GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT

+ + + + +

REGULAR PUBLIC MEETING

+ + + + +

WEDNESDAY

MARCH 10, 2021

+ + + + +

The Regular Public Meeting of the District of Columbia Board of Zoning Adjustment convened via Videoconference, pursuant to notice at 9:27 a.m. EDT, Frederick L. Hill, Chairperson, presiding.

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT MEMBERS PRESENT:

FREDERICK L. HILL, Chairperson LORNA L. JOHN, Vice Chair CHRISHAUN S. SMITH, Board Member

ZONING COMMISSION MEMBER PRESENT:

PETER SHAPIRO, Commissioner PETER G. MAY, Commissioner

OFFICE OF ZONING STAFF PRESENT:

CLIFFORD MOY, Secretary
DON ANDREWS, Board of Zoning Adjustment
PAUL YOUNG, Zoning Data Specialist

D.C. OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL PRESENT:

JOHN RICE, Esquire

ALEXANDRA CAIN, Esquire

The transcript constitutes the minutes from the Regular Public Meeting held on March 10, 2021.

T-A-B-L-E O-F C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S

	. 20404 - Application of DEANWOOD 711, LLC escheduled to April 28, 2021)
	. 20405 - Application of DEANWOOD 719, LLC escheduled to April 28, 2021)
	. 20409 - Application of Joseph and Elizabeth Lunsford escheduled to May 19, 2021)8
	. 20401 - Application of ABCT Development4, LLC ithdrawn)
	. 20399 - Application of 2237-2239 12th Street, LLC ithdrawn)
Case No	. 20313 - Application of FHD, LLC 9
Case No	. 20359 - Application of 1301 West Virginia, LLC . 68
Case No	. 20400 - Application of GREEN STREET, APTS, LLC . 167
Case No	. 20281 - Application of Square 737, LLC 173
	. 20358 - Application of Abraham Atansuyi - ismissed)
	. 20403 - Application of Benton Wisehart and ruby
Case No	. 20342 - Application of Peggy C. Kennedy195

1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	(9:27 a.m.)
3	CHAIRPERSON HILL: The hearing will please come to
4	order.
5	Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. We are convened and
6	broadcasting this public hearing by videoconference. This is the
7	March 10th, 2021, public hearing of the Board of Zoning Adjustment
8	of the District of Columbia. My name is Fred Hill, Chairperson.
9	Joining me today will be Lorna John, Vice Chair; Chrishaun Smith,
10	Board Member; and representing the Zoning Commission will be Peter
11	Shapiro and Peter May.
12	Today's hearing agenda is available to you on the Office
13	of Zoning's website. Please be advised that this proceeding is
14	being recorded by a court reporter and is also webcast live via
15	Webex and YouTube Live.
16	The webcast video will be available to you on the Office
17	of Zoning's website after today's hearing. Accordingly, everyone
18	who is listening on Webex or by telephone will be muted during the
19	hearing and only persons who have signed up to participate or
20	testify will be unmuted at the appropriate time.
21	Please state your name and home address before providing
22	oral testimony or your presentation. Oral presentation should be
23	limited to a summary of your most important points. When you're

finished speaking, please mute your audio so that your microphone

24

is no longer picking up sound or background noise.

If you're experiencing difficulty accessing Webex or your -- or by telephone call-in or if you have forgotten to sign up 24 hours prior to the hearing, then please call our OZ Hotline number at 2020-727-5471. Once again, 202-727-5471 to sign up to testify and to receive Webex login or call-in instructions.

All persons planning to testify either in favor or in opposition should have signed up in advance. They'll be called by name to testify. If this is an appeal, only parties are allowed to testify. By signing up to testify, all participants completed the oath or affirmation as required by Subtitle Y 408.7.

Requests to enter evidence at the time of an online virtual hearing such as written testimony or additional supporting documents other than live video which may not be presented as part of the testimony may be allowed pursuant to Subtitle Y 103.13 provided that the person making the request to enter an exhibit explain how the proposed exhibit is relevant, the good cause that justifies allowing the exhibit into the record including an explanation of why the requestor did not file the exhibit prior to the hearing pursuant to Y 206 and how the proposed exhibit would not unreasonably prejudice any parties.

The order of procedures for special exceptions and variances are pursuant to Y 409. The order of appeals is also in Y 507.

At the conclusion of each case, an individual who is

unable to testify because of technical issues may file a request for leave to file a written version of the planned testimony to the record within 24 hours following the conclusion of public testimony in the hearing. If additional written testimony is accepted, the parties will be allowed reasonable time to respond as determined by the Board. The Board will then make its decision at its next meeting, but no earlier than 48 hours after the hearing.

Moreover, the Board may request additional specific information to complete the record. The Board or the staff will specify at the end of the hearing exactly what is expected and the date when persons must submit the evidence to the Office of Zoning. No other information shall be accepted by the Board.

The Board's agenda may include previous cases set for decision. After the Board adjourns the hearing, the Office of Zoning, in consultation with myself, will determine whether a full or summary order may be issued. A full order is required when the decision it contains is adverse to a party, including an affected ANC. A full order may also be needed if the Board's decision differs from the Office of Planning's recommendation. Although the Board favors the use of summary orders whenever possible, an applicant may not request the Board to issue such an order.

The District of Columbia Administrative Procedures Act requires that the public hearing on each case be held in the open before the public. However, pursuant to Section 405(b) and 406 of

the Act, the Board may, consistent with its Rules of Procedures and the Act, enter into a closed meeting on a case for purposes of seeking legal counsel on a case pursuant to D.C. Official Code Section 2-575(b)4, and/or deliberate on a case pursuant to D.C. Official Code Section 2-575(b)13, but only after providing the necessary public notice and, in the case of an emergency closed meeting after taking a roll call vote.

Preliminary matters are those which relate to whether a case will or should be heard today, such as a request for a postponement, continuance, or withdrawal, or whether proper and adequate notice of the hearing has been given. If you're not prepared to go forward with the case today or if you believe the Board should not proceed, now is the time to raise such a matter.

Mr. Secretary, do we have any preliminary matters?

MR. MOY: Good morning, Mr. Chairman, members of the Board. I do have a couple items for the Board and for the record. First of all, while I think about it, Vice Chair John is having technical difficulties, so staff is working with her to get her in or at least by telephone.

So No. 1 for today's docket and for the record transcript, we have four cases. Actually, five cases that is off today's docket. Two cases have been postponed/rescheduled to April 28th, 2021. These two cases are Case Application No. 20404 of Deanwood 711, LLC and Case Application No. 20405 of Deanwood 719, LLC. Both of these cases rescheduled to April 28th, 2021.

1	Application No. 20409 of Joseph and Elizabeth Lunsford
2	has been administratively rescheduled to May 19th, 2021.
3	Application No. 20401 of ABCT Development4, LLC has been withdrawn
4	by the applicant as well as Case Application No. 20399 of 2237-
5	2239 12th Street, LLC; also withdrawn by the applicant.
6	So other than that, we there are there is a
7	preliminary matter to your first case when you ask me to proceed.
8	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Let's just give Ms. John a
9	minute and see whether she can also even dial in perhaps. I'll be
10	right back myself. I'm just going to grab something.
11	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Good morning. Hello?
12	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Oh, great. Ms. John, you're there?
13	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Yes, I'm here and I'm still trying to
14	get on. My Verizon service went out last night, so I'm trying to
15	connect on over cellular, so.
16	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. But can you hear us? Well,
17	obviously.
18	VICE CHAIR JOHN: I can hear you very well, thank you.
19	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Then just give me one second,
20	please.
21	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Okay.
22	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: And Vice Chair John, we can hear
23	you quite well as well.
24	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Okay. Thank you, very much.
25	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Though we miss your face.

VICE CHAIR JOHN: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: All right. Great. Well, Mr. Moy, then you can go ahead and call our first case if you'd like.

MR. MOY: Yes, sir. Thank you. This would be Case Application No. 20313 of FHD, LLC and the caption as advertised reads as amended for special exceptions under the RA-use requirement Subtitle U, Section 421.1, pursuant to Subtitle F, Section 5201 from side yard requirements, Subtitle Title F, Section 306.6, and under the Voluntary Inclusionary Zoning Requirements Subtitle F, Section 5206.1. This will construct a two-story rear addition and convert a semi-attached principal dwelling unit into a four-unit apartment building in the RA-1 zone. This is at premises 4310 2nd Street, N.W., Square 3318, Lot 811.

As the Board will recall, you last heard this at your meeting session on January 13th, but on March 3rd, 2021, the Board rescheduled this case as a continued hearing to today, March 10th. Other than that, in terms of preliminary matters, Mr. Chairman, as you're aware, the applicant is requesting a waiver from the 21-day filing rule in order for them to include in the record their Tree Preservation Plan, a Subdivision plan, and as well they submitted revised architectural plans, elevations, Burden of Proof, et cetera, within this 24-hour period.

So those are the primary preliminary matters and, of course, in that bundle, I'll call it, there is a revised self-

1	certification.
2	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Mr. Sullivan, are you there?
3	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: I'm here, but I'm going to jump
4	out and jump back in.
5	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Mr. Sullivan, could you
6	introduce yourself for the record?
7	MR. SULLIVAN: Yeah. Good morning, Mr. Chairman and
8	members of the Board. My name is Marty Sullivan on behalf of the
9	applicant.
10	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. And who is here with you, Mr.
11	Sullivan?
12	MR. SULLIVAN: We have the architect, Adam Crain, and
13	the property owner, Nhan Lam.
14	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. And Mr. Goodman, could you
15	introduce yourself for the record?
16	COMMISSIONER GOODMAN: Yes. My name is Jonah Goodman,
17	ANC Commissioner in 4C10 here to represent ANC 4C.
18	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Great. Hi, Commissioner.
19	COMMISSIONER GOODMAN: How are you doing? Good morning.
20	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Good morning. Mr. Crain, could you
21	introduce yourself please for the record?
22	MR. CRAIN: Sure. Adam Crain. I'm the project
23	architect.
24	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Lam, could you introduce yourself
25	for the record, please?

