GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

ZONING COMMISSION

+ + + + +

REGULAR PUBLIC MEETING

+ + + + +

THURSDAY

JUNE 29, 2023

+ + + + +

The Public Meeting of the District of Columbia Zoning Commission convened via teleconference, pursuant to notice at 4:00 p.m. EDT, Anthony J. Hood, Chairperson, presiding.

ZONING COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT:

ANTHONY J. HOOD, Chairperson ROBERT E. MILLER, Vice Chair PETER G. MAY, Commissioner JOSEPH IMAMURA, Commissioner

OFFICE OF ZONING STAFF PRESENT:

SHARON S. SCHELLIN, Secretary PAUL YOUNG, Zoning Data Specialist

OFFICE OF ZONING LEGAL DIVISION STAFF PRESENT:

JACOB RITTING, Esquire HILLARY LOVICK, Esquire DENNIS LIU, Esquire

The transcript constitutes the minutes from the Regular Public Meeting held on June 29, 2023.

T-A-B-L-E O-F C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S Case No. 85-16B Case No. 21-20A Case No. 03-12Y/03-13YCase No. 22-29 Case No. 19-31A Case No. 22-30 Case No. 23-01 Case No. 23-09 Case No. 09-03G/09-03HSkyland Holdings, LLC 38

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

2 (4:00 p.m.)

1

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

19

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Good afternoon, ladies, and gentlemen. We are convening and broadcasting this public meeting by videoconferencing. My name is Anthony Hood. Joining me are Vice Chair Miller, Commissioner May, and Commissioner Imamura. We are also joined by the Office of Zoning staff, Ms. Sharon Schellin and Mr. Paul Young, who will be handling all of our virtual operations, as well as our Office of Zoning legal division, Ms. Lovick, Mr. Ritting, and Ms. -- Mr. -- excuse me, Mr. Liu. will ask all others to introduce themselves at the appropriate time if needed. Copies of today's meeting agenda are available on the Office of Zoning's website.

Please be advised that this proceeding is being recorded by a court reporter and it's also webcast live, Webex, 16 and YouTube Live. The video will be available on the Office of Zoning's website after the meeting. Accordingly, all those 18 listening on Webex or by phone will be muted during the meeting, unless the Commissioners suggest otherwise. For hearing actions, 20 the only documents before us this evening are the application, 21 the ANC set-down report, and the Office of Planning report. All other documents -- windows open up, excuse me -- all other 23 documents will be in the record and will be reviewed at the time 24 of the hearing. Again, we do not take any public testimony at our meetings, unless the Commissioners request someone to speak.

> HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

Commented [DG1]: None of these should be spaces, new paragraphs should ALWAYS be tabs, NEVER spaces.

1 If you experience difficulty accessing Webex, or with your phone call-in, then please call our OZ hotline number at 202-727-0789 for Webex log-in or call-in instructions. 3 4 At this time, does the staff have any preliminary matters? 5 MS. SCHELLIN: No, sir. 6 7 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Let me start right off with the 8 agenda. The first case is a special case that I'd like to call. The Zoning Commission Case No. S-T-E-V-E, and I will go to Ms. 9 Steingasser. If we can, bring Ms. Steingasser up. 10 Again, for the record, the first case is the Zoning 11 Commission Case No. S-T-E-V-E, and I don't have the last name 12 spelled in front of me, so I don't want to mess that up, so. 13 14 So Ms. Steingasser? MS. STEINGASSER: Thank you, Chairman Hood, and I 15 16 appreciate the opportunity to say a few words. 17 So as we know, Steve Cochran, C-O-C-H-R-A-N, has been 18 threatening to retire for almost a year and unfortunately that 19 day is approaching. So he retires on July 14th, making this the 20 last Zoning Commission meeting that he will be attending, and so 21 I don't think any of us are already for it. I know at OP we are 22 not ready. So Steve started at OP in 1999, and has -- there was 23 24 only 13 people in the agency at the time from receptionist to

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

25 director, and Steve's been with us for all that time, and the HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

1 agency's now over 80 people. And I say that not to brag about 2 the growth of the agency, but to put a spotlight on Steve's 3 influence. He's been there and he's kind of onboarded each and every one of us and shared his information and knowledge about 4 how to deal with D.C. and the relationship with the federal 5 government and just the whole configuration of land use and zoning 6 7 in D.C., which truly is unique.

8

9 10

11

12

13

24

23 and help with others.

So he's had a -- excuse me -- what I call a foundational influence on the agency, and especially on development review and the development process that we're all a part of. He knows a lot of information, both current and institutional, and he's been extremely generous in sharing that knowledge and has had, I think, a lasting contribution on the city through several of his own 14 personal interests, including an emphasis on design. And that design, whether it's urban design, the overall site planning, the 16 landscape design, or the actual architectural details of a 17 building, Steve has really pushed and has always pressed that as 18 an important element for us to consider, and in many cases even 19 when somebody's requesting a variance, he would like to leverage 20 it to get better design way beyond the tests of the BZA. And in 21 all of our cases, so many of the buildings had ended up better for it because Steve, again, generous, generous with his opinions

But I also want to take a moment just to kind of 25 highlight, as I say, "Steve by the numbers," like what has --HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

1 what do the numbers say about Steve's work? He's issued over 2 700 reports, dating back to about 20 -- our numbers go back to 3 2002, so the first three years we can't really account for, but 4 since that time he's issued over 700 reports, 170 of those are Zoning Commission cases and may have multiple reports, and he's 5 managed 350 BZA cases. And one of the most important Zoning 6 7 Commission cases that I think needs to be highlighted, because I 8 think it's a -- it's the kind of unsung hero, is inclusionary zoning. Steve was the co-author of inclusionary zoning, and he 9 10 worked with Art Rodgers in lockstep as they pulled all the research and worked through all the regulations in getting what 11 was a, especially then, extremely complexed set of regulations 12 to be understandable, to be implementable, and to be legally 13 14 defensible. And so I really want to highlight that and point out that I think that number, on the DHCD website they identified that it's almost 2,000 IZ units that are under covenant. On the 16 Office of Zoning website, they note that there's about 3,500 17 units that have been approved, so there are still 1,500 out there 18 19 that have not yet been covenanted -- that have not yet issued 20 their covenant, but have been approved through the process, many 21 of those through Steve's own work and his own cases. And that's the kind of influence that'll be felt by families and individuals 23 who can now live in homes and in neighborhoods that they might 24 not otherwise have been able to afford and that otherwise don't 25 really draw a lot of attention to the individual.

So I wanted to just take a moment -- excuse me -- and you know, publicly pay him a little bit of tribute through the Zoning Commission, and I know that the Commission wanted to add a word, so with that, I'll turn it over to you, Chairman Hood.

1

3

4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

15

17

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you, Ms. Steingasser, and we are getting ready to give good practice at this, but we want to congratulate Mr. Steve Cochran. I remember when Steve first presented. I was fairly new to the Commission. I think he came, as you mentioned, in March of 1999, and I came on around May of 1998, and I remember when Mr. -- I remember the former late Jerrily Kress one night about 11 o'clock, leaning over to me and saying Anthony, this is real refreshing. I said yeah, it is. And Steve brought an element of newness. Not saying anything was 14 wrong with the old, because I go back with the old, but he brought a -- and giving us reports and information, he had a way with giving it to -- one of the things I would say about Steve Cochran, while -- if the issues have been very serious, he found a way in 18 his presentation -- and he still does that today -- to kind of 19 have a little banter and make it -- lighten it up a little bit 20 to ease the tensions. And Steve, I thank you for that. You've 21 worked well with the BZA and the Zoning Commission over the years. I understand you've estimated and managed 170 Zoning Commission 23 cases, resulting in over 300 reports. You've always done stellar 24 work to definitely limit our questions, and I know there has been 25 no questions that you have not given us a response to. So we

Commented [DG2]: I want to know why there was no phonetic after this. It obviously was not verified, this is unacceptable!!

Commented [DG3]: It is one or the other, not both. :00 means o'clock, so typing it this way you are saying 11 oclock oclock.

Commented [DG4]: No comma after so but or and when beginning a sentence. This has been told you over and over.

1 want to thank you on behalf of my colleagues and myself and all 2 of us in OZ and the Zoning Commission, and I'm sure Chairman Hill 3 and the BZA will agree that we appreciate you, we wish you much 4 success in the next journey, and I'm sure that we'll see you around town, or if not, or looking forward to hearing how progress 5 that you've made as you continue on, so thank you for all you've 6 7 done. And again, from me personally, I know -- I do know that 8 when -- you were one of the first -- when the Council at that time had the wisdom to start updating the Office of Planning and 9 10 making sure people in the city had a place at the table, Steve, and you were one of the people who first led that. You were one 11 of the pioneers in that process. The process was all right 12 13 before, but as we see it today -- and this is one of the things 14 I want the public to know as well -- you might not always agree with the Office of Planning, but one time we didn't have as much 16 interaction and planning, not just OP, but with the city as a whole. And I think during that administration and that Council, 17 18 and it still holds true today.

