# GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

ZONING COMMISSION

+ + + + +

PUBLIC HEARING

+ + + + +

MONDAY

FEBRUARY 27, 2023

+ + + + +

The Public Hearing of the District of Columbia Zoning Commission convened via teleconference, pursuant to notice, at 4:00 p.m. EDT, Anthony J. Hood, Chairperson, presiding.

#### ZONING COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT:

ANTHONY J. HOOD, Chairperson ROBERT MILLER, Vice Chairperson JOSEPH IMAMURA, Commissioner PETER MAY, Commissioner

#### OFFICE OF ZONING STAFF PRESENT:

SHARON SCHELLIN, Secretary
PAUL YOUNG, Zoning Data Specialist

#### OFFICE OF ZONING LEGAL DIVISION STAFF PRESENT:

HILLARY LOVICK, ESQUIRE

The transcript constitutes the minutes from the Regular Public Hearing held February 27, 2023

## T-A-B-L-E O-F C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S

#### P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

2 (4:00 p.m.)

Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. We are convening and broadcasting this public hearing by videoconferencing. My name is Anthony Hood and joining me are Vice Chair Miller, Commissioner May, and Commissioner Imamura. We are also joined by the Office of Zoning staff, Ms. Sharon Schellin, our secretary, and Mr. Paul Young, who will be handling all the virtual operations. Also, the Office of Zoning Legal Division, Mrs. Lovick.

Copies of today's virtual public hearing notice are available on the Office of Zoning's website. Please be advised that this proceeding is being recorded by a court reporter. It is also webcast live, Webex and YouTube Live. The video will be available on the Office of Zoning's website after the hearing. Accordingly, all those listening on Webex or by phone will be muted during the hearing and only those who have signed up to participate or testify will be unmuted at the appropriate time. Please state your name and home address before providing oral testimony on your presentation. When you are finished speaking, please mute your audio so that your microphone is no longer picking up sound or background noise.

If you experience difficulty accessing Webex or with your telephone call-in, then please call our OZ hotline number at 202-727-0789 to sign up or to receive Webex log-in or call-in

instructions. All persons planning to testify either in favor or in opposition, we encourage you to sign up in advance and you will be called by name.

If you wish to file written testimony or additional supporting documents during the hearing, then please be prepared to describe this to discuss it at the time of your testimony. And then we will do the regular procedures and I will leave it at that.

The subject of today's hearing is Zoning Commission Case No. 22-27. And this is the Georgetown University text amendment to Subtitle I, Sections 517.1 and 701.1 at 101 F Street Northwest, Square 567 and 569, Ward 6 and 7. The date again is February 27, 2023.

I know we have some preliminary discussions, and I am going to ask Ms. Schellin to get ready to explain. I opened the record and there are some other things, but I need to rethink - I mean, I need to be reminded of what was already done.

At this time, the Commission will consider any preliminary matters. Does the staff have any preliminary matters? And, Ms. Schellin, if you would help me to remember what happened in this case and let's put all that on the record. So thank you.

MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, sir. Actually, I think I need to go off and come back on because I've got two things on. Because -- do you hear me twice?

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yeah. Just go ahead and -- we'll

1	wait until you come back. We've got no choice.
2	MS. SCHELLIN: Yeah. It's strange.
3	VICE CHAIR MILLER: You had a good birthday, Anthony?
4	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I did. Thank you. I got a lot of
5	well wishes and I really appreciate everyone with the well wishes,
6	I didn't I didn't know I knew that many people. It's nice to
7	be thought of.
8	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Enjoy your last year of that decade.
9	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yeah. I'm turning over I'll be
10	in another era, I guess. I guess you and Commissioner May can
11	tell me about that.
12	VICE CHAIR MILLER: I hope I'll be here to tell you
13	about it.
14	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Oh, yeah, you'll be here. But I
15	don't have that many pains now, so hopefully it doesn't increase.
16	VICE CHAIR MILLER: It's only one direction, Anthony.
17	MS. SCHELLIN: How about now?
18	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: You're showing twice, but you sound
19	fine right now.
20	MS. SCHELLIN: Okay. Maybe that's better. No, it's
21	still twice. I think I have to shut down and come back on. I'm
22	sorry. Let me try it again.
23	VICE CHAIR MILLER: We're only hearing one voice, but
24	
25	MS. SCHELLIN: Oh, you guys are only hearing it once?

1 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yeah, we're only hearing it once.

VICE CHAIR MILLER: You've got two boxes, but only one voice.

