

GOVERNMENT OF
THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

ZONING COMMISSION

+ + + + +

PUBLIC HEARING

+ + + + +

----- :

IN THE MATTER OF: :

Office of Planning-Zoning : Case No.

Map Amendment at Square : 21-19

6170S, Lots 35, 36, 38, :

807, 808, 809 and 810 (20 :

Chesapeake St., SE; 40 :

Chesapeake St., SE; 4101, :

First St., SE; 4111 First :

St., SE; 4115 First St. :

SE.; 5 Brandywine St., SE; :

7 Brandywine St. SE) :

-----:

THURSDAY

FEBRUARY 17, 2022

+ + + + +

The Public Hearing of the District of Columbia Board of Zoning Commission convened via videoconference, pursuant to notice at 4:00 p.m. EDT, Anthony J. Hood, Chairman, presiding.

ZONING COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT:

- ANTHONY J. HOOD, Chairperson
- ROBERT MILLER, Vice Chairperson
- PETER MAY, Commissioner
- JOSEPH IMAMURA, Commissioner

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
 Court Reporting and Litigation Support
 Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia
 410-766-HUNT (4868)
 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

OFFICE ZONING STAFF PRESENT:

SHARON S. SCHELLIN, Secretary
PAUL YOUNG, Zoning Data Specialist

OFFICE OF PLANNING STAFF PRESENT:

JENNIFER STEINGASSER
ANNE FOTHERGILL

OFFICE OF ZONING LEGAL DIVISION PRESENT:

HILLARY LOVICK, ESQUIRE

The transcript constitutes the minutes from the
Public Hearing held on February 17, 2022

T-A-B-L-E O-F C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S

OPENING STATEMENT:
 ANTHONY HOOD 4

PRESENTATION:
 Case 21-19 - Office of Planning - Zoning Map
 Amendment, Anne Fothergill 7

COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS:
 Commissioners. 10

VOTE:
 Commissioners. 22

CLOSING REMARKS:
 Commissioners. 22

ADJOURN:
 Anthony Hood 23

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

4:00 p.m.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen, we are convening and broadcasting this public hearing by video conferencing. My name is Anthony Hood. Joining me shortly will be Vice Chair Miller. Also, I am joined by Commissioner May and Commissioner Imamura. Also, the Office of Zoning Staff, Ms. Sharon Schellin, as well as Mr. Paul Young, who handles all of our virtual operations. And also, Ms. Lovick, who is our Office of Zoning Legal Division counsel. We also ask that all others introduce themselves at the appropriate time.

Copies of today's virtual public hearing are available on the Office of Zoning's website. Please be advised that this proceeding is being recorded by a court reporter, and is also webcast live, Webex and YouTube Live. The video will be available on the Office of Zoning's website after the hearing. Accordingly, all those listening on Webex or by phone will be muted during the hearing and those who have signed up to participate or testify will be unmuted at the appropriate time. Please state your name and your home address before providing oral testimony on your presentation. When you have finished speaking, please mute your audio so that your microphone is no longer picking up sound or background noise.

If you experience any difficulty accessing Webex or with your telephone call-in, then please call our OZ hotline

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
Court Reporting and Litigation Support
Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia
410-766-HUNT (4868)
1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

1 number at 202-727-0789. Again, 202-727-0789 -- I always say "O"
2 -- to sign up or to receive Webex log in or call-in instructions.

3 All persons planning to testify either in favor, in
4 opposition, or undeclared, we encourage you to sign up in advance,
5 and we will call your name at the appropriate time. If you wish
6 to file written testimony or additional supporting documents
7 during the hearing, then please be prepared to describe and
8 discuss it at the time of your testimony.

9 The hearing will be conducted in accordance with
10 provisions of 11-Z, DCMR, Chapter 5 as follows: preliminary
11 matters; presentation, in this case tonight is by the Office of
12 Planning; the report of other government agencies; the report of
13 the ANC, in this case it is citywide; testimony of organizations
14 or individuals -- each have five and three minutes, respectively;
15 and we will hear in the order from those in support, opposition,
16 and undeclared.

17 The subject of this evening's hearing is a map
18 amendment from RA-1 to MU-4 at Square 6170S, Lots 35, 36, 38 and
19 807, 810; 20 and 40 Chesapeake Street, Southeast; 4101, 4111, and
20 4115 First Street Southeast; and 5 and 7 Brandywine Street,
21 Southeast. So I made a -- let me correct my error. This is not
22 a citywide ANC. I don't want to get in trouble with any ANCs.
23 I believe this is ANC 8D. 8D is the ANC in this case. It is
24 not citywide.

