## GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT

+ + + + +

REGULAR PUBLIC MEETING & HEARING

+ + + + +

WEDNESDAY

FEBRUARY 8, 2023

+ + + + +

The Regular Public Meeting & Hearing of the District of Columbia Board of Zoning Adjustment convened via teleconference pursuant to notice at 9:30 a.m. EDT, Frederick Hill, Chairperson, presiding.

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT MEMBERS PRESENT:

FREDERICK HILL, Chairperson LORNA JOHN, Vice Chairperson CARL H. BLAKE, Commissioner ROBERT MILLER, Zoning Commission Vice Chair

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT STAFF PRESENT:

CLIFFORD MOY, Secretary
PAUL YOUNG, Data Specialist

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT LEGAL COUNSEL:

MARY NAGELHOUT, Esquire

The transcript constitutes the minutes from the Regular Public Hearing held on February 8, 2023.

## T-A-B-L-E O-F C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S

Case No. 20864 Application of	Hunter					 6
Case No. 20865	T Carba	ck and	Aligon	D	Garback	25

## P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

2 (9:30 a.m.)

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen and the Board of Zoning Adjustment. Today's date is 02/08/2023. This public hearing will please come to order. My name is Fred Hill, I'm the Chairperson of the District of Columbia Board of Zoning Adjustment. Joining me today is Vice Chair Lorna John, and Board Members Carl Blake and the Zoning Commissioner today will be Rob Miller.

Today's meeting and hearing agenda are available on the office of Zoning's website. Please be advised that this proceeding is being recorded by a court reporter, it's also webcast live via Webex and YouTube Live. The video of the webcast will be available on the Office of Zoning website after today's hearing. Accordingly, everyone who is listening on Webex or by telephone will be muted during the hearing. Also please be advised that we do not take any public testimony in our decision meeting sessions.

If you're having difficulty accessing Webex or with your telephone call-in, then please call our OZ hotline number at 202-727-5471, it's also listed on the screen.

At the conclusion of a decision meeting session, I shall, in consultation with the Office of Zoning, and/or a meeting session -- hearing session, determine whether a full or summary order may be issued. A full order is required when the decision

it contains is adverse to a party, including an affected ANC. A full order may be also needed if the Board's decision differs from the Office of Planning's recommendation. Although the Board favors the use of summary orders whenever possible, an applicant may not request the Board to issue such an order.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In today's hearing session, everyone who is listening on Webex or by telephone will be muted during the hearing, and only persons who have signed up to participate or testify will be unmuted at the appropriate time. Please state your name and address before providing oral testimony or presentation. Oral presentations should be limited to a summary of your most important points. When you're finished speaking, please mute your audio so that your microphone is no longer picking up sound or background noise. All persons planning to testify either in favor or in opposition should have signed up in advance. They'll be called by name to testify. If this is an appeal, only parties are allowed to testify. By signing up to testify, all participants completed the oath or affirmation as required by Subtitle Y 408.7. Requests to enter evidence at the time of an online virtual hearing, such as written testimony or additional supporting documents, other than live video, which may not be presented as part of the testimony, may be allowed pursuant to Subtitle Y 103.13, provided that the person who's making the request to enter an exhibit explain how the proposed exhibit is relevant, the good cause that justifies allowing the exhibit into the record, including an explanation of why the requester did not file the exhibit prior to the hearing, pursuant to Y 206, and how the proposed exhibit would not unreasonably prejudice any parties.

The order of procedures for special exceptions and variances are in Y 409.

At the conclusion of each case, an individual who was unable to testify because of technical issues may file a request for leave to file a written version of the planned testimony to the record within 24 hours following the conclusion of public testimony in the hearing. If additional written information is accepted, then parties will be allowed a reasonable time to respond, as determined by the Board. The Board will then make its decision at its next meeting session, but no earlier than 48 hours after the hearing.

Moreover, the Board may request additional specific information to complete the record. The Board and the staff will specify at the end of the hearing exactly what is expected and the date when a person must submit the evidence to the Office of Zoning. No other information shall be accepted by the Board.

Finally, the District of Columbia Administrative Procedures Act requires that a public hearing on each case be held in the open before the public. However, pursuant to 405(b) and 406 of that Act, the Board may, consistent with its rules and procedures and the Act, enter into closed meetings on a case

for purposes of seeking legal counsel on a case pursuant to D.C. Official Code Section 2-575(b)(4), and/or deliberate on a case pursuant to D.C. Official Code Section 2-575(b)(13), but only after providing the necessary public notice, in the case of emergency closed meeting after taking a roll call vote.

Mr. Secretary, do we have any preliminary matters?

MR. MOY: Good morning, Mr. Chairman and members of the Board. I -- thank you. I only have a brief announcement with regards to today's hearing docket Case Application No. 20785 of Andy and Debbie Wilson, LLC has been postponed and continued to a hearing date on May 10th, 2023. Other than that, we do have some other preliminary matters, but I think it will be best that I bring that to your attention when I call the case. Thank you, sir.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Great. Thank you.

Good morning, everyone.

Mr. Moy, you can go ahead and call our first case, if you like.

