GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

ZONING COMMISSION

+ + + + +

REGULAR PUBLIC HEARING

+ + + + +

THURSDAY

FEBRUARY 9, 2023

+ + + + +

The Regular Public Hearing of the District of Columbia Zoning Commission convened via teleconference, pursuant to notice at 4:00 p.m. EDT, Anthony Hood, Chairperson, presiding.

ZONING COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT:

ANTHONY J. HOOD, Chairperson ROBERT MILLER, Vice Chairperson JOSEPH IMAMURA, Commissioner PETER MAY, Commissioner

OFFICE OF ZONING STAFF PRESENT:

SHARON SCHELLIN, Secretary
PAUL YOUNG, Zoning Data Specialist

OFFICE OF ZONING LEGAL DIVISION STAFF PRESENT:

JACOB RITTING, ESQUIRE HILLARY LOVICK, ESQUIRE DENNIS LIU, ESQUIRE

The transcript constitutes the minutes from the Regular Public Hearing held on February 9, 2023

T-A-B-L-E O-F C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S

Case No. 20-33A University of District of Columbia	8
Case No. 20-31A American University	14
Case No. 22-06 801 Maine Avenue, S.W., PJV, LLC	17
Case No. 22-09 MCF Heritage, LLC	22
Case No. 21-27 Chun Lam	24
Case No. 22-38 Office of Planning (on behalf of DMPED)	27
Case No. 22-36 TM Associates, LLC and WMATA	35
Case No. 05-28AC	1 2

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

2 (4:00 p.m.)

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. We are convening and broadcasting this public meeting by video conferencing. My name is Anthony Hood. Joining me this evening are Vice Chair Miller, Commissioner May, and Commissioner Imamura. We're also joined by the Office of Zoning staff, Ms. Sharon Schellin, and also Mr. Paul Young, who will be handling all of our virtual operations, also the Office of Zoning legal division, Ms. Hillary Lovick, Mr. Ritting, and Mr. Liu. I will ask all others to introduce themselves at the appropriate time if required.

Copies of today's meeting agenda are available on the Office of Zoning's website. Please be advised that this proceeding is being recorded by a court reporter and is also webcast live, Webex and YouTube Live. The video will be available on the Office of Zoning's website after the meeting.

Accordingly, all those listening on Webex or by phone will be muted during the meeting unless the Commission suggests otherwise. For hearing action items, the only documents before us this evening are the application, the ANC set-down report, and the Office of Planning report. All other documents in the record will be reviewed at the time of the hearing. Again, we do not take any public testimony at our meetings unless the Commission requests someone to speak.

If you experience difficulty accessing Webex or with your phone call-in, then please call our OZ Hotline Number, 202-727-0789 for Webex, log-in, or call-in instructions.

At this time, does the staff have any preliminary matters?

MS. SCHELLIN: Sorry about that. Yes, sir, there is one preliminary matter on the agenda, and that is we need the commissioners to vote for officers for the next year. We always do that at the first meeting in February. So we need to have you guys vote for the chairman and vice chair for the next year.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Schellin.

Commissioners, I'm going to vacate all of these -- our -- I'm going to vacate all of the offices, and I'm going to let somebody else do the process. Maybe I'll ask the vice chair or one of us -- or somebody to do it. I didn't even know that was on the ag- -- I didn't pay no attention. All right.

VICE CHAIR MILLER: Okay. Well, I have very few duties, so I'll take up this one, even though I was unprepared for it. But I am always prepared to nominate Anthony Hood as our Chair of the Zoning Commission. We're -- the city has been blessed to have your leadership of this Commission, with your interaction with the community, with leadership and -- amongst ANC members and elected office- -- other elected officials. This city really has been blessed to have your wisdom and experience in this -- in position as Chairman, and I would re-nominate you for another

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

1	term as Chairman of the Zoning Commission, if that's the
2	appropriate motion that you wanted me to make, Mr. Chairman.
3	COMMISSIONER MAY: I'll second that notion.
4	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: That sounded conflicting. If that's
5	the most you wanted me to make Mr. Chairman, I don't want to
6	anyway. Okay. It's been moved and properly second. I'm going
7	to ask Commissioner Imamura to carry it since I was the one
8	nominated, I don't want to carry the motion. Does that make
9	sense?
10	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner May second it.
11	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Sure, I hope you got
12	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Commissioner May second, okay.
13	MS. SCHELLIN: Yeah.
14	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: And Commissioner Imamura, if you
15	could carry it?
16	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Sure, it's been moved and
17	properly seconded. So Ms. Schellin, will you do a roll call vote
18	please?
19	MS. SCHELLIN: Sure.
20	Commissioner Miller?
21	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yes.
22	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner May?
23	COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes.
24	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Hood?
25	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yes.
	HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Imamura?

2 COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Yes.

MS. SCHELLIN: The vote is four to zero to one to keep Chairman Hood -- or to keep Commissioner Hood as Chairman for the '23-'24 year, the minus one being the third mayoral appointee position.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: So I'm going to get on the other mayoral appointee for not voting for me, nah, I'm just -- so I too now since I'm the -- been re-elected Chair, I thank you. But I also would like to nominate Commissioner Miller again to serve as Vice Chair. And he gave me a lot of accolades, but I think his accolades go even further than mine. All the nuances and all the things that he's done -- impacts he's made in the city, the things that he has done, I think all of us will be recipients of it for years to come. He's worked in many capacities where I know personally my family has benefited. He's helped a lot of residents in the city, and as he continues to do here on the Zoning Commissioner. So I would move that we also re-elect Vice Chair Miller as the Vice Chair of the Zoning Commission and ask for a second.

COMMISISONER IMAMURA: Second.

22 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I was about to say -- it's been 23 moved and properly second. Any further discussion?

COMMISSIONER MAY: I would just say I would have happily second, but I just wanted to give Commissioner Imamura the HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

1 opportunity. 2 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Oh, okay. COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: All right. 3 4 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Pretty sure we know that. I know, 5 I already know, so. It's been moved and properly second -- any 6 further discussion, we did that -- Ms. Schellin, would you do a roll call vote? 7 8 MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, sir. Commissioner Hood? 9 10 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yes. 11 MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Imamura? 12 COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Yes. 13 MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner May? 14 COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes. 15 MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Miller? 16 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yes. 17 MS. SCHELLIN: The vote's four to zero to one to have 18 Commissioner Miller as Vice Chairman for the '23-'24 year, the 19 minus one being the third mayoral appointee which is vacant. 20 Thank you. CHAIRPERSON HOOD: So on behalf of Vice Chair Miller 21 and myself, I want to thank you both, and we continue to look 22 23 forward to working together. And let's get to work now for the best interests of the city. So thank you. 24 25 COMMISSIONER MAY: Yeah, I just want to say I expect HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

you guys to work harder this year than you did last year. You kind of slacked off last year.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: All right. We will give you that guarantee we'll work harder.

COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay.

6 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I don't know how we can do that, but 7 yeah.

All right. Is there anything else, Michelle?

VICE CHAIR MILLER: I will say this, Commissioner May and Commissioner Imamura make our work easier by the analysis and the arguments that you bring to every case. So I -- we do appreciate your service, as does the city. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER MAY: We appreciate you running the meetings because that's the hardest part.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yeah. Yeah, that is true. But anyway, thank all of you all. I think we do good. And we're missing one person and I'm sure that'll be filled in the next few months or weeks or months. But let's continue to do what we've done. I think there's been four of us now for about six months, I believe, maybe six months. Okay.

All right. Let's move on to the agenda and thank you all again. Let's go first to modification of consequence deliberation on Zoning Commission Case No. 20-33A, University of District of Columbia modification of consequence at Square 1964, there go that number again.

Ms. Schellin? Ms. Schellin, you're on mute.

MS. SCHELLIN: Oh, I must have hit it twice, I'm sorry. Since the Commission determined this application is a modification of consequence, the Applicant submitted at Exhibit 7 responses to what the Commission requested. And so this case is ready for the Commission to decide this case. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. I'm going to open this up for comment. I know what I think -- I know what I believe, but I want to hear from my colleagues. And I was -- when we did this -- brought this up at the last meeting, I wanted to yield to Vice Chair Miller, I know he's a tennis expert, well known about tennis in the city. So you know, I don't know what his thoughts are on this, but I would be interested in -- as being an avid tennis player. I know what my thoughts on being a non-tennis player.

VICE CHAIR MILLER: Well, I'll take the cue, thank you, Mr. Chairman. I support the modification of consequence. I appreciate that the university has responded to community neighborhood feedback, opening up, as all institutions in our city, public or private, should do, open up as much as possible their outstanding facilities to the public at large, especially the surrounding neighborhood that lives with the facilities and their various impacts. So I appreciate the effort to open up to the community an underutilized public, publicly paid for, public asset of these tennis courts, which I, because of my own residential location, happen to see a lot when I go by there and HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

see them locked, empty, usually unused, which is frustrating, given the need for recreational space generally.

So I'm supportive of this going forward. I see that the original proper -- modification was to 8 p.m. or sunset, and there was one neighbor, I believe, who was upset about maybe the lights being on during that 7:00 hour when I guess sunset hasn't occurred. I don't know. I don't -- I don't care. The more use that can be made of the public courts without adversely impacting neighbors who live with them, the better. And so I'm fine with the proposal as modified by the OP's recommendation to go to 7 p.m. because of the neighbor's concern and the ANC agrees with the OP recommendation. So I'm prepared to support this today. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you.

Commissioner May?

COMMISSIONER MAY: Yeah, I agree that we should support making a change here. In terms of what we got in the latest submissions, the -- I didn't -- you know, I think the concern was is there really a significant concern from the neighborhood about staying -- keeping the lights on until 8:00 as opposed to 7:00 and, you know, making sure that we had -- there had been due outreach to the parties from the previous case or from the original case. And you know, based on my reading of what's here and our previous discussion of it, I would say that in spite of what the ANC has endorsed and what OP has endorsed, I think we

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

actually could or should allow the courts to -- or the lights to stay on until 8:00 to maximize the opportunities for the neighbors to make use of this taxpayer-funded facility, as the Vice Chair referred to it. So I'd be in favor of going to 8:00, I would prefer that, but of course I will -- I'll go along with what the consensus is among my fellow commissioners.

VICE CHAIR MILLER: I would just add that, you know, I live next to a recreation facility, D.C. public recreation facility, which I think is -- there's a sign that prominently says closed at sunset. I hear the basketball games going on well after midnight, which is fine. I can modulate my sleep patterns to the bouncing of the ball and the hitting of the backboard. We all live in a community together and I think we -- 7:00 to 8:00, really? Even my grandchild doesn't go to sleep before 8:00, I don't think 'til 9:00.

COMMISSIONER MAY: Yeah. Yeah, I mean, I think it's a matter of, you know, is there glare coming in people's windows and things like that. And I think that, you know, if there are some modifications to the lighting to make sure there's not direct bleed into somebody's window, those things can be done. And -- but I'm with you, it's like 7:00, 8:00, it's like, you know, unless you're working the, you know, the night shift, it's -- you know, 8:00 shouldn't be an issue.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Commissioner Imamura, you got anything to add?

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: I do not, Mr. Chairman. I think 1 2 Vice Chair Miller and Commissioner May have made a very solid arguments and I'm going to --3 4 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: So let me -- so -- thank you. 5 So let me figure out what we're doing here. So we're 6 to adopt -- you all are recommending we adopt the recommendation of Office of Planning and ANC, correct? 7 8 COMMISSIONER MAY: That's not my recommendation. 9 Okay. Okay. Okay. CHAIRPERSON HOOD: 10 COMMISSIONER MAY: 8:00, the original application. CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yeah. Okay. 8:00. Was it 8:00 and 11 12 sunset? 13 COMMISSIONER MAY: 8:00 or sunset. 14 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. I would agree with that. And that's why I wanted to hear because I think it's 7:00 in the 15 16 summer, that's prime time, you got 7:00 to 8:00, I mean, you can 17 see very well. 18 COMMISSIONER MAY: Well, no, I mean, it would be in the 19 shoulder season where 8:00 -- lights on 'til 8:00 is an issue 20 because, you know, in July, it's going to be daylight until, you 21 know, 8:30 or 9:00. 22 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Well, in December and January, I 23 don't think people -- a lot of people out there -- well, in cold 24 weather, let me just leave it at that. 25 COMMISSIONER MAY: Today. Today you could be out there. HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868)