Commented [DG5]: You are not structuring sentences correctly. You are breaking them up in way too many new paragraphs, sometimes even mid sentence, this is just unacceptable.

So we wish you well, congratulations on your 20 retirement. You will definitely be missed, and I'm going to give 21 my -- while I talked for about two minutes, I'm going to give my colleagues a half a minute if they want to say something right 23 quick before we turn it over to you, Steve.

Commissioner May?

19

24

25

COMMISSIONER MAY: I'm sorry. I only

1 get a half a minute? I can barely say my own name in a half a 2 minute. No.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

Steve, so I only recently learned that after a long time of threatening retirement, you actually were, and I was --I have to say I was kind of caught by surprise, but not really. You know, I knew it was -- I really did know it was coming, but I just wanted to put it out of my head. And I will say also I had the fortune to get to know Steve a little bit outside of the zoning world because of some mutual friends through my wife and her work, and she was here a second ago, but was going to wave, but she had to go because she's theoretically working at the moment. Anyway, but we're -- you know, we got to know Steve outside and I can tell you, you know, as interesting and as engaging he is when he comes to the Zoning Commission, he's a whole lot of fun outside the office. So I really appreciated getting to know him in that different way.

I will also say that, you know, Steve, I really appreciate the fact that he brought his own design sensitivity to all the projects that he was involved in that we're -- you know, you could get into the design, and I thought he always 21 improved those projects. You know, we'd see them at the -- you know, at the set-down stage and, you know, there had already been 23 some sort of work on them, but then by the time they come for a 24 hearing, they're significantly improved. I will also say that 25 sometimes we didn't necessarily agree on the design aspects of

> HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

Commented [DG6]: It's almost as if you are starting a new paragraph for each new sentence. This is just wrong. This is not right and it could be considered line padding by some and could get us in hot water. 1 it, but you know, that's okay because, you know, nobody's perfect 2 on these things. Nobody knows exactly the way something should 3 be designed, and it's only by having those sorts of discussions that we wind up with better projects. And so many projects are 4 better for your involvement, and we will miss you terribly, but 5 I think you probably won't miss us as much. 6 7 So anyway, we will -- I wish you the best and look 8 forward to seeing you at some point soon. CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you. 9 10 Anyone else? Commissioner Imamura? COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Sure. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 11 Steve, as the new guy here, what I can say is that -- there's 12 13 not a lot more I can add, but -- Ms. Steingasser covered a lot 14 of ground, as you have over your career, foundational influence, 15 and a lasting legacy across the city. For me personally, I just 16 enjoyed your approach and delivery for all your reports on the 17 BZA and the Zoning Commission. So I thank you for that and your 18 service and making D.C. a better place to live, work, and play 19 on behalf of all its residents. So thank you. Enjoy your go-go 20 years in retirement and we certainly will miss you. CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you. 21 22 And Vice Chair Miller? 23 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I did not 24 know that Steve was retiring. I guess that's how much out of 25 the loop I am these days, but -- and when I see somebody with

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

1 white hair retiring or no hair in one of our fellow-Commissioners' 2 cases, it makes me think that my time might be coming soon. So 3 I want to thank Steve for all of your contributions to the city, 4 to the Office of Planning, and to your work. It's always -- it always was presented both in individual conversations that we've 5 had over the years and in public presentations in a very 6 7 intelligent, forthright, concise, understandable, respectful way 8 because not everybody agrees with everybody on these land use and planning issues, as we know, but you always had good arguments 9 that were difficult to rebut, and I appreciate all of the benefits 10 that you've brought to the built environment in our nation's 11 capital and in our hometown, which we -- we'll get to enjoy for 12 many years, and maybe I'll see you at the beach more. I think I 13 14 saw you at the beach once in the past whatever, 25 years, that I've known you, but I hope to see you there more often. You're 16 probably there, but I don't know if I'll be there, but I do appreciate and congratulate you on all of your work. 17

I was there when you were part of the -- at the Council,
when we did start to beef up the Office of Planning. It was such
a -- it was a good group, that 18 or 19, Ms. Steingasser. You
were part of it. It was a good group, and we wanted -- and we
knew that you could build on it and make it even better, and you
have over the last two decades, and your legacy will live on in
the District, and we appreciate it. Thank you so much, Steve,
and I look forward to seeing you at the beach.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.

Anyone else before I turn to Mr. Cochran, staff, anybody? I want to give everybody opportunity. Okay. Well, the staff, I'm sure, concurs with our -- and I'm sure you have heard from other staff, and I'm sure you're going to hear from more. I'm sure they concur with our comments. And Steve, I know this is unusual for you, because your reports are always so detailed. I always say give us the short version, but today, Mr. Cochran, give us whatever version you want to give us. Go right ahead.

MR. COCHRAN: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

First off, thank you, Jennifer. I really had no idea
I'd done that much work, and I'm very glad I didn't know those
numbers or I would have retired even earlier. It's been great.
I mean, it's been wonderful to work at the Office of Planning.
I couldn't have asked for better supervisors or bosses than
Jennifer and Joel. The staff has become like family. They're
great people and talented as heck, but it's been a privilege to
be able to present to the Zoning Commission over these years and
to the Board of Zoning Adjustment. I realized how much I liked
this back in 1984 when I headed up the Dupont Circle Citizens'
Association's Zoning Committee, and I did a few cases for them
on a PUD at 16th and O, and the proposed expansion of sites that
was defeated and even on the Reed-Cooke Overlay, which I helped
write with some of my neighbors. And I just liked it. I was

1 working for a national group then on planning, and I realized no, 2 Washington's my hometown. Like, Jennifer knows, I can stand at 13th and Pennsylvania and do a 360 and see where everyone, 3 4 including me, all the way back to my great grandfather worked.

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

15

17

25

I'm going to be moving out of Washington. I plan to be tuning into the Zoning Commission hearings, not saying anything for a change. But I will have a six-hour time difference, so Rob, I hope to see you at the beach, but it's probably going to be on the other side of the Atlantic at a beach on Long Island. So just thank you all. This has -- it's been a privilege. That's all I can say. You guys are great.

12 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you, very much, and congratulations again from all of us, Steve. You'll be 13 14 watching the Zoning Commission?

MR. COCHRAN: Well, let's put it this way, the Zoning 16 Commission is never boring.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: You know, I've heard that from some 18 people and some people, you know, we're serious and some of my 19 friends have told me they watch it for entertainment, and I think 20 that's because they like when people get on us. And I said you 21 know what, keep liking that, because eventually it's going to 22 happen to you. But anyway, I'm glad that you will be watching 23 us and if you text one of us and let us know, I'll give you a 24 shoutout. Okay? Or we'll give you a shoutout.

MR. COCHRAN: Okay. Thank you.

1 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thanks for everything, man. Best to 2 you. 3 All right. Let's move on with our agenda. One moment 4 And let me thank the public. That was well-deserved and let me thank the public now and thank them for in advance, 5 because we have more to come. I'll just leave it at that for 6 7 now. 8 All right. Ms. Schellin, do we have any preliminary matters? You know, it takes me a minute to figure out what I'm 9 10 doing. Okay. Let's go to modification of consequence, 11 determination and scheduling, Zoning Commission Case -- hold on, yeah, Zoning Commission Case No. 85-16B, CLPF-CC Pavilion, LP, 12 PUD modification of consequence at Square 1661. 13 14 Ms. Schellin? MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, sir. We did receive a letter from 15 the Applicant at Exhibit 9, asking the Commission to postpone its 17 deliberations until the next meeting, July 13th. The Applicant explained they've been working diligently to resolve the ANC-18 3E's issues and to craft a condition regarding the grocery store 19 20 tenant that is acceptable, and they should reach an agreement by 21 the next meeting. So they would like for the Commission to just postpone deliberations. 22 23 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you, Ms. Schellin, for teeing 24 that up for us.

Commented [DG7]: Case No. 85-16B, this is all that goes in the index, not all those three or four pages that you have. I have said this numerous times now. It is only case numbers that go in the index, nothing else anymore.

Commented [DG8]: There are no parentheses in case numbers. Do you even look at them?

Commented [DG9]: Have you ever even looked up ANC and how they're names are formatted? There is no parentheses.