MR. MOY: The first case before the Board in its public hearing session is Application No. 20864 of Brenda Hunter. Mr. Chairman, this is an application pursuant to Subtitle X, Section 901.2 for special exception under Subtitle D, Section 5201 from the side yard requirements of Subtitle D, Section 206.2. The property is located in the R-2 zone at 3614 Martin Luther King, Jr. Avenue, S.E., Square 6090, Lot 15. The only other addition

I'd like to bring to your attention is that the Applicant filed 1 2 -- or attempted to file the affidavit of posting, and that was within the 24-hour clock. So if you wish to have that in the 3 record, you would have to give your consent. 4 5 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. 6 Unless the Board has an issue, I'd like to be able to take a look at the affidavit of posting. So unless someone has 7 8 any issue, please speak up. 9 All right. Then we're going to go ahead and put that 10 into the record. Mr. Moy, if you could please ask the staff to 11 do so. 12 And then if the Applicant or the person who's going to 13 present can hear me, if they could please introduce themselves 14 for the record? Ms. Hunter, I guess you're muted if you're trying to speak. 15 16 MS. HUNTER: Sorry. Good morning. My name's Brenda 17 And I don't see my engineer here, but I'm here. Hunter. 18 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. I see someone from Bay 19 Structural Engineering perhaps? 20 MR. LEMMA: Yeah, I'm here. 21 Oh, I'm sorry. MS. HUNTER: 22 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. If you could introduce

23

24

25

yourself for the record please?

MR. LEMMA:

Me?

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yes.

MR. LEMMA: I'm Tezera Lemma, and working for Bay Structural Engineering.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Great.

All right. Ms. Hunter, if you could go ahead and tell us about your project and I guess how you believe you're meeting the criteria for us to grant the relief requested? I'm going to put 15 minutes on the clock just so I know where we are. I realize you're probably not a zoning attorney, but you may be, but if you could -- you're smiling I assume that's a no -- if you could go ahead -- and again just tell us about your project and the Board will have any questions for you as you walk us through your presentation.

MS. HUNTER: Yes, sir. Good morning, everybody. Thank you for having me and hearing my case. Again, my name's Brenda Hunter. And the reason for this project is because my mother, who's 79 years old, lived with my sister for many, many years. My sister has since become handicapped herself. My mother is currently bedridden. And so my sister sold her house, and so I'm taking my mother into my home. And the addition would be her place of residence. And where I live, currently live, there was an issue with the side requirement of the house needing to have five feet on both sides before I can add an addition to the house. So I'm requesting the exception so that I can -- and my neighbors, you know, have been asked and they are all in agreement, they know my mother and love her. And I'm just, you

know, trying to, you know, give my mother -- continue to give my mother her flowers while she's here and help take care of her. And so that's the whole reason for my presence and the request for the addition.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Thanks, Ms. Hunter. I am going through similar things with my parents and so I can empathize. And so good luck to you. And I -- you're doing a good thing (indiscernible). Yeah. So let's see, the only questions I have, Ms. Hunter, and we can talk about this a little bit with the Office of Planning, again there was some discussion about the windows that were on the side and whether or not those windows could look into your neighbor's property and if there was -- are glazing on those. Do you know what the Office of Planning was speaking about?

MS. HUNTER: Yes, it was something about the windows on the north side of the house. And there's no windows currently there now. So I don't have an issue with, you know, that to be the case. You know, as the addition is being built, I don't need a window there. And you know, if that's a requirement, I have no issue with that.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. I'm just trying to look up the architectural plans and understand where the Office of Planning is speaking to you. But we can get more specificity from the Office of Planning when we hear from them.

Does the Board have any questions of the Applicant

before I turn to the Office of Planning? 1 Go ahead, Mr. Blake. 2 COMMISSIONER BLAKE: Yeah, quick question. The windows 3 4 that we're talking about are located on -- in the bathroom, 5 correct. 6 MR. LEMMA: That's correct. 7 COMMISSIONER BLAKE: And there are two windows in each 8 bathroom? 9 MR. LEMMA: Yes, one upstairs, one downstairs, yes. 10 COMMISSIONER BLAKE: No, no. But is -- are there two windows in each bathroom? I looked at the plans and it seemed 11 12 like there were two windows in each bathroom. 13 MR. LEMMA: On the elevation it shows one window. 14 -- if you have drawing A001, there is only one window. 15 COMMISSIONER BLAKE: Could you -- could we somehow pull 16 that up because I thought I saw two? 17 MR. LEMMA: Are you look at the floor plans? COMMISSIONER BLAKE: I'm looking at the floor plans and 18 19 I'm also looking at your rear elevation. 20 MR. LEMMA: Oh, the rear elevation has -- it has two 21 windows, one for the -- you know, the bathroom, one the side it 22 has only one window. 23 COMMISSIONER BLAKE: Okay. MR. LEMMA: One window -- there are two windows into 24 25 the bathroom, one is at the rear of the house. And the other

```
1
   one is on the side, yes.
2
             COMMISSIONER BLAKE: Okay. So the bathroom has two
   windows -- each bathroom has two windows?
3
4
             MR. LEMMA: Yes.
5
             COMMISSIONER BLAKE: One window in the rear and one
6
   window on the --
7
             MR. LEMMA: Side.
8
             COMMISSIONER BLAKE: -- the right side.
9
             MR. LEMMA: On the north side.
10
             COMMISSIONER BLAKE: Okay. So there's one on the north
   side and one -- and how big are these bathrooms?
11
12
             MR. LEMMA: The bathrooms -- hold on just a second.
   It's about 14 -- the whole -- are 16 by 4 feet.
14
             COMMISSIONER BLAKE: Okay. So my question was I guess
15
16
             MR. LEMMA: So -- yeah.
17
             COMMISSIONER BLAKE: -- I'm trying to understand why
   you have two windows in a relatively small bathroom, one on the
18
19
   north and one in the rear? The --
20
             MR. LEMMA: I mean, yeah, just to give more light, but
21
   we can get rid of the one on the north side.
22
             COMMISSIONER BLAKE: Okay. Thank you.
23
             MR. LEMMA: Yeah.
24
             COMMISSIONER BLAKE: Thank you.
             MR. LEMMA: We can get rid of that, yeah.
25
```

