing any, Ms. Schellin, would 23 you do a roll call vote please? 24 MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Imamura? 25 COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Yes. HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868)

1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Hood? 1 2 CHAIRPERSON HOOD Yes. MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner May? 3 COMMISSIONER MAY: 4 Yes. MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Miller? 5 6 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yes. 7 The vote is four to zero to one to MS. SCHELLIN: 8 approve final action on Zoning Commission Case No. 22-02. The 9 minus one being the third mayoral appointee position which is 10 vacant. 11 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Did somebody mention in this case 12 that IZ does apply? 13 COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: I did, Mr. Chairman. 14 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Sorry. Okay. You did. Okay. Ι 15 just wanted to make sure somebody mentioned it. All right. Thank 16 you. 17 Let's keep moving. Let's go to Zoning Commission Case, 18 No. 22-01, Office of Planning Text Amendment Subtitle G, H and 19 I, Permit Matter of Right Residential Use in a non-residential 20 building built prior to 01/01/2022 that exceed development standards for residential use. 21 Ms. Schellin? 22 MS. SCHELLIN: At Exhibit 16, we have an NCPC report 23 24 states the proposed text amendments would not 25 inconsistent with the National Comprehensive Plan, and it would HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868)

1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

not adversely impact other identified federal interests. Exhibit 18 is the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, which was published in the D.C. Register on July 29th. We had one comment come in, and that was from the Office of the Attorney General, and that can be found at Exhibits 19 through 19C, and which basically or largely reiterates their previous comments encouraging the Commission to reconsider applying additional IZ set aside requirements. So with that, I'll turn it over to the Commission to deliberate on final action.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Schellin.

I believe that we discussed the OAG. And I appreciate OAG submitting or to help us try to push, but I think we discussed this already, and we've talked about how currently our non-residential GFA to reside GFA as a matter of right, that the buildings complies. And I think we got into that, but instead of going back through all that again, and I know they reiterated basically as has already been stated, their first point, but I believe keep sending us that. Let's keep pressing as far as we can go. But I think we took a lot of this under consideration. But let me hear from others if you have other viewpoints. So let me open it up.

Commissioner may?

COMMISSIONER MAY: I think I pretty much agree with what you said, Mr. Chairman. We didn't really see anything new from the Office of the Attorney General. They repeated what they HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

had said before, I mean, at least they are consistent. But I don't -- I mean, I think this is the wrong place to direct that energy. I think certainly we've had enough discussion of the need to be more aggressive in promoting affordable housing and especially to apply it in those areas where it does not currently apply, those limited places downtown where it does not apply.

And I don't -- I mean this is not really the venue to try to make a change like that because that's a pretty substantial change. We've been assured by the Office of Planning they will be -- we will be taking the issue up again. And so, you know, this is just clarifying, and you know, giving our stamp of approval to what has been the Zoning Administrator's practice already. So this is really -- I mean, this is just a simple clarification. It's not the place to try to add on a new issue, a new burden, you know.

And I think that the, you know, one of the really important points about this is that we shouldn't be doing things that make the conversion of downtown office buildings into housing any harder than it already is. It's a challenging thing, but it is a desirable thing. And there is some movement in that direction. And there is a strong argument to be made that, you know, increasing the supply of housing will ultimately help the affordability of housing. It's not going to reach the folks who need housing at the 50 percent AMI or MFI level.

But there are a lot of people who can't afford, you
HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
Court Reporting and Litigation Support

Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia

410-766-HUNT (4868)

1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

know, even the current 100 percent MFI levels. So it's, I think, just adding more housing has a beneficial effect. And I think we, you know, there is anecdotal evidence that that is already occurring with rents dropping in certain buildings when there's a lot more housing popping up in those neighborhoods. So I think this is, again this is not venue to make a big change. We will be taking it up. And right now this is just a necessary clarification so that we can make sure that the process for converting these buildings is simple.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you. Well said.

Commissioner Imamura, any additions or comments?

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Nothing more than what Commissioner May has already stated.

14 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay.

15 Vice Chair Miller?

VICE CHAIR MILLER: I thank Commissioner May for articulating those views, which I concur with.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I too concur with his comments. But I really -- I can't wait for us to get to the point, I think I said this some years back, to where we really feel the abundance of housing, and we really feel exactly -- while the rents and the mortgage may be going down some, I can't wait, and I know the residents as well. I'm waiting 'til we get to that point where we really feel it. So that's just where I am. But I agree with Commissioner May's comments at this time.

1	All right. So somebody like to make a motion? I don't
2	like to make all the motions if y'all haven't noticed by now.
3	There's another group, they make a motions and the chairperson
4	always seconds it, and so when history reveals itself, this is
5	going to and I'm talking about it, I said this fellow just
6	sort of basically two one person made the motion, the other
7	one always second it. But I don't think the record needs to
8	be, I think, it needs to go around that all the Commissioners
9	are making motions. That's my side effect for the day.
10	COMMISSIONER MAY: I'd be happy to make a motion. I'm
11	just usually not, like, not ready to read it off, because I don't
12	have a (indiscernible) like I used to. So I will make a motion
13	that we approve Zoning Commission Case No. 22-01, Office of
14	Planning Text Amendments of Subtitles G, H and I, permit matter
15	for right residential use of non-residential buildings built
16	prior to 01/01/22 that exceeds the development standards for
17	residential use. That was a mouthful.
18	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. It's been moved, and I'll
19	second it. Moved and properly second. Any further discussion?
20	(No response.)
21	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Not hearing any, Ms. Schellin, would
22	you do a roll call vote please?
23	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner May?
24	COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes.
25	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Hood?
	HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support
	Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia
	410-766-HUNT (4868)

1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yes. 1 2 MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Imamura? COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Yes. 3 MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Miller? 4 5 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yes. 6 MS. SCHELLIN: The vote is four to zero to one to 7 approve for final action Zoning Commission Case No. 22-01. 8 minus one being the third mayoral appointee position which is 9 vacant. 10 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: And next we have Zoning Commission 11 Case No. 21-18, Dance Loft Ventures, LLC, Consolidated PUD and 12 Related Map Amendment at Square 4704. 13 Ms. Schellin? 14 MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, sir. At Exhibits 800 through 800A, 802 and 803, you have the applicant's first hearing submissions. 15 16 At Exhibit 804, you have an NCPC report stating that no federal interests were identified, and therefore, no comments were 17 18 received to them. So we have -- this case is ready for the 19 Commission to deliberate for final action. Thank you. 20 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. So let me just say on this 21 case, even though this is a final action, proposed action we took a lot of time, and I know a lot of study, and this wasn't the 22 23 most easy -- one of the easiest decisions that I've ever had, and I'm sure others as well. But I think we discussed this quite 2.4 25 a bit during propose. And I think there are some policies and HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support

Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia

410-766-HUNT (4868)

1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

some things that outweighed some of what's going to be there. So we can -- I don't want to -- I can go into that again, but I don't want to.

