## GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT

+ + + + +

REGULAR PUBLIC HEARING

+ + + + +

WEDNESDAY

September 22, 2021

+ + + + +

The Regular Public Hearing of the District of Columbia Board of Zoning Adjustment convened via Videoconference, pursuant to notice at 9:39 a.m. EDT, Frederick L. Hill, Chairperson, presiding.

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT MEMBERS PRESENT:

FREDERICK L. HILL, Chairperson LORNA JOHN, Vice-Chair CHRISHAUN SMITH, Board Member CARL H. BLAKE, Board Member

ZONING COMMISSION MEMBER PRESENT:

ANTHONY HOOD, Chairman

OFFICE OF ZONING STAFF PRESENT:

CLIFFORD MOY, Secretary PAUL YOUNG, Zoning Data Specialist

OFFICE OF PLANNING STAFF PRESENT:

MATTHEW JESICK ELISA VITALE KAREN THOMAS CRYSTAL MYERS

# JONATHAN KIRSCHENBAUM MAXINE BROWN-ROBERTS

### D.C. OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL PRESENT:

ALEXANDRA CAIN, Esquire JACK RICE, Esquire

The transcript constitutes the minutes from the Regular Public Hearing held on September 22, 2021

### T-A-B-L-E O-F C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S

						4602 Hillside, LLC 7
						the Government of the United 9/20/2021)
Case	No.	20400	_ 	Application	of · ·	Green Street Apartments, LLC
Case	No.	20512	_	Application	of	Old House, LLC 14
Case	No.	20513	-	Application	of	Old House, LLC 18
Appea	al No	2048	37	- Appeal of	ANO	С 6В 25, 55
Case	No.	20454	-	Application	of	4234 Benning, LLC 30
Case	No.	20425	-	Application	of	616 Quebec Place NW 38
Case	No.	20494	-	Application	of	Giordano Palloni 68
Case	No.	20497	-	Application	of	Louis Cipro 75
Case	No.	20499	-	Application	of	1218 31st Street, LLC 82
Case	No.	20488	-	Application	of	Petit Scholars 101
Case	No.	20508	-	Application	of	9 New York Avenue, LLC 137
				Application		District Restoration Company, 205
Case	No.	20538	_	Application	of	TG Management, LLC 268

#### P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

2 (9:39 a.m.)

MR. CHAIRMAN: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen of the Board of Zoning Adjustment. Today's date is 9/22/2021. Public hearing will please come to order.

My name is Fred Hill. I'm the chairperson of the District of Columbia Board of Adjustments. Joining me today is Lorna John, Vice Chair; Board Members Carl Blake and Chrishaun Smith; and Zoning Commissioner Chairman Anthony Hood.

Today's meeting and hearings agenda are available to you on the Office of Zoning's website. Please be advised that this proceeding is being recorded by a court reporter, and also webcast live via Webex and YouTube Live. The video of the webcast will be available on the Office of Zoning's website after today's hearing. Accordingly, everyone who is listening on Webex or by telephone will be muted during the hearing. Also, please be advised that we do not take any public testimony in our decision meeting sessions. If you're experiencing -- accessing Webex or with your telephone call-in, then please call our OZ hotline number at 202-727-5471, to receive Webex login or call-in instructions.

At the conclusion of a decision meeting session, I shall, in consultation with the Office of Zoning, determine whether a full or summary order made be issued. A full order is required when the decision it contains is adverse to a party, including an affected ANC. A full order may also be needed if the

Board's decision differs from the Office of Planning's recommendation. Although the Board favors the use of summary orders whenever possible, an applicant may not request the Board to issue such an order.

In today's hearing session, everyone who is listening on Webex or by telephone will be muted during the hearing, and only persons who have signed up to participate or to testify will be unmuted at the appropriate time. Please state your name and home address before providing oral testimony or your presentation. Oral presentations should be limited to a summary of your most important points. When you've finished speaking, please mute your audio so that your microphone is no longer picking up sound or background noise.

Once again, if you're experiencing difficulty accessing Webex or with your telephone call-in, or if you've forgotten to sign up 24 hours prior to this hearing, then please call the OZ Hotline number, 202-727-5471, to sign up to testify, and to receive Webex login or call-in instructions.

All persons planning to testify either in favor or in opposition should've signed up in advance. They'll be called by name to testify. If this is an appeal, only parties are allowed to testify. By signing up to testify, all participants completed the oath or affirmation that are required by Y 408.7.

To request to enter evidence at the time of an online virtual hearings, such as written testimony and additional

supporting documents, other than live video, which may not be presented as part of testimony, may be allowed, pursuant to Subtitle Y 103.13, provided that the person making the request to enter an exhibit explains how the proposed exhibit is relevant, the good cause that justifies allowing the exhibit into the record, including explanation of why the requester did not file the exhibit prior to the hearing, pursuant to Y -- sorry -- 206, and how the proposed exhibit would not unreasonably prejudice any parties. The order of procedures for special exceptions and variances are pursuant to Y 409. The order of appeals is pursuant to Y 507.

At the conclusion of each case, an individual who is unable to testify because of technical issues may file a request for leave to file a written version of the planned testimony to the record within 24 hours following the conclusion of public testimony in the hearing. If additional written testimony is accepted, then parties will be allowed a reasonable time to respond, as determined by the Board.

The Board will then make its decision at its next meeting session, but no earlier than 48 hours after the hearing. Moreover, the Board may request additional specific information to complete the record. The Board or the staff will specify the end of exactly -- sorry -- at the end of the hearing, exactly what is expected and the date when the person must submit the evidence the Office of Zoning. No other information shall be accepted by the

Board.

Finally, the District of Columbia Administrative Procedures Act requests -- requires that the public hearing on each case be held in the open, before the public. However, pursuant to Section 405(b) and 406 of the Act, the Board may, consistent with its rules of procedures and the Act, enter in a closed meeting on a case for purposes of seeking legal counsel on a case, pursuant to D.C. Official Code Section 2-575(b)(4), and/or deliberate a case, pursuant to D.C. Official Code Section 20575(b)(13), but only after filing necessary public notice, in the case of an emergency closed meeting after taking a roll call vote.

Mr. Secretary, do we have any preliminary matters?

MR. MOY: Good morning, Mr. Chairman, members of the Board. We do have preliminary matters.

First of all, as has been customary with the Board, following suggestions that the Board address those preliminary matters when a case is called, for efficiency.

Other than that, Mr. Chairman, for the record, there are two case applications that have been postponed and rescheduled. Or, actually, one. Application Number 20509. This is the application of 4602 Hillside, LLC. That has been withdrawn by the applicant.

Application Number 20517 of the Government of the United Arab Emirates has been rescheduled to September 29th, 2021, which

would be next week. 1 2 Other than that, Mr. Chairman, we are into our meeting 3 session. 4 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, great. Thank you. Let me just 5 take a look here. 6 If you could call our first meeting case, Mr. 7 Moy? 8 MR. MOY: Yes, sir. This would be Case Application 9 Number 20400, of Green Street Apartments, LLC. This was last 10 heard by the Board at its public hearing on June the 23rd, 2021. 11 For the record, this application was amended for special exception 12 under the new residential development requirements, so Subtitle U, 13 Section 421.1. This would construct a new detached three-story 14 with cellar and penthouse, ten-unit apartment house, in the RA-1 15 zone. Property is located at 2326 through 2328 Green Street 16 Southeast. As the Board is aware, there is a preliminary matter. 17 18 In the record, there was a filing from ANC 8A, which is a motion 19 to strike, which is under Exhibit 67. So that's before the Board. 20 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, great. Thank you.

21 I think Mr. Blake had to log off. He's trying to log 22 back on. So let's give --

23 MR. MOY: Yeah. Yeah, as you'll recall, Mr. Chairman, 24 Mr. Blake is not participating on this case.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, so --

25

MR. MOY: So that's probably the reason why.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Well, I think he had -- but that's great. I think he had a computer issue. But that's great.

Okay. So if you've got -- as you all are all aware, because it's on the record and read through the record, there's a motion to strike some of the testimony from one of the ANC commissioners, I think it was.

And what I thought was that we could go ahead and maybe have the ANC commissioner with us -- and I think it might've been the chair, I'm unclear -- and see just exactly what is the motion, and what they're trying to strike, so that we at least know what is in the record from the ANC and what we can give great weight to, and/or, if it's just, then, comments from a member of the public, which would be, then, just an ANC representative on their own.

So I had thought about possibly pulling this from the public meeting into the public hearing, which we had done, the day of the event, which is -- sorry, the day of the hearing, which is today.

But what I'd rather do, if it's okay with you guys -oh, and also, the applicant has amended their relief to remove the
parking requirements, because they now have shared access with the
driveway. Right? Of the next-door property. And I actually
would even like to hear from the applicant on that, as to whether
or not that is in perpetuity or what is going on with that

easement. Right?

And so what I would suggest is, we go ahead and reopen this to hear from the ANC commissioner, who was interested in having a -- something stricken from the record, as well as the -- if the other ANC commissioner is interested in speaking, they would at least know that this is up for discussion, and then they can also participate.

And then outside of that -- and I don't even know if it's a limited-scope hearing or not, if, Mr. Rice, you can clarify this or not for me -- I just want to hear about the one issue with how they're pulling the -- how they're sharing the driveway, and how they're pulling the parking requirement and talking about that a little bit now, so that we at least understand that they're meeting the parking requirement. So that'll be my suggestion.

I'm going to lead with the vice chair, if I could, as to your thoughts, Ms. John.

VICE CHAIR JOHN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I'm also interested in hearing about those two issues, so I agree with your recommendation. What I'm not sure is whether we would physically move this case to the hearing calendar. Or we could hear from the parties on the motion.

But I think we're doing more than just hearing on the motion, getting information on the motion, but also going into the substance, which is the parking. So --

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yes.

VICE CHAIR JOHN: -- I would think we need to discuss it 1 2 during the hearing calendar. 3 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yes. So that's what I'm suggesting, also, is moving it -- thank you for clarifying -- moving it to the 4 5 hearing calendar, next week. Does that sound reasonable, Ms. 6 John? 7 VICE CHAIR JOHN: Yes, that's fine. 8 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Mr. Smith? 9 BOARD MEMBER SMITH: I agree with Vice Chair John's 10 position on this. I think we need to hear a little bit more 11 information from the ANC and from the applicant, on how they're 12 meeting the parking requirements. So I am fully in support of 13 giving this an extra week. CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. 14 Chairman Hood? Chairman Hood? Chairman Hood? 15 16 CHAIRMAN HOOD: I would agree with all my colleagues' 17 comments. 18 I really want to understand about the leave for the 19 parking. But even more so, I'm trying to understand who's on 20 first, who's on second, with the ANC letter. So hopefully the 21 commissioner, Chairperson Muhammad, could be here to kind of help me walk through the different aspects of -- points of view of how 22 23 the ANC arrived to where they were. 24 And also, what should I really be looking at? What is 25 the ANC really giving us? Who signed this letter? Who signed

that letter? I don't want to get too far into talking about the police department, but I want to find out, what is consensus of the ANC, for the most part? So thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, great.

Mr. Rice, I saw you pop in there for a second. So before -- I just want to ask this question, I guess, that -- Mr. Rice, are you there?

MR. RICE: I'm here.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Sorry. So it is -- so basically, I mean, the way it seems to me is, it is a limited-scope hearing on the parking issue and how they're revising their application to remove the parking, and then I'd like to hear about, you know, is, you know, is the easement in perpetuity? And then the ANC strike -- motion to strike.

And what we want to know is -- we'll talk through that a little bit, but then, also, just so the ANC knows, and either they're watching, or if you could convey this to them -- what is it that they're giving us? Right? Because as of now, if we strike, if we agree to the motion to strike, there is nothing from the ANC that we can give great weight to. Right?

Or maybe not. This is where I'm a little confused. I think -- I couldn't remember if there was, like, one little issue in the report that we can cut out, or is there other -- well, now I'm asking you. Do you know if there's other things that we can give great weight to? Or no?

MR. RICE: So I believe the -- at the last hearing, the report that was currently in the record did not meet the requirements for great weight, and so the Board asked for a subsequent submission from the ANC that met the requirements for great weight.

Since the last hearing, we've gotten two reports and a letter from the ANC, as well as a motion to strike. And the motion to strike is seeking to strike those reports that meet the requirements of great weight. So basically, if the motion to strike was granted, there would be nothing in the record for which the Board could afford great weight.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay.

MR. RICE: Does that make sense?

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah. Yeah, so then you can let the ANC know that -- either Mr. Moy -- Mr. Moy, if you could reach out to the ANC and let them know that currently, if we strike the motion, then there's nothing that we're giving great weight to from the ANC. I mean, if we agreed to the motion to strike.

So if you can share that with the ANC, and then share with the applicant, we're going to put this on the meeting -- I'm sorry, the hearing calendar for next week, and those issues that we're going to discuss. Okay?

MR. MOY: Yes, sir. The OZ staff can take care of that.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, great. All right. Well, then,
there you go. That'll close that discussion, and move this to

next week.

2.

Oh, Chairman Hood, you're not going to necessarily be with us for it? Or do you want to stay with us on it?

CHAIRMAN HOOD: I think since I've been a part of this one, I believe I will stick around. I'll be able to chime in next week. It's no problem.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, great. You're not going anywhere. You're there at the house. It's still COVID.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Right now, it's no problem. But if I was having to move around, it'd be a problem. But for now, it's no problem.

12 CHAIRPERSON HILL: All right, Mr. Moy. You can call our 13 next one.

MR. MOY: All right. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. So the action before the Board now is to address motions for requests for advance party status. And it's from the same individual for two different case applications. I'll read both of them.

The first case application is Number 20512 of the Old House LLC. And that's captioned as that this application is seeking special exception relief from the minimum side yard requirements, Subtitle D, Section 206.2, which would construct a new two-story detached principal dwelling unit, with detached two-story accessory garage in the R1-B zone. Property located at 4420 Douglas Street Northeast, Square 5116, Lot 126.

The other case application of similar title, with

1	different application number this is 20513 of the Old House
2	LLC. And again, similar special exception relief from the minimum
3	side yard requirements, Subtitle D, Section 206.2, which would
4	also construct a new two-story detached principal dwelling unit,
5	with detached two-story accessory garage, R1-B zone, at 4420
6	Douglas Street Northeast, Square 5116, Lot 127.
7	So the request for advance priority status is from a Ms.
8	Vu, V-U. And I believe she's going to be calling in from out of
9	the country.
10	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, great. Before, Mr. Young, you
11	let in Ms. Vu, are we going to talk about these separately, Mr.
12	Moy? Or can we do it together? Or what's the recommendation?
13	MR. MOY: Well, I think you could do it separately.
14	That'd be clean for the record.
15	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay.
16	MR. MOY: Unless Mr. Rice feels we should do it
17	otherwise.
18	CHAIRPERSON HILL: So you then just called 20512.
19	MR. MOY: Yes, sir.
20	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. So we're in 20512 right now.
21	Okay.
22	MR. MOY: Yes. Yes.
23	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Young, could you let in Ms. Vu?
24	Hello, Ms. Vu?
25	(No response.)

1	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Ms. Vu, maybe you're on mute? Or
2	maybe we hello?
3	MS. VU: I'm here.
4	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Hi, can you hear me? Yes, hello.
5	Can you hear me?
6	MS. VU: Yeah. Yes. I can hear you. Yes, I can hear
7	you.
8	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Great. Ms. Vue, could you introduce
9	yourself for the record, please?
10	MS. VU: Sure. My name is Nhu Vu. And I'm the owner of
11	the home at 4414 Douglas Street.
12	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay.
13	MS. VU: So basically, the home immediately neighboring
14	4420, where the proposed construction's going to happen.
15	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Got you. You're the adjacent
16	property. Correct, Ms. Vu?
17	MS. VU: Correct.
18	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. And Mr. Moy, can you hear me?
19	MR. MOY: Yes, sir.
20	CHAIRPERSON HILL: When is this scheduled for, 20512?
21	MR. MOY: This is scheduled for just a second. I
22	want to confirm that on my notes. These two cases are scheduled,
23	to hear the merits, on
24	MR. RITTING: I've got the answer here. My name's Jacob
25	Ritting. I'm the attorney on this case. They're currently

1	scheduled for October 6th.
2	MR. MOY: Yes. Thank you.
3	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, great. Okay, great. Thank
4	you, Mr. Ritting.
5	All right. Let's see. Okay. So I think that Ms. Vu
6	meets the criteria of how she would be uniquely affected, for her
7	to get party status. I'm reading her filing here, as we speak.
8	And so I'm going to go ahead and make a motion to approve Ms. Vu's
9	party status for case 20512, and ask for a second. Ms. John?
10	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Second.
11	CHAIRPERSON HILL: The motion made, it's seconded. Mr.
12	Moy, if you could take a roll call, please?
13	MR. MOY: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. When I call
14	each of your names, if you would please respond with a "yes",
15	"no", or "abstain" to the motion made by Chairman Hill to grant
16	the request for party status?
17	Zoning Commission Chair Anthony Hood?
18	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes.
19	MR. MOY: Mr. Smith?
20	BOARD MEMBER SMITH: Yes.
21	MR. MOY: Mr. Blake?
22	BOARD MEMBER BLAKE: Yes.
23	MR. MOY: Vice Chair John?
24	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Yes.
25	MR. MOY: Chairman Hill?

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yes.

MR. MOY: Staff would record the vote as five to zero to zero, and this is on the motion made by Chairman Hill to grant. The motion to grant was seconded by Vice Chair John. Also in support of the motion is Zoning Commissioning Chair Anthony Hood, Mr. Smith, Mr. Blake, and of course, Vice Chair John and Chairman Hill. So the motion carries on a vote of five to zero to zero.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, great. Thank you. All right. So Mr. Moy, do you want to call the next case, now? How do you want -- I mean, do you just -- I mean, so for the record, so that you can cut this up, I guess, call the next one. However you want to do it.

MR. MOY: Yes, I can do that very quickly, Mr. Chairman.

So the next case application, 20513, of the Old House LLC. And again, this is a request for zoning relief from special exception from the minimum side yard requirements, Subtitle D, Section 206.2, to construct a new two-story detached principal dwelling unit, with detached two-story accessory garage, R1-B zone, and the property located at 4420 Douglas Street Northeast, Square 5116, Lot 127. And again, this is for the Board to address the motion for advance party status from Ms. Vu.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, great. Ms. Vu, could you please introduce yourself for the record again?

MS. VU: My name's Nhu Vu, and I'm the owner of the home at 4414 Douglas Street. And this property's right next to where

1	construction is being proposed.
2	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. So Ms. Vu, again, you're the
3	adjacent property to the proposed development. Correct?
4	MS. VU: Yes.
5	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. I neglected to ask in the
6	previous one if my Board members had any questions for Ms. Vu. Do
7	my fellow Board members have any questions for Ms. Vu, and if
8	please so, raise your hand?
9	Okay. All right. I think that Ms. Vu meets the
10	standard criteria for us to grant party status to you to how she's
11	uniquely affected, being the adjacent property owner. So I'm
12	going to go ahead and make a motion to approve the party status of
13	Ms. Vu for 20513, and ask for a second. Ms. John?
14	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Second.
15	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Motion's been made and seconded. Mr.
16	Moy, if you could take a roll call, please?
17	MR. MOY: When I call each of your names, if you would
18	please respond with a "yes", "no", or "abstain" to the motion made
19	by Chairman Hill to grant the request for party status? The
20	motion was seconded by Vice Chair John.
21	Zoning Commission Chair Anthony Hood?
22	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes.
23	MR. MOY: Mr. Smith?
24	BOARD MEMBER SMITH: Yes.
25	MR. MOY: Mr. Blake?

1	BOARD MEMBER BLAKE: Yes.
2	MR. MOY: Vice Chair John?
3	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Yes.
4	MR. MOY: Chairman Hill?
5	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yes.
6	MR. MOY: Staff would record the vote as five to zero to
7	zero. And this is on the motion made by Chairman Hill to grant.
8	The motion was seconded by Vice Chair John, to grant. Also in
9	support of the motion is Zoning Commission Chair Anthony Hood, Mr.
10	Smith, Mr. Blake, and of course, Vice Chair John and Chairman
11	Hill. The motion carries on a vote of five to zero to zero.
12	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, great. Thank you.
13	Ms. Vu, so do you know the date that this is these
14	are being heard on October 6th. Correct?
15	MS. VU: I actually received a notification that the
16	applicant had changed the date to October 13th. So
17	CHAIRPERSON HILL: No, no, I don't think hold on.
18	I think, Mr. Moy, are you talking about the date of a
19	request for postponement? And did we approve that postponement?
20	I'm trying to pull it up, and I'm Mr. Ritting, are
21	you there?
22	MR. MOY: Mr. Chairman? Yeah, this was a case that
23	there was a request for a postponement, and Mr. Chair, you, had
24	granted postponement. I'm looking at my calendar now. You had
25	granted the postponement to October 13th. So that's where we

1 stand. 2 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, Ms. Vu. So that's great. 3 You're right. Okay. So Ms. Vu, what I would recommend that you do again is, 4 take a look at the applicant's submissions as to the argument 5 they're making for the standard, which we're supposed to look 6 7 through to grant or deny the application. And then I suggest you look through the Office of Planning's report. I don't think we 8 9 have the Office of Planning's report yet. Correct, Mr. Ritting? 10 MR. RITTING: Not that I'm aware of. 11 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. So Mr. Moy, does Ms. Vu get 12 something from anyone letting her know that the Office of 13 Planning's report is there? 14 (No response.) 15 CHAIRPERSON HILL: No, I'm just asking. You have to 16 check back. Correct? MR. MOY: Yes. Well, the -- if there are other parties 17 18 to the case, anything that's filed into the record has to be 19 served on Ms. Vu, now that she's of party status. 20 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. 21 MR. MOY: If there was anything else that Mr. Ritting 22 wants to add to that, then please, please do so. MR. RITTING: Yes. Ms. Vu, hi, I'm the Board's lawyer. 23 24 What I suggest you do is you go on the Office of Zoning's

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
Court Reporting and Litigation Support
Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia
410-766-HUNT (4868)
1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

website. There's an area called -- it's an acronym -- IZIS, I-Z-

25

I-S, and all of the documents, including the Office of Planning 2. report, will be available there. And you can read it virtually at the same time it's uploaded. So that's the best way to get that 3 information. 4 CHAIRPERSON HILL: And there will be --5 MS. VU: Okay, and this --6 7 CHAIRPERSON HILL: There will be -- Ms. Vu, I'll let you 8 answer the question. There will be something that gets sent, Mr. 9 Ritting, to Ms. Vu? No. 10 MR. RITTING: I don't -- I'm not aware of that. 11 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah, that's what I was getting --12 MR. RITTING: The Office of Planning report -- I don't 13 think that's correct. So I recommend that you look at the Office 14 of Zoning's website, the IZIS area, and you can read it -- you can 15 read it directly there, and that's the best way to find it. 16 MS. VU: Report is something that's made by, like, the 17 D.C. Zoning Board, regarding what's going to happen with the 18 property? Or --19 CHAIRPERSON HILL: So just -- the Office of Planning's 20 report is their analysis of the case, from the Office of Planning. 21 Right? And they're not the determining -- they don't determine 22 anything. They just provide recommendations, as does all of the 23 people involved, all of the parties in the case, including, now, 24 yourself.

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
Court Reporting and Litigation Support
Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia
410-766-HUNT (4868)
1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

The reason why I'm pointing you out the Office of

25

1	Planning's report is, for those that aren't zoning attorneys,
2	which I assume you're not, it is a concise place to see the
3	regulations. It's a very concise place to see the regulations and
4	the argument.
5	And so and then I would also look at the ANC report,
6	and again, what you will have an opportunity to do is give your
7	opinion, or your, you know, your argument, as to how they are not
8	or are meeting the recommendations. I mean, you're in opposition,
9	I assume, at this point. So, you know, and that's one thing that
10	I would recommend.
11	And then the other is that I would, you know, hopefully
12	their attorney or you can reach out to their attorney and just
13	see if you guys can kind of talk this through a little bit to see
14	where you get. Okay?
15	MS. VU: Okay. Thank you very well, how soon will
16	that report get posted, generally? Is it just a few days before
17	the hearing? Or
18	MR. RITTING: Usually, it's available two weeks before
19	the hearing date. Okay?
20	MS. VU: Okay. Great. Thank you very much.
21	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay? All right, Ms. Vu. We'll see
22	you on the 13th.
23	MS. VU: Thank you.
24	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Thank you.
25	MS. VU: Bye.

1	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Bye.
2	All right, Mr. Moy. Did we did I miss this? What
3	happened to 20454?
4	MR. MOY: That is
5	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Mr. Chair
6	CHAIRPERSON HILL: We
7	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Go ahead.
8	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah. Did we already postpone it,
9	Ms. John? Is that what you're trying to say?
10	VICE CHAIR JOHN: I believe so, but I'm not sure.
11	MR. MOY: That's coming up. That's in the hearing
12	session, Mr. Chair.
13	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right. Well, we'll just
14	see how we go, then. All right, Mr. Moy. You can I think
15	that's the next one, then.
16	MR. MOY: Oh, after this one. You have another advance
17	you have an advance intervener party status
18	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Oh, okay.
19	MR. MOY: in the meeting session. We're not in the
20	hearing session yet.
21	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay.
22	MR. MOY: This is the last one.
23	CHAIRPERSON HILL: No, that's great. Then I think
24	there's a lot of stuff that happened.
25	MR. MOY: Yeah.

1	CHAIRPERSON HILL: All right. Go ahead.
2	MR. MOY: Okay. This would be Case Number 20487, appeal
3	of ANC 6B. And for the record, this appeal is appealing the
4	decisions made on September 30, 2020, and October 23, 2020, by the
5	zoning administrator, Department of Consumer Regulatory Affairs,
6	to issue building permits number B2007087 and B2100034, approving
7	the renovation of a six-unit apartment house in the RF-3 zone.
8	Property located, 207 3rd Street Southeast, Square 762, Lot 26.
9	Again, there's a request for advance intervener status,
10	in opposition, from a Mr. Brian Wise.
11	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Give me a second, Mr. Young,
12	before you bring in Mr. Wise.
13	There was something, I think Mr. Ritting, are you on
14	this case?
15	MR. RITTING: No. Sorry.
16	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay.
17	MR. RITTING: It's my colleague, Ms. Nagelhaut.
18	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Oh, Ms. Nagelhaut.
19	MR. RITTING: Yeah.
20	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. I think did Ms. Nagelhaut
21	send something? She did.
22	MR. MOY: Yes. Yes, she sent the Board an email, Mr.
23	Chairman.
24	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Got it. I'm looking.
25	MR. MOY: I can reforward it to you if you can't find

1	it.
2	CHAIRPERSON HILL: No, I got it. Give me just one
3	second.
4	MR. MOY: Okay. Great.
5	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. You can let in the requester,
6	Mr. Wise.
7	Mr. Wise, can you hear me?
8	MR. WISE: Can you I can. Can you hear me?
9	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yes, I can. Could you introduce
10	yourself for the the
11	MR. WISE: Okay. For some reason sure. For some
12	reason, my video's not connecting. But Brian Wise. I live at 205
13	3rd Street Southeast.
14	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. And Mr. Wise, for the record,
15	how is that in relation to the property?
16	MR. WISE: It's the abutting we have an abutting wall
17	for the entirety of our property. We share a wall with them.
18	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Okay. Let me look at this
19	case. All right. You want to go ahead and make your case, Mr.
20	Wise, as to why you think you should be granted intervener status?
21	MR. WISE: Sure. And just for one correction, in the
22	agenda, it says that I am in opposition. I'm actually a proponent
23	of the appeal.
24	So the it was originally filed I had originally
25	called the Office of Zoning, and they had told me to file a

request for party status, because this was an appeal of a decision that had not yet been heard by the Board.

It looks like that has been changed to an intervener status, which is what I had originally thought that it qualified as. But it is -- I am in -- I am a proponent of the appeal of the permits. So just for the record, that's my position.

I'm uniquely affected because I share -- the entire south wall of my property is a party wall with the subject property, and any actions that happen with that property directly affect my property. In fact, there's a stop-work order on that, because of some damage that they had already created to our wall. So I am very uniquely affected by the granting of these permits, and the fact that they don't seem to conform to zoning code.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Got you. Mr. Wise, have you reached out to the ANC about this?

MR. WISE: I testified at the ANC hearing about it.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Got it. Did you talk to them about wanting to be an intervener?

MR. WISE: I did.

2.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: And what did they say?

MR. WISE: They didn't have any position on it. And I have spoken with Corey Holman, who was the -- I believe he's the chairman of the planning and zoning committee for ANC 6B. And his only response was that he thought it was more appropriate to be an intervener, versus a party status.

1	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Got you. Got you. The reason why
2	I'm asking a little bit, Mr. Smith, is, we'll see what I'm
3	sorry. Mr. Wise.
4	MR. WISE: That's okay.
5	CHAIRPERSON HILL: You may want to, I guess anyway, I
6	think I would be in favor of you getting intervener status, and
7	I'll see what my Board members have to say. What I was trying to
8	mention is that if you kind of get with your ANC, you guys could
9	also kind of present at the same time, rather than have, like, two
10	separate presentations.
11	Because I think, unless they're significantly different
12	reasons, you might have a stronger, cleaner presentation if you do
13	it together. But you all can talk and figure that out amongst
14	yourselves.
15	Does the Board have any questions for Mr. Wise?
16	(No response.)
17	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, seeing none, I'll go ahead and
18	make a motion to approve the intervener status of Mr. Wise in case
19	number 20487. I'm sorry for the appeal of 20487, and ask for a
20	second. Ms. John?
21	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Second.
22	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Motion has been made and seconded.
23	Mr. Moy, if you could get a roll call?
24	MR. MOY: When I call each of your names, if you would
25	please respond with a "yes", "no", or "abstain" to the motion made

1	by Chairman Hill to grant intervener status to Mr. Brian Wise?
2	The motion was seconded by Vice Chair John.
3	Zoning Commission Chair Anthony Hood?
4	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes.
5	MR. MOY: Mr. Smith?
6	BOARD MEMBER SMITH: Yes.
7	MR. MOY: Mr. Blake?
8	BOARD MEMBER BLAKE: Yes.
9	MR. MOY: Vice Chair John?
10	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Yes.
11	MR. MOY: Chairman Hill?
12	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yes.
13	MR. MOY: Staff would record the vote as five to zero to
14	zero, and this is on the motion made by Chairman Hill to grant the
15	request for intervener status to Mr. Brian Wise. Motion was
16	seconded by Vice Chair John. Also in support of the motion to
17	grant is Zoning Commission Chair Anthony Hood, Mr. Smith, Mr.
18	Blake, and of course, Vice Chair John and Chairman Hill. Staff
19	would record the vote as five to zero to zero, on the motion made
20	by Chairman Hill to grant the motion carries, sir.
21	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, great. Mr. Moy, when do we
22	hear the appeal? What's the date of the appeal?
23	MR. MOY: It is scheduled for October 20th.
24	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right, Mr. Wise. Try to
25	get yourself kind of organized, and we'll see you October 20th.

MR. WISE: We'll make it as efficient as possible. 1 Thank you, Chairman. 2 3 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Thank you, sir. All right, Mr. Moy. I guess that's that. Right? 4 MR. MOY: Yes, that's it for the meeting session. 5 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right. Whenever y'all 6 want to do -- this is so weird, being in this little room, being 7 in my office and doing this. Okay. I'm never going to get over 8 9 it. All right. 10 Mr. Moy, you can call the next case. 11 MR. MOY: Okay. The first case in the Board's public 12 hearing session is Application Number 20454. This is of 4234 13 Benning LLC. This application is caption advertised as amended 14 for special exception relief, under the new residential 15 development requirements, Subtitle U, Section 421.1, and from the 16 minimum parking requirements, Subtitle C, Section 701.5. 17 This would raze an existing one-story detached principal dwelling unit, and to construct a new three-story detached eight-18 19 unit apartment building, with cellar and penthouse. This is in 20 the RA-1 zone. Again, the property is located at 4234 Benning 21 Road, Northeast, Square, excuse me, 5087, Lot 7. 22 The preliminary matter here, Mr. Chairman, as you are 23 well aware -- there is a request for postponement from ANC 7D, and

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
Court Reporting and Litigation Support
Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia
410-766-HUNT (4868)
1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, great. All right. Let's see.

that's under Exhibit 45.

2.4

25

1	Mr. Bello, could you introduce yourself for the record,
2	please?
3	MR. BELLO: Yes, sir. Good morning, Mr. Chairman, and
4	the Board members. Olutoye Bello, 1917 Benning Road, representing
5	the applicant.
6	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, great. Mr. Bello, so I guess
7	you still haven't provided justification for the requested parking
8	relief. Is that correct?
9	MR. BELLO: I don't believe so, because we've not
10	updated our hearing statement, because there are other issues that
11	arose, that requires that we take another look at the application.
12	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. So you have to go back you
13	have to go back to the ANC. Correct?
14	MR. BELLO: Yes, we do.
15	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. So when do you think okay.
16	And what
17	MS. MORRIS: I am the commissioner for this
18	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Hi, Commissioner.
19	MS. MORRIS: for this application.
20	CHAIRPERSON HILL: That's great. Commissioner, do you -
21	_
22	MS. MORRIS: I had
23	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Commissioner, Commissioner, give me
24	one second.
25	MS. MORRIS: Yes. Okay.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Could you please introduce yourself 1 2. for the record? 3 MS. MORRIS: I'm Commissioner Morris for 7206, where 4 this property lies. CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Go ahead, Commissioner. 5 6 were -- first of all, welcome. And go ahead. 7 MS. MORRIS: Well, when we had this original meeting, I 8 couldn't go to that meeting, because I was sick for, like, a 9 month. Then our recess was from June through -- we just had our 10 first meeting in September, so we had -- June, July, and August 11 was our recess. And I wanted to also review it, now that we're 12 off recess. 13 We also -- where the notification was, the orange poster 14 wasn't placed on that property until the end of August. And 15 currently, for the date that would be today, it's currently not 16 updated with this current date, where, if somebody was publicly 17 wanting to testify, the public notification for it isn't placed on 18 that property. So I wanted to --19 CHAIRPERSON HILL: So there's nothing on the property 20 now? 21 There's the orange poster, but the date MS. MORRIS: 22 that's listed on that orange poster is for June 23rd. But that 23 poster didn't go up until the end of August. 24 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right. Thank you, 25 Commissioner.

1	Mr. Bello, so I'm just trying to get through this real
2	quick. So you I need a new affidavit of posting and
3	maintenance from you. Okay?
4	MR. BELLO: All right.
5	CHAIRPERSON HILL: That proves that you have it
6	correctly posted and maintained, number one.
7	Number two, when did this application get submitted? Do
8	you know around when?
9	MR. BELLO: Originally?
10	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah.
11	MR. BELLO: I think maybe in early this year.
12	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah, I mean, it's been a long time,
13	is what I'm trying to get at. I just want to confirm. So Mr.
14	Bello, I don't kind of want I mean, it's okay I mean, I
15	shouldn't say it's okay. I don't want to go back and forth and
16	back and forth on this too much. Like, how much time do you think
17	you need to get this cleaned up to where, actually, we're going to
18	be able to hear it?
19	MR. BELLO: As soon as the ANC is able to get us on
20	their meeting agenda, which I've sent the commissioner an email
21	for that purpose. We're pretty much ready to go. There,
22	obviously, is certain issues about parking, because DDOT basically
23	
24	CHAIRPERSON HILL: All right. Mr. Bello, that's all
25	right. Let me interrupt you. So, like okay.

1	So Mr. Moy, can you hear me?
2	(No response.)
3	Mr. Moy?
4	MR. MOY: I hear you. I'm in.
5	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Perfect. So Mr. Moy, why don't,
6	after this case I don't want to quickly try to figure this out.
7	Let's go ahead and give, you know Commissioner Morris, can you
8	hear me?
9	MS. MORRIS: Yes.
10	CHAIRPERSON HILL: When do you have the meetings? When
11	do you normally have your meetings?
12	MS. MORRIS: Every second Tuesday. I am going to have
13	him scheduled for this October meeting. It's just that, even if
14	we had this meeting, the community wouldn't have even known about
15	this, because the poster hasn't been updated, as well as, they
16	wouldn't have even known about the June meeting, because they
17	recently put up a poster.
18	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah, no, I mean, Mr. Bello, I think,
19	understands that that's the wrong way to do this.
20	MS. MORRIS: Yeah. But, as well as, we'll I'll post
21	them, have him come to the October.
22	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Okay. So Mr. Moy, you and I
23	can talk.
24	And Mr. Bello, I don't know when I'm going to get you
25	back here again. Like, we have a lot of stuff going on. We're

pretty jammed up. And I'm going to try to get you back as soon as 1 2. we can. But I don't know when that is. Right? So I have to talk 3 to Mr. Moy and figure it out. I'd go ahead and --4 MR. MOY: Is Corey Holman on the list, too? 5 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Moy, you're on the phone. I can 6 hear you. 7 All right. So -- yeah. So we'll see what happens, Mr. 8 Bello. I would go ahead and make sure that you post that thing 9 correctly. I mean, that's just wrong, Mr. Bello. Like, having, 10 like, the June date, and not even posting it correctly, and all that stuff. Like, that's --11 MR. BELLO: Well, I don't want to get into the back-and-12 13 forth, but there's more to that than --14 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right. Okay. So give me -- then make sure that the community has known, and everybody --15 16 everything's organized, and everything's good to go. 17 And then I guess what I'm trying to say is, Mr. Bello, 18 again, like, we really are jammed up. So this always takes a lot 19 of time for us, even postponements. So, you know, if you need to 20 get with Mr. Moy and figure out when you think your applicant's 21 going to be ready to go, then that might be, also, a good way to 22 do this. Right? Well --23 MR. BELLO: 24 CHAIRPERSON HILL: We'll let Mr. Moy and you kind of 25 figure it out.

1	Commissioner Morris, if you can get him in front of you,
2	that'd be great. And thank you for taking the time to be here
3	today.
4	MS. MORRIS: Thank you.
5	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay?
6	MS. MORRIS: Thank you so much.
7	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay.
8	MR. BELLO: Thank you.
9	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Does the Board have any
10	MS. MORRIS: Put that down, Logan.
11	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Commissioner? Does the
12	MS. MORRIS: I'm currently
13	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Sure, I got you. We're all currently
14	somewhere, doing something.
15	Mr. Moy I mean, sorry. Board members, does anybody
16	have any questions for anybody?
17	VICE CHAIR JOHN: No.
18	CHAIRPERSON HILL: If so, raise your hand. Okay,
19	everybody's saying no. Okay.
20	All right. Mr. Bello, we're going to let you go, and
21	we'll see when we get you next. Okay?
22	MR. BELLO: Thank you, sir.
23	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right. Mr. Moy, you're
24	going to let us know. Okay?
25	MR. MOY: Yes, sir.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Or, I'm sorry, we're going to get 1 2 together, you and I. And we'll --3 MR. MOY: Yeah. 4 CHAIRPERSON HILL: -- for sure -- what we can do. 5 I'm going to -- all right. So we've currently done very little. 6 All right. 7 MR. MOY: Well, Mr. Chairman, can I take a step back a 8 bit, in terms of our logistics? 9 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Sure. 10 MR. MOY: On the last action the Board took, on the 11 request for intervener party status, I was not aware that in the 12 waiting room, there was also Mr. Hugh Grant from DCRA, as well as the good Commissioner Corey Holman. So -- and I believe that's 13 14 why you may have seen me on my cell phone. 15 I believe that Mr. Green -- Hugh Green -- I made a 16 mistake, said Hugh Grant. But Hugh Green, he may want to speak. So I don't know how you want to handle it. But I think -- I don't 17 18 think we can move forward logically until we hear from them. 19 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Then why don't you do this? I 20 think you have to reach out to Mr. Wise, then. Okay? And we can 21 try to do this at some point during the day. Right? And let Mr. Wise know that -- and if Mr. Wise is listening right now, please 22 23 call the Office of Zoning. And we'll reschedule it again to bring in Mr. Wise, then 24 25 the other parties. Because of technical issues, we missed DCRA

and the ANC's testimony. So try to do that during the day at some point, Mr. Moy. We can come back to it.

MR. MOY: Thank you, sir. Thank you, sir.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Okay. Are we about to start - so we're about to actually start a case. Right? No, no, no,
I'm just asking because I'm asking the Board if they want to take
a quick break. Or do you want to do a case and then take a quick
break?

CHAIRMAN HOOD: We can do a case.

10 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. We'll do a case, take a quick
11 break. All right.

All right, Mr. Moy. You can call our next case.

MR. MOY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. So this would be Case Application Number 20425, of 616 Quebec Place, of West LLC. This is an application for special exception relief under the residential conversion use requirements of Subtitle U, Section 320.2.

This would convert an existing three-story semidetached principal dwelling unit to a three-unit apartment house, in the RF-1 zone. Property is located at 616 Quebec Place, Northwest, Square 3034, Lot 182. And that's all I have from me. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Great. Mr. Cross, could you introduce yourself for the record, please?

MR. CROSS: Certainly. Good morning. My name is

1	Michael Cross. I am the architect on this application. I'm
2	joined here today by Mr. Amir Ebadi, who is the project designer.
3	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Got it. Mr. Ebadi, could you turn on
4	your camera for a minute?
5	(No response.)
6	CHAIRPERSON HILL: All right. I'll let you try to
7	figure that out. Or not. Mr. Ebadi, can you hear me?
8	(No response.)
9	CHAIRPERSON HILL: All right. We'll see how that goes.
10	Mr. Boese, can you hear me? Or Commissioner Boese,
11	sorry.
12	MR. BOESE: Very much so. Thank you.
13	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Great. Could you introduce yourself
14	for the record, please?
15	MR. BOESE: Sure. Kent Boese, ANC commissioner for
16	1A08.
17	CHAIRPERSON HILL: All right. Commissioner, welcome
18	back from the summer.
19	MR. BOESE: You're right, it is no longer summer. Thank
20	you.
21	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah. All right, Mr. Cross, can you
22	hear me?
23	MR. CROSS: Yes, sir.
24	CHAIRPERSON HILL: So a lot has happened, Mr. Cross,
25	since the last hearing. We were going to have a continued

hearing, based upon -- well, some things. But go ahead, tell me 1 2 what happened since the last hearing, please. 3 MR. CROSS: Yeah, since the last hearing, my client has elected to remove the additional story originally proposed in the 4 5 application, in order to hopefully get the BZA's approval without 6 the opposition of the ANC and potentially the opposing party. 7 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Go ahead. I don't -- so tell me what 8 you did. You just -- I mean, I'm looking at your plans, and I looked at them before. 9 10 MR. CROSS: Yeah, we removed the additional story. 11 plans that are proposed here show the three-unit conversion within 12 the existing structure. Again, the relief we're seeking is just 13 for a three-unit conversion. We were not seeking any relief for the mass that was being proposed. 14 15 However, it was the ANC's concern, and the Board's 16 concern, as well, that potentially the mass was nonconforming, and we have addressed that by removing the element that was of 17 18 concern. 19 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Got it. Okay. Let's see. 20 Commissioner Boese, is there anything you'd like to add 21

to that?

22

23

24

25

MR. BOESE: The only thing to add is that after getting the update -- or the updated survey with the building height measuring point in the correct place, showing that it was a -it's currently existing as a three-story structure, and the

1	removal of the addition that wasn't seeking zoning relief.
2	The commission is supportive of the plans set forward,
3	on the condition that the door that's existing on the upper level
4	to the porch remain, and that the balustrade on the upper porch,
5	which was original to the structure, be rebuilt. Not exactly, but
6	in kind. So, you know, our initial concerns with the building
7	height measuring point have been addressed.
8	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Mr. Boese or, I'm sorry,
9	Mr. Cross, can you hear me?
10	MR. CROSS: Yes, sir.
11	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Do you agree with the condition that
12	the ANC has put forward?
13	MR. CROSS: Yes, we are aware of those conditions. The
14	inset that is on file today reflects those, and we are committed
15	to satisfying those conditions.
16	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Mr oh, Ms. Cain, can you
17	hear me?
18	(No response.)
19	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Ms. Cain?
20	MS. CAIN: I'm here.
21	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Could you introduce yourself for the
22	record, please? Oh, got you.
23	MS. CAIN: Sorry. Alexandra Cain, the Office of the

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Thanks. Is that condition kind of

25

1	within our purview? I'm trying to figure out how to kind of,
2	like, tie it to something.
3	MS. CAIN: This is the condition from the ANC?
4	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah, about the existing door
5	remaining and the baluster being rebuilt in kind. Or is that
б	something that's just really between the ANC and the applicant?
7	(No response.)
8	CHAIRPERSON HILL: I'll let you think about that for a
9	minute while
10	MS. CAIN: Yeah, let me
11	CHAIRPERSON HILL: while I go to my while I go to
12	my colleagues. Okay.
13	Do any of my colleagues have any questions for the
14	applicant?
15	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Yes. I wanted to ask a question of
16	Mr. Cross.
17	Can you explain the difference in the two building
18	height measuring points? So now, I believe the first floor is the
19	basement. So before, what was the issue?
20	MR. CROSS: So I guess, as you understand, the building
21	height measuring point was never part of the case. But the
22	concern was that the building height measuring point originally
23	provided was not conforming with current enforcement. That was
24	confirmed by the zoning administrator, following our hearing.
25	Effectively, it was the originally building height

measuring point was following a standard that was changed after 1 2. appeal last summer. And so the enforcement has changed slightly. 3 Therefore, our point was incorrectly shown on the plan. VICE CHAIR JOHN: Thank you. 4 Right, but Mr. Cross, just to 5 CHAIRPERSON HILL: 6 clarify, the reason why you changed the ceiling height also, is 7 because the BHMP was incorrectly done. Correct? 8 MR. CROSS: Yes. I will say that the -- we had 9 originally pursued a solution that would change the front facade 10 in a way that would give us a more favorable building height 11 measuring point, because that point is developed based on the 12 proposed building. However, it was our client's decision to no longer 13 14 pursue that, because they felt like we were going to have 15 continued opposition, no matter what the result was. And so it's 16 my client's desire to --CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, okay. Okay. Either way. 17 18 All right. Just seeing -- who else has questions from 19 the Board? 20 (No response.) 21 CHAIRPERSON HILL: No? Okav. 22 Ms. Cain, you have an answer for me? 23 MS. CAIN: Yes. So the condition proposed by the ANC doesn't directly go to mitigating any of the relief that's being 24 25 sought, so it's really just a comment on the design of the

property. So I think that's something that is better agreed to 1 2. between the applicant and the ANC, and not necessarily something 3 that the Board would impose as a condition of approval. CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right. 4 Okay, okay. 5 Everybody's got a thing. 6 Chairman Hood? 7 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, I'm not rushing to get to the 8 front. 9 Ms. Cain, I will say, I believe that we can -- while we 10 can make it a condition, I believe the order to mention it or 11 point to it, so to speak, has been mentioned with language 12 previously. So I think we can point to it, even though we can't 13 make it a condition. 14 MS. CAIN: That is correct, Chairman Hood. It could be included in the order, noting that the applicant agreed to the 15 16 ANC's condition, so it would be memorialized that way, but it 17 would not be the Board itself imposing it as a condition. 18 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Sounds great. Thank you. 19 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. 20 Commissioner Boese? 21 MR. BOESE: I just want to say, though, that if our 22 support is on condition of this being met, then it's the 23 difference between a Board order or a summary order, because our approval and support is based on that condition being met. 24

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
Court Reporting and Litigation Support
Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia
410-766-HUNT (4868)
1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

CHAIRPERSON HILL: I mean, I really -- Commissioner

25

Boese, you know I'm with you. Like, I'm not trying to be -- you also -- I'm trying to figure out how I'm supposed to follow the regulations the way I'm supposed to follow them. I don't want to try to kick this to a full order versus summary order.

And I'm willing to take a pause, also, as I kind of do a couple of things. So I still want to go to the Office of Planning. I'm not -- unfortunately, this is a situation where I'm not actually in the hearing room, but I appreciate your thumbs up. Like, I would try to work this out a little bit better if we were live. This is a situation where it's better if we were life.

In the order -- anyway, we can talk about it. But in the order, I can list it -- I can put it in the order. I can't make it a condition, because I can't tie it to one of the regulations.

But -- so the applicant has agreed to it. However, if you think that you need to -- or the ANC -- and this is also now what is confusing to me -- if the ANC is now opposed, if it's not a condition, then it might be going to a full order anyway. Right? And I don't know whether or not -- and this is if we get through the deliberations.

And I don't even know -- where's the -- Mr. Moy, where is the party status on opposition?

(No response.)

2.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Are they with us? Mr. Young, do you know?

1	MR. YOUNG: Yeah, he's on.
2	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. What's his name?
3	MR. YOUNG: Mr. Koutroulis.
4	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Oh. Mr. Koutroulis, can you hear me?
5	MR. KOUTROULIS: Yes, I can.
6	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Mr. Koutroulis, are you Greek?
7	MR. KOUTROULIS: I am.
8	CHAIRPERSON HILL: There you go. Yassou. Mr.
9	Koutroulis, are you still in opposition?
10	MR. KOUTROULIS: So we are in opposition me and Mr.
11	Hughes, that lives next door I'm at 3658 Park Place, and Mr.
12	Hughes is in 3656 we, if they met that condition that we're
13	talking about, and the height is the correct height, and it's not
14	as intrusive as we thought it would be, we are not in opposition.
15	But, you know, if they went with the initial plans, we would
16	still be in opposition.
17	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Got you. No, they've changed the
18	plan.
19	Well, two things, Mr. Cross. First of all, can you
20	confirm with Mr. Koutroulis that height has been reduced to the
21	height that you're you guys are both on the same page. Right?
22	With that.
23	And that also, could you confirm with me that the design
24	features that the ANC wants, as part of the conditions, are
25	actually the ones that are shown in the plans now. Correct?

