# GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

ZONING COMMISSION

+ + + + +

PUBLIC MEETING

+ + + + +

THURSDAY

JANUARY 26, 2023

+ + + + +

The Public Meeting of the District of Columbia Zoning Commission convened via videoconference, pursuant to notice, at 4:06 p.m. EDT, Anthony J. Hood, Chairperson, presiding.

#### ZONING COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT:

ANTHONY J. HOOD, Chairperson ROBERT MILLER, Vice Chairperson JOSEPH IMAMURA, Commissioner PETER MAY, Commissioner

### OFFICE OF ZONING STAFF PRESENT:

SHARON SCHELLIN, Secretary PAUL YOUNG, Zoning Data Specialist

#### OFFICE OF ZONING LEGAL DIVISION STAFF PRESENT:

JACOB RITTING, ESQUIRE HILLARY LOVICK, ESQUIRE DENNIS LIU, ESQUIRE

The transcript constitutes the minutes from the Public Meeting held on January 26, 2023.

## T-A-B-L-E O-F C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S

Case No. 22-21 2229 M Street, LLC	7
Case No. 20-33A University of District of Columbia1	L <b>4</b>
Case No. 22-11  MCRT Investments, LLC	L 9
Case No. 22-19  McDonald's Corporation	34
Case No. 22-22 Preservation D.C. L&6, LLC	39
Case No. 20-06  Felice Development Group	12
Case No. 22-32 Shift Cubed Partners	15

#### P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

2 (4:00 p.m.)

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. We are convening and broadcasting this public meeting by videoconferencing. My name is Anthony Hood. Joining me this evening are Vice Chair Miller, Commissioner May, and Commissioner Imamura. We're also joined by the Office of Zoning staff, Ms. Sharon Schellin and Mr. Paul Young, who will be handling all of our virtual operations. I will ask all others to introduce themselves at the appropriate time. Also our Office of Zoning legal division, we have Mr. Ritting, Ms. Lovick, and Mr. Liu.

Copies of today's meeting agenda are available on the Office of Zoning's website. Please be advised that this proceeding is being recorded by a court reporter and is also webcast live, Webex and YouTube Live. The video will be available on the Office of Zoning's website after the meeting. Accordingly, all those listening on Webex or by phone will be muted during the meeting unless the commissioners suggest otherwise.

For hearing action items, the only documents before us this evening are the application, the ANC set-down report, and the Office of Planning report. All other documents in the record will be reviewed at the time of the hearing. Again, we do not take any public testimony at our meetings unless the Commission requests someone to speak.

If you experience difficulty, which we know that's  ${\tt HUNT\ REPORTING\ COMPANY}$ 

1	happened, and we're trying to work through that, what I would
2	just I'm going to revise this statement and ask that you watch
3	it through YouTube until we're able to realize figure out
4	what's going on. That if we need somebody, we will ask you to
5	call in. Again though, our OZ hotline number is 202-727-0789 for
6	Webex log-in or call-in instructions.
7	At this time, does the staff have any preliminary
8	matters?
9	MS. SCHELLIN: No preliminary matters, other than to
10	say that we do we are asking people to call in, and I would
11	ask that we skip over the advance party status until we car
12	contact them to call in. If we could do that, that would be
13	great. So we can have Mr. Humala call them and let them know
14	that they need to call in instead of logging in.
15	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Let me ask, do we need to
16	wait a few minutes, Ms. Schellin, will that help?
17	MS. SCHELLIN: He Mr. Humala is telling me they're
18	testing that now to see if they can get in by phone, but
19	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Well, I was talking about for
20	everybody.
21	MS. SCHELLIN: For everybody. If we could wait a few
22	minutes, that would probably help because we're waiting for OF
23	to get in also.
24	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay.
25	MS. SCHELLIN: So that would be helpful.

```
CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. And I will -- I won't talk
1
2
   as much, so we'll make the time up. So what we'll do is we'll
   just stand by, Ms. Schellin, and wait for you to tell us we can
3
4
   get started. So we'll just be --
5
             MS. SCHELLIN: Okay. Thank you.
6
             CHAIRPERSON HOOD: -- we'll -- standing by. Okay.
7
             Thanks everyone for your patience.
8
             (Pause.)
9
             MS. SCHELLIN: Jennifer, I'm just confirming you are
10
   in, is that correct, as User 2?
             MS. STEINGASSER: Yes, I'm in.
11
12
             MS. SCHELLIN: Okay, great. Thank you.
13
             MS. STEINGASSER: Okay.
             (Pause.)
14
15
             MS. SCHELLIN: All right. I've sent the log-in --
16
   call-in information to Mr. Jesick, to Ms. Myers, and I am not
17
   seeing them in, although I see we have just gotten another call-
18
   in person with a 302 area code. Do you know who that might be?
19
             MS. ROLLINS: I think that might be --
20
             MS. SCHELLIN: Oh, it could be -- I'm sorry, 202-44 --
21
             MS. ROLLINS:
                           302 might be --
22
             MS. SCHELLIN: I just unmuted them.
23
             MS. MYERS: Hello?
24
             MS. SCHELLIN: Yes? Can you state your name?
25
             MS. MYERS: This is Crystal Myers, Office of Planning.
                        HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
                Court Reporting and Litigation Support
              Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia
                          410-766-HUNT (4868)
```

```
MS. SCHELLIN: Crystal Myers. Okay. So Crystal Myers
1
2
   is call-in User 3, Mr. Young.
3
             (Pause.)
             MS. SCHELLIN: Okay. I'm sorry, call-in User 4, 202-
4
5
   24 is the beginning of the phone number, can you state your name?
6
             They have hung up. Okay. Whoever that was got off.
7
             (Pause.)
8
             MS. SCHELLIN: Could the caller with the number 732-74
9
   please --
             MS. ROLLINS:
10
                           Yes.
11
             MS. SCHELLIN: -- who -- could you give your name?
12
             MS. ROLLINS:
                             This is Kara Rollins.
                                                        I'm on the
13
   individual, says that party status application is pending.
14
             MS. SCHELLIN:
                            Okay. Is Ms. Ryun -- I can't think --
15
   or the other party with you also or just you?
16
             MS. ROLLINS:
                            It's just me. She was having the same
17
   access points, but I think Mr. Humala finally sorted out what we
18
   -- the number that we need to be using, so I believe she'll be
19
   dialing shortly.
20
             MS. SCHELLIN: Okay.
                                   Thank you.
21
             (Pause.)
22
             MS. SCHELLIN: Okay. Could the caller with 301-43, the
23
   beginning of the phone number, please identify yourself?
             Mr. Young, could you unmute Caller 6?
24
25
             Caller 6, could you please identify yourself, phone
                        HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
                Court Reporting and Litigation Support
              Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia
                          410-766-HUNT (4868)
                         1-800-950-DEPO (3376)
```

```
number 301 --
1
2
             MS. LEE: Yes, my name is Ryun Lee.
             MS. SCHELLIN: Ryun Lee. Okay. Great.
3
4
             We have everybody, and we are ready to start, Chairman
5
   Hood.
6
             Thank you, Ms. Lee.
7
             CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Thank you.
8
             Let's see if we can get everybody back up, including
9
   myself.
10
             MS. SCHELLIN: Apologize for the technical issues, and
   with the Webex webinar, it's different from the meeting, and so
11
12
   we are trying to figure it out, so.
13
             CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. That's all right. We will
14
   get through that. So thank you. And I'm hoping others who
   couldn't come on and -- join us on YouTube.
15
16
             All right. So with that --
             MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, we do have people watching via
17
18
   YouTube, I've spoken to some.
19
             CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Great.
20
             I again apologize for the time. We will go ahead and
21
   get started. Just waiting on Commissioner May.
22
             Okay.
                    Thought you may have went out to dinner.
23
                                 Let's go ahead and get started.
             Okay.
                    All right.
         go to advance party status, Zoning Commission Case
24
25
   No. 22-21, 2229 M Street, LLC, consolidated PUD and related map
                        HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
                Court Reporting and Litigation Support
              Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia
                         410-766-HUNT (4868)
```

amendment at Square 4465.