I think I would -- what I would do is direct anyone who's watching this proceeding, if they want to hear our full-fledged discussion, to go to our proposed action in this case because I think we fleshed out a lot of the issues and a lot of concerns and we tried to -- we came up with, I think, the best decision moving forward proposed. And I plan on moving forward with final, the best interest of the city. So that's kind of where I am. Let me open it up.

Commission May?

COMMISSIONER MAY: I think you hit the key point here, which is that we work through all these issues at our proposed action. It was -- it's not an easy case to decide because of the things that have to be balanced, but it is certainly worthwhile. And I think a decision in favor is well warranted when you do go through that necessary balancing of the facts in the case. So I'm strongly in favor of moving forward and granting final approval so that this project can --

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okav.

Commissioner Imamura?

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Likewise, I'm prepared to move forward and in favor. We did discuss this in an exhaustive discussion and not an easy one. So

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

I want to make sure that (indiscernible) we thoroughly deliberate 1 2 this. Again I'm ready to vote (indiscernible). CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. 3 And Vice Chair Miller? 4 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yeah, I would just reiterate what 5 6 you all have already said -- what each of you said, which is that 7 at proposed action we thoroughly discussed this case and 8 potential inconsistencies and how they're outweighed by the 9 public benefits of the project and potential adverse impacts and 10 how they're either mitigated or outweighed by the public benefits, which mostly include the production of housing and 11 12 affordable housing, but also the retention of this important arts-related use in this neighborhood. I'm prepared to move 13 14 forward, Mr. Chairman. 15 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I thank you all for your comments. 16 Yeah, I'd direct anyone who may be watching this proceeding to 17 our proposed action comments, which may take a moment. 18 Anyway, Zoning Commission Case No. -- I would approve 19 Zoning Commission Case No. 21-18, Dance Loft Ventures, LLC, 20 Consolidated PUD and related map amendment at Square 4704 for final action and ask for a second. 21 22 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Second it. 23 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: It's been moved and properly second. Any further discussion? 24 25 Ms. Schellin, would you do a roll call vote please? HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868)

1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Hood? 1 2 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yes. MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Miller? 3 VICE CHAIR MILLER: 4 Yes. 5 MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Imamura? 6 COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Yes. 7 MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner May? 8 COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes. 9 The vote is four to zero to one to MS. SCHELLIN: 10 approve final action, Zoning Commission Case No. 21-18. The minus one being the third mayoral appointee position which is 11 12 vacant. 13 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Next Zoning Commission Case 14 No. 22-03, WCP 1207 H Street, LCC, Map of Amendment at Square 15 1004. Ms. Schellin? 16 The new exhibits for this one is at 17 MS. SCHELLIN: 18 Exhibits 55 and 55A, the applicant's post hearing submissions. 19 And then at Exhibit 56, the NCPC Executive Director concluded the 20 map amendment would not be inconsistent with the Comp Plan or any 21 other identified federal interests. If you'll recall, the 22 Commission left the record open for some neighbors who testified 23 in opposition to meet with the applicant. Staff did have email communications with the neighbors about the meeting. 24 25 going to meet, and I believe the applicant's draft order makes HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868)

1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

mention that they did meet. However, there were no reports received in the record, so I just wanted to make note of that, that it was not ignored. I think they did meet.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you, Ms. Schellin.

And I appreciate both the applicant and the residents were meeting as requested by us. And obviously, for me, no news is good news. I know that's an assumption, which I don't like to do. But I can tell you there is still a major, major problem, unless somebody missed the boat, they would have opined or they would have heard. And I'm still -- I said we won't, but I'm going to go with what's before me today. I'm ready to move forward. And it -- they have gotten a lot of support from Commissioner Pittman and others I believe, who have worked and who want to continue to work for the future development of this site.

And H Street is up and coming. It's been up and coming for a while, and it's actually -- it's been very well done for years, and we're getting there. It's a spot to go to in the city. So I'm hoping that all that was resolved. No news, again we didn't get a report. We extended the olive branch to -- so they could work, and I think they've done that, so I would just leave it at that. And also in this case, IZ Plus will apply to this map amendment. I think we -- I don't know. Well, I mentioned that this time, so. All right. So let's open it up to any questions or comments.

1 Commissioner May? 2 COMMISSIONER MAY: I don't really have anything to add. I think we -- this is another one where we talked through it 3 4 previously. So yeah, I think it's -- this is a map amendment 5 well worth full approval. 6 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Thank you. 7 Commissioner Imamura? 8 COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: I'd just reiterate what 9 Commissioner May commented on and again just that IZ Plus applies 10 to this map amendment, so prepared to move forward. 11 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. 12 And Vice Chair Miller? 13 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Yeah, I 14 concur with all of your comments and just would note that even though we had some concern about the intensity of the project, I 15 16 think there's sufficient evidence in the record that the proposed 17 zoning does -- is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan Land Use 18 Map designation of medium density residential and medium density 19 commercial. So I'm also prepared to move forward. 20 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. So with that, I think this 21 is ready for us. Would someone like to make a motion? VICE CHAIR MILLER: Sure. 22 23 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Vice Chair Miller? I would move that the Zoning 24 VICE CHAIR MILLER: 25 Commission take final action on Case No. 22-03, WCP 1207 H Street, HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868)

1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

LLC, Map Amendment at Square 1004 and ask for a second. 1 2 COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Second. CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. It's been moved and properly 3 4 second. Any further discussion? 5 (No response.) 6 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Not hearing any, Ms. Schellin, would 7 you do a roll call vote please? MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Miller? 8 9 COMMISSIONER MILLER: Yes. 10 MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Imamura? 11 COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Yes. 12 MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Hood? 13 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yes. 14 MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner May? 15 COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes. 16 MS. SCHELLIN: The vote is four to zero to one to 17 approve final action on Zoning Commission Case No. 22-03. 18 minus one being the third mayoral appointee position which is 19 vacant. 20 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Let's move right along. Let's go 21 to the time extension. Hold on one second. Okay. All right. 22 Yeah. I don't think I missed a case. I thought I missed a case and was going back. All right. Zoning Commissioner Case, No. 23 16-31I, MED Developers, LLC, one year PUD time extension at Square 24 25 748. HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

Ms. Schellin?

