GOVERNMENT
OF
THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT

+ + + + +

REGULAR PUBLIC HEARING

+ + + + +

WEDNESDAY

MAY 18, 2022

+ + + + +

The Regular Public Hearing of the District of Columbia Board of Zoning Adjustment convened via Video Teleconference, pursuant to notice at 10:34 a.m. EDT, Frederick L. Hill, Chairperson, presiding.

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT MEMBERS PRESENT:

FREDERICK L. HILL, Chairperson CARL BLAKE, Board Member CHRISHAUN SMITH, Board Member (NCPC)

ZONING COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT:

ROB MILLER, Vice Chairperson

OFFICE OF ZONING STAFF PRESENT:

CLIFFORD MOY, Secretary SARAH BAJAJ, Attorney Advisor RYAN NICHOLAS, Attorney Advisor PAUL YOUNG, Zoning Data Specialist

OFFICE OF PLANNING STAFF PRESENT:

MAXINE BROWN-ROBERTS ANNE FOTHERGILL JONATHAN KIRSCHENBAUM STEPHEN MORDFIN ELISA VITALE

D.C. OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL PRESENT:

MARY NAGELHOUT, ESO.

	ANI NAGEDIIOUI, ESQ.			
Regular	The transcript constitutes to Public Hearing held on May 18, 2	the minutes 2022.	from	the

CONTENTS

Application No. 20716 of 15th Street Alley, LLC5
Application No. 20645 of Equity Trust Company, Custodian fbo Richard Leavy IRA35
Application No. 20720 of 1312 18th Street Associates, LLC
Application No. 20659 of Betty Matthews and Joseph Matthews248
Application No. 20594 of Nezahat and Paul Harrison255
Application No. 20714 of Community Housing for the Hearing Impaired228
Application No. 20538 of TG Management, LLC47

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

2	10:34 a.m.
3	MR. MOY: The Board is in its public hearing
4	session, and the first case is application number 20716, 15th
5	Street Alley, LLC. This is a self-certified application for
6	area variance pursuant to subtitle X, section 1002 from the
7	new alley record lot requirements, subtitle C, section
8	306.1(a). Property is located in the RF-1 zone at let's
9	see the square is 4564, lot 95. And as you've referenced,
10	Mr. Chairman, there are as preliminary matters, there are
11	multiple requests for party status in opposition, and I can
12	go through the names if you wish.
13	(Simultaneous speaking.)
14	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah, that's be helpful, Mr.
15	Moy. Give me one second while I try to find something that
16	I got from Mr. Nicolas. Ms. Bajaj, can you hear me? Are you
17	the one that sent us that note about the party status people?
18	Ms. BAJAJ: I don't think I sent a note about the
19	party.
20	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Oh, no, never mind. I know
21	what I'm thinking of. Okay. I'm getting my cases mixed up.
22	Okay, Mr. Moy, can you read off the names for the party status
23	people please?

24

1	David Dickson.
2	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Hold on, I'm sorry. Mary
3	Johnson, David
4	MR. MOY: David Dickson.
5	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Right.
6	MR. MOY: Martha Byers, B-Y-E-RS. James Harris,
7	Kathy Anderson, Ronnie Gray, and Todd Spears.
8	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Sorry, Kathy Henderson?
9	MR. MOY: Yes, Kathy Anderson.
10	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Oh, Anderson. And then what
11	was the next name?
12	MR. MOY: Ronnie, R-O-N-N-I-E, Gray.
13	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yep.
14	MR. MOY: And finally Todd Spears.
15	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. I thought this was the
16	one that there was a map somewhere that I saw.
17	MR. MOY: Yeah, the staff sent that to you
18	yesterday.
19	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah, that's right. What was
20	the name? Who sent it?
21	MR. MOY: That was from me, sir.
22	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Oh, that's why I can't find it.
23	That's all right. Okay. Great. Okay. Okay, that's
24	helpful. Okay. Ms. Wilson, could you introduce yourself for
25	the record, please?

1	MS. WILSON: Hi. Alex Wilson from Sullivan &
2	Barros on behalf of the applicant in this case.
3	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Great. Let me just go
4	I'm trying to work through this party status. Is Ms. Mild
5	here? Ms. Mild? Okay. Is it Ms. Johnson, Mary Johnson?
6	Is she here? Okay. Is David Dickson here? David Dickson?
7	MR. YOUNG: They're all here. They're the call-in
8	users. I'm not sure if they just unmute themselves.
9	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Oh, okay. Can you all unmute
10	yourselves? Oh, let's try is Ms. Mild around? Ms. Mild.
11	Yes. Can you hear me? Is it Mild, M-I-L-D? No. Okay.
12	MS. JOHNSON: I'm
13	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Is Johnson around? Johnson?
14	David Dickson.
15	MS. JOHNSON: Mary Johnson.
16	MR. DICKSON: David Dickson is here.
17	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Mr. Dickson, could you
18	introduce yourself for the record please?
19	MR. DICKSON: I am David Dickson. I'm here in 4564
20	Square. We are at lot, I believe it is
21	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Listen, I got you. Is it
22	Byers, Mr. Byers or Ms. Byers?
23	MS. BYERS: Martha Byers, I'm here.
24	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Could you introduce
25	yourself for the record please?

1	MS. BYERS: I am Martha Byers, B-Y-E-R-S, and I
2	live at 1505 D Street, Northeast.
3	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Is it Mr. Harris, are you here?
4	MR. HARRIS: Yes, sir, I am.
5	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Could you introduce yourself?
6	MS. JOHNSON: Did you get Mary Johnson?
7	CHAIRPERSON HILL: No, Mary Johnson.
8	MS. JOHNSON: I was on the line.
9	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Oh, Ms. Johnson, can you hear
10	me?
11	MS. JOHNSON: I can hear you. Can you hear me?
12	CHAIRPERSON HILL: I can. Could you introduce
13	yourself for the record please?
14	MS. JOHNSON: My name is Mary C. Johnson. My
15	address is 1525 D Street, Northeast, lot 107.
16	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Okay. Was Ms. Mild
17	around, or anybody, Mild?
18	MS. JOHNSON: She might have been. She's a
19	teacher, so she might not have been able to get online
20	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Got it.
21	MS. JOHNSON: like she wanted.
22	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Mr. Harris, could you
23	introduce yourself for the record?
24	MR. HARRIS: Yes. I'm James Gilbert Harris at 330
25	16th Street Northeast.

1	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Is Ms. Kathy Anderson
2	with us?
3	MS. ANDERSON: Yes, I'm here.
4	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Could you introduce yourself
5	for the record please?
6	MS. ANDERSON: My name's Kathy Anderson. I have
7	a property at 326 16th Street, Northeast.
8	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Mr. Gray, Ronnie Gray?
9	Mr. Ronnie Gray? Mr. Todd Spears? Can you hear me? Todd
10	Spears? Okay. I see a Miss I see a Hubig, Hubig? Is there
11	a Hubig Mr. Hubig?
12	MR. HUBIG: I'm here.
13	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Who are you who are you with?
14	MR. HUBIG: I'm with 15th Street Alley.
15	CHAIRPERSON HILL: You're with 15th Street oh,
16	I'm sorry, you're the applicant. Yes.
17	MR. HUBIG: Yes, sir. I'm the applicant along
18	with Evan next to me right here.
19	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Got you, got you, got you.
20	Okay. All right. I don't know, was
21	MS. MILD: This is Ashley Mild, I couldn't figure
22	out how to unmute. I apologize.
23	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Oh. Okay, Ms. Mild, could you
24	introduce yourself for the record?
25	MS. MILD: Yes. I'm Ashley Mild from 1527 D

Street, Northeast.

2.3

know, I was trying to figure out how to handle this in a way that would be efficient and also productive for the parties in opposition. And I guess I can also ask my board members. I mean we have to go through and determine what we believe in terms of the parties in opposition here. Give me one second. Okay. All right. So do you -- does anybody -- well, all right. Let me -- and I don't know who to ask. I mean, Ms. Johnson, can you hear me?

MS. JOHNSON: Yes, I can.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: So I'm looking at your application and you said that you serve as a representative.

I mean do you know all the other parties, or do you know who might be a good spokesperson for you?

MS. JOHNSON: Well, we all put some input into the questions and answers that were on the sheet. Ms. Mild might be able to address the wall, you know, the housing going up. I address about the trash pickup, and then fire extinguishers, you know, the fireman, and the length of the -- width of the alley that, you know, all of us, we all have to park basically in the back of our homes.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: No, I got you, Ms. Dickson.

I'm just trying to figure out who -- so do you all know each other is what I'm trying to say?

1	MS. JOHNSON: Yes, we do.
2	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, okay.
3	MS. JOHNSON: Yes, we do.
4	CHAIRPERSON HILL: And so I think what's going to
5	probably end up so just so you all know how this works,
6	the applicant goes ahead and makes a if you all were to
7	be awarded party status, the applicant makes their
8	presentation, and then you give you have an opportunity
9	to make your presentation. And I think that the issues all
10	seem to be similar enough that you all could be, you know,
11	a group. And, either way, I'm probably going to have to handle
12	it as a group of people in opposition. And so I'm trying to
13	figure out if you guys who might be a good spokesperson to
14	at least be kind of the point for your group. Do you, Ms.
15	Johnson, know?
16	MS. JOHNSON: Well, I was going to speak, but
17	others the zoning officer said that each one of us could
18	speak
19	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yep. Each one of you can
20	speak.
21	MS. JOHNSON: on different
22	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Would you Ms. Johnson, would
23	you be able to be the lead person?
24	MS. JOHNSON: I would take the lead because I'm
25	the one that basically did most of the writing, you know,

putting it together.

2.3

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Okay, great. Could everybody mute their line except for Ms. Johnson at this point? So, Ms. Johnson, what I wanted to do, and the reason why I'm bringing you guys up here forward, is that I just want to deal with the preliminary issue which was the party status issue, and then give you and your group and opportunity to talk amongst themselves during the day. And then we'd come back at the end of the day to hear the case.

And so what, again, this means is that the applicant would come forward, give their presentation. Then you would have an opportunity -- your group would have an opportunity to give your presentation and then ask questions of the applicant. But you would be kind of the voice, if you will, for kind of asking the questions and things like that.

And I know that it's a little bit, you know, we will be flexible with it because, you know, we're in a Zoom environment. We're not live at the table. So I can't see everybody. It would be helpful actually if you all could put like -- find a computer by the time we get together and have the camera so you could raise your hand and I could at least see you raising your hand. But you, Ms. Johnson, then could kind of at least start off. Would that be okay with you?

MS. JOHNSON: Yes, I could start it off.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Okay. All right. So

then maybe what I would do, and I'm going to look to my fellow 1 2 board members, I mean I've looked at the application in terms 3 of the party status, and I think that everyone maybe with the 4 exception of Mr. Byers, and I guess an argument can be made 5 even for him, I think all of the --MS. JOHNSON: 6 Her. 7 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Her, okay. Thank you. It's her. 8 MS. JOHNSON: 9 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Thank you, Ms. Johnson. Ms. 10 Byers -- that all of them really are in line with the, 11 know, this property in term -- I'm sorry, this application. 12 So I would be in favor of granting them all party status. And so I don't know what you all think, meaning my fellow 13 board members, and I'm going to start with Mr. Smith, if that's 14 15 all right. 16 My question, and maybe you asked MEMBER SMITH: 17 and I missed it because we were going on mute. But it's kind of going in and out. Mr. Ronnie Gray is there online, what 18 19 is your address on C Street? I'm assuming you're on that 20 square, you're backing up to the alley lot but you're using 21 your phone. 22 MR. DICKSON: Mr. Gray is lot 61. What's his address? 2.3 MEMBER SMITH: 24 I believe it's 324 -- that's C DICKSON: MR. 25 He's in the middle house on D Street.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: When you say he, aren't you 1 2 mister --3 MS. JOHNSON: You mean on C. CHAIRPERSON HILL: Aren't you Mr. Gray? 4 5 MR. DICKSON: No, I'm Dickson. CHAIRPERSON HILL: 6 Oh, so Mister --7 I'm the block captain. MR. DICKSON: Then Mr. Gray is not here. 8 CHAIRPERSON HILL: 9 Yeah. 10 MS. JOHNSON: He was on the line. CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Young, do you see a Mr. 11 12 And per the regulations, everyone has to be here if 13 they want to get party status. So Mr. Gray would not be getting 14 Mr. Smith, does that answer your question? 15 MEMBER SMITH: That answers my question that he's 16 not on the line. I'm comfortable with granting everyone on 17 the phone call party status. I believe Ms. Byers does have party status because she does -- she can be -- she can be just 18 as uniquely affected even though her property doesn't directly 19 20 abut that alley lot because she would be impacted from a safety 21 standpoint if we were to grant this variance because that alley 22 that she backs up to is less than 24 feet wide, so I'm 2.3 comfortable with granting everyone that's on this call party 24 status.

Okay.

CHAIRPERSON HILL:

25

Mr. Smith, I mean Mr.

Blake.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

MEMBER BLAKE: Yeah, I agree with that.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Commissioner Miller.

ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: If what you're saying is that they together will be one party, each of which -- each of whom who is a member of this party would have an opportunity to speak and testify. But you were asking Ms. Johnson if she which primarily would take the lead involves cross-examination, the questions that a party in opposition is able to ask of the applicant and of any other person who testifies here today.

So Ms. Johnson would take the lead, but given the environment we're in, you said you'd have leeway on allowing others to ask questions as well. But, yes, I support all of them being one party, not eight different parties is my understanding of what you said.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah, Ι and this, Commissioner Miller, thanks. I don't know, this is the -this is the first time I've had this many people. don't know, Ms. Nagelhout, if -- I mean I'm happy for them to be a group, or if they are getting individual party status and they're being -- at least presenting as a group. don't know how you all could parse that out. Meaning would they all get listed as party status people? Does it matter? Do you have an opinion, Ms. Nagelhout?

1	MS. NAGELHOUT: Individual requests, and I think
2	you should deliberate on each one individually to find whether
3	those people meet the request for party status or not. And
4	if you grant all of them time, that's a separate question from
5	how to run the hearing. You can ask the parties in opposition
6	to terminate the presentation.
7	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Ms. Nagelhout, I'm sorry to
8	interrupt you. I got you. So I think we're all on the same
9	page. So what's happening
10	MS. JOHNSON: A question.
11	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Who?
12	MS. JOHNSON: I have a question.
13	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Who is asking a question?
14	MS. JOHNSON: This is Mary Johnson.
15	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Oh, Ms. Johnson.
16	MS. JOHNSON: Mary Johnson.
17	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Sure, go ahead.
18	MS. JOHNSON: This is Ms. Johnson. My question
19	is that, okay, you want us to listen to what the who we
20	are opposite, you know, going opposition in against to listen
21	to their status, and then you want us to come back on later
22	on today to state the reasoning why we are going against the
23	building of this for this project. My question is that
	1

if today doesn't fulfill the timeframe of what needs to be

done, will this hearing be extended to another date that we

would be able to come, as you saying, as a group and discuss the questions that the, you know, that who we are going against to build?

CHAIRPERSON HILL: I understand.

MS. JOHNSON: That would not give us enough time and -- to give you a reasonable answer of why we are opposing it.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Right. So, Ms. Johnson, per -- the way we're supposed -- per the regulations, when people apply for party status, they're supposed to be ready to present against or, you know, make whatever arguments they are supposed to make, right, for the applicant. What I'm proposing, and this is why I'm doing this now in this way, is that I wanted to get the party status out of the way, and then you all can talk throughout the day amongst yourselves if, you know, how you'd like to go about making your arguments. I mean we have what's in the record for each individual person.

And what the counsel, our attorneys are saying is that we need to determine if each one of you meet the regulations for party status. So what I think's going to happen is we're going to give each one of you, we're going to -- I believe that each one of you are going to meet the requirements for party status. So each one of you will get party status.

In terms of running the hearing, what I was

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

1	proposing again was that you, Ms. Johnson, would just take
2	the lead and everyone will have an opportunity to speak if
3	you want to ask questions of them or, you know, if one person
4	speaks about something and one person speaks about something
5	else. But you all will have the same amount of time as the
6	applicant does to make their argument. Do you understand?
7	MS. JOHNSON: So right now we are on the docket
8	for the hearing, but then what time would you're saying
9	that we would have to come back.
10	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Right. What I'm saying is that
11	I was doing this so that you all have an opportunity to organize
12	yourselves or talk amongst yourselves. You guys would come
13	back at the end of the day. When we were done with whatever,
14	you know, whatever time that is, it might be like 3:00 o'clock,
15	you guys would come back at 3:00 o'clock or so. And I guess
16	Mr. Moy or his staff can call each one of you and tell you
17	when it seems like you're going to come up on the docket.
18	You understand?
19	MS. JOHNSON: Yeah.
20	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. So, all right. So I'm
21	going to look at my fellow board members here, I mean, again,
22	I'm looking at each
23	MS. JOHNSON: But suppose that we do not we do
24	not get on the docket as of today, what happens then after

that?

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Well, Ms. Johnson, I'm trying to make sure you guys get on the docket today because I want to get through this docket. And so the only reason this wouldn't happen today is if it's like 8:00 o'clock at night or 7:00 o'clock at night that you guys get back on, and that the Board's just basically doesn't have the brain power to do it. So otherwise you guys are going to get back on. Okay?

MR. DICKSON: The timeframe -- this is Dickson.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah.

MR. DICKSON: I'm -- this is the block captain that live at 4564, 95, we are having a problem here because if you're going to run this till late this afternoon, it's because some people are going to have to jump off the line and do other things. And so you asking us to do this like Mrs. Johnson said --

CHAIRPERSON HILL: I got you. Mr. Dickson, I'm just trying -- there's plenty of people that are here today that all want to have their hearing heard. I'm trying to do what I think is the most fair and is let you guys know where you stand in terms of party status. Right? You haven't been given party status yet.

So what I'm doing is I'm giving you the opportunity to organize yourselves, and you all were scheduled for the end of the day, like you're already on the agenda for the end of the day, so you were going to -- I'm sorry. I'm moving

2.3

1	you to the end of the day so that you have an opportunity to
2	talk amongst yourselves, do you understand? And somebody from
3	the staff will be able to call you guys. Mr. Moy, they can
4	get all get a phone call like, you know, when we're working
5	on the second to last case, correct?
6	MR. MOY: That's correct, sir. We reach out to
7	every single one of them.
8	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. So we'll reach out to
9	all of you to let you know that you're about to come out, okay?
10	MR. DICKSON: What time, you know?
11	CHAIRPERSON HILL: I don't know. I mean I'm
12	taking a guess at 3:00 o'clock, but it could be 4:00 o'clock.
13	I just I mean that's the best I can do.
14	MR. DICKSON: So you say between 3:00 and 4:00
15	o'clock?
16	CHAIRPERSON HILL: I'm taking a guess. I have no
17	idea.
18	MS. JOHNSON: But I don't, you know, you're not
19	giving us the same opportunity as you're giving the people,
20	you know, the person that is trying to build because we have
21	already submitted our information of what we want to say about
22	the property and how it is an inconvenience to us within this
23	party status request. And so even though we are coming as
24	party status, has someone read the party status request looking

at our, you know, things that we have, that would be against

1	them building?
2	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah, I understand.
3	MS. JOHNSON: You know, so
4	CHAIRPERSON HILL: But Miss
5	MS. JOHNSON: So
6	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Ms. Johnson, we can do this now
7	if you want to. If you guys don't think you need the time
8	to get organized, I have to do another case that we've already
9	agreed to do first which was someone who was on another day.
10	And so I can go ahead and do that one, and then you guys can
11	come on right after that if you don't think you need that much
12	time.
13	MS. JOHNSON: Well, what time would that be?
14	CHAIRPERSON HILL: I don't know. I mean the
15	best I can do is
16	MS. JOHNSON: Ladies and gentlemen
17	CHAIRPERSON HILL: It'll be like
18	MS. JOHNSON: because we all are on here now.
19	CHAIRPERSON HILL: I understand.
20	MS. JOHNSON: All of us that you have called out
21	the names are on the line right at this moment.
22	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay.
23	MS. JOHNSON: Now I don't know what you want to
24	ask us or what they have to say, but just like we all have
25	on the line now, and then we have to get off and get together,

1	and then come back on, you know, that's the reason that we
2	are on the line this morning to try to make sure that we all
3	be on the same page.
4	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Got you. Okay, Ms. Johnson.
5	Well, this is what I'm going to then propose.
6	MS. ANDERSON: Please
7	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay? I'll go ahead and we're
8	going to
9	MS. ANDERSON: Please
10	(Simultaneous speaking.)
11	CHAIRPERSON HILL: bring you on
12	MS. ANDERSON: Hello?
13	CHAIRPERSON HILL: we're going to bring
14	hello, who's trying to speak?
15	MS. ANDERSON: This is Kathy Anderson.
16	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay.
17	MS. ANDERSON: And so just for I can't, you know,
18	I don't know why my video's not showing up because I'm on a
19	computer and I see all of you, right? So it would be fine
20	with me to postpone it. I understand, however, if everybody
21	else wants to do it now. I mean I'm available either way.
22	MS. JOHNSON: Could postpone it and set another
23	date.
24	MS. ANDERSON: Well
25	CHAIRPERSON HILL: We're not talking about
	NEAL D. ODOOO

postponing.

2.3

MS. ANDERSON: That's --

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Just to let you guys -- hold on, you guys got to listen to me one second. You have to understand that I'm trying to be fair and efficient, right? So you have to understand. You all are applying for party status. We're trying to determine right now whether or not you get party status. If you get party status, then you are supposed to be ready right now to give your argument as to why you're opposed to this application because you've seen everything that's in the record.

So what I was doing was I was saying, okay, we're going to let you all talk amongst yourselves and come back at the end of the day so that you might know what each other's arguments are, right? I can do this any way you all want me to do, I'm trying to make it -- and I know you got -- everybody has their own opinion because there's many people on here. And I can't see any of you because none of you got video so I don't know whether you have your hands up or anything. So you'll have to -- and Ms. Johnson is the person that at least in her letter says she was a representative so that's why I started with her.

So, Ms. Johnson, can you hear me? Okay. So these are your two options, Ms. Johnson. You either can come back at the end of the day, right, and you can call everybody and

1	figure out it all out. Or you can come back after we have
2	case number 20538, which by the way is going to take some time.
3	So it's 11:00 o'clock now, we're going to take a 15 minute
4	break. You guys might be back with us at 1:00 o'clock, 1:30,
5	I have no I'm not really sure.
6	MS. JOHNSON: So you will be calling each one of
7	us individually
8	CHAIRPERSON HILL: You all
9	MS. JOHNSON: to get back on the line?
10	CHAIRPERSON HILL: You all will be called
11	individually to get back on the line, yes.
12	MS. JOHNSON: How do you feel about that, everyone?
13	MS. ANDERSON: Okay. So it's Kathy Anderson, I
14	could go at 3:00 o'clock this afternoon. I can also go at
15	1:00. I personally think it would be better to go then.
16	CHAIRPERSON HILL: All right, so Ms. Anderson is
17	available at any time.
18	MS. JOHNSON: I'm available at any time.
19	MS. ANDERSON: I would prefer at -
20	(Simultaneous speaking.)
21	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Mr. Dickson?
22	MR. DICKSON: Any time is very broad.
23	CHAIRPERSON HILL: I got you.
24	MS. ANDERSON: 1:00 o'clock is fine with me.
25	MR. DICKSON: Yeah. You said between 3:00 and

1	4:00, if that was if I had some kind of idea of the time,
2	that
3	CHAIRPERSON HILL: No, I understand, Mr. Dickson.
4	And I apologize, I'm just trying to do my best.
5	MR. DICKSON: Oh, yeah, I understand.
6	CHAIRPERSON HILL: The first thing you either
7	get it you either get it earlier, or you get it later.
8	So do you have a vote?
9	MR. DICKSON: Yes. I guess I could go along with
10	any time because I need to be in on the
11	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Mr. Byers?
12	MS. BYERS: I can be available anytime.
13	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Ms. Harris, or Mr.
14	Harris?
15	MR. HARRIS: I'm available.
16	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. So it sounds like, Ms.
17	Johnson, Mr. Anderson or Ms. Anderson? Okay. So Ms. Johnson,
18	everybody's available at any time. Do you want to go so
19	do you want to go at the end of the day when you've had more
20	time to talk to each other?
21	MS. JOHNSON: That's up to them. They said
22	anytime, so you said 1:00 o'clock or 1:00, you know,
23	CHAIRPERSON HILL: I said either all right, I'm
24	going to put you at the end of the day, okay, since it's any
25	time

1	MS. JOHNSON: Which is what time?
2	CHAIRPERSON HILL: I don't like I said, I don't
3	know. It could be it could be whatever the end of the day
4	is. I just don't know. But you'll get a phone call or
5	you can go I love this or you can go right after the
6	next case that we have that's very lengthy.
7	MS. JOHNSON: Well, ours could be lengthy, too.
8	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Oh, yours is going to be
9	lengthy. I'm not I'm going to put you at the end of the
10	day. So you're going to at the end of the day. So somebody's
11	going to call you at the end of the day. Like I'm going back
12	to my board members now. So you don't have to I mean I've
13	read each individual party status person and I'm fine with
14	each individual party status person getting party status who's
15	on the call. Okay? That's my motion. Would somebody second
16	it? Mr. Blake? Okay. Mr. Moy, would you take a roll call?
17	MR. MOY: For clarity, Mr. Chairman, when you say
18	all of the requests for party status, does that also include
19	Ronnie Gray and Todd Spears?
20	CHAIRPERSON HILL: No. Mr. Gray and Mr. Spears
21	are not on the line so they will not get party status. Everyone
22	that's on the line as I understand is Ms. Mild, Ms. Johnson,
23	Mr. Dickson, Ms. Byers, Mr. Harris, and Ms. Anderson. Those

MR. DICKSON: Well, what about the people who are

are all the people that are getting party status.

24

1 not getting party status? Do they have any say so in this 2 at all? 3 Is that Mr. Dickson? CHAIRPERSON HILL: MR. DICKSON: Yes. 4 5 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Dickson, those people are not with us. Per the regulations, they have to be here when 6 7 we do this process. They will still be able to testify, however, as members of the public if they are around during 8 9 the hearing. So they could still testify. Okay? 10 MR. DICKSON: They can testify. Can they 11 petition? 12 CHAIRPERSON HILL: They can't. They're not going 13 to be -- they're not here right now to achieve party status What that means is, you 14 so they will not get party status. 15 know, they'll be able to participate in the hearing beyond 16 just getting the opportunity to testify. But they will get 17 the opportunity to testify as a member of the public. they -- if you want to find them and they want to come back 18 19 at the end of the day when you all come back, they can testify 20 as members of the public. So, you guys, I got to kind of move on here because 21 22 I got to get back to all this so that we can finally get to 2.3 you guys because I don't want to miss, you know, I want to 24 So I don't even know if I need a motion, get to you guys.

I mean you understand what I'm determining, correct?

1	MR. MOY: Oh, absolutely, sir.
2	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right. So, Ms.
3	Johnson, why don't you go ahead and talk amongst yourselves.
4	And what again you're going to do is you're going to be having
5	the same amount of time that the applicant will have to give
6	their presentation so you can give all of your arguments about
7	why you oppose the project, and you all can have the same amount
8	of time to do so. And if you can if you guys can find a
9	computer with a camera, if you can't, that's fine, but it's
10	much either if I can see you so I can see you raise your hand.
11	Okay? Oh, sure.
12	MS. ANDERSON: Can you see my camera?
13	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah.
14	MS. ANDERSON: That's me then, that's Kathy
15	Anderson. I'm Kathy Anderson.
16	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Ms. Anderson, you're breaking
17	up, but go ahead.
18	MS. ANDERSON: I'm sorry. I said can you see me
19	because I have a video on. I can see myself and all of you.
20	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yep, I can see you, but your
21	audio's breaking up a little bit.
22	MS. ANDERSON: Okay. I don't I'll try to fix
23	that, but I'm not sure how, but I will try to fix it.
24	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. What's your question?
25	MS. ANDERSON: No. Just that when you said

1	there's
2	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Oh.
3	MS. ANDERSON: no video, I'm a video. Right?
4	CHAIRPERSON HILL: I got it. Okay. Yeah. So
5	whoever can try to get video, would be great, okay? All right.
6	Mr. Blake?
7	MEMBER BLAKE: Speak to Ms. Johnson and the other
8	parties in opposition. There were several filings that were
9	put into the record yesterday that you should make sure that
10	you've reviewed those prior to the meeting because they address
11	some of the issues that you have. So it would be helpful to
12	make sure that you've seen it in case you had not already.
13	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Right. Like what Mr. Blake is
14	also referring to is if you look at the applicant's PowerPoint
15	presentation is actually in the record, and that's in Exhibit
16	52. So that might be helpful for you all to review while you're
17	going through this process. But, okay. So, Ms. Wilson, can
18	you hear me? Exhibit
19	MS. JOHNSON: Excuse me, 52?
20	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Exhibit 52.
21	MS. JOHNSON: What is that?
22	CHAIRPERSON HILL: That is the exhibit in the
23	record that has the applicant's PowerPoint presentation. So
24	you basically will be able to see what the applicant is going

to be presenting. Okay? All right.

Ms. Wilson, can you hear

1	me?
2	MS. WILSON: Yes, I can.
3	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Do you hear everything
4	that I'm saying?
5	MS. WILSON: Yes.
6	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Are you
7	MS. JOHNSON: So how do we get a
8	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Ms. Johnson, give me one
9	second, Ms. Johnson.
10	MS. JOHNSON: How do we get a how do we see what
11	
12	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Ms. Johnson, give me one
13	second, Ms. Johnson. Give me one second, Ms. Johnson. Ms.
14	Wilson, do you have any comments on anything I just said?
15	MS. WILSON: No. We look forward to presenting
16	at the end of the day.
17	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Ms. Johnson, what was
18	your question?
19	MS. JOHNSON: How can we see what they present?
20	Are they going to read it to are you going to read it to
21	us, or
22	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yes.
23	MS. JOHNSON: will we have to have that in
24	writing?
25	CHAIRPERSON HILL: No. They're going to give a

1	presentation they give a we're the Board, they're going
2	to present to us. Okay?
3	MS. JOHNSON: So are they going to present it now
4	or later?
5	CHAIRPERSON HILL: No. They're going to present
6	it at the end of the day. This case is being heard at the
7	end of the day. Okay? Mr. Blake?
8	MS. JOHNSON: Okay.
9	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Blake?
10	MEMBER BLAKE: I have a question. For example,
11	in Exhibit 50 is a draft construction memo, have you reviewed
12	that document? I'm talking to the opposition parties. Have
13	you reviewed that document? Have you reviewed the fire
14	MS. JOHNSON: You mean the blueprints?
15	MEMBER BLAKE: No. They have a construction memo
16	that talks about how they're going to handle the construction
17	process.
18	MS. JOHNSON: No.
19	MEMBER BLAKE: Okay. You
20	MS. JOHNSON: No, they have not given
21	MR. DICKSON: No.
22	MS. JOHNSON: that to us.
23	MEMBER BLAKE: Okay. Okay.
24	MS. JOHNSON: No.
25	MEMBER BLAKE: It's in the record and you I'm
	NEAL R. GROSS

1	suggesting that review that
2	MS. JOHNSON: But we don't get the record, how can
3	we review it?
4	CHAIRPERSON HILL: No, the record is in so does
5	anybody know how to look at the record?
6	MS. JOHNSON: No.
7	CHAIRPERSON HILL: So you all haven't looked at
8	the record?
9	MS. JOHNSON: No. No.
10	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, well, then
11	MS. JOHNSON: No, we don't know how to look at the
12	record.
13	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. So if you go to the D.C.
14	BZA website, okay? I'm going to do it. And this has okay.
15	So the D.C. BZA website, if you go to services, and then if
16	you go to interactive zoning system. And then you click review
17	case record, and you punch in the number of the record which
18	is 20716, you'll get the entire record. And at the very end
19	of the record, you'll see Exhibit 50 and Exhibit 52 which speaks
20	to all which speaks to some of the things that you all might
21	be talking about. Okay?
22	MS. JOHNSON: So you said we go into the
23	CHAIRPERSON HILL: D.C oh, hey, Mr. Moy, is
24	there somebody that Ms. Johnson can call?
25	MR. MOY: I'd suggest I can have Mr. Reed call

1	Ms. Johnson and give her step by step instructions how to get
2	into the official record.
3	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, great. Okay, Ms.
4	Johnson, somebody's
5	MR. DICKSON: But what about
6	CHAIRPERSON HILL: going to call you, okay?
7	MR. DICKSON: But what about the rest of us that's
8	on the that's involved?
9	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Ms. Johnson is supposed to
10	organize, Mr. Dickson so she'll call you.
11	MS. JOHNSON: Yeah, but I don't have the computer
12	like Ms. Anderson.
13	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, Ms. Anderson, do you want
14	to
15	MS. JOHNSON: So the information needs to be sent
16	to Ms. Anderson so that she could
17	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Ms. Anderson, do you want
18	Ms. Anderson, do you want to be the point person? Okay. Ms.
19	Johnson, I
20	MS. ANDERSON: I can do that.
21	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Ms. Anderson, I'm going
22	to let who's going to call, Mr. Moy, I'm sorry?
23	MR. MOY: Mr. Reed.
24	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Oh, yeah, Mr. Reed. So
25	someone's going to call you, Ms. Anderson and

1	MS. ANDERSON: Okay.
2	CHAIRPERSON HILL: tell you how to get into the
3	record, okay?
4	MS. ANDERSON: Okay. Thank you.
5	CHAIRPERSON HILL: All right. Thank you. All
6	right. Everybody, we'll see you at the end of the day, and
7	somebody will call you before the hearing. Ms. Wilson, do
8	you have a question or a comment?
9	MS. WILSON: Waiving.
10	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Mr. Hubig, you seem to
11	have a question or a comment. No, you don't.
12	MR. HUBIG: He's saying good bye.
13	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, great. Thank you. All
14	right. We'll see you all at the end of the day. Thank you.
15	PARTICIPANT: Thank you, guys. Appreciate it.
16	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Bye-bye.
17	PARTICIPANT: See you.
18	MS. JOHNSON: Thank you.
19	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. So that was that. Mr.
20	Moy, you're saying that so, again, and what I'm trying to
21	do is help people with I want to go on the record. I'm
22	trying to help people with the schedule and the agenda, and
23	I bend over backwards to try to do it. Doing that again is
24	that there is case 20358, which I had had at the end of the

 $\mbox{\tt day}$ -- which I had had at the end of the day, but it was reminded

_	to me that they were on a previous day and we had asked
2	we had said we were going to hear them at the beginning of
3	the day. So now, unfortunately, some of them think they're
4	at the end of the day, so Mr. Moy's trying to straighten that
5	all out. So Mr. Moy, you haven't straightened all that out
6	yet, correct?
7	MR. MOY: Parties that we have not been able to
8	reach at this point. I was going to suggest that once that's
9	done, and they're all together, then I'll remind you of that,
LO	sir. When they're all in the panel, then we can get to them.
L1	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Got it.
L2	MR. MOY: But I think you should move forward.
L3	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Got it. So what we're going
L 4	to do is we're going to take a break. We're going to take
L5	a 10 minute break. We're going to come back, and then we're
L6	going to go through the agenda like we normally are until
L7	everyone's ready from the 20538 case. Okay? If anybody has
L8	any questions, please raise your hand. If not, we'll see you
L9	in 10 minutes. Okay, great. Thank you.
20	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the
21	record at 11:11 a.m. and resumed at 11:27 a.m.)
22	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, great. Mr. Moy, can you
23	call us back in and call our next case 20645 please? You're
24	on mute, Mr. Moy.

Oh, man.

Okay.

MR. MOY:

25

The Board has returned

2	time is at or about 11:28.
3	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. So jealous. Oh, my
4	God. Really? Wow. Okay. All right, Mr. Smith, are you
5	there? I think Commissioner Miller should just put the
6	background on the chair background. All right. Okay.
7	Mr. Smith, are you there? Actually, it's nice, Commissioner
8	Miller, it actually is fun to look at, I got to say. Thank
9	you.
10	I thought I saw Mr. Smith, but maybe I didn't.
11	Yeah, I got no Mr. Smith. All right, we need to wait a minute.
12	Oh, Mr. Smith said his computer froze so he's rebooting I
13	guess. Well, Mr. Smith, can you hear us? Can you talk? If
14	you can hear us, maybe you want to just call in, call the hotline
15	number. And if you can at least see things, then you'll have
16	audio. Mr. Miller and Mr. Blake, you can hear me, right?
17	ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yes.
18	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. I'm just trying to get
19	Mr. Smith back on. Mr. Moy, can you hear me?
20	MR. MOY: Yes, sir, I'm here.
21	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Why don't you go ahead and call
22	our next case. Let's bring everybody in and we'll wait for
23	Mr. Smith because we have a quorum.
24	MR. MOY: Yes, we do. Okay. All right. With
25	those instructions then, I'm calling to the table case

to its public hearing session after a quick break, and the

application number 20645 of Equity Trust Company, custodian FBO Richard Leavy, L-E-A-V-Y, IRA. This is a self-certified application for special exceptions pursuant to subtitle D, section 5201 and subtitle X, section 901.2 from the side yard requirements of subtitle D, section 206.3 and lot occupancy requirements subtitle D, section 304.1. Property is in the R-2 zone at 212 57th Street, Northeast square 5248 lot 23.

Other than that, Mr. Chairman, there is a photograph of a sign posted that was submitted by Selena Dandridge in our 24 hour block for you to decide. And that would be all that I have for you.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Yeah. Mr. Moy, go ahead and let the staff drop in whatever that photo is. I'd like to see it. Mr. Sullivan, if you can hear me, if you can introduce yourself for the record.

MR. SULLIVAN: The Chair, members of the board, Marty Sullivan with Sullivan & Barros on behalf of the applicant.

Chairman Holmes there, ANC Commissioner? All right, Mr. Sullivan, if you want to go ahead and walk us through your client's applicant as to why you believe you are meeting the criteria for us to grant the relief requested. If you could just summarize it because our day is really tight and we'll see what kind of questions the Board has.

2.3

I'm looking through your PowerPoint and it's helpful, thank you. I don't think we need to look through the architectural drawings if you want to concentrate on the regulations. But, Mr. Young, if you could pull up their PowerPoint please when you get a chance. Great, thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Sullivan.

MR. SULLIVAN: Okay, thank you, Mr. Chair. Next slide please. So the request is for side yard relief of four feet in the R-2 zone semi-detached requires one eight foot side yard. We're asking to have a four foot side yard on the north side. And also asking for a 3.2 percent additional lot occupancy from 40 percent to 43.2 percent. Next slide please.

We have the support of the Office of Planning, ANC 7C. Also the Northeast Boundary Civic Association had a meeting and had a unanimous vote. We've entered into a CMA with them. DDOT has no objection. And we have three letters of support including the adjacent to the north on the side where the relief is requested. Next slide please. A photo of the lot. Next slide please. Next slide.

I just -- I wanted to show you the site plan just to see this. We'll be adjacent to the lot line on the south, and we'll have a four foot side yard on the north. And there's an approximately 15 foot side yard to the building to the north. If we could just go down to elevations, I think I want to show you that real quick. Next slide. Next slide. Next

2.3

slide. Next slide.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

A look at a side elevation is helpful. So there's a front setback on the front to better fit in with the neighborhood. That's one of the reasons why he's asking for additional lot occupancy as well. Next slide please. Next slide. I'll get right to the special exception criteria. Next slide. Next slide. Next slide.

So because primarily, and this is mentioned prominently in the OP report as well, because of the significant separation between the two buildings, this building and the building to the north, there will be no undue impact on light and air, or privacy from the requested relief from either of the areas of requested relief. Next slide And that's what I go through here. Next slide. Obviously, we meet the general requirements. It's semi-detached single family building. Next slide please. I talked about light and air. Next slide please.

And regarding character, scale, and pattern, we have the third-story setback which helps with that criteria as well. Next slide please. And that's it's. And the architect wasn't here with us today, but I think the owner was supposed to be or trying to be, Rick Leavy, if he's there if you have any questions for him. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Do we have somebody from the ANC?

1	MR. HOLMES: Holmes, how you all doing?
2	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Hey, Mr. Holmes, how you doing?
3	MR. HOLMES: I am well.
4	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Could you introduce yourself
5	for the record please?
6	MR. HOLMES: Yes. Antawan Holmes, chair of the
7	ANC 7C.
8	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Welcome, Commissioner. How
9	you doing? It's nice to see a friendly face.
10	MR. HOLMES: Same here.
11	CHAIRPERSON HILL: All right. Do you have
12	anything to add, Commissioner?
13	MR. HOLMES: No. The applicant did their due
14	diligence, met with the ANC. Also the Northeast Boundary
15	Civic Association, and I gave a presentation. The community
16	supported the applicant's, I believe there's two special
17	exceptions on this case. And they did since they did all
18	those things, ANC 7C is in support of this project and we
19	submitted a letter.
20	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, great. Thanks. Chairman
21	Holmes. I did see your letter. Okay, I'm going to do
22	questions at the end. Could the Office of Planning introduce
23	themselves for the record please?
24	MR. KIRSCHENBAUM: Members of the Board of Zoning
25	Adjustment, I'm Jonathan Kirschenbaum with the Office of

1	Planning. We recommend approval of the two special exception
2	requests, and we rest on the record of our staff report.
3	Please let me know if you have any questions. Thank you.
4	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Thank you. Okay. Does the
5	Board have any questions for either the applicant, the ANC,
6	or the Office of Planning, and if so, raise your hand? Okay.
7	Mr. Young, is there anyone here wishing to speak?
8	MR. YOUNG: We do have one witness signed up.
9	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Could you bring that
10	witness in please? Hello, can you hear me?
11	MS. DANDRIDGE: Yes. My name is Selena Dandridge,
12	and I reside at 240 57th Street, Northeast, and I have a couple
13	concerns. The first concern is the
14	(Simultaneous speaking.)
15	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, Ms. Dandridge, can I
16	Ms. Dandridge, can I just tell you one thing?
17	MS. DANDRIDGE: Yes.
18	CHAIRPERSON HILL: So as a member of the public,
19	you'll have three minutes to give your testimony.
20	MS. DANDRIDGE: Understand.
21	CHAIRPERSON HILL: And I think you can see the
22	you can see the clock right there. And you can go ahead and
23	start.
24	MS. DANDRIDGE: I understand. My first concern
25	is on the poster board for the hearing, it's the wrong address.

1	It's 212 57 Place, Northeast which is a block over. And on
2	the letter that was mailed that I never received, it stated
3	also 212 57 Place instead of 57 Street. And there's also
4	parking concerns that I have. So how can something be approved
5	if we have the address is not correct?
6	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Is that your testimony,
7	Ms. Dandridge?
8	MS. DANDRIDGE: Yes. Yes.
9	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. You don't have any other
10	concerns except for the parking then?
11	MS. DANDRIDGE: Well my neighbors was complaining
12	I talked to them this morning, they said they didn't know
13	it was going to be here. They thought the property was going
14	to be on 57 Place as stated in the letter that was mailed from
15	the government.
16	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right. And so
17	but the parking is your concern?
18	MS. DANDRIDGE: My parking, how adjacent
19	because I don't understand you all terminology, how adjacent
20	is the building going to be to the next person I guess on the
21	south to 210 1/2 57th Street.
22	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Where do you live in
23	relationship to the property, ma'am?
24	MS. DANDRIDGE: I live at I live five houses
25	down on the right hand side. I live at 240.

1	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, got it.
2	MS. DANDRIDGE: Live at 240.
3	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Does the Board have any
4	questions for the witness? Okay. All right. Miss how
5	do you say your name, Daniels I'm sorry.
6	MS. DANDRIDGE: Dandridge.
7	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Dandridge.
8	MS. DANDRIDGE: Yes.
9	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Ms. Dandridge. Thank
10	you for your testimony.
11	MS. DANDRIDGE: Okay, thank you.
12	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Bye-bye. Mr. Moy?
13	MR. MOY: Yes, sir.
14	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Can the staff just check that
15	the address is correct?
16	MR. MOY: Yeah, I learned of it only yesterday from
17	the staff and I believe my understanding is that that was the
18	that was the address that was on the application that was
19	submitted to OZ. But once we learned about the correct
20	address, we went forward and made all the changes.
21	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. So the public has been
22	notified? Yeah?
23	MR. MOY: Not to the extent that we resent the
24	notice with the address
25	(Simultaneous speaking.)

1	CHAIRPERSON HILL: But the posting is posted
2	properly or was posted properly?
3	MR. MOY: I would ask I would defer that to the
4	applicant on the posting side.
5	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Sullivan?
6	MR. SULLIVAN: We made a mistake in the original
7	filing with Place, which is a block over. And we corrected
8	that in the record to make it 57th Street. But the posting
9	is in the on the right lot, yes.
10	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Right.
11	MR. SULLIVAN: And we've had at least three
12	community meetings, and my client has walked around knocking
13	on doors within, you know, four or five houses around there.
14	Hence, the support letter from the north. So I would yes,
15	I would hope that the Board would find that we have overcome
16	the error and had notice in fact because of the several meetings
17	that we've had.
18	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Right. And you did present to
19	the ANC.
20	MR. SULLIVAN: Yes.
21	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Does anybody have any
22	questions for the applicant, or the commissioner, or anyone,
23	and if so, raise your hand? Okay. Mr. Sullivan, you have
24	anything at the end?

No.

Thank you.

MR. SULLIVAN:

1	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. I'm going to go ahead
2	and close the hearing and the record. Okay. I actually
3	didn't have any issues with this application. I mean they're
4	not asking for parking relief anyways as far as the person
5	who came to testify in opposition. And I do believe that in
6	terms of them meeting the requirements, I don't think there's
7	any adverse impact. There's no windows on the side of the
8	building even though it's actually facing another building.
9	And then also that third story set back is I believe helpful.
10	And so I also would agree with the analysis of the
11	Office of Planning and the support of the ANC and their (audio
12	interference). And then also that of DDOT with no objection
13	and vote in favor of this application. I don't Mr. Smith,
14	I'm just going to leave you out of this one because I don't
15	know, but I because I have a quorum anyway. Mr. Blake,
16	do you have anything you'd like to add?
17	MEMBER BLAKE: I'll be voting in favor of the
18	application. I do think it meets the requirements of D5201
19	and for lot occupancy and the Radar required relief. And
20	I would also acknowledge the Northeast Boundary Civic
21	Association's support, and the adjacent neighbor to the north
22	as well.
23	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Thank you. Commissioner
24	Miller?

ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER:

25

Mr. Chairman, I concur with

1	you and Board Member Blake. And I thank 7C Chair Holmes for
2	all his work and appearance here today. Thank you.
3	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah. Thank you. I also do
4	thank Commissioner Holmes Chairman Holmes for showing up.
5	It's always nice to see him. I'm going to go ahead and make
6	a motion to approve application number 20645 as captured and
7	read by the secretary, and ask for a second Mr. Blake?
8	MEMBER BLAKE: Second.
9	CHAIRPERSON HILL: The motion been made, and
10	seconded. Mr. Moy, could take a roll call?
11	MR. MOY: Yes. When I call your name, if you would
12	please respond with a yes, no, or abstain to the motion made
13	by Chairman Hill to approve the application for the relief
14	that's requested. The motion was seconded by Mr. Blake.
15	Zoning Commissioner Rob Miller?
16	ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yes.
17	MR. MOY: Mr. Blake?
18	MEMBER BLAKE: Yes.
19	MR. MOY: Chairman Hill?
20	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yes.
21	MR. MOY: We have two board members not
22	participated. Staff would record the vote as three, to zero
23	to two, and this is on the motion by Chairman Hill to approve.
24	The motion to approve was second by Mr. Blake. Also in
25	support of the motion, Zoning Commissioner Rob Miller, and,

2	participating. The motion carries on a vote of three to zero
3	to two.
4	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Great. Thank you. Mr. Smith,
5	can you hear us now? You're still on mute.
6	MEMBER SMITH: I can hear you. Yeah. It was my
7	camera that's the issue.
8	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, great. Perfect. Thank
9	you. For the record, Board Member Chrishaun Smith has
10	rejoined us. Mr. Moy, are we moving on to another case, or
11	do we have that last case that we were looking for with
12	everybody yet?
13	MR. MOY: Okay. Just seconds ago, my staff tells
14	me that all the parties to case number 20538 of TG Management
15	are now in the room.
16	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. So you can go ahead and
17	call it if you want to.
18	MR. MOY: Okay. This would be application number
19	20538 of TG Management, LLC. This is a special exception.
20	This is a request for relief, a special exception under
21	subtitle U, section 203.1(e) pursuant to subtitle X, section
22	901.2 to allow a community-based institutional facility.
23	The property is in the R-2 zone district at 1614
24	Olive Street, Northeast, square 5167, lot 9. And there are
25	parties in opposition, and I believe they are the homeowners

course, Mr. Blake and Chairman Hill. Two members not

1	represented by David Brown, their legal counsel, Deanwood
2	Citizens Associations, ANC 7C. And the applicant I believe
3	is represented by a Cynthia Giordano. And I believe that's
4	all I have to say. Just checking my filings for a second,
5	Mr. Chairman, and, let's see. And that's it. Thank you, sir.
6	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, great. So I'm going to
7	need Mr. Moy or legal's help as I kind of go through this.
8	When I thought that again was we have the applicant Ms.
9	Giordano. We have then a group which was Jennifer and Eric
10	Stecklow, Laurence and Marla Blue, Talitha Beverly, and
11	they're all represented by Mr. David Brown. Then you have
12	the Deanwood Citizens Associations which is represented by
13	Mr. Jimell Sanders. And then we have Commissioner Antawan
14	Holmes. And those I thought were the parties. And then you
15	also have the ANC representative for 7D.
16	And I don't think I have
17	MS. DOUGLAS: Yeah, this is Commissioner Douglas,
18	I'm here. Can I make a statement?
19	(Simultaneous speaking.)
20	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Oh, hi, Commissioner Douglas.
21	MS. DOUGLAS: Hi.
22	CHAIRPERSON HILL: And then no so there wasn't
23	a representative I know we've had Commissioner Douglas
24	before, but I'm just trying to think whether or not there's
25	anything that she Commissioner Douglas, are you

1	representing your ANC? Commissioner Douglas, can you hear
2	me?
3	MS. DOUGLAS: I'm sorry, I had you on speaker.
4	Yes, I'm representing my single member district, and they gave
5	me permission to speak for my on that area.
6	CHAIRPERSON HILL: So you're first, Ms.
7	Douglas, could you introduce yourself for the record?
8	MS. DOUGLAS: Oh, I'm Dorothy Douglas, ANC 7D03.
9	And hello, everybody, and beautiful bright day today.
10	CHAIRPERSON HILL: I know, Commissioner. I wish
11	I was outside. Let's see.
12	(Simultaneous speaking.)
13	CHAIRPERSON HILL: You have Commissioner,
14	you're just here representing your you'll forgive me, I'm
15	just trying to get a handle on who everybody is. You're just
16	representing your SMD, or you're here representing your entire
17	ANC?
18	MS. DOUGLAS: I'm representing my SMD.
19	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. So, Ms. Nagelhout, if
20	you can tell me how that works in terms of whether that's then
21	someone who comes in during the public testimony part, which
22	I think is accurate. And, Ms. Nagelhout, you can let me know
23	as I kind of go I'll come back around to you as I kind of
24	go through introducing everybody. Let me find where is
25	the applicant? Is Ms. Giordano, are you on the line? Oh,

1	got you. Could you introduce yourself for the record please?
2	MS GIORDANO: Yes. My name is Cynthia Giordano.
3	I'm with Saul Ewing law firm representing the applicant today.
4	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Ms. Giordano, are
5	it's okay, are you just not using your camera? I just want
6	to know.
7	MS GIORDANO: Yeah. It's I'm sorry, with all
8	the jumping around, I'm not really camera ready to tell you
9	the truth.
10	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. That's fine. That's
11	fine. I just wanted to know. Okay. Let's see. All right.
12	So that's one. Mr. Brown, if you can hear me, if you could
13	introduce yourself for the record.
14	MR. BROWN: Good morning, Mr. Chairman. It's
15	still morning.
16	CHAIRPERSON HILL: It is.
17	MR. BROWN: David Brown of Knopf and Brown for the
18	three parties that you identified, the Stecklows, Talitha
19	Beverly, and the Blues.
20	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, great. Thank you, Mr.
21	Brown. Welcome. Is it Mr. Sanders, can you hear me?
22	MS. SANDERS: Chairman and the rest of the Board,
23	it's Ms. Jimell Sanders on behalf of the Deanwood Citizens
24	Associations.
2.5	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, thanks Ms. Sanders.

1	Welcome. Commissioner Holmes, can you hear me?
2	MR. HOLMES: Yes, I'm back.
3	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, Commissioner. Could you
4	introduce yourself for the record please?
5	MR. HOLMES: Yes. Antawan Holmes, ANC chair of
6	7C.
7	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, great. Okay. So, Ms.
8	Nagelhout, can you hear me? So in terms of the SMD, how are
9	they to be how do they work into the situation?
10	MS. NAGELHOUT: Correct. She would be treated as
11	a person. If she's not the duly authorized representative
12	of the effected ANC, she can testify as a person.
13	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Got it. Commissioner Douglas,
14	can you hear me?
15	MS. DOUGLAS: Yes.
16	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. So we're going to bring
17	you back then during the public testimony portion, okay?
18	MS. DOUGLAS: All right.
19	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Mr. Young, if you could
20	please excuse Commissioner Douglas. Let's see. Okay. Ms.
21	Giordano, can you hear me?
22	MS GIORDANO: I can.
23	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. So just so everybody
24	knows, I mean there's three parties of you guys, so we're going
25	to go ahead and let Ms. Giordano I know that Mr. Brown knows

1	how this works, and then as far as Ms. Sanders and Commissioner
2	well, Commissioner Holmes knows I know for sure. Ms.
3	Sanders, I don't know if you've been with us before or not,
4	but.
5	So I'm going to go ahead and let the applicant give
6	their presentation. I'm going to try to limit you guys to
7	around the same time that the applicant has to give their
8	presentation, but I'm going to let you all give your own
9	presentations. So just I'm just trying to move this through
10	the day so that everybody gets treated fairly. Ms. Giordano,
11	can you hear me?
12	MS GIORDANO: I can.
13	MR. THORNTON: Commission
14	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Oh, sure, who just spoke?
15	MR. THORNTON: Oh, this is Charles Thornton, I'm
16	with the applicant. And
17	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Thornton, could you
18	introduce yourself for the record please?
19	MR. THORNTON: Yes. Charles Thornton, and I'm
20	here on behalf of the applicant. And my question
21	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. What's your question,
22	Mr. Thornton?
23	MR. THORNTON: My question is the premises is 7D03,
24	and I just want to make sure that that's clear, and that is
25	Dorothy Douglas, single member district.

1	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. And you're bringing
2	that up why, Mr. Thornton?
3	MR. THORNTON: Because, again, you know, she's not
4	I just want to make sure that it's clear that it is in 7D03.
5	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right. All right,
6	thanks, Mr. Thornton. Okay. So, Ms. Giordano, who is here
7	with you? I guess Mr. Thornton is one, and who else is here
8	with you?
9	MS GIORDANO: We have three witnesses, Ashley
10	McSwain, Alicia Thornton, and Maya oh, I'm losing it here.
11	MS. KEARNEY: Kearney. Maya Kearney.
12	MS GIORDANO: Yes, Maya Kearney. And I wanted to
13	ask the Board to qualify Alicia McSwain first as
14	MS. KEARNEY: Ashley
15	MS GIORDANO: in social work.
16	MR. BROWN: Mr. Chairman?
17	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Sure, Mr. Brown.
18	MR. BROWN: Before we get started with her
19	presentation, I just have a couple of preliminary matters that
20	I feel should be addressed before we go into testimony.
21	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Sure Mr. Brown.
22	MR. BROWN: The applicant
23	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Could everybody hold on, Mr.
24	Brown. Could everybody mute their line unless they're
25	speaking? Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Brown, what's your

2	MR. BROWN: Yes. The application as read by Mr.
3	Moy is the application of TG Management, and I think, in fact,
4	this case was filed with TG Management as the applicant. And
5	Ms. Giordano in Exhibit 145 is listed as authorized
6	representative of TG Management.
7	All that's fine and straight forward except that
8	we have a memorandum Exhibit 74 from the Office of Planning
9	saying that TG Management is not the applicant. The applicant
10	is Community Family Life Community Family Life Services
11	or words to that effect. I think I've got that right.
12	So I'd like to get clear just who the applicant
13	is. I know that the property owner is an individual that may
14	be controls TG Management, but we need to understand who is
15	going to be implementing this special exception and
16	responsible for its proper operation. Is it Mr. Thornton?
17	Is it TG Management? Or is it
18	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right, Mr. Brown.
19	MR. BROWN: that facility.
20	CHAIRPERSON HILL: That's a fair question. We'll
21	go ahead and probably figure that out during the presentation.
22	What's your next question?
23	MR. BROWN: I had also mentioned that the
24	representations about how this facility was going to be
25	operated that are contained in the Office of Planning exhibits

question.

1	or were those exhibits were prepared before there was any
2	information in the record about how this facility was going
3	to be operated even before it was clear who was going to be
4	doing it.
5	So I would ask the Board well, I'm not asking
6	that the Board strike those reports from OP, but I'm asking
7	the Board not to rely on statements in that report as to how
8	this facility is going to be operated, but rely exclusively
9	on the evidence from the applicant.
10	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. I think, Mr. Brown, the
11	Board will be able to decide and determine what it needs to
12	look at. But we'll make a note of what your comments were.
13	Okay?
14	MR. BROWN: That's all I had.
15	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Thanks, Mr. Brown. All
16	right. Okay, Ms. Giordano, can you hear me?
17	MS GIORDANO: Yes.
18	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Do you have anything you
19	want us to pull up in order to make your presentation?
20	MS GIORDANO: Yes. If you could pull up my
21	supplemental statement, there's an outline of the
22	presentation, it might be easier for the Board to follow it.
23	It is Exhibit it's hard to read 146. Wow. That's a
24	lot of exhibits.
1	

CHAIRPERSON HILL:

25

Okay.

Okay, got it.

I'm

Τ	going to start a clock on you, Ms. Glordano, just so I know
2	where you are, and you can begin whenever you like.
3	MS GIORDANO: Okay. I first wanted to ask the
4	Board to qualify Ashley McSwain as an expert in social work,
5	and you have her resume as part of the submission that I asked
6	you to put up on the screen.
7	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Give me a second, I
8	haven't started your clock yet.
9	MS GIORDANO: It's Exhibit A.
LO	CHAIRPERSON HILL: No, I got it. I mean does the
L1	Board have I'm just looking at my fellow board members in
L2	terms of the expert status in social work of Ms. McSwain.
L3	Okay. Mr. Blake, do you have any concerns about expert status
L 4	for Ms. McSwain? Okay. Mr. Smith, do you have any do you
L5	have any comments?
L6	MEMBER SMITH: I do not.
L7	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Miller? Mr. Brown?
L8	MR. BROWN: That's a very general category that
L9	covers a lot. If that generalized category is acceptable to
20	the Board, it's acceptable to me. I'll use the same category
21	for our witness.
22	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. So you need expert
23	status for your witness?
24	MR. BROWN: Yeah, one witness?
25	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Do you have a resume for your
	NEAL D. ODGGG

1	witness, Mr. Brown?
2	MR. BROWN: Yes, I do.
3	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Is it in the record?
4	MR. BROWN: Yes, it is.
5	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right. So when
6	it's your turn, then we can go ahead and review that record.
7	I'm sorry, that resume as well.
8	MR. BROWN: Thank you.
9	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Let's see. Ms. Sanders, do you
10	have any issues?
11	MS. SANDERS: No, none at this time.
12	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Commissioner Holmes?
13	Okay. Thank you. All right. We're going to go ahead and
14	allow expert status for Ms. McSwain in social work. All right,
15	Ms. Giordano, are you ready to begin?
16	MS GIORDANO: Yes.
17	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, go ahead.
18	MS GIORDANO: So our first witnesses are Ashley
19	McSwain and Alicia Horton. They will be basically testifying
20	as a panel, and I'll ask them to proceed in whatever order
21	they like.
22	MS. MCSWAIN: again. So I'm Ashley McSwain,
23	I am the executive director for Community Family Life Services
24	which has been serving D.C.'s most vulnerable populations for

the last 50 years.

25

Currently CFLS manages a women's reentry

continuum of services, so that means that, you know, we meet with the women while they're still in custody, develop release plans while they're still at the Department of Corrections, or the Bureau of Prisons, or the halfway house. While they're there, we develop a plan of action.

And then when they're released, they are then brought into our programming. And our programming includes case management, supportive services, employment, parenting We provide clothing, food, other emergency needs support. through our drop-in center. We have a continuum of housing programs that start from emergency housing for 90 days up to three years of housing. We offer women's wellness, HIV services, medical case management. We have a legal department where we meet with women to determine and remove barriers. We have a speaker's bureau that allows us to understand and hear the voice and respond to the needs of women.

And for this particular project, it is offering six months of housing for women who are releasing home following a period of incarceration. And during that time, they are allowed to stay in this program for up to six months. and we have a full comprehensive team of social workers to address her needs including, you know, developing her next plan of action after the six months is up. When a woman is released, is leaving after six months, she then will move into either her own housing, one of our other programs. We have

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

funding to pay for those things.

2.3

These women -- and we're targeting women because, you know, of the criminal justice population, 10 percent of the women -- 10 percent of the population are women and there are very few programs that are dedicated to address the specific needs that women face. And some of those specific needs include domestic violence. They have history of trauma. They have parenting needs. And so they're on a lot of programs that provide support for their specific needs. And so when they're released, then they don't have anywhere to go.

They need housing. One of the biggest needs that we have found with this particular population is housing. I've done focus groups with more than 100 women, and 75 percent of those women have expressed a need for housing. So there's very few options. We maintain a waiting list for these women, and CFLS has extensive experience working with this population. We address their -- any kind of addiction needs.

And I'd also like to speak to this notion that the environment, the community isn't safe for them. These women reside in these communities. This is where they lived prior to their incarceration, and this is where they are trying to return to. And it makes sense that when a woman is returning to her community, that she is provided wraparound support which is what this program will offer.

The other thing I'd like to add, because there was a question of what happens if they don't follow the program.

Well, if they don't follow the program, then they're asked to leave. And even when they're asked to leave, we work with them to find, you know, somewhere else that's safe. And so this program offers safety. We have a full staff of people that are on the property that will ensure that she's working a goal plan. She's being held accountable to that goal plan.

And we have extensive experience working with women who are coming home following a period of incarceration. And so I'll also, you know, ask my partner at Thrive D.C., because it's a partnership between CFLS and Thrive D.C., to ensure that we are addressing all of the needs of the women that we are targeting. So --

MS. HORTON: Yeah, thank you, Ashley. Alicia Horton, executive director at Thrive D.C. Thank you, Board, for hearing us today. Yeah. Thrive D.C. is a 43-year old institution here operating in Columbia Heights is our primary service center. And we joined this partnership with Ashley and CFLS to help augment the services that are already on board for the women who are living in this particular home.

One of the services that we are working to provide, in addition to all of the array of services, is additional substance abuse and recovery support and mental health support. So we have a counselor is working with women who

2.3

are working to achieve and maintain recovery on a weekly basis.

They're meeting with one of our counselors.

And we also offer an array of other services including workforce development, employment training, victim's assistance support particularly for individuals who are survivors of domestic violence, additional reentry services and supports, health and wellness support. So I think the combination of our organizations really offers an incredible safety net for these women.

One of the other pieces that we're bringing to the table is what we're calling the Community Building Project. And this is really that we developed in response to this endeavor where we are trying to build bridges between community, the organizations, and the clients that we serve because we really want to be a part of solution and community building.

We want to make sure that the community understands that we're now a member of this community and want to address the issues that they've brought to bear around safety and livability in the community. So we have gotten funding to proceed with this project, and we're going to be entering into the community with open arms and with an engagement plan so that we are really a part of the solution and a part of this community.

MS GIORDANO: Thank you, Ms. Horton. We also have

2.3

our final witness is a graduate student or a Ph.D. candidate, excuse me, at American University who has studied extensively the reentry issues for incarcerated women. And I'm going to ask her now to present her testimony.

MS. KEARNEY: So, good afternoon members of the BZA. My name is Maya Kearney and I'm an urban anthropologist who conducts research on prisoner reentry and housing in Washington, D.C. I am currently a Ph.D. candidate at American University, and president of Urban Progress Strategies, LLC.

My research since 2014 on the needs and challenges of reentry in D.C. has consistently pointed to housing as the most immediate and critical need that returning citizens have correctional facilities. upon release from This is especially dire, as according to the D.C. Fiscal Policy Institute, quote, "Nearly three out of five D.C. individuals experience homelessness, 57 percent have been incarcerated according to a 2019 assessment, and 55 percent reported that incarceration has caused their homelessness. This means that almost one-third of individuals who experience homeless in D.C. connect that to their incarceration." End quote.

Community Family Life Services and Thrive D.C.'s proposal on this reentry housing program can fill a significant gap in housing access and programming for an increasingly population in Ward Women returning from incarceration higher risk have of re-arrest and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

re-incarceration when not given the proper social supports to disrupt cycles of homelessness, domestic violence, substance abuse among other forms of violence that can lead to illegal behavior.

In our society, there are racial and gender-based that have painted formerly incarcerated women as threats to the public safety of their communities. The perpetuation of these myths has derailed efforts such as the Kimi Gray House that is being proposed today that can improve the quality of life in communities like Deanwood. CFLS staff are trained to use a gender-responsive and trauma-informed approach which emphasizes programming that responds to the needs of women, increases self-esteem, improves life-management skills, addresses health and co-occurring disorders, reinforces family reunification, and provides a seamless bridge to community support.

correlation There is no known between community-based institutional facilities and higher rates of Numerous qualitative and quantitative studies have crime. shown that formerly incarcerated people are less likely to return to crime and/or be re-incarcerated when provided quality social services and a supportive environment upon release. More specifically, the National Resource Center on Violence against Women suggests that advocacy and services on sight for women in all parts of the criminal justice

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

system are urgently needed. According to the trans-theoretical model of change, clients need ongoing support from family members and other motivational sources such as case managers to achieve long-term behavior change.

This shows that no matter the community context with a supportive environment and more management, clients can learn how to maintain their gains. The Kimi Gray House would ensure that every client has the resources to become a productive member of their community and society.

In addition, given the concerns of the community, Thrive and CFLS have secured funding to hire a community relations consultant. As a proven best practice, this will allow the organizations to develop a community building model called Building Bridges. This is a community and client-oriented process that will keep all stakeholders accountable.

This will be done through community participation that will enhance partnerships through neighborhood-level programming to ensure transparency and communicating goals and developing action steps to meet them. These efforts can be replicated and applied to other neighborhoods who are also facing housing shortages for returning citizens which is a public health crisis in our city.

Housing clients and community members will be equal collaborators in support of organizational culture that will

2.3

ensure positive outcomes and the overall wellbeing of those most marginalized from their communities. Overall, this initiative prioritizes community capacity building through a preventative approach to public safety that can benefit their families, and the larger community by clients, disrupting generational poverty and criminal justice involvement.

The fact of the matter is that these women live D.C., Deanwood, and surrounding communities before in incarceration and they will be returning upon release. Rather than being homeless on the streets and prone to returning to crime to survive, we need to give them the housing and programming they need to come back and rebuild their lives with a sense of dignity. The Kimi Grav House and its programming can act as a cushion for a smoother transition with a quaranteed community of support with well-experienced practitioners that will let -- that would not let them fail. Thank you for your time.

MS GIORDANO: Thank you, Ms. Kearney, members of the Board, that completes our presentation and we're available for questions.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, Ms. Giordano. I mean I'm looking at your PowerPoint -- or not PowerPoint, the exhibit that you spoke to that we didn't pull up, which is fine. There's a bunch of photographs at the end. What are those

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

1	photographs about?
2	MS GIORDANO: Those photographs are the
3	generally the interior of the subject property. Just wanted
4	to demonstrate, you know, the new condition of this property.
5	CHAIRPERSON HILL: But where is that one?
6	MS GIORDANO: Should be at the end. Let me see.
7	CHAIRPERSON HILL: No, where are those photos
8	taken from? That's not the property now, correct?
9	MS GIORDANO: Yes, it is. And I can ask maybe Mr.
10	Thornton, I think he took the photos, if he would, to comment.
11	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Thornton.
12	MR. THORNTON: Yes, sir, Mr. Chairman. Those are
13	the actual the interior photographs of the existing property
14	as it stands right now.
15	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Okay. That's what I
16	was curious off. And then okay, great. All right. I'm
17	going to turn to my board members, then I'll turn to everybody
18	who's in opposition. Mr. Brown, there's also something from
19	the Office of Planning, and I'm looking I lost the exhibit
20	number that clarifies the whole TG Management thing, and if
21	I find the exhibit number again, I know the Office of Planning
22	will be able to tell us.
23	MR. BROWN: 22 I believe.
24	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Thanks.
25	MS GIORDANO: If I could, too, also just comment

on that. As the Board is aware, applications generally -they have to be submitted in the name of the property owner.
And it's not unusual for a tenant to basically prosecute the
application because they are actually going to be residing
and operating the property.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, I got you. I was just trying to point out that one exhibit from the Office of Planning. I don't even see it in 72 though, Mr. Brown. I think it's a different one. I pulled up and now I've lost it. All right. But, anyway, the Office of Planning could help us. Does the Board have any questions for the applicant? Sure, I'll go with -- I seen Mr. Smith raise his hand. It looks like everybody else -- all right, Mr. Smith.

MEMBER SMITH: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Giordano, and Ms. McSwain, and Ms. Kearney, and I'm missing somebody, Ms. Horton, on providing the list of services that would occur at this site. My question is what workers, and this could go to anyone, what employees or workers would be located at the site, and how long would they be physically at this site?

MS. MCSWAIN: -- case manager, who works at the site to develop the case plans for the clients. We have resident monitors who are at the site in the evenings. We have cameras and we have, you know, and everybody that is involved in the project has the cameras on their phone. So, yeah, we have fulltime staff there.

2.3

MEMBER SMITH: Okay. Can you expand on what the duties of a resident monitor are, how long would they be at that site? Is it 24 hours that a resident monitor would be at the site?

So the resident monitor is at the MS. MCSWAIN: site on a shift. So we have someone who does -- I believe first shift is 7 to 11. And then we have another monitor that does the 11 to 7. So we have two different people who are covering those shifts, and their goal is to just ensure that, you know, there isn't any issues during the evening hours when the case manager isn't present. The case manager is working with the women to develop a plan of action. So, you know, identify what her needs are, identify ways to remove barriers to her getting permanent housing.

MEMBER SMITH: Okay. My next question is about -you had stated that there would be -- someone stated -- talked
about job training or job wrap -- like wraparound services
for gainful employment. How would the residents of the site
-- is it their responsibility to procure transportation
wherever the job is, or would transportation be provided?

MS. MCSWAIN: No, we have funding for transportation. We have relationships with potential employers, you know, so we provide all of the things that women need. When they come home, they don't have almost anything. So if they need money to get to appointments, we have resources

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

1	for that. If we need Uber, we have money for Uber. If we
2	have, you know, all of the resources that they need in order
3	to get where they need to go in order to secure employment.
4	MEMBER SMITH: Okay. And that's all the questions
5	I had for now, Mr. Chairman.
6	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Sure. Mr. Blake?
7	MEMBER BLAKE: Sure. A couple questions. Just
8	first to follow up on Mr. Smith's question, what's the training
9	for the people who are on duty in the evening hours when the
10	case managers aren't there?
11	MS. MCSWAIN: So the staff that we have receive
12	extensive training on being trauma-informed, on gender
13	responsiveness. We happen to be fortunate that the staff that
14	we have have experience. So the staff 7 to 11 worked at one
15	of our other facilities and has years of experience working
16	as a monitor. But everyone is trained when they start the
17	position on, you know, working with women who have been to
18	prison.
19	MEMBER BLAKE: And how many facilities do you have
20	in operation currently doing this reentry program in the
21	District or elsewhere?
22	MS. MCSWAIN: We have four other facilities.
23	MEMBER BLAKE: Okay. And they do exactly the same
24	activity that takes place here?
25	MS. MCSWAIN: Yes. We do well, it's just that

the timing, like one facility, they can stay 90 days. This particular facility they can stay six months. We have another facility where women can stay for three years. We have another one where they can stay for four years. We have a scattered site where they can stay for a year. So it really depends on where they are in their reentry journey which determines with unit, which housing program we put them in.

MEMBER BLAKE: Okay. And so is this configuration of this property, did you -- it sounds like you guys came into this more recently. It was kind of designed and you kind of came out -- I don't think you came from the beginning. Is this property designed the way it is appropriately designed for your other facilities are similarly designed, or is it a unique design? Or does it have to be rehabilitated in some way to facilitate this. I'd just be curious to how it fits relative to what your model is.

MS. MCSWAIN: No. It's similar in design. You know, the women have their own, you know, their own bed. You know, they work with a case manager. You know, they are given all of the supports they need. So it's a very similar design to all of our other programs.

MEMBER BLAKE: And how long have you been involved with this particular property?

MS. MCSWAIN: Just a little over a year.

MEMBER BLAKE: Okay. Did you -- okay, was it -- I

2.3

see the current configuration at this point, what is it being used for? Is anyone aware of what that is being used for currently? It looks fairly office-like at this point.

MS. MCSWAIN: You're asking the Olive Street address, what is it currently being used for?

MEMBER BLAKE: Yeah, I'm looking at the -- the pictures that we just saw of the location looks like it's up and running at some -- doing something. What is it currently doing?

MS. MCSWAIN: Yeah. So, currently, we have four women who reside there who are getting case management. We were, you know, told that, by right, we could have up to six women. So we have four women there right now. One has secured housing, you know? I mean it's working. You know, the women are getting the supports that they need and they are going to be moving on to more permanent housing solutions.

MEMBER BLAKE: okay. To the point we made, of the women that -- now that I know you have the experience there as being operated that way. How do the occupants or consumers today get to their workplaces, and what's the check-in/check-out process that they have?

MS. MCSWAIN: So there's a sign-in sheet when they come in the building, when they come into the house, so they'll sign in. They have to sign out when they leave. They're given tokens or they're given Ubers to their destinations if they're

2.3

going to work, or if they're going to an interview, or if they're going to Department of Public Health or Welfare. So we provide them with the finances and the resources to get where they need to go. But they sign in and out.

MS. HORTON: Also, if I could just jump in. Some of the women that are, for instance, involved in our employment training, we also provide additional supports like SmartTrip cards. So those kinds of issues are well thought out and taken care of.

MEMBER BLAKE: In terms of meals and stuff like that, how is that done on site? Is it do you go out and just eat when you can? Or do they have individual cooking facilities? Is there a chef on duty? How does that -- how do they -- how is that provided?

MS. MCSWAIN: So the women -- each woman has a shelf in the -- each woman is provided with a gift card to purchase the food items and we buy food items for the house. Each woman has a cabinet that's locked with their name on it where they can put their individual food needs. They have a shelf in the refrigerator for their own individual food needs. And then, collectively, they prepare meals. So we provide -- there's a freezer there so we buy food we give them and they can prepare the meals as needed.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Is there --

MEMBER BLAKE: Do we know --

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1716 14th STREET, N.W., SUITE 200
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20009-4309

2.3

MS. MCSWAIN: Yeah.

2.3

MEMBER BLAKE: You said that the curfew I think is 10:00 o'clock, but is there -- generally speaking, it sounds like with a transitional work setting, people will be -- all be there assembled for dinner at about 6:00 o'clock, or is that how it would work? Or how does it work?

MS. MCSWAIN: Well, the women aren't having dinner all at the same time every single night because of their work schedules. I mean it fluctuates. But, you know, they have access to the kitchen. I mean there's someone on site all the time so they can prepare their meals as needed which is why we, you know, to have women in a space together, which is why we have their own shelves in the fridge and their own cabinet so that, you know, they can eat when they need to and what they need to.

MEMBER BLAKE: And another question is it dormitory style or individual rooms per person separate bath and things of that sort?

MS. MCSWAIN: So there are two women generally to each room, two to three women. And then those three women have their own bathroom, and then they have their own closet. You know, we have like a partition that sort of separates the beds to give the women some modicum of privacy when they're sharing -- when they're sharing the room. So three maximum per room. Some of the rooms, depending on the size, have two.

1 But each of the rooms -- excuse me -- has their own bathroom. 2 And the last question for me. MEMBER BLAKE: 3 terms of the facility, are there recreation facilities there, outdoor space and things of that sort? 4 5 MS. MCSWAIN: So there is a section in the building where we have house meetings and trainings. 6 So there is a 7 -- some technological, like a TV where we can send in videos and trainings for the women. 8 Excuse me. 9 CHAIRPERSON HILL: You need a glass of water? You 10 want to get a glass of water? 11 (Simultaneous speaking.) 12 MS. HORTON: Yeah, she's -- yeah, so I can jump in here while she collects herself. 13 MS. MCSWAIN: 14 Thank you. Is --15 MS. HORTON: There is -- yeah, so there is communal 16 space for various kinds of activities from groups to training 17 sessions to, you know, anytime they want to just kind of hang So the -- and one of the reasons why this facility is 18 19 so appropriate is because it does afford us the opportunity 20 to have that kind of space. So we are -- we feel very lucky to be able to utilize this particular property in this way. 21 22 MS. MCSWAIN: And I'd like to say also that, you 2.3 know, when you have a lot of women living in the same space, 24 you want to make sure that, you know, there's enough room for 25 them to be independent and, you know, also have their needs

met. So the beautiful thing about this space is it's big enough. It's spacious enough, you know, so that the women can have some space alone to read and to study. And there's also a place where they can get ongoing trainings. You know, we engage the women to learn from them what trainings they want in addition to the trainings we recommend.

MEMBER BLAKE: Issues that come up as well is childcare. A lot of these women probably have children that may or may not be with them at the time. How does that work for the children?

MS. MCSWAIN: So CFLS has a parenting program, so a lot of times when a woman is released from custody, she may not have custody of her children and she has to meet certain objectives in order to gain custody. So the parenting program works with the woman, it offers a 12-week parenting curriculum to really help her, you know, build skills around working with, and managing, and living with her children who she's been absent from.

But the women there, you know, sometimes they have visitation with their children which is on a different -- at a different location. But the women that are living there won't have their children with them, but we will be working with them and sometimes their case manager to identify a pathway to getting custody.

MEMBER BLAKE: And last question -- two questions

2.3

1	I have. One is who regulates the business itself, and how is
2	it where does the compensation for the stay come from?
3	MS. MCSWAIN: So when you say regulates the
4	business, so there are several tiers of oversight. So, you
5	know, I am the executive director. We have a director of
6	housing and wellness who oversees the staffing of the case
7	managers. This program is funded through The Justice Grants
8	Administration and the Department of Behavioral Health. It's
9	a partnership that we established about a year ago because
10	of this ongoing need of women returning home who need housing.
11	So it's fully funded, and we just received funding
12	for all of the collateral additional collateral needs like
13	childcare and if they want to do professional development
14	training. So we recently secured even additional funding on
15	top of the funding that, you know, funds the rents and the
16	staffing.
17	MEMBER BLAKE: Does the
18	MS. HORTON: And, you know, the city has also
19	prioritized reentry housing as, you know, an effort that they
20	are really investing in. So we've been able to take advantage
21	of the city's priorities and, you know, transfer these
22	investments to our client community.
23	MEMBER BLAKE: Does the client actually pay a
24	portion of the rental expense while they're there?
25	MS. MCSWAIN: They don't. I mean this is a

six-month program. When women are releasing from prison or jail, they really don't have any resources in order to pay a rent which is why this is so important so that they can get to a place where they can build their own stability so that they can pay rent. But, no, the women do not have to pay rent the time they're in the program.

MEMBER BLAKE: Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Commissioner Miller, did -- I saw Commissioner Miller I thought at one point.

ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: Can you hear me?

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yes.

ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: Okav. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And thank you, Ms. Giordano, Ms. McSwain, Horton, Ms. Kearney for your presentation of the application. My colleagues have asked some of the questions that I was going to ask, so I'll try to keep it brief and I'm sure we'll questions after hear from more we representatives and from the parties in opposition, and from other members of the public.

But just to clarify, Ms. Giordano, TG Management, LLC is the owner and they've authorized Community Family Life Services to be the applicant? Have I stated that correctly? And they're the -- Community Family Life Services is going to be the organization that is implementing the special exception were to be granted?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

1	MS GIORDANO: Generally correct. TG Management
2	is the owner of the property and they have leased this property
3	to Community Life Services. Is that correct, Ms. McSwain?
4	MS. MCSWAIN: That is correct.
5	MS GIORDANO: Okay.
6	MS. MCSWAIN: That is correct.
7	MS GIORDANO: And as a tenant, they will be
8	occupying the property and running the program.
9	ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: Okay. And who is To
10	Management, or who are some of the principles if you car
11	identify them? Or do we have that in the record already?
12	MS GIORDANO: Yeah, I'm not certain. I, you know,
13	I came into this a little bit late and they did not have
14	representation previously. So, Mr. Thornton, maybe you could
15	enlighten us on that issue.
16	MR. THORNTON: Yes, sir. The principle of To
17	Management is Kasahan Tefera, and it is in the record.
18	ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: Can you just repeat that?
19	I just missed it on my audio.
20	MR. THORNTON: Yes. The principle at To
21	Management is Kasahan Tefera.
22	ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: Can you spell that please?
23	MR. THORNTON: I don't have it right in front of
24	me.
25	7C VICE CHAIR MILLER. Well maybe that can

1	MS GIORDANO: It is in the record.
2	MR. THORNTON: But it is in the record.
3	MS GIORDANO: It's in the record.
4	ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: It's in the record, I'll
5	look for it. Thanks.
6	MS GIORDANO: He had to sign the authorization.
7	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Just so it's easier for
8	Commissioner Miller.
9	MS GIORDANO: I will have to
10	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Do you know, Ms. Giordano?
11	MS GIORDANO: get back.
12	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. And then also just as
13	a side note, the Office of Planning was looking for the parking
14	in your plans and I didn't see it.
15	MS GIORDANO: Okay. Ms. McSwain, can you
16	MS. MCSWAIN: Well, there's parking in the back
17	of the building.
18	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Is it on the plans anywhere?
19	MS. MCSWAIN: It should be.
20	CHAIRPERSON HILL: You can look for that while we
21	get through this day, okay?
22	MS. MCSWAIN: Okay.
23	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right, let's see.
24	I'm going to wait for my
25	ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: I had a few

1	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Oh, sorry. Commissioner
2	Miller.
3	ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: No, I had a couple more
4	questions, Mr. Chairman. I'll try to be brief.
5	CHAIRPERSON HILL: No, take your time.
6	ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: So there are currently
7	was the home built for this purpose? When was this home
8	this structure built? Can anybody answer that? I'm just
9	wondering
10	MS GIORDANO: I think Mr. Thornton can best answer
11	that.
12	ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: And how many bedrooms does
13	it have, and
14	MR. THORNTON: Yeah. So it has seven bedrooms,
15	seven baths. Construction started in 2020 and it wrapped up
16	in the permits wrapped up in '21. And it was built as a,
17	you know, as a single family home with seven bedrooms, again,
18	seven baths, and there are four parking spaces in the back
19	of the property. And, again, it, and I don't have all the
20	information in front of me, but the, you know, it was in '21,
21	you know, that was construction.
22	MS GIORDANO: So this project, the building itself
23	was not purpose built for this. I think you brokered this
24	lease basically, and so the Community Life Services came to
	1

it after -- or at some point during the construction of the

1	building, correct?
2	MR. THORNTON: Correct.
3	MS. MCSWAIN: Yes. And since we were able to come
4	in during the construction, it was completely figured to
5	accommodate this kind of initiative.
6	ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: Okay. And there are Ms.
7	McSwain, I think you said that there are currently four women
8	that you were notified that there that up to six could
9	be there as a matter of right. But you have there are
10	currently four women living there, or there had been who
11	had been
12	MS. MCSWAIN: Yeah, there are currently four women
13	living there.
14	ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: And how long has that been
15	going on?
16	MS. MCSWAIN: I believe since February.
17	ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: Okay. So just three
18	months.
19	MS. MCSWAIN: Yes.
20	ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: And it's just four women.
21	And then is there a resident monitor for these four women,
22	or they don't they don't have that
23	MS. MCSWAIN: No, they have that. They have that.
24	ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: And have there been any
25	problems with in the three months that people have been

1	there in terms of interaction with the community that you're
2	aware of?
3	MS. MCSWAIN: No.
4	ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: Okay.
5	MS GIORDANO: Ms. McSwain, could you also just
6	have there been any complaints from any of the neighbors?
7	MS. MCSWAIN: We haven't
8	ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: Thank you, Ms. Giordano,
9	that really was my question.
10	MS. MCSWAIN: We haven't received any complaints
11	since our intake.
12	ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: Okay. Well, I'm sure if
13	somebody is complaining about it, they might be here today
14	and we'll hear about it.
15	MS. MCSWAIN: Right. But we haven't
16	received any complaints.
17	ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: Okay. Okay. Thank you.
18	MS GIORDANO: And if I could just also, so I think
19	that the Community Life Services was informed by Zoning that
20	six unrelated people can occupy a house. That's in the zoning
21	regulations. And these women, you know, this case had been
22	postponed. It was not really their intention initially to
23	start to receive any of the women until they had this hearing.
24	But because the postponement and the women, you know, it was
25	just there was a desperate need, these women were going to

1	be released and they had nowhere to go, so they did bring in
2	four women.
3	ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: Okay. Thank you for that
4	information, Ms. Giordano. To, I guess, Ms. McSwain for
5	Family Life Services and to Ms. Horton for Thrive D.C., can
6	you just each repeat I think, Ms. McSwain, you said you
7	operate currently four facilities in the District, and how
8	many women is that serving currently?
9	MS. MCSWAIN: That is serving I just want to
10	I did have that number in front of me 57 women.
11	ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: And Thrive D.C., Miss
12	MS. HORTON: with CFLS on two housing projects.
13	One housing six women, one is this project which is housing
14	four. And we also have a transitional program for men which
15	houses six men as well.
16	ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: Ms. Horton, do you operate
17	housing facilities, or do you operate services out of I
18	guess I saw something in the record I thought that the services
19	for these women at this location were going to be provided
20	at a Columbia Heights location that you
21	MS. HORTON: That's correct. We have
22	ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: you own or you have
23	or you're a tenant at?
24	MS. HORTON: We are so two things. We do have
25	another transitional program for men. So we do do housing.

And we also have -- as our primary service center in Columbia 1 2 Heights, so folks can come here for additional programming 3 and support training and that kind of thing. ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: And the --4 5 (Simultaneous speaking.) But the services that they offer to 6 MS. MCSWAIN: 7 the women at this location, they go to the women. MS. HORTON: That's right. 8 9 MS. MCSWAIN: So they don't have to go to Thrive. 10 MS. HORTON: That's right. MS. MCSWAIN: The staff at Thrive comes to the 11 12 house at Olive Street. 13 MS. HORTON: And we offer virtual sessions, phone 14 sessions. So we are -- yeah, we're very flexible in that way. 15 MS. MCSWAIN: Yes. 16 ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: So that was part of the --17 or one of my next questions was going to be how do they get So they -- so it's their choice or with 18 to Columbia Heights. 19 the resident -- with your resident monitor, you make -- they 20 make a decision as to whether or not they -- or is just a daily decision whether they are going to receive services in the 21 22 home or they're going to go transport themselves somehow to 2.3 Columbia Heights? Is it on a daily basis that they have to 24 avail themselves of these services? I'm just trying to

understand the schedule and the structure, and where the

services are actually being provided on a daily basis.

MS. MCSWAIN: So most of the services are provided on site on a daily basis. It's not regular that the women would have to travel to Thrive. You know, the mental health and the addictions therapist has been coming to the site, and they're coming weekly. And some women, sometimes they more frequent. And so most of the visits are in person. But every single woman has a laptop computer and when needed, they have virtual appointments.

MS GIORDANO: Ms. McSwain, is there sort of a schedule, can you explain how all that is organized? Is there a schedule on a weekly basis? Or how does that work?

MS. MCSWAIN: So every single week the women meet with their case -- their primary case manager. It is in that meeting that they determine what they need to do for the week. And then the following week, there is a review of the activities for the previous week. And then there are additional plans made moving forward. So every single week there's a building on the progress with the goal of her getting into more permanent housing.

MS HORTON: And the addiction and recovery supports are determined at the very beginning of a woman's stay. So those things are scheduled regularly, and we also take into consideration what other activities they have. So if they have a job, we schedule around that job. So we want

2.3

to make sure that those services are available and work within their scheduling. But we lay out that schedule for the six months.

MS. MCSWAIN: And we are using evidence-based assessment tools to determine need. So the SPDAT, which is a, you know, it's a tool to determine housing needs. We use Nurturing Family Curriculum to help with parenting support. We, you know, we are looking in -- we use Seeking Safety which is a strategy to really, you know, ensure that people have the tools to manage their sobriety. So we're not sort of winging this. There is, you know, data that supports our approach and that tells us what the risks are and what the needs are, and we design interventions based on those outcomes.

ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: And you stated that this general neighborhood, broadly speaking, is where the women who are going to be living here lived prior to their incarceration or have had some connection to the folks who already live in the community?

MS. MCSWAIN: The predominant wards that the clients that we serve are living in Ward 7 and 8. And so these women that we're serving right now lived in Ward 7 prior to their incarceration, and now that they're returning home, they're trying to find somewhere they can live and communities that they're familiar with.

And oftentimes they can't go back to where they

2.3

1	live because it was the source of the problem. You know, these
2	women have been victims of domestic violence. You know, they
3	have trauma, childhood trauma. So they can't go back to where
4	they were living when they were incarcerated because that was
5	where the problems began.
6	MS GIORDANO: But they're also, Council Member,
7	they're also D.C. residents. All of them are D.C. residents.
8	So they are returning home to D.C. to rebuild their lives.
9	ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: Okay, thank you. Thank you
10	for that. I don't mean to maybe I shouldn't even ask the
11	question.
12	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Commissioner Miller.
13	ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: I'll think about it. Thank
14	you.
15	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah. And I'll
16	(Simultaneous speaking.)
17	ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: And I'll so I will yield
18	I will yield to you, Mr. Chairman, at this point. Thank
19	you.
20	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Thanks. Mr. Blake?
21	MEMBER BLAKE: Is there a certificate of occupancy
22	in place, or a business license in place currently? And, if
23	so, what is that registered as?
24	MR. THORNTON: So there is a business license
2.5	application that has been put in place for the six, and they're

87 coming out on the 23rd to do the final inspection for the rental 1 2 license for the property. But it is in place, it has been 3 applied for through the DCRA. Okay, thank you. 4 MEMBER BLAKE: 5 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Smith, I forget, did you 6 get an opportunity? Okay. 7 MEMBER SMITH: I was first. Thanks. The only question I 8 CHAIRPERSON HILL: 9 have, and I guess, Ms. Giordano, maybe you can tell me who 10 is directed this question to. I mean your client did present 11 to the ANC and a lot of what seems to be of concern is how 12 this is necessarily going to be run. And then there's a bunch 13 of -- I don't necessarily mean to speak to transparency and 14 whether or not, you know, what the due diligence kind of was. 15 16

Basically it seems to me, and I'm going to get an opportunity to speak to the ANC people and the witnesses, is that they don't feel comfortable that this is going to be run in a way that's not going to disturb the neighborhood. least that's the impression I get. Did you -- do you know who I can ask as to, you know, who presented at the ANC and how they tried to convince the community that this is something that would work well in their community, Ms. Giordano?

I'm not really sure who presented MS GIORDANO: I'll just ask them to jump in. to the ANC. But my --Ms. McSwain, do you CHAIRPERSON HILL:

Okay.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1716 14th STREET, N.W., SUITE 200

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20009-4309

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

know?

2.3

MS GIORDANO: Yeah, my impression is that it's not just a matter of how it's going to be run, but they just don't want this type of facility at this location. But --

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah, that's okay. I mean -- and I appreciate that, and we can -- I didn't really necessarily get the impression from the testimony that anyone was opposed to anything like this. They're just concerned with the way it is possibly going to be run. But, Ms. McSwain, do you know who presented at the ANC?

MS. MCSWAIN: So I presented at the ANC. The women that we serve, some of the women presented at the ANC. Ms. Horton presented, Charles presented. And so we've, you know, described to the ANC, you know, what the program was going to do, how we were going to run it.

I mean CFLS is -- I mean we -- this is what we do. We have a full -- I have a full staff of people that are knowledgeable about how to run transitional housing programs for women who are releasing to the community. And so I'm not really sure what the residents are looking to understand that would describe how this is run. We meet the women --

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay.

