GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

ZONING COMMISSION

+ + + + +

REGULAR PUBLIC MEETING

+ + + + +

THURSDAY

SEPTEMBER 21, 2023

+ + + + +

The Public Meeting of the District of Columbia Zoning Commission convened via teleconference, pursuant to notice at 4:00 p.m. EDT, Anthony J. Hood, Chairperson, presiding.

ZONING COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT:

ANTHONY J. HOOD, Chairperson ROBERT E. MILLER, Vice Chair JOSEPH IMAMURA, Commissioner TAMMIE STIDHAM, Commissioner

OFFICE OF ZONING STAFF PRESENT:

SHARON S. SCHELLIN, Secretary PAUL YOUNG, Zoning Data Specialist

OFFICE OF ZONING LEGAL DIVISION STAFF PRESENT:

DENNIS LIU, Esquire

The transcript constitutes the minutes from the Regular Public Meeting held on September 21, 2023.

T-A-B-L-E OF C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S
OPENING STATEMENT:
Anthony J. Hood
PRESENTATION: Zoning Commission Case No. 20-33(B) - (D.C. Department of General Services on behalf of the University of the District of Columbia - Campus Plan Amendment and Further Processing Approval for Construction of New D.C. Archives at Square 1964, Lot 812.)
PRELIMINARY MATTERS:
Sharon S. Schellin
APPLICANT'S REPORT:
Meridith H. Moldenhauer, Esq. Co-Chair of Zoning, Land use Development Cozen O'Connor Law Firm
Kimberly A. Bassett, Secretary The State of Washington, D.C
Maurice Eddington, President University of the District of Columbia
COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS:
Commissioners
CONT'D. APPLICANT'S REPORT:
Javier Dussan, Vice President of Facilities and Real Estate Management - University of the District of Columbia

Dr. Lopez D. Matthews, PhD D.C. State Archivist and Public Records Administrator Director, D.C. Office of Public Records and Archives Chair, Historical Records Advisory Board
Scott Teixeira, Architect Hartman-Cox Architects
Meridith H. Moldenhauer, Esq. Co-Chair of Zoning, Land use Development Cozen O'Connor Law Firm
COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS:
Commissioners
DDOT REPORT:
Kelsey Bridges, Transportation Planner D.C. Department of Transportation
COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS:
Commissioners
OP REPORT:
Crystal Myers, AICP Development Review Specialist D.C. Office of Planning
COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS:
Commissioners
ANC REPORT:
Commissioner James Tanderic - (ANC-3-F) Meridith H. Moldenhauer, Esq

COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS:	
Commissioners	
WITNESSES IN SUPPORT:	
David Weinberg, D.C. Resident - Ward 3 Former Sr. Manager of the National Archives and Records Administration	12
Professor Amanda Huron College of Arts and Sciences University of the District of Columbia	15
Neil Flanagan, D.C. Resident Architect and Historian	17
Trudy Peterson, Certified Archivist Chair of the Archives Advisory Group of the Council of the District of Columbia	20
COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS:	
Commissioners	22
CONT'D. WITNESSES IN SUPPORT:	
Kimberly Bender, D.C. Resident - Ward 6 on behalf of the D.C. Archives Advocates	26
Caroline Petti, Former member of the D.C. Council Archives Advisory Group	3 0
Commissioner Teri Huet - ANC-3D-02 Advisory Neighborhood Commission	32
Bill Rice, D.C. Resident - Ward 3 Advocate for the Historic preservation of the D.C. Government	34

COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS:			
Commissioners	•		.135
WITNESSES IN OPPOSITION:			
Daphne Humphrey, Student University of the District of Columbia			.138
Dnisa Oocumma, Student University of the District of Columbia		•	.140
Keshini Ladduwahetty, D.C. Resident Volunteer of the University of the District of Columbia Garden Club	•	•	.144
Michael Jacobson, D.C. Resident Past president of a D.C. Non-profit organization.	•		.146
Gina Neilly, Student Member of the UDC Garden Club University of the District of Columbia		•	.149
COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS:			
Commissioners			
CONT'D. WITNESSES IN OPPOSITION:			
Sabine O'Hara, D.C. Resident - Ward 3 Professor in the College of Agriculture, Urban, Sustainability and Environmental Sciences University of the District of Columbia			.157
Autry Smalls, Student President of the Public Health Club University of the District of Columbia			.161
Karen Breslin, D.C. Resident Educator, D.C. Public Schools			.162

Marilyn Slatnick, D.C. Resident Former Real Estate Appraiser
Mary Beth Tinker, D.C. Resident, Student Volunteer of the University of the District of Columbia Garden Club
LaQuan Lewis, D.C. Resident - Ward 8 Student - Master Gardening Program University of the District of Columbia
COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS:
Commissioners
CONT'D. WITNESSES IN OPPOSITION:
Joanne Fleming, D.C. Resident Alumni, University of the District of Columbia178
David Schwartzman, Professor Emeritus, Howard University and Founding member of Green Neighbors D.C., Chair of the D.C., Green Party Political Policy and Action Committee
Dr. Kathleen Jordan, D.C. Resident Educator, University of the District of Columbia, and George Washington University
Madeline LaPorta, D.C. Resident Member of the University of the District of Columbia
Sarah Marin, D.C. Resident Volunteer of the University of the District of Columbia Garden Club
COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS:
Commissioners

CONT'D. WITNESSES IN OPPOSITION:	
Jessie Lovell, D.C. Resident Friend of the University of the District of Columbia	3
Derriann Johnson, Student University of the District of Columbia	5
Melanie Davis, D.C. Resident Acting CFO and Assistant Director of Georgetown University's Center for Immigration Policy and Refugee Assistance through UDC's Institute of Gerontology1	98
Karla Thompson, D.C. Resident Member of the University of the District of Columbia Garden Club	01
Charity Chukwu, D.C. Resident - Ward 7 Student, University of the District of Columbia2	03
COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS:	
Commissioners	05
CONT'D. WITNESSES IN OPPOSITION:	
Glacia Martin, D.C. Resident Alumni, University of the District of Columbia 2	09
Maddie Beller, D.C. Resident Volunteer of the University of the District of Columbia Garden Club - Alumni, University of the District of Columbia	11
Michael Beller, D.C. Resident Volunteer of the University of the District Of Columbia Garden Club	13
Coy McKinney, D.C. Resident Alumni of University of the District of Columbia's David A. Clark School of Law, Founding member of the University of the District of Columbia Garden Club2	15

Rodney Rochele Burton, President of the Carmen Terrace Residential Association Alumni, former Faculty Member of the	
University of the District of Columbia	217
COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS:	
Commissioners	220
REBUTTAL:	
Meredith H. Moldenhauer, Esq. Co-Chair of Zoning, Land use Development Cozen O'Connor Law Firm	221
Scott Teixeira, Architect Hartman-Cox Architects	222
Javier Dussan, VP of Facilities Real Estate Management - University of the District of Columbia	224
Meredith H. Moldenhauer, Esq. Co-Chair of Zoning, Land use Development Cozen O'Connor Law Firm	225
COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS:	
Commissioners	227
CLOSING STATEMENT:	
Meredith H. Moldenhauer, Esq	232
ADJOURNMENT:	
Anthony J Hood Chairman	235

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

2 (4:00 p.m.)

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen.

Today's date is September the 21st, 2023. We are convening and
broadcasting this public hearing by videoconferencing.

My name is Anthony Hood. Joining me are Vice Chair Miller, Commissioner Imamura, and Commissioner Stidham, as well as Office of Zoning staff Ms. Sharon Schellin and Mr. Paul Young who will be handling all of our virtual operations, and our Office of Zoning Legal Division, Mr. Dennis Liu.

We will ask all others to introduce themselves at the appropriate time. The virtual public hearing notice is available on the Office of Zoning's website. This proceeding is being recorded by a court reporter and the platforms used are Webex and YouTube live. The video will be available on the Office of Zoning's website after the hearing.

All persons planning to testify should have signed up in advance and will be called by name at the appropriate time. At the time of sign-up, all participants will complete the oath or affirmation required by Subtitle Z-408.7.

Accordingly, all those listening on Webex or by phone will be muted during the hearing and only those who have signed up to participate or testify will be unmuted at the appropriate time.

When called, please state your name before providing HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

your testimony. When you are finished speaking, please mute your audio. If you experience difficulty accessing Webex, or with your telephone call-in, or have not signed up, then please call our OZ-Hotline number at 202-727-0789.

2.

If you wish to file written testimony or additional supporting documents during the hearing, then, please be prepared to describe and discuss it at the time of your testimony.

The hearing will be conducted in accordance with provisions of 11 Z-DCMR, Chapter 4 as follows:

Preliminary matters, Applicant's case. Applicant has up to 60 minutes, but I believe they can probably do it in 40, but I'll leave it up to the Applicant. Report of other government agencies, reports of the Department of Transportation and the Office of Planning. Report of the ANC, I believe in this case, it's ANC-3F. Testimony of organizations five minutes and individuals three minutes, and we will hear in the following order from those who are in support, opposition or undeclared. Then we will have rebuttal and closing by the Applicant.

The subject of this hearing this evening is Zoning Commission Case No. 20-33(B). This is the District of Columbia, Department of General Services on behalf of the University of the District of Columbia, Campus Plan Amendment and Further Processing of Building 41 for D.C. Archives Project at Square 1964, Lot A-12, 4200 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.

Again, today's date is September the 21st, 2023.

Again, the OZ-Hotline number for any issues or concerns or problems during this proceeding is 202-727-0789 for any concerns during this proceeding.

At this time the Commission will present any preliminary matters. I have two preliminary matters.

Ms. Schellin, before I go to you, let me just, first of all, ask you to make sure we have another date. I'd like to announce that early. See if we have another date, if needed, and I would like for the Commission after about five hours -- we know from the other night, we started getting tired. A lot of strange things go on, so I want to make sure that we stop at 9:00 o'clock. If it's five hours, we want to make sure we do our due diligence to the residents of this city. So after about five hours, I think, oh, about 9:00 o'clock we will look for a second date.

Okay. Ms. Schellin, do you have any preliminary matters?

MS. SCHELLIN: Just a couple. First, expert witnesses, wello, let me just say the party status requests that were in the record have been withdrawn, so, there are no party status requests. Offered experts: One that's previously been accepted, William Zeid, in Transportation at Exhibit 12(B), if the Commission would accept him in this case?

24 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any objections to continuing the status 25 of Mr. Zeid?

1	Okay. Not hearing any. Thank you, Ms. Schellin. We
2	will continue that status.
3	MS. SCHELLIN: Okay. And then there's one expert being
4	proffered in Architecture, and I may mess up this name or probably
5	will, Scott Teixeira, in Architecture and his
6	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Teixeira.
7	MS. SCHELLIN: resume is at 23(E) as in Edward.
8	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you. Commissioner
9	Imamura, did you help us with his last name?
10	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Teixeira, I think it is.
11	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Teixeira.
12	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Yes, Teixeira.
13	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Teixeira, okay. You were close,
14	Ms. Schellin. I'm glad you did it, because I would have really
15	messed it up.
16	MS. SCHELLIN: Yeah.
17	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Mr. Teixeira. Any objections? And his
18	resume is Exhibit what is it, Ms. Schellin?
19	MS. SCHELLIN: 23(E).
20	CHAIRMAN HOOD: 23(E). I know. I saw it earlier.
21	Okay. 23(E). Any objections? Motions?
22	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: No objections.
23	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. All right. We will give him
24	expert status. Anything else, Ms. Schellin?
25	MS. SCHELLIN: ANC-3(F) filed their report today. It's
	HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support

at -- as Exhibit 86. 2 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. MS. SCHELLIN: OP's report is at Exhibit 26, and DDOT 3 4 filed a report at Exhibit 29. As far as other government agency 5 reports, there was a DOEE report included within the OP report 6 at pages 13 through 15, and Meredith Moldenhauer and Eric DeBear 7 are the attorneys on this case. They'll be representing the Applicant. And other than that, staff has nothing further. 8 9 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Schellin. And 10 you did hear about the extra date? Well, if needed, the date 11 that we need when I made an announcement. 12 MS. SCHELLIN: I'll work on that with you. 13 CHAIRMAN HOOD: I like to announce that earlier, so, I 14 don't --15 MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, sir. 16 CHAIRMAN HOOD: -- want anybody to be here until 9:00 17 o'clock. 18 MS. SCHELLIN: 9:00 o'clock. 19 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes. Yes. 20 MS. SCHELLIN: Because there have been people who have 21 asked. 22 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Good, good. All right. Well, 23 we'll see how it goes. I'd like to finish. Let me just say this. I would like to finish tonight, so let's all be courteous, 24 especially with testimony with our questions. Let's be courtesy 25 HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

and make sure -- we're going to hear from the public and then 1 2. we'll go from there, so we'll see how it goes. Ms. Schellin and Mr. Young, if we can bring 3 Okay. 4 everyone up. 5 (Pause.) Ms. Moldenhauer, you can 6 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. 7 introduce your team. Good evening -- well, good afternoon right 8 Good afternoon. Whenever you're ready, you can introduce now. 9 your team and we're ready to go. 10 MS. MOLDENHAUER: Mr. Young, can you bring up the PowerPoint slide, that'll be great for the Commissioners. 11 12 Good afternoon, Commissioners and members of the 13 My name is Meredith Moldenhauer from the Law Firm of 14 Cozen O'Connor. I am here today with individuals on our team that will be presenting. 15 16 We can go to the first slide whenever Mr. Young pulls 17 it up and that will identify each of the individuals on our team. 18 We are also, Chairman Hood, looking to present for about 40 minutes, so we'll try to keep to that time schedule. 19 20 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. 21 MS. MOLDENHAUER: Next slide. 22 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you. Thank you. Bless your 23 heart. Thank you. 24 MS. MOLDENHAUER: Thank you. So we have

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
Court Reporting and Litigation Support
Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia
410-766-HUNT (4868)
1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

representatives, Mr. Williams, the Interim Deputy Director of

25

1	CCSD from the Department of General Services. We have Secretary
2	Bassett from the Secretary of the District of Columbia's office.
3	We have Dr. Lopez Matthews. We also have President Eddington
4	from the University of the District of Columbia, as well as Javier
5	Dussan. We have representatives from Hartman-Cox Architects,
6	Scott Teixeira, as well as William Zeid from Gorove Slade, and
7	as I already indicated, myself, and Mr. DeBear from Cozen
8	O'Connor.
9	At this point, I am going to turn it over to Secretary
10	Bassett to get started with our presentation.
11	Next slide, please.
12	(Pause.)
13	MS. BASSETT: Hello everyone. Good afternoon, Chairman
14	Hood and Commissioners. My name is Kimberly Bassett, and I am
15	Secretary of State for the District of Columbia. I was appointed
16	to this role by Mayor Muriel Bowser in 2018.
17	The Office of the Secretary is responsible for public
18	records, archival maintenance, managing the City's ceremonial
19	services, government document publishing, notary commissions and
20	international and diplomatic relations.
21	Today we are thrilled to be present. The new DC Art
22	to present the new D.C. Archives building that will be located
23	at UDC's Van Ness campus.
24	This proposal is a culmination of almost a decade of
25	work, which my colleague, State Archivist Dr. Lopez Matthews, Jr. HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

will speak to a little bit later. We would not be here without the University of the District of Columbia who has partnered with Mayor Bowser's administration to bring the new State Archives building to the District only public university. We appreciate all the hard work from UDC and look forward to our ongoing partnership with the University, its students, its faculty and staff.

2.

There is a critical need for record storage in the District of Columbia. Our current facility is inadequate and at capacity. D.C.'s state archives are located in a retrofitted barn in an alley not very far from here.

My amazing team led by Dr. Lopez Matthews has done a tremendous job making sure that our records were safe and organized and befitting of a state archive. We work tirelessly so that residents of the District of Columbia are able to access the information that is requested and that are priceless artifacts, such as Frederick Douglas' will, and thousands of cubic feet of government records are safely preserved.

Right now, because of our limited space, we have records stored in 19 locations across the country, including Maryland, Pennsylvania, and Missouri and we're not able to serve more than three researchers at a time. This is our opportunity to house everything in one place and serve more residents than ever.

This year, Dr. Matthews and I have made tremendous
HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

strides on the national stage. I recently served on the Executive Board of the National Association for Secretary of State; the first time that a Secretary of State from D.C. has served in this capacity.

2.

During our conference this year, Dr. Matthews led a panel of state archivists from across the country to share our innovative programs and best practices. They were so impressed with our work that I was appointed to lead the organization's Archives Committee. That's not all.

Dr. Matthews has been appointed to the Board of the Council of State Archivists, COSA. At the COSA conference this summer, Dr. Matthews presented and our Director of Records Management Ali Rahmaan, was awarded the COSA Leadership Award. We also hosted for the first time members of COSA right here in the Wilson Building.

I could go on and on about the wonderful things we're doing. I just wanted to give you a few examples of how our State Archives has been recognized on a national stage for this important work. I know you've heard me refer to the D.C. Archives as the state archives many times during this testimony.

We are competing at the highest level with other archives across the county and they're looking to us as the nation's capital to lead and we are here, and we are up for the challenge.

I want to leave with this. The thought of our state HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

archives finding a home at our state university, one of the nation's oldest historically black universities, fills us with civic pride. Not only would it make history, our history, more acceptable -- I'm sorry -- our history more accessible to today's generation, but it will also guarantee proper preservation for generations ahead, and as well as the dedicated archivists who will follow. So our more than 700 residents deserve this. D.C. history, unlike that of any state, is the nation's history.

Thank you for your time and your consideration of this application, and I will now turn it over to the president of the University of the District of Columbia, Dr. Eddington.

Thank you.

2.

DR. EDDINGTON: Thank you, Secretary Bassett.

Good afternoon, Chairman Hood and Commissioners. I am Maurice Eddington, and I began my tenure as President of the University of the District of Columbia August 1st of 2023. I'm excited to talk about the University of the District of Columbia and the proposed D.C. Archives Building that will be located on the Van Ness Campus.

While some of you may be familiar with our university from the campus master plan process, I want to provide a brief background into the case record.

The University of the District of Columbia is the only public institution of higher learning in the District. We enroll more D.C. public high school graduates than any other university,

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

and are unique in offering multiple points of entry, providing workforce training, professional certification, associates degrees, 81 undergraduate and graduate bachelors and graduate academic degrees, law degrees and more.

2.

The primary goal for the university is to transform the Van Ness Campus located along Connecticut Avenue and Northwest D.C. into a flagship institution that will be competitive with other similar two-year academic institutions and continues to meet the comprehensive post-secondary education needs of the residents of the District of Columbia.

This goal is reflected in the 2020/2029 campus master plan that was approved by the Commission in 2021. We are excited to partner with Mayor Muriel Bowser's administration on the D.C. archives project that will help us to achieve this goal. The archives will be a wonderful addition to UDC's Van Ness Campus, providing a new home for UDC's archival collections, as well as offering research and training opportunities for our students and our faculty.

The architectural styling of the building aligns with our vision for a modern and inviting campus. In addition to increased connectivity on the southwestern side of campus, we are excited about the improvement to Dennard Plaza, which is the heart of the Van Ness Campus.

I want to thank our team at UDC and the Mayor's administration, including the Department of General Services and HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

Office of the Secretary for their hard work and collaboration on this proposal. I thank the Commission for its time this afternoon and we'll be available for questions after the presentation. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Ms. Moldenhauer, let's stop the clock for a moment, please.

And, Ms. Moldenhauer, let me just interrupt. I would like to take Secretary Bassett and President Eddington through our process, because I know -- I'm not sure. I'm sure they have somebody here. I know it's busy, and especially in this city right now, there is a lot of stuff going on this week and I want to make sure that our two distinguished presenters are able to do all of the things they have to do in their busy schedule.

So I'm going to give them a (indiscernible) to go through our process now and if they stay, they stay. If not, we understand.

Bassett, congratulations to you. I think it's punch bowl news. I'm not sure of all of it, but I think, was that today? So you've had a very busy day, because I have been reading about that, I think, about a week now, so, I want to congratulate you and all the work that you do and it's always -- and I've said this privately and I'll say it publicly, you are such a great person, very enlightening, and you bring, for me when I speak with you, you are very enlightening and always in a pleasant

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

spirit, so thank you for doing that and all the work the General office does.

MS. BASSETT: Thank you.

2.

Now, Mr. President -- Mr. President, you just started, what, a couple of days ago, I believe.

DR. EDDINGTON: It feels like it, right. About six weeks.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Six weeks ago? Okay. Well, a couple of days, six weeks. Now, you won't be green after three months.

DR. EDDINGTON: All right.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: And let me just say this to you.

Congratulations and we appreciate you. You hit the ground running.

DR. EDDINGTON: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: I'm going to try and get through this process. I know there's some concerns out there. I won't drill down on a lot of it right now, because I want to hear from the public, but I want to thank you both for all the work, and I wanted to do this because I know you all have busy schedules. You are more than welcome to stay and we appreciate it, and I will say this to you, Mr. President, we always, and I say this to all university presidents, I thank you when you take time to come to this Zoning Commission, because it means a lot when the top comes and takes some time out to present whatever case is in front of us, so I want to thank you as well.

1	DR. EDDINGTON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
	_
2	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Let me see if my colleagues have any
3	questions or comments of either our secretary or our president?
4	Vice Chairman Miller.
5	VICE CHAIRMAN MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I have
6	no questions, but thank you Secretary Bassett and President
7	Eddington for being here. Congratulations on your new roles. I
8	will have questions later and we will forward them to you if you
9	are not here at the time if we need your answers, but I appreciate
10	you being here and appreciate all the work that you do and wish
11	you good luck in your endeavors.
12	MS. BASSETT: Thank you.
13	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Commissioner Imamura.
14	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Sure. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
15	I second Vice Chairman Miller's comments.
16	Congratulations to you both, Secretary Bassett and Dr. Eddington.
17	I really appreciate your time this evening coming before the
18	Commission with this tremendous project, so thank you both.
19	MS. BASSETT: Thank you. Thank you. Thank you.
20	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Commissioner Stidham, do you
21	have any questions or comments?
22	COMMISSIONER STIDHAM: Thank you for coming tonight.
23	It does mean a lot for you to be here supporting your project,
24	so thank you very much and congratulations from me also.
25	MS. BASSETT: Thank you. Okay.
	HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
	Court Reporting and Litigation Support

1	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Ms. Schellin, do we have anybody
2	from ANC-3(F)? And I know we haven't got through all the cases.
3	I know exactly we haven't, but I'm doing it this way just in case
4	distinguished guests and all of us are distinguished have to
5	leave. That's all I'm doing. That's all I'm doing, so I'm sure
6	I'll get beat up for it later, but that's all right.
7	MS. SCHELLIN: Yes. I believe James Tandaric, and I
8	probably have his name messed up too, but he's the Vice Chair, I
9	believe.
10	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Vice Chair to ANC?
11	MS. SCHELLIN: Of 3-F, yes.
12	CHAIRMAN HOOD: 3-F. Okay, 3-F.
13	MS. SCHELLIN: And I believe he's going to be the one
14	presenting.
15	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Let's bring the Vice Chair up,
16	and let's see if he has any questions of either our president or
17	our secretary.
18	MS. SCHELLIN: And verify that he's the one who is
19	going to be representing the ANC.
20	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Vice Chair Tandaric.
21	MR. TANDARIC: Tandaric, yes.
22	CHAIRMAN HOOD: It's Tandaric, okay. Okay. All right.
23	MR. TANDARIC: Close enough. Very much, yeah.
24	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you. Any questions or comments
25	of either one of our witnesses thus far?

MR. TANDARIC: Nothing thus far. I just want to say, 1 nice to meet you both. President, I welcome you to the 2 University, and I look forward to meeting with you in a few weeks. 3 4 DR. EDDINGTON: Thank you. 5 MS. BARRETT: Thanks. 6 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Again, I did that just in case they have to leave. I'm sure they'll stay with us as long as 7 8 they can, but we'll keep on moving. 9 Okay. Sorry, Ms. Moldenhauer. Thanks for the 10 indulgence. 11 MS. MOLDENHAUER: Chairman Hood, I appreciate your 12 efficiency here. We'll go back to the PowerPoint presentation. 13 If we can go to the next slide and then if we can go to the 14 president who already spoke to most -- this point, you go to the next slide, and Mr. Javier Dussan will start presentation. Thank 15 16 you. 17 MR. DUSSAN: Good afternoon. My name is Javier Dussan. 18 I'm the Vice President of Facilities and Real Estate Management 19 at UDC. I have been working closely with the Applicant's team 20 in connection with the filing of the Zoning Commission Campus 21 Master Plan Amendment and Future Processing of the Archives Project. 2.2 23 This is an image of what we're looking at right now of 24 the current UDC Van Ness campus map. Our Van Ness campus is on 25 Connecticut Avenue between Van Ness Street and Yuma Street. The HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

new archives project will be in the location of Building 41, which is on the southwestern part of the campus, along with Van Ness Street.

2.

Dennard Plaza is the central plaza on campus and is directly to the east of Building 41. The campus obtained its first campus master plan in 2011 and the most recent update was approved for 2020-2029.

Next, please. Next slide, please. For some background, Building 41 is the former house of the College of Arts and Science and University Library. The building is 44 years old. 15 years ago, UDC conducted a formal assessment of the building and found that many of its systems were in poor condition, including the roof, exterior walls, and its back. In addition to the poor condition, Building 41 is not designed for modern use and does not meet UDC's current needs.

The building is primarily unoccupied as a result of a number of factors, including the minimum natural light, inefficient space with poor circulation, and inadequate connection to the Dennard Plaza.

Due to these factors, Building 41 is no longer actively used for UDC's students. The building currently only houses the UDC Jazz Archival Collections and an affiliated child development center facility in the semi-independent area on the plaza level. The UDC Jazz Archives is awaiting a new interim home before the D.C. Archives project is constructed. The child development

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

center has been authorized to open a new facility through December 31st, 2023, and it will be evacuating by that date before construction begins.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The next slide, please. The campus Master plan the unsuitable nature of Building 41 for the University's needs. Our Capital Improvement program filed in the campus master plan record, states that our primary focus is to relocate Building 41's occupants so the building can be decommissioned. To quote, "Fiscal Year 2020." One primary focus of the first year of this CIP is relocating occupants out of Building 41, so that the building can be decommissioned. Adequate space to accommodate the current occupants of the building has been secured. The University has leased space across the street from the Van Ness campus at 4225 Connecticut Avenue and property adjacent to the University at 4250 Connecticut Avenue.

I would like to note that since the time of the campus plan, UDC acquired 4250 Connecticut Avenue and it's currently in use.

Next slide, please. The 2020 Master Plan envisioned the following possible outcomes for Building 41:

One, being the building will be decommissioned and D.C. archives will be consolidated into Building 41. Another option was rehabilitation for academic space. However, UDC lacked the funds and rehabilitated the structure for academic space,

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

whereas, UDC was able to fund the acquisition of 4250 Connecticut Avenue, NW, through their agreement with the city to co-locate the D.C. Archives on the UDC Campus.

4250 Connecticut Avenue's currently in use by the University. The master plan also indicates the option of reprogramming the building to be used as student housing. The same was indicated for two other potential sites on campus.

Next slide, please. The intent to welcome the D.C. Archives on our campus is also reflected in the testimony of our Chief Operating Officer David Franklin, and was provided to the Commission in support of the Campus Master Plan. As Mr. Franklin noted, the Archives is the city's project and, therefore, the City handled communications and public outreach until this application was filed.

Once we moved forward on this Campus Master Plan amendment and further processing, UDC became more involved in order to answer questions and comments from our student body and neighbors.

Next slide, please. Finally, in the next two slides, I want to identify how the new D.C. Archives project will help UDC to achieve many of its goals identified in the 2020 Campus Master Plan. These goals include establish the Van Ness campus as a landmark main campus hub emerging as an important economic engine for the District of Columbia and the region.

We believe the D.C. Archives project will make the Van HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

Ness campus a destination for current and prospective students, as well as D.C. residents, and our goal is to strengthen campus image and character by promoting the University's distinct identities through wayfinding and placemaking.

2.

The Archives Building will help further define UDC's identity and character, a process that was kick-started a few years ago with our Student Center on Connecticut Avenue.

The Van Ness campus' identity is defined by the style of its buildings. The concrete and tinted glass of the style vernacularly described as brutalist, presents a solid and monolithic appearance.

UDC's aspiration to grow its student population and improve the physical characteristics of the Van Ness campus environment provides an important opportunity to improve the physical expression of its buildings as well.

As the University undergoes periodic maintenance and renovations in the future, the designs incorporate contemporary building materials, like glass and metal that complement and freshens the underlined building vocabulary. While the buildings maintains a neutral concrete framework, techniques should be considered to visually distinguish the campus buildings, which can improve the way populations navigate and experience the campus.

Our 2020 Campus Plan clearly identified UDC's desire to move away from the common brutalist architectural form on HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

campus and toward a more contemporary pallet. We believe the new archives building achieves this for contemporary pallet by focusing on an open glass aesthetic, particularly, along the Dennard Plaza frontage. In comparison to Building 41, the new archives building greatly improves the physical characteristics and connectivity on campus.

2.

Next slide, please. Another important goal is to improve campus open space within an urban setting to effectively maximize the utilization of open space with the aim to provide much needed green space and better pedestrian circulation through the Van Ness campus.

This plan anticipates the construction of new outdoor spaces that may function as urban gardens, outdoor study, informal gatherings and/or meditation space.

As part of our agreement, we perceive the Archives project will include improvements to Dennard Plaza and the open space between the Archives and Building 44. The new green space on Dennard Plaza will be a benefit to our student body, as many students congregate in this area.

Additionally, the pedestrian circulation will be greatly improved by demolishing Building 41 and removing the existing drive aisle to an underground garage. The result will be an inviting pedestrian connection at the southwestern corner of the campus into the Archives on Dennard Plaza. The University seeks to develop a pleasant, safe, and vibrant campus where

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

education, research, recreation, and social and cultural interests will find a supportive home.

This ceremony is one that welcomes the surrounding communities and represents the opportunity to engage the University in a positive and cooperative partnership. UDC has a long history of service to the District of Columbia residents and to its neighbors.

Finally, in addition to creating a more vibrant campus, we believe our partnership with the City and the new Archives project will achieve our mission of service to the residents of the District of Columbia.

Thank you for your time and I will now turn it over to

13 Dr. Matthews.

DR. MATTHEWS: Slide, please. And thank you Javier.

Next slide. So thank you everyone. My name is

16 Dr. Lopez Matthews, Jr. I am the new State Archivist and Public

17 Records Administrator for Washington, D.C., and in this capacity,

I am the Director of the Office of Public Records and Archives.

19 And so, I'm just going to talk to you a little bit about the

history of the project and what the D.C. Archives offers the

21 campus community.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

18

20

22

23

24

25

So the project will bring the new Archives building for both the District of Columbia and will include UDC archival collections. We are bringing a state-of-the-art facility with archival storage, records processing, research facilities,

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

exhibits space, and offices for archival staff.

The facility will meet best practices for archival storage, such as proper security and environmental controls, and that is based on the standard set by the National Archives Records Administration which typically sets the standards for the entire archival field.

As Javier mentioned, it will bring modern architectural designs intended to add vibrance to the UDC campus, as well as bringing landscaping improvements and connectivity improvements.

Next slide, please. And so while Secretary Bassett mentioned most of this, I will just give you an overview of the Office of Public Records. We schedule, collect, store, and manage records of the government of the District of Columbia, and so that is temporary and permanent records.

Our existing facility is at 1300 Naylor Court, which as you mentioned is in an alley and it was at capacity two years after it was built because, as many of us know, D.C. does the work of a state, and so we develop the same amount of records of the State, and so our records are stored in various places around the country.

We actually pay nearly a million dollars to the National Archives a year to store our records, and so this archives building will address a critical need.

Our records are currently not stored in an environmentally safe location and this new facility will address

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

that. It's also not as accessible as it needs to be to serve the residents of the District of Columbia.

