# GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

# ZONING COMMISSION

#### VIRTUAL PUBLIC MEETING

VIA WEBEX

Monday, October 30, 2023

The Public Meeting by the District of Columbia Zoning Commission convened via videoconference pursuant to notice at 4:00 p.m. EDT, Anthony Hood, Chairperson, presiding.

# ZONING COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT:

ANTHONY J. HOOD, Chairperson ROBERT MILLER, Vice Chairperson TAMMY STIDHAM, Commissioner JOSEPH S. IMAMURA, Commissioner

# OFFICE OF ZONING STAFF PRESENT:

SHARON SCHELLIN, Secretary PAUL YOUNG, Data Specialist

OFFICE OF ZONING LEGAL DIVISION STAFF PRESENT:

DENNIS LIU, Esquire

The transcript constitutes the minutes from the Public Meeting held on October 30, 2023.

# Diversified Reporting Services, Inc.

1426 Duke Street
Alexandria, Virginia 22314
(202) 467-9200

# ${\color{red} \texttt{C} \hspace{0.1cm} \texttt{O} \hspace{0.1cm} \texttt{N} \hspace{0.1cm} \texttt{T} \hspace{0.1cm} \texttt{E} \hspace{0.1cm} \texttt{N} \hspace{0.1cm} \texttt{T} \hspace{0.1cm} \texttt{S}}$

Case No. 23-16

University of the District of Columbia Lamond-Riggs Campus

# PROCEEDINGS

2 (4:00 p.m.)

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. Today's date is October 30th, 2023. We are convening and broadcasting this public hearing via video conferencing. My name is Anthony Hood, and I'm joined by Vice Chair Miller, Commissioner Stidham, and Commissioner Imamura.

We are also joined by the Office of Zoning Staff, Ms. Sharon Schellin and Mr. Paul Young who will be handling all of our virtual operations, as well as our Office of Zoning Legal Division, Mr. Dennis Liu. We will ask all others to introduce themselves at the appropriate time.

The virtual public hearing notice is available on the Office of Zoning's website. This proceeding is being recorded by a court reporter, and the platforms used are WebEx and YouTube Live. The video will be available on the Office of Zoning's website after the hearing.

All persons planning to testify should have signed up in advance, and will be called by name at the appropriate time. At the time of sign up, all participants will complete the oath or affirmation required by subtitle Z48.7. Accordingly, all those listed on WebEx or by phone will be muted during the hearing, and only those who have signed up to participate or testify will be unmuted at the appropriate

time.

On call, please state your name before providing your testimony. When you are finished speaking, please mute your audio. If you experience difficulty accessing WebEx, or if you're a telephone call in or have not signed up, then please call our OZ hotline number at 202-727-0789. If you wish to file written testimony or additional supporting documents during the hearing, then please be prepared to describe and discuss it at the time of your testimony.

The hearing will be conducted and in accordance with provisions of 11 ZDCMR Chapter IV as follows, preliminary matters, staff, and case. The applicant has up to 60 minutes. I believe they requested 35. Report of other government agencies, report of the Department of Transportation, and then the report of the Office of Planning. The reporter -- the ANC I believe in this case is ANC 5(a).

Testimonial organizations -- testimonial organizations and individuals. Organizations will have five minutes, individuals will have three minutes, and we will hear in the following from those who are in support, opposition, and unpledged. Then we'll have rebuttal and closing by the applicant. Again, the OZ hotline number is 202-727-0789 for any concerns during these proceedings.

Excuse me, the subject of this evening's hearing

- 1 is Zoning Commission case number 23-16, University of the 2 District of Columbia, also referred to as University of 3 Distinguished Colleagues, Lamond-Riggs Campus, 2023-2033, 4 master campus plan is square 3758, lot 802, 5171 South 5 Dakota Avenue Northeast. Again, this day's date is October 30th, 2023. 6 7 At this time, the commission will consider any preliminary matters. Does the staff have any preliminary 8 9 matters? 10 MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, very quickly, there are no expert witnesses. So, just to state that the applicant is 11 12 being represented by Meredith Moldenhauer. ANC's 5(a)'s chairperson is Gordon Andrew Fletcher, although I have not 13 14 seen them log on. They did not register to testify, so they may not be participating. 15 16 OP I believe is represented by Crystal Myers and 17 Jennifer Steingasser, and DDOT is represented by Mr. Hagan. 18 So, other than that, the case is ready to move forward. 19 Thank you. 20
  - CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you, Ms. Schellin. Can we bring up Ms. Moldenhauer and her team? You all have asked for 35 minutes, but you have up to 60, and I will turn it over to you all when you're ready.

21

22

23

MS. MOLDENHAUER: Good evening, Chairman Hood and members of the Zoning Commission. My name is Meredith

Moldenhauer on behalf of the University of the District of Columbia. The applicant here today for the campus master plan for Lamond-Riggs located at 5171 South Dakota Avenue for the 2023 to 2033 campus master plan.

I would ask if we could pull up the PowerPoint presentation. Also, if you could admit President Edington as a witness?

So, next slide, please? With me today in an order of presentation will be President Edington, followed by Javier Dussan, vice-present of the University of the District of Columbia Office of Facilities and Real Estate Management; followed by Daniel Solomon from Grove Slade, with a conclusion by myself, and we will also have Ronnie McGhee from McGhee Architects present and available to answer any questions as well as other members of our team. So at this point, I am happy to turn it over to President Edington to give a brief introduction. Thank you very much.

PRESIDENT EDINGTON: Thank you. Good afternoon, commissioners, and thanks for the opportunity to speak today. I'm excited to talk about the University of the District of Columbia, the 2023-2033 Lamond-Riggs Campus master plan, and our commitments to our students and faculty staff and District residents and the communities we serve.

As the only institution of higher learning in the District, UDC strives to be a pace setter in urban education

and has the responsibility to build a diverse generation of competitive, civically engaged scholars and leaders in connection with District initiatives.

We partner with a wide array of DC area high schools offering multiple points of entry for young people, providing workforce training of adult learners, offering professional certification, associate degrees, 81 undergraduate and graduate academic degrees, law degrees, and more. We serve all aspects of the District community, in addition to students around the nation and the world.

We embrace our essence as a public, historical black urban focused land grant university in the nation's capital. Our award winning colleagues of agriculture, urban sustainability, and environmental sciences, and as a leader in urban food security.

Our community college located at the Lamond-Riggs campus is ranked best in the region for cost, student faculty ratio, and graduation rate. Offering high quality education at affordable tuition rates is imperative to the university's success.

UDC's community college in particular aims to provide first marketing different programs in a diverse and technologically modern living environment and learning environment. It's an institution seeking to provide opportunities for students to obtain the requisite skills of

today's workforce and prepare them for the demands of
tomorrow.

UDC is committed to serving the needs of the District residents and producing lifelong learners who are transformative leaders in the workplace, government, non-profit sectors, and beyond, from community college to workforce development programs and more, UDC is active in every ward, providing a quality education while also enriching the lives of students, neighbors, and stakeholders alike.

The Lamond-Riggs campus master plan is an integral component in successful implementation of our equity imperative, the document adopted by the UDC board in June 2018 designed to regenerate UDC as a public higher education model of urban student success.

The strategies it lays out, which were informed by input from town halls held around the city, and suggestions gathered internally from students, faculty, staff, and the board of trustees is transforming the university in a powerful source of hope, education, creativity, research, and urban resilience as it guides many members of our community towards the middle class. Its tactics are affordable, and its mission is essential to the future health and stability of the District.

This campus master plan was developed with the

intention of supporting the university's goals to create and
nurture a premier community college, to become an
outstanding institution for undergraduate education with a
global focus, to offer exceptional graduate and professional
programs of importance to the District and the nation, and
to provide an important economic agent for the District of
Columbia and the region.

As such, this campus master plan considers the form and physical implications of campus growth with proposals to guide their location and character of its development.

In addition, it outlines policy and operational objects for a wide variety of elements that shape the character and community impact of the Lamond-Riggs campus. Our outreach for the development of this campus plan began in the fall of 2022, and over the course of the next year involved lengthy conversations with stakeholders such as local civic organizations, the advisory neighborhood commission, UDC students, staff, faculty, and neighboring residents.

This include the convening of an advisory group consisting of faculty, staff, and students to provide their input and recommendations throughout the planning process.

These outreach efforts resulted in the development of a thoughtful holistic campus plan for the Lamond-Riggs campus.

It is a plan that will transform the existing building formerly used for the Bertie Backus Middle School into an innovative urban higher education facility, fostering an institutional presence for the campus within the neighborhood.

Through a two phase development approach, the plan will improve existing campus facilities by modernizing classroom, lab, and advising spaces, bringing the campus into the modern day while planning ahead for the future.

Smart urban design will be implemented to improve pedestrian circulation and provide much needed green space, in addition to improved landscaping efforts.

This campus plan additionally seeks to advance university sustainability goals through structural and mechanical upgrades, priming the campus to be solar ready, and implementing stronger storm and weather management practices.

As a document guiding the next ten years of the overall development of the campus, the plan seeks to explore ways to strengthen their role and opportunities and expand community college programming. The university invites opportunities for community engagement by establishing and growing its educational partnerships in connection with its academic and cultural facilities.

I want to thank our team at UDC, and our neighbors

who dedicated so much time, effort, and thoughtfulness
during this collaborative process, and thank you to the
commissioners for your consideration of our plan. Thank
you.

- MS. DUSSAN: Thank you, President Edington. We can move to the next one, please. Good afternoon, commissioners. My name is Javier Dussan, vice-president in the Office of Facilities and Real Estate Management at the University of the District of Columbia. It's a pleasure to be here today with you presenting the Lamond-Riggs master plan.
  - So, let's stop with some context. The UDC Lamond-Riggs campus is located on the site of the former Bertie Backus Middle School within the boundaries of the ANC 5A, is a campus site of 4.9 acres.
  - The UDC Lamond-Riggs Community College offers two year associate degree programs, workforce development programming, and a wide variety of adult learning and continuing education classes.

This fall, the number of students enrolled for classes at the community college increased to 1,800 students. The Lamond-Riggs campus is located .3 miles east of the Fort Totten Metro rail station at the border of the Lamond-Riggs and Queens Chapel neighborhoods. It is bounded by South Dakota Avenue Northeast to the west, Hamilton

1 Street Northeast on the north, and alleyway and low density 2 residential properties to the east, and Galloway Street 3 Northeast and the National Parks Services Fort Circle Parks to the south.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- So, it is important to emphasize that the campus building was conceived as a public middle school, the Bertie Backus Middle School, which operated from the mid-1950s until its closure in 2008.
  - The building retains its middle school aesthetic. Its current condition creates some operational challenges, and it does not have the character and feel of an institution dedicated to higher education.
  - The Lamond-Riggs campus building is a Ushaped building, or a C if we're looking at this image here, I guess represented in this diagram. The building has 135,000 square feet of floor area spread across three levels.
  - On the first -- on the first floor at the left of the page, depicted in orange at the bottom of the floor plan is the recently renovated auditorium. This first floor level is mostly used for administrative functions. Service areas and student resource spaces are also housed on this floor.
  - The second and third floors are mostly assigned for instructional spaces, classrooms, and labs, with the

exception of the block shown in yellow of the -- you know, at the top of the page, the north of the page, on both floors on the second and third floor. We call this area Wing C.

- So, Wing A is the horizontal block at the bottom of the page in front of the auditorium, and Wing B is the vertical block connecting those two wings, and this is important as we move along and explain the proposed changes.
- So, the middle -- the middle school old gymnasium,
  and the old kitchen and cafeteria, which are located in
  these yellow blocks, Wing C, these rooms remain mostly
  unused due to the poor condition of the spaces and the
  building systems.
  - The gymnasium, for example, had had some water infiltration issues that damaged the wood floor. The material is rotten, and in some areas the floor is cracked, loose, and displaced.
  - Next. So, the university began the process for this campus master plan about a year ago, with a kick off meeting held on October 14th, 2022, that included representatives of the student body, members of the faculty, and also staff.
  - During this planning process, we met and sought input and feedback from residents of the area and local stake holders. Also, we presented to the ANC 5A on several

occasions, and maintained consistent coordination with agencies that included DC's Department of Transportation and the Office of Planning.

Next. This campus plan has been developed with the strategic mission of the university in mind, as the president mentioned, which includes elevating the Lamond-Riggs Community College to an institution of high quality location, comparable to other community college programs in the area, but one that is accessible to all residents of the District of Columbia.

The plan focuses on transforming the middle school building into a modern facility that is purposefully designed for academic growth, and that it will be flexible to accommodate the community college long term needs with an emphasis on sustainability.

New labs and other instructional spaces will be created with improved access and circulation for students and visitors. This will be supported by a new wayfinding and placemaking that will promote the university's distinct identity.

It is essential for the university that our students have the spaces to create a memorable learning experience. And we believe that this plan will support this goal as it includes opportunities for enhancing existing landscaping zones and creating more green areas, including a

courtyard.

Next. So, how does this plan propose to reach those goals by 2023? The answer is by implementing these five key changes in a two-phase approach.

Number one, we want to focus on what we have first. We plan on modernizing existing facilities and upgrading building systems.

Number two, by enhancing existing strategies and creating new enrollment opportunities to bring more students here.

Number three, tell a story in a way that sticks and reaches new audiences, and make the experience for visitors to the campus one that is welcoming and enjoyable with a comprehensive and intuitive wayfinding and public use.