1	MR. LAM: Yes. My name is Nhan Lam, property owner.
2	CHAIRPERSON HILL: All right. Okay. Mr. Sullivan,
3	there's a bunch of stuff that you just submitted, and I know that
4	some of it we kind of were specifically asking for. Unless the
5	Board has any issues, I want to see everything and so I'm looking
6	at my fellow Board members. I don't see anybody raising their
7	hand.
8	Ms. John, you don't have any opposition to this,
9	correct?
10	VICE CHAIR JOHN: No, I don't.
11	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. So, Mr. Moy, can you let
12	everything into the record?
13	MR. MOY: (No audible response.)
14	CHAIRPERSON HILL: I lost Mr. Moy.
15	MR. YOUNG: Mr. Moy is going into his training, so
16	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Oh, yeah. He went into training.
17	MR. YOUNG: Mr. Andrews is taking over. I also have
18	the party status; the person calling in by phone.
19	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Great.
20	MR. YOUNG: That's Ms. El Amin.
21	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Could you spell that name for me
22	again, Mr. Young? I'm sorry.
23	MR. YOUNG: It's E-L, A-M-I-N.
24	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Ms. El-Amin, can you hear me?
25	MS. El-AMIN: Yes, I can hear you.

1	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Great. All right. Mr.
2	Andrews, can you hear me?
3	MR. ANDREWS: Yes, sir. I can.
4	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Could you go ahead and ask the staff
5	to allow everything into the record?
6	MR. ANDREWS: Yes, sir.
7	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Mr. Sullivan, you didn't add a
8	you didn't give us a new PowerPoint, did you?
9	MR. SULLIVAN: No. We don't have that because we
10	already presented on the current plans. The changes made were
11	minor changes, and I can go through that
12	CHAIRPERSON HILL: And I understand that.
13	MR. SULLIVAN: with the Board.
14	CHAIRPERSON HILL: And you'll get an opportunity, Mr.
15	Sullivan. I'm just saying, I thought you went you went from
16	five to four units and from three to two floors, right?
17	MR. SULLIVAN: So from the beginning, I believe we were
18	at six originally. Now, we're down to four. We dropped a floor.
19	We are we're currently a full FAR, but originally, we were
20	doing a new building and the new building was going to be such
21	that the lower level was not going to count in Gross Floor Area.
22	Now that we're working with the existing building, that
23	lower level does count in Gross Floor Area. So essentially, you
24	could say we've lost a third of the Gross Floor Area because now
25	we have to count the lowest level.

1	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. But did you
2	MR. SULLIVAN: And
3	CHAIRPERSON HILL: lose a floor?
4	MR. SULLIVAN: Yeah. Oh, yeah. We reduced
5	CHAIRPERSON HILL: You did lose a floor of height
6	MR. SULLIVAN: Yeah.
7	CHAIRPERSON HILL: since the last time you were here?
8	MR. SULLIVAN: Well, no not since the last time. I
9	believe you've seen the revised reduced massing
10	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Which
11	MR. SULLIVAN: which was
12	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Which exhibit is that?
13	MR. SULLIVAN: 67C.
14	MR. YOUNG: Chairman, the exhibits have now been
15	uploaded.
16	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Oh, thanks.
17	MR. SULLIVAN: And the only change, there's a new plat
18	and plan. So what happened with the plat is we did obtain our
19	record lot. If you remember back in the beginning, that was the
20	first issue flagged. We were proceeding on a tax lot so that the
2021	first issue flagged. We were proceeding on a tax lot so that the area of that lot has not changed, so nothing has changed except
21	area of that lot has not changed, so nothing has changed except
21 22	area of that lot has not changed, so nothing has changed except the number. The number now is Record Lot 108. In addition to

1	MR. SULLIVAN: under the
2	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Sullivan?
3	MR. SULLIVAN: (audio interference) spaces. Yes?
4	CHAIRPERSON HILL: I appreciate it. I'm just trying to
5	get my head around this before you start
6	MR. SULLIVAN: Okay.
7	CHAIRPERSON HILL: telling us
8	MR. SULLIVAN: All right.
9	CHAIRPERSON HILL: a little bit about what happened
10	since the last time you were here.
11	MR. SULLIVAN: Right.
12	CHAIRPERSON HILL: And so but I appreciate what
13	you're trying to do. Again, right, your plans haven't changed
14	since and now I'm going back again right, 67C, correct?
15	MR. SULLIVAN: Correct. Not materially
16	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Crain, is that correct?
17	MR. SULLIVAN: they haven't, correct.
18	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Mr. Chair?
19	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yes?
20	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: So if the plans have not changed
21	in 67C, the plans that were just uploaded, those are literally the
22	same plans as 67C?
23	MR. SULLIVAN: A few minor changes. Not the structure
24	itself, but to some notations including the area of disturbance
25	around the magnolia tree is now on the site plan within the plans

1	and the record lot, we have a record lot, so the number of the lot
2	changed and then we also noted that there it's permeable pavers
3	under for the parking spaces.
4	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Okay. Thank you for that.
5	MR. SULLIVAN: I believe that's it, yeah.
6	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: All right. Thank you.
7	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Let me do this. So Ms. El-
8	Amin, can you hear me?
9	MS. EL-AMIN: Yes, I can hear you.
10	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. So Mr. Sullivan is the
11	attorney for the applicant. So he's going to go ahead and
12	present.
13	MS. EL-AMIN: Yes.
14	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Then you'll have an opportunity to
15	ask questions after his presentation, then you'll have an
16	opportunity
17	MS. EL-AMIN: Got it.
18	CHAIRPERSON HILL: to also give your presentation and
19	then Mr. Sullivan will have an opportunity to ask you questions
20	and then the ANC
21	MS. EL-AMIN: Uh-huh.
22	CHAIRPERSON HILL: will also have an opportunity to
23	give their presentation and ask questions as well. They're also a
24	party. So just to let you know
25	MS. EL-AMIN: Okay. Uh-huh.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: -- this kind of works. And then also we'll hear from the Office of Planning as well.

So Mr. Sullivan, I know you kind of started a little bit. Could you please tell us what happened since the last time you were here? And also at the same time, since this is somewhat new, and it is a little bit -- it'll be helpful to the Board to kind of clarify again what your argument is as to why you think you're meeting the criteria for us to grant the relief requested and you can go ahead and just start whenever you like.

MR. SULLIVAN: (No audible response.)

11 CHAIRPERSON HILL: I can't hear you, Mr. Sullivan.
12 Sorry, you're on mute.

MR. SULLIVAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman and members of the Board. We had proceeded -- I thought this was going to be a fairly limited hearing because I think we went all the way through the plans and so I'm sorry that I don't have a PowerPoint showing that. If you need to see any plans, I'll point to the exhibits.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: You can go ahead and just walk us through. And I guess why it's a little -- and, Mr. Sullivan, I didn't want you to necessarily have to do another PowerPoint presentation, but again, we hear a lot of cases and we see a lot of cases and so when all of a sudden somebody is telling me they go from five to four units and three to two floors, it kind of throws the Board off. So it doesn't sound like a minor thing, and so if we have to go back and kind of figure it all out and scrub

it all out, it just -- we -- it just gets confusing for us.

MR. SULLIVAN: Okay.

2.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: So you can just go ahead and walk us through however you want to walk us through what's happened since the last hearing, you know, and then --

MR. SULLIVAN: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: -- we can go from there.

MR. SULLIVAN: Okay. So you, the Board, has reviewed the plans as they are now. They've reviewed it with four units, with the current footprint, the current height which is only 26 feet at this point. The lot occupancy is 38 percent. The only thing that's changed is we have the record lot now and we have an update on the Tree Preservation Plan which was a key comment from the Board outstanding after the last hearing. So no changes to the structure.

There's three areas of relief. There's relief, of course, under U 421 for an RA-1 new residential development; and on that I would refer to the Office of Planning's report. The requirements for that have to do with schools and with public facilities availability and transportation. We have four parking spaces, so we have a parking space for each unit.

The second area of relief is special exception for side yard relief. We're required to have one side yard and that yard, because we have two non-confirming side yards, that side yard needs to be at least 3 feet wide on one or other side. And on the

other side, we can continue and extend against the property line.

So essentially, the way we look at is we're asking for 3 feet of relief from the south side adjacent to the alley. Now, again, this was argued in the last one two, so that you've seen all that and that's a special exception.

The other relief is for Voluntary Inclusionary Zoning and for that it's just the special exception, general special exception, criteria for that. For the side yard relief, the specific special exception criteria is the light and air privacy test and because this relates to the adjacent alley, there's no impact from shadow from the 3 feet of reduction in side yard and so we don't have an updated Shadow Study because it would show you nothing because it's facing the south and it's only 3 feet as well.