COMMISSIONER BLAKE: Thank you. That's all I have --

MR. LEMMA: I think that it was the windows are small, you know, 24 inch, so that's why we made one on both sides, yeah. But we can get rid of that one if there is a problem on that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER BLAKE: The only thing I'd say just at this point is we don't have any elevations that show the -- that actual side of the house. I mean, we have the other side, which has no windows, the left side or south side, but the north side, which is in question, we don't have any elevations to get an idea of what that -- what it does look like. It is on your floor plan, but in terms of our north-south rear elevations, we don't have a picture of that. And also I looked at one photo of the site and I was able to see the building next door and there's a picture of the alleyway on the, again, on the south side, but there's nothing that shows the relationship on the north side except for one picture. And there seemed to be quite a few windows in that corner on the adjacent house. So I'm just trying to get a better understanding of kind of what's there. -- because I wasn't able to get that from the drawings. thank you, I think you've answered my question.

MR. LEMMA: Oh, okay, okay, thank you. But on the proposed right side elevation, which is the north side, which his drawing 6A001, that's the side elevation showing the bathroom window on the side. And 2A001, it's the roof side of -- the roof side elevation showing the windows for, you know, the addition

and plus the possible (indiscernible) windows. So yeah, we can get rid of those side windows on the north side.

COMMISSIONER BLAKE: Thank you.

MR. LEMMA: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah. I don't know if we're talking about getting rid of any windows just yet, so just let's just see where we go. But I'm glad that we at least understand that that's a possibility.

Ms. -- could I turn to the Office of Planning?

MS. HUNTER: We can't hear you.

MR. JESICK: Can you hear me, Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yes, go ahead, Mr. Jesick.

MR. JESICK: Okay. Thanks. Yes, thank you. Again, my name is Matt Jesick, presenting OP's testimony in this case. And OP is happy to recommend approval of the application. And as the Board has alluded to, we did have the one recommended condition. We don't have any problem with the windows as proposed, but it is somewhat tight quarters there between the two homes, so we recommended that the number of windows be limited to what is shown on the plans on the north side, which is one window on each floor in the addition. And that was again just to help ensure compliance with the criteria of Section 5201, which seeks to protect the privacy of adjacent neighbors. So I'm happy to take any questions about that. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Jesick, which side is it again

that you're talking about, the window? 1 2 MR. JESICK: The north side. CHAIRPERSON HILL: The north side. Okay, right. 3 4 one that's at the rear obviously -- or I shouldn't say obviously, 5 there's no issue there? 6 MR. JESICK: We didn't have any concerns there. CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right. 7 8 Does anybody have any questions of the Office of 9 Planning? 10 VICE CHAIR JOHN: Just one question. I'm looking at Exhibit 23. And so the left side elevation is -- has no windows 11 12 on the proposed addition. And so I'm trying to find -- I guess 13 the right side is the north elevation? I don't see that in 14 Exhibit 23. So is there an exhibit that shows the issue with the windows? 15 16 MR. JESICK: The exhibit I was referring to mostly was 17 Exhibit 10, which is --18 VICE CHAIR JOHN: Exhibit 10, okay. 19

MR. JESICK: -- supplement to the plans which shows the floor plans and shows the bathrooms there on -- one on each floor, and then a window. And then if you also refer to our report, you can see I put in an aerial photo which shows the relationship of this house and the adjacent house, and this is somewhat close there, so that's -- that was the genesis of our recommendation.

21

22

23

24

25

VICE CHAIR JOHN: Sure. Sure. I understand that. And

so to summarize, we're looking at one window on each floor of 1 2 the addition on one side of the house? MR. JESICK: Correct. 3 4 VICE CHAIR JOHN: And on the rear, there are also 5 windows on both floors. 6 MR. JESICK: And then that's fine, yes. 7 VICE CHAIR JOHN: Okay. All right. Thank you. 8 CHAIRPERSON HILL: And I would -- and I'm still unclear 9 as to what the -- so Mr. -- and I'm sorry I don't have your name 10 -- the -- there are a set of plans that don't have that window on the north side, is that what you're telling me or no? 11 12 MR. LEMMA: Are you talking to me? 13 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah. 14 MR. LEMMA: Oh no, no, the original, which is -- we submitted originally was -- has two windows on the north side -15 16 - I mean, one window on each floor and also windows on the back 17 of the house, yes. 18 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Right. So that's the east side? 19 MR. JESICK: Yeah --20 CHAIRPERSON HILL: The back one is east? 21 MR. LEMMA: Yes. 22 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. And so --23 MR. LEMMA: The bottom, yeah. CHAIRPERSON HILL: -- Mr. Jesick, would the Office of 24 Planning -- if those windows on the south -- I'm sorry, on the 25

north were glazed, would that seem to rectify the situation?