Again, colleagues, you've heard Ms. Schellin. Anytime
HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
Court Reporting and Litigation Support
Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia
410-766-HUNT (4868)

1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

25

_	we can work on maybe where there may be bome affectives and time
2	tune any project, I'm always in support of that. I would suggest
3	that we move in that fashion as requested and ask for any
4	additional comments or questions on this.
5	Commissioner May?
6	COMMISSIONER MAY: No, I'm fine putting it off 'til the
7	next meeting.
8	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.
9	Commissioner Imamura?
10	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Likewise, Mr. Chairman, I'm
11	amenable to that, as long as we set a deadline.
12	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.
13	And Vice Chair Miller?
14	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I concur.
15	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you.
16	Ms. Schellin, do we have a date some dates, or a
17	date?
18	MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, sir. If we could since they're
19	doing a joint well, I'd better not guess. We could get them
20	to respond by July 6th, then we could let the ANC well, say,
21	July 5th, then we can have the ANC respond by the 12th at 3
22	o'clock p.m.
23	CHAIRMAN HOOD: So we'll take that at our first meeting
24	in July, right?
25	MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, the 13th.
	IIINT DEDODETNO COMPANY

 $1 \quad | \text{we can work on maybe where there may be some differences and fine}$

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, good. All right. Anything else on this one? 2 3 MS. SCHELLIN: No, sir. 4 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Zoning Commission Case No. 21-20A, Commented [DG10]: Case No. 21-20A 5 Let's move on. Steuart Investment Company, modification of consequence of 6 7 approved -- of an approved design review at Square 662. 8 Ms. Schellin? 9 MS. SCHELLIN: Yes. The Applicant is seeking to modify 10 the massing of the penthouse to modify the ground-floor plan along S Street and to modify the floor plan along the private 11 alley. At Exhibit 4, there's an OP report that agrees this is a 12 modification of consequence and recommends approval. 13 14 today, ANC 6D has not yet responded, so if the Commission finds this to be a modification of consequence, a schedule will need 16 to be set to allow the ANC to respond. Thank you. CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you. 17 18 Does anyone want to take this off as a modification of consequence? Okay. Not seeing anyone wanting to do that, we 19 will consider this a modification of consequence. 20 Ms. Schellin, can we come up with some dates? 21 And again, I would ask that the Applicant also notes 22 23 that the issue with the doors on Half Street was showing a 24 swinging -- it's a public space, which is generally prohibited, 25 so we would ask that they would fine tune all those things and HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia

> 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

1

2	issue up. And if you don't understand what I'm saying, please	
3	work with, I guess, Office of Zoning legal division or OP and	
4	find out about that issue that's been flagged. Anything else?	
5	Ms. Schellin, can we come up with some dates please?	
6	MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, sir. Do you want to put this on	
7	for the next meeting or the last meeting of July?	
8	CHAIRMAN HOOD: I would like for us to get everything	
9	in before July 31st.	
10	MS. SCHELLIN: Okay. So we'll put this on for the July	
11	27th meeting, so we will ask the Applicant to provide their	
12	information by July 19th, and the parties have until the 26th.	
13	I'm sorry, let's make this July 17th for the Applicant to provide	
14	that information requested, and the parties would have until July	
15	24th, and we'll put this on for 07/27, submissions being due by	
16	3 p.m. if the Applicant can work with the parties and let them	
17	know too. Thank you.	
18	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you. Excuse me.	
19	Let's move to our next case, Zoning Commission Case No.	
20	03-12Y/03-13Y, DCHA, PUD modification of consequences at Square	Commented [DG11]: Case Nos. 03-12Y and 03-13Y
21	767, 768, and 882S.	
22	Ms. Schellin?	
23	MS. SCHELLIN: Yes. The Commission had postponed	
24	deliberations on this case to allow the Applicant to respond to	
25	ANC 8F's conditions of support and the Applicant has provided a	

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
Court Reporting and Litigation Support
Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia
410-766-HUNT (4868)
1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

1 make a submission on how we will move forward and clear that

2 deliberate on. 3 CHAIRMAN HOOD: I'm going to open it up. Let me open 4 it up for questions and comments on -- especially on the comments 5 from DCHA's response to ANC 8F. Commissioner May, any questions or comments? 6 7 COMMISSIONER MAY: No. I think that the -- I don't 8 have any -- so I don't have any questions about it. I, having 9 read the DCHA response to the ANC's letter, it seems to me that 10 there's -- they've addressed all the points adequately and that we can move forward. 11 12 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you. Commissioner Imamura? 13 COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 14 satisfied with DCHA's letter and feel that it's sufficient. 16 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. 17 And Vice Chair Miller? 18 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 19 Yeah, I support this going forward. I think the 20 conditions and the ANC 8F's support letter have been adequately 21 addressed by the DC Housing Authority, and so I'm prepared to move forward. 23 CHAIRMAN HOOD: I'm going to echo my colleagues. 24 think the issues that ANC 8F have been adequately responded to 25 by DCHA, and again their responses will not be a condition, but HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

response at Exhibit 8, so this is ready for the Commission to

1

3	So, with that, I would move that we approve Zoning	
4	Commission Case No. 03-12Y/03-13Y with the discussion and as	
5	noted, and asked for a second?	
6	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Second.	
7	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Moved and properly second. Any further	
8	discussion? Not hearing anything, Ms. Schellin, would you do a	
9	roll call vote please.	
10	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Hood?	
11	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes.	
12	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Miller?	
13	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yes.	
14	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner May?	
15	COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes.	
16	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Imamura?	
17	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Yes.	
18	MS. SCHELLIN: The vote is four to zero to one to	
19	approve final action Zoning Commission Case Nos. 03-12Y/03-13Y,	
20	minus one being the third mayoral appointee seat, which is vacant.	
21	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Next, we have under final action,	
22	Zoning Commission Case No. 22-29, JT EB Land Fund, LP,	Commented [DG12]: Case No. 22-29
23	consolidated PUD and related map amendment at Square 274.	
24	Ms. Schellin?	
25	MS. SCHELLIN: At Exhibit 76, 76A, and 77 you have the	

will be part of our -- it will be somewhere in the order for
anybody who needs to recall that. Anything else on this? Okay.

1 Applicant's proffers and conditions. At Exhibit 78, NCPC 2 submitted a letter stating that the proposal is not inconsistent with the comp plan for the national capitol and would not 3 adversely impact federal interests. So this case is ready for 4 the Commission to deliberate on final action. Thank you. 5

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you, Ms. Schellin.

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

16

17

23

24 25

I know we deliberated heavily and even postponed it once for some additional information, but let me hear if anyone has anything else to add and if satisfied with what we received, and I'll start with Commissioner May?

COMMISSIONER MAY: Yeah, I think you are correct, Mr. Chairman, we had a robust discussion at proposed action about the 13 benefits of the project and the concerns that we've had and the 14 concerns that were raised by the parties in opposition. And I think -- I don't need to go through all of that again, I would just say that there's nothing that's really changed from that And I will also emphasize that, you know, however moment. 18 challenging this case may be, there was robust support from the 19 ANC, and I think that some of us are, you know, are -- take 20 comfort in that voice of support in particular in moving forward. 21 So I'm ready to move forward with final action on this case tonight.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you.

Commissioner Imamura?

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I'm HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

1 in agreement with Commissioner May. I think as a Commission, at least, most of us concluded that, you know, the proposed 2 mitigations are sufficient to prevent unacceptable potential 3 adverse effect and I think the public benefits of the project are 4 enough to justify its approval, so I'm prepared to move forward 5 with it. 6

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

17 18

19

And Vice Chair Miller?

VICE CHAIR MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. agree with my colleagues, Commissioners May and Imamura, on what they've said today. We have thoroughly reviewed and discussed this at extensive public hearing and at a proposed action, and would just reiterate that the project does include high-valued 14 public benefits, such as housing, affordable housing, familysized housing in a very amenity-rich neighborhood, which we'll 16 hope -- and close to Metro and will be a benefit to both hopefully to the neighborhood and to the city. I'm ready to move forward.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: I don't have anything to add, as I would agree with all my colleagues, and also agree with what 20 Commissioner said about -- Commissioner May said about the ANC. 21 That was, I think, the thing that tipped me over to the top, to go that way because I was very unsure and uncomfortable. 23 anyway, with that, they're the ones who will be most impacted and 24 they know their community better than I do, and I think the merits 25 of this case need our approval at this point, especially with

```
them tipping me over. So with that, somebody like to make a
2
   motion?
3
             VICE CHAIR MILLER: I'll make a motion, Mr. Chairman,
   that the Zoning Commission take final action on Case No. 22-29,
4
   JT EB Land Fund, LP, consolidated PUD and related map amendment
5
   at Square 274, and ask for a second?
6
7
             COMMISSIONER MAY: Second.
8
             CHAIRMAN HOOD: It has been moved and properly second.
9
   Any further discussion? Not hearing any, Ms. Schellin, would you
10
   do a roll call vote please?
             MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Miller?
11
             VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yes.
12
             MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner May?
13
             COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes.
14
             MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Hood?
15
16
             CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes.
17
             MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Imamura?
18
             COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Yes.
19
             MS. SCHELLIN: The vote is four to zero to one to
20 approve final action Zoning Commission Case No. 22-29, the minus
21 one being the third mayoral appointee position, which is vacant.
22
   Thank you.
23
             CHAIRMAN HOOD:
                             Okay. Next on our agenda is Zoning
24 Commission Case No. 19-31A. This is the Office of Planning text
25 amendment to Subtitle B, Section 100.2, youth rehabilitation
                       HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
```