MS. MCSWAIN: -- yeah, while they're still in custody. We do a full assessment. You know, based on that assessment, we determine what their goals are going to be.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1716 14th STREET, N.W., SUITE 200 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20009-4309

1	And those goals are monitored with consistency by trained
2	CFLS staff and our partners at Thrive.
3	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Got you. Okay. All right.
4	Okay. Oh, sorry, Commissioner Miller?
5	ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yes. Just briefly, Mr.
6	Chairman, a couple more questions. Ms. Giordano, the or
7	anyone, the Office of Planning has recommended approval with
8	three conditions, we'll hear from the Office of Planning
9	hopefully shortly or at some point. Could you just comment,
10	one the chairman already mentioned that two required parking
11	spaces be shown on the plans at the rear of the building.
12	I think you said that there are four spaces
13	MS GIORDANO: That's correct.
14	ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: provided. So I think
15	we just we may need clarification whether that's on the
16	plans and we need to have those, whatever number of parking
17	spaces there are going to be or on the plans that are in this
18	record. And, second, a second condition was that the facility
19	shall house no more than 12 residents, and one fulltime program
20	manager, and a house manager. Do you have any problem with
21	that condition, Ms. Giordano?
22	MS GIORDANO: Ms. Ashley?
23	MS. MCSWAIN: No, we don't have any problems with
24	that.
25	ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: Or Ms. McSwain?

1	MS. MCSWAIN: No.
2	ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: Okay. And the other
3	condition then, we'll ask OPERATE. I'm not sure
4	MS GIORDANO: There was an issue with regard to
5	the fence.
6	ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: Right. The removal of the
7	wooden fence.
8	MS GIORDANO: We do have some question about that.
9	We're happy to comply if the Office of Planning and the
10	community thinks that that is a good idea. But I think there's
11	some concern that a fence may be more palatable to the
12	neighbors. So we wanted to wait and hear what they had to
13	say about that.
14	ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: Okay. I think that's a good
15	approach. We'll wait to hear what they have to say about that,
16	and what the Office of Planning has to say about it because
17	I'm not sure exactly I don't know what the it may be
18	in their statement, I just missed it, the rationale, but they
19	seem to think that that would be
20	MS. MCSWAIN: Yeah, I think it was aesthetics.
21	So, you know, we're more than, you know, willing to do whatever
22	the community is requiring
23	ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: Okay.
24	MS. MCSWAIN: in terms of aesthetics.

ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER:

25

Thank you, I appreciate that

response. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you for indulging those additional questions which I may have further follow-up after we hear from everybody. Thanks.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Sure. Okay. So as the party status people know, Mr. Brown, Ms. Sanders, and Mr. Holmes, you'll get a chance to give your presentation and also ask some questions. I guess, Mr. Brown, do you have any questions?

MR. BROWN: For each of the witnesses.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay.

MR. BROWN: Won't take too long. I'll start with Ms. Kearney. I believe you testified about the importance of a supportive environment for a facility, you know, a return from incarceration facility such as this. Is that right? Would you regard the phraseology supportive environment as limited to the four walls of the facility, or even the four corners of the lot, and doesn't it also include the immediate community around it as being part of the supportive environment for the success of one of these facilities?

MS. KEARNEY: -- supportive environment, I'm saying not just the people that work for the program, the staff, and the service workers, but also the community in general broadly. And, you know, the people that are in opposition to it don't represent the entire community. So we have to keep that in mind as well. So, yeah.

MR. BROWN: Ms. Horton, you talked about a safety

2.3

net. What is it that the -- what is that the safety net provides safety from in your mind?

MS. HORTON: From I think the obstacles that so many returning citizens face. You know, if we are able to help a woman find employment, to reconnect with her family, to find appropriate and safe housing. These are the kinds of safety elements that really lend success to her transition back into community.

MR. BROWN: Would you agree that a safety net should also include best efforts to protect the women from adverse influences in the community that might be the types of behavior that would lead them back into some sort of recidivism?

MS. HORTON: Mr. Brown, what I would assert is that in an urban environment, these women need to learn how to protect themselves, and they need to be equipped with the skills to bypass temptations, to address issues that may come up, and be able to afford themselves the strengths and the tools to do what they need to do. There is no pristine environment in the city unfortunately. So what we want to make sure is that these women have the internal supports and wherewithal to do what they need to do and take care of themselves and be successful.

MR. BROWN: And getting to that point where those internal controls takeover is easier if the surrounding

2.3

1	community is already a generally supportive environment. Is
2	that not so?
3	MS. HORTON: I disagree. I think that these women
4	are in environments that they're familiar with, they are in
5	environments where they have external supports through family
6	and friends. And I think those, if rallied, are stronger than
7	some of the other issues that are around them.
8	MS GIORDANO: object to. It seems like these
9	are kind of leading questions that I don't feel are
10	appropriate. I
11	MR. BROWN: This is cross examination, Ms.
12	Giordano.
13	MS GIORDANO: I know that. But, you know, you have
14	to
15	(Simultaneous speaking.)
16	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Hold on a second. I
17	understand. We don't we're just
18	MS GIORDANO: You have to you have to cross exam
19	on what they testified to.
20	CHAIRPERSON HILL: We're just I'm just kind of
21	following along, Ms. Giordano. Go ahead, Mr. Brown.
22	MR. BROWN: Ms. Horton, you talked about the
23	development of a community engagement plan for the future,
24	correct? Has any of the details of that engagement plan
25	materialized so far? Is there anything about it in the record?

1	MS. HORTON: We have just recently received
2	funding for it, and we are just in the initial phases of putting
3	the plan together and putting down some outcomes and engaging
4	our consultant.
5	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Brown, just so I know, how
6	many questions do you have?
7	MS GIORDANO: And, also, you might want to follow
8	up with attempts to arbitrate, Ms. McSwain.
9	CHAIRPERSON HILL: What?
10	MS. MCSWAIN: Yeah. We did reach out to try to
11	have some community arbitration, but the residents nobody
12	responded. So we were I mean we've been trying to engage
13	the community to address their concerns. We used was it
14	Negotiation Works I believe.
15	MS GIORDANO: That's right.
16	MS. MCSWAIN: And they Negotiation Works
17	reached out to the residents just to see if we could come to
18	the table to have a conversation. And, you know, they didn't
19	respond, or maybe they didn't receive it, or they but, we,
20	you know, they weren't able to move forward with that. But
21	our intent was to have a conversation with the residents who
22	were in opposition to really try identify ways that we can
23	work collectively and collaboratively.
24	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Brown, I'm sorry, I got
1	1

interrupted. How many questions do you have?

1	MR. BROWN: Four for Ms. McSwain.
2	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, Mr. Brown, go ahead.
3	MR. BROWN: You said something about one of the
4	one of the features of this program was to get these women
5	back to where they live prior to their incarceration. Your
6	phrase as I recall was "coming home." Is there any is there
7	any program or restriction or geographic orientation towards
8	making sure that the women who come to this facility are former
9	residents of Ward 7?
10	MS. MCSWAIN: They are returning citizens from
11	prison. It just happens that the predominate location in
12	which they were originated from are in Ward 7 and 8.
13	MR. BROWN: But there's not going to be any
14	particular effort or orientation to limit this facility to
15	former Ward 7 residents as I understand it, is that right?
16	MS. MCSWAIN: That's correct. They are D.C.
17	residents and they are returning to D.C.
18	MR. BROWN: And they have apparently a maximum stay
19	of six months at this facility. Is that right?
20	MS. MCSWAIN: That's correct.
21	MR. BROWN: And then after that, if they they
22	will probably still be in need of some support, maybe even
23	housing, and I believe you have additional facilities in the
24	District of Columbia. Are any of them nearby this particular
25	location?

1	MS. MCSWAIN: Yeah. There's a facility in Nannie
2	Helen Boroughs. We have a facility in Fort Totten. We have
3	on at 305 E Street. And then we have scattered site programs.
4	MR. BROWN: Last question, Ms. McSwain. You said
5	that you were told, you didn't say by whom you were told, but
6	you said you were told that you could have up to six women
7	living there by right as unrelated persons even before you
8	got the special exception. Do I have that right?
9	MS. MCSWAIN: That's correct.
10	MR. BROWN: And that's what and that's the
11	essence of the letter that you sent out that's Exhibit 141
12	in the record, right?
13	MS. MCSWAIN: I believe that's correct.
14	MR. BROWN: Who told you that this was that this
15	was a lawful way to proceed?
16	MS. MCSWAIN: I believe that the Office of Planning
17	shared that, by right, we could have six women living in the
18	facility who are unrelated.
19	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Brown, I know they can have
20	six people that are unrelated living there. Is that your last
21	question, Mr. Brown?
22	MR. BROWN: Yes.
23	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right. The next
24	person is Ms. Sanders. Do you have any questions? Okay.
25	Chairman Holmes, do you have any questions?

1	MR. HOLMES: There we go. Thank you. All right.
2	No, I think just the one question just to Mr. Thornton. He
3	was I didn't get exactly full clarity on the question that
4	Commissioner Blake asked about that certificate. Is he saying
5	that it is available it has already been processed or it's
6	in the process of being processed?
7	MR. THORNTON: It's in the process.
8	MS GIORDANO: If I can just interject. I think
9	he was talking about the business license. A certificate of
10	occupancy will be required with this use if it's approved by
11	the BZA in the future.
12	CHAIRPERSON HILL: I thought what he asked,
13	Miss I thought what Mr. Blake asked, and I also wanted to
14	know, Mr. Thornton, so I'm going to clarify. What certificate
15	of occupancy do you currently have?
16	MS GIORDANO: There is none at this time.
17	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. So you're just
18	MS GIORDANO: It's just that six unrelated people
19	living there does not require a certificate of occupancy.
20	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Got it. Right. It's just the
21	six unrelated people that are living there?
22	MS GIORDANO: Yes. It's a single family
23	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yes. I got it.
24	MS GIORDANO: house that's exempt from that.
25	CHAIRPERSON HILL: So, Commissioner Holmes, it's
	1 - 1 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2

1	ingt giv popula living together
1	just six people living together.
2	MR. HOLMES: I could not I could not I didn't
3	get that clarification
4	MS GIORDANO: Well, it's actually four.
5	MR. HOLMES: the first time it was answered.
6	MS GIORDANO: It's four.
7	MR. HOLMES: It's four right now.
8	CHAIRPERSON HILL: It's four right now, but you're
9	allowed to have six.
10	MS GIORDANO: Yes.
11	MR. HOLMES: Six, yes.
12	MS GIORDANO: And also I think that just to be
13	transparent, the applicant well, the Community Life
14	Services sent out a notice to the residents in the immediate
15	area that that was occurring so that they would not wonder
16	what was going on at the site.
17	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay.
18	MR. HOLMES: Okay.
19	(Simultaneous speaking.)
20	CHAIRPERSON HILL: When did that (audio
21	interference), Ms. Giordano? And you can figure it.
22	MS GIORDANO: Ms
23	(Simultaneous speaking.)
24	MS. MCSWAIN: That was before the last hearing.
25	CHAIRPERSON HILL: We didn't have a hearing yet.
	NEAL R. GROSS

1	When was that hearing?
2	MS. MCSWAIN: Oh, it was scheduled, that was in
3	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Do you remember?
4	MS. MCSWAIN: I believe it was in March.
5	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right. Chairman
6	Holmes, you had more questions, I'm sorry.
7	MR. HOLMES: The second one into that, so then it's
8	the business license that has not been completed at this point,
9	which is also required for being able to use for it to be
10	leased and rented?
11	MS. MCSWAIN: So CFLS has a business license. I'm
12	not sure if I'm understanding the question.
13	CHAIRPERSON HILL: I guess the question was to Mr.
14	Thornton.
15	MS. MCSWAIN: Okay.
16	CHAIRPERSON HILL: And I don't know, Mr. Thornton,
17	the answer to that. I mean, you know, the how are you
18	operating with those six people. I don't think and what
19	Ms. Giordano is saying is that you just have a house with six
20	people living together.
21	MS GIORDANO: It's four people. Four people.
22	CHAIRPERSON HILL: I understand, Ms. Giordano.
23	What I'm saying is they're you're allowed up to six. I
24	apologize.
25	MS GIORDANO: Yes. Okay.

1	CHAIRPERSON HILL: So I guess the question, and
2	I don't know the answer to be quite honest, is there something,
3	Mr. Thornton, that you need to have the six people there?
4	MR. THORNTON: Well, it's not no, it's nothing
5	that I need. What is required is a rental license, and that's
6	what we're referring to. And while the people is there, you
7	can apply for the rental license, which has been done. The
8	rental license has been applied for. And they're coming out
9	well, actually it's a virtual inspection scheduled for the
10	23rd for the rental license.
11	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Got it. But what and, Mr.
12	Thornton, we're just asking questions. You currently don't
13	have the rental license.
14	MR. THORNTON: Well, it's in the no, the rental
15	license is in the process of is in the process, it's in
16	the queue.
17	CHAIRPERSON HILL: It's in the process.
18	MR. THORNTON: The rental license has been applied
19	for.
20	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Right. Okay. Okay. All
21	right, Commissioner Holmes?
22	MR. HOLMES: That addresses it. I'm just going
23	to take that as a no until it's a yes. So thank you.
24	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. So then actually I am
25	curious, Mr. Thornton. You can think about this. So I don't

1	know what and I don't know who to ask either whether it's
2	the Office of Planning or what have you, like you're operating
3	in some capacity then now, and you don't have a license is
4	what you seem to be telling me. I don't know. Is that what
5	you're telling me?
6	MR. THORNTON: Well, what no, what I'm telling
7	you is that rental accommodations, all right, you have to apply
8	for the license. The house can be occupied while applying
9	for the license.
10	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Oh, okay. If that's the case,
11	then there you go. And I can ask the Office of Planning if
12	they know that or not. Ms. Sanders.
13	MS. SANDERS: Question in terms of just to get
14	clarity for myself. Now have they received any fines in terms
15	of having occupants in the house but not necessarily already
16	having a business license for the rental as it
17	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Right. So I guess what
18	(Simultaneous speaking.)
19	MS. SANDERS: as a business
20	CHAIRPERSON HILL: I guess what Mr. Thornton was
21	saying is that if you're applying for the license or if you're
22	applying for, you know, the rental, you're okay. Is that what
23	you're saying, Mr. Thornton? And/or you haven't received any
24	fines, correct, Mr. Thornton?

That's correct.

MR. THORNTON:

1	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. I'm going to get clarity
2	from the Office of Planning at some point. Okay. Yep, sure,
3	go ahead, Chairman.
4	MR. HOLMES: Question. I remember someone making
5	a comment at the end talking about there has been no complaints
6	at this time. Is there anything posted to allow people to
7	know who they could call to should there have been a complaint
8	since February till now? Is there any place publically posted
9	that allows the Deanwood residents to call if something had
10	occurred through those last several months?
11	MS. MCSWAIN: No, I don't believe there has been.
12	I was assuming that this process was the space where they
13	would make complaints because they, you know
14	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right.
15	(Simultaneous speaking.)
16	MS. MCSWAIN: They
17	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. So there's not a place,
18	Commissioner.
19	MR. HOLMES: So okay. So it's not possible
20	MS. HORTON: If
21	MR. HOLMES: at this time to complain?
22	MS. HORTON: No, it's not I don't think that's
23	true. We have met with the front with the community on
24	several occasions and left our information. You know, we're
25	Coogleable So I think if folks had complaints I feel like

1	confident that they know how to reach us. Yeah.
2	(Simultaneous speaking.)
3	MS. MCSWAIN: And they did when they found out that
4	we were trying to do this. They reached they knew how to
5	reach out.
6	MS. HORTON: They reached out. Yeah.
7	MR. HOLMES: Not how it works, but, okay.
8	MS. MCSWAIN: Okay.
9	MR. HOLMES: Thank you. That's the information
10	I needed to know.
11	CHAIRPERSON HILL: The Commissioner was just
12	looking if there was a public place I guess that you could
13	see
14	MR. HOLMES: Yes.
15	CHAIRPERSON HILL: if there were any
16	complaints, and the answer is no.
17	MR. HOLMES: No.
18	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay.
19	MR. HOLMES: All right, thank you.
20	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Thornton?
21	MR. THORNTON: Yeah. No, I would just say, I mean,
22	operating, you know, as they're operating right now would be
23	just like any other residence on the block. Is there something
24	posted for residences for other people to complain if a
25	residence if something is going on at a residence that people

1	are uncomfortable with, you know, call the police, find out
2	who live there and do what you have to do. I mean they
3	operating as a residence.
4	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Anyway, Mr. Thornton, the
5	Commissioner was just asking if there was a place and there's
6	not, right.
7	MS. MCSWAIN: Right. Right.
8	CHAIRPERSON HILL: So, okay. Let's see. All
9	right. I'm going to turn to the Office of Planning. Oh, the
10	ANC's not here, right? I got nobody from the ANC. Is that
11	correct, Mr. Young?
12	MR. THORNTON: Ms. Douglas was here.
13	CHAIRPERSON HILL: No, she's the SMD, Mr.
14	Thornton. She's not representing the ANC. Okay. All right.
15	Ms. Brown-Roberts.
16	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Mr. Chairman and members of
17	the Board, Maxine Brown-Roberts for the Office of Planning
18	on BZA case 20538, the request for special exception for
19	community-based institution facility for more than 15 woman
20	at for no more than 15 women at 1614 Olive Street Northwest,
21	and that's in the R-2 zone.
22	I will let me address two things, two set of
23	questions that I heard. Number one is regarding the TG
24	Management. In our report at Exhibit 52, we implied that TG
25	Management will be implementing the program. After speaking

with the applicant further, we realized that that was incorrect, and so at Exhibit 74, we submitted a supplemental report that clarified that it was our understanding that TG Management submitted the applicant on behalf of CFLS.

Then, secondly, there was a question regarding the business license. That's a DCRA function, and the Office of Planning is not knowledgeable about what the process is. So, unfortunately, I can't address that issue. But what I will say is that the applicant also stated that the Office of Planning told them that they are able to operate with four persons because that's a residence and that's correct.

So getting into the standards, subtitle B, section 200.2(g) defines a community-based institution or facility, and what I wanted to highlight is that -- the uses that are allowed. So the community-based institution facility is a use providing court-ordered monitored care to individuals who have a common need for treatment, rehab assistance, or supervision in their daily lives, but that has been assigned to a facility. Or it also goes under persons who are on probation.

And examples of this are adult rehab home, youth rehab homes, detention or correction facilities that do not fall within the listed government use such as, you know, a prison. There are also exceptions to this, and I want to note that this category does not include residential or medical

2.3

uses that are previously defined as community or residence facilities.

So the proposal meets the -- this category as women coming to the facility who were formerly incarcerated. They have been released and are in need of integration assistance.

And some of them would be referred from the Court Services and Offender, or otherwise known as CSOSA.

So in the R-2 zone under subtitle U 203.1(e) allows the community-based residence -- community-based institutional facility subject to conditions. For the first one is that there should be no more than 15 persons. In this case, the application is for 12 persons. We are not aware of any other facilities that -- under any CBIF facilities.

It was also brought to our attention that there was a -- there was another house which I think is called Oxford House that is being run by a non-profit organization, that they house four to five persons, and that operate other facilities in the District. However, as I said, that facility seems to house four to five persons, so they are -- they're looked at as a home and not as a CBIF.

In subtitle D 301.2, designated uses are in the R-2 zone as being within the use group, and that is something that -- they say that you cannot have a facility within a 1,000 feet or more of the lot. And, again, we are not aware of any other CBIF use which is within 1,000 feet of the property.

2.3

Regarding the general special exception requirements of subtitle X 901, the R-2 zone is -- allows CBIF as a special exception if they meet the requirements as stated. As I just spoke about before, those conditions would be met. The CBIF was deemed to be compatible with other residential uses in the R-2 zone as the facility would house 12 women who have served their time in a correctional facility. There is -- the applicant has a history of other facilities, and they -- these women will not be driving as the applicant presented. And there is enough space for parking, any additional parking to be provided on site.

The applicant also spoke about the supervision of the women which is for 24 hours. And based on that, we think that it should not have a -- should not tend to affect adversely the use of the neighboring property. We had also thought that there were some conditions, and, again, these were to mitigate -- to help to mitigate against any adverse effects. Well, the condition was that there would be no more than 12 persons there and also a fulltime program manager and a house manager. And that the parking spaces should be provided on the plans.

I have not seen any of the plans that has shown those parking spaces. So, you know, that is something, you know, if the applicant would let us know where they are, then we could take that condition off. But, otherwise, you know,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

they could do a supplemental and have showed those parking spaces on the site.

Regarding the six foot high fence that were shown in the pictures, we thought that just because the aesthetic of the area, it's a residential street and none of the other houses along Olive Street have that sort of fencing along their — along the front of the house. And so in order to help to make the house a little bit more in tune with the existing façade along Olive Street, that was one of the things we thought that could have come down.

I had, you know, told the applicant about this. They had agreed. I don't know if there is an issue with security or not, but, you know, if there is something that the applicant could demonstrate as to why it's not feasible to take that down, then, you know, we'd be willing to listen to that and to make a note that that's necessary. So with that, I am available for questions. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, thank you. Does the Board have -- and by the way, I went out of order. I didn't realize it, I was just -- I kind of got mixed up. I still have -- everyone will have their opportunity to present as, you know, the people in opposition will have their opportunity to present and they will -- everybody will be able to ask questions of them as well. But since the Office of Planning now talking, let's go ahead and ask questions of the Office

2.3

of Planning. Does the Board have questions of the Office of Planning? Let me do mine real quick because I do.

So, Ms. Brown-Roberts, like these special exceptions, they seem pretty kind of black and white a lot of the times, like, you know, whether you're in 500 feet of something, whether you're in 1,000 feet of something, right? And then we get kicked to the general standards which basically is, you know, does the Board think that, you know, A, B, and C, you know, harmony, adversely affect.

So we then, the Board -- or I should say the Office of Planning, like the Office of Planning then had to be comfortable that this was going to be run in a way that was not going to adversely affect the neighborhood. Am I getting the thought process correct is my question?

MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: You are correct. Some of the questions, and, you know, you may not have seen this in our report, but some of -- those are some of the questions that we had to ask the applicant, you know, what are their hours operation. about, of We asked you know, about the supervision. We asked about the comings and goings of the residents who are going to be there. Where was the training is -- where was training going to be taking place, you know, is it onsite? Is it somewhere they're going, or how are they going to reach that? So those are all the questions we asked the applicant.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

1	I mean, you know, as Mr. Brown said, he didn't see
2	it in our report, but, you know, in doing our assessment, we
3	talked to the applicant and those were the responses that we
4	got, and we were comfortable in saying, yes, you know, we think
5	that with this information and with, you know, with the
6	programming that they're running that it won't spill over into
7	the community and have a bad adverse effect on the community.
8	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. And, Ms. Giordano, just
9	so I'm clear, you know, that the recommendation is for 12
10	participants in the program, not 15, correct?
11	MS GIORDANO: That's correct.
12	CHAIRPERSON HILL: And your client's comfortable
13	with that?
14	MS GIORDANO: Yes.
15	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. I see Miss McSwain
16	(Simultaneous speaking.)
17	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Mr. Chairman, I just wanted
18	to say the 15 is because what the requirement in the regulations
19	says. It says like 15 or more or something like that, so that's
20	why there's a number 15.
21	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah. I know I'm saying, Ms.
22	Brown-Roberts, like how did you well, the other question
23	is how did you get to 12?
24	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: The applicant asked for 12.

1	MS GIORDANO: And it's 12 and staff, right? It's
2	12 residents
3	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Right.
4	MS GIORDANO: residents in the problem, and then
5	staff. There will be a staff person.
6	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Right. There's the manager
7	and then there's someone else, right?
8	MS. MCSWAIN: Yeah. So you have the case manager,
9	you have the resident monitors, and then you have the, you
10	know, the drug and alcohol counselor who comes in, you know,
11	the parenting case manager who comes in if they need to.
12	MS GIORDANO: Right.
13	MS. MCSWAIN: So there is
14	MS GIORDANO: But who's living there?
15	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Who's the 24/7 person?
16	(Simultaneous speaking.)
17	MS. MCSWAIN: The resident monitor.
18	CHAIRPERSON HILL: There's someone there 24/7.
19	MS. MCSWAIN: There's someone there 24/7, yes.
20	CHAIRPERSON HILL: All right. And is it one
21	person, or are they shifts?
22	MS. MCSWAIN: They're shifts.
23	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. So there's a day shift
24	and a night shift?
25	MS. MCSWAIN: Yes, that's correct.

1	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. There's only two
2	shifts?
3	MS. MCSWAIN: There is someone there there's
4	someone there from 9 to 6, then there's someone there we're
5	having programming generally in the evenings from 6 to 8.
6	But there's another shift from a 7/11, and there's someone
7	there from 11 to 7. So all of the hours are covered.
8	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Got it. I mean there are three
9	eight hour shifts?
10	MS. MCSWAIN: Yes.
11	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Okay. Let's see.
12	Okay. Do my fellow board members have questions? Yeah, let's
13	go with Mr. Smith.
14	MEMBER SMITH: I have a follow-up question to what
15	you just asked Ms. McSwain. With the three hour shifts for
16	the monitors, are the monitors, are they responsible for
17	keeping track of the coming and going of the residents of the
18	facility? Is there a check-in and check-out mechanism
19	MS. MCSWAIN: Yes.
20	MEMBER SMITH: as far as that will be used?
21	MS. MCSWAIN: Oh, yeah, yeah. That's right.
22	Everyone that comes in and out has to sign in and out. The
23	resident monitors sign in and out, so they're there to ensure
24	that there aren't any conflicts.

MEMBER SMITH:

25

So just for clarification, I mean

1	sign-in and sign-out of the residence. So the monitors would
2	ensure that the residents are signing in and signing back in
3	signing out?
4	MS. MCSWAIN: That's correct. Yes.
5	MEMBER SMITH: Okay.
6	MS. MCSWAIN: Yes.
7	MEMBER SMITH: Okay. I think
8	(Simultaneous speaking.)
9	MS. MCSWAIN: monitors are informed by the case
10	managers where the clients are and where they have to be, you
11	know? So if you have a client that's working a shift, the
12	resident monitor knows where they are. So, you know, they
13	don't
14	(Simultaneous speaking.)
15	MEMBER SMITH: could presumably occur or
16	residents would not be at the facility when the case monitor
	residents would not be at the facility when the case monitor is operating on the site. You're saying that there's a time
17	
17 18	is operating on the site. You're saying that there's a time
17 18 19	is operating on the site. You're saying that there's a time there's a case manager there's times when the case manager
17 18 19 20	is operating on the site. You're saying that there's a time there's a case manager there's times when the case manager isn't on site?
16 17 18 19 20 21	is operating on the site. You're saying that there's a time there's a case manager there's times when the case manager isn't on site? MS. MCSWAIN: That's correct.
17 18 19 20 21	is operating on the site. You're saying that there's a time there's a case manager there's times when the case manager isn't on site? MS. MCSWAIN: That's correct. MEMBER SMITH: So it will be the responsibility
17 18 19 20 21	is operating on the site. You're saying that there's a time there's a case manager there's times when the case manager isn't on site? MS. MCSWAIN: That's correct. MEMBER SMITH: So it will be the responsibility of the monitor, the after-hours monitor to make sure that

1	presumably some of the residents may have odd working hours
2	if you say so.
3	MS. MCSWAIN: Right.
4	MEMBER SMITH: They may be working at night.
5	Okay.
6	MS. MCSWAIN: That's right.
7	MEMBER SMITH: Thank you. That was the only
8	question I had.
9	MS. MCSWAIN: Mr. Blake?
10	MEMBER BLAKE: Follow up to that question. What's
11	the visitor's policy with regard to the residents? What's
12	the visitor's policy?
13	MS. MCSWAIN: So the residents aren't, you know,
14	they can have visitors before up until 7:00 o'clock. But they
15	don't have a lot of visitors because they're working their
16	plans. So, you know, these women who have been incarcerated,
17	they may need to see their children and so we won't deny that.
18	But for the most part, there aren't visitors because they
19	are working their plan throughout the day. And then after
20	7:00, they can't have visitors at all.
21	MEMBER BLAKE: Okay, thank you. Ms.
22	Brown-Roberts, I have a question to you with regard to how
23	many of these facilities exist in the District of Columbia
24	at this time?
25	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: I'd have to check that. I

1	don't know. I don't know off the top of my head. I'd have
2	to do some research on that.
3	MEMBER BLAKE: Okay, thank you.
4	MS. MCSWAIN: Can I also just follow up and say
5	that some of the protocols are in response to COVID which is
6	why there is limits to visitation. So I just wanted to make
7	sure that you are aware that COVID, you know, is being factored
8	in when we make decisions about who's coming in and out of
9	the facility.
10	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Ms. Brown-Roberts, I'd be
11	interested in actually also the number in the Ward, and also
12	kind of like, you know, in the adjacent area, just kind of
13	like what is in the immediate area if you do if you are
14	going to look up some numbers.
15	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Okay, Mr. Chairman.
16	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Let's see, where were
17	we? Okay. Oh, sorry, Commissioner Miller?
18	ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: I had a similar question
19	that you and others have asked of Ms. Brown-Roberts. First
20	of all, thank you, Ms. Brown-Roberts, for your report and work
21	on this application. Can you just clarify, before I get to
22	the similar question, could you just clarify?
23	This is an R-2 zone and one of the conditions of
24	the CBIF facility provision in the zoning regulations 200.2(g)
25	which you gite reference is that in the R-2 zone, there

is to be no lot containing a CBIF or community-based institutional facility within 500 feet of any portion of the lot. Is it 500 feet or -- then, in all other R zones I think it's 1,000 feet. But this is the R-2 and the provision that I'm seeing in your report says it's 500 feet. Can you just clarify it? Is it -- that there shouldn't be a CBIF within 500 or within 1,000 feet?

MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: There are two -- there are two qualifications. The first one -- just to go back here. So the first one is that -- is there should be none within 500 feet of the lot. Okay? And then the second qualification is in condition number 3. It says, "In all other RU -- in all other R use group A, B, and C, there shall not be another -- no other lot containing a CBIF within the square or 1,000 The R-2 zone for this is within use group B. feet." And then I went on to say that we're not aware of any other within that So there are two qualifications.

In It is not aware that would apply. And you said you're not aware of any CBIF within 1,000 feet. You were made aware of a home for, I guess, for recovering substance abusers or something that -- but that only had four or five persons in it so it didn't qualify as a CBIF.

Do you have -- does the Office of Planning have an up-to-date mapping? It's kind of what Chairman Hill, and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

1	Mr. Blake, and maybe Mr. Smith also asked for. Do we have
2	updated map which shows in the general area, or in the ward,
3	or in the city where the where CBIFs are located? Is there
4	an up-to-date map of
5	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: No. We don't have that now.
6	There is also
7	ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: Is it difficult to provide
8	a
9	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Sure.
10	ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: map that would show at
11	least in this area or the ward?
12	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: We have to be careful because
13	there are some there are some with privacy issues and
14	security issues. So, you know, we have to be very careful
15	about providing a map to the whole, you know, to the whole
16	city to be looking at. So usually we will look to see if what
17	is within, you know, to meet the requirement of the standards
18	that are outlined in the regulations, we will look at the map
19	and see. But, you know
20	ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: So how do you determine that
21	it is
22	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: I will see how we can handle
23	that, Mr. Miller.
24	ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: I'm sorry, say that again.
2.5	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: No. I will see how we can

1	handle that to try and get you the information you need.
2	ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: Okay. I think yeah, I'm
3	not looking for the location, the exact location or address.
4	I just want to know how many
5	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Correct.
6	ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: are in 1,000 feet.
7	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Yes.
8	ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: How you made that
9	determination, did you
10	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Right.
11	ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: And do you have do you
12	have access to that information even if it's private, or do
13	you just have to go out and figure this out? Or is or I
14	don't know if the Office of Reentry Office of Returning
15	Citizens has that information. But did you I guess I'll
16	look forward to you providing more information.
17	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: I will get you some
18	information.
19	ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: Okay. And thank you.
20	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: You're welcome.
21	MEMBER SMITH: Okay. I'm going to follow up with
22	Mr. Miller. And I'm not going to take it from the neighborhood
23	take it from the neighborhood perspective. You said that
24	the Office of Planning is not aware of any of these types of
25	facilities within a 500 feet or 1,000 foot radius. How did

1	you make that determination?
2	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Well, we have a map that we
3	use, and so we take a look at that to see what's there. It
4	is not 100 percent accurate. You know, I cannot say that it
5	is a 100 percent accurate.
6	MEMBER SMITH: That's a problem because if it's
7	not 100 percent accurate, we could be approving something
8	illegal.
9	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Pardon me?
10	MEMBER SMITH: You're saying it's not 100 percent
11	accurate to describe whether these facilities
12	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Well
13	MEMBER SMITH: are within 500 feet or 1,000
14	feet?
15	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Well, the information is not
16	put together by us.
17	MEMBER SMITH: Okay.
18	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: So I can only basically vouch
19	for what we see on the map.
20	MEMBER SMITH: Who is it put together by?
21	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: I'm not sure. I would have
22	to see exactly that. I'm not sure.
23	MEMBER SMITH: That's a problem. Okay. Thank
24	you.
25	CHAIRPERSON HILL: the Board? Okay, Ms.

Giordano, do you have any questions for the Office of Planning? 1 2 MS GIORDANO: I do not. 3 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Mr. Brown, do you have any questions of the Office of Planning? 4 5 MR. BROWN: A couple questions on zoning points. Ms. Brown-Roberts, I believe your testimony was this project 6 7 had to go through special exception because they asked for occupancy by 12 returning inmates plus a couple -- possibly 8 9 a couple of people, staff people. My question is if they had 10 come to you with a plan to just house four women plus one or 11 two staff people, would they still need a special exception? 12 And are you saying that the -- the special exception talks 13 about a community-based facility for up to 15 persons. Are 14 you saying that what that really means is between seven and 15 15 persons? 16 MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Yes. And what is your authority for that 17 MR. BROWN: interpretation? 18 Well, you know, we looked at 19 MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: 20 it, I mean it was a self-certified application. And so based on that, you know, we analyze it as such. 21 I think that we -- the regulation talks about, you know, any facility or any 22 2.3 home having six persons are permitted as a matter of right. 24 And so that is usually the basis on which we go, it's anything 25 over six unless it's specifically says less.

1	MR. BROWN: But six persons residing in a house
2	as a matter of right is not the same thing as having four people
3	plus two staff who are monitoring the recovery of inmates
4	returning from prison, is it, in terms of community impact?
5	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Is it what?
6	MR. BROWN: In terms of potential community
7	CHAIRPERSON HILL: I guess, Miss and I got you.
8	I'm kind of curious, I'm going to ask Ms. Brown-Roberts what
9	I think you're asking. You're allowed by and Mr. Brown
10	and Ms. Roberts what I think and I'm kind of curious too.
11	So six people are there, even if they operate a community-based
12	institutional facility with six people there, right, that's
13	okay because it's six, you know, it falls under the category
14	of six unrelated people, correct?
15	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Mm-hmm.
16	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. So that's what your
17	answer is I guess, Mr. Brown. It's a weird answer, but it's
18	the answer.
19	MS. MCSWAIN: They're not inmates. They're
20	not they have
21	(Simultaneous speaking.)
22	MS. MCSWAIN: their time. They are that's
23	
24	(Simultaneous speaking.)
25	CHAIRPERSON HILL: No, no, no. We weren't
	NEAL R. GROSS

1	it's okay, Ms. McSwain.
2	MS. MCSWAIN: I know, I know.
3	CHAIRPERSON HILL: He didn't say inmates. He was
4	I guess the question was is it a community-based institution
5	facility if there's four people there.
6	MS. MCSWAIN: Correct.
7	CHAIRPERSON HILL: And the answer seems to be no.
8	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: No.
9	CHAIRPERSON HILL: So, Mr. Brown, what's your next
10	question?
11	MR. BROWN: My next question concerns the nature
12	of the building. This is a building on an R-2 lot with one
13	side yard, right?
14	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: I think so. I'm not 100
15	percent sure. I'm looking at the plans now. I haven't looked
16	at the house itself for some time.
17	CHAIRPERSON HILL: What's your question, Mr.
18	Brown?
19	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: I mean
20	MR. BROWN: Well, I'm just laying the factual
21	predicate for the question. It's my understanding that in
22	order to have only one side yard in the R-2 zone, it has to
23	be a semi-detached building, and I don't see where this
24	building is attached to anything. So how is it how are

they allowed to have just one side yard?

1	CHAIRPERSON HILL: So you're arguing, Mr. Brown
2	(Simultaneous speaking.)
3	CHAIRPERSON HILL: You're arguing the building
4	itself, Mr. Brown?
5	MR. BROWN: Yes.
6	CHAIRPERSON HILL: I don't think that that's
7	before us. I mean the building's there.
8	MR. BROWN: Well, if the building is illegal, it
9	can't be used properly as a community-based institutional
10	CHAIRPERSON HILL: I think that's a different
11	that's a completely different thing, Mr. Brown.
12	MR. BROWN: facility.
13	CHAIRPERSON HILL: I mean, Ms. Brown-Roberts,
14	you're just saying the building went through zoning, right?
15	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Yes. I think they got a
16	permit to build. It was not something that we looked at.
17	We were looking at the use that was before us. We weren't
18	looking at the details of the, you know, the construction.
19	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Right. Mr. Brown.
20	MR. BROWN: I'm done.
21	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Ms. Sanders, do you have
22	any questions for the Office of Planning? Chairman Holmes,
23	you got any questions for the Office of Planning?
24	MR. HOLMES: Yes. Yes, I do.
25	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay.

MR. HOLMES: All right. So I just finished

2	looking at the Office of Planning exhibit, and at the end,
3	it was for it was actually waiting to hear back from 7C
4	and not 7D. And I wanted to find out, especially since this
5	case was extended, did the Office of Planning at any time reach
6	out to 7D and the affected Deanwood community upon hearing
7	that 7D had opposition to this case?
8	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: No, we did not. That is the
9	applicant's responsibility. We did our analysis, submitted
LO	it, and that's yeah, it's not part of our review to, you
L1	know, to go to the community, to go the ANC.
L2	MR. HOLMES: Okay. And even if there was no
L3	one informed you it was incorrect that you was waiting for
L4	7C to give you a document. We was waiting should have been
L5	waiting for 7D because you're saying the applicant should have
L6	told you it should have been 7D instead of 7C when the document
L7	was completed and submitted?
L8	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: I think and I'm sorry, that
L9	may have just been an error. Even if it was 7C, we would not
20	have commented on your on what they had to say. It's just
21	in our report, it's just a note to say whether, you know, there
22	is something in the report from the ANC.
23	MR. HOLMES: Okay. So does the Office of Planning
24	I know the Office of Planning has outreach personnel. Does
25	the Office of Planning for any cases such as this actually

use their outreach people to for any of these matters?
MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Not in this case.
MR. HOLMES: Okay. But in other cases, they do?
MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: It depends on, you know, if
it's a PUD or something like that, that's, you know, those
are other issues. We do not, you know, go out to the community
on BZA cases unless basically unless we are asked to comment
on the process or something like that. We wouldn't comment
on our report to the zoning to the BZA.
MR. HOLMES: Okay. And that information is
available in the Office of Planning 101 courses that are
available for ANCs and citizens?
MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: What information?
MR. HOLMES: That you can, the community and ANCs
can ask for the Office of Planning to attend meetings based
on BZA cases?
MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Yeah. There's yes,
there's nothing written to say that we can't be invited. No,
there's nothing there that says.
MR. HOLMES: But I'm saying is there something
written saying that you can be invited for those cases?
MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: I don't know, but I think it's
our general it's our general posture that if we are invited
to come to speak to the ANC, that we do that. However, it
wouldn't be to give you a recommendation because our

recommendation is to the BZA, not to the ANC.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

MR. HOLMES: But you do take the input from the -- you do or you do not take the input from the ANC since it is listed at the bottom of the report?

MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: We review that, but we do not give the -- we do not give the BZA a recommendation based on -- or based on the ANC's report.

MR. HOLMES: Okay. I just wanted to -the community wanted to understand the process. My second question, I did hear a comment about COVID protocols, and we are still in COVID. And so based off of the applicant requesting the Office of Planning to have 12 returning citizens to -- at this site, the Office of -- so is there any COVID protocol, because based on my math, that would be two women in a room, plus one rotating staff in that seventh room all throughout the time period.

And so with COVID protocols -- this is actually kind of Department of Health question, but Office of Planning's here, that is being approved from a COVID's perspective of having more than one person in a room while we are still going through the COVID process. And I asked this because Hope Village was closed down in Ward 8 because of COVID, so I want to make sure we're very consistent about COVID and returning citizen homes.

MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: That is certainly not

1 something that the BZA is reviewing. So I mean I wouldn't 2 have any comment on that. 3 MS. MCSWAIN: But we have --Hey, Miss -- it's okay, 4 CHAIRPERSON HILL: 5 McSwain. 6 MS. MCSWAIN: Okay. CHAIRPERSON HILL: So, all right, you guys, I got 7 8 to let you know something I just realized. So it's 1:45 and 9 I got a huge case after, you know, I don't know if you saw 10 that before, there's a huge one going on at some point in time 11 and I promised we were going to get to it today and my Board 12 hasn't had lunch yet. So I'm going to try to get through this 13 so we can. 14

Let's see. What I have learned from this exchange is that -- and I would be interested in hearing the Office of Planning at another time, and I know that we want to see what is around this proposed CBIF. But also it seems interesting that, right, like if it's underneath six people, anything can operate there, you know, because it's six unrelated people. And so that seems to be the case, and so I'm going to -- I just have a question about it, and that's even with Commissioner Miller more -- it's more interesting on that side.

And then the others that I know realize that the Office of Planning actually, you know, the Zoning Commission,

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

1 they're a bigger boy than we are. So you guys actually go 2 We just get -- we get like little reports, you out to them. 3 know? So, okay. Mr. Brown, do you have -- would you like to give your presentation please? 4 5 Ready to go. MR. BROWN: Yes. 6 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. 7 MR. BROWN: I'd like to call Gina Bullet. Ms. Bullet, can you hear us? 8 CHAIRPERSON HILL: 9 MS. BULLET: Yes, I'm here. 10 CHAIRPERSON HILL: I don't remember introducing 11 you at the beginning. Could you introduce yourself for the 12 record please? 13 MS. BULLET: Yes. My name is Gina Bullet. I've lived along the Eastern Avenue corridor in Ward 7 for the last 14 15 And also my professional experience has been largely vulnerable 16 working justice around with and involved 17 populations in the city. And I've spent the last several years in our neighborhood civic associations and ANC 18 19 processes and other community building efforts. 20 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Hold on. Give me one second, 21 Ms. Bullet. The -- right. So, Mr. Brown, you have your 22 witnesses listed somewhere just so I can see? 2.3 MR. BROWN: Yes. After Ms. Bullet comes Ms. 24 Beverly, Talitha Beverly, then Jennifer Stecklow, and Marla 25 Blue.

1	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. And Ms. Bullet, is the
2	one who you wanted to be considered an expert in something?
3	MR. BROWN: Yes. She has her her resume is part
4	of our pre-hearing statement, Exhibit 110.
5	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Exhibit 110.
6	MR. BROWN: Ms. Bullet, would you describe your
7	education and experience briefly please?
8	MS. BULLET: Yes. So I have a masters in social
9	work. I've spent most of my professional career working with
10	vulnerable justice abuse justice involved populations in
11	the districts. And then also as part of my experience in the
12	last several years, I've been heavily involved in our
13	neighborhood's ANCs and other community building efforts.
14	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Let me interrupt you,
15	Ms. Bullet. I'm sorry, I'm looking at the resume.
16	MS. BULLET: Yes.
17	CHAIRPERSON HILL: So, Mr. Brown, right, you're
18	asking for expert status in social work, correct, Mr. Brown?
19	MR. BROWN: Yes.
20	CHAIRPERSON HILL: And so unless the Board has any
21	issues, I think the resume is the same as the resume that we
22	gave before, so I have no problem with expert status in social
23	work unless my board members have an issue, and if so, please
24	raise your hand. Okay. Mr. Brown, so we'll go ahead and let
25	expert status in for Ms. Bullet, and you can please continue.

1	MR. BROWN: All right. Ms. Bullet, you have
2	reviewed the record in this case?
3	MS. BULLET: Yes.
4	MR. BROWN: And are you familiar with those
5	location?
6	MS. BULLET: Yes.
7	MR. BROWN: So you have some concerns about
8	locating this facility in this particular place. Would you
9	please describe your concerns to the board?
10	MS. BULLET: Yes. So the Eastern Avenue corridor
11	is home to a well-known prostitution stroll in the District,
12	and also is a drug track. So there's significant drug use,
13	drug dealing happening in the neighborhood right along the
14	corridor. In addition, the location ignores the best practice
15	and evidence-based practice, which are practices that are
16	proven to work. What those say about location of facilities
17	of returning citizens returning home, those dealing with the
18	common struggles experienced by women returning from
19	incarceration, specifically around like trauma, substance
20	abuse, mental health, human trafficking.
21	MR. BROWN: All right. Do you have have you
22	got some slides that you wanted to show the Board? Have you
23	got those uploaded?
24	MS. BULLET: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON HILL:

25

What exhibit are you looking

1	for, Ms. Bullet?
2	MR. BROWN: I believe it's Exhibit
3	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Is it 152?
4	MR. BROWN: That's right, 152.
5	CHAIRPERSON HILL: I think you're at 152, Mr.
6	Young. I got 152 pulled up. I think that's the one that Ms.
7	Bullet and then, Mr. Brown, what you got you got then
8	slide decks for your other witnesses, is that right?
9	MR. BROWN: Each one of my each one of my
10	witnesses has a very brief PowerPoint presentation, yes.
11	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. There's one part of one
12	of your witness's PowerPoints that I don't know if it's
13	appropriate, and I might even ask the lawyers to look at it.
14	There's like pictures of like a private citizen, and so I'm
15	going to ask Ms. Nagelhout to take a look at it. But go ahead
16	for Ms. Bullet's testimony Bullet, I'm sorry in 152.
17	Go ahead, Ms. Bullet.
18	MS. BULLET: Yes. So can we move to slide 2? I
19	think I covered slide 1. Okay. So in reference to utilizing
20	best and evidence-based practices, which are practices proven
21	to work, in this case, to go against best practice would
22	increase the risk that women would not complete the program
23	proposed program successfully, and that unsuccessful
24	program completion would increase the burden.

CHAIRPERSON HILL:

25

Can everybody mute their line

1	if they're not speaking please? Thank you.
2	MS. BULLET: Am I good to continue?
3	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah, go ahead, Ms. Bullet.
4	MS. BULLET: Okay.
5	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Thanks. Sorry.
6	MS. BULLET: No problem. And it would increase
7	the burden upon the already under-resourced community along
8	Eastern Avenue especially if any of the women have dialed into
9	the harmful dynamics in the surrounding community.
10	So that brings us to the slide here and you're
11	looking at the map here, you'll see in the circle where the
12	Olive Street community is, where the proposed home is. And
13	you see the blue dots are police service calls for lewd and
14	indecent exposure. The orange dots are for drug use. You'll
15	see along the corridor the proximity to hot spots here in our
16	community along the drug and prostitution strip.
17	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay.
18	MS. BULLET: Okay? Some just very basic
19	best key best practices and evidence-based practices
20	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Can I move you to your next
21	slide, Ms. Bullet?
22	MS. BULLET: Yes, that's fine.
23	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Go ahead. Because it seems
24	like you're kind of I'm just trying to get you through your
25	presentation. Go ahead.