1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

1 It's February. 2 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yeah. Well, that's true. But most of -- the majority of times in the winter. So anyway, I think 3 4 8:00 is what we all agreed to. All right. I have no problem 5 I'm glad that that's -- I would agree with that as 6 well. So would somebody like to make a motion or? COMMISSIONER MAY: I mean, I'm I'll make the motions. 7 8 I would move that the Zoning Commission approve modification of 9 consequence in Zoning Commission Case 20-33A University of 10 District of Columbia modification of consequence at Square 1964 as originally proposed, which is 8 p.m. or sunset for the closure 11 12 of the courts. 13 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I'll second that. 14 VICE CHAIR MILLER: And I'll be a doubles partner for 15 you, Commissioner May. 16 COMMISSIONER MAY: I'll have to take up tennis. 17 might have to give up volleyball. 18 VICE CHAIR MILLER: And then I'll have to give up --19 I'll take up basketball. 20 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: All right. It's been moved and 21 properly second. Any further discussion? 22 Ms. Schellin, would you do a roll call vote please? 23 MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner May? 24 COMMISSIONER MAY: 25 MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Hood? HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868)

1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yes. 1 2 MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Miller? VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yes. 3 MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Imamura? 4 5 COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Yes. 6 MS. SCHELLIN: The vote's four to zero to one to approve final action Zoning Commission Case No. 20-33A, and that is until 7 8 p.m. as originally requested, the minus one being the third 8 9 mayoral appointee position which is vacant. 10 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Next, let's go to final action 11 Zoning Commissioner Case No. 20-31A, American University campus 12 plan and further processing at Square 1600. 13 Ms. Schellin? 14 MS. SCHELLIN: Yes. For this one at Exhibit 25, there's an ANC 3D report approving Commissioner Elkins' testimony given 15 16 at the hearing, Exhibits 27, 29 and 29A are the Applicant's post-Exhibit 28 is OP's -- I'm sorry, the 17 hearing submissions. 18 parties' post-hearing submission. And so this is ready for the 19 Commission to consider final action. Thank you. 20 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you. It looks like we have 21 -- the way I interpret it, we have a lot of agreement here, even 22 though some of it probably could be interpreted its meaning very 23 loosely. But it's still agreement from where I stand. know, I'm not sure -- this has been going on for a while, and 24 we've gotten to this point and it's believed -- the way I believe 25 HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

from looking at in the merits of the record that everyone believes that the wall is going to make a difference. If not, it should make some type of difference because I think that's where everyone is in agreeance. So anyway, let me open up for questions or comments.

Commissioner May?

COMMISSIONER MAY: I just want to thank the Applicant for submitting the detailed information about the sound studies and in a way that was actually easily understood. So I thought it was -- that was very helpful having that and having that specifically in the record with the color diagrams and the circles and -- no, no, that's -- I'm sorry, I'm going off in a different direction. The -- but yeah, that -- having that specific information was helpful. I do think some of the submissions were a little bit confusing, particularly the submission that we got from Herzstein and Gerson, but it seems that the general sense is that they've come to agreement with the university. So I am prepared to move forward with this case. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay.

Commissioner Imamura, any comments?

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Really nothing more to add to Commissioner May and what he's already shared. I agree that the heat maps that Commissioner May was describing were certainly helpful, I think optimistic too. I certainly hope that the wall does in fact reflect what the analysis shows. But nothing further HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

1	to add, prepared to support.
2	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: And Vice Chair Miller?
3	VICE CHAIR MILLER: I concur with my fellow
4	commissioners' comments and ready to move forward and thank the
5	Applicant and the party and the ANC for all of your work on this
6	case. Thank you.
7	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. So with that, I would move
8	approval of Zoning Commission Case No. 20-31A as recorded, and
9	ask for a second.
10	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Second.
11	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: It's been moved and properly second.
12	Any further discussion?
13	Not hearing any, Ms. Schellin, would you do a roll call
14	vote please?
15	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Hood?
16	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yes.
17	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Miller?
18	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yes.
19	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner May?
20	COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes.
21	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Imamura?
22	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Yes.
23	MS. SCHELLIN: The vote is four to zero to one to
24	approve final action in Zoning Commission Case No. 20-31A as
25	discussed, the minus one being the third mayoral appointee HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

position which is vacant. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: All right. Next, Zoning Commission Case No. 22-06, 801 Maine Avenue, S.W., PJV, LLC, consolidated PUD and related map amendment at Square 390.

Ms. Schellin?

MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, sir. On this one on Exhibits 125 through 125A you have the Applicant's additional filings since proposed action. Exhibits 128 and 128A are comments from Commissioner -- I'm sorry, Councilmember Allen. Exhibit 129 is a letter from ANC 6D restating its opposition. Exhibit 130 is the opposition parties' response to the Applicant's additional filings. Exhibit 131 is NCPC's report advising of no inconsistency or adverse impacts relating to the project. So this is ready also for the Commission to consider final action.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you, Ms. Schellin.

I see that we have made some progress while both of our parties are still in opposition. I think we hashed a lot of this out during proposed and I think the issues for the most part, reception of the Exhibit 128, which I think has been updated, with the exception of that, I think we're pretty much in the same place for the most part.

But let me open it up to my other colleagues if they have any questions or additional comments.

Commissioner May?

COMMISSIONER MAY: Yeah, I think we did hash things out HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

pretty thoroughly at proposed action. It is helpful that the Applicant reached out and was able to convince Councilmember Allen that this project is worthwhile and so his opposition is withdrawn, although there was a slight modifier mentioned subsequently that he -- I think he expressed -- in essence was expressing some concern for the HOA's position about mitigations that might be necessary to address traffic cutting through that complex. And on that point specifically I think that the Applicant has made a reasonable offer and there's a reasonable commitment of spending \$100,000 to install gates and provided documentation that something like that is affordable within -- something like the gates is affordable given that contribution. I know that HOA wants more. You know, if the gates are doing their job, I'm not sure what the point of the speed tables are. Maybe there are residents who can get into the gates and then therefore need speed tables. I'm not sure where they would be, but I don't think that that's really necessary in order for us to take action to approve this project. So I am -- and you know, it's unfortunate the ANC remains opposed to it and it's unfortunate that the HOA remains opposed, but I think that this project is worth approving tonight.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Commissioner Imamura?