MS. SCHELLIN: Let's try -- maybe if I.

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Ms. Schellin, it appears you've cloned yourself.

MS. SCHELLIN: Well, what happened was I could hear you guys, but I couldn't see anything. So I don't know what happened. So I'm going to turn my sound down because I hear myself twice. Okay. All right.

So basically the preliminary matter is that -- let me just let Jennifer. Jennifer, if you guys are listening, it looks like there are some people who are having issues getting in. The password is DCOZ like it always is. So that may be what the issue is. Webex sent out an invite with an odd password and the password is DCOZ. Just like it sent the panelists a password that was incorrect.

But anyway, the preliminary matter was that the petitioner and I discovered that staff did not send the public hearing notice to the Register for publication or to the ANC. However, the ANC was met with and did provide a report in support of this case, and the applicant submitted a letter explaining the situation and they asked for a waiver, which I forwarded to the Commission. And the Chairman ruled in the way that he would like to proceed, which was to proceed with the hearing this evening.

If action is taken, a 30-day comment period is still allowed
because the proposed rulemaking would be published. And since
the ANC has responded, this is not a District-wide case, this is
specific to the Georgetown area and is specific to this one ANC,
actually. And it makes what they're asking for development
more restrictive. It makes development in that area a design
review. Any development in that square would have to come before
the Commission for a design review. So that's why the Chairman
went ahead and voted to proceed with the hearing this night and
waived or granted the waiver request. Does that explain it,
Chairman Hood?

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yeah, I was just confused. None of this is -- let me. When I was reading, I see Ward 7. This is not in Ward 7.

MS. SCHELLIN: No.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. That's what got me all messed up. I see Ward 7 in some of the notes. Okay. I'm fine.

Any questions on that, colleagues?

MS. SCHELLIN: Okay. It's 6E.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yeah. So the reason why I didn't have an issue with it, because as Ms. Schellin mentioned, we have another 30-day comment period and if anyone has -- I'm sure that -- I would hope that Georgetown did their due diligence in communicating with the community. So.

Anything else, Ms. Schellin? You're on mute.

MS. SCHELLIN: I'm sorry. The applicant plans to hit the highlights and basically stand on the record unless the Commission wants them to do the full 15 minutes. That's the way that they were going to proceed. And that's it. There's no one to testify as of this moment. We can check when you get there, but that's it.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Let's bring everybody up and get started. We will let the applicant move in the fashion. I would agree; I don't know if they need 15 minutes. It looks like it's pretty straightforward for the most part. We may have a number of questions, but let's see how this works.

Mr. Avitabile, once your team gets up, you may begin.

I'll turn it over to you.

MR. AVITABILE: Wonderful. Thank you so much, Chairman Hood and members of the Commission. I will -- I am happy to rest on the record. We do have a presentation that we submitted, but I think I can just briefly summarize where we are, and if the Commission would like us to give you the presentation, we can do that.

This is a relatively simple request for Georgetown University's Downtown Campus or Capitol Campus, which is where the Law Center and some of the other properties that they've been developing are located. As noted, it's downtown at ANC 6E. And simply what we're requesting is this, the ability to go to a height of 130 feet, rather than a height of 110 feet, to allow

for greater floor-to-floor heights for our buildings, which tend to require greater height than your typical conventional office or residential building. In exchange, any building that would use that height would go through the design review process. So it will provide the Commission with an additional layer of review for properties where a development is currently a matter of right. This is not too dissimilar from certain other zones where there's a similar sort of tradeoff, additional height in exchange for design review.

We believe the record is complete. We've submitted a petition and background information. We did submit in our prehearing submission a Racial Equity Analysis using the new Racial Equity Tool. Hopefully we used it properly, but we tried to take to heart the direction that the Commission and the Office of Zoning were looking for.

We are here with the ANC's support. We had a good meeting with them and this really is, as we talk about in the submissions, the beginning of the process with the ANC, because they will have a role going forward in potential development.

The Office of Planning is also in support, as noted in their report. We've agreed to a number of the requests that they've made as we've gone through the process, excluding the northernmost square of the Law Center campus from the text amendment altogether, as well as agreeing that the text amendment would not be used to develop anything within the former F Street

or G Street rights of way.