25 While the Commission reserves the right to change the

1 time limits for presentations, if necessary, it intends to adhere
2 to the time limits as strictly as possible and notes that no time
3 shall be ceded. Again, if you have any issues, please call 202-
4 727-0789. 202-727-0789.

5 Okay. At this time, the Commission will consider any
6 preliminary matters. Do we need to take a moment so Vice Chair
7 Miller can join us? I think we do.

8 MS. SCHELLIN: That's okay. I got it.

9 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I'm sorry? I think you're on mute,
10 Ms. Schellin.

11 MS. SCHELLIN: (Indiscernible.)

12 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Am I the only one not able to hear?

13 COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: I don't think you are. Ms.
14 Schellin, you are coming in a little garbled.

15 MS. SCHELLIN: Can you hear me?

16 COMMISSIONER MAY: We can hear sound.

17 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Can you hear me?

18 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: We can hear Vice Chair Miller, but
19 Ms. Schellin, I can't hear you.

20 MS. SCHELLIN: Am I on mute?

21 COMMISSIONER MAY: We can hear sound. You're not coming
22 through.

23 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I can't understand you. I can't
24 understand you.

25 MS. LOVICK: She said there were no preliminary

1 matters.

2 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Lovick. You
3 are going to have to translate, because I sure can't understand
4 a word she is saying.

5 COMMISSIONER MAY: Maybe Ms. Schellin needs to turn off
6 her camera, because it sounds like it might be a bandwidth issue.

7 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Say something, Ms. Schellin, let me
8 see.

9 MS. SCHELLIN: Is that any better?

10 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I can hear. I can understand a
11 little better. So we'll make it happen.

12 MS. SCHELLIN: I don't know.

13 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I don't know what happened. Okay.
14 All right.

15 Let's bring up Ms. Fothergill and Ms. Steingasser.
16 That's it, Vice Chair, rub it in. But anyway, let's --

17 COMMISSIONER MAY: Yeah, right.

18 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: We shouldn't even have waited on
19 him. We should have kept going.

20 All right. Ms. Fothergill, you may begin.

21 MS. FOTHERGILL: Good afternoon, Chairman Hood, and
22 members of the Zoning Commission. You can hear me, correct?
23 Okay.

24 For the record, I am Anne Fothergill, representing the
25 Office of Planning for Zoning Commission Case No. 21-19.

1 The Office of Planning has requested a map amendment
2 of seven properties located in Square 6170S, between Brandywine
3 and Chesapeake Street and First and South Capitol Street,
4 Southeast. The properties will be rezoned from the RA-1 zone to
5 the MU-4 zone. In this slide you can see the existing MU-4 zoning
6 to the west of the subject properties, and the map amendment
7 would extend the MU-4 zone line to the east to include all the
8 properties in that square.

9 Next slide, please. In the recently updated
10 Comprehensive Plan, the Future Land Use Map was amended and now
11 shows the properties are striped for low-density commercial and
12 moderate-density residential uses. The proposed amendment would
13 not be inconsistent with the maps and policies in the
14 Comprehensive Plan, including the Citywide Elements of land use,
15 housing and economic development, as well as the Far
16 Southeast/Southwest Area Element. Additionally, the 2009
17 Bellevue Small Area Plan states that housing development and
18 enhancement of the overall commercial environment of priorities
19 for this area.

20 When evaluated through a racial equity lens, the
21 proposed map amendment is not inconsistent with the Comprehensive
22 Plan. The rezoning could allow the church, one of the property
23 owners, to remain in the community while benefiting from the
24 redevelopment of its property, and the rezoning would provide an
25 opportunity for additional housing, more affordable housing, new

1 job creation and retail accessibility, which will be beneficial
2 to residents in the community. Providing more housing and
3 affordable housing has the potential to benefit populations of
4 color, who on average have lower income than white residents.