24 COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: All right. Thank you, Mr.

25 Chairman. That growl was from my dog, who seems to agree with HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

Commissioner May regarding the \$100,000 proffer. I certainly agree that that is sufficient and that the Applicant should not shoulder the full cost of what a gate installation may cost or the speed tables. I think it's a laudable project. I do appreciate the Applicant reaching out to council member and trying to reach an agreement with the HOA. But aside from all the effort and time that's been put into this, I am prepared to vote in support of this project.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you.

And Vice Chair Miller?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

VICE CHAIR MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I concur with my colleagues' comments. I thank the Applicant and the ANC and the party in opposition, Capitol Square Homeowners' Association, for working -- trying to work out whatever they could work out. Since the beginning of this case, which I think has substantially improved since the initial filing of the case. I don't need to review all that. They've been reviewed in previous hearings and deliberations, but just to highlight a few, I mean, the height was shifted from the northern side adjacent to Capitol Square Homeowners to Maine Avenue and the -- although -- well, that was a change. So there's that height shift and the spreading out of the density, which is below the density of the permitted density of the zoning that's being proposed here. There are a significant number of, if I recall, family-sized three-bedroom units at the 50 percent MFI level that are part of

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

the inclusionary zoning proffer or offer or requirement. Some of it is required. But the affordable housing being provided in this case, which to my knowledge currently does not have any public subsidy, public financing, public land disposition, any kind of public assistance associated with it, is doing the most amount of affordable housing of any non-subsidized project I think that we may have seen recently. And then there was the additional workforce housing that was added as a result of the meetings, several meetings between the homeowners' association, or was it the ANC, now I forget, the community I'll say, and the council member. And so that's to be applauded as well, the workforce housing units that were added between last time we considered this case and now. So I'm prepared to move forward, Mr. Chairman. And no case is perfect and everybody gets everything that they would have liked to have seen, but I think that this has a number of laudable public benefits that we can support. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. I would agree. As you stated, I know, just like the proffer and the -- what the opposition, the ANC, what they thought for transportation and all that, and what the council member and everything -- here's the thing, I just -- I would agree with everything that my colleagues are saying, but I still would like to see applicants who develop in that area try to come -- try to continue to work with the ANCs, but that's not just in that area, but all over the city. So with HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

1	that, I'll just leave it at that.
2	Any further comments or questions?
3	Somebody like to make a motion?
4	COMMISSIONER MAY: I can make a motion.
5	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay.
6	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: I will make a motion for the
7	Zoning Commission to take final action to approve Case 22-06, 801
8	Maine Avenue, S.W., PJV, LLC, consolidated PUD and related map
9	amendment at Square 390.
10	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Second.
11	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: It's been moved and properly second.
12	Any further discussion?
13	Not hearing any, Ms. Schellin, would you do a roll call
14	vote please?
15	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner May?
16	COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes.
17	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Imamura?
18	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Yes.
19	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Hood?
20	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yes.
21	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Miller?
22	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yes.
23	MS. SCHELLIN: The vote is four to zero to one to
24	approve final action in Zoning Commission Case No. 22-06. The
25	minus one being the third mayoral appointee which is vacant. HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868)

1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: All right. Next, let's move on. Next on the agenda is -- give me one second -- Zoning Commission Case No. 22-09, NCF Heritage 1700, LLC, consolidated PUD and related map amendment at Square 419.

Ms. Schellin?

MS. SCHELLIN: So at Exhibits 52 and 53 you have the Applicant's proffers and conditions. Exhibit 54 is the Applicant's response regarding the continued dialog with ONEDC that the Commission asked them to do at proposed action. Exhibit 55 is an NCPC report advising once again that they see no inconsistency or adverse impacts with this project. So this case is ready for the Commission to consider final action. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you, Ms. Schellin.

As noted, for the continuance of ONEDC and the Applicant, as noted, I appreciate the Applicant taking that up on and working with the ONEDC and issuing the -- to include the former tenants to move into a new building once constructed, and that opportunity, and also put in that condition prior to the issuance of the first certificate of occupancy for the permit. And that's so noted in the Applicant's response of their meeting. As already noted, it seems like a very positive development. So I appreciate that and I'm hoping it works out both for ONEDC and the Applicant as well. I know we discussed a lot of that previously in proposed actions, so let me open up any questions

or comment -- additional questions or comments. 1 2. Commissioner May? COMMISSIONER MAY: I don't think I have anything else 3 4 to add. Summed things up and ready to vote in favor. 5 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: All right. 6 Commissioner Imamura? COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Nothing further to add, Mr. 7 Chairman. I'm in agreement with your summary. 8 9 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: And Vice Chair Miller? 10 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I appreciate the Applicant's willingness to add a condition of 11 12 approval that requires the Applicant to make good faith efforts 13 to offer former residents at the site a pre-leasing opportunity 14 to rent market rate units in the newly delivered building before 15 the units are made available to the public. So I appreciate that 16 dialog and movement. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. With that, so noted. Unless 17 18 I hear anything else, I would move that we approve as noted Zoning 19 Commission Case No. 22-09, NCF Heritage 1700, LLC, consolidated 20 PUD and related map amendment at Square 419 and ask for a second. 21 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Second. 22 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Moved and properly second. Any 23 further discussion? 24 Not hearing any, Ms. Schellin, would you do a roll call 25 vote please? HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support

MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Hood? 1 2 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yes. MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Miller? 3 VICE CHAIR MILLER: 4 Yes. 5 MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner May? 6 COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes. MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Imamura? 7 8 COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Yes. 9 The vote is four to zero to one to MS. SCHELLIN: 10 approve final action Zoning Commissioner Case No. 22-09, the minus one being the third mayoral appointee position which is 11 12 vacant. 13 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: So I wondered do I need to read --14 and I'm just thinking out loud here, which is dangerous -- I read the caption and then if I make a motion of we make a motion, do 15 16 I need to read the caption again? Just let's think about that. 17 Anyway, Zoning Commission Case No. 21-27, Chun Lam, design review 18 at Square 653. 19 Ms. Schellin? 20 MS. SCHELLIN: Yes. On this one at Exhibit 51, there's 21 a DDOT supplemental report. Exhibit 53 is ANC 6D's letter 22 following up regarding the public space committee that the 23 Commission asked them to follow up on. And I think that was it. So this is ready for -- I missed something here -- I think that 24 25 was it. So this is ready for the Commission to consider final HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

action.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you, Ms. Schellin.