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

1

Commented [DG13]: Case No. 19-31A

home. Again, as you all may recall, in this case, there was an 2 omission and basically what we're doing is correcting that 3 omission into our regulations, so. Ms. Schellin? 4 Yes, sir. The notice of proposed MS. SCHELLIN: 5 rulemaking was published in the register on May 26th. No comments 6 7 were received into the record. At Exhibit 12, the NCPC's staff 8 filed a letter stating that the propose -- proposal falls under an exception listed in Chapter 8 of NCPC's submissions guidelines 9 10 and is accepted from their review. So this is ready for the Commission to consider final action. Thank you. 11 12 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you. 13 And again, this is an omission and we're correcting a 14 mistake. It was not intentional, so we're correcting that. So any other questions or comments on this? Okay. Would somebody 16 like to make a motion? I'll make a motion, Mr. 17 COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: 18 Chairman. 19 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you. 20 COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: I move the Zoning Commission 21 approve Zoning Case No. 19-31A, Office of Planning text amendment to Subtitle B, Section 100.2, youth rehabilitation home, and ask 23 for a second? COMMISSIONER MAY: Second. 24 25 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. It's been moved and properly HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia

> 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

1

```
1
   second. Any further discussion? Not hearing any, Ms.
2
   Schellin, would you do a roll call vote please?
             MS. SCHELLIN: Who seconded that?
3
4
             CHAIRMAN HOOD: Commissioner May.
             MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner May. And I believe I heard
5
   him -- Commissioner Imamura say 19-31A, but you meant 22-30,
6
7
   correct?
8
             COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: I'm sorry. 2- -- yes, I did.
9
             MS. SCHELLIN: 22-30, okay. Just wanted to make sure.
10
   So staff records the -- oh, I'm sorry.
             COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: No. No. No.
11
             CHAIRMAN HOOD: Hold up. Hold up.
12
             MS. LOVICK: No, no, you meant, 19-31.
13
             CHAIRMAN HOOD: 19-31A, that's the case.
14
             COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Yeah. Yes, 19-31A.
15
16
             CHAIRMAN HOOD: Well, 19-31A.
17
             MS. SCHELLIN: Okay. Am I -- okay, so we did not do
18 22-30.
             CHAIRMAN HOOD: That's next. 22-30 is next.
19
20
             MS. SCHELLIN: Okay. So 19-31A.
             Commissioner Imamura?
21
22
             COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Yes.
23
             MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner May?
             COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes.
24
25
             MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Hood?
                        HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
                Court Reporting and Litigation Support
              Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia
```

410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

1	COMMISSIONER HOOD: Yes.
2	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Miller?
3	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yes.
4	MS. SCHELLIN: The vote is four to zero to one, the
5	minus for final action, the minus one being the third mayoral
6	appointee position, which is vacant.
7	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you, Ms. Schellin. Ms. Schellin,
8	from now on let me mess it up by myself.
9	MS. SCHELLIN: Sorry. I just my notes are a little
10	bit screwy here.
11	CHAIRMAN HOOD: And I think I'm
12	MS. SCHELLIN: (Indiscernible) green text and green
13	writing, or green writing and green highlights, can't read it.
14	CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right. Let's move to the next
15	let's move to the next case. Okay. Zoning Commission Ms.
16	Schellin's case, Zoning Commission Case No. 22-30, Office of
17	Planning map amendment at Squares 5600 and 5601 and Parcel 224/31.
18	Ms. Schellin?
19	MS. SCHELLIN: Yes. This one, the notice of proposed
20	rulemaking was published May 26th. No comments received. And
21	this one, NCPC provided a letter at Exhibit 12, stating that it
22	was exempt from their guidelines, and therefore it was exempt
23	from review. So it is ready for the Commission to consider final
24	action. Thank you.
25	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you, Ms. Schellin.
	HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868)

1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

Commented [DG14]: Case No. 22-30

1	And colleagues, as you remember, OP was not
2	recommending IZ Plus, and we had agreed with them previously, and
3	I'm sure we'll continue that status. Any questions or comments
4	on this?
5	COMMISSIONER MAY: No.
6	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Not seeing any. Somebody
7	I'll make the motion. I would move that we approve as stated,
8	Zoning Commission Case No. 22-30, and ask for a second?
9	COMMISSIONER MAY: Second.
10	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Moved and properly second. Any further
11	discussion? Not hearing any, Ms. Schellin, would you do a roll
12	call vote please?
13	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Hood?
14	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes.
15	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner May?
16	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes.
17	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Imamura?
18	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Yes.
19	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Miller?
20	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yes.
21	MS. SCHELLIN: The vote is four to zero to one to
22	approve final action Zoning Commission Case No. 22-30, the minus
23	one being the third mayoral appointee, which that seat is vacant.
24	Thank you.
25	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you.
	HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support

The next case -- and for the public, a lot of these final actions -- whenever we do final actions, and especially if we have proposed, we have exhausted and had conversations in a number of these cases, and if we don't, we'll have it now, but more than likely we have discussed a lot of these issues. So I don't want anybody to just see this and just think we're rubberstamping. That's been a word I've been hearing for the last few years, that we rubber-stamp. We don't rubber-stamp nothing.

So anyway, Zoning Commission Case No. 23-01, Office of Planning text amendment to Subtitle B, 200.2, dormitory use within campus master plan in MU zones.

Ms. Schellin?

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

19

20

MS. SCHELLIN: Yes. This notice of proposed 14 rulemaking was also published in the register on May 26th, and 15 no comments were received into the record. At Exhibit 12, the 16 NCPC filed a letter stating that the proposal is not 17 inconsistent with the comp plan for the national capitol, nor, 18 would it adversely impact federal interests. So it also is ready for the Commission to consider final action. Thank you. CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you. As noted, we know that the

21 committee of 100, we appreciate the work that they all do to help us make sure that we keep straight and keep things in line how 23 we -- perspectives. Had mentioned, I had some issues, and I 24 think the Office of Planning adequately addressed those 25 previously, and we discussed it, but anyway let me open it up HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

> Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

Commented [DG15]: Cae No. 23-01

1	for any questions or comments on this.
2	Commissioner May?
3	COMMISSIONER MAY: I have nothing to add. Thank you.
4	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.
5	Commissioner Imamura?
6	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: No, nothing to add.
7	CHAIRMAN HOOD: And Vice Chair Miller?
8	VICE CHAIR MILLER: I support going forward tonight.
9	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Would somebody like to make a
10	motion please?
11	COMMISSIONER MAY: Sure. I'll make the motion. I
12	would move that Zoning Commission approve final action in Zoning
13	Commission Case 23-01, Office of Planning text amendment to
14	Subtitle B, Section 200.2, dormitory use within a campus master
15	plan in MU zones.
16	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Second.
17	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. It's been moved and properly
18	second by Vice Chair Miller. Any further discussion? Not hearing
19	any, Ms. Schellin, would you do a roll call vote please?
20	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner May?
21	COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes.
22	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Miller?
23	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yes.
24	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Hood?
25	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes.
	HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

1 MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Imamura? 2 COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Yes. The vote is four to zero to one to 3 MS. SCHELLIN: approve final action Zoning Commission Case No. 23-01, the minus 4 one being the third mayoral appointee seat, which is vacant. 5 CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right. 6 Now, this is where I may -- I think, I'm going to get 7 this right, Ms. Schellin. I don't want you and I both to be in 8 sequence, I'm going to try to get this right, this part. Let's 9 10 go to hearing action Zoning Commission Case No. -- we are alternate scheduled because we are trying to figure out the best 11 way to do this and I think that we got it figured out. Anyway, 12 let's go to Zoning Commission Case No. 23-09, under hearing 13 14 action. Let's bring up the -- let's see, who is the planner? We had a planner. Okay, Ms. Thomas. Thank you. 16 Ms. Thomas, I know we switched around. We probably 17 should have announced that, but we switched around for a very strategic reason. Okay. Ms. Thomas, you may begin. 18 19 MS. THOMAS: Yeah. Yeah. Good evening, Mr. Chair and 20 members of the Commission. Karen Thomas with the Office of 21 Planning, and this application is for a proposed map amendment, 22 thank you, from the RF-1 to the RA-3 for two lots owned by 650 23 Morton Street, LLC. The property is located in the Parkview 24 neighborhood as combined, and it's approximately 14,000 square

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
Court Reporting and Litigation Support
Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia
410-766-HUNT (4868)
1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

Comprised of Lot 33, 654 Morton Street is currently

25 feet.