2.2

And that brings me to the last point I wanted to briefly cover, which was in OP's report, there were some comments from DDOT, which I think maybe suggests that the person providing the comments from DDOT hasn't been part of our conversations with DDOT we've been having over the last couple of years. The University does not and has not and will not plan anything within the former rights of way. We understand and agree that we'll keep both rights of way open pursuant to the requirements of the street closings of both street closings. And we've actually been working closely with DDOT on how F Street will be used going forward and recently entered into an agreement with them that would ensure that it will continue to remain open for pedestrians, bikes, and other micromobility vehicles, while also continuing to serve as this vital central open space for this emerging campus.

So with that, I do have with me today Shane Dettman, who's our urban planner. We also have Dave Green, who is the interim chief operating officer of the University, as well as Chris Murphy who is the vice president for Government Relations. And we're all happy to answer questions. We can also certainly go through the full presentation if you'd like. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you, Mr. Avitabile. Maybe the person from DDOT was part of the Ward 7 case that I was thinking about. But anyway.

Let me let me ask this, though. And this probably
could go to Mr. Murphy or you can well, I guess Mr. Murphy
can answer this. With all the changes that has been taking place
during COVID, and I know what you're asking for, you know, the
addition, the text amendment with the additional height and
density and everything, but with all especially being a law
school, what I've noticed, my observations and I probably
shouldn't say because I need lawyers to help me stay out of
trouble but with most lawyers work from home and I'm just
curious. They're asking for more space, but we're extending the
height. I just don't to me, it's not balancing out. I'm not
understanding why we're doing all this when it seems like the way
we're working now has changed. And especially lawyers. Lawyers
do not come to work because they work from home and their jobs -
- the interpretation is at home. So maybe I'm off school. That
might not even be a zoning question, but I'm just curious.

COMMISSIONER MAY: You notice that David Avitabile is in his office, right?

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I said, most. I said, most. I didn't say all.

MR. AVITABILE: I'll start, and then Chris, you or Dave Green can jump in. I think here that the main intent of this, it will allow the University to construct new buildings that really meet their current program needs. This isn't intended to sort of expand the space. It's really to allow them to build

better classrooms, you know, improve academic offices and perhaps, most importantly, new space for their clinics. A lot of the activity at the Law Center is happening on campus. I mean, everyone's coming to class on campus. The clinics or the people who are doing that work are doing it on the campus and within that space. And so there is a large amount of activity that's happening on the campus setting and in the facilities down there.

Chris, anything to add to that?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MURPHY: No, I mean, I think, Dave, I think you got it right. I mean, Mr. Chairman, I think you're absolutely right that law firms certainly are -- our counsel notwithstanding, law firms are going to a teleworking model. But I think what we're learning in terms of legal education as opposed to, you know, legal practice, is that our current campus is designed for kind of an older school version of how legal education took place with large cap classrooms with, you know, 125 to 150 students a section. And what we're seeing is more and more need for smaller spaces, smaller classrooms. And so I think that's what this new building is going to allow us to do, have smaller classrooms. And then clinical education, which, you know, you may know is a big point of legal education these days, where you have students actually practicing law under supervision. They have to have meeting rooms to be able to meet with clients. They're, you know, typically low income clients who can't afford lawyers. And

we need space for them to be able to meet with them. So I think what we are seeing, at least for our law practice, or excuse me, our law campus, that this sort of a building is what we need as we kind of transition into 21st century legal education.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you, Mr. Vice President and Mr. Avitabile. I appreciate that. I have a better understanding, and I'm sure the lawyers at the EPA will appreciate me understanding that a little more now as I work with them. So thank you both.

Let's see if we have any further questions or comments.

Commissioner May.

COMMISSIONER MAY: Yeah, I'll say a couple things. First of all, I'm not going to -- I'm not providing testimony, but I will say that I participated in one class at the (audio interference) in the law school like a year ago and we were all in the room. I mean, the room was packed and we're all wearing masks. And the whole Law Center seemed to be, you know, a lot of students there. So I think there is a lot happening at the Law Center right now, or at least there was a year ago, and I assume it's even more now than it was then. But I'm not providing testimony.

I do have a question, and this is not really a zoning question, but just to satisfy my curiosity. How do you get to 130 feet from a Height Act perspective? Because I'm trying to figure out where the 110 foot street is.

MR. AVITABILE: I'm happy to answer that. And I think you actually discussed that with Ms. Steingasser at set down and you all got it right then. But the -- and maybe it's helpful to bring up the presentation so we can look at a map. So if you could do that, Mr. Young.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But essentially, so both squares, 567 and 569, the lot has frontage on New Jersey Avenue. In the case of Square 567, maybe we can go to -- this image will work. So those two squares at issue are the -- let's see, the third square down where it's the box that's in between G and F Street and that fronts right on New Jersey Avenue. So that clearly gets to 130 feet with the New Jersey Avenue frontage. And then the square to the south, which is that U-shaped building, it's really actually three buildings, but they're on a single record lot and they're all The eastern side of that lot fronts on the connected. intersection of New Jersey Avenue and First Street. look at the old King plot, it actually shows that northern half. You know, it's the old "jump the reservation" idea. You've got the frontage of 160 feet there that would allow 130 feet under the Height Act.