I do know that we have to deal with a waiver request.

Ms. Schellin?

MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, sir. Yes. So as you stated, the applicant is requesting a one-year time extension to extend the start of construction from June 26th, 2023 to June 26th, 2024. The waiver request is a waiver from the regulations that state that Subtitle Z, Section 705.6, which states that a request for an extension may not be filed more than six months prior to the expiration of the order. This request was made just under a year prior to the expiration. It was filed, I believe, in July.

So that's -- the first thing is the Commission would have to decide on whether it wants to waive the request being filed more than six months before the expiration. And that's -- the -- there is an OP report in support, and the ANC-6C submitted a report, voted to support the extension request that states it's in support of more affordable housing, but that's not what it's -- it's for the time extension. But they did submit a report.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Schellin.

Typically, colleagues, we usually are not inclined -this may be the first, I don't know, well, maybe the second, I
don't know, but either way, we're usually not inclined to do
this. I don't see what the urgency is. I know that they're
getting funds from different entities, like through the Housing
HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

Production Trust Fund, tax credits. I get that. But we put that 1 in place for a reason, and if we -- for me, I'm always like this. 2 And I know sometimes we do do it, but I don't think 3 4 this is one of those cases. But maybe -- I may be missing 5 It won't be the first time. So let me open it up 6 and hear from others. I think this can come back at another 7 time. 8 many months? How Do we know how many months, 9 Ms. Schellin, they are ahead of schedule? 10 MS. SCHELLIN: They don't expire until June of next 11 year. 12 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: So they can come back in January. 13 I'm understanding this correctly. Okay. Well, let me hear from 14 others and see. 15 MS. SCHELLIN: Actually, December. They could come 16 back in December. 17 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: December. Okay. December, which 18 is just two months from now. Okay. Three months. 19 Commissioner May? Let me open it up. 20 COMMISSIONER MAY: Mr. Chairman, I'm -- I want to go 21 back and look at the applicant's submissions because I thought 22 they had made the case that they do need this information, or 23 they do need the certainty that comes with the time extension in, 24 you know, in advance of that six-month period so that they could be successful in applying for funds for that from the Housing 25

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

Production Trust Fund.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I mean, I don't know. I mean I'm normally, you know, a bit of a grinch on time extensions, though I'm not necessarily voting against them, but at the very least complaining about them when they come in repeatedly, particularly on projects that take a very long time to get off the ground, because at a certain point you just have to pull the plug. This is one that has gone through a lot of, I think, funding challenges. And I'm, you know, now that there's the prospect that it could get this -- it could qualify for funding under the housing -- from the Housing Production Trust Fund, and that we could wind up with greater affordability as a result of that successful application. At least that's the way I understood it. Maybe I misunderstood it. But that's they way I understood it.

I mean, I would be in favor of doing it in advance. I'm agreeing with -- I agree with you, you know, we -- I don't remember ever doing this in advance of the six months. But we usually don't have a reason to consider it farther in advance. And in this case, I think maybe we do. So I'm certainly open to it.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: So let's talk about that. I agree, and I'm going to go to others. But I agree with you, Commissioner May, but I'm just curious if we start it, everybody's going to -- and I -- and I'm really not that familiar with how the DHCD and the Housing Production Trust Fund work. But I know once we HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

start it, everybody's going to have the Housing Production Trust Fund for the most part. And I hear what they're saying. I think we have had some other cases that has some financing issues, and I did read that part. But I mean, for me, I -- we hear that quite a bit. But anyway, we can hear from others and just have a discussion on that right quick, because once we do it we're going to have to get used to it. So that's just kind of where I am.

Commissioner Imamura?

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Well, thank you, Mr. Chairman. I think the hard part here is just in terms of a waiver setting a precedent. So I certainly can appreciate that. But as Commissioner May pointed out, I think this time extension could have a look that this project needs in order to be successful. So certainly, you know, don't want to impede the momentum that it could have or build to providing additional affordable housing. So I kind of read the record in the same light that Commissioner May did. I'm prepared maybe to vote in favor of this, in light of the fact that we might be setting a precedent for (indiscernible), but for good reason.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay.

Vice Chair Miller?

VICE CHAIR MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I understand where you're coming from, in terms of -- and others on being strict on the waiver request. But I think in this case,

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

good cause has been shown in terms of the affordable housing. Financing is always a challenge. And this project, we're aware of the various challenges based on the extensive record that's there. And the ANC is supportive, and so is Office of Planning. So I'm prepared to support this waiver request today.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. I'm not going to make a battle about the waiver request, but I do want to say this. As Commissioner May mentioned, we're looking -- you know, hopefully we get deeper affordability. We're going to see this come with deeper affordability. And for those who are thinking about they have one as well, and they come and they use the Housing Production Trust Fund and all of DHCD, you know, we're going to be on the lookout for that. That's not just going to be an ongoing thing, because we know once we open the gates, it's going to happen.

But I think what we're trying to achieve here, I think what this applicant needs is some predictability, and I believe that the merits of the case, if it were to come in front of me, I would really push for this six months out. So if that's what you mean predictability, I'm giving you now that I would have voted in favor of this in December. So I'll just leave it at that.

So if that's predictability, let you know it's September, but I'd have voted in favor. And we had one vote already in favor in December. So I don't have a problem of moving HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

forward in this fashion, but I believe that's what this applicant is going to look forward to. Any other comments or questions? If not, somebody can make a motion.

COMMISSIONER MAY: Yeah, I (indiscernible). I want to say, first of all, I'm very curious, it looked like Commissioner Imamura was holding a light saber there for a second. There's a glowing. That's pretty amazing. I can't hear what you're saying.

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: It is a light saber. Yes, Commissioner May. It certainly is, so.

COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay. All right. Well, so we can use that to fend off any waiver requests that we think are unjustified, we'll ask Commissioner Imamura to pull out the light saber. I do think this is an exceptional circumstance. I'm not terribly worried about precedent setting in this circumstance because I think that it's -- and a pretty unusual circumstance. Often when this happens, it's, you know, it's no big deal to just say okay, well, we'll defer deciding this until we get closer to the time.