5 Next slide, please. Any future redevelopment of these
6 seven properties will be subject to IZ, and OP has recommended
7 that IZ Plus not be applied to this map amendment. While this
8 map amendment could qualify for IZ Plus, since it will rezone the
9 properties to a zone higher than they are, Subtitle X, Section
10 502.2, allows the Zoning Commission to consider mitigating
11 circumstances. According to the 2019 Housing Equity Report:
12 Creating Goals for Areas of Our City, and data from the State
13 Data Center, 41.5 percent of the housing in ANC 8D is affordable,
14 and ANC 8D had approximately 6 percent of the District's total
15 number of affordable housing units. And then the planning area,
16 the Far Southeast/Southwest planning area, had 31 percent of all
17 of the city's affordable housing units, and 51 percent of the
18 housing in this planning area is affordable. ANC 8D and the Far
19 Southeast/Southwest planning area already have a significantly
20 disproportionate amount of the existing affordable housing in the
21 city, and OP recommends that IZ Plus not be applied to these
22 properties. The properties in this map amendment would remain
23 subject to the standard IZ requirements.

24 In conclusion, OP recommends that the Commission
25 approve this map amendment. We are aware that the ANC did not

1 provide comments, and we request that the Commission take
2 proposed action tonight, and we will reach out to the ANC prior
3 to final action. I'm happy to take any questions.

4 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you, Ms. Fothergill. I really
5 appreciate -- even though I did read your report -- I appreciate
6 the way you present it. So if I did, I may have one question,
7 but if I did have many, the way you presented really, really
8 answered some of the things I was thinking about as I read through
9 the record. So with that, let me open it up for questions or
10 comments. Commissioner May.

11 COMMISSIONER MAY: I do not have any questions or
12 comments. Thank you.

13 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Commissioner Imamura.

14 COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: No comments, other than I read
15 the report, Ms. Fothergill, in great detail, and I appreciate the
16 statistics you put in. I found it, actually pretty fascinating
17 reading. So thank you.

18 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: And this next guy, I don't really
19 want to call on him, but I will. Vice Chair Miller.

20 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I was
21 having some internet issues at this remote location.

22 I think the question I asked Ms. Fothergill at set down
23 -- well, thank you for your presentation. It is very
24 straightforward. I think this is -- along with the case we did
25 Monday -- may be the first cases where we're mapping IZ Plus; is

1 that correct?

2 MS. FOTHERGILL: I know there have been a few set down
3 and this is, I believe, the only one where we're not recommending
4 IZ Plus.

5 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Right. I think we did one on
6 Monday. We had a hearing and then we did preliminary action,
7 which was similar in Ward 8, but I'm just doing that from recall,
8 not from something in front of me. So -- and I realize why you're
9 not -- it is eligible for IZ Plus, but you're not recommending
10 it be mapped as IZ Plus because this area, this planning area
11 has more than its fair share of affordable housing that it's
12 already providing in the city, and there is that provision in the
13 IZ Plus Regulations that we recently adopted that allows this if
14 OP recommends in their report -- in their report, which you are
15 recommending not to do IZ Plus because of "mitigating
16 circumstances," I think is the way it reads in the Regulation.
17 But the standard that you're using in this case and the other
18 case that was for the mitigating circumstances, the saturation
19 or -- the more than -- the disproportionate larger share of
20 affordable housing in this area, which I'm not sure we
21 contemplated when we did the (indiscernible), the IZ Plus, that
22 that would be a mitigating circumstance, but I can see how it
23 would be, and it's a reasonable position to take. But I guess
24 the question I think I asked you or the other case on set down,
25 just to hear it again, your response -- OP's response. What is

1 the harm in mapping it as IZ Plus since the -- well, in the other
2 case, it was clear that there was a project that was specifically
3 going to be facilitated that was going to provide way more housing
4 units at the affordable level than IZ Plus would even require.
5 Here, I guess we don't have specific projects, and we're not --
6 a map amendment, we generally aren't looking at specific
7 projects. But the question I had is what is the harm of mapping
8 it as IZ Plus, even if an area has more than its fair share of
9 affordable housing, if they are contemplating doing more than
10 what IZ Plus would require? Is there a harm to mapping it as IZ
11 Plus? Here, I think it might be because we don't know necessarily
12 specific projects on seven different properties going forward.
13 But the other case, we did know.

14 MS. STEINGASSER: I'll answer that. This is Jennifer
15 Steingasser with the Office of Planning, for the record.

16 The harm is that number 1, there is an abundance of
17 affordable housing, and we don't want to overconcentrate
18 affordable housing. That's not what the IZ Plus was aiming for.
19 And also, the increased amount of IZ affects directly the
20 economics and the financing tools that are available to the
21 projects. And we don't know what the projects are that are going
22 to be coming forward, so we don't want to put that IZ Plus on
23 there. IZ Plus was for areas where we know there is a need for
24 additional housing and affordable housing, and where a PUD is not
25 likely to happen, so.

