GOVERNMENT

OF

THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT

+ + + + +

REGULAR PUBLIC MEETING

+ + + + +

WEDNESDAY

FEBRUARY 9, 2022

+ + + + +

The Regular Public Meeting of the District of Columbia Board of Zoning Adjustment convened via Videoconference, pursuant to notice, at 9:30 a.m. EST, Frederick L. Hill, Chairperson, presiding.

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT MEMBERS PRESENT:

FREDERICK HILL, Chairperson LORNA JOHN, Vice Chairperson CARL BLAKE, Board Member CHRISHAUN SMITH, Board Member (NCPC)

ZONING COMMISSION MEMBER[S] PRESENT:

ROBERT MILLER, Commissioner, Zoning Commission JOSEPH IMAMURA, Commissioner, Zoning Commission

OFFICE OF ZONING STAFF PRESENT:

CLIFFORD MOY, Secretary
PAUL YOUNG, Zoning Data Specialist

D.C. OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL PRESENT:

SARAH BAJAJ, ESQ.

The transcript constitutes the minutes from the Regular Public Meeting held on February 9, 2022.

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S 1 2 9:38 a.m. 3 CHAIR HILL: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen, 4 to the Board of Zoning Adjustment. Today's date is 2/9/2022. 5 The hearing will please come to order. My name is Fred Hill, Chairperson of the District of Columbia Board of 6 7 Adjustment. Joining me today are Lorna John, Vice Chair, Board 8 9 Members Carl Blake and Chrishaun Smith, and Zoning Rob Miller, 10 Commissioner as well as Commissioner, Dr. 11 Sorry, Joe. You're going to have to tell me how 12 to pronounce that again. He is attending for one decision making session. 13 14 Today's meeting and hearing agendas are available on the Office of Zoning website. 15 Please be advised, that 16 this proceeding is being recorded by a Court Reporter, and 17 is also Webcast live, via WebEx YouTube live. The video of the Webcast will be available on the Office of Zoning's 18 website, after today's hearing. 19 Accordingly, everyone who is listening on WebEx 2.0 2.1 or by telephone will be muted during the hearing. 22 please be advised, that we do not take any public testimony 23 at our decision meeting session. 24 If you experience difficulty accessing WebEx or

with your telephone call-in, then please call our OZ hotline

number at 202-727-5471 to receive WebEx login or call-in instructions.

At the conclusion of the decision meeting session, I shall, in consultation with the Office of Zoning, determine whether a full or summary order may issue. A full order is required when the decision it contains is adverse to a party including an affected ANC.

A full order may also be needed if the Board's decision differs from the Office of Planning's recommendation. Although the Board favors the use of summary orders whenever possible, an applicant may not request the Board to issue such an order.

In today's hearing session, everyone who is listening on WebEx or by telephone will be muted during the hearing. And only persons who have signed up to participate or testify, will be unmuted at the appropriate time. Please state your name, and home address before providing oral testimony or your presentation.

Oral presentations should be limited to a summary of your most important points. When you have finished speaking, please mute your audio, so that your microphone is no longer picking up sound.

Once again, if you experience difficulty accessing WebEx or with your telephone call-in, or if you forgotten to sign up 24 hours prior to this hearing, please call our OZ

2.0

hotline number 202-727-5473, to sign up to testify and to receive WebEx login, or call-in instructions.

All persons planning to testify, either in favor or in opposition should have signed up in advance. They will be called by name to testify. If this is an appeal, only parties are allowed to testify. By signing up to testify, all participants completed the oath, or affirmation as required by Subtitle Y Section 408.7.

Requests to enter evidence at the time of online virtual hearing, such as written testimony, or additional supporting documents, other than live video, which may not be presented as part of the testimony, may be allowed pursuant to Subtitle Y 103.13, provided that, the person making the request to enter an exhibit explains how the proposed exhibit is relevant, the good cause that justifies allowing the exhibit into the record, including explanation of why the requestor did not file the exhibit prior to the hearing pursuant to Subtitle Y 206, and how the proposed exhibit would not unreasonably prejudice any party.

The order of procedure for special exceptions and variances are pursuant to Y 409. The order of appeals is subject to Subtitle Y 507.