But you know, if we wind up getting a flood of waiver requests because projects are, you know, are going for Housing Production Trust Fund money, and they're going to wind up increasing their affordability levels of their project, that's probably a good problem to have. So I'm not too too worried about it.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I would agree widely. I would agree 1 2 with you a hundred percent, especially when you said the deeper affordability. I want to see it. I want the residents and all 3 4 of us to feel it. You know, I want to see it, so. 5 Again, we can go ahead. You know, I have no problems with moving 6 forward, but I just want to add, you know, if you'd have came in 7 in December, I would have been ready to approve. 8 So let's -- okay. So we don't need to belabor. What 9 about the merits as we -- I think we've actually mixed them or 10 intertwined them all together, so we don't need to really discuss that. So if somebody -- or do I -- would somebody else like to 11 12 make a motion to approve both the waiver and the request? 13 COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes. 14 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Commissioner May? COMMISSIONER MAY: I mean, can we do them at -- together 15 at the same time, Ms. Schellin? 16 17 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I don't see why not. MS. SCHELLIN: You could do the waiver. Yeah, I think 18 19 you could do them both. 20 COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay. So I would move the Zoning 21 Commission waive its rules to consider a one-year time extension 22 in advance of the six-month timeline for the expiration date, and

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
Court Reporting and Litigation Support
Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia
410-766-HUNT (4868)
1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

that we go ahead and approve a one-year time -- PUD time extension

for Zoning Commission Case 16-13I, MED Developers, LLC, and

23

24

25

that's at Square 748.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I second it. It's been moved and 1 2 properly second. Any further discussion? 3 (No response.) 4 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Not hearing any, Ms. Schellin, could 5 you record the vote please? 6 MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner May? 7 COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes. 8 MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Hood? 9 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yes. 10 MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Imamura? COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Yes. 11 12 MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Miller? 13 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yes. 14 MS. SCHELLIN: The vote is four to zero to one to approve final action and to grant the waiver for approving the 15 16 time extension less than -- I'm sorry, more than six months in advance in Zoning Commission Case No. 16-13I. 17 The minus one 18 being the third mayoral appointed position which is vacant. 19 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you, Ms. Schellin. 20 Let's go to hearing action in Zoning commission Case 21 No. 22-20. Give me time to bring -- there he is. Mr. Mordfin from the Office of Planning. This is 1301 Good Hope Road, LLC 2.2 23 Map Amendment at Square 5768. Mr. Mordfin? 24 Okay. Good afternoon, Chair and members 25 MR. MORDFIN: HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868)

of the Commission. I'm Stephen Mordfin with the Office of Planning. One second. I lost my -- I have my words all written out. One second. I apologize.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Don't worry about it. Sometimes we have to get out all the cobwebs. I know I did. I was reading the wrong statements, so don't feel alone.

MR. MORDFIN: Okay. Thank you. Okay. Okay. So. I don't know what my computer's doing. I had it up, and then it went away. Okay. The subject property is located at 1916 13th Street, S.E. and is on the east side of 13th Street. I don't know what my computer is doing, it keeps -- is on the on the 13th side -- I'm sorry -- is on the east side of 13th Street between Good Hope Road and U Street. The applicant is requesting a map amendment from the R3, a residential house zone, to MU-4 a moderate density mixed use zone.

Can you change to the next slide please?

The Future Land Use Map generally identifies the subject properties appropriate for mixed use, a combination of medium density residential and medium density commercial uses, and these uses are consistent with the requested MU-4 zone. The general policy map designates the site is located within Main Street mixed use business corridor or traditional commercial business corridors.

As a long vacant site, the requested map amendment would permit an increase in uses, including commercial, office, HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

and residential uses that could support the adjacent commercial District along Good Hope Road on what is today an underutilized site.

Can you move up to the next slide please?

The Housing Equity Report, dated October 15th, 2019, estimated that in 2018 the far southeast and southwest planning area, the planning area in which the subject property is located, had a 33.3 percent of all -- had 33 -- 30.3 percent of all the affordable housing units in the District, the most of any of the ten planning areas, and ANC-8A had a concentration of 25.0 percent dedicated to affordable housing.

The report established an affordable housing production goal for all ten planning areas, including a goal of 1120 affordable housing units for the far southeast/southwest planning area by the year 2025. The report states that there were 1,450 affordable units in the production pipeline in 2019. In light of the abundance of existing affordable housing units and the intent of IZ Plus to produce affordable housing in areas that are not reaching their goal, OP recommends that this rezoning application not be subject to IZ Plus.

Despite the fact that the site is in Ward 8, the OP setdown report mistakenly references Ward 7. Should this application be set down by the Commission, OP will provide corrected information in the public hearing report. However, the information that you now see on the screen does correctly HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

reference Ward 8 data, as compared to the citywide data. OP recommends the Commission set this application down for a public hearing and is available for questions. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you, Mr. Mordfin for your report. Let's see if we have any questions or comments.

Commissioner May?

COMMISSIONER MAY: No, I think -- well, let me ask you this question, Mr. Mordfin. The -- I mean, what is essentially in play here is making use of a building that looks like it's already been renovated for a commercial purpose. And it abuts the existing commercial zone. So it's really just shifting a line so that there could be a change in use. It really isn't going to wind up with a major redevelopment or more significant housing or anything like that. That's what's in play at this moment, right?

MR. MORDFIN: That is correct. The site is too small to -- even if they were to redevelop it, but it's also a historic District, so they are limited to what they can do to the building.

COMMISSIONER MAY: Yeah. Yeah. Okay. So it sounds like a pretty straightforward matter. I know we're not supposed to be thinking about like the future building, but it -- in this case, it's not even a future building, it's just a future use, so. All right. Well, that's fine. I have no further questions. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you.

Commissioner Imamura?

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I have nothing to add. Just appreciate Mr. Mordfin's comment about why it's not appropriate for IZ Plus (indiscernible). Mr. Mordfin, thank you for your report.

MR. MORDFIN: I'm sorry? I didn't hear everything,
Commissioner Imamura said. It didn't come through. Did he ask
me a question?

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: So let me -- no, he didn't ask you a question. Let me say we're going to -- Ms. Schellin, we're going to do a -- let me just take this because I noticed some chopping up. I don't even know if I'm chopping up too, but I noticed some chopping up. We need to do a test, all of us. And I don't know if I need to announce it or what, but we all need to get on, I'm talking about my colleagues and I and Ms. Schellin with Mr. Young, and let's get on and practice and see what we can do to fine tune some of our audio problems because I know Commissioner Imamura's had -- but Commissioner May -- I think Commissioner -- Vice Chair Miller fixed his. You know, whoever that was. Who was that that turned up their volume?

MS. SCHELLIN: It was Jake. But we have that often with Commissioner Imamura. I'm not sure what it is.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yeah, I just didn't say anything because I get through it. But yeah, something going on.

his position to the computer or what.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: It could be. So I had nothing substantial to add. I just wanted to thank Mr. Mordfin for his report.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Sounded a lot better. I don't know whether you're moving forward like I do or what.