So next slide. So this project began in 2012 with the idea that we really needed a new building to preserve and make available the District's history, and so a report was commissioned. That report was released by Dr. Gregory Hunter, called "A Vision for the District of Columbia Archives." Moving with this report, the City through DGS retained company Cox and Associates and DYP to get a preliminary programming report to explore different sites of where we should locate the District of Columbia Archives.

By 2018, an MOA was signed between DGS, UDC, and the Office of the Secretary to explore a co-location of UDC Archives at the University of the District of Columbia and as part of this study, which was released in December of 2018, they reviewed the existing conditions of Building 41, tested it for a new archives, including reuse of or addition to Building 41, and at the end of the report, the recommended option was Option 5, which was a demolition of Building 41 for the D.C. Archives facility and --but it was not, you know, conclusive. It was just the recommendation.

By December 2020, UDC filed a campus plan, had mentioned the decommission of the building for the D.C. Archives, but because it wasn't -- you know, there wasn't really no final decision, demolition wasn't mentioned. It just said,

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

"decommissioned for use by DGS for the D.C. Archives."

The project resumed in 2020 -- in 2022, sorry. I came onboard in March 2022, and the project resumed in June 2022, and by August the program of requirements updated to include the need to demolish Building 41, that it was indeed a necessity to demolish Building 41, and so the final program requirements was produced in November of 2022.

One of the things that we did in August was we released the reports to the public. We held our first public meeting regarding the updated program. In September of 2022, we received comments from the public. Those comments were addressed in the program requirements and then the final was produced in November of 2022, and in June 2023, we submitted a campus plan amendment and further processing application.

Next slide, please. So we have had agency outreach in that time. As I had mentioned, the project, we started in January of 2022, and in that time, we've met with several different agencies, DDOT, the Office of Planning, the Commission of Fine Arts, the Office of National NCPC, and we have received CFA approval as of July 20th, 2023.

Next slide, please. So we have had community outreach. As it was mentioned this project has been a long project. It has been in the process for a long time.

So it began with public forums in 2014 and 2015. There were community engagement that happened around the UDC Master HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

Plan, but that was handled by UDC, so it's not on this slide. It just focuses on our community outreach.

2.

Could you go back to that previous slide? And so I just wanted to mention that these are our public outreach. So now that we're on the benefits of co-location. So one of the benefits of the co-location is that it provides a state-of-the-art modern facility for the campus, but also provides a state-of-the-art storage space for the campus's collections.

They have archival collections, and they would have the opportunity to be stored in a state-of-the-art archival facility. The campus (indiscernible) to be stored on the campus, which then means we can have shared research opportunity with the faculty and staff. We can also have shared research opportunities with the students. The students will be able to come into the research center, research D.C. history, research agency histories for their work. They'll be able to come in and do training and internship programs.

And I just want to mention that, I guess, one of the greatest examples of having a government archive on a college campus is the National Archives and Records Administration's College Park facility, that's located on the campus of the University of Maryland College Park. That has been able to provide a great opportunity for College Park students to do internships, to do research projects, to interact with the archives, interact with the nation's history, and that's

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

something that we are hoping to bring to the campus of the University of the District of Columbia.

2.

And as we mentioned, it will bring energy and environmental sustainability as well as improve connectivity to Dennard Plaza, but one of the things that sticks out to me is the opportunity to provide research opportunities and internship opportunities to students, because that's what I did when I was at Howard University. That's what I was able to do, work with students when I was at the National Archives, and I am excited about doing that once the Archives is at UDC.

Next slide. And so one of the things that I do want to mention before we -- or before I conclude my testimony, is that the need for a newly purposed-built facility is it's obvious to someone like me, going by best practices for archivable storage use. The building doesn't have the floor height and archives is heavier than a library, and so we need a building that can withstand heavier loads, because of the machinery that's used to maintain archives, and after the study, Building 41 just was not adequate for our use.

And so I thank you for your time, and I'm going to pass it on to Scott Teixeira who is our Architect.

MR. TEIXEIRA: All right. Thank you, Dr. Matthews.

So a little housekeeping here. Several pronunciations of my last name, Teixeira (Tashara) is the most common. I do go by Scott Teixeira (Texara) with a hard X, but I will respond to

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868)

1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

any variation. I am an expert in the planning and design of archival facilities. I'm a partner at Hartman-Cox Architects. I've been with the firm since 1999, and as noted by Dr. Matthews, I've been supporting the work of this project since 2015, and essentially the principal author of all the various programmatic

2.

6 studies, and site location studies, and co-location studies.

7 Before I review my slides, I just want to nip a few potential 8 questions in the butt.

So Dr. Matthews, I heard him say that, you know, most of the OPR's collections are at storage at NARA, but then also they're at the 19 different sites, so just to review a couple more metrics. Naylor Court holds about 20,000 cubic feet of records. There are about 50,000 cubic feet of records that would have been a session to OPR, so that difference -- that 30,000 cubic feet is what OPR has to pay the National Archives, annually, to store at the Archives facilities, which are in the several locations that Secretary Bassett had reviewed.

When Secretary Bassett said that, you know, there's 1,000's of cubic feet of records that this record will need to accommodate, it's actually hundreds of thousands. We've estimated the facility needs to accommodate when you include growth factors 360,000 cubic feet of records. So that is 18 times the size of Naylor Court and so the records beyond the 50,000 that OPR knows about are records that are still held by the District's agencies in the 19 sites around the City that they

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

haven't been able to turnover to OPR, because there's no facility to put them into. So let me get into our slides.

2.

Next slide, please. To be mindful of time, I'm going -- oh, I'm sorry. This was the slide I was supposed to be speaking to. So next slide, please.

In the interest of time, I'm going to skip pass some of the slides that were in the slide deck. These next two exhibits were the -- just highlight the location of the project on the southwest corner of the campus. This first one highlighting the existing building and our project area.

Next slide, please. And here's the same graphic with our new building footprint shown and, you know, these construction activities are anticipated to take about 18 months or so, which is relatively a short period of time for disrupting the University's community and faculty, staff, and students that work and study on this campus.

Next slide, please. So this slide emphasizes the site circulation patterns. The two key issues to note here, as had been mentioned by some of the other speakers that preceded me, is the pedestrian connectivity between the Dennard Plaza and Van Ness. The blue arrows here review the pedestrian circulation. The red arrows are for vehicular circulation, and there is that exit ramp from the garage that Mr. Dussan had noted was going to be removed by our project to enable the connection of the Plaza to the street.

Next slide, please. I'm happy to review any questions about the plans, but I am going to skip through these pretty quick. Just to note on this ground floor plan, this is where all of the public spaces in the building will be located. That includes research rooms for both the Office of Public Records and the University of the District of Columbia, meeting rooms, which are also available to the community, as well to both stakeholders, and an exhibition gallery to showcase the history of the District.

Next slide, please. On these upper levels, of note is on the second floor, which is the plan on the left side of this slide, is where space is being provided for UDC's archival staff; their offices, their processing spaces, and also the storage spaces for their holdings, all here on the second floor.

Next slide. I'm going to skip past the upper slides, but that's where the District's record center is going to be up on the fourth floor.

Okay. Next slide, please. On the colored elevations of the project, you know, our office worked with the Commission of Fine Arts, NCPC, and OP staff on the facades, and the material selections, and treatments for which we have concept approval from CFA and NCPC. Any specific questions about the material usage or facade treatments, I'm happy to answer after the presentation.

Next slide, please. Again, this is the east facing façade as seen from Dennard Plaza.

Next slide. And this is on the opposite side of the building, so this is the north view and as seen from the opposite side of Dennard Plaza.

Next slide. All right. West facing view as seen from the open field space, adjacent to the project site.

Next slide, please. And an exhibit here that features the material selections that are proposed for the project so.

Next slide. So here's a before and after of a street elevation of Van Ness, taken from Van Ness, looking north at the campus facilities that front Van Ness, existing is on the top. The proposed is on the bottom. Building 41 and our new building are on the left side here, and the proposed building is both smaller and shorter than the existing building and has been pushed closer to the street. And it's not really evident in this graphic, but in the plan graphics that's noticeable, again, to sort of align with the adjacent campus buildings and strengthen that streetscape and that street wall along Van Ness.

Next slide. Here we've included several slides that present sort of colored and three-dimensional visualizations of the project. This particular view here from the southside of Van Ness looking towards the northwest at that new connection that will be coming from Dennard Plaza to the Van Ness streetscape and our building's lobby and other spaces beyond.

Next slide, please. Next slide, please. And so that previous view was without the trees, just so you get a better ${\tt HUNT\ REPORTING\ COMPANY}$

sense of the building's placement and it's character, but this visualization here puts the street trees in. These are all existing street trees. I think there's one in poor health, but all the rest, this rendering is very carefully produced to reflect the accurate size and health of all the other street trees.

2.

Next slide, please. Here we get a little bit closer in, you know, from the sidewalk on the north side of Van Ness to provide a little bit more focus on that new pedestrian connectivity that Dr. Matthews and Javier Dussan have testified -- had mentioned in their testimony.

Next slide, please. And even closer up here, you know, this view is really intended to try to showcase the ways in which there are opportunities here with these treatments for interior color and activity, to add a vitality to the exterior experience. This was a characteristic of the projects design that we heard from Commissioners for, both the CFA and NCPC responded very favorably to this and actually their staffs were instrumental in bringing this characteristic more forthright in the design of the project.

Next slide, please. Yeah. So this view -- yeah, most visitors to the campus actually arrive from the Metro Station on Connecticut Avenue or the bus stops on Connecticut Avenue and they arrive to campus through -- it's recently finished. Well, actually, at this point, maybe not recent, but through their newest building, which is their student center on the east --

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

southeast corner of the campus. They come up through that and they enter Dennard Plaza from this vantage point, and so this just presents how the new OPR facility will be providing sort of a backdrop and a terminus to that just when people arrive at the Dennard Plaza from that vantage point.

Next slide, please. And this view from the opposite corner of Dennard Plaza, turned around looking back towards the southwest at that new plaza connection from Van Ness as it flows around the corner of Building 44 into the plaza with our building in the background.

Next slide. I think this might be the last one on the visualizations. Yeah, this one was taken from the western edge of campus on the northside of Van Ness, turned around, looking back, sort of east-northeast towards the long west wall of our building and our building's sort of service areas where there's a service drive and a loading facility there behind the trees.

Next slide. Yeah, aerial views. Yeah. You can see some of our roofscape treatments with PV cells and some equipment screened areas and areas with green roofs.

Next slide, please. Next slide to whomever is operating the slides, if we can advance.

All right. This is an illustrated, annotated site plan or landscaped plan, which includes some precedent imagery of the various amenities that have been proposed by the landscape designers to accompany the project. It also includes some new

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868)

1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

areas that have been identified for some of the University's gardens. There are urban gardens in the northwest corner of the site and on the eastern edge of our site, adjacent to Building 44.

2.

Next slide. This use of the site for the Urban Gardens has been of a lot of interest, especially in the recent months. We were asked actually to go out and walk the campus to get sort of an overall assessment of these garden uses that are around the campus, and so this slide and the next three sort of present our findings on that.

This particular slide, the areas in pink are the four sort of zones on campus where garden spaces can be found, and there is one where a portion of those gardens are actually alongside our building on the west edge of Building 41, and those will be impacted by our project as they would be impacted by really any major renovation of Building 41, if that were to happen, just given the nature of the lay down and staging areas that a major construction project requires.

Next slide. This graphic puts together some photographs of each of those various different garden installations.

And next slide. I sort of jumped scale here to focus more closely on the garden areas that are next to our building and on top of Building 41. So as I noted previously the garden areas that intimately surround the footprint of 41 will need to

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

be removed. That's to support the construction effort.

The garden that's up on top of Building at 44 can remain there unimpacted by our project, and I'm aware that the university is working with CAUSES, which is the initialism for the College of Agriculture, Urban Sustainability and Environmental Sciences at UDC, to identify locations throughout campus where new garden spaces can be established to support that program.

Next slide. And I hope I did okay on time, but I'm going to turn it back over to Meredith to close us out.

MS. MOLDENHAUER: Thank you, Mr. Teixeira. Thank you, Commissioners. I'll go to the next slide.

We are here tonight to discuss an amendment to the Campus Master Plan, modifying the two potential uses originally proposed for Building 41, to now identify a Building 41 as being demolished and replaced with a new UDC Archives project.

You heard testimony from Dr. Lopez that back in 2018, it was only a recommendation, identified for demolition and that recommendation did not get finalized until November of 2022, and, therefore, was not specifically included in the Campus Master Plan previously, and that's why we're looking to update that language as well as processing the further processing for the design of the building.

You heard how it's consistent with the Campus Master Plan and goals by Mr. Dussan from UDC and some information about the improved pedestrian connection and overall design from our

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

Architect, Mr. Teixeira.

Next slide. I won't go through but, obviously, the project has evaluated all the development standard and it's consistent with the campus master plan.

Next slide. We have outlined our comprehensive identification of how the project is consistent with the campus plan and the racial equity analysis and the tools.

Next slide. We are requesting the following design flexibility element in connection with the further processing and the completion of construction of the building. I'm happy to discuss any of these with the Commission this evening.

Next slide. We will conclude our presentation on the merits. Obviously, I am sure we will have potentially some rebuttal testimony, Chairman Hood and members of the Commission, as well as a closing after we complete the rest of the process of the Zoning Commission hearing, but we'll turn it over to you, Chairman Hood and all the members of the Zoning Commission for questions now. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: To the team, I'm not sure how much time you all took. I'd like the time to see if you all kept to the time but anyway, thank you all. We appreciate your testimony. I mean, it was very informative.

Let me ask my colleagues here. I have a few questions, but I'm going to go last. Let me start with Dr. Imamura, Commissioner Imamura first and then I will go second who I'm

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

going to call next. I'm going to Commissioner Stidham, and then I'm going to go to Vice Chairman Miller, and then I'm going to come to myself in that order.

2.

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And thank you to the Applicant's team. I think this is, as I had mentioned before, a really neat, tremendous project. I do have a few questions and a few comments, and I think I'll start with Mr. Teixeira with a hard X, so I assume he's not related to Mark Teixeira, first baseman for -- former baseman for the New York Yankees.

Mr. Teixeira, this is a neat project. I think what I find really neat beyond the architecture, which I think is really terrific, sort of competing needs D.C. and UDC.

Clearly, I think it was articulated that it's really a matter of centralizing 19 locations for locating some of those services and facilities.

I think I even saw a statement about improving the physical expression of the Van Ness campus. I certainly think that this design that you are proposing here certainly has — especially, like support from the CFA. I think I saw somewhere mentioned a brutalism. I think some people often bristle. I want to use that term. There's a more popular term, concrete sheet, but I think it's an eloquent solution and design. I certainly appreciate the site section that you provided to demonstrate the height and your sort of sensitivity to that with

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

surrounding buildings, obviously, needing to match the NARA standards for archival storage.

My question starts a little bit with NCPC's comments and how they were incorporated into their revised set of plans that you shared with us today?

MR. TEIXEIRA: All right. So first of all, no relation to Mark Teixeira. Then the comment -- your question is, how we incorporated the comments from NCPC --

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Yes.

2.

MR. TEIXIERA: -- into the project?

Well, I mean, the comments that I'm recalling, and I have to concede here, I'm not exactly sure which specific comments that you are referring to, but the comments that I recall them stressing when we met with them were trees and to also try to make us stronger, sort of centralized connection to what they perceived as the access of the Dennard Plaza.

We responded in our submission to them that actually Dennard Plaza has sort of two accesses or two accesses. It's got its middle center line, but it also has the visual center line from when you enter the plaza through the portals of the two existing buildings at the east end.

We shifted our buildings curve, the glass facade, further to the north to address that sort of focal point that they wanted us to speak to more prominently. We had the landscape architects basically put a tree everywhere they possibly could.

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

You make reference to NARA's regulations for design and archival facilities.

2.

We do have a constraint that we can't place any trees with a canopy grip line any closer than 15 feet of our building, but I applaud the skill and talent of our landscape architects in making use of all the other land area that is part of our site to get as much of the tree canopy as possible, and that also speaks to some of the goals of the District Government for trees.

We also diversified some of the concrete treatments and their color and texture where we do use cast to place concrete, which is a nod to the concrete material that's elsewhere on the campus. If there's a specific comment that you have in mind, that I have not addressed --

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Well --

MR. TEIXEIRA: -- in my response, please let me know.

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Sure. Thank you, very much. I appreciate your response and I think that's a great segue way to talk a little bit about the landscape design. Obviously, again, this has already been reviewed and supported by the CFA and NCPC.

I certainly appreciate the landscape design, the Sunken Gardens. I wish I would have seen a little more information about fire retention in your stormwater management strategies.

Particularly, I know that you're meeting the requirements of D.C., but I'm interested in what you're doing above and beyond that especially to achieve sort of your lead

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

goal aspirations there, but because this is really more geared towards the use of the facility and how it ties in with the Campus Master Plan, I'd rather ask this question, so -- and I'm sure you've seen this.

2.

So DOEE believes that the way that it's been designed, that they're not sure that it'll actually comply with the Greener Government Buildings Act, which means really, you know, to achieve net-zero standards. So, you know, incorporating all electric and your other design strategies to comply with this, and I think there's a little bit of concern for obtaining a building permit from DOB to get this moving.

So I'm interested in kind of your response to DOE's comments or concerns that maybe the way this has been designed doesn't fully meet the net-zero energy standards that the District set?

MR. TEIXEIRA: Yeah. Thank you, Mr. Imamura. Yeah, we shared those concerns, and I'm going to let -- I think, Meredith might be in a better position. Ms. Moldenhauer might be in a better position to address your question than I am.

MS. MOLDENHAUER: Yes, and, obviously, we have seen the comments from DOEE, and we are aware of those questions and concerns and we have actually been working with TGS to review the legislative history in connection with that law and the specifics of how it might apply to this project and we would, obviously, ask that the Zoning Commission allow us to continue with those

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

1	efforts through the permitting process with both DOEE and DOB?
2	Thank you.
3	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Okay. And Ms. Moldenhauer,
4	also, thank you very much for putting that on the record as well,
5	and while we'll respond to that, D-O-T, DDOT has a couple
6	conditions about, you know, fiscal; long-term fiscal and short-
7	term fiscal programs inception, DND and the TDM plans.
8	I guess, are you all in agreement with those conditions
9	as well?
10	MS. MOLDENHAUER: Yes, we have. We've been Gorove
11	Slade has been working with us on this project and we have been
12	working with DDOT, and we are in agreement with those conditions.
13	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: All right. Thank you. I'm sure
14	Mr. Zeid will be impressed, but I don't often ask questions about
15	transportation, but
16	MS. MOLDENHAUER: He is present if you have any further
17	questions.
18	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: I do not even though I have an
19	affinity for transportation topics as he's well aware. Thank
20	you, Ms. Moldenhauer. I do have a couple more questions. Either
21	Mr. Teixeira, it might be for you or someone else. Please feel
22	free to defer. Sorry to go back to the landscape plan.
23	I know there are some letters in the record in
24	opposition, and just expressing some concern that the replacement
25	of the gardens isn't quite one-to-one, and there's still about HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support

4,300 square feet, a little more, give or take, to be identified.

Do you know where, I guess, either the school is in that process? Are you involved in that process and know where they might be? That may perhaps assuage some of the concerns by the community that hasn't been shared yet.

MR. TEIXEIRA: Right. Yeah, I can respond in part and then I'll turn it over to Javier Dussan to give you a deeper response. You know, there is a limited amount of land area that the two -- that the University and OPR Secretary's office agreed to in their development agreement, and so our office in our role as designing the project has essentially maximized the amount of garden space we can provide. We freely acknowledged that. That's nowhere near a one-for-one replacement, but we have identified as much area as we possibly can, as you noted just, previously, the stormwater management objectives of this project to meet the DOEE regulations. DOEE regulations are -- which are regulations that any project would be beholden to, right. So the limited amount of land area available for garden space would be true of any project that were to go at this location.

So we've done essentially the most that we can do, given our role and the limits of our project area, but I am aware that the University has been consulting with their CAUSES colleagues, and Javier can you speak to that?

MR. DUSSAN: Yeah, I can take it from here. Good afternoon, Javier Dussan again from UDC. Thank you, Commissioner

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

Imamura for that, bringing that topic into this conversation.

What we have done is in the facilities and real estate management group is we have identified about 6,000 square feet of land somewhere else on campus to replace this 6,000 or so square feet that are currently next to Building 41, and we are in the process of sending that to our colleague, our colleagues on the CAUSES team so we can discuss with them first what will bethe preference in terms of the zones that we have identified for that additional garden.

The plan is to make those areas permanently assigned to gardens in the Garden Club, so when the D.C. Archives is completed, the project is completed, whatever additional garden space is part of this project will be then added to the area that we are going to provide to these fantastic, you know, club, and I think the idea is that at the end of the project they'll have, you know, more gardening space than what they have today.

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Thank you, Mr. Dussan, for that response. I'm pleased to hear that they'll have more, and it sounds like there still needs to be some progress made, but at least I think that will certainly help temper some of the concerns there too.

If Chairman will allow me, I have just a couple more questions. I'd like to fold in Dr. Matthews and maybe Dr. Eddington. You know, UDC is uniquely structured to serve so many, and I think, Dr. Eddington, you had described it as "points"

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

of entry," and so, Dr. Matthews, you had mentioned "opportunities for research and internships," and so as a scholar and academic myself, that I'm kind of excited about that chance.

2.

I'm interested to know what sort of connections through what academic programs are linking this new facility that students beyond just sort of research opportunities for internships, but are there any particular programs, Dr. Matthews or Dr. Eddington, that are connecting students a little more vigorously through curriculum?

DR. MATTHEWS: Well, let me just say that we often work with faculty to help them incorporate the archives into their classes, and so that's where our work with the faculty. It's one of the things that we do quite well (indiscernible) research center. We worked with faculty to incorporate archival documents into their classwork, so it fits nicely with history, of course, but also since we have records of all of the District government agencies, if you're looking at Urban Planning, you could look at the Zoning Commission records. We hold your records of the Zoning Commission there. You could look at the Office of Planning documents. You could look at unforeseen the number of government agencies that interact with the public. You could look at those records to look at how they've evolved over time.

Like, one of the collections we're working on right now is the D.C. Council records. They discuss the start of the Metro in D.C. and talks about how destructive that may be and that HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

planning process was documented in this collection. You look at Urban Planning. You could look at those records and that could help you with an assignment, and so that really speaks to the partnership with the faculty.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DR. EDDINGTON: I'm just tying up a few additional thoughts. I can share from my conversations with the College of Arts and Sciences Dean Massey, who is now serving as our Interim Chief Academic Officer, shared with me that she and her team were involved in providing feedback through -- in the planning process and as a result of those discussions, some of the changes were modified to insure that physical space was included, carved out, in the design for some of the programs.

can't tell you, specifically, which academic departments and disciplines were discussed with the College of Arts and Sciences as a whole, through the Dean, was engaged in the discussions, and beyond that I can tell you from my perspective, right, when, in the way that I'm viewing this as, you've touched on this as, you know, we have so many different pathways here as I'm challenging our team to move forward, particularly related to what our ongoing strategic planning process is, if we're going to have this facility on our campus, we should leverage it to support our academic programming, and so the challenge for me to the team is to insure that we maximize formal optimize curricular and then co-curricular that could opportunities to complement education so be

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

curriculum, right, connecting the opportunities directly within the curriculum or degree program. It could include things that I've already mentioned here, internship opportunities, research projects, right across a widespan of disciplines. We'll be able to access the resources here, so -- and from our different campuses too.

2.

So, you know, I don't have the specific answer about the programs to your question, but I can tell you that I do see a lot of opportunity across the entire campus community.

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Thank you, Dr. Eddington, and Dr. Matthews. That's a great segue into my next question here beyond the design here, which I think is tremendous. You know, it achieved, certainly, design excellence, I think, and I'm not -- and I'm sure that others would agree, but what concerns me is just the amount of letters in opposition that are in the record that you all see, so it's just of some concern here.

So Ms. Moldenhauer, this may be a question for you.

I'm interested to know, and I think a lot of them as Dr. Eddington had mentioned that the Dean of Arts and Sciences was involved, certainly some excerpts from some of these letters have made reference that, you know, the D.C. Government has made this plan without needful input from UDC, which I clearly think is probably not accurate.

What it does tell me is that perhaps there wasn't sort of that communication part to it, to explain that a little bit,

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

and then, you know, there's another letter in the record that was concerned, you know, why this project is moving forward, unless, it's, you know, structurally unsound or otherwise unsafe, and that's again, another excerpt.

Obviously, from tonight your presentation addressed, you know, the structural system, floor-to-floor heights. It just didn't meet the program requirements for this particular building. Although somebody said, "Well, there was even a letter in the record from Daphne Humphrey, Jr. talking about" -- or just commenting on student housing.

So I'm not necessarily in disagreement with this particular use on campus, because I'm highly confident that it's probably been run to ground, if you will, between Administration and UDC, obviously DC Government, but I'm curiously where the breakdown in communication might have happened and why there's so many letters in opposition, many of which are really stipulating that they didn't have an opportunity to provide comment.

Certainly, there's some letters in the record from staff and students as well. This is a long process that has been articulated in your presentation as well, but what we know when these projects have a long horizon, that part of that outreach strategy is constant education with the community and stakeholders.

So I'm curious, and especially as part of the Condition

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

18, that means Task Force with the UDC Garden Club. I think there's quarterly meetings that are set or scheduled where they're supposed to participate, so I'd just like some clarity around why you think there are so many letters in the record that are in opposition, but they have sort of a common thread about just lack of awareness or ability to participate in common?

MS. MOLDENHAUER: Sure, yeah. I mean, I think, obviously, we've seen this. We have been, I think, very diligent in our community outreach as you've seen. There have been meetings going back to 2015. I think this is also the fact of just a bifurcated process and a long process as you've already pointed out.

Commissioner, I think that, you know, there is an extensive outreach in communication done, you know, through the OPR Agency too. We had probably multiple public meetings, design discussions, and also had conversations about the D.C. Archives as Mr. Dussan indicated.

Javier indicated back from June 2020 and back, even going back further to the fiscal impact reports, that was a part of that record, if I'm not -- Fiscal Year 2022, and so, I think that there has been a lot of opportunity for discussion. I think that there are challenges with universities where students do start and stop and graduate and that's good for them, and that's what we want. We want to see them graduate and move on, but sometimes that does, as you indicate, creates sometimes a lapse

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

of communication between what prior students or groups were involved in into the future.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I think that we have -- we did hear this conversation of somebody's concern back when we first had some of our ANC meetings. We then reached out and we diligently engaged in extensive community meetings, including some specific meetings with University students, as well as special ANC meetings, and we feel like we have heard a lot of those comments.

A lot of those comments do surround, I'd say, maybe, three main issues. You know, one, the fact that, you know, they may not have been part of the campus plan back when we discussed the fact that this was a DCR-type project, and so that was, you know, an issue that we discussed too. The fact that the gardens, you know, will be impacted. You know, unfortunately, whether this is a rehabilitation of the building or a demolition of the building, those gardens will be impacted, but you heard from Javier Dussan that those will be replaced in time, plus, additional garden space, which I hope will address a lot of the concerns tonight, and then other comments where we believe, you know, we have kind of addressed those, and so I think that, you know, while these are sometimes challenging situations, I think that we have done everything above and beyond what we can do and we look forward to continuing communications with students, with the public and the community as you move forward on this project.

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Thank you, Ms. Moldenhauer. I

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

think, you know, what might also help is as you said, "continued communication," and certainly for those who are, I think, evaluating the lost opportunity caused here about potential oncampus housing or additional academic space, you know, world class academic space. Perhaps that could be part of that continued communication effort that the University will continue to re-evaluate or consider those types of facilities on campus as -- if this project moves forward.

And I guess my last question here would be, Ms. Moldenhauer -- do you want to take this, or direct it to someone else?

And just really quick if I can go back to mention something else. The MOA was established in 2018, so certainly five years is a pretty substantial amount of time of conversation to work between D.C. and UDC, but the question I have is what will happen to 1300 Naylor Court and that facility there?

Maybe Dr. Matthews.

2.

DR. MATTHEWS: Well, it's going to take us several years to move out 1300 Naylor Court, and at the end of the day, it, of course, is City property and so they will make the ultimate decision of what happens with that facility, but as long as we have it, we're going to make use of it for the Archives.

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: All right. Terrific. Thank you, Dr. Matthews. Again, I'd like to thank the entire Applicant's team as well as my colleagues for your indulgence in

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

allowing me to ask my questions. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. That's all that I have.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Commissioner Stidham. I'm getting a feedback. I'm getting the feedback from the feedback.

COMMISSIONER STIDHAM: Hopefully, it's not me.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Let's make sure, we all are muted. I know if I don't like to hear myself, I know others don't either, so let's make sure we are unmuted. Thanks.

COMMISSIONER STIDHAM: All right. So thank you for the presentation tonight and other questions that you have already answered, and I have a few questions also. Some of it hitting the same -- some of them are the same or similar to Commissioner Imamura, related to your community outreach.

The number of letters that we received for the record since the presentation to the ANC the other night, just makes me wonder about -- you have a slide of a lot of community outreach, but it seems it wasn't until last night at the presence of the ANC that most of the neighbors, or at least those at the ANC last night are hearing about the project for the first time, so you can talk about your community outreach a bit more, and I realize with a project that takes its time, this much time, and students are leaving the University and, of course, neighborhoods change, it just seems that for so many to not know about it right before this hearing, that there has -- there seems to be some sort of a breakdown in communication prior to last night about the project.

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

So maybe you can help me understand sort of the flow of the communication with both neighbors and students recently or, you know, within the near future.

2.

I know that an agreement was signed in 2018, but it sounds like that in 2022, you realized that you could not re-use the building and were taking another approach. So help me understand your outreach.

DR. MATTHEWS: So I can speak to you what we've done with the D.C. Archives. It is the updated program and I'm hearing a bit of feedback, so I apologize.

We had our first public meeting in September of 2022, once the program requirements report was finished, the draft of that was finished. We presented it to the public. That, of course, was shared with the UDC community through UDC's Office of Communications.

We then opened it up for public comments, which we responded to by November of 2022. We then had another public meeting in February of 2023. We also had a meeting with the Friends of the Archives to talk about that project. We presented at the ANC meeting in February of 2023, as well, to engage with the community.

We had another public meeting in April of 2023 where we shared updates to the concepts design. That meeting was also shared with the UDC Community Group, UDC's Office of Communications.

We presented again to the ANC over the summer. We presented to the UDC Community Task Force over the summer. We have also presented at various organizations around the City as recently, I presented to the African-American Historical and Genealogical Society, presented it to the Archival Community at the Society of American Archivists convention, presented it to the State Archivists Community at the Council State Archivists, and I've also presented it to several local groups around the City, just so that they could see the progress of the project, and know that it's actually happening.

One of the -- some of the feedback that I got was, because it has taken so long, many people didn't actually believe the project would come to fruition and so one of the things that they were talking about was that, actually looks like it's going to happen this time, so that was most of the feedback that I've gotten about it.

I've talked to some faculty in D.C., because I actually do personally know some faculty in D.C. who talked about the project and the opportunities that it's going to bring to the campus, and UDC has facilitated, you know, our communication with the campus community because they are the ones who know who their constituents are. You know, where it's also public record.

We know our constituents, and so we we're like, "well, here's our people," and so, you know, we say, "okay, here's what's happening," you know, "please share this with your community."

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

So I think that, you know, for our part, I've probably made every effort, as Secretary Bassett mentioned, she has been tampering around talking about the project, and so I think that, you know, we have done what we can to make people aware that the project is coming. I think sometimes with people, it just doesn't register. You know, I get messages about things all the time and I just -- it doesn't register with me until I may actually focus on it, and I think that may be -- and I don't expect to solve this immediately.

