1	GOVERNMENT OF
2	THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
3	+ + + +
4	ZONING COMMISSION
5	+ + + +
6	REGULAR PUBLIC HEARING
7	+ + + +
8	MONDAY
9	JULY 10, 2023
10	+ + + +
11	
12	The Public Hearing by the District of Columbia Zoning Commission convened via videoconference pursuant to notice at
13	4:00 p.m. EDT, Anthony Hood, Chairperson, presiding.
14	ZONING COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT:
15	ANTHONY J. HOOD, Chairperson
16	ROBERT MILLER, Vice Chairperson PETER MAY, Commissioner
17	JOSEPH S. IMAMURA, Commissioner
18	OFFICE OF ZONING STAFF PRESENT:
19	ELLA ACKERMAN, Secretary PAUL YOUNG, Data Specialist
20	-
21	OFFICE OF ZONING LEGAL DIVISION STAFF PRESENT:
22	DENNIS LIU, ESQUIRE
23	
24	The transcript constitutes the minutes from the
25	Public Hearing held on July 10, 2023.

1	
	T-A-B-L-E O-F C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S
2	Case No. 05-28AC
3	Parkside Residential, LLC 4
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

2 (4:00 p.m.)

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Good afternoon ladies and gentlemen. Today's date is July the 10th, 2023. We are convening and broadcasting this public hearing by videoconferencing. My name is Anthony Hood and I am joined by Vice Chair Miller, Commissioner May and Commissioner Imamura. We are also joined by the Office of Zoning's Staff Ms. Ella Ackerman and Mr. Paul Young who will be handling all of our virtual operations. Our Office of Zoning Legal Division counsel tonight is Mr. Dennis Liu. I will ask all others to introduce themselves at the appropriate time.

The virtual public hearing notice is available on the Office of Zoning's website. This proceeding is being recorded by a court reporter and the platforms used are Webex and YouTube Live. The video will be available on the Office of Zoning's website after the hearing. All persons planning to testify should have signed up in advance and will be called by name at the appropriate time.

At the time of sign-up, all participants will complete the Oath or Affirmation required by Subtitle Z 408.7. Accordingly, all those listening on Webex or by phone will be muted during the hearing and only those who have signed up to participate or testify will be unmuted at the appropriate time. When called, please state your name before providing your testimony. When you have finished speaking, please mute your

audio. If you experience difficulty accessing Webex or with your telephone call-in or have not signed up, then please call our OZ hotline number at 202-727-0789. If you wish to file written testimony or additional supporting documents during the hearing, then please be prepared to describe and discuss it at the time of your testimony.

The hearing will be conducted in accordance with provisions of 11 Z DCMR Chapter 4 as follows; we have preliminary matters, the Applicant's case -- they can do that probably in about 15 minutes, I didn't see any opposition but I do miss things -- report of other government agencies, report of the Department of Transportation and Office of Planning, report of the ANC, in this case the ANC is 7D I believe, anyway, and then we'll have rebuttal and closing by the Applicant. Again, the OZ hotline number is 202-727-0789 for any concerns during these proceedings.

The subject of this evening's hearing is Zoning Commission Case No. 05-28AC, Parkside Residential, LLC modification of significance of a second stage PUD on parcel 9A at Square 5056, Lots 865, 866 and 867. Again, today's date is July the 10th, 2023 and let me just make sure that I have the ANC right. I think it's 7D. Do I have that right?

MS. ACKERMAN: It's 7D.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: 7D. Oh, I got that right. Ms. Ackerman, do we have any preliminary matters?

MS. ACKERMAN: Yes. So this case was continued from

- 1 May 15th to allow the ANC and the Applicant more time to work
- 2 with the community and the Applicant is proffering two experts.
- 3 The first is Robert, I believe it's Schiesel, in transportation
- 4 | who has been previously accepted by the Commission as an expert,
- 5 | if you guys want to accept him into this case?
- 6 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Unless I hear any objections, we
- 7 | will continue the status with Mr. Schiesel. Okay.
- 8 MS. ACKERMAN: Also Brian Gafney is being proffered as
- 9 an, in architecture, if the Commission would accept him in this
- 10 case as well?
- 11 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Any objections? Not seeing any, we
- 12 | will continue that status.
- 13 MS. ACKERMAN: This is a one vote case for final action
- 14 and that's all of the preliminary matters.
- 15 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. If we can bring counsel and
- 16 everyone up.
- MS. ACKERMAN: So Liu, Dennis, he is coming back on.
- 18 He was having some audio issues but he said that he just needed
- 19 to sign off and come back on.
- 20 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. No, yes, that's fine
- 21 (indiscernible).
- 22 MS. ACKERMAN: Yes, just so you know if you guys need
- 23 him in the next few minutes.
- 24 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay, if we need him. All right.
- 25 All right. Mr. Lewis, are you leading this?

(Pause.) 1 2 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: You're on mute. (Pause.) 3 MR. LEWIS: (Indiscernible). 4 5 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Say it again? 6 MR. LEWIS: Can you hear us now? CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yes, we can hear you now. I was 7 8 about to say not hearing you, but go right ahead, Mr. Lewis. 9 MR. LEWIS: Thank you Chairman Hood and Members of the 10 Commission. For the record, I'm Dave Lewis from the law firm of Goulston Storrs, acting on behalf of the Applicant. Thank you 11 12 for your time this afternoon and for the opportunity to present 13 to you all. 14 Mr. Young, would you please pull up the presentation? 15 Thank you. 16 With me today is my colleague Lee Templin, our team Peter Farrell, Derick Mitchell, Mike Hodas from City Interests. 17 Mr. Farrell will testify on behalf of the Applicant. Also with 18 us are Brian Gafney and Desmond Grimball on behalf of the 19 20 architecture team Antunovich, Craig Atkins and Amanda Gaither of 21 Wiles Mensch (indiscernible) architectural team and they'll be 22 available to answer questions. Mr. Schiesel of Gorove Slade will 23 offer transportation comments too.

We're going to proceed with a shorter presentation this afternoon but of course we're happy to take any questions you

have. At the outset, we just wanted to express our appreciation to the Commission for being accommodating in terms of scheduling. We especially appreciate all of Ms. Schellin's and Ms. Ackerman's assistance in getting to this point today.

We're very happy to be presenting to you all this afternoon with support from the Office of Planning, DDOT and most recently ANC 7D. A lot has happened in Parkside in recent years. Several modifications and time extensions have been before the Commission recently but the last time this team actually appeared before you all for a public hearing was in 2017. So it's been a few years and we ask that you indulge us to take a little bit of time this afternoon to share with you all recent updates and provide more detail. In fact, we had a bit of a conversation about what it is that happened, going on at Parkside. There are lot of exciting updates to report.

Just to set the stage. Procedurally, this application is a modification of significance of a second stage PUD that was approved in 2017 for parcel 9A which is at the center of Parkside.

MR. Young, if you could advance to the next slide? The Commission may recall, and for the benefit of Commissioner Imamura, in 2017 the Commission approved five second stage PUDs at Parkside in the span of a couple of weeks. The second stage PUDs were for parcels 8, 9, 10, 11, and what is shown on the screen as parcel 12H2. Parcels 8, 9D, 10 and 11 were approved for residential uses at the time 9A and 12H2 were approved for

offices. The residential buildings have all moved forward and are at various stages of completion. The office buildings have not moved forward as a reflection of the overall office market.