COMMISSIONER MAY: I think there's static from the light saber.

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: It might have been static from that, from the light saber.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: The light saber, okay. All right.

So Vice Chair Miller, any questions or comments?

VICE CHAIR MILLER: No. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. think this -- I thank Mr. Mordfin for bringing this forward, which is essentially a zoning consistency case, the R3 zone. Ι mean, it's a currently RZ zone and the Future Land Use Map designates it as medium density residential and medium density commercial. I think the MU-4 is more -- is much more consistent with that Future Land Use Map designation. And even though IZ Plus is not being recommended as a designation, because of the disproportionate amount of affordable housing that's already being provided in this neighborhood planning area, I would just note that I -- the regular IZ, Inclusionary Zoning, would apply in the case, which is in Mr. Mordfin's report and otherwise in the record. So I'm supporting setting down, Mr. Chairman.

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

1	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. All right. I don't have any
2	questions for Mr. Mordfin. I think it was very well done. So
3	thank you, Mr. Mordfin. Even with the corrections, so thank you
4	for noting that as well. So what I would do is make a motion
5	for an action. I'll do this one. I'll make a motion that we
6	set down Zoning Commission Case No. 22-20, 1301 Good Hope Road,
7	LLC Map Amendment at Square 5768 and ask for a second.
8	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Second.
9	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Moved and properly second. Any
10	further discussion?
11	(No response.)
12	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Not hearing any, Ms. Schellin, would
13	you do a roll call vote?
14	And thank you, Mr. Mordfin, we appreciate it.
15	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Hood?
16	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yes.
17	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Miller?
18	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yes.
19	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Imamura?
20	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Yes.
21	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner May?
22	COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes.
23	MS. SCHELLIN: The vote's four to zero to one to set
24	down Zoning Commission Case No. 22-20 as a contested case. The
25	minus one being the third mayoral appointee position which is HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868)

vacant.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Schellin.

I think we have a few more items. Zoning Commission Case No. 22-12, Mid-Atlantic Neighborhood Development Corporation Map Amendment at Square 2819. Is that -- Mr. Cochran?

MR. COCHRAN: Let's see. There I am. Thank you, Mr. Chair. I'm Steve -- I am Steve Cochran. I'm representing OP on this Case 22-12. And OP recommends that the Commission set down the petition for a map amendment from an MU-3A zone to an MU-7A zone as for lots 810 to 813 in Square 2819. And we're recommending that you make it subject to IZ Plus. The applicant's seeking a rezoning for the properties in the 4400 block of 14th Street and Arkansas Avenue, which is two blocks south of the WMATA bus garage in the mid-14th Street neighborhood commercial area.

This is a zoning consistency request to implement changes to the Square's Future Land Use Map designation.

And if you'd go to the next slide, that'd be great.

Okay. The square was previously shown as appropriate for commercial low density. It's now showing as appropriate for medium density residential and moderate density commercial on the new Future Land Use Map. The existing MU-3A zone would permit 40 feet and an FAR of 1.0, or 1.2 if you include the IZ. That level of density is not consistent with the new FLUM designation. The proposed MU-7A zone, a 65-foot height and 4.04 FAR or 4.8

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

with IZ, would be consistent with the new designation.

As OP's report indicates, the zone changes would be not inconsistent with the Comp Plan's citywide and the area elements. It would also likely further the central 14th Street Small Area Plan's objectives for increasing housing and employment opportunities, and the increased density may incentivize some of the Plan's retail objectives.

The map amendment would increase density on the site fourfold. And IZ Plus is appropriate because the Rock Creek East area within which the property is located has a goal of increasing its percentage of the District's affordable housing units from the current five percent to the objective of nine percent by 2025. Although this map amendment does not include a specific development proposal, if a future development did include residential use, OP estimates that IZ Plus would add 12 to 15 affordable units to what would otherwise be required.

The map amendment would also help achieve the Comprehensive Plan's objectives as viewed through a racial equity lens. The density increase, coupled with IZ and IZ Plus, would incentivize not only more affordable housing, but also more small-scale businesses and the job opportunities they would provide. This is why, in brief, OP recommends this map amendment petition be set down. And of course I'm happy to answer any questions.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you very much, Mr. Cochran.

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

Court Reporting and Litigation Support

Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia

410-766-HUNT (4868)

1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

1	We appreciate your report. Let's see if we have any comments or
2	question.
3	Commissioner May?
4	COMMISSIONER MAY: I do not have any comments or
5	questions.
6	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Commissioner Imamura?
7	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: No, I changed light sabers, so
8	hopefully I sound a little bit better. No questions or comments.
9	Thank you, Mr. Cochran.
10	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Vice Chair Miller?
11	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Cochran, for your
12	report, particularly the analysis and recommendations with regard
13	to Comp Plan consistency.
14	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. I don't have any does
15	Archie have any questions, Vice Chair Miller? I just had to do
16	that.
17	I don't have any questions, Mr. Cochran. Thank you for
18	your report.
19	So with that, Commissioners, I think Mr. Cochran has
20	given us a well-detailed report. I'm in favor of setting this
21	down. Let's see would somebody would like to make the motion?
22	And thank you, Mr. Cochran.
23	(No response.)
24	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: What, nobody wants to make it? Where
25	Archie at, he'd make it. HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868)

1

VICE CHAIR MILLER: On behalf of Archie, Mr. Chairman, 2 I would make the motion to approve Case No. 22-12, Mid-Atlantic 3 4 Neighborhood Development Corporation map amendment at Square 5 28-19 and ask for a second. 6 COMMISSIONER MAY: Second. 7 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: It's been moved and properly second. 8 Any further discussions? 9 (No response.) 10 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Not hearing any, Ms. Schellin, would 11 you do a roll call vote please? 12 MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Miller? 13 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yes. MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner May? 14 15 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yes. 16 MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Hood? 17 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yes. 18 MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Imamura? 19 COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Yes. 20 MS. SCHELLIN: The vote is four to zero to one to set 21 down Zoning Commission Case No. 22-12 as a contested case. The 22 minus one being the third mayoral appointee position which is 23 vacant. CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Vice Chair Miller, I hope you don't 24 25 mind when I have fun with that because when I have problems in HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868)