At the conclusion of each case, an individual who was unable to testify because of technical issues, may file a request for leave to file a written version of the planned

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

testimony to the record within 24 hours, following the conclusion of public testimony in the hearing. If additional witness testimony is accepted, then parties will be allowed a reasonable time to respond, as determined by the Board.

The Board will then make its decision at its next meeting session, but no earlier than 48 hours after the hearing. Moreover, the Board may request additional specific information to complete the record.

The Board and the staff will specify at the end of the hearing, exactly what is expected, and the date when persons must submit the evidence to the Office of Zoning.

No other information shall be accepted by the Board.

Finally, the District of Columbia Administrative Procedures Act requires that the public hearing on each case be held in the open, before the public. However, pursuant to Section 405(b) and 406 of that Act, the Board may, consistent with its rules of procedures in the act, enter into a closed meeting on a case for purposes of seeking legal counsel on a case, pursuant to D.C. Official Code Section 2-575(b)(4), and or deliberating on a case pursuant to D.C. Official Code Section 2-575(b)(13). But only after providing the necessary public notice, and in the case of an emergency closed meeting, after taking a roll call vote.

Mister Secretary, do you have any preliminary mattes?

2.0

2.1

MR. MOY: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Good morning, and welcome back. It's lovely we have a full Board again. So, everything's right as rain. So, for the record, for the transcript, update on two cases that were originally on today's docket.

These two cases, Application number 20612 of Demetra Weir, has been, was granted continuance. And the rescheduled date is May 4th, 2022. And finally, Case number 20529 of Oakwood, LLC, and granted continuance, and rescheduled to May 25th, 2022.

And finally, there are some preliminary matters to, I believe it's more efficient to address those when I call a case, unless I'm told otherwise. Other than that, that's all I have. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIR HILL: Okay. Thanks, Mr. Moy. A couple of things. First, I guess if we can kind of just reorder a little bit. Meaning, I'd like to do the expedited review first, Mr. Moy.

And then there's the decision case, 20603, which I am not on, as well as 20526, which is the McKinney case, which also I am not on. So, those two we'll view in order. And then Vice Chair John can go ahead and take over for the decision case, as well as the continued hearing of that, will work for everyone. Okay.

I'd like to state for the record, I'd really like

2.0

2.1

to thank Vice Chair John sincerely for covering for me while I was away. I really do appreciate it, Ms. John. And I don't know how else to thank you. But I really do want to publicly thank you. And very much appreciated.

Unfortunately now, those people that liked the way

Unfortunately now, those people that liked the way that you did it, hey, I'm back. And/or those that like me, hey, you're gone. So, there you go. Okay. But thank you, Ms. John, very much.

VICE CHAIR JOHN: You're welcome, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIR HILL: Thank you. Thank you. All right. That being the case, Mr. Moy, let's go ahead and view the expedited review by calling that first.

MR. MOY: Yes, sir. Thank you. So, the expedited review case is Application number 20642 of Kerry, K-E-R-R-Y, Burgott, B-U-R-G-O-T-T. This is a request for a special exception as captioned and advertised from the lot occupancy requirements of Subtitle E, Section 304.1, pursuant to Subtitle E, Section 5201, and Subtitle X, Section 9 through 2.1.

This would concern a rear two storey addition to an existing attached two storey with basement flap, in the RF1 zone. The property is located at 211 Morgan Street, Northwest, Square 555, Lot 983. And that's all I have. Thank you.

CHAIR HILL: Okay, thanks. Are you guys ready to

2.0

2.1

1	talk about it? Okay. After taking a look at the record I
2	didn't really have any issues with it. I mean, they're
3	constructing a rear addition. The spiral staircase to an
4	existing attached two storey with basement flap.
5	I would agree with the analysis the Office of
6	Planning has provided, which was in support, as was that of
7	the ANC, which is in support. It seems relatively
8	straightforward to me.
9	And I didn't have any issues with the spiral
10	stair, and has it, goes against the standards which would be
11	cause for review. Let's see. I'll go around the table, if
12	that's all right. Commissioner Miller, do you have any
13	thoughts?
14	ZC MEMBER MILLER: No. I concur with your
15	comments, Mr. Chairman. Thank you.
16	CHAIR HILL: All right. Thank you. Mr. Smith?
17	MEMBER SMITH: I don't have anything in addition
18	to add. I concur.
19	CHAIR HILL: Mr. Blake?
20	MEMBER BLAKE: I concur with the analysis as well,
21	Mr. Chair.
22	CHAIR HILL: Thank you. Vice-Chair John?
23	VICE CHAIR JOHN: I agree with the analysis, Mr.
24	Chair. I have nothing to add.
25	CHAIR HILL: Okay. And I'll make a motion to