This application seeks the modification of the second stage PUD to revert parcel 9A back to residential use and to (indiscernible) the promenade that sits between parcels 9A and 9B. The second stage approval for this site in 2017 had changed parcel 9A from residential office, but due to the challenges facing the office market and the unpredictability of how any office work would evolve, the Applicant no longer intends to develop the site for offices.

The second stage approval had also changed the promenade from pedestrian to vehicular but we are requesting now that the promenade be reverted to the original intended pedestrian only use. The Applicant is ready to move forward with this parcel containing parcel 9B, already has the building permit pending and the design for parcel 9A is at the advanced stage with the Applicant anticipating filing for a building permit later this year pending approval from the Zoning Commission.

Now, Commissioner Miller, I think you raised the question about affordable housing when the hearing opened in May. We provided a written response to that item earlier last month but we're happy to talk through it here as part of our presentation at the end of our conversation, if that would be

helpful to you.

I'll now turn it over to Mr. Farrell to provide some background on Parkside, specifically to this project as well as talk about the recent community engagement.

MR. FARRELL: Good afternoon, Commissioners. Mr. Young, could you advance to the next slide, please? Thank you.

My name is Peter Farrell. I'm the managing partner of City Interests Development Partners and we're the master developer of Parkside. I've been with this project since its inception when we acquired it back in late 2004 and my colleague, Mike Hodas and Derick Mitchell have been working on this project as well since then.

We're pleased to have the opportunity to present to you our progress, and if I were to hopefully leave you with one impression today that impression would be that the PUD process is working and the PUD itself is a success. We are indeed achieving the goals that we set out for ourselves when we first began this journey. We are developing and building a mixed income, mixed use transit oriented community with three major areas of focus contributing to our success.

The first area is a high performing educational Ladder (phonetic). Indeed, a child can attend school from infancy through high school graduation in four schools all achieving good if not great outcomes. There are five-year-olds attending at Educare. Elementary students attending at the Neval Thomas

Elementary School and middle and high school students attending at the Cesar Chavez public charter school.

The second area of focus that we set out was to have a housing continuum providing housing for people who come to Parkside with choices and options that meet their needs as life presents them one set of circumstances. But as indeed those circumstances change, we wanted to have housing that could meet their needs and allow them to reside in our community for a long term establishing deep roots and creating what we think is a more vibrant energetic active and engaged community membership, and the third area of focus for us was to have access to healthcare, green space, retail and other amenities that most residents in the District of Columbia take for granted but indeed in Ward 7 and in Parkside specifically, these amenities and these options just simply did not exist.

So while it was perhaps an ambitious but laudable development and goal, to be sure I think we're getting there and I'd like to share with you the progress of this PUD and I hope that you'll agree with me when we're done with our presentation.

Could you go to the next slide, please. Are we there? Great. Okay.

Community engagement. It's the backbone of what's made the success of Parkside possible. As you'll see on the right hand side of this slide, that is a list of all of the meetings that we've attended just in the last three years and in fact

what's outlined in the yellow box there are the number of meetings or letters (phonetic) that we've had in 2023 alone. It may not be a record but it is for us. From 2020 to 2022 we attended 25 ANC 7D monthly meetings in a row and I think, you know, it's a testimony to how we got started back in 2004 and 2005, that we've got community engagement. We have a list of all the stakeholders that we've talked to over the years in the middle box that you see there and in fact this effort resulted on Friday night with the ANC 7D Commissioners voting unanimously to support the zoning activity and we think that that (indiscernible) portends greater things going forward.

We recently supplemented our CBA, our Community Benefits Agreement, as a direct result of conversations that we've had with the community and we're happy to do so as we've found the consensus of the community after we challenged them to come to us with a cohesive and comprehensive request for their community needs. Security lighting, more investment in the buffer with Pepco and the creation of an oversight committee to talk to us more directly about the construction, development and the process are the results of those requests and, like I said, we're happy to have them and we think that it's going to afford all of us a much more transparent and easier way to communicate.

Next slide, please. Other community engagement and things that apply to our PUD requirements but things that we've taken on as a personal commitment to the community. We sponsor

movie nights. We sponsor exercise days and play dates for our community younger residents and we do this and pay for this through an association that we created that all of the property owners at Parkside contribute to and it creates what I think is a greater sense of community for these people and greater ownership and it's been a lot of fun to do and I think one of the great benefits that this has done is we have a farmer's market that exists there twice a week bringing fresh food and vegetables to what today is still considered a food desert and that's changing with the introduction of retail, for more retail spaces in the buildings that we are delivering and the one that's the subject of today's meeting.

Next slide, please. Okay, if we can go to next slide after this. Okay. So what kind of progress have we made? Everything you see in blue has been built, is under construction or permitted. One building's permitted, two are under construction, the rest have all been constructed and built and are occupied. That's what you see in yellow. It's subject to a stage two PUD approval and that what you see in pink are subject to the underlying stage one PUD that we have in place.

Next slide, please. This is a picture of Victory Housing which is a senior affordable facility, 98 units, providing housing for those making 30 percent to 60 percent of AMI.

Next slide. This is a picture of Unity Health. This

is a primary care clinic that offers primary care, mental wellness, dental services to our community. It was the first primary care clinic built east of the Anacostia River in 40 years and this was done in a joint venture with the D.C. Primary Care Association. In 2014 we also built a community green which is a one acre development of a pedestrian park in the middle of our project. In 2016 we built 100 townhomes in a joint venture with K. Hovnanian and in 2017 we delivered 186 affordable units also at 30 percent and 60 AMI in a joint venture with the Gilbane (phonetic) (indiscernible).

Next slide, please. These are the townhomes that we built in 2020.

Next slide. This is a picture of the pedestrian bridge that was built and it literally leaves Parkside and lands on the Minnesota Metro stop which is the orange line and we, as the developers, contributed \$3 million to its construction.

Next slide, please. More of the pedestrian bridge.

Next slide. This is the green buffer that I referenced earlier that we've invested almost \$85,000 in and we will be investing more money into it and augmenting it and supplementing it as a buffer for our community to the Pepco Power plant which is next door.

Next slide. This is the Vesta. This is the last building that we delivered. It was delivered a year ago March. I'm happy to report that it's 95 percent occupied and 97 percent

leased and I would say bragging a bit that if you didn't know you were in Ward 7 you certainly wouldn't know it if you were standing in the building. It's really a super project. It's been very well received by the rental community and I think it tests a new paradigm to the standard and quality of the projects that we hope to be bringing on at Parkside going forward.

Next slide, please. Okay. In re-use (phonetic) as this is titled. This is a temporary park that we have built on parcel 12 that we thought would serve as an excellent amenity for our younger residents at Parkside and their parents as a place to gather and play, and meet as a community. Ultimately this will be relocated somewhere else at Parkside or be integrated into the ultimate designs for parcel 12. But in the interim our community members have a place to play and gather.

Next slide, please. This is called Jayde. It is under construction today. It's 118 units of multifamily and retail space. This is really important. It's the first building introducing (indiscernible) more retail space to Parkside. This will deliver in October of 2023.

Next slide, please. This is a rendering of parcel 10 which is also under construction, also multifamily over retail and this building will deliver in March of 2024.