Number four, by improving the public facing areas with onsite urban design elements to better integrate the campus with the neighborhood.

Number five, but building a new wing to support the expected increase in programs and growth in student enrollment. This new wing will be created in phase two, and will see -- and we'll expand on this in the next slides. Of course, a new courtyard will be created as well with other sustainable features.

Next. So, in this phase one of the campus master

plan, the university will focus on the renovation and modernization of the interior of the existing academic building. So, those three wings that you can see labeled there A, B, and C.

- On this slide, I'd like to bring to your attention the proposed new green space along Wing B. This green space, which you can see in the middle of the page by number eight will also improve the transition to the existing building from the parking lot.
- Much of the campus surface -- surface area consists of two paved asphalt parking lots. One is a small lot with 23 parking spaces accessible from the Hamilton Street on the northwest side which is on the left of this page. The other is a large lot containing 165 -- 165 parking spaces on the southeast side, which is accessible from the Galloway Street Northeast.
- The plan called for these parking spaces to be -parking facilities to be updated with improvements that
  include new green areas. The Hamilton Street parking lot
  will have 19 parking spaces. The Galloway Street parking
  lot will have 160 spaces in this space.
- Next, please. In addition to the interior improvements that include modernization of electromechanical systems for more efficient use of energy, this master plan calls for an upgrade to structural elements to have the

rooftop become solar ready. There will be some repairs to the existing façade.

We will fully renovate the interior and unused space in Wing C for the additional lab -- for additional lab space, classroom, and other facilities. And as mentioned before, parking facilities will be updated with new trees and landscaping items.

Next, please. And in terms of landscaping, sustainability and circulation, I'd like to highlight that we plan on making improvements along South Dakota Avenue to provide public seating, new landscape design that includes planters, and a more prominent 9/11 memorial for the middle school student and the teacher from Bertie Backus that died on Flight 77.

We also plan on developing a new green space along Wing B as I mentioned before. The renovation of the parking areas will include a more efficient stone water management solution, such as bioretention areas and vegetation and new trees. And we will provide additional paved pathways to improve circulation from the sidewalk to the buildings.

Next, please. Finally, through phase one as part of the plan to improve the campus character as a community college, we plan on providing student oriented amenities such as coffee and food services, and removing the existing chain link fence along South Dakota Avenue and Galloway

Street, and replacing the existing chain link fence along the public alley with the corridor -- the (inaudible).

We see the auditorium's blank wall on South Dakota Avenue is a great opportunity for a mural or other form of public art, and as I mentioned before, we plan on upgrading signage that we find in and around campus.

Next slide, please. Once the issues on the existing facility has been addressed on phase one, Lamond-Riggs' master plan will enter then into the second phase. In phase two, we plan -- the plan is to add a new wing to the building, Wing D, which will be parallel to Wing B, closing that U or that C, and creating an interior courtyard with improved green areas. The total number of parking spaces on campus will be reduced even more.

Next slide, please. Wing D will have a new academic and municipal space, and new utility equipment that continues supporting the university's sustainability goals. We will add curb extensions at the street corners to improve congestion, circulation, and traffic safety.

Hamilton Street parking lot will have 19 parking spaces, and Galloway Street parking lot will have 100 spaces at the end of phase two.

Next slide, please. And continuing with the landscaping, sustainability, and circulation plans, pedestrian oriented landscaping, seating, and green space

will be provided in the courtyard. Wing D will have a green roof. Hamilton Street parking lot will have additional landscaping, including new trees.

Next slide, please. Now, we believe the proposed building additional phase two will consolidate the efforts to improve the campus character, and turn it into a facility designed for an enhanced experience on higher education. The new wing will have additional student oriented spaces such as a new study room, assisted by additional improvements to wayfinding and signage.

Next slide, please. So, recently we received from the community additional comments to the master plan. We listened, and we wanted to include this slide in the presentation with updates to the campus plan in response to those comments, like you know, they are asking us to utilize native plants in the new landscaping. Of course we're going to do that.

Identify access from Galloway Street for pick up and drop off activity, and you know, the architectural diagrams were revised and do reflect that the identification of that area for pick up and drop off.

Identify bicycle parking onsite with signage posted in a prominent place, an entrance to the building, the diagrams -- the particular diagrams have been updated to show that.

And develop an internship program for high school students who were -- we're listening to that request. I want to point out that UDC provides enrollment opportunities for high school students through our enrollment and that UDC participates in the Mayor Barry Summer Youth Employment Program.

So with this, I want to thank you for your time and attention, and turn it over to our transportation consultant, Daniel Solomon, to present a few slides about the transportation strategy on this campus master plan.

MR. SOLOMON: Thanks, Javier. Good afternoon, commissioners. For the record, I'm Daniel Sullivan, a transportation planner and principal with Gorove Slade. We've been working with UDC, the project team, and DDOT related to the transportation aspects of the UDC Lamond-Riggs campus master plan. This afternoon, I'm going to touch on the highlights of our review in coordination with DDOT for the campus master plan.

As shown here, the UDC Lamond-Riggs campus is situated in the Queens Chapel neighborhood along South Dakota Avenue Northeast. The campus has frontage on South Dakota Avenue, Hamilton Street, Galloway Street, and a public alley.

It is located within .3 miles of the Fort Totten metro station. Additionally, there are several metro bus

routes with stops along South Dakota Avenue and Galloway
Street near the campus.

The campus has access to several on and off street bicycle facilities, including the Metropolitan Branch Trail nearby, and bicycle facilities along Fort Totten Drive.

Additionally, there are two Capital Bike Share stations near the campus with one being at Fort Totten Metro Station entrance.

Next slide, please. All right, Mr. Young, next slide? Thank you. The transportation goals of the UDC Lamond-Riggs campus master plan align closely with DDOT's district-wide and local goals. This includes enhancing pedestrian safety, improving campus circulation and connectivity, promoting transit use, and reducing automobile dependency.

Based on these goals, this strategy of the transportation component of the campus plan is to accommodate current and future population levels on the Lamond-Riggs campus without adding more parking supply or roadway capacity.

UDC will take advantage of its location within a high quality transportation network served by multiple modes to grow without the need for investment in vehicular based infrastructure.

Next slide, please. During phase one, vehicular

parking access will remain as it us under existing

conditions from both Galloway and Hamilton Street, with

loading access being shifted to Hamilton Street from its

current location off of Galloway.

- The image on this slide shows that vehicular circulation on the -- to the onsite surface parking lots in yellow, and loading circulation in dark green. All loading operations will be headed out through the public street per DDOT standards.
- Anticipated bicycle circulation is shown in light blue. Pedestrian circulation is shown in dark blue.

  Bicycle access is primarily envisioned to take place via Galloway Street connecting to the long term bicycle spaces along -- located in Wing C. Short term bicycle spaces will be available at multiple locations along the site's frontage on South Dakota Avenue.
  - Pedestrian access will take place from South

    Dakota Avenue, or via new pedestrian walkways along Galloway

    Street and Hamilton Street driveways that connect to

    building entrances.
  - Next slide, please. During phase two, vehicular and loading access will remain the same as it is in phase one. There will be additional long term bicycle parking spaces provided in the new building, which is Wing D, which can be accessed from Galloway Street to the southern parking

lot.

Additionally, the project will introduce a new centralized pedestrian entrance at the new building to consolidate the entry points and provide a more cohesive arrival experience.

Next slide, please. As I mentioned earlier, UDC Lamond-Riggs campus is located near the Fort Totten metro station and several metro bus routes. The campus plan proposes to take advantage of the highly transit accessible location of the campus by offering a WMATA smart benefit program to faculty and staff, and UDC will continue to explore opportunities to enroll students in WMATA's U-Pass program, which offers unlimited metro route and metro bus routes to students at a substantial discount.

Next slide, please. Javier walked through those elements of the site plan earlier, but I would like to go into more detail around the pedestrian facilities on campus. Under existing conditions, the campus's main pedestrian access point is along South Dakota Avenue.

The campus plan proposes to enhance pedestrian connectivity on campus by providing pedestrian walkways through both surface lots, and improved centralized pedestrian entrance, improved wayfinding signage, and two curb extensions with one at Hamilton Street and South Dakota Avenue, and the other at Galloway Street and South Dakota

Avenue.

These public space improvements will be further coordinated with DDOT as part of DDOT's South Dakota Avenue improvement plans.

Next slide, please. Regarding vehicular parking, under existing conditions there are 188 parking spaces onsite. As part of phase one, the provision of additional green space adjacent to Wing B, and bringing this southern parking lot up to design standards will reduce the number of vehicular parking spaces on campus to 179 spaces.

During phase two to accommodate the addition of Wing D, which is the new building, and additional green space, the number of vehicular parking spaces will be reduced to 119 parking spaces.

Phase two will also include the provision of two electric vehicle parking spaces in the northern lot. DDOT is supportive of the reduction of vehicular parking spaces being proposed by the campus master plan.

Regarding bicycle parking under current conditions, the Lamond-Riggs campus does not have long term bicycle parking spaces, and does have approximately 20 short term spaces located in the southern parking lot which do not meet DDOT standards for short term bicycle parking.

The campus master plan will introduce a total of 17 long term and up to 64 short term bicycle parking spaces

1 during phase one, as well as a total of 25 long term and up 2 to 92 short term spaces during phase two. These facilities 3 will meet DDOT and zoning requirements for bicycle parking, 4 including the provision of non-standard sized spaces, and outlets for charging electric bicycles and scooters within the long term bicycle rows.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As coordinated with DDOT following their submission of their staff report, UDC and DDOT have agreed to annually monitor the demand for bicycle parking on campus and increase the supply of short term bicycle parking spaces accordingly.

UDC and DDOT are working out the final details of this agreement, which will include the initial number of bicycle spaces and their placement. For example, prioritizing adding spaces in covered areas.

Prior to approval by the Zoning Commission, the applicant will provide a DDOT approved transportation demand management plan and performance monitoring plan for inclusion in the order, which will include those details.

Next slide, please. Regarding loading, the existing loading facilities in the southern parking lot will be relocated to the northern lot to allow for the addition of green space and to better align with back of house areas and service corridors within the campus.

Loading will be accommodated by two 30 foot

loading berths and one 20 foot service and delivery space which can be accessed from Hamilton Street. All loading maneuvers will be head in, head out from public -- from the public street consistent with DDOT guidelines and best practices.

Next slide, please. Here, we have listed the highlights of the TMD plan. It includes many of the typical components expected of such a package, and builds off the TDM plan that was approved as part of UDC's 2020 Venice campus plan. We believe this TDM package is appropriate for this type of project and help encourage non-single occupancy vehicle and non-auto trips over the life of the campus plan.

Additionally, the applicant has agreed to an annual performance monitoring plan that includes review and refinement of these measures in coordination with DDOT and Go DC Go. As I mentioned earlier, the applicant is working with DDOT on the final details of the TDM and PMP elements, and we expect those to be finalized prior to the zoning order.

Next slide, please. For this project, we performed a comprehensive transportation review, which was scoped with DDOT. Our study concluded that the campus master plan will not have a detrimental impact on the surrounding transportation network with appropriate mitigations, and minimizes impact by providing sufficient

short and long term bicycle parking, accommodating loading at a public space, improving streetscape conditions, and implementing a robust TDM plan.

We've coordinated extensively with DDOT during their review. We're pleased to have their support in the form of a no objection staff report. DDOT's report did have some conditions which we believe we have worked through with them. I'll just go over them quickly.

DDOT requested that the applicant revise the annual performance monitoring plan, which will include the status of TDM commitments and transportation related metrics, which the applicant has agreed to. The applicant agreed to continue coordination with DDOT, Go DC Go, and Urban Forestry Division, and various stakeholders regarding future public space improvements.

As for the rest of DDOT's conditions, DDOT requested that UDC commit to funding the TDM and PMP plans, which the applicant has agreed to request funding from the District to do. DDOT is supportive of this. DDOT requested that UDC include parking permit rates in the TDM plan, and information on how those permits will be enforced, which UDC has agreed to do.

DDOT requested that UDC include one van pool and two carpool spaces in the parking lot, which UDC has agreed to do if demand exists. DDOT is supportive of this. DDOT

requested that UDC enroll in the WMATA U-Pass program, and
UDC agreed to consider to explore enrollment in the WMATA UPass program. DDOT is supportive of this.

- Finally, DDOT requested that UDC include bicycle parking design details in the TDM plan, which UDC has agreed to do. At this time, we believe we have addressed all DDOT's concerns.
- I am pleased to say that the applicant and DDOT are in general agreement of all of DDOT's conditions, and that the applicant is working with DDOT on the final details of the TDM and performance monitoring plan elements, and we expect them to be finalized prior to the zoning order. That concludes my testimony, and I'll be available for any questions. Thank you, and I'll pass it to Meredith.
- MS. MOLDENHAUER: Thank you very much, Mr. Solomon. Next slide, please? The overall camp master plan is consistent with the zoning for the site, which is the R2 zone for both the proposed phase one as well as the proposed phase two.
- In addition to that, we are excited to be here tonight with the Office of Planning and Support at Exhibit 15. DDOT support, Mr. Solomon outlined at Exhibit 16, and the ANC's resolution in support of Exhibit 21.
- Next slide. Oh, we believe that the campus master
  plan that has been submitted is consistent with the

comprehensive plan, as well as in review of the racial equity tools and analysis outlined in the comprehensive plan.