1	MS. BULLET: Yes. So just key considerations for
2	working with justice involve women. Utilizing a gender
3	-responsive approach is key. So knowing how and implementing
4	programming, because women's needs are different, especially
5	in terms of mental health, victimization experiences.
6	Programs have to consider the impact of trauma, substance
7	abuse, and human trafficking experiences upon women's recovery
8	and return to the community. And that community, especially
9	for women, community safety is paramount to recovery and
10	preventing recidivism.
11	SAMHSA, which is a major grant maker and produces
12	a lot of reports on data on evidence-based and best practices
13	regarding substance abuse and mental health, they say it's
14	critical to minimize the risk of re-traumatization,
15	replicating prior trauma dynamics, and that you're doing
16	placements based on giving an individual their best chance
17	for lasting recovery, and having an environment that will
18	ensure resident safety. So the surrounding neighborhood is
19	ensuring resident safety. Given that we know
20	(Simultaneous speaking.)
21	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Got it. Ms. Bullet. Ms.
22	Bullet?
23	MS. BULLET: Yes.
24	CHAIRPERSON HILL: I have to interrupt you just
25	a little bit because I'm trying to go through your slide deck,

and so I'm going to -- if you just want to -- if you want to 1 2 kind of move through your slide deck, you got 13 slides --3 MS. BULLET: Okay. CHAIRPERSON HILL: And I understand what your 4 5 point is. You don't think this is the right place for this CBIF, and you're telling us why. And I'm going through your 6 7 slides and you have, you know, different points that you're And so I'm already -- the types of communities that 8 people return to become -- Mr. Young, if you can switch down 9 10 to slide 6, and I guess then you have a lot of data, Ms. Bullet. 11 Is that correct? 12 MS. BULLET: Correct. 13 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. You want to whip us 14 through your slides then? 15 MS. BULLET: Sure. Okay. So when we're talking 16 about the types of communities that returning citizens are 17 generally concentrated in, and how they negatively impede progress and successful return to the community, one of the 18 big keys is is the economic considerations of our community. 19 20 So you've already reviewed the safety concerns regarding police service calls, drug use, human trafficking 21 22 in the community, and now we can look at economics. So if 2.3 you can switch to the next page. So you see that our entire 24 community at the proposed site is a low-income community. 25 The Harvard report talks about concentrations of poverty

negatively impacting returning citizens and them remaining 1 2 home and successfully staying home. 3 And now if we go to the available data on group 4 home concentrations, go to the next slide. So now I pulled 5 this, this is the only map that data that I could find from the Office of Planning regarding service housing, so group 6 7 homes, and that includes CBIFs. 8 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. That includes CBIFs, rehabilitation 9 MS. BULLET: 10 homes, mental health facilities, community residence 11 facilities, halfway homes. And what you see even in 2006, 12 we have a concentration of homes within our low-income 13 community already. In addition, what you also see is that there are 14 15 communities, particularly higher income ones, that have little 16 to no group homes or service housing even though higher-income 17 communities, communities with better economic status are better for returning citizens as it increases the chances of 18 their being successful in staying home. Also this reality 19 20 of where you place facilities and the communities --21 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. So, Ms. Bullet, I 22 understand, I'm just trying to -- is what I'm trying to do. 2.3 I want to keep --24 You need me to move quicker? MS. BULLET: 25 Yeah, I kind of want to get you CHAIRPERSON HILL:

-- I mean I understand what you're saying, and I guess I got 1 2 three more witnesses after you, then I have three more 3 presentations. And so I want Mr. Brown I guess to kind of keep you on point as to what you're -- what he would like you 4 5 to specifically speak to because there's a lot of -- I mean I could flip through your slide deck and I can see the data. 6 7 MS. BULLET: Okay. So one thing I would like to 8 point out and for you to reference, you can reference the D.C. 9 Municipal regulations. Also the 2006 comp plan from the 10 Office of Planning. There are several key things that the 11 municipal regulations and that comp plan talk about regarding 12 making sure that the character and fundamental qualities of 13 the group and service homes match that of the community. Also, regarding that -- to be careful to not have a concentration 14 15 of homes in one community. That it needs to be spread out. 16 And that is not what we have going on in our community. So 17 you can go to the next slide. 18 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Brown, can you hear me? 19 I have your group at 20 minutes. I'm just letting you know. 20 And your first witness is already at 10 minutes. All right. Well, I'll have her wrap 21 MR. BROWN: 22 up in another minute or so if I could please. 2.3 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, Mr. Brown. 24 So on your own time, go ahead and look MS. BULLET: 25 at the economic data for our ward and how that is troubling

1	in producing a productive and helpful environment for reentry.
2	And then also in terms of safety and the realities going on
3	on this block of Olive Street, you're talking about a facility
4	on a block that would on this side of the block that would
5	over double the current occupancy.
6	When you look at the pictures regarding the
7	neighboring homes and this home, this home is substantially
8	larger, and then you are housing a significant amount of people
9	in comparison to the homes that are already on the block.
10	It's immediate the home to the left is or I'm sorry,
11	the home to the right is vacant. The home to the left has
12	three people in it. The next home on the block has one.
13	So the proposal of putting 12 women and a resident
14	monitor in that home in that location in addition to the size
15	of the home, it is not providing a secluded and private
16	environment and protective environment. It is putting a home
17	that is going to be widely and easily identifiable in the
18	community, and that is not good for a vulnerable population.
19	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Brown?
20	MR. BROWN: I'm sorry. I didn't hear that last
21	part. Are you done, Ms. Bullet?
22	MS. BULLET: Yes.
23	MR. BROWN: All Right. Thank you very much. My
24	next witness is Talitha Beverly.

MS. BEVERLY: Yes, I'm here.

1	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Hi, Ms. Beverly. Could you
2	introduce yourself for the record?
3	MS. BEVERLY: Yes. My name is Talitha Beverly.
4	I live at 1614 Olive excuse me, 1614 Eastern Avenue.
5	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, Ms. Beverly.
6	MS. BEVERLY: I do have slides.
7	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah, I know, that which
8	exhibit I'm pulling it up.
9	MS. BEVERLY: Okay.
10	CHAIRPERSON HILL: And just so the other people
11	know, like I've gone through the exhibits and I understand.
12	I wish there was a different way that it to explain it.
13	I mean and I do appreciate that it's very disturbing what
14	you are showing in terms of photographs that's going on around
15	your home. But I don't think we can show the photographs,
16	particularly of the I don't know, the, you have a naked
17	man in a couple of the slides.
18	MS. BEVERLY: That is not that is not
19	CHAIRPERSON HILL: No, no, I no, I know that's
20	not you, Ms. Beverly. I'm kind of speaking to the next
21	witnesses. But go ahead, you're 153. Go ahead, Ms. Beverly.
22	MS. BEVERLY: Okay. I'm a homeowner, I'm a
23	business owner, and a single parent to an eight year old boy.
24	I moved to Deanwood from Bethesda April 2019 into my single
25	family home, and the picture is there. Most of you might be

aware of Eastern Avenue, it's a very busy corridor. The picture to the right, I'm trying to show you how busy that street is. If you could go to the next slide please.

Per the D.C. zoning map, I live on parcel 35 and my home is less than 10 steps from the TG Management facility through the back alley. As you can see from the zoning map, the alley separates the R-2 zone from the PDR-1 zone which is the businesses facing Kenilworth Avenue.

This is significant because I bought my home under the premise that I would have neighbors in an R-2 zone, that would be single families with six or less occupancy for privacy, less traffic, less disturbances, less saturation in a residential neighborhood, and that's not what TG Management has been displaying even with the current living conditions. Next slide please.

My property egress is through the alley into my backyard parking pad. My residence is grossly affected by everything that takes place in this alley. So there's vehicle parking and transport within the alley, which is an ongoing problem and it's very dangerous. And I've been blocked in and out of my property several times even so that I've been late, significantly late picking up my son from school because I couldn't exit my back alley. These pictures show an example of this.

Since the facility has opened, and I guess that

2.3

was in February that they described in the last presentations, I've witnessed parked cars in the alley during the evening from persons visiting the TG Management facility. And I've also witnessed various persons loitering in the alley and have idling parked cars in the middle of the alley directly outside the TG Management facility back gate. I believe this is a precursor to the increased traffic and safety concerns and may not be able to enter and exit my property which will cause a major hardship for me and my neighbors.

In addition to these traffic concerns, the alley has had multiple crimes. Most recent is an example that my neighbor, Jacinia Chavez, she was pulling into her property to park and witnessed a shirtless man that was masturbating in public. The man looked her straight in the eyes and continued this lewd act in a very high traffic area. You can find her statement on Exhibit 123. I'm greatly concerned that this is not an ideal location for a transitional facility. Next slide please.

The special exception request is for an increased occupancy load up to 15 residents. This picture shows my backyard, which is an active living area of my home. This is the left-hand picture. My son practices his sports, he plays with his friends, and there I also entertain and workout. You can see how the facility towers over the remaining residential homes which causes a lack of privacy.

2.3

The facility has a back porch, if you would reference this picture to the right, in which we have witnessed congregating up to five and six people on this porch. There is excessive noise, and including load, foul language that happens on the porch that echoes through into the back alley. And this was reported to me by my son. This wasn't something that I saw originally. And I have witnessed this myself. I believe this facility is out of harmony with the neighborhood dwellings and there is an adverse impact to our residential use and enjoyment. Next slide please.

This is my last slide. I would be remiss if I didn't mention the violation of due process by the applicant. Due process I believe is rooted in respect. This community has been and continues to be grossly disrespected by the applicant through this process. The facility was fully built and given a name prior to the neighbors even knowing the nature and purpose of the facility. The neighbors were misled by the facility owner, the applicant, and the 7DC commissioner.

There were three meetings at the local veteran's hall which is right on the block of Quarles and Kenilworth, and within one block of all us impacted neighbors. These three meetings were held, but we were not privy to or even provided an invitation to these meetings. Because of this, our ANC voted to oppose this special exception for the facility. They voted five nays and one aye.

2.3

In Chairman Hasan's words, I quote, "It takes a village for a project like this to be successful. It doesn't appear that there was transparency" excuse me, "transparency nor truth taught amongst the community. And truth doesn't get easier over time. To hide the real intent for this home caused the village not to be involved." End of quote.

As you can image, this lack of disclosure had a massive impact on neighbors. And where transparency is missing — transparency is missing, so, too, is trust. I don't trust the applicant. This entire process has created a feeling of uneasiness and anxiety, and this is my home. This is where I raise my child. This is where I live.

This entire process has been noted of untrustworthy behaviors that have been demonstrated over the past year. I don't know what else the applicant has withheld. Just in this meeting we've heard of them not being approved or have not had a business license. And they have known they were going to open up this facility over years ago, and it's just we're learning new information all the time, and I personally think that this will directly impact me, my community, and my family's safety.

I implore each and every one of you to deny this special exception relief based on the lack of harmony and the adverse impact to the neighborhood dwellings. Thank you so

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

1	much for your time.
2	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Thank you, Ms. Beverly. Mr.
3	Brown.
4	MR. BROWN: Yes.
5	CHAIRPERSON HILL: You're over your time. I don't
6	know if you want to
7	MR. BROWN: I don't
8	CHAIRPERSON HILL: interview your final two
9	witnesses.
10	MR. BROWN: I think five minutes each is more than
11	enough time.
12	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Do you want to interview them?
13	MR. BROWN: I beg your pardon?
14	CHAIRPERSON HILL: You would like to I need to
15	keep I need you to keep track of your witnesses so that
16	you keep them on time is what I'm trying to get at. Do you
17	want to interview them or do you just want them to let them
18	talk for five minutes each?
19	MR. BROWN: That would be my preference.
20	Considering all the time
21	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay.
22	MR. BROWN: that was spent this morning and
23	earlier this afternoon trying to understand the program that
24	was not explicitly spelled out by the applicant by the

1	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Brown, I got to tell you,
2	we're really fair. I'm really fair with everything. You're
3	getting your opportunity to talk.
4	MR. BROWN: Okay.
5	CHAIRPERSON HILL: You're getting your
6	opportunity to speak. I'm trying to do my best within
7	everything that I have to deal with
8	MR. BROWN: Okay.
9	CHAIRPERSON HILL: for the rest of this day.
10	MR. BROWN: I have I've done what I
11	CHAIRPERSON HILL: And so
12	MR. BROWN: I've done what I could to keep it
13	limited.
14	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Got it. Okay. So who's your
15	next witness?
16	MR. BROWN: It's Jennifer Stecklow.
17	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, Ms. Stecklow, can you
18	hear me?
19	MS. STECKLOW: Can you see me?
20	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah. Ms. Stecklow, you're
21	going to go ahead and have five minutes.
22	MS. STECKLOW: Okay. I don't I won't need it.
23	I will try to be brief because you have if everyone has
24	reviewed our written testimony and our presentations, then
25	I can just highlight a couple points that I want to make.

1	CHAIRPERSON HILL: I appreciate. You're the one
2	right next door to the building, correct?
3	MS. STECKLOW: Correct. Yes.
4	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Go ahead, Ms. Stecklow.
5	MS. STECKLOW: Okay, great. Can we have the
6	presentation shown up? I'm sorry, I have dual screens, so
7	I
8	CHAIRPERSON HILL: That's all right.
9	MR. BROWN: 154.
10	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Thank you, Mr. Brown.
11	MS. STECKLOW: Thank you.
12	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Go ahead, Ms. Stecklow.
13	MS. STECKLOW: All right, perfect. Well, first
14	of all, thank you so much, Chairman Hill and honorable Zoning
15	Board members. I'm Jennifer Stecklow and I live at 1612 Olive
16	Street, Northeast along with my husband and our eight year
17	old son, Isaac. And Eric was supposed to be here, but he's
18	picking up my son.
19	So I just want to begin by stating we support
20	placing returning citizens into community-based institutional
21	facilities without question. Vulnerable, traumatized women
22	returning home deserve a safe space that will help them rebuild
23	their lives. Next slide.
24	We can just briefly talk about this. This is just
25	a quick introduction to our community. Primarily single

family homes. Most homeowners have lived here for up to 10 years, some up to 40. While there are some new residents like myself who have been here for two years now. Next slide.

We have three primary concerns. The first is just the success of returning citizens, and I will not repeat what Ms. Bullet said, but I will just emphasize that we share her concerns with the facility being located near well-documented drug, sex, and human trafficking area along Eastern Avenue. I have personally witnessed a man receiving oral sex in a car along Eastern Avenue while walking my then seven year to the metro. I have picked up and disposed of used condoms. I have collected bullet casings and given them to MPD as well.

And we understand that all neighborhoods in D.C. must navigate public safety issues to varying degrees. However, it's insufficient to suggest that since crime exists everywhere in at least some fashion that the CBIF cannot use public safety concerns to consider where it should reside. All CBIF locations are not equally good or equally bad. But the standards should not be that there's an affordable building and, therefore, it's the best option. Next slide.

Again, I will just kind of highlight some of these points. I did put together in Exhibit 124 that highlights the D.C. crime maps, specifically looking at all the districts, ANC and MPD districts that have seen a rise in crime over the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

last two years. In fact, Ward 7 has the most reported, and I'll highlight that point, reported because we sometimes see things that we don't actually report. But violent crimes in the entire District with 1,916. And just for comparisons, Ward 2 only had 677 and Ward 3 just 166. Next slide.

So our privacy concerns, this is a big issue for us because as its' been noted, this building was built before we knew it would become anything but a CBIF. We were told repeatedly by the owner, and I'm talking two years, that he was building a home for himself, his wife, and three kids. And in July 2021, we all received notices that what this proposal came to be.

At that time, no one had proactively reached out to us beyond the letter that we received. And it wasn't until we as a community starting to come together and working with presenting of the ANC meetings and actually asking for a special meeting, did anyone ever engage anyone in the community.

The challenge that we have is, of course, that it's already been built and is much bigger than any other buildings that are in this community. And it towers over our home. And I'm not kidding when I say that. You can see from the photographs. This is not angled. This is exactly what it looks like. I will also note that all the windows except for the ones in the front are directly pointed to our home or our

2.3

private back yard. Next slide.

2.3

So anyone who will have -- so, "The windows towards the rear," I'll just read this statement because it's -- I wrote it. "The windows towards the rear and the raised deck have an unobstructed view of our entire backyard even though we have a six foot wooden fence. Anyone can easily peer into our back yard when we prefer to gather with family and friends in private.

It was one thing to have privacy concerns when we were told for almost two years that one family would be living next door while it was under construction. It's an entirely different and more serious concern when the story suddenly changes and the building is now being proposed as a CBIF."

And what we're concerned about is that we would have a rotating list of individuals, I guess up to 12 not including staff and visitors who will have a full view of our private backyard. Next slide.

I will just like to say that the lack of clarity continues today as demonstrated by the incomplete application and the vague details submitted to this board. My husband and I along with 37 other homeowners in the community, ANC 7C, ANC 7D, and two citizen association are standing together in opposition to this project. And one final note is that out of those 37 homeowners, 16 are within 200 feet of this property, and 10 have written letters of opposition. So thank

you for your consideration and allowing us to be heard. 1 2 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Thanks, Ms. Stecklow. All Who's your last one, Mr. Brown? And, Miss --3 (Simultaneous speaking.) 4 5 MR. BROWN: Marla Blue. 6 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Sorry. Thanks. And Ms. 7 Giordano, just to let you know, and I guess your applicant also, Ms. McSwain or whomever, like, you know, we're going 8 9 to -- I'm going to want to know about how the alley is going 10 to get treated. How, you know, people congregating on the 11 deck is going to get treated. I mean this is all going to 12 come down to whether or not the Board thinks that this is 13 something that the community's going to be able to live with. And so just to let you know, all these things that are getting 14 15 brought up. Which one again, Mr. Brown? 16 Marla Blue. MR. BROWN: CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Ms. Blue, I think you're 17 18 the one that --19 Yeah, I'm not going to show my slides. MS. BLUE: 20 But I do want to show -- if he could -- before he shows it to everyone, if he could just skip over the naked gentleman 21 that's strung out on drugs tearing up other people's cars. 22 2.3 So I guess that would be -- you could just skip over that 24 and go to the third slide -- the next slide after the naked 25 gentleman -- person on drugs. First of all, I'd like to say

good afternoon, thank you all for your time. We are Laurence --

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Good afternoon, Ms. Blue.

MS. BLUE: We are Marla and Laurence Blue. We reside across the street. We're not against the women seeking rehabilitation. We've all said that. We want them to have a successful life back into mainstream society. We believe everyone deserves a second life. We want them to get jobs, reunite with their families, establish get homes. However, the location on Olive Street is not suitable at this time. Placing them here will only be putting them in an atmosphere filled with lewd activities, gun violence, et cetera.

Deanwood immediate area on Olive Street lacks all For example, there are no jobs. the necessary resources. We don't even have a grocery store, hospital, or pharmacy. When you look at other transitional homes in the D.C. area in comparison to this location, to name a few new endeavors, D.C. Coalition for the Homeless, Hope for a Home, et cetera, located all higher-income are in areas with lots of opportunities in the surrounding areas. And they have what appears to be a solid community base.

Furthermore, we can't speak about the night life at the other locations, but living on Olive Street is a little different. We've witnessed, and I don't know if you're showing my slide, this slide here where there is the police

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

activity that came because the first gentleman, which we couldn't share the photo with you, was strung out on drugs and stripped himself of all his clothes except for her green socks. And he came directly into our backyard, and this is a side view of the police investigating this issue.

The next photo over is a young lady strung out on drugs. I was coming home from the metro walking and she was in the middle of the street. I was thought she was going to get killed and was trying to commit suicide. But she was clearly strung out on something. Next slide.

just happened two months ago where officers came -- and this is in front of my house directly across where the proposed CBIF facility will be housed if you all approve their application. This is a police stakeout where our -- Olive Street, Quarles Street were all blocked off right in front of our house, the squat team was there and you can see this for yourself. Even in my backyard. husband and I were on the floor with our dogs, scared to death. Next slide. So all of these are just clear pictures of situations that we have encountered just recently. Next slide.

MR. BLUE: No, that one. Talk about the girl.

MS. BLUE: This woman is -- you can just see here that this woman is strung out on something. We called the police to get medical attention for her because we thought

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

that she was actually getting ready to die from a drug overdose.

Next slide. Okay. I quess that's it.

I also want to say real quickly that -- I don't have a picture of it because I wanted to save this, you know, not invade their person's privacy, but out of the four women that are staying there, there is a young lady who has continuously parked with her friends in front of our house where the cameras are so that she cannot be seen at 1614, where they sat in front of our house one day for three hours smoking marijuana. Then I guess after a certain time, I don't know if she had to be let -- was able to be let into the house, she went into the house.

But while they were there, the car -- the driver dropped dumped trash on the ground. My husband accidently -- if he could -- because we have a trash can, a communal trash can for everyone to dump their trash in if, he could pick up the trash. A few minutes later, we catch him, I guess he had to use the bathroom because he was sitting in the car for three hours, urinated in our neighbor's yard across the street.

On many occasions, we have seen men parked across the street in front of this facility just sitting there, it's off and on. In conclusion, again, we're not against women seeking rehabilitation. However, we do not think that this -- approval of this application should be approved.

MR. BLUE: And if I may interject. On May 3rd,

2.3

the same females was sitting on the porch of 1614 smoking
marijuana. Then they got into an argument. And they were
outside dancing, playing loud music and things of that nature.
So I mean this is what we can expect if they approve 1614
Olive Street.
MS. BLUE: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Thank you, all. And
really, thank you, all, for your testimony. I really
appreciate it. Okay. I'm going to ask if the Board
members I still got to get through not get through.
I still have to hear from Ms. Sanders and then Commissioner
Holmes. And then I don't know whether we're going to be able
to get everything through before we have to have lunch, but
I'm trying. I don't know if you all can bear with me.
So maybe all right. Do you all want to ask any
questions right now or you want me to go through all the
testimony and then you can ask your questions? I don't know
who just answered.
MS GIORDANO: Cynthia Giordano.
CHAIRPERSON HILL: Oh, sorry, I was talking
about I was talking to my board members. But
MS GIORDANO: Oh, I'm sorry.
CHAIRPERSON HILL: That's all right, Ms. Giordano.
Okay.
(Simultaneous speaking.)

1 ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: I have one question, but 2 I can wait until another time. 3 CHAIRPERSON HILL: No, go ahead then, Mr. Miller. ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Brown 4 5 and neighbors, I'll just say that, the Stecklows, the Blues, Thank you, all, for your testimony. 6 and I think I -- anyway. 7 I guess two questions, two quick questions. your testimony that says that 8 the part of neighborhood due to public safety concerns -- public safety 9 10 issues happening in the neighborhood including references to 11 illegal drug trafficking, or drug dealing, or drug use, or 12 whatever, and illegal prostitution activities, the part of 13 your testimony that says this is not a suitable neighborhood a community-based institutional 14 facility 15 returning women in this case. 16 I quess I just want to ask anyone of the party 17 opponents, is it suitable for you to live in? suitable for you to live in if it's not -- if it's not suitable 18 for returning citizens to live in if you can just be brief 19 20 and succinct as to why -- or what makes it suitable -- let 21 me put it this way. What makes it suitable for you to live 22 in? I think I would ask -- I would ask 2.3 MR. BROWN: 24 Talitha and Jennifer who are raising children and have a made

conscious decision to live there to answer that.

ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yes. 1 2 MS. BEVERLY: Yes. So --3 ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yeah, because I appreciate hearing that and -- but if you could keep it brief just since 4 5 we're running late. So I moved there mainly just 6 MS. BEVERLY: Sure. 7 to make sure that, one, I always wanted to live in D.C. 8 an affordable standpoint, I have a four bedroom, four bath 9 The neighborhood and the neighbors, especially in the home. 10 past two years, we have really joined, and I -- our kids play 11 with each other. We go to each other's birthday parties. 12 We have block parties. So the community is rich. And the safety aspect, the length of time I've lived 13 14 there, I have not had anything happen to me or my family. 15 There is a perimeter. I believe it is a blessed perimeter 16 that keeps us all safe. But there are people who pass through. And the last thing I want to say is most wards that 17 are not 7 and 8, they have transitioned just the way Ward 7 18 19 and Ward 8 are. And I believe in the next year or so, or maybe 20 -- hopefully sooner, that we'll see the transitions that the 21 rest of the wards have made. And that's my statement. My husband and I chose this location 22 MS. STECKLOW: 2.3 specifically because our son went to Kenilworth and Deanwood 24 summer programming. We originally are from Ward 6. And when 25 we were introduced just to this neighborhood, if you walk down

Olive Street, it's beautiful. There is houses, and when you walk down the street, even if they don't know you, they're going to say hello. Our neighbors are very friendly and open, and generous, and it's just a -- kind of a small community that my husband and I were looking for to raise our child.

And so given -- we understood the safety concerns. We understood the -- what the challenges are facing here in Ward 7 which is why we've become active members in the Deanwood Citizens Association. In fact, I am the chair of the public health and safety Committee and that's something that I took on because I believe in helping together, working as a community to rise everyone. To make everyone feel safe. So that's just my statement about why we choose to live here.

ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: Okay, thank you for that. One last question. Are there any conditions that -- or are there any measures that could be taken to mitigate the privacy concerns that you've outlined? I guess that Ms. Stecklow talked about -- and any other -- or any other who talked about the privacy, is there any measures that could be implemented at this point short of denial of the application entirely, which I understand your position to be. But is there any measures that could be taken to address your -- that would mitigate your privacy concerns? Anyone? Ms. Stecklow or anyone?

MS. STECKLOW: Well, for us I would say not having

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

1	a raised deck could be one of those options. But, again,
2	that's a concession that is only going to be made for myself
3	and my family. It's not going to work or speak to the 37 other
4	homeowners who have objections, who have written opposition
5	statements about that.
6	So regards to the, you know, we can't go back in
7	time when the plans were made in 2019 for a three story with
8	a cellar, building that had seven bedrooms and seven baths.
9	So the community has not been a part of it even from the very
10	beginning unless the homeowner decided that he wanted a
11	seven bedroom, seven bath home that he was going to build for
12	his family. Of course, we didn't know any of this at the time.
13	We just took it at the word of the of the owner
14	ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: Okay.
15	MS. STECKLOW: and the builder.
16	ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: Thank you.
17	MS. STECKLOW: So
18	ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: Thank you.
19	MS. STECKLOW: won't go back in time change
20	that, but I wish we could.
21	ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: Okay. Thank you. Thank
22	you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
23	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Let me see. Anybody got any
24	questions? All right. I'm going to go well, I'm going
25	to do this now. Ms. Giordano, do you have any questions?
	NEAL D. ODOGO

1	MS GIORDANO: I do not.
2	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Ms. Sanders, do you have
3	any questions? Commissioner Holmes, you have any questions?
4	MR. HOLMES: Not at this time.
5	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right. So, Ms.
6	Sanders, you want to give us your presentation? Oh, and by
7	the way, Ms. Giordano, can you hear me?
8	MS GIORDANO: Yes.
9	CHAIRPERSON HILL: I know you came on late to this,
10	but there's a lot of stuff that I think still needs to be done
11	with this. And so, you know, I'm just letting you know that
12	you might as well take notes, and, you know, your client's
13	going to have to do a lot of things I think at least to
14	get my vote like as to where they are with this, what's going
15	to happen with this to make me feel comfortable at this. I
16	don't know where the other board members are, and maybe they're
17	not with me, so we'll see where that goes later. But I'm just
18	saying you might as well take some notes.
19	MS GIORDANO: I hear you, and I hope that, you know,
20	we can do some
21	CHAIRPERSON HILL: That's okay. I got you.
22	MS GIORDANO: Right.
23	CHAIRPERSON HILL: So, Ms. Sanders, do you want
24	to give your presentation, or what would you like to testify?
25	MS. SANDERS: I can give a brief statement. I know

that we are -- we're very much focused on the time, so I'll be as brief as I can.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Thank you. Go ahead.

Good afternoon again, everyone. MS. SANDERS: My name is Jimell Sanders and I'm the president of the Deanwood Association. Citizens The DCA is an all-volunteer, neighborhood organization founded in 1912. Deanwood historically is a working-class neighborhood. The majority of our residents would be categorized as low-income.

We are a community that is knowledgeable of supportive housing. Many of our residents' history include incarceration, unstable housing as well as the best outcomes from structured, supportive housing and resources. We want the women to live in a location that aids in their recovery. We oppose this variance request due to its site selection. Placing this program on Olive Street, Northeast works directly against this effort.

The house sits one half block from Eastern Avenue, Northeast which currently serves as the primary human trafficking and drug trafficking stroll in Deanwood. Human traffickers and johns drive along Eastern Avenue all hours of the day looking to prey on others. The DCA does not want these residents re-traumatized. The program managers for this proposed project have a responsibility and obligation to ensure that their clients are housed well away from areas

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

of predation.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

We would welcome the opportunity to work with CFLS on finding other sites that provide better protection away from areas of high human and drug trafficking. You know, we're not against these type of facilities. We have them dispersed all throughout the neighborhood. What we are against is placing these women in close proximity to activities that is directly work against their recovery stabilization. So, again, thank you for hearing me this afternoon.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Great. Thank you. And I saw the chairman of the ANC at some point, but I'll get to you as well. Commissioner Holmes or Chairman Holmes, can you hear me?

MR. HOLMES: Right here.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: You want to give us your testimony please, sir?

MR. HOLMES: My testimony is the exact same as the letter of opposition that was submitted for our exhibit, so for the sake of time, unless you want me to read that for you, I will go ahead and thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: No, that's cool. I think we're going to have -- this is going to kicked back for a while anyway, so I think -- at least I know for me it's going to. Mr. Blake, you had a question?

Is anything you've heard in today's 1 MEMBER BLAKE: 2 testimony that changes your mind or helps you to better 3 understand what's going on? MR. HOLMES: Was that towards me, Commissioner 4 5 Blake? I'm sorry. 6 MEMBER BLAKE: It was, yes, yes. 7 MR. HOLMES: I have not heard of anything that's 8 changed in the discussion of how this was brought before ANC 7C about this location. 9 No. 10 MEMBER BLAKE: But has it changed your -- have you 11 heard anything today that caused you to be more comfortable 12 with the safety issues, operational issues, et cetera? 13 Anything like that? 14 MR. HOLMES: No. And, unfortunately, a part of 15 that conversation, it really does come down between the 16 community, the providers. Some of it does have to come through 17 A lot of these issues that have been happening, I have been saying these at a lot of the other hearings that we've 18 19 been going to, and I know it is outside of the purview of the 20 BZA on that, but those matters, especially when we're talking 21 about communities that were trying to go through of healing and recovery, we need to be honest about what these -- what's 22 2.3 going on at these locations and we're not trying to have this 24 issue be exacerbated. So, no, at this time, no.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1716 14th STREET, N.W., SUITE 200
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20009-4309

MEMBER BLAKE:

Okay, thank you.

MR. HOLMES: Thank you for asking. 1 2 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Mr. Miller? 3 ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yeah, a quick -- a question for Chair -- 7C Chair Holmes or for Ms. Sanders from Deanwood. 4 5 Was there a -- are you willing to -- haven't even asked the applicant at this point, but are you willing to engage with 6 7 some kind of mediator in attempt to resolve trust -- resolve 8 concerns recognizing that the lack of transparency, as you 9 cited, and the lack of community outreach has already created 10 this distrust? 11 But at this point, do you see any value in having 12 a mediator who could meet with some representative of the opposition, particularly ANC and Deanwood citizens and the 13 14 applicant, and try to see if there are any concerns which can 15 be mitigated short of denial? Or is it so unresolvable that 16 it's not -- you don't see a value in that at this point? 17 there's so much trust that's already been lost that you're not willing -- you don't want to start out -- you don't want 18 to have to be the one to -- do you see any value in having 19 20 a mediator? My concerns with, in terms of being 21 MS. SANDERS: 22 able to have a mediator where I really felt that this mediator 2.3 was neutral, I mean, again --24 Mediator would be what? ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: 25 In terms of the mediator who was MS. SANDERS:

actually coming from a neutral position because, again, the problem is that there was never a conversation about whether this proposed site was truly an appropriate site. And if we can't even move the conversation and start from that point, then I'm not really sure how much value there would be, in particular for the residents who live along Olive Street who would really have to be -- and when we -- you know, when everyone's talked about safety net.

But what I haven't heard in terms of the discussion, in terms of part of that safety net, would be the immediate residents. You know, everyone -- you know, the conversation, of course, is about the programming, but in terms of assisting with these women, it would also require the buy-in and support of the immediate neighbors.

And so in terms of a mediation that really truly considers also their points of view, I'm not sure how much value it would, you know, bring to the overall discussion. I mean if we could actually I mean truly start from the position is this, you know, and I think we've been clear, this is — this particular location, 1614 Olive Street, Northeast, is not appropriate considering the activity along Olive Street. Can we start from a point of let's actually look at some potential sites and go from there as far as places that would be more appropriate that would be further away from high-predation sites?

2.3

1	But I'm not sure in terms of how much, you know,
2	if we really could work to a point. Again, in particular,
3	the way this whole process has unfolded and continues to
4	unfold, I mean we're still I mean we're all still learning
5	new information that should have already been well
6	communicated throughout the community.
7	ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: Okay.
8	MS. SANDERS: And it hasn't happened.
9	ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: Thank you.
LO	MS. SANDERS: And so now putting the onus, you
L1	know, again, on the residents to kind of fix the process, you
L2	know, in terms of what really should have happened, you know,
L3	in terms of the applicant, you know, it hasn't. So I'm not,
L4	you know, to your question
L5	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Miss
L6	MS. SANDERS: Mr. Miller, I'm not sure if,
L7	again, if much value would
L8	(Simultaneous speaking.)
L9	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Your vote's a no. So you got
20	a no right now. But that's okay.
21	MS. SANDERS: Yes.
22	CHAIRPERSON HILL: That's okay. We'll see how it
23	goes. We'll see how it goes. Mr. Miller
24	ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: Was that a yes or no from
25	the ANC and from Mr. Brown just quickly? Just a yes or no.

1	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Commissioner Holmes?
2	MR. HOLMES: Again, no.
3	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Brown, you don't know.
4	Okay, I
5	MR. BROWN: My client's declined mediation before
6	
7	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Thank you.
8	MR. BROWN: before.
9	(Simultaneous speaking.)
10	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right, great.
11	Commissioner Hasan, can you hear me?
12	MR. HASAN: Yeah. Haven't been able to unmute,
13	but I am now. Can you all hear me clearly?
14	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yes, sir, Chairman.
15	MR. HASAN: Okay.
16	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Chairman, can you go ahead and
17	introduce yourself for the record please?
18	MR. HASAN: Yes. Absolutely. My name is Siraaj
19	Hasan. I am the chair of the Advisory Neighborhood Commission
20	7D.
21	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Great. Chairman Hasan,
22	welcome. If you could I know we have your report and
23	everything, and, again, I don't think you've been with us
24	before. I know that Chairman Holmes has. But if you have
25	an opportunity to give your presentation, or I should say

testimony presentation about this application, and we do have, you know, at least the vote and the record that you submit -- I'm sorry, the vote that the ANC has submitted in which you submitted into the record. Would you please like to go ahead and give your testimony?

And I'll be brief just in the MR. HASAN: Sure. interest of time. I've heard you mention that a few times. we've provided the ANC 7 D on September 25th at duly-noticed and special meeting with a quorum of six out of seven commissioners present, five voted against this So we submitted our letter in application with one yay. opposition, and I believe one of my neighbors there quoted -one of the quotes that I shared, and it, you know, these kinds of matters are very complicated because, you know, you know, it does tug at the heart strings that we all have to want to be part of a solution for returning citizens, especially women.

And sort of -- I'll be brief, you know, the challenge for me with this applicant was just the way that it was initially presented and proposed to the community. You know, you know, it's not an easy thing, I think that the organization, you know, are doing just a yeoman's effort to even take on a matter like this and work with citizens, returning citizens throughout the city. And, you know, I think that you have to be upfront and completely honest and transparent with the community so that you get that early buy

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

in, and perhaps some of that solutioning can take place early on.

Mr. Miller asked the question, you know, bringing in a facilitator or a mediator now, would that resolve, or alleviate, or mitigate some of the concerns, and, you know, my answer to that would be no because perhaps this, again, it's been stated a few times, this particular location would probably not make it on the list when the village and the community is working with the organization to try to identify a site that could be more suitable for a healthy programming for returning citizens. And so right off the bat, the site alone, you know, has quite a few cons against it, you know, cons versus pros, right?

And so, in addition to that, you know, if for whatever reason this site was determined to be the site, well, then, you know, let's start to talk about the facility in and of itself. I know that there are several neighbors that live on either side of the building and across the street. You know, this building towers over all the other homes. Well, for starters, you know, I wouldn't have approved that, you know, this should have only perhaps have been a two-story home, let alone three stories I believe is what it is.

And so, you know, there's -- at this juncture, there's a lot of decisions that were made that had you involved the community or been in on it on the front end, you know,

2.3

maybe you could have alleviated some of the concerns that were there from a programming standpoint, a site location, you know, the number of residents that would live there, you know, additional mitigation plans to be put in place for loitering and things like that.

Well, you know, we're running, we're operating, you know, and now we're going to the community to say, hey, you know, can we help us think about how to do this better.

And I think once you lose the trust, that's really hard to gain back.

Again, I commend the organization for all that you guys are doing, but, you know, as I stated back in September or October, you know, it's really unfortunate that you all approached this program that way because you did yourself a disserve by not bringing in the village and including the community. And I know, that's not a -- that's not an easy thing, right? You know, you're going to get a lot of noes up front just going with the mere perception of what this could be. And so that's where that early engagement is critical.

You know, having some one on one conversations with neighbors. And then bringing in and having a larger community meetings, and talk about the importance of the program and how you're going to address some of the issues. And you're doing this in conjunction with the community, you know, not trying to, you know, pull it in on the Trojan horse, you know,

2.3

in the middle of the night with hopefully no one noticing, and, you know, a year or two later, here we are and we've got this facility and these people here which could potentially even create even more problems and challenges.

So I, you know, you know, incarcerated women, you know, returning home, a huge priority. I value what -- I value the mission, you know, I value the organization. I value the effort. But I do not -- I do not agree with introducing something like this to the community and the way that it was done with little to no transparency. And, quite honestly, flat out lying to the neighbors.

I mean I, you know, when the neighbors asked what was the plan here, and to say that it was something that was completely untrue is -- I mean that's, you know, I don't know how you recover from that. You know, it'd be one thing if, you know, hey, we're building a facility, you know, for seniors and then, you know, you change and now it's going to be for women. Well, then, you know, at least I had some knowledge that this wasn't going to be a home with a -- with a family in it, right? You know, to go from, hey, I'm building a home for my family to, you know, now this is a CBIF, I think that's just bridge too far to try to make up that ground just because of, you know, the untruths that were told in the beginning and the lack of transparency.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: All right. Thank you,

2.3

1	Chairman.
2	MS. MCSWAIN: Can I make a comment because the
3	owner
4	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, you'll Ms. McSwain,
5	you'll
6	MS. MCSWAIN: Okay.
7	CHAIRPERSON HILL: you'll get a you'll get
8	a chance to ask questions if you like, but there's no comments.
9	But you'll get a chance to ask questions. And I guess you're
10	supposed to do it through your attorney.
11	MS. MCSWAIN: Okay, got it.
12	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Let's see. Oh, okay. I'm
13	going to get through all the testimony, then we can ask
14	questions. Mr. Young, is there anyone here wishing to
15	testify?
16	MR. YOUNG: Commissioner Douglas.
17	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Oh, yeah. Okay. Can you
18	bring in Commissioner Douglas? Commissioner, can you hear
19	me? Commissioner? Commissioner Douglas? Mr. Young, is it
20	muted?
21	MR. YOUNG: She is muted.
22	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Can you unmute her or
23	no?
24	MR. YOUNG: I can send her a request to unmute
25	(Simultaneous speaking.)

1	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Commissioner Douglas, can you
2	hear me? If you can, raise your hand. Oh.
3	MEMBER SMITH: She just called
4	(Simultaneous speaking.)
5	MS. DOUGLAS: I can hear you.
6	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, great. Can you hear me?
7	MS. DOUGLAS: Can you hear me?
8	CHAIRPERSON HILL: I can hear you. Yes.
9	MS. DOUGLAS: Okay.
10	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Could you introduce yourself
11	for the record please?
12	MS. DOUGLAS: Yes. I'm Commissioner Douglas,
13	Dorothy Douglas, ANC 7D03 commission and I just want to say
14	hello to everybody with a blessed and a wonderful day. Good
15	to see everybody, Mr. Hill.
16	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Thanks.
17	MS. DOUGLAS: I'm glad to see you. So
18	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Commissioner
19	MS. DOUGLAS: yes, I'm here. Yes, Dorothy
20	Douglas.
21	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Commissioner, you'll have
22	three minutes to give your testimony and you can begin whenever
23	you like. You're on mute again, Ms. Douglas. Commissioner,
24	you're on mute again.
25	MS. DOUGLAS: With no disrespect, sir.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Commissioner, can you hear me 1 2 now? 3 MS. DOUGLAS: Yes, sir, I can hear you. CHAIRPERSON HILL: You were -- I couldn't hear what 4 5 you just said. You were on mute. No, I'm unmute. Can you hear me? 6 MS. DOUGLAS: 7 CHAIRPERSON HILL: I can hear you now. 8 MS. DOUGLAS: Okay. I was saying Ι would 9 appreciate you give me five minutes like you give other five 10 minutes because I am an elected official, and it is referenced 11 to my ANC single member district. And I wanted to say in 12 reference to that, I heard mister -- our chairman regards for 13 notification because notification was given out according to our -- according to your guidelines once I learned of the 14 15 information to communicate. 16 And also I wanted to say, too, that the Deanwood 17 Citizens Associations non-profit, are is organization, and that they should be considered as a public 18 I'm an elected official and I should be acknowledged 19 witness. 20 for that because I give great weight and that would oversee 21 them. Number one, ask the question, did they haven the 22 2.3 Deanwood Citizen Association, I gave them a letter to speak? 24 And the same for at this hearing, the same ask for Mr. Holmes, 25 did the Deanwood -- did his association -- did the ANC 7C give

him permission to speak because they have to go through the body, and that -- I didn't hear that, and I know that I seen it in the paper.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Commissioner.

MS. DOUGLAS: Yes. I got you.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: So we went through the -- and I'm sorry, I'm just following my own rules also. I don't make up the rules. They went through the process of party status that you know when we did it the first time. So go ahead and give your testimony.

MS. DOUGLAS: Well, my testimony is that I am in support of these returning citizens to have a home, and I don't think we need to say that where we want somebody else to live because those days was back there then we couldn't have an opportunity. But the law say they have a right to live where they is.

And I know they have some disagreement with the owner in the beginning according to what they shared with me, but I didn't hear that from the owners so I can't speak on that and on what the owner shared with them. I was speaking on the process that they have a -- they have a right and an opportunity to be in this facility. And they have a right to be in any community and to accept them as well, because we all return citizen. I am in total support of that.

And I know there was a lack of communication and

2.3

a lack of some information that misguided, but I didn't mislead anybody. I followed all the procedure, I've always done that since '92 and I have not changed. And as soon as I received the information, I got it to the community and informed them and had a meeting. And also shared it with my chairman as well. So everybody knew, but not at the time they wanted, might have wanted to, but they knew when I knew.

So I'm just saying a lot -- and that's a lot of things that happened, not only with the ANC, but with the mayor. Sometimes things come late, don't have no control over. And, sir, we don't even have a computer, or in our officer, or anything function, and those could be another thing too, that could cause delay because we don't have all the things that are functioning to service the community that would be very helpful. And I just wanted to say that.

I am in support of and they have a right to be there, and I think they have -- that we should support those residents. And that just, you know, their -- prostitution starts from Sheriff Road up into Eastern Avenue. Prostitution been over there as I can remember since 1978 and even earlier than that. So you start at Holmes' ANC single member district, prostitution started down there.

My question, what have he done? He has not come before this ANC or the single member district, and even spoke or even say that they wanted to be involved and engaged. And

2.3

they have not since they -- since I can recall being the ANC or -- and also the president of Deanwood Citizen Association.

And I know what the process is and they did not follow their chain of command or their guide.

So I just want to say I am in support and I think the citizens should -- we could really -- the mayor already approved 300 beds right there down there off of Minnesota Avenue, so that -- making homes available for those coming home, too, some men and women. So these are people that have -- that lived there, even for a lot of the folks lived and their family lived there.

And I know we all have to live together and come together. So this is what I believe in and I trust in. We cannot treat one different than the other. We have to work together to find solutions how to work this out. Just to say to change something around and move this around and whatnot, that's unacceptable. It's really unacceptable. When nobody moved -- when nobody move you from out your house and tell you you can't move next door or can't buy a house here, or rent there or buy a car there.

So I think that's unfair, and untruthful, and unacceptable to the residents not only people with disabilities and also with returning citizens and everybody that's living across all eight Wards. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Thanks, Commissioner. It's

2.3

1	nice to see you. Thank you for your testimony.
2	MS. DOUGLAS: Thank you, too.
3	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Does the Board have any
4	questions for the witness? Okay. Mr. Brown, you got any
5	questions for the witness?
6	MR. BROWN: No questions.
7	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Hasan, you got any
8	questions?
9	MR. HASAN: No questions, thank you.
10	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Holmes?
11	MR. HOLMES: No questions.
12	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right. Oh, did I
13	get everybody? Oh, Ms. Sanders? Okay, thank you. All
14	right, Commissioner Douglas, thank you very much for your
15	testimony.
16	MS. DOUGLAS: Thank you so much.
17	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Take care now.
18	MS. DOUGLAS: Okay.
19	CHAIRPERSON HILL: All right, Mr. Young, if you
20	could excuse Commissioner Douglas please. All right. So
21	okay. I'm looking at my board members now. So, Ms. Giordano,
22	it's your application, so I'll let you have the last word.
23	But I think that I don't know, I'm kind of interested in,
24	I guess, giving it a shot and seeing if, you know, we I'd
25	even I'm looking at my fellow board members, like I'd even

be interested in maybe a continued hearing. You know, I don't know. That might be a little bit too much.

I'd like that -- I know Ms. Giordano has been before us before, and so I know that she can maybe see if she can reach out to the parties and see if there's anything that can or can't be done.