Ms. Schellin?

MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, sir. The first one is the Rollins, Kara and Justin Rollins. Ms. Ryun -- I'm sorry, Ms. Rollins is on at Caller No. 5, if needed. They are filing for party status in opposition at Exhibit 23 and 23A and 23B. And if need be, they are available for questions. As required, they are present. There -- the Applicant and the ANC did not file any opposition to their request for party status. Do you want me to go ahead and give you any -- give you the second request at the same time?

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yes --

MS. SCHELLIN: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: -- let's do the second one. Yeah, we can punch them up if we need to.

MS. SCHELLIN: Yes. So the second one, Ryun Lee, Ms. Lee is on as Caller No. 6, if needed. She too is a member of the Arboretum Condominium, and her request for party status is in opposition at Exhibit 26. And once again, the Applicant and the ANC did not file any opposition to her request for party status either. So both of these are before you for your consideration. This hearing is scheduled for February 16th, and so they are asking for this to be considered in advance of the hearing. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Schellin, for teeing it up.

Colleagues, let me just tell you that Kara and Justin Rollins, as well as Ryun Lee, when I look at the submissions, especially sharing a party wall, and I know that there's a -- Arboretum Condominium is a whole association, but these two have asked for requests. I first thought in looking maybe they should join forces. One of them, I think -- one, if not both, have a party wall, which is right -- they are very -- they're adjacent to the project. And I think they both made compelling arguments. I just -- it would be good if they could join together, but maybe they want to relate their -- and I believe they can do it together. But let me hear from others. I have no problem giving them party status. They're the two that requested it. But let me hear from others.

Commissioner May?

COMMISSIONER MAY: Yeah. For proximity reasons, right, sharing a party wall, I think that it is -- it's pretty obviously that they are more especially affected than other neighbors. And -- however, I will say that the concerns that they raised, a lot of it is not about zoning. I mean, certainly, you know, issues of any sort of parking concerns or things like that, that's a legitimate zoning concern. But, you know, a number of the things, stormwater runoff and construction concerns and things like that, are not typically zoning concerns. So that I hope that, like -- I hope that they -- that when it comes to the hearing, that both parties, or if they are joined together as a single party, will

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

actually look carefully at the zoning regulations and what we are supposed to be considering when we evaluate a PUD and map amendment, as you know, in cases like this because it's -- you know, we can talk for a long time about building code issues and so on, but they're not relevant to our decision making. So we don't really want to spend the -- our time or their time discussing things that aren't going to really affect our decision making. So I support the party status, but I would like to encourage the parties to focus on zoning issues.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Thank you.

Commissioner Imamura, any questions or comments on this -- the request for party status?

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. No questions or comments. The party wall is the issue for me. I'm prepared to support their party status request.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay.

And Vice Chair Miller?

VICE CHAIR MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I would associate myself with your remarks, Mr. Chairman, and Commissioner May's remarks regarding -- I support party status request. I would hope that they could consolidate and join forces as one party or that the condominium association could represent the -- all the owners, if that's appropriate, if they're -- if they've taken a position, but -- and also that they concentrate -- focus on the zoning-related issues that we're required to HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

focus on and can do conditions to mitigate any adverse zoning The construction-related stormwater runoff are issues beyond our jurisdiction, although we always encourage construction management agreements between neighbors and And we would note that in our -- note the existence applicants. of one in our -- in our order, if we ever get to that point in this case, but we don't make it a condition of the order since it's not enforceable through zoning. So I am supportive of the party status request and hope that they can consolidate in some way or fashion, Mr. Chairman. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Thank you, all my colleagues. I agree with everything that was said. Now I've got to figure out how do we -- we would like for them to join together because some of the issues are very identical. Keeping in mind what one of my colleagues mentioned, Commissioner May, about the zoning issues.

So Ms. Schellin, is there any way you could -- we could reach out to them or bring them up and just tell them if they could -- it would be -- we would recommend highly that they join together as one party.

MS. SCHELLIN: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

If Mr. Young could bring in callers -- unmute rather, Callers 5 and 6, Ms. Rollins and Ms. Lee, so that question could be asked since they live in the same condominium association?

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay.

1 Let me say good -- still afternoon -- good afternoon, 2 Ms. Rollins and Ms. Lee. Can you hear me? If you hit star six. Okay. Can you hear me? 3 4 MS. ROLLINS: Good afternoon, and thank you, Chairman. This is Ms. Rollins. 5 6 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay, Ms. Rollins. And we have --7 joined also by Ms. Lee as well, I believe, correct? 8 MS. LEE: Yes, I am here. 9 Okay. So you've heard the CHAIRPERSON HOOD: 10 conversation. Is it possible, we would like for you all to join together, because some of your issues are identical, and we can 11 12 work through it that way. If you all can do your presentation 13 together, that will be very helpful. 14 MS. ROLLINS: Mr. Hood, I think, just as a matter of practicality, because we might have occasionally divergent 15 16 interests or may not 100 percent support the other's opinion on 17 this, we would -- I think we can present together at the meeting 18 to conserve time, but I think in terms of preserving individual interests as we're individual owners, that would be 19 20 preference. But I do understand there's significant overlap, and 21 I think we can certainly coordinate our presentation at the 2.2 hearing on this matter. CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. And we can dissect the two 23 differences out. I mean, a lot of it's identical, and where the 24 25 differences are, we can figure it out as we go along. So if you HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

all could do that, I think that will be very helpful.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

MS. ROLLINS: That would be no problem. We can definitely coordinate to conserve time and resources.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. And I think that was -- was that Ms. Lee that said that or was that Ms. Rollins?

MS. ROLLINS: It was Ms. Rollins.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay.

Ms. Lee, what -- do you have anything else you want to add or -- are you --

MS. LEE: Yes. Yes. No, that will be fine. Ms. Rollins and I can work together and try to come up with a single presentation and also mention things that are, you know, that she has issues with that, you know, that I didn't mention and vice versa. Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. I thank you both.

So with that, unless there's anything else my colleagues have to add, I would move that we grant the Lee-Rollins party as a party in this case, in Zoning Commission Case No. 22-21, and ask for a second.