Next slide, please. So in summary, we've delivered 600 units, 284 affordable units at 30 percent and 60 percent AMI. We have 230 units under construction and 47 of them are workforce

units. We have 84 units in permit but 16 of those have workforce units and we 127 units pending today's outcome with 26 of those as workforce units. We've delivered a 43,000 square foot health clinic. We have 15,000 feet of retail under construction with another 13,000 feet of retail in permitting and pending the outcome of today's hearing.

Next slide, please. This is our pipeline. I've gone through most of this but most importantly I would point to parcel 12 as our next area of focus and design and pre-development activity will be commencing in 2024 with our hopes to be bringing more projects online in '25 and '26 going forward.

Next slide, please. So it's been a long time but I thought this slide would be a gentle reminder of the public benefits that we have contributed to Parkside and the community residents. I've talked about the affordable units. It's also important to note that 125 units are three bedroom or f our bedroom units meeting a need of families that we see as a demographic that's very important for Parkside. We indeed did design and develop a one acre park as the center of the development and that was done through a series of design charrettes involving the community and giving them what they asked for which was a quiet place in the middle of the park where they could gather and relax.

We contributed \$3 million to the pedestrian bridge. We've created a \$100,000 scholarship fund for residents of

Parkside to use for vocational training, pending high school, college, what have you. We've also contributed \$30,000 to the renovation of a community benefits room, \$25,000 for transportation enhancements, \$15,000 to help mitigate some congestion at the main intersection of Hayes and Kenilworth Terrace and as I noted earlier about \$85,000 in landscaping to the buffer from Pepco.

Next slide, please. These are just some images, and I'll go through quickly. These are the images of the affordable, that's the Grove on the right and Victory Housing on the left.

Next one, please. These are the 25 townhomes and workforce units.

Next. This is a breakdown of those workforce units and in the various spaces that they occupy.

Next slide. This is the specific public benefits that are associated with parcel 9A and that is the 26 of the 127 units would be earmarked for workforce. You have 8.5 thousand square feet of retail to which we hope to attract an urban grocer. We have a quarter acre promenade in between parcels 9A and 9B. We have 19 unit Ca-Bi station for bikes. We're building to a LEED gold standard with solar panels and electrical vehicle charging in the building. We have a pronounced pro-active local hiring and job training outreach and we have new ANC and resident requested benefits which I enunciated earlier and are subject to the CBA agreement and the letter of support that we received from

our ANC.

Next, please. Next slide. This is a rendering of the promenade in between parcel 9A, the subject of today's meeting on the left and 9B which is under permit right now.

Next slide. Next slide. I would want to note that we do have to remove five heritage trees from parcel 9 for construction. We're lucky that we are going to be able to relocate them across the street to our community park, not at a small expense but a rather hefty one, \$1.7 million, but we've been working very closely with the D.C. Arborists regarding starting preparing the trees for the relocation and that should take place later this summer and we have a very good place to relocate them.

Next, please. Employment at Parkside. Mike or Derrick, do you want to talk about the work that we've been doing with TNB Associates? Mike Hodas?

MR. MITCHELL: This is Derick. Can you hear me?

MR. FARRELL: Derick?

MR. MITCHELL: Yes.

MR. FARRELL: Okay.

MR. MITCHELL: Yes. Great. Yes, we've been working with TNB Associates. They're a minority small firm that's been doing our work for us outreach and outreach to potential employees as well as potential subcontractors on the project. We've been working with them since 2017 on various projects from Vesta all

the way to now parcels 9A and B.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

With that we hold, on a regular basis, meetings with the subcontractors to evaluate potential contractors for the projects and we have been successful in having subcontractors working on many of our projects. parcel 11 we have several local subcontractors that have started work on parcel 11 and ventured off and worked on parcel 8 and parcel 10 and they are a part of the process where we're working on parcels 9A and B and they've been involved in the preconstruction aspects of that.

So with TNB we've reached out to subcontractors on a regular and it's helpful because what that has done has created a kind of a projection where they start on one project, get more familiar with the job and expand opportunities for their business and businesses surrounding in the community.

MR. FARRELL: Thank you, Derick. Next slide, please.

So I know that that was a lot of background but I think it's an important context in which to consider the request that we're making of the Commission for parcel 9A. In summary, 9A has a quarter acre promenade, 127 units, eight and a half thousand square feet of commercial space, 52 parking spaces, 3.2 FAR and 82.5 feet height and 57.2 percent lot occupancy.

Next slide, please. This is a rendering of 9A on the left and 9B on the right.

Next slide, please. And this really formulates the

summary of the modification that we're requesting for the stage two PUD that was approved in 2017. Most impressive I think is that we're changing the vehicular promenade to a pedestrian only promenade giving our residents more meeting space, more green space, and I think creating a really good opportunity for the retailers who will find it to be able to take advantage and provide a rewarding (phonetic) outdoor experience. We are changing the use from office to residential. There are minor changes in retail square footage, it went down just a bit. We have a reduction in the amount of parking due to the change of use. We have a minor change to the height from 90 feet to 82 and a half. We have a minor increase to the footprint from 55 percent to 57 percent.

Next slide, please. This I think really points out the experience for the pedestrian who will just have a lot more connectivity in the process (phonetic) of the promenade one side to the other and I think it gives the retailers in both buildings an opportunity to feed off of each other and create a better place of gathering and opportunities for them to be successful.

And that concludes my comments. I'll turn it over to Brian Gafney, our lead architect from Antunovich & Associates, and thank you very much for your time.

MR. GAFNEY: Next slide, please. So back to this, I think what we want and what Peter was also alluding to is that often times the building is the center point placed on axis, the

focus, the statement of a particular site and in our case we kind of use that original vehicular lane between 9A and 9B. We actually flipped that on its head and decided to use the absence of a building to actually become the focal point of our project allowing that ground plane really contributing -- the absence of a building actually contributes to the pedestrians, the neighborhood, the community and the City and our building actually acts as a backdrop for this kind of centerpiece.

Next slide, please. So as you can see on the bottom you have Kenilworth Terrace. On the top you have Parkside Place and on the left side of that pedestrian promenade is 9A. You can see we kind of flank it so it is actually the crossroads of two major intersections, Kenilworth Terrace being a retail corridor for the community as well as that north/south on the page, the pedestrian access connecting the bridge to the metro to the south and connecting to the park to the north on the page.

Next slide. And you can see here this is an example of the original buildings on the left and our new proposed buildings on the right. Previously it was a 90 foot office building, very solid, occupying the entirety of the site where our new building is actually 82 feet tall. We stepped back on the Parkside Place side to start to diminish and scale down the building as we make our way towards the more residential components of the neighborhood.

We also stepped back the center of the building. You

can see it right in the middle and the lower roofs and the majority of the upper roofs have vegetative roofs as well as the mechanical screen on the roof not only shields the views of all the mechanical equipment on the roof but actually acts as the structure and support for a large PV array on the roof.

Next slide, please. So this is the elevation on Kenilworth Terrace and you can see 9A is on your left. We have two big projections on this elevation and what we tried to do is actually start to break the mass of the building down. So we actually introduced a three story and as well as a two story band of masonry to help give some tactility to ground the building to make it a little bit more pedestrian friendly and to the scale of a pedestrian.