Commented [DG16]: Case No. 23-09

1 developed with a vacant 13-unit apartment building, and Lot 34 is developed with a row dwelling, also currently vacant. current zone designation of the RF-1 limits new development to single-family homes and flats and would allow for conversion of some row dwellings to apartment buildings based on the lot area requirement of 900 square feet per unit. On balance, when viewed 7 through a racial equity lens, the proposal is not inconsistent with the comprehensive plan, including its policies, future land 8 use map, and generalized policy map. So we are recommending this 10 application be set down for a public hearing, and the proposal will be appropriate for IZ Plus. Next slide? 11

2

3

4 5

6

9

12

13

14

16

19

23

The future land use map indicates that a property is generally appropriate for medium density residential uses and according to the framework element of the comp plan, the RA-3 zone is consistent with this category. Next slide?

The policy map indicates that the property is 17 designated as a neighborhood enhancement area and that is 18 discussed in finer detail in our set-down report. The framework element provides the guidance for densities, including by the 20 property's future land use map designation. And as we stated, 21 that proposed density would not be inconsistent with the future land use map. Next slide?

IZ Plus would be appropriate to apply to this map 24 amendment because the re-zoning request is to a new zone that 25 permits a greater density, and as of November 2022, the Rock HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

> Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

Commented [DG17]: Next slide ALWAYS belongs at the end of the paragraph, not at the beginning of the new one. That would make no sense whatsoever.

1 Creek East planning area only contained approximately 5 percent 2 of the District's total number of affordable units as of 2022. The District's 2019 housing equity sets a goal that every planning 3 area should contain at least 15 percent of the District's total 4 5 number of affordable housing units. Since map amendment applications only consider consistency with the comp plan and not 6 7 a specific development proposal, OP estimated at up to 10 units 8 could be provided through an IZ Plus requirement if the combined properties were to be redeveloped. Next slide? 9

10

11

12

15

The comp plan analysis through a racial equity lens indicates that a map amendment proposal on balance would not be inconsistent with the comprehensive plan. Redevelopment of the 13 site would not result in direct displacement, as there are no 14 current residents on the property, and also indirect displacement is also not likely because we have increased residential density 16 to create more housing options and the comp plan recognizes that 17 without increased housing, there's an imbalance between supply 18 and demand that drives up housing prices. There should also not 19 be a negative impact because of the primary uses allowed by the 20 RA-3 zone, which are primarily residential and community facility Finally, the proposal would be located near many 21 uses. 22 neighborhood opportunities, including schools, retail, 23 supermarkets, other recreational opportunities, and bus lines 24 with access to Metro within 0.4 miles of the Petworth Metro OP would like to acknowledge that the Applicant is 25 station. HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

1 currently working with the community, reaching out initially 2 since October of 2022 to the present time, and the ANC has voted 3 unanimously to support the map amendment at its regularly held 4 meeting, which was last night, June 28th. And this concludes my presentation. I'll be happy to 5 6

take any questions. Thank you. CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you, Ms. Thomas. I think you asked some of the questions I would have had for set down, but

let me just ask has the Applicant -- I know you mentioned the ANC took a position last night, has the -- besides the ANC, has

the Applicant reached out to other community members for 11

community engagement? 12

7

8

9 10

15 16

17

22

23

MS. THOMAS: I believe so, through the ANC, but there 13 14 are not a lot of -- I think it was just only one thus far.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.

MS. THOMAS: I don't have the name right now.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. And I'm also saying that for a 18 reason, I want to make sure that the Applicant is able to come 19 and tell us about the additional engagement they have done outside 20 of ANC and civic associations. I'll be interested in hearing 21 that.

Let me see what others have to say. Commissioner May? COMMISSIONER MAY: Yeah. There seems to be a little 24 | bit of confusion about the one building at 654, and whether that's 25 truly vacant. I mean, you say in your report that it is, but

```
1
   the Applicant's statement is not as clear on that. Can you verify
2
   that it's -- the thing is, in fact, vacant?
             MS. THOMAS: I will. I'll try. I will put that in my
3
   report -- in the final report. I'm just going on what I was told
4
5
   at this time.
             COMMISSIONER MAY: Yeah. I mean, it's -- the language
6
7
   is strange. It says that the 654 Morton property will be brought
8
   into compliance with its current use, rather than redeveloped.
9
   So maybe they're just saying that there won't be any displacement,
10
  not that there's nobody living there.
             MS. THOMAS: Okay.
11
12
             COMMISSIONER MAY: Because it's -- and if it's a condo
13 building, it would be sort of complicated.
                                                     Anyway, yeah,
14 clarification on that I think would be helpful. Thank you.
             CHAIRMAN HOOD: And thank you. And I think that would
15
16 also be in how the Applicant would present their case, so all
17 that's very critical to how we move forward with something. Thank
18 you.
19
             Commissioner Im- --
20
             MS. THOMAS: So --
21
             CHAIRMAN HOOD: I'm sorry, Ms. Thomas. Go ahead.
22
             MS. THOMAS: I was just told it was not vacant.
23
             CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.
             MS. THOMAS: It's not vacant, so yeah.
24
25
             COMMISSIONER MAY:
                                But according to the Applicant,
                        HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
                Court Reporting and Litigation Support
              Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia
                         410-766-HUNT (4868)
```

1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

1 they're not going to be displaced? 2 MS. THOMAS: Yes, they're not -- they have no intent 3 at this time. They have no plans on development of the site --COMMISSIONER MAY: Right. 4 MS. THOMAS: -- of the apartment site. Yes. 5 COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay. 6 7 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. 8 Commissioner Imamura? COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and 9 10 thank you, Ms. Thomas, for that clarification about those I share Chairman Hood's interest in learning more 11 residents. about what the Applicant has done or plans to do to solicit input 12 13 from community members that would be impacted by the proposed re-14 zoning here. I think you mentioned that they have done some outreach since October 2022, but it sounds as if most of that's 16 been focused on the ANC. So that's some significant time here 17 where they've had an opportunity to reach out to others. I think 18 | I read somewhere in the record that they may have sent out 1,000 19 mailers, but I'd like to hear a little bit more about that. I'm 20 also interested in hearing more from OP about the race and 21 ethnicity trends that you've identified, how that could be 22 impacted by the proposed amendment, map amendment, if we decide 23 to set this down. But I think outside of that, that's all that 24 I have, Mr. Chairman.

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
Court Reporting and Litigation Support
Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia
410-766-HUNT (4868)
1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you.

25

Vice Chair Miller?

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

16

18 19

VICE CHAIR MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank you, Ms. Thomas, for your report recommending set down of this Yeah, I agree with Chairman Hood and my fellow commissioners' comments about needing more information in the pre-hearing report, assuming we get to a hearing -- in the prehearing report from the Applicant about their community engagement and outreach efforts, particularly to the existing tenants of the 654 Morton Street building and what commitments -10 - what plans they do have in the future, if any, for redevelopment of that property and what commitments they're making in terms of 11 the -- to those existing tenants in terms of their right to return 12 or relocation assistance, just the whole displacement issue needs 13 14 to be addressed prior to or at the public hearing at the latest, so that information will be very important.

Also, I just have one question for the Office of 17 Planning at this point, and if you don't have an answer now, maybe in your hearing report, prior to the hearing, you could address why we wouldn't be including the rest of the surrounding 20 medium-density residential comprehensive plan FLUM land use map 21 designation, other than this -- these two properties, this site. 22 This site is designated medium residential, but and it's also in 23 the neighborhood enhancement area, but so is the adjacent area 24 kind of going from -- what is that, Park Road down to Lamond 25 Street at least, and over to Water Street between Georgia and HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

_	mater, there is that whole area that has the bame actignation,
2	medium density residential on the land use map and neighborhood
3	enhancement area on the generalized policy map of the comp plan.
4	Why wouldn't we be including those additional properties in this
5	contested case map amendment? So if that could be addressed
6	either by you now, if you know, or at the hearing in your
7	hearing report, prior to the hearing, Ms. Thomas.
8	MS. THOMAS: Well, I could try to find out some more,
9	but OP is not the Applicant in this case.
10	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Okay. I'm sorry. I misstated that
11	then. I'm sorry.
12	MS. THOMAS: Yeah, but we could ask the Applicant
13	(indiscernible)
14	VICE CHAIR MILLER: But did you look at the other
15	MS. THOMAS: (indiscernible) outreach
16	(indiscernible).
17	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Did you look at the broader area
18	that has the same land use map designation as part of your set-
19	down report, or not yet?
20	MS. THOMAS: Not fully as yet. Not fully as yet.
21	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Okay. So I think that it'd be
22	MS. THOMAS: But we do recognize that there is room for
23	the development, but at this time, since it was a private owners
24	(indiscernible).
25	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Okay. Well, thank you. We'll look