COMMISSIONER MAY: Got it. Yeah. You figure it had to connect to New Jersey Avenue. And I would have thought that I'd asked this at set down, but I didn't write it down in my notes if I'd asked it. That's just a -- I appreciate you going through that again. Yeah. I had forgotten about the sort of

"jump the reservation" ability. So okay. That makes sense.

I don't have any other questions. I just have one other comment, which is that I'm looking forward to F Street being made bicycle-friendly, because right now it's -- you can ride a bike through there, but it can't really, it's not really bike-friendly and pedestrian-friendly at the same time. So I just have to ride really slow to make sure that I'm not mowing anybody down, which I do, of course.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Any other -- I'm sorry. I was going to ask if there are any other questions, but no, we haven't went around yet.

Commissioner Imamura, any questions or comments?

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Nothing really substantive. I just want to thank Commissioner May and Mr. Avitabile to walk us through the Height Act. That gave me a little pause there, just because of the height of 130 feet.

I want to comment that there's a lot of potential behind this text amendment, good potential. Certainly, the clinics are very important to the community. I'm excited to see what could be, you know, once the University and the Law Center, bring it to, you know, bring the project to fruition here. So outside of that, no questions or comments. I this is pretty straightforward, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Thank you.

Vice Chair Miller.

VICE CHAIR MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And thank you, David Avitabile and Chris Murphy and Georgetown for bringing this text amendment forward. And thank you for your community I think there was a change in the ANC and I think engagement. you have demonstrated that you've tried -- you've reached out to the ANC, the new ANC 6E. You waited to have the hearing until they could review it, and they approved it unanimously. So that's a good thing. And you've agreed, as you've said in your testimony and in your -- in the record to the Office of Planning's suggested changes to the text amendment, revisions to the text amendment, that there would be no build -- clarifying that there'd be no buildings in the F and G street right of way. And you also agreed to their other condition. Well, I don't know if it's a condition, but it's almost a statement of fact that the maximum permitted height would not include the penthouse rooftop structure, which is typically the case with our height interpretation. So if you could just reaffirm those clarifications.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. AVITABILE: Yes, that's right. Both of those.

VICE CHAIR MILLER: And the -- I'm not sure this is relevant, but just out of curiosity, the enrollment of Georgetown University Law School, do you happen to know it? And is it growing? Is it stable? Is it -- I don't think it's subject to the enrollment caps that the undergrad campus in Georgetown itself is subject to. I just was curious what the enrollment is and what the trend is. Is it going down, going up, stable, same?

MR. AVITABILE: It's about 2,600 students all told. 1 2 Per year, it's been stable for a number of years, I believe. that correct, Chris? 3 MR. MURPHY: That sounds right. I could look it up. 4 5 MR. AVITABILE: I believe it's about, I want to say 6 about 2,000 JDs and then there's another series of students that 7 are in, you know, LLM type programs. So -- but it's fairly 8 stable. And no, those students don't count towards the main 9 campus requirements, unless for some reason, those students also 10 take a class on the main campus, in which case they'd be then 11 counted. 12 MR. MURPHY: And just to confirm, Dave, I'm just being 13 told, it's about roughly 2,000 JDs and then somewhere between 14 five and 600 LLMs. 15 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Thank you. And the -- this applies 16 only to those two squares as you've stated. You have the other 17 squares that are -- I don't know if they were -- they were mostly 18 -- were they north and south of that, but just north of that? 19 MR. AVITABILE: Yeah. So there's --20 VICE CHAIR MILLER: And (audio interference). 21 MR. AVITABILE: Right. So the two squares that we're 22 talking about, 567 and 569 are the southernmost squares. 23 is the square where the law library is. That's Square 565. That's on the south side of Mass Ave. And then on the north side of 24

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
Court Reporting and Litigation Support
Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia
410-766-HUNT (4868)
1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

Mass Ave. is 111 Massachusetts Avenue, otherwise known as the

25

Darth Vader building, which the University recently acquired. And you may remember, you approved a permitted change of use under that. That building is under a PUD. And so you approved a change of use to University use there. So that actually is subject to your review by the PUD. And then the other building is the residence hall that the University constructed, again pursuant to design review for additional height, and was delivered earlier this year and is now in use. So you all now have control over many of these properties that had -- well, many of them had been either not University or were by right.