Mr. JESICK: Well, we were just looking at the number of windows. We didn't consider whether they were glazed or not. Typically and of course in restrooms people like to have their windows covered, whether it's blinds or glazing or what have you. So we weren't really looking at that necessarily, but simply the number of windows.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: That there are two? I just want to make sure I'm looking at the right thing.

MR. JESICK: One per floor.

let

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Right. But I'm saying there's currently in the design plans there's one on the north and there's one on the east, correct.

MR. JESICK: Correct. But, yeah, we do not care about the east.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Right. And so if the windows on the north were glazed, do you think that that would rectify the situation concerning the regulations on adjacent properties' privacy?

MR. JESICK: Well, that is shown on the plans currently that they would be glazed. That's again in Exhibit 10. So we were taking that into account when we made our recommendation.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: You'd just rather they're gone?

MR. JESICK: No, no, no. Our recommendation reads -
me find the exact wording in our report. No, the

recommendation says "The addition shall on the north wall have no more than one window per floor." That's from our report, page one.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: It only has one window per floor right now on the north side, correct?

MR. JESICK: Yes, but we just wanted to be sure that when it gets to the time of building permits, that there are no questions about, you know, some change in the design. We don't think this Applicant would do that, frankly, but --

CHAIRPERSON HILL: No, no, guys, that's fine. So I'm saying that the way it is with the window on the north side glazed is what the Office of Planning is recommending, correct?

MR. JESICK: Correct.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. So the way it is in the plans and it says that they're glazed, so they can keep the window, it just needs to be glazed, correct.

MR. JESICK: Well, again, our condition didn't address the glazing. We were just looking at the number of windows. But if the Board wishes to include the glazing in the condition, I think that has been done in the past, so.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: It has, I'm just trying to understand, like the Office of Planning's recommendation doesn't care whether it's glazed or not, they just want one window on the north side?

MR. JESICK: Correct, one window per floor.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: So as far as the Office of -- I'm 1 2 just learning something for another time -- as far as the Office of Planning's concerned, that window does not need to be glazed, 3 4 question mark? MR. JESICK: We didn't feel that that would be a concern 5 6 You know, I think, again, most people would want to cover their bathroom windows in some fashion, but we didn't feel that 7 8 was a concern. CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right. So then -- right, 9 10 okay, that's good. Then at least the -- so Ms. Hunter, you understand that that window's supposed to be glazed, correct? 11 MS. HUNTER: Okay. So I can keep the window, it just 12 13 needs to be glazed, meaning -- glazed meaning a frosty kind of look or? 14 15 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah. 16 MS. HUNTER: Yes, sir, as long -- yeah, if I Okay. 17 could keep the window, that would be fantastic 'cause I have 18 beautiful lighting in the back. 19 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Sure. 20 MS. HUNTER: Thank you. 21 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Okay. It'll be a little --22 the lighting will be a little bit opaque because now it will be 23 glazed. 24 MS. HUNTER: That's fine. 25 CHAIRPERSON HILL: But at least your plans don't have to change. If the Board -- like I'm fine -- I'm just -- to the Board members, I'm fine with that satisfying the need for the neighbors' privacy.

MS. HUNTER: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: But so let's see, all right. Does any of my Board members have any questions for the Office of Planning before I move on? Well, hold on, just think about it for a second.

Is there any public testimony, Mr. Young?

MR. YOUNG: I do not.

11 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. So I'm back to my Board.
12 Does the Board have any questions of anybody?

Okay. All right. There you go then.

All right. Ms. Hunter, you can go ahead and watch to hear what we have to say, and I wish you the best of luck with everything that's going on with your family.

MS. HUNTER: Yes, sir. You said I can watch to hear what you have to say in terms of your decision or?

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yes, ma'am. We're just going to remove you from the hearing room now while we deliberate.

MS. HUNTER: Oh, yes, sir. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Thank you.

Okay. All right. I mean, I think it's a relatively minor addition. And I think that the issue with the privacy of the windows on the north, even that, to a certain extent I've

seen where we have had deliberations and discussion as to whether or not even those kind of windows need to be addressed. However, since the Applicant has agreed to the glazing of those windows on the north side, and I think we can put that in a condition, then I would also be in agreement with the Office of Planning's recommendation and also their analysis for how they're meeting the criteria in the regulations. I also see that the ANC had voted in approval for this application. And -- give me one minute please -- and even though the ANC mentioned it as a variance because it's not a variance, I am comfortable with that they have seen the application. Actually, I'm going to reopen the hearing just for one second.

Again, Mr. Young, could you bring in Ms. Hunter? If she's still there?

Ms. Hunter, I'm sorry if you can jump back on for a second.

MS. HUNTER: Yes, sir.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, great. When you went to the ANC, these are the plans that they saw with the two windows, one on the north side, and that that one was going to be glazed; is that correct?

MS. HUNTER: Yes, sir. You know, I don't know if the glazing was shown on the windows, but yeah, those were the ones the ANC commissioners saw.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. They saw those plans?