I know that I'd asked for additional information about the notice requirement in the public space. That is definitely not in our jurisdiction, but I asked for it because I want our record to be complete. Also I noted it was noted in the record there was nothing else to speak to that. So even though it's not in our jurisdiction or something that is not our purview for us to deal with in our setting, I wanted to ask for that and I appreciate everyone who supplied information on that. But we're going to -- I'm going to stick to the zoning issues. But I did want to satisfy the merits and the record in that case. Other than that, I'm ready to move forward in this case. I think it's ripe for our decision and I think everything has been mitigated and I will be voting in favor of this. So let me open it up.

Commissioner May?

COMMISSIONER MAY: I don't have anything else to add. I think this is -- you know, appreciate getting further information about the DDOT public space committee, but -- I understand the response it got, and I understand the ANC's continuing concern, but there's not really much that we can do about it. So I'm ready to move forward.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: All right.

Commissioner Imamura?

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

1	don't think I have anything further to add.
2	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Vice Chair Miller?
3	VICE CHAIR MILLER: I have nothing further to add
4	either, Mr. Chairman. I'm prepared to move forward tonight.
5	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. So with that, everything
6	seems that and we fleshed a lot of this out during proposed
7	anyway. So I'm going to do it like this this time. Let me see.
8	I move that we approve Zoning Commissioner Case 21-27.
9	COMMISSIONER MAY: Second.
10	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: It's been moved and properly second.
11	Any further discussion?
12	Not seeing any or not hearing any, Ms. Schellin,
13	would you do a roll call vote please?
14	MS. SCHELLIN: Sure.
15	Commissioner Hood?
16	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yes.
17	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner May?
18	COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes.
19	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Miller?
20	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yes.
21	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Imamura?
22	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Yes.
23	MS. SCHELLIN: The vote is four to zero to one to
24	approve final action Zoning Commission Case No. 21-27, and the
25	minus one being the third mayoral appointee position which is HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

vacant.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: All right. Thank you. Let's go to hearing action -- is it hearing action? I got one more case. Okay. Hearing, okay. Moving pretty fast. Okay. Let's go go to hearing action Zoning Commission Case No. 22-38, this is the Office of Planning on behalf of DMPED, map amendment at Square 5246 and 5273.

MS. Brown-Roberts?

MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Good evening, Mr. Chairman. And I'd ask Mr. to bring up the slide for me please?

Thank you. Good evening, Mr. Chairman, and members of the Zoning Commission. Maxine Brown-Roberts from the Office of Planning on Zoning Commission Case 22-38. The Office of Planning requests a map amendment to rezone the former Capitol Gateway property in the 57 to 5900 block of East Capitol Street, S.E. from the R-2 and RA-1 zones to the MU-7B zone. The map amendment is intended to implement changes recommended by the comprehensive plan for a neighborhood center long planned for the site. Next slide?

The subject property was part of the new East Capitol development, envisioned as a mixed use development by the D.C. Housing Authority under the Hope 6 program to replace a large area of public housing with a mix of single-family detached duplexes, row dwellings, garden apartments with various unit types, sizes, and affordability, and commercial use of subject HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

property to serve the needs of the new and existing residential community with a grocery store and other retail uses. A majority of the residential uses have been developed. Next slide?

In 2013, Zoning Commission Case 12-13 approved a map amendment and PUD for the property as a commercial center consisting of a full service Wal-Mart store, sit-down restaurant, ground-floor retail uses, residences, and office uses. This project did not materialize as Wal-Mart pulled out and the order has expired. At this time, there are no overall development plans for the site except for the priority is to secure a supermarket finder to serve the area as it is lacking a supermarket to serve a large residential community. Next slide?

The FLUM designates the property for mixed use medium

The FLUM designates the property for mixed use medium density residential, medium density commercial. And the plan states that the RA-3 and MU-8 and MU-10 zones are consistent with a medium density residential and medium density commercial categories, and that other zones may apply. As outlined in the OP report, a comparison of the development capacity of these zones demonstrates that a requested MU-7B zone -- I'm sorry -- that the requested MU-7B zone for the site would accommodate a level of development that is appropriate for the site, as it would allow for enough density on the site to create a neighborhood center with up to 1.3 million square feet of nonresidential uses. The proposed MU-7B zone is not inconsistent with the FLUM designation. The generalized policy map -- I'm

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

sorry, next slide?

The generalized policy map recommends a site as a neighborhood conservation area, neighborhood enhancement area with an enhanced new neighborhood center, and resilience focus area. The MU-7 zone would allow a commercial development that would be at a scale and intensity to serve the neighborhood residents. Overall, the neighborhood character would be protected and enhanced by any future development. As this is a district property, the developer of the property would be required to work with neighborhood property owners to have buildings that are compatible in scale, height, and design and to mitigate and protect environmental sensitive areas. Next slide?

Overall when evaluated through a racial equity lens, the proposed amendment is not inconsistent with the comprehensive plan. Although a development project has not been designed for the property, it is DMPED's intention to develop the site as originally envisioned and as recommended by the comprehensive plan for a new neighborhood commercial center. The priority at this time is to secure an agreement with a supermarket vendor to assist in reducing food insecurity in a predominantly black underserved community. A study done in 2020 showed that of 76 full-service supermarkets in the city, there are only two in Ward 7, and this correlates to areas of the highest black poverty. As outlined in our report, other disparities exist in the area such

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

as a high percentage of renters. The median household income is lowest for blacks in the ward and has an unemployment rate of 13 percent, where the District-wide average is 8 percent. The subject property is in the far northeast-southeast area element, which specifically recommends East Capitol Street Gateway as an area where employment growth should be, and that the development can help drive economic growth and improve residents' access to basic amenities. The proposed map amendment would not be inconsistent with the citywide elements, including policies and recommended actions with which the proposal is compatible. Next slide?