The -- through viewing the process through a racial equity lens, we believe the applicant has filed and is consistent with the FLUM, as well as the city element areas, as well as the generalized policy map. We believe the outlines of the campus master plan provides potential for a positive impact on racial equity, and given that the university is a longstanding historically black college and university, that the Lamond-Riggs campus represents a key initiative that will help the university achieve its goals of advancing equitable opportunities for marginalized communities.

We would -- overall we have engaged in an extensive outreach program, and are very excited to present this application to the commission this evening, and now available for any questions.

Next slide. Thank you. I would just note for the commission that we do have some individuals who are members of our team that are on as attendees and that may be available to assist in answering questions such as individuals from UDC, Avis Russel, David Franklin, and Juanita Gray, and our project architect, Ronnie McGhee.

MR. DUSSAN: Thank you, Meredith, and

```
1
    commissioners if you allow me, we noticed that there was a
    typo on slide number 18. The name of the youth program is
 2
 3
    Nylon, with an N, rather than Marriott, and the name of the
 4
    mayor.
 5
                              Thank you. Ms. Moldenhauer, you
              CHAIRMAN HOOD:
 6
    are complete?
 7
              MS. MOLDENHAUER: Yes, we are complete.
                                                        Thank
 8
    you.
9
              CHAIRMAN HOOD:
                              Thank you. First of all, for full
    disclosure, I attended Bertie Backus and also attended UDC,
10
11
    so I want to make sure I put that out there because I never
12
    know what may come back. Let me say I was a graduate of
13
    UDC, let me leave it at that -- to that point.
14
              Let me also acknowledge you, Mr. President.
    always great when the president takes the time, and you too,
15
    Vice-President Dussan, hopefully I pronounced that
16
17
    correctly. I really appreciate it when the levels of
18
    president and vice president are engaged in what's going on.
19
              I want to make a few corrections, and I'm hoping
    the record was corrected. I'm sure that this was mentioned
20
    when you all met with the community, but this university is
21
22
    not in Queens Chapel. This university is in Riggs Park.
23
    Mr. Solomon said Queens Chapel. Queens Chapel is over here
24
    near me.
              So --
```

MR. SOLOMON: Apologies.

1 CHAIRMAN HOOD: That's cool. I just -- I think that's very important, and I'm sure that the community would 2 3 have said something, and one of the things I did notice that during the presentation, North Michigan Park was never -- at 4 5 least if it was, I didn't see it -- North Michigan Park is right on the other side of Galloway across from Fort Circle 6 7 Part where Galloway Street is. 8 So, let's make sure -- and I'm sure you met with 9 North Michigan Park because Chairman Fletcher lives in North 10 Michigan Park, and I see that he signed it, so I'm sure 11 North Michigan Park was engaged. If not, Ms. Moldenhauer, 12 can you confirm that for me that North Michigan Park was engaged in this process? Because North Michigan Park and 13 14 Riggs Park and Fort Totten will be the three communities that really are closest to this facility, and --15 16 MS. MOLDENHAUER: I will follow up with you on 17 that. Thank you. 18 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yeah, yeah. I would not have -- I 19 would not have said that if I didn't already know the 20 And so, I just want to make sure that that's been answer. 21 done. 22 Because when I look at -- when I look at the 23 record, I think -- here's why I'm saying that, because ten 24 years from now, I won't be -- I won't be here, and that way, 25 you'll have a complete record, and the record will be

1 correct. 2 What I do ask, Mr. President, and you can discuss 3 this to UBC. I noticed the Lamond-Riggs campus, but there 4 has been some talk, and I don't know if it's gotten to you 5 all in this community about naming this the William Spalding University District of Columbia Campus. He was the first 6 7 Ward Five counsel member. We just did -- there was just a library named, so -- and I'm putting these things out there, 8 9 and maybe at the end you can kind of let me know what you --10 what you think. 11 The character? The character was excellent, I 12 think, for a junior high school, but I do have an issue in trying to understand what's going on with Galloway Street 13 with the chain link fence, Mr. Solomon, or Mr. Dussan. 14 chain link fence on Galloway Street -- now, let me ask --15 16 so, this is a transportation and a design question. 17 There used to be meters -- I believe they're still there, or they might have taken over -- things move so quick 18 19 in this city I can't remember sometimes. There used to be a

 $$\operatorname{MR}.\ \operatorname{SOLOMON}:\ When we were last there they were out there, sir.$ 

lot of meters on Galloway. Are the meters still there on

20

21

22

23

24

25

Galloway Street?

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Last night they were there? Okay, let me --

MR. SOLOMON: When we were last out there. Yeah. 1 2 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Oh, when you were last out there 3 it was up there. So, explain, Mr. Solomon, how is that 4 going to work with that being the interest -- and I do --5 let me just say this. I love the design. I'm just trying to figure out how it's going to work, moving around it in 6 7 phase -- in phase one. I guess we'll get to phase two, but how -- how are 8 9 we going to get back and force -- and I know they do it now, 10 and I know you have a lot of parking lot area, and I appreciate you all redoing that parking lot and looking at 11 12 lot better than what I think we do now, but how is that 13 going to work? 14 How is that the interest with the cars parked there with the meter parking, say if I'm coming east on 15 16 Galloway Street and going to make a left into the parking 17 lot, tell me how all of that is going to work. Take me 18 through a visualization. Let me imagine how this will all 19 work. 20 MR. DUSSAN: So, do you want to take it, Danny? MR. SOLOMON: Sure, I'm happy to. It might be 21 more helpful if Mr. Young could pull up the site plan on one 22 of the slides so we're all looking at it together. 23 MS. SCHELLIN: 24 Slide 14.

MR. SOLOMON:

Slide 14, okay. Okay, so, just so

```
I'm clear, Chairman Hood, you're asking if folks are driving
 1
 2
    from Galloway Street, how do they access the parking lots
 3
    off the driveway, which is near number seven there?
 4
              CHAIRMAN HOOD: Let me -- let me help you with my
 5
    question.
               If I'm --
 6
              MR. SOLOMON: Right, yes.
 7
              CHAIRMAN HOOD: If I'm going east on Galloway --
    if I make a right off South Dakota -- we haven't even got to
 8
    South Dakota, et.
9
10
              MR. SOLOMON:
                            Mm-hmm.
11
              CHAIRMAN HOOD: If I make a right off South
12
    Dakota, and I'm on Galloway, and I want to make a left into
    the hopefully new William Spalding building or the UDC
13
14
    Lamond-Riggs building, but I'm going to make a left, how is
    that going to work?
15
                            So, the university is going to be
16
              MR. SOLOMON:
    upgrading the curb cut that's current out there to meet --
17
18
    currently it's not in the best shape, and it also isn't
19
    aligned in the angle that DDOT would prefer. So, that's
20
    going to be rebuilt, and also the sidewalk is going to cross
21
    over it.
22
              So, the driveway is right near number seven on the
23
              I don't know if Mr. Young can hover his mouse
24
    around it. So, we're going to be maintaining the curb cut
```

in the general area of where it is today, and then in terms

```
1
    of how on street parking will work on Galloway Street, as
 2
    part of public space approvals, we're going to be working
 3
    with DDOT to put together a pavement, parking, and signing
    plan which will update the current parking restrictions that
 4
 5
    are out there to be more in line with current DDOT
    standards, and to also allow for pick up and drop off
 6
 7
    activity, which was requested by the ANC and members of the
    community as part of our coordination with them.
 8
9
              CHAIRMAN HOOD:
                              So, we're going to -- you're going
    to change the angle, because going east and even coming west
10
    towards South Dakota Avenue, but make a right. So, we're
11
    going to change the angle of the -- of the driveway to get
12
    into the parking lot, right? I think that's -- that
13
14
    probably will answer my question.
              MR. SOLOMON:
15
                            Yes.
              CHAIRMAN HOOD: That angle is going to be changed?
16
17
              MR. SOLOMON: Correct.
              CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. All right. And the chain
18
    link fence, Mr. Dussan, you said it was going to be removed?
19
20
    It's going to be open?
              MR. DUSSAN: So, we're also trying to balance the
21
    security issues with, you know, the aesthetics of the
22
23
             I believe the plan to remove the chain link fence
    campus.
24
    will be welcomed by the community, and of course the campus
```

is monitored, you know, frequently by security, but I think

that's -- you know, that's consistent with what the community has also expressed.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: So, they've expressed removing the chain link fence?

MR. DUSSAN: We've recently renovated -- cleaned them, and you know, the community wants a more open, and walkable, and accessible campus, and I think --

CHAIRMAN HOOD: I'm trying to -- I'm trying to understand that about the chain link fence. I actually agree with them.

MR. DUSSAN: Yeah, yeah.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: I'm just trying to find out where it came from. The community wants to open it up, because I understand even from my wife, nobody is doing chain link fences no more, so I'm not even -- even me.

MR. DUSSAN: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: So, I'm just -- I think that is -- what are we going to do, though? So, now, if I want to go to the parking lot, I can just walk across the grass. And so is it going to be a measure -- any type of measure to keep me from doing certain things, or they wanted it open?

MR. DUSSAN: So, we'll explore more in detail when we advance on the -- on the planning -- on the landscape planning, but the idea is to have that buffer of green area, will that have some pathways connecting this sidewalk and

```
1
    the parking -- the parking space, and also the building.
    But the idea is to have landscaping open like many other
 2
 3
    public spaces in the city.
              CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, and now let me ask.
 4
 5
    it's been over 40 years since I used to play basketball up
    here where number two is. Is the basketball court still
6
 7
    there, or has that been removed totally for the greenhouses?
              MR. DUSSAN: so, inside the building, you mean, in
8
    the --
9
10
              CHAIRMAN HOOD: No, I know you got a gym. I guess
11
    you --
12
              MR. DUSSAN: Okay, correct.
              CHAIRMAN HOOD: -- still have the gym inside the
13
14
    building.
15
              MR. DUSSAN: Yeah, no --
                              I'm talking about -- I think off
16
              CHAIRMAN HOOD:
17
    of Galloway Street, there used to be a playground there, but
18
    I see the three --
              MR. DUSSAN: No, it has been removed chairman --
19
20
    commissioner.
21
              CHAIRMAN HOOD: The basketball court has been
22
    removed?
23
              MR. DUSSAN: It has been removed, and currently
24
    there are three, you know, large facilities there for our
```

25

greenhouses.

1 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. So when the young folks in the neighborhood now want to play, where do they go? 2 3 MR. DUSSAN: So, that's part of the plan to look 4 into, you know, how we're going to renovate that Wing C, you 5 know, and honestly that courtyard will allow for, you know, outdoor activity. It may not be formal in the way of a 6 7 basketball courtyard or a basketball court, but it will allow for that -- you know, some physical activity to take 8 place. 9 10 I'm not advocating for it, CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. 11 I'm just wondering if this was thought through. I do know 12 the North Ridge Department Rec Center is around, I do know LaSalle Rec Center, but that's a walking distance from this 13 14 facility, so I was wondering if that was in the conversation as well. 15 16 MR. DUSSAN: I --17 CHAIRMAN HOOD: So -- I'm sorry. MR. DUSSAN: Yeah, that's information that also 18 19 the Fort Circle Park is right across the street from 20 Galloway, green open area. 21 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Fort Circle Park is right across the street, but Fort Circle Park has absolutely nothing in 22 23 it except for a -- one thing about this neighborhood, I know 24 all about it. I grew up there. So, you can tell me about 25 Fort Circle Park right over there. I grew up in Fort circle

Park.

But what I know is over the years Fort Circle Park has changed and I know what's over there: nothing. So, I agree they could play soccer, and they could play football, any other sports, but basketball and stuff -- but I'm not putting all the burden on you.

I'm just hoping that the community thought some of this stuff through. I'm sure they did, because I think that UDC has been a good neighbor, and that's important, and I actually like what I see here, but I'm just trying to drill down on some things that I want to make sure that as a campus plan, you all do -- we have this under consideration, because ten years from now, I won't be here, and somebody else will be in here and say, well, why did this commission do that? And I want to make sure we have everything drilled down.

Let's talk about Hamilton Street, Mr. Solomon.

Hamilton Street is the worst street for traffic. Terrible.

Now, how are we going to work that out? I think that the loading dock is on that side?

MR. SOLOMON: Correct. So, there's a parking lot on the north -- we call it the north lot, that's coming off of Hamilton Street, there's a parking lot, and that's where the loading facilities are going to be as well.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Now, so who is going to be parking

```
1
    on that lot? Who is -- who is going to be using that 18th -
 2
    - is it 19 spaces? 19 spaces. Who is going to be using
    that lot?
 3
 4
              MR. DUSSAN:
                           It will most likely be, you know,
 5
    more of a service parking area and assigned parking space
    for some, you know, perhaps staff and other assigned spaces,
 6
 7
    perhaps facilities and others. So, it's more around the
    service side.
 8
9
              CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. I'm -- I can tell you, Mr.
    Solomon, I'm very concerned about Hamilton Street. I think
10
11
    if you all -- the last time you were out there, you saw what
12
    happens on Hamilton Street, or do you -- you see the traffic
                 I didn't see where that's -- how are we
13
    congestion.
14
    mitigating that with the -- what kind of -- first of all,
    what kind of trucks do we anticipate will be going in and
15
    out of there?
16
17
                            So, we're working with UDC.
              MR. SOLOMON:
    two 30 foot berths, and one 20 foot service delivery space.
18
19
    So, we're looking to limit the size of the trucks to no
20
    larger than 30 feet so they can be accommodated there. Our
    understanding is that's generally what UDC receives anyway,
21
22
    and we decided -- designed, excuse me -- the parking lot and
23
    spaces accordingly.
24
              In terms of traffic on Hamilton Street, it's
```

heavily trafficked, you are correct. What we did do here in

terms of our recommendation is we looked at safety being
number one priority, and that's where the curb extension was
recommended. It doesn't reduce any of the number of travel
lanes. It just bumps out far enough as the parking lane to
just reduce the amount of footage that a pedestrian has to
cross.