MS. MOLDENHAUER: It also was mentioned that there is a website that was available with all the information, probably available, that was updated and that was distributed and identified.

appreciate the amount of outreach that you have done. I am most concerned with the community and student outreach, because those are the ones that are most effected by the change, so in the outreach you've done, specifically, with going back probably, I don't know, to the April 2023 meeting, was it clear to the community at that time that they were not just hearing about the Archives building, but they were hearing also that that meant that Building 41 was going to be demolished, and that the Archives building was going to be put in its place, and the functions that that served, and the fact that campus functions were being moved across the street to be able to continue to provide those to

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

students?

2.2

DR. MATTHEWS: Yes. I've always expected that it included the demolition of Building 41, because that was the plan. You know, we said, "the demolition of Building 41, those functions are being uprooted to Building 4250, which was prior as part of this project, and we didn't want to take anything away from UDC. We just wanted to add to what's happening with that.

COMMISSIONER STIDHAM: How many students were being served out of the function in Building 41, and did all of those students -- were they moved across the street, outside of the campus or were they spread around?

Just trying to get an understanding of how the functions were distributed when the building was no longer usable.

MR. DUSSAN: Yes. Good afternoon. Again, Javier Dussan, UDC. I don't have the exact number of students that were served in Building 41. I know that it was a slow process to move the students and faculty out of Building 41. They are all now in Building 4250, which is next door literally to the Student Center, so we're still on this side of Connecticut Avenue. That Building 4250 also, and in addition to CAUSES, they're all faculty utilizing that space.

So if the students in Building 41 were a little bit isolated in that building, now they're more integrated in Building 4250 along with the rest of the campus.

COMMISSIONER STIDHAM: All right. That's really good
to hear. I was concerned with the loss of the campus uses and
the lack of sort of cohesion those students may have had with
losing that space. And I think my last question is related to
just the future uses that are more campus and education related
and looking towards the future. This is a very important campus.
It's been here a very long time and it's very important to the
students of the University of the District.

2.2

2.4

Do you see your ability to keep up with the changes in education that students will need with losing this space to another function that's really just remotely associated to education?

DR. MATTHEWS: I will just say that the archives in history is directly related to education and the Archives support education. They support research. They support classroom development. They support curriculum development.

I mean, we have documents related to every topic that you can teach at a university held in our collection. You know, it just takes working with a faculty member to integrate that into their curriculum, and I think that something that's missing is this idea that the archives isn't an educational institution.

While this is a secure government facility function, it is an educational institution, and it teaches students skills that are translatable into other skills.

Most people who study history and do research in HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

archives become lawyers, because it teaches you research skills. It teaches you critical thinking skills, and so this is an educational institution that is placed at the City's public university to enhance the educational opportunities of the University of the District of Columbia, and I think that that is something I've seen specifically getting lost in our discussion, that this actually is an educational institution.

COMMISSIONER STIDHAM: Thank you, Mr. Matthews.

I do think that, that is something that is lost. There's as many people probably will not see that connection between the archives and the typical things that people are on campus to learn.

As a researcher who has done a lot of work in researching things in the District, I will salute you in getting things all in one place. I have made trips around this city and everywhere to get the documents that I found online, but not been able to access, so it is definitely a need, and an important part of the City function. I do just worry about the University's ability that's such a small site to be able to continue to serve students even beyond, you know, what the Archives will do for them. So with that, I think I'm done for now.

MS. MOLDENHAUER: I just want to mention two things in that question:

One is that the University of the District of Columbia

has multiple campuses. Van Ness Campus is just one of many

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

campuses that they have, that serve the students of the District of Columbia, and then also that, you know, the University had identified back in 2020 that the D.C. Archives would be located in one of their buildings and they believed then, they still believe now that they can still provide an amazing location for all of the students that they ever wish to have and, including an enlarged and continued increase in enrollment. So thank you. Commissioner Stidham. CHAIRMAN HOOD: Vice Chairman

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Miller.

VICE CHATRMAN MILLER: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank you to the entire Applicant's team. Again, thank you to the Secretary Bassett and Dr. Eddington from UDC and Dr. Lopez Matthews from the Archivist office.

I'm not going to mention everybody's names, but thanks to you all for all of your work on this project and for being here today and answering our questions, and let me -- and thank you to my colleagues Commissioners Imamura and Stidham for your very thoughtful questions and comments. I learned a lot during that, and I will try not to be repetitive, and I don't want to take up too much time, because we want to get to the extensive public testimony and the ANC testimony and any other comments from others and the rebuttal on -- and we'll have questions that will follow up from that, so.

But I guess, on the Community Gardens, I think we need it to be tightened up as to what this -- where these replacement HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

gardens are going to go. You've identified, I think, 1,500 square, 1,800 square -- almost 1,800 square feet, I think you said in the northwest corner of the campus near -- I think it was 44. I might have gotten that wrong. There are a lot of numbers being tossed around here, but that leaves, you know, 4,500 of existing space that hasn't been identified, that's being currently used as community gardens.

I think -- I'd figure we'd give a comfort level to the public and to ourselves as the deciding body here, just to note where the -- I saw the zone, the four zones that were provided in that one slide. I think it was -- well, I'm not going to name another number. It was provided in the slide, but I think it would be useful to identify specific locations where you will actually have the one-for-one replacement that you are promising to the community and to us here tonight.

I think we need that tightened up, or I certainly need that tightened up before I get to a vote on this amendment. How many -- and this might be more directed to the community garden folks, but if anyone knows, how many members of the public or of the campus population currently utilize the 6,500 whatever square feet of community gardens that are there? And this is it. Well, tell me again. (Indiscernible) that question. Does anyone know the answer to that question? Does Javier Dussan know? I don't know.

MR. DUSSAN: No, I don't have the exact number for the HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

members of the club -- Gardening Club, which is the entity, the University entity that supports the volunteers for the gardening, so I can do that research and provide a number.

VICE CHAIRMAN MILLER: Yeah, I think that would be helpful, but we may get that information from the Gardening Club, and I was just looking for an approximate number of the estimate of the usage -- the current usage. I get the sense that it's pretty heavily utilized and enjoyed by a lot of people. I've seen the roof top garden. That's part of the agricultural school, I believe, or urban school -- urban gardening school. Is that rooftop part of that 6,500? I don't even know if the 6,500 number is the right number.

MR. DUSSAN: No, it's the rooftop.

VICE CHAIRMAN MILLER: Is the roof top part of that?

And that's not affected by this proposal in any way, I assume?

MR. DUSSAN: That's correct. The roof of Building 44 that has some green areas and gardens and food production, is not going to be effected by this project. So we're only talking about the 6,000 or so square feet of garden that is on the west side of Building 41, and that's what we are talking about. What we called in the presentation, Zone 1.

But I hear you, Commissioner, and we'll tie that up very soon through the coordination -- with the coordination of the College of Agriculture, CAUSES.

VICE CHAIRMAN MILLER: And the community gardens there

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

on the grounds, they're not part of the food production mission that goes on on the rooftop, or are they part of that?

MR. DUSSAN: I believe there is some form of food production in that area as well. So we are taking that into consideration.

VICE CHAIRMAN MILLER: Thank you. And the space that you're currently providing for these community gardens is being, as I understood it -- well, correct me if I'm wrong -- is it being replaced by the -- it's not being replaced by the Archives Building. It's being replaced by the bio-retention facility that you have to -- that you are building to meet stormwater management and other environmental considerations next to building what is Building 41 now? Is it --

MR. TEIXEIRA: Yes.

2.

2.2

needs?

VICE CHAIRMAN MILLER: Is the footprint of existing community gardens going to be occupied by the new Archives building, or is it going to be occupied by the bio-retention facility that you have to do to meet stormwater management and --

MR. TEIXEIRA: Right.

21 VICE CHAIRMAN MILLER: -- good other environmental

MR. TEIXIERA: I understand your questions. This is Scott Teixeira with Hartman-Cox Architects, expert witness, and, I mean, generally, yes. It's not like a one-for-one easy thing

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

to answer, because our new building isn't exactly in the same place, and it isn't exactly the same shape as Building 41, so some of the gardens that are going to be displaced by our project exist in areas where our building will -- where our proposed building will be situated, but the majority of the displaced gardens are being displaced, because we need all the remaining land area as you have surmised, to meet the stormwater management regulations.

In fact, we need all of that area and all of our roof area, because the bio-retention facilities at the ground level, according to our current engineering analysis aren't adequate all by themselves to meet the regulations, so they've got to be bolstered by a complement of green roof systems, deployed across almost all of our available roof area, and so -- so it's -- I think the answer is that it's a combination of both that are preventing the full re-accommodation of all of the displaced gardens, you know, within our project boundary. Both factors are at play or both factors --

MS. MOLDENHAUER: Talk about the design standards and recommendations for setback off of the structure.

MR. TEIXEIRA: Yes, and I mentioned that in my initial testimony during our prepared remarks, but the National Archives, NARA Standard, NARA 1571-S, I suppose as updated recently, still requires a 15-foot standoff. Well, they require a number of things. They require no vegetation at all within or about 2 feet

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

of the building and then they require no tree canopies when a tree is at its fully matured size to be within 15 feet of the building.

This is in response to pest infestations that are believed to have been caused by critters that can jump from a tree to a building, and so that's the impetus for the criteria, but -- so that's effected. You know, the placement of large trees in our landscape plan. The other factors that I believe Ms. Moldenhauer is referring to is, you know, that a large portion of our land is actually -- or of our project area is actually the roof of the underground garage.

You know, our project is essentially like what people call in the industry a pedestal building, right. It's sitting on top of a garage structure that we're working extremely hard to keep and that's to be responsive to embodied carbon concerns, you know, where we're trying to keep every bit of existing concrete that we're able to.

So anything that isn't really related to this increase in design loads that render the existing structures incapable of meeting our needs. You know, where the existing structures are capable, we're trying to re-use them, and so that impacts, you know, the disposition of the site treatments and bio-retention placements and so forth around the property as well.

VICE CHAIRMAN MILLER: And can you, Mr. Teixeira -- you answered Commissioner Imamura's question on this, but I kind of HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

missed what the answer was. So if you can briefly, just -- what was the response to the question? His question about meeting DOE's net zero, net all electric new green-building requirements?

MR. TEIXEIRA: Correct. Yeah, you might now -- you might not remember my response, because actually I had deferred responding to that question to Ms. Moldenhauer and --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. MOLDENHAUER: Yes. Commissioner Miller, how are you? So our response to that was we are aware. We read DOE's comments and reports and that we're working with DGS to review the legislative history and specifics on how that law applies to this specific project and we'd ask the Zoning Commission to allow us to work with DOEE during the permit process for that issue.

VICE CHAIRMAN MILLER: Okay. Moving on to the -- there was a lot of discussion about the community engagement and the number of letters that raised concern about the lack of community engagement was of concern. I appreciate the responses that you provided, thus far. I think we need to supplement that maybe with a written response. I saw the slide that detailed the meetings that had been held with the community, the various aspects of the community about the project, but as Commissioner Stidham pointed out, most of those seemed to have occurred in the last year and, I guess, there's a reason why it's occurred in the last year, because it's only in the last year, you said -somebody testified, Dr. Eddington, I think, that the

recommendation became final.

But I think we need some written response to the community engagement prior to this past year, and we also need -- I think we also need a more fulsome response to the condition in the Zoning Commission's campus plan order about the Community Task -- University Community Task Force meeting on a quarterly basis.

We just needed a response as to what meetings may have occurred, why you are or are not in compliance with that condition? What alternative means of communications notice occurred? I think we just need a written response, so I can digest that better.

MS. MOLDENHAUER: We're happy to provide that as a supplemental. Thank you.

VICE CHAIRMAN MILLER: Thanks. That'll be helpful.

I think -- as long as I'm requesting written things, it'll be helpful to me, maybe, I think, and I think the public, if we had a copy of -- if it's already in the record, please, correct me, but I just didn't see it, looking at the record in the last few days, if there's that July 2018 Memorandum of Agreement between the Department of General Services and UDC, regarding the D.C. Archives co-location issue; a copy of the December 2018, I believe it was, study and recommendation by HCA, EYA, which you referenced, which reviewed the existing conditions of Building 41, test-fitted whether the archives would fit in,

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

which you provided information as to how it could fit in and would have additional capacity. You've testified to that. Thanks, which I appreciate, but if we actually had that December 2018 Co-location Study and Recommendation, which ended up recommending Option 5, which was the demolition of 41, 40 -- Building 41, that would be helpful to have. Would we be able to get that, Ms. Moldenhauer?

MS. MOLDENHAUER: Absolutely, Commissioner Miller. I would just ask a question. Those are publicly available documents that are on, as I had mentioned earlier to Commissioner Stidham's question about public outreach, they are available on DGS's website and have been since they were issued. I know that there's a regulation, a zoning regulation, that says that we are not supposed to file documents that are publicly available. So is it okay if when we file a supplement that we provide the link to those, or does the Commission want us to actually upload those exhibits?

VICE CHAIRMAN MILLER: That would be -- that's fine. The link would be fine. Is there a U -- is there a UDC Board of Trustees resolution which accepted that recommendation at the end of 2022 I guess it was, or at some time? Is that available or is that -- can that be provided for the record?

MS. MODENHAUER: We've not provided that. There is -- I don't know the specific date, but there is a Board of Trustees resolution that did review this issue and did confirm HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

1 that. 2 VICE CHAIRMAN MILLER: Okay. If we can get a copy of that, Vice. Are you Vice President Dussan or Vice Chair Dussan? 3 MR. DUSSAN: Vice President Dussan. 4 VICE CHAIRMAN MILLER: I thought so. 5 6 MR. DUSSAN: Yes, of course. We can provide that. 7 VICE CHAIRMAN MILLER: So I was just wondering okay. 8 Okay. Yes, if we could get a copy of that, that would 9 be helpful. 10 MR. DUSSAN: Absolutely. VICE CHAIRMAN MILLER: Just a couple more questions, 11 12 Mr. Chairman. So the Arts and Sciences library used to be in 13 Building 41; is that correct? MR. DUSSAN: 14 That's correct. 15 VICE CHAIRMAN MILLER: And it's across the street now? 16 MR. DUSSAN: So, the main library, the collection is 17 in the building. The offices have been temporarily 18 relocated to Building 4250, while we renovate the B level of 19 Building 38 and 39, which is happening right now, so we can 20 relocate the entire main library to this, you know, state-of-21 the-art, fully renovated space. We expect to complete that early in 2024. 2.2 23 VICE CHAIRMAN MILLER: So the Arts and Sciences Library will be part of the main library in that new facility. 24 25 MR. DUSSAN: So the jazz archives library will. Yes. HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support

1	VICE CHAIRMAN MILLER: Building 41. So what currently
2	is Building 41? What's currently in Building 41? So there is
3	the library that still has the Arts and Science library still
4	has some space in there. I think that is what you just said.
5	MR. DUSSAN: Correct, the collection. So there's two
6	main things there. The collection means some books are still
7	being housed there while we renovate the space to bring them to
8	the B level of 38 and 39. The UDC Jazz Archives, which is a
9	small collection but, important, still there, which is waiting
10	to be relocated temporarily, so the construction of the new D.C.
11	Archives takes place and then it will be brought back to a new
12	facility in that location. And down on the ground level or plaza
13	level, there is a child development center that is in a small
14	portion, kind of isolated from the rest of the systems that is
15	still remaining there. They are allowed to be there through
16	December through the end of this year and then they'll be leaving.
17	VICE CHAIRMAN MILLER: So that's a private child
18	MR. DUSSAN: No. That's a private
19	VICE CHAIRMAN MILLER: A privately-operated child
20	development center at UDC ranks for it to be located within
21	that building?
22	MS. MOLDENHAUER: Yeah. So it's an unaffiliated child
23	development center that is not affiliated
24	MR. DUSSAN: Correct.
25	

1 MS. MOLDENHAUER: -- with the University. 2 MR. DUSSAN: Correct. MS. MOLDENHAUER: And a lease is required --3 4 VICE CHAIRMAN MILLER: And are there any plans -- and 5 are there any plans to locate a child development facility on the 6 campus or is there another child development facility already on 7 the campus or very nearby? 8 MR. DUSSAN: So there is a conversation that is 9 happening and has been happening at the Board of Trustees level, 10 and the high leadership in the University, as to how to work with 11 the City in making sure that our students have access to that 12 service. 13 So at this point, there are no specific plans to have 14 a childcare center on campus, but the conversation is -- it's 15 ongoing. 16 VICE CHAIRMAN MILLER: Well, I'm glad there are conversations about it. I think, again, it would be useful to 17 have a written response to that concern that's been expressed in 18 the record in which I share that -- which I think I read, or 19 20 probably everybody shares that it -- ideally, if you had the 21 space and the resources you would have onsite daycare for either 22 the student population or the faculty and staff population. You'd 23 have onsite or near-site housing. More housing, if you could 24 If you had the space and the resources. 25 So if you could, maybe in your written supplemental HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868)

1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

make a reference to the status of locating housing, and both housing and daycare, on or near, very near, the campus of UDC's Van Ness campus.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. MOLDENHAUER: Commissioner Miller, let me just make a note though here. One, from our understanding, the childcare facility is not servicing the University staff or students. The current pricing of that is not within kind of a certain level that would be most likely kind of servicing staff or University individuals.

Also, it was not included in our Campus Plan because it was not something that, obviously, was a service provided by the University. While the Board is, obviously, looking at this issue because it has come up during the community discussion of this hearing, I'm really excited to kind of encourage and continue I would just note that while we will address that discussion. it, it was not something that, obviously, the University has ever provided as a service and, you know, we would from a housing perspective; however, I would note that we would be happy to supplement that, but the University is continuing to pursue housing on campus as it always has. That is a primary goal of the University, but in the 2020 Campus Master Plan, we did revise the language for Building 41 to specifically note that housing would no longer be located in Building 41 because we were at that time aware that if the Archives went forward that it would just be for the D.C. Archives building, but we do have other locations

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

on campus that are part of the Campus Master Plan that was 1 2. approved by the Zoning Commission in 2020 that do pursue housing on campus. 3 Thank you. 4 VICE CHAIRMAN MILLER: 5 And we're happy to supplement that MS. MOLDENHAUER: 6 in any way as well. 7 VICE CHAIRMAN MILLER: And if you could just in your 8 supplemental remind us of what was in our Campus Plan on the 9 housing issue. Thank you. That would be helpful. 10 MS. MOLDENHAUER: Thank you. 11 VICE CHAIRMAN MILLER: That would be helpful. 12 Well, one last question, I'm sorry to have prolonged 13 Is your transportation expert here? Maybe you don't need 14 him, I don't know for this question, because I'm not an expert at all, so it's not an expert question, but the loading I see is 15 16 meeting -- I saw in DDOT's memo is meeting the loading 17 requirements for a government building of 100,000 square feet or 18 more. 19 Of course, this government building, the Archives proposed government building, seems to and just from my -- on the 20 21 top of my head seems -- would seem to have more loading needs or

different loading needs than the typical government office building.

So I just want to get some reassurance that the loading berths -- the two loading berths and the two loading platforms

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

and the whole ingress and egress off of Van Ness is sufficient for the heavy amount of cubic feet that was referenced about the number of records that exist in this -- in the archives system and will exist, will continue to grow? So if that could be provided or if someone has a response.

MR. TEIXEIRA: Please let me respond and this will be a two-part response. I'll begin and then I'll pass it off to Will Zeid who can give you the traffic analysis related to it.

So the unique loading feature of this facility is that it has to have two separate facilities. One for the receiving of archival materials and we call that the clean-loading dock, and then it also has a conventional loading dock as any building would for building services, routine deliveries and, you know, trash removal and so forth, and they are physically separated although they're adjacent to one another.

On the frequency of usage, our project team, the design team got the -- you know, we worked with OPR, with Dr. Matthews, working with what is current frequency is of loading at Naylor Court and, of course, predicting.

We also have a consulting archivist on the team, Michele Pacifico, who consults with the State Archives all over the country, and so the four of us identified the sort of design demand for loading trips and then that's when Will took over with calculating the traffic impact and the other issues related to the loading facility.

So I don't know if, Will, if you can continue to answer this question from that perspective, please.

MR. ZEID: Will Zeid for the record with Gorove Slade.

We prepared the transportation team document for this, and we did -- you know, we've run extensive truck-turning maneuvers for this project. It's gone through several iterations. You know, I'll defer it to Scott on the loading. This is a fairly unique use. Like, as you said, it doesn't necessarily fall right into like the office category.

With that said, we do have the two separate 30-foot bays for loading, as well as a 20-foot service delivery space. I'll note that, you know, if you were to refer to the site plan.

We also have a pretty extensive area out in front of that loading -- those loading docks. Just, you know, a big block of surface so if two trucks were to -- if, let's say all three of those bays were occupied and an additional truck showed up, we'd have room out there for them to park and wait for their turn, if you will.

And I think we can recognize with, you know, with the large volume of storage, there will be the initial, just like with a residential building or an office building, your initial lease up, right, where you've got to move in. You know, two move-ins a day for, you know, a month or two. There will probably be a great amount of deliveries up front as the building stabilizes, you know, to its normal capacity. Deliveries would

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

be less frequent at that point, and we don't really design for that initial part, but for this one, we do have a lot more room than we would typically have out in front of the loading areas to store additional trucks if needed.

2.

VICE CHAIRMAN MILLER: Thank you for your response.

The construct -- I'll end my questions there.

Let me just say, Mr. Chairman, and to the Applicant's team, that my specific questions are not meant to downgrade the important positive aspects of this project. The synergy that I see does -- well, first, finding a real permanent beautiful home for the D.C. Archives, they deserve to have a beautiful home that's visible, that's opened to the public, that people can use, that has synergy, as you have pointed out between a state archives facility and a state university, which exist on down the road, down the highway, or whatever it is, down the beltway. You cut through the College Park to get to the National Archives, and it exists on other state universities. I see the synergy and the opportunities that do exist there for both research and education, obviously, and employment and internship.

So there are a number of concerns which we -- which I want -- which we need to address, and I think we can address them. So I appreciate all of your work that's been done thus far and the work that you will continue to do going forward. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you. And I appreciate

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

Court Reporting and Litigation Support

Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia

410-766-HUNT (4868)

1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

everybody's questions, but I'm going to -- let me come from another angle.

2.

Years ago, they didn't want UDC up in that area period. That's why we have Mount Vernon campus. That's why we have two campuses. When we did the Student Center, Vice Chair, I think you were on the Commission. We had plenty of hearings that turned out to be, I think, very spectacular. So I know there's growing pain, and in this community engagement, we have our process, which it takes 40 days, and I understand and I appreciate the honesty of some who said, "maybe, I was not even aware or maybe I missed it," but we do have something from the ANC.

So I'm not -- you know, I'm not going to -- I'm going to balance that whole deal about engagement, and I know, you know, it might not have been some certainty, but I think this has been going on long enough about archives.

So to me, it's about making sure we get the right design. We deal with our regulations in the campus plan, and also the traffic.

So I'm going to start off with traffic. Mr. Zeid, if we can bring up it was, I think No. 6. It was a drawing that you all had, which you showed in your presentation.

I'm a put it up and I know you can't use the pointer, but I want you to help me walk around the Archive Center. I want you to help me. I saw the blue arrows, the red arrows. I want you to help me navigate through that area. I want to see how

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

the mode is split, pedestrians, the circulation, the traffic. I 2. want to see how that's in there. I think it was No. 6. 3

MR. ZEID: 6.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It might have been page 6, number 6. 4 CHAIRMAN HOOD: 5 Let me see. Let me pull it up.

And I'm going to be quick with my questions, because I want to hear from the residents who are in support and opposition, and I'm going to try and not to go two nights. That's the goal. It's not that one. It's another one. Let's see if I can find Tell you exactly what it is. You showed it during your presentation, and it arrows that showed the entrance to -- off of Van Ness Street, going into the Archives Building.

13 MR. ZEID: Yeah. So the document on screen at the 14 moment is not the slide deck that we used for our presentation. It's a different --15

16 MS. MOLDENHAUER: It's just -- it's our additional 17 slide.

MR. ZEID: That would be additional Slide 23.

Slide 23. Slide 23. MS. MOLDENHAUER:

CHAIRMAN HOOD: 23, okay. I'm sorry. I probably should have got the number, but I didn't. Mr. Zeid, take me around. Show me -- take me around there. I want you -- I want you to -- I want to visually imagine my walking experience. it.

MR. ZEID: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: You can tell me, whether you're using a red arrow or bill for entry. Am I going down to the garage? How I'm coming out? What I'm doing back at these Archives service entry. Just take me through that.

So, yeah, just one point of MR. ZEID: Sure. background, right. Right in front of the building on Van Ness, there's currently a driveway. So there's that driveway that goes parallel to the building and then turns into Van Ness. vehicular driveway that ramps down. That's like a barrier today, right, to get to the front of that building to walk across, so that goes away. That curb-cut goes away, and all of the vehicular access to parking will occur to the east where we have that vehicle exit, vehicle entry arrow; those two separate arrows, that's over at International Drive. It's opposite of International Drive.

So that entrance exist today, and the other one will be removed, but access will still be there. So that's how you'll get to the vehicle parking. Okay. The red arrow on the left side of the building is very, specifically just for loading, so there won't be any vehicular late-hour visitor parking or any kind of parking off of that. It's just for loading, so the only vehicles crossing that will be loading vehicles. Okay.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: So Mr. Zeid, let me stop you.

MR. ZEID: So when we -- yes.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Let me let me stop you. Let me stop 2 "D.C. Archive service entry for loading only." If I go 3 in, how am I coming back out? 4 MR. ZEID: How would you -- you would go down and do a 5 U-turn at the -- if you got lost and went up that way, you would 6 go do a U-turn at the -- in that big -- where the arrow that says 7 "D.C. Archives loading," there's going to be a big surface area 8 out in front of the loading that there'd be a 30-foot truck can 9 turn around in there, so your standard car will have no issue 10 turning around if they get lost up there. 11 CHAIRMAN HOOD: So when I go up there, for me to get 12 back out, I won't do any backing out or anything? I would turn 13 around and come back out? 14 MR. ZEID: Yeah. Yeah, you would just turn around. 15 You'd do a three-point turn once you get up to that box area up 16 there at the top. 17 CHAIRMAN HOOD: So 30-foot truck zone. Okay. All 18 right. Keep going. 19 MR. ZEID: So that -- yeah, and, well, in any service 20 Like, a utility truck to come do maintenance delivery, right? 21 would go up there too. 22 So with the removal of that driveway along the front 23 the building, and I defer to Architecture on this and 24 everything, because from my understanding of that, that can be

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
Court Reporting and Litigation Support
Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia
410-766-HUNT (4868)
1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

25

regraded. It can be stepped so that we can bring pedestrians in off of Van Ness through that blue arrow, which I believe there will be steps there to bring up, because of the grade changes, and that'll bring it up to, you know, you can walk -- the walkway where all the other blue arrows come out to the Plaza and the courtyard, so you could walk all the way around that building.

And to the left of that, I think right next to the loading entrance, there will also be a connection up for pedestrians, because there's different -- like, the grades differ, I believe, along there, so there's different access points to get in there, but you'll essentially be able to access almost all the way from 360 around the building, pedestrian-wise. I believe that this will really be a pedestrian connection at the what is it about the 9:00 to -- 9:00 to 11 o'clock, if you will, on the building, but the rest of it, there'll be pathways going all around that step after you need to deal with the changes in grade around the building.

MR. TEIXEIRA: And Mr. Hood, I -- if you'll allow, I can follow along to some of Will's commentary about the site circulation, at least from the pedestrian standpoint.

So at Van Ness, yes, the removal of that driveway makes it possible for us to have an at-grade connection based on the slope of the street and the elevation of the plaza. Right in front, the left blue arrow, right where you would be entering, going directly into the new building entrance, if you're visiting

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

the D.C. Archives, there are some steps and a ramp, but further to the east where that sweeping Arch of our Sunken Garden meets the Van Ness streetscape, that large promenade with that arcing blue arrow, that connects to the street without steps. That's at grade, and that large arcing connection is the primary sort of pedestrian connection from visitors that are in the interior of the campus to Van Ness.

2.

These alternatives -- the other blue arrows are just showing how pedestrians on the campus can circulate around our building, you know, to get to other destinations. They can -- there are walking paths to the north, so they can get to the field amenities. There's a north/south sort of connection, as well as an east/west connection.

And so, yes, and I think Will covered all of the vehicular uses and the arrangement of vehicular access and the distinction between visitors that are coming to park in the parking facilities versus service and loading deliveries and visits that would utilize our service driveway on the west edge of the proposed building.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you. I think my questions have been answered. Thank you both. Let me also ask -- I'm trying to recall, are there trailers? The trailers are gone now. The elementary school that we have or whatever we were doing up there --

MR. TEIXEIRA: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: I can't remember. It was some years 1 That's all gone, correct? 2 MR. TEIXEIRA: (Indiscernible.) 3 And I've noticed here that we're 4 CHAIRMAN HOOD: 5 talking about -- are we still talking in the future about a 6 football field? There used to be -- that used to be a field 7 years ago. Is that still in the plan, even though, there's no 8 football team? I'm just curious, because I see it on the plan. 9 Yeah. Thank you, Chairman Hood for your MR. DUSSAN: 10 question. Yes, the plan is to -- the dimensions of that area doesn't allow it to be a field for a regulation type-of field, 11 12 but it could be a recreational site that we do want to activate, 13 you know, as soon as possible. We need to work with the D.C. Archives team and the 14 Construction team to see whether there is some opportunity to 15 16 activate that area during construction. If not, it is clear that 17 we will have to wait until the project's completed before we can 18 recuperate that space and fully use it again as a recreational 19 sports field. 20 Okay. Thank you. For some 40 years CHAIRMAN HOOD: 21 ago, that's where the football team used to practice, and I was 22 wondering if President Eddington bought a football team with him 23 when he came. So I'll just leave it at that. I do want to talk about the building. Let me just say 24 this to Mr. Teixeira, and, hopefully, I don't -- it's been a 25 HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

while since somebody pronounced your name, Teixeira, or what?

Mr. Teixeira, I'm not a baseball fan. Yeah, I like baseball,

but don't -- but, anyway, you say people messed your name up,

and I know I have as well.

2.

Does the style of the building and the architectural texture of that building, does it complement the Student Center which we voted on some years back? Is that starting to show some of the same architecture, or does it comp -- do they complement each other on Van Ness street?

MR. TEIXIERA: Well, that is subjective, I suppose, Mr. Hood, but we certainly believe it reinforces the stylistic treatments that are on that building. There's a lot of vertical expression; a lot of use of metal and glass facade systems, and so, you know, we — the other unique challenge from the design perspective for the OPR facility is that it sort of needs to accomplish two things. It needs to be very supportive of the campus objectives in terms of the visual character and the architectural aesthetic but, you know, it also needs to establish sort of a unique identity for OPR.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.

MR. TEIXEIRA: And so what we worked tirelessly really to try to achieve was a building that delivers sort of both promises, you know, that it fits with the campus. It addresses all of the campus objectives in the UDC master plan for new buildings on the campus while at the same time establishing a

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

- unique and, obviously, independent presence and identity for OPR.