1	MR. CROSS: Yes. Both of those conditions are correct.
2	We are no longer proposing an additional story. We also are
3	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Tell me the tell me the height,
4	please, of the way it is now.
5	MR. CROSS: It's the existing height. I think we have
6	that listed as
7	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Koutroulis
8	MR. CROSS: 28 feet.
9	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Koutroulis, can you hear me?
10	MR. KOUTROULIS: Yes.
11	CHAIRPERSON HILL: It's the existing height. It's not -
12	- they're not going up any higher.
13	MR. KOUTROULIS: Okay. If that is the case, then we're
14	no longer in opposition.
15	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Mr. Cross, do you can you
16	please, again, confirm for the record that that's the case?
17	MR. CROSS: That is correct. The building height, as
18	measured from BHMP, will remain unchanged.
19	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. And I'm looking at your
20	current plans, and now that also makes me, you know the plans
21	we approved the plans we are approving are the plans that you
22	have to build. So therefore, you know, you are meeting the ANC's
23	conditions, because that's the way the plans are now.
24	And Mr. Commissioner Boese, you've seen that. Correct?
25	MR. BOESE: Yeah, I'm pulling them up now. We're

supportive of what we're seeing in the record. 1 2. CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, great. So then everybody is 3 actually on the same page. Right? And I will go ahead and I'll get to mute you, Vice Chair John. However, we will highlight in 4 the record the ANC's condition. Before I get to Ms. John, let me 5 6 finish with Ms. Cain, because she just popped up. 7 Ms. Cain? 8 MS. CAIN: I think what the Board has just outlined is 9 correct, and a good way to proceed. 10 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Thank you. I get a gold star 11 today. 12 Ms. John? Do you have a question? Oh, just on the plans that we have. 13 VICE CHAIR JOHN: 14 They're at Exhibit 54. And they show a height of 25 feet, 11 1/4 15 inches. 16 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Got it, which matches the existing 17 height. Correct? 18 VICE CHAIR JOHN: Yes. 19 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Cross? 20 MR. CROSS: Yes. It should match the existing height. 21 I would say it may actually be lower than the existing height, due 22 to how the roof is getting reconstructed. 23 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Got it. Okay. All right. So that being the case, Mr. Koutroulis, you're satisfied, and you're 24

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
Court Reporting and Litigation Support
Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia
410-766-HUNT (4868)
1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

withdrawing your party status in opposition. Correct?

25

1	MR. KOUTROULIS: That's correct.
2	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Great. All right. Well,
3	Thank you, Mr. Koutroulis, for your participation.
4	MR. KOUTROULIS: Okay
5	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Does anybody have any I'm going to
6	go to the Office of Planning. Mr. Jesick, can you hear me?
7	MR. JESICK: Yes, Mr. Chairman. Good morning.
8	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Good morning.
9	MR. JESICK: Matthew Jesick with the Office of Planning.
10	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Everybody's back in the office. I
11	love it. So can you give me your opinion, Mr. Jesick, real quick,
12	on the new design?
13	MR. JESICK: Yes. OP appreciates that the applicant
14	worked with the zoning administrator and the ANC, and we are
15	supportive of the new design and continue to recommend approval of
16	the conversion to three units.
17	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Thanks, Mr. Jesick. I'm sorry,
18	you look like something from a science fiction movie or something.
19	I don't like, this is a whole right. Okay.
20	So, all right, does anybody have any questions for the
21	Office of Planning? And if so, raise your hand.
22	(No response.)
23	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Nobody has a question for the
24	Office of Planning. All right. Does anybody have any questions
25	from anybody, from a Board or anybody? Does anybody have any

questions? Please raise your hand. 1 2. (No response.) 3 Okay. Mr. Cross, do you have anything you'd like to add 4 at the end? Sir, I appreciate everybody's time, 5 MR. CROSS: Great. 6 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right. Well, 7 then, I will excuse everyone. Commissioner Boese, bye-bye. 8 Cross, bye-bye. And Mr. Koutroulis, bye-bye. All right. 9 So -- okay. Can somebody else talk? Because I'm tired 10 of talking. I'm going to start with -- if you wouldn't mind, Mr. 11 Smith, can I go with you? Because you're just there in my closest 12 corner, there, in terms of deliberations. Yes. BOARD MEMBER SMITH: First off, I would like to, you 13 14 know, thank the applicant and the ANC for meeting again to come to 15 what seems to be a good resolution on the design of the building, 16 in relation to the size and the bulk, especially the building. So based on what was submitted, I do believe that the 17 18 applicant has met the criteria for us to be able to grant the 19 special exception for the residential conversion of this building 20 from -- into a three-unit apartment house. 21 Let's see. Based on the special exceptions standards of X 901.2, I do believe that the design meets the general purpose 22 23 and intent of the zoning regulations of the RF-1 zone. And also, 24 based on conversations that we heard from the ANC commissioner, 25 and the design -- that the proposal would not significantly or

adversely affect the neighborhood of -- the neighborhood where 1 2. this would be located. 3 Again, the ANC supports this application. That's within And I rest on OP's analysis of support for this 4 the record. 5 project. With that, I recommend approval. CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Thank you. Vice Chair John? 6 7 VICE CHAIR JOHN: I'm in support of the application, as 8 revised, based on the recalculation of the correct building height 9 measuring point and the removal of that third floor. And I think 10 the massing is therefore more consistent with the neighborhood and the -- have less adverse impacts, in terms of light and air and 11 12 privacy. So I would also like to thank the applicant for working 13 with the ANC and the Office of Planning to come up with an 14 acceptable design. 15 I agree with you, Mr. Chairman, that even though the 16 design elements recommended by the ANC may or may not improve the look of the building, they're not part of the relief that was 17 18 requested. And so I share your concern that the order should not 19 mandate those changes, but could refer to them, since the 20 applicant has agreed to implement those changes. 21 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Thank you. 22 VICE CHAIR JOHN: So I support the application as

VICE CHAIR JOHN: So I support the application as amended.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Thank you, Vice Chair John.

Chairman Hood?

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN HOOD: For the sake of not being redundant, I would agree, I think, the merits of the case, especially as being I do want to thank the ANC 1A, and also the party in revised. opposition, for helping the applicant come to a better outcome. That's all I have. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON HILL: Thank you, Chairman Hood. I will second everything that my colleagues have said. I'll point out, on Ms. John's comment, both for the revised plans and also how the new BHMP is being measured, have been helpful in clarification. The condition is actually there in the plans. Like, we're now approving the plans, and they have to build what we're approving. So those are actually in the plans. Whether or not they are a condition or not, they're there. And so that is how that is also -- what's it -- codified. I'm going to go with codified. And then -- but I will think that it should be included in the order. I'm going to go ahead and make a motion, then, to approve application number 200425 as captioned and read by the secretary, and ask for a second. Ms. John? VICE CHAIR JOHN: Second. CHAIRPERSON HILL: The motion has been made and seconded. Mr. Moy, if you could take a roll call? Yes, Mr. Chairman. Before I take the roll MR. MOY: call, can I ask one slight question of the Board --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Sure.

MR. MOY: -- for my own edification? On the party

status opposition person, Mr. Koutroulis, did he state that he

changed his position from opposition to support, but maintain the

party status? Or did he, number two, withdraw his party status

altogether?

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Well, I thought he withdrew. I mean, I -- oh, now Ms. Cain -- Ms. Cain, can you hear me?

MS. CAIN: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: So I don't know whether he -- if -- I don't know whether he withdrew his party -- well, I mean, I do think that he withdrew his party status. But I don't necessarily know if he understood what he was saying. Like, if he turned -- if he pulls from withdraw to support, he is now in support, but he's still party status, and therefore would still get information, if something came up during this case. Correct?

MS. CAIN: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: And since now he's in support, we could still do a summary order. Correct?

MS. CAIN: It would still be a summary order, because it would not be contrary to his position.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. I think that what the -- I think what Mr. Koutroulis wanted, because he originally was not withdrawing his party status, he just wasn't in opposition anymore, means that he wants to still be a party, but he is now in

1	support.
2	So Mr. Moy, I think that what he was stating was that he
3	still wants to be a party, but is now in support. That's what I
4	believe is the case, unless any of my fellow Board members heard
5	differently. Please raise your hand. Otherwise, Mr. Moy, that's
6	what I think we're going to go with.
7	MR. MOY: Okay. Done. Thank you, sir. I just wanted
8	that clear for the record. I just wanted that clear for the
9	record.
10	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Sure.
11	MR. MOY: Okay.
12	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Let's do the roll call.
13	MR. MOY: Okay, so when I call each of your names, if
14	you would please respond with a "yes", "no", or "abstain" to the
15	motion made by Chairman Hill to approve the application for the
16	relief requested. The motion was seconded by Vice Chair John.
17	Zoning Commission Chair Anthony Hood?
18	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes.
19	MR. MOY: Mr. Smith?
20	BOARD MEMBER SMITH: Yes.
21	MR. MOY: Mr. Blake?
22	BOARD MEMBER BLAKE: Yes.
23	MR. MOY: Vice Chair John?
24	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Yes.
25	MR. MOY: Chairman Hill?

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yes.

MR. MOY: Staff would record the vote as five to zero to zero, and this is on the motion made by Chairman Hill to approve or grant the application for the relief requested. The motion was seconded by Vice Chair John. Also in support of the motion to approve is Zoning Commission Chair Anthony Hood, Mr. Smith, Mr. Blake, and of course, Vice Chair John and Chairman Hill. Motion carries on a vote of five to zero to zero.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, great. Do we all want to take a ten-minute break?

(No response.)

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. See you all in ten minutes.

(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the record and then resumed at 11:04 a.m.)

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Mr. Moy, you can call us back in. And I guess now, we can go back to the other thing, clean that up. Right? The intervener status. They're all ready.

So just so the Board knows, we're going to go back to the intervener status thing first.

MR. MOY: Yes, sir. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I apologize for that. We had two other people in the waiting room.

So again, for the transcript, the Board is at -returning to the Appeal Application Number 20487, appeal of the
Advisory Neighborhood Commission 6B. And this is the Board's
action on the request for advance intervener status from Brian

1	Wise.
2	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Mr. Wise, can you hear me?
3	MR. WISE: I can.
4	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Could you introduce yourself for the
5	record, please?
6	MR. WISE: Sure. It's Brian Wise. I'm a resident of
7	D.C. at 205 3rd Street Southeast.
8	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Got it. Mr. Green, can you hear me?
9	MR. GREEN: Yes, Chairman.
10	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Could you introduce yourself for the
11	record, please?
12	MR. GREEN: Yes. Hi. Good morning, Chairman, members
13	of the Board. My name's Hugh Green, attorney with DCRA.
14	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Got it. Mr. Holman, can you hear me?
15	MR. HOLMAN: I can, yes.
16	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Are you a commissioner?
17	MR. HOLMAN: Yes, I'm representing ANC 6B, yeah. I'm
18	commissioner for 6B06.
19	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Got it. Commissioner Holman, could
20	you introduce yourself for the record, please?
21	MR. HOLMAN: Hi, yeah. Corey Holman, Commissioner 6B06
22	and authorized representative of ANC 6B in this matter.
23	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Got it. So what happened earlier,
24	for those that may be watching later, for the record, we had an
25	earlier hearing. Or not yeah. We had an earlier hearing on

1	this case, which was 20487. And we didn't, for technical reasons,
2	have the Commissioner Holman or Mr. Green on the phone.
3	So I'm going to go ahead and make a motion that we
4	rescind our vote from the previous hearing, and then hear what we
5	have to hear now from the party who's requesting intervener
6	status, and then again move forward. So I'm going to make a
7	motion to rescind the vote that we prior that we took just a
8	little bit earlier today, from 20487, and ask for a second. Ms.
9	John?
10	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Second.
11	CHAIRPERSON HILL: The motion made and seconded. Mr.
12	Moy, if you could take a roll call, please?
13	MR. MOY: When I call your names, if you would please
14	respond with a "yes", "no", or "abstain" to the motion made by
15	Chairman Hill to rescind the Board's previous vote on this request
16	for intervener status. The motion was seconded by Vice Chair
17	John.
18	Zoning Commission Chair Anthony Hood?
19	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes.
20	MR. MOY: Mr. Smith?
21	BOARD MEMBER SMITH: Yes.
22	MR. MOY: Mr. Blake?
23	BOARD MEMBER BLAKE: Yes.
24	MR. MOY: Vice Chair John?

VICE CHAIR JOHN: Yes.

25

MR. MOY: Chairman Hill?

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yes.

MR. MOY: Staff would record the vote as five to zero to zero, and is on the motion made by Chairman Hill to rescind the Board's vote. It was seconded by Vice Chair John. Also in the support of the motion is Zoning Commission Chair Anthony Hood, Mr. Smith, Mr. Blake, and of course, Vice Chair John and Chairman Hill. Motion carries, sir.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Thank you.

All right. So from the previous case, that I know Mr. Green and Commissioner Holman were listening to, we understood where the intervener, Mr. Wise, stood. So now, it appears that there were some other things that Mr. Green and Commissioner Holman wanted to say.

Mr. Green, is there something you would like to say?

MR. GREEN: Yes, Chairman. Very briefly, I understand

that Mr. Wise has a particularized interest in -- his property is next to and shares a party wall with construction. DCRA

19 understands that, and I can certainly understand Mr. Wise's party.

I think from DCRA's perspective, the objection would lie in, in this regard. One is that -- what wasn't clearly articulated is, if there was any legal position Mr. Wise is going to be taking in respect to his zoning challenge that ANC has filed. I understand the Board had encouraged Mr. Wise to discuss

25 | with ANC about the upcoming hearing.

1	But in terms of 202.13, we would object to any expansion
2	of state appeal, which has been demonstrated, but wanted to raise
3	that, as well as and it was touched on by you, Commissioner
4	is just the efficiency of the hearing itself.
5	If Mr. Wise is certainly a party contested by it
6	appears to be his what's not clear and I'll end here is
7	that particularized difference of what the zoning challenge is.
8	It sounds like he's joining the ANC's appeal, and wanted to hear
9	more about that. And that's really the objection that DCRA has to
10	that (audio interference).
11	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, I understand, Mr. Green. And I
12	can try to help clarify all this in a second to Mr. Wise.
13	Commissioner Holman, you had some comments you wanted to
14	add?
15	MR. HOLMAN: Not in particular. We, you know, we have
16	met with Mr. Wise. He brought this to our attention. We don't
17	really have any objection to him being an intervener. And yeah, I
18	don't really have anything else to say. But I am here if you have
19	questions.
20	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, great. Thanks, Commissioner.
21	So Mr. Wise, what and this is where and this is
22	where this is where sorry.
23	Oh. Mr. Ritting, I don't know I'm just trying you
24	know, whether or not we need an attorney's perspective, but just -
25	- if you could listen? I know that you're not the attorney on

this case.

But Mr. Wise, you cannot expand the appeal. Right? The appeal is what the appeal is. You can't bring new issues into the appeal, because that would go against the regulations. Someone has submitted the appeal. You're an intervener to that appeal. You can't add new stuff to that appeal. Right? You can only, I guess -- buoy. Buoy. Whatever the word is that I'm looking for -- support that appeal. Right?

So you have to -- and this is where, Mr. Ritting, I don't know if you know how this works, in that he is an intervener. What I thought was that he was going to have an opportunity to also present. Right? But I guess he would still have to present only on the issues that are up for the appeal. Like, he can't expand the appeal. Is that how it would work for Mr. Wise?

MR. RITTING: I have to confess, I --

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Got you.

MR. RITTING: -- don't have an answer. I'm not prepared to answer that question. Sorry.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: That's okay. So Mr. Wise, I'm going to get back to you. I'm going to have -- I'm going to have the attorney that is working on this case get back to you to clarify how you have to stay within the box that you're allowed to be in.

Right? And that --

MR. WISE: Can I ask --

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Sure. Sure.

MR. WISE: So my understanding of the appeal is just -it's a revocation of the two permits. So nothing that I would
present would go outside of the revocation of those two permits,
so that, you know, it would be the -- yeah.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: They have to -- Mr. Wise, and this is where, again, it would just be better if I let you talk -- and then maybe Mr. Green has an opinion. Right? What I understood is, it's not even so much -- I don't think it's that broad. Right? You can only -- you can only bring up the issues that -- well, I shouldn't say that.

The ANC -- I haven't read through the appeal yet, because it hasn't happened yet. Right? The ANC is bringing up an argument specifically as to why DCRA, the zoning administrator, made an error. Right? You can't bring up different reasons as to why the zoning administrator made an error.

I believe that's correct. Is that correct, Mr. Green?

MR. GREEN: And again, Chairman, the question's that,

yes, did the zoning administer error in their specific zoning

provisions that are cited by Commissioner Holman's appeal? And

that's what -- again, DCRA's focused in on that. So I'll pause

there.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: In other words, Mr. Wise, like -- well, first of all, and this is where -- I don't want to get into the -- it's a little bit too -- it could get nuanced, and I don't

want to get into that right now. And so I'm going to have the 1 2. attorney contact you. Okay? 3 Mr. Moy, is that possible? MR. WISE: Mr. Chairman, may I ask one question? 4 5 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Sure. MR. WISE: It's my understanding, and was told to me by 6 7 specialists at the Office of Zoning, that any objection to this intervener status had to be filed in writing and served before the 8 hearing. And that was never done. DCRA never notified me that 9 10 they had any concern about this intervener status. So I'm trying 11 to understand how they are now bringing up an objection to it. CHAIRPERSON HILL: I don't even know if --12 13 MR. WISE: You know, having the hearing without it being 14 in writing. 15 CHAIRPERSON HILL: I don't even know -- and this is, you 16 know, Mr. Ritting, if you can or can't -- I'm just trying to kind 17 of work through this as best as possible, Mr. Wise. Mr. Wise, 18 they're a party. They're the one that, actually, you're now 19 arguing against. And all they're saying is, they don't know what 20 your argument is. Right? And so, I'm -- you --21 MR. WISE: I understand. CHAIRPERSON HILL: You have to submit an argument at 22 23 some point. And I'm just trying to clarify to you that you can't 24 bring anything new to the argument. You can't bring any new 25 things that the appeal hasn't started to argue. And that's where

I think it would be best if the attorney --And my question is, when Mr. Green 2. MR. WISE: Yeah. 3 spoke, he said that he was filing this objection to the intervener status. And on the zoning website, it specifically states that 4 that has to be filed in writing and served to the parties and to 5 6 the intervener -- and to the requester. So I'm trying to 7 understand what standing Mr. Green now has to contest the intervener status in any -- or to limit -- or to limit it. 8 9 CHAIRPERSON HILL: I got you. Mr. Ritting, I don't know 10 if you have an answer for that. I mean, Mr. Green is the party. 11 He's DCRA. So -- but do you have any answer to Mr. Wise's 12 question? 13 MR. RITTING: I have to confess, I haven't -- I wasn't 14 even really paying attention to the substance of the discussion, 15 because it wasn't my case. 16 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Got it. 17 MR. RITTING: I can't speak on that. I'm sorry. 18 CHAIRPERSON HILL: So Mr. Wise, I want to let you know, 19 I'm trying to just kind of figure this out, and I'm pointing out 20 that --21 MR. WISE: I appreciate it. 22 CHAIRPERSON HILL: It's okay. And I'm pointing out that 23 I know for a fact, you can't bring up new things into the appeal.

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
Court Reporting and Litigation Support
Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia
410-766-HUNT (4868)
1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

Right? So I'm just trying to get to that -- I'm just letting you

know, that is a -- I won't even get to listen to it. Right?

24

25

1	MR. WISE: Okay.
2	CHAIRPERSON HILL: So I don't want you to spend time
3	doing it. So that's all I'm trying to figure out. You're going
4	to get your intervener status, because I think we're already in
5	agreement of that. Right?
6	What Mr. Green is trying to say he's DCRA. He's who
7	you're saying made a mistake. He wants to know what you're saying
8	he did wrong. Right? Or what the zoning administrator did wrong.
9	And that's fair. He doesn't know yet. Right? So I don't know
10	whether he's objecting or not. It doesn't matter, because it
11	probably isn't going to happen. Right?
12	So I'm just trying to let you know for sure, you can't
13	bring up new stuff that's not already been brought up in the
14	appeal. Right?
15	So Mr. Moy, can I have our attorney reach out to Mr.
16	Wise to clarify some things?
17	(No response.)
18	CHAIRPERSON HILL: And I lost Mr. Moy.
19	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Mr. Chairman?
20	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah?
21	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Mr. Chairman, if I could just read the
22	regulation that's applicable here?
23	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Sure.
24	VICE CHAIR JOHN: It says, in granting intervener
25	status, the Board may specify whether the person would be

permitted to intervene in the appeal for general or limited purposes. And I believe what you and DCRA are both saying is what the regulation requires, that the intervener is not permitted to bring up anything that's not already a part of the appeal.

2.

Now, I didn't see anything in Mr. Wise's submission that discussed the permits themselves, and any alleged error. Just that, you know, that he supported the appeal. So I took that to mean that he supported the basis on which the appeal was made.

So I think your suggestion is correct, that the Office of the Attorney General should clarify further for Mr. Wise what he's allowed to do as an intervener. But you're absolutely correct. He cannot bring in issues related to his party wall or any privacy issues, because those issues are not addressed in the permitting process.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Thank you, Vice Chair John.

Mr. Moy, is that possible, to have the attorney reach out to Mr. Wise?

MR. MOY: Yes. I concur with the statement made by Vice Chair John. And I can communicate this conversation to the attorney of record.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, great. So Mr. Wise, somebody'll reach out to you from the Office of the Attorney General to help clarify some of the things we now spoke about. All right?

MR. WISE: Sounds good. Thank you, Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Got it. Mr. Green, you got anything to add? If so, raise your hand. Okay, you're shaking your head no.

MR. GREEN: No, no.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Commissioner Holman, you've got anything to add?

MR. HOLMAN: No, sir.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right. I'm going to close the hearing and excuse everyone. Thank you. Oh, I just lost --okay. All right. It's another question. He's going to have to ask it later. All right.

I'm going to make a motion -- all right. I concur with the earlier statement, that I think he meets the intervener status. What ended up happening was just clarification as to what we're actually going to talk about, or what he should know what he can or can't talk about.

I mean, I would suppose that, like, you know, if he is listening, which I think Mr. Wise still is -- and if you did have a question, Mr. Wise, I had already closed the hearing, and so you can go ahead and reach out to the Office of Zoning and/or ask, I guess, the Office of the Attorney General when they speak to you. But you may want to think about just joining the appeal of the ANC, and providing support and testimony to them. But I'll let that -- I'll let you do what you want to do with that.

So I'm going to make a motion to approve the intervener

1	status for Mr. Wise for case number for an appeal of 20487, and
2	ask for a second. Ms. John?
3	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Second.
4	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Motion's been made and seconded. And
5	Mr. Moy, if you could take a roll call, please?
6	MR. MOY: Yes. When I call each of your names, please
7	respond with a "yes", "no", or "abstain" to the motion made by
8	Chairman Hill to grant intervener status to Brian Wise.
9	Zoning Commission Chair Anthony Hood?
10	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes.
11	MR. MOY: Mr. Smith?
12	BOARD MEMBER SMITH: Yes.
13	MR. MOY: Mr. Blake?
14	BOARD MEMBER BLAKE: Yes.
15	MR. MOY: Vice Chair John?
16	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Yes.
17	MR. MOY: Chairman Hill?
18	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yes.
19	MR. MOY: Staff would record the vote as five to zero to
20	zero, and this is on the motion made by Chairman Hill to grant,
21	seconded by Vice Chair John. Also in support of the motion is
22	Zoning Commission Chair Anthony Hood, Mr. Smith, Mr. Blake, and of
23	course, Vice Chair John and Chairman Hill. Motion carries, sir.
24	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Okay, thanks.
25	All right, everybody, I'm going to try to be a little

bit more efficient for some part of the day now, here. So just 2. kind of work with me. And/or if somebody -- I'd love to tap out, 3 you know, like WWF, you just tap out and somebody else can go. So, all right. Mr. Moy, you can call our next one. 4 5 Here we go. So this would be case number MR. MOY: 6 20494 of Giordano Palloni, P-A-L-L-O-N-I. This is -- this application's captioned as request for special exceptions, relief 7 8 from the lot occupancy requirements, Subtitle D, Section 304.1, which is pursuant to Subtitle D, Section 5201 and Subtitle X, 9 10 Section 901.2; and for the rear addition requirements, Subtitle D, 11 Section 306.3, pursuant to Subtitle D, Section 306.4 and 5201, and Subtitle X, Section 901.2. 12 13 This would construct a two-story with basement, rear 14 addition to an existing semi-detached two-story, with basement, 15 principal dwelling unit in the R2 zone. Property located at 3706 16 Jocelyn Street, that's J-O-C-E-L-Y-N Street Northwest, Square 17 1873, Lot 119. And I think that's all I have for now. 18 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Great. Mr. Brigham, can you hear me? 19 MR. BRIGHAM: Yes, Commissioner. 20 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Can you introduce yourself for the 21 record, please? 22 MR. BRIGHAM: Yes. My name's Henry Brigham. I am an architectural designer for Landis Construction, and the approved 23 agent for Gio Palloni, the homeowner at 3706 Jocelyn Street 24

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
Court Reporting and Litigation Support
Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia
410-766-HUNT (4868)
1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

25

Northwest.

1	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Got it. I see Mr. Palloni is here.
2	I see a Mr. Speck. Is that person with you also?
3	MR. BRIGHAM: I do not know Mr. Speck. Mr. Palloni is
4	on the line, but hopes to not have to participate, as he's
5	working. But just in case anyone has questions for him, he
6	decided to sign up.
7	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Got you.
8	Mr. Young, do you know who Mr. Speck is?
9	MR. SPECK: Yes, I'm an ANC commissioner.
10	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Oh.
11	MR. SPECK: I'm chair of ANC 34G.
12	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Oh, great. Commissioner, sorry, I
13	didn't realize I didn't see you in the record there. Well,
14	welcome. Could you introduce yourself again, please,
15	Commissioner? Sorry.
16	MR. SPECK: Yeah. I'm Randy Speck, and I'm chair of ANC
17	34G.
18	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, great. Thank you.
19	All right. Mr. Brigham, I didn't really have a lot of
20	questions about this, actually. I thought the case was relatively
21	straightforward. However, could you please walk us through what
22	you're trying to do, and a little bit of why you believe you're
23	meeting the regulation? Or, I'm sorry, the criteria for us to
24	grant the requested relief?
25	MR. BRIGHAM: Yes. To be quick about this, what we are

trying to do is, we are trading basically a rear garage with a roof deck to add an addition to the rear of this house, to make the house more livable for a family. Although we are asking for relief from lot occupancy, we're actually reducing by one percent of what is existing there.

We think we meet the criteria because, when we did the solar -- you know, the shadow study, we actually saw that there wasn't much of a difference, because of that rear roof deck garage that had put shadow onto our neighboring property.

So we're going to -- we basically saw that that was basically a one-to-one ratio, and in addition, it also increased, actually, their privacy. In Exhibit 5, you'll see photos from the roof deck, and it's basically looking down into their yard all the time.

We also think that we're adding character -- we are not in violation of the character of the neighborhood, and have provided drawings and edifications extremely early for all of this. We do have the -- I mean, the ANC can speak to it, but we have gotten a unanimous vote from them, as well as support from the neighboring community. That's it.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right. Thank you.

Commissioner Speck, do you have anything you'd like to add?

MR. SPECK: We're essentially in agreement with the applicant on this, and with OP's analysis, as well, that this will

1	enhance the light and privacy of the neighbor, rather than reduce
2	it. So we think it does satisfy the requirements for a special
3	exception. And we voted unanimously not to oppose it.
4	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, great. Thank you.
5	Does any does the Board have any questions for either
6	the applicant or the ANC commissioner?
7	(No response.)
8	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay.
9	We're going to turn to the Office of Planning. Mr.
10	Jesick?
11	MR. JESICK: Thank you, Mr. Chairman and members of the
12	Board. My name is Matt Jesick, representing the Office of
13	Planning on this case. And the Office of Planning can rest on the
14	record in support of the application. And I'd be happy to take
15	any questions. Thank you.
16	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Does anybody have any questions for
17	the Office of Planning?
18	Vice Chair John?
19	MR. JESICK: Mr. Jesick, can you talk about how the
20	application meets the general special exception criteria?
21	MR. JESICK: Certainly.
22	VICE CHAIR JOHN: It's 901.
23	MR. JESICK: Yes. The application is in conformance
24	with the intent of the zoning regulations. The R2 zone
25	anticipates, obviously, single-family dwellings, semi-detached

dwellings, and this application would continue the existing use, 1 2. with a dwelling on the site. 3 The proposed design would, as has been noted, increase the privacy of adjacent properties, and would have relatively 4 5 modest impact, if any, on light and air to the adjacent property. 6 Because the proposal would remove the existing garage with the rooftop deck, you would see some areas where there would actually 7 8 be an increase in sunlight on the adjacent property. So overall, 9 we felt that that met the criteria for the special exception. 10 VICE CHAIR JOHN: Thank you, Mr. Jesick. 11 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Does the applicant have any --12 does anybody else have a question of Office of Planning? 13 (No response.) 14 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Does the applicant have any questions of the Office of Planning? 15 16 (No response.) 17 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Commissioner Speck, do you have any 18 questions for the Office of Planning? 19 MR. SPECK: No, Thank you. 20 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Young, is there anyone here 21 wishing to testify? 22 MR. YOUNG: I do not. CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Brigham, is there anything you'd 23 2.4 like to add in conclusion? 25 MR. BRIGHAM: We just want to thank the Board for their

1	time. Thanks.
2	CHAIRPERSON HILL: All right. Going to close the
3	hearing and the record. Mr. Young, if you can excuse everyone?
4	Okay. I would agree with the analysis of the Office of
5	Planning and the ANC and DDOT, as well as the applicant. I think
6	that they actually I will agree with them that it's actually
7	going to improve the light and air, in that they're taking that
8	garage away, and adding it now to the end. Even though they are
9	going four feet past the 10-foot rule, I'm actually still okay
10	with it. And so I'm going to be voting in favor.
11	Does anybody have anything else they'd like to add?
12	Mr. Smith?
13	(No response.)
14	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Vice Chair John?
15	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Nothing to add, Mr. Chairman. I
16	thought you summarized how the application meets the criteria for
17	relief very well, and I am in support of the application.
18	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Thank you, Ms. John.
19	Mr. Blake?
20	BOARD MEMBER BLAKE: I don't have anything to add.
21	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Chairman Hood?
22	CHAIRMAN HOOD: I'll just agree, Mr. Chairman. I think
23	the regulation 5201 is very well met, and I'm glad the Vice Chair
24	asked him to expound upon X 901.2, general special exception

standards. So I think the merits in this case warrant our

1	approval. So I'll be voting, as well, in favor.
2	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, great. Thank you. Going to go
3	ahead and make a motion to approve Application Number 20494 as
4	captioned and read by the secretary, and ask for a second. Ms.
5	John?
6	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Second.
7	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Motion made and seconded. Mr. Moy,
8	could you take a roll call?
9	MR. MOY: When I call each of your names, if you would
10	please respond with a "yes", "no", or "abstain" made to the
11	motion made by Chairman Hill to approve the application for the
12	relief requested? The motion was seconded by Vice Chair John.
13	Zoning Commission Chair Anthony Hood?
14	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes.
15	MR. MOY: Mr. Smith?
16	BOARD MEMBER SMITH: Yes.
17	MR. MOY: Mr. Blake?
18	BOARD MEMBER BLAKE: Yes.
19	MR. MOY: Vice Chair John?
20	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Yes.
21	MR. MOY: Chairman Hill?
22	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yes.
23	MR. MOY: Staff would record the vote as five to zero to
24	zero. And this is on the motion made by Chairman Hill to approve,
25	seconded by Vice Chair John. Also in support of the motion to

1	approve is Zoning Commission Chair Anthony Hood, Mr. Smith, Mr.
2	Blake, and of course, Vice Chair John and Chairman Hill. Motion
3	carries on the vote of five to zero to zero.
4	CHAIRPERSON HILL: All right, great. Thank you, Mr.
5	Moy. You can call our next, when you get a chance.
6	MR. MOY: All right. This would be case Application
7	Number 20497, of Louis Cipro, C-I-P-R-O. This is caption
8	advertised for special exception relief from the rear alley
9	centerline setback requirements, Subtitle F, Section 5003.1.
LO	This would construct two new rear accessory sheds for an
L1	attached three-story principal dwelling unit in the RA-2 zone.
L2	The property is located at 1426 Q Street Northwest, Square 209,
L3	Lot 95. And that's all I have, Mr. Chairman.
L4	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Thank you, Mr. Moy.
L5	Mr. Cipro, are you there?
L6	(No response.)
L7	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Heisey, I see you reaching out.
L8	But Mr. Heisey, are you there?
L9	MR. HEISEY: Yes, sir. You
20	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Great. Welcome back, Mr. Heisey,
21	from summer break.
22	MR. HEISEY: Yes, welcome back, sirs. I like your new
23	background, where it looks like I'm sitting in the hearing room.
24	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Thank you. I boycotted it.
25	MR. HEISEY: We need to get one for our side, so we can

1	hide that we're at the beach.
2	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Oh, that's interesting. That's
3	another interesting one. Mr. Heisey, could you introduce yourself
4	for the record, please?
5	MR. HEISEY: Sure. I'm Joel Heisey, designer, agent for
6	Lou Cipro, for the case at 1426 Q Street Northwest.
7	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Mr. Heisey, I've looked
8	through the case, and I don't really have a whole lot of questions
9	for you right now. But if you would kind of go over, in general,
10	the case and I don't mean to disrupt whatever process you might
11	have already put forward, because I'm looking here and seeing if
12	there actually is a slide deck.
13	MR. HEISEY: There is. Exhibit 5.
14	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. You can go ahead and pull that
15	up, Mr. Young.
16	And then, if you want to kind of run through the design
17	and also how you're meeting the criteria for us to grant the
18	application? And we'll see where we go with questions. I mean, I
19	thought the door was really good-looking. But
20	MR. HEISEY: They're actually old pocket doors from
21	inside.
22	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Oh, wow. They're really cool.
23	MR. HEISEY: He has been in this property since the 70s,
24	renovated the house and made a very nice garden.
25	If you're looking at the photos, the first couple are

just the general alley-scape. This is a narrow alley. It faces up against the other side, or some high-rise buildings. There's a 20-foot wall along the entire length of the alley and the opposite side. There's a few garages that extend to the property line on his side of the street, and there's other people that have the roll-up garage doors at the property line. His fence is set back about three feet, currently.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Young?

2.

Hold on a second, Mr. Heisey.

Mr. Young, just go ahead and drop that. I apologize, I know that I was talking about bringing it up, but Mr. Heisey seems to be getting us through this pretty well.

So go ahead, Mr. Heisey.

MR. HEISEY: Yeah, so if you just go -- if you're looking at the photos, you know, it shows that there's this gap between his current fence and the existing edge, the alley. He would like to get rid of that, because the neighbors use that for their trash cans, because they're using their spaces for parking, and he's using his lot as a garden, rather than for parking. So they've put all their trash behind his place, and he ends up cleaning it up, and get rats, et cetera, et cetera.

So those photos are just showing the conditions in the alley, and then there's one that shows the trash cans that are not his, back behind the fence. And if you go to the last two slides, it shows -- there's one that I've kind of, in red, outlined, where

these two sheds would be located on the site. 1 2 And then the last couple of slides just show the garden 3 that he has, over the years, built and maintained. So he likes to have this nice urban garden and just wants to build these sheds. 4 5 Over the years, he's collected gardening tools 6 improvement tools, and he'd just like to have a secure place to 7 place those. 8 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. 9 MR. HEISEY: If you --10 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Sorry. 11 MR. HEISEY: If you want to look at the plans on Exhibit 12 10 --13 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Heisey, I'm going to interrupt 14 you, because I'm like, kind of going through this all right now. 15 Does the Board have any questions for Mr. Heisey? 16 MR. HEISEY: I'm fine with that. CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. It's disappointing that his 17 18 neighbors are so, like, whatever, with that trash. Okay. Let's 19 see. 20 Let me turn to the Office of Planning, please. 21 MS. VITALE: Good morning, Mr. Chair, members of the Elisa Vitale with the Office of Planning. 22 Board. 23 The Office of Planning is recommending approval of the requested special exception relief, and will rest on the record of 24

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
Court Reporting and Litigation Support
Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia
410-766-HUNT (4868)
1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

the staff report. I am available to answer any questions that you

25

1	might have. Thank you.
2	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Thank you. Does the Board have any
3	questions for the Office of Planning?
4	(No response.)
5	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Ms. Vitale, welcome back. I don't
6	know whether we've seen you before or not, but welcome back.
7	MS. VITALE: No, first day back after the break. Good
8	to see everyone.
9	CHAIRPERSON HILL: And then okay. No one's raising
10	their hand.
11	Mr. Young, is there anybody here wishes to speak?
12	MR. YOUNG: Do not.
13	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Mr. Heisey, you've got
14	anything to add at the end?
15	MR. HEISEY: Just for the record, to note that the ANC
16	was in full support of this, as well. The justification goes
17	through the specific steps of how we meet the criteria for the
18	special exception. And like I said, in the Office of Planning
19	just said they're also in support. So we request that you
20	consider the record and approve this application.
21	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Great. Thank you, Mr. Heisey. All
22	right. I'm going to close the hearing and the record, and excuse
23	everyone from the room.
24	So again, this was special exception from the rear alley
25	line setback requirements of F 5003.1, and it was the accessory

1	shed that they're trying to create here in the RA-2 zone. I
2	actually, as I had said before, didn't have any issues with it. I
3	thought that they met the criteria for us to grant the special
4	exception.
5	I would also agree with the analysis the ANC has
6	provided, as well as the ANC. John Fanning's the ANC chair there.
7	Commissioner Fanning has approved this also. So let's see. I
8	would agree with the ANC's analysis, and I'm going to be voting to
9	approve.
10	Let's see. Mr. Smith, would you mind going next, if you
11	had anything to add?
12	BOARD MEMBER SMITH: I don't have anything to add. I
13	think you have sufficiently summed up this case. I feel like this
14	project's fairly straightforward, and I rest on the record of OP's
15	analysis and the ANC's support of this particular project.
16	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Vice Chair John?
17	VICE CHAIR JOHN: I'm in support of the application.
18	It's fairly straightforward. I would also note that DPW submitted
19	a letter in the record, stating that there would be no adverse
20	impact on conducting its operations, and that DDOT, also, is in
21	support.
22	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Thank you. Thank you for clarifying
23	that.
24	Mr. Blake?
25	BOARD MEMBER BLAKE: Yes, I would agree to everything

1	I would agree to everything that people have said to this point.
2	I would also note a letter in support from the adjacent neighbor.
3	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Blake.
4	Commissioner Hood? I mean, Chairman Hood?
5	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yeah, I don't have anything to add.
6	Again, in this case, as well as the last one, the merits speaks
7	for itself. All the relevant agencies have opined on it, as well
8	as, as Board Member Blake just mentioned, support from the
9	neighbors, the ANC, Chairman Fanning, and others. So I will be
10	voting in support of this. It also meets our standards.
11	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Thank you. I'm going to make a
12	motion to approve application number 20497, as captioned and read
13	by the secretary, and ask for a second. Ms. John?
14	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Second.
15	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Thank you, Ms. John. The motion has
16	been made and been seconded. Mr. Moy, if you could take a roll
17	call?
18	MR. MOY: When I call each of your names, if you would
19	please respond with a "yes", "no", or "abstain" to the motion made
20	by Chairman Hill, to approve the application for the relief
21	requested? The motion was seconded by Vice Chair John.
22	Zoning Commission Chair Anthony Hood?
23	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes.
24	MR. MOY: Mr. Smith?
25	BOARD MEMBER SMITH: Yes.

1	MR. MOY: Mr. Blake?
2	BOARD MEMBER BLAKE: Yes.
3	MR. MOY: Vice Chair John?
4	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Yes.
5	MR. MOY: Chairman Hill?
6	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yes.
7	MR. MOY: Staff would record the vote as five to zero to
8	zero. And this is on the motion made by Chairman Hill to approve.
9	Motion was seconded by Vice Chair John. Also in support of the
10	motion to approve, Zoning Chair Commission Anthony Hood, Mr.
11	Smith, Mr. Blake, and of course, Vice Chair John and Chairman
12	Hill. The motion carries on a vote of five to zero to zero.
13	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Great. Mr. Moy, you can call our
14	next one whenever you can.
15	MR. MOY: All right. This would be Case Application
16	Number 20499, of 1218 31st Street LLC. This application was
17	caption advertised for special exception relief from the minimum
18	rear yard requirements, Subtitle G, Section 405.2; and Subtitle D,
19	Section 1200; and Subtitle X, Section 901.2.
20	This would construct a two-story rear addition to an
21	existing semi-detached two-story commercial-use building, MU-4
22	zone, property located at 1218 31st Street Northwest, Square 1208,
23	Lot 47.
24	For the record, Mr. Chairman, there are two documents
25	currently not in the record, because of the 24-hour block. One is

the applicant's PowerPoint submission. The other is a letter in 1 2. opposition from the adjacent owner. 3 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Okay. Let's see. Well, I'm interested in hearing and seeing what we have to see, unless 4 5 somebody has a problem with the Board. So I'd like to go ahead and allow those into the record. I suppose, if you could, Mr. 6 Moy, ask the staff to drop that into the record, and we'll go 7 8 ahead and go through the PowerPoint presentation, and then take a 9 look -- and have an opportunity to take a look at the letter in 10 opposition. 11 Mr. Sullivan, could you introduce yourself for the record, please? 12 13 MR. SULLIVAN: Sure. Thank you, Mr. Chair. Marty 14 Sullivan with Sullivan & Barros, on behalf of the applicant. CHAIRPERSON HILL: 15 Thank you. Mr. Sullivan, who's here 16 with you today? 17 MR. SULLIVAN: So I have the applicant -- representative 18 of the applicant, Ezra Glass, and the architect, Rich Markus. 19 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, great. Thank you. 20 Mr. Sullivan, I guess -- Mr. Young, could you pull up Mr. Sullivan's PowerPoint? 21 22 Mr. Sullivan, I'm just going to put 15 minutes on the 23 clock, just so I know where we are. And as you know, if you could 24 please kind of just walk us through the application and how you

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
Court Reporting and Litigation Support
Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia
410-766-HUNT (4868)
1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

believe your client is meeting the criteria for us to grant the

25

relief being requested. And you can begin whenever you like.

MR. SULLIVAN: Thank you, Mr. Chair, and members of the Board.

This is for 1218 31st Street Northwest. It's a very small property. It's near the intersection of Wisconsin and M in Georgetown. It's a block north of M Street. And the request is for rear yard relief, in order to do a rear two-story addition. Next slide, please.

The property is currently occupied by a two-story commercial building. It will continue to be completely commercial. It's zoned MU-4, and it's in the Georgetown historic district and the CFA jurisdiction. We're proposing a two-story addition at the rear of the building, which will also be used for any permitted commercial purposes. Currently a restaurant use is proposed.

So non-residential uses have no limitations on the lot occupancy, so there's no lot occupancy requirement, but there is a 15-foot rear yard requirement, and that's what we're asking for relief for, under the specific criteria for that special exception that applies in the MU-54 zone. Next slide, please.

We do have the support of ANC 2E, as well as the Office of Planning and DDOT, and it has received concept approval from OGB. Regarding the neighbor, we can have Mr. Glass talk about his interaction with the neighbor after the architect goes through the plans.

1	And I'll turn it over to Mr. Markus now. And next
2	slide, please. Thank you.
3	MR. MARKUS: Hi. Can you hear me?
4	MR. SULLIVAN: Yes.
5	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah. Thank you. Just before I get
6	just before you continue, I can't remember, but the affidavit
7	of posting and maintenance, we did get on time, so I think that's
8	accurate. So I'm just kind of making that point.
9	And then, as far as the staff, I see the PowerPoint
10	presentation, but the letter in opposition, I haven't seen yet.
11	So just kind of mentioning that to the staff. Thank you.
12	Go ahead, Mr. Sullivan.
13	MR. MARKUS: I was going to
14	MR. SULLIVAN: Go ahead, Rich.
15	MR. MARKUS: This is Rich Markus. I'm the architect for
16	the job.
17	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah, please go ahead. Sorry.
18	MR. MARKUS: Okay. Thank you.
19	You can see on the site, you can see M Street, and the
20	site is very small. It's just M Street on 31st Street, on the
21	corner. Next slide, please.
22	That's the front building. It's currently a flower
23	shop, a florist. And just that's the alley next to it, where
24	the white van is coming out of, and you can just see, behind the
25	building is where we're putting the addition. Next slide, please.

This is a shot of the alley, and to the right, you see in the foreground is the end of that front building, and then it steps back. And that, where the car -- the two cars are parked, is the rear yard.

It's a very small lot. The entire lot is 1,280 square feet. That includes the front building. The front building existing is a footprint about four -- sorry, 547 square feet. And that leaves about 733 square feet left for the entire lot. Next slide, please.

That's just a closer shot. See, the wall in the back of the lot, that's directly behind the rear lot line. And just on the other side of that is the entry to the garage. So that's a driveway that goes to a garage to the adjacent buildings. There's a large garage underneath that building. It's all part of that same lot. So the adjacent lot next door actually wraps around the back of this lot, and it touches this side where you see the stone and brick building. Next slide, please.

That's -- you're looking from the back of the lot forward. You can see the entry to the garage for the neighboring building. Next slide, please.

That's the elevation for the rear yard relief. I was not going to go through that. Next slide, please. Next slide, please.

That's the existing site plan, where it's open, where the addition's going to go. Next slide.

That's the addition that's filling in the entire lot.

Next slide.

2.

2.4

That's the existing building in the front, and you can see the open area in the back that we're proposing the addition. Next slide.

This is the addition that we're proposing. So the front building is a two-story building existing. We've been through the Old Georgetown Board several times, so it's been approved by the Old Georgetown Board and the ANC.

The idea is to just -- it's such a small lot, it's only 13-foot-4 wide. In order to make a viable building on this, we wanted to take it all the way back to the -- through the rear yard setback and to the back lot line. So that's what you see, is basically open space, and there's some -- this is showing a restaurant use inside. Next slide.

This probably describes it the most. So you can see the front building is the two-story building to the right. And then from there, all the way back, is the two-story addition, and it's all -- there's a lot of -- it's mostly glass structure.

We started with a larger, taller building, a three-story addition, and in conversation with the neighboring building, we actually lowered it. And in also talking with the Old Georgetown Board over several meetings, we lowered it to what you see now. Next slide.

That's a section. You can see how small it is. Next

slide. Just a couple -- 3-D imagery. But you can see the front building, the front brick building, which is existing, which we're leaving intact, and then the glass structure on the back is the new addition.

And that's about it. I can answer any questions.

MR. SULLIVAN: We could go back to Slide 9, please. I had misplaced the slide with the special exception criteria. I don't want to go through that. These are the specific requirements. There's very specific requirements in the MU zone for rear yard relief. It's that no apartment windows shall be located withing 40 feet directly in front of another building.

And this is not proposed for residential use, so that doesn't apply. B doesn't apply, as well, because there are no office windows. And C just refers to situations where A and B might apply.

Also, regarding -- I'm sorry I neglected to put in a slide on the general special exception criteria of X 901, but this is in harmony with the general-purpose intent in the zoning regulations and zoning maps, particularly because it's in the MU zone, and it's very close to, as I stated, M Street and Wisconsin.

It's a very small property. The FAR is still under the maximum permitted FAR. And to the extent it affects -- it won't tend to affect adversely the use of neighboring property, because there are -- as noted, we lowered it by one floor, in discussions with the neighbor. And I can have Mr. Glass talk about his

interactions with that neighbor. And any windows there are atrisk windows, on that property line.

That lot adjacent to us is a 15,000-square-foot lot, and compared to our lot, which is 1,280 square feet. But the rear yard relief itself would just affect one window at the back, and that is -- that's an at-risk window, as well, and as the Board knows, the at-risk window doesn't come into consideration when talking about special exception rear yard relief.

So for that, I'll turn it over to Mr. Glass. Ezra, if you could just talk a little bit about the -- your interactions with the immediate neighbor?

MR. GLASS: Hello?

2.

2.4

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yes, we can hear you.

MR. GLASS: All right.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Can you introduce yourself for the record, please?

MR. GLASS: Sure. My name is Ezra Glass. I am a managing member of the LLC that owns that property and is requesting the relief.

So I'm relatively blindsided by that letter, actually. We've spoken to the neighbors multiple times. It's owned, I guess, in a trust, and we've spoken to most of the people in the trust, including Suzanne, who sent -- or Susan, who sent in this letter.

Their main concern initially was always the height, and

that it was covering that other row of windows. So we dropped it down a story, which, of course, eliminates a lot of square footage, hence extending it to the rear yard setback. And then after we agreed to do that, we heard nothing further from her, including at the ANC meeting, or the OGB meeting. So there was no further objections after we did that.

It seems, based on this letter, that her objection is about possibly the noise of the mechanical equipment on the roof, which, you know, we can do -- there's plenty of things we can do to make sure that that's not an issue, including the type of mechanical equipment we use, where we place it, sound barriers, et cetera. So, you know, I don't think that that -- I'm sure that that will not be an issue. They also -- there's already equipment up there.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Glass? Mr. Glass?

MR. GLASS: Sure.

2.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Do you know -- is Ms. Hunt, is she the owner? I'm a little confused.

MR. GLASS: It's owned in a trust. It's her and her siblings, who are the members of the trust.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, so she's a member of the trust.
Okay.

MR. GLASS: Yes.