MS. HUNTER: Yes, sir. 1 2 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. MS. HUNTER: They haven't changed as far as I know. 3 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. 4 5 I see Mr. Blake has his hand up. 6 COMMISSIONER BLAKE: I just want to clarify. When you met with the ANC, when did you meet with the ANC? 7 8 MS. HUNTER: It was a long time ago. This is -- this 9 process has been ongoing, you know, you know, with the advent of 10 COVID and just a whole lot of snafus in this whole process. But I have met with him. It was a couple years ago when I met with 11 12 him and he was, you know, in agreement with everything. 13 he saw the plans. And like I said, as far as I know, I'm not 14 technical, but as far as I know, they have not changed since the beginning. 15 16 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right. Great. 17 Blake seems satisfied. 18 All right. Anyone else before I excuse the Applicant? 19 All right. I'm going to go ahead and close the hearing 20 and the record. Thank you, Ms. Hunter. You may continue to 21 watch. 22 MS. HUNTER: Thank you. 23 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Young, if you could excuse --24 thank you, Mr. Young.

All right. So as I started to say, I was just wanting

25

to clarify because the ANC mentions that it's a variance and it's not, but that they had seen the original plans. And so as I had mentioned before, I am comfortable with this application and also the support of DDOT and I will be voting in favor.

Mr. Blake, do you have anything to add?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER BLAKE: Not much, Mr. Chairman. be voting in favor of the application as well. When I first looked at it, I had some concerns about the documentation as to show the actual issue with the window and fenestration of the building adjacent and how it might impact that. But I'm more comfortable with that now. I also question whether you needed two windows in such a small bathroom. But that said, that's what they want to have, and it does not seem to adversely impact the neighbors from a privacy standpoint, which was the only issue that arose in this discussion. I'm in favor of including the condition for the glazing of the windows, which is reflected in the plans, Exhibit 10. And I note DDOT has no objection. I did note that ANC 8C did vote in favor of this, albeit a while ago. And we also -- there's several persons in support, including the adjacent property owners. So I'm in support and will be voting in favor of this application.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Thank you, Mr. Blake.

Vice Chair Miller?

ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: I concur with all of my colleague's comments. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Thank you. 1 2 Vice Chair John? VICE CHAIR JOHN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I have no 3 4 additional comments. I'm in support of the application. 5 CHAIRPERSON HILL: All right. I'm going to make a 6 motion to approve the Application No. 20864 as captioned and read by the secretary and ask for a second, Ms. John? 7 8 VICE CHAIR JOHN: Second. 9 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Motion's been made and seconded, Mr. 10 Moy, if you'd take a roll call please? MR. MOY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Before I go through 11 12 the roll call, your motion was to approve the application --CHAIRPERSON HILL: Oh, sorry, wait a minute, let me do 13 14 it again, let me do it again. Sorry. I'd like to make a motion 15 to approve Application No. 20864 as captioned and read by the 16 secretary, including a condition that the windows on the north 17 side of the building as shown in Exhibit 10 will be glazed on both floors, and ask for a second, Ms. John? 18 19 VICE CHAIR JOHN: Second. 20 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Thank you. The motion's been made 21 and seconded, Mr. Moy, with the condition if you could please 22 take a roll call? 23 MR. MOY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. So when I call your 24 name, if you'll please respond to the motion made by Chairman

Hill to approve the application along with the condition that the

25

windows on the north side of the addition are glazed on both 1 2 floors. The motion to approve was second by Vice Chair John. ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: Mr. Chairman? 3 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yes, Vice Chair Miller? 4 5 ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: I'm sorry to interrupt, but just 6 to clarify, your condition is that the windows on the north side be glazed, did you also include the Office of Planning's 7 8 recommended condition that there be no more than one per floor 9 or are you just going with glazed? 10 CHAIRPERSON HILL: I did not. I can re-clarify just to be concise. Again, I don't know how -- but as the Office --11 12 So I'm going to go ahead and make a motion to approve 13 Application No. 20864 as captioned and read by the secretary 14 including the condition that the Office of Planning has put forward that there only be one window on the north side of the 15 16 building in the bathroom on either floor -- on both floors, and 17 the Board is adding a condition that those windows should be glazed, and ask for a second, Ms. John? 18 19 VICE CHAIR JOHN: Second. 20 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Thank you. 21 All right, Mr. Moy, motion's made and seconded. 22 MR. MOY: Right. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. So with

Let's see, Mr. Blake?

COMMISSIONER BLAKE: Yes.

that, when I call your name.

23

MR. MOY: Zoning Commissioner Rob Miller?

ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yes.

MR. MOY: Vice Chair John?

VICE CHAIR JOHN: Yes.

MR. MOY: Chairman Hill?

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yes.

MR. MOY: We have a Board member not present, not participating, staff would record the vote as four to zero to one and this is on the motion made by Chairman Hill to approve with the one condition, as the Chair has cited. The motion was second by Vice Chair John, who is also in support of the motion, along with Mr. Blake, Zoning Commissioner Robin Miller, Chairman Hill, and of course Vice Chair John. Motion carries, sir, four to zero to one.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Thanks, Mr. Moy. You can call our next case.

MR. MOY: Before the Board -- the case before the Board is Application No. 20865 of Brian J. Garback and Alison P. Garback. This is a self-certified application pursuant to Subtitle X, Section 901.2 for a special exemption under Subtitle D, Section 1210.1 and 5201 from the rear yard requirements of Subtitle D, Section 1206.2. Property is located in the R-20 zone at 3636 T Street, N.W., Square 1306, Lot 71. And the only other addition I have for you, Mr. Chairman, is that the Applicant attempted to file additional documents, including revised self-

served, revised burden of proof, revised plans, 3D images, revised surveyor's plat within our 24-hour block. So that's something you should address. Other than that, that's it, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. That's a lot of stuff. So let me see. I want to see everything and I want the Board to have a chance to look at everything. So Mr. -- unless the Board has any issues, I want to take a look at the plans. And so -- so we can have this hearing correctly. And does the Board have any issues with that? If so, please speak up.