VICE CHAIR MILLER: Well, thank you for that response. And thank you again to Georgetown for really being a pioneer in this east end of downtown way back when nobody was going there, almost nobody. And you've helped spur the revitalization that's happened with Capitol Crossing and everything else. So thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you, Vice Chair Miller.

Mr. Murphy, you mentioned LLMs. For the record, could you just tell us what that is? I know it's an extension of a law degree. But can you kind of -- either you or Mr. Avitabile, for the public and for myself.

MR. MURPHY: Yeah. I'm going to embarrass myself by not knowing the Latin. Dave, do you know? It's a Master of Law.

MR. AVITABILE: That's essentially what it is. They're often very specialized. I think a lot are in tax. MR.

They tend to be in tax. 1 MURPHY: 2 MR AVITABILE: It's a very specialized sort of program. Well, I'll stop speculating, because I really don't know that 3 4 much about it. I have my JD, that's all I needed. CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. I know it's an extension, but 5 6 I just couldn't remember. But I'll look it up. All right. figured I would seize the moment since I had you here. 7 8 Let's see. Ms. Schellin, do we have anyone here from 9 -- first of all, any colleagues have any other questions? 10 Okav. Ms. Schellin, do we have anyone from ANC 6E? 11 MS. SCHELLIN: Very quickly. 6E. Dave Green, who I 12 think is part of the applicant's team. And no one else. 13 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. I will read their report. Ι 14 think they have a report at the -- when we get there. 15 Let me go through the other government agencies. Do 16 we have anyone from any agency other than DDOT and OP that wants to testify, Ms. Schellin? 17 18 MS. SCHELLIN: Only OP. 19 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Only OP. Not even DDOT. Okay. 20 So I guess we've already done our review. 21 Let's go straight to the Office of Planning. Let's 22 bring them up. 23 Mr. Mordfin, whenever you're ready, or Ms. Steingasser. MR. MORDFIN: Good afternoon. I'm Stephen Mordfin with 24 25 the Office of Planning.

1	The Office of Planning is in support of this
2	application subject to the one condition that is in the report
3	from DDOT. And just to clarify. I know Mr. Avitabile said that
4	the University has made no advances or whatever to take over
5	those rights of way. And in a separate conversation I had with
6	DDOT, I just want to say that what he said is what DDOT told me.
7	So DDOT is aware that there are no attempts to try to take over
8	the rights of way. They were just trying to ensure that it would
9	remain that way, and that was the purpose of putting it as a
10	condition. But OP is in support of this application, subject to
11	that condition. Thank you.
12	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you, Mr. Mordfin, and also the
13	report on the record.
13	
14	Let's see if we have any questions.
14	Let's see if we have any questions.
14 15	Let's see if we have any questions.  Commissioner May?
14 15 16	Let's see if we have any questions.  Commissioner May?  COMMISSIONER MAY: No questions.
14 15 16 17	Let's see if we have any questions.  Commissioner May?  COMMISSIONER MAY: No questions.  CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Commissioner Imamura?
14 15 16 17	Let's see if we have any questions.  Commissioner May?  COMMISSIONER MAY: No questions.  CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Commissioner Imamura?  COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: (No audible response.)
14 15 16 17 18	Let's see if we have any questions.  Commissioner May?  COMMISSIONER MAY: No questions.  CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Commissioner Imamura?  COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: (No audible response.)  CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Vice Chair Miller?
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Let's see if we have any questions.  Commissioner May?  COMMISSIONER MAY: No questions.  CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Commissioner Imamura?  COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: (No audible response.)  CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Vice Chair Miller?  VICE CHAIR MILLER: No questions. Thank you for your
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Let's see if we have any questions.  Commissioner May?  COMMISSIONER MAY: No questions.  CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Commissioner Imamura?  COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: (No audible response.)  CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Vice Chair Miller?  VICE CHAIR MILLER: No questions. Thank you for your report, Mr. Mordfin.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Let's see if we have any questions.  Commissioner May?  COMMISSIONER MAY: No questions.  CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Commissioner Imamura?  COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: (No audible response.)  CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Vice Chair Miller?  VICE CHAIR MILLER: No questions. Thank you for your report, Mr. Mordfin.  CHAIRPERSON HOOD: And the applicant, do you have any
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Let's see if we have any questions.  Commissioner May?  COMMISSIONER MAY: No questions.  CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Commissioner Imamura?  COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: (No audible response.)  CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Vice Chair Miller?  VICE CHAIR MILLER: No questions. Thank you for your report, Mr. Mordfin.  CHAIRPERSON HOOD: And the applicant, do you have any cross?

