GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

ZONING COMMISSION

+ + + + +

REGULAR PUBLIC HEARING

+ + + + +

THURSDAY

JANUARY 23, 2023

+ + + + +

The Regular Public Hearing of the District of Columbia Zoning Commission convened via teleconference, pursuant to notice at 4:00 p.m. EDT, Anthony Hood, Chairperson, presiding.

ZONING COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT:

ANTHONY J. HOOD, Chairperson ROBERT MILLER, Vice Chairperson PETER MAY, Commissioner JOSEPH IMAMURA, Commissioner

OFFICE OF ZONING STAFF PRESENT:

SHARON SCHELLIN, Secretary
PAUL YOUNG, Zoning Data Specialist

OFFICE OF ZONING LEGAL DIVISION STAFF PRESENT:

JACOB RITTING, ESQUIRE

The transcript constitutes the minutes from the Regular Public Hearing held on January 23, 2023.

			T-A-B	-L-E	O-F	C-0	-N-7	Γ-E-	N-T	-S				
Case No	. 22-	-20												
1301	Good	Норе	Road,	LLC .							 	 	. 5	

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

2 (2:00 p.m.)

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. Today's date is January 23rd, 2023. We are convening and broadcasting this public hearing by video conferencing. My name is Anthony Hood and I'm joined by ViceChair Miller, Commissioner May, and Commissioner Imamura. We're also joined by the Office of Zoning staff Ms. Sharon Schellin and Mr. Paul Young, as well as the Office of Zoning's legal division, I'm not sure who's going to join us, but I guess they'll join us at the appropriate time. I will ask all others to introduce themselves at the appropriate time.

The virtual public hearing notice is available on the Office of Zoning's website. This proceeding is being recorded by a court reporter and the platforms used are Webex and YouTube Live. The video will be available on the Office of Zoning's website after the hearing. All persons planning to testify should have signed up in advance and will be called by name at the appropriate time. At the time of signup all participants will complete the oath or affirmation required by Subtitle Z 408.7. Accordingly, all those listening on Webex or by phone will be muted during the hearing, and only those who have signed up to participate or testify will be unmuted at the appropriate time.

When called, please state your name and home address before providing your testimony. When you are finished speaking,

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

please mute your audio. If you experience difficulty accessing Webex or with your telephone call-in or have not signed up, then please call our OZ hotline number at 202-727-0789. If you wish to file written testimony or additional supporting documents during the hearing, and please be prepared to describe and discuss it at the time of your testimony.

The subject of today's hearing is Zoning Commissioner Case No. 22-20, 1301 Good Hope Road, LLC, map amendment at Square 5768, Lot 808, 1916 13th Street, S.E. Again, today's date is January 23rd, 2023.

The hearing will be conducted in accordance with provisions of 11 ZDCMR Chapter 4 as follows: preliminary matters, applicant's case -- I know the applicant has mentioned that they could probably stand on the record or they could give a presentation, I've asked them to give us a very brief presentation for the public. I don't believe there's any opposition in this case, at least not the record; I didn't see any. So we will move in that fashion. Also we'll have the report of other government agencies, report of Department of Transportation, and after all that, we will have the report of the Office of Planning, report of the ANC -- I'm not sure if the ANC's here, but I know we have Commissioner McKinney from ANC 8A who will be representing the ANC 8A -- testimony of organizations five minutes and individuals three minutes. And we will hear in the following order from those who are in support, opposition, and undeclared. Then we'll

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

have rebuttal and closing by the applicant.

Again, the OZ hotline number is 202-727-0789 for any concerns during these proceedings. At this time, the Commission will consider any preliminary matters. Does the staff have any preliminary matters?

MS. SCHELLIN: Yes. If Mr. Young could bring in Mr. Ritting, that would be great, as a panelist.

So there are no expert witnesses. It's actually very simple. Paul Tummonds and his applicant representative, Byron Wells, are the applicant's team. And my understanding is they will take five minutes. So that's all I have for you.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Thank you. I would just ask in five minutes to mainly specify what you're doing for the public. I didn't see any -- it looks like it's a lot of support here.

And I'd just note, Mr. Ritting, the reason you had problems getting in is because I had a little something to do with that, so. So I wanted to make sure you had problems getting in.

Anyway, so we'll wait to hear from Mr. Tummonds. 'Cause you know what I'm talking about.