24 CHAIRPERSON HILL: So they don't -- they're not -- they 25 own the building.

1	MR. GLASS: Yeah. Yeah, yeah.
2	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Got it. All right, I was confused.
3	Okay, please continue.
4	MR. GLASS: I mean, that's about it. I'm happy to
5	answer any other questions.
6	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay.
7	MR. GLASS: But like I said, it's somewhat of a
8	blindside to me that she didn't bring this up. We've had multiple
9	conversations with her, and she didn't bring it up till just now.
10	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Got you. Well, I mean, you lowered
11	it by a floor.
12	MR. GLASS: Yes, exactly.
13	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Glass, how long have you owned
14	the property?
15	MR. GLASS: I don't remember. I mean, do you want me to
16	look it up? It's several years now.
17	CHAIRPERSON HILL: No, I mean, you're part of an LLC.
18	You're part of an LLC.
19	MR. GLASS: Yeah. The LLC's just my wife and myself.
20	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Oh.
21	MR. GLASS: Yeah.
22	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah. I was just curious when you
23	got it.
24	MR. GLASS: Yeah, we bought it I think it was two
25	years ago, maybe.

1	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Looks like a cute building.
2	MR. GLASS: It's great. We love the tenant. It's a
3	he was a local favorite. So and the owner was getting older,
4	and, you know, needed to sell, so we said the tenant approached
5	us. We said we would buy it to keep him in place. But that was,
6	you know the cost of the building was slightly more than his
7	rent, so it's
8	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Sure. Do you live nearby?
9	MR. GLASS: Yeah. We live, like, three blocks from
10	there.
11	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah. Okay. All right. Let's see.
12	Mr. Sullivan, is that it?
13	MR. SULLIVAN: Yes, that's all. Thank you.
14	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Young, could you pull the
15	PowerPoint, please?
16	Does the Board have any questions for the applicant?
17	Chairman Hood?
18	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes, Mr. Chairman.
19	Mr. Glass, I may have missed it when you were talking.
20	Who is Susan Hunt?
21	MR. GLASS: Susan Hunt and her siblings are members of
22	the trust that own that property.
23	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. And you were not aware well, I
24	guess she's I heard you say you were blindsided. So
25	MR. GLASS: Yes.

1	CHAIRMAN HOOD: So you have not had a conversation with
2	her about I think, what I the way I read this, and you may
3	have responded
4	MR. GLASS: Sure.
5	CHAIRMAN HOOD: says, suppose the mechanical could be
6	located directly outside windows of their property. Did you
7	have you already responded to that? That seems to be her major
8	concern now.
9	MR. GLASS: So that, like I said, is a new concern. Her
10	concern before was that height of the building. And then we
11	agreed to lower it, and then she didn't and that was it. That
12	was the end of her objections. So this is kind of a new thing
13	that she just brought up.
14	You know, from that the location of the mechanical
15	equipment, we could just move over, and make sure that we
16	soundproof. Like, there's lots of things we could do to kind of
17	mitigate that issue, to make sure it doesn't negatively impact her
18	in any way.
19	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. So you're open to continue to
20	work with Ms. Hunt on what she filed as all her objections?
21	MR. GLASS: Definitely.
22	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you.
23	Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
24	MR. GLASS: Sure.
25	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Anyone else?

Ms. John?

VICE CHAIR JOHN: Yes, Mr. Chairman. I wanted to ask about at-risk windows. And I'm looking at Exhibit 28B. And I'm not sure why there aren't more at-risk windows than the one that Mr. Sullivan mentioned.

So if you could just clarify that for me?

MR. SULLIVAN: Yes. I was just referring to the 15 feet of relief that's being requested, at the rear. The rest of it would be matter of right, anyway.

And the Board has actually -- and the court of appeals has considered at-risk windows in the past, and determined that the fact that a neighbor has an at-risk window should not affect the consideration of a special exception requirement.

Effectively, they said you -- just by putting in an atrisk window, which literally is at risk on your property line, doesn't entitle you to a greater consideration in the special exception case. In fact, it shouldn't affect the rights of the -- of a neighboring property owner to apply for relief that they otherwise could get anyway. But what I was referring to was just the 15 feet of relief that just includes the one window on that second floor.

VICE CHAIR JOHN: And that's what I don't understand, Mr. Sullivan. Not that what you're saying is incorrect, because when I look at the -- and maybe I'm just misreading this. But when I look at the slide, there are six windows. Six. So you're

1	saying that the first four or five windows are not counted?
2	MR. SULLIVAN: They're part of the matter of right.
3	They're in the area of the matter of right. The rear yard is to
4	be and I'm not sure which
5	VICE CHAIR JOHN: I'm terrible at this. It's
6	MR. SULLIVAN: I'm in 28B.
7	VICE CHAIR JOHN: A 402 what I'm looking at. A 402
8	shows the before and proposed. So
9	MR. SULLIVAN: Okay. And Rich, maybe you Rich, if
10	you have the
11	MR. MARKUS: Yeah, I can tell you. So I think you're
12	asking so the building that we're proposing is covering five
13	windows.
13	
14	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Right.
14	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Right.
14 15	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Right.  MR. MARKUS: But so the first four would be the
14 15 16	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Right.  MR. MARKUS: But so the first four would be the building, if we did the 15-foot setback. What Marty was pointing
14 15 16 17	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Right.  MR. MARKUS: But so the first four would be the building, if we did the 15-foot setback. What Marty was pointing out is that what we're asking for relief from is only covering
14 15 16 17 18	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Right.  MR. MARKUS: But so the first four would be the building, if we did the 15-foot setback. What Marty was pointing out is that what we're asking for relief from is only covering that last window. But to I think, to answer your question,
14 15 16 17 18	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Right.  MR. MARKUS: But so the first four would be the building, if we did the 15-foot setback. What Marty was pointing out is that what we're asking for relief from is only covering that last window. But to I think, to answer your question, yes, those five windows are covered.
14 15 16 17 18 19	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Right.  MR. MARKUS: But so the first four would be the building, if we did the 15-foot setback. What Marty was pointing out is that what we're asking for relief from is only covering that last window. But to I think, to answer your question, yes, those five windows are covered.  VICE CHAIR JOHN: Okay. But you couldn't do a 15-foot
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Right.  MR. MARKUS: But so the first four would be the building, if we did the 15-foot setback. What Marty was pointing out is that what we're asking for relief from is only covering that last window. But to I think, to answer your question, yes, those five windows are covered.  VICE CHAIR JOHN: Okay. But you couldn't do a 15-foot setback, because you simply don't have the room. Right?
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Right.  MR. MARKUS: But so the first four would be the building, if we did the 15-foot setback. What Marty was pointing out is that what we're asking for relief from is only covering that last window. But to I think, to answer your question, yes, those five windows are covered.  VICE CHAIR JOHN: Okay. But you couldn't do a 15-foot setback, because you simply don't have the room. Right?  MR. MARKUS: Correct.

MR. MARKUS: Correct.

VICE CHAIR JOHN: And I accept the fact that they're atrisk windows. That's not my question. I was just trying to figure out what was said. Okay. Thank you.

MR. MARKUS: Sure.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Anyone else?

All right. I turn to the Office of Planning.

MS. THOMAS: Good afternoon, Mr. Chair, members of the Board.

OP's in support of Application 20499, for the buildout of the commercial building into the rear of the property at 1218 31st Street Northwest. The relief satisfies the criteria of Subtitle G, Section 1201, as seen in our report. And it is noted that the project did receive concept approval from CFA.

With respect to the special exception relief of Subtitle -- under Subtitle X, Section 901, again, the proposed addition met the criteria special exception relief, and the regulations permit commercial uses in this zone, subject to the bulk and area requirements. And this proposal does not require relief than otherwise requested. So OP concluded that this is generally in harmony with the intent of the regulations and zoning map.

On the question of whether the relief would appear to adversely affect the use of neighboring property, we saw that the surrounding adjacent uses should not be adversely impacted, since those properties are also commercial-uses, with either front and

1	street or alley focus. There are no abutting residential-uses,
2	and service vehicles would still be able to access the alley for
3	the commercial properties. So therefore, we believe that grant of
4	the relief is not anticipated to have an adverse impact on the use
5	of neighboring property.
6	And with that, I'll end my report, and I'll be happy to
7	take any questions. Thank you.
8	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Thank you. Does anyone have any
9	questions for the Office of Planning?
10	(No response.)
11	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Sullivan, do you have any
12	questions for the Office of Planning?
13	MR. SULLIVAN: No. Thank you.
14	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Young, is there anyone here
15	wishing to present or speak?
16	MR. YOUNG: We do not.
17	CHAIRPERSON HILL: All right. Does anybody have any
18	final questions? If so, raise your hand.
19	(No response.)
20	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Sullivan, you have anything you'd
21	like to add at the end?
22	MR. SULLIVAN: No, thank you.
23	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. I'm going to close the record
24	in the hearing. Mr. Young, if you could excuse everyone, please?
25	Okay. As far as the minimum rear yard relief that they

need, and the requirements for us to grant the relief, I think they meet the criteria. I mean, I'm looking through the regulations, I'm looking through the Office of Planning's report, and I do think that they meet all of the criteria that we can grant the relief request. I mean, it's a very small property. I don't see how they're going to meet the requirements, actually. They're trying to fill that in and make some use of it.

2.

And then, to the adjacent property -- it sounds as though they did work with the property to drop it down a story, where -- and those other at-risk windows, all of those would've been blocked, had they gone up even one more row.

And all of those windows are in fact at-risk, and so what they were saying, I guess, during the discussion, was that if they could somehow build it or make it feasible without the requested rear yard relief, they still would be blocking some of those windows. It's the additional massing that they're requesting relief from, that is obstructing that last window.

I do think that, as Chairman Hood has asked questions -- and also, thank you, Ms. John, for the questions that you asked about the at-risk windows -- Chairman Hood had mentioned that they were still going to continue to work with the neighbor, in terms of -- there's ways that maybe they can do different types of air conditioning or ventilation, so that it's not as disruptive. It will be, you know -- there is going to be some noise, but they could maybe make it so it's a little less disruptive.

However, I think that they are meeting the criteria for 1 2 us to grant the relief request, and I also note that the ANC, of 3 which -- I don't know why I think Rick Murphy's the chair -- has now granted -- or believes that they also meet the criteria for 4 it, and I think that it's a good project, that I'm going to be 5 6 able to get behind. So I'm going to be voting in favor. 7 May I go around the horn? If anybody has anything to 8 add? 9 Mr. Smith? 10 BOARD MEMBER SMITH: I don't have anything to add. 11 believe that you summed it up, and Ms. John and Chairman Hood 12 asked the right questions for us to be able to decipher any issues 13 that may have occurred with this particular property. So I do 14 believe that the evidence stands for us to be able to grant special exception, and I will be in support of it. 15 16 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Great. Thank you. Ms. John? Vice Chair John? 17 18 VICE CHAIR JOHN: I agree with your comments and the 19 comments of the Board Member Smith, and I don't have anything to 20 I am in support of the application. 21 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Smith? I mean, Mr. Blake? BOARD MEMBER BLAKE: Yes. I, too, would be in support 22 23 of the application and -- given the fact that they will work together and then try to work with the neighbors to further 24

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
Court Reporting and Litigation Support
Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia
410-766-HUNT (4868)
1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

25

resolve that issue.

1	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Great.
2	Let's see. Chairman Hood?
3	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yeah, I would agree with what I've
4	heard. And any time I know that an applicant takes off a whole
5	floor to accommodate and work with the neighbor, I think really
6	speaks to knowingly gives me a comfort level to make sure I'm
7	sure that they're going to work together with those mechanical
8	units. So I have no hesitation in moving forward. Thank you, Mr.
9	Chairman.
10	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Thank you. All right. I'm going to
11	go ahead and make a motion to approve Application Number 20499, as
12	captioned and read by the secretary, and ask for a second. Ms.
13	John?
14	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Second.
	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Second.  CHAIRPERSON HILL: The motion made and seconded. Mr.
14	
14 15	CHAIRPERSON HILL: The motion made and seconded. Mr.
14 15 16	CHAIRPERSON HILL: The motion made and seconded. Mr. Moy, could you take a roll call, please?
14 15 16 17	CHAIRPERSON HILL: The motion made and seconded. Mr. Moy, could you take a roll call, please?  MR. MOY: When I call each of your names, if you would
14 15 16 17	CHAIRPERSON HILL: The motion made and seconded. Mr. Moy, could you take a roll call, please?  MR. MOY: When I call each of your names, if you would please respond with a "yes", "no", or "abstain" to the motion made
14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRPERSON HILL: The motion made and seconded. Mr. Moy, could you take a roll call, please?  MR. MOY: When I call each of your names, if you would please respond with a "yes", "no", or "abstain" to the motion made by Chairman Hill to approve the application for the relief
14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRPERSON HILL: The motion made and seconded. Mr. Moy, could you take a roll call, please?  MR. MOY: When I call each of your names, if you would please respond with a "yes", "no", or "abstain" to the motion made by Chairman Hill to approve the application for the relief requested? The motion was seconded by Vice Chair John. The
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRPERSON HILL: The motion made and seconded. Mr. Moy, could you take a roll call, please?  MR. MOY: When I call each of your names, if you would please respond with a "yes", "no", or "abstain" to the motion made by Chairman Hill to approve the application for the relief requested? The motion was seconded by Vice Chair John. The motion was seconded by Vice Chair John.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRPERSON HILL: The motion made and seconded. Mr. Moy, could you take a roll call, please?  MR. MOY: When I call each of your names, if you would please respond with a "yes", "no", or "abstain" to the motion made by Chairman Hill to approve the application for the relief requested? The motion was seconded by Vice Chair John. The motion was seconded by Vice Chair John.  Zoning Commission Chair Anthony Hood?
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRPERSON HILL: The motion made and seconded. Mr. Moy, could you take a roll call, please?  MR. MOY: When I call each of your names, if you would please respond with a "yes", "no", or "abstain" to the motion made by Chairman Hill to approve the application for the relief requested? The motion was seconded by Vice Chair John. The motion was seconded by Vice Chair John.  Zoning Commission Chair Anthony Hood?  CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes.

1	MR. MOY: Mr. Blake?
2	BOARD MEMBER BLAKE: Yes.
3	MR. MOY: Vice Chair John?
4	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Yes.
5	MR. MOY: Chairman Hill?
6	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yes.
7	MR. MOY: Staff would record the vote as five to zero to
8	zero. And this is on the motion made by Chairman Hill to approve.
9	Motion seconded by Vice Chair John to approve. Also in support
10	of the motion, Zoning Commission Chair Anthony Hood, Mr. Smith,
11	Mr. Blake, and of course, Vice Chair John and Chairman Hill. The
12	motion carries on the vote of five to zero to zero.
13	CHAIRPERSON HILL: All right. Great. Thank you, Mr.
14	Moy.
15	All right. I'm going to try I mean, I don't know
16	what you guys think. I mean, I know that there are a lot of
17	things we need to work through on the last two cases, I believe.
18	So I'm going to try to get through the next two before lunch, if
19	that seems feasible. And then we'll just see where we get. And
20	if anybody has any objections, let me know.
21	So Mr. Moy, you can go ahead and call our next case.
22	MR. MOY: This would be Case Application Number 20488,
23	of Petit Scholars. Caption advertised for special exception
24	relief from the minimum vehicle parking requirements, Subtitle C,

Section 701.5. This would construct a new daycare facility in an

- 1 existing three-story semidetached mixed-use building, MU-4 zone.
- 2 Property is located at 52 Quincy Place Northwest, Square 3100, Lot
- 3 4. And that's all I have, Mr. Chairman.
- 4 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Great. Thank you, Mr. Moy. Let's
- 5 see. Is it Ms. Ham-Campbell?
- 6 MS. HAM-CAMPBELL: Yes, I'm present. Lashada. Good
- 7 afternoon.
- 8 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Good afternoon. Could you please
- 9 introduce yourself for the record?
- 10 MS. HAM-CAMPBELL: Yes. LaShada Ham-Campbell. With --
- 11 oh, I'm sorry -- owner of Petit Scholars.
- 12 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Got you. There you go, Ms. Campbell.
- 13 Thank you. Who's here with you, Ms. Campbell?
- MS. HAM-CAMPBELL: I believe the ANC is participating.
- 15 Commissioner Lewis?
- 16 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Got you. I see her name.
- MS. HAM-CAMPBELL: But other than that, it's just
- 18 myself, as far as representing Petit Scholars.
- 19 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Got you. Got you.
- 20 Commissioner Lewis, can you hear me?
- 21 MS. LEWIS: Yes. I can hear you.
- 22 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Could you introduce yourself for the
- 23 record, please?
- 24 MS. LEWIS: Yes. My name is Karla Lewis. I represent
- 25 ANC 5E. I'm the commissioner of ANC 5E06, and this application

falls within my single-member district.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Great. Okay. Ms. Ham-Campbell, if

you can go ahead and tell us about your case? And I'll put 15

minutes on the clock, and you can begin whenever you like.

MS. HAM-CAMPBELL: Yes. Good afternoon to the Board, and thank you for your time. There is a PowerPoint presentation that --

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah.

MS. HAM-CAMPBELL: -- I uploaded. Is that able to be provided?

11 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah. Exhibit 48, Mr. Young. Thank
12 you.

MS. HAM-CAMPBELL: Okay. Okay, so as previously stated, this is for the proposed Petit Scholars Bloomingdale, which will be located at 52 Quincy Place Northwest. The address is on Quincy Place, but the entrance is actually on Florida Avenue. And next slide.

So currently, the Petit Scholars program has four locations. They're all in Ward 5. And currently, they're only in the Brookland and Woodbridge communities. So all of those four existing locations are less than a mile from each other. Traditionally, families choose locations based on the distance from their home. Even with them all being less than a mile from each other, that's still the case.

And a large percentage of our families historically

walked to the campus. I started the program in -- it's almost ten years now -- in 2011, signed a lease. 2012, we opened. You can do next slide. Sorry. I was just giving more background.

So again, as previously stated, the entrance faces Florida. There's 2,400 square feet of ground space, commercial space. It has the capacity for four classrooms, which equals 32 infants and toddlers, six weeks to four years.

The programmatic hours are 7:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., but currently, all of the campuses are under limited hours because of the pandemic, so we don't offer beforecare and aftercare, temporarily. But so the hours, when we open, will be 8:00 to 5:00, unless something changes with regulations that allows the mixing of groups from OSSE. And next slide. Sorry.

So the request is to construct the ground level childcare center in the existing three-story mixed-use building, and the request is for relief from special exception parking requirements, Subtitle C, 701.5. And next slide.

And community support -- there was a community-led signature collection. I also pounded the pavement and collected signatures. So in total, there were, for myself, 25 signatures collected from families, in person, primarily from the Bloomingdale and Eckington communities; and three from the electronic collection were from within a 200-foot radius.

But then I also did a separate collection. I don't think I noted it here. But that was me walking and collecting

signatures, and -- that might be the next slide. I might be overreaching. Yeah. Oh, so yes. From the residents of 52 Quincy itself.

And the building where the childcare center will be housed, at 52 Quincy Place, it has 20 units, and I received signatures of support from 11 of the 20 tenants that occupy that building. And in total, 20 of 21 signatures were received from within the 200-foot radius of Quincy Place itself. And next slide.

So where the parking space is required, I could not find parking within the required 600-foot radius. But I did secure parking, one parking space to start -- sorry, go -- yeah, there you go. Sorry. One parking space to start, at 77 P Street Northeast. It's a three-minute walk from 52 Quincy Place.

And the plan is to maintain the contract for the entire duration of the lease, so as long as the parking space exists, we will continue to lease with that agency to have the required parking. But it's not within the required 600 feet. And next slide.

And in regards to transportation, AKA dropping off and picking up, I conducted a survey of the families who are currently on the waitlist for the Petit Scholars Bloomingdale location. 39 families responded to the survey. 32 of the 29 families reside within a mile of 52 Quincy Place. 29 of the 39 families plan to walk. Seven of the 39 families plan to drive private vehicles.

Two of the 39 plan to bike. And one of the 39 plans a combination of walking, driving, for dropping off and picking up. And next slide.

So to support families who need to drive by private vehicle, and it -- aside from whatever parking they can find throughout the community, I have an agreement with the Exxon, but

7 I couldn't get anything in writing. But I'm paying -- currently 8 my contractors are paying \$150 a month to park at the two spaces

9 that you can observe there in that photo.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I'm continuing that agreement with them, so families can literally pull in to park in two spaces that will be allotted there, and walk to the crosswalk. There's a crosswalk either at Florida and 1st -- well, actually, before you get to 1st -- and there's a crosswalk, kind of Florida and Q. They don't really have true intersections. But there are crosswalks where families can safely cross, to go to the other side of Florida, to enter the childcare center. And next slide.

I think that's it. Okay. That actually covers my formal presentation.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Great. Thank you.

All right. Does anybody have any questions for the applicant? And if so, raise your hand.

Commissioner -- I mean, Chairman Hood?

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I, as I was reviewing this case the other night, and I

saw Petit Scholars -- that's been running across my mind lately.

And I will tell you that, in another capacity, I think I've heard

of Petit Scholars. Nothing dealing with zoning.

But let me ask you this, Ms. Campbell. I'm glad you told me you have some -- because I was trying to figure out where it was. But I'm glad you told me you had some other locations. The one in Woodridge -- and I noticed one of the things that -- how does that work? I think you're right on Rhode Island Avenue?

MS. HAM-CAMPBELL: Yes. We had a BZA for that location, as well, historically.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.

MS. HAM-CAMPBELL: But not in regards to -- well, yes. Well, no, actually not in regards to parking. But that one, I can't -- it's comparing apples to oranges because of the Zeke's Coffee that exists a block over.

We're in a zone where families can park, so that, even though Rhode Island Avenue traditionally has no parking during rush hours, on our side of Rhode Island Avenue, families are allowed to park. There's meter parking, and it's unrestricted, as far -- in regards to not being able to park via rush hour. Families can park.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: So let me ask my question. I think you gave me an answer, but let me ask my question the way I want to ask it, so I can understand. And that's why I went to that location, because I know it's difficult here, sometimes.

1	So I wanted to know, how does that work? And I guess
2	you explained to some degree, if that changes some of your answer.
3	But how does that work? That seems to be working.
4	MS. HAM-CAMPBELL: Yes, it does.
5	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. So
6	MS. HAM-CAMPBELL: Families can park, and then, again,
7	families walk over. So there is a combination of private vehicle
8	and families who live within the radius that they walk.
9	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, so
10	MS. HAM-CAMPBELL: And we provided a bike rack for
11	families to lock their strollers outside. That was actually one
12	of the outcomes of the BZA for that location.
13	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Okay. And how long ago was that?
14	I know I was not on that case. How long ago was that?
15	MS. HAM-CAMPBELL: 2017.
16	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. And that's working fine?
17	MS. HAM-CAMPBELL: Yes, sir.
18	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you.
19	Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
20	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Thank you. Anyone else?
21	All right. Going to turn to the Office of oh, sorry.
22	Mr. Smith?
23	BOARD MEMBER SMITH: Yes. I have a quick question. I
24	appreciate Ms. Ham-Campbell and your creativity in reaching out
25	with the to the Exxon across the street to provide some level

of parking. I'll just note that that probably -- that's not 1 2. considered legal parking on the space itself, just as a caution 3 with that one. So my question to you is, have you submitted your pickup 4 5 and dropoff plan to DDOT for review yet? 6 MS. HAM-CAMPBELL: Yes, DDOT has already provided 7 approval. 8 BOARD MEMBER SMITH: Okay. Perfect. Okay. I must have 9 missed that. But that was my only question. Thank you. 10 MS. HAM-CAMPBELL: Oh, you're welcome. CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, thanks. Actually, right, there 11 12 was a pickup and dropoff plan. I was going to clarify with the 13 Office of Planning a little bit. But so that -- DDOT, you've 14 given something from -- you've given DDOT something about your 15 pickup and dropoff plan? Is that correct? 16 MS. HAM-CAMPBELL: Yes. We had a telephone conference 17 and the same -- in the same regard of the survey outcomes, I 18 provided them the information to let them know the methods that 19 families primarily plan to arrive at the center, and the same 20 thing with the use of the gas station for pulling in, just to drop 21 off and pick up, and then the actual formal parking space, sorry, 22 at 77 P Street. 23 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Great. Let's see. 24 All right. I'm going to turn to the Office of Planning. 25 MS. MYERS: Hello. Crystal Myers with the Office of

1	Planning.
2	The Office of Planning's recommending approval of this
3	case, and stands on the record of the staff report.
4	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Thanks, Ms. Myers. Welcome
5	back, if I haven't said so already.
6	MS. MYERS: Nice to see you all again.
7	CHAIRPERSON HILL: All right. So this is the first
8	time. There you go. Survived the summer.
9	All right. Does anybody have any questions for the
10	Office of Planning?
11	(No response.)
12	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Does the applicant have any
13	questions
14	BOARD MEMBER BLAKE: I
15	CHAIRPERSON HILL: We can get the Commissioner, by the
16	way.
17	Mr. Blake?
18	BOARD MEMBER BLAKE: Yeah, I did have a question for the
19	Office of Planning. Would you possibly walk through the specific
20	and general criteria relief for me?
21	MS. MYERS: The specific and the general one? Or just
22	the X 901.5 one?
23	BOARD MEMBER BLAKE: Well, please, just go through each
24	of yes.
25	MS. MYERS: Sure. So with the parking reduction

requirements for special exception, the first one being identifying what is one of the reasons for it, in this case, they, due to physical constraints on the property, they are not able to provide a spot within 600 feet in this case, as has been discussed here. There's no commercial space that has been identified within 600 feet of the property, so we thought that that was grounds for claiming this reasoning.

Another one is, they are within a Metro area, well-served by mass transit. You have two Metro stops that are within a bit -- about .6 miles away. And also, they are within the North Capitol Metrobus priority network area.

When it comes to the -- any reduction in the required number of parking space, this shall be only for the amount that the applicant is physically unable to provide. In this case, only one space is required. And so, as they have discussed, they are not able to provide that one space within 600 feet, so they have met this criteria. They're physically unable to provide a parking -- that parking space within the required area.

And when it comes to DDOT, and the transportation demand management plan requirement, DDOT spoke with us on September 7th and said that no transportation demand management was necessary for this case. And the fact that they are within the North Capitol Metrobus priority network is one of their primary rationales for that, as well as there only being one parking space required.

1	As for the general criteria, will it be in harmony with
2	the general purposes and intent of the regulations? It will.
3	They have identified a parking spot, a parking situation, that is
4	further than the 600 feet. It's 800 feet away. But not far.
5	And they also, when it comes to the area, it's well-
6	served by Metro public transit, so it shouldn't it meets the
7	general intent of the zoning regulations, because the access and
8	safety criteria for or intent for that section is being met by
9	the Metro capacity, or Metro being in the area, as well as a
10	parking space being identified multiple parking spaces being
11	identified in the 800 feet away.
12	And then the last one being that will it tend to
13	will not tend to adversely impact the neighborhood? Again, it's
14	Metro-accessible area, as well as, other parking has been secured,
15	within, actually, a five-minute walk away from the site. So we
16	thought that that was satisfied.
17	BOARD MEMBER BLAKE: All right. Thank you very much.
18	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Anyone else for the Office of
19	Planning?
20	All right. Mr. Young, is oh, I'm sorry.
21	Commissioner Lewis, can you hear me?
22	MS. LEWIS: Yes.
23	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Is there anything you'd like to add,
24	Commissioner? And/or do you have any questions for the Office of
25	Planning?

MS. LEWIS: Yes, I do, actually. Well, not questions for the Office of Planning, but I would like to add some comments from the ANC.

We met to discuss this case on several occasions, and on June the 16th, we provided approval. However, that approval -- when we gave the approval, we also agreed that they would work closely with us and DDOT on a dropoff and pickup plan. So I'm very surprised today to hear about -- the dropoff and pickup plan has already been approved, when the neighbors and the ANC was not included in that, because that was one of the main concerns of some of the residents in the area.

I, myself, I take my cat over to an animal hospital over in Brookland, where there's another location of Petit Scholars. And whenever I'm over there, I always see a lot of congestion for dropoff and pickup of the children in that area. So -- and I made mention of that, to find out what they would be able to do in order to alleviate it, because I saw it happening myself at the Brookland location.

It was mentioned that a parking spot was secured at 77 P Street Northeast, and that they would keep that contract for as long as there is parking. Well, what happens, you know -- because space is disappearing overnight, and people are developing on empty lots and stuff -- when that goes away, what is the backup plan?

Also, there was a mention for pickup and dropoff at an

1	Exxon, and that's not an Exxon gas station. They didn't show you
2	the picture of the entire gas station, but that is not an Exxon.
3	That's a Valerio (sic). So I'm wondering, do you have a contract
4	with Exxon? Or do you have a contract with the Valerio or
5	Valerian, whatever that gas station is? Because that certainly is
6	not an Exxon station.
7	MS. HAM-CAMPBELL: The Valero has changed ownership, and
8	it's now Exxon, so I don't have access to demonstrate that, but
9	I'm sure if anyone wants to log on to Google and look at it live -
LO	- it used to be a Valero, but it's now an Exxon.
L1	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Give me one second. Hang on. So
L2	Commissioner, it seems like you've got a lot of questions for the
L3	applicant.
L4	MS. LEWIS: Yes.
L5	CHAIRPERSON HILL: So Ms. Ham, if you can just kind of
L6	write some of these down, and I'll give you a chance to kind of
L7	respond to them? Okay?
L8	MS. HAM-CAMPBELL: Well, one, the Exxon, it is an Exxon.
L9	It isn't a Valero.
20	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Wait, wait, wait.
21	MS. HAM-CAMPBELL: Sorry.
22	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Ms. Campbell?
23	MS. HAM-CAMPBELL: Yes.
24	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Wait. Ms. Ham-Campbell? Just hang
25	on. Let the Commissioner finish, and then we'll come back to you.

Okay?

Go ahead, Commissioner.

MS. LEWIS: Okay. Thank you. So the residents were really very concerned about the fact that this Quincy Place -- Quincy Place Northwest, is a very small, little, quaint block. It's very tiny. It's a one-way street, and there's hardly any parking. Well, there's no parking in D.C. But there's very -- there's really very little parking on that lot.

So residents were really concerned about the traffic congestion, due to the pickup and dropoff. So I would like to know what she's going to do to address concerns of the residents. She worked with DDOT, but she didn't reach out to the residents, and certainly not to ANC, to discuss this.

She talked about how many of the residents that plan on attending there would walk. But you know, residents also say, you know, when it snows, when it rains, and when it's inclement weather, people aren't going to walk a mile in that type of weather, to drop off their kids at the -- at Petit Scholars. So I really would like to know how they're going to address the concerns of the pickup and dropoff.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right. So give me a couple of things. So Ms. Ham-Campbell, so there's some questions that the Commissioner has.

And I guess, Commissioner, my first question to you is, you guys did vote in favor. Right? So ask of now --

1	MS. LEWIS: We did. We did
2	CHAIRPERSON HILL: No, no, no. I'm just clarifying. So
3	currently, you're in favor of this. Okay?
4	MS. LEWIS: Yes.
5	CHAIRPERSON HILL: That's what I have, and I'm giving
6	great weight to. Right?
7	MS. LEWIS: Yes. Yes.
8	CHAIRPERSON HILL: So now, in your report, it again
9	speaks to that there was concerns about the dropoff and pickup and
10	children, and that 5E will work with the applicant, DC agencies
11	and residents to address those concerns. Right?
12	So now, there is a recommendation from DDOT as to the
13	you know, DDOT is at least comfortable with what the pickup and
14	dropoff plan was, but I guess what you're saying is, you didn't
15	know about it. Right? Okay?
16	MS. LEWIS: Yes. They did not work with us.
17	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Now, give me let me finish,
18	Commissioner. Give me a second. So there was a pickup and
19	dropoff plan that DDOT has approved of, and I'm just trying to
20	figure that out right now.
21	So one question to you, Ms. Ham-Campbell, again, is the
22	pickup and dropoff plan, and I guess if you can talk through that,
23	how you got to that, and then how DDOT got to that? And then
24	that seems to be the main concern.
25	I mean, then, I guess, there's a question about, you

1	know, the I mean, the parking with Valero and all that, like,
2	that's not really something that we're able to necessarily take
3	into our purview, because it's, like, a private well, I guess
4	it's an arrangement that you're, like, pointing out.
5	But the other question about the parking that has been
6	provided, that if that were to go away, what were you going to do
7	about that? So those are kind of the two questions that are on
8	the table for you now, Ms. Ham-Campbell.
9	MS. LEWIS: And I would also like to state for the
10	record that even though only one parking space was required, at
11	our public meeting, she stated that if additional parking spaces
12	were needed for her staff, that she would contract additional
13	parking spaces.
14	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. So Commissioner, I'm just
15	trying to get her to answer the questions that I just put forward
16	there. So let her
17	MS. LEWIS: Okay, I just wanted to
18	CHAIRPERSON HILL: I'm letting you know, Commissioner,
19	you all voted in favor. Right? So it sounds
20	MS. LEWIS: Yes. I am in favor of this.
21	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, so you're just now trying to
22	find some clarification on some things
23	MS. LEWIS: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: -- and I'm trying to help you get

24

25

that clarification.

1	So Ms. Ham-Campbell, can you help provide some of the
2	clarification?
3	MS. HAM-CAMPBELL: Yes. So I took notes, as you
4	suggested. There's a constant comparison from Commissioner Lewis
5	to the Brookland location. At the Brookland location
6	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Commissioner Ms. Ham-Campbell, I'm
7	not concerned about the Brookland location.
8	MS. HAM-CAMPBELL: Okay.
9	CHAIRPERSON HILL: We're here to talk about your
10	application right now, that's in front of us.
11	MS. HAM-CAMPBELL: So the Valero is now indeed an Exxon
12	station. I'm sorry. That is and so I have created that
13	agreement with them. That is the only thing I could create, given
14	the constraints of the space. I'm
15	MS. LEWIS: I can't hear you.
16	MS. HAM-CAMPBELL: Can you still hear me?
17	CHAIRPERSON HILL: I can hear you. You have
18	MS. HAM-CAMPBELL: Sorry. That was a childcare center.
19	MS. LEWIS: Okay. Thank you.
20	MS. HAM-CAMPBELL: I am coming back. Sorry about that.
21	I thought I muted that call. So that is with working with
22	DDOT so let's start there there is no place to create a
23	kiss-and-ride. There is no place to create a space where families
24	can drop off and staff can receive them, because of the nature of
25	Quincy's space, as Commissioner Lewis stated. So it's a one-way

street. There is no place to pull in. There is no --1 2 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Ms. Ham-Campbell, I'm going to -- I 3 have a long day ahead of me, also. 4 MS. HAM-CAMPBELL: Okay. And so, like, I'm just trying to 5 CHAIRPERSON HILL: 6 figure out -- it sounds like you might want to explain -- I'm not 7 -- we're going to have a -- we're going to have a discussion on 8 this, the Board is. Right? 9 MS. HAM-CAMPBELL: Okay. 10 CHAIRPERSON HILL: So -- but it still sounds like you 11 might need to go back and have a discussion with the ANC to 12 clarify what you proposed to DDOT. 13 And, I mean, the Board's the one that gets to decide 14 whether or not we think you're meeting the criteria for us to 15 grant or not grant this. So we're going to have that discussion. 16 But you might want to go back to the ANC and find out 17 what it is that you're proposing with the DDOT. Right? 18 I mean, the thing that I have with DDOT right now is a 19 recommendation of approval, but it doesn't necessarily have a 20 formal pickup and dropoff plan. Right? I just have -- I just 21 have that they don't -- that they're approving the application. 22 So you may want to go back to the ANC and explain what your pickup 23 and dropoff plan is, because you do have one. Correct? 24 MS. HAM-CAMPBELL: Yes, sir. I didn't know what you 25 wanted me to further explain. I'm so sorry.

1	CHAIRPERSON HILL: I understand. That's all right.
2	MS. HAM-CAMPBELL: So if you let me know what specific
3	things you want me to speak to, then I can speak to those.
4	CHAIRPERSON HILL: There was the one issue about the
5	parking that you're provided, and if it goes away, what's your
6	plan?
7	MS. HAM-CAMPBELL: Well, I mean, I don't know the future
8	of the parking lot, but it's connected to the Department of Health
9	building that's also at P Street. So I'm I don't know who owns
10	that land, but I was just stating, for as long as the term of my
11	lease, and as long as the parking lot exists, then I will
12	because it's a month-to-month lease, so I don't have a guaranteed
13	contract of infinite parking. But I have a current space with
14	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Okay. Okay. All right.
15	That's it. I'm going to interrupt a second here now.
16	Does the Board have any questions for the commissioner?
17	Does the Board have any questions for the commissioner?
18	CHAIRMAN HOOD: So yeah, and I know we've been going
19	back and forth, and I appreciate, Mr. Chairman, where you've tried
20	to facilitate. But some I got lost in some of when I
21	thought Ms. Campbell was talking, Ms. Lewis was talking, so I, you
22	know but anyway, Ms. Lewis, I Ms. Lewis, Bradley Thomas in -
23	- and I'm familiar with some members of your ANC so you all
24	never discussed the dropoff and pickup?
25	Or and I heard you say it was supposed to come back

1	to you, it's already been approved. I don't think anything has
2	been approved. I think what is it's being discussed. Because
3	approval the Board does not vote on any approvals yet, so I was
4	trying to figure out where the approval came in. And I'm sure,
5	knowing Bradley Thomas, myself, personally, that I'm sure that at
6	some point in time, you all discussed some of that. So can you
7	enlighten me or help me?
8	MS. LEWIS: I certainly can. And I'd like to give you a
9	little history, as far as this is concerned, as well.
LO	CHAIRMAN HOOD: I read a lot of history. I don't need a
L1	I don't let me say something. I don't need a lot of
L2	history. I just want to know, did you all talk about it? Did it
L3	come on the table?
L4	MS. LEWIS: We did not talk about a pickup and dropoff
L5	plan, period. None.
L6	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.
L7	MS. LEWIS: No discussion.
L8	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you. That's all that that's
L9	all I needed to know.
20	Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
21	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. And Chairman Hood, I just
22	wanted to clarify this again, for the record, that Commissioner
23	Lewis has mentioned a couple of times. Their ANC did vote to
24	approve this application. And that is what
25	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Mr. Chairman, I see that. I see the

1	letter from the chairman, who I know. So I and I know his
2	work. So I'm not a novice to this. I didn't just start doing
3	this.
4	So I'm just I agree with what you said, but I just
5	wanted to get opine on that, because here's my reality. Petit
6	Scholars will not be as successful it if doesn't do exactly what
7	Ms. Lewis and the others are trying to get them to do for dropoff
8	and pickup, because people are not going to put their young ones
9	in jeopardy.
LO	So I'm sure that, if there's some outstanding issues, we
L1	just probably need to have them memorialized, but if there's some
L2	outstanding issues, I'm sure that the ANC, Ms. Campbell, and all
L3	those folks, will work it out, because you won't have any clients.
L4	That's just where I am. But she has plenty of them.
L5	And I've heard about this, and I'm not sure about the Brookland
L6	one, but my point to mentioning Woodridge was for a specific
L7	reason, and I know it's working up there, from what I understand.
L8	So I'll stay with that.
L9	Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
20	CHAIRPERSON HILL: All right. Thank you, Chairman Hood.
21	All right. Does anybody have any more questions for
22	Commissioner Lewis?
23	(No response.)
24	CHAIRPERSON HILL: All right. Does anyone have any more
25	questions for Ms. Ham-Campbell?

(No response.)

CHAIRPERSON HILL: All right. Mr. Young, is there anyone here wishing to present?

MR. YOUNG: We do not.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. So I guess I'm going to -- if y'all don't mind -- I've got to make people turn on their cameras more often. But Ms. Ham-Campbell and Commissioner Lewis, just hang out for one second while I just ask a question of my Board members.

So where I'm a little lost, fellow Board members, is that -- again, I guess we can ask the applicant to go back, to work with the ANC on clarifying their pickup and dropoff plan. That's what I'm proposing we ask the applicant to do, because currently, I don't -- and we haven't gotten to the merits of the case. I'm just trying to work through this one issue, because currently, I have an ANC resolution that is in support of this application. And so that's what I have to give great weight to.

And so it doesn't sound like I'm supposed to change that, but it just seems to be a little bit of a misunderstanding or further clarification, as to Ms. Ham-Campbell's need to work with the ANC. So if I can get that assurance from Ms. Ham-Campbell, that's what I'm going to plan on doing.

And I'm looking only at my fellow Board members. If y'all just nod in approval, or raise your hand if there's an issue with that?

Τ	Okay. Okay, great. So Ms. Ham-Campbell, I'm going to
2	recommend you go back to the ANC and clarify and work with them on
3	your pickup and dropoff plan. Okay?
4	MS. HAM-CAMPBELL: Yes, sir. And I just want it noted
5	that only seven people plan to drive to the center. And I hear
6	her saying, what if there's inclement weather? And that could
7	change that rationale
8	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Whatever. I'm just saying,
9	you're going to work with you're going to work with
10	MS. HAM-CAMPBELL: It's seven people.
11	MS. HAM-CAMPBELL: You're going to work with the ANC to
12	figure out some kind of pickup and dropoff plan. Correct?
13	MS. HAM-CAMPBELL: Yes.
14	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Commissioner Lewis, do you
15	have anything to add? I'm guessing you're on the phone with
16	somebody else from your end.
17	MS. LEWIS: Yes, one of my fellow ANC commissioners.
18	Sorry.
19	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. So that's all right. So
20	Commissioner Lewis, do you have anything else to add?
21	MS. LEWIS: I do not. I just want to say that we do
22	support this application. We wanted this business to come here.
23	I was the one who actually put it out there that we want a daycare
24	center in our community. So we do want this.
25	But we also want the residents to have a good quality of

1	life. We do not want there to be congestion. We do not want the
2	children to be in danger while crossing, you know, during pickup
3	and dropoff. So we want to make sure that there is a good pickup
4	and dropoff.
5	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Commissioner Lewis? Commissioner
6	Lewis? I've seen you before, and I guess I'm going to see you
7	again. Right?
8	MS. LEWIS: Yes.
9	CHAIRPERSON HILL: So this is probably the way it's
10	going to I'm just letting you know, you're sending a mixed
11	message. Right? It sounds like you want to shut it down. Right?
12	So
13	MS. LEWIS: No. No, no.
14	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Exactly.
15	MS. LEWIS: No.
16	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Exactly. So I'm just trying to get
17	to the middle ground. Right? So they're going to come back and
18	talk to you. Okay? About the pickup and dropoff plan. Okay?
19	MS. LEWIS: Yes.
20	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay.
21	Commissioner Hood? Or Chairman Hood?
22	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Mr. Chairman, I hate to you know,
23	chairing these hearings myself, I don't want to add to anything,
24	but when I read the letter from Bradley Thomas, who I have a lot
25	of respect for, and including anybody who does ANC, Ms. Lewis and

all, what he says in the letter -- neighbors raised several parking concerns, including the dropoff and pickup. This goes back to your point, Mr. Chairman, of children. ANC 5E will work with the applicant, DC agencies, and residents to address the concerns.

And the next, very next, sentence, ANC 5E recommends the request of special exception be granted to the applicant. It's there. So, I mean, obviously -- and this is what we need to see more -- even though, Ms. Lewis still has concerns, but the chairman and others are saying, we're going to continue to work in progress, even if the Board approves or disapproves -- well, if the Board approves this, we're going to continue to work.

So I don't know what we're going to get out of this, because I can tell you, I think it's going to come back the same way. They're going to continue work. But I'll leave it alone, since it seems like every -- Ms. Lewis and others are all on the same page. But it says it right here in this letter.

So that's my two cents. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And I want you to know, Mr. Chairman, this is the first time I agree with you 100 percent.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right. Okay, Ms. John, Vice Chair John?

VICE CHAIR JOHN: Just quickly, you know, the DDOT letter sort of spells out what should be, as I read it, in this pickup and dropoff plan. And I guess Ms. Campbell can clarify

what would happen if, you know, the parking space went away. So I just threw that out there.

2.

MS. HAM-CAMPBELL: So if the parking space went away, then I would secure parking elsewhere. All I can do is look for and secure parking where public parking is available, so that would be the answer -- which is how I found the existing parking, is by looking for existing parking options, and securing that parking.

VICE CHAIR JOHN: Thank you. That's a little clearer than what you said before. What you were doing was making a commitment to maintain a parking space for the term of the lease, which is a much firmer commitment and addresses the ANC's concerns. And I appreciate you getting back to DDOT. But apparently, there was miscommunication between you and the ANC in when that coordination was supposed to take place.

But I agree with Chairman Hood that the ANC recommendation is fairly clear. We recommend that the special exception be granted. And I read that to mean that even though we are -- they make that recommendation, there will continue to be coordination with the ANC. Sometimes the ANC says, we will approve only if you do these things. So the ANC, in this case, says, we approve, but you must continue to do this.

So I, you know, I think even if we were to approve the application without sending it back to the ANC, we could make a condition that the applicant continue to work with the ANC on the

dropoff and pickup -- dropoff and pickup plan. And I would say, in -- consistent with what's reported in the DDOT report. Because the DDOT report sets boundaries on what to be done.

2.

MS. HAM-CAMPBELL: Yes. And I'm sorry. I apologize for -- so what happened with DDOT is, literally, our conversation occurred last week, last Wednesday. So it was a conversation of, is this plan okay? And then their approval of it. So it's a recent occurrence of me even having a dropoff-pickup plan.

A, it was finding out my contractor is currently using those spaces, and how he's paying management for those spaces, and then waiting to get in touch with someone to see if I can continue to rent those spaces, so that there was a place to drop off and pick up.

Because otherwise, there -- unfortunately, I've not heard from Commissioner Lewis in regards to creating a plan and cocreating a plan. So I was under the misunderstanding that I'm creating the plan, and I'm just providing notification of what that plan is, because there's been no coordination. I mean, about a dropoff-pickup plan.

VICE CHAIR JOHN: Thank you.

MS. LEWIS: But at the last meeting, with the approved, you promised that you would work with the neighbors and the ANC on a dropoff and pickup. So I was expecting --

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. I'm back. I'm back. I'm back. Oh, God.

1	Ms. John?
2	VICE CHAIR JOHN: I know this is your meeting.
3	CHAIRPERSON HILL: It's not my meeting. It's not my
4	meeting. This is with it's not my meeting. It really is.
5	This is our meeting, and we're working together to try to figure
6	out whether or not we should
7	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Actually, I got my questions answered,
8	and I really don't need to hear from the commissioner or Ms.
9	Campbell anymore.
10	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Okay.
11	VICE CHAIR JOHN: I think my answers were questions.
12	Were answered. My questions were answered. That's all I was
13	trying to say. Thank you.
14	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Okay, great. All right.
15	Okay. All right. Does anybody have anything else?
16	(No response.)
17	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right. Ms. Ham-Campbell,
18	you have anything else you'd like to add at the end?
19	MS. HAM-CAMPBELL: No. Thank you all for your time, and
20	the opportunity. Thank you.
21	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Okay. Great. Thank you. All
22	right. Thank you for coming. Thanks, Commissioner. All right.
23	See you guys in I'm closing the hearing and the record. Now,
24	excusing people.
25	I'm definitely letting somebody else start. So who

130 1 wants to start? I know I usually pick on Mr. Smith right away, 2. but does anyone raise their hand? 3 Ms. John? There you go. VICE CHAIR JOHN: Okay. So I'll start. I think that 4 5 the application meets the criteria for approval, and I believe the Office of Planning did a good job in explaining how the 6 7 application meets the criteria. 8 So I am -- I'm concerned that they -- there was not a lot of coordination with the ANC, concerning the pickup and 9 10 dropoff plan. But the ANC report unequivocally states that the 11 ANC supports granting the special exception. Expression of the 12 concern appeared to me to be a request for continuing 13 coordination, as to what that plan would look like. 14 I am satisfied that DDOT is not uncomfortable with the oral presentation made by the applicant. But I think it should be 15 16 in writing, or the Board could make it a condition. If the Board were to approve the application, the Board could craft a condition 17

that would meet the conditions that DDOT described. So I would support the application.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay.

Mr. Smith?

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

BOARD MEMBER SMITH: I largely agree with what Ms. John I do believe that they have met the standards for a special exception, but to me, there are a lot of loose ends on the part of even this question about the pickup and dropoff plan, when

it comes down to the ANC.

And also, we don't have anything from writing from DDOT that explicitly states that they support this plan that they do spell out in the letter. It's not exactly clear to me that DDOT has completely endorsed it. It seems to me, in the writing, they state what the applicant would do, but it's -- to me, they don't see it as necessarily concrete.

Do I think that DDOT believes that they can get to a point with the applicant that they can approve a PUDO? Yes, because they did put it -- they did write, in the last sentence, the last two sentences, that they would support some type of condition.

But given some of the concerns that we heard from the ANC today, I'm not prepared to move forward with approving the special -- me, personally, prepared to move forward with approving the special exception today. I would support additional dialogue with the ANC, and to get something concrete from DDOT before approving.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay.

Mr. Blake?

BOARD MEMBER BLAKE: Yes. I believe that the criteria have been met for the special exception. I think there has been a lack of communication in this most recent phase, between the applicant and the ANC. But I don't see -- that's what I see.

I think that, for example, the incremental information

we got from the survey gave a pretty good idea that the traffic that comes to this particular site may well be from local traffic, as it is with the other Petit Scholars locations. So there will be a lot of foot traffic, so dropoff won't be that difficult.

But it could be. When inclement weather's there, it's tough. They are serving infants and toddlers. I'm very sensitive to that. Takes a lot longer to get infants and toddlers from the car into the location. So there are some issues there. But this is something that they have managed in their other locations, to get done.

I do think they need to work with the ANC to come up with a good plan. So we could make it a condition of the order that they work out a plan approved by DDOT and in concert with the ANC.