1 Water, there's that whole area that has the same designation,

1	forward to hearing more information about that in the future.
2	Thank you.
3	MS. THOMAS: Thank you.
4	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. It sounds like we're ready to
5	move forward with this. Someone like to make a motion for set
6	down? I would move I'll go ahead and do it. I'll move that
7	we set down Zoning Commission Case No. 23-09, and note the
8	comments as mentioned by my colleagues and ask for a second?
9	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Second.
10	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Second.
11	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Moved and properly second. Any further
12	discussion? Okay. Ms. Schellin, would you do a roll call vote
13	please?
14	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Hood?
15	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes.
16	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Miller?
17	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yes.
18	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Imamura?
19	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Yes.
20	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner May?
21	COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes.
22	MS. SCHELLIN: The vote is four to zero to one to set
23	down Zoning Commission Case No. 23-09 as a contested case, the
24	minus one being the third mayoral appointee position, which is
	militab one being the thirta mayorar appointed poblition, which is

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. What I plan to do is call this next hearing action, which is actually our first hearing action, and then I want to call the time extension as well and move forward in that fashion, so bear with me a moment.

1 2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9 10

13

17

25

Our next case is Zoning Commission Case No. 09-03H, Skyland Holdings, LLC, modification of significance of PUD at Square 5633, and along with that, I'd like to call the time extension. Bear with me one moment please. Which is the time extension? It is Zoning Commission Case No. 09-03G, Skyland Holdings, LLC, two-year PUD time extension at Square 5633.

And let me start off with Ms. Brown-Roberts to talk 11 about the hearing action part first. 12

MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Good evening, Mr. Chairman and 14 members of the Commission. Maxine Brown-Roberts, representing the Office of Planning on Zoning Commission Case 09-03H. Next 16 slide?

The Skyland Town Center proposal has been under 18 development for a number of years, and the original proposal has 19 been through several modifications to be responsive to the market 20 and also to respond to community needs. The proposal -- let's 21 see. Sorry. The proposal would modify the consolidated PUD on Block 1 and modify the Stage 1 PUD on Block 4 to a consolidated The Applicant has also requested flexibility to the rear 23 PUD. 24 yard, side yard, and retail parking requirements. Next slide?

> Formerly Block 1 was approved for a medical office HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

Commented [DG18]: Case Nos. 09-03G and H

1 building and structured parking and Block 4 had a Stage 1 approval 2 for a multi-family building with ground-floor retail. 3 slide?

4

5

6 7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18 19

20

The proposed modification result in row dwellings on Block 1 and row dwellings and a senior all-affordable apartment building with ground-floor retail on Block 4. The development on both blocks would result in a total of 126 townhouses, 75 units of senior housing, and approximately 10,000 square feet of The townhouses would offer home ownership retail space. opportunities with family size of three- to four-bedroom units, seven of which would be affordable at 50 percent and 80 percent MFI. The senior units would be all-affordable at 30 percent and 50 percent MFI and would have one and two bedrooms. surrounding the buildings would be dispersed with extensive passive and active open space areas incorporated into the Town Center theme. Next slide?

The requested modification and the overall development would continue to not be inconsistent with the comprehensive plan. The future land use map recommends moderate density commercial and low density residential, and the generalized 21 policy map recommends a multi-neighborhood center neighborhood conservation area for the property. The development 23 is also not inconsistent with many of the policies of the far 24 northeast-southeast area to provide much needed home ownership 25 opportunities and affordable senior housing and to provide a mix

of uses at Skyland. Next slide?

1

2

3 4

5

6 7

8

9

16

17

18 19

The Applicant provided a full racial equity analysis and is also provided in the OP report. The Mayor's January 2023 D.C.'s comeback plan indicates that the far northeast-southwest planning area is on track to exceed the 2025 affordable housing goal. However, although the area is on track to exceed affordable housing needs, a variety of new housing choices are not being provided. The proposed home ownership opportunities and affordable senior housing, along with the apartments currently at Skyland, would help in addressing the need for a variety of 10 housing types in the area. In general, the analysis of the data 11 shows that the planning area is behind that of the District in 12 most categories, such as homeownership, median household income, 13 The proposed development will further the 14 and unemployment. number of the plan policies related to equity. Next slide? 15

No direct or indirect displacement is envisioned by the proposal, and in fact, would be a positive impact on housing, in particular homeownership and senior housings needed in the planning area. Currently, both Blocks 1 and 4 are vacant, and 20 prior to the development of Skyland, it was developed with vacant 21 commercial buildings, so there is no loss of housing on this site. The development would provide significant open spaces and 23 promote a culture for arts. The access to job opportunities both 24 within and outside of the Town Center would be provided, and the 25 Applicant has been involved in job training for residents in the HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

1 area. Regarding the PUD, the proposal would continue to meet the 2 requirements and have already provided most of the amenities to 3 the community, as outlined in original and subsequent amendments. 4 The Office of Planning therefore recommends approval of the 5 requested modification of significance and that it be set down for public hearing. In our report we also requested additional 6 7 information on how the public benefits approved in all the Zoning 8 Commission cases and modifications have been met. Examples of the extent of physical modifications that may be necessary due 9 10 to security measures and that the Applicant should provide information on the IZ requirements for the MU-7B zone, and if the 11 proposal would exceed that requirement in terms of affordability 12 and affordable units. 13

Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and I'm available for questions.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you, Ms. Brown-Roberts. We appreciate your exhaustive and well-done report. We may have a few questions.

Let me start with Commissioner May.

14

15 16

17

18 19

COMMISSIONER MAY: Well, you know, first of all, I have to express my initial concern that the application materials are a bit lacking. Right? You know, we have seen this case many times before, at least many of us -- most of have, and it's changed a few times over the years, and I only have a vague recollection of what was there before, and so the fact that there

1 was nothing in the application package that shows what the current 2 approved plans are for the site was disappointing, and obviously 3 we'll need to see that by the time there's a hearing. really have any questions for the Office of Planning. 4 that Ms. Brown-Roberts has explained many of the areas where 5 there will need to be further information before there's any kind 6 7 of hearing on this if we decide to set it down in some form. But 8 I did want to raise a question, and I'm not necessarily advocating for anything in particular, but this is a really, really big 9 change to that original PUD, and it did go through a few other 10 changes before and when we kind of rolled with that, but you 11 know, this is something that's affecting almost half of the land 12 area of the PUD, or something in that vicinity. And to go from, 13 14 you know, what was planned before, an office building and multifamily housing, I think as I recall, to a lot of row houses and 16 the senior building, I mean, this is not to say that those are 17 not good things, but it is really a huge change and with a very 18 different set of impacts and concerns that go with it. And I -19 - you know, I have to -- it sort of raises the question for me 20 because we have dealt with, you know, other large scale PUDs, I 21 mean, generally speaking, larger than this, but other large scale 22 PUDS that have had to change repeatedly and morph into completely 23 different things over time, simply because they're so big and 24 they can't all be built at once. And is this -- I have to ask 25 the question, is this a circumstance? And I'm not really asking HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

1 this question, it's a rhetorical question really. Is this the moment where we should simply say no, we're not going to set it 2 3 down as a modification of significance and we're not going to 4 extend the -- or do a time extension yet again for this project and simply let this component of that project expire, and then 5 they will have to do a completely new PUD for that area, simply 6 7 because it's just -- I mean, these other cases that we've had, 8 and you know, and I guess it includes this one, just to a lesser extent, where there have been so many changes over the years, 9 10 it's like okay, let's just get our PUD done, and then we can change it as much as we need to over time. 11 And I just think that's not the way to do good planning. So I mean, I'm -- it 12 raises the question for me and it's really a question for my 13 14 fellow commissioners, whether they, you know, where they -whether they see things and --or have any of those similar concerns, because we often as a group can mention these concerns 16 when we are dealing with some of these PUDs that seem to just go 17 18 on and on and on. And then I also just want to say I really 19 don't want to do anything that could slow this down. Right? I 20 mean, this has been so long in coming, and so long getting to 21 the point where it's -- you know, where it is today and we don't want to slow further progress, but I'm not convinced that, you 23 know, going a different route, having a new -- a whole new PUD 24 is necessarily going to be that much more time consuming.

Commented [DG19]: Why do you keep typing I, mean, What does that even mean. Nonsensical. There should never be a comma after the I in I mean.

So anyway, I offer that up for my fellow commissioners'

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

Court Reporting and Litigation Support

Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia

410-766-HUNT (4868)

1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

25

consideration.