VICE CHAIR MILLER: Second.

21 COMMISSIONER MAY: Second.

22 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. It's been moved and properly

23 second. Any further discussion?

Not hearing any, Ms. Schellin, would you do a roll call vote please?

MS. SCHELLIN: Yes. 1 2 Commissioner Hood? CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yes. 3 MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Miller? 4 5 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yes 6 MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner May? 7 COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes. MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Imamura? 8 COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Yes. 9 10 MS. SCHELLIN: The vote is four to zero to one to grant party status in opposition to -- I'm sorry --11 12 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: The Lee-Rollins. 13 MS. SCHELLIN: -- to Kara and Justin Rollins and Ryun 14 Lee as the Lee-Rollins party in opposition. The minus one being the third mayoral appointee, which that position is vacant. Thank 15 16 you. 17 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you. 18 And again, I want to thank both Ms. Lee and Ms. Rollins 19 for agreeing to come together. Thank you both. 20 All right. Let's go -- continue with our agenda, 21 modification of consequence, determination and scheduling, Zoning Commission Case No. 20-33A, University of District of Columbia, 22 23 modification of consequences at Square 1964. Oh, '64, 1964. 24 Anyway. 25 Ms. Schellin? HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868)

L	MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, sir. This is the request to modify
2	condition 19 of order No. 20-33. They the Applicant is wishing
3	to extend the tennis court hours past sunset, particularly with
4	the winter hours. And at Exhibit 4, you have a recommendation
5	from OP to approve it. However, instead of 8 p.m., as recommended
5	by the Applicant or requested by the Applicant, OP is recommending
7	to 7 p.m., and the ANC 3F submitted a letter this afternoon. It
3	is not an actual resolution. It just simply says they will not
9	be taking it up. However, they do agree with OP's recommendation.
10	The Commission cannot make a decision today because
11	there are still two other parties, the Van Ness Residents
12	Association and the Van Ness South Tenants Association, who have
13	not provided a or weighed in on this request. So if the
14	Commission does indeed believe this is a modification of
15	consequence, staff asks that a schedule be set to allow the
16	remaining parties to respond. Thank you.
17	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you, Ms. Schellin, for teeing
18	that up.
19	Does anybody believe that this needs to come off as of
20	being presented to us as a modification of consequence?
21	Okay. We will by general consensus, we will make -
22	- this will continue to be a modification of consequence.
23	Okay. Ms. Schellin, could you do scheduling?
24	MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, sir.
25	If the Applicant could work with those other parties  HUNT REPORTING COMPANY  Court Reporting and Litigation Support  Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia  410-766-HUNT (4868)

and ask them to provide a response, if they choose to do so, by 3 p.m. on February 2nd, and if the ANC and the Applicant would like to respond to those submissions by February 8th at 3 p.m., then we could put this on as soon as February 9th for the Commission to consider at 4 p.m.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay.

Any questions on this one?

Commissioner May.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER MAY: Yeah. Given that we have the request for 8 p.m. from the Applicant, and a recommendation from the Office of Planning for 7 p.m., based on concerns that may have been voiced at the original hearing about lighting or something like that, it would be helpful to hear more from the university about why -- well, either if they're, you know, if they're okay with 7 p.m., just to say that they're okay with 7 p.m., but if they really want it 8:00, explain why it's very important to be And if there are known concerns from nearby neighbors about the lighting of the tennis court that, you know, that is arguing in favor of OP's position, I think it would be to the university's advantage to do some outreach to any of those individuals and report on that outreach, you know, if it's in fact supportive of their case, or regardless of whether it's supportive or not. But hearing back from that, I think some sort of outreach there would be helpful to understand whether it makes sense to make a change to 7:00 or 8:00 or not at all. So a little HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

bit more information would be helpful.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: And let me just add to what Commissioner May is asking for. Also I thought I saw -- somewhere I was reading where they was talking about to dusk. And also I don't know if we need -- I would like to know how far it is. I think we went through this before. And also if it's a lighting issue, what can we do to prevent -- if it's that close to residents, what can we do to prevent the lighting? I don't necessarily play tennis, but I do know that 7:00 or 8:00, because I'm going to leave that up for discussion, I'll opine on that later, but I want to make sure all of it's in there, all of the evidence, so we can make the best informed decision because 7:00 to stop playing tennis when this city is looking for places for people to do things. And it's all over the news now, you know, there's nothing for the kids to do, which it is, the rec centers close too early. So I just want to make sure that, you know -and I appreciate the university submitted, but again we're going to deal with this later and hear from other two parties. But I want to make sure that what Commissioner May -- I wanted to add to what also I'm looking for as well. Any other questions or comments?

Okay.

All right. Ms. Schellin, did we do the dates and stuff, everything?

MS. SCHELLIN: I think the same dates apply -HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
Court Reporting and Litigation Support
Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia
410-766-HUNT (4868)
1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

1 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. 2 MS. SCHELLIN: -- that the Applicant would respond by the 2nd with that, and that way any of the other parties could 3 4 respond to their need for it to be 8:00 or if they're okay with 5 So they would file that by the 2nd. 6 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: And also -- someone also mentioned 7 I don't want to leave dusk out. dusk. 8 MS. SCHELLIN: Well --9 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I want to make sure. Who said it? 10 MS. SCHELLIN: Right. I think what it is, is that the current language is until sunset, and so what they're asking is 11 12 that the hours be 8 p.m. or sunset, whichever is later. So that's 13 what's being added. 14 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Sunset, okay. 15 MS. SCHELLIN: Yeah. 16 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: We'll figure it out. 17 MS. SCHELLIN: And so -- and OP is recommending 7 p.m. 18 or sunset, whichever is later. 19 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. 20 MS. SCHELLIN: Does that clarify it for you? 21 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: And we'll discuss -- we -- yeah, 22 that -- yeah, I recall, but --23 MS. SCHELLIN: Okay. 24 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: -- we'll discuss that as we get 25 closer -- when we get ready to deliberate. HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868)

All right. Anything else on that?

All right, let's go to final action Zoning Commission Case No. 22-11, MCRT Investments, LLC, consolidated PUD and related map amendment at Square 43 -- I'm sorry, 439S.

Ms. Schellin?

MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, sir. At Exhibits 91 through 93, you have the Applicant's proffers and conditions and a draft order that they provided. Exhibit 94 is an NCPC report which provides that the requests would not be inconsistent with the comp plan nor adversely affect any federal interest. Then at Exhibits 95, 96, 97, and 98 you have responses from the ANC with regard to the ANC's understanding of the Applicant's acceptance or in whole or in part of the ANC's conditions of approval, and the Applicant's response. So they're -- the -- each of them provided a first and a second response. The ANC did that, and then the Applicant did so. So this is before the Commission for consideration of final action. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you.

I want to thank both the Applicant as well as the ANC, ANC in particular. They don't get paid for this, and they did line item for line item with the six conditions as discussed. Unless my colleagues have something else other than that, I would like to go through all six of the conditions proposed by the ANC and the responses by the Applicant and go through all of them and see if we're moved, if we want to make any changes, or if we

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

want to throw it out or we want to send it back or whatever we want to do with it as a Commission. So unless somebody has anything else as to -- the way I would like to move forward.