- So, great safety benefits there. As part of our analysis that we review -- we reviewed, submitted, and was eventually approved by DDOT, we made a number of recommendations regarding signal time there to try and alleviate some of that congestion at that intersection.
- Ultimately, DDOT is responsible for the signal timing there. That being said, DDOT has a pretty ambitious and significant public space improvement plan for South Dakota Avenue, and we are hoping that as part of that project they will look at signal timing up and down the corridor, and obviously coordinate with UDC as to how we can best combine our public space improvements and plans for the campus with whatever they have planned for South Dakota Avenue in general.
- CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Mr. Solomon, we don't plan on redirecting that curb cut on Hamilton Street, right?
  We'll leave it as is?
- MR. SOLOMON: Correct. Similar to Galloway, we're just going to bring it up to code.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: And let me ask Mr. Dussan, let me ask this question. That is a major voting precinct in the District of Columbia, and then Hamilton Street is where they usually stay to do that. Has that been discussed in your conversations with the community? And this is a very high voting precinct.

MR. DUSSAN: I don't believe -- I don't recall anything specific about this, but we are aware of these. I participated in setting up the space last time, and it was a voting place. So, we understand the need, and want to make sure that function can continue operating in that facility.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. As much as we can do to continue what you all have already been doing, and I believe you've been a great neighbor, and to continue to do that. I do like the phase -- and I'm sure the community likes it as well.

I'm not just talking about what I like, but I do like -- I will say this, if you look at your phase one key changes, at 13, that is superb. I'm hoping to see that. The only other thing that I have now is to talk about the bike lanes on -- it looks like you're allowing some bike lanes on South Dakota Avenue.

Mr. Solomon, can you help me with that? I saw some light blue. I don't know if DDOT is -- I've heard talk that DDOT is -- I wouldn't ride -- I don't even -- sometimes

I won't even drive my car on South Dakota Avenue, but it's been like that since I was child. But I will tell you that I -- when I see you all have the light blue -- I think the light blue you said was representing bicycle circulation?

MR. SOLOMON: Correct, so we're just -- currently we're identifying that as the major routes that folks are going to take. That's part of where the short term bicycle parking spaces will be, the majority of them, and it's also just a point of location for folks to get to the long term spaces in wings C and D.

That being said, as our understanding, we just coordinated with DDOT last Friday, is that as part of the planned improvements to South Dakota Avenue that I mentioned earlier, DDOT is looking to install some form of either protected bicycle lane or off-street cycle track, which will provide some protection to cyclists going up and down South Dakota Avenue. So, we'll continue to coordinate with them. That's not something UDC is proposing, we're just going to make sure that we're coordinating sensibly with DDOT.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: I'm sure hoping that that is -that analysis -- first of all, I don't think that it should
be done, and I'm glad that you all are not proposing it,
because we don't want to -- I don't want to put in anything
that's going to put anybody in harm's way.

So, other than that, I think that's all I have for

1 now, and I like the plan. Now, don't get me wrong because I asked those questions. I'm just asking you to consider it 2 3 as you all continue to have collaboration and get the best 4 out of it. I think this is a great start. I'm looking at 5 the gymnasium. Is the gymnasium still open? And who uses that? Is that still open -- is that still being used? 6 7 MR. DUSSAN: No, sir. That's an unused space. You know, there had been some water damage to the structure, 8 9 the floor is displaced. So right now, it's being used 10 mostly as a storage space. 11 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. All right, I understand. 12 Things do change in 45 years, so I get it. I'm going to go 13 to my colleagues. Commissioner Stidham, I want to try to 14 correct some of the record and understand what was going on, so I apologize for coming to you first, but I'm coming to 15 you second. Ms. Stidham? 16 17 COMMISSIONER STIDHAM: No worries, no worries. Thank you for the presentation. It is really true. 18 19 served well as a middle school, but really to inspire the 20 academics who are trying to achieve. The renovations are really needed, and I think the plan looks great. I do have 21 22 a couple of questions. 23 I noticed in the campus plan some use of 24 photovoltaic panels and some green roof as two of the

elements that you're seeking in terms of sustainable design.

Are there any other features that you're looking at to be
more environmentally sensitive, and are you seeking any sort
of certification for the building with the renovations?

MR. DUSSAN: Yeah. Thank you for that question, commissioner. The -- we're going to -- you know, the new addition will have to meet the district's new green code, right?

So, we're going to make sure that as we move along, the proposed modifications and system upgrades are in alignment with the code, and of course we're required to meet the 2021 building and energy performance standards as well.

So, we're going to make sure that we do, and you know, we're also, you know, adding new trees, more green areas, and when we renovated the auditorium we also upgraded some of the existing HVAC system in that area, so that's also more efficient.

So, I think we have started already the process and we work also very closely with the college of -- with the college of agriculture and urban sustainability. And in fact just as a matter of fact last week the university was hosting sustainability meeting here on campus and we -- on the -- campus, but it all is integrated. So, sustainability is a key pillar and will continue to be an important pillar on the design and changes that we will execute on the -- on

1 the Lamond-Riggs campus. 2 COMMISSIONER STIDHAM: Thank you very much, Mr. 3 Dussan. I'd like to see if Mr. Young couldn't invite Ms. Russell and Mr. Ronnie McGhee, project architect. Also, 4 5 maybe Mr. McGhee might be able to more in-depth respond to this question as well. 6 7 MR. DUSSAN: Mm-hmm. COMMISSIONER STIDHAM: So, I don't know if Mr. 8 Young could admit Ms. Russell and Mr. McGhee. Thank you. 9 10 MR. MCGHEE: Hello, Ronnie McGhee here. 11 Commissioners, good evening. 12 COMMISSIONER STIDHAM: Good evening. 13 MR. MCGHEE: If you want me to speak to that, 14 Commissioner Stidham, we are -- the renovations in phase one, the modernization, would have to meet the green 15 building codes. So, that will upgrade all the new 16 17 mechanical systems to current DC standards. So, we'll be 18 replacing the whole mechanical system for the -- for the A, 19 B, and new building C. So, the new building would -- it will probably be 20 lead certified, but the rest of the buildings will -- may 21 22 not be certified, but they'll be meeting green building code, which is really right at lead standard, but we're not 23

necessarily trying for certification for A, B, and C as part

of the modernization -- phase one modernization.

24

```
1
              So, in addition to that installing, the
 2
    (inaudible) will be upgraded. The rooftops of the existing
 3
    buildings are not able to take in capacity anything other --
 4
    like, a green roof, so we normally wouldn't do that. But in
 5
    this case, they are not able to take that, so we're just
    doing portable tanks above the existing roof, and putting a
 6
 7
    green roof over the new building D when it is build.
    that's kind of where we are.
 8
9
              So, again, the campus will get a lot of the
    upgrades necessary to make it meet the DC code, but the
10
11
    rooftop can't be used for a green room because I mean, I
12
    think you're leaning toward -- while they are starting a
13
    green roof over there, but physically it can't support that.
14
    So, that's kind of where we are. So --
                                     Okay, thank you.
15
              COMMISSIONER STIDHAM:
16
    parking lot, even though it's going to be smaller
17
    eventually, that's still a lot of pavement. Are you looking
    at any sort of previous asphalt or previous parking spaces
18
19
    in a way to sort of mitigate some of your storm and weather
20
    management needs?
21
              MR. MCGHEE: Well, no, the -- we -- the soil that
    we're -- we're actually waiting the infiltration test right
22
23
    now to see if that --
24
              COMMISSIONER STIDHAM:
                                      Okay.
```

MR. MCGHEE: -- percolates, if it -- I mean, if it

1 infiltrates. If it does, then it offers more opportunity, 2 but right now we're looking at just adding curb areas and 3 infiltration elements inserted as -- well, you see the trees 4 right now. 5 Right now, it's complete blacktop. So, we're 6 adding those areas as our storm management elements. So, 7 we're bringing the stonework off the roof and we're using the parking lot to take care of that. So, we're still 8 9 meeting the two years storm management that we would have on 10 the site, but we're not necessarily sure that the site 11 infiltrates right now, so we can't say that the previous 12 pavement would really work here. So --13 COMMISSIONER STIDHAM: I totally understand. The 14 key is the right to park and I'll cross my fingers that parks full use is -- we can take advantage of that. So, I 15 know a lot of situations it doesn't. So --16 17 MR. MCGHEE: DC is not great for that, you know, 18 so --19 COMMISSIONER STIDHAM: No, it is not. No, it is 20 not, unfortunately. And I'm sort of worried -- have questions about -- so, thank you for that as it relates to 21 22 the sustainable future zone. 23 So, shifting gears a little bit to parking and

sort of the different modes that the campus has. You know,

having, you know, the potential for a maximum 3,000

24

students, and having I believe 118 parking places after phase two, that -- I'm a little worried about what your TDM will look like, and I want to be sure that you understand that it's a requirement of your approval.

So, instead of requesting money, you need to get it done, and you need to be sure that you're understanding the mode split that are your current students and understand how you can sort of affect that mode shift in encouraging people -- your students not to drive and to get there in other places, because that's going to be very important with the limited parking and not to impose unwanted parking within the community is going to be necessary.

So, there really is going to be a need to pay attention to that, and coordinating with DDOT on safe access by bike to this location to ensure that if you -- if you are providing the bike parking and the showers and the places where people can take advantage of that, that it's actually a safe trip and a trip that someone would want to do.

And sort of lastly on parking, I noticed you used to have a shuttle bus from the metro station to the campus that you quit running during Covid. Is there plans to bring that back to aid students in getting from metro, but also to making sure that it's safe? It's a lot trying to get to metro after it's dark so they have a safe way to get to and from metro?

1 MR. DUSSAN: So, the shuttle -- and I appreciate 2 the question, commissioner. The shuttle that was running 3 prior to Covid I believe was from the main campus, the Van 4 Ness Campus, to the community --5 COMMISSIONER STIDHAM: Oh, okay. MR. DUSSAN: -- college. So, after that, of 6 7 course the demand, you know, decreased, and in most recent surveys, we haven't found the demand to be to the point 8 where we can re-establish that shuttle route. 9 10 COMMISSIONER STIDHAM: Okay. Will that -- will that be part of your TDM planning to just look at what's 11 12 your students' needs in terms of getting to and from the 13 campus on a regular basis? 14 MR. DUSSAN: Yes, man. 15 COMMISSIONER STIDHAM: Okay, great. With that, Chairman, I think that's it for me for right now. 16 17 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you, Commissioner Stidham. 18 Commissioner Imamura? 19 COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Ι 20 always try to build off my fellow commissioners that ask 21 their questions before me, so I want to thank Commissioner 22 Stidham for her insightful questions about transportation 23 and trying to shift behavior patterns away from sort of 24 auto-dependent transportation there and utilize other modes. 25 So, thank you Commissioner Stidham for those

comments, as well as encouraging curb paving. So, thank you
for asking that question as well. I'm certainly a proponent
of that, as well as asking for any other sustainable
features.

Mr. McGhee, I know that you had mentioned that the design is set as it is, and first I guess let me back up for a minute, just -- Mr. Edington -- Dr. Edington, thank you very much for your attendance tonight and for your opening statement. This is an exciting project for the university and for the community. I'm excited about it. I think Chairman Hood made a great statement that this is a great start.

I do disagree with Chairman Hood. He said he may not be here in ten years, but I think there is a petition out there to make him the chairman for life. So, if not that, Chairman Emeritus.

But my question, Mr. McGhee, is I know you mentioned that it will meet green building codes, and although you're not pursuing lead certification, I guess I wanted a little more specificity about the -- kind of from DOEE about their concern for whether or not you'll be able to obtain a permit from DOB such that this project will meet the Greener Government Buildings Amendment Act 2022, and net zero energy standards.

MR. MCGHEE: Well, I -- that particular standard,

- 1 I have to see how it applies to modernizations of this type. 2 We will make whatever codes need to be -- that are 3 available, but at this point we're modernizing certain 4 components of the building and not necessarily skin 5 improvements over the whole building and things like that. So, we don't -- we can't do everything as to phase 6 7 one as to we're not renovating completely. But A and B are going to be reconfigured but not necessarily completely 8 modernized. 9 10 C, we're doing a lot more work in. So, we'll look at what -- how DOB attacks that and we'll probably have to 11 12 do, you know, a PDRM to look at how they -- how they want to deal with the current codes that come up that relate to the 13 14 building, but as a modernization project we would have to look and see its applicability to our project guidelines. 15 So --16 17 COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Okay. I certainly appreciate that. I think that's actually a pretty savvy way 18 19 to approach it, and you've laid out multiple -- you've laid 20 out two phases here, but I think your renovation is probably broken down a bit further. 21
  - I do have another comment about Chairman Hood's remark about the chain link fence, and it sounds like at least Mr. Dussan and Mr. McGhee, you're probably still determining what that might look like. That design

22

23

24

solution.

I guess my comment there would be I would encourage you to look for a landscape solution and not an architectural solution to define the edge of the campus if that's, you know, what the design solution requires, but I know that there was something in the set of documents in the record talking about a fence of some kind -- a decorative fence, but I would suggest that maybe a landscape solution of vegetation of some kind might be more appropriate, especially tying back into Fort Circle Park there.