1	approve Application number 20642 as captioned and read by the
2	Secretary, and ask for a second. Ms. John?
3	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Second.
4	CHAIR HILL: Motion has been made and seconded.
5	Mr. Moy, please take a roll call.
6	MR. MOY: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. When I
7	call each of your names, if you will please respond with a
8	yes, no, or abstain to a motion made by Chairman Hill to
9	approve the application for the relief requested. The motion
10	to approve was second by Vice-Chair John. Zoning
11	Commissioner Rob Miller.
12	ZC MEMBER MILLER: Yes.
13	MR. MOY: Mr. Smith.
14	MEMBER SMITH: Yes.
15	MR. MOY: Mr. Blake.
16	MEMBER BLAKE: Yes.
17	MR. MOY: Vice-Chair John.
18	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Yes.
19	MR. MOY: Chairman Hill.
20	CHAIR HILL: Yes.
21	MR. MOY: Staff will record the vote as five, to
22	zero, to zero. This is on a motion made by Chairman Hill to
23	approve. The motion to approve was second, and in support
24	by Vice-Chair John. Also in support of the motion to
25	approve, Zoning Commissioner Rob Miller, Mr. Smith, Mr.

The motion carries with a vote of five, to zero, to 1 2 zero. 3 CHAIR HILL: Okay. All right. Thanks, Mr. Moy. All right, Vice-Chair John, if it's okay I'll jump off for 5 20603, as well as 20526, and then rejoin you guys. 6 VICE CHAIR JOHN: Thank you. 7 CHAIR HILL: Thank you. 8 VICE CHAIR JOHN: Good morning. So, we did call 9 the case, right, Mr. Moy? 10 MR. MOY: Not yet. I was waiting for your signal. 11 VICE CHAIR JOHN: Okay. Please go ahead and call 12 the case. I haven't had coffee yet. So, it's early. Yes. All right. 13 MR. MOY: Okay. The next and last case for decision making in the Board meeting session 14 is Case Application number 20526 of 4248 LLC. As the Board 15 16 will recall, the Board heard this at its public hearing on February the 2nd, 2022. 17 18 Again, this application has been amended for a special exception from the side yard requirements of Subtitle 19 2.0 Section 206.3, pursuant to Subtitle D, Section 5201, 2.1 Subtitle X, Section 901.2. This would construct a new three storey semi-22 in the R2 detached principle dwelling unit The 23 24 property is located at 1227 47th Place, Northeast, Square 5160, Lot 49. And participating on the decision is Vice-

1	Chair John, Mr. Blake, Mr. Smith, and Zoning Commissioner,
2	Dr. Joe Imamura.
3	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Thank you, Mr. Moy. So, are we
4	ready to decide? I'm going to go ahead and ask Board Member
5	Smith to begin the discussion.
6	MEMBER SMITH: So, just for clarification, are we
7	deciding 20603, or 226?
8	VICE CHAIR JOHN: No. This is 20603.
9	MEMBER SMITH: Okay. I think he read the caption
10	for 20526.
11	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Thank you for catching that.
12	It's 20603.
13	MR. MOY: Yes. Chair, we read that. I made a
14	mistake in my reading. Madame Vice-Chair.
15	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Yes. Thank you. Please go
16	ahead and read the caption again.
17	MR. MOY: Yes. Let me do that. Yes. I just had
18	my pieces of paper piled. I, so, about here. Okay. All
19	right. I see what I did. Okay. Okay. It makes sense now.
20	All right. Here we go. All right.
21	This is Case Application number 20603 of Abdollah
22	Poozesh. I'm sorry if I pronunciation, P-O-O-Z-E-S-H. And
23	caption advertised for a special exception from the rear yard
24	requirements of Subtitle G, Section 605.2, pursuant to
25	Subtitle G. Section 609.1, Subtitle G. Section 1200, and