All right. Mr. Moy, can you just drop those into the record? And I'm going to keep refreshing here until we get them.

MR. MOY: Yes, sir.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: And then we might take a couple minutes. But while I do that, I'm going to let everybody introduce themselves. Can the Applicant introduce themselves for the record please?

MS. GARBACK: Sure. Hi, I'm Alison Garback. My husband, Brian, is on as well.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Great. Ms. Garback, are you going to be presenting or is your architect?

MS. GARBACK: Eric, our architect will be presenting, correct, Eric?

MR. TERAN: Good morning, Commissioners and members of the Board. I'm the architect, Eric Teran. And the reason for the last change, it's really -- it was a DDOT issue with our front porch. In regards of what we're asking for a special exception for in the rear yard, that has not changed. And this was an issue where DDOT actually approved our plans about three weeks ago, and Friday late afternoon they rescinded it and said that they missed -- that the porch was too wide. So the new plans are basically just showing the porch along T Street being reduced.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Mr. Garback, do you want to introduce yourself for the record as well?

MR. GARBACK: Yeah. I'm Alison's husband. We live at 3636 T Street. We've been in Burleith like 10 years, lived in this house 8 years. When we bought it, we had a baby, now we have three kids that are big and we want to stay there and beautify and improve the neighborhood and just, you know, love Burleith even more. So we're excited to share the plans with you guys. Eric's done a good job.

MS. GARBACK: And your name's Brian.

MR. GARBACK: Oh, well, yeah, I've got my name. He called me by name, but yeah, I'll repeat that I'm Brian.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Thank you, Mr. Garback.

All right. So okay. Well, then in this case Mr. Teran, I'm pulling up everything here, and so why don't you go ahead and walk us through your project and specify the criteria for the relief you're requesting. And we're going to kind of get through

- this and you can do it however you like. I'm going to put 15 1 2 minutes on the clock and you can begin whenever you like. Okay. Is it possible for Mr. Young to 3 MR. TERAN: 4 bring up the plans or the presentation? 5 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Sure. Mr. Young, I assume that 40. 6 MR. TERAN: Okay. So it's basically we're at the corner 7 of T Street and 36th Street right there as you can see by the 8 vicinity map. Next slide please? 9 Just is a closer up look of Lot 71, or 37th Street, 10 sorry about that. Next slide please? So the first thing I believe we're going to view I 11 12 think these are the site photos. Next slide please? 13 So this will be the rear addition. You can see in 14 front of the truck is the existing accessory structure, which we're --15 16 CHAIRPERSON HILL: He, Mr. Teran, give me a second. 17 that -- that's not Exhibit 40? 18 MR. TERAN: This was a PDF I sent to Mr. Young yesterday 19 for the entire presentation. 20 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Got it. Got it. 21 Okay. Mr. Moy, is that something that can be put into 22 the record?
- MR. MOY: Yes, yes, it can, with your approval.

  CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yes. And unless the Board has any issues, I'd like to be able to flip through it. So if -- hearing

that the Board doesn't have any issues, if the staff could please add that to the record as well?

And, Mr. Teran, you can continue.

Mr. TERAN: Thank you. So yes, there you can see the original brick house to the right, and there's an addition which has got the siding, and there's a deck. And we're proposing to push the house towards the accessory structure. And this is where we're requesting a reduction of the minimum rear yard setback from 20 feet to be reduced to, I believe it's, 7 foot 3, and one part is 7 foot 6 in the (indiscernible). Next slide please?

Just a closer up look of the brick and the addition and the deck and where we'd be extending to. Next slide please?

Just looking down the street, looking west. Next slide please?

And this is on the corner and the addition would be at the left side of the house. Next slide please?

This is from 37th Street. Next slide please?

And this is just some other homes in the vicinity that have reduced setbacks similar to ours. Next slide please?

Once again, another house. (indiscernible) for the back of the rear house -- rear house to the accessory structure it's similar to ours. Next slide please?

Same feature again, as you can see from accessory structure to the right, and this is a newer addition as well

probably about a block away on 36th, 36 S Street. Next slide please?

Once again, just another house that has a similar situation. Next slide please?

So those pictures that we were just showing -- and there's a few other examples as well that I have on the statement burden of proof just to show that we do meet the criteria being the character of the neighborhood. This is the basement. The solid gray walls are as what's existing and what we're proposing on the right. You can see that's a 7 foot 11 from the detached garage, and that's what we're reducing the rear yard. Next slide please?

Once again, the family room is the addition and the reduction that we're asking for is 7 foot 11 to office. And so the you know what the difference is with these plans, if you see the porch where the entry is, that used to go further left and further right. And so this is what DDOT came back to and what they missed was the combination of the porch and the bay window has to be less than 29 foot and -- 29.6 feet. So we exceeded that and they caught it after they approved the plans, but luckily before construction began. And so this is what we reduced it to and it's back in DDOT and we hope for it to be approved today or tomorrow.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: The reduction -- the reduction that DDOT requested, is that on the T Street side?