We appreciate your -- your report and your comments.

All right. Ms. Schellin, I'm going to -- let me do the ANC report. I think it was 6 to -- okay, here it is.

I'll just read: "ANC 6E looks forward to continuing to work with Georgetown regarding this its campus planning and development efforts. Please feel free to contact us." And this is from Chairperson Hart, and it is so noted. And our Exhibit 15 that the vote was -- the resolution was approved by a vote of 6-0-0 for this application.

All right. Any other questions on the ANC report?

Okay. Let's go to -- wait a minute, Mr. Mordfin, I mean, Mr.

Avitabile, did I ask you, did you have any questions of the Office of Planning?

MR. AVITABILE: You did. This is a rulemaking so I don't think I'm allowed to cross them, not that I do anyway.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: So I've been actually going the whole -- I probably read the -- okay, anyway. All right.

Ms. Schellin, do we have anyone who is here to testify or -- in opposition, support or undeclared?

MS. SCHELLIN: No, we don't.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: So you know what, Ms. Schellin, I think I read the wrong opening statement. So I need to correct that.

MS. SCHELLIN: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you, Mr. Avitabile.

Yes, you read the wrong opening 1 MS. SCHELLIN: 2 statement. You should have cited Z-500. CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yeah, well I'm getting ready to read 3 4 the right one now. So you all bear with me. I make mistakes 5 every now and then. 6 Hopefully, the court reporter can encompass this in the first part of the record of what's being read now and scratch 7 what I read earlier. So bear with me. 8 9 So if we can just replace what I read previously. And 10 then I think that should be sufficient. All right. 11 Ms. Schellin, again let me check and make sure we don't 12 have anyone who's here to testify either in support, opposition 13 or undeclared. 14 MS. SCHELLIN: No one in any category. 15 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: All right. So typically in a 16 rulemaking, if I'm not mistaken, we don't do rebuttal, but since 17 it seems like there's a lot of support. 18 Mr. Avitabile, anything else? 19 MR. AVITABILE: No, just to thank the Commission for your time and we appreciate your help as always. 21 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Thank you. And I apologize 22 for that because a rulemaking is a totally different procedure. 23 So thank you for bringing that up, because I definitely missed 2.4 that. 25 All right. Commissioners, this is pretty

1 straightforward. I think everything has fallen into place. 2 me hear what your pleasure is. If somebody would like to make a 3 motion so we can go ahead and proceed. 4 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Mr. Chairman, I'm prepared to move 5 that the Zoning Commission approve -- take preliminary action to 6 approve Zoning Commission Case No. 22-27, Georgetown University's text amendment to Subtitle I, additional height for Square 567 7 8 and 569 in the D-3 Zone at 101 F Street as discussed, and ask for a second. 9 10 COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Second. CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. It's been moved and properly 11 12 Any further discussion? Not hearing any. second. 13 Ms. Schellin, would you do a roll call vote, please? 14 MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Miller? VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yes. 15 16 MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Imamura? 17 COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Yes. 18 MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Hood? 19 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yes. 20 MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner May? 21 COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes. MS. SCHELLIN: The vote is 4-0-1 to approve proposed 22 23 action in Zoning Commission Case No. 22-27, the minus one being the Third Mayoral Appointee position, which is vacant. 24

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
Court Reporting and Litigation Support
Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia
410-766-HUNT (4868)
1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

Okay. Thank you, Ms. Schellin.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD:

25

It looks like we don't meet again until March 9th. Since I made that mistake, I'm going to give everybody this coming (indiscernible). Is that correct, Ms. Schellin, before I keep talking? MS. SCHELLIN: That is correct. Okay. So this is going to be our CHAIRPERSON HOOD: regular monthly meeting, March 9th. We'll be on these same platforms, at the same time, at 4:00 p.m. With that, I would like to thank everyone for their participation tonight, the Georgetown University community and all those who worked on this case to make this as easy as it was. So with that, this hearing is adjourned. (Whereupon, the above-entitled matter was adjourned.) 

### C E R T I F I C A T E

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Public Hearing

Before: DCZC

Date: 02-27-2023

Place: Teleconference

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

GARY EUELL