Mr. McGhee, I know that OP had made a few remarks
-- now that this building is coming up on 60 years, eligible
for the DC inventory and international register. How does
that impact your intervention here, and where -- I guess the
other thing, Ms. Moldenhauer, where does that stand at this
time in terms of the additional research that DC has asked
you all to undertake?

MS. MOLDENHAUER: Yes. So, I mean obviously we've seen OP's comments and that -- their questions regarding DC HPO (phonetic) and the building. Obviously, you know, we will be engaging with them as we move forward. There is limited work as indicated in the phase one, but as we approach the phase two and we'll need to go through for the processing for the new building D, and as that is a larger proposed construction that would be attaching to the

existing structure, we would obviously be engaging them in more detail during that phase -- during a more detailed further processing application.

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Sure.

MR. MCGHEE: And Commissioner Imamura, we're -you know, we're doing very little to change the exterior.
We've talked about murals, we've talked about some more
surface elements.

So, we're not really significantly affecting the exterior fabric, but obviously as Meredith said we'll be engaged with them to see what their issues are at this point.

A lot buildings that have relatively low precedent are becoming, you know, eligible at this point, and my specialty is building renovation, actually, so we will talk to them about what the issues are, but at this point the modernization activities are mostly interior. We do have a, you know, penthouse we have to add, we have windows we have to add, things like that, but not much is being done on the exterior that would affect the HPO's concerns.

I guess that one of the things is a coordination with them to show them we are not changing the facades that much. The new addition of course would probably have its own review with HPO to determine whether it has to get some of the landmarks on the building and stuff like that in

1 between now and phase two, then we'd have to deal with that 2 as an HPO -- HPRB issue as opposed to an HPO issue where we 3 just talk to the staff and kind of coordinate the direction 4 they have for the building. So --5 COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Okay. Thank you. 6 appreciate the images that you had for -- your precedent 7 images I think looked terrific, and I'm certainly in agreement with the approach. It sounded as if they're 8 9 asking you to undertake the determination of eligibility 10 form. Is that right? MR. MCGHEE: Meredith, this is very recent. 11 12 Meredith, I think that's the actual description, correct, 13 Meredith? 14 MS. MOLDENHAUER: Yes. So, I mean, and obviously 15 we will be engaging with them regarding that. 16 COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Okay, and then the last 17 question I have -- I think, Mr. McGhee, it might involve Mr. 18 Solomon as well and Mr. Dussan. Mr. Young, if you could

question I have -- I think, Mr. McGhee, it might involve Mr.

Solomon as well and Mr. Dussan. Mr. Young, if you could

pull up the slide that -- about parking? And I didn't quite

hear, or I might have missed this and my apology. What is

the duration for phase one, and when will phase two begin

for building -- for Wing D?

MR. DUSSAN: Yeah, commissioner, I appreciate that

MR. DUSSAN: Yeah, commissioner, I appreciate that question. So, we have initiated the preliminary engagement with the -- with faculty for programming purposes for phase

24

1 one.

So, we have also in the process of reviewing the design build proposals that we have received, and once we engage the design builder on this project, we estimate approximately that the project will last for phase one somewhere between 16 months to 24 months or so. And we are also going to monitor the growth of the university and how, you know, strategically the university wants to move forward to start putting together that request for budget for the phase two, which is the Wing D construction.

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Okay. Thank you, Mr.

Dussan. That's helpful because that leads into my question about the 16 to 24 months. So, I noticed if you -- taking a closer look at phase two -- you know, the phase two parking lot differs from the phase one parking lot. I understand not just in terms of the number of spaces available here, but it's also in the configuration.

So, I'm curious if Mr. Dussan, you know, if there might be some economy in actually executing or building out -- implementing the phase two parking lot? Because what it shows is in phase one that you've designed an island in part, I suppose, to help with some storm water management that runs east to west, and in -- in plan view, but it's really north/south.

So, I'm curious I guess whoever designed the

parking lot, why there is -- you know, I guess my question 1 2 here is, is did you consider instead of designing a parking 3 lot for phase one and then ripping out some of it, because it shows that you will have to rip out a couple islands, why 4 5 not just implement the phase two parking lot and save some money to expand it such that -- and I don't know, Mr. 6 7 Solomon may have already penciled this out to know whether it achieves the 160 parking spots in the main parking lot 8 there or not, but you may be above or below that by a little 9 bit, but I guess I'm a little confused or curious why you 10 design it, and this specifically along Galloway? 11 12 There's the 90 degree spots along Galloway, but those don't exist in the phase one parking lot, and again 13 14 you've got a couple islands there in the phase one, but it's pulled out into phase two. So, I'm just curious why not 15 just design one parking lot and save yourself some money? 16 17 MR. DUSSAN: No, that's a good point Commissioner Imamura, and I will add -- I will ask Ronnie McGhee to build 18 19 on my answer or correct me, but it also has to do with where 20 we're putting our resources, which are very limited at this point, right? 21 22 So, we went through phase one, which we already had some funding approved, make -- put our efforts on what 23 24 we have, which is inside the building and make those 25 necessary changes. I think your point is valid.

the community members have also pointed out that we want to make sure that we don't do anything in the phase one of the parking lot that then we're going to have to, you know, take down and rebuild, you know, let's say landscaping or traditional things like that. So, that will be my answer, and I invite, you know, the designer, Ronnie McGhee, to comment.

MR. MCGHEE: Yes, thanks Javier. So, this is a much debated topic, commissioner. The idea was, again as Javier said, to focus on the interior of the building and, you know, the community was very much interested in maintaining parking, you know, levels thinking that that might impact the community, and we said, well, actually, we have to spend most of the resources on the interior of the building.

So, we weren't going to -- the existing parking lot is being sort of renovated right now -- right now, so let's not spend those resources on that, and then phase two comes in, and we know -- and again, we sort of evolved the campus into less use of vehicles that that -- that we'd fight that battle then at that point, but we really think that the area that we are taking away and the parking lot probably has -- we had several other iterations, but at some point we have swing space, we have trailers, we have work area that has to be maintained, so at some point that

parking lot has to for the most part be under part of the construction activity to build D.

So, really, the parking lot will be changed to make D happen. And D, the date for D has not been established yet, so the idea is that the -- let's get phase one done, let's get the interior of the buildings done, and then we would address parking issues later.

So, that bit of loss of redone space we thought was reasonable. We wanted to keep the right side as intact as possible so if we build storm and water management, we build other elements that can remain on the right side throughout the duration of phase one and phase two.

So, we're targeting that side of the building to remain intact so we're piping water or whatever storm water to that area so that the underground structures can remain in place and make the structures to the left actually where I guess I'm looking at your screen here, where nine is, those areas right there would be more -- less -- would be more durable -- not less -- but the less durable items that can be removed. So, that's what we decided to do as part of our examination of that area. So --

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Thank you, Mr. McGhee. I certainly agree with the strategy to focus all your resources on the interior. It's just that I don't know what slide we would need to go to -- this shows slide 14, this

```
1
    shows slide -- phase one parking lot --
 2
              MR. DUSSAN: 21 shows it. A couple others show it
 3
    now.
 4
              COMMISSIONER IMAMURA:
                                     Thank you, Mr. McGhee.
 5
    here we have -- and this is terrific.
 6
              MR. DUSSAN:
                           Mm-hmm.
 7
              COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Thank you, Mr. Young.
    see a couple islands there. I believe the main island there
 8
    with the text box there. So, I -- and in phase two that
9
10
    shows that that's pulled out.
11
              So, if you're focusing all your resources on the
12
    interior spaces, I don't know whether then the intent is to
    actually build out these islands or not, and if not, then
13
    they would be a -- you know, it might be a little misleading
14
    to the community, where -- and then again you've got -- here
15
    it doesn't show the perpendicular parking along the --
16
17
              MR. MCGHEE: Right. Well, the --
              COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: -- that's parallel to
18
19
    Galloway Street. So --
              MR. MCGHEE: Right. Well, the idea is to put in I
20
    guess for lack of a better description taller shrubs and
21
22
    elements that are not fully trees on the left side so they
    would not have to be -- and down that central aisle so they
23
24
    would be establishing green space but not necessarily a
```

fully grown tree that has been pulled out.

1 COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Sure. 2 MR. MCGHEE: That was discussed. 3 MR. DUSSAN: Okay. 4 MR. MCGHEE: So, and that the -- once we 5 established what was left to be parking, the second scheme in phase two was the most efficient parking lot to get the 6 7 most spaces in that area. So, that's what we -- that's what we were targeting. So, that's basically the reasoning for 8 9 that. 10 COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: All right. MR. MCGHEE: We could have kept everything in 11 12 place where it is, but we definitely would not have that 13 many space without fewer spaces. 14 COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Sure. I follow that, Mr. McGhee, and far be it from me to suggest that, you know, we 15 16 remove any sort of landscaping, but it just seems it -- you 17 know, a little counter intuitive to add landscaping and then, you know, pull that back in phase two, whereas maybe 18 19 you may not do it at all and you could put your resources 20 elsewhere if you implemented the phase two parking scheme. 21 MR. MCGHEE: That's exactly right. So, the 22 question is whether and what shrubbery we put in there and 23 the timing of phase two. So, phase two gets funded earlier 24 while we're doing phase one. We know the target of that, we 25 definitely probably would not put --

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Sure.

MR. MCGHEE: -- any long term elements in there.

If it's going to be farther away, we want the campus to have a good feel throughout the duration while we wait for phase two too.

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Sure, and that leads to my next question, Mr. McGhee, if -- and Mr. Dussan, if any question that's been had about the interior courtyard there? Again, I understand the resources, but it seems to me that it would almost make sense to actually build that out probably maybe towards the last part of your phase, only because it allows those trees to mature and serves as sort of a test bed of whether the design solution works or doesn't work or it needs to be modified in phase two. So, maybe something to consider as you, you know, think through the design solution and make -- and make those refinements, and if --

MR. MCGHEE: Mm-hmm.

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: -- there are the resources to do that, it seems like it might make some sense there to build out as much of the interior courtyard as you can so that way it has time to mature, and that way you've got an opportunity there to see if that works as designed.

MR. MCGHEE: That's what we're trying to suggest here, that a plaza and some mature -- potentially mature

- trees will be established as that first part of the lawn
  there, and that's also part of the storm water management
  area would be established, and the courtyard would enclose
  that and we'd further build out. That's the -- that's the
  goal.
  - I mean, obviously landscaping would have to get in there and show us what exactly can be put in that can stay, but that's -- the suggestion is yes, let's start that process in phase one and finish it off in -- so, that's definitely the idea of what we're trying to illustrate here.
    - COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: And then my last question, Mr. McGhee, is the bioretention, and this is a great slide there. It looks like it's on planned west here, highlighted in the green patch. And I noticed by the topo that everything is sloping from I guess building C there, or Wing C, all the way to South Dakota and Galloway.

MR. MCGHEE: Mm-hmm.

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: So, I'm just sort of curious what the plan is for -- I assume that's the existing bioretention is what I thought I saw on a plan, which seems like it's not quite at the right location. So, the low point of the whole side is actually at the intersection of South Dakota and Gallows?

MR. MCGHEE: Well, actually the idea was to

provide bioretention elements that can drain -- we'd have to pull the downspouts out of the ground first for C, B, and A during this particular process.

Establish a -- you know, our two year storm location, whatever those are, for quality, and then pipe the rest of it toward Galloway in that green space on the side there and have bioretention elements there, or BMPs, as they call them, best management practices there.

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Right.

MR. MCGHEE: And then the idea is that that would be that phase one activity. So -- and some of the -- some of the areas on the -- like I said, on the right side of the parking lot would be all those green spaces where they might stay in place would be areas that would also be areas that we could drain to.

But at this point, you know, there's some indication that we might get good infiltration on the site -- for the site, one of the anomalies in the DC area that might drain here. We've got some indication that might be the case.

So, we have similar opportunities once we get the infiltration testing back. But right now, the bioretention areas that you might see out there right now are only for the greenhouses, the ones that are installed right now at the top of the sheet.

They're only for the greenhouses, so they don't drain -- C, B, and A right now drain into the regular DC storm system, so we'd be establishing a new system to take care of those structures. So --

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Okay, great, and I just want to make a comment about the 9/11 memorial. I noticed that there was something in the record about just either sort of redesigning that, and I just wanted to ask -- and I'm glad that it's being kept. It's important that it's at an entrance, accessible to the public, and visible. Can you elaborate a little bit more on what that might look like, Mr. McGhee?

MR. MCGHEE: Well, we have --

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: What that intention looks like?

MR. MCGHEE: We've heard from stakeholders the need to save that and refurbish that. Obviously it's a very sensitive issue and not at this phase did we get into a lot of detail about what we would do. We're just noting that UDC and the stakeholders at UDC and the leaders recognize the need to make sure that is a prominent element. We want to feature it.

When you go in there, you can walk by there a hundred times and never see it. We want to make sure that it is visible and accessible from the public as you walk

- along South Dakota Avenue, especially if South Dakota Avenue gets more pedestrian traffic because of what' going on with all the development there is to make that more visible.
- So, the idea of how to do that is just in the books that it should be done at this point. We haven't designed that as part of that. South Dakota has a whole new plan coming under DDOT, so Solomon and Meredith can talk about is that -- so, we have to coordinate with them as to how we're going to reorganize South Dakota before we suggest how we might put a memorial in. So --
- 11 COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Great. Thank you, Mr. 12 McGhee.
- MR. MCGHEE: Sure.