As outlined in our report, DMPED has had several meetings with the ANC and community organizations regarding the redevelopment of the site, and the priority is to secure a supermarket. They've also been notified of the proposed map amendment and other community meetings are scheduled for this month and we will continue to work through the time to the public hearing. The Office of Planning therefore recommends that a proposed map amendment be set down for public hearing and that it be appropriate for IZ Plus. If the application is set down, OP requests that this report serves as the pre-hearing report. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and I'm available for questions.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you very much, Mr. Brown-Roberts. And this is, as been noted by legal counsel and others, this is our first case -- one of our first cases, I believe our HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

first case, since our new racial equity tool was dispensed, I think, last Friday on the 3rd. And I -- first of all, I know that our legal counsel, Ms. Lovick and others, have worked very hard on that, and I want to make sure that everyone send a strong message that we want to make sure whoever it is, DMPED, OP, applicants, make sure they do the community engagement. realize in my notes that there's going to be some engagement with ANC 7C and I realize there's a time lag. You know, that we have 9 to put this in the place. But I expect that that racial equity 10 tool to be followed, especially by our own government, DMPED and OP. And I'm sure that that's going to happen. And I appreciate 11 12 the analysis already, but the engagement piece is key and 13 critical. And I'm sure that this area because there was supposed 14 to have been Giant food store in the same -- in the area, it was then that we went to Wal-Mart, so I'm hoping that whatever 15 they got planned, then I'm sure that that's going to be an easy 16 17 thing for the residents who, especially in Ward 7A, who want additional grocery stores. So -- or whatever's planned there. 18 I know this is a map amendment, but whatever's planned there, I 19 believe it's -- yeah, in front of us. So anyway, I just want to 20 21 put that out there. And Ms. Brown-Roberts if you can convey that 22 to DMPED and the Applicant and whomever that that's what we'll be looking for, so. All right. 23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

24

25

MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: We will do that.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you. Thank you very much. HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

Let's open it up for any questions or comments.

Commissioner May?

COMMISSIONER MAY: The only thing I have to say is that, you know, I think I was here for the original PUD and I think I was here for the second version of it, and I'm glad that some of the housing was developed on that site from what was originally planned more than 20 years ago. I'm just glad that, you know, something is happening here. And I'm going to stay optimistic that the city can land a grocery store as a tenant sometime soon. Thanks.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: All right.

Commissioner Imamura?

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I just want to underscore what you've already stated, that, you know, the expectation of the Commission is, you know, for OP and DMPED to do the outreach that's mandated by the tool. And I'm glad to see that the last slide that you showed, Ms. Brown-Roberts, laid out what that plan looks like. So if the Commission decides to set this down, I look forward to hearing the outcome of that. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you.

And Vice Chair Miller?

VICE CHAIR MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I support setting down this case -- this map amendment for a public hearing.

25 As you've noted, the -- we have published on our website the HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

racial equity tool which we are currently using and expect applicants and petitioners and ourselves to comply with as we go forward. In this case, which has been alluded to, the community has been very engaged for two decades in trying to get neighborhood revitalization, particularly a grocery store at this site. And so there has been a level of engagement and a pining for development and redevelopment that is that this neighborhood And this map amendment will facilitate not only the deserves. food opportunity, food equity choices that Wards 7 and 8 deserve to have, Ward 7 in this case, but it also will facilitate more affordable housing. The -- because it's a map amendment without a PUD, the IZ Plus would serve as the base affordable requirement for any dispos- -- for any IZ Plus, which is a higher set aside, would apply, and because it's a district-owned site, it's worth mentioning or re-emphasizing that there will be even higher or deeper affordability, greater amount and deeper affordability requirements than even our zoning regulations require in this particular -- at this particular site. So I'm supportive of setting down this map amendment for a public hearing to try to jumpstart 20 years of planned development in this area.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you, Vice Chair, for giving us a little bit more history on that one. All right. See if hopefully we can get something over there and get it done this time.

All right. Any other questions or follow-up questions
HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
Court Reporting and Litigation Support

Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia

410-766-HUNT (4868)

1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

1	or comments?
2	Somebody like to to make a motion to set it down?
3	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Sure, Mr. Chairman. I would move
4	that the zoning commission set down Case No no, I don't have
5	the case number, is it 22-38?
6	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: 22-38, right, correct.
7	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Okay. To propose a map amendment
8	to rezone Square 5246 in the vicinity of East Capitol Street,
9	S.E. from R-2 and RA-1 zone to MU-7B zone, and ask for a second,
10	Mr. Chairman.
11	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Second.
12	Okay. It's been moved and properly second.
13	Any further discussion?
14	Not hearing any, Ms. Schellin, would you do a roll call
15	vote please?
16	Thank you, Ms. Brown-Roberts.
17	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Miller?
18	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yes.
19	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner May?
20	COMMISSIONER MILLER: Yes.
21	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Hood?
22	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yes.
23	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Imamura?
24	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Yes.
25	MS. SCHELLIN: The vote is four to zero to one to set
	HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

down Zoning Commission Case No. 22-38 as a rule-making case. The minus one being the third mayoral appointee position which is vacant. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Let's move on to the next case. Zoning Commission Case No. 22-36, TM Associates, LLC, and Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority, consolidated PUD and related map amendment at Square 3351 through 3353.

Ms. Myers?

MS. MYERS: Good afternoon, Commissioners. The office of Planning is very pleased to recommend set down of Case 22-36, which is a consolidated PUD and related map amendment at Squares 3351, 3352, and 3353. This project has been a long time coming, so we are excited to be able to present it to you today. Next slide please?

The site is the surface parking lot for Takoma Metro Station. The proposal would rezone it from MU-4 NC-2 and RA-1 to MU-5A. Next slide please?

The proposal would replace the existing parking lot with a mixed use development and create a new bus and Kiss and Ride transit area for the metro station. The new building would be 76 feet tall and have a 1.69 FAR. It would be mixed use with 430 to 440 residential units and 15,000 to 18,000 square feet of retail service space. The site would have 1.8 acres of open space, including a retail cafe plaza. The proposal includes approximately 70 IZ units, which is from a 15 percent set aside; HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

3 percent of this set aside would be reserved for households making up to 30 percent MFI. The rest of the IZ units would be for households making up to 60 percent and MFI. Next slide please?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

On balance, this PUD is not inconsistent with the comprehensive plan maps. The site is designated for a mix of medium density residential, low density commercial, and local public facilities and is a part of the neighborhood enhancement policy area on the general policy map. When evaluated through a racial equity lens, the proposal would not be inconsistent with the comprehensive plan. Currently, the site is being used as a parking lot, so no existing residents would be displaced by this The site is in the Rock Creek East planning area, which has a majority white population. The project would provide approximately 70 affordable units and a transit-oriented These units could allow more minority households to live in the Rock Creek East planning area. The building would also provide ground floor spaces for retail service uses, which would provide employment opportunities close to the metro rail station. And being close to metro would allow more people to access these job opportunities. Our set-down report for this case was filed prior to the release of the Commission's new racial equity tool. Therefore, in our hearing report, we will provide a more thorough racial equity analysis using the new tool. before I conclude, I want to state that we echo the ANC's strong

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

support of the project, but we will need additional information from the Applicant to get it closer to the finish line. We need to know the results of the TANTA application process, more details on the courtyard pedestrian circulation, and more details on the building's potential Carol Street entrance. And with that, I will conclude the OP testimony and I am happy to take on any questions. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you, Ms. Myers. I think the report was very well done. And I know it's a lot of moving parts here and it looks like it's coming to form. I really like the design, but I'm sure we're getting -- at least what I see so far. Let me open it up to see if we have any other questions.