Next slide. And that brick wraps the corner and we chose to actually, we wanted to step the brick down and slowly try to reduce the scale of the building making these feel like a series of smaller buildings and not so much a great urban wall against that beautiful green pedestrian promenade and we separated the kind of the stepping with what we call the hyphen which is that green piece right in the middle that actually connects the green roof of the recess above with one of the rainwater structures down below.

Also, if you can go to the next slide, please. So this is a rendering kind of showing you're standing actually in the community park that Peter referred to looking back at the building

and you can see the stepping of the masonry, that rhythmic nature and grounding the building as well as, the reason we wanted to step the masonry was that it actually aligns with the corners of the row houses beyond so we're kind of making a reference to both the existing neighborhood as well as trying to just kind of bring the scale up or down as we make our way through that promenade.

Next slide, please. This is a rendering actually looking north across Kenilworth Terrace and this one it shows the alignment all of the buildings along the side of the street are roughly the same height so us bringing it down to 82 foot six actually aligns with 10, 9A as well as 8. I also want to take - the materials that we've used, it's a very simple yet sophisticated pallet. We use a light warm gray brick masonry base with a series of corrugated metal and EIFS textures above and that corrugated metal and EIFS actually kind of slides down feeling like it comes down be hind the masonry and lands at the ground plane. We've accentuated things like the bay projections as well as the residential entry off the promenade with a nice warm exterior gray wood paneling system to accentuate those moments.

Next slide, please. And so here's that material pallet. On the left you have that light gray brick. No, 3, 4 and 5, 3 is the brick metal, the window colors, the cornices, 4 is the corrugated metal and 5 is that EIFS and the idea is that they're actually very similar in color and we actually use the

texture to give dynamic and articulate the elevation.

Additionally, that No. 2 up there is the wood texture on the exterior. No. 6 is actually below the store fronts and along some of the bioretention and No. 7 is actually a brown faced block that borders the alleyway on the west side.

Next slide, please. This is an area rendering. You can our building in the upper right there. You can see the connection to the park, the pedestrian promenade basically dives into the center line of the park and the pedestrian bridge beyond.

Next slide, please. And this is looking from the other angle. You can kind of see the masonry turning the corner. We also turn that masonry down the alley so it doesn't feel so paper thin and it really gives kind of a grounding mass to the building. We set back, you can see the setback of the seventh story along the Parkside elevation as well as the small amenity terrace and you can see that the majority of the upper roofs are vegetative and there's the PV panel you can see on the mechanical street.

Next slide. And this is the ground floor plan and as Peter alluded to, a main focus for us was actually to create the most amount of retail space on the ground floor of this building as possible and so what we ended up doing was we shifted the entry lobby kind of further into the promenade. We have some small amenity spaces like a fitness center, leasing centers, business centers, mail rooms, things of that nature and we pushed that closer to the Parkside elevation, the more residential, and

allowed that retail space to really dominate the Kenilworth Avenue side as well as the promenade and we have all of our loading and mechanics, emergency generators, fire pumps along the alleyway.

Next slide, please. And this is a shot of the parking down below. As Peter had said we have 52 parking spaces. We meet the requirement. We have 47 long term bike parking spaces as well down here and it's actually linked with the adjacent garage of 9B.

Next slide. This is a snapshot of the workforce housing distribution and we deliberately tried to make sure we weren't stacking any units. We tried to distribute it across unit types as well as locations within the building so that there wasn't a single stack within the building that was considered workforce housing. It is distributed evenly throughout the building.

Next slide, please. And so, again, we kind of end at the promenade being the central point, the activity, that generous space to give back to the community and I think if you go to the next slide, I'd like to kind of further dive into the design of the promenade itself.

Next slide. And so you can see here Kenilworth Terrace is at the bottom, Parkside Place is at the top. The pedestrian bridge to the metro stop would be to the bottom of the page and we actually did kind of go from a more café-centric urban tree canopy where we have a tree grove surrounded by a series of small

benches and potentially some loose seating into the middle portion that is actually a green lawn where you can have movie nights or activities or just sit out there if you want to. It's just kind of a small little relief from the hustle and the bustle of the city, and then as you get towards Parkside Place it is heavily vegetative with rain gardens and the tree canopy.

Just also I'd like to point out that we've actually pulled the building back on the Parkside elevation actually to give a little bit more generosity to the sidewalk so we could get the appropriate tree pit size as well as sidewalk width and we've coordinated that with DDOT as well, and on the Kenilworth side as well, other than the retail entrances, we actually have a green buffer between our building, the sidewalk space and we were able to kind of continue the tree pits along Kenilworth Terrace because we all know D.C. in the summertime, we're all looking for a little bit of shade and so, go to the next slide.

So these are sections as you're making your way from Kenilworth to the park and Parkside. So you can see this is kind of the more café-centric urban plaza where we have tree planters with small benches flanking them and small decorative trees adding a shade canopy.

And then go to the next slide, and then this is that that center long which kind of allows you to open in between the building. This is also where we set back 9A's elevation so it's actually trying to get as much light, a little bit of a warm

place in the middle of the site, and then if you go to the net slide. And then this is that more heavily vegetative rain garden that is closer to Parkside.

And so now I'd like to turn it over to Rob Schiesel from Gorove Slade to talk a little bit about some of the transportation.

MR. SCHIESEL: Good evening, Commissioners. If we could advance to slide 53 of the package. Just two more.

In the interest of time I'm going to rest on the record with the traffic statement that's in there. So I'm going to spend my short amount of time today talking about something that's not in the record and that is just an update on something DDOT has been working on over the last, oh, it's been a long time. Many years including back during the first PUD this idea of a connection over Benning Road and Parkside that's been discussed.

There's been studies spearheaded by DDOT over the years and then just a few months ago they confirmed to us that they have funding to go ahead and actually go through with this project. It is in the very early planning stages but I thought since this topic has come up in prior Parkside hearings that it was worth an update and with that, I'm going to end my short amount of testimony and happy to answer any questions.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you very much. Mr. Schiesel, let me go right back to you. Is this about when we talked about a congestion that happens over in that area, is this what DDOT

is now had the funding to try to alleviate? Is that what you're referring to?

MR. SCHIESEL: Yes. The idea of a connection from Anacostia Avenue over the Benning Road. We've talked about it over the years. There's a road that currently services some District and Federal uses that gets pretty close, a few blocks closer than the Parkside area and, you know, we looked at maps before and thought it would be a good idea to extend this road we all agreed, but because of the various stakeholders and property owners it just took a while for everybody to congeal and the whole project to get going. But I wanted to give an update there.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Well, thank you for that update. Let me also thank the team, Mr. Lewis and others, the team that presented and then we'll see if we have any questions or comments. We appreciate it.

Let's see if we have any questions or comments. Commissioner May?

COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes. The question I have is just there were a whole slew of conditions that came from the ANC from their most recent review. Have you agreed to all of those conditions?

MR. LEWIS: Conditions from the ANC.

MR. FARRELL: Yes. We ultimately agreed to all of the conditions but one which was ==

MR. GAFNEY: (Indiscernible) the letter.

MR. FARRELL: Yes, I think we -- yes, everything that's in that letter we've agreed to, correct, and that's why I signed it.

COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay. The -- I'm glad to get the update on the Anacostia connection. It's a little vague though, that it's the time line is three to eight years out. So maybe if we have somebody from DDOT they can give us something a little bit more specific than three to eight years because it seems, you know, three, four or five years makes sense or just it's a weird range. So I'd like to know more about that but I guess we're assuming that somebody from DDOT is here and can answer that.

I don't really have any questions on the development of the design of the project. It was -- there were some letters in the record having to do with while there's some folks nearby who are opposed to this change and certainly I understand the rationale regarding from them; right? It's good to have a diversity of uses instead of changing it from office use to residential use isn't necessarily a benefit, however, that's current economic conditions. I certainly can understand why an office building might not be a viable option in this circumstance or at least not immediately a viable option.

The other concern about had to do with home ownership versus apartments and I'm wondering if you could talk to that and why it's not viable to do condominiums in this circumstance as

opposed to just straight up rental apartments.

MR. FARRELL: Sure. We tested the market for condominiums. We took a plan for condominiums to the financing market and we also took it to the construction markets and at the end of the day there was no construction financing available and the main reason is that the ultimate pricing of condominiums would have been so expensive that it would have been more expensive to own a condominium than it would be to own a single family home in this location and with the increasing construction costs and the increase in financing expenses, lenders just were not prepared to lend money in order for us to build condominiums.

COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay. And so was this a topic of discussion with the ANC as well?

MR. FARRELL: Yes. In fact, we -- I believe the first time we told them we were pivoting from condos to apartments was back in 2021 at an ANC meeting and, as you know, it's only gotten more expensive and the financing market's only become crazier since that period of time. But, yes, we talked to the ANC at every meeting about it that it's been raised by them or by us. Yes, sir.

COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay. And clearly the ANC has concluded or has agreed with your conclusion at this point because they voted to support unanimously?

MR. LEWIS: Yes. Parcel 9B was actually the building that was consequated as condo originally which was residential.

Obviously this was office and is now being converted but Commissioner Felder is the ANC Chair for 7D and our Single Member District Representative is available on the hearing here if he needs to speak.

But like Peter said, we've sat considerable time at the meetings we've held over the last couple of months talking about the condos and the pricing disparity that we see and I believe some community members who have those concerns. We've had conversations with them about withdrawing that opposition based on the recent additional conversations we've had and support letter that was received. So it is possible that that ultimately may be withdrawn from the record as well.

COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay. One last question. In one of the, I can't remember where I read it, but there was an interest in making sure that the "green wall" was maintained and is that, I mean, is that the same as the green buffer area that you refer to?

MR. FARRELL: It is.

COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes, okay.

MR. FARRELL: Yes, sir. It is.

21 COMMISISONER MAY: That's what I thought. All right.

22 Thank you. That's it for me.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you. Commissioner Imamura?

24 COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Just

25 to follow up on Commissioner May's first question about the

conditions listed by ANC. I was curious, does that also include, and it sounds like it does, limiting construction during certain hours?

MR. LEWIS: (Indiscernible) construction hours?

MR. FARRELL: Yes. We agreed that construction would take place between 7 a.m., and 7 p.m., Monday through Friday and 7 a.m., to 3 p.m., on Saturdays and we would be able -- and we would provide notice to the community whenever we thought we would be working on Saturdays. Obviously we don't always know right away, weather is finicky. But yes, we agreed to that.

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Okay. Great. I noticed you also mentioned the relocation of the five heritage trees and I think there was a letter in opposition that actually highlighted that. I'm just curious in terms of like it's the location for those five heritage trees, was that determined by the arborists or who determined the location for those trees?

MR. FARRELL: Also we looked at a whole host of alternative locations, none of which ended up being available to us. So the default location ended up being the park across the street. We did in fact present a plan to the D.C. Arborists. The D.C. Arborists loved that plan and we've been working on the relocation and the preparation of those trees with the D.C. Arborists all along that process to relocate them.

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Okay. Great. And in that same letter by Artilie Wright I think there's some concern about

(indiscernible) that comply with the community benefits. Is that a letter in the record that you've read and are familiar with?

MR. FARRELL: It is a letter that I'm familiar with and have read and we provided the ANC, and we're happy to provide to you a summary agreement of all of the Parkside community benefits, the progress to date that we've made on them. It's here in my hands. That which is outstanding is only outstanding because didn't achieve it until certain milestones like a certificate of occupancy for the building being completed and the like, and we have since modified and supplemented that Community Benefits Agreement with the agreements that we agreed to, the conditions that we agreed to in the letter that we submitted with the ANC's report for this action.

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: All right. Terrific. That'd be great. And then my last question is that DOEE recommended that you change your LEED rating from version 4 new construction to version 4 multifamily mid-rise. Is that something you'd consider or maybe I missed it in the record?

MR. FARRELL: You want to answer that (indiscernible)
Brian?

MR. LEWIS: Brian Gafney (indiscernible) talk about the LEED.

MR. FARRELL: Brian Gafney, can you address that?

MR. GAFNEY: I think what we have done is we have aligned with what the LEED requirements have been to the two

adjacent buildings. We haven't gone through a full analysis to determine if making that shift from one to the other is problematic. What we were trying to do was maintain consistency across all of the submissions.

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: I certainly appreciate that approach but you said you haven't done that yet so does that mean that you plan to do that, evaluate whether what issues might occur or what it would take to shift to the MMI?

MR. GAFNEY: It's absolutely possible for us to do. I don't think it is going to be helpful in any way. Is there any particular benefit that you are looking to achieve with that shift?

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: I was trying to ascertain whether you evaluated that DOEE's recommendation, so I think your answer is what I was looking for. So I think with that, Mr. Chairman, I'm satisfied with the answers that I've received. I certainly would encourage the Applicant to evaluate moving to MMR and then also I did note that the 25 ANC meetings I would just recommend too, in the future and for the general public, that's certainly significant but I'd also include that you make data driven decisions here so include the number of people too, the touchpoints that you had in all 25 meetings.

So with that, Mr. Chairman, I give back.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you. Vice Chair Miller, any questions or comments?

VICE CHAIR MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank you to the City Interests Applicant team for all of your work on this project for a very long time and I appreciate that we, I didn't realize that we hadn't had a public hearing on the project I think he said in six years maybe or something like that. I know many modifications have come up but not a modification of significance maybe like this one.

So that was useful to see pictorially and then for the public all of the progress that has been made in delivering this new community basically for Ward 7 with the healthcare clinic and all the workforce affordable and market rate housing, the retail that's there, all the struggles that this site has had since its inception and it's only gotten more challenging as it has even for the entire world and including our downtown D.C., and let alone downtown Ward 7 which (indiscernible), well.

But it was good to see the pedestrian bridge pictorial. Again, it just reminds me of all the conversations I had about that bridge when I was in the Mayor's office and the Council chairman's office. It's good to see it there and the connection that you're now, the other connection that you're trying to establish.

And I do appreciate also the response to my question,

I guess at setdown -- I can't remember if that's where it was
- about what the affordable housing requirement was and the inclusionary zoning requirements. You provided a lot of

information on that in the record, particularly at Exhibit 34 dated June 20 and that was a very useful helpful reminder to me and all of us about what the commitments that have been made and the commitments going forward and how this is delivering a truly mixed income community, and seeing the design, the materials, the LEED gold, it's all very commendable.