Okay. Let's look at ANC condition number one. And I'm going to read most of it until I maybe get the Vice Chair to help me with some of it. But I'll read. ANC condition one says the Applicant should provide at least 21 percent of the residential units, no less than 42 units as affordable housing at 60 percent to 80 percent of the MFI, consistent with the recommendations of the Office of Attorney General. The problem again, and I think Commissioner May has opined on this a number of times, and I'm not going to get into a whole lot of it, but I think there's a misinterpretation and IZ and Plus calculations are all incorrect. And I would beg the Office of Attorney General to stop misrepresenting our regulations because it causes a problem and it causes confusion in the community. Anyway, I'll just leave it at that.

The way they arrived to the calculations and arrived to the porposed 21 percent IZ requirement erroneous treated building projections and penthouse habitable spaces, PUD bonus density, we've said -- I don't know how many more times we can say it, maybe all of us need to start saying more often, and I think that causes a problem. But let me open it up. Anybody else have any issues with the ANC condition and the response from the Applicant.

And no -- so we can just leave it alone?

Commissioner Imamura?

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: I don't have any particular issues. I just want to make a comment that if anybody is unclear Commissioner May has given a master class on this, so go back through the record and watch it again.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Right. Well, maybe we'll send Commissioner May to OAG and they can help -- he can -- because we wrote it, so we know it. And I -- it just gets so confusing. We're confused enough with enough stuff. We don't need to confuse the public too. So I don't know. Maybe Commissioner May maybe we'll offer -- if you don't mind, maybe you'd offer that, and you can do a -- when they're presenting to the community, you can go with them and explain that part to them. And I'm not trying to put them down. I'd just like for them to trade the right information.

COMMISSIONER MAY: Yeah, well, thank you for that, both the compliment and the new assignment. I think I will not take you up on the new assignment, but I really -- like you, I hope we are, you know, some of this information starts to break through and OAG and other groups who've voiced this desire to apply the IZ Plus standards to a PUD, that they, you know, come to understand that PUD is very different from a map amendment with IZ Plus, and we get other benefits. The city gets other benefits. The neighborhood gets other benefits out of it. And yeah, that

neighborhood gets other benefits out of it. And yeah, that

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

is I guess to some extent at the expense of inclusionary zoning, but, you know, there's more to a project than just the affordability component. So yeah, I'm -- I hope it will sink in.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Anybody else, Commissioner Imamura or Vice Chair Miller, anything to add?

VICE CHAIR MILLER: No, I don't know how many times we can state and restate what our regulations require and don't require. The IZ Plus is -- map amendments without PUDs are treated differently than map amendments with PUDs. That was a conscious decision by the Zoning Commission. It was debated, it was discussed, it was revisited at some point. But clearly the IZ Plus is not required when there's a PUD, which is evaluating all of the public benefits, which, of course, affordable housing is the most important under the comp plan, but it's not the only one -- only public benefit. And when you compare the amount of affordable housing with what would be permitted as a matter of right, and you do correct calculations of what's included in the OAG did, development versus what they're providing more affordable housing than even IZ would require.

So it is a public benefit, contrary to what OAG is saying and what the affected ANC is, of course, relying on when they hear OAG -- OAG says it's this way, so it must be that way. But it's a misinterpretation/misstatement of our regulations. It

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

may be something that we revisit when we have pending cases on inclusionary zoning, but currently that is not the regulation and a lot affordable housing and public benefits are being provided with this project. So I'm prepared to vote for final, as I did on proposed action. But there are other conditions that they had asked for that you're going to go through, Mr. Chairman, so I'm -- let's go through them all.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Thank you.

And again, I want to thank the ANC and the Applicant. I really appreciate them putting it down so I can read through it, and we could read through it. So, okay, so condition two, the Applicant should continue to pare back the FAR so the project falls within the required limits. And that's what the ANC put as one of their conditions. They wanted to scale it down, the FAR. So the response was the Applicant reduced the proposed density from 8.64 FAR when the application was initially filed to 8.21 FAR as currently proposed, which is less than the maximum permitted density of 8.64 FAR for a PUD in the MU-10 zone.

So that's the response. The -- basically what the assertion is is that the Applicant has already come in under FAR -- even under -- to a lower FAR than which they actually could achieve. So they came in from an 8.64 down to a 8.21 FAR as currently proposed. And the way I get it is that the -- at least from the -- what the ANC -- and I've actually said this before too, they want them to scale back even more. But let me see if HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

anybody has been moved by that condition.

Commissioner May? Or the request.

COMMISSIONER MAY: So, yeah, I mean, it's -- I have a hard time wrapping my head around this concern because, I mean, yeah, they want it to be smaller. And I understand the desire to make it smaller. And I think that's what they're trying to say is that it should be a smaller building. But to suggest that it is somehow noncompliant with the zoning regulations or the zone that is proposed for the site is simply incorrect. I mean, this is, you know, the Applicant states it very clearly that the proposed FAR is within the limits of the proposed zone with a PUD. And we have that flexibility, and that zone is appropriate for this location for a map amendment. So it's -- I mean, I get it. They want it to be smaller. But it's not a matter of not complying with current -- or sorry, with the limits embedded in the zoning regulations. That's a misdirection.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay.

Anybody else?

Commissioner Imamura, any comments on that condition?

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: I do not. Thank you,

21 Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Great. And thank you, Commissioner Imamura. It doesn't mean we have to comment on all of them. And I agree with you. I just wanted to make sure we have -- afford everybody the opportunity.

Vice Chair Miller, any comments?

VICE CHAIR MILLER: No, I agree with what you've stated, Mr. Chairman and Commissioner May. And I would just also add that in addition to the FAR being scaled back from the initial proposal, the lot occupancy was scaled back as well. So initially 88.7 percent, and when they -- when it was initially filed, and when it got down to proposed action, it had been reduced to 82 percent, which is just 2 percent beyond the 80 percent maximum lot occupancy. So it's kind of nominal. So there has been a paring back of both the density and the lot occupancy. So thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay.

Give me one second. I had the ANC report open, and it went away. Because this next one, I wanted to take it verbatim from the ANC's report. Give me one moment please. As soon as I got ready to talk about it, I clicked on something and it went away.

VICE CHAIR MILLER: If you want me to read it, I have it in front of me, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yeah, could you read what the ANC said about -- I know it's about the ANC's conditional support does not alter its commitment to the Southwest plan. It was something like that, but I -- yeah, if you can read what the ANC said, yeah.

VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yeah, I'm reading from their

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

Court Reporting and Litigation Support

Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia

410-766-HUNT (4868)

1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

Exhibit No. 95, point number three, which is -- it's number three, that this -- their "conditional support shall in no way be considered altering the commitment of ANC 6D to the Southwest Small Area Plan as the foundation document for all future development in Southwest or its support for the primacy of all small area plans as adopted elsewhere throughout the District to guide development in local communities in each of our eight wards." That was the -- their clarification: their conditional support does not alter their commitment to the Southwest Small Area Plan.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: So I'm -- you know, I don't proclaim to know everything, but I've actually -- this -- while it may be simple, I read -- maybe I read it too many times. I am trying to figure the -- even though the Applicant acknowledged it to this commitment, but I was trying to figure out what were we -- I mean, what were we trying to -- what we're achieving here, what's actually being done, are we following the Small Area Plan, which I think we do anyway? So I just wasn't getting that. And -- because I think this goes to future developments in that area. So I don't know. Maybe my -- maybe that's a question I'll ask the ANC the next time we see them, because I did not -- and I -- and it -- while as simple as it may look, I did not understand it. Maybe I read it too many times. But that's possible.

Anyway, let me open it up. Any questions or comments?

Commissioner May?

1	COMMISSIONER MAY: I agree with you. I'm sort of
2	confused by this, but I think it comes down to a fundamental
3	difference in the interpretation of what the small area plan for
4	Southwest says. And I feel pretty confident that this project,
5	as proposed, is consistent with the Southwest Small Area Plan.
6	And I think the Office of Planning's testimony and exhibits, you
7	know, demonstrate that as well. And they're the ones who wrote
8	the plan with community input, of course. So I don't really
9	understand why this qualifier is necessary, but I think it just
10	comes down to a difference in interpretation of what the Southwest
11	Small Area Plan says. But I think it's consistent, and we should
12	be confident in moving forward.
13	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Commissioner Imamura, anything to
14	add?
15	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I
16	align myself with you and Commissioner May. This was a bit
17	confusing the way it was written. So I'd just remind everyone
18	that simple writing is good writing. I'm not sure that this is
19	an actual condition, so it's just taking up real estate.
20	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I like that. Simple writing is good
21	writing. And I wish I had known that when I was in ninth grade,
22	and my English teacher always told me T had my writing was

But anyway, anyway, Vice Chair Miller?

23

24

25

terrible.

VICE CHAIR MILLER: I concur with everyone's comments.

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Great. So we'll keep it Now, the next condition, I may paraphrase some orders, the ANC's condition was, which I felt was excellent, "rather than providing 100,000 to Habitat for Humanity as a public benefit, the Applicant should reallocate this contribution to the parentteacher organizations of Amidon-Bowen Elementary School, and the Richard Wright Public Charter School. And the Applicant should make the contributions immediately upon recommendation of the And I think the Applicant's response, the Applicant "is committed to making 100,000 contribution to Habitat which will advance the District's goals of providing more for sale family-sized affordable housing in Ward 6." I thought there was more to that. Okay. But -- so looking back at this again, it looks like the ANC wanted them not to provide to Habitat for Humanity, but the Applicant's response was making a 100,000 contribution to Habitat which will help them to continue to engage the District's goals for providing more for sale family-sized, affordable housing in Ward 6, which I think is what we're trying to achieve. I don't know, let me hear from -- I don't know if we could -- we can't change what's proffered. Let me hear from others. Yeah, we can't change what's proffered. Let me hear from others.

Commissioner May?

COMMISSIONER MAY: Yeah. You know, I appreciate the HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
Court Reporting and Litigation Support
Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia
410-766-HUNT (4868)

fact that the ANC thinks the money should be spent differently. And you know, certainly they're -- you know, I'm happy for them to make that case to the Applicant, but when it comes to something like this, what's before us is what's before us, and we can decide it's, you know, adequate or it is not, but we cannot unilaterally direct that the dollars be spent in a different way. And I don't feel like the argument here is strong enough that we should even, you know, sort of send it back for further negotiation between the Applicant and the ANC because I think that there's, you know, all of the things that are discussed as potential recipients of these dollars, they're all beneficial.

And I think, you know, any assortment of them would be good. And what's before us is what's before us. It's not like, you know, their -- the proposed donation is a bad thing; it's just not exactly what the ANC wants. So I'm prepared to accept it for what it is and do not see a need to send it back for further negotiation.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay.

Commissioner Imamura, any comments?

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I'm certain the PTO would welcome \$100,000, and I'm certain they could probably put it to good use. But for me, I think this is really about impact. So I think there's greater impact in what the Applicant has proffered to make this donation to Habitat and with a much broader larger goal for the city. So that's all I

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

have to add.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: And Vice Chair Miller, anything?

VICE CHAIR MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I agree with what each of you -- each of my colleagues has said and what -- I also agree with the Applicant's response in Exhibit 96 discussing this request. If I can just read it briefly. "The Applicant is committed to making \$100,000 contribution to Habitat, which will advance the District's goals of providing more for sale family-sized affordable housing in Ward 6. The contribution will help subsidize downpayment assistance for future homeowners and/or lower the cost of construction, will directly benefit District households at 50 and 60 percent of the MFI who would not otherwise qualify to purchase a new home in Ward 6, which has a high median home value. Habitat submitted a letter in support of this proposal and is anticipating receipt of the funds."

The two schools which the ANC asked that the money be given to instead, I'm sure are very worthy recipients, but as the Applicant pointed out, Amidon and Richard Wright schools did not even participate in the subject case, had not indicated specifically a specific need that this PUD should address. The only school that was impacted by the PUD directly was Jefferson Middle School, which the Applicant has significantly engaged with and is making a substantial contribution to. So with that, I support the Applicant's response to the ANC's condition in this HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

particular case.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay.

Let's go on to the ANC condition number five. "If the Applicant's contribution to My Ly for the public art is directed through a management entity and such involvement should be a pro bono contribution by the Applicant with no additional fees extracted." And the response was "The Applicant will make the proffered contributions directly to the My Ly, the artists for the design, fabrication, and installation of the public art. The contribution will not be directed through any entity for management purposes." So the way I read that, unless my colleagues disagree, I think they -- we're fine -- everybody's fine with that, they agree, there's no fees coming out, they're not going through a third party who's going to cut some of it out. So I think we all -- I think ANC and the Applicant agree on that.

And the last and final condition from the ANC is "The final order should include a construction management plan with Jefferson Town Square Towers, the wharf, the banks, and the Applicant, in addition to a dog waste management plan." "The Applicant will abide by the CMP submitted to the case record on August 26, 2022. The CMP will establish a community advisory committee," which I think is important, "to oversee and coordinate community concerns during construction of the project.

25 The Applicant will work with the ANC 6D to establish this
HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

committee and coordinate quarterly meetings." And it goes on and on. "The Applicant is also in the process of finalizing separate CMP with Jefferson Middle School," I think as requested by the ANC.

And finally "All project residents will be expected to abide by all District regulations that require dog walkers to pick up dog waste." See -- yeah, I need to print that around here. Okay. So -- all right. I don't have anything to add with that. I think while -- when I look at all -- I'm just going to talk about all the conditions right quick, and I'll go back to -- let me -- let me see did anybody have any other comments on condition six?

COMMISSIONER MAY: No, I think the Applicant's response is sufficient.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yeah, I do too. And I still know where the ANC -- I'm still -- we're still trying to figure out -- and I noticed that it was captured. I'm still trying to figure out how to get the ANC where I think that they are trying to get to. But we also have regulations we have to go by. But I want to still -- don't -- I want the ANC not to get discouraged, to keep on doing what they're doing. But make sure we have the correct information in our regulations, especially with condition one. But other than that, I -- the negotiation, the give-and-take, I think I appreciate the time.