Commissioner May?

COMMISSIONER MAY: Yeah. So I appreciate everything that's in the report. I do know this has been going on for a very long time. And I appreciate all of the folks who've worked on bringing it to this point. There are a few things that struck me about the project, and there are a lot of positives about a lot of things to recommend it. And I could -- well, I just want to say it seems like it's really, really heavily parked. I mean, it's on top of a metro station and it's got, I don't know, like three times as much as the minimum parking would be that would be required. There is an awful lot of pavement. And I know a lot of that pavement is driven by the park and ride, or the Kiss and Ride, and the bus turnaround and so on, so I HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

understand why some of that is happening, but it does feel like, you know, even though there is some significant green space, that it's very much an auto-centric kind of development. I don't know what can be done about that, but I just -- I can't just let that I agree some further study about the courtyard is really necessary. That just seems very strange the way it has been set up and the opportunities for significant conflict between pedestrians and vehicles is pretty significant, so. would just -- I would recommend that, you know, as you continue to work with the Applicant that you not just address the three issues that you had flagged, but also talk further about the I imagine DDOT's going to have something to say about that. And the other thing I would notice is that a lot of those drawings, the renderings, don't even show what's happening on the roof. So there's some inconsistency in the drawings. And certainly we're going to need to see what's happening on the roof with rooftop equipment. And I assume that they're not, you know, there's not housing and penthouses up there because we would see that. But there's a condenser farm that shows up in the plans but doesn't show up in any of the bird's eye views and things like that. So some drawing inconsistencies with the -- some -those are my comments. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you.

Commissioner Imamura?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
Court Reporting and Litigation Support
Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia
410-766-HUNT (4868)
1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

1	Ms. Myers, thank you for your report. Commissioner May
2	has highlighted a couple things that I think the Applicant could
3	probably work on to make some additional (audio interference).
4	So and I was delighted to hear that. Hope you will refine the
5	racial equity analysis utilizing that new piece of our racial
5	equity tool. So thank you for that and acknowledging that. I'm
7	interested to see where this goes if the Commission decides to
3	set this down. I'm prepared to support set down, Mr. Chairman.
9	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you, Commissioner Imamura. I
10	don't know, for me you went out for a second. I'm not sure if
11	others, maybe it was just my unit. Oh, everybody, okay. You
12	went out for a second, so I don't know
13	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: That was that was the band
14	playing to say that I've spoken enough tonight, but it was the
15	comment that Commissioner May had highlighted, some areas where
16	I think design improvements can be made. I think that that just
17	means that there's opportunity to make this project even better,
18	so. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
19	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. All right. Thank you.
20	Vice Chair Miller?
21	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And thank
22	you, Mrs. Myers, for your report. And thank you to the Applicant,
23	WMATA and TM Associates, which is EYA for bringing this
24	application forward. Yeah, this is another one of those sites

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
Court Reporting and Litigation Support
Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia
410-766-HUNT (4868)
1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

that has long been envisioned as appropriate for redevelopment

25

and revitalization. I remember meeting in my office at the council chairman's office over 20 years ago, I think with the ANC 4B chair, Sarah Green, and Faith Wheeler of Takoma Historic about a proposed development for this side. That's 21 years ago when the council was considering the -- I think the first small area plan under the comprehensive plan that was considered by the council and adopted by the council in 2002. And that plan envisioned this type of development. So there's been a lot of community engagement over the years, a lot of community disagreement over the years as to what exactly should happen. But I think people want it to be re- -- generally the neighborhood wants it to be redeveloped. So I support set down of this PUD and map amendment to facilitate this development going forward. Ms. Roberts -- I mean, Ms. Myers, sorry, I apologize, the Applicant's statement on page one of their exhibit, I don't have the exhibit number in front of me, but stated that they have applied for funding from DHCD for their tax abatement for affordable housing and high needs area program. Tax abatement for affordable housing and high needs area program, TANTA program. And it says in that footnote on that page one of their application that the project, if their project is awarded TANTA funding, the Applicant might increase the amount of affordable housing provided in the project I guess beyond the IZ requirement to 33 percent of the residential units. Do we know the status of that application for tax abatement affordable housing funding HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

so that they can get to that 33 percent, or is that still in process, Ms. Myers?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. MYERS: That's what we're asking for is to get an update on that. My last conversation with them was that it was still in progress, but they would know that by the time of the hearing. So that's why that was one of the things that we're asking to get more information about.

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Vice Chair Miller, you're on mute.

VICE CHAIR MILLER: Oh, I'm sorry, thank you. So as I recall from 21 years ago, the small area plan had goals, as the comprehensive plan has goals, they're not binding requirements, but as I recall, the goal for affordable housing was -- correct me if I'm wrong, Ms. Myers or anybody or the Applicant, if we ever have a public hearing on it -- I thought the goal was 5 per--- not less than 5 percent of the affordable housing at less than 30 percent MFI, and I see that they're offering 3 percent and they may get up to 33 percent under this funding formula that they've applied for through the D.C. government. But I would just note that I think the small area plan called for 5 percent as opposed to the 3 percent that's being proffered here at 30 percent median family income. So that's just something to keep in mind as we go forward. But I'm strongly supportive of setting this down for a public hearing long awaited redevelopment project at the Takoma metro site. We have all these -- we're fortunate

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

to have these vacant sites where nobody's being displaced in the District of Columbia, at least on the site, maybe by increased property values that occur throughout the city everywhere as a result of market forces. But on the site itself all that might be displaced is cars, although Commissioner May points out there are a lot of cars that are being replaced in the parking that's being offered here. So I'm not worried about the cars. They'll find their way, but I'm supportive of a housing development that is being facilitated by this project and support set down, Mr. Chairman. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you. And I want to thank 11 12

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

it.