But this is a needed element for the community. I would cite things such as, you know, the support of all the neighbors, the written support of ANC, the Bloomingdale Civic Association has stepped up to it and supported, it's got a waiting list. This is a needed service for the community, and I would be hesitant to delay its implementation because of a kink that does need to be worked out. So I would be able to support this.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay.

Chairman Hood?

2.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: I have to agree with Board Member Blake.

I cannot do any justice to his statement. I have -- this must be

the newbies. I agree with him 100 percent. I'm agreeing with the BZA today 100 percent.

And I'm glad he mentioned this is a well-needed resource for the city, not just for the neighborhood, but for the city. I think he's 100 percent dead on. The only thing I will add, though, is that, again, like I said earlier, if these kind of things don't work, nobody's coming. And I'll leave it at that.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: All right. So this is what I think. I mean, I -- I mean, I was, like, I was going to -- I was going to cheer the presentation. I thought the presentation was a lovely presentation. I actually thought she did a great job for someone who is -- it's not her job. You know? She's not a zoning attorney.

And so -- and I thought, you know, she went through quite a lot, and it seemed -- it's too bad that on the last hurdle, here, there seems to be a little bit of a trip-up, in that the applicant hasn't gone back to the ANC to formalize this PU -- you know, the pickup and dropoff plan.

I do think that, to begin with, I think think they're meeting the criteria, and I'm going to agree with the Office of Planning. Right? I think that they are meeting the criteria.

I don't want to hold this up. I apologize, Mr. Smith.

And we'll see if I got the votes or not. I don't want to hold this up for working with DDOT.

I mean, DDOT's not the one that approves this stuff.

It's us. Right? DDOT could say no. And I still think that she's met the criteria that I'm comfortable with, in terms of granting this application. Right? I mean, if DDOT gets their side, then DDOT can come over there, they can do it all. But I'm tired.

And so anyway, so I'm going to vote yes, and I'm going to throw out a motion, and then we'll see what happens. And I'll get to you, Mr. Smith.

And then I guess we could, if we want to, put in the condition -- I mean, I think it seems like they're going to do it anyway, but in a condition of the applicant will go back to the ANC and work with them to put together a pickup and dropoff, PU -- PUDO. Pickup and dropoff plan.

Mr. Smith?

2.

BOARD MEMBER SMITH: So I think I've -- you know, after hearing the testimony of my fellow Board members that spoke, again, I'll reiterate that I do believe that they met the criteria for us to be able to grant the special exception.

And, you know, my concern was just out of caution of pushback from the ANC representative. But we do have a letter in hand from the ANC now, and it seems to me, based on the testimony, that the applicant is attempting to do the right thing, and will reach out to the ANC. And if we do condition the application to provide that -- an approved PUDO, dropoff plan, to DDOT, that seems to satisfy their concerns. So I will vote to approve.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right. I'm going to make

1	a motion. See what happens. I'm going to make a motion to
2	approve Application Number 20488, as captioned and read by the
3	secretary, including a condition which states that the applicant
4	will work with the ANC to come up with a pickup and dropoff plan,
5	and ask for a second. Ms. John?
6	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Second. And submit it to the record.
7	CHAIRPERSON HILL: And submit it to the record. I will
8	keep we will keep the record open for the completed pickup and
9	dropoff plan, once it is completed.
10	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Thank you.
11	CHAIRPERSON HILL: And Ms. John has seconded.
12	Ms. Moy, could you please take a roll call?
13	MR. MOY: When I call each of your names, if you would
14	pleas respond with a "yes", "no", or "abstain" to the motion made
15	by Chairman Hill to approve the application for the relief
16	requested, with the one condition, as he has cited in his motion?
17	The motion was seconded by Vice Chair John.
18	Zoning Commission Chair Anthony Hood?
19	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes.
20	MR. MOY: Mr. Smith?
21	BOARD MEMBER SMITH: Yes.
22	MR. MOY: Mr. Blake?
23	BOARD MEMBER BLAKE: Yes.
24	MR. MOY: Vice Chair John?
25	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Yes.

MR. MOY: Chairman Hill? 1 2 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yes. 3 MR. MOY: Staff would record the vote as five to zero to 4 zero. And this is on the motion made by Chairman Hill to approve, 5 with the condition, as cited. The motion was seconded by Vice 6 Chair John. Motion was also in support by Zoning Commission Chair 7 Anthony Hood, Mr. Smith, Mr. Blake, and of course, Vice Chair John 8 and Chairman Hill. Motion carries, sir, on a vote of five to zero 9 to zero. 10 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right. Okav. I don't 11 What do you guys want to do? I think even the next one may take a little time. It's 1:00. I'm fine with whatever. 12 next one might take -- could take 30 to 40 minutes. And then we 13 14 have two more that might take two hours. Y'all want to stop for 15 lunch? You guys want to keep going? 16 BOARD MEMBER SMITH: Stop for lunch. 17 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Stop for lunch? Okay. I saw you 18 nod, Mr. Smith. I didn't know whether it was a stop for lunch or 19 keep --20 BOARD MEMBER SMITH: Excuse me. I'll turn on my mic for clarification. 21 22 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Right. Exactly. All right. So 23 we'll go ahead and stop for lunch. See us all around, like --24 1:50, let's shoot for? Let's see what happens. Okay? Thank you. Thank you. 25

(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the 1 2 record and then resumed at 1:58 p.m.) 3 CHAIRPERSON HILL: I think we've got to -- looks like we've got everybody. So you can go ahead and call our next case. 4 5 MR. MOY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 6 The Board is back in its public hearing session after a 7 quick lunch recess. The time is at or about 1:59 p.m. 8 The next case application before the Board is Number 9 20508. This is of 9 New York Avenue, LLC. The application is 10 amended for special exceptions from the minimum loading berth requirements of Subtitle C, Section 901.1, pursuant to Subtitle C, 11 Section 901.2(A); and Subtitle X, Section 901.2. 12 13 The project would raze the existing three-story building 14 and construct a 14-story, with penthouse, 116-unit apartment 15 building, in the D5 zone. The property is located at 7 New York 16 Avenue Northeast, Square 671, Lot 14. May want to amend the caption, where I mentioned 17 18 penthouse, because the penthouse height restriction -- the restrictions of Subtitle C, at Section 1500.9, was removed or 19 20 withdrawn by the applicant. But we can clarify that with the 21 applicant, Mr. Chairman. Other than that, Mr. Chairman, the other preliminary 22 23 matter is that one of the persons who had signed up to speak in

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
Court Reporting and Litigation Support
Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia
410-766-HUNT (4868)
1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

opposition -- his name is Zachary Thomas -- he could not be

available at this point, so he submitted his written testimony

24

25

1	through BZA submissions, as well as a waiver to waive the time
2	requirement, because of the 24-hour block. So that's before you,
3	as well, Mr. Chairman.
4	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, great. Thank you. All right.
5	Let me go through and see where we are.
6	Is it Ms. Roddy?
7	MS. RODDY: Yes.
8	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Are you with the applicant?
9	MS. RODDY: Yes.
10	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Could you introduce yourself for the
11	record, please?
12	MS. RODDY: Sure. Christine Roddy, land use attorney
13	with Goulston & Storrs, here on behalf of the applicant.
14	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Great. And I guess you have Mr.
15	Andres with you, and Mr. Lallement?
16	MS. RODDY: Exactly, yes.
17	CHAIRPERSON HILL: And Mr. Andres, could you introduce
18	yourself for the record, please?
19	(No response.)
20	CHAIRPERSON HILL: You're on mute, Mr. Andres.
21	MR. ANDRES: I apologize.
22	Good afternoon, Commissioner (sic) Hill. For the
23	record, my name's Erwin Andres, principal with Gorove Slade
24	Associates.
25	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay.

1	Mr. Lallement? Could you introduce yourself for the
2	record?
3	MR. LALLEMENT: Good afternoon, Board members. My name
4	is J. B. Lallement. I'm the designer, architect representing Eric
5	Colbert and Associates with this project.
6	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Great.
7	Commissioner Eckenwiler, could you introduce yourself
8	for the record?
9	MR. ECKENWILER: Can you hear me, Mr. Chairman?
10	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yes.
11	MR. ECKENWILER: Okay. Great.
12	Yes. Thank you. Mark Eckenwiler, Vice Chair ANC 6C,
13	here on behalf of the ANC.
14	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Commissioner, it's been a long day,
15	so I don't even have a whole lot of time to joke around, but you
16	didn't dress up for us. Did you?
17	MR. ECKENWILER: Did you notice my background, Mr.
18	Chairman?
19	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah. Oh, wow. Pretty impressive.
20	That's pretty good. It's the waiting room.
21	Mr. Eckenwiler, Commissioner, you didn't dress up for
22	us. Did you?
23	MR. ECKENWILER: You know, I thought I would just
24	hearken back to those golden days when we met in person and I had
25	hair.

1	CHAIRPERSON HILL: There you go. All right. Well,
2	that's we're at least starting off on a positive note. I like
3	it.
4	All right. Ms. Roddy, can you hear me?
5	MS. RODDY: I can. And I believe the property owner's
6	also here to Mr. Rishi Bhatnagar.
7	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. I don't see him. Is he on the
8	line, Mr. Young?
9	MR. YOUNG: Yeah, he's calling in by phone.
10	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Could you allow him in,
11	please?
12	MR. YOUNG: Yeah, I can unmute him.
13	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Excuse me, sir. Can you hear me?
14	MR. BHATNAGAR: Yes. Yes, hi.
15	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Could you go ahead and introduce
16	MR. BHATNAGAR: Hi, sir.
17	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Could you hello. Could you
18	introduce yourself for the record, please?
19	MR. BHATNAGAR: Sure. My name's Rishi Bhatnagar, and
20	I'm with the applicant in my New York Avenue LLC. And thank you,
21	Chairman and members of the Board, for taking the time to hear our
22	application today.
23	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Sure, you're welcome. I love how
24	people thank us, like we have a choice.
25	Okay. Let's see. Ms. Roddy, if you can go ahead and

tell us why you believe your client is meeting the criteria with which we can grant the relief being requested? I believe you had a Power Point. And I am going to get through a couple of issues 3 4 now, preliminary matters, but I know you have a PowerPoint that I saw.

But before I pull that up, Mr. Young -- Ms. Roddy, I guess you guys have revised your self-cert. Correct? To strike the penthouse height restriction, really?

> MS. RODDY: That is correct.

2.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. So I am going to go ahead and allow that into the record, that changed on -- the self-cert, unless the Board has any issues with it, because I'd like to be here for the right thing. And so that's Number 1. I don't see anybody raising their hand.

Number 2 is that there was a witness that we were going to have, but because the day went longer than he had thought, he submitted something into the record, which is inside the 24 hours. So I would like to go ahead and allow that into the record, unless the Board has any objection. And if so, please raise your hand.

Seeing none, okay, we've done that.

22 All right. Ms. Roddy -- Mr. Young, if you could pull up 23 Ms. Roddy's PowerPoint?

And then I guess, Ms. Roddy, I'll let -- I'll put 15 minutes on the clock, just so I know where we are, and you can

1	begin whenever you like.
2	MS. RODDY: Thank you. We had also proffered two expert
3	witnesses. We had our architect, Mr. J. B. Lallement, and Erwin,
4	who has been Erwin Andres, who has been accepted as an expert
5	in transportation consulting. Mr. Lallement, I don't believe, has
6	been accepted by the BZA, but I believe he has been accepted by
7	the Zoning Commission.
8	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Is that in the record
9	somewhere? I don't remember seeing it.
10	MS. RODDY: Their resumes, yes.
11	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Do you know which exhibit?
12	MS. RODDY: I believe it is 29.
13	CHAIRPERSON HILL: No that's Mr. Andres.
14	MR. ECKENWILER: I think it's in 30B, like Bravo.
15	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Thank you, Commissioner.
16	MS. RODDY: Thank you.
17	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Give me a second. Okay.
18	Okay.
19	Mr. Lallement, I don't have any issues with you being
20	accepted as an expert in architecture, unless my Board members
21	have any questions about that. And please speak up, because I
22	can't see everybody.
23	Okay. Hearing none, we'll go ahead and allow you into
24	this record.
25	Mr. Lallement, you have more hair in your picture, also.

We're all going back --1 2 MR. LALLEMENT: Yes. 3 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Right. Okay. So -- all right. 4 Great. And then, Mr. -- I'm sorry, I was just informed, I 5 6 guess, that Ms. Roddy, yours says 7 New York Avenue. 7 actually supposed to be 9 New York Avenue. MS. RODDY: The owner is 9 New York Avenue. The address 8 9 is 7 New York Avenue. 10 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Oh, that's interesting. Okay. All 11 right. Okay. You can begin whenever you like. 12 MS. RODDY: All right. Well, I appreciate it. Thank 13 you. And as I said, Christine Roddy with Goulston & Storrs, and 14 we're happy to be here today. And I'm here with the project team 15 for 7 New York Avenue Northeast to present a request for special 16 exception relief from the loading requirements. 17 The project site is located in the D5 zone district, and 18 it will be redeveloped as a residential building, triggering a 19 loading requirement for one berth, one platform, and one service 20 and delivery space. There are significant challenges to providing 21 these required spaces within the building, leading to this request 22 for relief. 23 The applicant proposes to instead designate a space on N Street for curbside loading and unloading, and in our presentation 24

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
Court Reporting and Litigation Support
Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia
410-766-HUNT (4868)
1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

today, we will provide an overview of the spatial challenges of

25

providing loading onsite, as well as the applicant's efforts to mitigate potential impacts from on-street loading, mainly through the adoption of a loading management plan, demonstrating the application satisfaction with the special exception standard.

So we are happy to be here today with the support of both OP and DDOT, neither of whom object to the requested relief. The ANC, as you noted, in their submitted resolution, unfortunately, does not support the request for relief. And when we presented to the planning and zoning committee, they had requested that we study alternative loading schemes, which we did, and we'll walk through those alternatives today.

One was a pull-in from N and pull-out onto New York. And the other was backing in from N and then pulling back out onto N. And unfortunately, neither of those options are viable from either an operations standpoint, but more importantly, from the standpoint of complying with DDOT's policies and standards.

So we do have two witnesses today who will testify this afternoon. We have our project architect and transportation consultant, and as I mentioned, we also have the property owner, should you have any questions for him, as well.

So with that, I will turn it to Mr. Lallement to walk through the building.

MR. LALLEMENT: Good afternoon, Board members. My name is J. B. Lallement. So if you can go to the next slide, please? So our site is bounded by New York Avenue at the front,

north, N Street on the south, North Capitol to the west, and we have the Hyatt hotel to the east. You can really see the unique shape of the site. It's triangular-shaped. It's also a pretty small site. It's a little bit over 5,500 square feet. The size and shape of the site is really the origins of our challenges in the design of this project. Can you go next, please?

So, quick overlook of the project. Since it's a wedge-shaped building with bay projections applied on each side on New York Avenue and M Street, we do believe that the rhythm and the repetition and simplicity of the bay projection is what makes this building elegant. You can go next, please.

So once again, this is the main entry on the N Street South, we have the bay elements and the main entry, which is located between the first two bays on the south side. Going next.

So going to the floor plan. Due to the unique shape of the site, and by meeting the requirement, the setback of the penthouse floorboard, you can see that most of the grey area, it's mostly utilities and everything to make the building function. So we have a bit more utility, mechanical room, at the gondola, due to the fact that the penthouse is pretty small when you do the one-to-one setback. So you can see, we have the main entry on the south side, which -- we have the blue arrow. And in the red, we have the service access to the east. Next, please?

Just another look at the building. You can see all the bay projections are not only really important, in terms of facade

design, the appearance of the building, but those are very important to the unique layout, and to the entire unit. Going next, please?

2.

Penthouse. We are not seeking a relief for the penthouse anymore, as we were able to squeeze the steps select into the footprint of the penthouse by massaging the elevator, going to some specific manufacturers of elevators and being a bit more creative there. But you can see we're also providing some equipment there, and then the rooftop of this penthouse is full of equipment. So going next.

So this is what we are proposing as a ground-floor, going back to the ground-floor level, loading from the street. And Erwin will talk a bit more about it. But we are providing a service access to the side. So each side of the building, with the staging area, and giving you -- give access to the trash or to the elevator lobby.

I want to go through now a series of diagrams to explain to you the challenges of accommodating the loading into the building. If you can go next?

So this is one of the diagrams -- there's two -- meeting the DDOT requirements, and providing the truck turning movements inside the building. And you can see, in yellow, it's pretty much the only location we can locate some of the vertical separations for the building. And adding this truck maneuvering into the property, really speccing for space and competing with space,

again, this vertical -- and we could not accommodate both. If you go next, as well?

2.

So you can see, if you provide an access through N Street, we have the same issue. The truck cannot maneuver inside the property to be able -- without interfering with the elevator and the old vertical separations. So going next.

So we did a series of -- after reaching out to the ANC, we did a series of diagrams where we put through the buildings. So this one, entering through N Street and exiting through the New York Avenue. Once again, you can see this triangle where, actually, we are able to fit the elevator core into the footprint of the building. But none of the stairs will fit, and will have to be outside of the penthouse, creating a lot of challenges to transfer back the stairs into the penthouse footprint.

You also have the impact for the building, as we need to create this opening, this loading path, need to be at least 40 feet tall, which means two stories tall, giving some big implication into the unit above.

You'll see, we'll have to have some impact on the bay projection we're providing on the east side, on the north side, and the south side, of the building. But also, once again, going back to the previous diagram I showed you, having more separation means that most of the ground floor will be mostly occupied for utility, mechanical propers, and as we try to preserve a nice street experience for -- at the street level.

We have pretty much the same issue on the next scheme, which is actually a scheme where we pull in and pull back -- let's see. If we can go to the next space? Next slide?

We still have an impact on the stairs, the stairs do not fit into the footprint of the penthouse. They need to be kicked out of the footprint, and once again, we need to double heights. So this ups the restriction, and also creating a little bit more function space and more mechanical equipment. Have to shift and take over some of the amenity spaces.

It's a brief overview. I think I'm going to let Erwin take over now to talk about the impact on the public space.

MR. ANDRES: Sure. Next slide? So J. B. had gone through the site plan, and I just wanted to highlight, well, some of the important elements, where the bike spaces are, where the loading zone is.

Now, if we go through the plan alternatives again -- can you scroll up two or three slides, please, Mr. Young? No, like, in the other direction. Okay. Yeah. This is an important one. So J. B. had identified what the impacts to the building are, given some of these options. I think it's critical that I go through what the impacts to the public space and the transportation network are.

We have extensive experience working with DDOT, and as far as this, this is not any different. This project isn't any different, relative to our coordination with DDOT. So typically,

when we get cases like this, where we show a hardship relative to providing onsite loading, we go to DDOT to essentially determine what the viable alternatives are.

This was in response to our initial meeting with the ANC. We had spoken -- the meeting with DDOT. The ANC had given their comments at a preliminary meeting we were at. And then, so we went back to DDOT, to see if they -- if there was any flexibility, and based on our discussions with DDOT, a couple things were more important.

First is that any curb cut on New York Avenue, which is a major arterial, especially in this part of town, as you're probably very familiar with the site, is pretty significant. It was essentially almost a non-starter. Well, it was a non-starter.

They were vehemently opposed to any curb cut on New York Avenue, especially for a condition that they thought would be accommodated with curbside loading, especially in a situation where -- I appeared before this Board on other cases where similar conditions, relative to constraints onsite have led us to an onstreet loading alternative. So that was the first point that that made very clear, that any curb cut on New York Avenue was not acceptable to them.

The second point they made -- and actually, Mr. Young, if you can go to the previous slide -- is that any condition where there are back-in movements from the public street were also something that they considered as not tenable for them, especially

given where the site was located, in context.

This site is very well approximate to the Metro rail station. The Metro is approximately two blocks to the east. And so in that respect, there's a certain amount of pedestrian traffic in and around this block, as this block becomes more and more -- as more redevelopment occurs in this neighborhood. It was important for DDOT to maintain clear pedestrian paths, consistent with their policies.

So given those two elements -- no New York Avenue curb cut, no back-in loading -- essentially only provided us one viable alternative, which is an alternative, as I said before, that is consistent with the way they treated other cases of this type, and other cases where I've appeared before this Board.

So in that respect, we've identified a loading manager plan that is consistent with the way DDOT helps manage situations like this, where no back-in loading and no curb cuts and no pull-through activity through the site was something that they thought was appropriate.

You know, it's our understanding that the ANC has issued a letter identifying what their concerns are. And their major concerns were, you know, why wasn't the New York Avenue pull-through explored? And it was. And unfortunately, I'm not sure if anybody from DDOT was here, but we did ask DDOT to reach out to the Office of Planning to provide their statements. And I believe they've done that.

So the pull-through sort of option was something that DDOT had expressed to us that they were not -- that they would not accept. So in that case, you know, the concept of having a curbside loading space, again, consistent with other curbside loading spaces throughout the District is something that we thought was appropriate.

As part of that, we have identified in our loading management plan that the loading coordinator for the building would be responsible for scheduling deliveries -- excuse me, scheduling move-ins and move-outs for this type of facility.

And the reason why they would be scheduled, not because they can necessarily police the curbside loading, which is what the ANC had written in their letter, but it was more to minimize the impact of that loading. They didn't want a situation where, you know, more than one resident was moving in and moving out at that loading space. So they were trying to control that internally.

It is a public facility that is shared, that is available to other users in the neighborhood, but to make it clear that there -- that our next-door neighbor, the Hyatt, has their own loading dock, so chances are they wouldn't necessarily need that.

In addition to that, this loading area also provides a benefit in that it provides curbside space, so that in times when there isn't loading, primarily in the evenings, it is a space that

is available for pickup and dropoff for car share, for Ubers, Lyfts, and things of that nature. It's also available for short-term deliveries for -- that are consistent with an apartment building of this type.

So we believe that this loading area has a benefit that not only serves the loading function, but also has other functions that it can serve, that would help mitigate this condition where we do not have loading docks.

So with that, I'm available for questions, and if you want to go through some of the details of the loading management plan, I'm also available for questions.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. We might go through the whole thing and then come back. I do have a quick one, just because I don't want to forget it.

You just said, shared public facility. Again, that pull-in, right, it's not the building's. Meaning, anybody could pull in there. And so the loading management plan supposedly is going to keep other people from using that during the move-in-move-out times?

MR. ANDRES: No. So as the -- so what typically happens is, if it were the loading dock for the building, the loading manager would typically schedule these loadings -- these loading facilities, so that you wouldn't have more than one resident moving in or out at a time.

Now, obviously, we don't control, necessarily, the

1	public space, but we're still trying to manage that activity, so
2	more than one resident doesn't
3	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Right. And I'm saying, Mr. Andres,
4	the public space, you're speaking to the pulling the loading
5	zone area.
6	MR. ANDRES: Yes.
7	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Got you. Okay.
8	MR. ANDRES: So even though, technically, even though we
9	don't have authority to enforce it, at a minimum, we're trying to
10	control and manage our population, who would be eligible for using
11	that.
12	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Got you. Okay.
13	I guess, if the Board doesn't mind and I'm sorry that
14	I jumped to the head of the line maybe we'll go because I
15	can't see everybody's face. Maybe we'll go ahead and let Ms.
16	Roddy continue, and then we'll come back. Or, I don't know, maybe
17	this is better now.
18	MS. RODDY: This is is all of our presentation, so feel
19	free to ask questions.
20	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Oh, okay.
21	MR. ANDRES: And we also have available photos at the
22	end of our presentation, so you can see what the existing
23	condition is.
24	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Right, right. Right, I'm just I

want to keep this up, Mr. Young. I'm just trying to see all my

fellow Board members, and for some reason, I can't scroll. No, can't scroll. Well, maybe I can scroll. Yeah. Okay.

So does any -- because this thing might be helpful, if y'all want to talk about it. Does anybody have questions for Mr. Andres or the applicant?

Chairman Hood?

2.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yeah, I have a couple of quick questions. Mr. Andres, you know I like to run through this. Let's put up the most difficult diagram. Not the back-in-back-out. Let's go to another one. With the turning radius. Not the in-and-out. I think it's another one. The third one.

MR. ANDRES: Yeah, there's probably one before that.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, let's go to -- let's go to that one. And could you take your -- I guess you -- well, I don't know. No, you probably -- you can't do that. Okay. Kind of explain to me the movement.

MR. ANDRES: So in this movement -- they call it a delivery vehicle, is heading east on New York Avenue. They just passed North Capitol Street and they're heading east. They turn right into the site.

And in order for them to turn themselves around, because DDOT's policy, as I mentioned before, is, all loading should occur with the vehicle -- with the truck heading in, making the movements, and then heading out, so that there's no condition where they're backing in or backing out, in order to access the

loading facility.

If you notice, because the width of the lot in the fattest part of the building is approximately 70 feet, for a 30-foot truck to make the maneuvers to essentially turn themselves around, it becomes extremely difficult. They have to do many maneuvers. It obviously takes up a significant amount of ground floor, in order to do that.

And, you know, and that's -- it's almost a situation where, if you're making deliveries, you probably wouldn't want to pull in the loading dock, given the number of turns that they would have to make in order to pull out. And so in that respect, this is probably the most difficult turning maneuver that you would need to make, where you pull head-in, and then turn yourself around and pull in.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Mr. Andres, let me see if I can describe this. So we pull in, right, from New York Avenue, to the project. You pull forward, pull back a little bit, then we pull up again, then we pull back a little bit, then we pull up, we straighten up, and then we pull all the way back in. Is that a fair assessment?

MR. ANDRES: Yes, it is.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: And then when you pull out, you just pull out and you go to the right.

Now, Ms. Roddy, let me ask -- or the applicant, let me ask a question. Is this a design issue that's making it like this, why you can't meet the requirement? Or is the design issue

to a point, if you redesign it, is it still a cost to the project? 2. You know, is it economically feasible for the project? 3 Because I think the design could probably change and But anyway, I'll let you all answer the 4 probably can work. 5 question. I don't want to answer it for you. 6 MS. RODDY: So I think there's --7 MR. LALLEMENT: Oh. 8 MS. RODDY: -- something -- there's a couple of items 9 here, and I will let the architect also speak, since he --10 MR. LALLEMENT: Yeah, if I may. 11 MS. RODDY: -- is a little bit more experienced on that. MR. LALLEMENT: You can hear me? Yeah? Okay. 12 13 So you can see in the triangle, the yellow triangle, the 14 middle of the site, it's pretty much the only location where we 15 can locate the elevators for the building. As you know, 16 elevators, they are -- they need overrun, which is where they run into the penthouse level. So in-able to meet the one-to-one 17 18 setback. 19 So pretty much, this line is going to put off the 20 building 20 feet on each side. This is the area -- the only 21 location where I can locate my elevator and meet the one-to-one 22 setback for the penthouse. If I put my elevator outside of this 23 triangle, my elevator will not be in the footprint of the penthouse, and it will not meet the zoning requirement. 24

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
Court Reporting and Litigation Support
Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia
410-766-HUNT (4868)
1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

MS. RODDY: And it's significant here because this

25

1	building is at its Height Act height, so that we cannot request
2	relief for the setback for the penthouse. So we initially were
3	asking for relief for the varied heights of the setback of the
4	penthouse, but not for the setback itself.
5	So I do want to clarify that, as well, because as you
6	can see, and as Mr. Andres has testified, the widest point of the
7	site is to the east. And that is the most obvious place and the
8	one place where we can, because of that fact, locate the core.
9	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.
10	MS. RODDY: You know, just because a few other items
11	to your question, Commissioner Hood, that doing this would have a
12	first floor of loading maneuvers, so the pedestrian would either
13	be looking in at trucks doing loading maneuvers, or you would have
14	a wall along New York Avenue and along N, which wouldn't
15	neither of those would be ideal from a pedestrian standpoint.
16	But then also, back to the earlier point of New York
17	Avenue and DDOT's nonsupport for having a curb cut from New York
18	Avenue, just makes this a non-starter, as well.
19	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. All right. Thank you. I'll
20	continue to listen to the discussion.
21	Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
22	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Who's next? I don't know why
23	I can't scroll.
24	Mr. Blake?
25	BOARD MEMBER BLAKE: Yes. Just a quick point of

1	clarification. The use of a 30-foot truck. Would it matter
2	specifically if you changed the size of the truck, made it a
3	little smaller, to simplify this? Or is the truck size a
4	standardized measure?
5	MR. ANDRES: So the truck size is a standard
6	measurement. So an SU-30-foot truck is big enough for most of,
7	you know, most of your delivery or U-Haul trucks. So, you know,
8	in that case, that's why we thought that it was appropriate to use
9	a truck of this size.
10	But I guess that answered the question. If we use a
11	smaller truck, you would still be in a situation where you're
12	doing just as many movements, because of the fact you are
13	constrained. It's, you know, to turn yourself around in 70 feet,
14	in a space of this size, even for experienced drivers, would be
15	extremely difficult to minimize the number of movements. You
16	would still need to make as many movements as are shown.
17	BOARD MEMBER BLAKE: Okay.
18	CHAIRPERSON HILL: I can now see Mr. Smith and Ms. John.
19	Do you all want to okay.
20	Ms. John?
21	(No response.)
22	BOARD MEMBER SMITH: You're on mute, Ms. John.
23	VICE CHAIR JOHN: First time today.
24	Mr. Andres, is there anything in the record where DDOT

has said that DDOT will not approve a curb cut on New York Avenue?

25

MR. ANDRES: So I believe in their review letter -- I'm not sure what the -- it's dated September 10th, 2021. They do identify that, on Page 4, you know, they talk about that we would need to go to the public space as part of the permitting process.

So you would still have to go to the public space in order to do that, but given our experience working with DDOT, given our discussions with the DDOT reviewers who provide the input and public space, they made it very clear to us that it was something that they would not -- that they would not support for public space.

MS. RODDY: And I'll also add that in the Office of Planning's report on Page 3, they indicate that they spoke with DDOT, and it says that the District Department of Transportation informed OP that a curb cut would not be supported, and would be in violation of DDOT's Design and Engineering Manual requirements.

VICE CHAIR JOHN: Okay. Thank you. Because in my experience, DDOT has said it will not approve a curb cut, when there is no likelihood of getting approval. So I was wondering why, in this case, DDOT had said nothing about whether or not it would approve of the curb cut.

I looked at the letter, and that's why I asked if there was something else that, you know, could demonstrate that DDOT was not in favor of a curb cut. So thank you for your answer.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Ms. John, I lost you again. Is there anything more you have?

1	VICE CHAIR JOHN: No, no. I said thank you for your
2	answer.
3	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay.
4	VICE CHAIR JOHN: So I have nothing more.
5	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Smith?
6	BOARD MEMBER SMITH: I don't have any questions.
7	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. My last question, and then I'm
8	going to see if the commissioner how the commissioner might
9	want to do this.
10	That thing that's in front of us, like, Mr. Andres,
11	like, how I see from the DDOT report is the truck supposed
12	to, like, parallel park in there? Like, they don't pull in.
13	Like, it looks like like, why wouldn't they just pull in?
14	MR. ANDRES: Well, they would. You know, if there's
15	nobody parked in front of the loading area, yeah, then they would
16	just slide in there.
17	CHAIRPERSON HILL: So
18	MR. ANDRES: You know, there is probably flexibility to
19	make that loading area bigger than it needs to be. But we've
20	identified that it's roughly, I believe, 40 feet, to allow for a
21	30-foot truck to slide in there.
22	CHAIRPERSON HILL: To back in.
23	MR. ANDRES: Well, to back in or to pull in, depending
24	on what vehicle if there are any vehicles in front of them,
25	then, you know, as a driver, you would just pull in.

1	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Right. So what would happen to those
2	spots in front of them?
3	MR. ANDRES: So right now, what currently happens today
4	is that
5	CHAIRPERSON HILL: If you go to Number 3, Mr. Young?
6	Could you go to Page Number 3?
7	MR. ANDRES: So there is no curb right now. So, you
8	know, you have the so there are we are envisioning putting -
9	- adding some parallel parking, which currently doesn't exist
10	today. If you go out there today, there's no curb, and there's
11	cars actually parked on the sidewalk. But in the future, we
12	intend on putting a curb, we intend to lay out some parking
13	spaces, and the number of spaces, I think, is flexible.
14	CHAIRPERSON HILL: And Mr. Andres, do you know Mr.
15	Andres, I'm sorry. Do you know which slide you can send Mr. Young
16	to?
17	MR. ANDRES: Well, actually, go to the next slide, Mr.
18	Young. Slide one more. So in that no, actually
19	MS. RODDY: I think it's two more slides.
20	MR. ANDRES: Two more slides. I apologize.
21	MS. RODDY: Or one more. I'm sorry.
22	MR. ANDRES: Okay. So that shows three parking spaces,
23	and providing a zone there of, it looks like, you know, 30-plus
24	feet. In the event that DDOT wants more a bigger loading zone,
25	you know, we can lose one of those spaces and still have two

additional on-site on-street spaces, which don't currently exist today. So we're, you know, we're providing some spaces, in the event that DDOT doesn't think that that 30-foot space is sufficient.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Right. So -- and I -- and this -- I'm clear on this. Who controls that parking, the parallel parking, in front of where your supposed loading zone is?

MR. ANDRES: That would be metered. That would be -- that would revert back to metered parking, consistent with --

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Got it. So who gets to decide how big that loading zone is?

MR. ANDRES: DDOT, as part of the public space process. We would have to go to DDOT for their approval of all the improvements that we're making in the public space. That includes the trees, the paving, and this would be part of that.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Right. So you wouldn't be able to have all of that as your loading zone.

MR. ANDRES: No. No, nor do we think it's -- we need all of that. That's, you know, if you think about the loading needs for this building, there's no retail in the building, so it's strictly move-in-move-outs, your couriers, your, you know, FedEx, UPS, and that type of activity. And, you know, occasionally in the evenings, where you have food deliveries, Uber Eats, a pizza guy, you know, things of that nature would likely use that space.

1	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah, I'm just trying to think it
2	through, because the ANC thinks differently about how much room
3	you guys need. So all right. Let's see.
4	Okay. Does anybody does any Board members have any
5	more questions? If so, speak up, because I can't see everybody.
6	BOARD MEMBER SMITH: I have one last one.
7	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Go ahead, Mr. Smith.
8	BOARD MEMBER SMITH: Okay. So returning back to that
9	question about the truck, did you choose a 30-foot truck based on,
10	you know, a transportation engineering measurement? Is it based
11	on ITE measurements for
12	MR. ANDRES: So when you look at if you look at
13	design vehicles, there's specific vehicles that are considered
14	design vehicles. And one of them is this SU-30, which means that
15	the truck is 30 feet long, and it's consistent with sizes related
16	to box trucks. You see a box truck on the street, you know,
17	chances are, it's in the range of an SU-30, which is a 30-foot
18	truck. So in that case, it is a standard size vehicle.
19	Your other standard vehicles are your WB-50s, which are
20	your 55-foot tractor trailers, and your P vehicles, which is your
21	passenger vehicles. And so in that respect, yes, this is a
22	standard, typical design vehicle.
23	BOARD MEMBER SMITH: Okay. Thank you.
24	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Anyone else from the Board?
25	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Mr. Chairman, one last question.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah. Sure, of course.

VICE CHAIR JOHN: So would the -- would there be signs designating that area as a loading zone? And would the loading be limited to certain hours?

MR. ANDRES: So the loading -- so it would -- there can be restrictions on hours. You know, I think, typically, when it comes to residential buildings, your loading for your residential building is associated with trash pickup, which, you know, only takes a few seconds, for the most part.

You'll have a situation where you might have deliveries, your UPS, your couriers, and then your move-ins, move-outs. So that is sort of, I'd say, 99 percent of the deliveries. Occasionally, you might have, you know, furniture deliveries. But for the most part, they, you know, those are the types of deliveries that will take place in that spot.

VICE CHAIR JOHN: So that doesn't really answer my question. Will there be a sign that says, "Loading Zone", "Loading Only", or something? There are signs like that all over the city, where you're not permitted to park. Will there be a sign where --

MR. ANDRES: Yeah, so there's a few ways -- there's a few ways that DDOT manages loading zones. One way is having no parking, so that you wouldn't be allowed to physically park your car there. You can stand, and that's where, you know, your loading activity, your residential loading activity, can take

place.

2.

In addition to that, on 14th Street, for example, there are commercial loading zones, where you would have to pay meters. And during the day, it would be a loading zone, and in the evenings, it would revert back to on-street parking. So that's where the flexibility that DDOT has, as part of the public space project, that we would coordinate with them on.

VICE CHAIR JOHN: And so one more question. Did you have any discussion with DDOT about the former option, which is to designate it for loading purposes only, within a certain time during the day?

MR. ANDRES: So we did not. DDOT doesn't typically reserve loading spaces for residential uses specifically. And that's where they've, you know, as part of their freight management, they've identified that, in areas where you have residential buildings, the way that they typically manage curbside loading areas like this is by providing two signs that say "No Parking", and identifying No Parking zones, so that the residential move-in and move-outs could take place there, your short-term people standing can take place there, but they're not allowed to have full-time parking. And that's how, typically, DDOT has managed that space.

VICE CHAIR JOHN: Okay. Thank you. I'm still not getting a clear answer, so I'll leave it at that. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Sorry. Okay.

Commissioner Eckenwiler, do you want to ask questions? 1 2 Or do you want to present and then ask questions? 3 MR. ECKENWILER: I have no questions of the applicant, 4 Mr. Chairman. 5 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. 6 Then Mr. Young, you can drop that slide deck. Okay. 7 Okay, we've got -- okay. 8 Commissioner Eckenwiler, would you like to give us your 9 thoughts? 10 MR. ECKENWILER: Sure, Mr. Chairman. Thank you for the 11 opportunity to present. The ANC's letter is at Exhibit 38. 12 are in opposition to this application. Let me just run through, 13 quickly -- since Exhibit 38 was filed yesterday, you may not have 14 had a chance to look through it in detail. 15 The question here, I think -- the way for the Board to 16 keep its eye on the ball is, we have a 14-story, 116-unit 17 apartment building planned, and the proposal is to have a curbside 18 loading zone that will in no way actually be under the control of 19 this property. And Mr. Andres has already acknowledged that. And 20 DDOT staff confirmed that to me personally when I spoke with them 21 over the summer after our ANC met and voted. 22 So one, that curbside space would be available for any 23 loading use for nearby properties. Now, that was -- you know, there's an attempt to minimize that a little, saying, well, 24

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
Court Reporting and Litigation Support
Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia
410-766-HUNT (4868)
1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

there's a hotel next door, they have their own loading dock.

25

I want to emphasize that we need to look at the big picture here. There is a 40,000-square-foot, if I'm recalling correctly, a 40,000-square-foot lot at 2 Patterson. It runs all the way from Patterson Street up to N Street. It is directly south of this.

The District put out an RFP. That is going to be redeveloped into a massive, massive multi-use building. It will have literally hundreds of residential units. It's going to have multiple retail outlets. I forget whether the proposal that was picked has a hotel in it. I think so. The point is, there are going to be lots of uses, lots of trips, lots of vehicles here.

So what you see today, this sort of barren spot -- as I think Mr. Andres said, you know, there's no curb there, there's just cars parked in the public space. If we create this curbside zone, that is going to be available for anybody, one, to do a legitimate loading use; and so that's going to be competing with this building. I think Mr. Andres, you know, mentioned move-in, move-out, trash and recycling.

One thing he didn't mention is, you're also obviously going to have periodic visits by service or repair vehicles. You know, the HVAC, the plumbing, you know, they need to fix the floors. This is not going to be a small number of trips for this building, and there will be competition, especially as this area continues to develop.

So you cannot assume that this curbside space is simply

going to be magically available for the use of this building whenever this supposed loading manager wants to use it. It's like me saying, well, I'm going to schedule, you know, all the curbside space on my block. I don't have control over that space. And this property and its proposed loading manager would not have control over it.

And that's even as to legal loading. And then we get into the issue of illegal parking and loading zones. And all I can say is, I would invite any member of the Board, come to my SMD any time from 7:00 a.m. to 6:30 p.m. Monday through Saturday, and I will show you loading zones that are almost continuously occupied by private-passenger vehicles.

The enforcement simply is not there. And so it is an unfortunate fiction that simply putting up a sign is going to deter drivers. Anyone who really thinks that has not met D.C.-area drivers. And I think that even though I myself have, you know, worked closely, both with DDOT and with the administer of the Parking Enforcement Management Administration, to try and get those loading zones off of H Street to work better, they do not. It is a chronic problem.

And again, you know, even if DPW comes out and tickets, the car's still there. So you'd really have to have a regime of muscular and ongoing towing in order for this loading zone to be available. And that's simply not a promise anyone has made. I don't think anyone can make that promise. It is not realistic.

So for all of those reasons, we -- you know, just starting, that's the big Point 1 -- curbside loading here is not going to work. And what will result will be these move-in-move-out trucks, trash vehicles, whatever it is, are going to end up double-parked on N Street, obstructing traffic.

And again, as this area continues to grow and develop, that's going to become more and more of an issue, both in terms of traffic throughput, but also in terms of traffic and pedestrian safety. So that's Point 1 for why the Board should deny the application in its present form.

Now, the applicant has presented some alternatives, and it's true that when we met with them back in July, we had a fairly extensive conversation about what some of the options were and weren't. And just to clear the air, those drawings that were head-in, head-out to the -- you know, it looked like a big squiggle, you know, there were, like, you know, four- and five-point turns within the building envelope -- we absolutely agree, that makes no sense.

That just takes up too much room, you know, even putting aside the issues with, you know, the elevator overrun and penthouse setbacks and so on. Those really do impair the financial feasibility of a project like this. And so we're not proposing anything like that. So I don't think anybody thinks that's a reasonable solution.

And let's be clear. This site -- there's no dispute

this site has constraints. It is an odd shape. This triangular shape makes a lot of things more difficult, with respect to loading and other things, like the penthouse setbacks.

But we still think that there's not sufficient thought given to a couple of the options. So just to walk through those - those are the pull-through option we've already seen before. That's where the truck enters off of N Street and then pulls straight through, exists out on to New York Avenue.

And I understand that, you know, DDOT has this general aversion to having that. But the truth is, something's got to give here. This is a constrained site, but you can't eat your cake and have it, too. And the applicant is trying to build a really big building -- it's going to have lots of demands -- and really not proposing a realistic solution for having loading.

So you know, the one option would be, as I say, this pull-through -- if you look at the applicant's prehearing statement, the transportation -- Mr. Andres didn't actually, as far as I can tell, in his report, address that pull-through. So his report is 30C, like Charlie. And I don't believe that there's any analysis there of the in on N Steet, pull-forward, straight out, and turn right onto New York Avenue. That is in the architectural drawings in Exhibit 30A, but not in his expert report.

And in addition, there are some points in Exhibit 30A, the architectural drawings, I'll come to in a moment, because they

are in common with some of the objections that were levied as to the second alternative.

2.

So that second alternative would be a single entrance off of M Street, and that would be a back-in, pull-forward option.

And I want to be clear, this is not back-in, back-out. That is a misnomer. I've seen that in the application. It's true that the truck would have to move backwards, either going in or going out.

Most likely, going in, to facilitate offloading or onloading.

So if we look at the expert's diagram, one, it shows an extended curb that doesn't exist now, and doesn't have to exist. So that's an imaginary objection. It does show a de minimis intrusion of the relocated elevator overrun into the required penthouse setback.

When this application came to us in July, there was, at that time, if I'm recalling correctly, still a request for penthouse setback relief, and we signaled our receptivity to that into mid -- you know, obviously, you know, the ANC voted against this project overall because we had such an issue with loading.

I will say that something Mr. Roddy said in her presentation earlier today about the Height Act gives me pause, and it's not something I recall hearing before. If possible, we could have some further conversations about that.

Although honestly, one solution to that is, make the building shorter. If you've got a Height Act problem, you make the building lower. And you know, maybe that's how you solve your

need for, you know, having to come back for -- getting relief for the penthouse setback, to that actually being allowable, as opposed to simply being barred, which is what I understood Ms. Roddy to say about the current proposal. And I apologize if I'm, you know, mischaracterizing her argument.

So back to this back-in, pull-forward option off of N Street. If you look at the applicant's revised drawings, and that's Exhibit 30A, Sheet A05, which you saw earlier in the presentation, there's this whole blizzard of, you know, boxes there, showing all the reasons why they can't do that.

I think if you unpack that, you will see that some of those really are make-weight arguments. And again, these are in common with the New York Avenue, you know, pull-straight-through option. One is, you know, the loss of a bay projection. Also the reduction in size of a second-floor unit. We're trying to get a 116-unit building. That's really -- that is a modest adjustment.

And I go back to what I said before about -- you can't eat your cake and have it, too. If you want to build a building of this scale, that's going to have these kinds of demands, then you need to make some accommodation. And the view of the ANC is, they're simply not making enough accommodation. And, you know, raising these kinds of ticky-tacky objections that, well, we can't have this bay projection here, to me, simply underscores that this is not -- it's not a realistic compromise. Okay? Those are not significant objections.

There was a point -- and I don't believe Ms. Roddy or Mr. Andres spoke about this earlier. There's a point made in the application, though, about DDOT's Public Realm Design Manual, where if you have an uninterrupted series of driveways wider than 24 feet, you need -- well, you're not supposed to have an interrupted driveway that wide. You're supposed to have a pedestrian refuge in the middle.

But I would emphasize, and this is from direct experience, and Chairman Hood may well recall this, in the Apollo project, at 608th Street Northeast, there was an issue because the developer wanted to put their garage entrance for vehicle parking, including for retail -- they wanted to put it right at the edge of the building, immediately adjacent to an alley.

And DDOT's initial report -- it's in the record. It's at ZT12-18. DDOT said, no, we don't like it. No, that violates our public space guidelines. The Zoning Commission approved a couple alternatives, including that, so left it open.

And ultimately, what got built -- and there's a photograph of this included on Page 4 of our submission, Exhibit 38 -- what got built was what the applicant wanted. DDOT actually relaxed its guidelines. And they have the power to do that. The Public Realm Design Manual is not graven in stone. There can be relaxation. There can be waiver.

And so while, yes, that is a legitimate concern, again, we've got a constrained site. How can we make this work? How can

1	we make something that is, you know I hate to say the least
2	bad, but in some way, it is kind of the least bad option.
3	We want to create a solution that meets the loading
4	needs, that's not going to harm the public interest, and it's
5	simply not it's not true to say, well, we simply can't do this
6	because, you know, DDOT has these, you know, very lofty standards.
7	Those standards to get waived. They do get relaxed in cases.
8	And we're just not seeing the sort of willingness to,
9	you know, think through, more carefully, what the need is here,
LO	and the insufficiency. I mean, the basic inadequacy of this
L1	proposed curbside loading zone.
L2	So let me stop there. I apologize. I went on longer
L3	than I meant to. But I'm happy to answer the questions.
L4	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, great. Thank you,
L5	Commissioner.
L6	Commissioner, you're talking about that the Whole
L7	Foods entrance. Right? The garage?
L8	MR. ECKENWILER: Yes. So it's on right. It's off of
L9	6th Street.
20	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay.
21	MR. ECKENWILER: The building address is 600H, and so
22	this is on the east side of 6th Street, as you drive north on 6th.
23	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Are you saying that DDOT somehow
24	relaxed there.
25	MR. ECKENWILER: Correct. What got built, and what you

see in that photograph, is what the applicant requested. That's what they wanted to do. DDOT opposed that initially, in that PUD proceeding. But when it came time for permitting, the applicant got its way. So you should not entertain the notion that the, I think, very important goals in the Public Realm Design Manual are inflexible. They are flexible.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right.

Before I get to any questions I have, does the Board have any questions of the commissioner?

Chairman Hood?

2.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you, Commissioner Eckenwiler. I always follow the work that you do. I seem to -- I don't remember this. I seem to remember the Giant on H street.

But anyway, let me ask you -- it sounds to me -- and I'm reviewing your submission from ANC -- it sounds to me like, well, I'm trying to figure out -- I think the -- what you want, what you would like to see, or the ANC would like to see, is a little more discovery of trying to make this work.

And I, believe me, I get it about the street load. And oh, I get it, because we have a lot of problems across the city with that, and people blocking, and -- I get that. But I'm trying to figure out what it is that you would actually like to see. I think I can decipher it, but if you could just tell me, just point blank, what the ANC would like to see happen here?

MR. ECKENWILER: In a nutshell, Mr. Chairman, if we were convinced that none of the onsite parking options, where this property would control its own loading bay -- if none of those were really feasible, then I think we would take a long, hard look at this curbside proposal.

And, you know, maybe, you know, you could craft some sort of terms there, although that also would require a waiver of DDOT's standards, because their standards are apparently -- if you create a loading zone, it doesn't belong to one property. It only -- you know, it's open to all comers.

So I think we'd be open to that, but we're nowhere close to that point. We are absolutely not convinced that the onsite options, especially the back-in, pull-out off of N Street, that those are infeasible or an undue hardship or make this project economically unviable.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you, Commissioner Eckenwiler.

Well, Mr. Chairman, I would associate myself with that. Maybe we need to push a little harder, and a little more dialogue with the ANC and the community and DDOT, and as well as the applicants, and make sure we've exhausted everything possible, everything feasible, to get to this point here, what's being presented to us today. So that's my statement, and I'll wait to hear from others. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Thank you, Chairman Hood. Who else has a question of Commissioner Eckenwiler?