other meetings with my computer, they always tell me call my 1 2 grandkids to help me, and sometimes they actually do. So I figured if I'm going through that, everybody probably is. 3 VICE CHAIR MILLER: He just had his first day of 4 5 preschool today. I don't think they had a big computer session 6 there, but I'll have him take a look at my laptop afterwards. 7 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Good. I hope he had a good day. 8 All right. See when I do that, I forget where I am. 9 I mean, I think we're on 96-13A, right, Ms. Schellin? 10 MS. SCHELLIN: (No audible response.) CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Next, Zoning Commission Case 11 This is Street Retail, LLC, PUD Modification of 12 No. 9613-A. Significance and Related Map Amendment at Square 1661. 13 14 Mr. Kirshenbaum? 15 MR. KIRSCHENBAUM: Good evening, Chair Hood and members 16 of the Zoning Commission. I am Jonathan Kirschenbaum with the Office of Planning. This application is to modify a portion of 17 an existing PUD, located at 5333 Wisconsin Avenue, N.W., to build 18 19 a new mixed-use development. On balance, the proposal is not 20 inconsistent with the Comprehensive Plan, including its policies, 21 Future Land Use Map, and Generalized Policy Map. OP recommends 22 that this application be set down for a public hearing. 23 Next slide please? 24 So the applicant proposes to modify the portion of the

existing PUD that's outlined in red. This portion of the site

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

25

to be modified contains a large retail commercial building fronting Wisconsin Avenue. The remainder of the existing PUD is to the right. It's outlined in blue. It contains 29 townhouses, and it would not be modified as part of this application. The applicant proposes to replace that existing retail building with a 12-story mixed-use building with 14,000 square feet of ground floor retail space and approximately 310 dwelling units.

The applicant is proffering to dedicate 15 percent of the residential gross floor area for IZ units, and they would commit to providing half of those units at 50 percent MFI and the other half at 60 percent MFI. And as the Commission is aware, this would represent deeper affordability than what is typically required by the regular IZ program.

Flexibility has also been requested for a related map amendment from the existing C3-B PUD zone to an MU-9A PUD zone and also for the design of the building.

Next slide please?

2.2

The Future Land Use Map indicates the property is generally appropriate for high-density residential and high-density commercial uses. According to the framework element of the Comprehensive Plan, the MU-9A zone is consistent with these categories.

Next slide please?

The Generalized Policy Map indicates that the property is designated as a regional center. The policy map designation ${\tt HUNT\ REPORTING\ COMPANY}$

anticipates mixed-use buildings containing both residential and retail uses. This project would bring more housing and more residents to the area, and that in turn has the potential to support the existing sort of regional retail character of this retail corridor along Wisconsin Avenue and Friendship Heights.

The policy map also indicates that the property is in a future planning analysis area. However, the Comprehensive Plan also contains language that PUDs, such as this one that's proposed, do not need to wait for future planning work to be completed prior to being considered by the Commission.

Next slide please?

And as the Commission is well aware, the Comprehensive Plan consistency analysis requires a project to be evaluated through a racial equity lens. The proposal would provide 310 dwelling units where currently none exist. The Comprehensive Plan recognizes that without increased housing, the imbalance between supply and demand drives up housing prices. This planning area has both the lowest share of dedicated affordable units in the District and it also has the greatest need for more affordable units, as identified in the 2019 Housing Equity Report.

And as I previously mentioned, the proposal would provide more IZ units at deeper affordability levels. You know, again, half of the units would be 50 percent MFI and the other would be 60 percent MFI. Making room for more affordable housing has the potential to benefit non-white populations, who on

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

average have lower incomes than white residents.

Redevelopment of the site would not result in any residential displacement, as there is currently no residential uses on this portion of the property. The proposal would create more housing and affordable housing in a transit-rich area that's adjacent to the Metro station and along several bus lines. The project could create jobs and would be in proximity to other employment opportunities.

We do note that the applicant should provide additional information about what will happen to the existing retail tenants at the site, and they should consider leasing the new retail spaces that are proposed to small and local businesses that are based in the District. The proposal would also be located near many neighborhood amenities, including schools, retail, supermarkets, and other recreational opportunities.

And finally, the project would be certified LEED Gold, but the applicant is also strongly encouraged to include additional resilience design strategies into the project. And the applicant's proposed proffers are noted in OP's set down report on pages eight through 10, and they will continue to be refined through the PUD review process if the Commission does decide to set this down tonight.

This concludes my presentation. Please let me know if you have any questions. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you, Mr. Kirschenbaum. Let's

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

Court Reporting and Litigation Support

Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia

410-766-HUNT (4868)

1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

see if we have any questions or comments.

Commissioner May?

COMMISSIONER MAY: Yeah. Well, I guess I'm looking forward to the hearing on this. We may be here a while when we have this hearing. Have you gotten a sense at this point of the developer's interactions with community and the reactions or any of that yet, do we have any read on that?

MR. KIRSCHENBAUM: We do. I mean, they have told us they have been working fairly closely with the community, particularly the ANC. And so the sort of current proffers that we evaluated briefly as far -- in the set down report, you know, included those discussions with the community.

COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay. I mean, I'm less concerned about what the ANC has to say. I think that the -- I mean, the ANC is looking at broader interests. And what I'm concerned about are like the immediately impacted neighbors to the east -- yeah, to the east side of this, the townhouses that are adjacent that were part of the original PUD and then the neighbors across the street.

Presumably, the applicant is doing the appropriate outreach to the extent that they can for this, but I expect that we will hear from a lot of people that might -- the impacts of this large building replacing a more modest structure in that location. But it's certainly not a reason not to set it down.

I agree with the issues that the Office of Planning has raised ${\tt HUNT\ REPORTING\ COMPANY}$

that need further clarification before we actually have a hearing. And I will look forward to the hearing and hope that it goes smoothly and quickly. But I'm not sure it will. We'll see. Thanks.

MR. KIRSCHENBAUM: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Thank you.

Commissioner Imamura?

8 COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: I have no comments. Thank you, 9 Mr. Kirschenbaum, for your report.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: All right.

And Vice Chair Miller?

VICE CHAIR MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Yeah. Thank you, Mr. Kirschenbaum, for your report. I have no comments or questions at this time. I'll reserve that for the hearing, and I support -- which I support setting down this case for a hearing.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I always -- thank you, Mr. Kirschenbaum, as well for your report. But I will always encourage the applicant and the community to work together to close any of the gaps that may exist. I don't want to pinpoint and get into any wait and see what happens at the hearing, but I'm hoping that outstanding issues they work together to try to resolve, if not mitigate. And I've said this to reach out to the community and work with them. And according to you, Mr. Kirschenbaum, they're already doing it, so thank you.