Yes, because that's within the building 1 MR. TERAN: restriction line. But then on the west side on 37th Street that's 2 still within the property line, it's not within the building 3 4 restriction line. 5 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Right. You're not asking for relief 6 on the front or the side? 7 MR. TERAN: No, no, it was just something DDOT noticed that they got wrong, and so we --8 9 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Mr. Teran, the staff is asking 10 me that you need to submit your presentation to the BZA 11 submissions. 12 MR. TERAN: Through the IZIS? 13 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah. 14 Mr. Moy, is that correct? 15 More specifically, Mr. Chairman, it's the MR. MOY: 16 first picture that he started his presentation with, that rear 17 We don't have it. So if he can send that picture simply as a JPEG or a PDF to the BZA submission, then we can upload it 18 19 into the record. 20 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Do you got that? 21 MR. TERAN: The very first photo of this presentation? 22 MR. MOY: Yeah. Or not the table of contents, but you

23 had a picture of a corner of that rear yard, yes, we don't -- we do not have that one, yeah.

24

25

MR. TERAN: Okay. It should be in the site photo

exhibit. I can do that. Do you want me to try to do that right 1 2 now or should I speak and then do it once I'm done presenting? CHAIRPERSON HILL: Well, I'm just going to wait. 3 they put your presentation in, Mr. -- did they put 4 the 5 presentation, Mr. Moy? Can you guys see the presentation? MR. MOY: Okay. I'll have to pull that up and double 6 check. But you can all continue and if it's not there, then I'll 7 8 ask for that separate photograph. 9 MR. TERAN: Okay. CHAIRPERSON HILL: 10 Did the staff upload the presentation? 11 12 MR. MOY: I believe they did because Mr. Young has it, 13 so that means we have it. 14 CHAIRPERSON HILL: No, I know. I just can't -- it's 15 not refreshing. 16 MR. MOY: Okay. Well, Mr. Teran, could you resubmit that then? 17 18 MR. TERAN: Sure. 19 MR. MOY: Thank you, sir. 20 CHAIRPERSON HILL: All right. Go ahead, Mr. Teran. So that's the DDOT issue that we're 21 MR. TERAN: Okay. 22 dealing with. We're not asking for any public space hearing or anything at this time. We're just conforming to what they're 23 requesting so we get the permit approved. Next slide please? 24 25 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Are those in your updated plans from

Exhibit 40. 1 MR. TERAN: Yeah, the latest thing that I sent, yes. 2 They should be showing what you see here. 3 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah, I'm just making sure. 4 5 MR. TERAN: Okay. 6 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Can you go back up one slide, Mr. 7 Young? Thanks. 8 Okay. Go ahead, Mr. Teran if you want to go back to 9 the next slide, Mr. Young. This is the second floor showing the 10 TERAN: bedrooms, three bedrooms for their children and a guest bedroom 11 12 for the parents when they come visit. Next slide please? 13 And the primary bedroom with a bonus room at the top 14 on the third floor. Next slide please? 15 And roof deck and solar panels at the top. Next slide 16 please? 17 And here's some elevations. We'll go through them a little bit quickly because we also have some 3D images. But you 18 19 can see on the left, that's the west elevation with the new porch design per DDOT comments, and east elevation, which is from the 20 21 alley. You can't really notice the closeness yet from this one. 22 But when we look at the next slide please?

When we look through at T Street, you can see the 7 foot 11 separation. Next slide please? 24

23

25

And this is just from the neighbor, what they'd be

seeing with the addition. And they've also provided a letter of support. Next slide please?

Just some sections. Next slide please?

Another section, you can see the 7 foot 11 rear yard setback. Next slide please?

And just the demolition plans. So we kind of already talked about what we were adding and what we were keeping. Next slide please?

9 And the second floor demolition plan. Next slide 10 please?

I mean, these, I believe, will be the 3D images. Next slide please?

So this is 37th Street with the new porch design. Next slide please?

Now looking at the corner. So you can see the bay window and the new porch designs. And then the extension that we're asking for at the rear. Next slide please?

Once again along T Street and on the left with the bay window and extending into the rear yard setback and reducing that to 7 foot 11. Next slide please?

Once again the 7 foot 11 between the structures. And I think even though they're close, I think they do have a lot of different features where they don't seem like they're on top of each other, which I think that helps articulate that they're separate buildings and not just one big flat facade. Next slide

please?

And then just looking coming back from east to west and you can see once again the separation between the two. Next slide please?

And this is from the alley looking towards the addition and accessory structure, and the neighboring house, which as I mentioned, they provided a letter of support, as well I think we got seven or either total letters of support. Next slide please?

These are the shadow studies. To the left is what is being proposed and to the right is the (audio interference). Luckily, we're at the north end of the block, so as you will see, there really is not additional shadows or concern to anybody to the south for light, air, or privacy. Next slide please?

Once again, no concerns to the neighbors. Next slide please?

Same situation, maybe a little bit more shade in the alley. Next slide please?

This is winter, so there'll be a little bit more shade across the street, but doesn't really affect anybody on their property. Next slide please?

Same situation at noon during winter solstice. Next slide please?