We've secured ANC support which I believe we had at the last hearing as well. We had the IZ unit included, and it was down to four units. On the Tree Preservation Plan, the applicant has been working very hard on this and they -- we submitted a Tree Preservation Plan and then just yesterday we received an email from Urban Forestry okaying that plan, so we're confident that the tree has a program which will protect it and we think that responds to what I think was one of the bigger issues for the neighbor and the community as well regarding protection of the tree.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Okay. Does the Board have any

1	questions for the applicant?
2	Mr. Shapiro?
3	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Yeah, Mr.
4	Sullivan, I'm still a bit confused because I thought my read of
5	this is that and again, this goes back to the Chair's well,
6	anyway, you did not change your approach the applicant has not
7	changed their approach as to how they're constructing this
8	building since we last saw them, so my understanding was it was
9	going to be a tear down and now it's going to you're going to
10	retain most of the existing structure and just add on. That did
11	not that change did not occur since we last heard this?
12	MR. SULLIVAN: No. No, it did not. That was two
13	hearings ago.
14	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Okay. Okay. That's all the
15	questions I have for now, Mr. Chair.
16	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah. I got you, Mr. Shapiro.
17	All right. Mr. Smith?
18	BOARD MEMBER SMITH: (No audible response.)
19	CHAIRPERSON HILL: No?
20	Ms. John, do you have any questions?
21	VICE CHAIR JOHN: No, I don't have any questions. I
22	believe Mr. Sullivan's summary has helped to put it in perspective
23	this morning, so I have no questions at this time.
24	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay.
25	Ms. El-Amin, can you hear me?

1	MS. EL-AMIN: Yes, I can hear you.
2	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Do you have any questions for the
3	applicant?
4	MS. EL-AMIN: Yes. Well, I need a clarification. Thank
5	you for the update. I received the information yesterday and I
6	had some questions because I had also been in communications with
7	the applicant and also with the Urban Forestry and the plat
8	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Hello, Ms. El-Amin?
9	MS. EL-AMIN: (No audible response.)
10	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Ms. El-Amin?
11	MS. EL-AMIN: (No audible response.)
12	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Hello?
13	MS. EL-AMIN: (No audible response.)
14	MR. YOUNG: It looks like she just got disconnected.
15	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. We'll give her a minute.
16	Yeah, we'll give her a minute, I guess.
17	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Mr. Chair, may I?
18	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah, sure.
19	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: There's an additional
20	question/clarification I think we want to make sure that we
21	clarify this for the staff. Whether or not we have heard the
22	applicant's case in its at the appropriate level of detail in
23	the first hearing. It's not clear to me whether we actually have
24	gone through when I'm looking at the OZ notes, it's not clear
25	that we've actually heard the applicant's case-in-chief, and that

1	the opponent has had an opportunity to respond. So can we get
2	some clarification on that?
3	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Let's see. Mr. Cochran, can
4	you hear us?
5	MR. COCHRAN: Yes, Mr. Chair. I can.
6	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Could you introduce yourself for the
7	record, please?
8	MR. COCHRAN: Sure. I'm Steve Cochran with the
9	representing the Office of Planning in this case, 20313.
10	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Mr. Cochran, did you hear Mr.
11	Shapiro's question?
12	MR. COCHRAN: Yes.
13	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Do you have an answer?
14	MR. COCHRAN: I do not remember the applicant having
15	presented a two-story scheme before this one as part of a hearing,
16	but, you know, I'm an old guy and maybe my memory is somewhat poor
17	on this. Chairman, I'm looking
18	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Hang on a second.
19	MR. COCHRAN: I've just been looking through the record
20	and I just don't see anything that indicates that OP filed a
21	report based on a two-story structure before the most recent
22	supplemental filing. So I'm a little bit confused on what the
23	actual case is. Not the case, but the when the applicant last
24	presented three stories versus two stories.
25	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Give me a second, Mr.

Sullivan. Give me one
MR. SULLIVAN: Okay.
CHAIRPERSON HILL: Sure. Give me one second. Give me
one second. Some things take a second.
Mr. Young, is Ms. El-Amin back?
MR. YOUNG: Yes, she is. I will unmute her now.
MS. EL-AMIN: Hi. Yes, I apologize. My phone just
disconnected you all.
CHAIRPERSON HILL: That's all right. No problem. Ms.
El-Amin, so just I'm going to come back to you
MS. EL-AMIN: Okay.
CHAIRPERSON HILL: but just to fill you in, the
Commissioner Shapiro was asking whether or not we've heard all of
the presentations that we needed to hear earlier. We're still
talking about basically the different relief about the building
and not necessarily anything about the Urban Forestry just yet, so
just to kind of bring you up-to-date. So give me a minute and
I'll come back to you. All right? I just want to let this
question play out.
MS. EL-AMIN: Okay. And I'm trying to sign in
CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Sullivan
MS. EL-AMIN: versus on the computer right now, so
maybe you'll see me in a minute.
CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Great. All right. Well,
that'll be interesting. All right.

1	Mr. Sullivan, do you have a response to the questions
2	that are currently being asked of you?
3	MR. SULLIVAN: I was just tracking the dates. I believe
4	our hearing date was February 3rd and the submission date of the
5	previous plans was in January. It was January 29th. I mean,
6	we're happy to if we could share the plans, I'm happy to go
7	through them again.
8	CHAIRPERSON HILL: That's fine. We can. Just give me
9	one second. I'm trying to think. So were you here at the end of
10	December originally?
11	MR. SULLIVAN: I believe it was February 3rd or yeah,
12	February 3rd.
13	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Right. So the third story is that
14	you've removed that back area of the building, correct?
15	MR. SULLIVAN: Correct.
16	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Right. So the remaining the front
17	
18	MR. SULLIVAN: I'm looking at
19	CHAIRPERSON HILL: I see what you've done. You
20	MR. SULLIVAN: I'm looking for the transcript. I think
21	you would see in the transcript at the end it was we were sort
22	of we were honed in on the tree as an outstanding item.
23	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Right. So Mr. Shapiro
24	MR. SULLIVAN: I just got a
25	CHAIRPERSON HILL: I wish these things were easier. If

1	you go to
2	MR. SULLIVAN: We
3	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Well, I got Exhibit 52. I went
4	all the way back to December 21st and so that's where I see kind
5	of the presentation that was then and it's easier to see the
6	difference between the plans.
7	And Mr. Sullivan, I will give you an opportunity to go
8	ahead and walk us through your current exhibit in actually,
9	let's go ahead and do that because then
10	MR. SULLIVAN: Yeah, yeah.
11	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Ms. El-Amin can go ahead and see
12	it.
13	MR. SULLIVAN: So
14	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Can you go ahead and pull up
15	MR. SULLIVAN: And
16	CHAIRPERSON HILL: I guess it's No. 84 and/or Mr.
17	Sullivan, what do you want to say?
18	MR. SULLIVAN: I'm just I'm getting an update from my
19	assistant as well. I think on the 3rd we talked about the plans,
20	we showed the plans, but it was a party status hearing and so
21	CHAIRPERSON HILL: 3rd being when the the 3rd being
22	what?
23	MR. SULLIVAN: February 3rd, right.
24	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Right. All right. Why don't you go
25	ahead

1	MR. SULLIVAN: And it wasn't a (audio interference).
2	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Go ahead and pull up Exhibit 84.
3	Isn't that the one that has the plans?
4	MR. YOUNG: So Mr. Chair, so it was not
5	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Yes, yes?
6	MR. YOUNG: a full hearing I'm that's what I'm
7	hearing Mr. Sullivan said?
8	MR. SULLIVAN: Right. We had a full hearing on the
9	previous plans and then since then
10	MR. COCHRAN: No.
11	MR. SULLIVAN: scaled it back, yes.
12	MR. COCHRAN: Mr. Chair, even though it's when you
13	search on the OZ website, 20313 comes up for February 3rd. If you
14	look at the transcript, it's not even listed as a case that was
15	heard that day, so I think that Mr. Sullivan has clarified
16	appropriately.
17	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. So Mr. Sullivan, why don't you
18	go ahead and just give us your argument for how you're meeting,
19	again, the criteria for us to grant the relief requested and go
20	ahead and just walk us through the plans and I think at exhibit, I
21	guess it's 84, is that what you wanted us to pull up, Mr.
22	Sullivan?
23	MR. SULLIVAN: (No audible response.)
24	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Now I've lost, Mr. Sullivan. Oh,
25	there we go.

1	MR. SULLIVAN: It is 70 let me see. Oh, it's not in
2	yet, so I don't know what number it is actually because it just
3	got
4	CHAIRPERSON HILL: If you
5	MR. SULLIVAN: accepted today.
6	CHAIRPERSON HILL: If you refresh, it'll pop up.
7	MR. SULLIVAN: Okay.
8	MR. CRAIN: I do think 84 is correct and the reason why
9	is at the cellar level we pulled the areaway back a little bit to
10	give a little more breathing room for the tree, so that's my note.
11	I think that's submitted as well.
12	MR. SULLIVAN: Yeah. 83 is the plat and 84 is the
13	plans.
14	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Got it. So which one do you want?
15	You want to talk about the plat, or you just want to go through
16	the plans?
17	MR. SULLIVAN: Let's start with the Adam, I'll leave
18	that to you.
19	MR. CRAIN: Yeah, I think the plan is the best exhibit.
20	Sure, I'll give an overview on so I've got Exhibit 84 open.
21	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Young, could you pull up Exhibit
22	84?
23	Ms. El-Amin, can you hear me?
24	MS. EL-AMIN: Yes, I can hear you.
25	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Can you actually see something on the