1

2

3

4

5

6 7 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you, Commissioner May. And as we go along, let's remember what Commissioner May said. Let's expand upon your thoughts and also if you want to comment to what he's thrown out there for all of us, so thank you for doing that.

Commissioner Imamura?

8 COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you, Commissioner May. I think you bring up some really 9 10 interesting points and since joining the Commission, maybe almost two years ago, I know I've heard Commissioner say -- Commissioner 11 May comment many times that these PUDS are -- can often be pretty 12 complex. They morph over time. Certainly, this case precedes 13 14 my tenure on the Commission, so there was an extensive read up on the history of this project, and I can say that I have 16 witnessed as the newest commissioner, exactly what Commissioner 17 May has pointed out here, that they are complex sometimes and 18 they do have a tendency more often than not to morph and extend 19 with a protracted schedule and change over time. And I think 20 he's right that, you know, it requires a little more thoughtful 21 | planning, and I think there's room to do better, although I think, 22 you know, this is almost half of the site here that's being 23 modified, or it appears that way, but I think there could be, 24 you know, some positive public benefit out of adjusting what was 25 originally planned. I certainly understand things change over HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

3 and careful consideration about it, but I'm interested, Mr. 4 Chairman, to hear your thoughts and Vice Chair Miller's thoughts as well. 5 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, thank you. 6 7 Vice Chair Miller? 8 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank 9 you, Ms. Brown-Roberts, for your report recommending set-down, 10 and I support all of your requests to the Applicant for information that you've made -- and your report prior to -- for 11 them to provide that prior to the public hearing in their pre-12 13 hearing submission. And I also would -- I think that we are 14 going to need additional information from both the Applicant and Office of Planning supporting the currently proposed development 16 of the townhouses and the senior affordable housing, in terms of 17 its consistency with the comprehensive plan. I realize that we 18 already made that determination in the original PUD, which had a multi-family building in it and your report does make reference 19 20 to the fact that there are -- that part of the site -- a small 21 part of the site is residential, low density and a neighborhood conservation area, and I think there's -- so because there is 23 such -- because there is those designations, I just think we need to buttress our -- buttress the argument that it's not 24 25 inconsistent with the comprehensive plan, or t.hat. the HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support

> Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

time, but I think that there's a real issue here about taking on

these really enormous PUD projects. So just some more thoughtful

1

2

Commented [DG20]: The phrase is in terms of. And needs no comma AT ALL anywhere within it

Commented [DG21]: DO NOT stop in the middle of a sentence and make a new paragraph. It makes no sense whatsoever to do this. And you've done it numerous times throughout this transcript. Sentences need to make sense and they can't make sense if you stop in the middle of them and then especially make a whole new paragraph.

1 overwhelming public benefit, which I think is obvious from the 2 production of this all -- this senior affordable housing building 3 and the significant amount of affordable housing in the townhouse 4 development that would be proposed in lieu of the medical office building that we approved. I think we need just more arguments 5 to boltress (sic) how it's not inconsistent with the comp plan, 6 7 or how the public benefits, particularly at high priority, high 8 value affordable housing public benefits outweigh any potential inconsistency. 9

10 And I think Commissioner May does bring up some good points, that this is a major modification, it's a significant 11 modification. That's why it's a modification of significance. 12 13 It kind of fits into that category. It'll have a public hearing, 14 if we approve to set it down now or at some point. I think the information that -- the application should have had more 16 information about what was originally approved and what's being proposed now, but I think we can get all of that at a public 17 18 hearing. This project is one Skyland project. It does have a 19 number of components. It has changed over time. The market has changed over time. There have been different problems over time 20 21 that has stymied this development, which the community and ANC, affected ANC, has wanted for decades, and the city's elected 23 leadership has wanted for decades and has tried to facilitate. 24 | So I want to try to facilitate this development, keeping on track 25 whatever track it's on. It's been a winding track. It's had

1 setbacks. It's gone off-track. It's got a significant 2 modification here. I want to keep it on track. I don't really 3 want them to have to start all over with a new PUD.

4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

15

I realize Commissioner May said he wasn't necessarily suggesting that in this case, but just something we should think about for these complicated PUDs going forward, but in this case, I would like to keep it going, keep the momentum whatever there is going forward. This is an important public benefit that are being suggested in this new proposal for townhouse -- affordable housing townhouse development, affordable housing senior development, and we need to see how all that interacts. community will obviously have questions about that as well, but 13 I think we can go forward with the set-down and we can get all 14 the information we need prior to a public hearing, which wouldn't happen until sometime in the fall anyway.

16 So I'm prepared to move forward, Mr. Chairman. I'll leave it at that. Thank you. 17

18 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you, Vice Chair, and thank you 19 all, and thank you Commissioner May for bringing that up. Typically, when I hear about this, I always think about the Herb 20 21 Franklin rule, is what I call it, because that's when I first learned from things that last forever. But in this case -- but 23 let me ask, Ms. Brown-Roberts, I know Wards 7 and 8 have been 24 talking about medical centers and I understand about the 25 hospital, is there another place where the medical area is going

1 in this development or is it going somewhere -- I didn't see 2 that. I know that we changed this out for the townhouses and 3 homes, but where's the medical component going, or do we know? MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: I don't know. 4 There's nothing in the submission and the Applicant didn't say, so I don't know. 5 CHAIRMAN HOOD: I know that was very important. 6 7 sure there's a plan. I would be interested, if we set that down. 8 While I really -- normally, I would agree with Commissioner May and let's just figure it out, this has been going on long enough, 9 10 but this has been going on for so many years and we've gotten to this point. I believe when I think about Skyland, I think about 11 the song King George, where he said keep on rolling. So we're 12 going to keep on ro- -- I want to keep on rolling. Don't listen 13 14 to the words, because I want you all to think -- because it says if you want to leave, go ahead and leave, but no, we're going to 16 keep on rolling, and I think that's one thing, and believe me, I understand that. I don't want to sound contradictory, but the 17 18 Herb Franklin rule when I first got on this Commission was 19 serious, because some of them PUDS have been in the pipeline and 20 this one may be one of them -- have been in the pipeline over 20 21 years and they just keep on, keep on rolling that way. But I think in this case, I think there's an assertive effort by our 23 elected leadership and the communities, the ANCs, civic groups, 24 and the residents, especially in Ward 7 of moving this thing 25 forward and getting it done. I don't want to delay anything. I

Commented [DG22]: Commas do not belong after these words, especially, including, such as. They go before the word or phrase, but not after.

1 don't want to stop it, but I do want to make sure we have all 2 the facts and make sure everything that they worked for is 3 obtained.

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

19 20

21 22

So I would be inclined to set this down, but I am very inquisitive of where is the medical component going? I know we have a new hospital that's being built, but the folks in Ward 7 -- I think it's supposed to be a satellite somewhere else, but I thought this was where it was going and now this is moving. This is before us. So I am inclined to go ahead and move forward and those are some of the questions. And I think we need to beef up the material so we can get a better understanding, and I'm saying this for the Applicant, especially if we deal with a modification of significance, we'll get that in a hearing, but let's -- give 14 us as much information as possible because this was kind of, I'm 15 not going to say, incomplete, but -- and I'm not going to say 16 half-baked, but I'm going to say naked. This didn't have any -- a whole lot for me to really see what was actually happening, 17 18 and maybe I should have said half-baked instead of what I said, but that's all right. The Applicant gets the gist of what I'm saying.

Any other comments on this? Commissioner May?

COMMISSIONER MAY: So clearly the Commission does not 23 have the appetite to let the PUD expire at this point. What I'm 24 -- I think as a closing comment on this though, I think what I 25 would want to point out is a potential lesson to the Office of HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

1 Planning and the Zoning Commission, which is that there have been 2 other cases where there is -- there are large land areas involved, 3 and the -- in fact too large to really consider a PUD. It might have been a PUD, if we did it, you know, 20 years ago, but instead 4 what we wound up with was a specialized set of zones. And so 5 what I think of immediately are the, you know, what happened at 6 7 Reservation 13 in the -- and at Walter Reed, and most recently, 8 I think, at Howard Road, which is probably the smallest of all of those, and then St. Elizabeth's actually the same way. Right? 9 10 And the use of those specialized zones and text amendments that go with them -- and I think, again, particularly of the Howard 11 Road case where we had a pairing of specialized zones being 12 established and requirements for more robust inclusionary zoning 13 14 and other neighborhood amenities. And I just feel like if we have large tracts -- I mean, we don't have any other large tracts 15 16 of land coming up any time soon, but, you know, when we look at a parcel like Skyland in the future, maybe it's, you know --17 18 maybe that really is a case for the kind of specialized zone, rather than trying to handle it as a PUD, so we don't have to go 19 And in fact, in the long run it makes the 20 through this. 21 development more expeditious for the developer. They don't have to come back and check with us and make changes and things like 23 that. So I see all of the fans have come out to hear me talk. 24 Nice to see you, Archie. Anyway, but that was just my parting 25 thought on this. So if there's anything to be learned from this, HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