MR. TUMMONDS: Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission. I'm Paul Tummonds from Goulston & Storrs, (indiscernible) counsel on behalf of the applicant. As we noted in our pre-hearing statement that was discussed today, we are HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

seeking approval of zoning map amendment application for a very small property, it's only 2,160 square feet, it's located at 1916 13th Street, S.E. in the Anacostia Historic District, in close proximity to the Good Hope Road, S.E. business community. application will rezone the property from the RA-3 to the MU-4 zone, which will allow for commercial and residential uses on the site. As noted in the applicant's statement in support of the application, the proposed MU-4 zone is consistent with the future land use map designation, generalized policy map designation for the site, as well as numerous policies of the land use, economic development in the far southeast-southwest area element to the comprehensive plan. This application has the support of ANC 8A, OP, and DDOT. The -- I might -- the purpose behind this map amendment is to allow a office use on the site. As noted in our statements, the applicant, which is an affiliate of the Menkiti Group, intends to lease this property for minimal nominal value, one cent, to the CPMG Foundation, so that they can use this property for office use. That office use is entirely consistent with the MU-4 zone. We are -- we think that is probably going a long way to the support that this application has, the support of ANC 8A. So based on these factors, we are available -- or we're basically somewhat resting on the record. And Mr. Wells, on behalf of the applicants and the Menkiti Group is here to answer any further questions that you may have.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you, Mr. Tummonds and team,
HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
Court Reporting and Litigation Support

Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

it's always good when -- first, to give back, Menkiti Group and 1 2 others, and also good when a lot of the legwork is done. Ιt makes our jobs a little easier and we can stick really with the 3 4 zoning piece of it. So let me open it up for any questions or 5 comments. 6 Commissioner May? 7 COMMISSIONER MAY: I do not have any comments or 8 questions. Thank you. 9 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Commissioner Imamura? 10 COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Mr. Chairman, the record seems pretty clear to me, so I don't have any questions. 11 12 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. 13 And Vice Chair Miller? 14 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And thank you, Mr. Tummonds, for bringing this application forward, which 15 16 seems to be not inconsistent with the comprehensive plan -- zoning 17 map -- proposed zoning map amendment from R-3 to MU-4, seems to 18 be very consistent with the comp plan land use map designation of medium density mixed use, which is what's -- which is the 19 20 designation all around that side of the street and also the main 21 street -- I think it's a main street on the policy map, is that 22 right? Main Street, mixed use. So I guess I have no questions. 23 I'm prepared to move forward. 24 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Thank you.

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
Court Reporting and Litigation Support
Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia
410-766-HUNT (4868)

Ms. Schellin, do we have anybody here from the agency?

1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

25

1	MS. SCHELLIN: We do not.
2	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay.
3	All right. Let's keep right on moving this.
4	Let's go to do we have anyone here from any
5	government agencies?
6	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Mr. Chairman, you want to note that
7	the ANC 8A's letter is at Exhibit 12 and is in support? You
8	probably were going to do that at another time, but.
9	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: It's number five, Vice Chair, that's
10	number five on my agenda.
11	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Okay.
12	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I was yeah, I'm going to probably
13	get an ANC
14	VICE CHAIR MILLER: You want questions to the
15	applicant, okay. Got it.
16	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yeah, yeah. So we don't have anybody
17	here from the ANC, and, Vice Chair, since you mentioned that, we
18	can get ready, we can do the correspondence to the ANC. You can
19	let us know what the letter says.
20	So we don't have anybody, reports from the OAG, do we
21	have a report from the Department of Transportation or Office of
22	Planning. I'm sorry, let's go to Office of Planning. Mr.
23	Mordfin?
24	MR. MORDFIN: Hi. Good afternoon. I'm Stephen Mordfin
25	with the Office of Planning. And I would just like to clarify HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

some information from the set down report that the subject
property is, in fact, in Ward 8, and as such, the black household
income between 2017 and 2021 was 36,250, and the white household
income for the same period was \$139,077. And lastly, that 51
percent of the households within Ward 8 were cost burdened. That
said, the Office of Planning recommends approval of this
application. I'm available for questions. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I did -- let me just say this -- I did misspeak -- we do have a letter in opposition and we can speak to that when we get there. So I did misspeak. There was one letter in opposition to this.

All right. Any questions of the Office of Planning?
Okay. Not hearing anyone saying anything.

Again, Ms. Schellin, does the applicant have any, Mr. Tummonds, you have any questions of Office of Planning?

MR. TUMMONDS: No questions.

CHAIREPERSON HOOD: Okay. And again, I don't believe we have anyone here from the ANC. So we will continue. Just a second. Okay. So the report of ANC 8A, Vice Chair, if you could give us that report? Because I don't think anyone's here from 8A.