So I don't know if I really have any questions at this time. I appreciate the community engagement and the additional time we gave to that with 7D and your agreement to address the conditions that the ANC in their most recent action asked for.

So, no, I don't have any questions, Mr. Chairman. I'm pleased to see this Parkside project with all its fits and starts and changes moving forward in a positive way and I thank my colleagues for their questions which were helpful and the answers that you provided as well.

So thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you. I too want to -- can everybody mute because I, for some reason I hate to hear myself so I know others may hate to hear me as well -- but I do want to join the chorus of those who are giving accolades to this project and I appreciate the actual time that we've spent and I'm trying to rehash some of the things I've heard.

So I want to go back to you, I think it was Mr. Gafney, either Mr. Gafney or Mr. Lewis, I can't remember. No, no, it was Mr. Farrell.

The letters in opposition, they raised some good points but some of them I think things have changed. I don't know if anyone here is in opposition. I haven't been notified yet, but I'm just curious to ask. I know with Covid, and I don't want to always blame everything on Covid but it's real and failure of government office space, things are done differently now. Incomes are done differently now. Financing is done differently now and I'm not saying anything. We all of them already know whether you're pro, con, in favor, not in favor, whatever, but when I look at some of the comments that were made in May and it goes back to Mr. Imamura, when you mentioned that those letters in opposition, or someone mentioned that, may have changed, we may expect to see a change, what gives you that sense of direction? Is it that you've talked to the folks who were in opposition or (indiscernible) mostly opposed to everything we did over there and so that goes back for years. I know they're very aggressive. I remember when (indiscernible) I just remember the years that we've been working on this and I know we've moved but we knew this would take some time.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So could you just elaborate? Just (indiscernible) that question for me. What makes you think that the opposition is going to go away?

MR. FARRELL: I'd be happy to.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Mr. Lewis or Mr. Hodas, somebody, okay.

MR. FARRELL: Yes.

MR. HODAS: It was me who --

MR. FARRELL: Yes. It was my colleague, Mike Hodas, who mentioned it and the reason that we believe that is because we were told that that was in fact going to happen and we have met with anybody who has any opposition or any stake in this game that we could. There was one individual that nobody seems to know and so we haven't been able to track him down but we literally met with one-on-one or in the community membership meetings with everybody who has raised a concern for, and including those who had originally offered opposition but have now agreed that they are not opposed to the site and suggested that they were supportive of what we were doing.

MR. LEWIS: Yes. And I'll just (indiscernible) that. I mean, yes, we've done all these public meetings with the community and with the ANC and Commissioner Felder. We've had, you know, a bunch of conversations to make sure that we were heading in the right direction in between the meetings and so that's where that statement comes from.

You know, we've been spending a lot of time, you know, trying to make sure that we understood the community's point of view. Obviously, the ANC meetings, you know, are important but, you know, one of the things that is continuing some of the SMD meetings on a monthly basis moving forward just to make that we're touching the residents who may not attend the SMD meetings

monthly, and so things like that are the reason. You know, former Commissioner Artilie Wright is one of the opposition letters and, yes, she has been tough but, you know, she's somebody who has been at almost all of these meetings so she's very plugged in. She understands what's going on and so I think we've had a very fair back and forth conversation. I know she's on the call and is available to discuss fully her opposition if she's allowed to be accessed which I'm not exactly sure how this works from the Zoning Commission's perspective, but as we've been saying it's definitely something that we've been spending a lot of time on and very focused on the community outreach lately, so even more so than before.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. I really appreciate the answers and I'm looking forward to hearing testimony from the But one of the things as I read through this case and I public. read the letters in opposition and I know, one of the things that gave me a comfort level is Chairperson Felder. I know he's not here in this case but I know his work across the City. always said about that young man and he's up and coming, so he's, I know he's working with their community and I know he dealt with both pros and cons. This is not something that I'm just saying because he may be listening, I've seen him in operation and when you see young people do so much encouraging now, and if he's listening I want him to continue doing what he's doing and work with the community, and I know he took both sides and when I saw this letter and I saw I was glad to hear the Applicant took the seven conditions or whatever.

But let me just ask this though since I'm saying this. The one about limiting construction. Isn't that D.C., line, isn't it seven to seven? Anybody?

MR. LEWIS: Yes. We had (indiscernible) instances on parcels 8 and 10 where we started early. We coordinated with Commissioner Felder on that. Most we did was a couple of days when we had concrete pours that needed to get, you know, the truck needed to get in early to get started. But, yes, other than that the seven to seven is --

MR. FARRELL: Typically the norm.

MR. LEWIS: Yes (indiscernible).

MR. FARRELL: And seven through to seven.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Seven through to seven. And when you do holidays you've got to get a special permit and all that, so okay. All right. So that's what gives me a comfort level. I will wait to hear from others but I thank Commissioner Felder and his team. Like I said, I know his work. I'm not sure who the other Commissioners are but I know of his work in other issues, not zoning, across the City and I can tell you he's up and coming and I'll leave it at that. So continue to work with him. Don't disappoint 7D because if you do that's going to disappoint me. So anyway. Let's see if we have, and I'm waiting to hear from others as well.

Let's see if we have any further follow-up questions 1 2 or comments. Okay. Not seeing any, Ms. Ackerman, is Chairperson Felder or anyone from the ANC here to do cross-examination only 3 4 at this time? 5 MS. ACKERMAN: The, let me see how (indiscernible). 6 Wendell Felder I believe his name was just on. 7 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. 8 MS. ACKERMAN: I do not see him anymore. Oh, here he 9 is. 10 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. ANC CHAIRPERSON FELDER: Good evening everyone. 11 Mr. 12 Chairman, thank you for those kind words. 13 CHAIRPESRON HOOD: Let me ask you this? Do you need 14 anything? 15 ANC CHAIRPERSON FELDER: No. I'm all good to go 16 (indiscernible). 17 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: All right. 18 ANC CHAIRPERSON FELDER: (Indiscernible). CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Do you have any cross, Chairman 19 20 Felder? Any cross of the Applicant? 21 ANC CHAIRPERSON FELDER: No. I will say, and I won't 22 be before you all long because I'm a little under the weather, but I will say that, you know, I appreciate the work of City 23 I know Peter, Mike and Derick have gone above and 24 Interests. beyond recently to try to meet with the community to make sure 25

the residents are satisfied with the work that City Interests is still doing.

You know, when we talk about communities across the District that are diverse, that have neighborhood-serving amenities, that have schools, that has the healthcare facility, I think Parkside exemplifies that. So I do appreciate the work of City Interests and I appreciate the many residents that have run with their sleeves up and provided comments and feedback during this process. We know that this is a difficult process, especially when we're trying to, we see a community has change in it but also taking into consideration the many residents who've lived there for decades. So once again I appreciate all the work both from the community and from City Interests to get us to the kind of space that we are right now.

So with that, Mr. Chairman, I just wanted to go on the record for saying that but I have no other comments, I mean, no further comments, unless there's any questions for me.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Thank you, Chairman Felder. Let me see if there are any questions and I allow that, I know that was just time for him to do questions and I'm saying this for the record, I allowed that. He said he was not feeling well, not that I knew (indiscernible) but I allowed that because he probably is going to go off and take care of his health. So I'll leave it at that.