_	and bo I you know, I II go along with the betting It down ab
2	a modification of significance at this point.
3	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you.
4	Archie. Archie, let me get your input before I go to
5	you. Archie, do you what should we do with this one, Archie?
6	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Archie, do you have anything to
7	say?
8	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Sometimes that's the best thing to do,
9	Archie. Trust me.
10	VICE CHAIR MILLER: He wanted to hear Commissioner
11	May's has had an intergenerational impact on the city, and he
12	will live with your product a lot longer than we will.
13	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yeah. So that's look at him clearly,
14	Archie. That's who it is right there.
15	COMMISSIONER MAY: I'm hoping we're doing you right,
16	Archie. I hope we're doing right for you.
17	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Well, it's always good to see you,
18	Archie. Always good to see you. All right. Make sure you keep
19	teach grandad how to work that computer.
20	All right. I see Mr. Ritting has shown up.
21	Mr. Ritting?
22	MR. RITTING: Yeah, I popped up to add something to the
23	discussion here in response to Mr. May's comments. One way to
24	deal with the potential issues that you've raised about the
25	staleness of the PUD in light of the changes is to consider those
	HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

1 and so I -- you know, I'll go along with the setting it down as

in connection with the time extension request that's also on this evening's agenda, and to hold that in abeyance until after you've heard the Applicant talk about the changes to this PUD, as I'm sure you anticipate. That's all.

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12 13

17

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. So if we do this -- and I'm going to throw this out there, Mr. Ritting, and others -- well, colleagues and Mr. Ritting for a legal perspective, if we -- as Mr. Ritting said, if we hold the time extension in abeyance and give the Applicant two weeks to come back at our next meeting with more information as requested by Commissioner May, how does that sound with everyone? Any additional issues, Commissioner May, let me hear from you first? Yes, Commissioner May?

COMMISSIONER MAY: Yeah, I'm not sure that we really 14 will benefit from that much other information. I, mean, maybe, you want to know more about the medical component, but you know, 16 I would be happy enough at this point to just see, you know, to get a more robust explanation of what has been approved versus 18 what they are proposing when it comes to a hearing. You know, 19 that the -- you know, if I was really pushing hard on the question 20 of, you know, why are we even considering this as a modification 21 of significance, I might ask the Office of Planning and the 22 Applicant to explain better why this really is the best course 23 of action. But since there doesn't seem to be much appetite for 24 that anyway, I don't see a real benefit in going through that 25 extra work. So for me, I don't see a reason to delay a decision

1 on whether to set it down as a modification of significance, but 2 maybe, you know, for your questions, Mr. Chairman, you would.

And I agree -- I appreciate Mr. Ritting jumping in to remind us that we could hold the extension request in abeyance until we have the hearing and make decisions on that, on the changes, and I think actually that's advantageous for the Applicant anyway because then they get, you know, two years from the moment of that approval. Right? No, it's two years? Ms. Schellin, you're shaking your head.

10 MS. SCHELLIN: It's two years from the date that it 11 expires.

COMMISSIONER MAY: Oh, okay. Well, by the time we have 13 the hearing, then they might only need one year, or they might 14 need three years. Who knows? I just feel like it's better to put off that decision until we've had the hearing.

MS. SCHELLIN: And that's what they were expecting anyway was that it be held until final action be taken on the modification.

19 COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay. All right. Well, Mr.

20 Chairman, back to you.

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

12

16

17

18

Well, I'm glad they were 21 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. 22 expecting that because I will tell you, when I show up, I never 23 know what's going to happen because it's not predictable because 24 all of us have different ways of doing stuff. So I think that's 25 a good remedy for it though, and I would agree with holding off HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

1	on the time extension after the hearing, but let me hear from
2	others.
3	Commissioner Imamura?
4	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: That seems reasonable to me, $\frac{Mr}{r}$.
5	Chairman.
6	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.
7	And Vice Chair Miller?
8	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yeah, I support that approach.
9	Thank you.
10	CHAIRMAN HOOD: And did Archie want to weigh in on
11	that?
12	VICE CHAIR MILLER: He's long disappeared to another
13	computer and iPad or whatever.
14	CHAIRMAN HOOD: He's gone, okay. All right. Okay. So
15	we all are in agreeance so we will set this down and we will hold
16	the time extension in abeyance until after the modification of
17	significance.
18	Now, Mr. Ritting, can we do all that in one motion?
19	MS. SCHELLIN: You don't need a motion on the time
20	extension.
21	CHAIRMAN HOOD: We don't need a motion on it?
22	MR. RITTING: That's correct. The motion would be to
23	set down the modification for significance for a hearing.
24	CHAIRMAN HOOD: And we don't need to do any action on
25	the time extension, nothing, don't say anything?
	HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support

Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

Commented [DG23]: UYou missed a lot of extra spaces in this transcript, not even mentioning the spaces for each new paragraph, but a lot of these still had spaces just thrown in the middle of the transcript, like line 12 above, at least 15 extra spaces, and I have found numerous so far.

1	MR. RITTING: Correct.
2	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. All right. All right. Would
3	somebody like to make a motion to set this case down?
4	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yes.
5	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: I'll defer to Vice Chair Miller.
6	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Oh, I'm sorry. Okay. I would move
7	that the Zoning Commission set down Case No. 09-03H, Skyland
8	Holdings, LLC, modification of significance of a PUD at Square
9	5633, and ask for a second?
10	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Second.
11	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. So moved and properly second.
12	Any further discussion? Not hearing any, Ms. Schellin, would you
13	do a roll call vote please?
14	MS. SCHELLIN: Yes.
15	Commissioner Miller?
16	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yes.
17	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Imamura?
18	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Yes.
19	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Hood?
20	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes.
21	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner May? Commissioner May?
22	COMMISSIONER MAY: Sorry, I forgot it was muted. Yes.
23	MS. SCHELLIN: Yes. So the vote is four to zero to
24	one to set down Zoning Commission Case No. 09-03H as a contested
25	case, the minus one, again, being the third mayoral appointee
	HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia

1	seat, which is vacant.
2	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Ms. Schellin, do we have anything
3	else today?
4	MS. SCHELLIN: That is it since you're holding the time
5	extension in abeyance until this last case comes up for final
6	action.
7	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. The Zoning Commission will meet
8	again on July the 6th, 2023, and the topic is Zoning Commission
9	Case 20-31B, and this is American University. Also, the date has
10	not been finalized, we're still working you want to tune into
11	I believe, the do we have a meeting on the 31st, Ms.
12	Schellin? Do we I mean a hearing or anything on the 31st?
13	MS. SCHELLIN: Of July?
14	HAIRMAN HOOD: Yes.
15	MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, we do.
16	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. That didn't show on my okay.
17	All right. Will all of us be here on the 31st?
18	MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, you will.
19	COMMISSIONER MAY: Probably.
20	CHAIRMAN HOOD: I'm asking? Excuse me.
21	MS. SCHELLIN: Oh, sorry.
22	COMMISSIONER MAY: Probably.
23	MS. SCHELLIN: I have your schedule.
24	CHAIRMAN HOOD: All of us will be here on the 31st?
25	COMMISSIONER MAY: Probably. Yeah.
	HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868)

1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

1	CHAIRMAN HOOD: That ain't good enough.
2	MS. SCHELLIN: They have to be.
3	CHAIRMAN HOOD: The Zon
4	COMMISSIONER MAY: (Indiscernible) that later.
5	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. The goal is to do something on
6	the 31st, unless I hear something otherwise, I want to make sure
7	that everyone is here, please if you can, unless I get some other
8	information, which may have to detract from that date. Okay.
9	I've been working with this now for about a month, so this needs
10	to happen.
11	MS. SCHELLIN: The 27th is not good. We have someone
12	out until
13	CHAIRMAN HOOD: I've worked I've worked on that.
14	I'm not worried about that. So the 31st, I need everyone here.
15	I'd like to do a meeting
16	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: All right.
17	CHAIRMAN HOOD: on this case. I need everyone here
18	on the 31st.
19	COMMISSIONER MAY: All right. I'll come on the 31st.
20	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you. Does anybody have anything
21	else? All right. You all have a great 4th of July and stay away
22	from the fireworks. If not, make sure you use a long stick when
23	you're lighting the fireworks because we don't want any accidents
24	so.
25	All right. You all have a great one and I'll see you
	HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
	Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia

CERTIFICATION

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Public Hearing

Before: DCZC

Date: 06-29-23

Place: Teleconference

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

<u>Donna S. Smith</u> Donna S. Smith