1	computer?
2	MS. EL-AMIN: I can see you right now and
3	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Oh, great.
4	MS. EL-AMIN: Yeah, I
5	CHAIRPERSON HILL: So you can see the presentation?
6	MS. EL-AMIN: Yes. Yes, I was able to login. I'm home
7	back I'm back home now.
8	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Wonderful. Wonderful.
9	All right. Mr. Young, if you could pull up 84?
10	MR. YOUNG: Yep. I am working on it now.
11	CHAIRPERSON HILL: No problem.
12	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Mr. Chair?
13	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yep?
14	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: So because some of the issues
15	that have been brought to us have to do with light, air, and
16	privacy which clearly is related to the size and configuration of
17	the building, if we could ask Mr. Sullivan, as you go through
18	this, just you know, we're working off 84, but please be clear
19	with anything that has changed since the last time we partially
20	looked at this to help us make sense of light, air, and privacy
21	issues.
22	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Okay. Right. So now I'm
23	Mr. Sullivan, can you hear me?
24	MR. SULLIVAN: Yes.
25	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Okay. So I'm getting

1	everybody is getting texts, and messages, and all this stuff. So
2	Mr. Sullivan, just start from the beginning again. Okay?
3	MR. SULLIVAN: Okay.
4	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Like, go ahead and make your argument
5	for this building, right and then
6	MR. SULLIVAN: Okay. Okay.
7	CHAIRPERSON HILL: And then, Office of Planning, if you
8	could pay attention obviously and make sure that we're all on the
9	same page when we get done with this because, again, what seems to
10	have happened, Mr. Sullivan, is we never heard about this project,
11	right.
12	MR. SULLIVAN: No. No, that's not true actually.
13	CHAIRPERSON HILL: What I'm saying, Mr. Sullivan, is you
14	had a big giant thing on the 3rd. You had a three-story addition
15	at the end and it's no longer there
16	MR. SULLIVAN: Yeah, (audio interference).
17	CHAIRPERSON HILL: right?
18	MR. SULLIVAN: Right.
19	CHAIRPERSON HILL: And so I'm saying as far as I'm
20	concerned, we haven't heard this building yet, right. So just go
21	ahead and make your argument
22	MR. SULLIVAN: Okay.
23	CHAIRPERSON HILL: for this building. Okay?
24	MR. SULLIVAN: Okay. And that's the source of my
25	confusion and I'm sorry that I wasn't clear and prepared on this,

1	but we had a very full hearing and then in my mind I'm thinking
2	well, since then it only got a whole lot better, so I
3	CHAIRPERSON HILL: No, I got it. And I guess
4	MR. SULLIVAN: And
5	CHAIRPERSON HILL: And Mr. Sullivan, and I know. I'm
6	not trying to necessarily argue with you. I'm just trying to
7	provide clarity as to how this works and I know you're hearing me
8	as well. It's just that we just hear so much stuff, you know, and
9	the first time we heard this was in December and then in February
10	it turns out all we heard was party status. We didn't even hear
11	anything else. So we literally haven't seen this design yet. So
12	to think that the Board is going to remember what happened at the
13	end of December and then it's the exact same thing, but it it's
14	just too much for us.
15	MR. SULLIVAN: I hear you. I'm sorry.
16	CHAIRPERSON HILL: So
17	MR. SULLIVAN: Yeah, I apologize.
18	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Go ahead.
19	MR. SULLIVAN: Okay.
20	CHAIRPERSON HILL: No problem.
21	MR. SULLIVAN: So I think, Mr. Crain, if you could go
22	through the plans and then I'll jump in at the end and talk about
23	the criteria for approval of the special exceptions?
24	MR. CRAIN: No problem. Let's go to yeah, let's
25	this is the 3D renderings on the left side. We're showing the

front and the rear. To give an overview, we are doing a rear addition and conversion to a four-unit apartment house.

One of the previous designs that, I guess, was or was not presented was we had a third level on this. The building was actually deeper. We had initially set the third floor back to allow for light to pass over this house to the solar panels of the adjacent property. That has been trimmed off. We are now utilizing the IZ bonus, so one of these four units will be an IZ unit.

Next slide shows the site plan. On the top is the existing. We're seeking to --

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Hey, Mr. Crain?

MR. CRAIN: Yes, sir?

2.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Crain, we're always curious and I just want to know which one is the IZ unit also? So you can just flip back if you want to show us.

MR. CRAIN: It's unit 4, but I'll point that out when we get to it.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yep. Thanks.

MR. CRAIN: Sure. Not a problem. So we're retaining the existing façade and the end wall to respect the street frontage and keep that continuous. We are proposing four parking spaces at the rear, so every unit will have a parking space. On this site plan, you know, we had the tree located in the adjacent rear yard which you can see that kind of big circle is dashed

1	around with the radius which actually is a little more required
2	than the that required, I guess, clear space, that (audio
3	interference).
4	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Crain? Mr. Crain, I'm sorry to
5	interrupt you.
6	Mr. Rice, can you hear me?
7	MR. RICE: Yes, sir.
8	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Is DDOT here?
9	MR. RICE: I believe they are, sir.
10	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Can you
11	MR. RICE: The DDOT representatives are Daniel Malooly
12	and Sharon Dendy.
13	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Ludie (sic), L-U-D-I-E?
14	MR. RICE: Mr. Daniel Malooly, M-A-L-O-O-L-Y. And Ms.
15	Sharon Dendy, D-E-N-D-Y.
16	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Mr. Malooly, Ms. Dendy, can
17	you hear me?
18	MS. DENDY: I can hear you.
19	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Could you introduce yourself for the
20	record, lease?
21	MS. DENDY: My name is Sharon Dendy with DDOT Urban
22	Forestry.
23	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. And is Mr. Malooly with you?
24	MS. DENDY: He's not with me. He's on a separate
25	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Malooly, can you hear me?

1	MR. MALOOLY: (No audible response.)
2	CHAIRPERSON HILL: That's all right. Ms. Dendy, you can
3	hear me, correct?
4	MS. DENDY: Yes, I can.
5	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Do we have you for a little
6	while or what's your time constraints?
7	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Sir, I think Mr. Malooly just
8	finally unmuted himself.
9	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Malooly, can you hear me?
10	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Oh, my apologies. I looked at
11	it.
12	CHAIRPERSON HILL: That's all right.
13	Ms. Dendy?
14	MS. DENDY: Yes?
15	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Can you be with us for a little
16	while? What's your time constraints?
17	MS. DENDY: I'm free until 12:00.
18	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Great. All right. I just
19	wanted to know. All right. Well, thank you then for joining us.
20	All right.
21	Mr. Crain, you were kind of just starting to talk about
22	that tree?
23	MR. CRAIN: Yes, I was. So we had what I would call
24	extensive conversations via email with Urban Forestry, and I think
25	it was Mr. Malooly and Daniel Just was copied on those. So we've

-- on the site plan we've located the tree and its critical root zone as you can see by the circular dash line. There was a request to basically keep any excavation outside of a certain distance from that tree which we complied with. That's kind of that 14-foot, almost 15-foot, radius that's coming off of the tree there. So this is a -- we do have an email from Mr. Malooly saying that this was -- he was okay approving this plan.

So I guess if we go to the next slide, on the cellar level which is the bottom half of the screen, again we show that tree and the circular radius. The areaway on the previous plan went all the way to the property line. That's been pulled back several feet to give a little more space and breathing room to the roots for that tree.

Also just as kind of, I guess, a bigger picture, the whole building is a little shorter than one of the previous design iterations in response to the tree as well. So the building got shorter, and the areaway pulled away which gave more room to -- for those tree's roots to allow it to be protected.

Let me see. I think -- can we go to Slide No. -- what page is this? Slide No. 7; big colored section. I think this kind of most accurately depicts the dissection through the building. Unit 4 which is the light blue one on the top left would be the IZ unit. So they're kind of split front to back; two up, two down. We are proposing a roof deck, as you can see, with the roof hatch access over green Unit 3.

And if you go to the last slide, that one -- that's the one that calls out the IZ unit as Unit 4. That'll be in our net unit totals on the matrix on the right. So if there's any questions, let me know or if DDOT wants to chime in regarding the tree they're welcome to.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Mr. Sullivan, can you hear me?

MR. SULLIVAN: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Chair. So I'll run

through the tests. I also wanted to point out, I believe we have

-- there is an OP supplemental report in Exhibit 75 which I -
what I believe was on the -- in support of the revised plans.

Maybe that's the one that was just -- that was filed after the

hearing though. Yeah, okay.

SO regarding the special exception criteria, there's three areas of relief. That's U 421. All new residential development, multi-family development in the RA-1 zone requires BZA approval and this is one of those projects that a year ago didn't require approval because it was an addition to a building and now it does because of the recent appeal.

And there are -- the other area of relief is for Voluntary Inclusionary Zoning and we are asking for side yard special exception. Again, that's 3 feet. The side yard requires 3 feet because if you have a non-conforming yard, you can continue it at a width of 3 feet and only one side yard's required so that's just on the one side.

The general criteria for a special exception relief

applied to all three areas of relief and it's that the relief is within the spirit and intent of the regulations and does not adversely affect the use of neighboring property. On this, the size of the building, as mentioned, is -- now, when you look at that building when you saw the plan, you see three stories. It's technically three stories. It's the lower level and two stories above it.

When we were designing a new building, it was going to be that lower level plus three stories. So you would have seen four levels, or you did see four levels on that previous plan. So that's a level that went away. Now, because, essentially, we don't have a free level. Now, we don't have a level that's free of Gross Floor Area and so all of this had to be included in the calculation of FAR. So even though we increased it by having an IZ bonus, overall the massing has decreased quite a bit because almost the entire lower level was included in Gross Floor Area originally.

So because of the size of the building, because of the number of parking spaces, it's completely a matter-of-right and somewhat less than matter-of-right size structure. If we built new, we could build to 40 feet high. We could build four levels if you were building a single family or a flat. So for those reasons, we believe it meets the general criteria and does not adversely affect the use of neighboring property. I think you could throw the Tree Preservation Plan and the work that we've

done on that in that category as well.