1	(No response.)
2	Okay. Ms. Roddy, do you have any questions of
3	Commissioner Eckenwiler?
4	MS. RODDY: No. Thank you.
5	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right. I'll go back and
6	follow up with Chairman Hood, then. I guess we're just going to
7	have a long day.
8	Mr. Andres?
9	MR. ANDRES: Yes.
10	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah, I kind of I'm kind of all
11	well, I shouldn't say like, now, I now, I'm going to I'm
12	going to ask DDOT also.
13	I mean, I think, like, we all probably know this site.
14	Right? We've all gone through New York Avenue. We all know that
15	it's the Covenant House site. We all know that the Hyatt was next
16	door and they should've probably bought the Covenant House site,
17	and that would've been great. Right? And they they could've had
18	a bigger project.
19	So you are so DDOT doesn't allow for the loading zone
20	to be reserved for a particular building. Is that correct?
21	MR. ANDRES: That is correct. That is what we've
22	yes.
23	CHAIRPERSON HILL: No, but they never have.
24	MR. ANDRES: Yes. That's correct.
25	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Okay. And then the and I

1	don't know where we're going to get with this. But that pull-in,
2	pull-out thing right? Off of N Street, as in Nancy. Right?
3	Like, what the commissioner is unclear on and this might be,
4	again, just further due diligence on your part, to make the ANC
5	understand how infeasible that option is. Right? You still have
6	to get a curb cut. Correct?
7	MR. ANDRES: Absolutely.
8	CHAIRPERSON HILL: And you think that DDOT wouldn't give
9	you the curb cut on N Street? You don't know.
10	MR. ANDRES: No, so it's not the curb cut on N Street.
11	In order to accommodate a pull-through option
12	CHAIRPERSON HILL: No, no, forget the pull-through. I'm
13	not talking about coming in on N and coming out on New York
14	Avenue. Right? Like, I don't want to I don't want I don't
15	want anything on New York Avenue. It's a pain in the butt.
16	Right?
17	So but the right. So you think you can get the
18	curb cut on N Street.
19	MR. ANDRES: Well, the issue with the curb cut on N
20	Street isn't the curb cut itself. You know, Mr. Eckenwiler
21	mentions that, you know, that there is some flexibility in
22	locating the curb cut away because the Hyatt hotel next door
23	has a curb cut relatively close to the property line.

dimensional issue, where it's too close to the curb cut, the Hyatt

So there are two issues. The one is, yes, there's the

24

25

street. But more importantly, the bigger issue with a curb cut on

N Street is the backing in. DDOT is vehemently opposed to the

backing in of loading vehicles across public space --

CHAIRPERSON HILL: I've got you. I've got you. Mr. Andres, what I was -- what I was trying to understand from the testimony, this is where, like, the further clarification part -- I don't know.

I think it's a lovely project, by the way. And I don't think that people are trying to -- it's a very nice design, Mr. Architect.

The pulling in and wiggling around in the back. Right? And then pulling out. What seems to be -- and I'm a little confused about -- is that you would lose a bay, and you would lose a little bit of the second floor somehow. Right? Like, meaning, you would lose some stuff. Right? But it could kind of be done. Is that correct? Or you don't know?

MR. ANDRES: Well, from an architectural standpoint, J. B. can answer that question. From a traffic standpoint, the concept of a loading vehicle pulling in headfirst and making those multiple movements, you know, realistically, what'll happen is that that vehicle would not make those movements. You know? There are probably four or five movements that would need to take place in order for a vehicle, of a loading vehicle size, to make those movements in order to pull out.

So there's two things associated with that. The number

1	of movements, and the amount of space it takes up. And J. B. can
2	go into sort of the issues associated with the space that it'll
3	take up.
4	MR. LALLEMENT: Yes. To answer your question directly,
5	no, it's not possible. We cannot accommodate a design of any
6	sort, because we won't be able to locate the elevator core. It
7	will compete with the maneuvering space required for the truck.
8	So
9	CHAIRPERSON HILL: I got it. I understand. And Mr.
10	Lallement Lallement?
11	MR. LALLEMENT: Correct. Lallement.
12	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Thank you. Mr. Lallement, I mean,
13	we're all just kind of talking this through. I mean, if you get a
14	no, then I'm also trying to figure out what happens to you.
15	Right? Something else would happen. Right?
16	I mean, you're saying you have to move the you'd have
17	to move the core. Right? Somehow, to make that thing move

I mean, you're saying you have to move the -- you'd have to move the core. Right? Somehow, to make that thing move around. That's where I got a little confused with the commissioner, in terms of that -- something about the second floor, maybe you lose. Right? You'd have to move the core to kind of the tip of the thing, to accommodate that movement, is what you're saying. Correct?

MR. LALLEMENT: Correct.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Right.

And then Mr. Andres, you're saying that, right, DDOT's

1	not going to give you a New York Avenue curb cut?
2	MR. ANDRES: Absolutely.
3	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Right? So you can't even who
4	knows, Mr. Andres? Absolutely is a very that's a serious
5	thing. Right?
6	MR. ANDRES: You're absolutely right, but, you know,
7	given my experience
8	CHAIRPERSON HILL: But nonetheless, I got you. I got
9	you. So because if you did get the curb cut and now that's
10	another question, I guess, for the architect, and I'll stop,
11	because I don't want to suck up all the time.
12	If you did get the curb cut on New York Avenue, could
13	you create a pull-through and not have to move the core?
14	MR. LALLEMENT: I can, yes.
15	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. That's interesting. All right.
16	MS. RODDY: Can I just go back on the core comment,
17	though, with just the N Street curb cut that we were discussing
18	just before the New York pull-through?
19	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Sure.
20	MS. RODDY: Well, I just wanted to clarify it's not
21	as simple as simply moving the core, because then we would have to
22	find units that would work. So it's not just shifting the core to
23	the western portion of the site. And then it also creates setback
24	issues.
25	I just also wanted to point out that the ANC, by their

own testimony today, they agree that this isn't a workable or 1 feasible solution, because it would have an economic impact, that -- the pull-in and maneuvering within the building itself. So I think that the two options that the ANC is pushing for would be 4 the pull-through to New York and the back-in from N, rather than the maneuvering within the building itself. Commissioner Eckenwiler can correct me, but --MR. ECKENWILER: Yes, in fact, I was just going through your slides to say that -- this is Slide 9, which is labeled Loading Option 1, and it's one of those four- or five-point turns, 11 head-in, you know, off of New York Ave., head-out. Absolutely. And I want to reiterate, we don't think that that makes 12 any sense. I mean, that's just crazy. That --14 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay --MR. ECKENWILER: That's all kinds of inefficient. 15 16 CHAIRPERSON HILL: I got you, Commissioner. 17 MR. ECKENWILER: And just to be clear, Mr. Chairman, 18 just to hammer the point home, same thing for Slide Number 10, what's depicted in the presentation bay as Loading Option 2, which 20 is the exact same thing, except coming in off of N Street. Doesn't make any sense to have -- that's probably through, what, 22 60 percent of your ground floor, dedicated to dead space so a

2.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

19

21

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Commissioner? Commissioner?

So when you talk about the truck wiggling around --

truck can do a four- or five-point turn. Not urging that at all.

1	Mr. ECKENWILER: Yes?
2	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Commissioner? Sir? So then again,
3	right, the back in and the pull-through. And that's what you
4	meant by, you lose a that's what you meant by, you lose a
5	balcony and a little bit of the second floor.
6	MR. ECKENWILER: If you look at Slides 11 and
7	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Ms. Roddy that's okay. Ms. Roddy
8	is nodding yes. I'm just trying to get to so right. So DDOT -
9	- the other thing is that DDOT won't allow a back-in off of N
LO	Street.
L1	Correct, Mr. Andres?
L2	MR. ANDRES: Yes. They've mentioned that. I was
L3	actually in a public space hearing
L4	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Got it. Okay, Mr. Andres.
L5	I'm going to get to everybody else, because Commissioner
L6	we might want to hear from DDOT, but give me a second.
L7	Commissioner Eckenwiler has one more question or a comment.
L8	MR. ECKENWILER: Oh, no, I just wanted to respond to
L9	that and, you know, I understand, there are things that DDOI
20	really doesn't like. I'll just say again, something's got to give
21	for this site. And I think an attempt to rigidly apply all of
22	DDOT's rules is going to end up with a very, very bad non-
23	solution. And I'll just leave it at that.
24	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Can we all take just a five-

minute break? Okay. I just wanted to take a five-minute break.

Okay? Or a three-minute break. Whatever. I've got to take a 1 2. quick break. I'll be right back. 3 (Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the 4 record and then resumed at 3:15 p.m.) 5 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Blake, are you there? 6 BOARD MEMBER BLAKE: Yes, yes, I am. 7 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Oh, great. Okay. All right. Thank 8 you, guys. 9 All right, Mr. Moy. We're back. So that --Okay. 10 okay. We may want to hear from DDOT. I don't know. But anyway, 11 whatever. I know some of you guys want to hear from DDOT. 12 All right. Can we turn to the Office of Planning? MR. KIRSCHENBAUM: Good afternoon -- excuse me. Good 13 14 afternoon, Chairman Hill and member of the Board of Zoning 15 I am Jonathan Kirschenbaum with the Office of Adjustment. 16 Planning. The OP recommends approval of this special exception 17 18 relief for the loading berths. You know, our review here is a 19 strict zoning review. The criteria for this is that the property 20 -- so the special exception criteria, to satisfy it, the property 21 has to be accessible only from a public street, which means, you

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
Court Reporting and Litigation Support
Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia
410-766-HUNT (4868)
1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

know, it's not accessible from any alleys; and that it would

somehow violate, you know, regulations of DDOT, which, you know,

we've had -- DDOT has indicated to us that the site configuration

and the use of curb cuts on New York Avenue and the turning

22

23

2.4

25

1 maneuvers on N Street would not be supported. So they, for zoning purposes, they qualify for special 2 3 exception, and we believe that the special exception, if granted, will be in harmony with the general purpose and intent of the 4 zoning regulations, and that this will not adversely affect the 5 6 use of neighboring property, in accordance with the zoning 7 regulations. 8 Please let me know if you have any further questions. 9 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Does anybody have any Okay. 10 questions for the Office of Planning? They're basically saying it's DDOT's fault. So -- okay. 11 12 Mr. Smith? 13 BOARD MEMBER SMITH: I think there's probably more of a 14 DDOT question. 15 So I have a question. If you've been along the block --16 so there's a Hyatt located next door to this particular property. Looks like it has roll-down doors. Is that a loading space 17 18 there? And if that's the case, is that back-in and back-out? 19 MR. KIRSCHENBAUM: You know, I'm not familiar with the 20 internal plans of the building. 21 BOARD MEMBER SMITH: Got you. Okay. It's more of a 22 DDOT question. 23 MR. KIRSCHENBAUM: Right.

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
Court Reporting and Litigation Support
Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia
410-766-HUNT (4868)
1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

24

25

Planning?

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Anybody else for Office of

1	Oh, Ms. John?
2	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Yes. Just one quick question for the
3	Office of Planning. Did DDOT send an email? Or was this a phone
4	conversation?
5	MR. KIRSCHENBAUM: This is all on, like, Teams messages.
6	But it was not, like, a formal email.
7	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Okay. All right. Thank you.
8	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay.
9	Oh, Chairman Hood?
10	CHAIRMAN HOOD: This is where it gets mixed up with the
11	Zoning Commissioner. Is DDOT coming, Mr. Chairman, wanting to
12	speak?
13	CHAIRPERSON HILL: So I've got so I texted Mr. Moy.
14	He's reaching out to DDOT.
15	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Yeah, I think they this is one
16	of those cases where they should be here. It's kind of where I
17	am. Thank you.
18	CHAIRPERSON HILL: We're not the Zoning Commission,
19	Chairman Hood. We don't get all the big boys.
20	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Well, even the BZA over the years, when
21	cases like this DDOT would show up on certain cases, so
22	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Well, maybe since the chairman is
23	with us, maybe DDOT will show up.
24	All right. Anybody else for the Office of Planning?
25	No?

1	Oh, Commissioner Eckenwiler?
2	MR. ECKENWILER: I just have a couple questions for Mr.
3	Kirschenbaum.
4	Obviously, in preparing your report, you have these
5	conversations with DDOT about, you know, their concerns and
6	objections to, you know, some of the proposals. In preparing your
7	report, what consideration did you and OP give to the specific
8	terms of this proposed curbside loading zone and the fact that it
9	would not be under the exclusive control of the property owner?
10	MR. KIRSCHENBAUM: Again, we defer to DDOT, and our
11	report more or less states that.
12	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay.
13	MR. ECKENWILER: That's all I had, Mr. Chairman.
14	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Great.
15	Mr. Young, is there anyone here wishing to testify?
16	MR. YOUNG: We do not.
17	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay.
18	There was Mr. Rice, are you with us?
19	MR. RICE: Yes, sir.
20	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Did you tell us there was somebody
21	who wanted to submit a PowerPoint?
22	MR. RICE: I believe that's in the following case, sir.
23	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Oh, great. Okay. All right. Let me
24	do this. Ms. Roddy
25	MR. RICE: Yes, actually, Mr. Chairman, I misspoke. We

1	did have a neighbor, a member of the public, that would like to
2	file a Power Point objection, but they've been unable to, due to
3	the 24-hour rule. And they may be seeking a waiver from you.
4	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Are they in the hearing room?
5	You don't know?
6	MR. RICE: I'm unaware.
7	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Do you know their name?
8	MR. ECKENWILER: Mr. Chairman?
9	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yes.
10	MR. ECKENWILER: I thought there was a vote at the
11	beginning of this case to allow that in. Am I mis-recalling?
12	CHAIRPERSON HILL: It's a different exhibit,
13	Commissioner.
14	MR. ECKENWILER: Okay. Thank you.
14 15	MR. ECKENWILER: Okay. Thank you.  MR. RICE: Mr. Chairman, in response to your question,
15	MR. RICE: Mr. Chairman, in response to your question,
15 16	MR. RICE: Mr. Chairman, in response to your question, the member of the public's name was Melanie Marshall.
15 16 17	MR. RICE: Mr. Chairman, in response to your question, the member of the public's name was Melanie Marshall.  CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Young, do you see a Melanie
15 16 17 18	MR. RICE: Mr. Chairman, in response to your question, the member of the public's name was Melanie Marshall.  CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Young, do you see a Melanie Marshall anywhere?
15 16 17 18 19	MR. RICE: Mr. Chairman, in response to your question, the member of the public's name was Melanie Marshall.  CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Young, do you see a Melanie Marshall anywhere?  MR. YOUNG: I do.
15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. RICE: Mr. Chairman, in response to your question, the member of the public's name was Melanie Marshall.  CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Young, do you see a Melanie Marshall anywhere?  MR. YOUNG: I do.  CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Can you let her in? And I
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. RICE: Mr. Chairman, in response to your question, the member of the public's name was Melanie Marshall.  CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Young, do you see a Melanie Marshall anywhere?  MR. YOUNG: I do.  CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Can you let her in? And I don't know if she's done the swearing-in thing, but first we'll
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. RICE: Mr. Chairman, in response to your question, the member of the public's name was Melanie Marshall.  CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Young, do you see a Melanie Marshall anywhere?  MR. YOUNG: I do.  CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Can you let her in? And I don't know if she's done the swearing-in thing, but first we'll see.

1	Melanie Marshall? She tried to file today her PowerPoint
2	presentation.
3	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah.
4	MR. MOY: But of course, we've got that 24-hour block.
5	So that's before the table.
6	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah. And I'm just trying to
7	understand whether or not she has gone through the oath process,
8	also.
9	MR. MOY: I understand. I understand.
10	CHAIRPERSON HILL: But I appreciate that.
11	Ms. Marshall, can you hear me?
12	MS. MARSHALL: Yes. I have gone through the oath
13	process.
14	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Oh, great. Can you introduce
15	yourself for the record, then?
16	MS. MARSHALL: My name is Melanie Marshall, and I live
17	at 4103 New Hampshire Avenue Northwest, and I am in opposition of
18	the zoning exception for the build at 4107 New Hampshire.
19	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Got it. Okay. So as a member of the
20	
	public, you'll get three minutes to testify. And I understand
21	you're trying to submit a PowerPoint. Is that correct?
21 22	
	you're trying to submit a PowerPoint. Is that correct?
22	you're trying to submit a PowerPoint. Is that correct?  MS. MARSHALL: I sure did, with no success.

1	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yes?
2	VICE CHAIR JOHN: I believe the address the witness gave
3	was 4107 New Hampshire Avenue. Is that correct?
4	MS. MARSHALL: No, my address is 4103 New Hampshire
5	Avenue. 4107 is the applicant who has requested the zoning
6	exception to build a structure in that property's backyard.
7	MR. ECKENWILER: I think that's the next case, Mr.
8	Chairman.
9	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah, that's why I'm a little
10	confused, too.
11	MS. MARSHALL: 20476?
12	MR. MOY: No, let me intervene, Mr. Chairman. That is
13	the next case. That's for the next case after this case.
14	CHAIRPERSON HILL: 20476?
15	MR. MOY: That's correct.
16	MS. MARSHALL: Yes, that's the case.
17	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Sorry, Ms. Marshall. We got
18	you on the wrong one.
19	MS. MARSHALL: All right. Thank you.
20	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Since you're here, Ms. Marshall
21	oh, I can't do this outside of the case.
22	All right. Mr
23	VICE CHAIR JOHN: So Mr. Chairman, if I may?
24	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Yeah?
25	VICE CHAIR JOHN: There's a request from Zach Thomas,

1	and he has submitted written testimony.
2	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah. We let that in.
3	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Yes. That's the only
4	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Ms. Marshall, we'll see you at the
5	next case. Okay?
6	MS. MARSHALL: All right. Thank you so much.
7	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Thank you. Bye-bye.
8	Mr. Young, if you could excuse Ms. Marshall? Thank you.
9	Let's see.
10	Ms. Roddy so if you guys were able to get the back-in
11	thing from DDOT, that means that you wouldn't need the relief
12	anymore. Right?
13	MS. RODDY: The back-in, pull-out from N Street?
14	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah. Yeah.
15	MS. RODDY: We would be able to accommodate the loading.
16	There would be impacts on the building. But we would be able to
17	accommodate loading. Based on our discussions with DDOT, we won't
18	get that
19	CHAIRPERSON HILL: I got you. DDOT's saying no right
20	now. But what I'm saying is, if you did get that if they
21	allowed backing in off of N Street, right, you wouldn't need the
22	relief at all. You wouldn't be before us. Is that correct?
23	MS. RODDY: I would like to study the impact on the
24	building, because it still eliminates a portion of the second
25	floor.

1 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Say that again? Say that again? I 2 didn't hear you. 3 MS. RODDY: I would like to -- I would like to study the impacts of it, if that's what we move forward with. We would be 4 5 able to do loading onsite, but we would have to evaluate whether it would be effective or efficient, because it would still have 6 7 impacts on the building, including a partial removal of the second 8 floor. 9 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Got you. 10 Commissioner Eckenwiler, you have a comment? 11 MR. ECKENWILER: Yeah, I just wanted to ask a question 12 of Ms. Roddy. 13 And I may be mis-recalling here. But I thought the 14 requirement here was for a loading bay plus one service space. Is 15 that right? Okay, let me just speak to that, because I think it 16 actually helps you. So Mr. Chairman, when this came before us in July, 17 18 before the zoning committee, we talked about that. And the ANC 19 didn't vote on this issue, but I can tell you that the consensus 20 within our zoning committee, and very much absolutely my own 21 personal view, is that if we can get the onsite loading bay, we 22 wouldn't -- you know, we would be happy to support relief for that 23 additional required service space. 24 So I don't think that that is a bone of contention. 25 I just wanted to mention that, since you were suggesting that no

1	additional relief would be required.
2	CHAIRPERSON HILL: No, I got you. I got you. I'm a
3	little Ms. Roddy, you don't have to oh, God.
4	So this is what's happening. Okay? So I've asked
5	whether DDOT can comment. Right? We have two more cases and
6	they're long, probably. Right? This is getting this is
7	getting to a longer day than I thought.
8	If DDOT can come back, and if DDOT says we have to
9	ask some questions of DDOT as to my curiosity is, again, that N
10	Street is that N Street that N Street you know, why can't
11	they back up off of N Street into the building? Right? So that's
12	my question to them. Right? And that's the only question I guess
13	we kind of have.
14	I mean, do you all want to talk to DDOT? And if so,
15	raise your hand.
16	Okay. Everyone wants to talk to DDOT. Okay. So then
17	let's go sheed and see whether DDOT can or I'll throw this out

let's go ahead and see whether DDOT can -- or I'll throw this out to you guys. Right? We can either have a continued hearing with DDOT, or -- I don't know what you all's schedule is like, also.

Like, you know, Ms. Roddy or Mr. Commissioner

Eckenwiler. I don't know if we need you, Mr. Lallement. But maybe Mr. Andres. You know, whether you all have time to stick around for another couple hours. Or do you want to do this as a continued hearing where I can definitely get DDOT?

And I'll just go around the room. Ms. Roddy?

1	MS. RODDY: I'm happy to do whatever everyone else does.
2	I can wait around or do a continued hearing.
3	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. I love it. OAG just popped
4	up. First, I'm going to ask my questions.
5	Mr. Eckenwiler? Commissioner?
6	MR. ECKENWILER: Mr. Chairman, as long as I get Mr. Reid
7	to call me so I don't have to hang on for those other two cases.
8	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Mr. Andres
9	MR. ECKENWILER: If you need me to come back this
10	evening, yes.
11	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Andres?
12	MR. ANDRES: Whatever you guys need. I can move stuff
13	around to make it happen.
14	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Mr. Rice, why are you popping
15	in?
16	MS. RODDY: Mr. Zimmerman's on now, I understand.
17	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Who's Mr. Zimmerman?
18	MS. RODDY: Aaron Zimmerman from DDOT.
19	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Oh, Jiminy Cricket. Okay. Great.
20	How did you know this? Ms. Roddy, how did you know?
21	MS. RODDY: I'm taking a guess. He said he was on.
22	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Rice? Mr. Rice?
23	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yeah, he's on.
24	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay.
25	Mr. Zimmerman, can you hear me?

1	MR. ZIMMERMAN: Yes, I can. Good afternoon,
2	commissioners.
3	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Good afternoon. We're not
4	commissioners, Mr. Zimmerman. Only the Zoning Commission has
5	commissioners, which is who you're used to going to see. Right?
6	MR. ZIMMERMAN: Yeah.
7	CHAIRPERSON HILL: We're just regular people that have
8	normal jobs.
9	CHAIRMAN HOOD: I'm going to talk over the chairman.
10	Welcome, Mr. Zimmerman, to the BZA.
11	MR. ZIMMERMAN: Thank you, Commissioner Hood.
12	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Zimmerman, if you could just
13	please introduce yourself for the record?
14	MR. ZIMMERMAN: Yeah. For the record, my name is Aaron
15	Zimmerman, I'm the site development program manager at DDOT. So
16	we review our group reviews all the BZU cases every week, and
17	we review all the cases that go to the Zoning Commission, as well.
18	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Mr. Zimmerman, you all have
19	got to give me one minute. It's crazy raining here, and I think
20	something's open. One second.
21	MR. ZIMMERMAN: Okay.
22	CHAIRPERSON HILL: I apologize.
23	Mr. Zimmerman, can you hear me again?
24	MR. ZIMMERMAN: Yes, I can.
25	CHAIRPERSON HILL: So could you give some testimony on

this? Specifically, what I'm curious of is why they can't back up from N Street into that loading berth.

2.

And somebody's unmuted and they're talking, just to let you know.

MR. ZIMMERMAN: Yeah. So when we reviewed this project, we looked at all the different design concepts that the applicant was proposing.

First, you know, the first one was, you know, pulling through New York Avenue. We didn't want any curb cuts there. You prefer not to have curb cuts on N Street, as well. They showed us the concept of backing in from N Street. And it sort of presented two different kind of options for us, that don't necessarily meet DDOT's standards.

So, you know, we always look to have a new building designed so it's head-in trucks, turn around, and then head back out. That's not possible here, because of the very small shape and size of the site. So we were presented with and left with the last two options, either back-in loading or do curbside loading.

And -- neither of which meet normal DDOT standards.

And so our preference, given those two options, was to do more of a curbside, not -- curbside loading, not have another curb cut. Kind of in our hierarchy of things, you know, the -- having another curb cut, no matter what it leads to, is, you know, less preferable than, you know, the other option, which is -- don't have a curb cut, but preserve the streetscape along there.

And so that's sort of the perspective we were looking at this from, was having, like, a full and complete streetscape along both New York Avenue and N Street. And instead, doing the type of loading or trash pickup curbside on N Street, which is consistent with some of the other properties to the east.

Like, we worked, you know, a few years ago on, like, I think 35 New York Avenue, and some other ones down there that have, you know, their own, like, little pick-up-drop-off zone along there.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: So, but Mr. Zimmerman, you guys can't make that zone loading just for the building that's being proposed?

MR. ZIMMERMAN: Correct. It wouldn't be for just that building. Typically, in these situations, we would -- and there's a couple different options. PUDO zones or doing something like a no-parking zone, which would just basically be two "No Parking" signs pointed at each other.

And then a lot of different activities can happen in there. Somebody can pull an Uber in there and then pull back out, you can -- a trash truck can go in there, somebody who's moving in and out of the building could use that.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: So that's okay. And I appreciate it.

I'm just trying to -- I'm going to let everybody go, and it's going to take a while. Or it could. Hopefully, it's not, because we've got a lot of -- anyway, the argument is that they aren't

going to get to use that zone just for their loading and unloading of the truck. Right? Like, other people are going to do it.

Other people are going to show up.

And so that's why -- and this is the ANC that voted 6-0 against it. Right? And they're the ones who are saying that, you know, if they back in, then it's theirs, and then they can get out again. Right? Or if they can somehow make it so it's the loading zone just for that building.

So those are the two options that I'm confused about. And you're telling me that neither one of those -- well, I shouldn't say that. Let me just -- let me just put that out, and then I'll let everybody ask their questions.

So I'm going to go to the Board first. Does the Board have any questions of Mr. Zimmerman?

And thank you very much, Mr. Zimmerman. I'm making a joke, but thanks so much for getting on at the last minute.

Chairman Hood?

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Zimmerman, good afternoon. I don't know if -- did you hear the testimony? I guess -- have you been intimately involved in this case? I believe you have, the way I hear your testimony. Have you heard - did you head Commissioner Eckenwiler basically needing some verified, like -- verify some of the things that we've exhausted all we could do to make this work here on New York Avenue? Did you hear his testimony?

MR. ZIMMERMAN: I did not hear it, no.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. It seems like he wanted to make sure that we have exhausted all of the discovery and -- Mr. Eckenwiler, I want you to chime in if I'm not characterizing you correctly, what you said. I'm trying to -- as possible.

But I think that's important, that we -- because the community are the ones who have to deal with every impact. And I can tell you, that curbside, really, I mean, curbside loading, it's -- we don't want to develop the same issues we have up in Columbia Heights. All right?

I don't know if this Board remembers that. But we don't want to start that down here, and then say, okay, well, we let this one go, and then two years from now, the BZA will let another one go, and then we have a master problem. So the award-winning District Department of Transportation office, I'm sure we can come up with an innovative way.

And I'm just sorry that you didn't hear Mr. Eckenwiler's comments, because I think he's right. We could do a little more discovery, and I'm sure that DDOT can come up with a way to make this work. You all have done it in the past, and I have every bit of confidence that you can do it again.

But I think, Mr. Chairman, that would call a little --call for a little more discussion. It may take us another week. Call for a little more discussion with the commissioner, Mr. Zimmerman, and also the applicant. So I don't know if that's

Τ	necessarily a question, but I just think trying to get us
2	closer to where we are. That's why.
3	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Thank you, Chairman Hood.
4	That sounds like a lovely suggestion to me.
5	But let's see. Does the Board have further questions
6	for Mr. Zimmerman? And if so, please raise your hand.
7	Okay. Commissioner Eckenwiler?
8	MR. ECKENWILER: Yes, Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
9	Mr. Zimmerman, I think you and I spoke on the phone at
10	length, you and I and a colleague of yours at DDOT, back in July,
11	about this. And that's it's less of a question. At least,
12	that's my recollection, is that we talked about this. And I think
13	I probably conveyed the same concerns of the ANC at the time.
14	I do want to ask you, because it came up earlier. It
15	might've been Mr. Smith who asked the question. Are you familiar
16	with the property immediately to the east, the Hyatt place at 33
17	New York Avenue?
18	MR. ZIMMERMAN: Yes, I'm aware of that property.
19	MR. ECKENWILER: Okay. And you've, I think, taken note
20	of the loading dock that's at the western edge of their N Street
21	frontage, which creates the, you know, more than 24 feet of
22	continuous drive aisle issue. Correct?
23	MR. ZIMMERMAN: I'm pulling it up on my computer to
24	refresh my memory as to exactly what you're referring to.
25	MR ECKENWILER: And this was in the applicant's

presentation. I think I can put it in a nutshell. The Public Realm Design Manual says that you can't have, basically, a driveway wider than 24 feet without a pedestrian refuge in the middle.

MR. ZIMMERMAN: That's correct.

MR. ECKENWILER: I think that's -- okay. Right. That's the Section, I think, 331. I just want to ask, are you aware -- and again, this came up earlier, someone asked the question -- I am now looking at the plans that were submitted for that Hyatt, because it came to the BZA and to ANC 6E for relief. Are you aware that that loading dock is a back-in-pull-out loading dock?

MR. ZIMMERMAN: I am. I'm looking at it right now on my computer screen. Yes.

MR. ECKENWILER: Okay. So DDOT has, in fact, allowed precisely this arrangement, not just in general, but on this same block.

MR. ZIMMERMAN: Correct.

MR. ECKENWILER: Okay. Nothing further, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Thanks. All right.

So we went to the member of the public. I think Chairman Hood has made a suggestion that, if Mr. Zimmerman would be willing and available to talk to the applicant again, and Commissioner Eckenwiler -- maybe y'all can have a conference call and just see if there is a way that this could possibly be resolved in another manner. And then we can hear some feedback

1	from that and have a continued hearing on that.
2	Is that what my fellow Board members were kind of
3	leaning to?
4	I see one nodding yes. I see another nodding yes. I
5	see a third nodding yes. Okay.
6	So Mr. Zimmerman, is that something that you all can
7	arrange?
8	MR. ZIMMERMAN: Yeah, absolutely, if that's the request
9	of the BZA, we can certainly do that.
10	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Ms. Roddy, is that can you
11	get on a call with them?
12	MS. RODDY: Absolutely.
13	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Mr. Moy, what is our hearings
14	looking like over the next couple of weeks?
15	MR. MOY: All right. I'm looking at my October's.
16	October 6th is kind of heavy, but I'm thinking that the continued
17	hearing on this application shouldn't take very long. So that's
18	doable.
19	CHAIRPERSON HILL: How bad is sorry.
20	MR. MOY: I'm sorry.
21	CHAIRPERSON HILL: How bad is the 29th? How bad is next
22	week?
23	MR. MOY: Just a second, sir.
24	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah.
25	MR. MOY: Next week, 29, we have let's see. I have a

1	Foreign Missions case, and then I have and then I have eight
2	cases. That's good. We can add this one to that, if the parties
3	are
4	CHAIRPERSON HILL: All right.
5	Mr. Zimmerman, can you guys kind of get together sooner,
6	rather than later?
7	MR. ZIMMERMAN: Yes, absolutely.
8	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. And how can I are you going
9	to reach out to these guys? Who reaches out to who? Mr. Moy, are
10	you arranging this? How does this work?
11	MR. MOY: I
12	MS. RODDY: I can email everyone.
13	MR. MOY: You've already done it. You're doing it
14	through the application.
15	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Ms. Roddy, you can reach out to
16	everyone?
17	MS. RODDY: Yes
18	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Ms. Roddy, since you're the
19	one getting paid, might as well. Right?
20	Okay. All right. Ms. Roddy will reach out to
21	Commissioner Eckenwiler, Mr. Zimmerman. You all figure out
22	something so that we can hear back something by can you put
23	something into the record by, like, I don't know, the 28th? Okay?
24	MS. RODDY: Yes.
25	CHAIRPERSON HILL: So put something in the record by the

1	28th, and then we're going to come back for a limited-scope
2	hearing just on what DDOT had to say, I guess. All right? Okay?
3	Okay. All right. Great.
4	Mr. Zimmerman, if you can figure out a backwards thing
5	onto N Street, that looks good to me.
6	Okay. All right. Mr. Zimmerman, you've got anything
7	else for me?
8	MR. ZIMMERMAN: No, nothing else. Just thanks for, you
9	know, having me come testify and provide testimony on this
10	project.
11	The one additional point I'd like to make, though the
12	one difference with the Hyatt next door, the 35 N Street, is that
13	this property that we're dealing with here today is significantly
14	smaller than that project, and so, you know, this really all comes
15	down to, from our perspective, making the tradeoff between a curb
16	cut or curbside, and this curbside loading and retaining a full
17	streetscape.
18	And that's, you know, on this case that we're dealing
19	with today, that's a decision, you know, we made. That's why we
20	testified and wrote in submitted a report in support of that
21	type of concept. So those are just my final comments on this.
22	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. No, that's fine. And as
23	Chairman Hood mentioned, Mr. Zimmerman, it's a discussion. And

so, see what happens. All right?

MR. ZIMMERMAN: Sounds good.

24

25

1	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Thank you. All right. Then
2	I'm going to close the hearing for this moment, and excuse
3	everyone, unless somebody raises their hand and has something to
4	say.
5	Okay. Great. See y'all next week.
6	MS. RODDY: Thank you.
7	MR. ZIMMERMAN: Thank you.
8	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Let's see. All right. That
9	is next week is 9/29. Okay. Continue there. The continued
10	hearing next week.
11	Okay. So we have now 20476, Mr. Moy? Does anybody need
12	a break?
13	Okay. We're good? Okay. All right. So then let's do
14	20476, then we might take a break.
15	MR. MOY: Okay. So on the table before the Board now is
16	Case Application Number 20476, of District Restoration Company
17	LLC. This application's caption advertised for special exception
18	from the minimum lot area requirements, Subtitle E, Section 201.4,
19	pursuant to Subtitle D, Section 5206; and Subtitle X, Section
20	901.2.
21	This was last heard by the Board on July the 14th, and -
22	- let's see. This project would subdivide the property into two
23	record lots, retain an existing single-family rowhouse, and
24	construct new detached three-story flat for two families, with
25	cellar, in the RF-1 zone, property located at 4107 New Hampshire

Avenue Northwest, Square 3228, Lot 5. 2. Participating was Michael Turnbull, but I don't know if 3 Zoning Commission Chair Anthony Hood has had a chance to review the record or not. But this is still an open case, and --4 5 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Mr. Chairman -- I'm sorry. 6 I'm sorry, Mr. Moy. I was --7 MR. MOY: No, I'm sorry. Go ahead. No, finish up. Go 8 ahead, sir. 9 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Excuse me, Mr. Moy. 10 But Mr. Chairman, I have reviewed the record. I did 11 watch the video. I am up to speed on where my colleague left off. 12 He retired and left the case for one of the commissioners, and I 13 picked it up. 14 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Great. Thank you. MR. MOY: Thank you, sir. 15 16 The only preliminary matter I have, Mr. Chairman, just 17 letting you know, is that woman, that person, Ms. Melanie 18 Marshall, she's on this case. All right? So she has a late 19 filing to submit her PowerPoint. 20 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Right. No, I got it. I mean -- I 21 don't think it was Marshall, but maybe it was. It started with an 22 M. And so she'll have an opportunity to present -- she'll have an 23 opportunity to speak, and I'm sure she's listening. She'll have 24 an opportunity to speak during the public testimony part. And

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
Court Reporting and Litigation Support
Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia
410-766-HUNT (4868)
1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

25

she'll have three minutes.

1	But let's go ahead, unless anybody has an issue, I'll go
2	ahead and allow her PowerPoint into the record. And then we can
3	take a look at that. So if the staff could does anybody have
4	an issue with it? And if so, raise your hand.
5	Okay. So go ahead and allow that PowerPoint into the
6	record, Mr. Moy, and if the staff can just drop it in there, then
7	we can take a look as we have time.
8	Mr. Cross, could you please introduce yourself for the
9	record?
LO	MR. CROSS: Certainly. Robert Michael Cross, project
L1	architect. I am joined here by Matthew Lee, who is also a project
L2	architect on this project.
L3	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, great.
L4	Mr. Lee, could you introduce yourself for the record,
L5	please?
L6	MR. LEE: Yes, sir. Matthew Lee here. I am project
L7	architect for this project, and I work at R. Michael Cross Design
L8	Group.
L9	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Got it. Thank you.
20	Commissioner Botstein, could you introduce yourself for
21	the record, please?
22	MS. BOTSTEIN: Hello. I'm Clara Botstein. I'm the ANC
23	commissioner for 4C 08.
24	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, Thank you, Commissioner
25	Botstein. I didn't know how to pronounce your name. Thank you.

1	Thank you. All right.
2	So Mr. Cross, can you tell us what has happened since
3	the last time you were here?
4	MR. CROSS: Certainly. I think it might be best to pull
5	up the drawings, as, since the last hearing, we've prepared the
6	additional materials that were requested by the Board, as well as
7	revised the IZ offering.
8	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. What do you want Mr. Young to
9	pull up?
10	MR. CROSS: He's got it coming up now.
11	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Do you know which exhibit it is for
12	us?
13	MR. CROSS: Yeah, my apologies. I don't have that list
14	pulled up. Is it 55? No. Yeah, I believe that's going to be
15	Exhibit Number 55.
16	CHAIRPERSON HILL: I don't see that I don't see
17	that's not what pops up when I look at 55.
18	What's the next slide, Mr. Young? No?
19	MR. CROSS: I believe
20	CHAIRPERSON HILL: This is maybe the person that just
21	yeah, I think it's 55 we want, Mr. Young. Mr. Young, are you
22	there?
23	(No response.)
24	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Oh, sorry. Great. Thank you, Mr.
25	Young.

MR. CROSS: My apologies. Muted.

We're here today as a follow-up from our original hearing on 7/14, seeking a special exception from the lot area requirement under E 201.4. It permits a 1,500-square-foot lot in the RF-1 zone by voluntarily providing one IZ unit.

Per the feedback of the previous hearing, we had provided some additional context information for the Board. But it should be noted that the architectural plans, elevations, have remained unchanged since that time.

As requested by the commissioners, we are -- sorry. Can you skip to Page 3, I believe? Thank you. As requested by the commissioners, we are also showing full renderings with the proposed structure in the neighborhood context, superimposed with a panoramic view, as well as a street collage showing all other structures on the street.

Here, we have both of those on the same sheet. On the larger view, we have more of a perspectival view there along Taylor Street, with the entire street stretched out there at the bottom for overall context.

If you're able to skip ahead to Page 17, Mr. Young, I'd appreciate it. Again, we're skipping over a lot of the material that was presented in the original hearing and remains unchanged.

One more slide, please. Thank you.

As you recall, we presented this diagram previously to specifically speak to the context of the relief being sought.

This diagram shows that all lots highlighted in orange and red are less than 1,800 square feet. And for context, the subject property is in the center of the page, marked by the green house.

Those lots that are highlighted in red are less than or equal to 1,500 square feet, which is the size of the lot proposed in our project. Furthermore, the blue pins identify locations where one or more properties look out onto a structure directly behind them.

And finally, I would point you to the two magenta pins labeled A and B, which identify lots that are virtually identical to the situation proposed. That is, they are around 1,500 square feet, they have a structure that is directly behind other rowhouses. And the addresses of those two lots are 716 Shepherd and 610 Upshur. And per the Board's request at the first hearing, we've provided some additional information about those two properties. Next slide, please.

This sheet compares all three sites. For your reference, our proposed project is shown on the left. As you can see, all three lots are similar in their lot area, their shape, their location at the entrance of an alley, and their position directly behind lots which face the adjoining cross-street. Next slide, please.

While some of these photos were included in the previous presentation, we would like to point out that new content, which shows the proximity and the views of the neighboring lots to the

precedent properties. At both properties, the rear of the adjacent lot abuts the properties, and has direct views onto the building.

And unlike the precedent properties shown here, our proposed structure will not have any windows on its western side, that is, the side that faces the abutting properties, which would maintain greater privacy for the rear yards, which it abuts. And Mr. Young, we can go all the way back to the cover sheet, if you want to hit the Home button to just take us back to Page 1.

Finally, at our last hearing, the SMD testified that it may change the situation if the proposed IZ unit were more deeply affordable. Our client has since changed the unit offering from a for-sale unit, originally proposed at the 80 percent MFI level, to a rental unit, now offered at the lower 60 percent MFI level.

In conclusion, we would greatly appreciate the Board's approval of this application for the creation of a 1,500-square-foot lot, as it is consistent with the existing lots in the area, the future comprehensive plan, and would create a family-sized, affordable housing unit in a desirable residential environment. Appreciate your time, and welcome any questions you have.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Cross.

Let's see. I'm going to go -- okay. Who has some questions? Who'd like to start?

Mr. Blake?

2.

BOARD MEMBER BLAKE: A quick question, Mr. Cross. When

you looked at the comparables you showed us, they weren't in the same square, which I think is the typical comparison. But I did understand your -- size-wise.

But I noticed, in those two -- in those comparables, that they both were inside similar sized lots, but they were substantially smaller properties. Could you just address that for me? Because you were using the comparison to say the size.

MR. CROSS: Certainly. So you're right, they are both in squares -- one is directly across New Hampshire, and the other is catty-corner, with one square, you know, offset by one row of squares.

BOARD MEMBER BLAKE: Mr. Cross, can I ask you really quickly --

MR. CROSS: Sure.

BOARD MEMBER BLAKE: Can we pull that back up, just so we can have a visual as you discuss this? Mr. Young, if you can pull it?

MR. CROSS: Yeah. And Mr. Young, I apologize, but it's going to be all the way back at Page 17 of that set. Perfect.

So as just described, the subject property's at the green house there in the middle of the screen. The closest of the two present properties is just one square to the west, across New Hampshire Avenue, on Upshur Street. The other is two squares down, sort of on a diagonal there, running along New Hampshire Avenue. That property faces Shepherd Street.

BOARD MEMBER BLAKE: But if you turn to the next slide, 1 2 Mr. Young, if you turn to the next slide, you can see these are 3 the three comparables in comparison. 4 MR. CROSS: Correct. BOARD MEMBER BLAKE: And what I saw there is that the 5 6 lot area on 718 Shepherd is 1,643; and on 610 Upshur, it's 1,532. 7 Yet the buildings' gross floor area is only 1,640, versus, what, 8 2,573. Is that -- I just want to make sure --9 MR. CROSS: That's correct. 10 BOARD MEMBER BLAKE: -- the scale. It looks, in the 11 pictures, it probably looks similar, but when I look at the actual 12 numbers, it looks like they're significantly smaller buildings on 13 those smaller lots. Is that a good interpretation? 14 MR. CROSS: Well, yes. So to your initial point, the lot sizes are -- do vary. I would say that 1610 -- sorry, 610 15 16 Upshur and our property are both roughly 1,500 square feet. 17 property at 716 Shepherd is 1,643 square feet, which is larger 18 than what we're proposing. 19 However, it should be noted, it is still less than the 20 1,800 that is allowed in this zone. So it would still need the 21 same relief that we're seeking here today, as a substandard lot. You make a -- you make a good observation, that we are 22

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
Court Reporting and Litigation Support
Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia
410-766-HUNT (4868)
1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

because we're proposing a three-story structure, whereas these

other two-stories are two stories -- it looks like two stories

proposing a building that has a larger gross floor area.

23

24

25

214 above grade. 1 BOARD MEMBER BLAKE: Okay. So in that context, they're 2. two-stories above grade, and they said their building height about 3 28 feet in both cases. And your building height is 35 feet. But 4 5 just for --6 MR. CROSS: Correct. 7 BOARD MEMBER BLAKE: Okay. I wanted -- so it's a higher 8 building, with an additional story. But also, I noticed that it, on your other renditions, it has a different height. You're at 30 9 10 feet. Can you explain the difference between that -- this one on 11 your slide? 12 MR. CROSS: Are you suggesting that in a previous 13 presentation we suggested it was 30? Or there's somewhere in this 14 set that it says it's 30? 15 (No response.) 16 I guess, to maybe just speak to that, I don't MR CROSS: 17 believe that we ever intended it to be substantially less than 35 18 I do know that we have tried to reduce it slightly, 19 throughout this process, working with the ANC. But I don't think 20 we ever had it down as low as 30 feet. 21 And in both cases, the project that we're proposing is 22

conforming, matter of right, with number of stories and the building height. None of that is being requested for relief.

23

24

25

BOARD MEMBER BLAKE: Right. In the context of that streetscape, on Taylor, what are the heights of the other

1	buildings to the south of it?
2	MR. CROSS: I don't know if I can give you any absolute
3	numbers, but I think if we were to go back to Page 3, we can see
4	that the majority of the structures along this street are the
5	existing two-stories, plus that, you know, kind of attic, mansard
6	dormer, that none of these have been expanded to date to the three
7	stories that is allowed as matter of right for this zone.
8	BOARD MEMBER BLAKE: Okay. Thank you. I think that the
9	lot sizes of the first two buildings, I think, were on your
10	exhibit, which reflected them being also of similar size to the
11	lot of proposed subdivision. Right?
12	(No response.)
13	BOARD MEMBER BLAKE: The size of these two lots.
14	According to your diagram, they were roughly 1,500 and something
15	square feet, similar to the others. Correct?
16	MR. CROSS: Yes. Sorry. The two lots that we're
17	comparing them to 716 Upshur is
18	BOARD MEMBER BLAKE: I'm sorry. I'm talking about the
19	ones on this street, these two the first two houses on this
20	street, closest to the property and the alley.
21	The lot size, according to your other diagram sorry
22	to take so much time, too, Mr. Chairman. I just want to clarify
23	this.
24	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Take your time. Take your time.

MR. CROSS: And I apologize for not understanding the

25

question originally.

So yes, if I was to refer back to our diagram on Page 17, that diagram suggests that the property directly across the alley from our lot, which is on the screen in front of you here now -- so the one that's directly across the alley, the triangular wedge, is a property that is less than or equal to 1,500 square feet. And the one adjacent to that is also still less than 1,800 square feet.

And you can see that along those properties that approach Taylor Street, including the ones that actually face the cross-streets on the end, the majority of those properties are less than the 1,800 square feet that would be required for matter of right subdivision.

BOARD MEMBER BLAKE: Okay. So the majority of properties on this square, with the exception of New Hampshire, are generally below the 1,800 square feet. Got it.

MR. CROSS: Right. At least the ones that approach Taylor Street. It looks like the ones that approach New Hampshire in this square, those properties do have some conforming lots. But virtually all of those that approach 5th Street and the majority of those that approach Taylor are substandard.

BOARD MEMBER BLAKE: Okay. Thank you.

MR. CROSS: Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Who's next?

Mr. Smith, you've got any questions right now?

(No response.)

CHAIRPERSON HILL: I'll go to the Office of Planning -oh, I'm sorry. Commissioner Botstein, do you have any -- well, do
you have any questions for the applicant? And/or would you like
to make comments first, and then ask questions?

MS. BOTSTEIN: Yeah, I've been in touch with the team, so I don't have questions. I mean, I'll just say, I really appreciate the design updates and the -- that were sent. And it would be helpful in the drawing -- the rendering isn't actually of where the proposed building would be on the site. So that is one thing that I know the team doesn't have yet. But that could help kind of see the visual.

I'll just say that I have spoken to the neighbors and shared the updated designs, especially those who would be most impacted. And their position hasn't changed. Their concerns about the impact on their property is -- and the impact on the character and scale of that area, especially the height, remain.

So I know two of them are on the line. I want to make sure that they can share their views. And just to say that, you know, I really do see my role as representing the voice and interests of the neighbors and the neighborhood, and then weighing those perspectives against the merits of the project. And while I do appreciate the inclusion of an affordable unit, the fundamentals really haven't changed, and the concerns remain. So that's why the ANC didn't update its letter.

1	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay.
2	All right. Does anybody have any questions for the
3	commissioner?
4	BOARD MEMBER SMITH: Mr. Chair, can I go back to the
5	to Mr. Cross?
6	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Of course.
7	BOARD MEMBER SMITH: I did have a question.
8	My question is regarding the sun studies. And then,
9	looking at some of the sun studies during the equinox and let's
10	see in the winter, during the winter solstice, there's still
11	some fairly, to me, decent enough shadow impacts on the adjacent
12	property owner. Could you elaborate on the changes that you've
13	made since the last time we've seen this case, that attempted to
14	mitigate that in any way, shape, or form?
15	MR. CROSS: We've made no changes to address any solar
16	impact. I didn't have notes from our original hearing that that
17	was an area that the Board was looking to improve. I think, as
18	you probably have seen, there are two sets of each solar study.
19	The ones that were discussed in depth are the ones that show the
20	proposed, and have the highlighted parts in red as being the,
21	like, additional impact.
22	BOARD MEMBER SMITH: Uh-huh.
23	MR. CROSS: But that was all part of that original
24	presentation.
25	BOARD MEMBER SMITH: Got you. I thought I heard that

you had worked with ANC to lower the height of the building. 1 And 2. I thought maybe that was part of the consideration after the sun 3 studies were released. MR. CROSS: Yeah, so we did work with the ANC to lower 4 There was originally a kind of prow at the corner 5 the building. 6 of the alley that projected up, like, an additional four feet, to give it a little bit more of a presence as a corner property. 7 8 That was reduced, and does -- did impact the solar studies. But I 9 don't think that it has changed since our original presentation. 10 I think that was already reduced to the point it is now. 11 BOARD MEMBER SMITH: Got you. Okay. Thank you. No further questions, Mr. Chair. 12 13 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Vice Chair John? 14 VICE CHAIR JOHN: Thank you. 15

Mr. Cross, can you go back to Exhibit 55? I think that would be the front elevation. I'm not quite sure what page it is. And the slide before that -- slide after that shows the rear elevation.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I'm not sure what's the right measurement for the height. The rear elevation shows 30 feet. Well, 30 feet to the building height measuring point. And then there's a 35-foot measurement right next to it. And on the front elevation, there's a 35-foot measurement. And then there's also a 30-foot measurement. So I'm not sure what I'm supposed to make of it.