Ms. Giordano, my concerns thus far that I've heard, oddly enough, they kind of are kind of going to even to just kind of the adverse impact of the building itself, which is kind of interesting. Like I mean that building was obviously built by right, and, you know, there seems to be a tremendous amount of transparency that has not been -- it just hasn't been handled well. And so, you know, it hasn't been handled well at all.

And so, you know, if you think that there is a chance to go ahead and reach out to some of the, you know, you know who all the parties are and try to see if like, you know, the -- any kind of adverse impact with the deck, with the windows, with the hours of operation. Anything that might happen to make the community -- because really what, again, what we're charged, which is looking through the regulations.

And the first part of the regulations, as I stated with the Office of Planning, are really basic. It's like are things within 500 feet or are things within 1,000 feet. Then after that, you get to the general standards which, you know,

2.3

will not tend to adversely affect the use of neighboring property in accordance with the zoning regulations and the zoning map. And that's where it gets a little bit -- where it gets thrown into the Board's jurisdiction like us to determine what we think of that general standards criteria.

So I'm going to go around my board members and see if they have any thoughts about what I'm about to say. And then I'll end with Ms. Giordano giving some kind of a conclusion here on where we are. So on the table for my fellow board members is do you want to hear anything? Are you ready to vote now? You know, do you want to have a continued hearing? Do you just want to do a decision making and see what we get?

I guess we could say a decision making and see what we get -- see what we get and then determine whether or not we have a continued hearing based off of that. I don't know.

I'm going to start with Commissioner Miller on this one because he's the zoning commissioner and has done a lot of this stuff.

TC VICE CHAIR MILLER: Well, I don't know if that's -- well, thank you, Mr. Chairman. I would like to -- I think it would be -- I mean you can do whatever you want as chair in terms of what you think might be the best path forward because I respect your ability to have that done in that past and today.

But I think I -- I think it'd be helpful if we just

2.3

heard any rebuttal testimony from the applicant at this time rebutting anything that they want to rebut. And then we can maybe see where we go from there. And before we get to a closing, I think we -- I would like to hear rebuttal testimony. Not lengthy, but brief rebuttal testimony.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. So that's one. And I guess we'll get to -- we'll get to do that. Mr. Thornton, you'll get a chance. Mr. Blake?

MEMBER BLAKE: Yeah, I think the rebuttal testimony would be helpful. At this point, it seems like we have almost insurmountable adverse impacts. So I think that a -- that we -- a decision made today is pretty clear directionally where I think we end up. We got a lot of things, the adverse impacts are very difficult to deal with.

I would love to see the opportunity to have a dialogue between the applicant and the opposition parties to see if there's something that can be addressed. So rebuttal will be part of that, but also I would not mind having them take some time to see if they could work something out.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: All right. Ms. Giordano, you can get your thoughts together, it sounds like you're going to have rebuttal. And I apologize, that's what you're supposed to have. I just got -- this has gone on long so I got a little lost. Mr. Smith, you have comments?

MEMBER SMITH: It would be great to hear rebuttal.

2.3

But what I'm hearing is, you know, in the form adverse impacts is how has the applicant, in meeting with the -- both these ANCs, attempted to mitigate some of their concerns as it relates to nuisance crime -- or privacy, nuisance crime in the neighborhood. I haven't heard that.

What I've heard was the services that they -- that the applicant would provide to the residents of the halfway house, but how would you in these services or as a way to mitigate some of the concerns of the neighborhood, how are you implementing some security programs or programs that would try to address this nuisance crime that they believe may occur into your application.

So it would be great to hear more from them. And I'll highly recommend that the ANCs and the residents out there, it would be great to -- for you all to get together to try to discuss some of these concerns and figure out if there is, you know, some way that they can mitigate some of those concerns.

The property owner that owns the building, I'm not saying it could operate as a CBIF, but I mean as we heard from the Office of Planning, it could be a group home honestly. So it may not completely go away. So it would be great to hear, you know, for you all to have more discussions amongst yourselves about any programs that may arise whether we approve this or deny it. So I'll leave it at that. I welcome

2.3

rebuttal.

2.3

CHAIRPERSON HILL: All right. Ms. Giordano, do you have any rebuttal?

MS GIORDANO: I believe Ms. McSwain and maybe Ms. Horton said that they wanted to address some of these comments.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Ms. McSwain, you want to go ahead.

MS GIORDANO: And I would encourage Ms. McSwain to also distinguish between, you know, her organization and the owner, and where some of this disconnect occurred. I feel like they're being tarred and feathered with acts that are not theirs.

MS. MCSWAIN: Yeah, I would agree. We don't have any relationship to the owner prior to last year. So when the residents were informed by the owner about what his intentions were, we were not involved in that conversation. So if he changed his mind or if he even lied to them upfront, that has no relationship to our program. You know, we weren't a part of the conversation. We only came in last year when we were approached about this facility being available.

And so once we identified this facility, you know, we put together a plan to address the needs of these women that are coming home following a period of incarceration. And since we've moved women in, you know, we've, you know, they're clear that the residents don't want them there. And

so we've instituted programming to keep them active, to keep them busy, to keep them from hanging outside. I mean these are the things that we've done. But they have a right to go outside. They can sit outside and communicate. I mean it's not against the law for them to do so.

But the program is making all kinds of concessions to accommodate the concerns of the residents. At the end of the day, these women don't have anywhere to live. And this is an opportunity for them to not only have somewhere to live, but have a full wraparound supports so that they don't engage in the kinds of behaviors that are described by the residents.

It appears as though it doesn't matter what we do. The residents have said to us, we think it's a good idea, we just don't want it here. So mitigating this almost feels insurmountable given that it's not about the program, it's about we just don't want it -- we just don't want it here.

We have included every level of care that has been They have case management. They have jobs. requested. Thev have services once they leave because some of the questions, well, where are they going to go once they leave. We've got programs in place for them to go somewhere. We've got security We've got 24-hour staffing. There is nothing that cameras. the community has mentioned that we have not addressed through the programming. And so I'm not exactly sure what more can be done in order to overcome the residents' feelings that this

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

was not transparent.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

Soon as we were made aware of the resident opposition, we had community meetings. This has been going on for almost a year. We tried to reach out to do some negotiation, the residents did not respond. We've hired a community organizer to address some of the things that aren't our responsibility. We don't have any obligation or responsibility to the crime that existed before we got there.

But we hear the residents. We recognize their concerns, and so we have put into place supports that might mitigate some of their concerns. It just appears as though it doesn't matter what we say, they are not interested in us being there. Alicia.

MS. HORTON: We wholeheartedly -- and just to speak to the transparency. Once we became involved and identified this as an appropriate site that would suit the needs of this client community -- matter of fact, we -- there was an article that I think several of the residents responded to because we were not trying to be, you know, secretive about this.

There was an article where we mentioned that this program was happening, that this site had been identified. And that is when Ms. Stecklow reached out, and we had already been in contact with Dorothy Douglas. And we had set up some We continued to have meetings. meetings with them. We brought cadre of clients to share their in an entire

experiences in our other programs and the successes that they realized as a result of these programs. It was a very emotional meeting. I think -- I was hoping that people were engaged by what they heard.

So, yeah, I agree with Ashley. I'm not sure that I feel confident that there is any real solution that we can present, or offer, or engage in that will -- to make this community feel safer. We want to help, we want to be a part of the community, we want to mitigate the concerns. Nobody should have to live where -- in the conditions that they have described to Mr. Miller's point. But we want to be able to help address that and we have funding and are willing to bring in folks to help do that. So I don't know what more we can do.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Mr. Thornton, you had something to say?

MR. THORNTON: Chairman, I wanted to just kind of jump in. You know, a lot of the transparency that's been talked about, and even Chairman Hasan, he mentioned that on the meeting that we had with him -- with the full ANC, and it kind of stems down to I guess timing. You know, and I was the person that brought this project to Community Family Life Services, and it was based on the work that I know that they do, okay?

And when I became aware of the facility was December

2.3

2020. By then, there had already been conversations that I was unaware between the owner, the Stecklows, and other people within that community. When I became aware of that, I reached out to the Blues, all the proponents, personally -- went down personally to try to, you know, to try to talk with them, and I guess by then it was like you said, they pretty much began to accuse me as well of being part of the whole situation.

You know, the thing that I'd like to add, everyone is saying that they care about returning citizens, and they returning citizens. That's the problem, right? The crime that we — the crime that's there is there, right? For me, you know, this is really the discrimination that formally incarcerated have been, you know, getting from the various communities in Washington for quite some time now. All right?

I mean there are actually elected officials that come together, all right, I'm talking about ANCs, elected officials, they come together and overreach sometimes when they are aware that there is a possibility for a place for a person coming home from incarceration. This is all about the women and where they are coming from.

I mean some of the adverse, you know, issues that you've talked about in terms of the infrastructure, they can be dealt with. But this is more about where these women are coming from and who they are. These are mothers, daughters, sisters, nieces who -- that's what these safety net programs

2.3

are for, people who don't have a place to live. The crime has already been there. They're not bringing this crime. You know, not in with this.

You know, all these same -- some of the same ANCs was involved with the 300 bed facility that Ms. Douglas brought up, which is a halfway house for men. All right? And every one of them said we support smaller opportunities to provide housing, safety nets for men and women coming home. This is the opportunity. This is a smaller house. Let's mediate this. Let's see -- if you really supported that. It does not seem like it's supported, it's discrimination.

And my thing is who gets to say who can live next door to me. I was -- I mean we can -- this type of discrimination against people coming home from prison is no different if I made the decision that I didn't want you living on my street because you was gay, or I didn't want to live next door to you for whatever reason. So now -- we ought to knew, you know, this discrimination has got to end somewhere.

So, again, I wish there would be a way because the programs are strong, the services are strong. You know, everything is there that needs to be there. All right? The adverse conditions of the infrastructure, and they can be dealt with. But to just say we don't want you here, all right, and my interpretation of it and you really need to get real with it, is because these are women coming from prison, period.

2.3

1	It's because they're coming from prison, period. That's what
2	this all is about. And that's it for me, thanks.
3	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Hold on a second.
4	MS. STECKLOW: But you're benefitting from it
5	because you're getting paid.
6	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Hold on. Hold on. Hold on.
7	Hello, hello, hello. It's not a free for all, sorry.
8	MR. THORNTON: Don't heckle
9	(Simultaneous speaking.)
10	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Also, Mr. Thornton, you also
11	are done. So, Ms. Giordano, is that it for you guys?
12	MS GIORDANO: Just a few quick comments in closing.
13	CHAIRPERSON HILL: For rebuttal. On rebuttal.
14	MS GIORDANO: All right. So
15	CHAIRPERSON HILL: I don't know. I got to
16	before you get to rebuttal, I'm trying to remember if
17	(Simultaneous speaking.)
18	CHAIRPERSON HILL: I'm trying to remember whether
19	there's questions on rebuttal. And so, Ms. Nagelhout, are
20	you there?
21	MS. NAGELHOUT: I am.
22	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah. Are you do they have
23	a I forget if there's questions on rebuttal?
24	MS. NAGELHOUT: Yes. The Board should offer an
25	opportunity for cross-examination the materials presented

1	just during the rebuttal.
2	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. So, Mr. Brown, do you
3	have any questions or cross on rebuttal?
4	MR. BROWN: Just for Mr. Thornton.
5	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay.
6	ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: Mr. Chairman. Did
7	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah, sure, Mr. Miller.
8	ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: Mr. Chairman, I just want
9	to make sure that Ms. Giordano was through her rebuttal
10	testimony.
11	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Oh, I thank you, Commissioner.
12	I thought she said she was going to try to make a conclusion.
13	ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: Oh, okay. That's
14	CHAIRPERSON HILL: That's all right.
15	ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: Okay, yeah. Then all
16	right.
17	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Right. So you're done with the
18	rebuttal, is that correct?
19	MS GIORDANO: Yes.
20	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Okay. Mr. Brown?
21	MR. BROWN: Yes. Mr. Thornton.
22	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Oh, wait, hold on, Ms. Kearney,
23	you had your hand up again. Now what is it that you wanted
24	because you you had given your testimony?
25	MS. KEARNEY: I wanted to speak to some of the

things that Ms. Bullet brought up about, you know, the best practices and all those things related to, you know, crime in the area and bringing people returning from prison back to those areas. And there's also been research that says that even though, you know, people coming from these communities have, you know, they have a history of that and come back to these same communities.

These are where their social support systems are. This is where their social ties are. This is where they're familiar. So to displace to them another low-income, high-crime area, it's just displacing their problem to -- they can't even live in D.C. anymore where the benefits and social programs are that they need.

And having these spaces in, you know, high-income areas facilities doesn't really make a difficult either because they can't afford to live in those areas because there is people that don't want them in their communities. So it continues a cycle of displacing these people that need services outside of the community. And that's why you have these issues with people returning home being sent to Delaware, being sent to Baltimore, being sent to all these places and they can't receive the benefits of living in D.C. And that's why we have these issues of things being sent to the periphery, you know, in southeast in Ward 7 and Ward 8.

And I'm looking at this structurally. So if you

2.3

1	look at it structurally understanding the patterns of where
2	people are returning from in D.C., and that's Ward 7 and Ward
3	8, and they're not even able to live in the higher-income areas
4	because of development and higher cost of living, pushing or
5	continue to pushing them outside the city is just going to
6	make the problem even worse and they're going to be higher
7	rates of homelessness, higher rates of crime recidivism.
8	So I just want to highlight that. And also
9	highlight the fact that the community hasn't even given
10	Community Family Life Services or Thrive a chance. Give them
11	a chance
12	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Okay.
13	MS. KEARNEY: before completely just dismiss
14	everything. So that's what I wanted to say.
15	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right. I think you
16	all know where we are and where we're going, so, Mr. Brown,
17	can we do this fast?
18	MR. BROWN: Yes.
19	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Go ahead, Mr. Brown.
20	MR. BROWN: Yes. Mr. Thornton, did you hear
21	testimony
22	CHAIRPERSON HILL: This is question on rebuttal.
23	Go ahead, Mr. Brown.
24	MR. BROWN: Mr. Thornton, did you hear the
25	testimony from my clients regarding statements you made to

1	them that you were building this structure as a home for your
2	family?
3	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Now, Mr. Brown, this is where
4	I get confused. He had rebuttal and he didn't have any
5	rebuttal for what he didn't have any rebuttal on what you
6	just asked about. And so, Ms. Nagelhout, I'm going to try
7	to understand this because I want to understand this. It's
8	only questions on what Mr. Thornton just said during rebuttal,
9	correct? Right.
10	So, Mr. Brown, if Mr. Thornton didn't just say it,
11	that means you can't ask questions about it. So if you
12	remember what he just said, if you got any questions about
13	what he just said, you can ask any questions about what he
14	just said.
15	MR. BROWN: So his testimony was that never
16	mind. I'm not going to I'm not going to belabor the point.
17	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay.
18	MR. BROWN: I'm done.
19	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right.
20	MR. THORNTON: Mr. Chairman, I just want to be
21	clear
22	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Thornton, it's okay, Mr.
23	Thornton, I don't we're just I'm trying to get through
24	this day. So
25	MR. THORNTON: He was asking about me building the

1	house. I did not build the house. I just want to be clear
2	on that.
3	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Got you. Thank you,
4	right. Mr. Thornton, again, your role in this is now I'm
5	asking a question and I'm allowed to. Your role in this is
6	what again?
7	MR. THORNTON: So or actually I don't role have
8	in it. I was the person that brought this to Community Family
9	Life Services as being a possible location for their services.
10	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Right. You don't own the
11	building.
12	MR. THORNTON: No, sir.
13	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, got you. All right.
14	Let's see. So I got okay, I did that. Ms. Sanders, do
15	you have any questions on rebuttal? Chairman Holmes?
16	MR. HOLMES: No.
17	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Chair and I'm looking
18	for you, is it Hasan, and I'm sorry if I mispronouncing it.
19	MR. HASAN: Hasan is correct. I guess just one
20	clarifying question to Mr. Thornton because we've already met.
21	We've already, you know, you all attended our ANC meeting
22	back in the fall. And from what I recall as I'm going back
23	and I'm looking over the letter that we wrote, there was a
24	lot of discussion between Mr. Thornton and the neighbors.

And that's -- so I am -- I'm a little confused here

1	now today because I recall there being quite a few folks that
2	have said that Mr. Thornton himself talked to the neighbors,
3	and we even discussed that at the time about, you know, the
4	information about what that facility was going to be. And
5	that really was a large part of the basis for our decision
6	did involve Mr. Thornton. And so we're talking about this
7	builder and the owner, and things like that, those things
8	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Chairman Hasan Chairman
9	Hasan, I'm sorry, I'm just trying to and you know you got
10	to do this stuff also in your ANC meeting. So what's your
11	question for Mr. Thornton in terms of in terms of what he
12	just said in rebuttal?
13	MR. HASAN: Yep. So the question is Mr. Thornton
14	stated that he was not the one that made the comments, any
15	misleading comments to the residents. And that's what I
16	heard, and that wasn't what we discovered
17	CHAIRPERSON HILL: So is there a question in there?
18	MR. HASAN: The question is
19	MR. THORNTON: I took it as a question.
20	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. What's the question
21	because I don't know the question?
22	MR. THORNTON: I'm on what I'm hearing you ask
23	me
24	MR. HASAN: Well, if I could ask the yeah, the
25	question was I'll ask specifically did Mr. Thornton have,

in his engagements with the community and the neighbors that live there, did he share with them the plans for that facility, plans being what the plans were going to be for that particular location?

MR. THORNTON: And the answer is absolutely, that was my purpose with meeting with those residents. That was the purpose for continuously trying to reach out to the next door neighbor. You know, Mr. Blue, we met. He came into the facility. You know, we talked and I explained to him Community Family Life Services, why they were one of the best groups to support women, and why, you know, they should give them a chance.

So every inch of the way, you know, from personally meeting with the Blues, coming down there -- one of the meetings they had they threw me out of, all right, and told me that they didn't want to hear from me. You know, and all of that, you know, again, was so that, you know, all I was trying to do was let them know who Community Family Life Services was, what their service was, and myself as an expert.

You know, I am a reentry. I came home through the same process. You know, and I was trying to allow them to see that I believe in this and that it works. So I mean that's, you know, a lot of what they said is misleading. It was misleading to you all when you all made your decision, but gave you the impression that there was no transparency, none

2.3

1 of these things happened, and it was not true. 2 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Mr. Thornton, thanks so 3 All right, any more questions, Mr. Chairman? You know, we had these conversations 4 MR. HASAN: 5 back in September and October when it was a smaller group. And, you know, this, you know, a couple -- many months have 6 7 passed and this doesn't seem to be -- it seems to be just a 8 different -- getting different feedback now. So that -- we 9 talked about this already. 10 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Okay. (Simultaneous speaking.) 11 12 MR. HASAN: So --13 CHAIRPERSON HILL: All right. Ms. Giordano, do 14 you have any closing comments before we ask about what we want? 15 Ms. Giordano, are you on mute? Saying that, you know, I've been 16 MS GIORDANO: 17 involved in a lot of tough cases. I knew this was a tough case, and I'm doing this pro bono because I too believe in 18 19 these kind of programs and the need for them. And we 20 understand that anybody would feel concern about this kind of facility on their block, and it really is the intention 21 22 of Community Family Life Services and Thrive to ameliorate They want for their residents and for the 2.3 those concerns. 24 community at large for this to work out.

And I think I just want to echo what others have

said, you know, give them a chance. And in a number of other cases that I've worked on where there was a lot of community opposition, one solution was to do a limited time type approval, come back in three years and let us know how it's going, and you'll have to renew it. If it's not going well, you know, we could deny it at that point.

Additionally, I think conditions around some of the types of issues that have come up can be drafted, and I'd be happy to do that. But it seems that that's really the only way to go here because the other side just really isn't willing to, I mean by their own admission, to consider, you know, this location. I think maybe with the help from the Chair, they could get there if they understood that, you know, it's a possibility that the Board might approve this with appropriate conditions and a limited timeframe.

All CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. right, Ms. Giordano, so this is -- I mean when this whole thing started, I was thinking about a limited timeframe, right, the three to five years if we did do something like that. There's a lot going on in this case. This has taken now, gosh, four hours I think which is quite long for something that's not And there's a lot of information that the Board has been given. And I think that I am going to ask for my fellow board members for their help in terms of what we should maybe do.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

1	I definitely would like to see your client, Ms.
2	Giordano, go and reach out to the ANC, reach out to the other
3	parties that are on this call, and see if there is anything
4	with either right, as you mentioned, conditions. There's
5	been a lot of discussion about I mean even from our
6	standpoint, when we've approved facilities such as this,
7	there's been a lot of like, you know, this is exactly how they
8	kind of work and function.
9	Ms. Horton, can you hear me? There was something
10	you had mentioned, you got money for something to do, a study
11	of some kind?
12	MS. HORTON: No, no. A community building project
13	where we want to bring in a consultant, a community organizing
14	consultant to help us engage the community, address some of
15	the issues that they have brought to bear, and to build some
16	bridges.
17	CHAIRPERSON HILL: When does that happen, or who
18	is that?
19	MS. HORTON: We are in discussions with a
20	consultant right now. We just got this funding a few weeks
21	ago.
22	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Got it.
23	MS. HORTON: So we're just we're building it
24	out.
25	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Got it. So, Ms. Giordano, that

could be another tool in your toolbox I guess when you discuss things with the ANC. I know that, again, I'll give my standpoint, then I'm going to go around the table. I'm going to start with you, Commissioner Miller, again, because you're -- you hear bigger projects sometimes than we do even though this is something.

You know, as Mr. Thornton did mention, you know, this is a smaller facility which is what we've also heard the communities on large would like to see. And so I do think that, as I mentioned before, this just hadn't been approached properly. That's not to say that people are going to sign on to it or not. I don't know.

But I would agree that everyone who is here, at least I think truthfully, would like to see something like this succeed. It's just a matter of whether or not you can make the community comfortable with what your plan is I think. And whether or not it came in late in the game, or, you know, it's where you are now.

And to what Board Member Smith mentioned, you know, something's going to be -- like something's going to be here with six people. So like you'll at least have six people there, and the same six -- the exact same issues that you're going to have with 12, you're going to have with six. So you might as well go ahead and try to negotiate some things that'll happen so that you can get whatever you can get with the 12

2.3

as opposed to the six. I don't know. I mean I'm just kind of throwing that out as a reality.

And so I would like to see -- I guess just kind of leave it open and I'll kind of look to my board members, leave it open and either set a date or, you know, it's going to be kind of far out. Like set a date for people to kind of talk and get something into the record, and then maybe we'll have a continued hearing which I don't know whether it would be necessarily fruitful because we don't want to just go around and around the table again, right?

But we could see what we get, and then if we wanted a continued hearing, we could have a continued hearing. That's kind of where I'm leaning in terms of get writings from the -- responses from all the parties after they've had a chance to talk. Commissioner Miller, do you have thoughts?

ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yeah, Mr. Chairman, thank you. I think that's an approach that is worth trying even at this late day and even though what's happened in the past has happened in the past, and the trust has broken down. But the applicant -- I just want to point out, on that point of making an effort to -- an effort with a community organizing consultant or some kind of mediator facilitator would be a useful exercise to at least attempt to begin to have and see if it's worth pursuing.

We do have a lot of contentious -- or we have a

2.3

fair number of contentious cases at the Zoning Commission.

I know the mediation facilitation route has worked following a public hearing at which there was a lot of opposition, you know, and a few -- and a couple college and university campus plan cases. And not to be promoting any one mediator facilitator, which Don Edwards Justice and Sustainability group just happened to be the facilitator mediator who successfully -- not in every case, but in many cases -- has

brought the parties together.

So I think it's -- I would -- oh, so I wanted to just say that the ANC 7D letter, I want to -- in their last -- in opposing the application five to one, but they did say it's unfortunate and they were recalled, they -- the sentence that says it's unfortunate, and they're talking about the miscommunication and the lack of transparency.

"It's unfortunate, but we believe as we believe programs like this are much needed, but in order to be successful, the applicant should reconsider their approach and aim to be a true and forthcoming community partner." So that's the ANC's position that the applicant should reconsider their approach and aim to be a true and forthcoming community partner. And I think the applicant has offered to, at this late day, to bring in somebody who maybe the ANC could agree with as a neutral mediator facilitator, and try to be the community partner that the ANC 7D was referring to.

2.3

And I think regardless of whether that works or not I think, Ms. Giordano should go ahead and draft up conditions which could possibly mitigate and get some reaction from the ANCs whether or not we have that facilitated community organized process or not.

And we also had requested in terms of information or next steps, we had asked for additional information from the Office of Planning on the location of other CBIFs in the area, and maybe other facilities that used to be classified as residential -- community residence facilities whether they're medical facilities, or health facilities, or group homes just so we can get a sense of the concern about over concentration even though the only over-concentration criteria that we had is the CBIF, actual definition of CBIF within 1,000 feet.

question, but, yes, I think it would be worthwhile, not to put it out a long time because this case has been around a long time. I think it needs — tries to — need to try to get to resolution one way or the other. But I don't — I am not comfortable with voting on it today. I would like to see the information we requested and this effort. One, this effort made for a facilitated discussion with the community where people go in with an open mind, perhaps try to go in with an open mind despite the what's happened in the past to

2.3

try to see if what can be mitigated as my fellow colleagues have said. Thanks.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Thank you. Mr. Smith.

Yeah. That everyone has said, I MEMBER SMITH: would like to just leave it open. Not too, you know, not too But I do want to see this discussion happen amongst long. the community and the applicant. And, you know, as I stated, and as you heard from the Office of Planning, it could very well be something here that has no more than six. So just because we may deny this doesn't mean that, you know, the owner decides to sell it as a single family house. That may not happen.

I will say that as the community has stated, there is great value to this particular program, the community stated it, they support these types of wraparound services, but they have some concern that it shouldn't be here. I understand their concern, but the flip of this is that -- and I believe that Ms. McSwain stated this, and this could be based off of her own knowledge of operating these types of facilities throughout the city, many of the residents that she see in her facilities come from Ward 7 and 8.

So putting them, you know, and I understand the concern of, you know, not -- maybe not having them here and putting them somewhere else with -- putting these types of facilities somewhere else in the city. What justice does that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

serve to put residents who are from Ward 7 and 8 all the way up on the other side of Rock Creek Park away from their residence -- I mean away from their family members, away from their support, their support systems? So I understand the other side of this coin. So I think there may be -- I welcome some type of dialogue between the residents and the applicant on this particular case.

To the applicant's point, I think it would be better served if there is more discussion on the types of conditions. I think Ms. Giordano is correct. We need to have -- there needs -- the community needs to see conditions, and I don't mean, and, you know, there was some discussion about how you're going to programmatically address some of the concerns.

I don't think it needs to be in the program. It needs to be some of that -- some of those programmatic steps that you stated such as the sign-in, sign-out program, needs to be upfront in a series of conditions, especially if I think the way that we're going to go with this if it is approved, is that there will be some type of sunset. So there will give the ANC's measures to point to for them to be able to measure success.

So is the -- maybe some condition about sign-in/sign-out. There may be a condition, and, you know, I'm just throwing this out here, maybe quiet hours. Maybe there is a time that there's a reduced level of activity between

2.3

hours X -- I'll say 10:00, I'll throw it out there to 7:00 o'clock in the morning. Quiet hours or something like that. I will also welcome some type of condition where there is some kind of neighborhood liaison between the applicant. And I want additional clarification on who the applicant is, Mr. Thornton, I'm still not clear on what your role is in this now.

But on the part of the applicant, but we will be conditioning this to the applicant. They will be required to have a neighborhood liaison with both of the ANCs to address any concerns that they may -- that may arise within this five-year timeframe. And also probably within that condition is the house rules that you are programmatically saying exist need to be available at all times for the ANC for them to be aware of what those house rules are. And, you know, and also it probably is beneficial to you so that they are aware of the program requirements and you have additional eyes on the street so that this particular program can be very successful. would welcome that. I welcome the drafting of conditions.

And also Mr. Miller's statement, we need some additional information from the Office of Planning. I'm not content that they don't definitively know whether there are other facilities of this nature within the neighborhood or within the 1,000 feet or 500 feet. That did not -- as a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

1	planner, I'm shocked to hear the planner another planner
2	say they don't know. And this is their particular case. It
3	may not be within the Office of Planning, but to me is the
4	responsibility of them to bring that information to us. So
5	I welcome that additional information from the Office of
6	Planning, and we can push this out to give that additional
7	dialogue time for dialogue. So I'm done talking.
8	MS. MCSWAIN: Am I allowed to ask a question?
9	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Who is that?
10	MEMBER SMITH: Sure.
11	MS. MCSWAIN: Okay.
12	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Who just spoke?
13	MEMBER SMITH: Ms. McSwain.
14	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Oh. Ms. McSwain, give me a
15	second first, okay? Go ahead, and if you want to and in
16	your in answering your question, the question is, no, you're
17	not allowed to answer a question.
18	MS. MCSWAIN: Okay.
19	CHAIRPERSON HILL: And I will let you ask the
20	question. We'll just figure this out. Okay? Mr. Blake, go
21	ahead.
22	MEMBER BLAKE: Well, after that comment from Board
23	Member Smith, there's not a lot else to be said. He hit the
24	nail on the head with that. I mean this is a good cause, this
25	is a credible operator, but there is bad blood.

I don't think this is a binary decision. In this case, it's an issue of scale and it -- they can exist with six, and there can be a lot of other ones just like it with six. It could be worse. It could be a group home with a bunch of very loud, you know, partiers. There are issues of concern no matter what.

For me I see issues with loitering, the alley activity. I didn't feel comfortable with those two things. That's something that -- there needs to be some issues to try to address that. One of the issues about being in your own community is a lot of people come away. I can go by and visit with them right now. Well, this may not be the ideal place for you to come within a range of this place at all. And sitting in the car and getting high is not exactly my idea of a good way to visit. It is a bad habit being continued.

As far as the location itself is concerned, you're right, it's tough to be in a tough area like that, but, you know, it sounds like trying to program a person's mind, which is what this -- they do.

MS GIORDANO: Oh, again. Okay. Don't --

CHAIRPERSON HILL: No, that's all right. Ms. Giordano, you need to mute. Sorry.

MEMBER BLAKE: If you can program a person's mind to work effectively in the community in which they're in is actually a good strategy. I mean you're going to have to fight

2.3

these demons at some point, you might as well be in the environment so you can do that. So I'm -- and this is not an area of expertise for me so I'm not going to address it like that. But I do think there is some credible -- something's credible in here.

But, anyway, even if it's not this location, some thought does need to be given to what conditions would be acceptable because this service is needed, these are our folks. We are the village and that's what it takes. It takes a village to make this work. So we have to think about how as a village we can make this work because we do need to have it. So I agree with all the statements about taking some time, let's figure it out. They're going to continue to exist the way they are. We have time.

Okay. CHAIRPERSON HILL: Ms. Giordano, I'm going to give -- just so -- I know you're taking notes. You know, again, I had mentioned that alley. I was curious about the There is, you know, there was some comments about loitering. There's now been the thing about quiet hours. I don't know. Just some kind of a discussion I guess with the conditions and a sunset period. I actually don't know So it is worthwhile where the Board is on this, you know? to have a discussion, and I'm going to -- and I'm going to ask the chairman a question in a second. But, Ms. McSwain, you had a question.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

1	MS. MCSWAIN: I just had a quick question which
2	is if we're asked to engage the residents and they are not
3	willing to engage us in good faith, what is it that we are
4	then asked to do?
5	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. So that's a good
6	question. So, Ms. McSwain, basically you guys have to
7	convince the Board, right? We're the ones that have to be
8	convinced. If you say that these are all the conditions that
9	we think mitigate all of the issues that just got whatever
10	and then there's something that there's a sunset period that
11	we are comfortable with, and the Board is comfortable with
12	the regulations being filled and comfortable with this, we
13	can vote. I mean that's who has to eventually end with it.
14	And what again, I appreciate Mr. Smith bringing
15	this to my attention again and continue is that six can be
16	there anyway. So, again, the difference between six and 12,
17	it's not really a lot, right? So there is six
18	MEMBER SMITH: Six
19	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Right. Six with no
20	conditions.
21	MEMBER SMITH: Six with no conditions
22	neighborhood.
23	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Right. So there is incentive
24	to work together to try to get some conditions because then
25	lyou got conditions with 12 as opposed to no conditions with

1	six. So, Chairman, Hanson, are you there? Hasan, Hasan,
2	sorry.
3	MR. HASAN: Hello, Mr. Chairman, I'm here. I'm
4	juggling a work call, but
5	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. When do you guys meet
6	next? When do you guys meet next? When are you when you're
7	meeting?
8	MR. HASAN: Let me look at the calendar real quick.
9	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yep. The Chairman's juggling
10	a work call. Oh, like somebody's got a job. Right, there's
11	people working.
12	MR. HASAN: Yeah. I believe our next meeting is
13	the 14th.
14	CHAIRPERSON HILL: The 14th of June.
15	MR. HASAN: Yeah.
16	CHAIRPERSON HILL: So you meet the second Tuesday
17	of the month then I'm going to guess. So then your next meeting
18	would be July 12th. You got the 14th and you got the 12th.
19	So, okay, let's see, you got the 14th, you I think it's
20	going to take longer than the 14th. So I don't know, Ms.
21	Giordano, can you hear me?
22	MS GIORDANO: Yes.
23	CHAIRPERSON HILL: I mean you can help me figure
24	this out also. Like I mean the 14th is only one week, two
25	weeks I guess it's four weeks away and you'd have to see

Τ	whether you could get on the calendar or not with the but
2	maybe you can get something going you think you can get
3	something going by the 14th of June?
4	MS GIORDANO: Yeah. I mean I think there is
5	obviously an urgent need here and I'm certainly willing to
6	do the conditions within that timeframe, and if the ANC is
7	willing to entertain us, I think we can make some progress.
8	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Well, I'll just do this.
9	I mean, Chairman Hasan, are you at least willing to talk with
10	the applicant?
11	MR. HASAN: Absolutely. You know, I think it
12	and for starters, it'd be good to get a little more clarity
13	on who's who in the zoo. So I absolutely look forward to
14	talking with the applicant again.
15	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. So, Ms. Giordano, since
16	you're doing this pro bono, we're going to use all your pro
17	bono hours in one shot. And you're going to go you're going
18	to contact Chairman Hasan, and you're going to go ahead and
19	see how this goes, okay?
20	MS GIORDANO: Okay.
21	CHAIRPERSON HILL: And then you're going to
22	let's say that you get something to us by the 10th of June.
23	Okay?
24	MS GIORDANO: Okay.
25	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Because that means you're going

1	to present hopefully the Chairman will let you present on
2	the 14th of June, okay, meaning you get us something to look
3	at. Whatever you're going to present to the ANC, you present
4	to them on the 14th, and we'll get to take a look at it on
5	the 10th of June.
6	MS GIORDANO: Okay.
7	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay?
8	MS GIORDANO: All right.
9	CHAIRPERSON HILL: And then, Mr. Moy, I know we
10	are kind of following along here, then if they meet if the
11	ANC meets on the 14th, Chairman Hasan, if you can get us
12	something I mean I don't know if you can get them on the
13	14th or not. If you can't, I'm not saying you can figure
14	this out yourself, but maybe you can give us something if
15	they do speak on the 14th, by the 17th of June, is that fair,
16	sir?
17	MR. HASAN: Yeah, Mr. Chairman, I think that's
18	fair.
19	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay.
20	MR. HASAN: I think we'll be able to make that work.
21	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Then, Mr. Moy, if we get
22	something from the ANC on the 17th of June, we can have a
23	decision on the 22nd of June. Mr. Moy, are you there? Which
24	is two days before my birthday.

MR. MOY:

25

June 22nd, it's possible. So responses

1	to the applicant's filing from the ANC due by June 17th. Would
2	you also want to consider a supplemental filing from the
3	do you need that from the Office of Planning?
4	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah, yeah. We need Office
5	of Planning, if we can get something to you, if you can also
6	is the 10th also fine, or any earlier I don't know, Ms.
7	Brown-Roberts, I didn't even remember who was in it's been
8	so long ago.
9	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Yes, Mr. chairman.
10	CHAIRPERSON HILL: When do you think you can get
11	us the information? Is the 10th okay? June 10th?
12	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Yes, Mr. Chairman.
13	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Or is that helpful to the
14	applicant at all? I mean can you get it to us by June 3rd?
15	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: I should be able to do that,
16	yes.
17	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. So if the Office of
18	Planning can give us their supplemental report by June 3rd,
19	which includes if there are any other CBIFs, and I don't know,
20	Mister whatever Mr. Miller just clarified. Commissioner
21	Miller, can you clarify what you wanted?
22	ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: Well, the CBIF, definitely
23	we want that. I also because there are other facilities
24	such as group homes, and healthcare facilities, and substance
25	abuse recovery homes, because

1	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Mister
2	(Simultaneous speaking.)
3	ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: whatever the Office of
4	Planning has access to that they can provide us as to what's
5	located in the area, even though there are they are permitted
6	with sometimes with special exceptions if it doesn't meet
7	the certain size or whatever criteria, I think it'd be helpful
8	to they are permitted a special exception in the R-2 zone.
9	But so they're there, and so I just want to know what's
10	what is there other than the single family homes in the $R-2$
11	zone.
12	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Mr. Miller?
13	ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: Ms. Brown-Roberts?
14	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Yes. I just wanted to let you
15	know that for, you know, facilities under six persons, we would
16	not have that information because they're listed as
17	households.
18	ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: Okay. Whatever you have
19	that
20	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Okay.
21	ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: is the most up to date.
22	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Just wanted to clarify
23	yeah, I just wanted to clarify that.
24	ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: Okay. And understanding
25	the privacy concerns that you articulated earlier. Just

whatever you have, that's more -- a little bit more information 1 2 as to what's located in the area through whatever sources, 3 and you can let us know what the sources are, it would be helpful 4 to have. 5 MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Okay, no problem. 6 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. And, yeah, I mean we 7 just need numbers. We don't even need a map or locations or 8 anything. So --9 MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Okay. 10 CHAIRPERSON HILL: -- the third, we'll get that 11 from the Office of Planning by the 3rd. We'll get whatever, 12 Ms. Giordano, you're actually able to organize by the 10th, and that's after talking to people. At least you put your 13 best foot forward for the Board to look at something on the 14 15 10th. Okay, Ms. Giordano? 16 And then you guys vote, Commissioner Hasan if they 17 If they can speak on the 14th, Chairman Hasan, if you can get them on there, then at least we can hear what 18 19 you guys think about what they're proposing by the 17th. 20 it may be a no. Like I'm not saying it's, you know, we're just trying to do something, right? 21 And, again, chairman 22 Hasan, you do understand that they can do six on their own 2.3 right now, right? 24 So then we'll get whatever you give us on the 17th.

And then we'll vote on the 22nd, and/or if the Board thinks

3 on the 22nd for now. Mr. Chairman, if I can amend your 4 MR. MOY: 5 timeline a little bit for clarification. So responses, June 17th from the ANC. Would that also include the responses from 6 7 all the parties? 8 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah. Yes, please. 9 MR. MOY: Okay. That's all I have. 10 MS. BEVERLY: May I ask a question please? This 11 is Talitha Beverly? 12 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Oh, sure, go ahead, Ms. 13 Beverly. So I just want to express the concern 14 MS. BEVERLY: 15 with the homeowners that have hired an attorney. We do not 16 have a pro bono attorney. I wish that were the case. We 17 We paid Mr. Brown, and he's a great attorney, we're The reason that we have Mr. Brown is because 18 happy to have him. 19 there was no bridge or no one was taking us seriously when 20 we wanted to express what was going on. So the extension and 21 the continuation actually costs us our time and our well-earned Even now I can't work because I'm here. 22 2.3 So Ι just want -- and please take that 24 consideration because I feel like the last three hearings have 25 cost us time and money, and specifically myself, the Blues,

we need to do a supplemental hearing or a continued hearing,

we can reopen it. But we'll leave it, Mr. Moy, for a vote

1

1	and Ms. Stecklow and the Stecklows. Excuse me. So I just
2	think that for equity and fairness, not unless one of the
3	negotiation tactics is for their grant money to pay for our
4	attorney, which I think is a great concession, that that could
5	be prat of the solution.
6	I would like to have all of this resolved and so
7	that we can move forward. So please take that in consideration
8	because this has been going on for a year and we've been paying
9	really good money for a year. So please
10	CHAIRPERSON HILL: No, I got that. I appreciate
11	that, Mr. Beverly Ms. Beverly. I'm sorry. Like this is
12	the only process that I have and like we're not, you know,
13	we can't vote on it right now. So this is the best way that
14	I got to where but I appreciate it. So that means that
15	(Simultaneous speaking.)
16	MS. BEVERLY: So I'd like to ask formally if the
17	concession is that some of the grant money pays for our attorney
18	so that every
19	CHAIRPERSON HILL: I don't I mean
20	(Simultaneous speaking.)
21	MS. BEVERLY: one comes together.
22	CHAIRPERSON HILL: You can talk to you can talk
23	to Ms. Giordano. I don't know whether they'll get to that
24	point. Or you can ask Mr. Brown to do some pro bono work,
25	you know? And so maybe that would be an easier thing, Mr.

Brown, than trying to squeeze money out of somebody else's grant. But so --

MS. MCSWAIN: Somebody else that opposes us.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: I'll try to go ahead and, you know, Ms. Beverly, you look like you're have a funny face there.

What I'm saying is --

MS. BEVERLY: Yeah, I'm just curious why that answer came where it did. I know that this has been a prolonged process. I'd like to make sure that we, from an equitable standpoint, we paid really good money, we earn our money and this is coming from a non-profit that's getting grant money from our tax money to make sure that this can happen. So I'm just -- I just want to -- I just want some equitable -- equity. That's all.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: No, Ms. Beverly, I didn't mean I was saying that I don't think that -- I to be flippant. can't speak to that was what I'm trying to say, right? I don't know -- I can't -- that's something that's way out of my world, right? Like I don't have any responsibility for somebody's grant money is what I was trying to get at. Like I don't even know what grant money exists. That's what I -that's how far out of this discussion I am, right? I didn't even know there was grant money. So I was making a comment to Mr. Brown, who I see often, is that, you know, for some pro bono work.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

1	But regardless, you all can decide I guess what
2	you want to do with Mr. Brown meaning Ms. Giordano can just
3	go ahead and present whatever she's going to present, and then
4	the ANC, Mr. Hasan and by the way, we're all volunteers
5	over here, right? So, Mr. Hasan I mean Chairman Hasan can
6	also work with you guys to see if you can get anywhere with
7	this, right? And at the end of the day, hopefully you can.
8	And if not, you might still have a no, right?
9	And so what and now Ms. Beverly, I am looking
10	at you which is to say there are six people that are going
11	to be there anyway. That's what we're trying to provide an
12	opportunity for a discussion, right?
13	MS. BEVERLY: Yeah. I'm aware that there's six
14	people. We just want to make sure we have the proper
15	representation because we haven't been able to come together
16	for, like I said, there's lack of transparency. And I don't
17	want to start all of that over again, but we needed
18	representation for those reasons.
19	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Then, Ms. Beverly, what
20	would you like me to do?
21	MS. BEVERLY: I'd like I personally would love
22	for there to be a decision, and if not, I'd like some equitable
23	way that we can get there so that, you know, thousands, and
24	thousands, and thousands of dollars later

CHAIRPERSON HILL: No, no, I'm all --

1	MS. BEVERLY: we're not making
2	CHAIRPERSON HILL: I'm all ears for your
3	suggestion. There's not going to be a vote today, you already
4	know that. So then what would you like me to do? And I'm
5	not I'm just asking for a suggestion I guess.
6	MS. BEVERLY: I have this is my first time doing
7	this, so I'm relying on the due process. I don't have a
8	suggestion. I would like it to not be costly and it to be
9	equitable for everyone involved. That's what I'd like.
10	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Got it.
11	MS. BEVERLY: I don't know what that looks like.
12	I really don't.
13	CHAIRPERSON HILL: I think the best thing you'll
14	get then at this point, unless again, there's some other way
15	that you can do it with your attorney, is that Ms. Giordano
16	is going to work with you through Mr. Brown, or if you guys
17	don't work with Mr. Brown, then I guess she'll work with you
18	individually, right, to
19	MS. BEVERLY: She does not have our best interests.
20	She's not
21	CHAIRPERSON HILL: No, no.
22	(Simultaneous speaking.)
23	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Ms. Giordano Ms. Giordano,
24	I'm just saying she's going to try to come up with some things.
25	If you all are just like a hard no, then you can still vote

I'm not saying that you have -- you get to decide, right? 1 2 And then the Board determines whether or not the regulations 3 are being met. So that's all I'm trying to say. So, Ms. Giordano would then come to you guys and 4 5 come up with, you know, some discussion points that you guys can either agree with or not, and then the Chairman, who is 6 7 your ANC chairman who's still on the line here, he can also work with you guys to see what you guys respond to us by on 8 9 June 10th. 10 And then on June 10th, we'll be able to make a 11 I'm sorry, June 10th we're going to get stuff from 12 whatever happens from this discussion, then on the -- then 13 the ANC is going to meet on the 14th of June, and then we're going to get whatever comes back from the ANC on the 17th as 14 15 far -- and also any final words from anyone at all. But I'm just trying to say this is the best I think 16 17 I can do at that time. But I do understand that you don't want it to go past the 22nd, and so we can go ahead and vote 18 19 on the 22nd. I'll do my best that it's a vote on the 22nd. 20 Okay? 21 MS. BEVERLY: Okay. Thank you. 22 CHAIRPERSON HILL: A vote on the 22nd of June? 2.3 MS. BEVERLY: 24 Pardon. CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah, yeah. You said vote on the 22nd, is that 25 MS. BEVERLY:

1	CHAIRPERSON HILL:
2	MS. BEVERLY: That's what you're saying that's the
3	best you think you can do?
4	CHAIRPERSON HILL: I'm hopeful. Yeah. I mean if
5	I could move up this timeline any more, I would. And in terms
6	of equity, I just want to say like I'm we're trying to be
7	as fair as we can with everybody. There is, you know, this
8	is what we have, right?
9	MS. BEVERLY: Right. I just I'm commenting
10	more on how long this has taken, not just this meeting, but
11	just getting to a hearing, and now it's an extension of that.
12	So what was my comment. I'm not trying to say that this Board
13	is not doing a great job. I do. And I appreciate what you
14	do. I'm just making sure that I speak up because I feel like
15	this is the only chance I have.
16	CHAIRPERSON HILL: No, I got you. I'm sorry. It
17	costs money. I apologize it costs money. And I apologize
18	it's costing your money. So let's see. Okay. So, Mr. Moy,
19	you got the dates, right? Chair Hasan, you look like you're
20	trying to say something.
21	MR. HASAN: Yeah. Just one question for clarity.
22	So on the 22nd, you all will be making a vote for what exactly?
23	What are we voting on?
24	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Right. So dependent upon

I don't know what's going to happen fully because I just don't

know, right? But supposedly on the 10th, we're going to see whatever you all come up with, right, by talking with Ms. Giordano, right? And everybody knows that we're the ones that have to be convinced, right, the Board, right, as to whether or not we think you're meeting the standard and the criteria of the regulations, right?