20

21

22

- COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Thank you to my fellow commissioners for your forbearance. I've asked enough questions, Mr. Chairman, and I'm hoping that vice-chair Miller will touch on a little bit about ANC 5A and their five points in addition to his questions. If not, I'll circle back.
  - Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank you to the applicant and Dr. Edington and Mr. Dussan, Mr. McGhee, and Ms. Moldenhauer, and Mr. Solomon. Thank you, and Ms. Russell too.
- 24 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Let me just make an 25 announcement. I know that our secretary has to present from

- the ANCs all over the city tonight. So, at 6:30 I will be running everything -- at least that's the goal. So, I just want you to know if it gets messed up after 6:30, blame it on me. Our Vice-Chair Miller?
- VICE CHAIRMAN MILLER: I hope we -- I hope we don't go beyond 6:30, but thank you, Mr. Chairman, for your questions and comments and for my fellow commissioners, Stidham and Imamura, for your comments and questions. Very helpful. Covered a lot of ground. And thank you to the UDC applicant team, President Edington, Vice President Dussan, Meredith Moldenhauer, Ronnie McGhee, Daniel Solomon, and any others who are here.

- Just to clarify, I think you've said -- you stated it in response to questions already, or it's already in the record, but just to clarify, you are -- anyone on the applicant team, you are agreeing to all of the office of planning and DDOT conditions of their recommended approval? Is that correct?
- MS. MOLDENHAUER: I'll start with the DDOT conditions. As Mr. Solomon indicated, we have worked with DDOT and we'll continue to work with them to finalize the conditions as we go through the revised order, but we have as indicated on the slide agreed to the majority of those conditions as outlined.

And for the office of planning, office of planning

did, you know, note some specific elements in their report
which I think we've noted and acknowledged today. And so,
we will be working with DDOT, OP, HPO, and DOEE as we move
along with the project.

- VICE CHAIRMAN MILLER: Okay, and specifically on the OP, student enrollment cap, I guess, if that's what it is, and the duration of the campus plan, those conditions you're okay with?
- 9 MS. MOLDENHAUER: Yes, we had spoken with OP about 10 that, the 3,000 cap for the 2030 time period, yes.
  - VICE CHAIRMAN MILLER: And the DDOT -- the transportation management plan and performance monitoring plan that DDOT -- that you said you're working with DDOT closely to develop prior to the commission's -- our commission's approval, when will that be -- do you have any sense of when that -- the timing of when that will be submitted, well, I guess to us since we're the ones that go to do the approval.
    - MS. MOLDENHAUER: Mr. Solomon?
  - MR. SOLOMON: We're aiming to get that submitted to you all as soon as possible, and as soon as part of any post-hearing filing. We're at the finish line. We just need to iron out some details that we just didn't have enough time to do between when they submitted their report and the hearing itself.

1 VICE CHAIRMAN MILLER: Okay. As soon as possible. 2 Okay. And I quess stepping back a second, so, we recently did the Van Ness -- did we -- did we do the Van Ness campus 3 4 plan? We did that last year or the year before? I can't 5 remember. 6 MS. MOLDENHAUER: Two years ago, Commissioner 7 Miller, yes. That was the second updated campus master plan for Van Ness. 8 9 VICE CHAIRMAN MILLER: Right, and this is the first other campus plan, Lamond-Riggs is the first other 10 11 campus plan. Other -- excuse my ignorance -- are there 12 other campuses that are -- have campus plans that are being developed? Are there other -- are there other UDC campuses 13 14 around town? There are other UDC campuses 15 MS. MOLDENHAUER: 16 around town. There is a UDC campus located at the DC 17 airport in Virginia at the National Airport there. There's 18 also the Firebird campus in Maryland, as well as two other 19 locations in the District of Columbia. 20 And so, you know, the university did undergo an institutional campus master plan which analyzed all of their 21 22 campuses and outlined their long term goals for those 23 multiple campuses. And so, that is obviously part of this

VICE CHAIRMAN MILLER: And that's before us as

24

25

processing of this application.

```
1
    part of this -- no --
 2
              MS. MOLDENHAUER: No, it's an internal document.
                                     It will be -- it will be
 3
              VICE CHAIRMAN MILLER:
    coming before us in the future submissions --
 4
 5
              MS. MOLDENHAUER:
                                Yes.
 6
              VICE CHAIRMAN MILLER: -- or that was part of the
 7
    -- what we approved for the Van Ness?
                                It was an internal document that
 8
              MS. MOLDENHAUER:
9
    was for their own analysis that helped educate them of the
10
    need for this campus master plan, but they have no other
11
    plans currently to cover for you for other campuses at the
12
    moment.
13
              VICE CHAIRMAN MILLER:
                                     And as far as you know
14
    there's no legal requirement that there be a campus plan
    developed for the other campuses?
15
              MS. MOLDENHAUER: Not -- no, not at this time.
16
17
              VICE CHAIRMAN MILLER:
                                    Okay. Going back to the
18
    Department of Energy and Environment comments about the
19
    urban food hub. Just -- I don't know if we've -- I don't
20
    think we've discussed that here today unless I missed it.
21
    It's in -- but it's referenced in the OP report, and I just
22
    wanted to read an excerpt from the OP report page 19 that
23
    summarizes the urban agriculture concerns, and have your
24
    response to that for the record.
25
              So, on page 19 of the OP report at Exhibit 15,
```

they summarized DOEE's urban agricultural comments and it reads as follows. I'll read some of it. DOEE would like the Lamond-Riggs campus plan to address deficiencies in the existing urban food hub components.

Staff from DOEE's office of urban agriculture and fisheries and wildlife division who have visited the existing facilities describe them as under-resourced, underutilized, and failing to operate as intended and as described in the campus plan. Some components of the urban food hub were developed using capital funds provided through sustainable DC challenge grant program which was established by the mayor and administered by DOEE.

If properly resourced, these facilities, including the community garden, demonstration kitchen, ag-pod -- I'm not sure what that is, sounds interesting -- and greenhouses with hydroponics, aquaponics, and a native plant nursery have tremendous potential to benefit students in the surrounding community.

However, the applicant's proposed campus plan does not mention any planned investments for improvements to these facilities or additional program staff to support their operation.

I think -- I think we're cognizant of the resource issues that the university has, but I'm wondering if you can just give a comment and reaction to that -- those DOEE

1 comments and whether you might be working on at least some 2 reference in this campus plan that's before us to try to 3 improve or have investments in the future if the city approves -- if the mayor and council approve it as part of a 4 5 capital improvement program, I guess, that would -- or other operational budget improvement strengthening. I'm just 6 7 wondering if you could comment on the urban food hub, potential, and its lack of reference in the current campus 8 plan that's before us and whether -- and what you have to 9 10 say about that. Anybody, Vice President Dussan, or --11 MR. DUSSAN: You --12 VICE CHAIRMAN MILLER: -- anybody? MR. DUSSAN: Thank you, commissioner, for that --13 14 following that question, and I'm happy to report that this past Friday the college of agriculture and urban 15 sustainability had an open house for students and the 16 17 community at Lamond-Riggs, and it also expanded on the food 18 hub program. The food hub program has already been 19 initiated. 20 So, there is already crops on the ground. is -- it was recently redesigned with raised beds and I 21 22 think some of the investment that had started for the 23 aquaponics and the greenhouses are being completed, and the 24 plan is to have -- and the ag-pod, which is a fantastic

project with Petco, which is basically a trailer where you

can grow food there vertically that's operating.

So, I -- you know, I may, you know, rely on President Edington if he wants to add more, but I think that is a program that is key to the university, and we believe facilities are working very closely with the courses and the community on having that program, you know, moving along.

MS. RUSSELL: I would -- Avis Russell, general counsel, UDC. I would also add that the commercial kitchen and the demonstration kitchen are completed, and we will shortly be -- they've started doing some programs in the demonstration kitchen, and we will be putting the commercial kitchen into use where people in the community can come there and prepare food and -- for, you know, entrepreneurial efforts.

VICE CHAIRMAN MILLER: Thank you, Ms. Russell, for mentioning that. Yeah, I think that there -- that's important, and it's important -- it's an opportunity to benefit not just the university and the students and faculty but the surrounding community and the entrepreneurial opportunities that you just mentioned, but is there some consideration being given to adding a reference to the program in this campus plan that's before us?

MS. MOLDENHAUER: Sure, I guess what I would respond to that is that a lot of these facilities are existing. And so, the reason why you may see an absence in

that is that if you look at, like, for example slide 10,

phase one, and as general counsel Russell mentioned, the

existing commercial grade kitchen has already been improved

and is obviously going to be maintained as all of our

existing conditions and facilities, but does not need to be

upgraded or renovated or modernized, so therefore it was not

focused on.

- Same to -- as to the outdoor gardens and the existing ag-pod. That area is between the actual commercial kitchen and then the images one and two on that diagram, and you can see that that's staying the same. It is going to be hopefully improving and enhancing its existing condition now through phase one and phase two. And so, given that, that we're not needing to enhance that physically as part of the facilities, that may be where you are not seeing it detailed in our filing.
- VICE CHAIRMAN MILLER: Well, I -- yeah, so, I understand. And so -- and so there aren't any physical improvements that are currently contemplated in this ten year period for that program, but I'm just wondering if as part of a campus plan, the goals, you know, maintain and improve as feasible.
- Something -- something at least in general that stresses the importance that you -- that the university places on that program as part of its mission, it might be

useful to consider adding it as in this first campus plan statement for the -- for the Lamond-Riggs campus.

MS. MOLDENHAUER: Yes, Vice Chair Miller, we can always look at that as a supplemental after this hearing.

VICE CHAIRMAN MILLER: Okay, and I guess finally I -- prompted by Commissioner Imamura, and I'll let him fill in the gaps if I don't follow his prompt accurately. We do have the letter -- well, I'm looking at a letter, Exhibit 18, I think there might have been a separate resolution at a later exhibit that I think I heard -- well, I'm looking at a letter -- which -- from ANC 5A which supports this campus plan, and appreciates all of the reaching out to the -- to them and to others in the community and incorporating feedback thus far, but I'm wondering if you could just address the -- so, they -- so, they include -- they detail on a -- on two pages a number of transportation sustainability, community relations, campus character, and other considerations that they want you to continue to consider as you -- as you move forward.

I just wonder if you have any comment on how they already have -- each of those considerations that they've asked that we consider as part of our approval of the campus plan are being incorporated or how your -- what your comment is on the ANC 5A concerns.

MS. MOLDENHAUER: Commissioner Miller, let me go

- 1 through them point by point. Or, I mean, we did -- Mr.
- 2 Dussan did address some of these points -- sort of high
- 3 level points at slide 18 in our formal presentation
- 4 | identifying that we, you know, we did receive these points
- 5 from the ANC.
- 6 We did respond to them line by line as well as
- 7 | filing in our supplemental filing to the zoning commission
- 8 additional modifications where we actually did incorporate
- 9 specific language in the campus master plan as well as
- 10 | indicating elements of transportation management that we
- 11 | coordinated with DDOT as Mr. Solomon mentioned, as well as
- 12 making specific, you know, recommendations and changes to
- 13 our landscaping to include, you know, different and
- 14 | localized plants and other elements. Incorporating more
- 15 | language about community outreach and additional elements in
- 16 our plan being directly responsive to these ANC comments.
- 17 VICE CHAIRMAN MILLER: Okay. Well, I appreciate
- 18 | that response, and I thank you -- I thank you for it.
- 19 That's -- Commissioner Imamura can follow up if he thinks
- 20 I've appropriately took the prompt.
- 21 Thank you, all of you, for all of your work and --
- 22 | in bringing this forward to us today, and I look forward to
- 23 getting to a vote in the near future as you work on -- as
- 24 | you further work on the DDOT -- mostly -- I think it's
- 25 mostly the DDOT conditions. So, thank you very much. Thank

1 you, Mr. Chairman.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you, Vice Chair. I'm going 3 to be very quick, because I really don't want to take us over 6:30, which might be a problem. Some of the -- some of 4 my colleagues have mentioned, and I appreciate them bringing up everything.

Especially the -- and I'm glad Commissioner Imamura mentioned about the memorial, and we have to continue these things. Asian garden, when that was done, I was there, but I will tell you that the couple that used to keep that memorial going, both of them are now deceased, and I think I would not be -- I would not have done my due diligence if I don't mention that at this point in time, so I'm glad to hear that that's going to be memorialized in some kind of way and kept up.

The other thing is I remember UDC when they did their first campus plan. Ms. Moldenhauer, were you the one who represented them for that very first campus plan?

MS. MOLDENHAUER: I'm sorry to say I was not, so another law firm was representing them for their first campus master plan at Van Ness campus. I wrote the name down in a second.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: I just want to say this, and you two are included. I wanted to say this, that I've done a lot of campus plans in 25 years, and UDC is -- there's

another university I hold right up at the top, and President
Edington, I wanted you all to know -- I hold you right at
the top too, because you do a lot of work with the
neighborhood. So, I want you all to continue.