MR. CROSS: Yeah. I think that the difference is, the

architectural plans use a zero point, a datum, that starts at the first floor, to establish all building heights for the building, while the building height measurement point is measured from grade. And so that -- the difference between those two dimensions is because of the origin.

The one that is noted as a dimension string, and not an absolute elevation, in terms of its, you know, its tag in the drawings, is the one as labeled, which is to be considered the building height, per zoning standards --

VICE CHAIR JOHN: Okay.

MR. CROSS: -- which is 35 feet.

VICE CHAIR JOHN: I don't know if anybody else understands it, but my understanding is that the building height measuring point is measured from the front of the building at grade, and that would establish the height. And here, would that be 35 feet, absent any other subtractions or exemptions? So here, if I look at the front elevation, that would be 30 feet.

MR. CROSS: So you're --

VICE CHAIR JOHN: And let me say why I'm following up. Because, in looking at your renderings, the height of the building, relative to the other houses on the street, I can't see any distinction between them in terms of height. Those are two-story buildings, and this is a three-story building with cellar. To me, in your renderings, this building should look higher than the other two. So maybe you can clear up that fog for me.

1	MR. CROSS: Sure. So first off, the building height
2	I'm looking at BZA 07, which is Page 8 in our set. Is that the
3	sheet you're looking at with the line drawing elevation?
4	VICE CHAIR JOHN: I'm looking at Exhibit 55, which is
5	your
6	MR. CROSS: Yeah.
7	VICE CHAIR JOHN: presentation.
8	MR. CROSS: It's Exhibit 55, Page 8, labeled BZA 07?
9	VICE CHAIR JOHN: No. But go ahead. I don't see I
10	don't see BZA numbers there. Oh, okay. It's in the fine print.
11	I see it now. Thank you.
12	MR. CROSS: So on that sheet, the dimension that you're
13	reading is coming from the tag that says "T.O Roof", or Top of
14	Roof, "30 feet". That 30-foot dimension is taken off of the first
15	floor, which you can find, if you go down to the first-floor tag,
16	that says zero inches. If you go down one tag below that, it
17	says, grade, or BHMP, which is listed as -5 feet.
18	And so when the overall dimension is taken, they're on
19	the dimension string that runs vertically up the side of the
20	building. That 30 feet, plus the 5-foot elevation above grade,
21	brings us to 35 feet total.
22	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Okay. Thank you. That's what I
23	thought. So now we go to the renderings, and so what measurement
24	was used for the renderings? Was it 30 feet or the 35 feet?
25	Seems to me, 35 feet was used. There should be greater shadow.

And this would look taller, compared to the two-story with cellar buildings on this street.

MR. CROSS: So it is modeled at scale. So I think that

MR. CROSS: So it is modeled at scale. So I think that the larger image may appear to be the same height or similar heights, simply because of the perspective. You can see that that — to get all of this into a view, we chose a view that was slightly distorted, to kind of get you a wider field of view. But if you look at the bottom, you can see clearly that our building is a full story taller than the adjacent properties.

VICE CHAIR JOHN: Okay. And shouldn't that change the shadow impacts? I mean, I --

MR. CROSS: Are you --

VICE CHAIR JOHN: Go ahead.

MR. CROSS: Yeah. The renderings that are provided do not show any shadows, here on Page 3 of that set, labeled BZA 02B. The solar studies that were provided previously were already showing a three-story building, as the project has always proposed a three-story building. And these additional renderings were simply provided to better illustrate what was originally being --

VICE CHAIR JOHN: Thank you. And the last question is, what are you referring to as your matter of right option?

MR. CROSS: Yes, so the matter of right option that we're showing is an accessory building, a two-story accessory building, which could be built as matter of right on the existing lot without subdivision.

VICE CHAIR JOHN: Excellent. And wouldn't the proposed three-story building have significantly -- proposed three-story building have significantly more shadow than the accessory structure? And if so, why wouldn't it?

MR. CROSS: It would have more shadow. And the additional shadow is shown in the solar studies in red. If you see the solar studies, there's an area of the shadow that's being proposed, which is in grey. That is the shadow that would be created by a two-story accessory structure.

The red portion of those solar studies shows the net increase above the shadow that would be proposed by a matter of rights structure that, I guess -- it's showing the net increase of our proposed structure. Okay? Got turned around.

VICE CHAIR JOHN: And Mr. Chairman, I apologize.

But so, when I try to compare the two, it was very difficult for me to look at what is proposed on one side, and what's existing or what -- you know, I had to scroll all over the place to figure out what's being compared. And so maybe you could show me what you just explained.

MR. CROSS: Well, unfortunately, I don't have the ability to -- well, I guess we could potentially ask Mr. Young to pull up the presentation. I think the best way to illustrate this, to show that you don't need to flip back and forth between the two, that the red portion should tell you -- should show you everything you need to see, I guess, if you want to flip to Page

	224
1	13, potentially?
2	And I appreciate your help, Mr. Young. If we could
3	toggle back and forth a couple times, to show the toggle between
4	Page 12 and Page 13, hopefully that will show that those areas
5	that are in grey here are the existing, and the areas in red are
6	the additional proposed shadow. And so we only need to look at
7	the red portions.
8	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Okay. Thank you.
9	Thank you for your indulgence, Mr. Chairman.
10	MR. CROSS: And thank you, Mr. Young.
11	CHAIRPERSON HILL: I said, thank you, Ms. John, for your
12	questions. It was very helpful.
13	Mr. Young, could you put that back up again? The one
14	that was it was Number 13.
15	Mr. Cross, I'm a little I mean, the matter of right
16	is the accessory dwelling unit. And is that the one in the bottom
17	left of Slide 13? No.
18	MR. CROSS: So
19	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Right. Where's the matter of right
20	shadowing for the accessory dwelling unit?
21	MR. CROSS: So to see the matter of right, we would need
22	to go to, in this case, Page 12.

think you understand the difference, whether it's an accessory

MR. CROSS: Page 12 shows an accessory building. And I

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Got it.

23

24

25

dwelling unit or not. We're not here to speak about that. But it is an accessory building and a two-story structure that could be built.

This, on this sheet, you see that square box, located in the lower corner of the subject lot and its subsequent shadow. And if you move forward, to Page 13 -- yeah, Page 13, you should see those same grey shadows existing, but now surrounded by a red shadow, the red being an increased shadow from our actual proposed structure, which has gotten wider on the lot and one story taller.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah. Okay. All right, I got it.
Okay. Thanks.

Thanks, Mr. Young. Okay.

Yeah, Chairman Hood?

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yeah. I'm sure most of this is all about the shadow. I do know that came up a lot at the previous hearing.

But Mr. Cross, let me just ask -- and this is probably really irrelevant. I should probably not even ask it, but I'm going to. I think you said that the IZ unit is 60 percent MFI. Did you say 60? I know this probably won't help the issues that the community and ANC has, but why not a deeper affordability? Why did we stop at 60?

MR. CROSS: Yeah, so, you're right. The original application provided a for-sale unit at 80, which meets the requirement to provide -- to seek such relief. The community

asked for deeper relief.

The standards for this type of project are 60 and 80, 80 percent sales, 60 percent rental, as outlined in Chapter 10 of the Zoning Code. And so we reduced it down to the 60 percent.

I do know that the DHCD standards have some lower units. I don't know exactly how those are applicable to this type of project. But I can say with a good bit of confidence that it would not be financially feasible for a developer to offer anything — well, anything much lower, if not already at 60 percent, in a project that's only developing two units, as there's not enough units to offset the affordability.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Mr. Cross, we can go back and forth on that. I appreciate your being candid. But I know that you probably could have a deeper affordability. But in this case, and especially what the issues are, I don't think that would help.

And the reason why I say the deeper affordability is because I think maybe it would help some of the -- soften some of the impacts, and maybe people would be a little more agreeable. But I don't even think in this particular case, a deeper affordability would help.

But I do know that it's possible. And I do know that a lot of developers, they go by the bare minimum of the regulations, but sometimes -- always good to go even further than that. But I'll leave that alone, because there are other issues. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Cross.

1	Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
2	MR. CROSS: We appreciate that. And we my client had
3	does feel like he has tried to drop this below the bare minimum
4	here, dropping from 80 to 60. And as you note, the SMD or the
5	ANC has not changed their position, even with that reduction.
6	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Thank you.
7	Oh, Mr. Blake?
8	BOARD MEMBER BLAKE: Yeah, just a clarification, Mr.
9	Cross. The upper unit will be a it'll be a condo-ish unit?
10	Are they both condos, but rentals? I'm just can you explain
11	how that works? They going to be rentals, now, upper and lower
12	units?
13	(No response.)
14	BOARD MEMBER BLAKE: Are they both going to be rental
15	units? How does that
16	MR. CROSS: So I do know that the IZ is proposed as
17	rental, and as such, it's my understanding that both will be
18	rentals.
19	BOARD MEMBER BLAKE: Okay. Thank you.
20	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right. Let's see.
21	Ms. Vitale, are you there?
22	MS. VITALE: Yes, Mr. Chair.
23	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Could you introduce yourself again,
24	please, for the record?
25	MS. VITALE: Certainly. Elisa Vitale with the Office of

Planning.

2.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Could you please, again, walk us through why you guys are giving us the recommendation that this is meeting the criteria and standard?

MS. VITALE: Certainly. This is an application for special exception relief, and the applicant is requesting a special exception in order to avail themselves of the reduced lot dimension requirements, by opting into the inclusionary zoning program. They are able to develop on a property that is -- that has reduced lot dimensions with respect to lot width and lot area.

The applicant meets all other zoning requirements, and is just taking advantage of the 1,500-square-foot reduced lot area for Lot B, you know, which is the property that -- the newly-subdivided one that we're focused on here today.

That special exception relief is available, and is to be reviewed against the general special exception criteria in Subtitle X, Chapter 9 of the zoning regulations. And that asks that the special exception relief be evaluated in terms of whether it would be in harmony with the general purpose and intent of the zoning regulations and zoning map.

In this instance, and in our original report, you know, as we noted in our analysis, this is an RF-1 zone. It provides for areas predominantly developed with rowhouses on small lots, within which no more than two dwelling units are permitted by right.

The applicant's proposing a new infill flat, fronting on Taylor Street. We believe that that would be consistent with the purpose and intent of the RF-1 zone. As I noted, the proposal meets all other development standards for the RF-1 zone, so it conforms with respect to height, the required yards, and all other development standards for RF.

The second criteria is that the proposal will not tend to affect adversely the use of neighboring property, in accordance with the zoning regulations and zoning maps. Again, we found that the proposed construction of a new road building, fronting on Taylor Street, you know, would not affect adversely the use of neighboring properties.

The building, you know, again, meets the zoning regulations. It would conform with the setback requirements. It helps, you know, kind of complete the streetscape of facades along Taylor Street. And we don't believe that this special exception request, under the voluntary inclusionary development standards, would unduly affect the use of neighboring properties.

Happy to answer any questions, but that's a quick summary of the Office of Planning analysis.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, great. Thank you. All right. So Ms. Vitale, the height is not an issue.

MS. VITALE: That's correct. The RF-1 zone permits three stories and 35 feet as a matter of right. As the architect stated previously, they are proposing a 35-foot, three-story

we're kind of working through. Correct?

MS. VITALE: It's not even an IZ bonus. It's that they can have a smaller lot with the lot area. You can have reduced lot dimensions, if you voluntarily opt into the IZ program. You can go down to a 15-foot-wide lot and a 1,500-square-foot lot.

In this instance, this lot, you know, exceeds the 15-foot width, so they're not even -- they don't even need, you know, to take advantage of that reduced dimension. They merely need to take advantage of the 1,500-square-foot lot area.

Normally, in the RF zone, you would -- RF-1 zone, you would need 1,800 square feet for a new lot. If you voluntarily opt into IZ, your lot area can be as small as 1,500 square feet, and that's what the applicant's proposing here. That's why they're before you with the special exception.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: So, now, I'm sorry. So then what can they build by matter of right that's that height?

MS. VITALE: Any building in the RF-1 zone can go up to 35 feet and three stories, if it's the principal building. The accessory building regulations in the RF-1 zone would limit any accessory building to two stories and 22 feet.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Right. And the IZ unit, that's in perpetuity?

1	MS. VITALE: Yes, it would be.
2	CHAIRPERSON HILL: And so, Mr. Cross, you guys are just
3	renting it? At 60 percent?
4	MR. CROSS: That's correct. My client is proposing to
5	offer it as a rental, and subsequently reducing it to the 60
6	percent MFI rate.
7	CHAIRPERSON HILL: So if they ever decided to sell it,
8	then what would happen?
9	MR. CROSS: I'm not sure if that's possible, but that
10	would be I don't I couldn't speak to how the DHCD process
11	works on that.
12	CHAIRPERSON HILL: And I'll move on to other people
13	after questions.
14	But Mr. Rice, I'm curious what happens if so if we do
15	60 percent as a rental, it has to stay 60 percent as a rental in
16	perpetuity, and they have to come back before us to change it to
17	anything else. And Mr. Rice, can you hear me?
18	MR. RICE: I can hear you, sir. I'll need to do some
19	research and get back to you and respond to that question.
20	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Take a look, if you wouldn't mind.
21	All right. Who has questions for the Office of
22	Planning? And if so, raise your hand. Oh, wow, okay.
23	Commissioner, you've got any questions for the Office of
24	Planning? Okay.
25	Ms. John, do you have any questions for the Office of

1	Planning?
2	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Just one. Just one quick question.
3	In the context of that street, do you have any concerns about
4	putting three stories on that small lot, in terms of how that
5	massing fits in with the streetscape, which is what I think the
6	neighbors are concerned about?
7	MS. VITALE: No, I don't, because, as I stated, in the
8	RF-1 zone, you can go to 35 feet and three stories as a matter of
9	right. A property owner could go pull a building permit to put an
10	addition on their house, and go up to that 35 feet. So I don't
11	find that to be an issue.
12	And there certainly are a variety of building types. If
13	you look at the larger neighborhood and even within the square,
14	there are certainly three-story buildings. So I would not, you
15	know, find that it would be, you know, out of character with the
16	surrounding neighborhood to go up to the three stories.
17	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Thank you.
18	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right. Let's see. I've
19	got to okay.
20	So Mr. Young, there is just one member of the public, or
21	two, or more?
22	MR. YOUNG: You have two.
23	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. There was one who had the
24	slide deck. Right?
25	MR. YOUNG: Yes.

1	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Can we give can we go with
2	that person second?
3	MR. YOUNG: Yes.
4	CHAIRPERSON HILL: And if you can pull that up when the
5	time comes? Maybe tell me I know I can see one of the one
6	of the names will work when they get popped in.
7	Okay. So Ms. Dominiguez (sic)?
8	MS. DOMINGUEZ: Hi, yes. Ms. Dominguez.
9	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Oh, Dominguez. Ms. Dominguez, could
10	you introduce yourself for the record, please?
11	MS. DOMINGUEZ: Yes, absolutely. Thank you. My name is
12	Alicia Dominguez, and I'm a homeowner at 4101 New Hampshire
13	Avenue. So this is the corner lot of New Hampshire and Taylor.
14	My backyard is about 25 feet from where the applicant's condo
15	would be built.
16	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Are you the end one?
17	MS. DOMINGUEZ: Yes.
18	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, great. Okay. Well, you'll
19	have three minutes to give your testimony, and please, begin
20	whenever you like.
21	MS. DOMINGUEZ: Great. Well, I had hoped to join the
22	prior meeting on July 14th, but my youngest son was actually born
23	that day, so I was in the hospital. I would not want it to
24	reflect on, you know, why I my passion and concern about this
25	case, that I wasn't there at the prior meeting, so I would like to

be able to speak a little bit about this.

But so I'm glad I'm here today, and I want to thank you for the opportunity to speak with you and share my and my neighbors' concerns about how the proposed development would adversely affect the use and enjoyment of our properties.

This is unlike some of the other concerns you may hear about from concerned neighbors. This isn't about objecting to a popup or building out the back of a home. In fact, the applicant has already done both on this same property, and we didn't object.

Rather, in this situation, after already significantly developing the primary home into a larger, multi-unit property, the applicant's now seeking a special exception to subdivide the lot and build a second multiunit condo with eight bedrooms and a den on our residential street of single-family homes.

Not only would allowing subdivision provide a bad precedent for developers to carve up residential neighborhoods to maximize profits without consideration of the community impact and against the ANC's objection, but allowing the subdivision would be a wrong decision under the review standards and the zoning regulations.

The applicant is seeking an exception that's not entitled to, as a matter of right. He has the burden of proof in this case. And the regulations in Subtitle X, Section 901.2, require the applicant to prove two things.

First, they must show that allowing the proposed

subdivision and condo would be, quote, "in harmony with the general purpose and intent of the zoning regulations." And carving up a residential lot that is zoned in RF-1 for single-family home, in order to build two separate multiunit condos is not in harmony with the general purpose and intent of the RF-1 zone.

As the Office of Planning had noted, the purpose in the regulations is listed as providing areas predominantly developed with detached rowhouses on small lots. In the applicant's burden of proof statement, they inaccurately stated that the proposed development would be, quote, "similar or smaller in size than other structures facing Taylor Street Northwest." They also claim that the development would be compatible with surrounding homes.

However, if you look at the applicant's own photos, and you can see, at the bottom of Page 3 in their latest submission, they included a small panoramic photo of the full street, with the front of the development next to surrounding homes. And I understand the applicant submitted this image in response to requests by Ms. John in the prior July hearing.

It's not surprising that the applicant has highlighted photos that don't compare the development to the rest of the block, because when you look at that development in relation to other homes on the street, it demonstrates how the 3.5-story condo building would be by far the tallest and largest building on the street, and dwarf the surrounding two-story single-family homes.

The massive size and distinct architectural design of the proposed development is not in harmony with the RF-1 zone.

Second, the applicant has the burden of showing that the proposed subdivision and condo will not adversely affect -- or affect adversely the use of neighboring property. In a joint objection letter, signed by 35 neighboring property owners, myself and my neighbors describe how the special exception would adversely affect the use of our property. And we point to exactly the types of uses that this Board considers in the zoning regulations.

The negative impact that we would feel from the proposed condo include the criteria that this Board should consider, including the light and air available to neighboring properties, the privacy of use and enjoyment, and whether development may, quote, "visually intrude upon the character, scale, and pattern of houses." Allowing the applicant to subdivide the lot and build a 3.5-story, multiunit, eight-bedroom condo, would adversely impact all of these criteria, and are grounds for denying the applicant's request.

I would like to quickly highlight three ways that the condo would adversely impact the use of my property and other neighboring properties. First, how the condo would block the light available to neighboring properties. Second, the way the condo would visually intrude on the character, scale and pattern. And third, how the applicant would only provide one parking spot

for the multiunit, eight-bedroom condo, all of which would be rentals.

2.

With regards to the impact to the light on neighboring properties, my husband and I purchased our home in 2012 because of its unique position as a corner lot on a completely residential street. We relied on the existing lot lines and the open space behind our home.

When we were house hunting, we toured our home during the morning, and we fell in love with the sunshine that flooded the kitchen and primary bedroom. Each of those rooms have four large windows that face east. And this multiunit condo would be built directly east of our home, and block that sunlight. Instead of morning sun, we'd be staring at a 3.5-story wall where we previously had long sight lines down Taylor Street.

The applicant's provided reports that show where the shadows fall. And these reports demonstrate how the development would cast shadows on our property each morning, instead of the morning light that made us want to buy our house. And Mr. Cross admitted this, that the three-story building would have a greater shadow impact than the matter-of-right building.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Ms. Dominguez?

MS. DOMINGUEZ: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: I'm just letting you know, you're two minutes over right now. But just go ahead and take your -- you know, just kind of telling you where you are.

MS. DOMINGUEZ: Thank you. I'll go quickly. I want to highlight also that the shadow reports, although they impact shadow, they don't necessarily also demonstrate the impact it would have to the natural light that we get in our home. Shadows are one thing, but there's also just a block of when you have a 3.5-story wall directly behind your house. The light, as well as the shadows, is an impact.

If I could just -- just two quick points. One is that the matter of -- when we're talking about the matter-of-right and the height, as the Office of Planning had said, a matter of right structure here would be the accessory building, which could only go up to 25 feet, whereas what the applicant is trying to say, that they have a matter of right up to 35 -- but that's not true.

The matter of right, if you are -- the 35-feet height is for the principal unit. They already have a principal unit that has been popped up. This accessory unit, what they have as a matter of right could only go up to the 25 feet. So I think it's inaccurate and misleading to say that 35 feet is as a matter of right.

The last thing that I just wanted to say is about the other houses that they've talked about on Shepherd and Upshur Street. As Mr. Blake noted earlier, those two precedent properties are much smaller than the applicant's proposal. In both of those other cases, the lot occupancy is less than 60 percent, both of those are only two stories, and the floor area

1	ratio is 1.0 or 1.1. But the applicant's proposal is a 60 percent
2	lot occupancy, 3.5 stories, and a floor area ratio of 1.7.
3	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, Ms. Dominguez, you've got to
4	wrap it up.
5	MS. DOMINGUEZ: Okay. Well, thank you very much. I
6	just want to thank you all for your consideration. When we
7	purchased our home, we really do rely on this Board to honor the
8	zoning regulations, and protect the lot lines that were in place
9	when we purchased our home, and I can't underscore the negative
10	impact that would have, not only to our future or current use of
11	our property, but also future property values.
12	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay.
13	MS. DOMINGUEZ: Thank you.
14	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Thanks, Ms. Dominguez.
15	All right. Mr. Young, who's our next testifier? Oh,
16	hold on.
17	All right, Commissioner, wait a minute.
18	Ms. Dominguez, are you still there?
19	MS. DOMINGUEZ: Yes, I am.
20	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Commissioner, I've got another case
21	after this. All right. Commissioner, go ahead. You have some
22	questions for Ms. Dominguez?
23	MS. BOTSTEIN: No, no. Sorry, I was just saying, I
24	there's a meeting I've postponed. I just have to hop off for,
25	like, ten minutes, and I'll be right back.

1	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Oh. Oh, God, I hope you're not
2	coming back. Hold on, Ms I mean, Commissioner, hold on a
3	second.
4	Has anybody got any questions for the commissioner
5	before she hops off?
6	Chairman Hood?
7	CHAIRMAN HOOD: No, no, this is not for the
8	commissioner. But this is no. This can wait.
9	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right. Commissioner, I
10	don't know where we're going to be in ten minutes, so let's see
11	what happens. But we appreciate you being here. Okay? Okay.
12	Thanks.
13	Ms. Dominguez, can you hear me?
14	MS. DOMINGUEZ: Yes, sir.
15	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. I'm going to put you in the
16	background just for just stay there for a minute. Let's see if
17	we've got any more questions for you. Okay?
18	MS. DOMINGUEZ: Okay.
19	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay.
20	Ms. Marshall, can you hear me?
21	MS. MARSHALL: Yes, I can.
22	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, Ms. Marshall. You really only
23	have three minutes. But we'll see how I mean, I'll give you a
24	minute or so more, but, like, you're only supposed people from
25	the community are supposed to get five minutes.

1	You've got a presentation that I'm going to bring up.
2	It was easier for us to scroll through it. I did scroll through
3	it already.
4	MS. MARSHALL: Yes.
5	CHAIRPERSON HILL: And so it might be easier for you to
6	talk through it than to take us slide-by-slide in three minutes.
7	But you're welcome to do whatever you want. Do you want me to
8	pull it up and you can just say, "next slide"? Or how would you
9	like to
10	MS. MARSHALL: Yes.
11	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay.
12	MS. MARSHALL: I think that would be great. Thank you.
13	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay.
14	Mr. Young, can you pull it up?
15	And Ms. Marshall, could you introduce yourself for the
16	record, please, with your address?
17	MS. MARSHALL: My name is Melanie Marshall. I live at
18	4103 New Hampshire Avenue Northwest, Washington, D.C.
19	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Where are you in the
20	relationship to the property? You're next to Ms. Dominguez.
21	Right?
22	MS. MARSHALL: The both yes. I am Ms. Dominguez's
23	next-door neighbor.
24	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, great.
25	MS. MARSHALL: The north side of my home is 4105, which

1 is owned by the applicant, and also 4107, which is owned by the 2 applicant.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Got it. Okay. Great. Okay. Ms.

Marshall, you can -- you have three minutes, and you can begin
whenever you like.

MS. MARSHALL: Okay. I think we can scroll through the presentation. I just want to ask you to pause at a couple of pictures. Next?

This is the view I have of my backyard and west on Taylor Street. Where you see the white endcap on the farthest low garage is where he's going to build this building. And the building will be higher than the red brick building with the two white windows in the far distance.

So effectively, I don't think anybody could say that I would not be adversely impacted by the height and breadth of this building. The garage that is closest to me, the red brick wall, he's also planning to build a 10-foot deck onto. So I will effectively be boxed into my backyard with a great diminishing of light and air. Next.

This is the side view of the two properties. The two garages are owned by the applicant. The one closest to the silver car is 4105. The other one is 4107, the garage on top of which he plans to build. My house is the house with the two yellow awnings. So you can see how the height of that building will completely put me off. And then in addition, when he puts the 10-

foot patio on top of the first garage. Next.

This is his initial rendering of the building, and I just wanted to show it because you just saw where my car was parked, and my yard, and this is the initial shadow study. It's still going to be there. And it's going to be massive, because this rendering, he was saying, was going to be white siding.

He's doing red brick siding. We didn't ask him to do that. He volunteered to do that. Our main thing is the height of this building, the width and breadth and footprint of it on this tiny lot. Next.

This is where he's going to be putting the building.

And I can see my neighbors' house, 517. I won't be able to see that at all. Won't be able to see anything there. Next.

This is the back of the property, and in the middle is the white house -- is the windows with white siding. That's 4105. To the left of that is 4103, which is my home. That will be completely blocked off, because his building, the way he has it positioned, is going to be as high as the white-siding building on the far right, or maybe even a little bit higher. Next.

This is the side view off of the alley of the lot. And you can see, through the trees on the right, the Dominguez house. That's 4101. You can barely see my yellow awning to the right of that. And then straight ahead is the red brick garage. The SUV parked there -- I don't know how he thinks that there will be room for two cars, but he says that there'll be room for two cars.

1	Next.
2	This is the view that we have. These are the four
3	vintage Wardman-style homes. The architecture that we love, on
4	the street that we love. So locked down, his rendition of the
5	four-story three-and-a-half-story house, three-story with a
6	cellar, and all of that is blocked off. And all of our neighbors
7	enjoy being on their porches and having this wonderful light and -
8	-
9	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Ms. Marshall, just to let you know,
10	you're at four minutes now.
11	MS. MARSHALL: Okay. Thank you. Next.
12	So that's the front view, showing 517, and on the left
13	would be where he puts his house. Next.
14	And there it is. And because this is a panoramic view,
15	the elevations that Ms. Johns (sic) was talking about, they really
16	don't show here. Next.
17	Oh, I'm sorry. This slide is really messed up. The
18	slide that he had you can see the height here, where it really
19	is a full floor taller than our homes. Next.
20	I'm not going to read this. I think you all have had a
21	chance to read it. But we do object to this
22	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Sorry, Ms. Marshall. I'm just
23	trying to get so I got your slide deck here. So you've got

MS. MARSHALL: Yes. There should be two more after

this, and then this last one. Right?

25

that. Did they not load? 1 2 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Okay. All right. Let me see 3 if they got -- if the Board has any questions for you. 4 MS. MARSHALL: Thank you. 5 CHAIRPERSON HILL: You're welcome. 6 Does the Board have any question for either witnesses? 7 And if so, please raise your hand. Commissioner Hood, did you raise your hand? 8 9 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Mr. Chairman, yes. I don't necessarily 10 have a question, but I think Ms. Dominguez brought up something --11 I thought I heard, and then when I was reviewing from Mr. Commissioner Turnbull or someone -- and I didn't see it in the 12 I was seeing if somebody could direct me. 13 14 But I'd like to see a perspective, to scale. And I don't know if the photos are cut off and chopped so you can't see 15 16 I can't get a full view. I'd like to see a perspective of 17 the surrounding area with what's proposed, as much to scale as 18 possible, so I can get a perspective how -- some viewpoints. 19 But I will say this to both of our witnesses. The 20 Supreme Court has said that you -- we don't buy views. so I've 21 heard a lot about the views, but unfortunately, the Supreme Court 22 rules, saying we don't buy views. 23 But I do want to see a perspective of that, Mr. Chairman. I don't necessarily have a question, but I would like 24 25 to have that, if --

1	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay.
2	CHAIRMAN HOOD: If you can direct me to it, somebody
3	direct me to it, that would help, as well.
4	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah. Okay, great. All right.
5	Anyone else have any questions?
6	Okay. All right. Ms. Dominguez and Ms. Marshall, thank
7	you all for your time, and we'll see what happens.
8	MS. DOMINGUEZ: Thank you very much.
9	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Bye-bye.
10	MS. MARSHALL: Thank you.
11	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Bye-bye.
12	Okay. All right. I'm trying to see where okay. I
13	don't know what anybody wants, anything, or what we're going to do
14	now.
15	So Mr. Cross, I guess I mean, my and this is where
16	I apologize if it's in the record, but clearly and I'm going to
17	kind of go back and figure this out myself, or maybe you can point
18	it to me. I'm trying to get a grip on this matter of right,
19	versus what you're trying to do. Right? And there's just so many
20	different things.
21	And they're like, I don't I don't have, like do
22	you have, like, a massing or, like Chairman Hood says what did
23	you say, Chairman Hood? A perspective? I forget what you say all
24	the time.
25	A perspective of, like, what is the matter of right that

1	you're saying that you can do without being before us? And the
2	reason why I'm getting confused, also, is that, you know, the 22-
3	feet two-stories, versus the subdividing the lot. Right? If you
4	don't subdivide the lot, then you can't go to three stories.
5	Right? And you can't go to three stories unless we give you the
6	1,500 I'm sorry, unless we give you the IZ. Right?
7	Or are you telling me you can do something, subdivide
8	the lot and actually do it without the IZ? And that's where I'm -
9	- is there anything in the record that you can point me to, Mr.
10	Cross?
11	MR. CROSS: I don't think there is, because as OP
12	pointed out, the relief we're seeking is exclusively for a 1,500-
13	square-foot lot. I should say, it is for a lot less than 1,800
14	square feet.
15	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Right.
16	MR. CROSS: We're not seeking relief for the IZ.
17	CHAIRPERSON HILL: No, no, no, but you're getting that -
18	- you're getting that difference because of the IZ.
19	MR. CROSS: Correct.
20	CHAIRPERSON HILL: So what I'm saying is, you can't do
21	it as a matter of right. You need the IZ bonus. Right? Okay?
22	Or whatever you want to call it. The 1,500 versus the 1,800.
23	MR. CROSS: That's right. We're providing the IZ to
24	qualify.
25	CHAIRPERSON HILL: I'll just kind of tell you where I am

right now. I know that the Board, by the way, is kind of struggling with this, Mr. Cross. So I don't want to kick it around a lot, because you had Mr. Turnbull before, and now you've got Chairman Hood, and now you've got -- he's something else.

I'm a little bit off with the height. Okay? Right? Like, I'm having a little bit of struggle with the shadowing. I mean, I know that I think that, you know, that building probably could go to the three stories, and it wouldn't look as weird if everybody else had already popped up. Right? But everybody else hasn't already popped up around it.

So it kind of looks, to me, a little bit too -- I mean, I'm just kind of being quickly honest about it. Right? And so, if there was something that you can show me, which is your matter of right, and if you were able to show me that by matter of right, you can go up to three stories, and ease the shadowing, then I would have a better time accepting the project. Right?

The fact that you can't go up to three stories unless you get the IZ density -- right? Then I'm a little confused. I mean, that's the problem that I'm having with it. You know? So your matter of right is that you're getting the IZ. Right? And you're giving -- and you're giving the IZ, and therefore you're going to get the 1,500 if we approve the project.

I'm kind of talking through a lot stuff, Mr. Cross, too.

This is the end of the day, and I've still got a woozy (sic)

after this. Right? So I don't know if y'all want to say what

y'all want to see. Mr. Hood seems to -- Chairman Hood seems to
think he wants to see a rendering of some kind -- what is it
called? A perspective. Perspective.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: I don't seem to think. I want to see

it.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: A perspective of what, Chairman Hood?

CHAIRMAN HOOD: I want to see a perspective, again, of what's being proposed in relationship with what's already there now. The community. What's already there now. I want to see it, and I think Mr. Cross knows exactly what I'm talking about. See it drop whatever -- whatever you're going to drop in, I want to see that.

Now, I think you took it to another level, Mr. Chairman. You said you want to see a matter of right versus what's proposed in this -- that's the way I took it. But I just want to see that. How it's in relationship with the surrounding neighborhood.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah, and I'm not necessarily a no on this, by the way, I've got to say. Like, you know, if the -- you know, the fact that -- the IZ's there because the Zoning Commission, you know, allowed -- not allowed this. We're encouraging IZ. Right? So you want IZ.

And we're kind of deliberating-ish, as we talk this through, because this is a weird Zoom world. Right? So, you know -- anyway, so my point is, I don't necessarily know if I'm out. I, you know, I'm just trying to figure this out a little bit.

1	And Chairman Hood has asked for a perspective of
2	dropping I mean, Mr. Cross tried to kind of do it. Like, he
3	tried to show us with those pictures what it kind of looked like.
4	But you, Mr. Chairman Hood, are looking for a
5	perspective, which shows it in even more greater detail, to
6	understand. Correct?
7	CHAIRMAN HOOD: I need to I need to see the full
8	complement of the area around it, because those pictures that I
9	see, unless somebody can direct me to one, kind of cuts it off.
10	And it doesn't give us a full scope of what's there. And I'd like
11	to see that. And I think that's
12	MR. CROSS: Can we pause to have Mr. Young pull up Page
13	3 of the set once again, just so I know what is missing? Because
14	that was one of the comments from the previous hearing, and we
15	thought that we were providing that
16	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Is that in 55?
17	MR. CROSS: in the materials on Page 3. Exactly.
18	Exhibit 55, Page 3.
19	So here we've got two renderings. One on the top is a
20	perspective, showing our building in context of the adjacent
21	immediately adjacent buildings. And across the bottom is a string
22	of photos taken head-on of the elevation of the entire block, from
23	cross-street to cross-street, that shows our building in elevation
24	in the same context.
25	And while we've been on the phone, Ms. John had brought

up her concern that the perspective may not be accurately showing the height of the building. We have verified that the perspectives in this do actually appear to be correct. It's just the nature of this photo that it's farther away, and therefore it is shorter in the photograph.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Mr. Cross, I know, by trade, because I do this for a living but I know, when you do something -- like, what you're presenting here, it doesn't really show me a true representation. It's almost -- and I know you're not -- it's almost like you're trying to hide the full effect. I want to see the full effect. That's where I am.

Don't give me none -- I'm old. I'm 57 years old. I can't see that kind of size. Give me the full effect, and I want to see that bottom picture with the surrounding area. I can't -- don't give me this stuff where I can't see it, and I've got to -- I want to see exactly what Ms. Dominguez -- I want to see it.

MR. CROSS: Can you give me a location on that street where you would prefer to have us locate our camera for the next rendering?

CHAIRMAN HOOD: I would like to see one to the east.

I'd like to see one -- the one that you have here, that's smaller.

Maybe it's my computer. Or I don't know. But the one you have at the bottom of the rendering that's up now, I want to see that larger, so I can really see it. I can't see that.

MR. CROSS: Yeah, the issue is, it's the entire block.

So we cannot give you that amount of information in one view --2 CHAIRMAN HOOD: No, I didn't say one view. MR. CROSS: -- at any other scale. 3 CHAIRMAN HOOD: You can give me three or four views. 4 5 But I want to see a larger representation of the surrounding area, 6 so we can get a true representation of how what you want to do fits. And I think Ms. Dominguez is the one who triggered me, but 7 8 I know I heard previously about the perspectives. And I think you 9 -- I think you can do that, and I think you understand exactly 10 what I mean. 11 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Mr. Cross, just try to give 12 more -- just do a little bit more of the perspective. I mean, the 13 thing that I got confused, from your rendering, is, like, the 14 first one, that's above the long thing, it looks like that thing's 15 on a corner, and it's not. Right? Like, you're not on a corner. 16 You're just trying to show us, I guess, the side of the building? 17 MR. CROSS: We are on a corner. We're on the corner of 18 the alley. And that's why you're seeing those two. It's rendered 19 in space. It should be very true to how it would show up if you 20 took that picture of its physical, built form, if it existed 21 today. 22 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Got it. Okay. Sorry, I got a little 23 -- I misunderstood that. 24 CHAIRMAN HOOD: And let me just say -- do you believe, 25 Mr. Cross, do you believe you've already satisfied -- I can tell

- you that'll get me there, but if you believe you've satisfied that 2. -- if I don't see nothing, I'll understand you think you've satisfied the requirement. But if not, you know, I will deal with 3 it accordingly. So I'll leave it up to you. 4 5 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right. I'm sorry, Mr. 6 Cross. 7 I mean, I guess before -- and I apologize, also, Vice 8 Chair John. 9 I didn't think I was that confused. I mean, I'm not 10 that confused. Like, I'm looking at your slide deck, and Taylor
  - I didn't think I was that confused. I mean, I'm not that confused. Like, I'm looking at your slide deck, and Taylor Street is a straight street. So the front of your -- and I'm just -- I don't mean to get -- kind of go back and forth on this.
  - What I'm saying is, you're -- and you did a good job,
    Mr. Cross. I mean, like, I see what you're trying to build.
    Like, I mean, I'm not saying -- good. But like, your third slide.
    Right? It looks as if that rendering is on a corner. That's
    what I'm trying -- the way I'm looking at that building is as if
    it's on a corner. And it's not. It's actually on a straight
    street. Correct?
- MR. CROSS: It is. But if you go to Page 6, labeled BZA

  21 05 --
- 22 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- 23 MR. CROSS: -- you'll see that it sits on the corner of 24 an alley.
- 25 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Of the alley.

1	MR. CROSS: So that's why you're seeing the front and
2	side, because you're looking, you know, through the gap of the
3	alleyway.
4	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. But really, that rendering,
5	then, that you're showing us below I'm still back on Slide 3
6	MR. CROSS: Yes. 3, yeah.
7	CHAIRPERSON HILL: The rendering that you're showing
8	below, that's really how it looks, if I look straight on to it.
9	Correct?
10	MR. CROSS: That's correct. If you're looking straight
11	on, that should be how it looks.
12	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. You understand where I'm a
13	little confused. Right?
14	MR. CROSS: I can understand how it's confusing. But I
15	
16	CHAIRPERSON HILL: That's okay. I just want to
17	understand. I understand what I it's the rendering below,
18	that says "Rendering Taylor Streetscape", that's the one that's
19	straight on. Right?
20	MR. CROSS: That's correct. And
21	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah, okay. So I don't know
22	sorry.
23	MR. CROSS: Yeah, sorry. And so that, just to kind of
24	understand how the that is taken with a series of straight-on
25	images that have been stitched together. There's no way to

capture that view from a single point of view in a camera. Right?

CHAIRPERSON HILL: No, I think what -- I think what you've got is fine. And whether or not you give something more to Chairman Hood -- that straight-on shot, maybe you make it bigger, so that it's not just, you know, a sliver down at the bottom.

Right?

CHAIRMAN HOOD: This is not my first time asking for this, Mr. Chairman, of Mr. Cross. I'm just -- what I'm asking for can be done.

I'm not asking you to give me a full panoramic view. You can break it up in three different -- I know how to put it together. This is not the first time this has been asked for. So it's not like this can't be done. No one is saying it can't be done. I know that.

MR. CROSS: I understand. I apologize, sir. I think that what we're struggling with here, as opposed to those previous times, is the Zoom environment. If we were in person, I'd be happy to zoom in, and we could move this thing around.

Unfortunately, if I break it into three sections or more, you won't see the context that you're looking for, because any section that doesn't have our building in it would not show you the context of our building. You would just have a picture of the block today. So I think it's just -- it's the technology we're working with. If we could be there in the room, big screen, zoom in, I think you'd have the information that you need to --

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Mr. Cross? Mr. Cross? I hear what 1 you're saying. We can say Zoom, Webex. We could -- I understand 2 what kind of environment you're in. Trust me, whatever you give 3 4 me, if you can try to get me to that point, to help me see it a 5 little clearer, I'm sure, I'm sure that I can figure the rest out. 6 And Mr. Chairman, I'm going to stop, because I see Board 7 Member Blake has been raising his hand for a while. 8 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Mr. Blake? 9 10 BOARD MEMBER BLAKE: Yes. In the context of that, it 11 would be very helpful if you could provide the dimensions of some 12 of the lots on that square, and also the building heights, at 13 least a rough estimate of that, if you could do some type of 14 linear measurement. Those numbers would be helpful in getting assessment of that, as well. 15 16 MR. CROSS: Can do. 17 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. I don't know. 18 All right. Ms. John? 19 VICE CHAIR JOHN: Mr. Chairman, what I think that 20 Chairman Hood is asking for is actually computer modelling of the 21 street, that shows the dimensions done to scale. I believe that's 22 what, you know, he's looking at, not photographs. The problem 23 with photographs is that the angle can skew something, and the distance. I'm not a photographer, but it's just my sense of it. 24

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
Court Reporting and Litigation Support
Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia
410-766-HUNT (4868)
1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

So in, I believe it's Slide 3, the building looked

25

shorter than the two-story building next to it. And that can't be possible, because it is high. It is -- I mean, it's at 35 feet above grade. So I don't see how that building could look shorter or the same height as the two-story building to the right of it. So that was my sense of it.

And I don't know the correct architectural term for it, Mr. Cross. But there is such a thing, which we have received in other cases that have a lot of neighborhood opposition. And it helps us to get a good idea of how the buildings relate to each other, in terms of their massing. For me, photographs don't really do it, because they can be skewed, as, you know, we see here.

So those are just my two thoughts, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. I'll sum up in a second, Mr. Cross, at the end.

Mr. Smith?

BOARD MEMBER SMITH: Yeah, to elaborate on what Mr. Blake had stated, I don't if he said the block, but could we get the heights of the houses along New Hampshire, and along Taylor, in order for us to be able to make a better estimate of the heights of the houses there?

Also, I know I'm beating a dead horse -- I wear contacts, but I'm not blind. I can tell that this picture is a panoramic. And at the parking sign, the road -- it looks like the road bends. And if I look at a plat of the property in question,

the proposal that you had proposed is in line -- should be in line with the rowhomes. So to the east. And in this image, it does look like the -- your proposed development is turned, with it's actually not.

2.

So that's what everyone is making an inference about, because turning it in this way tricks the eye to make it look like this building is the same height as the rowhomes, when we know it's not, based on the height of the development. So if you can provide a different way of visually representing that, that I think gets -- we get a more truthful aspect of the scale of this building, that would be helpful.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Chairman Hood?

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Mr. Chairman, you look like your head could fall on me. I hope I never -- but Mr. Cross, let me help you.

Form-based code. Vice Chair John helped me understand exactly probably what I was looking for. Form-based code, I know you're familiar with that term. You could probably do that in this particular case.

You don't have to use a photograph, as the Vice Chair said, but form-based code, it's a development practice that's done all the time, and I think that would help us get -- at least, it would help me get to where I need to be, and also, I think, Vice Chair John.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Cross, I'm sorry. I know, I'm --

I know how much we're putting you in here, and I know how much we're -- the owner's going to be like, you know, I want some animation thing. You know? Can turn it around 360. You know.

So I'm actually where -- Mr. Cross, you can give whatever you want to give, and I think I'm just going to do a little bit more research myself on the case, and kind of see where I get. Like, it might be okay. I mean, I know people are listening and everything, and, like, you know, again, views are not -- you don't get a view. Right? So that's not something that we're, like, worried about.

And I do apologize to the people that are listening, but unfortunately, that's not within in the regulations. You do get shadowing. Right? You do get light and air. Right? But you don't get a view. And so if, due to the regulations, you know, light and air and everything, you know, is okay with us, then the property is what it is.

But I guess, if you can give the heights of the other homes on Taylor Street and on New Hampshire, right? That might help. And if you can give something more that's, I guess, clearer to look at, for the Board, from, like, the different sides of the building in relationship to the street, that might be helpful. Right?

And then, I guess, we can just have probably a deliberation. Right? We don't need a continued hearing.

1	Correct? If anybody has an issue with that, raise your hand.
2	Okay. Yeah. Go ahead, Mr. Cross.
3	MR. CROSS: I might if we're not going to have a
4	continued hearing, I might have a question for Office of Planning,
5	just to make sure we clarify one or two items.
6	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah.
7	Ms. Vitale?
8	MS. VITALE: Yes?
9	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Go on, Mr. Cross.
10	MR. CROSS: I guess there's been a lot of discussion
11	about light and air today. Under Chapter 9 of Subtitle X, is your
12	understanding that light and air, specifically, are part of the
13	criteria for this special exception?
14	MS. VITALE: No, light and air are not referenced in the
15	review criteria. I can go back to my report. Just one second.
16	The review is against the general special exception criteria.
17	Sorry. I don't have my
18	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Cross? Mr. Cross?
19	Ms. VITALE: Yeah?
20	CHAIRPERSON HILL: It's cool. You can ask a couple more
21	questions. I've been around also. Not as long as Chairman Hood,
22	that's for damn sure. Right? But the general standards, they're
23	pretty broad. Okay? So, you know, I can find something else, if
24	you like. Okay? So but go ahead, Mr. Cross. What's your
25	other question to the Office of Planning?

MR. CROSS: The structures along Taylor Street and New 1 2 Hampshire Avenue are currently two stories. But could they add a 3 third story as matter of right at any time? 4 MS. VITALE: They could. CHAIRPERSON HILL: That's a good question, Mr. Cross. 5 6 So this is the part that I get confused on, Mr. Rice. 7 And this is for later. And this was brought up in discussions, 8 though, that, you know, we are supposed to look at it as to what is there now, not versus what could be. However, it could be 9 10 there later. Right? And this is the part that maybe -- the Office of 11 12 Planning is now shaking her head. Like, the regulations could change to where there's not a third story. Correct? I don't know 13 14 who I'm asking that. Ms. Vitale? Do you look at what could 15 possibly be there in the future when you're doing your analysis? 16 MS. VITALE: We look at the application and the relief 17 request that's before us against the zoning regulations that are 18 in effect at the time that we're reviewing the application. 19 Certainly, the zoning regulations have changed. 20 Chairman Hood can speak to this. We have modified development 21 standards over the years. But when we review a BZA application, 22 we're reviewing the application before us against the zoning 23 regulations that are in effect at that time.

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
Court Reporting and Litigation Support
Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia
410-766-HUNT (4868)
1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

again with the Office of Planning right to my right, there. Okay.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Oh, so -- I so miss being on the dais

24

25

1	Because the answer's sometimes "whatever". Okay.
2	Ms. John?
3	VICE CHAIR JOHN: So Mr. Chairman, now I'm a little
4	confused.
5	So Ms. Vitale, so is the new lot does it comply with
6	the standards for a record lot?
7	MS. VITALE: The applicant is proposing to subdivide the
8	existing property, and the lot that would be created would not
9	meet the minimum lot area required for a new lot in the RF-1 zone.
10	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Okay, so
11	MS. VITALE: It would meet the minimum lot area for a
12	voluntary inclusion area development.
13	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Okay. So it is not a matter of right,
14	because it doesn't I mean, that's how I understand it. So
15	maybe I'm confused. The applicant has to come to the Board for
16	permission to create a lot that's not a record lot, and the only
17	way to get around it is to opt into IZ. Otherwise, the applicant
18	would be limited to constructing an accessory structure, which
19	would have a limit of 22 feet high. Did I get that wrong? I
20	could have.
21	MS. VITALE: The applicant is availing themselves of the
22	voluntary inclusion area development standard, which permits a
23	1,500-square-foot lot, subject to special exception.
24	VICE CHAIR JOHN: So it's still subject to a special
25	exception?

1 MS. VITALE: Correct. 2 VICE CHAIR JOHN: If it's not a matter of right. And so 3 in looking at the standards for granting special exception relief, the Board, I believe, has to still look at 901. I don't believe 4 5 901 went away. I think the Board still has to look at any adverse 6 impacts on the neighbors. 7 That's correct. And 901 is the criteria MS. VITALE: 8 that the Office of Planning has included in its report. The first 9 item in 901 is, will it be in harmony with the general purpose and 10 intent of the zoning regulations and zoning map? And B is, will 11 not tend to affect adversely the use of neighboring property, in 12 accordance with the zoning regulations and zoning map. 13 So those are the review criteria. There aren't other 14 special criteria associated with this special exception relief. It is just A and B, in 901.2. 15 16 VICE CHAIR JOHN: Thank you, Ms. Vitale. It's late, but 17 I was confused by what Mr. Cross was saying, and I'm thinking, oh, 18 have I been looking at this the wrong way all afternoon? 19 we're all tired. But thank you so much for your patience, and I'm 20 clear now. Thanks. 21 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right. I'm going to let 22 everybody kind of think for a second and see if they want anything

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
Court Reporting and Litigation Support
Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia
410-766-HUNT (4868)
1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

Mr. Rice, can you hear me?

MR. RICE: Yes, sir.

23

24

25

else from Mr. Cross.