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

1	I don't have any issues about setting this down. And
2	I think, Commissioner May, I'm hoping that you're wrong, that
3	it's not going to be a long night. But I think you're probably
4	right. But either way, we will handle whatever comes before us.
5	Somebody like to make a motion to set this down?
6	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Sure, Mr. Chairman, I'll make
7	the motion. I move that the Zoning Commission set down Case No.
8	96-13A, Street Retail, LLC, PUD modification of significance and
9	related map amendment at Squares 1661, ask for a second.
10	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I'll second that.
11	It's been moved and properly second. Any further
12	discussion?
13	(Not response.)
14	Not hearing any, Ms. Schellin, would you do a roll call
15	vote please.
16	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Imamura?
17	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Yes.
18	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Hood?
19	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yes.
20	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner May?
21	COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes.
22	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Miller?
23	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yes.
24	MS. SCHELLIN: The case is Case No. 96-13A is set
25	down as a contested case, four to zero to one. The minus one HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

being the third mayoral appointee position which is vacant. 1 2 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Thank you. Last, we have a correspondence item. Ms. Schellin, 3 4 could you tell us about the correspondence item? Yes, sir. This is a letter from the 5 MS. SCHELLIN: 6 Zoning Administrator just advising the Commission that in these 7 cases, 06-11Q, 06-12Q, and 01-17E, that the George Washington 8 University has ended its temporary housing. CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you to -- thank you, and thank 9 10 you to the Zoning Administrator for following up what we asked to be done and notifying us about it. We all appreciate that. 11 12 Some of us were here when that was done, so thank you. 13 Do any of my colleagues have anything else? 14 Ms. Schellin, you have anything? 15 MS. SCHELLIN: No, sir. 16 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. So I quess Office of Planning, 17 they're gone, so they might not have an update for us. 18 All right. So with that what I will say is that we're 19 going to continue the at home address, and I'm sure we will 20 discuss again once we continue to look at the stats. I know we 21 had said something about --22 MS. SCHELLIN: I can tell you the latest. Three percent 23 want --CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Well, let me just finish this. 24 25 MS. SCHELLIN: Oh, yeah. HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868)

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: And I'll come to you. Let me just finish this. And I'll tell you, the reason I'm bringing this up, because I ran into the chair of the ABC Board, and he and I always exchange ideas and cliff notes. So one of the things that we may have to look at towards the end of the year, I know the numbers -- this thing fluctuates, goes down, fluctuates, but they're starting to open things back up.

I think what we have here is working. I'm ready to do another year like this. And when I say that, I'm probably three months -- since we're doing things prior, just proving the case, I'm doing this four months prior just to make a decision for next month. So I'm breaking my own rule about doing stuff six months ahead of time.

So I think, you know, I'm just throwing that out there for discussion. We can talk about it later, but I do know that this stuff is still out here and it's still alive and working and unfortunately still affecting people. But I know that this is working too. I think this is working even better, from my standpoint. But we can have a dialog on it at some point. But if anybody has anything they want to say now, and then I'll go to Ms. Schellin.

Commissioner May, any comments?

COMMISSIONER MAY: No. It is a difficult balance. I think that it -- there's certainly -- we certainly seem to be turning a corner with being able to do more things in person.

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

But I, you know, I've thought long and hard about the benefits of the virtual meeting format and the increased participation that we get and the convenience for all of the people who want to participate in this process. And in many ways, it is much more convenient for the members of the Commission and the staff who work and the people involved in, you know, this on a regular basis, it's very — the most important thing is that the members of the public who don't have to do this very frequently, but you know, and have, you know, many other constraints on their time and their lives, it gives them a way to give us direct feedback that we didn't have before. So I don't — I mean, I think the one thing that I really do miss is that I want to touch the materials boards.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: We'll have them sent to your house.

COMMISSIONER MAY: You can just have them deliver them to my house, then I'll go over to the Zoning office. You know, I mean, that -- yeah, I think it's a good thing. I think it would be okay for us to continue for a while longer, at the very least, and maybe make this a permanent thing.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I would agree.

All right. Commissioner Imamura, any comments? And I'm just -- since we're doing thing in advance, I figured I would just bring that up tonight for discussion, a quick discussion. But we can give you more details I guess around November and see where we are again.

Commissioner Imamura?

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Sure. I'm in agreement. I think it's important to have increased public participation, accessibility, convenience, participation that are, I think, is really important for the city, for meetings, so. As Commissioner May had pointed out, I think there's a lot of benefits to maintaining course here in a virtual setting, so. And I think people have become accustomed to it. So I'm certainly in favor.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Right.

And Vice Chair Miller?

VICE CHAIR MILLER: Just briefly. Yeah, I agree with everything that everybody said. It has, for us, I think it works. It works very well. I mean, for us and I mean us meaning the public mostly, but for us, as panelists on the Commission and for the staff, I think it's -- the staff really needs to -- really should be, as we've done in the past, commended for making it as seamless a process for everybody involved.

We've learned to, you know, the technical glitches of whatever they are and from time to time audio or logging in, as I had today, are frustrating, but they're far outweighed by the convenience of everybody participating, and which has just been a great thing. And so I'm happy if this goes on forever. Not happy if the COVID goes on forever. I and my family got COVID during the recess; we took the opportunity to do that.

MS. SCHELLIN: Me too.

VICE CHAIR MILLER: So I'm glad that we didn't have meetings during that one week because I didn't feel really up to it. But I, obviously, survived at least the first round. So anyway.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: So I will say that one of the things that -- out of all this conversation, excuse me, and all this that went on, it was while I was on the BZA, and I saw a lady with her newborn participating in the hearing. See we have did it all. Like if we were downtown she wouldn't have been able to participate. She had some very good issues. And to me, that took it all. I'm not saying, not discounting anybody else, but she had a newborn, and she had -- was holding the newborn while she was testifying, and she was able to do that. To me, that showed me that this process, even -- hopefully COVID, as you mentioned, Vice Chair, goes away sooner than later, but I think this allows more participation from the -- and it's easier on the staff, and it's easier on us as well, so.