 That was also related to the decision to have the main entrance
 address Van Ness.
 - As you may imagine, there were questions from CFA and NCPC staff about having alternative entrances and so forth, but the security program for the building is really paramount. The building must operate with a single entrance to address its security requirements and so that's one of the factors that went into arranging the building entrance toward Van Ness.
 - CHAIRMAN HOOD: I thank you for that question, because that takes out my questions about the embassies and everything else that's going on, but I'm sure that's already been dealt with, so I'm going to kinda stay away from that since CFA and others have given you already conceptual approval and approvals.
 - The other question I have is the -- what was the height of Building 41? Do we know right off, because I didn't see it.
- MR. TEIXEIRA: I believe it was 83 feet. I'm working from memory. Meredith, do you happen to have the zoning tabulation?
- MS. MOLDENHAUER: Commissioner Hood, if you go to Slide 21 48. Slide 48 does --
- CHAIRMAN HOOD: Just tell me what it is. I'm not going to go to it. I got (indiscernible.)
- MS. MOLDENHAUER: The existing building is at 83 feet.
- 25 CHAIRMAN HOOD: 83.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1 MS. MOLDENHAUER: The proposed building is at 73 feet, 2 and institutional buildings are allowed at 90 feet. CHAIRMAN HOOD: So let me ask this question. Are we 3 4 building -- are we building -- let me ask Dr. Matthews this, or 5 either Secretary Bassett. Are we building enough for growth? 6 That's kind of where I am. Are we building enough for growth? Do we need more height? Which I know people have killed me. Are 7 8 we building enough for growth? That's what I want to know. 9 Whoever wants to answer that. 10 DR. MATTHEWS: Yes, we're building enough for height. We're building enough for at least 50 years of growth. 11 12 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. So --13 DR. MATTHEWS: And, also, taking into consideration 14 that things are becoming electronic, so. 15 CHAIRMAN HOOD: So in 50 years, Dr. Matthews, I'm a 16 call -- I'm a ask you the same question, did we build enough for 17 No, I'm just joking, because -arowth? 18 DR. MATTHEWS: Hopefully, we are both still here. 19 That's how I'll answer that question. 20 CHAIRMAN HOOD: I don't know. I don't know. Okay. So 21 we're building enough for growth, and let me ask the architect 22 The cement panels, I noticed they are darker color, so I don't expect for them to get -- and I know -- I know the University 23 has been up there, what, since the '70s? And I noticed a lot of 24 25 the buildings are starting to get dirty on the side, so I believe HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support

maybe Vice President Dussan or whoever can answer this. Are those panels, are they to the point where we can clean them, and will they not be dirty in five years? That's kind of where I am.

MR. DUSSAN: Thank you for your question, Chairman Hood. We have received funds from the City for different maintenance programs, so we will make sure that we'll maintain our facades with all our buildings and in good condition.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Okay. That's really all the questions I have. I really want to get to the -- well, I'm going to try to get to the public. I want the public to know that I'm pushing. I really appreciate you, you all's responses. Let me see if my colleagues have one more round. If not, we're going to keep it going, because I want to get to the public.

Commissioner Imamura.

2.

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Yeah. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I'd like to get to the public too, so I just have one real quick comment. Thank you, sir.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Sure.

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: And I think it's similar to my own budgetary question that you appreciated the other night, Mr. Chairman. I hope you appreciate this one as well.

So clearly it's a question I think for Mr. Dussan, Mr. T., Teixeira, and Dr. Eddington and, perhaps, Ms. Moldenhauer, as well as Dr. Matthews.

So I think it's been clearly articulated tonight that, perhaps, there needs to be a little more effort on the messaging about on-campus housing and some of the concerns that how those letters in opposition have stated and to Commissioner Stidham's comment about sort of student outreach. So this is if Vice Chairman Miller is putting out an ask, I'm going to put out an ask too.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So if you would please consider, because we know community outreach doesn't end once the project is approved or if it is approved and completed, that community outreach still continues. So Dr. Matthews and perhaps Mr. T, if you weigh in on this as well, and Mr. Dussan.

Please consider perhaps extending through public programming, perhaps tours of the building while under I think that might be a certain interest to the construction. Department of Architecture, as well as the College of Agriculture and Sustainability and Environmental Sciences, as well as the Arts and Sciences College as well. I think it's really important to highlight the great work that Mr. Matthews and his team do, as well as the service that they provide to the District and the value that this project might bring to the university itself.

So I think that might be something that students won't vocalize themselves, but I think that would be a nice extension of your public outreach to perhaps involve students as well as faculty from the various departments that are related to this

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

1	particular facility and especially through, I just want to
2	emphasize, I think Mr. T might appreciate this, at least, for
3	architecture students would very much appreciate the chance to
4	tour while under construction a lead gold of this world class
5	facility.
6	MR. DUSSAN: Absolutely. And from UDC, I can confirm
7	that we will consider it.
8	MR. TEIXIERA: Yes. And certainly, the Design team
9	will be supportive of that as well and can participate, you know,
10	to help share information about the design and construction
11	process to the students and so forth, assuming the University,
12	you know, can arrange these types of encounters that you're
13	suggesting.
14	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Of course.
15	MR. TEIXIERA: Yeah.
16	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: All right. Mr. T and
17	Dr. Matthews certainly want to highlight your work and I hope
18	that you're also supportive of this.
19	DR. MATTHEWS: I think I've always planned to continue
20	meeting with the public to keep them updated about the project.
21	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Thank you very much. Thank you
22	all. And, Dr. Eddington, thank you very much for your time this
23	evening as well.
24	Mr. Chairman, that's all that I have.
25	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you. And I'm sure I'll HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia
	410-766-HUNT (4868)

1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

just reiterate, I'm sure that the Garden Club -- I've heard their exchange, I'm not going to revisit it -- I know that a lot of the players who were here, Secretary Bassett and others, and I know that our new president, I know we're going to continue having I think I heard somebody say continued engagement. engagement, and I think I've heard somebody say continue coordination. One thing I know about this city, it's not like Houston

One thing I know about this city, it's not like Houston and some of the other areas I go to, that when you look up -- you wake up in the morning, it's already there.

One thing about this city years ago, especially under Marion Berry and Mayor Williams, and now especially under our current mayor is that -- Mayor Bowser -- that it's communications. It's always listening to the public and if you go to some of you all who -- you know, you go to these other cities, they don't do that. You wake up and -- my daughter tells me all the time, when she wakes up, it's there.

So I appreciate the engagement that we have, and I'm sure even after this, whatever happens in this process, that continuation of being able to collaborate with the community will continue to happen.

So I'm ready to get to the community, because I do have some questions -- a lot of questions for the community. So let's see, Ms. Schellin, do we have anyone from ANC yet or --

MS. SCHELLIN: Yes. James Tanderic is --

1	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Mr. Tanderic.
2	MS. SCHELLIN: would be the one to ask questions.
3	Yes.
4	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Mr. Tanderic, do you have any
5	questions? Any cross?
6	MR. TANDARIC: Can you hear me?
7	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes, we can hear you.
8	MR. TANDARIC: I think that in terms of the questions,
9	you know, as we've spent in the past few couple of months working
10	with Dr. Lopez Matthews on questions. Should I give, like, my
11	testimony here? Is it the right time for it, or should I wait
12	until later on in the call?
13	CHAIRMAN HOOD: I'm going to call you and you're going
14	to have all the time you need. Right now, it's just to ask any
15	questions, like, of any clarity of something you may have. We
16	call it cross-examination, that anything anyone may have said
17	except for the Commissioners, but you can ask any questions that
18	you may have of anything that you've heard.
19	MR. TANDERIC: I think that, you know, someone else can
20	bring up my testimony as well, that some of, like, the main line
21	concerns that I've been hearing from the community have been
22	brought up for tonight, so stuff on like the Gardens, stuff on
23	student housing, stuff on community engagement as well. These
24	have been re-occurring concerns that we've been hearing from
25	constituents as well, via, that is from emails, via, from our ANC
	HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

public meetings.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So many of the concerns that you all will hear tonight, we've been hearing about this for months and we've been trying to address them in, like, different ways. The main way is we hosted a special meeting based upon this issue earlier this month, because we were getting tons of emails of, like, I don't get this You know, I oppose it for such and such reason, and, saying. you know, we want to have the public meet up with people from D.C. Archives and UDC themselves to be like hey, like, let's have the conversation about this, and because we knew that it was on everybody's mind as well, but, yes, just, you know, I think letting you know that we at the ANC have been hearing about this for a while now, for this year and, you know, the concerns are -- you know, there's a couple different -- other different concerns as well, but, you know, I think to me, the biggest concerns led by far was just lack of community engagement. this compared to student housing, as well as where will the gardens go? CHAIRMAN HOOD: So Mr. Tanderic, do you have a question?

CHAIRMAN HOOD: So Mr. Tanderic, do you have a question? That was a long question if you had it. Do you have a question? Because you got -- that's kind of some of the things that we're going to ask when we get there, but do you have a question for anybody?

MR. TANDERIC: As of right now, no.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Well, stay tuned and hold that
HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

thought because you went down some lines I'm sure my colleagues
and I have some questions on.
All right. So Ms. Schellin, let's go thank you, Mr.
Tanderic and hold tight. Let me get my agenda back up here.
All right. Let's go to reports other
government I mean, other government reports, and now, because
we've already spoken about DOEE. Who else do we have, Ms.
Schellin? Do we have anyone here to testify from OAG or anybody?
MS. SCHELLIN: No, sir. Just the Office of Planning
and DDOT.
CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Well, let's go to DDOT and then
we'll go to the Office of Planning in that order. I think it's
Ms. Bridges and let's go with Ms. Bridges. I think it's
Ms. Bridges.
MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, and I believe Crystal Myers.
CHAIRMAN HOOD: Crystal. Oh, yeah, Ms. Myers. I've
seen Ms. Myers a couple of times yesterday on BZA. Monday. She
must like the Zoning Commission now.
MS. SCHELLIN: She always liked us.
CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Ms. Bridges, you may begin.
MS. BRIDGES: Chairman Hood and members of the
Commission, for the record, I'm Kelsey Bridges with the District
Department of Transportation. DDOT's status is supportive of the
Applicant's proposal.
As you heard in the presentation, the Applicant has HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland Washington and Virginia

Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410--766--HUNT (4868) 1--800--950--DEPO (3376)

1	coordinated with DDOT on the transportation impacts and has come
2	to an agreement with the Applicant to apply the existing
3	Performance Monitoring Plan and Transportation Demand Management
4	Plan for the Campus Plan to Building 41, with the agreed existing
5	Performance Monitoring Plan, TDM Plan and bike parking included
6	in the final Zoning order, and continued coordination with DDOT
7	through the public space permitting, DDOT has no objection to the
8	approval of this application.
9	Thank you.
10	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Bridges. Let's
11	see if we have any questions. Commissioner Imamura, any questions
12	of DDOT?
13	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Thank you, Ms. Bridges, for your
14	report.
15	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Commissioner Stidham, any
16	questions of DDOT?
17	COMMISSIONER STIDHAM: No, sir.
18	CHAIRMAN HOOD: And Vice Chairman Miller, any questions
19	of DDOT?
20	VICE CHAIRMAN MILLER: Thank you for your report.
21	CHAIRMAN HOOD: I'm sorry. Does the okay, the
22	Applicant. Does the Applicant, Ms. Moldenhauer, have any
23	questions of DDOT?
24	MS. MOLDENHAUER: No. Thank you for working with us,
25	and no other questions.

L	CHAIRMAN HOOD: And Mr. Tanderic, any quescions of
2	DDOT? Commissioner Tanderic. Are you the chairman? Vice
3	chairman. Vice chairman.
4	MR. TANDERIC: I am vice chairman. Yeah, I mean,
5	Commissioner's fine too. I do not, no.
5	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. All right. Ms. Myers, good
7	evening. You may begin.
8	MS. MYERS: Good evening. Always a pleasure to be at
9	the Commission. OP recommends approval of Zoning Commission Case
10	2033(B). This is a further processing, special exception, and
11	Campus Plan amendment for the District Archives Building at the
12	University of District of Columbia's Van Ness campus.
13	It is requested by the Department of General Services
14	on behalf of the University of District of Columbia or UDC and
15	the Office of Public Records, or OPR.
16	The UDC Campus Plan identified Building 41, which is
17	the former Arts and Sciences and Library building, as a possible
18	location for a future District archive building. The plan
19	recommended consolidating the District Archives into Building 41,
20	but the Applicant determined that it is not feasible to re-use
21	Building 41 as an archive building, so they are proposing a Campus
22	Plan amendment to allow for the demolition of the building.
23	The Comprehensive Plan's Future Land Use Map identifies
24	the entire UDC Van Ness campus for a local public facilities use,
25	and the generalized policy map designates it for Institutional HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support
	Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia

use.

The District Archives Building would not be inconsistent with these designations. When viewed through a racial-equity lens, UDC is a historically black University, or HBCU, and the only public University in the District of Columbia. The school has a majority, minority student population, locating the D.C. Archives Building at the UDC campus would help to make the D.C. Archives program and research (indiscernible)— and the research opportunities accessible to more minority students in the District.

According to the Applicant, UDC Van Ness is an underinvested campus, so replacing an out-of-date building with a new state-of-the-art, D.C. Archives Building would be a positive improvement for the campus.

We note that the Greener Government Buildings Act will be funded as of Fiscal Year '24, and so we encourage the Applicant to address any applicable changes noted by the Department of Energy and Environment, or DOE.

And for the community engagement, the Applicant has discussed a series of meetings they have engaged in to inform the public on the project, so I won't list them again.

When the OP report was filed, the only community comments in the records were in support of the project. In the last 24 to 48 hours, a number of filings from the community members have been submitted to the record. Many of the concerns

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

1	expressed were just discussed, so I won't go away from those
2	again either.
3	So OP is in support of the project, because it complies
4	with the zoning standards for a Campus Plan amendment and the
5	further processing special exception, and because it is not
6	inconsistent with the comprehensive plan. And with that, I will
7	conclude the OP presentation. Thank you, and I'm available for
8	questions.
9	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you, Ms. Myers.
10	As always, I just have fun with you, Ms. Myers, so I
11	hope you don't mind. I don't think you do, but I just have fun.
12	All right. Commissioner Imamura, any questions of
13	Ms. Myers?
14	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Confirmation that the Zoning
15	Commission is her favorite. I'm sure on Mondays and Thursdays
16	(indiscernible) so thank you, Ms. Myers, for your report.
17	CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right. Commissioner Stidham,
18	questions of the Office of Planning?
19	COMMISSIONER STIDHAM: No, thank you, but thank you for
20	your report.
21	CHAIRMAN HOOD: And, Vice Chairman Miller, any
22	questions of the Office of Planning?
23	VICE CHAIRMAN MILLER: Thank you, Ms. Myers, for your
24	report.
25	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Let's see. Ms. Moldenhauer, any HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868)

1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

Τ	questions of the Office of Planning?
2	MS. MOLDENHAUER: Just one. I believe, Ms. Myers,
3	already may have said this, but I'm just going to ask you again.
4	You noted that your report was prepared after some of
5	the opposition filings from the record. You've confirmed that
6	you have read those opposition filings?
7	MS. MYERS: Within like a day or two. So we did take
8	a quick look at it all, but our report was filed way before those
9	were in the record, but I am aware of them and we've been
10	discussing them at the hearing now.
11	MS. MOLDENHAUER: And so after reviewing those and
12	being aware of those, OP is still in support of the application?
13	MS. MYERS: Yes. And I'll also note that I was aware
14	of the Community Gardens issue about a month earlier. The
15	Applicant did update me on that at the time, and so our report
16	even notes it, that "I'm happy to hear about even more progress
17	has been made about that," but our conclusion was that the project
18	meets all the standards for the special exception, so we recommend
19	the support still.
20	MS. MOLDENHAUER: Thank you. No other questions. Thank
21	you for your time.
22	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. And Vice Chair Tanderic. Did I
23	get that right that time? Vice Chair Tanderic.
24	MR. TANDERIC: Yes.
25	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Good. Okay. Any questions of the
	HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868)

1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

Office of Planning?

2 MR. TANDERIC: I do not. No.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. All right. Thank you, Vice
Chair Tanderic. I believe we can go to you now.

MR. TANDERIC: All right. Awesome. Good evening, everyone and thank you for letting me be on the call. My name is James Tanderic. I am the ANC Commissioner for 3-F-05. I'm also the ANC commissioner for the UDC campus, including Building 41, and I am here in support for this case.

You know, when Dr. Matthews came onto the ANC call earlier this year, to me it sounded like a really great idea. It sounded like a way to really span the campus. It's an opportunity for students and the engagement of faculty for staff and so, you know, I was definitely geared for it completely. I think that in the past few months, we were starting to get concerns in from residents, from constituents, ranging from a number of issues that everyone has been hearing from tonight and, you know, because of that, we really wanted to make sure that we were able to hear the community completely.

So again, we had a special meeting earlier this month, and it had seven people that were on the call. It was like an hour and-a-half special meeting. We had representatives from UDC and from D.C. Archives that were there themselves, and they were addressing many of the concerns and questions that are being brought up in today's meeting as well. Some of the concerns

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

that, you know -- I mean, you know, I can understand it completely, right.

I think student housing was something that, you know, I think people in the community absolutely want it to be able to happen but, you know, I think to me when I look at this building being set up, to me, it just creates opportunity for the community -- creates opportunity for the students, creates opportunity for the faculty as well.

The ANC voted in support for this unanimously on our Tuesday ANC public meeting, so I think for us as the ANC, you, know, we just really want community engagement to be going, to be thriving, to be able to work with UDC and the D.C. Archives, which both parties have been more than willing to do so with us this year, and, you know, I just feel like it's just going to -- it's going to create opportunities and new places for our students and our future to be able to go. So, thank you so much.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you, Vice Chair Tanderic. I really appreciate your testimony and I appreciate all the work that ANC -- your ANC does with 3-F, and all the work you do, because I know you are frontline leaders and you -- the work that you all do does not go unnoticed on this Commission, so I wanted to thank you, and you can pass that back to your Commissioners too.

So in the conversations that -- and I'm going to start here. I only have one or two questions. In the conversations

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

that you've had with the community in those meetings, it was
basically talked about -- I guess they talked about the garden.

Did anybody show up who was very supportive of this? Well, I know you all voted for it, so obviously somebody had -- somebody in the audience had to be in support of it.

COMMISSIONER TANDERIC: Yeah. So I think that, you know, just from, like, my perspective, many of the concerns when we were starting to get the emails and conversations, they were mainly from the gardens themselves. They were worried about, like, the gardens were being ruined, being moved somewhere else and when we were on the calls, we definitely got people that were in support of this.

I think that when we had the special meeting earlier this month, it was probably, like, I would say it was probably a good half-and-half of people, people that were supportive, people that were against it, people that had some questions. You know, there was some kind of gray room in between, but there were people that were in support of it for a variety of reasons.

But, yeah, I think that the general -- from what my understanding, the concerns were really beginning with garden issues and then had spread some more issues about like, "well, why can't this building be for student housing?" As well as, "okay, well, why wasn't I aware of this?"

I think those have been some of the more foreign concerns that have been happening in the past -- I would say the HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

past, like, month or so. 2 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. And I want to encourage, as I mentioned earlier for the ANC and the community to continue to 3 work with the University, the University continue to work with 4 5 the ANC, and I think we will continue to forward -- what I 6 call -- I've always called it, over the years, the Good Neighbor 7 policy, and I think if we all had the Good Neighbor policy, all 8 sides, then I think we'd come up with a better outcome. We might 9 not always agree, but we come out with a much better outcome. 10 So let me see if my colleagues have any questions or comments. Let me go with Commissioner Stidham this time. 11 12 questions or comments? 13 COMMISSIONER STIDHAM: No. No, thank you. 14 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Commissioner Imamura, any 15 questions or comments? 16 COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: (Indiscernible.) 17 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Vice Chair Miller, any questions or 18 comments? 19 20 21

VICE CHAIRMAN MILLER: Thank you, Vice Chair Tanderic for ANC-3-F's testimony for all of your work in the community. Thank you for submitting the ANC report. I think we got it in today's record. Just to re-confirm that it was a unanimous vote in support. Was it 5-0? Is that what that --

COMMISSIONER TANDERIC: Yes. So, five were in support and zero were against.

22

23

VICE CHAIRMAN MILLER: Okay. Thank you very much. 1 2 CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right. Ms. Moldenhauer, do you have any questions of Vice Chair Tanderic? 3 Yes, Commissioner. I think just 4 MS. MOLDENHAUER: 5 maybe two questions about the okay. 6 When we presented it to the ANC in April, you know, 7 would you agree that it was a mostly positive meeting except for 8 questions about the gardens? 9 COMMISSIONER TANDERIC: I would say, generally, yeah. 10 Like, I think that when it was presented to us in April, like, we were excited for us, like, "oh, kind of a cool thing," as 11 12 well, and I think that when it was sort of found out organically 13 that the gardens were going to be moved or disrupted, I think 14 that's where like the concerns were starting to come in as well, and, yeah, I remember, you know, many emails from the beginning 15 16 and all of us were, you know, people I remember from the UDC Garden Club. 17 18 You know, my daughter and my son is part of the Garden 19 You know, I, myself, go to the gardens for personal Club. 20 reasons, right. Those are the main concerns that were happening 21 probably since, like, April/May kind of time, and then I think since then it's been more about student housing, along with like 22 23 the lack of just students being aware of that, of what's 24 happening. 25 MS. MOLDENHAUER: The University, the students are off HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support

Τ	in the summer, right? So we had our public meeting in April,
2	and then the students are off in the summer and then we came
3	back, and we presented again and there was some more
4	communication. You did have a special public meeting and you
5	held that when?
6	COMMISSIONER TANDERIC: It was on it was on September
7	6th, and just for context, so we at the ANC, we chose not to have
8	a meeting in August, public meeting, because it's a quiet season.
9	Things are calming down, but we knew that in August things were
10	heating up from all of the questions we were getting, so we wanted
11	to have some type of meeting, a special meeting just for this
12	topic itself, and so that special meeting that we had early on
13	the 6th, and then us at the ANC voted unanimously for it on during
14	a public meeting just this past Tuesday.
15	MS. MOLDENHAUER: Thank you. That addresses my
16	questions.
17	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you, Vice Chair. We appreciate
18	you taking the time and continue to do the great work that you
19	all do. Thank you very much.
20	VICE CHAIR TANDARIC: Thank you. Appreciate everyone.
21	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. All right. Ms. Schellen, do we
22	have anyone who's here in support?
23	MS. SCHELLIN: There's a list.
24	CHAIRMAN HOOD: In support, are you going to call them,
25	support and then opposition?

1	MS. SCHELLIN: Yes.
2	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.
3	MS. SCHELLIN: Oh, yes. Support first. Yes.
4	CHAIRMAN HOOD: And then undeclared.
5	MS. SCHELLIN: How many would you like called first?
6	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Do we have any undeclared? That's all
7	right. I'll wait. Okay. I'll wait.
8	MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, we do have undeclared also.
9	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Let's bring them in everyone. I'll ask
10	everyone to be conscientious of the person behind you, so whatever
11	time limit that you have, I would ask you to stick to it. Thank
12	you.
13	MS. SCHELLIN: Okay. And I apologize ahead of time for
14	any mispronunciation of names. Christopher Anglim. Let's see.
15	Let me move down to Corey Shaw, Jr.
16	MR. YOUNG: I don't see either of those names.
17	MS. SCHELLIN: Okay. Amanda Huron.
18	MR. YOUNG: Don't see her either.
19	MS. SCHELLIN: I believe Mr. Aganga-Williams was part
20	of the presentation. Is that correct, Meredith?
21	MS. MOLDENHAUER: (Indiscernible.)
22	MS. SCHELLIN: Okay. David Weinberg. Neil Flanagan.
23	MR. WEINBERG: Hey, this is David Weinberg. I'm here.
24	MS. SCHELLIN: Neil Flanagan. Ronald Williams. Trudy
25	Peterson.
	HIINT REPORTING COMPANY

1	MR. WEINBERG: Hello, this is David Weinberg. I am
2	here.
3	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Just hold tight. We're calling
4	the people that
5	MR. WEINBERG: Okay, great. Thank you very much.
6	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you.
7	MS. SCHELLIN: Do we have enough for a panel yet?
8	CHAIRMAN HOOD: What, you had yeah, let's do four.
9	You want to do four?
10	MR. YOUNG: I only got three.
11	MS. SCHELLIN: Okay.
12	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Let's do three. Let's do three,
13	so I can see everybody, or we can see everyone.
14	MS. SCHELLIN: Okay.
15	CHAIRMAN HOOD: My screen is
16	MS. SCHELLIN: You got it now?
17	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yeah, three. Let's do three at a time.
18	Well, four at a time after this.
19	MS. SCHELLIN: Okay.
20	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Mr. Weinberg, you may begin.
21	Mr. Weinberg. Okay. Maybe I should have let him go while he
22	was unmuted.
23	MR. WEINBERG: Hello. Yes, I'm here. I'm sorry.
24	CHAIRMAN HOOD: There you go. Okay.
25	MR. WEINBERG: This is David Weinberg.
	HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support

CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right. You may begin.

MR. WEINBERG: Okay. I apologize. I'm doing this from the Metro station, from Van Ness Metro. So good evening, everybody. My name is David Weinberg, and I am a resident of Ward 3 where I live across Connecticut Avenue from the UDC campus.

I was a senior manager at the National Archives and Records Administration and before retiring in 2020, had previously served as a Deputy Records Commissioner for the City of Philadelphia's Department of Records.

I was at first mixed in my opinion on this topic, but have come around to viewing the D.C. Archives on the UDC campus as a win/win for the community, UDC's students, faculty and staff, the D.C. Archives, the citizens of the District of Columbia, and those who wish to access the historical records of our government. Here's why. Former UDC President Ronald Mason, Jr. stated in a recent ANC-3F meeting that Building 41 is in disrepair and is not cost-effective to renovate the building, and Building 41 is surplus space that doesn't meet the current and future needs of UDC, and it has been under-utilized since 2018.

Let's assume those statements are true. Then it makes sense to re-purpose the space for other uses.

The D.C. Archives, in my view, is a perfect complement to the campus. Placing government archives on university campuses is a well-established practice. The Gerald R. Ford Library at the University of Michigan, the George W. Bush Library

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

at Southern Methodist University are but two examples. It's good for the hosting university and it's good for the archival repository.

The D.C. Archives could act as a magnet to attract researchers and visitors to the UDC campus. This would build upon years long work now finally underway to expand retail options along Connecticut Avenue and to increase utilization of live performances at the UDC Theater of the Arts.

For the new dining and shopping establishments on Connecticut Avenue to survive and thrive, they need increased foot traffic. The D.C. Archives could be a key component of a renewed Van Ness community.

I love the community gardens in and around Building 41, the result of very hard work by community volunteers. I understand the gardens may be opposed, but rather than oppose the D.C. Archives outright, I would encourage the community to press for reconstructive plans that include ample space on campus for continued gardening. Preserving our city's records in an adequate facility for City operational purposes is vital for the full-functioning city. That is why I support placing the D.C. Archives on the UDC campus. Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you, Mr. Weinberg. If you could hold for a moment, we're going to get right back to you if we have any questions. I know you're on the Metro.

Who was next, Ms. Schellin? Ms. Schellin, I'm going
HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

to need you to help me keep who was next? 2 MS. SCHELLIN: Amanda Huron. CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you. 3 4 MS. HURON: Good to see you. My name is Amanda Huron. 5 I'm an associate professor at UDC in the College of Arts and 6 Sciences, and I'm in my 12th year here at UDC. I'm a D.C. native and one of my areas of expertise is D.C. history. 7 I'm testifying in support of the proposal to bring the 8 9 D.C. archives to UDC's campus and in support of the plan to 10 replace Building 41 with a new building, specifically, for the 11 archives. 12 So the thing I want to talk mostly about is that the 13 D.C. Archives would be an amazing resource for our students. One of the classes I teach at UDC is D.C. history. In this class, I 14 take students on trips to different archives around town so they 15 16 can do hands-on research. Students tell me these archival visits are invaluable 17 18 to their learning and how powerful it would be if our students 19 had direct access right on campus to the rich materials 20 documenting the history of this city. Dr. Matthews, I think has an incredible vision for how 21 the archives can be integrated into student academic life on 22 23 I've spoken to him about this, about setting up 24 internships for our students and creating other on-campus 25 opportunities.

I'm also part of UDC's Political Science Program and we, for example, offer a class in D.C. politics. The archives would be a great resource for our political science students who are interested in researching the history of this city's politics and, you know, we also have a PhD program in Urban Leadership and Entrepreneurship, and many of those doctoral students focus on D.C. questions, so this archive would be of great use to them.

Having the D.C. archives on our campus would make our campus a central site in the study of D.C. history, policy, planning and politics. We are the only public university in D.C., and we have a specific mission to serve the City. Having the D.C. Archives on our campus would help us do that.

I also want to point out that I'm specifically in support of demolishing Building 41 and replacing it with a new building designed to house the Archives. I worked and taught inside Building 41 full-time for seven years and it was a bad building. It's got a unique kind of interesting look if you're a fan of brutalist architecture, which I actually am, but it's not functional to be inside trying to work and learn.

I'm delighted by the plans for the new proposed building. I'm especially happy that the plans include space for our own UDC University archives and our incredible jazz archives.

And finally, I want to attest to the University's outreach around its campus master planning efforts, which included the discussion, bringing the D.C. Archives to campus.

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

So beginning on February 11th, 2020, I went back and checked my email on this. The University began sending out messages to all staff, faculty, and students encouraging us to respond to surveys and attend meetings in order to get our input into the campus' master plan.

If we weren't paying attention to that in the Year of 2020, we might have missed it. Now, I will be frank, I didn't pay attention at the time. This felt like some abstract things far in the future, I didn't have bandwidth for in 2020, so I missed it at the time, but that's on me, and if you weren't yet a student in 2020, if you've joined us since then, you would have missed it altogether. I can see how that might be frustrating, but I can attest to the fact that there was an intentional planning process on the part of the University, and our entire campus community was highly encouraged to participate.

Again, I'm in strong support of bringing the D.C. Archives to UDC's campus, because of all the opportunities it can open up to our students, and I'm in favor of replacing Building 41 in order to do so. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you. Let's go to Neil Flanagan.

MR. FLANAGAN: Thank you, Chairman Hood and all the Members of the Zoning Commission.

Unfortunately, I was not able to submit my testimony before time, because I'm preparing to present at the Association for the Society of African-American Life and History on Saturday,

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

so I would appreciate if you can keep the Zoning record open so my testimony which is very extensive and technical can be submitted. Thank you.

My name is Neil Flanagan. I have ten years of experience in architecture, and I'm a historian in the District of Columbia, and I grew up on Brandywine Street in ANC-3F.

I specifically want to highlight my experience in adaptive reuse and lifecycle carbon analysis, which I can explain in my attached resume. I am testifying to strongly support the proposed amendment to the UDC's 2020 Campus Plan and support with qualifications, further processing of a proposed modern facility for the D.C. Archives on that site, and I will frame my testimony in the sense that as something you will hear a lot is that as all buildings have become more efficient to operate, the energy and carbon emissions involved in constructing them has become a greater importance to understanding its sustainability.

First and foremost, trying to reuse buildings. So I think it is actually good that there is a reflex to preserve Building 41, but it is not appropriate in this case at all, and it can be seen when I -- as I do in my testimony, when I analyze it through the carbon-reduction framework in PAS 2080, which is the most accepted international standard for reducing carbon emissions in the built environment.

The framework asks designers to take the following

steps: First, consider whether you can build nothing, then whether you can build less, and whether you can build clever, and whether you can build efficiently.

I will not -- for the interest of time, I will abbreviate most of this material, but what I want to emphasize is that the project has exhausted Steps 1 and 2, and could improve in Step 3 and 4 is sort of a question about construction.

For the D.C. Archives, the option of building nothing is not acceptable at all. It is the largest, most comprehensive historical resource of the people who have called the District home, and particularly a valuable resource for black history. This option is unacceptable. I have done extensive research in the D.C. history -- D.C. Archives, particularly on the Reno Committee, which is actually displaced from this neighborhood. The collection is currently housed in multiple inadequate and insecure buildings and inefficient buildings. A new building address could inhibit the ability of D.C. residents to understand their past and shape their future.

However, the question is where -- what kind of building should be built, and can adaptive reuse be done?

And in my testimony, I explain how my technical and professional experience indicates that it is -- there's an -- and as the co-location studies indicate there is no way to adapt, for structural reasons, to adapt the frame of Building 41, and in particular, there is nothing about Building 41 that could be HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

saved under any scenario other than the frame, and further that by building on the parking garage as Mr. Teixeira has said with a hard X, there is no way -- there is a major savings by not constructing the foundations of the garage well beyond this. And so, however, I do believe that they could improve energy efficiency -- the energy efficiency in particular the compliance of the Greener Governments Buildings Act.