Subtitle X, Section 902.1. 1 This would construct a rear addition 2 to an 3 existing attached three storey with basement mixed use building in the MU-18 zone. Property located at 1709 17th 5 Street, Northwest, Square 178, Lot 87. And this was last heard by the Board on February 6 7 2nd, 2022. We're just waiting on a decision with Vice-Chair 8 John, Mr. Blake, Mr. Smith, and Dr. Joe Imamura. Yes, I 9 think we got it this time. Thank you, Mr. Smith. 10 VICE CHAIR JOHN: Thank you. So --MEMBER SMITH: 11 No problem. 12 VICE CHAIR JOHN: Mr., Board Member Smith, were 13 you ready to begin? 14 MEMBER SMITH: Yes, Chair John. I will begin the 15 deliberations. So, the case before us is a request to 16 construct a rear addition, one storey plus mezzanine, to an 17 existing attached three storey with basement, mixed use building. 18 19 Based on the information in the record, and the 2.0 testimony heard at the public hearing last week, I believe 21 that the applicant has sufficiently demonstrated that they meet the burden of proof for us to be able to grant a special 22 23 exception. 24 The proposed addition will be one storey

And I do not believe would obstruct light and air

height.

to the adjacent properties. I also am giving credits to the ordinance to the party of opposition, the building owner to the south of this property.

While their building is in close proximity to the applicant's addition, the property is located within a dense urban neighborhood. So, the design of such an addition is setbacks of buildings from other, this type of setback is not uncommon in that neighborhood, and other neighborhoods similar to this within the District or MU zone.

Also, the proposed one storey addition would not obstruct light and air to the party in opposition's units. They're proposing to construct with large sliding windows that open out to the applicant's property. So, I do believe that applicant has met the criteria of Section 901.2.

Also, from the standpoint of the ANC, I understand that they are opposition. While, you know, I do err on the, commonly do, you know, err on the side of the ANC, I will again state that this property is MU. MU is a mixed use zone, mixture of commercial and residential property.

So, you know, I do acknowledge that the ANC give priority to residential development over commercial buildings. But again, this is a MU zoned area, with a mixture of commercial and residential uses. And I do believe that this is indicative of the zone, and the intent of the zone. So, with that, I will support the application.

2.1

2.3

VICE CHAIR JOHN: Thank you, Board Member Smith.

2 Mr. Blake?

MEMBER BLAKE: Sure. Excuse me. My voice is a little better, but not great still today. Based on the BZA approved plans I would agree with the Office of Planning's analysis, that the project meets a specific rear yard relief requirement of G 1201.

Its assessment that the proposed venture is in harmony with the general purpose and intent of the zoning regulation for building expansion is of the commercial levels of the buildings, where there is no lot occupant restriction. The entire building would also continue to be within the maximum permitted development standards for the lot occupancy, building height, FAR, all for the MU 18 zone, and as Member Smith pointed out.

And going to, you know, Subtitle X 911.2(b), and adjacent concerns raised by the pointed opposition of the ANC regarding the potentially adverse effect on the use of available property, I think the party in opposition argued that the rear addition would potentially block all light and air to new residential units on the second and third floors of their building.

I reviewed the schematics presented by both the applicant and the opposition. Given the north facing position of the windows in question it appears that there may

2.0

2.1

be a loss of some ambient light to the lower level unit though. But the loss does not render the space uninhabitable. So, it would not in my mind rise to the level of undue.

I would also concur with the Office of Planning's analysis, that based on the BZA approved plans in Case 20042, the proposed addition would not have an undue or gross effect on the use of neighboring property, because the abutting properties will be almost nine feet from the common lot line.

The addition will be only one and a half storeysish, about less than 20 feet high. There will be no windows along the wall of the addition, the south wall of the addition, which faces the opposition parties' curbing. And the roof access will be not provided on the addition.