So on the 10th, we'll see whatever you all come up with together. And I'm looking to you -- I'm looking to you, Mr. Chairman. We'll see whatever you come up on the 10th. And it might be nothing. I mean if you guys decide that you don't want to talk to anybody, then Ms. Giordano will come up with something that she thinks maybe the Board will agree is something that they can agree to that fits in with the regulations, right? That what I'm saying Ms. Giordano is going to do. One way or the other, she's going to give us something on the 10th, right? And so hopefully you all talk about it, and then we get something on the 10th.

Then on the 14th, I guess if you guys allow, they'll speak at your ANC again. You all will take a vote, whatever that is. And then we'll find out what that vote is and your comments by the 17th as well as any final statements anybody has about anything on the 17th. And then we, the Board, will determine if we have enough information to make a vote on the 22nd. And what Ms. Beverly is just saying is that this is taking time so they'd rather have a vote one way or the other

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

1	on the 22nd.
2	MR. HASAN: Right. Copy all. I was at thank
3	you. Just asking specifically. And that vote will be a yay
4	or nay for approving this application and the variance? I'm
5	sorry, what
6	CHAIRPERSON HILL: It's not a variance. It's a
7	special exception.
8	MR. HASAN: Special exception to the occupancy?
9	What are
10	CHAIRPERSON HILL: It's for the it's a special
11	exception to allow a community-based institutional facility.
12	MR. HASAN: Or
13	CHAIRPERSON HILL: And they
14	MR. HASAN: Right, right. So but you
15	had mentioned earlier that they are already approved for six
16	persons to reside. No?
17	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Right. So six people are
18	allowed to live in a place that don't know each other by right.
19	Like you can't stop people from living together, right? So
20	six people can live together. And so they're just allowed
21	to do that no matter what it is, right? So that's what I'm
22	saying, six people can live together and they can do whatever
23	they want. Okay?
24	MR. HASAN: Okay. Yeah. Okay, thank you. I
25	thought that this application was also about rezoning. I

1	thought this was an R I'm sorry, we do a ton of these.
2	I just
3	CHAIRPERSON HILL: That's okay. Yeah, yeah,
4	that's okay. So you can go ahead and look at the Office of
5	Planning's report and that might provide some clarity. Okay,
6	I got to let us eat because it's 4:00 o'clock. So does anybody
7	have anything else for my fellow board members? Mr. Brown,
8	you look like you're trying to say something.
9	MR. BROWN: I have in the back of my head that a
10	lot of the discussion here is premised on the notion that it's
11	pretty much a free for all as long as there is six or fewer
12	people in the structure. I understand that's your point of
13	view. I understand that that is OP's point of view. I am
14	skeptical, and I'm going to research that question a lot more
15	closely than it seems to have been, and if I disagree with
16	that, I'm going to respectively provide you my analysis as
17	part of this process.
18	CHAIRPERSON HILL: That's fine. I guess, Mr.
19	Brown, you might be wanting to do an appeal about even like
20	something the zoning administrator did. I don't know. But
21	you can submit whatever you want, you know, I guess you can
22	
23	(Simultaneous speaking.)
24	MR. BROWN: There has been no determination by the
25	zoning administrator about that issue

Τ	CHAIRPERSON HILL: NO. What I'm saying Mr.
2	Brown, is
3	MR. BROWN: in this case.
4	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Brown, what I'm saying is
5	I know for a fact six unrelated people can live in a house,
6	okay? That's what I'm telling you. I know what you're trying
7	to say which I think is understandable, but six unrelated
8	people because I've done this long enough to know for a
9	fact, six unrelated people can live in a house together. But
10	you can research whatever you want.
11	MR. BROWN: All right. I understand I have a high
12	hill to climb to convince you otherwise, but I may try to do
13	so.
14	CHAIRPERSON HILL: You can go ahead. I mean we've
15	had this argument many, many times.
16	MR. BROWN: All right.
17	MS. GIORDANO: And if I could just chime in on that.
18	I mean if you're going to say that because they are recently
19	released, incarcerated women, that they are different than
20	other people, I think you're going to have a Fair Housing issue
21	as well.
22	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Right. So you guys, I don't
23	want to I don't want to like I said, we're not going
24	to do this right now. Okay, but I appreciate it. Do I
25	appreciate it? So okay. So anyway. So on the 10th

1 on the 10th, we're going to get whatever we're going to get, 2 okay, from Ms. Giordano. And anybody who wants to submit 3 something I guess on the 10th, we'll leave the record open 4 for comments. Okay? 5 And then on the 14th, again, we're going to get an ANC meeting. On the 17th we're going to get the ANC's 6 7 And then anybody else's response on anything, and then the record will be closed. And then we're going to vote 8 9 on the 22nd. Is that clear, Mr. Moy? 10 MR. MOY: Yes. Yes. The only addendum to that 11 is that you allowed the Office of Planning's supplemental to 12 be filed by June the 3rd. 13 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yes, thank you. Okay. Well, 14 I hope -- well, anyway, thank you, all, very much. 15 it's been a very long bunch of hours, and it's the process. So, okay, I'm going to close the record. And the hearing 16 17 except for everything we talked about, and excuse everyone. Thank you. 18 19 MS GIORDANO: Thank you. 20 MR. BROWN: Thank you. 21 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Yeah. Oh, yeah. 22 Okay, how long do we want for -- is it lunch, is it 2.3 I don't know what it is. Like we have one, two, three 24 cases that I think might go okay. And then we have the one 25 that has all the witnesses. Okay? It's going to be long.

1	is everybody onay with that, because I learly want to limish:
2	Okay. How long do we want to go for linner? Half an hour?
3	Right. Six hours, I know. Half an hour?
4	MEMBER SMITH: Yeah, it's late in the day, let's
5	aim for that.
6	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah. Okay, let's try to get
7	back here in half an hour. The sooner we get back here, the
8	sooner we get back here. Okay? Thank you. Bye-bye.
9	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the
10	record at 4:03 p.m. and resumed at 4:35 p.m.)
11	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, Mr. Moy, go ahead and call
12	us back in and call our case again please, the next one.
13	MR. MOY: Get the correct one. I believe it would
14	be looking through my list because we pulled some things
15	out of order.
16	(Simultaneous speaking.)
17	CHAIRPERSON HILL: I think its 20714, right? No?
18	MR. MOY: It's either that one or 20594. I thought
19	it was 209 I thought it was 20594.
20	CHAIRPERSON HILL: No. I thought it was 20714.
21	MR. MOY: Okay.
22	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Whatever your agenda says.
23	What did your agenda say?
24	MR. MOY: It was 594.
25	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, then follow your agenda.
	1

I don't know what happened.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

MR. MOY: Well, my agenda is your agenda, sir.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Oh, no, no, no. That's not -no, no, no, that's wrong. Oh, wait. Oh, no, that's right.
That's right.

MR. MOY: Can you say that again?

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Oh, never mind, never mind. Go to 20714 because that's what I got out.

MR. MOY: Okay, all right. Okay, Community Housing. All right, just a sec. Okay. The Board has returned to its public hearing session after its lunch recess. Lunch recess, late lunch recess. And the time is at or about 4:37 p.m. The next case before the Board is application number 20714 of Community Housing for the Hearing Impaired. And this is a request for zoning relief as amended self-certified pursuant to subtitle X, section 901.2 for a special exception under subtitle U, section 203.1(j) to allow a healthcare facility not meeting matter of right requirements, 15 residents proposed. The property is in the R1-B zone at 1203 ½ Otis Street, Northeast, square 3927, lot 15. And the only thing I have to add, Mr. Chairman, is the applicant's revised PowerPoint was submit in that 24 hour block, so that's before you.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Is that one that's in the record in Exhibit 54?

1	MR. MOY: Could be. No, I think he the
2	applicant revised it so
3	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay.
4	MR. MOY: there's a subsequent
5	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay.
6	MR. MOY: one. Yeah.
7	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Ms. Wilson, if you can hear me,
8	could you introduce yourself for the record, please?
9	MS. WILSON: Hi. Alex Wilson from Sullivan &
10	Barros on behalf of the applicant in this case.
11	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Is your revised PowerPoint the
12	54 or no?
13	MS. WILSON: It's the last
14	(Simultaneous speaking.)
15	MS. WILSON: the last exhibit. Yes. Well, it
16	wouldn't have I don't know if it would have shown up. It's
17	not it was a very minor change. We can use whatever
18	PowerPoint. It's not it's really not a big deal. But if
19	it was submitted within the 24 hour window, I don't know that
20	it would populate it. Let me look.
21	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Sometimes it does. Mr. Young,
22	do you know which one you have?
23	MR. YOUNG: This record, I can double check what
24	exhibit number it was.
25	CHAIRPERSON HILL: I have one at 54. I just want

2 MS. WILSON: No. So that one was submitted on Monday.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay.

to know if that's the same one.

2.3

MS. WILSON: And that's not the same one. But, honestly, we just added a photo in so if Mr. Young wants to pull --

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay.

MS. WILSON: -- that one up, that's okay.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Young if you can -- if you can pull up, Mr. Young, 54. And then, Mr. Moy, the staff, we don't need to include then that latest PowerPoint into the record. Ms. Wilson, I've reviewed all this and I think the Board has as well, if you can just hit some highlights in terms of their requested relief and why you believe your client's meeting the criteria. And if we have any questions, we'll ask you. Okay?

MS. WILSON: Great. Thank you so much. Mr. Young, could you please go to the next page? Thank you. We are seeking special exception relief for a new healthcare facility in the R1-B zone at 1203 ½ Otis Street. The existing house on site has been used for a home run by Deaf Reach since the 1980s, but the capacity is limited to six rooms so the relief was never required because it's been considered a household so it never had to go through this process even though

that's what the current use is.

2.3

The request is to increase the facility to 15 rooms, and that will be in a new building. This rendering shows the new building on the left at 1203 ½ Otis Street which is zoned R1-B. The development will also include 1203 Otis on the -- that's the building on the right. That will also be a new building. But it's zoned MU-4, so that building does not require any relief.

Both buildings meet all development standards for their respective zones, and the special exception relief, again, is only for the use at 1203 ½ Otis Street. The Office of Planning is recommending approval. ANC 5B is supporting. And we have over 30 letters in support. And DDOT has no objection. Next slide please.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Can we just go to number four, Ms. Wilson?

MS. WILSON: Sure. Absolutely. We can skip to slide 4. Thank you. In terms of general special exception requirements, the proposed use is still a residential use. The building entry will be set back. And due to the nature of the existing use and increase of only nine rooms, the applicant does not anticipate any increased noise. Next slide please.

In terms of the specific requirements, it meets all the specific requirements, there are none -- there are

not any other healthcare facilities within 1,000 square feet. 1 2 There is adequate parking that's appropriately located, and 3 it will be underground and not visible. It's for the needs 4 of the occupants, and employees, and visitors. The proposed 5 facility will meet all local code and licensing requirements. And it's already been operated as a healthcare facility for 6 7 six people, and the increase of only nine residents should 8 not have an impact on neighborhood traffic, noise, operations. And with that --9 10 (Simultaneous speaking.) 11 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Ms. Wilson. 12 (Simultaneous speaking.) I'm going to go ahead drop it. 13 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Young, if you can drop that slide deck. I'm going to 14 15 turn to the Office of Planning first and then see if the Board 16 has any questions. 17 MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman the commission. Maxine Brown-Roberts 18 members of representing the Office of Planning on BZA case 20714. 19 The 20 proposed healthcare facility meets the standards of subtitle 21 U 203.1(j) for the healthcare facilities for 15 persons. 22 proposed would not be -- would be operating in conjunction with a facility next door at 1203 Otis Street. 2.3 And we are 24 not aware of any other facility within 1,000 feet of the subject

The parking for the subject property will be

property.

2 As outlined in our report, the proposal would meet all the conditions, and also the conditions of the special 3 -- of the general special exception in that the healthcare 4 5 facilities deemed appropriate for the R1-B zone, and also it meets the requirement of subtitle U 201, and, therefore, would 6 7 in harmony with the intended purposes of the zoning 8 regulation. In addition, it would not tend to affect aversely 9 10 the use of neighboring properties. That the building and all 11 the development meets all the development standards and would 12 therefore not affect light, air, privacy, or use of the We, therefore, recommend approval of 13 adjacent properties. the requested special exception for the health facility. 14 15 Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and I'm available for questions. 16 Okay. Does the Board have any CHAIRPERSON HILL: 17 questions for the Office of Planning or the applicant? Young, is there anyone here wishing to testify? 18 19 MR. YOUNG: We do not. 20 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Ms. Wilson, is there anything 21 you'd like to add at the end? -- time. 22 MS. WILSON: 2.3 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. I'm going to close the 24 Hold on one second, hold on one hearing and the record. 25 Is it -- wait -- I just lost somebody, but that's second.

provided in a shared facility next door.

1	okay. Is it Michele May?
2	MS. MAY: That's me.
3	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Who are you, Ms. May?
4	MR. MOY: I'm the executive director of the agency.
5	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Could you introduce yourself
6	for the record please?
7	MS. MAY: Yes. Michele May.
8	CHAIRPERSON HILL: It's a lovely project, Ms. May.
9	MS. MAY: Thank you, we appreciate that.
10	CHAIRPERSON HILL: You guys are doing wonderful
11	work.
12	MS. MAY: We appreciate you all.
13	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Oh, that's so kind of you. All
14	right. Bye-bye. Oh, my God, it's so late in the day, I almost
15	got a little teary just now, so I'm getting tired. So I didn't
16	have any issues with the application. I thought that it was
17	pretty straight forward. I thought it was, again, interesting
18	that they're already doing it with six people if you'll notice,
19	and so that, you know, six people I guess is the magic number.
20	That is very interesting.
21	So I would agree with the analysis that the Office
22	of Planning has provided as well as that of the ANC and the
23	numerous witnesses in support. But in addition to that, I
24	do believe they're meeting the criteria of the special
25	exception that they are asking for. And I will be voting in

1	favor. Mr. Smith, would you like to add anything?
2	MEMBER SMITH: I don't have anything to add. I
3	thank you for pointing that out. It is a Fair Housing Issue,
4	that's standard law, under six, you're allowed to do it in
5	D.C. Maryland is six, Virginia is eight. So very common.
6	So with that, I support it.
7	CHAIRPERSON HILL: That is so interesting. All
8	right. Mr. Blake, I'm sorry yeah. Oh, I don't know.
9	Commissioner Miller?
10	ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: Thank you.
11	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Blake?
12	MEMBER BLAKE: I support the application.
13	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Sorry, I got lost in my order.
14	I'm going to make a motion to approve application number 20714
15	as captioned and read by the secretary, and ask for a second.
16	Mr. Blake?
17	MEMBER BLAKE: Second.
18	CHAIRPERSON HILL: The motion made and seconded,
19	Mr. Moy, if you take a roll call?
20	MR. MOY: When I call your name, if you would please
21	respond with a yes, no, or abstain to the motion made by
22	Chairman Hill to approve the application for the relief
23	requested. The motion was seconded by Mr. Blake. Zoning
24	Commissioner Rob Miller?
25	ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yes.

MR. MOY: Mr. Smith? Mr. Blake? 1 2 MEMBER BLAKE: Yes. Chairman Hill? 3 MR. MOY: CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yes. 4 5 MR. MOY: And we have a board member not present. Staff would record the vote as four to zero to one, and this 6 7 is on the motion made by Chairman Hill to approve. The motion 8 to approve was seconded by Mr. Blake. Also in support of the 9 motion to approve Zoning Commissioner Rob Miller. Mr. Smith, 10 Mr. Blake, and Chairman Hill. Motion carried, sir, on a vote 11 of four to zero to one. 12 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, great. Thanks, Mr. Moy. 13 Mr. Moy, I've lost track of the order so whatever you call 14 next is the one I'm going to do next. 15 MR. MOY: Okay. Well, you're giving me all this Well, let's see. Okay. 16 Well, in that case, power. Okav. 17 then let's -- okay, let's go to the 18th Street case. So this would be case application number 18 do that. All right. 19 20720 of 1312 18th Street Associates, LLC. This is a 20 self-certified application for a special exceptions pursuant to subtitle G, section 609.1 subtitle G, section 1200, and 21 subtitle X, section 901.2. This is from the rear 22 requirements, subtitle G, section 605.3, and the side yard 2.3 24 requirements as subtitle G, section 606.2. The property is 25 in the MU-21 zone at 1312 18th Street, Northwest, square 137,

1	lot 43.
2	And if I can just check just for a second, sir.
3	And, once again, in our 24 hour block there was a filing from
4	the applicant who did not meet the 21 day filing deadline.
5	I'm not sure exactly what document that is, but I suspect
6	it must be some sort of revision.
7	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. I'll let the applicant
8	let us know what it is. But whatever it is, Mr. Moy, go ahead
9	and drop it into the record. And if the staff could do that,
10	then we can take a look at it. Ms. Downey, if you can hear
11	me, could you introduce yourself for the record?
12	MS. DOWNEY: My name is Megan Downey, I'm the
13	architect.
14	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay.
15	MS. DOWNEY: And I believe the owners Alex should
16	also be there. He's joining by phone so his name might not
17	show up.
18	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Young, do you have his
19	last name Alex, Ms. Downey?
20	MS. DOWNEY: Golding.
21	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Golding. Do you have Mr.
22	Golding there?
23	MR. YOUNG: I don't see him calling in with the
24	number I have, but if it's a different number, if you know
25	the first three digits.

1	MS. DOWNEY: He said name is A.
2	MR. YOUNG: Oh, okay. Yeah, I see him.
3	MS. DOWNEY: Okay. So he's just joined.
4	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Mr. Golding, can you
5	hear me?
6	MR. GOLDING: Sorry about that.
7	CHAIRPERSON HILL: That's all right.
8	MR. GOLDING: I just joined with my phone.
9	CHAIRPERSON HILL: That's all right.
10	MR. GOLDING: Thank you.
11	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Can you introduce yourself for
12	the record?
13	MR. GOLDING: Yes. My name is Alejandro Golding.
14	I'm the managing member of 1312 18th Street Associates, LLC.
15	And we're the one who has the application in front of you
16	right now.
17	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay.
18	MS. DOWNEY: I think Ben, one of the other owners
19	just joined. Ben Safran, is he on there?
20	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Young?
21	MR. SAFRAN: Hey, there. I think I'm able to
22	unmute now. Can you hear me?
23	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yes. Could you please
24	introduce yourself for the record?
25	MR. SAFRAN: Yes. Hi, there. Ben Safran, also
	NEAL D. ODOGO

1	part of the ownership team and applicants for the project at
2	1312 18th Street.
3	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Ms. Downey, have you
4	presented before us before?
5	MS. DOWNEY: I have.
6	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. So if you want to go
7	ahead and again speak, I'm just trying to cut to the chase
8	a little bit on this because we have a long day still ahead
9	of us. And so if you could speak to the regulations, I mean
10	that's really what we want to do. I don't need to go through
11	
12	MS. DOWNEY: Okay.
13	CHAIRPERSON HILL: all your architectural plans
14	unless it speaks to what, you know, you were trying to convince
15	us of that you're meeting the regulations, and some of it
16	obviously
17	MS. DOWNEY: Yes.
18	CHAIRPERSON HILL: is.
19	MS. DOWNEY: So just
20	CHAIRPERSON HILL: part of the architectural
21	plans. Which exhibit would you like us to pull up?
22	MS. DOWNEY: I guess if you bring up the first floor
23	plan.
24	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Do you know which number it is?
25	MS. DOWNEY: Let me see. If you bring up well,

if we first bring up like page 8 which is the site plan actually.

Yeah. So this page and the next page will be what's really helpful. And actually, if you go to the next page, it's probably easier to start there. Sorry.

Okay. So essentially, this is the existing structure here as you can see, and what's driving this is that this is a five story historical building which the owners are trying to convert from office to an inn. And it's been vacant for the entire pandemic.

But because of the nature of this central, historic stair that lies in the center of the building, you know, we've had this -- it's been this delicate balance between trying to make this project work from a code and life safety perspective and also while trying to not completely demolish the building's and following historic recommendations and what they'll allow.

And so what's happening is because it's five stories, and we need to have a second means of egress, and we need to have a certain separation distance, we've worked extensively with HPO and the code office to kind of -- and we've come up with the only solution which was to place the stair in the back. I will say there's an existing two story stair right now. So we're essentially raising up that structure from two stories up to the length of the building. And that's kind of the meat of the issue of the case. And

2.3

in doing so, we are asking for rear yard relief and side yard.

And just kind of moving along to like, you know, why we feel that this, you know, you should be able to grant the special exception. You know, it's in harmony with the zoning maps, lodging's a use that's allowed in this zone. It will not -- we do not believe it will adversely affect the neighbors.

So the direct -- the building is directly behind us in some of the pictures you've seen in the exhibits. It's currently a night club and so just that area back there is currently used as storage. So this kind of existing yard isn't really used much for anything and there's not many views into it except from our own building. And so because this is really a safety issue, we feel like this will actually improve as opposed to any adverse conditions.

And then the special conditions, you know, that you're allowed to -- that you have to meet, we're not proposing any apartment or office for this structure. The only site lines -- we're not proposing any windows in this structure so the only site lines that are already existing in our building. And then parking, per the regulations, we do not believe that we need to provide any parking or bicycle parking. And that's just kind of the quick snapshot.

So we have -- I will say, so I mentioned HPRV supported us. ANC voted to not oppose the project, and that

2.3

1	was only because there was a question if we were asking for
2	the right relief. They agreed that they thought this was the
3	only solution forward in order to develop this project. And
4	OP supports us. And then we have one neighbor of support.
5	I know that the owners have extensively talked with some of
6	the surrounding neighbors and actually, Ben and Alex, if you
7	kind of want to just quickly discuss your support efforts.
8	MR. SAFRAN: I'd be happy to. I'll just say, you
9	know, especially the neighbors along 18th Street, the retail
10	shops, residents, talked to we got a chance to talk with
11	them. Again, we secured the one letter. I think it probably
12	belies the support and positive feedback we got on the project.
13	Across the street is the Palladium Condominium.
14	We held a presentation, open presentation for all
15	of those community members attended by, yeah, about a half
16	dozen folks and shared the presentation with them, and
17	generally supportive. So, you know, again, we have the
18	registered letter of support like we intended really doing
19	outreach and received positive feedback.
20	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, thank you. What's the
21	nightclub that's there now?
22	MS. DOWNEY: I believe it's called Phantom and it's
23	directly behind our building.
24	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Oh, it's not in your building?

No.

MS. DOWNEY:

25

The building has been vacant.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Right. Okay. I was confused. Okay. I mean I'm not that young, but still. Okay. All right. You want to drop that slide deck, Mr. Young? Okay. I mean -- all right. Does anybody have any questions for the applicant? All right, I'm -- go to the Office of Planning.

MR. KIRSCHENBAUM: Chair Hill, members of the Board of Zoning Adjustment, I'm Jonathan Kirschenbaum with the Office of Planning. We recommend approval of the two special exceptions. And we rest on our staff report. Please let me know if you have any further questions. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, great. Does anybody have any questions for the Office of Planning? Does the applicant have any questions for the Office of Planning? Mr. Young, is there anyone here wishing to speak?

MR. YOUNG: We do not.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. The only question I have, Ms. Downey, and I mean I know that like DDOT was, you know, hoping for some bike storage and some bike parking, and you guys have decided not to do that. And so, you know, I don't know -- I guess the Board, I haven't heard any questions from them. I mean normally we try -- and there are different members of the Board sometimes that advocates pretty heavily for bike parking. Why is it that you guys didn't want to provide the bike parking?

2.3

1	MS. DOWNEY: Well, so I Ben, did you want to
2	speak to that?
3	MR. SAFRAN: Yeah, I'm happy to take it quickly.
4	I'd say, first off, we're very happy to work with DDOT to
5	understand the level, you know, what type of parking they want.
6	Our read of the report was they looked at us as residential
7	rather than an inn. So we're not sure they sort of the reviewed
8	the project with the same impact.
9	MS. DOWNEY: Yeah. I will say that I actually
10	spoke to the reviewer herself. She said she when she looked
11	at the plans, she thought it was a residential project and
12	not a lodging. So the parking that they quoted is incorrect
13	in the report, and that she couldn't fix it because it was
14	within the
15	MR. SAFRAN: Yeah.
16	MS. DOWNEY: the timeline.
17	CHAIRPERSON HILL: The bike parking. The bike
18	parking you mean?
19	MS. DOWNEY: The bike parking.
20	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right. Okay.
21	That's all I need to know. That's fine. So, okay. All
22	right. I'm going to close well, okay. So the one thing,
23	just to let you know, we're not you're not asking for any
24	relief from bicycle parking, so that's something we won't be,
2.5	vou know, it's a self-certified application. You know, if

you run into issues, and it doesn't sound like you're going to because you already talked to DDOT, but just letting you know the bike parking is not something we're going to be talking about.

Okay. So unless a Board member has an issue, I'm going to go ahead and close the hearing and the record. Mr. Young, if you could excuse everybody. Mr. Smith, would you like to start the deliberations?

MEMBER SMITH: Sure, I'll start. I was -- I didn't have any issues with this particular case. So I mean the request before us is for a special exception from the rear yard requirements and the side yard requirements for them to construct a rear addition. I believe that the particular application meets the special exception criteria.

This is in a fairly dense area, we're going up towards DuPont. As the application stated, they back up to a nightclub Phantom, which to me would be more impactful than this proposed addition that they're proposing to build off the rear of their building. They've had conversations with the Palladium apartments across 18th Street so they're very well aware and they're comfortable with this proposal.

Now, granted, what they're proposing I think it will be -- it wouldn't have a substantial impact on the surrounding community, so I do believe it meets all of the special exception criteria for us to grant the special

2.3

I give OP's analysis great weight, and I will note 1 exception. 2 that the ANC does not oppose this request. So, with that, 3 I will that. CHAIRPERSON HILL: Thank you. Commissioner 4 5 Miller? ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: 6 Me? 7 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yes. 8 ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: Okay. I was having some 9 connectivity issues I thought. Yes, I concur with Board 10 Member Smith and I'm ready to approve the application. 11 especially, with the Office of Planning's support and ANC 2B, 12 it's not on (audio interference) in their -- non-opposition 13 -- does not oppose. 14 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okav, thanks, Commissioner 15 Miller. You are breaking up now. I don't know how that's 16 going to affect the later cases, but just to let you know. 17 I don't know if you want to sign off and sign on. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 18 MEMBER BLAKE: Yes. I'll be voting in favor of the required relief. 19 I believe 20 the applicants met the criteria for relief, and I would echo 21 the comments made by the others on the Board. 22 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. I'm going to -- I agree 2.3 with everyone. Thank you so much for your deliberations. 24 I'm going to make a motion to approve application number 20720 25 as captioned and read by the secretary, and ask for a second.

1	Mr. Blake?
2	MEMBER BLAKE: Second.
3	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Motion been made and seconded,
4	Mr. Moy, could you take a roll call?
5	MR. MOY: roll call vote, Mr. Chairman, I want
6	to be certain that Mr. Miller is still with us.
7	CHAIRPERSON HILL: That's a good question.
8	Commissioner Miller, are you there? Well, all right. I lost
9	Commissioner Miller. Let's go ahead and take a vote because
10	I have a quorum, Mr. Moy, and we'll see if we get Commissioner
11	Miller back. So I've made a motion, it's been seconded, Mr.
12	Moy. Could you take a roll call please?
13	MR. MOY: Yes. When I call your name, if you would
14	please respond with a yes, no, abstain to the motion made by
15	Chairman Hill to approve the application for the relief
16	requested. The motion to approve was seconded by Mr. Blake.
17	Zoning Commissioner Rob Miller?
18	(Simultaneous speaking.)
19	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah, we're
20	(Simultaneous speaking.)
21	MR. MOY: Mr. Smith?
22	MEMBER SMITH: Yes.
23	MR. MOY: Mr. Blake?
24	MEMBER BLAKE: Yes.
25	MR. MOY: Chairman Hill?

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yes.

2.3

MR. MOY: Staff would record the vote as three to zero to two. This is on the motion made by Chairman Hill to approve the application. The motion to approve was seconded by Mr. Blake. Also in support of the motion to approve is Mr. Smith, Mr. Blake, Chairman Hill. One member not present, the other member not voting. The motion carries on the vote of three to zero to two.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, thank you, Mr. Moy. And, Mr. Moy, if you want to let staff know, if they haven't already, to try to reach out to everybody on application 20716 and make sure they're ready to go.

MR. MOY: Yes, sir.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: All right, let's see if -- let's give Mister -- well, yeah. Well, go ahead and -- I mean go ahead and call our next one, Mr. Moy, let's see when Mr. Miller is -- I mean we're definitely going to wait for him for the last case. But let's see what happens.

MR. MOY: Okay. Very good. So the next case before the Board is application number 20659 of Betty Matthews and Joseph Matthews. This is an application for a special exception from the rear addition requirements, subtitle D, section 306.3 which is pursuant to subtitle D, section 306.4, subtitle D, section 5201, and subtitle X, section 901.2. Property is in the R-3 zone at 604 Gallatin Street, Northwest,

1	square 3212, lot 139. And just one second, sir. And that's
2	all I have.
3	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Hold on one second.
4	Who is the applicant? Do I have the applicant? Mr. Young,
5	do you have the applicant?
6	MR. YOUNG: By phone, it's Joseph Matthews.
7	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Matthews?
8	MR. YOUNG: Yep.
9	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Mr. Matthews
10	(Simultaneous speaking.)
11	MR. YOUNG: Unmute themselves.
12	CHAIRPERSON HILL: can you hear me? Mr.
13	Matthews, you might be muted. I don't know how to I don't
14	know how to tell you to unmute yourself, Mr. Matthews.
15	MR. MATTHEWS: Hello? Can you hear me?
16	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yes. Can you hear me, Mr.
17	Matthews?
18	MR. MATTHEWS: Oh, yeah. Yes, I can hear you now.
19	Sorry about that.
20	CHAIRPERSON HILL: That's all right. Can you
21	introduce yourself for the record?
22	MR. MATTHEWS: Yes. How you doing? Good
23	afternoon, everyone. Thank you, all for attending, giving
24	me time to speak. My name is Joseph Matthews. A little bit
25	about me, I currently reside in Virginia. I'm here

1	representing Betty Matthews, aka, the home owner. And today
2	I will be explaining what we are proposing.
3	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, Mr. Matthews. Give me
4	one second, Mr. Matthews. Mr. Young, if you can try to make
5	Commissioner Miller a panelist again. Commissioner Miller,
6	can you hear us?
7	ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: Mr. Chairman, and thank you,
8	Paul.
9	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Great. So for the record,
10	Commissioner Rob Miller has rejoined us.
11	MR. MATTHEWS: Okay.
12	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Matthews, so I guess you
13	can go ahead and explain to us why you think you're meeting
14	the criteria for us to grant the relief that's being requested.
15	I'm still a little confused as to whether the Office of
16	Planning has submitted a report for us. Do you let me first
17	turn to the Office of Planning, Mr. Matthews.
18	MR. MATTHEWS: Okay.
19	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Fothergill, could you
20	introduce yourself for the record please?
21	MS. FOTHERGILL: Good afternoon, Chairman Hill and
22	members of the Board. Oh, I'm sorry. I'm Anne Fothergill
23	for the Office of Planning, and the Office of Planning did
24	file a supplemental report into the record. I'm not in the
25	case record at this moment, and I can't see the exhibit number

Let me go in and try and pull that up. It was filed I believe 1 2 on May 12th or 13th. 3 MR. YOUNG: Thirty-five. CHAIRPERSON HILL: Oh, great. 4 Thank you. 5 And so I can go through it if you MS. FOTHERGILL: 6 want. 7 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Oh, no, no, that's great. Ι 8 just didn't -- I got confused. I didn't know whether we had 9 Okay, Mr. Matthews, can you go ahead and tell one or not. 10 us about your project please, sir? 11 MR. MATTHEWS: Sure. Okay. A little bit about 12 the project. We're currently using the residence as a single 13 family dwelling, and we will be -- what we want to do is we want to expand the rear of the building to accommodate 14 15 additional possible senior accommodational living, and having 16 that extra space to the rear of the dwelling will give us an 17 install things handrails, opportunity to such as resistant floors to avoid slips, trips, and falls, possible 18 19 bench seating, extra bathrooms. 20 And then this should just give us an opportunity to widen certain walkways, like I said, to accommodate possible 21 22 senior living, and just to make accessibility to things a lot 2.3 more readily available as we need them. 24 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. I got you. I got you,

Mr. Matthews.

1	MR. MATTHEWS: Yeah.
2	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Thank you.
3	MR. MATTHEWS: Okay.
4	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Ms. Fothergill, can you tell
5	us about your report please?
6	MS. FOTHERGILL: I'd be happy to. So, again, I'm
7	Anne Fothergill for the Office of Planning for BZA case 20659.
8	This application is a zoning administrator-referred
9	application that requires rear yard relief from the what
10	we call the 10 foot rule. They are going 18 feet, 4 inches
11	beyond their adjacent neighbors. And so the Office of
12	Planning has reviewed the application through the special
13	exception criteria of subtitle D, section 5201 as well as the
14	general special exception criteria, and after reviewing that
15	criteria and the record after it was supplemented, we are
16	recommending approval of the application. And I'm happy to
17	take any questions.
18	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Thank you. Mr. Matthews, I'm
19	looking at everything that you put in the record. Did you
20	talk to your adjacent the adjacent neighbors, and if so,
21	what did they say?
22	MR. MATTHEWS: Yes. We reached out to both
23	adjacent neighbors, and they've filled out an application of
24	approval. They were okay with it. Neither adjacent
25	residents had anything such as solar panels that would be

obstructed from the design of the extension. In addition to that, we also ordered a shadow study just to make sure that we weren't infringing on any additional neighbors beyond the point of any adjacent -- immediate adjacent neighbors. And with that, we included that in the record also.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Great. Thank you, Mr. Matthews. I see all of the shadow studies. Thank you, those Does the Board have any questions for either are very helpful. the applicant or the Office of Planning? Mr. Young, is there anyone here wishing to speak? Okay. All right, Mr. Matthews, thank you so much for your application. I'm going to close the record and the hearing.

MR. MATTHEWS: Oh, thank you, everyone, for the opportunity to speak. I appreciate it.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Thank you, Mr. Matthews. You have a nice evening.

MR. MATTHEWS: Okay, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. I'm always a little bit
-- whenever we're going to past the 10 foot rule, I meant that's
something we even started the day off with now that I think
about it. And so -- but however, with this particular
application and given the ability to look at the solar studies,
I don't have any issues with it. I also would agree with the
analysis that the Office of Planning has provided as well that
of the ANC and DDOT. And I will be voting in favor of the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

1	application. Mr. Smith, would you like to add anything?
2	MEMBER SMITH: I agree with your analysis and will
3	vote to support.
4	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Commissioner Miller?
5	ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: I concur with both of you.
6	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Blake?
7	MEMBER BLAKE: I'll be voting in favor of the
8	requested relief.
9	CHAIRPERSON HILL: I 'm going to make a motion to
10	approve application number 20659 as captioned and read by the
11	secretary, and ask for a second, Mr. Blake?
12	MEMBER BLAKE: Second.
13	CHAIRPERSON HILL: The motion been made and
14	seconded, Mr. Moy, if you could take a roll call.
15	MR. MOY: I call your name, if you would please
16	respond with a yes, no, or abstain to the motion made by
17	Chairman Hill to approve the application for the relief that's
18	requested. The motion to approve was seconded by Mr. Blake.
19	Zoning Commissioner Rob Miller?
20	ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yes.
21	MR. MOY: Mr. Smith? Mr. Blake?
22	MEMBER BLAKE: Yes.
23	MR. MOY: Chairman Hill?
24	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yes.
25	MR. MOY: Staff would record the vote as four to

zero to one, and this is on the motion made by Chairman Hill to approve. The motion to approve was seconded by Mr. Blake. Also in support of the motion to approve, Zoning Commissioner Rob Miller, Mr. Smith, Mr. Blake, Chairman Hill, and we have a Board member not participating. The motion carries on a vote of four to zero to one.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: All right. Thank you, Mr. Moy. You may call the next one when you have an opportunity.

MR. MOY: The next case is application number 20594 of Nezahat, N-E-Z-A-H-A-T, and Paul Harrison. This is an application as amended, self-certified application for a special exception pursuant to subtitle X, section 901.2 and under subtitle C, section 305.1, and for a theoretical subdivision. And as amended for an area variance from the minimum lot dimensions, subtitle D, section 502.1 pursuant to subtitle X, section 1002. Property located in the R-8 zone at 3007 Albemarle Street, Northwest, square 2041, lot 818.

There are parties in opposition, Mr. Chairman, and I suppose the only thing I want to add is that there are letters in opposition that was within the 24 hour block that's before the Board. And there is a request from the applicant for a postponement, and the parties are in the panel as well to address that motion for postponement.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, thanks. If you want to go ahead, Mr. Moy, and allow the letters of opposition into

2.3

1	the record please. Ms. Hottel-Cox, could you introduce
2	yourself for the record if you can hear me?
3	MS. HOTTEL-COX: Cox with Goulston & Storrs
4	representing the applicant.
5	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Thank you. Mr. Brown, could
6	you introduce yourself for the record please?
7	MR. BROWN: Yes. David Brown of Knopf & Brown for
8	Mr. John. F. Barringer (phonetic).
9	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Ms. Ferster, could you
10	introduce yourself for the record please?
11	MS. FERSTER: Andrea Ferster, I'm representing Dr.
12	Mary Lee who is a party in opposition.
13	CHAIRPERSON HILL: And, Ms. Giordano, could you
14	introduce yourself for the record please? Ms. Giordano?
15	Okay, we'll see if Ms. Giordano comes up. I must have not
16	been here for the party status one because I don't remember
17	going through the party status stuff with you guys because
18	I'd remember you all. So, let's see, is Ms. Hottel-Cox,
19	you're asking for a postponement?
20	MS. HOTTEL-COX: Yes. We submitted a request for
21	a postponement on Friday, May 13th, which is in the record
22	at Exhibit 110. All of the other parties to this case
23	including the three parties in opposition and the ANC all
24	consented to the postponement. We're hoping to continue to
25	work with the parties in opposition on this project, so we

1	would ask the Board to consider postponing the hearing so that
2	we can all continue to work together.
3	CHAIRPERSON HILL: When are you trying to get it
4	done?
5	MS. HOTTEL-COX: We were hoping to look, I would
6	say, towards the end of June or early July to allow ample time
7	for us to continue to discuss with the other parties, but to
8	continue to move the project forward.
9	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Mr. Moy, what does our
10	schedule look like in the beginning of July? Are we off the
11	6th, Mr. Moy?
12	MR. MOY: Of July?
13	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah.
14	MR. MOY: Yes, you are. Well, I take that back.
15	I stand corrected. There is a scheduled hearing on July 6th.
16	CHAIRPERSON HILL: What happened?
17	MR. MOY: What happened?
18	CHAIRPERSON HILL: All right, never mind. We'll
19	talk about it later.
20	MR. MOY: Okay. I'm sure.
21	CHAIRPERSON HILL: So the 6th is how many cases
22	do we have on the 6th?
23	MR. MOY: Nine applications and one expedited
24	case.
25	CHAIRPERSON HILL: What about the 13th?

1	MR. MOY: Nine new cases.
2	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Well, okay. What about the
3	20th?
4	MR. MOY: July 20, we have eight cases, eight new
5	cases.
6	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Ms. Hottel-Cox, how
7	much time is the 20th okay with your applicant? I don't
8	know where you are.
9	MS. HOTTEL-COX: Yes, the 20th works for us.
10	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Let's go ahead and do
11	the 20th then if that's all right with everybody. And if
12	does anybody need to say anything, then raise your hand? No?
13	Okay. All right. Then I hope you guys work well together.
14	I know that it's so interesting, you guys all know each other.
15	So good luck. And we'll see you on July 20th. And sorry
16	that you guys had to wait around so long for this. I didn't
17	realize you guys were a postponement. Okay. Does anybody
18	have anything to add? If so, raise your hand. Okay. All
19	right. Then we'll see you guys on July 20th. Thank you, all.
20	Mr. Moy?
21	MR. MOY: Yes, sir.
22	CHAIRPERSON HILL: July 6th is a holiday, man.
23	July 4th is a holiday.
24	MR. MOY: I understand that, but
25	CHAIRPERSON HILL: How did that happen?

Well, we met on that about six months 1 MR. MOY: 2 ago. 3 CHAIRPERSON HILL: We, meaning you and I we? Yes, sir, absolutely. 4 MR. MOY: 5 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. anything without your 6 MR. MOY: don't do 7 approval, sir. 8 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. I don't -- well, 9 apologize, you all, I don't know what happened with that. 10 That was not me. I think --Well, I must of -- I must of caught you 11 MR. MOY: 12 at the end of the day or early evening. No, I just think you didn't 13 CHAIRPERSON HILL: 14 understand. You said July 6th and I was like, okay. 15 right. Well, that's not fair. But, okay. Anyways, there's 16 too many cases. All right. So we do want a break before the 17 last one, or do we just want to go in and then take a break whenever need to take a break? Go in and take a break whenever 18 we need to take a break. Okay. Mr. Moy, you can call our 19 next case. 20 21 MR. MOY: Okay. So this would be case application 22 number 20716 of 15th Street Alley, LLC. Once again, this is 2.3 self-certified application for area variance pursuant to 24 subtitle X, section 1002 from the new alley record lot

requirements of subtitle C, section 306.1(a).

1	located in the RF-1 zone at square 4564, lot 95. And the Board
2	previously in the morning addressed all the requests for party
3	status and rescheduled to the end of this docket, and this
4	is where we are now, sir.
5	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Thanks. Ms. Wilson, if
6	you can hear me, could you introduce yourself for the record?
7	MS. WILSON: Wilson from Sullivan & Barros on
8	behalf of the applicant in this case.
9	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, great. Okay. Let me
10	see, give me one second. Ms. Anderson, can you hear me?
11	MS. ANDERSON: Yes, I can.
12	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Can you introduce yourself for
13	the record please?
14	MS. ANDERSON: Sure. My name's Kathy Anderson.
15	I live at 326 16th, Northeast.
16	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Thanks. Ms. Anderson, I
17	remember that you were the one who was at least going to be
18	able to pull up the record and take a look. Were you able
19	to pull up the record
20	MS. ANDERSON: Yes.
21	CHAIRPERSON HILL: and take a look?
22	MS. ANDERSON: Yes, we all did.
23	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Oh, great. And
24	MS. ANDERSON: Yeah.
25	CHAIRPERSON HILL: And are you all still here, or

1	are you just the only one?
2	MS. ANDERSON: No. But some of us I mean, you
3	know, it was a very long wait and some of us dropped out, not
4	because they wanted to, just because they couldn't stay. And
5	so I do believe that Mr. Dickson and Ms. Johnson are here,
6	and if you'll wait just one second, I don't know why I can't
7	it says I'm trying to start the video and it won't. Am
8	I intentionally I know
9	CHAIRPERSON HILL: It's okay.
10	MS. ANDERSON: It's nothing from your
11	CHAIRPERSON HILL: It's okay, Ms. Anderson, you
12	can try the video if you like. I remember this morning when
13	you tried the video, it kind of slowed up your internet.
14	MS. ANDERSON: Oh, okay.
15	CHAIRPERSON HILL: But you can try the video. But
16	I mean I'm okay for now.
17	MS. ANDERSON: Okay.
18	CHAIRPERSON HILL: But then right, you're
19	saying Mr. Dickson and who else?
20	MS. ANDERSON: Ms. Johnson should be there.
21	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay.
22	MS. ANDERSON: And they said that they were going
23	to join.
24	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Let me see. Mr. Young,
25	can you find Ms. Johnson and Mr. Dickson?

1	MS. ANDERSON: I think I can get the camera back,
2	would you rather have it back?
3	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Sure.
4	MS. ANDERSON: Or not?
5	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah, if you want to.
6	MS. ANDERSON: Okay.
7	CHAIRPERSON HILL: It's up to you.
8	MS. ANDERSON: Okay.
9	CHAIRPERSON HILL: It's helpful that I can see if
10	somebody raises their hand.
11	MS. ANDERSON: I think
12	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yes.
13	MS. ANDERSON: Yeah, that's Ms. Johnson.
14	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Hi, Ms. Johnson, can you hear
15	me?
16	MS. JOHNSON: Yes. I'm looking for this document
17	that has all these views of these different accusations that
18	were said by the
19	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Applicant?
20	MS. JOHNSON: contractors.
21	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. You
22	MS. JOHNSON: I pulled it up this morning, but I
23	can't seem to pull it up now. But I do have the particular
24	exhibit numbers that I want to address.
25	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Okay. Can you see

1	do you see a computer, Ms I mean, are you able to see the
2	
3	MS. JOHNSON: Yes, I'm looking at my I'm looking
4	at my tablet.
5	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay.
6	MS. JOHNSON: I was able to pull it up wait a
7	minute. Let me see. Hold on a second.
8	
	CHAIRPERSON HILL: No, no, no, I'm just saying,
9	Ms. Johnson, can you see us right now?
10	MS. JOHNSON: No, I cannot.
11	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right. Okay. So
12	you can't see the presentation.
13	MS. JOHNSON: No, I cannot see the presentation.
14	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Okay. Ms. Anderson,
15	you can see us right now. Right?
16	MS. ANDERSON: I can see you. So better watch out
17	what you're saying. I'm looking. Don't mouth anything.
18	CHAIRPERSON HILL: What? Don't what?
19	MS. ANDERSON: Don't mouth anything.
20	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Don't mouth anything. No, I
21	know. Well, I mean, if you've been watching all day, I'm sure
22	you've seen how this goes. Let's see. Mr. Dickson, can you
23	hear me?
24	MS. ANDERSON: Mr. Dickson's important for him
25	to be here. I know he's trying to be here.