I really appreciate the ANC, while you might not have HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

L	got all six, a for of it you I believe that you did get,
2	especially which was applicable. But I still think that we still
3	need to continue to work hard to try to continue to get the things
4	that communities want. And I think this is a prime example. And
5	I really appreciate all the work that Commissioner Kramer and the
5	ANC did, especially for laying it out for me to be able to see
7	where the issues are. And I did notice that they did speak about
3	some other issues, and we've got to figure out how to get them
9	there. That's kind of where I am, as long as we stay within the
10	regulations. So anyway, I've talked enough on that, this soapbox.
11	Any other closing comments or questions or anything else?
12	All right. So would somebody like to make a motion,
13	or does anybody have anything else to add? Somebody like to make
14	a motion to approve this?
15	COMMISSIONER MAY: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to make a
16	motion. I move that the Zoning Commission approve Case No. 22-
17	11, MCRT Investments, LLC, consolidated PUD and related map
18	amendment at Square 439S. This will be final action. Thank you.
19	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: It's been moved, any second?
20	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Second.
21	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Been moved and properly second. Any
22	further discussion?
23	Not hearing any, Ms. Schellin, would you do a roll call
24	vote please?
25	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner May?
	HUNT REPORTING COMPANY  Court Reporting and Litigation Support  Serving Maryland Washington and Virginia

410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

1	COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes.
2	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Imamura?
3	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Yes.
4	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Hood?
5	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yes.
6	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Miller?
7	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yes.
8	MS. SCHELLIN: The vote is four to zero to one to
9	approve final action on Zoning Commission Case No. 22-11. The
10	minus one being the third mayoral appointee position which is
11	vacant.
12	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you.
13	And again, I want to encourage the Applicant to
14	continue to work with the ANC, as they already as they have
15	stated in their submission, so.
16	All right. Let's move right on. Thank you, colleagues
17	for just going through that. See if we can get to the next case.
18	Maybe I need a new mouse because it takes me a minute to get
19	there. Give me one second.
20	COMMISSIONER MAY: Yeah, go ahead, blame the mouse.
21	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Maybe I need Archie or somebody to
22	come over here to show me how to work it.
23	Okay. Let's go to Zoning Commission Case No. 22-19,
24	McDonald's Corporation, map amendment at Square 3786.
25	Ms. Schellin?
	HUNT REPORTING COMPANY  Court Reporting and Litigation Support  Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia  410-766-HUNT (4868)

1	MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, sir. At Exhibit 41, you have the
2	NCPC report, which NCPC determined the application was exempt
3	from NCPC's review. Exhibit 42 is the Applicant's draft order.
4	This case too is ready for the Commission to consider final
5	action. Thank you.
6	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. We have for me, I've hashed
7	so much about McDonald's Corporation in this case and this is
8	what the community want and a map amendment and going to the MU-
9	7B and the MU-5, and I don't even want to talk about. I don't
10	even want a cheeseburger no more. No, I'm just playing. But
11	anyway, let me open it up for any questions or comments.
12	Let me Vice Chair Miller, you have any questions or
13	comments?
14	COMMISSIONER MAY: Vice Chair is muted and not on
15	camera.
16	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: All right.
17	Well, let me Commissioner Imamura, you have any
18	questions or comments?
19	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I just
20	want to point out I think that Commissioner May was the holdout
21	in dissent for this particular case on the good grounds of best
22	planning practices. And while I'm in agreement with Commissioner
23	May on those principles, however, I abandon better judgment and
24	I'm prepared to support this. I think there is probably a I
25	think there's a way to even though this is a map amendment,  HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

but really the thrust of this is the fact that the neighborhood, for good reasons that were presented at the hearing, had advocated for this drive-thru. I think there is a safe way to do that in spite of DOT's objections to this. So with that, I'm prepared to vote in favor of this case.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay.

Let me go back to Vice Chair Miller, because I believe Commissioner May is somewhere else on this. Vice Chair Miller?

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Yes, he's somewhere else.

VICE CHAIR MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I support proceeding with final action this evening, as I did at proposed action. I think this is a zoning consistency case, and the answers to my questions about the medium versus moderate density were answered sufficiently previously in the record. And so I think it is consistent with the comp plan designation, and I'm prepared to move -- and the neighborhood is supportive of it largely, so I'm supportive of it going forward.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. And I would just echo both of my colleagues' comments. I'm in support of, but I don't -- I think I've exhausted myself on this one, especially during proposed action, so I will leave it alone and let my actions or my comments at that particular proceeding also carry over into my comments for this one.

So let me go to Commissioner May.

COMMISSIONER MAY: I don't think I have anything more

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

Court Reporting and Litigation Support

Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia

410-766-HUNT (4868)

1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

1	to say than I said last time around, and I will not be voting in
2	favor, but I can count the votes, as you say.
3	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: All right.
4	So with that, would somebody like to make a motion, or
5	did I make it?
6	All right. I move that we approve Zoning Commission
7	Case No. 20-19, McDonald's Corporation, map amendment at Square
8	3786, and ask for a second.
9	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Second.
10	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: It was a slow second, but thank you.
11	It's been moved and properly second. Any further
12	discussion?
13	VICE CHAIR MILLER: I had not unmuted myself. It was
14	a very quick it was so quick I hadn't unmuted myself.
15	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: So the first second, the only person
16	that heard it was you and anybody in the house. Okay. I got
17	you. All right.
18	It's been moved and properly second. Any further
19	discussion?
20	Not hearing, Ms. Schellin, would you do a roll call
21	vote please?
22	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Hood?
23	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yes.
24	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Miller?
25	COMMISSIONER MILLER: Yes.
	HUNT REPORTING COMPANY  Court Reporting and Litigation Support  Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia  410-766-HUNT (4868)

1	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Imamura?
2	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Yes.
3	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner May?
4	COMMISSIONER MAY: No.
5	MS. SCHELLIN: So the vote is three to one to one.
6	Commissioner May opposed, and the third mayoral appointee
7	position being vacant and not voting. So the final action passes
8	to approve Case No. 22-19.
9	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I'm only going to say this for a
10	lighter moment, because I've been working with Commissioner May,
11	and I'm really just joking, but I'm going to say this, I don't
12	want to see him in the drive-thru getting a cheeseburger. No.
13	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: On his bike.
14	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Oh, no. And the bike too? Okay.
15	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: On his bike.
16	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Yeah, I have done drive-thrus
17	on a bike, but I don't think I will be visiting this drive-thru.
18	But you never know, anything's possible.
19	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Aren't you advocating for a bike-
20	thru lane at fast food establishments?
21	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Oh, my goodness. Let's go on.
22	Actually, riding a bike really keeps you in good shape. It does.
23	COMMISSIONER MAY: It does.
24	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yeah. I was watching people riding
25	the other day when I was driving past them. It does.  HUNT REPORTING COMPANY  Court Reporting and Litigation Support  Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia  410-766-HUNT (4868)

All right. Let's go.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER MAY: And I watch people when I'm riding my bike and driving past them in traffic in their cars stuck behind all the other cars. It's a great feeling.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: All right. Let's go to Zoning Commission Case No. 22-22, Preservation D.C. L&6, LLC, map amendment at Square 49.