But in general, this is a 100 million dollar investment in the University of the District of Columbia and will breathe life. It will bring life to the UDC's (indiscernible) advantage program, and it could enrich the education of every student who passes through. How do I know? Because it happened to me. I'm able to sit before and talk about the cutting edge of sustainable design and the value of archives, because I have undergraduate and professional experiences where archives were on campus and in my education. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you. Trudy Peterson.

MS. PETERSON: Can you hear me?

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes. We can hear you now. Yes.

MS. PETERSON: I'm sorry, but I'm -- I am in a vehicle, so I will make this quite brief. I am here in support of the demolition of Building 41 and the construction of an archives building on that site. I'm a certified archivist. I am a former Acting Archivist of the United States, and I chair the Archives Advisory Group of the Council of the District of Columbia.

Though I want to be clear I am here acting as myself, not as a representative of that group. Archives are fundamental to government.

2.2

One thing that an archives on the UDC campus will do, is it will bring more of the citizens of the City to the campus because we have a federal government and the records that are often most important to citizens, births, deaths, marriages, wills, land hold things are those at a local level and those are the kinds that will be in the D.C. Archives on the campus and it will bring people from across the City to the campus where they may see it for the first time and understand what the University can do for us all.

It's important, I think, as you think about an archives building, which is a very special kind of construction, that it's rather like a Russian doll. You've got to get the external structure right, then you have to get the interior spaces correct because each kind of record that you store in them, whether it's paper or audio, visual, or electronic, needs its own climate and the humidity controls to preserve those materials, and then within those spaces, you have to make sure that you have the right storage conditions and the right containers for all that material.

So building an archives building, you think about it like the Russian doll, and you understand that at every level, it's got to be right and that's the kind of thing that a rebuild

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

1	of	the	cur	rer	nt	exi	İsti	ng	Building	41	won't	do	for	us.	It	really
2	doe	es ne	eed	to	be	a	new	C	onstructi	on.						

I, like my colleague Neil Flanagan, support the building to the Greener Government Building Act, and I also believe very strongly that this will have enormous benefits for UDC and its students.

The practice of putting archives on university campuses is, as your previous guests have said, "are well-established and are beneficial to everyone."

So archives are also good neighbors. I want to point out that there are benefits to the neighborhood. Archive buildings can be used as meeting spaces. Spaces can be rented to hold events or to have community meetings, and so they are good neighbors. They are dark at night, so you don't have light pollution coming from them and it is a real benefit to a neighborhood.

So because it helps the City as a whole, it helps the University itself, it helps the neighborhood, and I am very much in support of the demolition of Building 41 and the construction in its place of a purpose-built archives building for this capital city. Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you very much to this complete panel. We appreciate your testimony. Let me just say, Mr. Flanagan, I will ask that we -- I'm going to ask Ms. Schellin to let me know -- we're going to leave it open for five days. I

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

know that you have an event that you're doing, I think on Saturday you mentioned. Good luck with that.

MR. FLANAGAN: (Indiscernible.)

2.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Excuse me. I appreciate all the comments that were given. Very educational. Mr. -- I can't think of the first gentleman's name. Mr. David Weinberg. Weinberg. I appreciate your comments, Ms. Peterson. All of you all and the other young lady who taught at the school. So thank you all for your testimony, Ms. Huron. Thanks everybody. So let me see if my colleagues have any questions.

Commissioner Imamura.

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: And I just want to thank all four of you for not only just participating, because I say that frequently for those who testify, but thank you for bringing your expertise and experience tonight and for participating in the public process. I really appreciate it.

Certainly, it speaks volumes for Mr. Flanagan and for your experience. Mr. Weinberg for yours, and Ms. Peterson for yours who has a Wiki page of her own and first woman to hold the position as archivist of the United States. Certainly, that ought to be noted, and, Dr. Huron, I certainly don't want to fall short here, and appreciate your testimony as well, and the fact that you too, like, concrete sheet. So thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you. Commissioner Stidham, any questions or comments?

1	COMMISSIONER STIDHAM: No, I don't. Just thank you.
2	Thank you for taking time out of your day to speak with us.
3	Really appreciate it.
4	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. And Vice Chair Miller.
5	VICE CHAIRMAN MILLER: I would echo Commissioner
6	Imamura's praise of the expertise and personal interaction with
7	the University that you provided and the special effort that
8	those who are in transit, whether by automobile or Metro, managed
9	to get onto our public hearing process and I appreciate that, and
10	those who are at their home or office. We appreciate you, your
11	efforts, your hanging in there. Thank you.
12	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Ms. Moldenhauer, any questions or
13	comments?
14	MS. MOLDENHAUER: No questions, Mr. Chairman.
15	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any cross? Any cross for you? Any
16	cross? I'm sorry.
17	MS. MOLDENHAUER: No cross-examination on behalf of the
18	Applicant. We thank all the panelists for their support.
19	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. And, Ms. Schellin, did Vice
20	Chair Tandaric, did he leave?
21	MS. SCHELLIN: He was up, but he might have left.
22	Mr. Young, do you see
23	MR. YOUNG: I don't see him anymore.
24	MS. SCHELLIN: Yeah, I think he may have gotten off.
25	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. All right. (Indiscernible).
	HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868)

1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

```
So let's bring up the next panel. Thank you all very
1
   Okay.
2.
   much.
           We appreciate it. Remember, September the 26th will
3
   be --
4
             MS. SCHELLIN: Mr. Flanagan.
             CHAIRMAN HOOD: Mr. Flanagan, September the 26th.
5
             MS. SCHELLIN: Right. Okay. Actually, I think there's
6
7
   just four more in support and we'll finish with that group.
8
             So next we have Kimberly Bender. I did see her.
9
   Caroline Petti, and I believe, Mr. Young, she only put her first
10
   name, so you may only see Caroline. I don't think she put her
11
   last name.
12
             So if you're looking and you see just Caroline, that's
13
   probably her. Teri Huet. And I have Bill Rite, R-I-T-E, but I
14
   see there is a Bill Rice listed three times --
15
             CHAIRMAN HOOD: It's probably, Bill Rice.
16
             MS. SCHELLIN -- as an attendee, so that's probably the
17
   right person.
18
             CHAIRMAN HOOD: I wonder if that's the Honorable Bill
   Rice. But anyway, let me -- well, all Bill Rices are. Let me
19
20
   ask this question: Can everybody see the clock okay, because I
21
   couldn't see. Okay. So I can see it now. I'm going to ask
22
   everybody please pay attention to the clock.
23
             All right. So Ms. Schellin who's first?
24
             MS. SCHELLIN: I'm sorry. That would be --
25
             CHAIRMAN HOOD: Ms. Bender?
                        HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
```

L	MS. SCHELLIN: Kimberly Bender.
2	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Ms. Bender. Okay. Ms. Bender, you go
3	right ahead.
4	MS. BENDER: Okay. Thank you. I would also just like
5	to note that I'm speaking on behalf of a group, an organization,
5	so I don't know if that allows me a little more time, but
7	hopefully I'll be under three minutes.
8	CHAIRMAN HOOD: She gets five minutes. She gets five
9	minutes. Okay.
10	MS. SCHELLIN: Which group so we make sure that no one
11	else is testifying for that group.
12	MS. BENDER: No one else is. It's the D.C. Archives
13	Advocates.
14	MS. SCHELLIN: Okay. Great. Thank you.
15	MS. BENDER: Thank you. Thank you all for the
16	opportunity to testify today. My name's Kim Bender and I live
17	in Ward 6, and I'm the founding Executive Director of the High
18	Rick House Museum in Dupont Circle, which I've led for 13 years.
19	I'm also a practicing land use and nonprofit attorney
20	and have been studying and writing about D.C. history for a
21	decade.
22	I'm here today representing the D.C. Archives
23	Advocates, a three-year old advocacy group made up of archive
24	users, archivists, and interested residents who want to ensure
25	that the documentary heritage of the District of Columbia is
	Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia

safe, secure, and accessible.

2.2

Today we would like to express our wholehearted support for the new D.C. Archives Building at the University of the District of Columbia and urge you to approve the proposed Campus Plan amendment and further processing of the 2020 Campus Plan.

The D.C. Archives is the largest and most comprehensive collection of D.C. history. Stories from people of all races, classes, and genders and from all eight wards are waiting to be uncovered at the D.C. Archives. When pieced together, the mundane but universal paperwork filed in City agencies like marriage licenses, pothole complaints, and Commissioner reports tell vivid stories about the District and its citizens. When a historian or family genealogist wants to reconstruct the lives of ordinary citizens and fact-check the story -- the stories that newsmakers tell us, they turn to these documents. The documents cared for by the D.C. Archives can be used to shape public policy and improve the lives of D.C. citizens.

Currently, these holdings are scattered around the City as many people have testified, with key collections located in a converted stable in Naylor Court in Shaw. Thirty years of barebone funding has left that building degraded and nearly unusable.

A plan to build a purpose-built, state-of-the-art D.C. Archives Building has been stalled in the early stages of planning for almost that same amount of time until now.

Over the last three years, the project has finally proceeded to an excellent resolution. The hiring of Lopez Matthews, who is the District's first state archivist, a full capital budget commitment and ongoing design process occurred under an extraordinary consensus of City officials, and immense support from local ANCs, historians, archivists, and regular citizens.

In fact, there has been so much interest in building the new archives building that at least two council members included building a new archives at UDC specifically in their platforms during the 2022 election. When our group canvassed ANCs citywide to gather support and spread awareness in 2019 and 2020, 12 unanimously adopted a resolution in support of this project on UDC campus, including, ANC-3F.

Our group letter in support of the project was also signed by supporters that included -- that includes the Executive Directors of the D.C. History Center, me, the High Rick House Museum, the National Building Museum, Dumbarton House, Lincoln's Cottage, Tudor Place and Humanities D.C., as well as hundreds of citizens, including, many archivists and librarians, and I've also filed this in written form, and I included all of those ANC resolutions and the signed testimony from hundreds of people that we collected.

The current movement forward of the project builds on a commitment announced in September -- on September 27th, 2018,

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

to build the new landmark institution on UDC campus. UDC is an excellent location to locate the new facility. The school, the students, and the archives stand to benefit from the potential cooperation between the two institutions.

2.

An archival collection is a key asset for the University to do original research. Many state archives are located on or near their flagship institutions. Specifically, the archives would allow UDC to increase course offerings and degree programs in history and library sciences and offer new internships and work-study programs, as we've also heard Dr. Matthews testify. The high level of energy efficiency in the new building may offer an opportunity for CAUSES' students studying Building Design and Operations. The location of the Jazz Archives and the Institute for Politics, Policy and History, which will be co-located inside the proposed building, provides even more immediate benefits.

Given its long planning history, wide public support, and new drive from City leadership to act now, it is important that we keep the forward momentum and allow this project to start construction.

Please vote to support the proposed amendment. Thank you. Happy to answer any questions.

23 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you. Stand by. We may 24 have some questions for you.

Caroline Petti.

MS. PETTI: Can you hear me?

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes, we can.

MS. PETTI: Okay. Thank you and good evening, and thank you for this opportunity to testify this evening. I'm a former member of the Council's Archives Advisory Group, but I'm testifying today as an individual.

Please count me as a strong supporter of the new D.C. Archives Building. Others have spoken eloquently at today's hearing about the value of a new archives for preserving and celebrating the District's rich history. I'd like to devote my testimony to the opportunity the new building affords for showcasing the District's commitment to environmental leadership. We should not let the opportunity go by. Not a day passes that we aren't reminded of the environmental catastrophe threatening our planet and our city. The case for government leadership could not be more compelling. At this stage in the Archives design and development process, the steps DGS plans to ensure robust environmental performance should be clearer, but to a large extent they remain a mystery.

What little we do know of DGS's plan for sustainability suggests that tepid is the right word to describe them, and DGS's pushback on complying with the Greener Government Amendment Act of 2022 is troubling to say the least.

On July 6th, the National Capital Planning Commission provided a number of comments on the Archives concept plans.

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

Many of them were devoted to improving the environmental sustainability of the project.

The Zoning Commission can weigh in here too and it should. In reviewing the Campus Plan applications like the one before you today, the Zoning Commission must consider the policies of the District elements of the comprehensive plan. A review of Chapter 6 points in particular to a variety of policies and actions, which could and should be applied to the various phases of the archives.

DGS has never fully articulated its plans for addressing environmental sustainability in anything but a cursory way. In the submission before the Commission tonight, there's only one sentence devoted to the subject. Like NCPC, the Zoning Commission has the authority to challenge DGS to be more ambitious in its plans for incorporating sustainability and more transparent. I urge you to exercise that authority.

I suggest as a first step, the Zoning Commission should request more specific information about DGS's sustainability plans for each phase of the archives project, demolition of Building 41, design, construction, and operation. From there, the full scope of planned actions will become clearer, and it will become clearer whether DGS will deliver a building that meets the promise of Mayor Bowser's and UDC's sustainability commitments. Thank you.

And I stand ready to answer any questions.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you. Hold tight. We may have some questions. Let me see who I have here next. Teri Huet and then Bill Rice after Teri Huet.

COMMISSIONER HUET: Good evening, Commission.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Good evening.

COMMISSIONER HUET: Thank you so much for the opportunity. Sorry, Commissioner Hood. Thank you.

Commissioner Hood, before I go forward, just to give you an update, I actually live about three blocks from UDC, and I go past the football field. So there is active ongoing extensive construction going on with a new football field at UDC.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Oh, okay.

COMMISSIONER HUET: Just to a comment that you made earlier.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER HUET: You're welcome. I am -- my name is Teri Huet. I'm Commissioner 3-F(02). I am a D.C. native. My mother attended D.C. Teachers College, one of the iterations of -- prior to UDC being UDC, but part of the Miner school system, and my son also attended UDC for four years relatively recently and now has degrees in computer science and astrophysics, or physics and astrophysics and quantum computer, but I will also add that I was an employee at UDC from 2010 to 2016. There are several campuses throughout the City, southeast, northeast, and here in northwest.

133
So the D.C. Archives represents a composite of who and
what UDC represents historically in our present-day and the
future forward. As I thought about what to say today, I had to
take a step back and ask myself what is the purpose of UDC?
Uplifting students, seeing the best, and ensuring
students have bridges to cross in meeting their academic and
professional goals. That is what UDC is. Academia is not about

8 limitations. It's about personal growth and an opportunity to 9 fulfill dreams.

As the saying goes "if you can dream it, you can be it," and I can't stress how much UDC represents. If it wasn't for Matilda Miner born in 1815, an iconic figure in the fight to educate African American women and a woman who was so determined to ensure that people at that time period -- it was illegal to educate them.

Frederick Douglass expressed the dangers she would encounter, the hardships she would have to endure what seemed to me at the time certain failure of an enterprise after all she might do and suffer to make it successful.

Well, he was right. She faced bigotry. She faced threats of death. How dare she consider educating the students moving forward. Here we are present-day. We are the living legacy of Matilda Miner's passion, determination, and her dream.

The idea that the D.C. Archives can be on this campus, bringing people of all faiths, all ethnicities, all ideas HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

together, this is her dream fulfilled and the idea that the D.C.

Archives can be here, it allows for a cross-culturalization of academics.

And I think I've exhausted my time, so thank you so

And I think I've exhausted my time, so thank you so much for this opportunity. I will only say that the D.C. Archives can only grow this university. It's the only way we can grow. It allows the opportunity for a master's in library information science.

So there are only two programs in the City and that's University of Maryland and Catholic University, and UDC needs to be part of that. UDC is vital with the academics and the archival system when they look at how much it costs for a student to attend university, and I thank you so much for this opportunity as --

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you. Hold tight. We may have some questions. Bill Rice.

MR. RICE: (Indiscernible.)

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes, we can hear you now.

MR. RICE: Okay. Good evening. I am Bill Rice, a Ward 3 resident, and a longtime advocate for historic preservation of D.C. government and other materials related to D.C. history and life, and particularly supporting the current Archives project, to build an Archives project at UDC. I will be very short.

I just want to say, first of all, I support, particularly, Ms. Huet's very moving testimony about the role that the Archives can play in the community at UDC, and in HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

particular to bring academic excellence to the University.

Secondly, I want to point out that there will be a semi-quincentennial, if I pronounced it correctly, anniversary of our country in 2026. There are efforts across the states led by a national commission, I believe, for every state to celebrate this anniversary and it seems to me that that would just be a very fitting opening or continuation, or whatever you want to call it, to have our new D.C. Archives be the center of this celebration.

And lastly, as somebody who has importuned many officials and private citizens, I urge you, Chairman Hood, you Commissioner, the others on the Commission, to consider giving your papers to the D.C. Archives as part of D.C. history, particularly you, Chairman Hood, who's been on the Commission for so long. I'm sure there's a rich history that would really contribute to our understanding of land use and planning in our city. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you all for your comments. We really appreciate you sticking with us. Let me see if we have any questions or comments.

Commissioner Imamura.

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I just want to thank the four panelists here. I appreciate your testimony and adhering to the time limits as well. Clearly, you all are passionate about this project and really value the time

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

that you're carving out tonight. I also want to highlight, Ms.
Caroline. Thank you.

2.2

As a former archivist speaking on design and sustainability, that certainly resonates with me and you're right, I hear you, and I think we can always push and do more, so thank you very much for your comments and for highlighting those issues. So that's all that I have. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you. Commissioner Stidham.

COMMISSIONER STIDHAM: Thank you for your time this evening and your very passionate support for the project. I don't have any questions.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: And Vice Chairman Miller.

VICE CHAIRMAN MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank each of the panelists for your very thoughtful comments. It was very enlightening, and I appreciate the experience that you brought to making those comments. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: I too want to thank you, Ms. Petti. I will tell the Applicant that we do want to push more on the sustainability issues. I would agree with Ms. Petti. I want to align myself with my colleagues on what Ms. Petti mentioned and we can talk about that.

Ms. Huet, I appreciate you bringing up Miner Teachers College. That's very important. I know years ago that's where my mother got some of her education even though she went to HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

1	Alabama State as well, but it was good to know that that school
2	was there, and I really appreciate it, and I would agree with
3	Mr. Rice about how you how passionate and appreciative we were
4	with your testimony. That too should go in the archives so.
5	And, Mr. Rice, I appreciate you mentioning that. I do
6	have had a lot of experience, and I've learned a lot and seen
7	a lot of changes in 25 years of sitting in this spot, of being
8	on this Commission, and then, again, Ms. Bender, thank you as
9	well for your presentation and also for your submission. I think
10	it attributes to the record that we have, so, thank you very
11	much.
12	Ms. Moldenhauer, do you have any questions of anybody
13	on this panel?
14	MS. MOLDENHAUER: No. Thank you.
15	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you all very much. We appreciate
16	your testimony and your comments.
17	MR. RICE: Thank you.
18	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Ms. Schellin, do you have any we
19	have any more support, or are we ready to go to the opposition?
20	MS. SCHELLIN: Point. that was the end of the
21	proponents.
22	CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right.
23	MS. SCHELLIN: Okay. So you still want to just call
24	four at a time, because we have more opponents.
25	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Let's do five at a time. If we can HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
	Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia

410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376) 1 get them. I just want to be able to see them, so let's --2 MS. SCHELLIN: Okay. CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Let's try to do -- let's do five 3 4 at a time. 5 MS. SCHELLIN: Okay. Keshini Ladduwahetty. I know I 6 messed that one up. Dnisa Oocumma, Michael Jacobson, Daphne 7 Humphrey, and Gina Neilly. And Gina Neilly will get five minutes. 8 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Let's begin. I think the first 9 name was Ms. Oocumma. 10 MS. SCHELLIN: Ladduwahetty. Looks like it's Kesh. CHAIRMAN HOOD: Who? Laddu. 11 12 MS. SCHELLIN: Yes. 13 MS. LADDUWAHETTY: Hello. 14 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Oh, Kesh. Okay. Hello, Chairman Hood. 15 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes. 16 I would like to give my spot to MS. LADDUWAHETTY: 17 Daphne Humphrey, who's a UDC student, who needs to catch a bus 18 in five minutes. Would you please recognize her before me? 19 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Ms. Humphrey, go right ahead so you can catch your bus in five minutes. 21 MS. HUMPHREY: Okay. Thank you, sir. Thank you, 22 Mr. Hood. My name is Daphne Humphrey. I'm a junior computer 23 science major at the University of the District of Columbia. I oppose the demolition of Building 41 on our campus. 24 25 love to have this building for student housing. There's no HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

housing located on our campus. If there was, I would love to have it and I know that enrollment and stuff will grow because more students would like to come if we had housing on campus. Students will not have to worry about driving, parking, or Metro problems, such as delays at the last minute or single tracking.

2.

When Metro has these problems, we are late for class. We get points deducted and can even lose a letter grade after many tardiness that are beyond our control. Like many other students at UDC, it has been hard for me to find adequate affording student housing. If there were houses on campus, it would make it easier for us to be able to be at school.

I believe that the size of Building 41, the old Arts and Science library, will accommodate many things, such as a library, academic space, housing, and a daycare. This building will be a suitable place for any student function or activity. I spent a lot of time in a small library that was created after Building 41 was empty several years ago. Library space there needs to be expanded, not reduced.

I learned what is going on with Building 41 through the UDC Garden Club. I have been a member working in the gardens around Building 41 for almost five years. It was surprising to hear about the plans for Building 41 from other members of the Garden Club and not through any communication for my UDC.edu emails or website. I became a UDC student in 2020, and I do not recall receiving any information about the proposed demolition

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

of Building 41, which I have only learned this past full two months ago.

I would like you to put this application on hold until the students can voice their opinion and concerns about this matter. It seems to me that a lot of this has happened with no input from the students at all. It makes me think that we have no say in any of these matters or also just like being innocent by standards. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you very much. I'm not sure who was next. Maybe it was Ms. Oocumma?

MS. OOCUMMA: Yes. Hello, everybody. All right. Hi everyone. My name is Dnisa Oocumma. I'm a resident of ANC-3F and a student at UDC. I'm studying urban sustainability and I have been since 2020. I've completed research with the school, and I am IRB certified.

I am also the UDC Fresh Produce Manager, which includes our mission, which is to combat student food insecurity by providing fresh produce from the UDC food hubs throughout the school. The majority of which surrounds Building 41 in the community.

We also received donations from other non-profits in the community. We rescue prepared meals that would otherwise be thrown away. So we are definitely looking. I think that the -- losing these gardens would tremendously impact our ability to combat food insecurity and provide fresh produce for students,

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868)

1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

which over 100 people rely on, and I also want to say that I'm
thankful for all the opportunities that UDC has provided for me.
However, I am deeply concerned with the community engagement
regarding this project and the transparency in general of
partnerships with local D.C. Government and UDC.

I heard about this proposal this summer from the Forest Hills blog post; however, I have never received any information from UDC regarding their new intentions for this building. After further research, there's also no mention of this proposal in the UDC newsletter, The Forward.

While the archive is hosting -- was hosting public meetings, how would the UDC community know if this project was being developed if they were not engaged?

There was no community outreach meeting, specifically, towards the UDC community until the University Task Force convened after a two-year hiatus, which is against the wishes of the Zoning Commission, which requires them to meet quarterly.

At this meeting, the former president stated, "This is a done deal." So we were basically told there was nothing the UDCC community could do to share their opinions about the plan for a building on our campus that is a plan to be demolished and rebuilt as a government-operated building.

Additionally, I am concerned with the MOA that was signed in 2018 between the University and the Office of the Secretary of the District of Columbia that specifically commits

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

to the availability of Building 41 to the D.C. Archives, along with the consideration of demolition.

2.

I would like to point out that this MOA was never publicized, and we received this MOA after further research and trying to figure out how this project was, you know, so how this project came to be without anyone knowing.

We received this from Avis Russell, who is the General Counsel for UDC, but they had never publicized this MOA, and to put my point forward, just four months later, the UDC Board of Trustees allocated \$38 million dollars to complete -- to the complete renovation of Building 41. That's the same year it was closed and that's the same year that this MOA was signed that commits to the availability of Building 41 and the D.C. Archives or Building 41 to the D.C. Archives, along with demolition.

I'm confused as to, first of all, where this money went, because there have been no renovations to our -- to this building. Why has there been no renovations to this building? Why is the building still closed if there was \$38 million dollars allocated to the Board of Trustees to improve the conditions, and like they said, "have a complete renovation of Building 41?"

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you. Give us -- give us your closing comment, please. Thank you.

MS. OOCUMMA: Okay. My closing comment is when I heard about this proposal, I began to ask peers if they were aware? No one had any idea of this proposal. I then decided, as my

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

researcher self, to create a survey to gather students' opinions about this building. The results were extremely telling.

When I asked them of the three options proposed in the 2020 campus plan, which one do they support, overwhelmingly, 61 percent of students want student housing, and the archives aren't even a second option that they want. 34 percent want this to be -- the office space to be rehabilitated for academic use. Less than 5 percent of students wanted the -- preferred the D.C. Archives.

Another question that I want to bring up that I included in the survey, "Are you concerned about demolition in general?" Seventy-five percent of students of the 44 people that responded said that they have concerns about this demolition. And additionally, I wanted to highlight the people who had an overt need for on-campus housing.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Is that your closing thought?

MS. OOCUMMA: Yes. Yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN: Okay.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

25

MS. OOCUMMA: If I could finish really quick --

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Go ahead.

MS. OOCUMMA: -- I have, like, three more sentences.

22 Thirty-six percent of students said that they have a need for on-

23 campus housing. That is a third of the people that filled out

24 this survey. Students don't want Building 41 demolished.

Students don't want archives on their campus. Students want

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

their basic needs addressed and this University has yet to do that and excluded them from option or excluded them from deals and partnerships with other -- with the D.C. Government. They either -- they need student housing in a more academic space.

2.

I urge the Zoning Commission to deny this amendment to the Campus Plan until further meaningful engagement occurs with the UDC specific stakeholders. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you. Don't go anywhere. We have some questions. Ms. Ladduwahetty, you have any? Your testimony -- we'll take your testimony, please.

MS. LADDUWAHETTY: Chairman Hood, my name is Kesh Ladduwahetty, and I am testifying in opposition. I have lived in ANC-3F since 1989. I'm a volunteer gardener on the UDC Van Ness campus, and I urge you to reject this application on the grounds that the Applicant has not been transparent with the Zoning Commission.

Approval of this application would reward their lack of transparency. As the following evidence shows, the Applicant planned to demolish Building 41 long before they filed this application, and they failed to disclose these plans when they presented UDC's 2020 campus plan to the Zoning Commission.

In July -- I'm sorry. July 2018, UDC President Mason signed an MOA with the Office of the Secretary of the District of Columbia in support of a possible sighting of the new archives that called for performing test fit studies for three scenarios,

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

one of which was demolition of Building 41.

In December 2018, OPR and DGS published a Co-location Feasibility Study that listed several UDC administration officials as partners and consultants. The report studied five scenarios for the D.C. Archives project and recommended Scenario 5 where Building 41 would be demolished.

In July 2019, the D.C. Council passed the Fiscal Year '20 capital budget, which included \$73 million for a standalone purpose-built new facility for the D.C. Archives.

The project, our address is 4200 Connecticut Avenue, NW, but no mention of UDC. The above evidence shows that when the Zoning Commission reviewed the 2020 UDC Campus Plan, the demolition of Building 41 was already planned and funded. The fact that these plans were not shared with the Zoning Commission shows an intentional effort to withhold information. Responsibility for this intentional withholding of information falls on both the UDC administration and DGS since they planned the project together.

And, in fact, the same law firm was involved with both the 2020 Campus Plan and the Archives project. Zoning regulations specify that the intent of regulating campus facilities is to provide consistency and transparency to the campus planning process.

The UDC administration and DGS have failed to respect this core purpose of the zoning regulations. If you approve this HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

application, you would condone a brazen effort for the last five
years to withhold from the public plans that have significant
consequences for the UDC community. I appeal to you to reject
this application. The project should be put on hold until
meaningful community engagement is done and information about the
consequences of the project is shared with the public. Thank
you.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you. Let me see. Ms. Schellin, did you send me who was next? I don't see it on here. All right. Let me go with Mr. Jacobson?

MS. SCHELLIN: Mr. Jacobson, yes.

12 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Mr. Jacobson, okay. Thank you.

Mr. Jacobson.

MR. JACOBSON: Thank you. Thank you very much. My name is Michael Jacobson. I'm a long time D.C. resident living on Yuma Street, just the other side of the campus, and the former president of a nonprofit organization based in the District.

I urge the Commission to reject the application to demolish Building 41 and construct a new building for D.C.'s archives.

In a nutshell, the replacement to Building 41 is unnecessary, is environmentally harmful compared to alternatives, was developed with little input from students and neighbors, is overly expensive, would be noisy and disrupt traffic and would provide little benefit to UDC students and faculty.

My views are based on several concerns. First, the public has had little opportunity to provide input. Giving the community ample notice of a major project such as this and hearing their views is a cornerstone of participatory democracy.

As underscored by Teresa Vargas' column in <u>The Post</u> today and the previous two speakers, the project has been progressing with little involvement of students and neighbors.

I didn't receive any letters, emails, flyers, notices at the Farmers Market or in Giant Foods over the years. If only on those grounds, the project should be delayed until it is more widely discussed.

Second, the Department of Energy and Environment opposes the demolition and construction of the new building. It said that the proposed project would have a far greater environmental impact in terms of energy use and air pollution than renovating the current building especially in an era of global warming and digitization. It doesn't make sense to build a whole new building devoted to storing the District's paper archives. It should be a lot cheaper to digitize most of the records, making them more accessible to the public, than to construct a new building to store the physical items.

If space for storage is still needed, there are plenty of storage facilities or land for a new building in an industrial area of the City or perhaps in the nearby Intelsat Building.

Precious land on the UDC campus could be put to far HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

more valuable uses, such as housing or classrooms.

I recommend that the Zoning Commission not rely on the University, which would love to have a glossy new building, or the architect for an independent review. A third party should be commissioned to conduct a review of the cost and benefits of the whole project, especially compared to using it for other purposes -- using the land or building for other purposes.

Finally, my personal concern is that the construction work would result in a great deal of disruption and inconvenience due to noise and traffic congestion. Disruption of traffic on Van Ness Street would likely mean more traffic on Yuma Street, my street, for the year or two of work.

In conclusion, I urge the Zoning Commission to reject the application before it. Thank you again.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you. Ms. Neilly.

MS. MOLDENHAUER: Chairman Hood, could I just make a quick objection? I'm trying to be respectful of everybody, but I want to make sure that we're -- I object to issues that are not germane to the Zoning Commission regarding potentially discussions of budgetary financing. That is not something that the Zoning Commission has the authority over or issues of construction. I just would make that general comment to make sure things go smoothly tonight.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you. So noted, but how people use their three minutes, whether it's relevant or not,

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

```
this Commission will -- we know what to accept and what we can't
2
   accept. So thank you, and that's actually a good point.
             Let's make sure we stay to the zoning issues, because
3
   we have certain jurisdictions. We can't just -- we don't do it
4
5
         We just do zoning so. All right. Ms. Neilly.
6
             MS. NEILLY: Hey. So we are -- I stand with --
7
             MS. SCHELLIN: I'm sorry. Ms. Neilly gets five minutes.
             MS. NEILLY: Anyway, I don't know where I stopped at,
8
9
   but that's okay.
10
             CHAIRMAN HOOD: Before you start off, Ms. Neilly --
   hold on, Ms. Neilly. If you can start off --
11
12
             MS. NEILLY:
                            I mean, I'm just -- I'm kind of a
13
   transplant to D.C., and I, you know, I've been with the Garden
14
   Club.
15
             CHAIRMAN HOOD: If you can start off by introducing
16
   yourself even though I call your name --
17
             MS. NEILLY: Oh, I'm sorry.
18
             CHAIRMAN HOOD: -- then you have five minutes.
19
             MS. NEILLY: I'm Gina Neilly, and I've been with the
20
   Garden Club. I'm a student at UDC but, like, I didn't even know
21
   anything about this until, like, maybe, like a couple of months
22
   ago, and so I guess that's what's kind of upsetting about this,
   but I guess it's been in the plans for a long time.
23
             But I think this is going to cause like a lot of, like,
24
25
   environmental damage to the area and to the school. I mean,
                        HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
```

1	that's what we're really, like, concerned about and then, plus,
2	I mean, student housing wasn't involved in the plan and things
3	that students can use as far as what they want and what they've
4	asked for wasn't included in the plan.
5	I mean, that kind of bothers me and is upsetting, I
6	think, you know, to a lot of people that are on this campus. So,
7	I mean, that's where I you know, what bothers me the most.
8	Then, plus, the gardens are going to be totally disrupted and,
9	you know, we're going to be you know, I just think it's I
10	think other things should be considered, you know, with this
11	whole plan that you guys have going on, basically. I mean, that's
12	basically what my testimony is.
13	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you very much. Ms.
14	Schellin, do we have anybody else on this panel?
15	MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, sir.
16	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Vice Chair Miller, do you have
17	any questions of anybody on this panel?
18	VICE CHAIRMAN MILLER: Thank the panelists for their
19	comments and participation tonight.
20	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Commissioner Stidham, do you have any
21	questions of anybody on this panel?
22	VICE CHAIRMAN MILLER: No but thank you wery much for

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
Court Reporting and Litigation Support
Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia
410-766-HUNT (4868)
1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

CHAIRMAN HOOD: And Commissioner Imamura, you have any

23

24

your time.

questions of anybody on this panel?