1	MR. YOUNG: He's working on getting on right now.
2	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay.
3	MS. ANDERSON: Thank you.
4	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Ms. Anderson, so you all did
5	you all did you all, just do I'm kind of clear what
6	happened during the day?
7	MS. ANDERSON: So we looked at all of the documents
8	that had been provided, and we, you know, I think, found
9	instances that we wanted to address, and then
10	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. That's okay.
11	MS. ANDERSON: Can I just give you a really quick
12	background from
13	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah. I'm just going to
14	interrupt you, Ms. Anderson, because I just want you to do
15	that during your portion of the hearing.
16	MS. ANDERSON: Okay. I'm sorry.
17	CHAIRPERSON HILL: I just wanted to make sure you
18	guys had a chance to kind of talk together.
19	MS. ANDERSON: Yes, we did.
20	MS. JOHNSON: We did.
21	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Okay, great. All
22	right. Then in that case, I'm going to go ahead and turn to
23	Ms. Wilson. Ms. Wilson, it's your application, or it's your
24	client's application, as you know. And if you want to go ahead

1 in opposition, and who are parties. Ms. Johnson, I don't know 2 if you know how to mute your phone. Maybe if you could --3 MS. JOHNSON: I did unmute -- I just unmuted it. CHAIRPERSON HILL: Can you mute it until we 4 Oh. 5 get to you guys? 6 MS. JOHNSON: Yes. 7 Okay. But you can still hear CHAIRPERSON HILL: Right? 8 us. 9 MS. JOHNSON: Yes. 10 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right. Then, yeah, 11 then you can listen to the presentation that Ms. Wilson is 12 about to give. Ms. Wilson, you can go ahead and pull up your 13 PowerPoint. And if you could, you know, walk us through your presentation in a manner in which hopefully the other parties 14 15 can understand, that would be helpful. 16 Thank you. MS. WILSON: Great. And just to be 17 clear, so we have three, maybe four parties left, out of the Or are they all one party? 18 I just want to be clear 19 for --20 CHAIRPERSON HILL: No, Ι don't know. The 21 only -- right. Well, if they were granted party status this morning, they would still, as far as I understand, have party 22 2.3 The only one that is withdrawn -- I see now there's, 24 party status withdrawing. Ashley Mild has withdrawn her party 25 status from the record. So that officially withdraws her.

So

everybody that was there this morning so I can tell you,
if you want.
MS. WILSON: Okay. Okay. That's fine. I just
wanted to understand the process, just in case we have to
respond to anybody. Okay. So can you
CHAIRPERSON HILL: Sure. Well, just so I'm clear,
just so you know, so that I'm clear, it would be Ms. Johnson,
Mr. Dickson, Ms. Beyers, Mr. Harris, Ms. Anderson. That's
what I think. And I'm looking to the staff. I'm getting a
thumbs-up from Mr. Moy.
MS. WILSON: Okay. Yeah, Mr. Moy. Thanks.
CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Just so you all know who
you need to talk to, whenever you need to talk to anybody.
MS. WILSON: Great.
CHAIRPERSON HILL: All right, Ms. Wilson.
MS. WILSON: So yes, Mr. Young, could you please
pull up the presentation? And I do the owners are trying
to log in now, I think. But they can log in during the
presentation. That's fine. And Mr. Young, could you pull
that up when you get a minute? Thank you. Could you go to
the next slide, please? Thank you so much.
So today, I'm here with Michael Cross, the project
architect, and Fritz Hubig and Evan Muchai, who are the owners
of this property. We are seeking variance relief from the

But she wasn't on the phone, anyway, this morning.

24-foot alley width requirement. The property is the only alley lot in this entire square. It is unimproved. It has nearly 11,000 square feet of land area.

As one of the support letters mentioned, before the owners purchased it, it was a nuisance property, given its size and location. It had been abandoned. It was not maintained. The property has been a record lot since at least 1927, and according to public records, has always been vacant. And that's because the by-right residential option is only one single-family dwelling on this nearly-11,000-square-foot site in the middle of an alley.

We are here today because instead of one massive single-family dwelling, the applicant is proposing subdivide the property into six lots. Sorry. Someone's calling on my iPad. The applicant is proposing to subdivide the property into six lots, each improved with a single-family rowhome building. This is the RF-1 zone, which is a rowhome district, and row dwellings fit the character of this block and area, the majority of which are row dwellings.

So in order to do this by right, we need to meet three main requirements. The land area requirement, which we meet. The lot width requirement, which we meet. And the alley width requirement. And we are shy of that, as we have only 18 feet for the widest portion.

We've presented twice at the ANC Zoning Committee

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

meeting. We attended the ANC. And since I drafted this presentation, we have received additional letters in support. So now we are up to 15 letters in support. And we do have unanimous ANC support, and DDOT has no objection. I'll talk more about OP's report in my presentation. Next slide, please. Thank you.

In terms of neighbor outreach, there are approximately 85 people with 200 feet of this property, and 55 homes around this alley, in the street-facing lots. So eight of those neighbors have submitted identical party-stats requests, although one just withdrew. The applicant has been responsive to those issues raised, and in general to the neighbors.

He's held informal neighbor meetings, in addition to all of the ANC meetings. And the support for the project is reflected in the 15 class letters of support and testimonies submitted. We've also submitted a draft CMA to address those issues.

For example, there were concerns that we were shrinking the alley. And I think this concern had to do with people possibly utilizing the applicant's property to maneuver cars, which of course is an entirely separate issue. But regardless, we increased the setbacks, to make people feel more comfortable with that.

The trash trucks will still have the same exact

2.3

amount of space to enter the alleys as they do now. These will be single-family homes, so they will have the same amount of trash as the other single-family homes in this square.

We've offered to work with the neighbors to come up with a solution for construction traffic and possible parking on the lot, in the event that there are issues with parking during construction. We do have a large area to stage construction, so it shouldn't be an issue, but we've offered. We've also reduced the buildings to create additional green space. In terms of the concerns over fire safety, we submitted a fire code review to the ANC and to the record.

I'll add that while the matter-of-right option is not financially feasible, as I'll get into, the regulations would allow something like a 20,000-square-foot single-family home at 80 percent lot occupancy, just based on the size of the lot. So the massing of the proposed homes is actually less, I think, than what is permitted by right. The relief is about subdividing into multiple lots to provide six single-family homes, not the massing itself.

And the types of issues raised seem to be related to construction in general. So I think the CMA that we provided should address those concerns, and of course, we're willing to continue to work with the neighbors to refine that. And again, we do have 15 or 16 letters in support. And there's some relatively strong testimony submitted, as many other

2.3

neighbors do want the development, and are concerned that, if it's not approved, crime issues will return, because it will be vacant again. Next slide, please?

So I did go over this, but I just want to emphasize that the proposal meets all other development standards. Our building is set back sufficiently form the alley. The lots meet the subdivision requirements, in terms of lot width and lot area. It's just that C 306.1(b) requires access of 24 feet -- a 24-foot-wide alley all the way to the street, and we don't have that.

So this lot can never be subdivided by right. Even subdividing it into two or three lots would require this exact same relief. The only matter-of-right option for residential use is a single-family home. Everything else requires an area variance.

This is not a use variance. The use itself is required -- I mean, sorry, is permitted. So we're not required to prove impossibility, although we're pretty close to doing that. And again, the use itself, single-family homes, are permitted on these alley lots. So the issue is related to the existing alley width, which we cannot change. Next slide, please.

In terms of the first prong of the area variance test, the property is exceptional, due to a confluence of factors. First, it is the only alley lot on this square and

2.3

block. It is huge. 10,750 square feet. It is the largest lot in this square by about 3,000 square feet. It is much bigger than other alley lots in this area. It does not have 24-foot-wide alleys, and can't increase alley width.

It is vacant, and has not already been developed, so it requires utility extensions, which are quite expensive. It is already a record lot that has not been developed, based on available public records. It has existed in its current configuration since at least 1927, almost 100 years, with no development.

Had someone created tax lots on here, prior to 2016, it would require special exception relief to subdivide. Accordingly, the property is unique, relative to other properties in this square, and other alley lots in this area.

The OP report states that the subject property is larger than other lots within the square. It is not unusual alley lots larger than surrounding to be the street-fronting record lots. First, that is not the only argument we've put forth, but as demonstrated on the next slides, that's not true at all in this area. There are a few other groups of alley lots, and they are smaller, or about the same size as the surrounding street-fronting record lots.

And again, OP did not address our other arguments, such as the unique costs of bringing utilities to the alley property, compared with the street-facing lots or the unique

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

alley width, compared to the other alley lots. Next slide, please?

As I mentioned, there are about three groups of alley lots in this area. There are some across North Carolina, which is to the south, and they're about the same size and configuration as the lots in this photo. In general, there are relatively few alley lots in the area. It's not an area where every square has several alley lots, like you might see in Georgetown or near Logan Circle.

And the alley lots in this area are relatively small. They're either smaller than the other street-facing lots, or about the same size. None are larger, and certainly not 3,000 square feet larger, than the street-facing lots in their respective squares. Next slide, please?

This photo shows the other group of alley lots. So these alley lots have a 40-foot-wide alley. They are smaller than most of the properties in this square. The property in the middle was actually subdivided recently, by right, because it has a 40-foot alley. And it used to be about the same size, maybe a little smaller than the subject property, but again, they were able to subdivide, whereas we can't.

And so in this area it is unique to have such a large undeveloped alley lot that is the only alley lot in the square, and the practical difficulties and additional costs

2.3

of development, described on the next slide, are directly related to the size and nature of this alley lot, relative to the others, as well as the other street lots. And in general, relative to all lots in the area. Next slide, please?

In terms of the practical difficulty prong, the OP report simply says, the property could be developed, consistent with the zoning, with one single-family dwelling, but the applicant argues the cost associated with this would be excessive. In terms of that report, it's a statement of fact, and there's no discussion of any specific argument beyond that sentence.

And we did provide quite detailed cost breakdowns in our prehearing statement, because in this case, the applicant has to bring utilities to this property to do any development here. It requires a relatively substantial amount of grading, because of how the lot is situated. And so the utility extensions are really driving the increased cost, although the grading costs are significant.

And so that is unique to the property, relative to all other properties in this square that already have utilities. There don't need to be any utility extensions for any of those properties. They're also all already developed.

So these costs total approximately \$600,000. A normal house in D.C. costs about \$700,000 to develop. So these utilities and grading costs would increase the total

2.3

development cost from about \$700,000 or \$800,000, which is a normal cost, to about \$1.4 million. And that doesn't even factor in the purchase price of the property. So the increase in development cost is directly related to these utility and grading issues.

And while other alleys in the area may face the same issues, they've already been subdivided, or were permitted to be subdivided, into smaller lots, to offset the cost, which is exactly what we're trying to do. And the request is directly related to the unique challenges with this lot. Next slide, please?

So continuing on with practical difficulties, as I mentioned, the cost to develop, without even factoring in a purchase price is \$1.4 million. According to the Office of Tax and Revenue, the land is valued at about \$73,000. So a reasonable round development cost estimate, which factors in the OTR price, is about \$1.5 million, to just break even on a single-family home.

And the highest sales price for a home in this square is \$1.3 million, and that house is not on an alley, and was sold after an interior remodel. And so the cost to do an interior remodel was likely much less, as the house had existing utilities, and no major grading was needed.

According to Zillow, the average Zestimate for the houses on this square is about \$913,000, which is about

2.3

\$600,000 less than our \$1.5 million break-even point. And so that difference between average and the required price tag for the matter-of-right options is that \$600,000, which is around the exact cost of the additional utilities and grading unique to this site.

And so these are the exceptional practical difficulties, because no other alley lots or street-facing lots face these challenges, as the alley lots either already have utilities, or have subdivided in order to offset the costs of bringing utilities to alley developments.

Further, there is no market for a 20,000-square-foot alley dwelling, and it would be extremely difficult and likely impossible to sell. It's too big of a risk, given that there are no comps in the area. It would make it hard to appraise for \$1.5 million. It's likely to sit on the market for some time, driving up holding costs.

The alternative is smaller create single-family home on this lot, but that would leave a significant amount of land vacant, creating potential nuisance and safety issues again. And it would still require the applicant to sell the property for a much higher price than the surrounding properties, because the utility costs are The only decrease in costs from a smaller home still there. would be slightly lower construction costs. But that doesn't decrease the utility costs.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

And finally, one clear indication that development isn't feasible without some sort of subdivision to offset those costs is the fact that this lot has been undeveloped for 100 years. No one has found it feasible to develop anything on this lot, and that's because the economics don't make sense for a single-family home or for any other use. Next slide, please?

So alternatively, wanting to exhaust all options, we contemplated whether we could widen the alley. And that would require taking some land from our property. But we would also have to take land from other properties next to the alley, because the properties that face C Street would have to be widened, too. The 24-foot-wide alley has to extend to the street.

So in order to widen the alley on the west side, the applicant would lose six feet of depth on its west side, would have to purchase the property located at the entrance to the alley on that west side, 1522 C Street, which is an existing residential single-family row dwelling, and then take six feet from that already-narrow property.

And on the east side the applicant would lose four feet of depth, and still, again, need to purchase the property located at the entrance, which is an existing two-unit building, and take eight feet from that already-narrow property. This option would eliminate two existing homes and

2.3

dramatically increase the cost of development.

2.3

And the main issue, of course, is that you're taking land from the subject property and also taking existing dwelling units, to create new residential buildings, and it just doesn't make sense to remove buildings from the housing supply to do that. It hurts the integrity of the project, clearly, and is extremely difficult and impossible to achieve. Next slide, please?

So with respect to the last prong, the proposal will turn a vacant, previously-nuisance property into additional family-size housing. I've gone into detail about how we've adjusted the plans and responded to neighbor concerns, as well as -- as well as the level of neighbor support.

F/EMS and DPW have found no safety concerns with alleys measuring 15 feet in width in other BZA cases. Some of those were submitted into the record in our prehearing statement. In this case, we did email DPW and F/EMS to provide comments. Have not heard anything back. We also provided a fire code review by fire safety engineers to the record. It outlines how this building will meet all fire safety codes.

In terms of the integrity of the regulations, any existing alley lot created before 1958 with at least 450 square feet can convert to a record lot without meeting the 24-foot rule. And so those created before 2016 would need just a

special exception in order to get a record lot. So the 24-foot rule is not a consistent factor for creating a new record lot.

The proposed lots are as big as other RF-1 non-alley lots. It is the only unimproved alley lot of this size in the surrounding area. It is truly unique and exceptional. Granting the relief will allow the applicant to utilize the unique dimensions of the site to make the proposed buildings more compatible with the surrounding area than one large, single matter-of-right building.

And so rather than a Mega-mansion, which is allowed by right, we are proposing six row dwellings in this alley, to be more compatible with the RF-1 zone, which is a rowhome district. The proposed uses and building footprints are also permitted by right, as would the subdivision be, but for the width of the alley. Next slide, please?

So in conclusion, there are increased development costs unique to this specific piece of property, due to its location, size, and width of the surrounding alleys, which prohibit the development of a single-family dwelling. If the zoning regulations were strictly enforced, the matter-of-right option, a single-family home, would not be feasible, due to the increased development costs, as those costs drive up the break-even sales price of a single-family home to \$1.5 million.

The average price of the homes in this square is

2.3

about \$900,000, a \$600,000 difference, which makes sense, because that is the cost of the utility extensions and grading unique to this site. Ιt is directly tied to that. Configuring a single-family home on this lot would not only be financially impractical for this owner or any future owner, would ridiculously the outcome be either а large 20,000-square-foot home, or a smaller building on a massive lot, the future owner of which would be required to maintain an 11,000-square-foot lot in the middle of an alley.

Widening the alley is not an option either, as discussed. And while there are those in opposition, there is a consensus from a number of neighbors on this block that the development will be an overall improvement for the crime and drug activity that occurred before the owners purchased this property.

In terms of great weight, the ANC provided a detailed report on how we're meeting the zoning criteria, noting that it was too large to develop. A recent neighbor letter echoed that sentiment, whereas the OP report is relatively silent on any of these arguments, and provided only three sentences on this part of the variance test.

Appeals Finally, the Court of is clear use of а property and increased expense and applicant can considered inconvenience to the be when determining whether the second rung of the variance test is

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

1	met. In this case, the matter-of-right option, a
2	single-family home, would clearly be inviable and impractical,
3	resulting in increased expense and inconvenience to the
4	applicant.
5	And the area variance test is not a test of
6	impossibility, but it is a test of practical difficulty, and
7	we clearly meet that threshold, as there are clear practical
8	difficulties related to this specific lot. And with that,
9	I will turn it over to the project architect to briefly walk
10	through the plans.
11	MR. CROSS: Next slide, please?
12	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Could you introduce yourself
13	for the record, please, sir?
14	MR. CROSS: Sure. Michael Cross, project
15	architect for the applicant.
16	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Thank you.
17	MR. CROSS: As described, this property is
18	currently vacant, as shown here. It
19	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Cross, you're breaking up.
20	Or you've broken up. If you have a problem, Mr. Cross, I
21	guess you can call in, if you can hear me. I think somebody's
22	not muted. If they want to mute? I think it's somebody who's
23	calling in on the phone.
24	MR. CROSS: Better now?
25	CHAIRDERSON HILL. Yeah we can hear you Mr

1 Well, I thought we could hear you, Mr. Cross. Cross. 2 Is this any better? MR. CROSS: 3 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah, we can hear you. And now -- are you talking? 4 No? 5 MR. CROSS: Can you hear me now? CHAIRPERSON HILL: 6 Yes. 7 MR. CROSS: Okay. I apologize. I don't know why The property is currently a vacant lot. 8 that happened again. It's ringed by alleyways, in the middle of a block, bounded 9 10 by the 300 block of 15th Street on the west, the 300 block 11 of 16th Street on the east, with D Street to the north, and 12 C to the south. Next slide, please? The existing property is over 10,000 square feet. 13 We're proposing to divide it into six equal lots, all 14 15 approximately 1,800 square feet, which is conforming with the 16 intent of the lot sizes for this zone, and similar to other 17 lot sizes in the area. We're proposing six single-family 18 homes, one on each lot. Next slide? 19 Each of these homes is proposed to be approximately They're featuring five 20 2,400 square feet of livable area. 21 bedrooms, five and a half baths. Next slide, please. The homes will only be two stories tall. And they'll all be over 22 2.3 a partial cellar. All properties will feature a private roof 24 Next slide, please. Each property will have access deck.

to at least one off-street parking space, with five of the

1 six properties having access to two off-street parking spaces. 2 Next slide? 3 CHAIRPERSON HILL: How are you providing the 4 off-street parking spaces? 5 MR. CROSS: Yeah, on this slide, you can see, at the top, that there's a large change in topography from the 6 7 front to the rear, that is, from the 15th Street alley to the 16th Street alley, which allows us to have access to the cellar 8 9 level, that partial cellar that we're proposing, along the 10 16th Street alley side. That lower side. 11 CHAIRPERSON HILL: I see. I see. I see. Sorry, 12 I missed those. Okay. 13 MR. CROSS: Next slide, please? As mentioned, the client has spent a good bit of time interacting with the 14 15 neighbors. We've attended several meetings, including a 16 community member -- a community meeting with the 4564 Block 17 Club. We have collected numerous letters of support, and 18 we have revised our proposal, based on those interactions, 19 20 and with the written opposition that we have received, to 21 include more green space on the lots, which reduced the footprints of the buildings, to add courtyards. 22 And the 2.3 courtyards not only reduce the mass, but also provide outdoor 24 space for kids to play, dogs to run, so they don't overcrowd 25 the alleyways that surround the properties.

1	The reduced length of the building is we reduced
2	the length of the building adjacent to the alley cross the
3	cross-alleys at the ends, which provides better sightlines
4	for the traffic in the alleys, and reduces the amount of light
5	or increases the amount of light and air to the properties
6	on those ends of the development.
7	And while the garage level in the cellar provides
8	the opportunity for secure construction staging during later
9	stages of construction, the new courtyards provide increased
10	room for onsite construction staging throughout the project.
11	And with that, I'll turn it back over to Alex, if she has
12	anything further to add.
13	MS. WILSON: I have nothing else to add. And we're
14	happy to take questions.
15	CHAIRPERSON HILL: All right. Thanks. Mr.
16	Young, if you could drop the slide deck? Okay. Oh, I see
17	somebody new. Is it Mr. Hubring? Hubing?
18	MR. HUBIG: It's Hubig.
19	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Hubig.
20	MR. HUBIG: Yes, sir.
21	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Could you introduce yourselves
22	for the record, please?
23	MR. HUBIG: Sure. I'm Fritz Hubig. And this is
24	Evan Muchai.

MR. MUCHAI:

25

I'm Evan Muchai with developers and

1	the applicants for the project.
2	CHAIRPERSON HILL: How did you guys find the
3	property?
4	MR. MUCHAI: We were looking for the owners, and
5	we ended up connecting with them after I believe the city
6	was also trying to get in touch with the owners. We ended
7	up connecting with them. I don't recall exactly how. But
8	it took about two, two and a half years of talking to them
9	to get everything resolved and get to this stage.
10	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Are they still in the city?
11	MR. MUCHAI: No, they don't live in D.C. anymore.
12	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Yeah, sometime people
13	are, like, abroad somewhere. You know? Okay. Does the
14	Board have any questions of Ms. Wilson?
15	MR. MUCHAI: I've been doing this a very long time.
16	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Does the Board have any
17	questions of Ms. Wilson? Sure. Mr. Smith?
18	MEMBER SMITH: Ms. Wilson, really quickly on your
19	explanation of the I think it was the exceptional it
20	was the practical difficulty. You stated, to construct a
21	single-family house, it would cost \$953,000. Could you
22	elaborate on how you got to that number?
23	MS. WILSON: Sure. So I might need to have the
24	owners jump in, because they provided that. But the
25	construction cost, in general, not including water and sewer

2 \$363,000. 3 But then when you add in the sewer extension, Pepco utility extension, Washington Gas utility extension, and 4 5 grading, that is all unique to this site, because those things don't already exist, where on a typical street-facing lot, 6 you already have utilities right there. So those additional 7 8 items total -- I think it's \$590,000. I rounded it to \$600,000 9 for purposes of my presentation. But that's how we got to 10 that number. Yes. 11 MEMBER SMITH: And I think, unless 12 something, that the other figure that you used for the costs associated with the -- if you were to develop the rows, it 13 didn't have the cost estimates for sewer taps and whatnot. 14 MS. WILSON: 15 Oh, so that's -- we didn't provide any for developing the rows. We were just trying to -- so 16 17 the second portion just takes the number from the first portion If maybe Mr. Young can pull up Slide 8 of 18 and adds it in. 19 this --20 MEMBER SMITH: Yeah, that would be helpful. -- just to walk through this? 21 MS. WILSON: MEMBER SMITH: I was just asking --22 MS. WILSON: 2.3 Right. We didn't provide those six 24 rows, because the variance option could be anything. I mean, 25 it's two rows, three rows, four rows, five rows, six rows.

and all of the utility and grading extensions, are listed as

We're not really comparing what we're proposing versus what could be done by right. We were just showing what could be done by right's not feasible in general.

MEMBER SMITH: Right.

2.3

MS. WILSON: So if you see that total construction costs before a contingency and other development costs is that 953 figure, which has dropped down into the second thing there? And then the rest of that was added for a total of 1.4, and then I looked up on OTR the costs -- or the, I guess it was, the -- what OTR thinks the property is worth. And it was about \$100,000. So that's where the 1.5 estimate came from in general, just to give an idea of how difficult it would be to sell this.

MEMBER SMITH: Okay. Okay. That's the only question that I had. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Anyone else? Okay. Let's see. Oh, sure, Commissioner Miller? Sorry, I didn't see you.

ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: And thank you, Ms. Wilson, for the applicant's presentation of its case, and for your engagement with the community, which was able -- you were able, with that engagement, to garner support from the ANC, unanimous support, and from the zoning committee of Capitol Hill Restoration Society, but obviously not from the parties who are here, who are registered in opposition to this case.

1	Ms. Wilson, I appreciate also that you provided
2	the well, let me ask about the single-family mega-mansion
3	matter-of-right alternative. So that would be you said
4	that was a 20,000-square-foot that could be a
5	20,000-square-foot matter-of-right single-family home? And
6	that would be a matter-of-right, you're saying?
7	MS. WILSON: I'll have Michael probably give the
8	exact dimensions, but yes, we could do 80 percent lot occupancy
9	on here, and two stories, so I think it would be about 20,000,
LO	maybe a little less. Yes.
L1	ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: That's okay. That wasn't
L2	the real the part of the the part that I wanted to
L3	and that would and that would be allowed as matter-of-right,
L4	on the existing width of the alley? Less than 24 feet.
L5	MS. WILSON: Correct. Correct. Because it's
L6	already a record lot.
L7	ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: It's already a record lot.
L8	And you've provided what was this building it's vacant
L9	now. What was the when was it last occupied, and what was
20	it used as? That may have been in the record. I just out
21	of curiosity. Yeah, I think somebody made a statement that
22	if we that nothing might happen, and it might be returned
23	to being somewhat of a nuisance. I think somebody made a
24	reference to it being somewhat of a problem in the square.

want to

know,

just

But

Ι

25

was

what

the use

previously? Was it a -- was the problematic use that it was vacant? I guess a big vacant property? Or was the problematic use when it was occupied and -- do you know what it was occupied with and when?

MS. WILSON: I might turn it over to the owners.

I did see in the record that one of the neighbors said it
was vacant. And so that's why it was a nuisance.

MR. MUCHAI: This is Evan Muchai, one of the -part of the team that's applying for the variance. So when
we were looking to purchase the property, we looked back to
try and find out if it had been used for something else. And
as far back as we could go -- and we did this through our
environmental surveyor, to see if there had been any use that
was there previously. As far back as we looked, it had not
been built on. So the nuisance part is just because it's a
vacant lot that's sitting there with nothing on it.

ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: Okay. Thank you. And Ms. Wilson, I appreciate the fire engineer's statement about the safety of the alley into the record. But of course, it would be much more -- well, not much more, but I think it would be -- well, I think it would be much more helpful if we had a non-objection letter from Fire and EMS, which -- I assume you tried to get that.

Can we get that into the record, and possibly additional types of non-objection letters from all the

2.3

agencies where people are saying that there needs -- there isn't adequate infrastructure or location, such as D.C. Water and Sewer, DPW for the trash, and maybe -- or is it -- or is it -- yeah, DPW for the trash? And I don't know if there are any other agencies. MPD. But certainly, our own fire department would be -- and that's what we -- well, just answer that, and then I'll --

MS. WILSON: Sure. Sure. So normally in the special exception cases, it's a requirement that, I think, OP also reach out to them. In this particular case, that wasn't a requirement. So I don't know if we have that backing. Maybe OP can also help to push a little bit in this case. But I would love to get that in the record. You know, we would appreciate additional time to put that in. I think that would be very helpful, especially towards the third prong.

EC VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yeah, I think that would be very helpful. And I would -- at least, this Board member would be asking for that, if that helps, and OP, and you, and anybody else who wants to see that into the record. I think the Board want to have that into the record. I see my fellow commissioners nodding. So, well, I guess I'll comment later, and not get into -- because I want to hear from the party in opposition, and I do have an open mind.

But the fact that less than two years ago, the Zoning Commission reduced the required width of alleys from

2.3

24 feet to 15 feet for record lots that had been converted from tax lots, I think -- and we have a sign-off. If we did a -- and that would have to be done by special exception, and as you just referenced, we required that you get Fire and EMS sign-off. And maybe others. I can't remember. Maybe D.C. Water and DPW, as well.

But went back and listened to that less-than-two-year-old case yesterday, which took up a lot of my day. It was clear, at the end of the hearing, or during the hearing and at proposed action, a clear majority of the commission, Office of Planning, and others, I think, realized that this was a -- that was an incremental step, that it didn't really make sense for some record lots, just because they had been converted from tax lots, to be able to have a safe 15-foot-wide alley, when a new record lot could not have one.

And at the time, what was said by everybody -- maybe including myself -- we didn't want to stop that case, which was -- and we advertised to say that all record lots were going to be -- and so it was viewed as an incremental case. And OP promised that in the fall, they would come back with a new case. I don't know what happened to that new case. I know we've put a lot on -- this Board, and the Zoning Commissioners, and the communities around the city have put a lot on Office of Planning, on terms of their workload of doing things, but I don't think we ever saw the new case.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

And I think that it -- so you still have the 24-foot rule for the new record lots. It's still a variance, instead of a special exception, with getting the Fire and -- sign-offs and other sign-offs. So that's where we are, and I can discuss later whether I think you've made the case for an area variance, since that's where we have to be.

But I just wanted to generally kind of -- the Zoning Commission, Office of Planning, realized that it was just an incremental case, that we needed to look at the whole issue. That case was designed to deal with 23 BZA cases that had been heard fairly recently before that period of time, where applicants had come forward on tax lots that had been converted to record lots, and they were less than 24 feet in the alley.

And in 18 of the 23 cases, the BZA approved it, because OP was supportive, the ANC was supportive. In most cases, I think there wasn't any opposition at all, unlike this case, which has had opposition. But so we had an immediate problem that we were trying to deal with, and we were supposed to deal with a more comprehensive issue later. We haven't dealt with it, apparently. I don't know what fell through the cracks there, and I apologize for not keeping up on that.

But anyway, I just wanted to make that comment, Mr. Chairman, about how alleys, lots, similar to this one, are allowed to be developed on, with a 15-foot width, if they have the Fire and other agency sign-offs. So a determination

2.3

1	was already made in a previous case that it could be done
2	safely. So anyway, that's just all I wanted to say at this
3	point. I know we have a lot of people here, and I apologize
4	for taking so long to make that point.
5	CHAIRPERSON HILL: That's all right, Commissioner
6	Miller. I think that was helpful. Okay. Who else has a
7	question for Ms. Wilson? Okay. Ms. Anderson, can you hear
8	me? Oh. Hold on one second. Oh, Ms. Johnson. Okay. Can
9	you hear me, Ms. Johnson?
10	MS. JOHNSON: Yes, I can.
11	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Do you have a question for the
12	applicant?
13	MS. JOHNSON: I have a question for the applicant,
14	because the applicant does not live in the area, and he's
15	talking about the on-the-street parking. There is no
16	on-the-street parking on D Street, 16th Street, or 15th Street.
17	Now, he's speaking of
18	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, Ms. Johnson? Give me one
19	second. You're going to have an opportunity to give your
20	testimony. I'm just asking if you have a question from what
21	they said.
22	MS. JOHNSON: How did they come up with to say that
23	there was on-street parking? How did they come up with that,
24	when they know it's no on-street parking, and everyone
25	CHAIRPERSON HILL: No Ms. Johnson? Ms.

1	Johnson?
2	MS. JOHNSON: Okay.
3	CHAIRPERSON HILL: No, that's all right. I think
4	they said off-street parking.
5	MS. JOHNSON: There's no off-street parking.
6	CHAIRPERSON HILL: They're making garages.
7	MS. JOHNSON: But they're not thinking of the
8	people that already live here. We don't have on-street
9	parking. We park in our backyards. That's where we park at.
10	So how could they say there is going to be available parking?
11	All of us I live on D Street, on the uneven side. And
12	everyone on this uneven side, whether this alley housing
13	affects them or not, they all park in the back of their homes.
14	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Right. Ms. Johnson?
15	Ms. Johnson?
16	MS. JOHNSON: On the 16th Street side, it's the
17	same thing. There's parking on one side of the street, but
18	it's not parking on both sides of the street. So where is
19	there available on-street parking? That's number one. And
20	how could they say
21	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Ms. Johnson? So, Ms. Johnson?
22	Ms. Johnson, let me speak. I'm trying to get your question
23	answered. Your question is your question is, they said
24	that there was off-street parking. Is that your question?

MS. JOHNSON:

That's what they said.

1	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. So I think and Ms.
2	Wilson, I'll let you clarify. I thought you guys said that
3	you were providing off-street parking in terms of, you're
4	providing garages. Correct?
5	MS. JOHNSON: Only for the new homes. That
6	doesn't include that's not including the existing home that
7	are in the block.
8	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yes. They're not providing
9	parking for the existing homes.
10	MS. JOHNSON: I understand that. So where do they
11	think the existing homes parked at?
12	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Where did the existing homes
13	where do the existing homes park now?
14	MS. JOHNSON: In their yards. And when you in
15	their yards. And if you put up housing, how are they going
16	to back out sufficiently from their yards? Because I live
17	between an alley and someone's home, and I have to diagonal
18	my car into my yard, in order for me to park in my yard.
19	So if you put a house if you put housing there,
20	with a wall on the D Street side, which I live on the D
21	Street side, and I live between the alley and the 15th Street
22	alley, and Mr. Dickson's home. I have to kind of diagonal
23	my car to get into my parking space in my yard. That doesn't
24	leave me any space. That doesn't leave me any variance of

anything.

1	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Ms. Johnson, you're still going
2	to have your alley.
3	MS. JOHNSON: That alley is going to be reduced
4	down. You said it's only going to be 15 feet, 16 to 18 feet.
5	Right now, it's not that wide.
6	CHAIRPERSON HILL: No, they're not taking anything
7	away from the alley, Ms. Johnson.
8	MS. JOHNSON: But they said in the what I heard
9	is that they may have to take some people's property in order
10	to provide for the alleys.
11	CHAIRPERSON HILL: No. They're making
12	MS. JOHNSON: But they said something about taking
13	homes, their land.
14	CHAIRPERSON HILL: I understand, Ms. Johnson.
15	MS. JOHNSON: Because, I mean, we already exist
16	here. We already exist. We're not coming up to be built.
17	We're already here. We can't be moved. Our houses can't
18	be moved out and then just make room so they can build. They
19	want to build
20	MEMBER SMITH: Ms. Johnson? Ms. Johnson?
21	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, Ms. Johnson? Ms.
22	Johnson? Go ahead, Mr. Smith.
23	MEMBER SMITH: Ms. Johnson, they're not taking any
24	alley. What you heard was, in order for them to meet the Office
25	of Zoning's requirements for alley width, in theory, they would

Τ	have to purchase other properties. But they re not proposing
2	that. The alley will not change.
3	MS. JOHNSON: How do they know that there's not
4	going to be I'm looking at Item Number what is it? Excuse
5	me for a second. Because Item Number 49, which is for the
6	Office of Planning and recommendation, it says, the Office
7	of Planning and OP recommends denial of the following area
8	variance relief. And it mentions Subtitle C 306.1(a), new
9	alley records lots. Precedent to
10	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Ms. Johnson? Ms. Johnson?
11	Ms. Johnson? Ms. Johnson, you will have
12	MS. JOHNSON: Yes, I'm listening.
13	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Please, you will have an
14	opportunity to give your testimony. This is only for
15	questions right now. It doesn't seem like you have any
16	questions for
17	MS. JOHNSON: Well, my question is, how come they
18	denied this, and now you want to say it's approved?
19	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, we haven't approved
20	anything yet, Ms. Johnson.
21	MEMBER SMITH: The Office of Planning, for all of
22	our cases, they review them, and they write a staff report,
23	and make a recommendation on their part of should we approve
24	it or deny it?

But to answer your original -- we haven't approved

We're just hearing the case. OP will come in, you 1 2 know, relatively shortly, and discuss the reason why they recommend we should deny the case. But to the question about 3 4 the alley width, that pavement width that you see out there 5 now is not changing. It is owned -- that pavement is owned by the District of Columbia. It is not going to change. 6 7 MS. JOHNSON: Okay. I'm listening. 8 MEMBER SMITH: Okay. 9 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right, Ms. 10 Anderson, do you have any questions of Ms. Wilson? 11 MS. ANDERSON: Can you hear me? 12 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yes. 13 MS. ANDERSON: Okay. I just wondered -- I just wanted to clarify, have her clarify, please -- and thank you 14 15 for your presentation, thank you for letting me come and talk. 16 Could you just clarify one more time that -- you had mentioned 17 \$73,000, and I thought I heard you say -- but I wasn't sure if that was the cost of the property? 18 I just used that figure from Office 19 MS. WILSON: 20 of Tax and Revenue's estimated value of the property as sort 21 of a neutral, you know, cost, instead of the actual cost, just 22 because that was a fair, reasonable cost, rather than something subjective, because, you know, this area variance and the 2.3 24 difficulties would apply to any future owner, regardless of

I used that number.

purchase benefit.

1	MS. ANDERSON: And how much was the actual cost?
2	MS. WILSON: I'm not sure if we have that figure.
3	And it's not relevant in our argument. It's not something
4	I testified to.
5	MS. ANDERSON: Okay. Well, and later, just later,
6	there is a reason I asked. And when I get to give my testimony,
7	perhaps it will become more relevant. But thank you.
8	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Okay, thanks, Ms.
9	Anderson. Ms. Young, I was confused if any of the other
10	party-status members were on the call.
11	MS. JOHNSON: David.
12	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Oh, Mr. Dickson, are you there?
13	MS. BEYERS: I've been listening.
14	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Oh, great. Ms. Beyers, can you
15	introduce yourself for the record again?
16	MS. BEYERS: I'm Martha Beyers. I live at 1505
17	D Street Northeast.
18	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Do you have any
19	questions for the applicant about their presentation?
20	MS. BEYERS: No. I learned a lot that I didn't
21	know previously, with some of our questions, so I appreciate
22	it.
23	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right. Then let me
24	do this. Let me go ahead and let oh, Mr. Dickson, are you
25	there? Mr. Dickson? Okay.

1	MR. YOUNG: He is on. He's on the 313 number.
2	MR. DICKSON: Hello?
3	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Dickson, can you hear me?
4	MR. DICKSON: I can hear you. Can you hear me?
5	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yes. Could you introduce
6	yourself for the record again, sir?
7	MR. DICKSON: Okay. I'm David Dickson. I'm at
8	336 16th Street. That's Northeast Washington. And I've been
9	here for a number of years. One thing that
10	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Dickson, just give me
11	Mr. Dickson, just give me one second. You guys will be able
12	to give your testimony. We're just doing questions right now
13	about the applicant's testimony. Did you have any questions
14	about the applicant's testimony?
15	MR. DICKSON: I heard some things in the testimony
16	that I didn't that they should've known. And the it
17	was farmland in 1937. And this property was developed in 1937.
18	R.L. Merritt (phonetic) was the developer at the time.
19	MS. JOHNSON: It's not that. I mean
20	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Dickson, hold on one
21	second. There's a little crosstalk. Ms. Johnson, could you
22	mute your phone, please? Mr. Dickson, we're going to take
23	testimony in a minute from you guys. It doesn't sound do
24	you have any questions about the testimony that the applicant
25	gave?

MR. DICKSON: Well, what Ι understand is 1 2 that they --3 MS. JOHNSON: No, I do not have any. CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, Ms. Johnson, just mute 4 5 And Mr. Dickson, let me start to take you your line, please. And then let's see where we get. 6 quys' testimony. Ms. 7 Anderson, can you hear me now? 8 MS. ANDERSON: Yes. 9 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. I'm going to start with 10 you, and then I'm going to go to Ms. Johnson, and then I'm 11 going to go to Mr. Dickson, and then I'm going to go to Ms. 12 So Ms. Anderson, if you can go ahead and give your 13 testimony? 14 MS. ANDERSON: Okay. Okay. Sure. Sure. Okav. 15 Again, thank you for listening. It's been a long day for 16 Obviously, it's long for you. evervone. It's long for us, 17 Right? too. I just wanted to let you know, Mr. Dickson can do 18 19 a better job about giving the history of this property. 20 I wanted to let you know that calling it a nuisance property 21 kind of ruffles my feathers a little bit, because we do have 22 a history with that. Under Mr. Dickson's direction and help, we took care of that side of that hill that needs cutting all 2.3 24 the time, and cleaning all the time, and we did that as

We took care of that, as citizens.

citizens.

Right?

And then at one point, there were attempts from one of the neighbors to purchase it. And those attempts were thwarted. And then at one point, we were even approached by the city. We all went down to the -- I believe it was the Wilson Building, had a meeting with -- I wish I had kept the records -- somebody at the Wilson Building who said, you know, this is an unusual piece of property, and we had started a -- there's a couple of places where we think that we can let the neighbors form kind of a collective, and as long as you don't make a profit of it, you can manage it yourself. You can turn it into green space, you can turn it into a couple of extra parking spaces. We were excited.

And then the next thing you know, when I was acquiring, hey, this is a great -- and all the neighbors were excited. Right? And then all of а sudden, it just disappeared, and then I inquired about it, you know, I hit And I talked to somebody today who said, oh, yeah, they did that up near Crispus Attucks Park. And they said, oh, it's beautiful up there. They did this, they did that, they did the other thing. And it's beautiful.

So in terms of saying, oh, it's just a vacant lost, and there can't be anything except this project built on it, that is a little bit presumptuous. Right? Because there had been other plans, and the city said no. Right? So that is a little piece of history that -- obviously, you can maybe

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

understand why some of us are a little ruffled, I guess, to say the least. Right?

And as far as the crime, there is a tree up there, which I will get to later, but there's a big tree that neighbors used to sit under, and yeah, I mean, you know, they play music, they have a little bit of fun. And some people in the neighborhood called those, the people sitting under that tree, as a nuisance. So I just wanted to let you know where some of the nuisance property was coming from. Right? So that's one thing. Right?

And then in terms of -- just as far as DDOT goes, approving it, DDOT did it would increase say parking difficulty. Ιt didn't say it would be major parking difficulty, but it would increase parking difficulty. for me, any parking difficulty is an increase in parking difficulty, whether it's, you know major or minor. It's hard to find a place to park. And so that's an issue.

One of the issues that is near and dear to my heart, and that I can speak to, while other people here can speak to other things, is that -- is it green space? You say you have provided green space. Well, the little bit there that you have proposed -- I talked to somebody, a forester, today, and somebody in the Ward -- a Ward forester.

They took a look at your proposal and said, you know, that is not -- first of all, to plant a tree, you would

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

have to -- it needs more space. It needs more light. It needs better root system -- to be able to have -- and you have to water it constantly for three years before it can take care of itself.

People coming into some of these places are not going to do, like, what I would do with a tree. Right? They're going to let it die. Maybe plant some little flowers. Okay, so, I mean, maybe or maybe not, that's green space.

A huge thing is, I do believe there -- and I hope it doesn't get cut down because of this tonight. I do believe that tree in that area is a heritage tree. And the reason I believe that is because the definition of a heritage tree is, at four and a half feet high, the circumference has to be 100 inches.

I went out, and because there's a fence around it now, I didn't climb the fence to go measure it, but I went out and looked at a similar tree and measured it. It was 120 inches in circumference. So and then if it's lower than that, but still 40 inches, you need a special permit to do anything to it. So I just wanted to talk about that. That needs to be considered.

Also, when I was talking to the person about the tree, he was saying, people don't realize how much that tree is going to stop runoff, is going to keep houses cooler in the summer and warmer in the winter. And I know that because

2.3

2	in the back died, and I cut it down, and I'm so much hotter
3	without having that tree there.
4	Another thing is that, while it's two stories, I
5	believe it's, like, 94, 92, 94 feet high, your buildings?
6	So right now, there's not a high building there. So right
7	now, I get a lot of light in my personal space. Having just
8	15 feet or 20 feet from my house a tall building that's, like,
9	I don't know, 92 feet high, right? A two-story building is
10	how high is it?
11	MS. WILSON: 20 feet. That's the maximum
12	permitted height for an alley dwelling, so it's 20.
13	MS. ANDERSON: Okay. Thank you. Okay.
14	Excuse me, I was wrong, then. I was reading your I was
15	reading some of your sketches wrong. So I apologize for that.
16	But you said it was two stories high. Right? Is that
17	correct?
18	MS. WILSON: Correct. That's also the story
19	limit. So 10 feet for each story.
20	MS. ANDERSON: Okay. So even two stories, built
21	on a hill above my house, is going to limit the amount of sun
22	that I get. And that may not be a big reason, but it's just
23	a personal objection.
24	Let's see what else. It's going to be noisier.
25	It's going to have more congestion. We already have

I had a tree in the front and a tree in the back, and the tree

1	high-density housing in the neighborhood. There is one
2	building. It's not a home. It's for people to come and
3	there's not even individual apartments in it. It's already
4	high-density. And this is what I consider a high-density
5	area.
6	So there's more noise, there's going to be more
7	traffic, there's more congestion, there's more disturbance.
8	I think that that's all. I mean, I know that there are a
9	few other things that other people have to say, so I'll just
10	stop right there.
11	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Thank you, Ms.
12	Anderson.
13	MS. ANDERSON: You're welcome.
14	CHAIRPERSON HILL: And just we'll see what
15	happens next. Ms. Johnson, can you hear me? Ms. Anderson,
16	if you could mute your line?
17	MS. ANDERSON: Oh, I am so sorry. Thank you. My
18	apologies.
19	CHAIRPERSON HILL: That's all right. That's all
20	right. Ms. Johnson?
21	MS. JOHNSON: Yes, I can hear you. Can you
22	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Ms. Johnson, if you I
23	can hear you. You want to go ahead and give your testimony?
24	MS. JOHNSON: Okay. Well, my testimony is
25	basically like Ms. Anderson's. And also, I'm going along with

-- like, here you have a two-story dwelling that has been open for so many years, and now that you have this, you don't have the adequate lighting or just spacing around, because they just finished a condominium that was a church, and it has some parking, but it does not have adequate parking for the whole building.

They're redoing a home that was sold, and making it into four stories, where that person parked in the back. They're not going to have any parking at all, as far as them purchasing those condos, which means that they will have to park on the street, and there's no parking on the street available now. And so you're looking at parking problems. And then, you know, people fighting for spaces. You know, the alleys.

And like you said, the fire department and everything, have they approved? Is it adequate? Is it enough space for them to come in and be able to -- in case there was a fire? And they would be able to come in and maneuver through the alleys?

Right now, they don't have any problems, because that space is available. But if you're talking about putting up six dwellings, five of them with parking, the other one without parking, what do you think that would cause? That would be a problem.

And I think that we have legitimate -- we have been

2.3

living here. We have been, like Ms. Anderson said, we have been cleaning off that portion of land. We have been mowing it down, making arrangements with the city to come and pick up the trash that we cut down, the grass and the limbs and things that grow up there.

And then you've got to speak of the rodents. Once you start digging -- there has never been any piping placed on that land at all. That means that they would be just, like, going into the country, putting in piping, gas piping or whatever, lighting, setting up lights, so that they can have lights in the home and everything. All that would have to be rebuilt, would have to be built, when we already have our lighting and pipes in our homes, and sewage and everything, and the drainage.

The drainage -- we just got a letter today from the city, saying that they're going to start working on the parking lot. They worked on a portion of the parking lot, back during the fall, and they ran and they fixed the part from 15th Street to 16th Street.

They had to do a little special area right in front of Mr. Dickson's home and my home, and the home down there, that area down there by the church, where they turned into condos, because you had to consider people -- that they have to back out of their yards to come get in and out.