- We've had some -- when I first got there, we've had some tough times with trying to balance the campus plan and the community, and I appreciate what UDC is doing along with another university, and all of them that are starting -- we're starting to work together, and I think you all started off that way, so I want to commend you when I think about UDC's first campus plan.
- I think about people like -- I think her name was Barbara Dentry or Barbara Jumper, I can't remember now, and also I think about Thomas Redmond and others who work with the community, and I put them on the spot about things, and they got it done, and they never waivered.
- They kept working with the community until UDC came up with a favorable opinion for us, so I appreciate them, as well as you, Ms. Russell, and all those now who are doing the work for working in the community, and you, Mr. President, as well.
- And one of the other things that I think about, you know, this is what happens when you've been around for 25 years, and when I looked at this -- and what gave me a comfort level is that you all have Ronnie McGhee. This work

precedes him.

We know what he's done over the years in this city, and he knows his stuff. So, I don't have a whole lot of design questions, but I -- because I know who you all have there, and I know his record, and I know what he's done in this -- for this city.

MR. MCGHEE: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: You're welcome, Mr. McGhee, I'm just saying what I know. The other thing is, I want to thank Commissioner Imamura, because I would not have been able to formalize that chain link fence like you did. I appreciate your comments, and I support his comments for us as we move forward.

And my last thing is, again, I want to thank those who have been working hard with this community. This really -- when we have -- and I know the other thing is, Ms. Evans, Ms. Moldenhauer, I'm not going to ask you to respond to it now.

Let me back up. The chair -- the vice chair just asked you did you -- did you all address Chairman Fletcher's comments in his letter. Do they know what your responses are, or were they just sent to us?

MS. MOLDENHAUER: We presented to the ANC after they have emailed us their list, and we walked through our responses with the ANC at a community meeting.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Well, you can let Mr.

Fletcher know, and I know he may already have somebody else, but we were looking for him at the zoning commission hearing. So, again, I want to thank everyone for what they've done.

I would also ask that we respond to Ms. Evans,

I would also ask that we respond to Ms. Evans, which is Exhibit 20. I think she has a well thought out, well done letter, and I think some of that -- even though some of that might be in different directions, but I think even if you don't go in that direction, any time -- like my colleagues, I say this all the time.

Any time we disagree, when everybody puts theirs in the pot, we come up with a better outcome, and I think this is what happened in this case, but other than that, I think you all are well on your way. I like what I see. We have a few things we need to touch on, and let's continue to -- continue to be that model university and working with the community. So, thank you. Let me see if my colleagues have anything else. Anything else, Commissioner Imamura?

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I just want to thank Vice Chair Miller. He aptly addressed the questions that I was hoping the applicant would address about ANC 5A.

And I guess I would like to ask Mr. McGhee and Mr. Dussan if you can commit to the idea that if you are going

to install -- just to make my point a little more clear -in phase one these islands with planting buffers, but then
in 24 months redesign the parking lot that you relocate
those plans somewhere else on the campus.

MS. RUSSELL: I just want to clarify before Javier or Ronnie answers that we do not have funding for phase two. So, when phase one is completed in 24 months, that campus may remain like that for a while. We do not have funds currently in our capital projects for that.

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: No, thank you Ms. Russell for that point, because that actually underscores the point that I'm trying to make, is that if the end goal is to implement the parking lot in phase two as its shown, then the phase one design for the parking lot should be the same as the phase two, only just with more spaces.

So, it doesn't make sense to actually install in phase one planting islands, and then in phase two, pull them out, even if you don't have money to implement phase two right now, that is the plan that's shown, that in phase two.

Well, in 24 months, we'll rip out the planting beds that we just installed, or the planting islands that we just installed, so -- and reconfigure the parking at that. So, my point is, why not just do the same layout for phase two as phase one? So, implement your phase two parking plan and just add more spaces? So, you'll save a lot -- you'll

1 save more money that way. All right. Thank you, Mr. 2 Chairman. Thank you, Commissioner Imamura. 3 CHAIRMAN HOOD: 4 Ms. Russell, we do try to help save money too, so we get it. 5 We understand, all right? Ms. Moldenhauer? 6 MS. MOLDENHAUER: Two points. One, I know that we 7 have Mr. David Franklin, the chief operating officer that's also on here. He may be necessary for comments later on. I 8 9 just wanted to acknowledge that he's here and see if we 10 could bring him into the panel. 11 Two, I noticed from a form perspective that there 12 was a comment earlier made by Ms. Schellin, and I do acknowledge that we did not file Mr. Solomon's resume into 13 14 the record. I do acknowledge him as an expert. I know he's been acknowledged as an expert in the past, and there were 15 16 obviously some questions tonight that were focused on 17 transportation. 18 We'd be happy to in a supplement submit his resume

We'd be happy to in a supplement submit his resume if that's necessary, or to ask that he be recognized at this time for testimony status and be identified for his entire testimony today. I apologize for the late notice of that comment. And my last comment, as I did just also receive an email --

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Let me just focus on -- let me just focus on one comment. If I take too many I get messed

```
1
    up. So, you said Mr. Solomon, right? Who is that?
              MS. MOLDENHAUER: Daniel Solomon from Gorove
 2
 3
    Slade.
              CHAIRMAN HOOD: Oh, yeah, we've already done that.
 4
 5
    So, why do we have to do that again? Do you want me to ask
    him?
 6
 7
              MS. MOLDENHAUER: I don't think it was done for
8
    this hearing tonight.
9
              CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right, any objections? Okay,
    then let's move to the next one.
10
11
              MS. MOLDENHAUER: Ms. Evans, who is a community
12
    member who did file the Exhibit 20 that was mentioned
    earlier, did email us and say that she was in the audience
13
    today and would like to testify. I just want to make sure
14
    the commission is aware of that, because I did receive that
15
    email.
16
17
              CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you, Ms. Moldenhauer. We're
    going to come to Ms. Evans shortly. We just -- but I'd like
18
19
    to get to everybody before 6:30. If not, Anthony Hood will
20
    be taking over, and I don't have a problem trying to do that
21
    as well. All right, anything else?
22
              MS. MOLDENHAUER: No more comments. Thank you.
23
              CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right. Ms. Schellin, do we
24
    have Chairperson Fletcher here for cross examination?
```

MS. SCHELLIN: Let me check. I don't think so,

```
1
    but I will check one more time, and Mr. Young I'm sure is
 2
    checking also. No, I do not see.
              CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Let's put out an APB on
 3
    him. Okay, let's see if we can move through this rather
 4
 5
    briefly and get to Ms. Evans. Let's go to -- do we have any
    other -- anybody -- any other government agencies, Ms.
 6
 7
    Schellin, besides DDOT and OP that are here to testify or
    would like to testify?
8
9
              MS. SCHELLIN: That are -- no, no others that are
    here to testify. Just OP and DDOT.
10
11
              CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, let's go to --
12
              MS. SCHELLIN: Did the commission grant expert
13
    status to --
14
              CHAIRMAN HOOD: Mr. Solomon?
              MS. SCHELLIN: -- Mr. Solomon? Because usually
15
    that's done prior to the hearing starting, and they did not
16
17
    ask for that in any of their submissions.
18
              CHAIRMAN HOOD: She asked for it. She asked for
19
    it just now.
20
              MS. SCHELLIN: Just now? Yeah.
21
              CHAIRMAN HOOD: Because we previously have done
22
    that, correct?
              MS. SCHELLIN: Not that I know of. It's usually
23
```

asked for -- it's supposed to be asked for at least 20 days

prior to the hearing, and we didn't do that.

24

```
1
              CHAIRMAN HOOD: But I mean we have given Mr.
 2
    Solomon expert status previously, correct?
 3
              MS. SCHELLIN: I don't know. I would have to
 4
    research that.
 5
              CHAIRMAN HOOD: That's okay. I think we're good.
 6
              COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: I think you're right, Mr.
 7
    Chairman.
              CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yeah, okay. All right, so we've
 8
9
    done it. We waived it and we'll accept it. I'm pretty sure
10
    we have previously, even though Mr. Solomon we're going to
11
    look at those bake lanes on South Dakota Avenue. You and I
12
    will talk about that.
              MS. SCHELLIN: Oh, Daniel Solomon, yes, from
13
14
    Gorove Slade, yes.
              CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right. Okay, and where am I
15
16
    at now? Let's go Mr. Hagan?
17
              MR. HAGAN: Good evening, Chairman Hood and
18
    members of the commission. For the record, I'm Noah Hagan
    with the District Department of Transportation. DDOT is
19
20
    supportive of the applicant's proposal to redevelop the
21
    former -- or, redevelop the UDC Lamond-Riggs campus.
22
              In our October 20th report, we recommended
    approval with one condition, implementation of a
23
24
    transportation demand management plan. And as you heard in
25
    the application presentation, we've come to an agreement in
```

principle on the details of the plan and the performance 1 2 management plan, but we're still working out the final details. 3 In the next week or two, the applicant will submit 4 5 to the record the final language that we both agreed to, and with those included in the zoning order, DDOT would have no 6 7 objection to the approval of this design review application -- excuse me, campus plan application. 8 9 Oh, and we look forward to continue to working with the applicant on the design of the streetscape and the 10 11 curbside management plan as they continue to further refine 12 Thank you, and I'd be happy to answer any their design. 13 questions. 14 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you, Mr. Hagan, and we appreciate your succinct report. Commissioners, any 15 16 questions of Mr. Hagan? Commissioner Stidham and 17 Commissioner Imamura are saying no. Vice Chair Miller? 18 Okay. 19 VICE CHAIRMAN MILLER: No. Thank you, Mr. Hagan. 20 CHAIRMAN HOOD: I don't have any, either. Moldenhauer, any cross on DDOT? 21 22 MS. MOLDENHAUER: No cross for DDOT, and thank you very much for working with us. 23

CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right, thank you, and

Chairperson Fletcher is not here yet. He may not be here.

24

We have his letter. Okay, thank you, Mr. Hagan. We appreciate your report. All right, let's go to the office of planning. Ms. Myers?

MS. MYERS: Hello. Okay, here I am. Good evening, commissioners. OP recommends approval of zoning commission case 23-16, which is the University of District of Columbia Lamond-Riggs 2023 to 2030 campus plan. This is the first campus plan for the Lamond-Riggs campus, and it would allow UDC to transform the former Bertie Backus Junior High School into a traditional community college campus.

The comprehensive plan's future landings map identifies the entire campus for local public facility use, and a generalized policy map identifies it for neighborhood conservation. This campus plan would not be inconsistent with either of these designations.

The campus plan is -- also complies with the campus plan special exception criteria in the zoning regulations. When viewed through a racial equity lens, UDC is a historically black university, an HBCU, and provides a higher education at an affordable cost, which makes it accessible to many students from diverse racial and economic backgrounds.

This is especially noteworthy because the race or ethnic group with the highest percentage of residents who do not have more than a high school diploma are the District's

black residents, and black residents have the lowest median 1 2 income in the District. 3 The proposed campus plan would allow UDC Lamond-4 Riggs to increase its capacity, which would provide an 5 affordable higher education option to more low income black students, and all other students seeking an affordable 6 7 higher education option in the district. And with that, I conclude the OP presentation. Thank you, and of course I'm 8 here for questions. 9 10 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you as always, Ms. Myers. Let's see if we have any questions. Commissioner Stidham? 11 12 COMMISSIONER STIDHAM: No, sir. No questions from 13 me. 14 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you. Commissioner Imamura? COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: No questions. Thank you, 15 Ms. Myers, as always. 16 17 CHAIRMAN HOOD: And Vice Chair Miller? 18 VICE CHAIRMAN MILLER: No question. Thank you, 19 Ms. Myers, for your report and testimony tonight. 20 CHAIRMAN HOOD: And Ms. Moldenhauer, any 21 questions? 22 MS. MOLDENHAUER: Thank you Ms. Myers for working with us, and no questions for you at this time. 23 24 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Ms. Myers, I'm now going to ask

you an outstanding question. Do you like the -- thank you

- for your report, you made that perfectly clear, so thank
  you. Have a nice evening. All right, Ms. Schellin, what
  are we doing next? Do we have anybody who is here in
  support?
- MS. SCHELLIN: No. We only have one witness, and she is undeclared, and that's Ms. Evans.
- 7 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Let's bring Ms. Evans up. 8 Okay, Ms. Evans, welcome to the zoning commission. You may 9 begin.
- MS. EVANS: Good afternoon Chairman Hood and
  members of the commission. My name is Uchenna Evans. I'm a
  resident on Hamilton Street Northeast up the street from
  UDC's Lamond-Riggs campus in Riggs Park in ANC 5A01. Thank
  you for the opportunity to testify.

- I first want to acknowledge the appreciation for the small improvements UDC has made to the Lamond-Riggs campus to date. Turning to the campus plan, while I support many elements of the proposed Lamond-Riggs campus plan, I ask that the zoning commission include the recommendations listed by myself and ANC 5A in the final order for this campus plan.
- My recommendations are really centered around making sure someone in a position of authority really takes ownership and accountability for this campus, and that UDC develops and actual plan around neighborhood outreach and

engagement so that UDC can create a thriving community college program and campus.

UDC did not do a good job with outreach and engagement during this process. During meetings, basic questions could not be answered, and follow up was not good. I think UDC can do better. My written testimony focuses on four elements in the plan.

One, transportation element. Hamilton Street loading. Because UDC is relocating service loading access to Hamilton Street and sending more vehicles up Hamilton Street, UDC needs to work with DDOT in phase one to address the parking situation at South Dakota and Hamilton where people park their cars all the way up to the crosswalk and in the crosswalk itself.

Daylighting must be in the plan, because trucks are not going to be able to make that turn from South Dakota to Hamilton if the street design is not addressed in phase one.