Any questions or comments. Commissioner May?

Commissioner Imamura? 1 2 COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: CHAIRPERSON HOOD: And Vice Chair Miller? 3 VICE CHAIR MILELR: No. Thank you Chair Felder for all 4 5 your work in the community. 6 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Let me ask you, Mr. Chair, are you 7 going to stay or do you want me to just read the letter, because 8 I know you said you weren't feeling well? 9 ANC CHAIRPERSON FELDER: I mean, if you need me to stay 10 on I'll stay on, but if not then I will hop off. 11 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. I'm going to read your letter 12 and we heard your comments, and I think that's fine. Let me see 13 if we have any cross from the Applicant? Mr. Lewis? 14 MR. LEWIS: No. Thank you. 15 CHAIRPESRON HOOD: No comments. Okay. Chairman 16 Felder, thank you for coming down and I will read your letter 17 and hope you feel better. Thank you. 18 ANC CHAIRPERSON FELDER: appreciate it. Thank you 19 everyone on the call. 20 CHAIRPESRON HOOD: All right. Let's go to, let me pull 21 him up. Okay. Ackerman, do we have anyone from other ${\tt Ms.}$ 22 government agencies like OAG or anybody?

23 MS. ACKERMAN: Let me check. Jennifer Steingasser is 24 here from OP.

25

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yes. I'm going to call for them.

I just wondered about other agencies besides DDOT and OP?

MS. ACKERMAN: No, no.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. So do we have anyone from DDOT? We do have a letter, as Commissioner Imamura already alluded to, from -- mentioned some things from DOEE which I think he's covered adequately. Do we have anyone from the Department of Transportation?

MS. ACKERMAN: No, I do not see anyone.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. And let's go to the Office of Planning. Not sure if it's Ms. Steingasser or Mr. Lawson.

MS. ACKERMAN: It's Ms. Steingasser.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay.

MR. LAWSON: Hi. I'm sorry. Hi. This is Joel Lawson with the Office of Planning. I'll be representing us at this one.

I'm going to keep this very brief. OP recommends that the Commission approve this application for a modification of consequence to an improved second stage PUD. This would allow residential use at approximately 8,500 square feet of ground floor retail space. As you know, the course of modification would revert the permitted use and development of the subject site back to the use that it was originally proposed to be.

On balance, the proposal is not inconsistent with the comprehensive plan including when viewed through a racial equity lens and with that, I'll be available for questions.

Our two reports are in the record of course. 1 Our 2 hearing report and our setdown report, and those certainly provide a lot more detail on our analysis. But as a summary, I 3 think I'm done and I'll be available for questions. 4 5 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you, Mr. Lawson. 6 questions of Office of Planning? Commissioner May? COMMISSIONER MAY: 7 No. Thank you. 8 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Commissioner Imamura? 9 COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Just one, Mr. Lawson. Thank 10 you, Mr. Chairman. 11 I just wanted to confirm the position of OP on whether 12 IZ should or should not apply to the modification of significance? 13 MR. LAWSON: I'm sorry. My audio cut out for a second. 14 Once again? 15 COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: My question is OP's position on 16 whether IZ should or should not apply? 17 MR. LAWSON: Well, in this case there's a comprehensive 18 PUD that was approved and so the conditions that were associated 19 with that original PUD are still in place. So our position would 20 be that typically it would not apply but the conditions of the 21 original PUD would. 22 COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Very good. Thank you, 23 Lawson. Just wanted that on the record. CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you. Vice Chair Miller? 24 25 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank

you, Mr. Lawson for the Office of Planning report in the case.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. And, Mr. Lewis, do you have any cross of the Office of Planning? Questions?

MR. LEWIS: No, sir.

CHAIRPEROSN HOOD: Okay. All right. And, Chairman Felder has left. Thank you, Mr. Lawson. We appreciate your report as always.

MR. LAWSON: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: All right. Now, the DDOT report, excuse me, DDOT basically says, and I'll just read the recommendation. "DDOT has no objection to approve this application with the revised TDM and Loading Management Plans," and I'll read this for my good friend Chairman May, "and prior Bikeshare Station condition included, as proposed in the draft conditions (Exhibit 21A4)."

So any questions of DDOT? Commissioner May?

COMMISSIOENR MAY: Well, Mr. Chairman, since you're representing DDOT I'd like to know if you can give us some more precise timeline on the Anacostia Avenue and that connection because three to eight years is very strange and broad? Can you tighten that up for us?

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: That's a question I think we need to ask them at our next meeting. So when we meet Thursday, that would be a good question. I actually agree with you though, seriously. Three to eight years.

COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: In any terms that would be (indiscernible) years.

COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes. I mean, I do know because the Parks Service's interest in that area; right? I mean, we've got road serves our facility that are near the trash transfer station. You know, I know that there has been action on it because it's, you know, we've worked on some projects with DDOT that I mean they started like before I got to the Parks Service and so, and now 15 years later they still haven't broken ground on it.

So, you know, this is a pretty important one. We know from our history of this PUD and other developments that have gone on in this particular section of the City where it's, there are very few ways in and out for vehicles and so it's a very important thing to do and so I would like it to have some urgency.

So that's why I'm asking about it.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: So let's, Ms. Ackerman, if we could reach out to Mr. Zimmerman and tell him Commissioner May, if this would hold up anything we're doing here. Tell him we want some — we would like a little finite more information, a little more detail as Commissioner May has mentioned. Something a little more definite, a better time frame three to eight, eight to twelve or sixteen, we need something more and as you mentioned, with more urgency. We can send him a letter. WE may ask him that on, I think we have a meeting Thursday right, this coming

Thursday? Okay. If you can do that for us, Ms. Ackerman.

MS. ACKERMAN: Yes. So do you want to put this on the next meeting because we were -- is that what you want to do?

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: NO. I want him to give us, if he shows up I want him to give us an answer but we're going to send it in writing, Commissioner May.

MS. ACKERMAN: Okay.

COMMISSIONER MAY: I think it would be helpful to have something in writing for, hear from us on this project. I mean, it's not a commitment; right? This is not where they commit to specific time lines. But I think it's important for the understanding of this case to have something a little bit more specific. So I would be satisfied if you just simply ask DDOT to, you know, send us an email with an update on what's happening with that project and then it can be included in the record.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: And may we move right ahead through the eight years. So that was not the answer we were looking, we were looking for something a little better than that.

COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yes. All right. Where am I?

Anything else? Any other questions for DDOT or for me? All right.

Let's move right on. Let's go to, Ms. Ackerman, do we have anyone here who's -- oh, let me do the ANC. Well, we know what the ANC said. Their letter came in and they voted, let me

see here. Well, they had some conditions and their support was on those conditions, but we heard from Chairman Felder. I will not reiterate but they supported with those conditions and the Applicant has agreed to al of them.

All right. Ms. Ackerman, can we go and see who we have, so let's do support. I guess in this case is support, opposition or undeclared and we can bring them all up at the same time.

MS. ACKERMAN: Okay. First we have Artilie Wright. I think that's how you pronounce it.

11 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. That's fine. Let's bring her 12 up.

MS. ACKERMAN: She is on right now.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I don't see her yet.

MS. WRIGHT: Hi. Good afternoon, good evening. Can you hear me?