And just -- like, they would have not been able

2.3

1	to do that, because it was we have potholes and everything
2	in the alley. And then we had been asking to have it repaired
3	for at least, I know for myself, seven years. And they did
4	not decide to do anything until last year. Now, did they think
5	of the citizens that pay taxes in the District of Columbia?
6	And we are tax-paying customers. We may not own
7	the land, but we do own the property that's on the land. And
8	just, like, we have to pay taxes on it. So we have not been
9	considered at all. It's a matter of just wanting to build,
10	and everything. So that is my issue. Thank you.
11	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Thanks, Ms. Johnson.
12	I meant to ask Ms. Anderson this, and I'll ask you first.
13	Ms. Johnson, did you get to go to the ANC meeting?
14	MS. JOHNSON: No, I did not. I don't know anything
15	about the ANC meetings. We were not informed of it. They
16	only informed those that submitted letters, that I see that
17	are listed on this it has letters from different homeowners
18	in the area. But we were not informed of anything.
19	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Okay. You
20	didn't go. Ms. Anderson, did you go to the ANC meeting?
21	MS. ANDERSON: No. And I am kicking myself that
22	I didn't realize it was happening.
23	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right. Okay.
24	Let's see. Mr. Dickson, can you hear me? Mr. Dickson? Mr.
25	Dickson, can you hear me? Mr. Dickson, you might be on mute.

I don't know how -- Mr. Young, do you know how to unmute? 1 2 Do they have to push a button? Oh, there we go. 3 MR. DICKSON: Hello? Yeah, we can hear you now, sir. 4 CHAIRPERSON HILL: 5 Can you go ahead --6 MR. DICKSON: Okay. CHAIRPERSON HILL: Could you go ahead and give us 7 8 your testimony, please? 9 MR. DICKSON: My name is David Dickson. I live 10 at 336 16th Street. That's Northeast Washington. I've been 11 here since the late '50s. I've been the block captain here 12 We've maintained the lot. There was big for many years. 13 trees on it. We felled the big trees, and we had them --Department of Public Works to take them away. 14 15 over the last 50 years. There's a lot -- we do have a lot 16 of questions. Ms. Anderson alluded to purchasing the property. 17 I was the one who put the bid in to purchase the property, 18 19 I went through all of the steps that DCW required me 20 to go to, as the taxpayer. And we had everything gone through, 21 like payoffs and so forth, and so I paid all the lawyer fees 22 and everything. And the time period passed, and I went down to pay it off. 2.3 I used their attorneys, and so forth, and they 24 told me that -- when I got down there, they said, hey, we can't

sell it to you for that price.

So we went after them for bait-and-switch. So then they said, well, we'll have to get back to you. So what they did, when I got down there, is tell me it was \$103,000. I was standing -- they had let go to a -- So, okay. So some people want to pay -- I think his name's -- or -- from Tax and Revenue. And so they put some people on the case, and so the people they put on the case ended up in a secular assault case, and they were fired. So that just kind of left my case hanging.

So a few years ago, I got a call that said that -- are you still interested? We're going to have a meeting on the property, and are you still interested? So we went to the meeting. And they came up and said, yeah -- I said, yeah, still interested. And they said, well, how much? They tell us, \$250,000. I said, yeah, I'll take it. After that, they didn't -- we couldn't get in touch with them. They didn't ever call us back.

And so now we have the situation now, where somebody else -- when we knew it belonged to R.L. Merritt. And R.L. Merritt paid tax on it the last time in 1837. We've been through that with this -- the property was a farm. It was subdivided in 1935. So it's all D.C. history. It's in the records. And Mr. Merritt -- they developed it prior to that, so they could subdivide it, and the property was a farm prior to it.

2.3

1	And the vacant lot, in '95, was the orchard. So
2	it was not just abandoned property. It was an orchard. The
3	property was a farm, and if you go back in the records it's
4	in the records. And when they did the microfiche I did
5	the records, 20, 30 years ago, it was all there. But when
6	you start looking at what has happened over time, it was like
7	they didn't want the people of the neighborhood to own the
8	property. And so we've gotten to the point where we just said,
9	well, okay, leave it, let's see what happens.
10	So what happened here is that 12 years ago, the
11	mayor gave all these rights to the developers, to find these
12	properties. And that's what they did. They found the
13	properties. And then they went through the District to
14	acquire these properties. So that's how we got here today.
15	They told me that they found heirs for the property,
16	and the property really didn't belong to the District, and
17	they found the heirs to the property, and the developers put
18	up some money to pay the taxes, and went to the heirs, and
19	that's how they got the property. That's how
20	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Okay, Mr. Dickson?
21	MR. DICKSON: Those are the things that I have.
22	But now, on the property itself. Now, we have the
23	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Dickson, I've got to let
24	you go. I just want to Mr. Dickson? I'm going to give

you another three minutes to tell us again -- to finish your

testimony, if that's okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

Okay. Right now, we are in a MR. DICKSON: situation now where -- I told this and so forth the other day. When they were out here the other day, it was raining. with the new alley, we get numerous amounts of water. When we get a heavy rain, it comes from C Street and both alleys. It'll come from 15th Street in the alley. And it runs past And when you get a heavy rain, it runs right my property. across 16th Street. Runs across 16th Street, down towards 17th Street, and about halfway down the block, it runs into a fellow's basement. That's the one problem.

And right here beside my house, at the corner, on the other side of me, they had the same problem. Because what they did, they went up and they put a blacktop coating on top of concrete, to install the firehose. And the steel was higher than the water coming down the alley, so the water would hit the concrete and go to the left and go into people's houses.

Over time, things have had -- we don't want that to happen again. We're trying to stop this stuff before it happens. On the lot itself, Ms. Anderson, she alluded to it. There is going to be a lot of shading, and snow and that type thing, when it falls, it's going to be shaded, so you're not going to -- it's not going to melt, it's going to lay there.

Rain, the runoff, where's the runoff going to go?
Where is the electrical? What's the name of the street?

1 What is it on? Is the street one-way? Is there any parking 2 on the street it's going to be on? You know, just -- it's 3 a whole lack of -- Ms. Anderson alluded to parking. Mary Johnson, sorry, alluded to parking. 4 alluded to parking. 5 And so if you put a street in, is it going to be one-way? Okay, Mr. Dickson. 6 CHAIRPERSON HILL: 7 Because it's not going to be wide MR. DICKSON: enough to do two cars. 8 9 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, Mr. Dickson. 10 MR. DICKSON: It is going to -- yeah. If you could just wrap up, Mr. 11 CHAIRPERSON HILL: 12 Dickson? 13 MR. DICKSON: Yeah. So is the --I quess the question here is, in our situation, how are we going to get 14 15 in -- how are cars going to fit into that street? Right now, it's going to have to be -- it'll have to come up 16th Street, 16 17 go up through the alley, because you've got to make a right So C Street is one way, going west. 18 So you've got to 19 come up there to get into that street. 20 And then where are you going to empty out of the 21 -- where are the streets going to empty out? Because it empties out in two alleys, one alley behind B Street, where 22 2.3 Ms. Johnson is located. So you're going to go -- you're going 24 to put the street in a dead end? They're going to dead-end

You know, we -- planning, we don't -- people need

the street?

1	better planning here.
2	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Okay.
3	MR. DICKSON: Okay. Thank you.
4	CHAIRPERSON HILL: All right. Thank you, Mr.
5	Dickson.
6	MR. DICKSON: Yes.
7	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Is Ms. Beyers with us? Ms.
8	Beyers, can you hear me?
9	MS. BEYERS: Yes, I'm there.
10	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Could you introduce yourself
11	for the record again, Ms. Beyers?
12	MS. BEYERS: I'm Martha Beyers, and I live I've
13	been on the street since '88, and I'm at 1505 D Street
14	Northeast.
15	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, thanks, Ms. Beyers. Ms.
16	Beyers, if you want to go ahead and give us your testimony?
17	MS. BEYERS: Well, I'll just repeat what Kathy
18	said. So I won't need to say anything else.
19	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right, Ms. Beyers.
20	Okay. Does the applicant have any questions for any of the
21	witnesses?
22	MS. WILSON: No, thank you.
23	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Does the Board Members
24	have any questions for any of the witnesses? Mr. Blake?
25	MEMBER BLAKE: Yeah, two quick questions. Mr.

1	Dickson, did you attend the ANC meeting?
2	MR. DICKSON: Hello? Hello?
3	MEMBER BLAKE: Yes.
4	MR. DICKSON: Hello?
5	MEMBER BLAKE: Yes, Mr. Dickson, are you there?
6	MR. DICKSON: Hello? Yes. Yes.
7	MEMBER BLAKE: Did you attend
8	MR. DICKSON: I was not privy to that information.
9	I did not know about the meeting.
10	MEMBER BLAKE: Okay. Ms. Beyers, did you attend
11	the ANC meeting?
12	MS. BEYERS: No, I was not aware of it.
13	MEMBER BLAKE: Okay. Thank you. There's one
14	other question for all of you. Do people tend to use that
15	space for parking?
16	MR. DICKSON: Yes, on occasion, because if we have
17	any kind of affairs, if anybody's coming to town, it has been
18	Even when they built the building over on 15th Street,
19	that 32-unit building, they used that lot for parking their
20	construction equipment. It's been I don't know how they
21	would do without having that lot. And that's what you asked.
22	So if we yes, sure, people in the neighborhood
23	park there, because there's always been a problem with space
24	available. Because we've had the problem a few times, it was
25	on 16th Street the streets are all one-way around here.

2 as an escape for some of the parking problems we had here in 3 the area. MEMBER BLAKE: Okay. I understand. Thank you. 4 5 Thank you. MR. DICKSON: Yes. 6 7 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right. Let me go 8 ahead and turn to the Office of Planning. If everyone can 9 mute their sound if they're not talking? Mr. Mordfin, I'm 10 sorry, you're on mute. 11 MR. MORDFIN: Still on mute? 12 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Nope, we got you. 13 MS: Okay. Okay, sorry. Okay, I'm Stephen Mordfin with the Office of Planning. 14 The Office of Planning 15 -- the report does recommend denial of this application. 16 However, it also recommends that the applicant contact the 17 different agencies, such as Fire and Emergency Services, and Public Works and D.C. Water, regarding this case. 18 Despite what the applicant said, I did contact all 19 20 those agencies. Usually, the other ones, too. I was not able 21 to get any of those agencies to submit anything to the record 22 indicating how they -- what their opinion was, one way or the 2.3 other. 24 Because part of the intent and purpose of these 25 regulations is for safety, and to get comments from those

And so you only can park on one side. And so we use that

agencies would -- you know, saying that yes, it is okay, or no, it's not okay, or whatever -- would help the applicant to make their case for no substantial impairment to the intent, purpose and integrity of the zoning regulations, because the arrows -- I'm sorry, the alleys are narrow. So that is the purpose of that.

And so that is one reason why, you know, we do not find that this meets the three criteria. You know, although it is a large lot, and it could be developed, we don't have anything indicating that it would also be safe to develop it as a smaller lot. Those regulations are in there for a reason, to ensure the safety of any future development.

So substantial detriment to the public good -- it, too, leads back to the safety. You know, what do these agencies think? When we wrote the regulations that were updated, that was done in concert with these other agencies -- how much alley width they thought they would need in order to provide their services.

It's a record lot now, so, you know, recommending denial of any use of it at all, that leads to other problems. But if you want to subdivide it, then you have to make sure that you can bring it up to current standards, or standards that will work for those agencies that ensure the safety of those buildings.

And so therefore, you know, impairment to the

2.3

intent, purpose and integrity of the zoning regulations -yes, it does, unless we have evidence that this is not going
to happen. And we don't. I tried. I wasn't able to get
anything. I don't know if OZ submitted anything to those
agencies. They often do.

But we don't have anything, and I didn't receive anything from the applicant regarding that, except yesterday, they did upload something from some fire engineers from, I think, Maryland, indicating how this could be made to work. So for those reasons, the Office of Planning recommended denial of this application. Thank you, and I'm available for questions.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Mordfin.

Does the Board have any questions of Mr. Mordfin -- I mean,
the Office of Planning? Mr. Blake?

MEMBER BLAKE: Yeah. Mr. Mordfin, when I went through your report, you had some issues with the first prong of the test, as well as the third prong. Can you just walk through the first prong, in light of the presentation that was made earlier by the applicant, in terms of the first and second prongs of the test?

MR. MORDFIN: Okay. Well, what is extraordinary or exceptional or whatever -- the exceptional practical difficulties, I do agree, it is a large lot. It is the largest lot in the square, with the rest of the square primarily being

2.3

developed as rowhouses and some other -- there's also a church that I think was originally retail. So yes, it's the largest lot.

But by allowing it to be developed, without taking into consideration the other agencies that also have to provide services to this lot, you know, is it an exceptional situation? You know, what do you put ahead of what? Do you put safety behind the ability to develop this lot? And so that's where it runs into a problem.

So, you know, to develop it consistent with the zoning, that's important, sometimes, when it comes to safety. Yes, there are greater costs with doing it for one house, but that's not really the way to look at it. The way to look at it is, can we do this safely? And that's what those other regulations are in there for -- or rather, the other arguments are in there for, with the other agencies.

MEMBER BLAKE: When you looked at -- when you heard -- when you read the report from the fire consulting, did that impact your opinion of the third prong?

MR. MORDFIN: Yeah, that does impact it. However, what would be more useful, also, would be from F/EMS, who -- which is the agency that would have provided services to these lots, should an emergency occur, and so it would be better to hear it from them, knowing that they could say, yes, if something were to happen, we could -- whatever it is, you know,

2.3

provide emergency medical services, or provide fire services, 1 2 if, Heaven forbid, there's a fire. You know. 3 And so while this is useful, this fire assessment 4 of the property from the -- it doesn't tell us whether or not 5 F/EMS says they're comfortable doing what needs to be done, should it have to be done. 6 7 MEMBER BLAKE: Okay. One last question. I want 8 to go back to the extraordinary, exceptional situation. The 9 applicant described it as a confluence of factors. Do you 10 agree that it would be more of a confluence of factors, versus 11 the single variable? I think it is a confluence of 12 MR. MORDFIN: factors, rather than a single variable, because there are a 13 14 lot of things going on there with those -- you know, it is 15 vacant, it is large, there are narrow alleys, or narrow as 16 defined by the zoning regulations. So, you know, all those 17 things together create something unique in this lot, in this square, where it is the largest one. But then we also get 18 19 to the second and third prongs, which they have to get past. 20 You can't just meet one. You have to meet all three. 21 MEMBER BLAKE: Okay. Thank you. Anyone else for the Office of 22 CHAIRPERSON HILL: 2.3 Planning? Commissioner Miller? 24 ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

And thank you, Mr. Mordfin, for your report.

25

So I just want

to reiterate what I previously said, in terms of the Office of Planning assisting -- reiterating its request to D.C. Fire and EMS, as well as the other agencies, D.C. Water, DPW, to get their comments on this application, and whether they have any objection or not.

Mr. Mordfin, are you familiar with Zoning Commission Case 19-13, which reduced the alley width size for lots that were -- tax lots that were converted to record lots? You reduced the width size from 24 feet to 15 feet, by special exception application to this Board, and with comments from Fire and other agencies. You may not be familiar with it.

MR. MORDFIN: I would have to look that one up.

I'm not familiar with that. I would have to --

ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: That's okay.

MR. MORDFIN: -- research that and look that up.

ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: Well, I would encourage you to look it up, because there was extensive dialogue at the public hearing, by commissioners, at least four out of the five, if not all five at one point, commissioners, by Office of Planning, by the ANC witnesses who happened to be before us in that case, which I think were actually in Ward 6, although I don't know if it -- I actually don't recall, and I just watched this yesterday -- whether it was 6A or whether it was -- which is the ANC's -- or whether it was another ANC. I think it was another ANC, 6B and 6C.

2.3

But everybody seemed to agree that if you're going to allow -- if the width size could be found to be safely adequate for fire and other services in the alley, for converted lots, there was little rationale for a 24-foot requirement for all record lots, with no relief under special exception, with no simple relief under special exception policy.

The special exception allows the public to weigh in, the community to weigh in, as they're weighing in on this case, although it's a variance, so they're getting to weigh in on the variance. But it would allow you to -- well, us to see whether the ANC supports it, which it does in this case. Not all the neighbors -- many neighbors are opposed, and are parties in opposition, in fact.

And I appreciate their testimony today. I appreciate that they have been maintaining the property, and that they -- in certain terms of trash pickup and cutting down grass and tree -- whatever debris is there.

But I would encourage you to -- the Office of Planning to go back and look at that case, because I think it was the Office of Planning representative who said -- he said this was an incremental case. And they would look at this further, and probably bring something forward in the fall. That's the fall of 2020. So we're left with that it is a variance still.

2.3

And we have an anomaly. A similar lot on an alley of this size is permitted to have housing developed, and one is not, except through meeting the very high bar of a variance test, in a city where comprehensive plan -- that your office drafted -- are encouraging the removal and reduction of barriers to the development of housing, where you would get, here, 30 bedrooms versus five bedrooms, I guess. Twelve units, you can have two units per property on a -- per lot on a -- in the RF-1 zone, so it'd be 12 units versus, I guess, two. It's in a -- it's in a desirable neighborhood.

I just don't understand the Office of Planning's position in this case. So I would encourage you to go back and look at this issue comprehensively for future cases going forward, but in this particular -- and report to the Zoning Commission or us, now that BZA's aware of the issue, whether it's something that might be looked at, and whether a case might be filed.

And we would have a -- the only reason why we didn't do a public -- change it right then and there, at the proposed action, is that it would've required -- in a case that had already been around for a while, it would've required a re-advertisement of the public hearing, and delayed a case that was going to resolve the caseload before this very Board, by taking it from a variance to a special exception, and allowing a 15 feet, if they had the Fire and other agencies

2.3

1 sign off. 2 So I would encourage you to help get our F/EMS and 3 other agencies to weigh in on whether they can safely do the 4 jobs they have to do. Trash pickup, very important. 5 safety, very important. Nobody wants to compromise public 6 safety. 7 It's very rare, I think -- I quess you can comment 8 on any of this at the end of my monologue. And I apologize 9 for going on so long, Mr. Chairman, at this very late hour. But I -- and now I've lost my own train of thought. 10 I quess 11 I'll -- I quess, because I've lost my train of thought, I'll 12 end it there. Mr. Mordfin, do you have any comment on any of what I -- of what I've said? 1.3 MR. MORDFIN: No, but thank you for your comments, 14 15 and --16 ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: Well, we'll look Okay. 17 forward to maybe further dialogue from -- or further comments from Office of Planning going forward. We'll see where the 18 19 Board is at the -- as we hear more testimony, and questions 20 and cross-examination. Thank you. 21 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Thank you, Commissioner 22 All right. Ms. Wilson, do you have any questions Miller. for the Office of Planning? 2.3 24 No, thank you.

MS. WILSON:

for closing.

25

I'll save everything

1	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Ms. Anderson, can you
2	hear me?
3	MS. ANDERSON: Yes, I can.
4	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Do you have any questions for
5	the Office of Planning?
6	MS. ANDERSON: No.
7	CHAIRPERSON HILL: You're welcome. Ms. Johnson,
8	can you hear me?
9	MS. JOHNSON: I don't have any other questions.
10	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Thank you, Ms. Johnson. Mr.
11	Dickson, can you hear me?
12	MR. DICKSON: Yes. I can hear you.
13	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Do you have any questions
14	do you have any questions for the Office of Planning?
15	MR. DICKSON: Not at this time. I need to follow
16	up later, and just not at this time.
17	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Thank you, Mr. Dickson. Ms.
18	Beyers, can you hear me? Do you have any questions for the
19	Office of Planning?
20	MS. BEYERS: No questions. Thank you so much.
21	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Thank you Ms. Beyers. Mr.
22	Young, is there anyone here wishing to speak?
23	MR. YOUNG: Yes, we do.
24	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Who do we have?
25	MR. YOUNG: We have Nancy Yacoub, and Alexander

1	Brown, and then there was also Ashley Mild, who was a party,
2	but withdrew. I don't know if she wants to testify or not.
3	CHAIRPERSON HILL: If she's there, I can ask her.
4	Okay.
5	MS. MILD: I'm here, but I don't need to testify.
6	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Mild.
7	Ms. Yacoub, can you hear me?
8	MS. YACOUB: Yes.
9	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Could you introduce yourself
10	for the record, please?
11	MS. YACOUB: My name is Nancy Yacoub. I am a
12	property owner at 315 15th Street Northeast.
13	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Ms. Yacoub, as a member
14	of the public, you have three minutes to give your testimony,
15	and you can begin whenever you like.
16	MS. YACOUB: Okay. I've been listening very
17	quietly to some of these comments that I've heard here tonight,
18	that have been really upsetting. The lot was beyond question
19	a nuisance lot. If anybody says it wasn't, they weren't living
20	property in the last few years. I personally had to call
21	911 and 311 hundreds of times. There were people on 16th
22	Street that also
23	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Ms can you hear me? You're
24	kind of going in and out. Maybe speak a little bit clearer
25	into the mic?

1 MS. YACOUB: Okay. Can you hear me better now? 2 There you go. CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah, you're 3 much better. Go ahead and start again, please. No, now You've pushed a button. 4 you're on mute. 5 MS. YACOUB: Can you hear me? Okay. CHAIRPERSON HILL: 6 Yeah, you're good. 7 MS. YACOUB: Okay. I've been saying that I've been listening to the other people's testimony that are 8 9 opponents to this property describe the property as not being If anybody has that kind of perception of 10 a nuisance lot. 11 that lot, they haven't been living near the lot. 12 absolutely a nuisance lot. I personally called 911 and 311 hundreds of times 13 in the last three, four, five years. 14 People on 16th Street, 15 that are also proponents of this property, also called 911, 16 We developed a close-knit community between the people 311. 17 that live near the lot, in order to just protect ourselves, because there was no recourse through police, through any of 18 19 the government agencies. 20 I spent countless hours trying to get a fence put The city would never help us in 21 on that lot. Nothing. I've heard the Office of Planning talk 22 securing that lot. 2.3 about safety problems with this. Nobody knows what safety 24 problems we endured with this lot. There was broken glass,

drugs being sold from people coming from all over.

a huge lot of property that was taken advantage of by people coming from everywhere.

It started off years ago, when I moved in, 17 years ago, as people who were just sitting around and drinking beer under the tree. That is not how this property was being used in the later years. So it was an absolute nuisance. We personally saw people overdose on drugs. One of the people that lives on 16th Street had to call an ambulance to get somebody there and shoot them back up to life -- whatever. I mean, there was so many outrageous things that we witness every day in our backyard.

I've had my -- I've had firecrackers thrown at me from people that were dealing drugs on the lot. I've had my garbage cans stolen. I've had my fence wood be, you know, taken apart. I've had every kind -- I've had plenty of sleepless nights where there was loud music played around the clock. Even the police were afraid to go on that property, because it was considered private property, yet it was completely abandoned, and it was a complete nuisance lot. And nobody could give us any recourse.

The people that have lived around that lot do not ever want to see it go back to what it was before. To say that it was maintained is a blatant lie. I have photographs -- I could show anybody who wants to see them -- of garbage that was dumped on there. We had terrible problems with this

2.3

1 lot. 2 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Ms. Yacoub? Ms. Yacoub? 3 MS. YACOUB: Yes. CHAIRPERSON HILL: I'm just letting you know, 4 5 you're running out of time. My point is, we do not want 6 MS. YACOUB: Okay. to ever see it go backwards, to revert to the position that 7 8 We wholeheartedly appreciate the current it was before. 9 owners taking accountability for this property and setting 10 up a fence and being accessible to all the people that have 11 questions about how this is going to be developed. 12 wholeheartedly support the development. That's my testimony. Please allow my written testimony -- was dated May 13 14 16th -- to be entered into the record. I also sent a letter 15 that was not accepted within the timeframe of 24 hours before 16 the start of the hearing. And now I'm asking for my letter 17 to be accepted, as well, as part of the record. CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. I think it's probably 18 19 part of the record, if it went in at the right time. 20 not, we'll go ahead and let the staff know to go ahead and All right. 21 get it into the record. Ms. Brown, are you there? Mr. Brown? 22 Or Mr. Brown? Ms. Brown? Mr. Brown? Mr. 2.3 Young, do you see Mr. Brown or Ms. Brown? 24 I was told Mr. Brown was with Mr. Hubig. MR. YOUNG:

I don't know if that's incorrect or not.

1	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Hubig? No, Mr. Hubig is
2	Mr. Hubig, is Mr. Brown with you?
3	MR. HUBIG: Yes, he's here.
4	MR. MUCHAI: He's on his way. But we can
5	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Who is he? Who is he?
6	MR. HUBIG: He's a neighbor.
7	MR. MUCHAI: He's a neighbor. He'd come to join
8	us over here for the call. But he stepped out.
9	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. He's
10	MR. MUCHAI: He's one of the owners along 15th
11	Street.
12	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. He was going to speak
13	in support?
14	MR. MUCHAI: Correct.
15	MR. HUBIG: He's coming. Give him a minute.
16	We'll get him down here.
17	CHAIRPERSON HILL: All right. Okay. We'll see
18	what happens.
19	MR. HUBIG: Thank you.
20	MR. YOUNG: There was one more in opposition.
21	Laura Hansen.
22	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Ms. Hansen, can you hear
23	me?
24	MS. HANSEN: Yes. Can you hear me?
25	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yes. Could you introduce
	NEAL D. CDOSS

yourself for the record?

2.3

MS. HANSEN: My name is Laura Hansen, and I live at 311 15th Street Northeast.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Ms. Hansen, you'll also have, as a member of the public, three minutes to give your testimony. And you can begin whenever you like.

MS. HANSEN: Thank you so much. I just wanted to note for the record that Ms. Yacoub does not represent all of the neighbors that she is talking about. My experience with the neighbors who live there, and sat beneath that tree, was not the same as hers. I'd like to say thank you to the Board for hearing us out. I appreciate your service.

I wanted to start by talking about the green space, to echo what Ms. Anderson said. But most of the residents around this piece of property that we're talking about chose — or do not have garages that have tops to them. They do not have ceilings. They are just garage doors that allow them access to the back of their properties, where they park cars, because we have been told by the city that the city does not allow any more covered spaces to be utilized by the buildings.

So you have an entire community of people who said, okay, that's a really good rule. Nobody wants to cover more spaces. We need to have green spaces and unopened land. And for the city to come in and put a giant building there, where we have not been able to build covered garages, I think, is

really something that's a contradiction.

2.3

I myself, in the back yard, have a 100-year-old tree that, if I had taken out, I certainly would have more room, but I like that tree, and I left that 100-year-old tree because it is part of the historic nature of the property. Additionally, it provides some privacy. And if there's a building back there, and they have rooftop decks, those rooftop decks will now be able to look into the other properties that are around them. So I think that's something to be considered.

In short, I don't see any upside to the residents who already live there. I've lived here for 11 years. I am a short-term resident, next to the people who are testifying who have been here 20 or 30 years. And I think it's important to respect what they're thinking about this space. And I think that point of view only really came through from the representative of the planning committee, who's looking at this as a neighborhood. We are a neighborhood.

And no, that back area was not a beautiful park. It could be a beautiful park. We have an opportunity to do something here, and strangely, through this process, this community might have an opportunity to come together in a way to develop this together, to be a better space, and to add value to our properties, which I think is at the root of all this.

I think we're all concerned that this is going to

lower the property value of our houses. You know, that's the largest investment that most of us have. We really want to make sure that our properties and the property value and our quality of life is protected. So those are my problems with that.

I was also not aware of the ANC meetings. I'm a federal government worker. I don't consider myself to be unaware of these things. I was not aware that any of these things were happening. When I asked Charles Allen, one of our representatives, in January, what was happening back there when the fencing went up, he did not tell me. He did not say that he was aware of anything happening.

And March was the first time, when I got the letter about this hearing, that I heard that this was happening. So, you know, I asked about this in January of Charles Allen, and I received no information about it at that point.

I think it's really interesting that Ms. Anderson was told by the city that, as long as you don't make a profit in this green space idea that the community was coming together to do -- as long as you didn't make a profit, it's fine, whereas we're talking about a developer. And, you know, developers exist, and they are businesses. They make money. Right? So, you know, why are we not safeguarding the communities that have residents who have been here 20, 30, 40 years, instead of -- you know, I feel for the people who own this property,

2.3

1	and the groundswell of opposition here.
2	But it goes to show you, you know, we were all so
3	close to our homes during the pandemic. You know, most of
4	us work during the day. We will have to deal with
5	construction, months and months and months. And we're going
6	to be in this situation that is not going to make our property
7	values any better, and it's certainly going to degrade our
8	quality of life while it happens.
9	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Ms. Hansen, you're also running
LO	out of time, just to let you know.
L1	MS. HANSEN: Well, I would like to say I think
L2	that is it. I did not have the same experience with the crime
L3	and the people. I think it is telling that the city did not
L 4	want to let its neighborhood sort of have its own
L5	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, Ms. Hansen. Thank you.
L6	Thank you for your testimony. Mr. Young, did you find
L7	was it Mr. or Ms. Brown? Mr. Young?
L8	MR. YOUNG: They were supposed to be with Mr.
L9	Hubig.
20	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Oh, yeah. Mr. Hubig?
21	MR. HUBIG: Yeah, he's trying to dial in right now,
22	guys. Sorry. He had to go home to take care of his kid, so
23	he's going to dial in right now. Give him a minute.
24	CHAIRPERSON HILL: All right, Mr. Hubig. You know
25	that this is your case. Right? And so you're holding me up

1	for this guy. Right?
2	MR. HUBIG: I'm sorry. I apologize. Yes, I think
3	we'll have to go on.
4	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. That's all right.
5	MS. WILSON: Perhaps he can submit his testimony,
6	if the record is left open for any other
7	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, hold on a second. So Mr.
8	Blake had his hand up. Or no? Okay.
9	MEMBER BLAKE: Yes, I do. Yes, I do. I have a
10	question for the applicant, when the opportunity presents
11	itself.
12	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Great. All right.
13	Mr. Young, if you could please excuse all the witnesses? Thank
14	you very much for your testimony. All right, Mr. Blake. What
15	was your question?
16	MEMBER BLAKE: Two quick questions. The first
17	one, with regard to the heritage potential tree. Is there
18	something over there that needs to be addressed? And have
19	you looked at that, just as an aside? And the last thing I
20	was to know was, that stormwater management, is there an issue
21	that you are addressing there? Just, like, your
22	MR. CROSS: I'd like to speak to both of those.
23	It's my understanding that we have we have assessed that
24	tree, and that it is not heritage. And to the stormwater
25	management, that is actually something we haven't covered

Due to the fact that this project is going to exceed 1 today. 2 5,000 square feet of disturbance, this project actually would 3 be required to submit a stormwater management plan, and conform 4 to the D.C. stormwater management guidelines, in addition to 5 the permeable surface requirements required by the same. All right. 6 MEMBER BLAKE: Thank you. 7 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Anyone else for the applicant? 8 Okay. MEMBER SMITH: Just to piggyback off of that, would 9 10 that require you to make any improvements to the alley? 11 MR. CROSS: My understanding is that all No. 12 stormwater management components for the site have to be 13 located onsite. And so other than the utility trenching that we spoke of for just general utility, I don't think that our 14 15 compliance with stormwater management would in any way affect 16 the alley. 17 MEMBER SMITH: Okay. And as it relates to this tree -- and I get, I mean, you're -- I think you've gotten 18 19 a couple questions from us right now about a hypothetical 20 development. And again, we're not here to discuss, 21 necessarily, this hypothetical development, because who knows what's going to happen? 22 Why you're here before us 2.3 variance to build more than one dwelling unit. Who knows what

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1716 14th STREET, N.W., SUITE 200

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20009-4309

But in this hypothetical development that you have

that will be beyond that?

24

presented -- and there was some questions about this tree that's there, and whether it meets heritage requirements.

And you've just stated, it doesn't. In any development that you would do, would you be required to meet some kind of -- would you be required to plant some trees or there would be some kind of tree canopy requirement, where you would have to put back trees?

MR. CROSS: No. I don't believe that we have any specific tree-planting requirement. This is RF-1, so there's no green area ratio requirement, which often is a system in which trees get put in. Again, it doesn't explicitly require trees. It just requires certain green elements.

But I would say that the stormwater management system is similar to the green area ratio, in that it does require some of that type of stuff. But again, I can't say that it is likely going to result in any planting of trees, specifically.

MEMBER SMITH: Okay. Okay, thank you. That's all the questions that I had.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Ms. Wilson, I've got a -- the construction management agreement that you guys have, was that a draft? Or is that something that you worked on with the ANC?

MS. WILSON: That's something that we put together to possibly talk to in the -- with the parties in opposition.

2.3

I'm a little tired from talking. 1 So we're happy to 2 continue to work with them on the CMA. But I know it's not something that's typically required to make complete by the 3 4 hearing date, but we're happy to --5 CHAIRPERSON HILL: All right. Right, that's in Exhibit 50, and we can also refer to it in the order. 6 I quess, 7 I mean, it seems pretty --MS. WILSON: 8 Yeah. 9 CHAIRPERSON HILL: It seems pretty reasonable to 10 And so just for people that are listening, also, if you 11 would, to Exhibit 50, it kind of outlines what the applicant 12 is proposing, in order to be basically a good neighbor, and how to move forward with this work, if this were actually 13 14 So that's one question. The other, in terms of, again, how cars would move 15 16 through that alley, I guess they would kind of come in from 17 all different directions to get to the garage? guys thought about that, the garages? 18 19 MS. WILSON: I mean, I quess it would just work 20 in the same way that the other houses park, behind their homes. 21 Right? CHAIRPERSON HILL: Right, whatever's closest to 22 2.3 that -- whatever entrance is closest to their home, they will 24 probably use, is what you're saying. Okav. All right.

Okay.

Let's see.

25

So I guess the only guestion I had -- and

1	then I'll let you give any rebuttal that you may have, Ms.
2	Wilson, and also conclusion.
3	But do you wait. Oh, yeah. So F/EMS, D.C.
4	Water, et cetera, you guys don't have anything from them?
5	And I forget where we left this in this testimony, in this
6	hearing, as to whether we were going to try to get something
7	from them.
8	MEMBER SMITH: Yes.
9	MS. WILSON: Yes. That was my understanding.
10	And so I already have someone from my office on it. Again,
11	we've emailed DPW, F/EMS, and MPD. And Mr. Mordfin, I think,
12	has even reached out. So if we could have some additional
13	help from OP, just really pressing on them, that would be great.
14	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right. Ms. Wilson
15	does anybody have any other final questions before Ms.
16	Wilson gives her conclusion?
17	MS. ANDERSON: Is it too this is Kathy Anderson.
18	I realize that you dismissed everybody, but I have been
19	listening. I just is it out of order for me to ask one
20	more question?
21	CHAIRPERSON HILL: No, you can I mean, it is,
22	but you can go ahead, Ms. Anderson. What's your question?
23	MS. ANDERSON: Okay, thanks. And again, this is
24	and it might be silly. You guys would probably think it
25	is. But I don't. So in terms of, I was told that the tree

Τ	was not a heritage tree so I would ask then what the
2	circumference of the tree is, because if you really measured
3	the tree, you would know.
4	And, you know, that's something that you don't
5	measure trees very often, so if you measured the tree, you
6	would know what the circumference is, because it would be
7	unusual. Right? So I just wondered what the circumference
8	of that tree is. Because I can't get to it now, because it's
9	surrounded by fencing.
10	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. So Ms. Anderson, I
11	guess, is asking what kind of proof you have, Mr. Cross, that
12	it's not a heritage tree.
13	MR. CROSS: Yeah. I guess I can speak to a survey
14	that I have in front of me, from a registered land surveyor,
15	that states that the diameter of that tree is 24 inches, which
16	would put its circumference around 75 inches.
17	MS. ANDERSON: Okay.
18	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Is that in the record
19	somewhere, Mr. Cross?
20	MR. CROSS: I'm not sure if it is. But we could
21	certainly upload it.
22	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right. We'll
23	upload that into the record, Ms. Anderson.
24	MS. ANDERSON: Okay. And just to note, there is
25	a penalty for cutting that there's a penalty where you have

1 to have certain permits to cut that tree down. 2 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Sure, no, no, we're all aware 3 of the heritage tree issue. Okay. Thanks. Thank you. 4 MS. ANDERSON: 5 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Sure, of course. Let's see. Ms. Wilson, unless you have -- okay, Mr. Smith? 6 Okay. 7 MEMBER SMITH: I know. I know it's a long day. But I just want to ask this question, because this was raised 8 9 by the residents. If this were to be developed -- and you 10 kind of raised it, too, when you talked about the access from 11 Has the developer looked at the turning radiuses 12 out of the garages, to ensure that there's enough turning 13 radius for them to be able to access the garages from the alley, 14 and vice versa? 15 MR. CROSS: Yeah, I can speak to that again. The 16 garages are actually located on the widest portion of the 17 The legal alley on that side is 20 feet wide. to accommodate the neighbors', you know, concerns, we have 18 actually set our building back another four or five feet from 19 20 the alley, which gives you effectively a 24-foot effective 21 alley width that is desired, and obviously, the 20-foot alley 22 by itself is a great bit wider than most alleys in the District. Thank you for that. 2.3 MEMBER SMITH: Okay. And I'm 24 sure that the residents are glad to hear that. So thank you.

Chairman Hill, I am done.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: No, that's all right. I was wondering, also -- so I guess what I've kind of got here from this list is, again, Ms. Wilson, like, letters from F/EMS or any other D.C. agencies, you're going to try to pursue, in terms of the alley width and the safety issues.

The turning radius diagram -- if you have some kind of a diagram you guys can show us with the turning radius for the garages now? The heritage tree proof that, you know, Mr. Cross is just kind of mentioning. If you want to point that out? And those are the ones that I had. Did I miss anything, in terms of my fellow Board members? If you can raise your hand? Okay. All right. Everybody's shaking their head.

Ms. Wilson, so this is what I'm going to ask you. If you do a conclusion, then you can do a conclusion. If you do a rebuttal, then everyone's going to ask questions on rebuttal. I would prefer you just do a conclusion, unless you really think you need to give rebuttal. So I will go ahead and ask for your conclusion. And then -- yeah. There you go.

MS. WILSON: Thank you. Yes, I'll give a conclusion, just about the variance argument. I think the Board has asked enough questions that my rebuttal won't be necessary for the testimony we heard from the parties in opposition.

So in conclusion, you know, I spent 30 minutes

2.3

walking through our argument. The record is very full. I would say, on balance, our presentation and evidence is quite strong, and meets the test for a variance, with an exceptional condition that creates a practical difficulty.

It was mentioned that perhaps this will become a special exception in the future. Despite that, I think we have met the burden for a variance. In terms of safety, of course you're going to push on those agencies for additional comments, and that goes to the third prong of the test. But again, the matter-of-right structure could be just as big, and have just as many people, although if we had 15 bedrooms, they would all have to be related, of course. So I'm not sure the safety issues necessarily make sense.

But again, we will work with those agencies. And I think that could possibly overcome OP's issues with our third prong. And we'll of course continue to work with the neighbors with that CMA agreement, and get the rest of the items in the record, as requested.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. If you could do me a favor, also? When you submit this item, if you could list how you plan on -- if you could, like, have a community person that, you know, neighbors could reach out to if they have any concerns about the construction management or anything that might happen if this were to move forward? Let's see. Okay. Mr. Blake?

2.3

1	MEMBER BLAKE: Is it possible that the Office of
2	Planning could review that information and give us a
3	supplemental?
4	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Sure. If the Office of
5	Planning would like to give a supplemental after reviewing
6	the information, they're welcome to, unless I guess you're
7	asking for a supplemental. It seems like you're asking for
8	a supplemental. Is that correct, Mr. Blake?
9	MEMBER BLAKE: Well, if the information they
10	receive would impact their negative opinion of it, yes, I would
11	want to see that.
12	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Mr. Mordfin, can you
13	hear me? Mr. Mordfin?
14	MR. MORDFIN: Yes. Yes, I can hear you.
15	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. If you want to submit
16	a supplemental report after seeing the new information, please
17	do so. Okay?
18	MR. MORDFIN: Yes.
19	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right. I'm going
20	to turn to Mr. Moy
21	ZC VICE CHAIR MILLER: If Mr. Mordfin is still
22	there, I just wanted to reiterate my request, which I thought
23	the Board agreed with, for them to assist in trying to get
24	those agencies to comment, one way or the other. F/EMS in
25	particular, but DPW, whoever else.

1	And I know our Office of Zoning staff sent out the
	And I know our office of Zonfing Staff Sent out the
2	notice of this hearing, as well, to those agencies, and, I
3	think, did not receive any but I would ask them to our
4	own Office of Zoning staff, as well as the Office of Planning
5	staff, as well as the applicant's team, to try to get that
6	information, which is important.
7	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay.
8	MR. MORDFIN: Yes, I will.
9	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Thank you, Commissioner
10	Miller. All right. So given we don't know when you're going
11	to get back or hear back from F/EMS and the departments, I
12	don't know what date to bring you back here. Ms. Wilson, do
13	you have any guess?
14	MS. WILSON: I just don't know. I don't know.
15	I mean, it's just hard to get in touch with them. In other
16	hearings, we've tried, and we're not we don't always get
17	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Okay, let me do this.
18	Mr. Hubig? So what kind of time constraints are you under
19	right now, in terms of your project?
20	MR. MUCHAI: This is Evan. I think we obviously
21	want to keep moving along as expeditiously as possible. We
22	appreciate that there are responses that we need. So we can
23	come back in three weeks, four weeks.
24	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Okay, that's all right.
25	Okay. I just wanted to check as to whether or not where

1 I'm going to actually be -- there's a bunch of things 2 that are -- well, me personally, I'm going to be not here on 3 the 8th. And so then there's Memorial Day. So let's try --4 Mr. Moy, can you hear me? 5 MR. MOY: Yes, sir, I'm here. CHAIRPERSON HILL: Let's work backwards from the 6 7 15th, if we can do a decision on the 15th with this. 8 So I would suggest for MR. MOY: Okay. Perfect. 9 you to -- you and the Board of review. All right. The Board 10 conduct its decision on June the 15th, and then we have 11 the applicant's filing, including 12 supplemental report from the Office of Planning, by June the 13 8th. All right? And the applicant make their filing, let's say, May 27th. Or we could make that Monday, May 30th, but 14 15 that's a holiday. So let's make it May 31st. 16 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah, I mean, it's all about 17 F/EMS. I know. I understand. I was going to 18 MR. MOY: 19 suggest, also, Mr. Chairman, our office can weigh in on these 20 -- on our sister agencies, as well. We have done that in the 21 past. 22 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okav. So, I'm sorry, what 2.3 dates did you say, Mr. Moy? And then we have to have enough 24 time so that, if the parties in opposition wanted to respond

to anything, they could.

1	MR. MOY: Right. So, okay, so let me know
2	what you think about this. The applicant make their filing
3	by May the 31st, which is a which is a Tuesday. Responses
4	from all the parties, as well as the Office of Planning, if
5	any, by June the 8th. That gives them a week and a day, I
6	suppose. And then we're back to the Board for a decision on
7	June the 15th.
8	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right. So if and
9	I can't see everyone, but I assume that you all can hear me,
10	in terms of the parties in opposition. So what's happening
11	is, we've asked for the Board has asked for a bunch of
12	information to try to figure out what we think. And we're
13	going to get that information by the 31st of May.
14	And then you, the opposition parties, will have
15	until the 8th of June to give us anything in response to their
16	filing on the 31st of May. And then we will come back for
17	a decision on the 15th of June, just to let you all know.
18	And then what I would additionally say is that, while your
19	
20	MS. JOHNSON: Mr
21	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Hello?
22	MS. JOHNSON: Mr. Chairman, that only gives us,
23	like, a matter of maybe they have till the 31st. You know,
24	like, how would we know what decisions would be made on what
25	we're trying to conclude? And how would we who would

1	provide that information to us?
2	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Right. So you guys are now
3	parties. Was that Ms. Johnson?
4	MS. JOHNSON: Yes.
5	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Ms. Johnson, you guys are now
6	parties. So they have to submit information directly to you.
7	Okay? So you'll get it either by and I don't even know
8	how I guess mail, email, however, you know, you've been
9	getting information from us. They will give the information
10	directly to you on the 31st of May. Okay?
11	And then you'll have until the 8th, which is a week
12	and a day, to respond to the BZA on anything that you see.
13	And what they're going to be providing to us, as we mentioned,
14	are the parking diagram, whether fire, medical, safety issues
15	MPD, D.C. Water, in terms of the alley, if there's any issues
16	with the alley. And then we also asked for proof of the
17	heritage tree. So that's the
18	MS. JOHNSON: Yes.
19	CHAIRPERSON HILL: So that's the information that
20	we're going to get from them on the 31st of May. And then
21	you guys will have until the 8th of June to tell us what you
22	think of what you see from them on the 31st of May. Do you
23	understand?
24	MS. JOHNSON: So we would submit it directly, like

we did before? Or would we have to complete a form?

1	CHAIRPERSON HILL: No, you'd submit it to Mr. Reed.
2	I guess I don't know, and I apologize. I've never
3	submitted anything. So however you guys submitted stuff
4	before. I guess, Mr. Moy, it goes to Mr. Reed. Right?
5	MS. JOHNSON: We sent it to Mr. Reed. We sent it
6	to the ANC, and we sent it also to the developers. We sent
7	our four emails apiece.
8	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Mr. Moy, that's what
9	they'd do again. Right?
10	MR. MOY: Are we talking about their response
11	filing?
12	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yes, on the 8th.
13	MR. MOY: Okay. They should file it directly into
14	the case record. If they don't want to do that, they can file
15	it into BZA's submissions at DC.gov.
16	CHAIRPERSON HILL: And if you have any questions,
17	Ms. Johnson, go ahead and call the Office of Zoning and talk
18	to Mr. Reed, and he can help you do it.
19	MS. JOHNSON: Okay.
20	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay?
21	MS. JOHNSON: Thank you.
22	CHAIRPERSON HILL: All right. You're welcome.
23	Okay. And now that does any of the does any of the parties
24	in opposition have any questions to anything I just said?
25	Okay. All right. Let's see, then. Okay. That's it. All

1	right. Okay. I'm looking at my fellow Board members. I
2	haven't missed anything. Right? Okay.
3	All right. Well, then I'm going to go ahead and
4	close the hearing and the record, except for what we said,
5	and then we'll come back here on a decision on the 15th of
6	June. Okay? All right. Thank you, everyone, very much.
7	Sorry it's been such a long day, but there you go.
8	MEMBER BLAKE: Thank you.
9	MR. MUCHAI: Thank you, guys. You all have a good
10	night. Thank you.
11	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Thank you. Bye-bye.
12	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the
13	record at 7:35 p.m.)
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

<u>CERTIFICATE</u>

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Public Hearing

Before: DC BZA

Date: 05-18-22

Place: teleconference

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Court Reporter

near aus 9