Commissioner Imamura. While you were on mute, I'm trying to get unmuted, so I cleaned my mouse, I don't know. So any time I'm trying to get unmuted, I'm muted, so I'm still having those issues. So maybe I'll get my grandkids this summer to show me a better way to do it. Anyway, any other comments or questions?

> All right. Would somebody like to make a motion? And thank you, Ms. Myers, for your reports, appreciate

I would move, Mr. Chairman, that VICE CHAIR MILLER: the Zoning Commission set down Case No. 22-36 TM Associates, LLC, and WMATA consolidated PUD and related map amendment at Squares 3351 through 3353, and ask for a second.

> COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Second.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: It has been moved and properly HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868)

1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

Any further discussion? 1 second. 2 Not hearing any, Ms. Schellin, would you please record the vote? 3 4 MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, sir. Commissioner Miller? 5 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yes. 6 MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Imamura? 7 8 COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Yes. 9 MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Hood? 10 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yes. MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner May? 11 12 COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes. 13 MS. SCHELLIN: The vote is four to zero to one to set 14 down Zoning Commission Case No. 22-36 as a contested case, the 15 minus one being the third mayoral appointee position which is 16 vacant. Thank you. 17 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Thank you. Give me one Maybe I just need a new mouse, maybe that's what it is. 18 moment. 19 Our next hearing action case is Zoning Okay. 20 05-28AC, Parkside Residential, Commission Case No. 21 modification of significance -- oh, no, wait a minute -- yeah, 22 modification of significance on the second stage PUD parcel 9A 23 at Square 5056. 24 And, okay, Ms. Schellin? 25 Wait a minute, no, I'm sorry. Mr. -- but let me ask, HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868)

1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

do I need to do the waiver request first, that's where I'm getting confused?

MS. SCHELLIN: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay.

MS. SCHELLIN: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: So we have OP -- I can do it. We have n OP waiver request for time. I'm sure they work right up to the last minute. So any objections?

Okay. So we will accept that -- OP's report.

Okay. Now, Mr. Mordfin, we're ready for you.

MR. MORDFIN: Good afternoon, Chair and members of the Commission. I'm Stephen Mordfin with the Office of Planning for Case No. 05-28AC, which is a request for a modification of significance to the Parkside PUD. The first stage approval was for a residential building with ground floor retail and a pedestrian promenade running between the subject property and Parcel 9A -- subject property Parcel 9A, and a similar building on Parcel 9B. In 2017, the Zoning Commission under Case No. 05-280, modified the use of the site to a seven-story office building with ground floor retail space. No changes were made to Parcel The Applicant now requests to revert the use of the site back to its previous use as an apartment building with ground floor retail space. The Applicant also proposes to reduce the amount of on-site below grade parking consistent with the requirements of ZR '16 and to reduce slightly the amount of retail HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

space approved under ZR 05-28Q. No new zoning flexibility is requested. OP recommends that the Commission set this application down and is available for questions. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you, Mr. Mordfin. Let's see if we have any questions or comments.

Commissioner May?

COMMISSIONER MAY: No, I will not make my usual speech about this PUD and simply accept that we're going to consider this change. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I wanted to hear your usual speech because I forgot it. Maybe -- but I'm sure I'll hear it again.

Commissioner Imamura?

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I'm interested to hear what Vice Chair Miller has to share and make the full circle back with Commissioner May. It's a teaser now.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: All right.

Vice Chair Miller?

VICE CHAIR MILLER: I'm thankful to Commissioner May for not repeating what I remember distinctly the 25 previous times that he has said that this development has gone on a long time with a lot of modifications. And it's a huge, huge parcel that probably should have been broken up into pieces to be more manageable to begin with. But we're way beyond, way beyond that point. So I'm supportive of setting this down for a public hearing.

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
Court Reporting and Litigation Support
Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia
410-766-HUNT (4868)
1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

1	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. I thank you, Vice Chair for
2	reminding me of what Commissioner May has said. So I do too
3	remember that and I think I agree with that. But we are where
4	we are. So anyway, I won't ask Commissioner May to make the
5	motion, I'll make it.
6	I move to approve set down Zoning Commission Case
7	No. 05-28AC, 28AC, and ask for a second.
8	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Second.
9	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: It's been moved and properly second.
10	Any further discussion?
11	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: I just wish you would have made
12	Commissioner May make the motion.
13	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: You know, I didn't do that, I took
14	him off of that one. So anyways, moved and properly second. Any
15	further discussion?
16	Okay. Not hearing any, Ms. Schellin, would you do a
17	roll call vote please?
18	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Imamura's suggestion was a
19	good one. So let's see.
20	Commissioner Hood?
21	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yes.
22	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Miller?
23	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yes.
24	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner May. Come on, get it out
25	of there, Commissioner May.
	HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868)

1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

1 COMMISSIONER MAY: If you wanted me to make the motion, 2 you'd be a lot -- there'd be a lot more silence. Yes. 3 MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Imamura? 4 5 COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Yes. 6 MS. SCHELLIN: So the vote is four to zero to one to set down Zoning Commission Case No. 05-27AC (sic) as a contested 7 8 case, and the minus one being the third mayoral appointee position 9 which is vacant. Thank you. 10 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Thank you. Okay. Thank you. And thank you, Mr. Mordfin, your report. 11 12 Ms. Schellin, I don't -- from my notes, we don't have 13 anything on agenda left. 14 MS. SCHELLIN: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Sometimes when you look at 15 16 stuff, it all start running together. The Zoning Commission will 17 meet again February 13th. And our cases -- on these same platforms, our Case is 15-24C, JBG/6th Street Associates, LLC, 18 19 and Gallaudet University on these same platforms. I want to 20 thank everyone for their participation in this meeting tonight, 21 and this meeting's adjourned. Have a nice weekend. 22 (Whereupon, the above-entitled hearing was adjourned.) 23 24 25 HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia

> 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

CERTIFICATION

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Public Meeting

Before: DCZC

Date: 02-09-2023

Place: Teleconference

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

GARY EUELL

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
Court Reporting and Litigation Support
Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia
410-766-HUNT (4868)
1-800-950-DEPO (3376)