VICE CHAIR MILLER: Mr. Chairman, I just want to mention one, a couple -- one example of the increased participation and scheduling. I think it may have been BZA cases rather than Zoning Commission, but in two that I remember, a party tuned in from Africa and tuned in from -- participated from Asia. You know, we would have had said no, we can't do it if we're going to be overseas. Well, you can tune in from overseas too, so.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Right. Right. It's amazing success 1 2 stories that -- so we'll talk -- let's hear from the staff standpoint. Ms. Schellin? 3 4 MS. SCHELLIN: Yeah. 5 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: If you're going against what we're 6 saying, we're going to cut you off. 7 MS. SCHELLIN: No, no, no. It's just funny that you 8 ask though because just recently in our managers' meeting, we 9 discussed that and wondered where we were. And our director 10 checked the -- because whenever people sign up to testify, and so she checked to see what the latest stats were, and the 11 12 in-person went down to 3 percent and the continue virtual, I 13 think it went up from what it was, it was 85 percent, and a hybrid 14 was somewhere, I guess, 12 percent, that's what's left. 15 So it, you know, whereas before some in-person was, I 16 think, was up higher than 3 percent before. Sorry, I got a new 17 copy unit, it's going crazy. But I just got an email from 18 Jennifer saying she has a quick update, if you would indulge her. CHAIRPERSON HOOD: So we'll revisit that again. But I 19 think we are pretty much on the same page, and I'm not sure where 20 21 the BZA is, but we can always let (indiscernible). No, I'm just 22 playing. All right. Let's see who -- Ms. Steingasser's here. 23 Ms. Steingasser? Chairman Hood, Commissioners, it's 24 MS. STEINGASSER: 25 good to see everyone. I just wanted to give a really quick update HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868)

on where OP has been through the summer working on the IZ text amendments that have been filed. We have had four meetings so far on those text amendments with interested parties. We have a fifth one scheduled for Monday, and we have set the hearing dates for the OP-hosted roundtable on the IZ in the downtown for October 12th at 4:00. And I just wanted to put that out there.

I know there's a big audience, and we will be sending that web link around. And it's Wednesday, October 12th at 4:00. And again, that's one hosted by OP, not by the Commission. And then we'll be coming back to the Commission in November with the results and some recommendations. And then we'll be following up in December with actual text amendments for set down.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Steingasser. I'm looking forward to it. I'm not sure if we're going to be -- I don't know if we can attend or not. I get confused with all that. But well look forward to your update. Hope you all have a great roundtable, and I'm hoping many people participate and give input. So thank you for the update. Any other updates, or that's it for now?

MS. STEINGASSER: That's it for this evening.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Steingasser.

And again, welcome back to -- I was playing. Everyone as well,
welcome back.

Let me say this. Ms. Schellin, we have a roundtable coming up, right? When is it, the 15th?

MS. SCHELLIN: The 22nd. 1 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Oh, okay, 22nd. And our roundtable 2 is doing a racial equity and what we -- I believe it's -- what 3 4 is it -- I know it's racial equity is one. Did we --SCHELLIN: 5 MS. The racial equity tool that 6 Commission has in place and the racial equity analysis tool, and, 7 you know, is it working, how it's working so far. 8 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: And how you can help us improve. Yeah, that's what we want. If it's not to what people think, 9 10 how you can help us improve it and make it really work. kind of what we're looking for. And I think I mentioned this 11 12 before, the complaints, we get those, we understand the 13 complaints, but we want to know how we can better what we're 14 doing. That's it. All right. So any other question? I'm glad we all kind of agree on the virtual operations. 15 16 Commissioner May? Ms. Schellin, did you send out an 17 COMMISSIONER MAY: 18 invitation to us for the roundtable yet, because I don't see it 19 on my calendar? 20 MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, I did. 21 COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay. I have -- never mind. 22 MS. SCHELLIN: I sent it. 23 COMMISSIONER MAY: Would you mind --MS. SCHELLIN: You didn't get it? 24 25 COMMISSIONER MAY: Would you mind resending it to me? HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868)

MS. SCHELLIN: I will. 1 2 COMMISSIONER MAY: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Is it on the red like sheet? 3 4 MS. SCHELLIN: I'm pretty sure it is. 5 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Oh, okay. We'll look. Okay. MS. SCHELLIN: I'll recheck it. I'll check it to make 6 7 sure. 8 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. 9 MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner May is usually correct, so, 10 you know, I probably --11 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Don't say that. Don't tell him 12 that. Please don't tell him that. 13 MS. SCHELLIN: He's, you know --14 VICE CHAIR MILLER: If it's okay, you just restate for 15 this public record what the date and time is for the racial equity 16 roundtable? 17 MS. SCHELLIN: Yes. It's September 22nd at 4 o'clock. 18 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Thank you. 19 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. And I would like the witness 20 list in advance. And the reason I wanted to ask, because I know 21 during my confirmation hearing a lot of people spoke about certain 22 things, and I want to make sure that some of those people that 23 we reach out to some of those because I want them to come down. Not come down, but come on and give us their input. We're 24 25 soliciting. We're asking. So anyway. HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868)

All right. So let me --1 2 MS. SCHELLIN: The list is open. They can sign up 3 anytime. CHAIRPERSON HOOD: It is -- oh, so, okay. So they can 4 5 They don't have to sign up in advance. even come on. 6 even come on that night? 7 MS. SCHELLIN: Well, they should sign up in advance. 8 It's just like a regular hearing, yes. 9 Okay. Well, I didn't know -- if COMMISSIONER HOOD: 10 you show up that night, you will be heard, unless we have to go 11 to a second night. I will -- everybody's going to be heard. 12 All right. So anybody have anything else? 13 COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: We had a full docket tonight. 14 Thank you, Mr. Chairman, for helping us get through it. 15 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yeah, we did. We did. So you all 16 -- see y'all on -- oh, I forgot, these cobwebs, we've got to get 17 the cobwebs out. Let me announce our next meeting or our next 18 hearing. 19 hearing, I believe, Ms. Our next Schellin, is September the 12th, correct? It's not? 20 21 MS. SCHELLIN: The 15th. The September 12th was 22 postponed to October. 23 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Oh, okay. So September the 15th, the Zoning Commission will meet on these same platforms for MCRT 24 25 Investments, LLC at 4 p.m. on these same platforms. With that, HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868)

1	I want to thank everyone for their participation in this meeting
2	tonight. And with this have a great weekend. And this meeting
3	is adjourned. Goodnight.
4	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the
5	record at 5:26 p.m.)
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
	Court Reporting and Litigation Support

1	<u>CERTIFICATE</u>
2	
3	This is to certify that the foregoing transcript
4	
5	In the matter of: Public Meeting
6	
7	Before: DCZC
8	
9	Date: 09-08-22
10	
11	Place: Teleconference
12	
13	was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my
14	
15	direction; further, that said transcript is a true and
16	
17	accurate record of the proceedings.
18	
19	
20	
21	GARY EUELL
22	GART ECEDI
23	
24	
25	
	HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support
	Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)