Τ	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: No questions. Thank you,									
2	Mr. Chairman. Thank you to the panelists. I do have a comment,									
3	though, on Mr. Jacobson's testimony.									
4	You know, I would add that I think there's an agreement									
5	here, Mr. Jacobson, that the record could be supplemented with									
6	some additional information that Vice Chairman Miller has already									
7	requested as well, to complete a more to fulfill a more									
8	complete record here about the feasibility studies, facility									
9	condition assessments, and such. Otherwise, we probably would									
10	not have arrived at this stage already.									
11	So I'm quite certain and I personally have a high level									
12	of confidence that D.C., as well as UDC, have already gone through									
13	sort of the mechanics of all this to arrive at this stage.									
14	So thank you for your testimony, and I agree that we									
15	should do better to provide a more complete record, and I think									
16	it's more powerful. So thank you for your speech. Thank you,									
17	very much, Mr. Chairman.									
18	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you. And I'll just go ahead and									
19	ask Mr. Jacobson, and then I am going to ask one of the students.									
20	Mr. Jacobson, do you attend your ANC meeting?									
21	MR. JACOBSON: I did on Tuesday. There was one on									
22	Tuesday. I don't attend every meeting, I have to admit.									
23	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Do you ever notice any placards?									
24	I'm not sure what color they are now. Orange or green. You ever									
25	notice any placards? And here's one thing I never ask people.									
	HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support									

1	I never asked you look to at the register, because most people										
2	don't, but do you ever see any placards when you walk in the										
3	neighborhood or driving by?										
4	MR. JACOBSON: What kind of placards?										
5	CHAIRMAN HOOD: It's a placard which gives you a notice										
6	that something is getting ready to go on. It gives you notice.										
7	MR. JACOBSON: No.										
8	VICE CHAIRMAN MILLER: The notice of this public										
9	hearing.										
10	MR. JACOBSON: No.										
11	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Did you get anything in the										
12	are you within, like, 200 feet?										
13	MR. JACOBSON: 200 feet? No. I'm probably about 400										
14	feet.										
15	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Oh, okay. Okay. All right. I was										
16	just trying to figure out other ways that you can get notice,										
17	but I think it's very important that all of us, including myself,										
18	stay in tune with our ANCs, and because that's where anybody's										
19	that's going to do something, that's where they go.										
20	Let me go to one of the students. Let me go to Ms.										
21	Oocumma. I think it's Nisha Oocumma. Dnisa. Dnisa Oocumma,										
22	Oocumma, are you available?										
23	MS. OOCUMMA: Yes. I'm sorry. Go ahead with your										
24	question.										
25	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. No problem. Now, how did										
	HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia										

410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376) 1 you -- how did you say you heard about this? When did you hear
2 about it and how?

MS. OOCUMMA: Yeah, I heard about it in July from the Forest Hills blog. I was sent the Forest Hills blog post, so there was no official notice from UDC, or any government agency provided to me.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: And --

MS. OOCUMMA: It was publicly -- it was through a community disbursement.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. And how did you come up with your data? How did you -- how did you come up with all your stats?

MS. OOCUMMA: Yeah. So the survey that I was discussing regarding the 44 students that filled it out, all was conducted within Sept -- this month. I really just sat on the plaza, and I asked people, you know, are you aware of the proposal for the building that we're sitting right next to. And they were not, and so I suggested to them to, you know, fill out this survey that I created, which specifically targets concerns with demolition, also needs for student housing and of the three options proposed in the 2020 Campus Plan, which do they think most addresses their needs or wants? So it is a direct reflection of students that I engage with.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.

MS. OOCUMMA: What they -- how they feel that this HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

building should be utilized.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: So I will tell you that I am very impressed with your testimony and your data.

Now, one of the things that I don't want to see go away is your data. While this, I don't know what may happen here, but I think you bring up some valid points. I think you want to reach out to the Trustees, especially the Trustees and the leadership at UDC. We have new leadership, a new president, and you reach out to them, because I think this is very important.

While it may not happen here or somewhere else or who knows what's going to go on here, I think it's the students that we need to hear from, because I think it's very important, and I know this from my grandkids. I know things have changed too. It's not like it was when a lot of us were in school.

So it is -- it's incumbent upon people like you and another student who had to catch the bus to make sure you stay involved, because people like me have been out of school for a while and it's important for us to hear and listen to you.

So I would encourage you, regardless of what happens here, take this graph and, you know, when I was in school, we didn't do graphs like -- but I would encourage you to take this and you all continue to work on what you would like to see at UDC. So I'll leave it at that, and I just want to encourage you to keep doing what you're doing and I think your testimony here tonight should be shared with others, the Trustees and the new

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

1	president, and the vice president, and leadership of University									
2	of District of Columbia because at some point in time, I think									
3	it could go a lot further than just in front of us and even at									
4	the Archives, or if not an archives I'll just leave it at									
5	that.									
6	Any other questions, anyone?									
7	MS. OOCUMMA: Thank you, sir. I appreciate the									
8	recognition.									
9	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you.									
10	All right. And thank you everyone on this panel. We									
11	appreciate your testimony. Ms. Moldenhauer, do you have any									
12	questions for anyone, or did I already ask?									
13	MS. MOLDENHAUER: I didn't have any questions? I did									
14	want to ask Ms. Oocumma, you indicated that you proposed three									
15	options to people in the plaza, but are you aware that the final									
16	campus plan only proposed two options, which were academic space									
17	or the D.C. Archives?									
18	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Dnisa Oocumma, did you hear the									
19	question? She may be in route or travelling. Dnisa Oocumma.									
20	MS. MOLDENHAUER: Mr. Chairman, I'm making the point									
21	that she asked three options. One, which was housing, which is									
22	not actually a final option in the Campus Master Plan.									
23	MS. LADDUWAHETTY: Chairperson Hood, could I add some									
24	information (indiscernible)									
25	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Ms. Ladduwahetty, her question HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support									

Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

1	MS. LADDUWAHETTY: until Dnisa comes on?									
2	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Ms. Ladduwahetty, that was a question									
3	for Ms. Dnisa Oocumma.									
4	MS. LADDUWAHETTY: I can't hear anything.									
5	MS. MOLDENHAUER: No other questions, Commissioner. We									
6	can move on.									
7	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. All right. And if Dnisa Oocumma									
8	comes back, then we will if she's able to get back in touch									
9	with our staff, then we will bring her back up. Any other									
10	questions, anybody?									
11	Okay. Thank you all very much. We appreciate your									
12	testimony.									
13	MS. SCHELLIN: The next panel we have Mary Beth Tinker,									
14	Marilyn Slatnick, Karen Breslin.									
15	Mr. Young, I did not see Travis Henderson. If you want									
16	to check and see if just by chance, he has come on.									
17	MR. YOUNG: I do not see him.									
18	MS. SCHELLIN: I did not see Matthias. Last name is									
19	P-A-U-S-T-I-A-N.									
20	MR. YOUNG: No.									
21	MS. SCHELLIN: Okay. LaQuan Lewis.									
22	MR. YOUNG: No.									
23	MS. SCHELLIN: And I did not see Autry Smalls.									
24	MR. YOUNG: I do see Autry Smalls.									
25	MS. SCHELLIN: Okay. So that will be five.									
	HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia									

Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

1	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you. I'm going to kind										
2	of start calling names as I see them. I think Ms. Tinger was										
3	first. Mary Beth Tinger.										
4	MS. TINGER: Can you hear me?										
5	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes, we can hear you.										
6	MS. TINGER: Yes, hello. I would like to switch my										
7	place with Sabina O'Hara who is a past dean of the College of										
8	Agriculture, who is out of the country right now, and it's about										
9	2:00 a.m. her time, and I'd like to put her in my place and switch										
10	with her, please?										
11	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Is she here? Let's put her on										
12	right quick.										
13	MS. SCHELLIN: She's in the undeclared section.										
14	MS. O'HARA: Okay. Sabina, are you there?										
15	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Let's just put her on, Ms. Schellin.										
16	MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, okay.										
17	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Ms. O'Hara.										
18	MS. TINGER: Sabina, can you hear me? Sabina.										
19	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes, she's on.										
20	MS. TINGER: Can you hear me. Sabina.										
21	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yeah. Go right ahead.										
22	MS. O'HARA: All right. Thank you, Mary Beth. Mr.										
23	Chairman, is it my turn to testify?										
24	CHAIRMAN HOOD: It's your turn. We're waiting on you.										
25	MS. O'HARA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman and members of the										
	HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia										

Commission. I am honored to testify on this Commission hearing. My name is Sabina O'Hara. I'm a resident of Ward 3, and I also work in the District of Columbia, namely, at UDC where I am currently a professor in the College of Agriculture, Urban, Sustainability and Environmental Sciences, known as CAUSES, and I'm the former founding Dean of CAUSES.

2.

My formal training and education is as an ecological economist and agricultural economist with over 40 years of experience in assessing social and environmental impacts of urban and regional development.

Given the information currently available, I do not necessarily oppose the location of the archives on the UDC campus, but I do oppose the demolition of Building 41, the thoughtful design of the proposed new building notwithstanding.

My concerns relate primarily to two issues; namely, the impact of the demolition of Building 41 and the role of public participation in this demolition proposal.

First, the impact of the demolition of a building the size of Building 41 is profound. It will create a significant amount of particulate air pollution and construction waste, which is one of the most difficult waste streams to manage. Yet beyond these so-called direct impacts of the demolition, there is also the indirect impact of wasted materials that are embedded in the construction debris.

All building materials also include substantial amounts

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

of water, energy, sand, and so forth that went into building the building in the first place and these materials are now considered embodied in the building materials themselves, and they add to the waste created in the demolition process and when these indirect materials are not counted, then the impact of the demolition of a building tends to be significantly underestimated.

2.

This is why our colleagues from DOE who commented on the proposed demolition cautioned that the overall impact of the demolition should properly be assessed through a lifecycle analysis that accounts for both direct and indirect impacts associated with the demolition.

This is also why we typically conclude that in most cases, the demolition of an existing building into construction of a new one has significantly greater environmental impacts than the reuse of an existing building, even if that means that the existing building has to be gutted and even a new lead certified building can typically not compensate for the negative impact associated with demolition of an existing building.

Now, the proposed demolition and new construction of Building 41 does not, therefore, contribute to D.C.'s climate mitigation goals or to the carbon neutrality goals of the District. On a campus that has made a name for itself as the nation's only urban land grant university that is known for its substantial expertise in sustainable development and urban

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

Т	agriculture, one would expect an exemplary zist century re-use										
2	strategy and not a 20th century tear down and reconstruct										
3	strategy.										
4	Secondly, scholarship on the role of public										
5	participation is quite clear on the fact that public										
6	participation improves outcome. The academic literature is										
7	specifically clear about the benefits of engaging diverse										
8	communities of stakeholders in dialogue about goals,										
9	outcomes										
10	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Ms. O'Hara.										
11	MS. O'HARA: and implementation strategies. Yes.										
12	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Ms. O'Hara. Ms. O'Hara.										
13	MS. O'HARA: Yes.										
14	CHAIRMAN HOOD: I know it's 2:00 o'clock where you are,										
15	but your time has been up. Could you give us your closing										
16	thought, please.										
17	MS. O'HARA: My closing thought is, Mr. Chairman, you										
18	eluded earlier on how proud you are, and I am, of being a resident										
19	in the District of Columbia, which prides itself in listening to										
20	its residents and having an active participation strategy.										
21	I think in this case, we could have done a lot better										
22	in getting input earlier in the design process and in the										
23	dialogue, rather than presenting a finished designed product. I										
24	did pay attention to the master planning process of my campus.										
25	In fact, I was still Dean of CAUSES in 2018. The HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland Washington and Virginia										

options put before us were re-use as student housing, re-use for academic space, and re-use for the archives. Nowhere was the demolition of the building ever mentioned. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you, Ms. O'Hara. We appreciate you joining us at the time you are where you are. If you can hold just for a few more minutes, we'll let you go.

MS. O'HARA: Be happy to. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: There may be some questions, okay?

Autry Smalls.

MR. SMALLS: I'm Autry Smalls. I am a student at UDC, Senior Environmental Urban Sustainability major. I am president of a public health club and I think the (indiscernible) the -- I think the archives is a really cool -- it's a really cool idea. It's a really cool -- like, it's really well-planned. Like, it's an awesome thing, but I think there are needs. Like, there are greater needs for the campus, like, the housing, the school space. I think there's so many things that, like, are needed and not like this need thing. This is a really cool thing, but like I don't -- and it -- I think it'll have all the cool ramifications. I just think there's other things that we need to have on campus than all, like, deconstructing a building, that's going to be loud and noisy, and now you got interruptions in class, because I don't even know, and, like, what does deconstructing -- like, take it apart piece-by-piece? That's what they said at the ANC meeting, they were taking it apart piece by piece, and that sounds

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

1	that sounds like a lot, but I just think there are other things								
2	that the space could be used for and, yeah, that was that's								
3	really about I also think I think the (indiscernible) is								
4	really cool. I just think there's better uses, or maybe not								
5	better uses, but more urgent needs for the space than what's								
6	provided. That is and then, yeah, that's it. Thank you guys								
7	for listening.								
8	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Don't go nowhere, Mr. Smalls,								
9	and I thank you for being right to the point. That means a whole								
10	lot to me and I'm sure my colleagues. So, hold tight. Let me								
11	see who I can call next.								
12	Do we have Karen Breslin? Karen Breslin. Am I								
13	pronouncing that right, Ms. Schellin? Yeah, there she go.								
14	MS. BRESLIN: Okay. Hi.								
15	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.								
16	MS. BRESLIN: Hi, Commissioner Hood.								
17	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes.								
18	MS. BRESLIN: Thank you so much. Good evening,								
19	Commissioner Hood and Commissioners. My name is Karen Breslin,								
20	and I'm testifying in opposition to the application. I've lived								
21	in ANC-3F for several decades. I'm an educator and I've worked								
22	in the D.C. public schools, as well as independent schools within								
23	D.C. for many years. I'm a master gardener, and I've been								
24	volunteering at the vegetable gardens, including the roof garden								

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

25 on top of Building 44, CAUSES. I'm grateful to be part of the

UDC Garden Club as well. I've been glad to meet neighbors from 3-F there, as well as students, staff, faculty, and members from Wards throughout our city. I'm also a customer and a volunteer at the UDC Farmers Market on Saturdays. I've come to appreciate UDC, my involvements here, and all the people here.

2.

Since becoming more educated about the Building 41 proposal, I feel CAUSES speaks up for everyone within 3-F, and especially for the students and faculty and staff, because most of the students and faculty and staff, just like myself, didn't know about the proposal until a few months ago and most of Ward 3, and maybe the City, I suspect still don't know about this.

In the last few months, more and more students, faculty, and neighbors have expressed concern and surprise about not having heard about such a significant change and a potential upheaval in their education and daily life in 3-F. The students and faculty from CAUSES, the education programs, architecture, engineering, et cetera, might have a particular interest and expertise to give regarding this proposal. There are professors and experts in all these programs and alumni, as well, that might not only have wanted to be included in this process, but might have been helpful. These students and the faculty weren't included, and they need to be.

I read that the D.C. Council created an advisory committee for this project. It's possible that there are students, faculty, a diverse group of 3-F community members that HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

sit on that committee, but I haven't heard about it. More stakeholders from various groups who would be impacted by this project need to be included. Adequate community engagement was not done.

2.

Lastly, another big concern for me is for the health and well-being of our community. If a building of this age, on this land, and of this size is demolished, when the building could possibly be renovated for student housing, a cafeteria, renovating the art spaces and other academic spaces, a daycare center, basic human needs that current students do not have, that would impose less potential environmental and health concerns.

Consistent with my concerns and many others here, which is that based on the report to the Zoning Commission dated September 8th, 2023, from the Department of Energy and the Environment's findings, they believe that based on the information that had been given, that this project does not show the Applicant with the Greener Government Buildings Amendment Act of 2022, related to all electric and net-zero standards.

According to that memo, DOEE cannot enforce this law, but cautions the Applicant that they may not be able to get a permit without this. This same memo also stated that the proposal to demolish the existing building and construct an entirely new building will have far greater environmental impact than any of the three potential outcomes for Building 41 envisioned on the previous UDC campus plan.

1	The three current options involve adaptively reusing								
2	Building 41, which would drastically reduce the project's								
3	embodied carbon footprint. Embodied carbon is the sum of all								
4	greenhouse gas emissions resulting from the construction of								
5	buildings, including materials and construction activities. It								
6	is estimated that 23 percent of the world's GHG emissions result								
7	from construction. DOD notes that								
8	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Ms. Breslin. Ms. Breslin.								
9	MS. BRESLIN: Yes, sir. Yes, sir.								
10	CHAIRMAN HOOD: I'm going to need you to help me with								
11	the clock, Ms. Schellin.								
12	MS. BRESLIN: I will get to it, sir.								
13	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Can you give me Ms. Breslin.								
14	Ms. Breslin.								
15	MS. SCHELLIN: Her time is she passed.								
16	CHAIRMAN HOOD: It's been (indiscernible) and I want								
17	to be fair to everybody. Ms. Breslin, can you give us your								
18	closing thoughts.								
19	MS. BRESLIN: Yes, sir. Thank you. Please deny this								
20	application. Thank you for listening, Chairman Hood, and								
21	Commissioners, and everyone present here today.								
22	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you. And I'm going to ask Ms.								
23	Schellin, and you and Mr. Young, if you all can keep me honest								
24	on the clock, because I'm listening, and I don't look at the								
25	clock, so help me.								

MS. SCHELLIN: Will do. 1 Thank you. All right. Let me see. 2 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Let me go to Mr. Lewis. Ms. Tinger, are you still going to 3 4 testify, or did you give yours to Ms. O'Hara? 5 MS. TINKER: Yes, I would like to testify. Thank you. 6 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Okay. I'll come to you. Okay. 7 I'll come to you. Let me go to Ms. -- I'm going to go to Mr. 8 Lewis first, and then Ms. Slatnick, and then I'll come back to 9 you. And, Mr. Lewis. 10 MR. LEWIS: Can you all hear me? 11 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yeah, we can hear you. 12 MR. LEWIS: Okay. All right. How are you all doing 13 today? My name is LaQuan Lewis, and I'm a Ward 8 resident and 14 graduate from Thurgood Marshall Academy. I am in the Harry Soul Food Cafe out at South Beach. 15 16 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Mr. Lewis, you're going in and out. We heard you. You introduced yourself. We heard about the Soul 17 18 Food Cafe, and that was it. You may need to hang up and call 19 back or turn your computer off and call back. I'm not sure how 20 you are on. Your camera's off. 21 All right. Mr. Lewis, I'm going to come back to you. 2.2 Ms. Slatnick. MR. LEWIS: Even bird bushes. The roof also features 23 24 a greenhouse. 25 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Ms. Lewis.

1	MR. LEWIS: Yeah.								
2	CHAIRMAN HOOD: You're going in and out. Actually, we								
3	can't I can't even hear you, so you might want to reboot and								
4	come back on right quick.								
5	MR. LEWIS: Oh, you can hear me now?								
6	CHAIRMAN HOOD: I can hear you now. So you start all								
7	over, and I'm going to let's start all over. Nobody heard								
8	you. Start all over.								
9	MR. LEWIS: You can hear me now?								
10	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yeah, start all over.								
11	MR. LEWIS: All right. My name is LaQuan Lewis. I'm								
12	a Ward 8 resident, and a graduate from Thurgood Marshall Academy.								
13	I'm currently a student in the Master Gardening program at UDC,								
14	and I'm also enrolled in the Henry Soul Food Academy to learn								
15	food preparation and to be a chef. I'm learning I am planning								
16	to apply to UDC as an urban sustainable								
17	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Mr. Lewis, can you hear me? Mr. Lewis.								
18	Mr. Lewis.								
19	MR. LEWIS: Yeah. Can you come back to me? I'm having								
20	technical difficulties.								
21	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Yeah, let me come back to you.								
22	I'll come back to you after I finish. Ms. Slatnick.								
23	MR. LEWIS: Why you keep calling me, Bro								
24	CHAIRMAN HOOD: You better put it on mute, and I can								
25	hear you when you don't want me								

1	All right. Ms. Slatnick, if you can go.									
2	MS. SCHELLIN: She needs to unmute.									
3	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Ms. Slatnick, can you unmute, please?									
4	All right.									
5	MS. SLATNICK: Like that?									
6	CHAIRMAN HOOD: There you go. All right. Thank you.									
7	MS. SLATNICK: I'm Marilyn Slatnick, and I live about									
8	four blocks on Connecticut A venue from UDC for over 20 years,									
9	and I'm speaking in opposition to revising the UDC campus plan									
10	to include that decommissioning and demolishing Building 41 to									
11	accommodate the Archives facility.									
12	Let us think. Is this the highest and best use of the									
13	real estate on which Building 41 sits? As a former real estate									
14	appraiser, and as someone who holds a master's degree in									
15	education, I remind you of two things.									
16	One, Building 41 is the centerpiece of UDC's Van Ness									
17	campus. Do you really wish to begin the systematic destruction									
18	of the UDC campus for a facility that houses records? Many of									
19	them will be digitized or sent to the cloud.									
20	Second, UDC plays a huge role in the education of									
21	students of color. D.C.'s comprehensive plan requires the Zoning									
22	Commission to make decisions through a lens of racial equity.									
23	At present, many of us are fighting for diversity,									
24	equity, and inclusion, and at such times, why would you allow the									
25	City to begin to dismantle the physical UDC campus, an HBCU with									
	HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia									

many low-income students from Wards 7 and 8?

UDC students present and future deserve an integrated, safe space to learn where resources are added, instead of removed. I note food trucks often are at the campus, because there's still no food in the cafeteria. I note the need for student housing.

If you really care about diversity, equity, and inclusion, then you would choose not to approve this amendment of the Master Plan, which begins the destruction of the D.C. campus.

UDC has some of the best and brightest future leaders who are persons of color, being priced out of many colleges and universities and the recent Supreme Court ruling, "it is going to be very hard to assure these current and future students a place at the table." I urge you to reject this proposal and save the UDC campus. Thank you so much.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you, Ms. Slatnick.

Ms. Tinger.

MS. TINKER: That is Tinker, by the way.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Tinker. Tinker, I'm sorry. Tinker.

MS. TINKER: Tinker, by the way. That's all right.

I'm really glad that these students were able to wait so long tonight, so many of them have issues, have to pick up the children, get on the bus. My name is Mary Beth Tinker. I'm a resident of ANC-3-F, a retired nurse, a volunteer of the UDC Garden Club, and a UDC student. I oppose the application.

First -- for many reasons. First, the Applicants have violated Zoning Commission regulations. In June 2021, there were two public witnesses at the Zoning Commission hearing on the UDC Campus Master Plan. I was one of them, along with David Christiel with the ANC. I testified there to protect UDC's organic gardens, which were started by a law student over 10 years ago to grow food and native plants in cooperation with UDC (CAUSES).

At the hearing in 2021, Mr. Christiel and I had no idea that we were testifying on false information in the Master Plan, that had been provided by Meredith Moldenhauer on behalf of UDC administration and City officials.

For years, Ms. Moldenhauer, knew the demolition plan for Building 41 very well and had worked on it, but the Master Plan made no mention of this. Instead, three more palatable options were presented, and, yes, there were three at that time.

Unaware of the real plan, the Zoning Commission soon approved the Campus Plan, (indiscernible) that the public be involved, moving forward and part of that was because the ANC had passed a resolution requesting more involvement with them.

Quarterly, University Community Task Force meetings were required by the order of the Zoning Commission with announcements two weeks in advance and minutes. Those conditions were never met. Quarterly meetings were not held. Excuses about the pandemic do not hold up, because other virtual meetings were held by the college. An inquiry into how a Garden Club member

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

could become one of those attendees if there were meetings. Those inquiries went unanswered. Suddenly, on July 13, 2023, last July, the Task Force finally met, only in response to a complaint that we made the month before.

But members of the Garden Club, we were suddenly notified of the Zoning Commission -- by the Zoning Commission, not UDC, about plans to demolish Building 41 and all of the surrounding gardens at the July Task Force, then following two years of misinformation without public engagement, then President Ronald Mason announced that demolition of Building 41 is a done deal. The done deal message was then repeated over and over throughout the campus, throughout the neighborhood everywhere, discouraging UDC and neighborhood engagement. A decision had been made. Students, (indiscernible) and others need not and, in fact, should not weigh in.

I urge you to reject the application on the basis of the Applicant has misled the public and the Zoning Commission with misinformation and failed to comply with the Zoning Commission order, and I would like for you to refer to the complaint that has been filed with the Department of Buildings about this failure. It is Attachment 1 in my testimony, and you can also refer to my written testimony for the other reasons of my opposition, racial inequality, the intimidation of student staff.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Give us your -- give us your closing
HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

1 thought, please. 2 MS. TINKER: My closing thought is that the violation of urban land use and sustainability principles are also 3 4 important, and the roof gardens would be totally affected, 5 according to the Director of Gardening, Education, and Urban 6 Agriculture at the school who was told that he should not testify, 7 along with so many other faculty who are not here tonight, who have been intimidated and left out. 8 9 Lastly, the ANC should not be -- should be disqualified 10 from the great weight status for their refusal to put students on the agenda of the public meetings that they have held about 11 12 this issue. Yes, they have refused. 13 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Ms. Tinker, I need your closing. Okay. 14 That was your closing thought. 15 MS. TINKER: My last closing thought --16 CHAIRMAN HOOD: That was your closing thought. No. 17 Thank you. 18 MS. TINKER: -- is the ANC's just --19 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Closing thought. 20 MS. TINKER: Okay. 21 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Mute her. Mute her. That was your 2.2 closing thought. 23 MS. TINKER: Okay. Thank you. Thank you. CHAIRMAN HOOD: Here's what I'm going to ask when I ask 24 you to give your closing thought, please be respectful of the 16 HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

or 18 people that have to come behind me, because we want to try 2 to finish at 9:00 o'clock. We've been going for almost five hours. 3 I know, and we've been --4 MS. TINKER: 5 So, please. No. I'm talking to CHAIRMAN HOOD: 6 everybody now. Just mute her or take her down, but everybody do 7 me a favor and please be respectful when I ask you to give us 8 your closing thought So does anybody have any questions? Do 9 any of my colleagues have any questions or comments? 10 VICE CHAIRMAN MILLER: Did Mr. Lewis come back on? CHAIRMAN HOOD: Oh, that's right. Thank you, Vice 11 12 Chair. Mr. Lewis? 13 MR. LEWIS: Yes. 14 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Go right ahead. MR. LEWIS: Okay. You all can hear me? 15 16 CHAIRMAN HOOD: You get three minutes, Mr. Lewis. 17 right ahead. 18 MR. LEWIS: You can hear me? 19 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes. 20 MR. LEWIS: All right. Hi, good evening. Good evening, 21 everybody. My name is LaQuan Lewis. I'm a Ward 8 resident and 22 a graduate from Thurgood Marshall Academy. I'm currently a 23 student in the Master Gardening program at UDC in Van Ness, and I'm also enrolled in the Herbie Soulful Academy to learn about 24 25 food and becoming a chef. I'm planning to apply to UDC as an HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support

urban sustainability major and I have met with CAUSES staff and admission counselors about that. My gardening journey started this year at the gardens right at Van Ness campus.

2.

Most of my gardens was right beside Building 41 on the roof top garden. As we all know, the Van Ness campus has the Van Ness Urban Food Hub. The 20,000 square feet green roof has a variety of food plants and even berry bushes. The roof also features a greenhouse.

Volunteering at the greenhouse and the rooftop has been a life changing experience for me. Since I have became a beginner gardener, it's been a learning experience. I have enjoyed every second. Learning how to compost, transplant, and the importance of having healthy soil and garden in the environment.

Being a volunteer at UDC Garden Club has been an exceptional experience. I have been connected to the garden since day one. Demolishing Building 41 will be devastating to the surrounding gardens, because they will become polluted due to pollution from the demolish and construction. If the building is demolished, every single garden will be destroyed. Gardens and living sustainable matters and that's why I'm here today, Commissioners. I hope you reject this application. Please put the project on hold until we can understand the massive impact of demolishing the Building 41. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Lewis. I think
I got up this panel. Do any of my colleagues have any questions
HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

or comments? I'm looking at you, Commissioner Imamura.

2 COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Just a statement. I just want to thank everybody for their

4 passionate testimony tonight. I certainly want to comment on Mr.

5 Small, Mr. Lewis, thank you both. Stay engaged, stay curious.

6 All right. Stay involved in the process. Appreciate that.

I also want to acknowledge Dean O'Hara. I know that you may not be the dean any longer, but you are due that title and respect. And so I want to thank you, Dean O'Hara, for your testimony tonight, and I know that it's very late or rather very early where you are, and I certainly appreciate your perspective about the life cycle, cost analysis.

I certainly abide by the theory that the most sustainable building is the one that's already built, but we also know that that is also balanced with the financial reality. Sometimes that's the most cost-effective approach, sometimes has to be a new construction and so, but I do appreciate and value your testimony tonight and certainly appreciate your point of view. So thank you very much. That's all that I have, Mr. Chairman.

21 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you. Anybody else? All 22 right. Let's Go. Vice Chairman Miller.

VICE CHAIRMAN MILLER: Yeah. I just want to thank each of the panelists for their testimony and assure them that for those of you who've submitted written testimony, we -- I have

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

read the written testimony, and I'm sure my fellow Commissioners have as well, so thank you very much for your participation and your comments.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Any other comments? All right.

Ms. Moldenhauer, any questions of anybody on this

MS. MOLDENHAUER: No.

panel?

CHAIRMAN HOOD: I too want to thank you, and, again to the students, Mr. Smalls and Mr. Lewis, and I think those are the students. I want to thank you all. I want you all to stay engaged, and the other young lady who did the survey, need you all to stay engaged, because you look at the housing needs, this is not the first time housing has come up, and I understand about the garden, so we've been pushing and asking, and I'm sure that whole discussion is going on, because here's the thing.

This is exactly what the racial equity is about. When I look at my brothers sitting there, who will tell me what they're getting ready to do, what they're trying to do, this is what racial equity is about in this city, and I appreciate the councilman and the Mayor for trying to do that.

So we need to really make sure that we are under the Comprehensive Plan, make sure we are abiding by what the Council is demanding of us to with racial equity especially when I look and see what these guys are trying to do as they progress in their lives on their journey. So thank you. I don't have any HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

1	questions.	Thank	everyone	for	their	testimony	and	we	can	take
2	everybody	down and	bring up	the	next	group.				

And I would ask everybody to stick to your time and you get three minutes to give us your closing thoughts, please. Thank you.