Ms. Schellin?

Yeah, so the first thing is at MS. SCHELLIN: Exhibit 23, there was a request to reopen the record from Leroy Thorpe, that the Chairman did approve, and that submission is that Exhibit 23A. At Exhibit 24 and 24A is the submission from the Applicant with regard to the outreach to civic associations. Exhibit 25 is an NCPC report stating that the proposed map amendment is not inconsistent with the comp plan nor the National Capitol and would not adversely affect any other -- impact any other -- affect identified federal interests. Exhibit 26 is the Applicant's response to the submission from Mr. Thorpe. And then Exhibit 27 is the Applicant's draft order. This case too is ready for the Commission to consider final action. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Schellin.

And I know, a number of us know the work of Commissioner
-- former Commissioner Thorpe, who also had a lot to do with
cleaning up the Shaw area, so I want to be respectful to him.

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

But I also want to make sure on the record that Mr. Thorpe knows we did not ignore him. The many times that he told us that blacks were not being included, we've heard it. That's why I asked for this information. I do know that the ANCs go back and forth between 2E to 6E, 2G, and I get all that. But I wanted to make sure that as he stated to us more than once and not just in this case that certain people were not included in the process, the good part about it is going forward, and I want Mr. Thorpe and all those to know who feel like they're not being included, the racial equity tool, which is mandated in the comprehensive plan by the City Council of the District of Columbia to us, we have a tool that some of this will definitely go away if that's a potential problem in that area. So I want Mr. Thorpe to know that we are not disregarding his comments. In Exhibit 26, the Applicant responded to some of this.

And I'm not going to get into whether there's a civic association in this area or whether there's not one. I'm not going to get into that, because in this city, there's a charter, and under the charter, it speaks to this. And some have went - not necessarily kept up with their membership, but they're still a civic group. So I don't want to get into that, just because I'm always encouraging people to continue to do the process. So anyway, let me open it up. I just wanted to make sure I put that out there first, because we are not ignoring anyone's comments of not being included in the process. But HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

again, the racial equity tool, I think will help, really help, 1 2 dissolve a lot of that. So any questions or comments? Commissioner May? 3 COMMISSIONER MAY: I have no further comments. I think 4 5 you summed up things pretty well, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you. 6 7 Commissioner Imamura? 8 COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: No further comments. CHAIRPERSON HOOD: And Vice Chair Miller? 9 10 VICE CHAIR MILLER: I have no further comments. Т 11 concur. 12 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. 13 All right. So with that, I don't think other than that 14 we had a whole lot during that hearing, other than what I'd asked for. So would somebody like to make a motion? 15 16 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Mr. Chairman, I would move that the 17 Zoning Commission take final action on Case No. 18 Preservation D.C. L&6, LLC, map amendment at Square 49 and ask for a second. 19 20 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I'll second it. 21 It's been moved and properly second. Any further discussion? 2.2 23 Not hearing any, Ms. Schellin, would you do a roll call 24 vote please? 25 MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Miller? HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868)

1	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yes.
2	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Hood?
3	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yes.
4	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner May?
5	COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes.
6	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Imamura?
7	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Yes.
8	MS. SCHELLIN: The vote is four to zero to one to
9	approve final action for Case No. 22-22. The minus one being
10	the third mayoral appointee position, which is vacant.
11	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Mr. Chairman, did we say that IZ
12	Plus would be associated with that map amendment? If I I
13	meant to say that as part of my motion. I don't know if we have
14	to redo it, but I meant to say the map amendment with IZ Plus.
15	I think we said that at the original proposed action.
16	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: So noted. I think unless I hear any
17	objections, IZ Plus will be included.
18	MN. SCHELLIN: It was at proposed action, yes.
19	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. So we say it again.
20	Thank you, Vice Chair Miller.
21	All right. I think we're down to no, not oh,
22	time extensions. Okay. Let's go to Zoning Commission Case No.
23	20-06C, Felice Development Group, two-Year PUD time extension at
24	Square 1048-S.
25	Ms. Schellin?
	HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868)

MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, the Applicant is requesting a two-year time extension to extend the -- to extend filing for a building permit to their approved PUD to April 23rd, 2026. At Exhibit 4, there's an OP report recommending approval of the time extension. ANC 6B has actually, as of this evening, they have not submitted a response. But the requisite time for their response has elapsed, 30 days, so the Commission can move forward on this case, if they choose to do so. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay.

Commissioners, I know a lot of times we always are very cautious about this, but as noted that the 30 days has elapsed, and the request is before us, let me hear if anybody would like to continue to give ANC 6B, even though we've given them a chance, if they'd like to give them additional time and any comments on this two-year time extension, as requested.

Commissioner May?

COMMISSIONER MAY: Yeah, based on my recollection of the initial case, I'm not concerned about moving forward at this point without having heard from the ANC, you know, and especially given that they've had 30 days to weigh in on it. So I am comfortable moving ahead with the discussion of a two-year time extension, and I'm in favor of it. This is the first one since the project got reconfigured, I think, and so we don't need to waive anything. And certainly there are some extenuating circumstances. And this is going to be a difficult project to HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

1	get built in the first place. So I'm happy to give them the
2	flexibility that they need.
3	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay.
4	Commissioner Imamura?
5	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Nothing further to add,
6	Mr. Chairman. I think that Commissioner May provided a great
7	summary.
8	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: And Vice Chair Miller?
9	VICE CHAIR MILLER: I concur with my colleagues'
10	comments.
11	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. I too don't have anything to
12	add. I think the record speaks for itself. The request, I think,
13	is warranted as already been stated by my colleagues. So with
14	that, I will move the two-year time extension as requested under
15	Zoning Commission Case No. 20-06C and ask for a second.
16	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Second.
17	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Been moved and properly second. Any
18	further discussion?
19	Not hearing, Ms. Schellin, would you do a roll call
20	vote please?
21	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Hood?
22	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yes.
23	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Miller?
24	COMMISSIONER MILLER: Yes.
25	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner May?  HUNT REPORTING COMPANY  Court Reporting and Litigation Support  Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia  410-766-HUNT (4868)  1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes. 1 2 MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Imamura? COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: 3 Yes. The vote is four to zero to one to 4 MS. SCHELLIN: approve the time extension in Case No. 20-06C, Commissioner --5 6 the minus one being the third mayoral appointee position. 7 you. 8 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Next, hearing action Zoning 9 Commission Case No. 22-32, 1232 Shift Cubed Partners, LLC, 10 consolidated PUD and related map amendment at Square 772. I'm not sure who -- is that Ms. --11 12 MS. SCHELLIN: Ms. Myers. 13 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Ms. Myers. Okay. 14 Ms. Myers? I think you can hit star six if you're on 15 the phone. 16 MS. MYERS: Hello? 17 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: There you go, Ms. Myers. You may 18 begin. Good evening. 19 Good evening, Commissioners. MS. MYERS: Yes. The 20 Office of Planning recommends set down of Case 22-32, which is a 21 consolidated PUD and related map amendment at 1232 4th Street, The proposal would rezone the site from PDR-1 to MU-9B and 22 23 build a 98-unit all affordable apartment building. All the units would be no more than 60 percent of the median family income and 24 25 20 percent of the units would be at or below 30 percent of the HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868)

median family income. The building would be 105 feet tall and have a 8.03 FAR. Okay. Sorry. Can you change the slide now? Thank you.