1 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Okay. I appreciate you repeating
2 the response that you gave just now to the question I gave at an
3 earlier case, because I didn't quite remember it all. I think
4 that's a reasonable position for OP and for us to be taking. So
5 I think that makes sense.

6 This -- the church, which church is this? Which church
7 property is affected by this, and are they -- are they
8 redeveloping their church or is it just the surrounding land
9 that's going to be possibly facilitated development here?

10 MS. STEINGASSER: I don't know whether they're going
11 to bring the church in and redevelop it like we've seen down in
12 the Southwest, where there's a church on the ground floor and
13 residential above, or if they're going to keep the church and
14 build around it. I'm really not aware, but I do know they own
15 most of the properties within the square.

16 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Do you know which church this is?

17 MS. FOTHERGILL: It's Southeast Tabernacle Baptist
18 Church.

19 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Okay, thank you. And are the --
20 is the church, I assume the church and the other owners of the
21 lots that are affected by this map amendment are aware of your
22 proposed amendment?

23 MS. STEINGASSER: Oh, yes. This is one of our first,
24 what we are calling faith-based initiative rezonings. We have
25 been working with the faith-based community comprehensively and

1 citywide, and we are -- the Office of Planning is taking the
2 initiative to do the zoning to help relieve some of the
3 administrative obstacles to making property available for
4 redevelopment.

5 VICE CHAIR MILLER: And I thank you for that, you and
6 the Mayor, for that faith-based housing initiative, I think it's
7 very important.

8 I had another question, but I can't remember what it
9 is, so I guess I'll conclude there. Thank you very much.

10 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I, too, want to -- go right ahead.
11 Go right ahead. Vice Chair Miller.

12 VICE CHAIR MILLER: I did remember my question, Mr.
13 Chairman.

14 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Go ahead. Go ahead.

15 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yeah, so this is a faith-based
16 zoning case, I mean, or in that category, a housing case. It's
17 also a zoning consistency case from the recent -- the Future Land
18 Use Map amendments, it basically went from a lower density to a
19 higher, well I think to a higher density designation on the Land
20 Use Map; is that correct?

21 MS. STEINGASSER: Yes. It went to a mixed use.

22 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yeah, and I think I asked
23 previously, and you might have provided this if, if you have just
24 send me to the link or send me another link. But if not, at some
25 point, I think the Zoning Commission needs to get, as does the

1 Office of Planning, I am sure, is compiling all the zoning map
2 amendments, zoning consistency map amendments that would be
3 necessary as a result of the Council and Mayor Comp Plan Land
4 Use Map changes. If there is such a list of zoning consistency
5 cases going forward, whether the Office of Planning is initiating
6 them or whether the individual property owners are initiating
7 them, if you're able to just give us some kind of indication of
8 what the universe is of cases that will be -- that could come
9 forward, or that you know are coming forward in the next period
10 of time, just so we can get a sense of what our caseload and your
11 caseload is, and how -- what progress we're making.

12 MS. STEINGASSER: Yes, sir. We can do that. We have
13 one for Ward 7 that we have started working directly with, and
14 the Commission took action already on a Benning Road project. So
15 you'll be seeing several other cases that are bringing forward
16 the Ward 7. And then there's some work going on in Ward 3 and
17 we'll be -- we can be assembling the list by Wards as we move
18 through them. And then the faith-based is citywide, and that
19 brings forward a lot of different projects as well. So, yeah,
20 yes, sir, we can bring it forward.

21 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yeah, thank you. I think it would
22 be useful to see in one place or eight places if it's
23 (indiscernible) charts. There's a lot of the zoning consistency
24 cases, as well as obviously the faith-based housing cases are all
25 designed to try -- well, almost all, I think, designed to

1 facilitate more housing and more affordable housing. And that's
2 our little part of that in the zoning arena, is we want to make
3 sure we're doing what we should be doing. Thank you.

4 MS. STEINGASSER: Yes, sir. And a lot of those projects
5 are going to be coming forward as PUDs. You know, we're currently
6 working on, I think, eight PUDs right now, so the Commission will
7 see that rising up as well. So there's some that the Office of
8 Planning will be bringing forward. There's some that will be
9 left for the development community to deal with, and then we've
10 got these PUDs coming as well. So you'll be busy.