VICE CHAIR MILLER: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Yes, ANC 8A has submitted a resolution at Exhibit No. 12 in our case file that -- dated June 11th, 2022, in which they indicate that they've adopted a resolution in support of the application, HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

and that the resolution was unanimous, and it agreed with the applicant's statement that the change in the zoning district will provide opportunities to enhance the business community along nearby Good Hope Road. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you, Vice Chair, for giving us that report. And I also want to thank ANC. Mr. Tummonds, you can let Ms. McKinney know -- Commissioner McKinney know we were looking forward to seeing her tonight. I know of all the work that she's done in this city and we appreciate everything her and Chairperson White, and Muhammad, and Clark, I'm going to get in trouble for naming them because I know most of them. So anyway, it's a letter of support as the Vice Chair has mentioned. And it looks like -- we really appreciate the work that they've done as well as the commission.

All right. Let's go to -- and Mr. Mordfin, I don't

16 -- I think we're good, so thank you. Thank you for your report.

17 Just a second.

Okay. Ms. Schellin, do we have anyone who's here in either opposition -- either in support, opposition, or undeclared who'd like to testify?

MS. SCHELLIN: We do not. I will do one more quick check just to make sure, but earlier we had no one. And we do not in any category.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. So we do have a letter from

I'm not sure, Ari Theresa. Mr. Tummonds, are you aware of

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

this -- the letter from Stoop Law?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. TUMMONDS: Yes. Yeah, we saw that. And it appears that Mr. Theresa's concern was the portion of the OP report where it said that this map amendment should not be subject to the IS Plus. You know, (indiscernible) we, as the applicant, we stand behind the Office of Planning's report. We think it is well-reasoned and we'll stick with that.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay.

All right. Let me ask my colleagues, anybody like to opine on the letter in opposition?

Commissioner May?

COMMISSIONER MAY: Yeah. So I think Mr. Theresa gives -- brings up a valid point and I think this has been a subject of some debate before the Commission on past cases. And there -- and I think we've had, you know, we always work to get to consensus ultimately. But you know, we've had folks on both sides of this question. Right? Is it -- you know, should we be imposing IZ Plus on -- for projects that are in Ward 8 where we know that it's -- you know, they're already well on track to meet affordable housing goals compared with all the other wards in the But also the fact that we hear regularly from community city. members that they don't need more affordable housing, they need more market rate housing, and that's been the basis for us to make the decision, I think, in the past to forego IZ Plus. most of those cases though, those were ones where we knew that

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

the likely outcome of the map amendment was a publicly funded project or a heavily supported project that would yield a substantial number of affordable units anyway, if not totally affordable.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I think it's you know, I think, you know, Mr. Theresa's concern is that we'd be setting a precedent here that we would be bound to for future cases. I think if there's a concern about a precedent -- well, first of all, I don't consider anything like this to be precedent setting, but I think we've already done it. Right? And so that ship has sailed. I do think there is some validity to arguing that this is such a small project and of not really huge consequence when it comes to its potential for bringing affordable units to the neighborhood. But that's -- you know, that's another good reason. But I don't think that it's -- you know, we should shift our logic away because of the concern that he raises. You know, I also, I could see, you know, disagreeing with the Office of Planning and going ahead and saying yeah, you know, we might as well apply IZ Plus, it's not going to yield a lot, but it would be consistent. So I mean, I think I could be convinced to go with IZ Plus, but I don't think that we have to go the route that Mr. Theresa is suggesting by, you know, pointing to a completely different reason than what the Office of Planning is suggesting if we were to forego IZ Plus. Did that all make sense? I was kind of rambling around the issue. But those are my thoughts.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Well, thank you. we can discuss this in a number of different ways. I can add some passes to it, but I think while I appreciate that, I can think of bigger issues. While this is a big issue, and I'm going to take away from it, but I think there's some other competing factors while I would not have a problem with proceeding as this case is before us. I think some other areas I would definitely be pushing for, but I think here when I look at what's being done for CPMG and what the ANC has already worked out was beneficial to their communities. Only thing I would ask Mr. Theresa, I'm -- well, I have a question I would ask him, but I can't because I don't think he's here -- he's not here, so. Anyway, I'll just leave that alone. But I would agree. And this is this is a rambling situation, Commissioner May, so it's not necessarily straight to the point. There are other factors as well. But let me hear from others.

Commissioner Imamura?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I'm not sure that I have much more to add. I don't believe that this is precedent setting (audio interference) similar circumstance. But I think the issues that Mr. Theresa had raised in his letter about -- pull it up here, his comment struck me about an overabundance of safe and clean affordable housing in the area, that there's enough affordable units. So I thought that kind of struck me, but otherwise, you know, for this -- I'm prepared to

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

move forward with this project. Again, this isn't precedent setting, but worthy of a longer conversation.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: And Vice Chair Miller?

Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

VICE CHAIR MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. appreciate my colleagues' comments, and I agree with everything that Commissioner May has said. I too -- I mean, I agree with all my colleagues that it's not precedent setting and that it is an evolving situation in terms of our application of it. our application of it, at least thus far, as Commissioner May and Office of Planning pointed out, is that when there has been a already the affordable housing goals being met in a certain part of the city, mostly the eastern end of the city, through already dedicated affordable housing, that we have not applied IZ Plus to the map amendment, the regular IZ would apply. This is a little bit -- this does have the distinction of that, as Commissioner May pointed out, that we happen to know that the project being contemplated is not housing. And in the other cases, it was, and more housing was going to be provided than even IZ Plus would have provided. So there is that distinction. There's also the distinction that it's a small site. Although it's a small site that's part of a larger area that's already been designated as MU-4, and this kind of brings it into that what's in the surrounding neighborhood. So I don't have a big concern about it being a small site.

Spot zoning, I think is the word, phrase that Mr. Theresa used. But anyway, I could be persuaded to, as I have from the beginning, to do IZ Plus in more places. But I think the rationale of it already having in this planning area more than their fair share, vis-à-vis the city, of affordable housing already being met -- goals being met is a reason to make that distinction, continue making that distinction, at least for the time being. So I'm prepared to move forward with the application as is, Mr. Chairman, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you. All right.

I would agree. Not precedent -- nothing's precedent setting for me, or for this Commission. But I would also agree with something that Commissioner May -- and I thought about how many -- I've been approached about affordable housing in certain areas and that -- I mentioned this maybe a year or so ago. And it took me back because I'm always -- we always had been pushing for affordable housing, and the residents basically say don't -- put -- give us all of it. So you know, that came loud and clear. So anyway, I'm ready to move forward what we have in front of us.

Ms. Schellin, do we have -- did we already check to see if we had anybody else, did I do that already?

MS. SCHELLIN: We did, and there's no one signed up.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. That's what -- we was just talking about -- okay. Mr. Tummonds, you want to -- I don't HUNT REPORTING COMPANY

think you have any rebuttal. Well, you may, but you have any rebuttal or closing?

MR. TUMMONDS: No, no rebuttal. Just to say we believe that the information prior to the record is complete, that this application fully satisfies the requirements for approval of the zoning map amendment application, and we look forward to the Zoning Commission's approval as soon as possible. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Tummonds. However, I think this is pretty straightforward. We do take the concerns of Mr. Theresa and I'm sure that will be -- we will deal with that as we go along, when it fits in certain areas as has already been described by us. So unless I hear an objection I would like to have a motion, I think we'll probably be moving it forward with what the record reflects. Somebody like to make a motion in this case?

VICE CHAIR MILLER: Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yes.

VICE CHAIR MILLER: I would move that the Zoning Commission take proposed action on Case No. 22-20 at 13 -- is it 1301 Good Hope Road, LLC or is it 1916 13th Street?

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: 1301 Good Hope Road, LLC.

VICE CHAIR MILLER: -- LLC map amendment at Square 5768, Lot 08 (sic), 1916 13th Street, S.E. from R-# zone to MU-4 zone, and ask for a second, and with the IZ desig- -- regular designation.

1	COMMISSIONER MAY: I would second that motion. IZ
2	regula, no IZ Plus. Right?
3	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Correct. That's what I meant by
4	that.
5	COMMISSIONER MAY: Yep. Yep. Got it.
6	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: It has been moved and second. Any
7	further discussion? Not hearing any, Ms. Schellin, would you do
8	a roll call vote please?
9	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Miller?
10	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yes.
11	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner May?
12	COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes.
13	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Hood?
14	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yes.
15	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Imamura?
16	COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Yes.
17	MS. SCHELLIN: The vote is four to zero to one to
18	approve proposed action in Zoning Commission Case No. 22-20, the
19	minus one being the third mayoral appointee position.
20	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Ms. Schellin, do we have
21	anything else before us this evening?
22	MS. SCHELLIN: No, sir, I did not hear the Commission
23	ask for anything further, so just a draft order from the applicant
24	and I'll refer this to NCPC. This will probably not come up
25	until the I don't think it's going to make the second meeting HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868)

1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

1 of February. So it may be the first meeting in March. 2 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. I want to thank everyone, but before I do that, the 3 4 Zoning Commission will meet again January 26 at our regular 5 meeting. We have a number of agenda items on the agenda for that 6 evening. And we'll be on these same platforms at the same time. 7 With that, Mr. Tummonds and team, I want to thank you all for 8 all the work you've done and the ANC and the community, and we 9 appreciate also the comments of Mr. Theresa, and so noted with 10 all of that. 11 So with that, this hearing is adjourned. Goodnight, 12 everyone and thank you. 13 (Whereupon, the above-entitled meeting was adjourned.) 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868)

1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

CERTIFICATION

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Public Meeting

Before: DCZC

Date: 01-23-2023

Place: Teleconference

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Danielle Darnett

Danielle Garnett, CET-821