1	CHAIRPERSON HILL: I'm going to call you and ask for a
2	little bit more clarification again about the whole matter of
3	right thing versus what they're going to be able to do, due to the
4	IZ unit. Right? And so just trying to and maybe each
5	individual person can kind of talk to you separately.
6	MR. RICE: Sounds good.
7	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Let's see.
8	Okay. Anybody else want anything from Mr. Cross?
9	All right, Mr. Cross. Sorry if you got beat up a little
10	bit today. You need anything? You've got any questions?
11	MR. CROSS: No, I appreciate everybody's time.
12	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right.
13	Then Ms yeah? Who said something?
14	BOARD MEMBER SMITH: When would this, in theory, come
15	back?
16	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Who just said that? Oh, yeah. Okay.
17	I don't know. We've got to see when we get something
18	from Mr. Cross. So let's just say Mr. Cross could get us
19	something.
20	How long is it going to take you, Mr. Cross?
21	MR. CROSS: I think we can get you something by the end
22	of next week.
23	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. So that's so then we could
24	come back here the 6th of October, just to get it done. It's been
25	going on for a while. Is that why Mr. Smith, did you ask for a

1	reason? Or you were just curious?
2	BOARD MEMBER SMITH: I was just curious.
3	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. So Mr. Cross, if you can get
4	something back to us by the 30th I'm sorry. Yeah.
5	And we might leave the record open for anything that the
6	ANC might want to respond to, concerning the Mr. Young, is the
7	commissioner back with us, by any chance?
8	(No response.)
9	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Oh. Commissioner, are you there?
10	MS. BOTSTEIN: Yes, hi.
11	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Well, welcome back.
12	MS. BOTSTEIN: Thanks.
13	CHAIRPERSON HILL: So were you listening for a while, by
14	any chance?
15	MS. BOTSTEIN: Yeah, since about 5:10, 5:00, yeah.
16	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, great. I don't think you
17	missed a lot. But you can go back and watch if you want. I
18	wanted to bring you in, just real quick, because I read your
19	letter, and it was a lengthy letter, and I know it takes a long
20	time to write these. Okay? Like, you actually had to sit there
21	and write there.
22	And you said in there, again, like, you know, give great
23	weight, and the I just wanted to because, since you're here,
24	and I don't think I've seen you before a lot, like you know, I
25	wish I could make everybody happy, as do you, I know. Right? But

we unfortunately don't listen to you guys, either. Right? We have to figure it all out. Right? Just because you guys say yes or no doesn't mean we get to do that.

2.

I just wanted to, for the record -- but I wanted to acknowledge that this took time. Right? Okay? So it got written and got read. Just wanted to put that on the record. Okay. All right.

Okay, Mr. Cross, are you good? So you'll make -- you'll send something to us by -- Mr. Moy -- the 30th? Is that okay?

MR. CROSS: Yes, sir. We can prepare our materials and get them to you by the end of the day on the 30th.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Got it. If the ANC has anything they want to give us in response to that filing, we can get it by the 5th, Commissioner. Okay? And then we'll come back here for a decision on the 6th. Oh. Yeah, that's right.

Okay? Mr. Moy, is that good? Okay.

MR. LEE: This is Matt Lee with R. Michael Cross. I shot Gene Bulmash at DHCD a quick email regarding selling or reselling IZ properties. He's a very quick responder, and he did respond.

Because the IZ is wrapped up in a covenant, any resale - it would be maintained, it would have to be maintained, by the
new owner. So the IZ rental never goes away unless, somehow, you
can eliminate the covenant, which, based on his repose, I don't
know if you can without -- it doesn't say in what way.

1	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. I appreciate that, Mr. Lee.
2	Thanks.
3	So Mr. Rice, that's another thing we can chat about
4	before we come back for a decision. Okay?
5	MR. RICE: Okay.
6	CHAIRPERSON HILL: All right. So we're a decision on
7	10/6. Okay. Okay. All right, everybody. You all, I hope you
8	get to go home, or leave your little room. Okay. Bye-bye. The
9	hearing is closed, except for the materials requested by the
10	Board.
11	MR. MOY: Chairman?
12	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Chairman Hood, you were about to say
13	something?
14	CHAIRMAN HOOD: I was just waving bye to everybody,
15	that's all.
16	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Oh, got you. We're going to take a
17	quick break.
18	Yes, Mr. Moy?
19	MR. MOY: Just, before a quick break, just for the
20	record so this is set for a decision-making on October 6th.
21	Responses, including the Office of Planning, due by October 5th,
22	and the applicant's going to make their filing by September 30th.
23	Okay?
24	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yes.
25	MR. MOY: Okay. Thank you.

Τ	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Y'all, let's try to come back
2	real quick, so, like, five, ten minutes. And then, I mean, I
3	don't necessarily know what's going to happen in this next one,
4	even if the people are listening. So but come back, five, ten
5	minutes. Okay?
6	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Mr. Chairman, is it five minutes, or is
7	it ten minutes?
8	CHAIRPERSON HILL: I'd go with in between five and ten
9	minutes. I'm a little it's a little it's a little late in
10	the day. I can't specify. Okay?
11	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Five to ten. Okay.
12	CHAIRPERSON HILL: I don't know how long it takes for
13	everybody. All right? Okay.
14	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the
15	record and then resumed at 5:35 p.m.)
16	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Moy, you want to call our last
17	one?
18	MR. MOY: Yes, sir. Let's see. We're at 5:35. The
19	Board is back in its public hearing session, and the time is at or
20	about 5:35.
21	The next and last application before the Board is
22	Application Number 20538, of TG that's Tango Golf
23	Management, LLC. This is caption advertised for special exception
24	from the matter of right uses, Subtitle U, Section 202, pursuant
25	to Subtitle U, Section 203.1(E); and Subtitle X, Section 901.2.

1	This would establish a community-based institutional facility in a
2	new three-story with cellar, detached, residential building in the
3	R-2 zone. Property is located at 1614 Olive Street Northeast,
4	Square 5167, Lot 9.
5	And as the Board's aware, there is a number of requests
6	for party status that's in the record. And let me think. I
7	believe that's it. The affidavits have been filed in the record.
8	The last one, which is the maintenance affidavit of
9	maintenance, is under Exhibit 96. So I think everything's ready
10	to go. Thank you, sir.
11	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Thank you. All right.
12	Mr. Thornton, can you hear me?
13	MR. THORNTON: Yes, sir.
14	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Could you introduce yourself for the
15	record, please?
16	MR. THORNTON: Charles Thornton. And I'm the authorized
17	agent for TG Management.
18	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, great.
19	Commissioner Douglas, are you there? Maybe Commissioner
20	Douglas is going to be there?
21	MS. DOUGLAS: Commissioner Douglas. Can (audic
22	interference)? Commissioner Douglas. Can you hear me?
23	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah, I can. Can you introduce
24	yourself for the record?
25	MS. DOUGLAS: Can you hear me?

1	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yes. Can you introduce yourself for
2	the record?
3	MS. DOUGLAS: Oh. This is Commissioner Douglas, serving
4	D 03, ANC 7D 03.
5	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Thanks, Commissioner.
6	MS. DOUGLAS: Thank you.
7	CHAIRPERSON HILL: All right. Let's see. And then I
8	have the party-status people, I guess. Let me see if I get them
9	all right. I've got give me a minute. Sorry.
10	Is Mr. Blue there? Is Blue one of them? Lawrence and
11	Marlo Blue?
12	(No response.)
13	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Mr. Young, is there a
14	MS. BLUE: We're here. Thank you. Both Marlo and
15	Lawrence Blue.
16	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Got you. Could you introduce
17	yourselves for the record, please?
18	MS. BLUE: Marlo Blue, 1609 Olive Street Northeast.
19	MR. BLUE: Lawrence Blue, 1609 Olive Street Northeast.
20	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Thank you.
21	Jennifer and Eric Stacklow (sic)? Okay. I see them.
22	MS. STECKLOW: Hi. This is Jennifer Stecklow at 1612
23	Olive Street Northeast.
24	MR. STECKLOW: And this is Eric Stecklow at 1612 Olive
25	Street Northeast.

2 And it's Talitha Beverly? 3 (No response.) 4 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Ms. Beverly, can you hear m	
4 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Ms Reverly can you hear m	
l control field in the beveriet, can you near in	ne?
5 MS. BEVERLY: Yes, sir. I just was able to ge	et in.
6 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Great. Can you i	ntroduce
7 yourself for the record, please?	
8 MS. BEVERLY: Yes. My name is Talitha Beve	erly. I
9 reside at 1614 Eastern Avenue Northeast.	
10 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay.	
11 Is there somebody here from ANC 7C? And if s	o, could
12 you speak up?	
MR. HOLMES: Yes. This is Commissioner Holmes,	chair of
14 ANC 7C.	
15 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Commissioner Holmes. I	love it.
Well, welcome, Commissioner.	
MR. HOLMES: All right.	
18 CHAIRPERSON HILL: All right.	
And then, let's see, who's here represent	ing the
20 Deanwood Citizens' Association?	
21 (No response.)	
<b>n</b>	from the
22 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Is there somebody here if	rrom che
22 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Is there somebody here in Deanwood Citizens' Association?	rrom the

1	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Commissioner, who? What was that
2	name again?
3	MS. SANDERS: Hello. This is Jimell Sanders, president
4	of the Deanwood Citizens' Association.
5	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay.
6	And then someone from the Northeast Boundary Civics
7	Association?
8	MS. DOUGLAS: Yes, sir. Michele Tingling-Clemmons, I
9	think that you have, but she sent a letter in support.
10	CHAIRPERSON HILL: In support or in support of the
11	MS. DOUGLAS: Yes.
12	CHAIRPERSON HILL: party status?
13	MS. DOUGLAS: Yes.
14	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Great. Okay. But she's not
15	here.
16	MS. DOUGLAS: No, she's not here.
17	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right. Okay.
18	MS. DOUGLAS: As well as I don't think Pastor Dr
19	Pastor Bennett, as well, he's not here either. But he sent a
20	letter of support. And I think that Mr. Charles Thornton will
21	speak on that.
22	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Give me a second.
23	Ms. Hornton (sic)? Who are you, Ms. Horton? Can you
24	speak?
25	MS. HORTON: Yes. Alicia Horton. I'm an executive

Τ	director of Inrive D.C., and we're one of the parties that will be
2	implementing programming at that site.
3	CHAIRPERSON HILL: That's right. So you're with Mr.
4	Thornton.
5	MS. HORTON: Right.
6	MR. THORNTON: Also, Chairman Hill, we have Ashley
7	McSwain, who's also presenting, you know, on behalf of the
8	programs, too.
9	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Got it. Okay. So there's Mr.
10	Thornton, there's a bunch of stuff going on here today, and I
11	don't know where we're going to get with this today, so I'm sorry
12	that you've had to wait this long to see where we get. But
13	there's a lot of people that have expressed interest in party
14	status.
15	And then also, I think Mr. Ritting, are you there?
16	MR. RITTING: Yes.
17	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Could you introduce yourself for the
18	record?
19	MR. RITTING: Hi, my name's Jacob Ritting. I'm the
20	attorney for the Board of Zoning Adjustment.
21	CHAIRPERSON HILL: So Mr. Ritting, there's also a request
22	for postponement so that the ANC can take a further look at this.
23	Is that correct?
24	MR. RITTING: Yes. That was requested by Ms. Stecklow -
25	_

CHAIRPERSON HILL: By Ms. Stecklow?
MR. RITTING: who is one of the
CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah.
MR. RITTING: applicants for party status.
CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah. Okay. Ms. Stecklow.
MS. DOUGLAS: Mr. Chairman, here, I have something I
want to say. I know I wanted to get in the map of ANC 7D, and
also the home the charter for the ANC. So I wouldn't even get
that in time. It's just two little letters. The map of my
single-member district, which I'm representing. So I'm asking,
can I ask to submit those two documents?
CHAIRPERSON HILL: Got it. Okay.
MS. DOUGLAS: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON HILL: All right, Commissioner. You said
it's a map and what else?
MS. DOUGLAS: Yes, the map for my single-member
district, because 7D 7C is a status in this, and doesn't have
standard, because it's not in 7, because they've the house
the home the women's home housing for sale, is in 7C, and not
in 7D. So I wanted to show that they didn't have any standards in
this process.
CHAIRPERSON HILL: I got it. But it's just the one
exhibit, the map, Commissioner?
MS. DOUGLAS: Right. Yeah, that and also the polling
act showing stating it.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right. 1 2 MS. DOUGLAS: Thank you. 3 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Unless the Board has any issues, we'll go ahead and allow that into the record. And I still think 4 we might not be doing this today. So we've got a minute here to 5 6 talk. 7 Right. Right. MS. DOUGLAS: 8 CHAIRPERSON HILL: The first issues -- and if everybody could just mute themselves, unless we call upon you? 9 10 looking at my fellow Board members. I'm trying to find them all. 11 Have I got them all? Yeah. Okay. 12 So first, let's deal with the party status. And then 13 let's see what happens. Right? I saw everything in the record, 14 in terms of how everyone believes that they are uniquely qualified 15 to be given party status. I'm going to -- I'm going to give my 16 thoughts. 17 And by the way, I don't need to hear from anybody. I'm 18 talking to my Board members right now, and see if they need to 19 hear from anybody, like -- Lawrence and Marlo Blue, they are 20 directly next door, as is Jennifer and Eric Stackhouse (sic). I 21 think they're uniquely affected. I'd be kind of interested in hearing how Talitha Beverly 22 23 -- what her interest is in the property. Commissioner Holmes and 24 ANC 7C, I believe that they are -- we can hear about them

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
Court Reporting and Litigation Support
Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia
410-766-HUNT (4868)
1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

individually, but I believe they are an affected ANC, and I would

25

1	think that they could be given automatic party status. Right?
2	You know, because they're a block away from the property. The
3	Deanwood
4	MS. DOUGLAS: A block away Mr. Chairman, I want to be
5	all due respect, they're quite a bit, a ways from 7C. Quite a
6	bit, I'm sorry to say. They're not a block away. They're not a
7	block away. More than a block away.
8	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, thanks, Commissioner. Thanks.
9	MS. DOUGLAS: I just wanted to that's why thank
10	you.
11	CHAIRPERSON HILL: No. Thanks, Commissioner. I'll hear
12	from Commissioner Holmes then, again.
13	MS. DOUGLAS: Thank you.
14	CHAIRPERSON HILL: I guess, let's all right, now that
15	Ms. Douglas has Commissioner Douglas has let's do this one
16	at a time.
17	MS. DOUGLAS: Thank you. I'm sorry.
18	CHAIRPERSON HILL: That's all right. No, you were
19	actually helpful. Thank you.
20	Lawrence and Marlo Blue, can you hear me?
21	MS. BLUE: Yes, sir, we can hear you. We're here.
22	CHAIRPERSON HILL: You all live directly next door.
23	Correct?
24	MS. BLUE: We live directly across the street.
25	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Across the street.

1	MS. BLUE: Directly across. We're less than 50	feet
2	from the house.	
3	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, but you're directly across	the
4	street.	
5	MS. BLUE: Yes.	
6	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Does anybody have	any
7	questions for Lawrence the Blues, and how they are uniq	uely
8	affected? And if so, raise your hand.	
9	Okay. We've got no questions for you.	
10	Jennifer and Eric Stackhouse, can you hear me?	
11	MS. STECKLOW: Yes. Eric and Jennifer Stecklow	are
12	here.	
13	CHAIRPERSON HILL: You are directly next door. Corr	ect?
14	MS. STECKLOW: Correct.	
15	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Does anybody have	any
16	questions for Jennifer and Eric Stacklow, how they are uniq	uely
17	affected? I'm looking at my fellow Board members. And pl	ease
18	raise your hand.	
19	Okay. Then we've got Talitha Beverly. Ms. Beverly,	can
20	you hear me?	
21	MS. BEVERLY: Yes. I'm here.	
22	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Where are you located,	in
23	relationship to the property?	
24	MS. BEVERLY: So if you look at Exhibit 7, I'm actu	ally
25	I think it's Parcel Number 35. And the back alley dead-	ends

1	into my property. And I'm ten steps away from the rear of the
2	project. And my primary egress is the back of my house. So we're
3	very like, I'm ten steps away from the property, in the back.
4	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Why is your primary egress the
5	back of the property?
6	MS. BEVERLY: Because Eastern Avenue is a very, very
7	busy street, and my parking tag is in the backyard, and that's
8	where I park my car, and that's where I leave.
9	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, I got you. But that's how you
10	go in and out, is what you're saying.
11	MS. BEVERLY: That's correct.
12	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right.
13	Does anybody have any questions for Ms. Beverly?
14	Okay.
15	MS. DOUGLAS: I had a question. Are you actually in 7C
16	or 7D? because I know Eastern Avenue covers both coordinates. 7C
17	covers, too, but 7C, like I said, 7C's about at least almost ten
18	blocks away. About five yeah, about six, seven blocks, longer
19	than that, away from the area.
20	MS. BEVERLY: You're my commissioner.
21	MS. DOUGLAS: I just wanted to know, like, are you
22	actually in 7C or 7D? I just can you clarify that, please?
23	MS. BEVERLY: Yes. I'm in your area. You're my
24	commissioner. Thank you.
25	MS. DOUGLAS: Okay, dear. Thank you. I appreciate it.

1	Thank you so much, Ms. Beverly.
2	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right. So nobody's got
3	any questions for her. All right.
4	Commissioner Holmes, can you hear me?
5	MR. HOLMES: Absolutely.
6	CHAIRPERSON HILL: So why do you guys think that you're
7	uniquely affected?
8	MR. HOLMES: Yes, so the location of this site is on
9	Olive Street, which is one block away from Minnesota Avenue, which
10	myself and Commissioner Douglas share, as our single-member
11	district boundary. So therefore, with that close proximity to ANC
12	7C, that is why we ask for party status.
13	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Got it. You border that as
14	MS. DOUGLAS: And I'll say again
15	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Wait one
16	MS. DOUGLAS: I'm on one side, and he's on the other
17	side. That's only when at the I get the charter school across
18	the street. But he is not across the street from all he's down
19	there by Deanwood subway station. That's where he's at. He's not
20	over here where I'm at. You're really over there by the Deanwood
21	Recreation Center. You can imagine, you leaving Olive Street
22	MR. HOLMES: Street to Minnesota Avenue
23	MS. DOUGLAS: No, I said Deanwood. I said Deanwood. I
24	said Deanwood subway station. That's why we have the map, and if
25	the map shows that you are not in the immediate area, as I am. So

1	that's not being truthful.
2	CHAIRPERSON HILL: So
3	MR. HOLMES: We'll both submit the map for 7C and 7D.
4	MS. DOUGLAS: Well, you can. I've already submitted
5	mine, and I know where I'm at, and you're not helping my single-
6	member district, point blank.
7	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Commissioner, are you border so
8	hold on. Give me a second.
9	Commissioner Douglas, what's your SMD again?
10	MS. DOUGLAS: I'm 7D 03. I'm actually in Olive Street.
11	My maps show I'm in Olive. He is not. His map will not show
12	ours.
13	CHAIRPERSON HILL: I understand, Commissioner. You're
14	7D 03. Correct?
15	MS. DOUGLAS: Right.
16	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay.
17	MS. DOUGLAS: Yes, that's correct.
18	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Commissioner Holmes, does your ANC
19	border 7D 03?
20	MR. HOLMES: Yes, it does, at two locations. On 45th
21	and at Minnesota Avenue.
22	MS. DOUGLAS: Well, Minnesota Avenue is down by my way.
23	That's not he's on the other side. He's not we're talking
24	about we're talking about Olive Street
25	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Commissioner? Commissioner?

1	Commissioner Douglas?
2	MS. DOUGLAS: the other side.
3	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Commissioner Douglas? Let me just
4	ask my questions, real quick. Okay?
5	MS. DOUGLAS: Yes, sir.
6	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Chairman Hood?
7	CHAIRMAN HOOD: I know the Board is a little different
8	in deciding party status than we do on the Zoning Commission, but
9	I think Commissioner Douglas, as well as forgot my man's name.
10	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Commissioner Holmes. Commissioner
11	Holmes.
12	MS. DOUGLAS: Commissioner Holmes.
13	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Forgive me, Commissioner Holmes. I've
14	got a lot
15	MR. HOLMES: It's okay.
16	CHAIRMAN HOOD: I think, Mr. Chairman, if we can put
17	that in the parking lot, and let's deal with the rest, and come
18	back to that?
19	Because Ms. Douglas, I will say this, Ms. Douglas, that
20	the laws, the courts, have told the Commission and the Board that
21	if they're adjacent, if an ANC is adjacent, then they also can be
22	given party status. So I want to let's come back to that.
23	I think, Mr. Chairman, might be easier to go through the
24	other things. That's just my suggestion. Not trying to run your
25	hearing, Mr. Chairman.

1	MS. DOUGLAS: Well, I'm just I'm just only telling
2	you what the Board of Elections said, that he's not, because he
3	can't vote. They can't vote in my single-member district, and I
4	can't vote in his. So we can't be in the same.
5	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Commissioner Douglas
6	MS. DOUGLAS: I understand. I just want to make that
7	clear.
8	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Commissioner Douglas, give me a
9	second.
10	MS. DOUGLAS: Okay, I'm simply saying that
11	CHAIRPERSON HILL: It's been a long day. What he's
12	saying
13	MS. DOUGLAS: I know it's a long day. But I just want
14	to get the facts straight, Mr. Hill Chairman Hill.
15	CHAIRPERSON HILL: No, no. What Chairman
16	MS. DOUGLAS: I'll wait.
17	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Hood is saying what Chairman
18	Hood is saying is that Commissioner Holmes's ANC borders your ANC.
19	And not only that, his ANC borders your SMD. So that's what he's
20	saying.
21	MS. DOUGLAS: Well, that's not true, too. And I love
22	you, too, Mr. Hood. I'm sorry to say.
23	CHAIRMAN HOOD: You know I love you, Ms. Douglas
24	MS. DOUGLAS: I know, but that's not true.
25	CHAIRMAN HOOD: but all I'm saying is, the courts

1	have given us clear direction. So Mr. Douglas
2	MS. DOUGLAS: Yeah, okay, well
3	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Mr. Holmes
4	MS. DOUGLAS: with the Board. That's why I'm
5	submitting my map, and the map will show that.
6	CHAIRPERSON HILL: All right.
7	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Well, Mr. Chairman, let's come
8	back to that, so we can
9	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. We can come back to that. But
10	I mean, I think we can probably pull up something pretty easily,
11	just to see, you know okay whether or not they're bordering
12	or not.
13	Is there somebody new in here? Ms
14	VICE CHAIR JOHN: If I may if I may, Mr. Chairman, I
15	think the issue is who is an affected ANC. And so we need to look
16	to see what, perhaps, the regulations might say about that. So
17	you can proceed.
18	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay.
19	Ms. Sanders, I see you've now joined us. Can you hear
20	me and introduce yourself for the record?
21	MS. SANDERS: Yes. Good evening, everyone. My name is
22	Jimell Sanders. I'm the president of the Deanwood Citizens'
23	Association. And the property that we're discussing sits in the
24	Deanwood neighborhood.
25	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. So the property that you're

1	talking about is within your citizens' organization. Is that what
2	you're saying?
3	MS. SANDERS: Correct. Correct, sir.
4	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Okay. All right.
5	MS. DOUGLAS: Which is 7C.
6	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right. So all right.
7	Let's see. Okay.
8	You know, Commissioner Douglas, we've got you.
9	MS. DOUGLAS: Thank you.
10	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah. No, thank you. All right.
11	So let's do this. Let's do this first. So I think that
12	Lawrence and Marlo Blue are uniquely affected. I would be fine
13	with giving them party status. And I'm looking to my fellow Board
14	members, if they have any concerns about that, or issues. And if
15	so, raise your hand.
16	Okay. Mr. Thornton, can you hear me?
17	MR. THORNTON: Yes, sir. I can hear you.
18	CHAIRPERSON HILL: You understand what we're doing.
19	Right? We're trying to go through on whether or not these people
20	should be afforded party status or not. Do you understand?
21	MR. THORNTON: Yes. What I don't understand is being
22	afforded party status and I'm not a zoning attorney, so I'm
23	not, you know, able to determine how it what does that mean?
24	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Sure.
25	MR. THORNTON: Party status?

1	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Sure.
2	So what that okay, Mr. Rice? I mean, not Mr. Rice.
3	Mr. Ritting? You can go ahead.
4	MR. RITTING: It means a number of things. But the main
5	thing for the hearing is that groups that are or individuals
6	that are afforded party status have the right to cross-examine
7	witnesses in the hearing.
8	MR. THORNTON: Okay.
9	MR. RITTING: So they get to ask questions.
10	MR. THORNTON: Cool. Great. Uh-huh.
11	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. So Lawrence and Marlo Blue, I
12	think, are uniquely affected, due to their proximity to the
13	property. And I would be in favor of granting them party status.
14	And I'm going to ask for a second.
15	Ms. John?
16	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Second.
17	CHAIRPERSON HILL: All right. Mr. Moy, do you want to
18	do this, and go around the table?
19	(No response.)
20	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah? Mr. Moy? Yeah? Okay.
21	Well, while you're getting ready, just to let you know,
22	Mr. Thornton, what I think's probably going to happen today and
23	I'm sorry you had to wait till the end of the day to hear this,
24	and we'll see what happens as we kind of get through this, but
25	this is my initial thought. You still have you not presented

1	in front of the ANC, Mr. Thornton? Or you have?
2	MR. THORNTON: Yes, we have.
3	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. And they haven't submitted a
4	report yet. Is that correct?
5	MR. THORNTON: The full ANC has tabled their vote until
6	the 25th.
7	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Until the 25th.
8	MS. DOUGLAS: That's Saturday.
9	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. So they're going to to vote on
10	Saturday.
11	MR. THORNTON: Yes.
12	MS. DOUGLAS: Yes.
13	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right. So then, Mr.
14	Thornton, we're probably going to well, first of all, we're
15	going to get through party status. I'm going to see if I can
16	combine some people, to get to however many parties we can kind of
17	figure out, because six is way too many. Right? And then we're
18	going to come back and hear this after the ANC has voted. Okay,
19	Mr. Thornton? So we'll just see where we are. Okay?
20	So first, I've got so I've now made a motion. It's
21	been seconded. Mr. Moy, do you want to take a roll call?
22	MR. MOY: Yeah, I'm ready, sir. I'm sorry.
23	CHAIRPERSON HILL: It's all right.
24	MR. MOY: Okay. So when I call each of your names, and
25	this is to the Board members, if you would respond with a "yes",

1	or "no", or "abstain" to the motion to grant party status to
2	Lawrence and Marlo Blue?
3	Zoning Commission Chair Anthony Hood?
4	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes.
5	MR. MOY: Mr. Smith?
6	BOARD MEMBER SMITH: Yes.
7	MR. MOY: Mr. Blake?
8	BOARD MEMBER BLAKE: Yes.
9	MR. MOY: Vice Chair John?
10	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Yes.
11	MR. MOY: Chairman Hill?
12	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yes.
13	MR. MOY: Staff would record the vote as five to zero to
14	zero. And this is on the motion to grant party status to Lawrence
15	and Marlo Blue. On the vote of five to zero to zero, the motion
16	carries.
17	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. So the next one is Jennifer
18	and Eric Stecklow. They also reside next door to the proposed
19	property. So I would agree that they also uniquely qualify for
20	party status. And I would make a motion to give them party
21	status, as well, and ask for a second.
22	Ms. John?
23	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Second.
24	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Motion's been made and seconded. Mr.
25	Moy, if you'd take a roll call?

1	MR. MOY: When I call your names, if you would please
2	respond with a "yes", "no", or "abstain" to the motion made by
3	Chairman Hill, seconded by Vice Chair John, to give party status
4	to Jennifer and Eric Stecklow?
5	Zoning Commission Chair Anthony Hood?
6	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes.
7	MR. MOY: Mr. Smith?
8	BOARD MEMBER SMITH: Yes.
9	MR. MOY: Mr. Blake?
10	BOARD MEMBER BLAKE: Yes.
11	MR. MOY: Vice Chair John?
12	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Yes.
13	MR. MOY: Chairman Hill?
14	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yes.
15	MR. MOY: Staff will record vote as would record the
16	vote as five to zero to zero. The motion carries to grant party
17	status to Jennifer and Eric Stecklow.
18	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Give me a second. All right.
19	Okay. And the next one we have is Talitha Beverly. So,
20	I mean, I'm a little bit on the fence with this one. But since
21	we're kind of going through this, I mean, Ms. Beverly is, like
22	I guess she's close enough.
23	MS. BEVERLY: I'm within 200 feet.
24	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Ms. Beverly? Ms. Beverly, I know
25	where you are.

1	MS. BEVERLY: Okay.
2	CHAIRPERSON HILL: And so
3	MS. BEVERLY: I'm also represented by counsel. I don't
4	know if he's here. But you didn't call the counsel's name. His
5	name is Dave Brown.
6	CHAIRPERSON HILL: You're represented by counsel?
7	MS. BEVERLY: I am.
8	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Is Mr. Brown here? Is Mr.
9	Brown here, Mr. Young?
10	What's his name, Ms. Beverly? I'm sorry.
11	MS. BEVERLY: Dave
12	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah, Ms. Beverly?
13	MS. BEVERLY: Dave Brown. Dave Brown.
14	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Dave Mr. Brown, are you well,
15	actually Mr. Brown, are you there?
16	Well, regardless, actually, Ms. Beverly, it doesn't
17	matter, because I'm just saying I was on the fence, but I was
18	going to vote in favor, anyway.
19	So let me see what my fellow Board members do my
20	fellow Board members have anything to add about Ms. Beverly's
21	party status? Otherwise, I was going to allow her party status.
22	Anyone want to raise their hand? No.
23	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Mr. Chairman?
24	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Oh. Commissioner Hood?
25	CHAIRMAN HOOD: I would just ask if I don't know if

1	we're going to get to that point in some kind of way, but you
2	mentioned it earlier, if they can join together. And I don't know
3	if Ms. Beverly can do that, join with somebody. But she has
4	counsel, Mr. Brown. Do we know what I'm just curious if
5	there's some kind of way we can merge some of these parties in
6	opposition.
7	CHAIRPERSON HILL: No, I appreciate that, Chairman Hood.
8	We're going to try to figure that out. At least, that's what I'm
9	hopeful to get to.
10	And so however, do you all do you have an opinion
11	about Ms. Beverly attaining party status?
12	(No response.)
13	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Then I'm going to make a
14	motion to allow Ms. Talith Beverly party status, and ask for a
15	second.
16	Ms. John?
17	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Second.
18	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Mr. Moy, could you take a roll
19	call?
20	MR. MOY: Yes. When I call each of your names, if you
21	would please respond with a "yes", "no", or "abstain" to the
22	motion made by Chairman Hill to award party status to Ms. Talitha
23	Beverly?
24	Zoning Commission Chair Anthony Hood?
25	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes.

1	MR. MOY: Mr. Smith?
2	BOARD MEMBER SMITH: Yes.
3	MR. MOY: Mr. Blake?
4	BOARD MEMBER BLAKE: Yes.
5	MR. MOY: Vice Chair John?
6	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Yes.
7	MR. MOY: Chairman Hill?
8	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yes.
9	MR. MOY: Staff would record the vote as five to zero to
10	zero. This was on a motion of Chairman Hill to grant party status
11	to Ms. Talitha Beverly. The motion carries.
12	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right. We'll come back to
13	the ANC party status, as suggested by Chairman Hood.
14	The next one is the Deanwood Citizens' Association.
15	Since the property is within the Deanwood Citizens' Association, I
16	would go ahead and be in favor of granting party status to the
17	Deanwood Citizens' Association. And does anyone have any thoughts
18	on that? And if so, raise your hand.
19	MS. DOUGLAS: Well, I mean, you talk to your parties,
20	but I would have a question to that. By law, according to
21	Gottlieb Simon, Mr. Holmes does not have a right to join party
22	closeness to Olive Street, to what we are, and the boundary speaks
23	for that. So then he can listen to what's going on, but he cannot
24	participate in this. And that's according to Gottlieb Simon, and
25	according to the law. So I'm just saying that.

1	And for him to say that he cannot come in my
2	boundaries, and anything this development, nothing, propose any
3	recommendation. And I stand to that.
4	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay.
5	MS. DOUGLAS: And I just want to say, Mr. Hood, I love
6	you dearly, but that's not factual.
7	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Sure. So Ms. Douglas Mr. Chairman,
8	can I help Ms. Douglas?
9	MS. DOUGLAS: My map shows, and his map, we're just only
10	across the street, and just because he's adjacent, that don't give
11	him the right. Because just because he he can't vote for me.
12	He can't do any development, anything. So that's why I think he's
1.0	out of place
13	out of place.
13	CHAIRMAN HOOD: So Ms. Douglas
14	CHAIRMAN HOOD: So Ms. Douglas
14 15	CHAIRMAN HOOD: So Ms. Douglas
14 15 16	CHAIRMAN HOOD: So Ms. Douglas  MS. DOUGLAS: I think he needs to at least search that -
14 15 16 17	CHAIRMAN HOOD: So Ms. Douglas  MS. DOUGLAS: I think he needs to at least search that  CHAIRMAN HOOD: Ms. Douglas, since you and I since
14 15 16 17	CHAIRMAN HOOD: So Ms. Douglas  MS. DOUGLAS: I think he needs to at least search that  CHAIRMAN HOOD: Ms. Douglas, since you and I since  you and I Ms. Douglas, since you
14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRMAN HOOD: So Ms. Douglas  MS. DOUGLAS: I think he needs to at least search that  CHAIRMAN HOOD: Ms. Douglas, since you and I since  you and I Ms. Douglas, since you  MS. DOUGLAS: And I'm going to stand my rights.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRMAN HOOD: So Ms. Douglas  MS. DOUGLAS: I think he needs to at least search that  CHAIRMAN HOOD: Ms. Douglas, since you and I since  you and I Ms. Douglas, since you  MS. DOUGLAS: And I'm going to stand my rights.  CHAIRMAN HOOD: Ms. Douglas, since you and I are such
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRMAN HOOD: So Ms. Douglas  MS. DOUGLAS: I think he needs to at least search that  CHAIRMAN HOOD: Ms. Douglas, since you and I since  you and I Ms. Douglas, since you  MS. DOUGLAS: And I'm going to stand my rights.  CHAIRMAN HOOD: Ms. Douglas, since you and I are such  good friends and we love each other the Chairman, were going to
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRMAN HOOD: So Ms. Douglas  MS. DOUGLAS: I think he needs to at least search that  CHAIRMAN HOOD: Ms. Douglas, since you and I since you and I Ms. Douglas, since you  MS. DOUGLAS: And I'm going to stand my rights.  CHAIRMAN HOOD: Ms. Douglas, since you and I are such good friends and we love each other the Chairman, were going to wait until the end of this, and we're going to get to that point.

1	MS. DOUGLAS: Yeah, but it's facts. That's what I'm
2	saying.
3	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Well, yeah, right, but we have
4	regulations, too, Ms. Douglas. But listen, why don't you let him
5	finish
6	MS. DOUGLAS: Well, those regulations do change. And
7	his regulations still say the same. He can't come in here.
8	That's why
9	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Ms. Douglas? Ms. Douglas? Let the
10	chairman finish. Let the Chairman finish, and then we will come
11	back to the ANCs. Okay? So give us a moment.
12	MS. DOUGLAS: Yes. Thank you so much.
13	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you.
14	All right, Mr. Chairman. Sorry about that.
15	CHAIRPERSON HILL: No. I was just trying to get through
16	
	I appreciate I mean, I Commissioner Douglas has been
17	I appreciate I mean, I Commissioner Douglas has been before us before, and so I know Commissioner Douglas. I don't
17 18	
	before us before, and so I know Commissioner Douglas. I don't
18	before us before, and so I know Commissioner Douglas. I don't know her personally, but I've been very I think I've been
18 19	before us before, and so I know Commissioner Douglas. I don't know her personally, but I've been very I think I've been you know, anyway, I try to do my best. And she is
18 19 20	before us before, and so I know Commissioner Douglas. I don't know her personally, but I've been very I think I've been you know, anyway, I try to do my best. And she is MS. DOUGLAS: And I think you're doing your best, too,
18 19 20 21	before us before, and so I know Commissioner Douglas. I don't know her personally, but I've been very I think I've been you know, anyway, I try to do my best. And she is MS. DOUGLAS: And I think you're doing your best, too, but I want to be right about what I'm saying. So that's what I'm
18 19 20 21 22	before us before, and so I know Commissioner Douglas. I don't know her personally, but I've been very I think I've been you know, anyway, I try to do my best. And she is MS. DOUGLAS: And I think you're doing your best, too, but I want to be right about what I'm saying. So that's what I'm going to make sure.

1	CHAIRPERSON HILL: All right. So the you're welcome.
2	So the Deanwood Citizens' Association so if anybody -
3	- oh, gosh. Where are where is the Deanwood
4	MS. SANDERS: Yes, sir.
5	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Great. Ms. Sanders. Okay.
6	So Ms. Sanders is, for the record, saying that they're this
7	property is within their association. So I would go ahead and
8	vote for giving them party status. And I'll ask for a second.
9	Ms. John?
10	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Second.
11	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. So Mr. Moy, you can take a
12	roll call on that.
13	MR. MOY: When I call each of your names, if you would
14	please respond with a "yes", "no", or "abstain" to the motion made
15	by Chairman Hill to grant party status to the Deanwood Citizens'
16	Association? And this is under the name of Jimell Sanders. This
17	is the motion made by Chairman Hill, seconded by Vice Chair John.
18	Zoning Commission Chair Anthony Hood?
19	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes.
20	MR. MOY: Mr. Smith?
21	BOARD MEMBER SMITH: Yes.
22	MR. MOY: Mr. Blake?
23	BOARD MEMBER BLAKE: Yes.
24	MR. MOY: Vice Chair John?
25	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Yes.

1	MR. MOY: Chairman Hill?
2	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yes.
3	MR. MOY: Staff would record the vote as fie to zero to
4	zero. This is on the motion of Chairman Hill to grant party
5	status to the Deanwood Citizens' Association, via Jimell Sanders.
6	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Great. All right. Let's see.
7	Okay. The next one is there someone here, I forget,
8	from the Northeast Boundary Civics Association?
9	MS. DOUGLAS: We spoke on that earlier, Mr. Hill. I
10	told you that was Michele Tingling-Clemmons and Mr. Witt (ph).
11	They sent a letter of support.
12	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. But they're not here.
13	MS. DOUGLAS: No, sir.
14	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right. So
15	MR. HOLMES: Sorry, that's incorrect. Northeast
16	Boundary Civic Association is Mary present is Mary Gaffney, and
17	I believe the vice president is Gina Bulett. She might be in the
18	
19	MS. DOUGLAS: Well, I just want to say, she's not within
20	my boundaries, either, because she's way on the 67th Street.
21	That's why I brought up the quarters. she's too far away, and I
22	think that you matter of fact, the letter is in there from Ms.
23	Michele Tingling-Clemmons. And I might be having it wrong. But
24	she's in 7D, so she's in the boundaries. 7D and 7D 03.
25	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Both Commissioners, can y'all just

1	give me a second? I mean
2	MS. DOUGLAS: Yeah.
3	CHAIRPERSON HILL: I'm just trying to get through the
4	party status thing. So
5	MS. DOUGLAS: Yeah, I was saying
6	CHAIRPERSON HILL: No, no, but I'm Commissioner
7	Douglas, if the person isn't here, per the regulations, they can't
8	have party status. I don't even think I was going to vote for
9	them, because they're 1.7 miles away.
10	But so is and if you all want to turn on your
11	cameras, by the way, so I can see y'all? It's not necessary, but
12	at least I can see whether or not you're trying to talk.
13	So there's nobody here from the Northeast Boundary
14	Civics Association? I'm asking I'm not asking anybody on the
15	thing, unless they hear me.
16	MR. THORNTON: Chairman Hill, I would say no one is here
17	from the Boundary
18	MS. BULETT: Hi, I'm here. Can you hear me?
19	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yes. And what's your name? Hello?
20	MR. THORNTON: Hello?
21	CHAIRPERSON HILL: If everybody can mute their line, so
22	that we can see to hear the lady who
23	MS. BULETT: You hear me?
24	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yes. Can you hear me? Hello?
25	MR. THORNTON: It's showing Gina Bulett.

1	MR. YOUNG: Yeah, that's Ms. Bulett talking. She's
2	calling in by phone.
3	MS. DOUGLAS: But she's about ten miles away, herself,
4	and she's not in the boundary of 7D, just like all that's 7C
5	area. Everybody speaking are for those locations, 7C. So if
6	Michelle's 100 miles she's about 100 and a half miles from the
7	area, as well. That's 7C.
8	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Commissioner Douglas, just, like,
9	give me a second.
10	MS. DOUGLAS: Yes.
11	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay? Can the lady hear me?
12	Okay. I've got to look Mr. Young?
13	Anyway, I already just to let everybody know, we read
14	through the records. So I've read through the record, and I don't
15	think the Northeast Boundary Civic Association, per their
16	application, is showing how they're uniquely affected. So I would
17	be opposed to them gaining party status. So I'm going to make a
18	motion to deny the Northeast Boundary Civic Association party
19	status, and ask for a second.
20	Ms. John?
21	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Second.
22	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Motion's been made and seconded. Mr.
23	Moy, could you take a roll call?
24	MR. MOY: Again, when I call when I call each of your
25	names, if you would please respond with a "yes", "no", or

1	"abstain" to the motion made by Chairman Hill to deny party status
2	to Northeast Boundary Civic Association? The motion was seconded
3	by Vice Chair John.
4	Zoning Commission Chair Anthony Hood?
5	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes.
6	MR. MOY: Yes, to deny?
7	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes, to deny. Yes, to the motion.
8	MR. MOY: Mr. Smith? Mr. Smith?
9	BOARD MEMBER SMITH: Yes.
10	MR. MOY: Mr. Smith?
11	BOARD MEMBER SMITH: Yes, to deny. Yes. That was the
12	motion, to deny.
13	MR. MOY: Mr. Blake?
14	BOARD MEMBER BLAKE: Yes.
15	MR. MOY: Vice Chair John?
16	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Yes.
17	MR. MOY: Chairman Hill?
18	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yes.
19	MR. MOY: Staff would record the vote as five to zero to
20	zero. And this is on the motion to deny party status to Northeast
21	Boundary Civic Association.
22	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right.
23	Now, Commissioner Holmes, can you hear me?
24	MR. HOLMES: Yes, I can.
25	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. So Commissioner Douglas, let

1	me please just speak to Commissioner Holmes for a moment.
2	MS. DOUGLAS: Sure can.
3	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Commissioner Holmes, again, this
4	property is located at 1614 Olive Street. We are not claiming
5	that you're in ANC 7D. You're in ANC 7C. And it's your testimony
6	that ANC 7C borders ANC 7D. Correct?
7	MR. HOLMES: That is correct.
8	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay.
9	MS. DOUGLAS: The maps say you don't.
10	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Chairman Hood?
11	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Actually, Mr. Chairman, I did look at
12	it. They do but, but it's let me ask this to both Commissioner
13	Holmes and forgive me, Commissioner Holmes, I've got brain-lock
14	with your name and to Commissioner Douglas.
15	Where the subject location and application in front of
16	us today is it on the same do you all share is it on the
17	same street? Or is it I know it's in Ms. Douglas's single-
18	member district. But is it, like, on the same street of your
19	single-member district, Commissioner Holmes?
20	MR. HOLMES: No, it's the one street over. So it's
21	on the map, you would see Minnesota Avenue, and then the next
22	street up would be Olive.
23	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. So you're a street over. Okay.
24	All right. So Mr. Chairman, the way I read the regulations and
25	I will leave it to the Vice Chair to help me is that the

they would not necessarily be affected. And I think they're 2 applying as they could. And I guess that would -- that's where we 3 are, I believe. I just wanted to make sure I understood that, because 4 5 the regulations say, now, that if they're on the same street and 6 they share, even though it's in Ms. Douglas's, then they would be 7 automatic party. 8 The question now is, they're applying, which, I think --9 am I correct, Mr. Holmes? You're applying for party status. 10 MR. HOLMES: That's correct. 11 CHAIRMAN HOOD: And I think that -- right. So, okay, 12 I've got you now. 13 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Holmes, are you --14 MS. DOUGLAS: Are you going to -- are you going to ask me a question, please? He does not share our street. And that's 15 16 my point. He does not share. And he --17 CHAIRMAN HOOD: And I agree, Commissioner Douglas. 18 Yeah. He made that point to me. 19 MS. DOUGLAS: And he can't be a standing party. I can't go over there into his single-member district -- right? 20 21 He, matter of fact, he's right across the street from 22 Sheriff Road, where they're building a new place for the women. Ι 23 can't go in that area. I'm right across the street from him. I can't do that. And he knows that. He's out of boundary. 24 25 only speak on my map. It's not on his map. You can't even find

1	him nowhere on my map.
2	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Commissioner, his ANC is applying for
3	party status, just like everybody else in here is applying for
4	MS. DOUGLAS: Yeah, well, I understand. He has no
5	standards. That's all.
6	CHAIRPERSON HILL: And we're trying to figure out
7	whether or not he is uniquely affected.
8	MS. DOUGLAS: He's not.
9	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Commissioner Holmes, are you guys
10	are you 7C 07?
11	MR. HOLMES: Yes. I am the chair I am the single-
12	member district commissioner for 7C 07
13	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Right.
14	MR. HOLMES: which borders 7C 03.
15	CHAIRPERSON HILL: So 7C 07 borders 7D 03.
16	MR. HOLMES: 7D 03.
17	MS. DOUGLAS: No, it don't. It does not.
18	CHAIRPERSON HILL: 7C 07 borders 7D 03. Correct?
19	MS. DOUGLAS: No, it don't.
20	MR. HOLMES: That's correct.
21	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah, 7D 03. And Commissioner,
22	you're the SMD for 7D 03?
23	MS. DOUGLAS: Yes, sir. My map right here shows, he is
24	nowhere near. Nowhere. That map shows it. You look at the map,
25	it'll show that he does not.

Τ	CHAIRPERSON HILL: I'M looking at the map, and so that's
2	why I'm confused. I see 7C OC (sic) borders 7D 03, and it's one -
3	- and their border is one block away from Olive Street.
4	MS. DOUGLAS: No that's not the one that I submitted
5	to you all today, it does not show that. You've got what
6	borders me is 7D 06, 7D 05, and the closest, 7D 02, and yeah.
7	That's Mr what's his name? Hasan. Hasan borders me, in 7D
8	02. He does not. That's the only one. And the closest to me is
9	
10	CHAIRPERSON HILL: All right.
11	MS. DOUGLAS: That's the border. We can get the they
12	can get 7D 02. Not C.
13	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Obviously, there seems to be a
14	discrepancy, as well as, it sounds as though the Commissioner, and
15	the ANC is opposed to the party status of 7C I'm sorry. It
16	seems as through the I don't know whether the whole ANC is, or
17	just this commissioner, that is opposed to the party status of 7C.
18	MS. DOUGLAS: It does not merely affect my single-member
19	district.
20	CHAIRPERSON HILL: No. Right. So I don't know what the
21	Board wants so what we can do is, we can either I mean, I'm
22	in favor of granting 7C I'm sorry.
23	MS. DOUGLAS: He doesn't border any of 7D at all,
24	according to my map. I've got my map of 7D. So he can't be. And
25	according to and I understand

1	CHAIRPERSON HILL: I'm confused, also, because the map
2	that I'm looking at, ANC 7C borders ANC 7D. So that alone, to me,
3	seems as though the ANC would have
4	MS. DOUGLAS: Well, I don't know which map that you're
5	using. But I sent the map today, that you look at the map I'm
6	looking at, I asked I had Mr. Robert Reid post that. And you
7	just granted that. I did submit that.
8	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Does somebody want to pull that
9	does somebody okay. Let's do this. Hold on.
10	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Mr. Chairman? If I could if I
11	could say something?
12	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Sure.
13	VICE CHAIR JOHN: So the regulations say that an
14	affected ANC let me just try to read it for you. An affected
15	ANC "The ANC for the area within which the property that is the
16	subject of a zoning Commission or Board of Zoning adjustment
17	application or appeal is located, except that if the subject
18	property is located on a street that serves as a boundary line
19	between two ANCs, then the term 'affected ANC' means both ANCs."
20	I think we have established that the property is not on
21	a boundary line. So ANC 7C would not be an affected ANC. But I
22	think we need to take it one step further, to decide if ANC 7C
23	could be a party, even if they're not an affected ANC. Affected
24	ANC gives them automatic party status.
25	MS. DOUGLAS: But 7D 03 is

1	VICE CHAIR JOHN: So what you would Commissioner
2	Douglas?
3	MS. DOUGLAS: I'm sorry. Go on. I apologize.
4	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Commissioner Douglas?
5	MS. DOUGLAS: Yes, ma'am.
6	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Commissioner Douglas, could you please
7	not interrupt?
8	MS. DOUGLAS: I apologize, Ms. John.
9	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Okay. Thank you. I'm losing my train
10	of thought.
11	And I think that's something that OAG would need to
12	research, and that we would get back to the commissioners on this
13	particular issue, because affected ANCs get automatic status, with
14	nothing more.
15	So Commissioner Douglas is automatically a party. The
16	issue is whether Commissioner Holmes could also be a party, if
17	there's sufficient nexus. And I think that's what he's saying.
18	He's saying that he's so close to the property that he has a
19	particular interest, or his ANC also has a particular interest.
20	And so my recommendation would be to, you know, ask OAG
21	to look into this further, and get back to Commissioner Holmes.
22	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay.
23	Commissioner Chairman Hood?
24	CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right. Yes. And that's I want
25	to thank the Vice Chair, because that's exactly the way we do it

on the Zoning Commission. 2. But I know Commissioner Douglas won't like what I'm getting ready to say, but the issue is, as the vice chair has just 3 mentioned, is that Commissioner Holmes and his SM -- I mean, his 4 ANC has the right to apply for party status, and we just need to 5 6 go through our normal process to see if they are uniquely 7 affected. That's the only issue. 8 And the vice chair is exactly right about the affected 9 piece, because that's exactly how we do it on the Commission, and 10 I'm sure that's exactly how it's done here. 11 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. So if the staff -- can the 12 staff upload whatever the exhibit is that most recently came? 13 map from Commissioner Douglas? Mr. Moy? 14 MR. MOY: Yeah, I've been looking into that, now, too. So you have to -- I'm going to need a little bit more time, Mr. 15 16 Chairman. 17 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right. Because the map that I'm looking at -- okay. The map that I'm looking at, it 18 19 seems to me as though ANC 7C, in particular ANC 7C 07, is one 20 block away from Olive Street. 21 Commissioner Holmes, is that what you understand it to 22 be?