11 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Thank you, Ms. Steingasser. Thank
12 you, Mr. Chairman.

13 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you. I know a lot of churches,
14 and I'm glad to hear that the Office of Planning is doing this.
15 I know Ms. Steingasser and Ms. Fothergill and others have
16 mentioned previously that they are doing this faith-based
17 initiative, and I applaud the Mayor as well, and the residents
18 because I think it is key. A lot of ministers have spoken to me
19 personally, and said they need to learn or trying to figure out
20 ways to offset their tithes and offerings because of the decline
21 in congregation members and membership. And they're trying to
22 sustain and trying to stay in the city. So I think this is a
23 great way of moving forward, but I am very troubled. I've been
24 -- and I'm going to start talking about this, and I can't wait
25 for our former attorneys. I'm very troubled when I have a lot

1 of Commissioners now calling me, asking me about our attorneys,
2 our former attorneys, who just, I think four months ago -- I'm
3 very troubled about some of the things that's taken place. I'm
4 not going to point any fingers at Mr. Racine's shop. I'm not
5 going to call names, but I am very troubled. A lot of ANC
6 Commissioners are going out and saying, "Where have they been all
7 this time?" And I'm going to continue to talk about it. I don't
8 want to get into a p***ing match going back and forth, but I --
9 this is the prime example of this case tonight of how -- it's
10 about us. It's not us versus them. So we need to stop messaging
11 it like that to the public, because some of those ANC
12 commissioners that you're going to see and talk to -- and I'm
13 not talking about our attorneys now -- I'm talking about the ones
14 who just left us four months ago, who had us for seven years.
15 When we were pushing things, we were told "whoa, whoa, you can't
16 go that far." Anthony Hood, gentrification, it's not in your
17 jurisdiction. But since then, under that legal advice, the courts
18 have told me it is. So that has really been troubling me for a
19 couple of months about how this is starting to pan out. I don't
20 want to continue to talk about it, but I'm not going to fight.
21 I'm going to continue -- we're going to continue to do the job
22 of the Zoning Commission. Because it's not us versus them. At
23 the end of the day, I live here in the city too. A lot of people
24 you're meeting with are very friends. So it's about us. It's
25 not us versus them. So I said that tonight, I'm going to say it

1 more, and I'm looking forward to having a public discussion with
2 whomever. So this is just putting you on notice because you gave
3 me legal -- and I'm even troubled, and I've been thinking about
4 this. I'm putting it on the record. I hope they're watching.
5 If not, I'm going to say it some more. I'm troubled because I
6 depended on you to give me legal advice. Yes, I tried to push
7 the envelope. I wanted to get to zero percent of the MFI. I
8 wanted to talk about gentrification. There's a lot of things I
9 wanted to do. But it was "hold up." But now in four months,
10 it's just so easy to just do it without any analysis, any
11 consequences. There are some unintended consequences, and I
12 think it is very disingenuous to the residents. And I am a
13 resident first. I'm not a Zoning Commissioner, I'm a resident. I
14 live here too. And I'm wondering now, I'm questioning, were
15 you giving me the best legal advice you can give me to help
16 advance the residents of this city? That's all I have to say.
17 Any further questions? Okay.

18 So let's go to Ms. Schellin. Do we have anyone from
19 ANC 8D?

20 MS. SCHELLIN: We do not.

21 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: We do, or we don't? I'm sorry.

22 Ms. Lovick, I may need you to translate.

23 All right. Give me one second. I get distracted. I
24 won't stay distracted.

25 Okay. So the reports of other government agencies. We

1 do have -- we did have a report from DHCD, and I think I saw
2 something from DOEE, and I'm sure Ms. Lovick will correct me if
3 I'm incorrect, but I think I read, DHCD and DOEE. Yeah, DHCD
4 and DOEE. Thank you.

5 And we don't have a report of the ANC. If we do, as
6 Ms. Fothergill has asked, I would like for us to leave it open.
7 I would like to hear from ANC 8D, if possible, and make sure they
8 have -- I am sure they are aware of what's going on and make sure
9 that they are able to opine and get any feedback from them, if
10 possible. If they choose to weigh in.

11 Okay. Ms. Schellin, can we do another check to make
12 sure we don't have anyone to give testimony in support, opposition
13 or undeclared.

14 MS. SCHELLIN: There is no one.

15 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I heard you that time. Okay. There
16 is no one.