Regarding the specific criteria, as it relates to -- of course, the IZ voluntary relief doesn't have any criteria for approval; no conditions. Specific conditions for the side yard relief are the -- that's where the light, and air, and privacy test come in and they shall not substantially visually intrude on the character, scale, and pattern of houses along the street.

It's a row house in a row house neighborhood and it's continuing back further as a row house, so for that reason it doesn't substantially visually intrude. Regarding privacy, and light, and air, there's no impact from that 3 feet because it's adjacent to an alley and it's merely 3 feet just for the addition not, of course, for the existing structure.

And then the specific criteria for U 421 for the establishment of new residential development itself, the criteria that the BZA "Shall refer the application to the relevant District of Columbia agencies for comment and recommendation as to the adequacy of the following: A, Existing and planned area of schools to accommodate the number of students that can be expected to reside in the project." We did. We listed the schools available and because it's only four units, clearly, we would have no problem accommodating the number of students expected to reside in the project.

"B, Public streets, recreation, and other services to accommodate the residents that can be expected to reside in the

1	project." We're located within three blocks of three bus lines.
2	The Georgia Avenue-Petworth Metro Station is 7/10ths of a mile
3	away. We are providing four parking spaces which is three more
4	than the requirement, and there are public recreation facilities
5	including the Bruce Monroe Community Park at Georgia Avenue, N.W.
6	and Irving Street, N.W. a mile-and-a-half away and the Park View
7	Rec Center less than a mile away and Grant Circle Park, .3 miles
8	away.
9	Section 421.3, "The BZA shall refer the application to
10	the Office of Planning for comment and recommendation on the site
11	plan, arrangement of buildings, and provisions of light, air,
12	parking, recreation, landscaping, and grading." And I would, of
13	course, refer to the Office of Planning report in satisfaction of
14	that requirement. So those are the general and specific
15	conditions for all three areas of relief.
16	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay.
17	MR. SULLIVAN: Questions? Thank you.
18	CHAIRPERSON HILL: All right. Thanks, Mr. Sullivan.
19	Does the Board have any questions of Mr. Sullivan?
20	Ms. John, do you have any questions of Mr. Sullivan?
21	VICE CHAIR JOHN: No, no questions at this time.
22	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay.
23	Ms. El-Amin, can you hear me?
24	MS. EL-AMIN: Yes, I can hear you.
25	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Nice to see you, Ms. El-Amin.

MS. EL-AMIN: Thank you. Nice to see you as well.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: So Ms. El-Amin, there are a variety of things that the applicant has gone ahead and spoken about. I know that it seemed to me as though one of the things you were most concerned about was the tree, but you can go ahead and either ask any questions of Mr. Sullivan and/or there also is -- so DDOT's on the phone and DDOT is the one who is charge -- who is the expert about the tree basically, right. They're with Urban Forestry. So I will be asking DDOT to give a presentation or at least get their opinion and then you get a chance to ask questions of everybody. Is there any questions that you have of Mr. Sullivan right now?

MS. EL-AMIN: Yes, I -- first, my arborist just received the new plans and Tree Protection Plan yesterday, so I don't have an updated report for him to provide to you guys. So my last report that I filed by my -- the deadline was respond to the earlier Tree Protection Plan that we said was not sufficient.

Second of all, I looked at the new plans and I do appreciate the new design. I will note that I raised it to them a little, Urban Forestry, that the new plat and the new design do not match. So I would like for them to match up because the new design pushes back the areaway to protect my tree by, I believe, 4 feet on the cellar, bottom, level and it also relocates the parking for -- to take it out of the critical root zone, but the new plat does not represent that.

1	So that is still a concern for me and so that's my
2	questions to Mr. Sullivan. I relayed my concerns to Mr. Malooly,
3	and he said that he supports the design in the Tree Protection
4	Plan and the new design, but he did not sign off on the new plat.
5	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Well, Ms. El-Amin, I'll see if
6	I can find some clarification for that for you. The design that
7	we, the BZA, approve is the design that you're looking at.
8	MS. EL-AMIN: Okay.
9	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Right. Like, they have to build what
10	we approve and so
11	MS. EL-AMIN: Okay.
12	CHAIRPERSON HILL: that's the design they have to
13	approve.
14	Ms. Denby, can you hear me?
14 15	Ms. Denby, can you hear me? MS. DENBY: Yes, I can hear you. Sorry.
15	MS. DENBY: Yes, I can hear you. Sorry.
15 16	MS. DENBY: Yes, I can hear you. Sorry. CHAIRPERSON HILL: No, that's okay. So, Ms. Denby, is
15 16 17	MS. DENBY: Yes, I can hear you. Sorry. CHAIRPERSON HILL: No, that's okay. So, Ms. Denby, is Mr. Malooly with us now or Mr. Malooly, are you there?
15 16 17 18	MS. DENBY: Yes, I can hear you. Sorry. CHAIRPERSON HILL: No, that's okay. So, Ms. Denby, is Mr. Malooly with us now or Mr. Malooly, are you there? MR. MALOOLY: Yeah, that's right. I'm here.
15 16 17 18 19	MS. DENBY: Yes, I can hear you. Sorry. CHAIRPERSON HILL: No, that's okay. So, Ms. Denby, is Mr. Malooly with us now or Mr. Malooly, are you there? MR. MALOOLY: Yeah, that's right. I'm here. CHAIRPERSON HILL: Oh, great. Perfect. Mr. Malooly,
15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. DENBY: Yes, I can hear you. Sorry. CHAIRPERSON HILL: No, that's okay. So, Ms. Denby, is Mr. Malooly with us now or Mr. Malooly, are you there? MR. MALOOLY: Yeah, that's right. I'm here. CHAIRPERSON HILL: Oh, great. Perfect. Mr. Malooly, could you introduce yourself for the record, please?
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. DENBY: Yes, I can hear you. Sorry. CHAIRPERSON HILL: No, that's okay. So, Ms. Denby, is Mr. Malooly with us now or Mr. Malooly, are you there? MR. MALOOLY: Yeah, that's right. I'm here. CHAIRPERSON HILL: Oh, great. Perfect. Mr. Malooly, could you introduce yourself for the record, please? MR. MALOOLY: Yeah, sure. My name is Daniel Malooly.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. DENBY: Yes, I can hear you. Sorry. CHAIRPERSON HILL: No, that's okay. So, Ms. Denby, is Mr. Malooly with us now or Mr. Malooly, are you there? MR. MALOOLY: Yeah, that's right. I'm here. CHAIRPERSON HILL: Oh, great. Perfect. Mr. Malooly, could you introduce yourself for the record, please? MR. MALOOLY: Yeah, sure. My name is Daniel Malooly. I'm an urban forester with DDOT Urban Forestry. Yeah, that's me.

their plans, I assume, and we just want to make sure, and you can maybe tell us how you got to this or if there's some way you can explain to Ms. El-Amin how this tree now is going to be protected and please go forward whenever you're ready.

MR. MALOOLY: Yeah, sure. I can speak on the plans themselves and kind of any technical parts of tree preservation. I think Sharon might know a little bit about -- more about the particulars of the tree protection law than me, but yeah. We were contacted by Ms. El-Amin and I inspected the tree with another arborist in our department, I believe on January 5th or sometime about there, and we measured the tree and we had looked at some other tree protection plans that the developer had put together.

We found them lacking in a couple areas and we've kind of been back and forth with the developer. They've altered some things and made some changes. The final form that we -- that I said I was okay with I looked at a couple evenings ago, but basically in F 4310 there's a section of the critical root zone of the tree which is, you know, from the base of the tree it extends out kind of in a circle from the trunk 23 feet.

So they're going to protect with fencing to block out any equipment. There's not going to be any digging. There's not going to be any material stored in that area. They're going to block off an entire area. In the plan, those are referenced as the "Tree Protection Zone." So they're building like a tree protection fence out of chain-link fence and nobody's going to be

1	able to go in that area. So any roots that are in that area are
2	going to be protected and not disturbed.
3	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Malooly?
4	MR. MALOOLY: There was
5	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Malooly, let me interrupt you one
6	second.
7	MR. MALOOLY: Sure.
8	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Sullivan, where is all this that
9	he's talking about in the record?
10	MR. SULLIVAN: The Tree Preservation Plan is
11	CHAIRPERSON HILL: I see the Tree Preservation Area.
12	MR. SULLIVAN: The plan is it's in here, I know.
13	I'll find it.
14	MR. LAM: Exhibit 85.
15	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. I got it. All right. Thank
16	you.
17	All right. Mr. Malooly, please go ahead.
18	And Ms. El-Amin, are you able to access Exhibit 85?
19	MS. EL-AMIN: I have a copy of it on my phone, yes.
20	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Great. Perfect.
21	All right. Mr. Malooly, please continue.
22	
	MR. MALOOLY: Yeah, sure. So one of the main things
23	MR. MALOOLY: Yeah, sure. So one of the main things they're doing is that Tree Protection Zone which, if you have that
23 24	

there's a yellow line where there's root pruning being done. I'm reading that they've specified that it'll be done, you know, using, you know, basically to certain standards. Like they're not going to use an excavator and just tear up roots in that area. They're going to make a clean root pruning cut where construction and excavation is taking place.

The reason you want to do that is so when you take an excavator and you're just pulling up soil, you can grab roots and rip them back even all the way to the trunk and into Ms. El-Amin's property, so they're going to cut them cleanly so that doesn't happen.