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yes, we can. Good afternoon. You may begin whenever you're ready.

MS. WRIGHT: Thank you. Good afternoon. I'm Artilie Wright. I previously submitted a letter of opposition for the mater that is being discussed today but I would like to now modify that position and that position has changed as a result of Mr. Farrell's, and his team's, recent engagement with the community, especially in regards to the CBA status and deliverables associated with prior commitments and also two additions to the

CBA including improvements in the lighting to support public safety.

I did want make out though while my position has changed I, along with other members of the community, are still concerned about the impact of parking that the retail and the apartments might have on the community, just because we're landlocked if you guys are familiar with the PUD. Just, you know, if you talk to anyone that lives in the neighborhood a lot of the reasons that folks come here is because it's adjacent to the highway. So while, yes, you know, Vision Zero want people to be more green and ride bikes and take the metro, people do move here because it's directly connected to 295.

However, all that said I am confident that Mr. Farrell and his team and the community are in a good place and that a positive working relationship will continue in the months ahead, supplying an amicable solution that would assuage these concerns, and I also just wanted to put it out there because it was brought up regarding the Anacostia extension.

As former ANC I am aware of this but the three to eight year time period, that's outdated. That is what DDOT suggested as when they might be able to start thinking about it as an outcome of the DDOT and community's transportation study. However, as of March, 2023 there is funding and the rendering and design timeline that was presented to the community suggests that that extension should be completed at 2025. So two years as

opposed to the three to eight but I will let DDOT provide you 1 2 guys with the material confirming that. Thank you. 3 4 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you, former Commissioner We appreciate your, I really appreciate your letter 5 6 highlighting the issues and also being on top of things. 7 Commissioner or not, I really appreciate it because it was very 8 enlightening for me and thank you for at least giving us a sense 9 of taking some of the weight away, but like you say we're still 10 going to ask DDOT but I know you're engaged so we appreciate you bringing that to us. 11 12 Let's see if we have any questions or comments. 13 Commissioner May? Okay. He's saying no. Commissioner Imamura? 14 COMMISSIOENR IMAMURA: I do not. 15 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Great. And Vice Chair Miller? 16 VICE CHAIR MILLER: No questions. Thank you, Ms. 17 Wright, for your testimony today. 18 MS. WRIGHT: Thank you. 19 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Mr. Lewis, do you have any questions 20 for Ms. Wright? 21 No, sir. MR. LEWIS: 22 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: And again the chairperson of the ANC 23 has left. So thank you, Ms. Wright. We really appreciate it.

MS. WRIGHT: Thank you all.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: All right. Thank you. Ms. Ackerman,

24

25

do we have somebody else, anyone else?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. ACKERMAN: No, we don't, sir. No one else is here that signed up to testify.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: No one else? Okay. All right. So, Mr. Lewis, we'll do rebuttal which I don't think you have any so we'll do closing.

MR. LEWIS: That's right. No rebuttal. Closing is very short. Just to conclude that we think the modification is an increase, an important increase in standard adjacent housing, neighborhood retail, green space and really just overall positive contribution that Parkside as MR. Farrell (indiscernible) and we're excited about the fact that Parkside (indiscernible) the Commission now feels the same and with that we thank you for your time this afternoon.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Thank you. We appreciate Let's see where my Commissioners are, where we are, it's not like they're my commissioners, but where we are. We do have a meeting if we can get that information, Commissioner May, or did we want to hold this up until we get that information, or can we, and this is where I get messed up, but can we, I get it's significant but, Commissioner May, for the record can we go ahead and deal with this tonight and can they put it in after we vote or they say they can't? I get all confused. It changes from week to week. I don't even know who I'm asking. I'm asking everybody if my Commissioners want to.

MR. LIU: Mr. Chair, I can try to answer --

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yes.

MR. LIU: -- that.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay.

MR. LIU: It sounds like --

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Please, Mr. Liu, what is it this week?

MR. LIU: It sounds like if you wanted to move forward you could tonight and this letter would just be sort of to supplement the record, it wouldn't really have an impact on your decision if you just want more information about this connection as a general concern.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you. I'm not including you in the week to week stuff, so thank you. I appreciate that. Let's see. Colleagues, you heard that. If we could decide to vote tonight, and I want to hear from Commissioner May and others, if we decide to vote then we can still have that added to the record.

But let me hear from Commissioner May first.

COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes. I mean, my interest with what's happening with Anacostia Avenue does not affect how I would vote on this case so I'm prepared to move forward with it tonight particularly since the folks who had, I mean, the little bit of opposition that we had seen seems to have gone away or at least in some ways it changed in support.

So I'm perfectly comfortable moving ahead and I'll be 1 2 interested to find out what the word is on Anacostia Avenue from DDOT, but we can just add that to the record later on. 3 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you. Commissioner Imamura? 4 5 COMMISSIOENR IMAMURA: I'm prepared to move forward 6 tonight. I'm in support, Mr. Chairman, I think of the modification of significance here and I'm satisfied. 7 8 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. And Vice Chair Miller? 9 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Ready to 10 move forward. 11 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: It sounds good. I too am ready to 12 move forward. I think the work has been done which makes our 13 job a lot easier. 14 So, would somebody like to make a motion? VICE CHAIR MILLER: I'd like to make a motion. 15 16 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yes. 17 VICE CHAIR MILLER: For the Zoning Commission to approve and take action, one and only action I guess, to approve 18 19 case No. 05-28AC application of Parkside Residential, LLC for a 20 modification of significance of a second stage PUD for the 21 Parkside parcel 9A that's at Square 5056, Lots 865, 867 and ask 22 for a second, and we expect that we will get further an email

So I would ask for a second on my motion?

that Anacostia connection that Commissioner May asked about.

23

24

25

for the record supplementing the record about the timeline on

COMMISSIONER MAY: Second. 1 2 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. It's been moved and properly Any further discussion? Ms. Ackerman, can we do a roll 3 4 call vote, please? MS. ACKERMAN: Yes. Commissioner Miller? 5 6 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yes. MS. ACKERMAN: Commissioner May? 7 8 COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes. 9 MS. ACKERMAN: Commissioner Hood? 10 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yes. 11 MS. ACKERMAN: Commissioner Imamura? 12 COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Yes. 13 MS. ACKERMAN: Then this case is approved four to zero to one for final action. 14 15 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Ms. Ackerman, do we have 16 anything else before us this evening? 17 MS. ACKERMAN: No, we do not, no. 18 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: So I want to encourage everyone 19 whatever you're doing on July the 31st to join the Zoning 20 Commission for about an hour or so. It's just something you 21 don't want to miss. So, again, I'm making an announcement. I'm 22 going to keep making it, but July the 31st please join us and you will, not going to tell you what it is, but you will want to 23

be there. Don't miss it. I'll leave it at that.

The Zoning Commission, you may miss this, but the

24

25

Zoning Commission will be meeting July the 13th. We have a number of agenda items. We'll be meeting at the same time on these same platforms, but I want to thank everyone who participated tonight, especially the community an the Applicant, the ANC and everyone who has worked together and all the reports, and with that this hearing is adjourned. Good night everyone. (Whereupon the above-entitled hearing was adjourned.)

CERTIFICATION

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Public Meeting

Before: DCZC

Date: 07-10-2023

Place: Teleconference

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

GARY EUELL