17 Commissioners, any further? Vice Chair Miller.

18 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And thank
19 you for your comments also.

20 Regarding the ANC, this is a two vote map amendment
21 case so we could take proposed action and reach out and hopefully
22 get some direct comments before final action, so we can keep
23 whatever momentum might be associated with projects that may be
24 facilitated by the church or others in this area. But I don't
25 know where you or others are, Mr. Chairman.

1 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Vice Chair Miller, maybe I'm -- I
2 didn't explain it right because I've been so troubled the last
3 couple months and even troubled in the more recent days, but that
4 is what I was exactly saying. That we could probably move forward
5 and propose and get a comment from the ANC 8D before we do final.
6 That's what I was saying. If I didn't say that, forgive me, but
7 that's what I meant.

8 All right. Where are we? So -- all right. So I think
9 we have before us, colleagues. I'm not sure -- do we need to
10 discuss anything? I think it is pretty straightforward. This
11 is in line with the Comp Plan and it's also a lot of initiatives
12 and things that we talk about, racial equity analysis. And I
13 think we've covered a lot of things. And even more than that,
14 churches are looking for ways to stay and offset their tithes and
15 offerings as we have already mentioned. So unless there's
16 anything else.

17 Commissioner May.

18 COMMISSIONER MAY: Mr. Chairman, there was a comment
19 from DOEE in the OP report about increasing the GAR for these
20 properties, which I -- you know, I don't think that we should be
21 doing. But I did want to say that if DOEE thinks that we should
22 have a higher GAR for properties in proximity to Oxon Run or any
23 other sensitive tributaries, that would be a way to approach that
24 sort of question. But on a single one-off map amendment like
25 this, that's not really something we're going to do. So, I mean,

1 I appreciate the notion and the concern about Oxon Run, but I
2 feel like that's something that is outside the realm of what we
3 are considering right now, and we shouldn't be adopting a new
4 zone for this place and then tweaking it. We'd have to do a text
5 amendment to create a zone, and then we'd have to map it against
6 that zone. Maybe there's something that the Office of Planning
7 wants to discuss with DOEE, but I would leave it to them.

8 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay.

9 COMMISSIONER MAY: I saw Ms. Steingasser writing some
10 things down, so she's paying attention to this comment. Thank
11 you.

12 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Any other comments or
13 questions? All right. So again, keeping the momentum as the
14 Vice Chair and others have mentioned, I am going to move that we
15 approve for proposed action Zoning commission Case No.
16 21-19, and we continue to do the good work that we're doing to
17 try to help the residents of this city -- us, not us versus them.
18 And I want all that in my motion. Can I get a second?

19 VICE CHAIR MILLER: I'll second that motion.

20 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: It's been moved and properly second.
21 Any further discussion? Not hearing any, Ms. Schellin, would you
22 do a roll call vote?

23 MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Hood.

24 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yes.

25 MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Miller.

1 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yes.

2 MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner May.

3 COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes.

4 MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Imamura.

5 COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Yes.

6 MS. SCHELLIN: The vote is 4-0-1, the minus one being
7 the 3rd Mayoral Appointee Position vacant, to take proposed
8 action on Zoning Commission Case 21-19. And at the same time to
9 add that the Zoning Commission and the Office of Planning continue
10 to work with the residents of the District as they always have.
11 Did I capture that correctly, Mr. Chairman?

12 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: No. That's all right. You'll hear
13 it probably in all my motions for the next -- for the remainder
14 of my time.

15 I will say, if we don't have anything else, you will
16 see that the Zoning Commission -- hopefully I have this date
17 right, I actually worked on this -- we meet again February 24th.
18 Okay. And that's our regular meeting. We will be on these same
19 platforms, and we start at 4 o'clock.

20 So I want to thank everyone for their participation
21 tonight in this hearing and looking forward to seeing everyone
22 February 24th via public meeting. So good night.

23 COMMISSIONER MAY: Good night. Thank you.

24 COMMISSIONER IMAMURA: Good night. Thank you.

25 (Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the

1 record at 4:30 p.m.)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
Court Reporting and Litigation Support
Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia
410-766-HUNT (4868)
1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

C E R T I F I C A T E

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Public Hearing

Before: DCZC

Date: 02-17-2022

Place: Teleconference

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my
direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate
record of the proceedings.

GARY EUELL

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
Court Reporting and Litigation Support
Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia
410-766-HUNT (4868)
1-800-950-DEPO (3376)