So, based upon the record before the Board, and giving weight to the Office of Planning, and also giving great weight to the concern of the ANC and the opposition party, I believe the applicant has met the burden of proof, and should be granted a special, granted the request for relief.

VICE CHAIR JOHN: Thank you, Board Member Blake.

Commissioner Imamura?

ZC MEMBER IMAMURA: Thank you, Madame Vice-Chair.

There's clearly a tremendous amount of interest in this case.

And I'm sure there's a number of people listening this

2.1

morning to hear the verdict of our decision and deliberation.

I certainly give great weight to the ANC and great weight to the Office of Planning report. I certainly appreciate the position of the ANC and the neighbors in opposition. But I also appreciate the applicant's need and desire to expand.

We know locally owned businesses are important for the health and vitality of the neighborhood. While the applicant has every right to request a special exception, the proposed addition does create an undesirable condition for the abutting property. But it does not rise to the level of creating an undue hardship for the abutting property.

While the proposed addition by code is considered one floor at 22 feet high, with a mezzanine, the general public understands it to be a two storey addition. If the proposed addition were to be even higher it would then and only then adversely affect light, air for the abutting property.

But as it is, only views are impacted. And as the applicant demonstrated during the hearing, they convincingly argued that views are not a right. The fact that the abutting property included balconies in revised drawings, and did that on the north side with, you know, direct light, it is a disservice to their own argument for light and air being impacted.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

2.3

24

Further, the location of the skylight is really negligible when evaluating light and air. But its relocation isn't hurting. And so, with that, Madame Vice-Chair, I am in general agreement with my esteemed colleagues, and will vote in favor.

VICE CHAIR JOHN: Thank you, Commissioner. So, I'm also in favor of the application. And I agree with my colleagues' comments so far, in terms of any adverse impacts to light and air.

And I cannot give great weight to the ANC's opposition. Because the basis of the opposition is the inability to work with a residential building, and because of the need of residential housing in the neighborhood. And I don't believe that's the criteria for addressing whether or not the applicant has complied with Section G 501, which establishes the requirement for rear yard relief.

And so, based on our discussion -- Oh, I give great weight to the Office of Planning's analysis. And I just want to emphasize that there is no right to views over anybody else's property.

And based on our discussion then I will make a motion to approve the application. So, I will make a motion to approve Application number 20603 as captioned and read by the Secretary, and ask for a second, please. Board Member Blake?

2.1

1	MEMBER BLAKE: Second.
2	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Mr. Moy, would you please take
3	the roll call?
4	MR. MOY: Thank you, Madame Vice-Chair. When I
5	call each of your names, if you would please respond with a
6	yes, no, or abstain to a motion made by Vice Chair John to
7	approve the application for the relief requested. The motion
8	to approve was second by Mr. Blake. Zoning Commissioner Dr.
9	Imamura?
10	ZC MEMBER IMAMURA: Yes.
11	MR. MOY: Mr. Smith.
12	MEMBER SMITH: Yes.
13	MR. MOY: Mr. Blake.
14	MEMBER BLAKE: Yes.
15	MR. MOY: Vice-Chair John.
16	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Yes.
17	MR. MOY: We have no other Board Member
18	participating. Staff will record the vote as four, to zero,
19	to one on the motion made by Vice-Chair John to approve.
20	Second by Mr. Blake to approve.
21	Also in support of the motion to approve is Zoning
22	Commissioner Dr. Imamura, Mr. Smith. Again, the Board, or
23	rather the motion carries by a vote of four, to zero, to one.
24	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Thank you, Mr. Moy. So, can you
25	go ahead call the first hearing case?

1	ZC MEMBER IMAMURA: Before you, Mr. Secretary, and
2	Madame Vice-Chair, I think that completes my role on the
3	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Oh, I'm so Yes. I'm so
4	sorry. I should have noted that. So, thank you for being
5	here this morning. And we'll see you next time.
6	ZC MEMBER IMAMURA: Right. Thank you. And Board
7	Member Blake, I'm glad you're feeling better.
8	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Bye.
9	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the
10	record at 1:01 p.m.)
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Public Meeting

Before: DC BZA

Date: 02-09-22

Place: teleconference

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Court Reporter

near 1 aus 8