Bike share. UDC really should have a bike share station on the campus. It would help to minimize auto trips to the campus.

Number two, sustainability element, food hub. UDC does not adequately address the operations of the Cozen food hub at the Lamond-Riggs campus. Much of what is written in the draft plan is inaccurate. There is no longer a

traditional community garden, and causes has never successfully operated a farmers market at the location.

There is no longer aquaponics at the campus.

- Apparently causes recently developed a five year plan that addresses future operations of the food hub allegedly as a result of requirements by the US Department of Agriculture that Cozen officials state require them to focus on developing small farmer entrepreneurs.
- The public was not given the opportunity to see that plan. It would have been nice to discuss this plan with Cozen officials during the campus plan process. At a minimum, I request that UDC address this five year food hub plan in the Lamond-Riggs campus plan.
  - Recycling. UDC really needs to add public recycling receptacles next to the public trash cans that sit outside the building along South Dakota Avenue. There are two outside on the campus grounds. UDC can simply put two recycling cans next to them.
  - Trash pick up. UDC has to do a better job of picking up trash surrounding the campus on Galloway, Hamilton, and South Dakota. Also, UDC should work with the National Parks Service to clean up the area across the street on the campus on the park land.
- Number three, community relations outreach.

  Simply put, the university needs an outreach plan. It's

evident they do not have one. This is really important for when the university begins intensive activities to renovate the existing buildings and construct a new wing on campus.

Number four, campus character element. I want to make sure UDC understands that it should do certain things with respect to this particular campus. For example, it may have an internship program, but there should be satisfactory internship opportunities at this particular campus, building pathways to careers offering family sustaining wages.

As noted in my written testimony, UDC should connect the dots between its community college programs and pathways to occupations and careers that offer wages to support families, given the planned relocation of DOES from the campus grounds, it would be useful to know how UDC intends to foster and maintain a beneficial partnership with the state workforce agency to connect students and graduates of the community college program to potential employers, and likewise connect job seekers to community college programs.

These conclude my remarks. I direct the zoning commission to my written testimony for my full list of recommendations, and I am happy to answer any questions at this time.

I also -- sorry, just had additional comments regarding some -- the questions that you asked of UDC, but I can withhold those comments until -- if you -- if you would

like me to expound further. Thank you so much.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you, Ms. Evans. You're more than welcome to do that if you would like. We've asked so many questions we're not really what you want to -- but I have asked them to review your testimony, and I think it was very well written, very well done, so thank you, and that's what we need to hear, exactly what I see here in your testimony, and I've asked Ms. Moldenhauer to respond to the commission in writing what -- so we can -- I can look and see, and I'm sure my colleagues -- we can do a side by side comparison as we go through this case. Did you want to respond to some of the questions? I mean, did you have a few of them? I don't know which questions you wanted to respond to.

MS. EVANS: So, you asked about the chain link fence. This is something that we've been asking clarity for. My understanding is that the university plans to remove the chain link fence on Galloway and replace it with a decorative fence, and I heard Commissioner Imamura talk about using landscaping to define the edge of the campus.

I would just like to just suggest further conversations about that. I will note that, again, I live on Hamilton Street just up the street from the campus. I see the activity that goes alongside the parkland on Galloway Street.

There are people who pretty much just tailgate on the weekends, you know, in the evening hours. And so I do think that there should be some, you know, I don't know what to call it, some barrier to prevent people from using the campus without permission, I'll just say, so that UDC does not come back to on Monday morning, you know, a parking lot full of empty beer bottles and cigarettes and the like. So, that is just one thing I wanted to mention.

UDC mentioned recommendations about signal time for Hamilton and South Dakota. DDOT and UDC really need to work on Hamilton and South Dakota. It is -- it is just a mess.

I spent much of my personal time asking DDOT to look at the timing on South Dakota and Hamilton because cars turning from Hamilton to South Dakota were sitting there each cycle, never getting a green light. It's messed up now.

I just want DDOT to make sure that they address the light signal at Hamilton and South Dakota and that UDC really work with DDOT to do that because I have a day job, and as Mr. Dussan mentioned, we also asked about the parking lot on Galloway and why UDC would build a parking lot for phase one only to then seemingly rip it out for phase two. I don't quite know what's going on with the parking lot situation. That, I'm actually less concerned about than --

1 I'll leave the other things that I mention in my letter. 2 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Ms. Evans, let me ask you. 3 you discussed some of your comments with other neighbors, or 4 did you -- does LRCA also participate in this process that 5 you know of? Because I didn't see it, and I see in your 6 7 testimony you mentioned the Lamond-Riggs association in North Michigan Park and I want to thank you for clarifying 8 9 that, doing that, but did you -- LRCA, did they have a part to play in any of this? 10 11 MS. EVANS: So, we -- you know, LRCA does have 12 what's called a development task force and I'll just state for the record I am not affiliated with LRCA in any sort of 13 14 official capacity. I'm just a member and a resident of the organization. 15 And so, we did have conversations at the task 16 17 force level. LRCA, you know, chose not to participate. Ι 18 think it was just the matter of bandwidth for the 19 organization in terms of, you know, formally participating, but you know, UDC is aware. I did send an email to them 20 with this feedback ahead of time, and on that were other 21 22 neighbors who also provided their input on the plan, and that served as the basis for ANC 5A's resolution. 23

suggest, Ms. Moldenhauer and President Edington that we look

So, what I'm -- what I'm going to

CHAIRMAN HOOD:

24

at something that we did over in Georgetown, I know as Vice Chair Miller would also echo this, I believe.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And now when we have their plans, they all -- they were holding hands, and I shouldn't have called them names, but I did. I appreciate what they done, but that was one of the universities where we had a lot of contention between the neighborhood, and now every time I see them, they come down here on a united front in those hearings.

So, I want to make sure we don't get to that point here at UDC either on this campus or the other campus, and I'm glad to know about the other campuses. I really -either I missed it or just don't remember them, but I think it's important -- and I'm putting this out there, Mr. President, to think about -- we had a liaison group -- I don't know how that looks, who that would be, community ANC, I'm not sure how you would shape it, but I think that would be a good piece, because it works everywhere else. saying you have to do it, I'm just saying I'm asking you all to consider it, and that -- and that way you would meet periodically as I think Ms. Evans mentioned in her testimony in the community engagement group, which I think we're bound to do now by the comprehensive plan, but I still say a community engagement group that you work with -- I don't know how -- like I said, I don't know how that looks, who is going to be on it. I don't know anything about that, but

```
you want to try and get an odd number, seven or five, I
 1
    would not do 11, 13, unless you want your work cut out for
 2
 3
    you. So, I'm just going to throw that out there. Any other
 4
    questions? Colleagues, let's see if there's any other
 5
    questions, Ms. Evans.
 6
              DR. EDINGTON: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
 7
              CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you, Mr. President, for at
    least considering. Commissioner Stidham? Okay.
8
9
              COMMISSIONER STIDHAM:
                                     Questions? I don't have
10
    any.
11
              CHAIRMAN HOOD: Commissioner Imamura? I'm sorry,
12
    Commissioner Imamura?
13
              COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
14
    Thank you, Ms. Evans, for your comments and your feedback
    tonight. I would only add, and I think this is for Mr.
15
16
    Dussan and Mr. McGhee and UDC in general, to your comment
17
    about use during off school hours or core hours that perhaps
18
    they just emphasize, you know, crime prevention through
19
    environmental design.
20
              You know, that's a theory and a design concept
    that's pretty -- that's been out there for quite a while,
21
22
    but I'm certain that that will be embedded or seen in Mr.
23
    D's final design solution. So, that's a great comment, Ms.
24
    Evans.
            Thank you very much for your testimony tonight.
```

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Vice Chair Miller?

1 VICE CHAIRMAN MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 2 Thank you, Ms. Evans, for your very thoughtful and succinct 3 both written testimony and verbal testimony here tonight, and I do echo the chairman's comments just now in reaction 4 5 to that -- to the university in terms of trying to establish 6 maybe some kind of liaison committee or community liaison 7 committee to give more framework to that community engagement that you are participating in, and I look -- I 8 too will also look forward as the chairman said to -- in the 9 10 applicant's -- Ms. Moldenhauer, in the applicant's post-11 hearing submission to responding to each of the comments 12 that both the ANC 5A have made in their letter to us and Ms. Evans' comments so we can just see clearly what's been 13 incorporated, what isn't, what's under consideration, what's 14 -- how we're going forward just so we can all -- including 15 16 those who've commented -- know where we are at this point. 17 So, thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. 18 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you. And thank you, Ms. 19 Evans, for taking the time to do this. Thank you very much. 20 We appreciate your testimony. MS. EVANS: 21 Thank you. 22 CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right. Let me just say to Ms. Russell and others, Ms. Russell, we get it. We know where 23 24 your budget comes from. We know -- we all get a budget, and 25 we know how that works. Trust me. So, understand that we -

1 - I get it, and I'm sure we get it. So, all right. Ms. 2 Moldenhauer, any -- do you have any rebuttal? 3 MS. MOLDENHAUER: I'll just make two main comments. One, that we did start with community engagement 4 5 back in October 19th of 2022, which then included a community stakeholder meeting. 6 7 We then have a UDC leadership meeting in November We presented initially to the ANC as an 8 of 2022. 9 introductory presentation back in November 16th of 2022, and 10 continued to communicate with them in January and then May, 11 and then had additional communication and conversations with 12 them in May, and then as well as September, and October of 13 this year. 14 We did specifically respond in email a Juanita Grey, who is the University of the District of Columbia 15 community outreach coordinator, has specifically emailed 16 17 with Ms. Evans and responded to many of her questions and 18 comments directly and will continue to act as the liaison 19 and does attend ANC meetings providing university updates. 20 And so, we will be happy to, as commissioner indicated, respond in writing to those comments where it can be, as Mr. 21 22 Hood indicated, a side-by-side written review of those 23 comments and responses. 24 With that, we believe that the application is

comprehensive and that we do satisfy the special exception

- requirements for the campus master plan, and we look forward to the zoning commission moving forward with preliminary action, and we'd be happy to supplement the record with anything between now and then. CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you, Ms. Moldenhauer, and I will just ask -- I will contradict myself -- I'm going to ask that you give us soundbite responses. I love dissertations, but soundbites will be very helpful to us, because we have a lot of stuff that we have to read. So, that will be straight to the point. And what we -- what we were saying though, and again, and I think President Edington really caught it, I
  - again, and I think President Edington really caught it, I think is it would be good -- I know you met with the ANC, I know you did -- put those up -- a member from the LRCA, a member from North Michigan Park Civil Association, a member from the ANC, and then two at-large members who would basically do a lot of the legwork because they're invested.

And then when there are issues, they will meet with the president or whomever the -- Mr. Dussan or whomever, and then they work through that way, and then you will find it -- you know, I can't explain it all, but I think you all get it. You get it. That's just a suggestion.

All right, any other thing -- anything else, colleagues? All right, Ms. Schellin, do -- we need to do a

```
list, so, I know it's usually on an applicant matter, but
1
 2
    let's close this out, Ms. Schellin.
 3
              MS. SCHELLIN: We just -- I just need to give
            I'm sure they have their own list. Ms. Moldenhauer,
 4
 5
    how much time do you think you guys need to put everything
               Two weeks? Three weeks?
 6
    together?
 7
              MS. MOLDENHAUER: I would take three weeks, if we
    could get three weeks, that'd be great.
8
9
              MS. SCHELLIN: Okay. Let's see, one, two, three,
    that would be November 20th, 3:00 p.m., and the ANC if they
10
11
    choose to do so, OP and DDOT as well, would have a week to
12
    respond, and with the holiday in there, we'll make it until
    the 28th for them, 3:00 p.m., and we could put this on for
13
14
    11:30 for decision, and a draft findings, facts, conclusions
    of law also due by the 28th.
15
16
              CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Anything else, Ms.
17
    Schellin?
18
              MS. SCHELLIN:
                             No, sir.
19
              CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right. Mr. President, I know
20
    that the Williams Spalding campus that I mentioned, I'm not
    going to make this a part of this case, but I'll wait and
21
22
    talk to you in person, and whenever you see me running
23
    around town, I will be mentioning that again. I'm probably
24
    not the only one that has mentioned it, but I may be.
```

DR. EDINGTON: I got it. I made a note, sir.

Looking forward to discussing it. 1 2 CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right. So, let me just say this before I close out. The zoning commission will meet 3 again on November -- we have two days off, so we'll meet 4 again on November the 2nd, zoning commission case 23-09, 650 5 Morton Street Northwest, LLC. We will meet on these same 6 7 platforms at 4:00 p.m. Ms. Schellin, anything else you need to say? 8 9 MS. SCHELLIN: No, sir. 10 CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right. It worked out. I want 11 to thank everyone for their participation tonight, UDC, the 12 community, and everyone involved with this particular case tonight. Thank you for all the work -- hard work you all --13 14 you all do, and let's continue to make it successful. With that, this hearing is adjourned. Good night, everyone. 15 (Whereupon the above-entitled meeting was 16 17 adjourned.) 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1	REPORTER CERTIFICATE
2	
3	This is to certify that the foregoing transcript
4	In the matter of: Public Meeting
5	Before: D.C. Zoning Commission
6	Date: 10-30-2023
7	Place: Teleconference
8	was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my
9	direction; further, that said transcript is a true and
10	accurate record of the proceedings.
11	
12	
13	
	Gary Thell
14	
15	
16	Gary Euell
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	