6 MS. SCHELLIN: I'm waiting until he's able to get 7 everybody down. Okay.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: And let me thank Ms. O'Hara who is -- I think she was the person who was over at 2:30 or wherever she was.

MS. O'HARA: Yes.

3

4

5

8

9

10

17

Thanks.

12 CHAIRMAN HOOD: So let me thank you as well. Okay.

MS. SCHELLIN: Yeah. That was the same situation for Mr. Flanagan too.

15 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Oh, Mr. Flanagan. So everybody who did 16 something. Those who were riding the bus, the Metro, whatever.

MS. SCHELLIN: Yes. Okay. So next we have Joanne Fleming, David Schwartzman, Madeline LaPorta, Sarah Marin, Kathleen Jordan, and I believe Kathleen did not put a last name.

21 I only saw one Kathleen now. I think that's five.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. I'm going to start off with who
I see first.

MS. SCHELLIN: Mr. Schwartzman gets five minutes. The rest get three.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Let's go with Ms. Fleming, Joanne Fleming.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MS. FLEMING: Hello. Good evening, everybody. As someone who spent 10 happy years studying at UDC, receiving my bachelor's degree in political science in 2019, summer cum laude, I strongly oppose the demolition of Building 41. I've lived in D.C. for over 30 years. This is not the way to move forward, facing climate catastrophe, adapting to global trends of refurbish, don't demolish.

Please take note of the following: it has been a widespread argument that existing buildings should be demolished to give way for more energy efficient ones in terms of the operation of buildings; however, from a CO-2 emission perspective considered over a 50-year lifespan, it will in most cases still be more sustainable to refurbish an existing building, even though the HVAC system will be less efficient. The embodied energy in existing buildings holds a societal value due to a potential avoided CO-2 emission. In the same way as buildings hold cultural heritage value precious to a society. Recent research points to the fact that buildings with a good, safe value are seldom demolished. Abstract: Jensen and Bergeron, 2022.

Frankly, I'm shocked this situation has evolved in such an undemocratic and sinister fashion. As a valued public institution, UDC ought to be setting the bar higher regarding

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

structural techniques that take into account their carbon footprint, an impact on the community and the environment. This situation echoes the travesty of the redevelopment of the historic site of McMillan Park here in D.C., developed with a shady underhanded plan, more profit for developers from public space being used for private profit.

2.

2.2

I understand none of the UDC community was informed of this deal for demolition. This whole saga appears to show some nefarious underhand secret dealing to say the least. The UDC Board of Trustees funded \$38 million dollars for a complete renovation of Building 41 in 2018. It did not authorize this memorandum of agreement.

The 2020 campus plan made no mention of the secret demolition plan, which is outrageous. The UDC should conduct comprehensive community engagement to decide how to put Building 41 to its best use in a systematically democratic and fair undertaking.

As a former UDC student, an environmental climate activist, a member of the DC Statehood Green party, I find this situation disconcerting. Given the facts stated above, it should result in Zoning Commission rejection. Refurbishing doesn't mean the archives can't be at UDC. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you. Let's go to Mr. Schwartzman.

MR. SCHWARTZMAN: Yes. My name is David Schwartzman.

I am a founding member of Green Neighbors D.C. I'm Chair of the HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

D.C. stated Green Party-Political Policy and Action Committee, and I'm also Professor Emeritus at Howard University at -- and so I will not repeat the valuable testimony, I've already heard of the opponents, but I'm testifying in strong opposition to the demolition of Building 41. This building has been an invaluable resource to the UDC and D.C. community, including its services -- service for use by the D.C. Archives.

2.

Now, I have been made aware of the fact that the UDC community was not informed of this deal for demolition, et cetera, and this has already been testified by the previous, so I'm going to concentrate on my main point.

In September 2023, the DOE comments "this project" -"the project does not appear to comply with the Greener Government
Buildings Amendment Act of 2022, which requires the District
owned and financed new construction projects to be all electric
and adhere to Net Zero energy standards."

As an environmental climate energy scientist and activist, I take this finding very seriously and if they (indiscernible) alone should inform a Zoning Commission rejection of the project.

As the world approaches tipping points and climate catastrophes much worse than we now witness now, is not the time for any project to retreat from the already too weak energy requirements of our local government, which should be real zero, not net zero.

Once again, we witnessed a proposal for a new project driven by ignorance or simply profits prioritized over the real needs of our community. The project should be put on hold until UDC does meaningful community engagement to put Building 41 to its best use.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

And finally, I want to comment on Meredith Moldenhauers' response to the questions, and I was here for the whole hearing, by the way, regarding the DOEE's findings regarding the non-compliance with net zero and requirements, and her response was basically a non-response, talking about the legislative history and so on. I heard no commitment that it will comply, and so this is very disappointing and for this reason alone, it should be rejected.

So I also want to conclude finally, and I'm not taking five minutes. By the way, the clock was not restarted so I don't know how much time I've used, but I think I've used less than five, and I always do respect the time when I testify at a D.C. Council.

So I want to conclude with praising the opponents and especially the students who made an outstanding testimony regarding the real needs of the UDC community, which have been disrespected and ignored. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you, Mr. Schwartzman.

MS. SCHELLIN: The clock did get reset to five minutes, by the way.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yeah, you did have you still had a
minute and 11 I'm actually using some of your time, so thank
you for being cognizant of that. Ms I hate to just call you
Kathleen, but you don't have a last name on here.

So, Kathleen, I'm going to have to go with that.

Ms. Kathleen, let me do that.

DR. JORDAN: Good afternoon, Commissioner Hood and Commissioners. My name is Dr. Kathleen Jordan and I have lived on D.C. Terrace for over 20 years. I am an educator and a community member who served as a part-time professor many years ago at UDC, while working full-time at the George Washington University.

I first heard about the proposal to demolish Building 41 in April 2023, when I attended an ANC-3F meeting. At the meeting, the proposal was presented as a done deal, complete with attractive drawings of the new building. I feel very frustrated, because it seems as if the D.C. Government and the UDC administration have made this plan without meaningful input from the UDC and surrounding community.

Some of the individual current students I have spoken with are strongly opposed to this decision and feel that the larger University community had no opportunity to address the demolition of Building 41. The Campus Plan committed Building 41 to three possible uses: decommissioned and use for the D.C. Archive, student housing, and academic use. There was no mention

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

of demolition.

I would like to see the UDC community and the larger community discuss and debate, which of these uses would be of most benefit. We should have been presented with the pros and cons of each of these options long before architectural drawings were developed.

Looking further into the matter, I discovered that originally Building 41 was designated to have an academic area for UDC students of some 93,000 square feet that has disappeared in this current version of the plan. People applauding the plan as an integration of the Archives Building with the D.C. campus students neglect to mention that this academic area was removed from the current version. This has an impact on the students and campus-student life as it seems that those doing research on archival matters will experience advantages from having this building on the campus, but certainly a wider possibility for students should be realized by any such major change.

When will appropriate time in D.C. resources be dedicated to the education and development of D.C. students, and leaders of our community now and in the future?

All of us who have lived in D.C. know the overwhelming impact of demolition and construction. It does not happen in a bubble. The Denard Plaza and major areas for student development will be affected by these plans for at least three or three and-a-half more years.

1	I'm seeing I'm running out of time, so I need to make				
2	my concluding statement, which is in conclusion, the Applicant's				
3	proposal should be rejected at this time, because of the lack of				
4	sufficient UDC and community involvement, as well as serious				
5	environmental concerns for UDC students, the gardens, and the				
6	campus surroundings. Thank you for your time.				
7	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you. Ms. LaPorta?				
8	MS. LAPORTA: Yes, thank you. My name is Madeline				
9	LaPorta, and				
10	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Ms. LaPorta, if you can you hear me,				
11	we're losing you.				
12	MS. LAPORTA: I'm here.				
13	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Can you hear me? We're losing you.				
14	Turn the camera				
15	MS. LAPORTA: demolition Building 41 sir, I only				
16	read about this decision in the July edition				
17	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Turn your camera off.				
18	MS. LAPORTA: Okay. Can you hear me better now?				
19	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yeah, I can hear you, but turn your				
20	camera off.				
21	MS. LAPORTA: Pardon me? Okay.				
22	CHAIRMAN HOOD: We can hear you now. Yeah, there you				
23	go.				
24	MS. LAPORTA: Okay. Is that better? Okay. All right.				
25	As a member of the UDC community, I only read about this decision HUNT REPORTING COMPANY				
	Court Reporting and Litigation Support				

in the July edition of the <u>Forest Hills Connection</u>, a local Listserv for our neighborhood, and through a chance meeting with Daphne Humphrey at the UDC Farmers Market, as well as a street -- a sidewalk meeting with Mary Beth Tinker. I'm disappointed to find out that neither the UDC community, nor the neighborhoods were included in this decision. Students, faculty, and ANC-F residents deserve much more.

2.

In fact, I wrote to the ANC-F representative and I never received a response. I think we deserve a voice in such a decision given its impact on the campus and on the community.

As both a resident -- a 30-year resident of Ward 3 and a student of several years at -- in the UDC Senior Audit program, I'm very concerned. Not only by the disruption of the UDC campus and the gardens that the demolition will cause, I'm also concerned about the loss of student housing, spaces for students, and resources devoted to students. It seems that the project has been pushed forward very covertly and without any meaningful engagement by the community and the students.

UDC is a public university and a valuable asset to the neighborhood and to this City. As a senior citizen, I appreciate my ability to attend classes and to interact with students and faculty on and off campus.

I understand that since all of this started in 2018 or in 2020, COVID has had a great impact on outreach and communication, both from UDC and on the neighborhood; however, I

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

feel that the recovery, the full recovery, of UDC and the community depends upon a focus on students and education programs, not commercial property and real estate, such as buildings that are lying empty on Connecticut Avenue.

2.

UDC lacks student housing, which limits the number of students who can attend class in person. It lacks healthy cafeteria choices for the students who attend the University. Fast food chains in the student union are poor nutritional choices. The current direction of the University is concerning to me. It affects UDC students and the vitality of the Van Ness neighborhood. If the Zoning Commission approves this project, it not only disenfranchises students as well as discouraging community input.

Most revealing is -- and in conclusion, the fact that the -- I'm not necessarily opposed to an archive. I think that's a wonderful thing, but why destroy the UDC campus for an archive? Especially when, as Commissioner Imamura pointed out with his questioning, there really doesn't seem to be a meaningful connection to academics at UDC or its UDC academic goals. So the question is why on the UDC campus when there is so much other vacant property in the District of Columbia?

So in conclusion, I would ask this Zoning Commission to put this application on hold and really strive for more meaningful community engagement. Thank you for the opportunity to testify.

1 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you.

Sarah Marin. Marin. There you go. You may begin.

MS. MARIN: (Indiscernible.)

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes, we can hear you. You may begin.

MS. MARIN: Awesome, thank you.

Chairman Hood and Commissioners, my name is Sarah Marin, and I currently reside in the Logan Circle area and was informed about the plans for Building 41, as a UDC Garden Club volunteer and a DC Master gardener.

Individuals with the concerns regarding the project requested my help due to my unique perspective on community development as a strategic consultant advocate for municipal governments across the United States. I spent hours reviewing the available documents on the DGS webpage, the National Capital Planning Commission project files and the exhibits provided for this meeting. Based on my review, I believe the Zoning Commission should deny the request for zoning relief at this time.

Mainly, the Applicant has failed to provide a full and honest account of the project to allow both the Zoning Commission and the public to make informed decisions about its potential impact. Critical pieces of information that have been omitted from publicly available materials, most notably the Phase One - Environmental Site Assessment, the Hazardous Materials Report, the Geotechnical Report, and the Civil Survey, which are listed as appendix items in the December 2022 Concept Design submission,

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

but were not included.

This information is critical to understanding the risks and hazards associated with the project and would substantiate the Applicant's claim that Building 41 is in poor condition and would require extensive cost prohibitive renovations to be reused as an archival facility and that the demolition of Building 41 and new construction of the project is the only reasonable path forward, which has been echoed in this meeting several times.

When I asked about these materials, the Applicants said they would be posted, but they have never materialized. During the September 6th ANC-3F special meeting on the project, the Applicant confirmed that the facility did contain asbestos, but did not provide any specifics regarding the quantity or type present, and it is unclear whether the building contains any other hazardous materials.

Further, none of the exhibits submitted to the Commission made any mention of asbestos or other hazardous materials, nor outlined a remedial action plan. This lack of transparency about the environmental conditions undermines a key intent of the campus Master Plan, which the Applicant seeks to amend, that is to minimize negative impacts on campuses or the surrounding area -- of campuses on surrounding areas.

This failure to provide adequate information indicates that the Applicant has not taken appropriate steps to mitigate negative environmental, social, and health concerns, and risks

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

in the manner that would support comprehensive decision making to optimize project benefits.

For these reasons, I firmly believe that denying this request is in the best interest of our community and the well-being of its inhabitants. Thank you for your time and consideration. I trust that you will make a decision that reflects the values and interests of our community.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you. Let's see. Did I get everybody on this panel? I believe, I did. So does anyone have any questions or comments? Commissioner Imamura.

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I'll be brief. Yeah, it's getting late. First, let me thank the panelists for providing your testimony and participating and bringing your passion forward about this potential project.

Ms. LaPorta, thank you for paying close attention to my remarks and the research in which you have done, and Professor Schwartzman, thank you for your testimony as well, as well as Dr. Jordan, and Ms. Marin, thank you for yours, and, Ms. Fleming, I know you brought up that it -- and it still may be more cost effective even when you calculate 50 years pay back.

I would just say that there's a general theme running along the testimony tonight about ESG environmental social, and corporate governance, and I think that's an important element to evaluate, though, it's not the entire or complete picture, so there are other factors and elements that I'm certain come into

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

consideration for both D.C. and the UDC to evaluate what, how, and how best to move forward, but what you all have highlighted tonight is the fact that there does need to be and the Applicant can do a better job about providing more information about how they've arrived at this decision.

It's very clear, and I think that it's important that they share this information. I think it's there. I just don't think that it's been teamed out, and so I think that there is the information and the data behind it, right, data-driven decisions here, so, I think that they have that they have that, they just haven't provided that in the record, but I'm confident that they've already evaluated that. They just haven't been fully transparent to share that openly and provide that in the record for everybody to see, or perhaps it hasn't just been communicated enough from the evidence tonight by the number of people that are participating in opposition, but I just wanted to say that I think that it's been recognized that this be -- is an important component of all this.

There are other elements here. And, again, I'm going to reiterate an underscore that the Applicant can and should do a better job at providing more information in the record to refute some of this, because if the project is, in fact, that good, then there shouldn't be any issues by providing that information.

All right. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Any other questions? All right.

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

1	Ms. Moldenhauer, do you have any questions of this panel?				
2	MS. MOLDENHAUER: No questions of this panel.				
3	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. I want to thank you. We				
4	appreciate your comments and what I will say to you and all other				
5	panels is watch our discussion, and I'll just leave that, because				
6	I want to make sure I get I'm trying to get to everybody not				
7	have another night, so that's my goal right now.				
8	So thank you all. We appreciate your testimony, and				
9	let me just say, Ms. Marin, I appreciate your testimony and the				
10	way you outlined. I appreciate everybody's testimony, but thank				
11	you all. All right. Ms. Schellin, if you could bring everybody				
12	up.				
13	MS. SCHELLIN: Yes. We have to wait to give Mr. Young				
14	a second to take them down.				
15	CHAIRMAN HOOD: And Vice Chair, I'm going to have to				
16	step away for one minute, but I want you to keep going. I may				
17	not be on camera, but I am going to be listening, so you can run				
18	it for a few moments for me, please. Two minutes.				
19	VICE CHAIRMAN MILLER: Mess it up.				
20	CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right. You won't mess it up.				
21	MS. SCHELLIN: All right. So we had Sarah Marin				
22	already. So next is we already had Kathleen. Okay. Jesse				
23	Lovell or Lavelle. Maddie Beller.				
24	MR. LOVELL: I'm here.				
25	MS. SCHELLIN: Doneka Brooks. Jazze Walker. I did not				
	HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia				

Maryland, Washington, and Virgin 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

```
see Jazze Walker, Mr. Young, so if you would look.
2
             MR. YOUNG: Either of the past two names you said, if
3
   you could repeat them again.
4
             MS. SCHELLIN:
                           Doneka Brooks and Maddie Beller.
5
   were on earlier. Doneka Brooks is on -- (indiscernible) was on
6
   earlier.
             She is on also.
7
             MR. YOUNG: Which one?
8
             MS. SCHELLIN: Maddie Beller. M-A-D-D-I-E. See it?
9
             MR. YOUNG: I don't.
10
             MS. SCHELLIN:
                            Okay. It's right after Lopez Matthews.
             MR. YOUNG: I see Madelyn LaPorta after Lopez Matthews.
11
12
             MS. SCHELLIN:
                           Okay. I just refreshed. So maybe she
13
   got off.
             Okay. So she got off then.
14
             MR. YOUNG: Yeah, and the other one I didn't see either.
             MS. SCHELLIN: Okay. So Doneka's off also?
15
16
             MR. YOUNG: Yeah.
17
             MS. SCHELLIN: Doneka Brooks. Okay. How about Derriann
18
   Johnson?
19
             MR. YOUNG: Yes, I have him.
20
             MS. SCHELLIN: Okay. Melanie Davis?
21
             MR. YOUNG: Yes.
22
             MS. SCHELLIN: So that's three. Karla Thompson?
23
             MR. YOUNG: Yes.
24
             MS. SCHELLIN: And Charity Chukwu?
             MR. YOUNG: I believe, I saw her. Yes.
25
                        HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
                Court Reporting and Litigation Support
```

1	MS. SCHELLIN: Okay.					
2	VICE CHAIRMAN MILLER: Okay. So we have, Ms. Schellin,					
3	five up here?					
4	MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, sir.					
5	VICE CHAIRMAN MILLER: Okay. So I guess the first one					
6	is Jesse Lovell. Is Jesse Lovell here?					
7	MR. LOVELL: Lovell, yes.					
8	MS. SCHELLIN: Lovell.					
9	VICE CHAIRMAN MILLER: Lovell, sorry. Please go ahead					
10	with your testimony.					
11	MR. LOVELL: Okay. Thank thank you. Thank you for					
12	giving me this opportunity to speak. I'm speaking tonight in					
13	opposition to the application.					
14	As a resident of ANC-3F for more than 20 years, I've					
15	been involved in various events and activities held at the UDC					
16	campus, and I consider myself a friend to the UDC student body.					
17	I, myself, have been opposed to this plan primarily					
18	because of the lack of engagement with the UDC students and with					
19	ANC-F residents. While I have heard from students who are opposed					
20	to this project and the demolition of Building 41, I believe					
21	students and the ANC-3F community have not been given adequate					
22	information about the consequences of the demolition and the					
23	subsequent construction to make an informed decision.					
24	ANC-3F residents first learned about this planned					
25	demolition at a meeting on April 18th during a presentation by HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support					

Dr. Lopez Matthews from the Office of Public Records. This was followed by a presentation, the same presentation of the proposal at the July 18th ANC meeting. They had a meeting on September 6th, and saw Dr. Matthews joined by UDC General Counsel, Avis Russell, and that was the first public meeting with the UDC, where UDC represented itself in any form.

All the meetings so far presented this project as a done deal, featuring proponents almost exclusively. None of these meetings have had any discussion of how Building 41 should be used by UDC and the surrounding community. Doesn't UDC need more student housing? And if not housing, aren't there other academic purposes outstanding for Building 41? These questions need answers.

Neighbors of mine have told me that Cleveland Park was served -- reported ANC-3F residents appealed to the ANC to provide a more balanced discussion, including more opponents of the project and need more UDC student voices, but so far that hasn't happened. Tonight's meeting might be a change in path admittedly.

In summary, the application should be rejected until or unless we see serious community engagement and information about the consequences of the project, and until that information is shared with the community. Thank you.

VICE CHAIRMAN MILLER: Okay. Thank you for your testimony, Mr. Lovell. Hang tight. We will go next to, Derriann Johnson. Derriann Johnson, are you here?

1	MS. JOHNSON: I am. Can you guys hear?
2	VICE CHAIRMAN MILLER: Yes, we can hear you. Go right
3	ahead.
4	MS. JOHNSON: All right. So I'm going to get my
5	testimony. One moment. I'm getting it.
6	All right. So dear Commissioners, my name is Derrianr
7	Johnson. I'm a current freshman at UDC, and even signed up for
8	the Garden Club, and I am testifying to address an elephant in
9	the room, Building 41.
10	I didn't know there was a potential plan to do something
11	until recently. I heard about this plan from other students, not
12	from the UDC administration. I am all for making changes that
13	can help the UDC community, but how would a new building that
14	holds archives make a positive impact on the students of UDC?
15	Why are all these people telling students what is good for us,
16	rather than asking us, the students, what we think?
17	I look at UDC as a place of building community,
18	learning, and resources, but how can it continue to be like that
19	when a plan is being made, but is not brought into light?
20	This project could have been an opportunity to connect
21	with fellow students and hear their voices about how Building 41
22	can be helpful, because at the end of the day, the students are
23	dedicating their time and money to be in a destination that will
24	help our career flourish. If changes are going to be made, the
25	purpose should be bettering the community and UDC, and expand UDC
	HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

resources.

The building has a daycare center, jazz archives, a library, and there are even gardens around it. I don't understand why and see why the UDC administration has decided to break down a building that can continue to hold significance to UDC. Why are they planning to break down a structure, building a new one, and possibly space the outcome that the building can't help the student's body?

Is the UDC administration asking rather if having an archived building link on campus will help me? I would have to say no, but they didn't ask me, so I'm here today to say it won't help me.

I believe that Building 41 can be used as a source of housing or as a resource center. Either plan can help students as we pursue our career paths. If there are changes being made, it will help our UDC community flourish.

Commissioners, please vote against this plan. I hope you all have a great day. Best, Darian.

And I also wanted to bring up, I am curious as to with the archives, why do we necessarily or why would you guys necessarily need to have a whole building for it? Not saying that an archives wouldn't have significance, but I don't necessarily think it needs to use the whole building and only that with if you guys are planning to break down the building, I feel like it will cause issues as far as air pollution as students

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

are going back and forth to class and whatnot. And even with
what Mr. Michael Jacobson brought up, how we can even have a
digital archive and whatnot? And I want to know is the people
who agree on having this archives, did they even reach out to
UDC students about how they feel about it?

2.2

I remember a teacher, who I think she isn't currently in UDC, bringing up about the email 2020, but why hasn't there been any emails afterwards to really ask students, you know, over how they feel about it?

And I remember and this is my last thing, as far as Mr. Anthony bringing up about the time the thing had been (indiscernible) -- out of time, and I do get it. Everybody has their own lives, but at the end of the day, this is a serious issue, and this is about students voices being heard.

You guys have the power to make those changes, but how would you -- how can you have power when it doesn't makes sense, when the students are giving you guys that power to make those changes? And I -- that's pretty much what I have to say.

So overall, I do want to say, like, please, take some time to think about it and really take into consideration how would you guys would feel if your current child was in a school going through this situation. Thank you.

VICE CHAIRMAN MILLER: Okay. Thank you for your testimony, Ms. Johnson. I think our next -- hang on there. We may have some questions for you. Ms. Melanie Davis, I think

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

you're next if you're here, please. Great. 2 MS. DAVIS: I'm here. VICE CHAIRMAN MILLER: Please go ahead. Thank you. 3 4 MS. DAVIS: Okay. Just a moment. Can you hear me? 5 VICE CHAIRMAN MILLER: Yes, we can hear you. We can't 6 see you, but we can hear you. 7 MS. DAVIS: Wait 'til I access my camera. Let me see. 8 VICE CHAIRMAN MILLER: It's not necessary, but yes. 9 MS. DAVIS: There we go. Okay. Thank you. 10 My name is Melanie Davis. I have lived within walking distance of UDC for 46 years. For several years, I served as 11 12 acting CFO and Assistant Director of Georgetown University's Center for Immigration Policy and Refugee Assistance. 13 Through 14 UDC's Institute of Gerontology and as a neighbor, District resident and lifelong learner, I have been pleased to attend UDC 15 16 student concerts, lap swim in the pool, access the library's 17 Foundation Center directory and to study. 18 For the past several years, I have been delighted to 19 take French classes online and on campus. I earned a Master Gardener certification at UDC. The library for meaningful study 20 21 on the top floor of Building 41 was beautiful. I experienced 22 wonderful lights, comfortable, tastefully designed workstations, happy, helpful librarians, interesting serious art, and diligent 23 students able to work long hours quietly. I was shocked when I 24 discovered this jewel of UDC had closed and students and 25 HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support

librarians were vanished to the Student Center for months, where the noise from loud music and student non-academic activity came through the walls and was deafening, preventing learning. Then to another location with internet problems and too few private study rooms as I recall.

About three weeks ago, I learned from a friend that Building 41 would be demolished. I did not know about the initial three options for its repurposing, and although I am a vested member of this community and a member of the UDC student Listserv, I did not realize until I spoke with my friend that my opinion might matter.

When I learned about six months ago that the Music building next to Building 41 was scheduled for demolition, I visited to see how bad it might be. With staff and faculty still in office and students practicing, I observed throughout the building huge patches of buckling paint and some of the most extensive, frightening, worse water and mold damage I have ever seen. This building in use looked like a tenement.

I understand that the lifespan of the average office building in the District may be 50 years. I have lived for 40 years in a neighboring building that is 90 years old. When water drips into the building, discoloring whole walls, buckling plaster and paint, creating mold and unhealthy conditions, our management acts quickly to mediate by fixing the roof or tuck pointing the bricks. Sometimes we have to call in the Department

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

of Buildings to encourage repair. My 90-year-old building maintained, will not be torn down any time soon.

2.

During the day, I am often one of only a handful of students or fewer in Dennard Plaza. I am often the only person swimming in the pool. The buildings, including the beautiful new Student Center, are always torn up under new design and other costly new dusty and noisy construction, disrupting study. Building 41 was, at least, permitted to deteriorate.

Closing Building 41 library to make way for more construction without providing students a viable way to study, to get their grades sends a message loud and clear about what UDC administration might prize.

I suggest that UDC spend their money, thoughtfully, supporting what students need to succeed and to bring in more students, not noisy new construction and competing City workers. This is a City university campus.

My vote, please, goes to permitting more deliberations about this before demolishing Building 41 or bringing in District archives instead of establishing a daycare center for student mothers or safe, close storm housing.

My testimony goes to encouraging University administrators to increase enrollment, but at the same time make better fiscal use of this large campus through resource preservation and wise architectural and interior design and by considerately reducing any inconvenience to students, enabling

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

- them to be encouraged in their efforts to succeed, knowing that 1 2. they are Administration's first priority.
- Thank you for the opportunity to voice my opinion. 3 4 There you have it.
- 5 Thank you, Ms. Davis, for your VICE CHAIRMAN MILLER: 6 testimony.
- 7 MS. DAVIS: You're welcome.
 - VICE CHAIRMAN MILLER: Please hang in there and we might have some questions for you. I think, Ms. Karla Thompson is next.
- MS. THOMPSON: Me? 11

8

9

10

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 12 VICE CHAIRMAN MILLER: We can hear you.
- MS. THOMPSON: Okay. I wanted to begin. Hello, 14 Commissioners. My name is Karla Thompson. I have been a member of the UDC Garden Club for a little over two years, and I'm a D.C. resident. I am speaking in opposition to the application. I was disappointed and discouraged when I learned of the demolition plans for Building 41. I spent a lot of time volunteering on the roof top garden of Building 44, which is adjacent to Building 41.
 - There, along with other volunteers, I plant seedlings and tend vegetables for the UDC Student Food Pantry and for the Northwest Community Food Pantry. This season we donated almost 400 pounds of fresh organic produce. Grow D.C. grows seedlings for D.C. school gardens in the roof greenhouse under the direction

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

of UDC's 4-H program.

As a nurse of 44 years, I know the immediate impact of demolition to the surrounding environment, including exposure to contamination and air pollution. In addition, Che Axum, the Director of Urban Agriculture and Gardening Education for UDC's College of Agricultural, Urban Sustainability, and Environmental, CAUSES, recently stated on 8/29 that he expected demolition to have an adverse effect on the organic gardens on the campus grounds, the greenhouse, the green roof, and the hydroponic greenhouse on UDC campus.

The destruction of the organic gardens in UDC near Building 41 would mean the loss of organic vegetables and UDC students and others in the community. It would be a real loss for students and community volunteers who work together in these gardens.

I would like the above-mentioned factors to be taken into consideration when you are making a decision about this application. If plans to demolish Building 41 move forward, I ask that UDC begin now to provide at a minimum the same amount of space on campus for new organic gardens, along with resources to develop those gardens both before and during any demolition and construction of the new building. I vote against this application and thank you for your time.

VICE CHAIRMAN MILLER: Thank you, Ms. Thompson, for your testimony and stay with us, so we might have some questions HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

or comments.

Ms. Charity Chukwu. I think I've messed up that name, but is Charity Chukwu here?

MS. CHUCWU: Can you hear me? Hello.

VICE CHAIRMAN MILLER: Yes, we can hear you. Thank
you. Go ahead.

MS. CHUKWU: Oh, and it's Chukwu. Charity Chukwu.

VICE CHAIRMAN MILLER: Well, I apologize.

MS. CHUKWU: Good evening. My name is Charity Chukwu. I grew up and still live in Ward 7, and I'm a psychology student at UDC's main campus. The nature of my major means that I regularly rely on research databases and the archives to complete my work, even personally access to information, sustainable access to that information and reliable support to help people access that means the world to me but, frankly, there have been inadequate transparency and accessibility of information to the student body about the progression of this project.

What was it about the past few months that spread word and engaged more diverse perspectives about this from the student body more effectively than years of government funded community outreach? For myself, I check my emails a lot. It is the best way to contact me, and it is the reason I was able to know to come today. The only email I found about this or in regard to this was from this past April and it was about the building's design. Nothing in that flyer informed of its demolition. No

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

urgent messages from UDC communications or from the UDC communications email, nothing from UDC faculties and nothing from a UDC administration.

2.

Students' needs and requests were also prioritized rather poorly. What will come of the building's photography dark room closed to students and teachers for nearly five years? Where were the efforts to inform them properly and relocate them before the demolition was to occur?

And since it's apparently well-known that the student housing has been a need for a very long time, the fact that it wasn't considered in the end hurts, as limited housing has negatively impacted me and my progress in school for the past two years and continues to do so. I imagine that if the option for housing were brought to the student body, this discussion would be much different.

The Zoning Commissioners should reject this application and Building 41 should not be demolished for the D.C. Archives. There needs to be more discussion about that actually involves the people who you're taking this campus -- who you're using this campus for. It's not for you to allocate to us. It is the other way around. Thank you for your time.

VICE CHAIRMAN MILLER: Thank you, Ms. Chukwu.

And thank each of the panelists for hanging in there for your -- for hanging in there for five hours to present your verbal testimony, and we appreciate that, and we appreciate the

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

written testimony that some of you have provided as well, which 2. we've read. I'll turn to my chairman and see if he wants to take 3 4 back the gavel and see if anybody has any other further questions 5 or comments. 6 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Chair Miller, I enjoyed the time off 7 as they say. Now, let's see if we have any --8 VICE CHAIRMAN MILLER: Don't get used to it. 9 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Let's see if we have any questions or 10 comments. Anybody? I'm going to just say "anybody." I'm looking 11 for a hand. Commissioner Imamura. COMMISSION IMAMURA: Just really quick, Mr. Chairman. 12 13 I think, as I've said before, there's a common theme here, but 14 what strikes me is that many of the letters in opposition in the record, none of them are not form letters. They're authentic 15 16 letters written. So while the design of the building may receive B-17 18 plus/A-minus, because we still need to resolve the Greener 19 Government Buildings Amendment Act and resolve that, I think the 20 outreach here or the messaging -- I want to be very clear. Maybe 21 not outreach, but the messaging is certainly less than that, and so the testimony tonight is an exhibit of that and I think we 22 23 can do better. I think the Applicant can do better on their 24 messaging.