The site is a -- at lot 17 in Square 772 and is currently the location of a vacant industrial building. It sits between a four-story public charter school to the north and an 11-story mixed use building to the south and west. The square, Square 772, has been the location of several other recently approved and constructed PUD projects, the most recent is Zoning Commission Case 21-26 on Florida Avenue, which is a similar mixed use affordable housing project that was approved last year. Next slide please?

On balance, this PUD is not inconsistent with the comprehensive plan maps. The site is designated for a mix of high density residential, high density commercial and PDR development on the future land use map and is a part of the central Washington policy area on the general policy map. Though it would provide less PDR space on the site than is recommended, it would provide much more needed affordable housing to the central Washington area which is strongly recommended in the comprehensive plan. When evaluated through a racial equity lens, the proposal would not be inconsistent with the comprehensive plan. The site is within the central Washington planning area. The area has a majority white population and a median income that is higher than the District-wide average.

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
Court Reporting and Litigation Support
Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia
410-766-HUNT (4868)
1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

1	The Commission's racial equity tool serves as a guide
2	to considering potential impacts. The tool starts by asking what
3	is the expected goal of the zoning action. In this case, the
4	goal is 98 affordable units for incomes between 30 percent and
5	60 percent median family income in a transit-oriented building.
6	These units would allow more households to live in the central
7	Washington planning area. The building would also provide a
8	community art space where people of all backgrounds and ages
9	would be able to connect and participate. OP is generally
10	supportive of the proposal, but will need more information about
11	the PDR space, the court sizes, the Pepco vault location and the
12	project's inclusionary zoning set aside for when the units are
13	no longer affordable. And with that, I will conclude my
14	testimony. I am happy to take any questions. Thank you.
15	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you, Ms. Myers.
16	Let's see if we have any questions or comments.
17	Commissioner May?
18	COMMISSIONER MAY: Yeah, I don't really have any
19	questions or comments. I mean, this is an interesting project
20	in a relatively small parcel surrounded by a whole lot of
21	redevelopment. And so, yeah, it's an it will be an interesting

23 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay.

22

24

25

And Commissioner Imamura?

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. If the HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia

410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

project to discuss when it comes up for a hearing. Thank you.

Commission so decides to set this down, I'm interested to hear a 1 2 little bit more about the project. That's all that I have. Thank you, Ms. Myers. 3 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: 4 Thank you. Vice Chair Miller? 5 6 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 7 supportive of setting this down -- this case down for a public 8 hearing. I appreciate the racial equity analysis provided by 9 both the Office of Planning and by the Applicant in their 10 application. And I think there are a lot of commendable aspects to this all-affordable residential project with 20 percent under 11 12 30 percent MFI, so I too want to hear more details about the 13 project at the public hearing, and will -- we will undoubtedly 14 hear more details and have questions at that point. Thank you. 15 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you. And I would agree. Ι 16 also would like for the Applicant, if they have not already done 17 so, to respond to the Office of Planning's comments in their 18 report. 19 Thank you, Ms. Myers for the report. 20 Any other follow-up questions? 21 All right. Well, thank you, Ms. Myers. We appreciate 22 your report. 23 So with that, somebody like to make a motion to set it 24 I think we're going forward. 25 COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Sure. I'll make a motion, HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

1	Mr. Chairman. I move the Zoning Commission take proposed action
2	or take I move that the Zoning Commission set down Zoning
3	Case No. 22-32, 1232 Shift Cubed Partners, LLC, consolidated PUD
4	and related map amendment at Square 772.
5	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I'll second it. It's been moved and
6	properly second. Any further discussion?
7	Not hearing any, Ms. Schellin, would you do a roll call
8	vote please?
9	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Imamura?
10	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Yes.
11	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Hood?
12	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yes.
13	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner May?
14	COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes.
15	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Miller?
16	COMMISSIONER MILLER: Yes.
17	MS. SCHELLIN: The vote is four to zero to one to set
18	down Zoning Commission Case No. 22-32 as a contested case. The
19	minus one being the third mayoral appointee position which is
20	vacant.
21	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: All right. Ms. Schellin, do we have
22	anything else on the agenda for tonight?
23	MS. SCHELLIN: I have nothing else.
24	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. The Zoning Commission will
25	meet again give me one second January the 30th on these  HUNT REPORTING COMPANY  Court Reporting and Litigation Support  Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia  410-766-HUNT (4868)  1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

same platforms at the same time, and that case is Zoning Commission Case 22-12, MidAtlantic Neighborhood Development Corporation on these same platforms at 4 p.m. I want to thank everyone for their participation in the meeting this evening.

And Ms. Schellin, do we need to get a group of people together to practice to figure out -- try to figure out what's going on? If not --

MS. SCHELLIN: No, I'm actually going to meet with Mr. Young to see about my settings, because there's a difference between the meeting and the webinar, and we use both, unlike BZA. So we're going to check on that and see. It's only happened with two. So I don't know what it is. It's worked fine on all the others. It's just been two recent ones.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Well, if you need us to practice, just let us know, and we'll log on earlier to make sure we can get on, especially -- and then we -- I'm sure we'd get plenty of volunteers from the public. So whatever you need, let's do that. And we can try to get the --

MS. SCHELLIN: We can get someone from the staff -- from staff because they had issues too. So it's not just the public.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Oh, okay. Okay. All right. So anyway, whatever we need to do, let's try to work together and get that -- it happens.

MS. SCHELLIN: We will.

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
Court Reporting and Litigation Support
Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia
410-766-HUNT (4868)
1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

1	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Because every time you turn this
2	stuff on, sometimes my settings are low, they're high, down, up,
3	I don't know. Well
4	MS. SCHELLIN: Yeah.
5	COMMISSIONER MAY: Blame the mouse.
6	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Maybe it's yeah, the mouse. Maybe
7	it's the operator, so I need to get
8	MS. SCHELLIN: The mouse.
9	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: All right. So with that, I'm going
10	to thank everybody for the meeting tonight, and we'll see y'all
11	Monday. Good night, and have a great weekend.
12	MS. SCHELLIN: Thank you. Have a good weekend.
13	COMMISSIONER MAY: Bye.
14	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter was adjourned.)
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support
	Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868)

## CERTIFICATION

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Public Meeting

Before: DCZC

Date: 01-26-23

Place: Teleconference

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

CARL BUILT

GARY EUELL

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
Court Reporting and Litigation Support
Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia
410-766-HUNT (4868)
1-800-950-DEPO (3376)