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
Court Reporting and Litigation Support
Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia
410-766-HUNT (4868)
1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

And Commissioner Douglas, you have a different opinion

MR. HOLMES: That is correct.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay.

23

24

25

on the map. Is that correct?

2.

MS. DOUGLAS: It's not on the map that he's one block away. He's one block -- more than a block. He's about two blocks away. It's not no one block. Two blocks away. So he doesn't -- he's not -- he don't have any immediate effect as to what's going on. He does not have any standards. He can't.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Commissioner? Commissioner?

MS. DOUGLAS: He cannot. And so -- and I read that also, in the DCRA handbook, that said the same thing. Only the affected person, where they're having construction or where they're having the issue or having these proposal and whatnot, is supposed to be the ones that's supposed to be acknowledged.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Sure. Commissioner Douglas, I don't think we're disagreeing in what you're saying. If their ANC shared -- if both properties were on that same street, and that was the border, then they would be an automatic ANC. So meaning -- I'm sorry. They would automatically be affected and be automatically given party status.

That's not what the case is here. They're applying, just like all these other people are applying, for party status. All the other people that we talked about, they also applied for party status. They're not an ANC. So all this ANC 7C is doing is, they're applying for party status under the same regulations that we just went through with all the other people. Do you understand?

MS. DOUGLAS: I hear what you're saying, but he does not have anything to do -- he's not within the boundary, so how can he apply for something -- that's like building -- we're building this house, we're building a house where we're at. He cannot come in and say, I want to give my thoughts and -- he can come and listen to what's going on.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Right.

MS. DOUGLAS: But it still stands that he cannot participate and have his opinion. Because he's 7C. He's not 7D. I can't go in and tell him how to do that. The standards said it. And also, the home -- and the Board of Elections said.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay.

MS. DOUGLAS: So he knows he's out of boundary. I can't help how you put it. Y'all need to -- and DCRA said the same thing. So he's not affected. He might be two blocks away. And Mary Gaffney's on 67th Street. She's way down yonder.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Commissioner Douglas, like, I mean, if you -- you could apply. Right? If the roles were reversed, right, and there was a property on Meade Street or 50th Street, that's over in 7C 07, and they were trying to do the same thing, you could come and also apply for party status for something that's going on in their ANC.

We just have to -- it's just party status. It just means that you get to be an affected party, per these regulations.

I'm not -- sorry, affected. You are uniquely, uniquely affected.

1	Not an affected ANC. He's not an affected ANC. We're just
2	trying to determine if they're uniquely affected, which is
3	different.
4	MS. DOUGLAS: Well, as far, from what I read, he's not
5	neither one, because my point I'm just being honest with you.
6	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. I got you.
7	MS. DOUGLAS: And I think all I'm just saying
8	because it stands as it is, so I think y'all need to do a little
9	bit more research. Not telling you how to do your job, but that's
10	a suggestion.
11	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Okay.
12	MS. DOUGLAS: I'm just saying.
13	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. So I'm going to let the Board
14	deliberate, here. Okay? And you all can tell me what you think.
15	Right? Okay?
16	So where are my fellow Board members? I thought I saw
17	them on. Now I don't even know where I can see anymore.
18	But so I'm going to start Chairman Hood, I should
19	start with you. Okay? And so I'm going to start
20	CHAIRMAN HOOD: I don't mind.
21	CHAIRPERSON HILL: All right. I'm going to start with
22	you. Okay? I think that 7C 07 borders 7D 03. And I think
23	they're one block away. And so I think that they are uniquely
24	affected. And I would be voting for giving them party status.
25	Can I give you can I get your opinion, please, Chairman Hood?

CHAIRMAN HOOD: So, what I would like to do, since we had some concerns about this, what I was thinking is, hold this in abeyance until we get ready to have the hearing. Let's do our research and look at it, and make sure that we have the legal standing. I think we do. Matter of fact, I'm sure we do.

But also, when I look at 7C's concern, crime, drugs, and related concerns, is -- how is that more unique than anybody else?

And I'm not trying to deny, because I know ANCs work hard. But they still will have an opportunity to testify, Commissioner Holmes.

So I can go one of two ways. That's not helping the case. But when I look at what the statute is before us, "uniquely affected". They have crime, drug use. We all have some of those things -- yes.

MS. DOUGLAS: Now, hold -- Mr. Hood --

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Right. So that's what I'm saying. So if we go to -- if we really want to go there, and they have to apply like everyone else, how is that any different than anyone else? At least -- but Mr. Holmes probably can explain that.

But I go back to my other point. Maybe we should make sure of everything, even though I think we still -- I think we're on good legal footing. So but I still don't see the explanation, the issue of concerns. It says, "crime, drug use, and related concerns". So that's --

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. We can hold this in

abeyance. I'd still have to figure out what we're going to do, to get everybody organized. We can hold it in abeyance until next week. Right? And we'll go ahead and do a little research. But I want to hear from my other Board members before I keep going down this road.

Vice Chair John, do you have an opinion?

VICE CHAIR JOHN: I agree with Commissioner Hood, that we should do some more legal research. My initial take is that they could apply for party status like any other member of the public, and show some unique interest.

And Commissioner Hood is correct, in my view, in saying that, if the issue is the impact on crime and so on, then how is that different from what, you know, the interest of ANC 7 -- I get them confused -- 7D? So it would be helpful to hear from Commissioner Holmes as to why he thinks that 7D's interest would be unique. Then we could decide, later on.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. I'll get back to Commissioner Holmes, then, which is that -- Commissioner Holmes, get ready. It's, why are you uniquely affected? Like, why is it that you should be -- why should your ANC be given party status? Right? So, okay. Next person that I'm going to of the Board is

Mr. Smith. Do you have a thought on this?

BOARD MEMBER SMITH: I'm in agreeance with Ms. John and Mr. Hood. So when I was reading -- and, you know, Mr. Hood, thank you for taking the words out of my mouth -- but I'm not seeing the

uniquely affected portion here, concretely.

I would say, you know, from a distance perspective, yes, I mean, a block, by the way the crow flies, in a sense. But you still have to go up to Easton Avenue and then under the WMATA CSX railroad tracks. So there is a fairly decent degree of separation between the actual site where it is and the boundary line along Minnesota Avenue.

So, you know, to state what my two other commissioners stated before me, you can apply for party status, just as anyone else. But it would be great to hear more from you, more concretely, the reason why 7C deserved party status.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. I'll get to the commissioner in a second.

Mr. Blake?

BOARD MEMBER BLAKE: No, I don't have anything to add.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Commissioner Holmes, do you want to articulate how you're more -- how your ANC, particularly, I guess, your SMD, is more uniquely affected?

MR. HOLMES: Absolutely. So when it came to discussing this, prior to even this brought to us, the matter of the location and its purpose, ANC 7C had reached out to the provider, Thrive D.C., at the time, months before all of this became known, to try to understand that they were placing other locations throughout 7C.

And we were like -- we had invited them, back in May of

this year, to give that presentation to ourselves and our ANC 1 2. communities, to better understand their mission and what they were 3 trying to do. Well, concurrently, while this was all happening, then, 4 5 of course, we're starting to hear from -- I'm starting to receive a lot of phone calls from a lot of Deanwood residents. And mind 6 you, ANCs, as Mr. Hood said, you know, we do a lot of things, and 7 we do field a lot of calls from areas that are not in our 8 9 commission, as well. Been getting a lot of calls about what was 10 happening, only to realize this was, again, the same provider we 11 wanted to have more information about.

Because what we do is, we like these providers to come, give a presentation, not just to the ANC, but to the locally affected civic and citizens' associations, so they can get feedback about, hey, if you're going to do this project here --

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Commissioner?

MR. HOLMES: -- let's talk about how -- yes?

CHAIRPERSON HILL: I'm sorry to interrupt you. I mean, can you speak a little bit more to how you guys are uniquely affected by where the location is, particular to your SMD?

MR. HOLMES: Absolutely. Well, again, just -- what I'm getting to is that, again, a lot of these -- a lot of similar locations are being -- a lot of similar locations are being built

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

MR. MOY: Okay. Let me recommend --

MR. HOLMES: -- in and around the 7C --

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Moy, you're not on mute, Mr. Moy.

Sorry, Commissioner Holmes.

MR. HOLMES: Okay.

MR. MOY: Please continue.

MR. HOLMES: All right. So as I was trying to say before, we're having a lot of nonpermanent housing throughout Ward 7, and we're seeing it particularly concentrated within the Deanwood community. And as such, that's why we'd like to give providers an opportunity to come and tell us what their mission is, how they're different, what sort of wraparound services go along with that.

Because this is not the only one. We have another case that we're going to be -- not for you all, but we have another case of another nonpermanent housing that's being bought up into the community, multibed units. So we want to make sure that this isn't -- that those folks who are coming back, that are supposed -- that are supposed to be provided services, we want to make sure that they are -- that these things will actually happen.

But without any community outreach, any engagement so we can discuss what's going on on some of those locations, we feel like -- not just we feel, but we know that we want to make sure that we're trying to minimize negative outcomes and positive successes with these projects. That is why we're affected, because it is going to cross-pollinate the entire Deanwood

1 community. 2 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Okay. All right. 3 So I will agree with Chairman Hood. We'll hold this one in abeyance. We'll hold this one in abeyance until next week, in 4 terms of determining party status. OAG will go ahead and give us 5 6 a little bit more research, Mr. Ritting, on adjacent ANCs. is the regulation that says that if they are sharing the road, 7 that they are automatically an affected ANC. 8 That's not what 9 we're talking about. We're talking about them coming in as a 10 party, through the regular criteria, which is how they are 11 uniquely affected. 12 So if you can do a little bit of research on that, then we can kind of talk about it and have a decision on that next 13 14 Wednesday. Okay? 15 Mr. Brown, I see you. Can you see me? 16 Yes, I can. MR. BROWN: 17 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Could you introduce yourself for the 18 record, please? 19 MR. BROWN: Yes. David Brown from Knopf & Brown, and I 20 represent Talitha Beverly. 21 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okav. 22 MR. BROWN: Let me also say that the Stecklows have 23 inquired about whether I might be able to represent them, as well. Ms. Beverly has just informed me that she would consent to that. 24 25 Now, obviously, we haven't had time to work that out, but it

1	might mean that when this matter is heard, that there will be a
2	consolidation there, for purposes of cross-examination.
3	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay.
4	So let's see. So Mr. And Mrs. Blue, or I guess Mr I
5	don't know. Lawrence and Marlo Blue, can you hear me?
6	MS. BLUE: Yes. We're here.
7	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Do you know either the Stecklows or
8	Ms. Beverly?
9	MS. BLUE: Yes, we do.
10	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Can you speak to them and see
11	whether or not you all might have similar issues? Because what
12	happens is, if the issues are similar, we don't repeat the issues.
13	Right? So it would be more it would be a stronger case, if
14	everybody's coming forward if you have the same issues, we're
15	not repetitive.
16	So what I would suggest is that you go ahead and speak
17	with the Stecklows and Ms. Beverly, and see whether you all want
18	to combine into one if you want to speak as one. Right? And
19	then you can let me know. Okay?
20	MS. BLUE: I can let you know right now. I'm going to
21	acquire my own attorney, and I'd like to speak separately from
22	them.
23	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay.
24	MS. BLUE: I've already spoken to an attorney, and he
25	will be at our next hearing.

1	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Well, just to let you know,
2	Ms. Blue, like, you have to show us how it's different from the
3	other people. Right? Because if you just keep repeating the same
4	stuff, it's not going to work. Right? So but that's fine. So
5	
6	MS. BLUE: So repeat yourself one more time? Just so I
7	can make sure that we understand what you're asking of us.
8	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Sure. When you're in the hearing,
9	and we're actually hearing and taking testimony, it doesn't serve
10	the Board to repeat the same issues. Right? And the same
11	arguments. If you basically repeat the same issues and the same
12	argument, you won't be as effective. And you might get cut off.
13	But I'm just going to wait a second, because I'm trying
14	to figure this out. So the Deanwood Citizens' like, I haven't
15	seen what you-all's issues are yet. Right? I mean, you've kind
16	of you're just kind of giving us why you want to be party
17	status. Right? You have to submit something that is what
18	exactly do you have issues about? Right?
19	But still, that would be another party, if you had a
20	different attorney. So you want to have your own attorney speak
21	separately.
22	The Deanwood Citizens' Association is represented by
23	Ms. Sanders?
24	MS. SANDERS: Yes.
25	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Do you know any of the other

1	parties?
2	MS. SANDERS: Yes.
3	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Who do you know, Ms. Sanders?
4	MS. SANDERS: The Stecklows.
5	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. So do you want to look and see
6	if you want to speak with them?
7	MS. SANDERS: Yeah, I can do that. And I can talk to
8	all affected parties, so, you know, like you said we don't
9	duplicate the same issues, and look to see if, you know, we can
10	combine
11	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Right.
12	MS. SANDERS: if our issues do overlap.
13	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Got it.
14	So, I mean, Ms. Blue, I'm not trying to tell you to do
15	it or not. I'm just telling you, why don't you go ahead and speak
16	to one another, and just see if you want to be more effective in
17	some way, and come forward as one?
18	MS. BLUE: Okay. Not a problem. Thank you so much.
19	CHAIRPERSON HILL: All right. So you all okay. Why
20	don't you do this? I have one more week now, because we're going
21	to do we're going to come back to determine whether the ANC is
22	going to be afforded party status. Right?
23	So if you all could talk amongst yourselves and see if
24	you can figure out whether you think your issues or concerns are
25	similar, and you can come forward as one united party, let me know

1	by next week. Okay?
2	MS. BLUE: Okay.
3	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. So that's the Blues, Deanwood
4	Citizens' Association, the Stack or, sorry, the Stecklows, and
5	Ms. Beverly. All right. So then, okay. So that's that.
6	So if we and then there's a vote going to be taken on
7	this by the ANC, apparently, on Saturday. Correct, Commissioner
8	Douglas?
9	MS. DOUGLAS: Yes. Hopefully, that will hopefully,
10	that does make one, because they're asking for they're asking
11	just for more information. So that's what they are looking for,
12	and I think I can prove that the information has already been
13	provided.
14	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right. So that's one
15	thing.
16	Mr. Thornton, I don't know whether I'm going to do this
17	now or not, but there was some question as to whether there was
18	another CBIF in the block, or within five is it 500 feet, Mr.
19	Ritting? Is that the regulation?
20	MR. RITTING: Yes.
21	CHAIRPERSON HILL: More than 500 feet. Mr. Thornton, do
22	you have an answer to that?
23	MR. THORNTON: Yes. And the answer is, absolutely not.
24	I spoke with Zoning. The specific location that was mentioned in
25	the for the record, was 1516 Olive Street. And that is not a

CBIF. And I actually would say that there's not a, to my knowledge, another CBIF that is even within Ward 7.

But the location at 1516 Olive Street is actually what's called an Oxford house, which has four occupants. And I gave the Office of Zoning information on what an Oxford house is. It has nothing to do with a CBIF. It does not have anything to do with, you know, women, children and citizens.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right. All right, Mr. Thornton. I just wanted somebody to speak to that for a second. I see the Office of Planning raising their hand. Ms. Brown-Roberts, could you please introduce yourself?

MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Yeah. Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman and members of the BZA. Maxine Brown-Roberts from the Office of Planning.

In reference to that address, I spoke to the person who is in charge today. And he told me that he's the operator of Oxford House. They are a non-profit organization within the District and around the country. He says they have facilities in just about every state.

But what they do is, they provide housing for people who are recovering addicts or alcoholics. And they rent a house, and the persons who live there do pay their own rent, and they live as a unit. And so that would not, from his description -- and there's also a website about the non-profit organization -- and from his description, it does not fit into a CBIF.

1	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right. Thank you, Ms.
2	Brown-Roberts. All right. Let's see. Ms. Brown-Roberts, you
3	have a report already from the Office of Planning. Correct?
4	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Yes. Yes. A report, and there's
5	also a supplemental.
6	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Can you so this is what I
7	want to do. And Mr. Brown has been before us many times, in terms
8	of his, you know, him being an attorney for zoning. But I'm kind
9	of asking I want to ask the Office of Planning to highlight,
10	what are the issues that you, the Office of Planning, go through,
11	so that the party-status people will understand what we're going
12	to be looking at. Like, what are the issues that we will be
13	looking at?
14	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Okay.
15	CHAIRPERSON HILL: So Ms. Brown-Roberts, if you could
16	just highlight those issues in going over your report?
17	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Okay. So first of all, in Subtitle
18	B 200.2(G), it gives a definition of what a community-based
19	institutional facility is.
20	And it says, "A use providing court-ordered, monitored
21	care to individuals who have a common need for treatment,
22	rehabilitation assistance, or supervision in their daily living,
23	have been assigned to a facility, or are being detained by the
24	government, other than as a condition of probation."
25	And then it goes through, and it gives examples. You

know, of different uses. And then it goes along, and it gives a 1 2. list of exemptions. 3 And it says here that there were uses that were previously defined as community residential facilities. 4 5 went back to the 58 regulations and looked at that to see if any 6 of these -- any of these facilities would fit into the category of 7 And they didn't. So we feel that the facility is a CBIF. 8 community-based institutional facility. Then we go to --9 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Ms. Brown-Roberts? 10 MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Yes. 11 CHAIRPERSON HILL: May I interrupt you one second? Your 12 original report is in Exhibit 52? 13 MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: I'm not sure of the exhibit number. 14 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. 15 MR. BROWN: That's correct. 16 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Because I don't -- and then the 17 supplemental is in 74. Okay. 18 So I'm just -- Mr. Brown -- so just to let everybody 19 know -- so you guys want to look at Exhibit 52 and then also the 20 supplemental report from the Office of Planning, in terms of kind 21 of getting your head around a little bit more of the regulations that we'll be looking at when we do actually hear this. Okay? 22 23 So Ms. Brown-Roberts, please continue. 24 MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Yeah. So the property's located in 25 the R-2 zone. And at Subtitle U 203.1(E), it allows CBIF. And it

gives, I think, three conditions.

2.

The first one is that it shall house no more than 15 persons, not including the resident supervisor or staff and their families. This application is for 12 persons, and I think there will be -- not including the supervisors, I think, will be, too.

And then, Number 2 is that in the R-2 zone, there shall be no other lot containing a CBIF in the square or within 500 feet of the property. We are not aware of any other CBIF that is within the square or within 500 feet of this property. As I noted before, the property that was identified is not a CBIF.

And then the third category, it talks about that in all RU Use Groups A, B, and C, there shall be no other lot containing a community-based institutional facility within the square or within 1,000 feet of any portion of that lot. Again, the R-2 zone is designated as being within Use Group B, and again, we're not aware of any other lots containing any CBIF within the square within 1,000 feet of the property.

Then there's the general special exception requirements of Subtitle X, Chapter 900, and it says that -- is the proposed in harmony with the general purpose and intent of the zoning regulations and zoning map? The R-2 zone allows CBIF as a special exception if the requirements of Subtitle U 203.1 are met, and I mentioned those above. And I think that they've demonstrated that those conditions can be met.

Then it asks, would the proposed -- would the proposal

appear to or tend to affect adversely the use of neighborhood -neighboring property? Again, the CBIF is deemed to be a
compatible use in the residential zone. The facility would hold - would have 12 women. And then, regarding parking -- would be
onsite. Most of the women won't have any cars, so we don't
envision any traffic problems. DDOT has also submitted a report
addressing that.

We did include some conditions of approval -- that the property would only house 12 residents, and that the 6-foot-height wood fence that surrounds the property, especially in the front, would be removed. Again, this is so that the facility would more be in line with other residents along Olive Street. Again, the parking would not be a problem.

I think the applicant would need to address, you know, how the operations of the facility is going to be, you know, how they're going to be coming and going. Again, what are the wraparound services that will be provided? And I think those issues will go into addressing the effect -- or not adversely affecting the use of neighboring properties.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, thank you, Ms. Brown-Roberts.

Okay. You guys, I just wanted you all to hear from the

Office of Planning, and have an opportunity to look at their

24 report.

So Mr. Thornton, I guess, you know, when you come before

1	us, you might want to, you know, tell us a little bit more
2	like, we've had this type of case before us, and really kind of,
3	like, outlining how, you know what are your standard what
4	are your SOPs? Right? Your, you know how do you operate your
5	program? How do you make sure that everyone is safe?
6	How do your you know, as the Office of Planning
7	mentioned, like, the wraparound services. You know, just how
8	is it that your business operates? Right? And probably the same
9	thing that the ANC that you're going to present on Saturday and
10	trying to address they and the community's concerns. The
11	details, the minutia of how you're going to be able to provide
12	these services in a way that is both good for the people who need
13	them, as well as the community.
14	So those are things that I think you'll need to bring
15	before us. So you Mr. Thornton, I'm sorry?
16	MR. THORNTON: Yes, Chairman Hill. So, the two other
17	parties to this, you know, Thrive D.C. and Community Family Life
18	Services, they will be running the programs. They have all of
19	that information. We've also supplied some of it, for the record,
20	some of the MOUs that are in place. But they will be the ones
21	that will speak more clearly to the operations of the program.
22	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Got it. So Mr. Thornton,
23	again, like, we're you can see now, it's 7:00 in the evening.
24	MR. THORNTON: Mm-hm. Yes.
25	CHAIRPERSON HILL: So what I'm saying is, we have a lot

1	of cases that we go through. The easiest you can make it for us,
2	so we don't have to search around through many different exhibits
3	to figure out, you know, how this thing however you want to
4	present it. I'm just telling you. The easiest way you can
5	present it to us the more concisely you can present it to us,
6	the better it is, I think, for everybody, including the parties
7	that are going to be at this hearing when we do have it. Okay?
8	I'm just making a point. Right?
9	All right. So I'm going to go around the table and see
10	if anybody has any questions of me before we finally end. But
11	what I think is that, if they have the hearing on the 25th, the
12	part next week, on the 29th, we're going to decide the party
13	status for ANC 7C? 7C? ANC 7C.
14	So Mr. Moy, we are going to come back here for a meeting
15	session for the preliminary matter of party status for 7C on the
16	29th. Then what knowing that this is actually going to be a
17	very long hearing, what days do we have in October that look good,
18	Mr. Moy?
19	MR. MOY: In relative terms, Mr. Chairman?
20	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah, in relative terms.
21	MR. MOY: I think the first thought in my mind would be
22	to hear the merits of the application on October 6th, if possible.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Why? The 13th, 20th and 27th are that bad?

23

24

25

MR. MOY: We could do -- pardon me. We could do the

1 13th. 2 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Sorry. How many cases are on the 3 6th, and how many cases are on the 13th? MR. MOY: On the 6th, we have nine. On the 13th, we 4 5 have nine. So that'd be the same. I would forget about the 20th. And on the 27th, we have nine. Yeah. So it's going to be up to 6 7 the Board. Same number of cases. 8 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Got it. 9 Mr. Thornton? 10 MR. THORNTON: Yes, sir. 11 CHAIRPERSON HILL: So I'm trying to figure out, like --12 also, you've been waiting to find out what's going to happen. Right? So financially, is there much difference for you between 13 14 the 6th and the 13th? 15 MR. THORNTON: No. 16 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Then I would go ahead and say the 13th, because that way, then, the 29th, they can all figure 17 18 out what they're going to do, meaning whether or not -- whoever 19 the parties are going to end up doing, like -- you, the parties, 20 are going to have to submit something to us. 21 And Mr. Moy, I'll let you help me with this. We do need 22 something from the parties that -- you know, what are they opposed 23 They have to submit something. And I don't Why? Right? 24 know when -- maybe you can help me out -- so that we have

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
Court Reporting and Litigation Support
Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia
410-766-HUNT (4868)
1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

something, so that we can get something from OAG's analysis, so

25

1	that we can hear them on the 13th on the merits.
2	MR. MOY: Mr. Chairman?
3	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah?
4	MR. MOY: I don't know if this is going to weigh in as
5	factored, but we will be minus one Board member on the 13th.
6	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. That's a problem.
7	MR. THORNTON: 6th is fine with us, too.
8	CHAIRMAN HOOD: And I'll be back on the well, the
9	6th. But it looks like I'll be back every week.
10	CHAIRPERSON HILL: You're back on the 6th?
11	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yeah. It looks like I'm going to be
12	back every week. But yeah, I'll be back the 6th.
13	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right. Well, let's try to
14	do it I mean, if we did it on the 6th, that means that
15	right. So we're going to miss a Board member. Okay. I don't
16	know.
17	CHAIRMAN HOOD: On the 6th or on the 13th, you're going
18	to miss a Board member?
19	CHAIRPERSON HILL: We're going to miss the Board member
20	on the 13th. So what
21	CHAIRMAN HOOD: I withdraw what I said, Mr. Chairman.
22	Whenever you all do it, I'll make it.
23	CHAIRPERSON HILL: No, no, no. That's not what
24	Chairman Hood, you'd have to come back on the 13th anyway. It's
25	just that we'd have one less Board member.

1	And I guess I'd rather have her so can you so it
2	we try to do it on the 6th, that means, Mr. Moy, when would you
3	have to have filings by the party-status people?
4	MR. MOY: If the Board is desiring to have a prehearing
5	statement from all the parties, then I would suggest let's see.
6	We're looking at October 6th. If the parties submit their
7	prehearing statements geez. I mean, today's already the 22nd.
8	This is
9	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay.
10	MR. MOY: To have time to review, then we're looking at
11	Friday, October the 1st.
12	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right. I'll tell you
13	what. Why don't you give me give them till Friday, October the
14	1st, then OAG, you all are going to have to let us know something
15	I don't know.
16	Just kind of figure it out and give us something, so we
17	can look at it by the 6th. Okay, Mr. Ritting? To the party-
18	status people. Right? Okay.
19	So you guys and then we might give a little bit more
20	time to the ANC, if we end up giving the ANC party status. It
21	depends if they can turn something around in two days or not. But
22	go ahead and let's have all the filings by the 1st. Okay?
23	Whatever you all decide to do, in terms of filings from the
24	parties, give it to us all by the 1st of October.
25	And just to let you all know, normally, what we do

1	not normally, but oftentimes what we do, per the regulations, you
2	all get given party status today, and then you present your case
3	today. Like, normally, there's not necessarily time. So you're
4	already getting, now, a week and a couple days.
5	So y'all figure it out. Let us know what you decide
6	about joining together or not by the 29th. And then your filings
7	will be on the 1st. Okay?
8	MS. DOUGLAS: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to say something.
9	I want also, you're talking about you asked the 7C to give
10	some kind of how it affects them. I want to submit something,
11	how it doesn't affect them, too. I would have that opportunity to
12	show that it does not affect them, those documents, to submit.
13	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, well, the record is open.
14	MS. DOUGLAS: Okay. Okay, thank you. Okay.
15	CHAIRPERSON HILL: If you want to but just to let you
16	just to let you know, Commissioner, like, we're going to if
17	you want to submit something, you should submit it before the
18	29th, because we're going to decide on the 29th.
19	MS. DOUGLAS: Okay. Thank you.
20	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay?
21	MS. DOUGLAS: Okay.
22	MR. BROWN: Mr. Chairman, may I have a brief word?
23	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Sure, Mr. Brown.
24	MR. BROWN: When I filed my application notifying you
25	that I was representing Ms. Beverly, I made no secret of the fact

that I found the application materials woefully deficient in meeting the standards for filing a completely application. All of the information that has been dumped into the record on Monday -- I've had no opportunity to evaluate that information and prepare for a hearing today.

On top of that, even today, we still do not have one of the fundamental requirements of the completed application -- a statement of the witnesses of the applicant and a summary of their testimony. I don't know how I can responsibly prepare cross-examination if I don't even know who's going to testify, and have no idea what they're going to say.

So I think as a precondition to our submission on October 1st, the applicant should comply with the regulations and complete the application by submitting a list of witnesses and a summary, a meaningful summary, of their testimony.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. What I'm trying to figure out -- Mr. Ritting, now, I'm going to turn to you -- is that, again, I don't, you know -- I know that -- and Mr. Brown, I appreciate what you're saying and what you're talking about. Like, again, oftentimes -- this is going -- this is going to go on -- oftentimes, people get given party status, they argue now. Right? So, you know, you can -- and I appreciate what you're saying.

But now I'm turning to Mr. Ritting, which is that -- Mr. Ritting, if this is, you know -- I don't know if there's something that -- this application is not compliant is some capacity, and I

1	did not get that impression. If, however, it is, can you let the
2	Board know? Okay?
3	MR. RITTING: Sure. And if I could make another
4	suggestion, I think Mr. Brown was suggesting that, with the
5	prehearing statements that the parties are filing, that the
6	applicant file the information that he, Mr. Brown, requested,
7	which seems like a very reasonable request.
8	CHAIRPERSON HILL: That's fine. So then, Mr and I
9	don't know we're going to have to push this back, because
10	you're not going to you guys are going to be doing everything
11	in a week. Right? And so because I want to get this as tidy
12	as possible.
13	Mr. Brown, then, that means you're going to submit your
14	witnesses and what your witnesses are going to say. Correct?
15	MR. BROWN: Yes.
16	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. And then Mr. Thornton has to
17	have an opportunity to look at that. Correct?
18	MR. BROWN: Yeah, but they should start the ball rolling
19	with their witnesses. And we don't know who they are.
20	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. So right. So Mr. Brown
21	yeah, anyway. Mr. Brown, I do a lot of these cases every day.
22	Right? And so a lot of times, I don't get their witness list, and

a lot of times, I don't get what everybody's going to say ahead of

time. And it's only when there's lot of controversy, like this,

that suddenly, you know, it changes. Right? So -- but I will

23

24

25

1	agree with you, and I'm just trying to be efficient, is what I'm
2	saying. So I'm trying to figure out how to best do this.
3	So Mr. Thornton, what we need from you again is the list
4	of your witnesses and what your witnesses are going to testify to.
5	MR. THORNTON: So Chairman Hill, when you say list of
6	witnesses so there's a online open forum for people to testify.
7	Those are not my witnesses. So when you refer to witnesses in
8	this case and again, I'm not an attorney, so I'm not trying to
9	speak as though I'm an attorney. You know, I'm just an applicant.
10	CHAIRPERSON HILL: I got you, Mr. Thornton.
11	MR. THORNTON: And then, so there are you know, my
12	testimony has been submitted for the record. The two, you know,
13	the two
14	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Thornton, that's okay. I know
15	what I'm going to do.
16	So Mr. Ritting, can you hear me? Why don't you reach
17	out to Mr. Thornton, okay, and make sure we get something that is
18	compliant? Okay? Right?
19	And then I will make sure that that stuff, Mr. Thornton,
20	you understand what needs to be done, and if we can get that
21	submitted by you
22	MR. THORNTON: So are you saying that we're not in
23	compliance with the application at this point?
24	CHAIRPERSON HILL: I'm going to let Mr. Ritting reach
25	out to you, and he can help specify some of the issues that Mr.

Brown, who has now been hired by one of the party-status people, 2. is bringing up. Okay? 3 MR. THORNTON: Mm-hm. That's fine. 4 CHAIRPERSON HILL: So I'm going to give that opportunity 5 -- so then if you do that, we'll have your filings, and 6 everything, let's say, by the 8th. Okay? 7 MR. THORNTON: So, wait --8 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Right? Oh, no, wait, I'm sorry. I 9 apologize. I apologize. Let's do by the 1st. Okay? By the 1st. 10 Okay, Mr. Ritting? If you can reach out to Mr. Thornton. 11 By the 1st. Let's get everything by -- let's get everything from all of the party-status people by the 8th. Okay? 12 13 MS. DOUGLAS: Are you talking about the 8th of October? 14 CHAIRPERSON HILL: 8th of October. 15 MS. DOUGLAS: Okay. And then you've got the 1st of 16 October for Mr. Thornton. 17 CHAIRPERSON HILL: And then we're going to -- and then 18 we're going to have -- what was it, Mr. Moy? We're missing 19 somebody on the 13th. Right? 20 MS. DOUGLAS: Yes. 21 CHAIRPERSON HILL: No, no, no. I'm just --22 MR. MOY: That's correct. So under the scenario you just set up, Mr. Chairman, you can still keep that first timeline 23 of October 1st, October 8th, and then we can return to the Board 24 25 on October the 20th.

1	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Well, the 20th, you said, was an
2	awful day.
3	MS. DOUGLAS: Mm-hm.
4	MR. MOY: No. Well, wait a minute.
5	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah, you said the 20th was no good.
6	The 13th was okay, but we're going to miss a Board member, which
7	I think the Board member's going to be fine with.
8	MR. MOY: Yeah, we have an appeal on the 20th. That
9	yeah, no good. So it would we would have to return either
10	October the 13th or October the 27th.
11	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Let's do the 13th. Let's do the
12	13th. Okay? So if we come back on the 13th, Mr. Moy, can you
13	tell everybody what we need and by when? I mean, I kind of
14	started, I guess.
15	MR. MOY: Yeah. So if the rescheduled hearing on the
16	merits is scheduled for October 13th, then the applicant needs to
17	make his filing, after he has this meeting with OAG, to submit his
18	filing by October the 1st. Then all the parties can submit their
19	prehearing statements by October the 8th.
20	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Great. And then we'll be back
21	here on the 13th. Okay?
22	MS. DOUGLAS: Okay.
23	CHAIRPERSON HILL: All right. Does anybody have any
24	questions? Let me just go one at a time, please.
25	MS. DOUGLAS: Yes, sir.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: All right.

Well, Commissioner Douglas, you've got any questions?

MS. DOUGLAS: Yeah, I just -- I didn't want to get confused, because I know I have another case on October the 20th, and that's at Lee Street. So I just want to make sure that that wasn't the same -- that I would end up having two cases. So we're going to be heard on October the 13th, this case. Is that correct?

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yes.

MS. DOUGLAS: Okay. Thank you. And everything else has to be submitted by October the 8th.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Right. October the 1st, you're going to hear anything more that the applicant has to say, after Mr. Thornton talks with OAG.

Then everybody, all the -- but just to clarify again, that didn't change my deadline of the 29th. You all got to let me know by the 29th whether you're somehow going to combine as parties or not. Okay? And if you're not, then you have to provide me -- anyway, whatever. I need to know -- I just don't want the things to be repeated. Like, I really need a list.

You know, all your -- I guess, actually, what needs to happen is -- there you go, now that I think about it -- the party-status people also have to let me know by the 1st what their issues are. Okay? By the 1st, the party-status people have to let me know what their issues and concerns are. Okay? Including

1	their witnesses, and what their witnesses are going to say. Okay?
2	Then by the 8th, everybody else can respond to everybody
3	else's stuff. Okay? And then we're going to be back here on the
4	13th.
5	MS. DOUGLAS: So that means that ANC's by the 8th. Is
6	that what you're saying?
7	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah, the ANC by the 8th. That'd be
8	great.
9	MS. DOUGLAS: Okay. Okay. Okay, great.
10	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay?
11	MR. RITTING: Mr. Hill? I'm sorry to prolong this. But
12	I thought we had also discussed that we were going to determine
13	whether 7C is a party or not on September 29th.
14	CHAIRPERSON HILL: We are.
15	MR. RITTING: And you didn't specifically mention that
16	as you went through your list, but I wanted to make that clear, so
17	no one is confused.
18	CHAIRPERSON HILL: I got you. I got you. Once again,
19	we're going to decide ANC's party status next week on the 29th.
20	Everybody, all the parties, are going to let me know whether
21	they're going to combine by the 29th. And then on the 1st,
22	everybody's going to let me know what their issues and concerns
23	are. Right? And that includes Mr. Thornton and all the party-
24	status people.
25	Then everybody can respond to all those issues and

concerns by the 8th. And then we're going to have our hearing on the merits on the 13th.

2.

MS. DOUGLAS: So that means, including me, going back to the September the 29th? Am I correct?

CHAIRPERSON HILL: No, you don't need to -- I mean, Commissioner Douglas, if you want to submit something as to why you don't think ANC 7C should get party status, you can go ahead and do that. But we have enough information, I think, as to whether or not we're going to do that or not, after we talk with OAG and do a little bit more research.

MS. DOUGLAS: But I just -- and I think you recall that you said the reason why it doesn't -- that I can present something that does not affect them. So I think I should have that opportunity. He's going to submit something saying why it is going to affect him. But I need to say something why it isn't.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: We're not taking any testimony on the 29th. We're just going to have a meeting and decide.

MS. DOUGLAS: Yeah, but if you accept him -- let me say this, Mr. Hill. If you accept him and he said his -- why he thinks it affects him, and then now you're telling me that I can't submit nothing to why it shouldn't affect him, to show what not to -- so you give him the okay to go ahead, send and show why -- how does it affect your single-member district, and I want to show you how it does not affect my single-member district, for him. So I think I should be --

CHAIRPERSON HILL: We're not taking anything -- we're 1 2 not taking anything more from them. 3 MS. DOUGLAS: Yeah, but you're giving him an opportunity to submit something. 4 5 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Oh, to talk today. To talk today. 6 MS. DOUGLAS: Yes, to talk, saying -- to show -- because 7 you asked him, can you submit something that says, what does not 8 affect your -- or how does it affect your ANC? So you asked -- I asked you, could I submit something, showing that it does not --9 10 that it does, does not affect the -- vice versa what he's saying, 11 how it does not affect the ANC. That's all I'm saying. You're 12 asking -- yeah. That's all I'm asking. 13 CHAIRPERSON HILL: I understand, Commissioner. What I'm 14 trying to get to is, so, today, we asked -- so today, people came 15 before us to decide whether or not they were going to be party-16 status people. Right? 17 MS. DOUGLAS: Right. 18 CHAIRPERSON HILL: They're the ones that are coming --19 they're the ones that are coming with their opportunity to let us 20 know why they should be given party status. And then we determine 21 whether or not they should be given party status. So if you want 22 to -- so he just gave his testimony. That's all he did. He just gave his testimony. So if you --23 24 MS. DOUGLAS: Yeah, but he also said -- you also asked 25 him to show -- because I wrote it down. Y'all sure said, I need

1	you to submit something showing, how does it affect this ANC 7D?
2	so
3	CHAIRPERSON HILL: I didn't realize I asked for a
4	submission.
5	MS. DOUGLAS: Yeah, you did. So I just wanted to make
6	sure that's why I came
7	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Commissioner?
8	MS. DOUGLAS: behind and asked.
9	CHAIRPERSON HILL: I got you.
10	Chairman Hood?
11	CHAIRMAN HOOD: I think, Mr. Chairman, we did ask
12	Commissioner Holmes he explained to, I think, all as we
13	already know, Commissioner Douglas wants something to say how he's
14	not should not have party status. That's all she's asking. So
15	she wants to submit that in writing to you.
16	CHAIRPERSON HILL: No, I got that. She can definitely
17	submit something in writing. I just I didn't realize that we
18	had asked for something from Commissioner Holmes, and if we did,
19	then Commissioner Douglas would want an opportunity to respond to
20	what Commissioner Holmes submits.
21	CHAIRMAN HOOD: But he did it verbally. Verbally. He
22	did it verbally.
23	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Right. We didn't ask for any
24	submission. So Commissioner Douglas, can you hear me?
25	MS. DOUGLAS: Yes.

1	CHAIRPERSON HILL: So if you want to submit something in
2	writing, as to why ANC 7C should not be given party status, that's
3	fine.
4	MS. DOUGLAS: Okay. Thank you.
5	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. So, but just to be clear,
6	Commissioner, we're going to decide on the 29th.
7	MS. DOUGLAS: I hear what you're saying, but I just want
8	to make sure all the evidence that, you know, is clear, to show
9	why.
10	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Sure. That's fine. So when how
11	much time do you need to do that?
12	MS. DOUGLAS: Well, you said the 29th, so that's, like,
13	next week. So I can be working on that as soon as as of
14	tomorrow.
15	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Well, I just want to make sure
16	I know when we might get something, so that we have a chance to
17	look at it.
18	MS. DOUGLAS: Well, give me an opportunity. I keep
19	you're just telling me now. The mark ain't here yet, Mr. Hill.
20	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. You think what did you say?
21	So you think what did you say? So you think, like
22	MS. DOUGLAS: The mark's not right here yet, Mr. Hill.
23	Give me an opportunity to get them together. So I
24	CHAIRPERSON HILL: How's, like, the 27th? How's Monday?
25	MS. DOUGLAS: No, I'll take the 29th, because the 27th

1	is hitting it kind of tight. Give me the 29th, I will.
2	CHAIRPERSON HILL: I mean, the 29th, we're deciding. So
3	the 28th.
4	MS. DOUGLAS: Oh. The 28th. Yes. I see.
5	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay.
6	MS. DOUGLAS: Thank you. Thank you. So the 28th.
7	CHAIRPERSON HILL: We'll give you till the 28th. Okay?
8	MS. DOUGLAS: Okay. Thank you. Thank you so much.
9	Thank you, too, Mr. Hood.
10	CHAIRPERSON HILL: I got Commissioner Douglas, can
11	you do the 27th? Because if you give me something on the 28th at,
12	like, 5:00, it's not going to get read.
13	MS. DOUGLAS: 27th is on a Monday. Is that correct?
14	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah. As long as you give me
15	look. Look. Just beginning of the day on the 28th. Okay?
16	MS. DOUGLAS: Okay. I got you.
17	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Because we need to be able to read
18	something.
19	MS. DOUGLAS: Okay. Thank you. Thank you.
20	CHAIRPERSON HILL: If you give it to me at midnight on
21	the 28th, nothing's happening.
22	MS. DOUGLAS: Got you. I got you.
23	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay? Okay.
24	MS. DOUGLAS: Thank you. Thank you. Mm-hm. Thanks.
25	CHAIRPERSON HILL: So let's just say, in the morning of

1	the 28th, okay, we're going to get something from Commissioner
2	Douglas as to why ANC shouldn't be given party status, and we're
3	going to decide on the 29th.
4	Commissioner Holmes, are you there?
5	MR. HOLMES: Yes, I am.
6	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Do you understand?
7	MR. HOLMES: Yes, I do.
8	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. So there you go. That's that.
9	And then, does every all right.
10	So Commissioner Douglas, you're good. Correct?
11	MS. DOUGLAS: Yes, I'm good now.
12	CHAIRPERSON HILL: All right.
13	Commissioner Holmes, you're good?
14	MR. HOLMES: Yes. Do I need to submit anything written
15	now? Or you just want the verbal is good enough?
16	CHAIRPERSON HILL: I think the verbal's fine with me.
17	MR. HOLMES: All right. Thank you.
18	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay? Unless if my fellow Board
19	members want to raise their hand?
20	No. Nobody's raising their hand. So Commissioner,
21	you're okay. You'll find out on the 29th what's going on, and
22	then if you do get party status well, hell. I don't know.
23	We'll have to figure it out. But then we'll need something from
24	you by the 1st.
25	MR. HOLMES: Okay.

1		CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay? So all right. Okay. Let
2	me see.	I'm going to go around the table.
3		Mr. and Mrs. Blue, can you hear me?
4		MS. BLUE: Yes, sir. We're here.
5		CHAIRPERSON HILL: Do you have any questions?
6		MS. BLUE: Not at the moment.
7		CHAIRPERSON HILL: Any questions?
8		MS. BLUE: No, not at the moment.
9		CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Great.
10		Mr. And Mrs. Stecklow, can you hear me?
11		MS. STECKLOW: Yes, we can.
12		CHAIRPERSON HILL: Do you got any questions?
13		MS. STECKLOW: No, we do not. Thank you for your time.
14		CHAIRPERSON HILL: Ms. Beverly, can you hear me?
15		MS. BEVERLY: I can.
16		CHAIRPERSON HILL: You got any questions?
17		MS. BEVERLY: I'll defer to Mr. Brown.
18		CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay.
19		Ms. Sanders, can you hear me?
20		MS. SANDERS: Yes.
21		CHAIRPERSON HILL: You have
22		MS. SANDERS: No questions at this time.
23		CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, great. All right.
24		Well, then, I wish you all luck in getting organized.
25	And we'll	hear it all on the 13th.

Oh, I'm sorry. Mr. Thornton, do you have any questions? 1 2 MR. THORNTON: Yes, sir. As it relates to the party-3 status folks being able to question the applicant, does the applicant also get to question them? 4 Oh, yeah, it's a big party. 5 CHAIRPERSON HILL: 6 Everybody talks to everybody. It's a back-and-forth. It's a --7 you know. 8 You'll get -- so just to be clear, and it's just late, 9 so I'm having a little fun -- you'll get your -- you'll present 10 your case, then all the party-status -- well, first you'll present 11 your case. Then the Board will ask you questions. 12 Then the party-status people will present their case, 13 whether it's each individual or whatever it turns out being. I'm 14 sorry. I'm sorry, then the party-status people will ask you questions. All right? Then they will give their presentations. 15 16 The Board will ask them their questions, then you will ask 17 questions of them. Right? 18 And I forget where cross-examination comes in. There's 19 cross-examination at some point. Right? And I'm going to need 20 Mr. -- I'm going to need OAG again, because I just can't remember 21 -- it goes. Then we hear from the Office of Planning. Everybody gets to hear the Office of Planning questions. 22 23 Then there's a chance for you to give a rebuttal, Mr. Thornton. That's the good part. You get to say how everything 24

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
Court Reporting and Litigation Support
Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia
410-766-HUNT (4868)
1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

else is wrong, as to what they said. Right? And then they'll

25

1	question all the things you said, as to what was wrong in
2	rebuttal. You'll get a conclusion, and then we'll see what
3	happens.
4	MR. THORNTON: All right.
5	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay? And Mr. Ritting can actually go
6	over that with you when he talks to you.
7	Mr. Ritting, you look like you want to say something.
8	MR. RITTING: Yeah. Mr. Thornton?
9	MR. THORNTON: Yes.
10	MR. RITTING: Could you stay on this call after everyone
11	else leaves, so I can get some contact information for you?
12	Because I looked in the record, I only see a PO box. So
13	MR. THORNTON: Yes, sir.
14	MR. RITTING: Okay.
15	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah, that won't work. Because it's
16	live, Mr. Ritting.
17	So you, Mr. Thornton, why don't you reach out tomorrow
18	through the Office of Zoning, and this is now on you, and make
19	sure okay. Hold on.
20	Ms. Blue?
21	MS. BLUE: I'm sorry. I do have one question. We were
22	told by Mr. Thornton that he did not have any, you know, financial
23	interest in this property. Why is it that he has he's applying
24	for party status?
25	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Thornton is the applicant. Mr.

1	Thornton is the applicant. He's the one who's he's the one	
2	who's applying.	
3	MS. BLUE: Right. He told us that he didn't have any	
4	interest.	
5	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Right. So Ms. Blue, you'll have a	
6	chance to ask all your questions and present everything at the	
7	hearing. But he's the applicant.	
8	MS. BLUE: Okay. Yeah, we were told something	
9	otherwise. That's all I wanted to know.	
10	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. No problem.	
11	MS. BLUE: Thank you.	
12	CHAIRPERSON HILL: No problem.	
13	So Mr. Thornton, you've got to reach out to the Office	
14	of Zoning and make sure that Mr. Ritting has your contact	
15	information. Okay?	
16	MR. THORNTON: Yeah, I'm going to stay on the call.	
17	CHAIRPERSON HILL: No, you can't, because this is on	
18	YouTube Live. It's on	
19	MR. THORNTON: Well, quickly, can you give me a number I	
20	can call you?	
21	CHAIRPERSON HILL: No, because this is still on YouTube	
22	Live. You can have the Office of Zoning's number.	
23	MR. THORNTON: I got that.	
24	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Mr. Moy, is that	
25	MR. THORNTON: What was the name again?	

1	CHAIRPERSON HILL: His name is Jacob Ritting. That's		
2	the attorney you're trying to get in touch with.		
3	MR. THORNTON: R-E		
4	CHAIRPERSON HILL: R-I-T-I-N-G.		
5	MR. RITTING: I just received an instant message from		
6	the Office of Zoning that says that they'll send me your email		
7	address, Mr. Thornton. I think that'll take care of it.		
8	MR. THORNTON: Great. That'll suffice. Thank you.		
9	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, great.		
10	MR. RITTING: Okay. Thank you. Thanks, everybody. I'm		
11	sorry to slow this down.		
12	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. That's great.		
13	All right. Everybody seems to know what's going on, so		
14	I will excuse us from this portion of the hearing, and you all		
15	have a nice evening.		
16	MR. THORNTON: All right.		
17	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Bye-bye. Good luck. Good		
18	luck.		
19	MR. HOLMES: Thank you.		
20	MS. BLUE: Thanks.		
21	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Bye-bye.		
22	MS. BLUE: Bye.		
23	MS. BEVERLY: Bye.		
24	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. So I guess that's it. Mr.		
25	Moy, does the Board have does anybody got anything they need to		

1	say about	anything?
2		(No response.)
3		CHAIRPERSON HILL: No? Okay.
4		Mr. Moy, is there anything that's in front of the Board
5	tonight?	
6		MR. MOY: I'm not offering anything else, Mr. Chairman.
7		CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Well, it was a pleasure,
8	everyone.	Y'all have a nice evening.
9		BOARD MEMBER SMITH: Bye.
10		CHAIRPERSON HILL: Bye.
11		VICE CHAIR JOHN: Thank you.
12		(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the
13	record at	7:15 p.m.)
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		

## C E R T I F I C A T E

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Public Hearing

Before: DCBZA

Date: 09-22-21

Place: Teleconference

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Madison Wagaman