There's other areas where they're building it looks like a deck, and stairs and, landing where they're not going to be doing an excavation. They're just going to be pouring -- they're just going to be making concrete footers and those are going to be done by hand it's specifying and they're going to put down woodchips to prevent compaction to the soil which is when like if you're just driving a lot of heavy equipment and there's a lot of boots moving back and forth that could compact the soil and hurt roots. So they're going to put down mulch to kind of ease some of that pressure.

The tree is going to have to be pruned, so they're going to do that to ANC standards under the direction of an arborist. There's a list of specifications that they're going to go work by and those are included within the plan that they've presented to

1	us. There's also going to be a pre-construction meeting that
2	they'll invite me to or invite an Urban Forestry arborist to that
3	will attend and that'll be with, you know, the construction crew
4	and then also the site manager, and myself or another UFA
5	arborist.
6	CHAIRPERSON HILL: One second, Ms. El-Amin. I'll get to
7	you.
8	MR. MALOOLY: Uh-huh.
9	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Go ahead, Mr. Malooly.
10	MR. MALOOLY: Yeah, so that's pretty much it. I didn't
11	really prepare a presentation on this. This isn't really a plan
12	that I have come up with. It's something that was created by the
13	developer and then we kind of looked at it
14	CHAIRPERSON HILL: That's okay.
15	MR. MALOOLY: and said that, yes
16	CHAIRPERSON HILL: This is
17	MR. MALOOLY: Yes, it is
18	CHAIRPERSON HILL: This is great. This is more than
19	we've ever seen before to be quite honest.
20	Ms. El-Amin, you had a question for Mr. Malooly?
21	MS. EL-AMIN: Yes, I just have a quick question. You
22	mentioned that the pruning has to be to ANC standard by an
23	arborist. Is that the same for the cutting of the roots?
24	MR. MALOOLY: I'm not sure if it's mentioned on here "to
25	ANC standards." That's like a pruning standard that gets used.

I'm sorry, I'm just reading this plan here. I hadn't -- yeah. I mean, they specify that they're going to use a root pruning saw or a, you know, vibratory plow or knife, or hand-digging, or if a trencher is going to be used that all roots encountered will be cut cleanly and evenly with a sharp pruning saw.

So they're going to do those with any of those tools that are specified. We would also be having that pre-construction meeting, so I could go over some other methods they could use. But, you know, it specified in there that they're not just going to use an excavator and just rip up the roots. That's the main concern.

MS. EL-AMIN: I understand that. I was just curious because I'm not an arborist. So anyone can cut, prune, roots? You don't have an be an arborist special to cut the -- prune the roots or cut the roots is what I'm trying to get an understanding of.

MR. MALOOLY: Yeah. I mean, I guess if they're doing it to those standards, I would be comfortable with that. I guess I would be more comfortable if an arborist was directing it, but that wasn't specified in this plan, but --

MS. EL-AMIN: Okay.

MR. MALOOLY: -- main goal is to prevent an excavator from ripping those back to the trunk. That's the main goal of the root pruning and have the cuts made cleanly.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: But Mr. Malooney (sic) -- Malooney?

1	Malooly, you mentioned again you have a pre-construction meeting
2	with them?
3	MR. MALOOLY: Yes. Yeah, that's specified in their Tree
4	Protection Plan. It says, "Prior to any construction, a pre-
5	construction meeting with a DDOT"
6	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Right.
7	MR. MALOOLY: "is required"
8	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay.
9	MR. MALOOLY: so.
10	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay.
11	Ms. El-Amin, do you have any more questions for DDOT?
12	MS. EL-AMIN: No, I do not.
13	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Do you have any more questions
14	for the applicant?
15	MS. EL-AMIN: I would just ask if my arborist can also
16	attend the meetings as well, the arborist that cares for my tree.
17	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Sullivan, did you hear that?
18	MR. SULLIVAN: Yes, of course.
19	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Is that fine with you?
20	MR. SULLIVAN: Yeah.
21	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right. So, Ms. El-Amin,
22	they'll go ahead and invite your arborist as well to that pre-
23	construction meeting. And I guess, Mr. Sullivan, you can invite,
24	you know, at the pre-construction meeting whatever there is that
25	the arborist thinks that they if Ms. El-Amin wants to have her

1	arborist also attend those meetings, you're comfortable with that?
2	MR. SULLIVAN: (No audible response.)
3	CHAIRPERSON HILL: You're nodding your head yes for the
4	record.
5	MR. SULLIVAN: Yeah, yeah.
6	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right. Let's see.
7	Commissioner, did you have anything you'd like to add?
8	COMMISSIONER GOODMAN: In question to DDOT or the
9	applicant?
10	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Well, Commissioner, you, as you know
11	this, like you have an opportunity to present. I mean, I got a
12	long day ahead of me, you guys. I gotta let you know. You're
13	just the first case, right. I'm not even on my real commissioner
14	yet. Shapiro is only here for Mr. Shapiro is only here for
15	this one case. So what I'm trying to ask you, Commissioner, you
16	get a chance to present, ask questions
17	COMMISSIONER GOODMAN: Yeah.
18	CHAIRPERSON HILL: what have you. Is there anything
19	you'd like to add?
20	COMMISSIONER GOODMAN: Yeah, thank you. Real quick and
21	then I'll let you go
22	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Sure.
23	COMMISSIONER GOODMAN: and we'll move on.
24	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Sure. Go ahead.
25	COMMISSIONER GOODMAN: I'll just I'll skip ahead

since you've heard all of this. I just wanted to note for the record that the majority of the community is opposed to this project and outcomes of the increased density on the lot. Many of the neighbors had expressed concerns about this Tree Protection Plan. The ANC is supporting this project.

Knowing that, I just want to make sure that for why -that the ANC recognizes that the RA-1 zone doesn't necessarily
provide for these protections and regulations. As such, we
acknowledge that the applicant could build bigger within FAR and
GAR without addressing many of these requests, so we have to
decide if the risks of opposing this would lead to a new applicant
building larger, adding more density, removing more off-street
parking, and not negotiating to the extent that the applicant has.

And we found that the risk is high and thus our agreement to support this application contains conditions to address many of these items. The applicant has met all of these and we appreciate, as you mentioned, like of the extensive work with Urban Forestry and DDOT on this plan which is not common for these projects.

And just I'll wrap up in saying that I hope we can potentially work with the Zoning Commission to look at some of these backlog of RA-1 cases and do something to address the requirements that all cases require a special exception. Simply saying an exception is required and finding no regulations about what is or not permissible makes it really difficult for our

communities when these projects are happening.

Had the applicant not acted in good faith with negotiations, we could have seen a much larger project here, but no guidance from Zoning Regulations about what essentially matter-of-right. I really hope we can clean that up and make these meetings a lot more efficient for everyone going forward.

With that, the ANC does support this understanding that the community is not opposed, but we appreciate the applicant's considerable conditions and working with us to get to a good point.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay.

12 COMMISSIONER GOODMAN: That's all I got. I appreciate 13 it.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. No, that's cool. Commissioner, what is it again that you're saying that you want to try to get the Zoning Commission to clean up?

COMMISSIONER GOODMAN: RA-1. Just the request that every case has to come through a special exception without any kind of matter-of-right definitions within this. This is now what, I think, my fourth case with you in three months on these RA-1 cases and we just would like to be able to convey to a community. I'll tell you, we abut an RF-1. It is very clear what is matter-of-right and what is special exception. It's written in regulations. RA_1 is any new building has to come to a special exception without any kind of further regulations outside of an

1	FAR or GAR, so I would just like to see a lot more clarity around
2	that so that the community understands what they can ask for and
3	what's reasonable for them to say, "This is now allowable. This
4	is permissible."
5	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. You can go ahead and, you
6	know, the Commissioner can pass that along to the Zoning
7	Commission.
8	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Yes. It's noted, Commissioner
9	Goodman. I appreciate it.
10	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right. So let's see. Ms.
11	El-Amin, do you have any questions for the Commissioner?
12	MS. EL-AMIN: I do not.
13	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Mr. Sullivan, do you have any
14	questions?
15	MR. SULLIVAN: No, thank you.
16	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay.
17	Okay. Mr. Cochran, do you have anything you'd like to
18	add one way or the other?
19	MR. COCHRAN: Yes, sir. Sorry, but it'll be brief.
20	We recommended approval of the applicant's previous proposal in
21	this case for that new larger five-unit three-story apartment
22	building on the site and we're now recommending approval of the
23	conversion of the existing single-family dwelling to a two-story
24	apartment building with an IZ unit which would include an addition
25	to the rear of the building and that addition would be less tall,

less deep, and more stepped back at the second story than the previous proposal.

Our report at Exhibit 50 analyzes the new proposal as if it were a completely new application, and specifically OP recommends the Board approve the special exception for the proposed new residential development in the RA-1 zone, the special exception from the 3-foot side yard requirement, and the Voluntary Inclusionary Zoning unit.

Most of the previous testimony we've given on this case applies to the updated project. I just wanted to note, however, that the revised projects would generate less shadowing than had been indicated for the earlier proposal on page 10 of Exhibit 32 and as with the previous proposal, less than might occur with a by-right addition if the building had remained a single-family house. But for this more recent proposal, the applicant has chosen not to submit a revised Shadow Study that would have demonstrated that there's less impact from the new proposal than from the last.

You also admitted several documents into the record today. The revised plan including minor changes to the plans that were submitted at Exhibit 67C. Sheet 4 of the most recent plans, the ones that you submitted today, moves the 4 HVAC units that had been in the backyard onto the unoccupied roof at the back of the second floor.