So I'm not sure how much longer we're going to go $\label{eq:how} \mbox{HUNT REPORTING COMPANY}$

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

25

tonight, Mr. Chairman, but I think that is my message back to the Applicant, that we can do -- they can do a better job with their messaging, and that's all that I have now.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Anybody else? I would just say this, Derriann. Derriann Johnson, if you could come back up. up.

MS. JOHNSON: (Indiscernible.)

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yeah, I can hear you. Good. I wanted to ask you a couple of questions right quick.

MS. JOHNSON: Hello.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: So the -- and I appreciate as mentioned by my colleagues, the theme of what we're hearing, and no form letters and I appreciate -- most students don't do that.

And I think you were the one to mention about "if we had somebody.." I always tell people, "be careful when you say stuff or what you ask for when you talk about it to the Commission," because I have two grandkids in college right now, so I understand. I get it. I'm learning the new way college is being done, and I used to say, "they keep me hip," but that's not the new word. They have to tell me, but I just will say this.

Housing at the University of the District of Columbia has been going on. That's been the issue for 40 years, but what I appreciate about what I'm hearing from the students is that -- and we have a new president. I have to give him the benefit of the doubt, and I'm not sure who all the new trustees

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

are, but I got to give them the benefit of the doubt. I think that -- I'm hoping that there will be more engagement in some of the needs, and I think Mr. Smalls -- or Mr. Autry Smalls and yourself have mentioned the needs of the students. I think it's very important, especially -- and I say this all the time in other avenues and other things that I do, "You have to listen to the people who are most impacted." The students are most impacted.

This is like me going to a meeting and everybody -- and you said it, everybody there, nobody has any kids in school, but everybody has decided what goes on at school, and I'm dealing with some of that over here in my area now, so I want you to know I appreciate your testimony.

Zoning, we have a limit of what we're supposed to be looking at. One of the things that we are going to hit on is what Commissioner Imamura and others have mentioned about what BOE is saying, and how we can get to the zero piece. That's stuck in my mind, but I'm going to also follow up and see -- and I forgot who said it, but there could be an offset.

Okay. If you're going to do this, how are you going to get us what we need? And I heard that from one of the students. I can't remember exactly which one it was. So that's all I'm going to say on that, because I'm -- we're going to try to finish.

I think, Ms. Schellin, how many people do we have left?

MS. SCHELLIN: We still have a few left.

1	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Okay.				
2	MS. SCHELLIN: Hopefully.				
3	CHAIRMAN HOOD: I'm going to try to we're going to				
4	try to finish, unless I hear one of my colleagues say stop,				
5	because they waited this long, we can go some longer.				
6	So I want to thank that panel. We really appreciate				
7	your testimony and especially everyone, but especially to				
8	students. All right. Let's bring up the next five, Ms. Schellin				
9	MS. SCHELLIN: Okay. We have let's see, Karla				
10	Thompson already testified, I believe. So we have next, Mike				
11	Beller. Glacia Martin.				
12	Mr. Young, I did not see Coy McKinney, but if you would				
13	look. Okay. And Rodney Burton, and there's one more, Chairman				
14	Hood. It's actually one more opponent and one undeclared, if you				
15	want me to just call both of them.				
16	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Just bring everybody up. If we can get				
17	them all up, let's bring them all up.				
18	MS. SCHELLIN: Okay. John Irungu and Andre Coelho, and				
19	I believe, Mr. Young, there's an Andre Lee. I'm thinking that				
20	may be the same person, so it's the only Andre I saw.				
21	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Let's bring Mr. Lee and everybody				
22	up.				
23	MS. SCHELLIN: And Rodney Burton and John Irungu get				
24	five minutes. Everyone else, three minutes.				
25	MR. YOUNG: I don't see either of them.				
	HUNT REPORTING COMPANY				

1	MS. SCHELLIN: Okay.
2	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Let's begin.
3	MS. SCHELLIN: That's it.
4	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Let's go with Glacia Martin.
5	MS. MARTIN: Can you guys hear me?
6	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes, we can. You can go right ahead.
7	Introduce yourself too, please.
8	MS. MARTIN: Okay. Good night. My name is Glacia
9	Martin. I hold a Bachelor of Science in Biomedical Engineering
10	from the University of the District of Columbia. I graduated on
11	May 20th, 2023. I've been a student since January 2019, and I
12	can say with 100 percent fact it's a 100 percent fact that I
13	did not was not aware of the demolition of Building 41 until
14	May of this year.
15	After scouring my email, I found two emails from UDC
16	Communications. And it reads, I quote, "The University is
17	planning a renovation and expansion of the Bertie Backus campus.
18	The University is required to submit a campus master plan for
19	D.C.'s Zoning Commission. Please complete a survey to share your
20	ideas." That is the email that we got. That email was sent
21	November 21st, 2022, and December 7th, 2022.
22	Those emails do not state anything about demolishing
23	Building 41, and also I'd like to note the fact that these emails
24	were gent around the time when we have finals. We student is
	were sent around the time when we have finals. No student is
25	going to open up this email around the time we have finals, okay. HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

1 That's just how it is. We have more pressing matters to attend 2 to.

Another point that I would like to make is about student housing. You said, yourself, this has been an issue for over 40 years, but these people who we've never seen before in our entire life have no idea what it's like to need emergency student housing and being told that they cannot have it, because they don't have the space when there's a perfect -- there's an empty building right there that is going to be demolished to construct the D.C. Archives building.

I'm sorry, but housing just takes top priority in this, at this point. The other thing I would like to mention is that Building 41 was home to CommuniKids, which is a daycare that's been open for three years. That daycare is now going to have to be shut. It was free for the residents of D.C. in the community, and now we don't have it anymore because of the demolition of the building. This is -- this was part of what cemented UDC as a central figure of the community.

While having the D.C. Archive building is great, we just think that there are more pressing concerns. There are other things that we can do with the building, and so I think that moving forward there should be more communication about what the plan is and give us a voice and a say in what goes and what doesn't. Just because UDC is a public school, does not mean that the students don't get to have a say. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you. Let's go to -- I see Michael

Beller and Maddie Beller, but let me go to Michael -- let me go

to Maddie Bella first.

MS. BELLER: Hi. My name is Maddie Beller. As a D.C. resident, a recent graduate with a degree in environmental studies, and a volunteer at UDC's CAUSES' garden, I'm worried about the demolition of Building 41. My dad also testifying today lives across the street from UDC. He first took me to the CAUSES garden, and I was amazed by the amount of food grown in these spaces and the community that the garden has created. I worry for his health and the health of the environment if this building were to be demolished and construction began on a new building.

According to the Green Building Council, just three materials are responsible for 23 percent of global CO-2 emissions. These materials concrete, steel, and aluminum are mostly used in the building sector. In fact, the global construction industry consumes over a third of all resources extracted annually, according to the EPA.

If this building is torn down, will all of its materials be sent to the landfill? These are valuable resources that are just being thrown away, driving up financial and environmental costs to the project. Not only are new buildings and their materials environmentally costly, but there are very valid public health concerns as well.

According to the EPA, construction sites are responsible for 14.5 percent of particulate matter in the air, in the U.S. This particulate matter can travel for blocks.

In the case of Building 41's, City representatives acknowledged that this building contains asbestos. There's no safe level of exposure to asbestos. I worry for the health of all UDC students and community members if this building is torn down.

With the UDC campus planning process stating its key intent to quote, "minimize negative impacts of campuses on surrounding residential areas," I worry that the lack of information about the building's hazardous materials may not adequately align with this intent. I don't see how it's possible for the public or the Zoning Commission to weigh in on this project until further information is provided, particularly regarding hazardous materials in Building 41. With public tax dollars, including my own, going into this demolition and construction, we need more information before we put ourselves and our environment at risk.

We need to set requirements for new buildings and existing building renovations to minimize environmental and public health risks. Municipal procurement could shift the market towards clean construction and D.C.'s role is vital, and this is vital, and we need to lead by example.

I urge the Zoning Commission to reject this HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

1	application. The	his project	should be p	ut on hold ur	ntil meaningful
2	community enga	agement is	done and	informatio	on about the
3	consequences of	f this proj	ect, partio	cularly the	public health
4	aspects is shar	ed with the	public.		

Thank you all again, Commission, for being here tonight. Thanks.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you. Hold tight. May have some questions. Michael Beller. Are you -- you're still on mute. Unmute yourself.

10 MR. BELLER: Okay. Unmute myself. My name is Mike.
11 Thank you for testifying, Maddie. I'm so proud of you.

My name is Michael Beller. I've lived at the Van Ness North Cooperative on D.C. Terrace for more than 10 years, and I'm a volunteer at the Community Garden. I only learned of the application in April 2023 from another garden volunteer.

As one of the largest and closest residential communities to the UDC campus, I would have expected the residents of Van Ness North to be contacted directly for engagement and input on this huge project. That did not happen.

As you can imagine and as you've seen from the outpouring of genuine concern tonight, imagine what the outpouring would have been if people had been notified earlier and been able to participate. This is an incredible turnout. The application should be rejected. The project should be on hold until there's community engagement and information about the

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia

410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

impact of the project is shared with the public.

The garden volunteers are a wonderful diverse group of UDC students, alumni, and community members. The community garden and its volunteers are something a public university should be proud of and should support. I've worked on the green roof and the gardens on the campus grounds for more than three years.

For me, as a semi-retired senior, the experience has been educational, social, and a valuable volunteer opportunity. We grow seedlings for many D.C. elementary and middle schools, giving local kids an introduction to gardening and the opportunity for hands on learning. We donate fresh organic produce to the UDC Food Pantry and the Feed the Family Pantry across the street from UDC.

The Roof Garden is a showpiece for the University. It's 20,000 square feet, about half an acre, and supports the production of a wide variety of food plants as well as planting experiments for the school. It's a fragile gardening environment. I've seen public officials, student groups, and even international engineering groups tour this impressive facility. The Green Roof is immediately adjacent to Building 41 and will be severely impacted by its demolition, and as we've seen, the garden surrounding Building 41 will be destroyed.

As Dean O'Hara mentioned, particulate matter is -- will emanate from the demolition and the Green Roof will be adversely HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

effected.

I have yet to see an analysis of the environmental effects of demolition. The application will have adverse effects on the Van Ness Urban Food Hub, which is a major project of CAUSES.

I'm disappointed that UDC officials, including the leadership of CAUSES, are failing to investigate the impact of the project in connection with these programs, which UDC and the City take such great pride in.

CAUSES has on its faculty and within the student body are environmental scientists who can help perform the analysis that we need and must have. Why has that not been asked? Why hasn't the student body in the community been invited for meaningful engagement in this process?

I do support the District's desire for a modern archive facility. It should not come at the expense of UDC student and faculty needs, the Van Ness Urban Food Hub, and the environment. The application should be rejected. Project should be put on hold until its full impact is analyzed and shared with the public.

Thank you, very much.

21 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you. Let's go to Coy 22 McKinney.

MR. MCKINNEY: Good evening. My name is Coy McKinney. I'm an alumni of UDC's David A. Clark School of Law and a former founding member of the UDC Garden Club.

While trying to decide whether I want to be a lawyer
or not, I got interested in Urban AG and helped start the UDC
Garden Club. After ultimately deciding not to pursue a legal
career, Dr. Sabina O'Hara gave me a break and put me in charge
of implementing and maintaining a grant to create the Garden of
Census, which was installed around Building 41. As I moved on
to eventually become a teacher, Mary Beth, and CAUSE took over
and kept the spirit veggies and produce growing. So when they
informed me that all the work that's been put into the Garden
for over a decade was about to come to an end, I was disappointed,
but, unfortunately, given how things happen in D.C., I wasn't
surprised.

So you guys have heard a lot of testimony tonight, and I won't belabor all the excellent points that have already been made, but my main thing is just to urge true public participation.

In Southwest, I've seen development essentially run rampant without much concern for the needs of the residents most in need, and I don't want to see that happen again. In one project, I was actually meeting -- I met with an Applicant, met with them three or four times, and they didn't bring a pen to the meeting, so they weren't taking notes, any of the concerns I had ultimately were ignored, and I don't want to see that happen with this project.

So hopefully, you know, the student that was doing the survey. Hopefully, that can be pushed and the Applicant can HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

1	actually have full engagement with not just the students, but the
2	surrounding community. I'll save you the rest, because you've
3	heard enough tonight. Thank you.
4	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you. Let's go to Ms. Marcella
5	Burton, or I'm sorry. Rodney Marcella Burton. You're on
6	mute. You're on mute. Unmute yourself. Can you unmute yourself?
7	You're on mute.
8	MS. BURTON: Can you hear me now? Hello.
9	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes, we can hear you now. You might
10	want to speak up, but we can hear you.
11	MS. BURTON: Okay. My name is Rodney Rochele Burton.
12	I'm an alumni, former faculty member of the University of the
13	District of Columbia. I live on Maryland Avenue at Carver
14	Terrace. I heard about the proposal to demolish Building 41 late
15	August from faculty members, students, and my fellow-master
16	gardener colleague. I heard from it from the UDC from a UDC
17	notice of publication.
18	The UDC administration did not ask me or the community
19	how we wanted Building 41 used or whether this project serves the
20	needs of the community, the students, faculty, or the mission of
21	the University of the District of Columbia.
22	It is true that D.C. should have an archive. I know,
23	because I have in the past had a tough time researching
24	information regarding the business and the City Council in the

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
Court Reporting and Litigation Support
Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia
410-766-HUNT (4868)
1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

25 District of Columbia. This plan is not effective, nor, is it

safe or appropriate to infect our environment and damage and remove (indiscernible) perhaps, Building 44 and surrounding spaces connected to the Building 41.

2.

UDC removes the library and other academic and training departments and the daycare center. It seems to be like a great deal of suffering to students, administrators, faculty and workers, all personnel, as well as nearby communities who will incur from this destruction of Building 41, and from what I hear, it's tearing down -- it certainly harms the atmosphere around in Dennard Plaza, and will incur much discomfort, I think.

There will be health effects of air and likely the loss of (indiscernible) garden, such as we have.

We must remind ourselves of a very important fact, we have some legally binding information regarding the mission of UDC.

The University of the District of Columbia is an urban land grant public institution of higher learning. It is the only historically black college university, urban university institution in the United States. Therefore, we must ask ourselves, how is the new archive, the Mayor and City councilmen, and planners and zoning authorities going to serve present and future college students? How is your District of Columbia archive going to enhance training, academic courses, degree programs, and archival and native areas of study. To destroy Building 41, though it is in need of critical repairs, is to nibble away at

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

the (indiscernible.)

Our institution has had its ups and downs, especially, the downs. We've had to, Connecticut Avenue, to reduce it to a community college, meddle with its budget, have stood the tests of all these issues and more, but did not budge from our responsibility to maintain and protect our mission and commitment to provide higher education for lower/middle class students and community members since 1975 when I graduated and before.

I am appealing to you during a commission to put this application -

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Give us your closing -- your closing thought, please.

MS. BURTON: This is -- to reject this application. I thought I had five minutes. This project should be put on hold until we have meaningful discussion that is inclusive, equitable in representation of students, faculty, community members, administrators, and Council about how this building should be used or replaced.

Does the plan -- in conclusion, does the plan reflect or take into account the mission of the historically black urban, land grant University established to serve the residents of the community. Thank you. President of Carmen Terrace Residential Association.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you very much. Let's see if have any -- I think that's everybody, right, Ms. Schellin, or did I HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

1	leave somebody out?
2	MS. SCHELLIN: That should be everybody. Did Ms. Martin
3	testify?
4	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes. Ms. Martin went first.
5	MS. SCHELLIN: Okay.
6	MS. MARTIN: Yes, I did.
7	MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, so that's everyone.
8	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. I want to thank each and every
9	one of you for your comments and your testimony. We appreciate
10	you also being the last panel and staying with us until about
11	9:27, so let's see if my colleagues have any questions or
12	comments. Anybody? Commissioner Imamura.
13	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Yes, sir. Thank you. It is
14	getting late at night, but I don't want to oversee this point
15	about that Ms. Glacia Martin made about when communication
16	goes out. So the Applicant, I hope they listened carefully that
17	when you send those messages out, it is important to send them
18	out to everybody, so please be mindful about when you send the
19	messages out to the student body, both, faculty and staff.
20	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Any other comments? Ms.
21	Moldenhauer, do you having any cross-examination?
22	MS. MOLDENHAUER: No cross-examination of this panel.
23	Thank you.
24	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. All right. Thank you all very
25	much, and we appreciate your testimony. Again, I would encourage HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
	Court Reporting and Litigation Support

you to watch the deliberations. I encourage everybody to watch 1 2. the deliberations if we get to that point. All right. Okay. Ms. Moldenhauer, do you have any rebuttal or a closing? 3 MS. MOLDENHAUER: I think we have maybe, like five, 4 5 maybe, minutes of rebuttal to just address some of the comments 6 that we heard tonight. 7 I would ask that, you know, if we can re-introduce our 8 panelists from the Applicant's team. It will be very quick to 9 just try to touch on the high level of the points here today. 10 As you bring the panelists team from the Applicant back on, I would just note that out of the 26 individuals in 11 12 opposition, I counted approximately 18 or more all focused, or 13 were either members, or heard of this issue from the Garden Club. 14 I would also note, and we can provide this in supplemental information that the 15 University has garden 16 facilities that are part of its overall Garden Club. There are 17 four locations here on Van Ness campus, only one is being 18 impacted. 19 We also have gardens on the Lamond-Riggs campus, at the Firebird campus. There are also new gardens located on the PR 20 21 Harris Urban Food Hub, as well as an East Capital site that is connected with an MOU with the D.C. Housing Authority. 22 23 The University, obviously, is very proud of these amazing opportunities and amazing programs and as indicated 24 25 earlier by Vice President Javier Dussan, they are committed to

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

showing location of one-to-one replacement on that of the land, and we would supplement that in a filing in the next two weeks.

2.

I will turn to ask just two brief questions of Mr. Scott Teixeira. One, if you could address the question of the DOEE question about the environmental issue for reuse of materials at the building and the other question from DOEE that was not related to net zero. That would be helpful.

And then, obviously, I think the question of demolition will be addressed mostly in the filings that were requested by Commissioner Miller and we'll be supplemented in the record.

So I'll turn it over to you, Scott.

MR. TEIXEIRA: All right. Thank you. Scott Teixeira, Hartman-Cox Architects. Can everyone hear me?

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Yes.

MR. TEIXEIRA: Okay. Yes, so to this issue of embodied carbon impact and the environmental impact, I mean, our firm Hartman-Cox, we truly understand the value of the embodied energy that's in these buildings. We've been an advocate for renovating buildings for more than half a century. In fact, our firm is well-known within the City and actually renowned nationally for our proliferous renovation work that we do.

I can assure everyone that the decision to demolish Building 41 was the result of thorough analysis and thoughtful deliberation. You know, contrary to the reference example that DOEE gave in their report that was submitted to the Commission,

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

which involves a public library, an archival facility must be capable of supporting extraordinarily high structural design loads.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

According to the University's records, Building 41's structure is only capable of holding 100 pounds per-square foot. Two of the floors were designed for the library. They can hold 125 pounds. Our building needs to be able to support 375 pounds a square foot. That's triple.

So when we did the analysis of the structural strengthening strategies that are commonly deployed to reuse existing structures, which consists of fiber reinforced polymer composites that are applied to the structure, they were nowhere near or capable of increasing the strength to that magnitude.

The only feasible method of strengthening is essentially to construct a brand new structure to re-support all the floor structures and beams and new columns alongside the existing, which results in a further reduction of the floor-tofloor height, which Dr. Matthews had already noted in his original testimony as one of the factors that made it difficult to put the archives program, it's volumetric, it's cubic storage requirements in this building. Once you recalculate all the storage densities for that loss of height, now the project requires half again, more floor area. This is just a natural result of the calculation.

So it just made it no longer possible to really fit the

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

building in the available area and then, as anyone who referred to our 2018 Co-location Study may know, which reviews the analysis of all five alternatives that were considered, the scenarios with additions had no feasibility because as I understand it, the University had no funding to carry out the renovations for the portions of the plans that our project can't occupy.

2.

So we will attach those reports and documents to our supplement that I believe the lawyers will file after the meeting, but we certainly, as I have mentioned earlier, are employing all the other strategies available to address carbon impact, which is we're trying to reuse as much of the existing structure as we possibly can. And we are also exploring all the other available mitigation opportunities for reducing carbon like they use the recycled concrete and steel to the greatest extent possible.

A lot of these conversations will become even more activated once DGS is able to engage the construction manager for this project, which should be in the next couple of months.

And, Meredith, that's the end of my response.

MS. MOLDENHAUER: Thank you very much for being really to the point and I think comprehensive.

Mr. Dussan if you could just -- there was a lot of comments about, you know, housing. Can you just reiterate, maybe, the University's position on housing and the intent for the Garden Club? Thank you.

MR. DUSSAN: Yes. I think I'll focus on the student
HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

housing portion just because of time, if you don't mind, but we -- student housing is the, you know, the most important priority at this point and we have a couple of other sites on campus as options for student housing.

We are also exploring other options around the neighborhood to increase student housing. We've looked at the possibility of acquiring the Days Inn. We are looking at master lease agreements. We're also looking at the Intelsat as an option, so we are not abandoned -- we have not abandoned the idea of student housing and just to close, because somebody mentioned it as well, a cafeteria was opened at the University a couple of weeks ago. I'm very proud to announce that we have a brand new cafeteria, fully renovated cafeteria food. Chick-fil-A is on campus and Foot Lockers at the student center, so I hope that summarizes the answer. Thank you very much.

MS. MOLDENHAUER: Thank you, very much. I would just make one final note that, you know, in connection with the 2020 Campus Master Plan, the University did extensive outreach. They conducted many, many meetings as well as internal and external surveys. The surveys received comments back from 137 students and staff and 65 exterior individuals, and so -- and that obviously culminated in the determination, you know, to locate UDC's archives at this location on the campus, as well as placing housing in other locations.

I have no other rebuttal. I can, if there's any cross-

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

examination of either of those two witnesses, we can do that, or I can provide a brief closing statement.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Let's do cross first, but I do have a question that I'd like to start off with, and I'm not going to ask President Eddington. I'm not going to ask him this. I'm going to go to Vice President Dussan.

How do you interact with the young folks? How are they going to be a part? And as you heard tonight about housing, and I'm sure this is not the first time you've heard that, and I think the way one of them put it, I want to say, Smalls, Mr. Smalls, about the students' needs. How do you all interact with the students?

MR. DUSSAN: So there are many ways in which we do that and one of them, and I recall in the most recent Board of Trustees meeting, the students wanted to talk and the Trustees welcomed that opportunity and they voted, because this particular participation from the students was not on the agenda that day, but the Board of Trustees opened the floor to the students. We heard them. I then subsequently after the meeting spoke with them. They have personally my email address. There have been several forums about other things, like during the pandemic about what was — what we were doing with Covid.

So we'll take those opportunities to also update the students, staff, faculty on what we're doing, and we'll continue taking those opportunities, but I'm also open to a one-on-one

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

1	conversation, if necessary.
2	CHAIRMAN HOOD: So let me ask, do the Board of Trustees
3	have a couple of student members on the Board of Trustees?
4	MR. DUSSAN: There is, I believe, one student trustee,
5	yes.
6	CHAIRMAN HOOD: They have a representative. Okay.
7	MR. DUSSAN: Yeah.
8	CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right. I know typically that's how
9	it works. I do have another question, but it is started and
10	I think it left me about four hours ago.
11	Let me see if my colleagues have a question, then I'll
12	come back. Any questions, Commissioner Imamura?
13	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
14	Ms. Moldenhauer, I do have a question for you. So I
15	know you have made a comment about looking back at the
16	legislation, the history of the legislation for the Greener
17	Government Building permit and trying to find out whether that
18	really applied to this particular facility.
19	I certainly understand that this is a unique project
20	type, but my question for you is if we skirt the Greener
21	Government Building Amendment for this facility, for this
22	project, what sort of precedent does that set for other government
23	buildings that may try to do the same thing? Why is this
24	different?
25	MS. MOLDENHAUER: One, I do believe that this building HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support
	court reportaing and prerident puppers

is different, and I think that potentially our project architect could talk about how the construction and the needs of this building are very unique in regard -- and I've been on many meetings and many discussions, so I can just give you a quick summary here and we're happy to supplement this information in the record, but there are obligations to both heat and cool the building at the exact same time, which creates a very unique mechanical requirement.

2.

The overall requirements for maintaining and preserving the documents in a specific type of environment also create challenges that you don't have when you're building an office building, you don't have when you're building a library, or a normal library. This is obviously an archival building, but when you're -- or when you're building a D.C. school.

The District and DGS is committed on addressing this issue. We also want to make sure that we give it the amount of -- the specific amount of time, and given these unique factors I just mentioned, these are very complicated issues that require multiple consultants and conversations amongst our team.

We are committed to reviewing them, but we also felt as though, given the long history of this project, we wanted to move forward with the Zoning Commission case and allow us to address that in the due course of the application and the building permit process.

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: All right. I appreciate the HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

response, and I certainly -- so as design professionals, I think, we get that pretty easily but, again, this goes back to my theme about better messaging.

So better messaging about that this is a unique project-type, because this is about archival storage and has special requirements established by (indiscernible). So, again, better messaging out there for the layperson, for the general public to understand so that they can get up. You know, I get it. It's a totally different building, right. So, all right, I appreciate that response. It's getting late. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you. Any other questions?

I will say this, though. I've heard a lot of people say they were unaware, and I believe that. But I also know that as the ANC Commissioner mentioned, there were some people that were aware and this is something that we run into quite a bit, and I don't take either one of them lightly. I try to make sure that we are very considerate of both positions, the ones who know and the ones who don't know.

But let me ask you this, Vice President, and I don't want to talk -- get on the President right now, because I know he's still new, so I'm going to ask the Vice President, you've been around. I believe you've been around, so you are going to tell me how long? How long have you been around?

MR. DUSSAN: About two years now.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Oh, yeah. I feel good. I don't feel bad asking you questions.

The students, is there a grounds (indiscernible) for additional housing, or is this a feud that's needed? Have you all done an analysis? I'm just curious because one of the things that the student said that's going to stick with me. I'll probably mention it again, even when we get ready to have our deliberations, is their needs. Those comments to me really got to me, "their needs."

Is there a lot of people who are willing to have housing near or on the campus, or kind of tell me what you all's evaluation has been.

MR. DUSSAN: Sure. I think it goes beyond -- and I appreciate the question, because I think it goes beyond just the actual need of the students, which is real. It's also the opportunity that will give the University to expand their reach and use the student housing as an opportunity to bring more students into the University and reach beyond our city, our neighboring states, maybe go international.

So we see it and not only as an opportunity to provide a solution to a real need for our students, but also to increase the opportunity for recruiting.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: I know years ago the numbers were -- and I'm going to end on this -- I know that years ago the numbers were down. I'm hoping that they're coming back up, and I'm not

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

going to necessarily get into this, this was before, but I was just trying to figure out the need.

2.

So anyway, any other questions or comments? Vice Chair Miller.

VICE CHAIRMAN MILLER: Just quickly, I just wanted to thank the Applicant, and Ms. Moldenhauer, the UDC team, DGS, for all the work on this case and I appreciate the responses that's been provided here tonight and the promise to provide in a supplemental statement, additional written responses to the concerns that have been raised, both by the public and the -- and my fellow - myself, and my fellow Commissioners, the request for certain documents or links to documents that are related to the decisions that have been made to demolish and replace with the archives and the status of, as my other colleagues have mentioned, the status of efforts to fulfill, to address housing and maybe childcare needs on campus or near campus.

And most importantly, as Ms. Moldenhauer, you didn't mention in your supplemental statement, as to the tightening up of the commitment to the one-for-one replacement of the existing community gardens that exists there, both during the construction phase and afterwards, just to at least address in writing what that commitment that you have made verbally is. What the details are to the extent that you can provide them. That would be appreciated.

So nothing else, Mr. Chairman. I thank everybody, all the public for their time and participation and we'll continue to work on this.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Ms. Moldenhauer, give us a closing-closing.

MS. MOLDENHAUER: Very quick closing.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: That's what I mean, a quick one.

MS. MOLDENHAUER: So as Dr. Lopez Matthews and Secretary Bassett mentioned at the very beginning of this hearing, this is a critical need for the District of Columbia to locate an archive facility here in D.C. We currently have 19 different locations that house our prized material, and also our agency's data and information.

We are very excited that this has come to the conclusion where we are here before you tonight. This started back in 2012. There were multiple locations all over the District that were analyzed, that will be provided to you and submitted in supplemental documents, as determined that they could not locate, or they were no longer viable as time went on.

Finally, it was confirmed that this would be located at the University of the District of Columbia. This Zoning Commission reviewed that proposed use as being consistent with the Campus Master Plan. We went through many, many community meetings. That was part of the discussion, part of the ANC meetings, part of our discussions with students and staff that HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

this was going to be potentially the location for the D.C.

Archives on the University.

2.

We see an amazing amount of potential benefits as you heard from both our witnesses as well as individuals in support, about the benefits for both students, residents, and the University, and we believe those to be true.

We are here. We filed the application in June. We started our ANC community outreach about this specific application in April. At that April meeting, we started to hear some concerns about the community garden, and we were on top of that. We did go out to the communities, and the neighbors, and the students and we had conversations. We tried to work internally to determine an opportunity and an analysis.

As you saw from our Project Architects presentation, we reviewed and analyzed the square footage and the size of the gardens, as well as indicated gardens at Lamond-Riggs and Firebird, and the PR Harris and the East Capitol campus.

The University is committed to providing you with a supplemental documentation that, to Commissioner Miller's point, does address exactly, you know, how that will be replaced, and so we believe that at the end of the day, that this project is, both consistent with our Campus Master Plan, is a benefit to the City and the University, satisfies the diversity requirements and racial equity requirements for the campus and the Comp Plan. Sorry it's late. And so we believe that based on the Office of

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

Planning support, the ANC's support, as well as the filings in the record, that we have satisfied the standard, and we look forward to presenting supplemental information and concluding this presentation. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you, Ms. Moldenhauer and also President Eddington. Again, welcome, and Secretary Bassett, Vice President Dussan, and Dr. Lopez, I believe, and everybody.

Let me just thank the whole team for presenting, and I also want to thank the public, especially the students, for coming down and participating in the process, and I want to encourage them to stay engaged and, I think that it's important that they stay engaged, but I want to thank everybody.

Let's continue to do the collaboration. The Commission will make a decision at some point in time, and I just want to thank everybody, and especially I want to thank my colleagues for sticking it out because we were going to end at 9 o'clock, so I want to thank you. And I'm going to say this, I want to thank Lottie, Dottie, and everybody, so I don't want to leave nobody out.

Ms. Schellin, can you come up with some dates or something? You can tell it's getting late. I said some dates or something.

MS. SCHELLIN: We checked with the Applicant, and they'd like two weeks to provide the responses that the Applicant -- or that the Commission has asked for. So that will put us to HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

3:00 p.m. on October 5th. And then the ANC, since they are the only party, and OP and DDOT too, if they choose to respond, can provide a response by 3:00 p.m. on October 12th.

The record is closed to everyone else, except for Neil Flanagan who has until 3:00 p.m. on September 26th to provide his response, or his testimony and draft findings, facts, conclusions of law would also be due by 3:00 p.m. on the 12th of October, and then we can put this on the Commissions' October 26th public meeting agenda, 4:00 p.m., for a consideration of final action, so it's a one-vote case.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Anybody have any questions or comments? Anybody? All right. I want to thank you, but let me say this, the Zoning Commission will meet again. If anybody cares, it's almost 10:00 o'clock at night, but we'll meet again on September the 28th, and it's our regular monthly meeting on these same platforms at 4:00 p.m.

I want to thank everyone for their participation tonight and with that this hearing is adjourned. Good night, everyone.

(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the record at 9:52 p.m.)

CERTIFICATION

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Public Meeting

Before: DCOZ

Date: 10-02-2023

Place: Video Conference

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

<u>Donna G. Smith</u> Donna S. Smith