And finally at 3 p.m., but once again there's no real additional impact on any of the neighbors. And I believe that's the end of the presentation. I'm happy to answer any questions.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. -- oh, never mind. I was going 1 2 to say, Mr. Young, just leave that up for a second, but that's 3 okay. Let's just see what if my Board members have any 4 5 questions from the presentation? 6 I don't see any, I don't see any, I don't see any. 7 Okay. Mr. Young -- oh, I'm sorry. Could I hear from the 8 9 Office of Planning please? 10 MR. KIRSCHENBAUM: Good morning, Chair Hill and members 11 of the Board of Zoning Adjustment, I'm Jonathan Kirschenbaum with 12 the Office of Planning and we recommend approval of the rear yard 13 special exception relief based on the newest plans that were just 14 shown to you. Please let me know if you have any questions. Thank you. 15 16 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Thanks. 17 Mr. Teran, while we're going through this, if you want 18 to go ahead and send everything that needs to go into the record? 19 All right. Mr. Young, do we have anyone here wishing 20 to testify? 21 MR. YOUNG: We do not. CHAIRPERSON HILL: And I'll come back to my Board 22 23 members about the Office of Planning in a moment. 24 MR. YOUNG: We do not. 25 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right. So there's no one

here wishing to testify.

All right. I will note that there was some opposition; however, upon reading the opposition, it seems as though it's mainly about -- it doesn't pertain to the requests of relief from the rear yard, whereas everything else is being done per the regulations. Mr. Teran, can you speak to the ANC meeting that you had?

MR. TERAN: Sure. It went really well. I presented pretty quickly and they approved I think it was eight-zero, and nobody was in opposition at that meeting either.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Okay. All right. I see Commissioner Putta is there also, which -- it's a good ANC, they're very active.

All right. Let's see. Does the Board have any further questions?

All right. Okay. Mr. Teran, do you have anything you'd like to add at the end?

MR. TERAN: No, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Ms. Garback, do you have anything you'd like to add at the end?

MS. GARBACK: Nope, just that we appreciate --

22 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Garback, Ms. Garback. Okay.

23 | Nobody has any -- all right.

I'm going to close the hearing and the record.

Mr. Young, if you could please excuse everyone?

Would someone else like to give their analysis first?

Mr. Blake? There we go, perfect.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER BLAKE: Absolutely. I'll be voting in favor of the application. I mean, based on the evidence presented in the record, I believe the Applicant has met the burden of proof to be granted the requested rear yard relief pursuant to conditions of D 5201 as well as the general standards of 901.2. The Applicant provided a shadow study that demonstrated that any new shadows cast by a proposed addition would not adversely affect the light available to neighboring properties and accountably abutting neighbor's large rear yard and because this is a corner unit, the air flow to them probably should not also be unduly affected. Privacy and enjoyment of neighboring properties should not be unduly compromised as the proposed three-story addition would not directly abut windows in the neighboring property to the south and would contain -- not contain any windows along the shared property line. The proposed height, scale, and design as viewed from the street are in keeping with the intent of the zoning regulations and prevailing residential character of the block fronts, and therefore should not substantially visually intrude. I believe the concerns raised by those in opposition, which you mentioned, to the application are not relevant to the matter before us today and I would concur with the ZA's interpretations of front yard setbacks, et cetera. I give great weight to the Office of Planning's recommendation for approval,

note that DDOT has no objection. I will also give great weight to the report from ANC 2E which is in support of the project and states no issues or concerns. And I also note that the letters in support from the neighbors, including the abutting property to the south. I'll be voting in favor of the application.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Thank you, Mr. Blake.

Vice Chair Miller?

ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And thank you, Mr. Blake, for a very comprehensive succinct analysis, which I will agree with. And thank the Applicant for bringing this case forward and reaching out to your neighbors. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Thank you.

Vice Chair John?

VICE CHAIR JOHN: Thank you, Chairman Hill. I also am in support of the application. It meets all of the development standards except for the rear yard relief requested. And based on the sun studies, I think there's no impact on any adjacent neighbor. The Applicant did not request lot occupancy relief, which would be required for the 0.8 percent above the 40 percent maximum allowed without a special exception. And Applicant notes that that additional occupancy would be -- could be covered by the ZA's 2 percent flexibility. So based on everything that's been said and OP's analysis, I have no objection and will support the application. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Thanks, Ms. John. Thanks, Vice

Chair John.

All right. I appreciate the analysis that my colleagues have put forward, and I will agree with it. I don't have anything really additional to add. I'm going to make a motion to approve Application No. 20865 as captioned and read by the secretary and ask for a second, Ms. John?

VICE CHAIR JOHN: Second.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: The motion's been made and seconded,
Mr. Moy, if you'd take a roll call please?

MR. MOY: Name. Thank you, sir. When I call your name, if you'll please respond to the motion made by Chairman Hill to approve the application for the relief requested. The motion to approve was second by Vice Chair John.

Mr. Blake?

COMMISSIONER BLAKE: Yes.

MR. MOY: Zoning Commissioner Miller.

ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yes.

MR. MOY: Vice Chair John?

VICE CHAIR JOHN: Yes.

MR. MOY: Chairman Hill?

21 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yes.

MR. MOY: We have a Board member not present, not participating, staff would record the vote as four to zero to one. And this is on the motion made by Chairman Bill to approve the relief requested. The motion to approve was second by Vice

Chair John, who is also in support of the motion, as well as Zoning Commissioner Rob Miller, Mr. Blake, and again Vice Chair John, and Chairman Hill. The motion carries, sir, four to zero to one.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Thank you.

All right, everyone, well, I hope you guys enjoy your day and thank you all for your help and participation. And I will see you guys next week. We stand adjourned. Thank you.

(Whereupon, the above-entitled hearing was adjourned.)

## CERTIFICATION

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Public Meeting

Before: BZA

Date: 02-08-2023

Place: Teleconference

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

DONNA JENKINS