And since there wouldn't be any access to that part of

1	the roof from the second floor except for maybe an HVAC or roof
2	repairman using a ladder, there shouldn't be any potential impact
3	on the privacy of use of nearby properties from having that open
4	portion of the first-floor roof at the back of the second floor.
5	That's relevant to something else that was added into the record
6	by someone else.
7	Also on Exhibit 67 on the most recent drawings, they've
8	labeled what's actually the roof as the third floor. It's
9	actually the roof. So that's it. That's just a mistake in their
10	drawings and that's our testimony.
11	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Great. Thank you, Mr.
12	Cochran.
13	Does the Board have any questions for the Office of
14	Planning?
15	(No response.)
16	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Ms. El-Amin, do you have any
17	questions for the Office of Planning?
18	MS. EL-AMIN: I do not.
19	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Commissioner, do you have any
20	questions for the Office of Planning?
21	COMMISSIONER GOODMAN: No, thank you.
22	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Sullivan, do you have any
23	questions for the Office of Planning?
24	MR. SULLIVAN: No, thank you.
25	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Ms. John, I know you're on the phone.

1	Can you hear me?
2	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Yes. I can hear you, Mr. Chairman. I
3	have no questions.
4	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Oh, Mr. Young, is there anyone here
5	wishing to testify?
6	MR. YOUNG: We do not.
7	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay.
8	Mr. Sullivan, is there anything you'd like to add at the
9	end?
10	MR. SULLIVAN: Yes, because unfortunately I have to add
11	one small wrinkle after as if we haven't had enough. Ms. El-
12	Amin's absolutely right. The plat in the area where the
13	areaway is doesn't match the site plan I discovered when she made
14	that comment. So it's a minor revision to the plat in relation to
15	the Tree Preservation Plan.
16	And so we'd like to if the Board saw fit to approve,
17	we would like to be able to submit that and it would match the
18	site plan. So the site plan that you saw is correct in the area
19	of the areaway next to her property. The plat needs to be
20	corrected in that area.
21	CHAIRPERSON HILL: So you need to submit a revised plat?
22	MR. SULLIVAN: We do.
23	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. To match what again?
24	MR. SULLIVAN: The site plan that was on in the plans
25	and

1	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: It's in these.
2	MR. SULLIVAN: 84. It's going to be 84.
3	CHAIRPERSON HILL: To match Exhibit 84?
4	MR. SULLIVAN: Yes.
5	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. And how long would that take
6	you to do?
7	MR. SULLIVAN: A day.
8	MR. CRAIN: A couple hours.
9	MR. SULLIVAN: We could do it today.
10	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right. And so you are
11	comfortable with the condition that we include the how come
12	we're still (audio interference) exhibit numbers up the Tree
13	Protection Plan in Exhibit 85, correct?
14	MR. SULLIVAN: Yes, that's correct.
15	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Sullivan? I'm sorry.
16	MR. SULLIVAN: Yes.
17	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Okay. Ms. El-Amin, we'd be
18	adding that as a condition which means they have to do it. Okay?
19	Let's see. All right. I don't think OAG, you didn't have any
20	conditions, right? And ANC, you didn't have any conditions other
21	than that Tree Protection Plan.
22	COMMISSIONER GOODMAN: No, sir.
23	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right. I love it. OAG.
24	I meant so say OP, but I got OAG, and they answered the question
25	anyway which is great. All right. All right. Does anybody have

1	any questions?
2	(No response.)
3	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. I'm going to go ahead and
4	close the record and close the hearing and excuse everyone. Thank
5	you all, very much.
6	MS. EL-AMIN: Thank you.
7	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Oh, I meant to thank the Office of
8	I'm sorry, DDOT. I meant to thank DDOT. Thank you DDOT for
9	coming.
10	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Of course, that Mr. Chair,
11	that was very helpful to have DDOT there.
12	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah. No, I mean well, I mean
13	this is the most time I've ever seen such a detailed Tree
14	Protection Plan.
15	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Yeah.
16	CHAIRPERSON HILL: You know?
17	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Yeah.
18	CHAIRPERSON HILL: I mean, it's kind of ruined everybody
19	else now because now I'm going to be like, "No, where's your
20	really outlined Tree Protection Plan?" So
21	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: The bar is high.
22	CHAIRPERSON HILL: in any case. Yeah, it really is a
23	high bar now. I'm going to go ahead and start and then go around
24	the table. I actually after hearing it took us a while to
25	get through it, but after getting through the revised plans, I

understand the argument that the Applicant is putting forward in terms of how they're meeting the standard. I would agree with their argument.

I would also agree with the Office of Planning's argument concerning the revised plans. I think that part of it, not that it -- the only thing about the Shadow Study that I didn't necessarily need is that this could be done as a -- this could be done as a larger project, right. So I thought I didn't need any more revised Shadow Study from this. I'm glad that the issue about the party status person and the tree protection, I believe, has been addressed.

I also think that, you know, they're providing the four parking spaces, so I was happy to see that. And in terms of the, again, the side yard, the residential development, and the IZ requirements, I do think that they have met all of the criteria for us to grant the application, so I am going to be voting to approve.

Mr. Shapiro, do you have any comments?

COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Sorry about that, Mr. Chair. It's a procedural question. I just want to make sure that I -- the Urban Forestry Division's, their work, the updated Tree Protection Plan seemed excellent. I just want to make sure that adding that as a condition is within our purview.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Sure. Mr. Rice?

MR. RICE: So it would be within your purview to add a

1	condition related to the Tree Protection Plan in so far as you're
2	you have the authority to impose conditions to protect adjacent
3	properties. When I spoke to DDOT, they informed me that their
4	enforcement ability of the Urban Forestry Preservation Act of 2002
5	and the Canopy Protection Amendment to the 2016 is independent of
6	any condition that you guys impose.
7	So as to avoid like duplication or enforcement
8	confusion, it might be a good idea to just note that DDOT, you
9	know, appeared and found that they were protected by, you know,
10	those laws and that there was a plan in place that they would
11	enforce.
12	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: So the
13	MR. RICE: That way it's not pulling it into the
14	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: So it might be better to
15	reference it rather than have it be a condition?
16	MR. RICE: Yes, sir.
17	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Oh, you guys. Why you guys got to
18	complicate my life? So what's the problem with the duplication,
19	Mr. Rice, because the reason why I'm asking this, right, is I
20	think this is within our ability in terms of what we're supposed
21	to do, right, with the next door neighbor and protecting their
22	rights, et cetera. I'm comfortable with the plan that I've seen,
23	right, and so
24	MR. RICE: Yes.
25	CHAIRPERSON HILL: And so if we can use it as a

1	condition
2	MR. RICE: I mean, it's completely within your
3	authority, but
4	CHAIRPERSON HILL: I'd rather leave it as pardon
5	me?
6	MR. RICE: I was saying it's completely within your
7	authority, sir.
8	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. So I'd like it as a condition.
9	I liked it. I thought it was great. Okay. I got the thumbs up.
10	Okay. Mr. Shapiro, you got anything else?
11	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: No, sir. No, sir.
12	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Mr. Smith?
13	BOARD MEMBER SMITH: Mr. Chairman, I don't have anything
14	to add. I agree with everything that was said by you and Mr.
15	Shapiro, and I would just say kudos to Ms. El-Amin for really
16	being on it and diligent in reaching out to the Urban Forestry
17	Division to get a second opinion, to start the ball going to get a
18	second opinion and to begin the dialogue between D.C. Forestry and
19	the applicant, and I think kudos to her good work for pushing the
20	applicant to provide a better product at this hearing. So with
21	that, I would support the special exception.
22	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Well, yeah. Absolutely, Mr. Smith.
23	I mean, this actually you know, if the neighbor wasn't paying
24	attention something different probably would have happened.
25	Ms. John?

VICE CHAIR JOHN: So I agree with the analysis of how the applicant is meeting the criteria for the new residential development and the special exception for the IZ unit and for the side yard. I am not on board in making the Tree Preservation Plan a condition because I believe OAG is correct, that it is really not within our jurisdiction and that by referencing the plan it takes it out of the responsibility out of the Board to enforce conditions that is in the order.

So I agree with everything else except -- and I know you like the plan, Mr. Chairman, and it really is quite impressive. I looked at it last night when I had internet and cable connection, but I think that this sets the Board up for now having in-depth hearings on tree preservation which is not within our jurisdiction and so that would be my only caveat in terms of what has been said. So I would end it there.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Well, this would -- and Ms. John, I appreciate it and I'm sorry that I can't actually see your face today. OAG is saying that it is within our purview and it is within our rights to do it. At least that's what I thought OAG said. OAG is also saying that it's duplicative and that really what would supersede is the rules of tree protection in DDOT.

And before I let OAG speak, and the reason, Ms. John, that I'm even giving you any kind of pushback is that I just feel more comfortable with the understanding of what we've gone through and the work that Ms. El-Amin has done, and I'd just like to see

it in the record. And if we come up against another issue where in the future we're trying to define or determine whether or not it's within the Board's purview, I mean, we can look at each case individually as we do and then determine at that point that this isn't really for us because it's not the adjacent neighbor or it's not something that really is within the standard that we're reviewing and that we would leave it up to -- maybe it's three houses down or something. I don't know.

I mean, it's because it's the adjacent neighbor, and that I just feel comfortable with this plan, and that OAG seemed to say that we could do this and it would just be duplicative, but I'm going to let OAG talk next and then we can go around the table and if I lose, I lose.

Mr. Rice?

2.

MR. RICE: So the condition is not -- the condition would fall squarely within your authority under X 901.4 to impose requirements pertaining to design, appearance, screening, landscaping, et cetera as you deemed necessary to protect adjacent or nearby properties. And my comment, as I said earlier, is, you know, there are laws on the books that will enforce these through DDOT. So you are correct, Mr. Chair, it would be duplicative, but it is within your authority to impose the condition under X 901.4.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Ms. John, did that change your mind?

VICE CHAIR JOHN: Actually, no because the Tree

Preservation Plan, the -- let me see if I can say that. I don't

Τ	want to have a lengthy discussion about that. I will defer to
2	OAG, but in this case we're protecting the roots on the neighbor's
3	property and there is no issue with respect to light, air, or
4	privacy coming from the location of the tree, at least I didn't
5	hear that discussion, but and I agree with you, Mr. Chairman,
6	that it is an excellent plan, but I think that benefit can be
7	achieved by referencing the plan and I don't see how the Board
8	enforces this plan.
9	So I think it's a construction issue. It's not a zoning
10	issue. It's that the construction must be undertaken in such a
11	way that it does not harm the tree root system or yeah, that it
12	doesn't harm the tree root system. So I would just leave it
13	there. I don't want to make a major issue out of this. If
14	everybody else thinks it should be included as a condition, then
15	I'm outvoted, and I can live with that.
16	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. I don't mind.
17	VICE CHAIR JOHN: (Audio interference).
18	CHAIRPERSON HILL: I don't mind being outvoted either.
19	I don't mind being outvoted either.
20	So Mr. Smith, where are you?
21	BOARD MEMBER SMITH: Based on the opinion of Mr. Rice, I
22	do believe it's within our purview, so I am comfortable with
23	conditioning the Tree Preservation Plan with the special
24	exception?
25	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Shapiro?

1	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Yeah, just a further
2	clarification with Mr. Rice. I was taken up in something that
3	Vice Chair John said. So, I mean, the piece that it's about how
4	to take care of the roots on the applicant's property, but to Vice
5	Chair John's point, that still feels like a construction issue.
6	So I'm confused about why it is within our purview. You know, I
7	have the same dilemma. I love this plan and I'm where Vice Chair
8	John is which is
9	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Give me a second. Give me a second.
10	Mr. Rice, can you site the regulation again that you're
11	hanging your hat on on this one because I'm looking at the regs.
12	MR. RICE: (No audible response.)
13	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: You're on mute.
14	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Oh, sorry.
15	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: No, not you. Mr. Rice.
16	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Oh.
17	MR. RICE: X 901.4, sir.
18	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Well, I thought I had it in front of
19	me. Okay. Go ahead and answer Mr. Shapiro's question.
20	MR. RICE: So typically you would be conditioning relief
21	based you know, conditions to be based upon the relief that
22	you're affording and then the adverse impacts that the, you know,
23	relief creates. However, under X 901.4 in the instance of the
24	special exception, you have the additional authority to, quote,
25	"impose requirements pertaining to design, appearance, size,

signs, screening, landscaping, lighting, building materials, or other requirements it," as in you, the BZA, "deems necessary to protect adjacent or nearby properties or to ensure compliance with the intent of the Zoning Regulations."

So what I'm saying is you could impose the condition under the Regulation X 901.4, and you would be noting that it is, you know, as applied to what is on the applicant's property. Obviously, you can't impose conditions that are on another property.

COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Then this -- under that section it would be the "other" impacting what's on the neighbor's property. Those are the two pieces that you would pull out of what you read?

MR. RICE: Correct. It would be that you are deeming that that requirement is necessary to protect adjacent or nearby property.

COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: So, Mr. Chair, if we can -- if we do include this, can we make sure that we're including this in reference to that specific req?

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah, I'm looking at it. You guys are -- yes, yes. Mr. Shapiro, you want to stay with us the whole day? Okay. All right. Yeah, I got it. So right, X 901.4. I'm looking right at it, right, and it's exactly what he just said. Okay? And so what are you voting, Mr. Shapiro? Are you voting to include it or not?

1	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Including it with precision
2	around why we're including it which is the
3	CHAIRPERSON HILL: X 901.4.
4	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Right. But the piece
5	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay.
6	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: that is relevant to this
7	well, it doesn't matter. As long as you're referencing that, it's
8	fine with me.
9	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay.
10	Ms. John
11	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: I'm fine voting
12	CHAIRPERSON HILL: you're getting outvoted; is that
13	okay?
14	VICE CHAIR JOHN: That's perfectly fine, Mr. Chairman.
15	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right.
16	VICE CHAIR JOHN: I still think that we can follow-up
17	for greater clarity on 901, X 901.4, at a later date just for my
18	understanding, but I'm fine with including it with that specific
19	reference because remember also that the applicant is really
20	asking for side yard relief which is on the south side. That side
21	yard relief is not impacting the neighbor in terms of light,
22	privacy, and yeah. So anyway, I'm prepared to move on, Mr.
23	Chairman. We have a very long day.
24	CHAIRPERSON HILL: That's okay. Yeah, okay. Then let's
25	we'll we can find further clarity on X 901.4 in terms of,

1	you know, again, I'm looking at landscaping's mentioned there,
2	but, you know, to protect anyway, so. And usually that's like
3	a fence or not a fence. Usually it's like, you know, tall
4	trees that we're talking about, right. So, but
5	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Right.
6	CHAIRPERSON HILL: nonetheless
7	MR. RICE: And Mr. Chair, if I could
8	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, great.
9	MR. RICE: If I could offer a reminder, the applicant
10	did agree to a condition that they would submit a revised plan,
11	the plat, within a day that matches Exhibit 84.
12	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah, thanks. I wasn't going to make
13	it a condition. I was just going to leave the record open for it.
14	MR. RICE: Yep.
15	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right. Okay. All right.
16	I'm going to make a motion to approve Application No. 20313 as
17	captioned and read by the secretary to include Exhibit 85 which is
18	the landscaping plan per the Board's understanding that allows us
19	to under Regulation X 901.4 as well as leaving the record open so
20	that the applicant can submit a revised plat to match Exhibit 84.
21	And I would like to specify that in the condition, the applicant
22	has agreed to invite the party status arborist to the meeting, the
23	initial meeting and all subsequent meetings, that involve the tree
24	and ask for a second. Ms. John?
25	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Second.

1	CHAIRPERSON HILL: The motion has been made and
2	seconded. Mr. Moy, could you take a roll call?
3	MR. ANDREWS: Yes, Mr. Chair. When I call your name,
4	would you please respond with a yes, no, or abstain to the motion
5	made by Chairman Hill to approve the relief requested. The motion
6	was seconded by Vice Chair John to approve. Zoning Commissioner
7	Shapiro?
8	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Vote yes.
9	MR. ANDREWS: Mr. Smith?
10	BOARD MEMBER SMITH: Yes.
11	MR. ANDREWS: Chairman Hill?
12	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yes.
13	MR. ANDREWS: Vice Chair John?
14	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Yes.
15	MR. ANDREWS: We have a Board seat vacant. Staff will
16	record the vote as 4 to 0 to 1 and this motion is made by Chairman
17	Hill to approve the relief requested. The motion was seconded by
18	Vice Chair John to approve. Also in support was Zoning
19	Commissioner Shapiro and Mr. Smith. The motion carries as 4 to 0
20	to 1 to approve.
21	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Thank you, Mr. Andrews.
22	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Mr. Chairman, could we
23	CHAIRPERSON HILL: All right, Mr. Shapiro.
24	Ms. John?
25	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Can we take a five-minute break? I

1	might need to
2	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah, yeah.
3	VICE CHAIR JOHN: (audio interference).
4	CHAIRPERSON HILL: We're going to take a 10-minute
5	break.
6	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Thank you.
7	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Let's see. So Mr. Andrews,
8	can you hear me?
9	MR. ANDREWS: Yes, sir.
10	CHAIRPERSON HILL: I believe that we, at the last time
11	had asked we had promised that we were going to hear 20359
12	earlier and so I'm trying to see what 23059 is. Okay. Wow. So
13	23059 is like a big one. Mr. Shapiro, did we lose you?
14	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: (No audible response.)
15	CHAIRPERSON HILL: We did. All right. Mr. Smith, then
16	I guess we're going to hear 20359 next. Mr. Andrews, can you hear
17	me?
18	MR. ANDREWS: Yes, sir. That's correct.
19	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Great. And then, Mr. Smith,
20	we're going to go ahead and do that.
21	Mr. May, we're taking a break. How are you doing,
22	Commissioner? Were you watching, Commissioner?
23	COMMISSIONER MAY: More like listening.
24	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Listening? I was going to harass
25	your colleague, Commissioner Shapiro, but he got off the phone

1	before I could do so if he even wanted to stay longer with us, but
2	nonetheless. All right. Okay. I'm going to go ahead and take my
3	10-minute break then and we'll be back. All right? Thank you.
4	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Thank you. Thank you.
5	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the
6	record at 11:04 a.m. and reconvened at 11:15 a.m.)
7	CHAIRMAN HILL: All right. Mr. Andrews, can you hear
8	me?
9	(No verbal response)
10	CHAIRMAN HILL: Well, Ms. John, you're still kind of
11	breaking up a little bit there, so we'll see how it goes.
12	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Okay. I'm using cellular data, so
13	let's see how that works.
14	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay.
15	VICE CHAIR JOHN: There's nothing working, no cable, no
16	internet, in this house.
17	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. All right. Mr. Andrews, can you
18	introduce yourself for the record, Mr. Andrews.
19	MR. ANDREWS: Yes, Chairman.
20	I'm Don Andrews with the Board of Zoning Adjustments. I
21	do the intake (audio interference). I'm filling in for Mr. Moy
22	today.
23	Good morning, everyone, or, yes, it's still good
24	morning.
25	CHAIRMAN HILL: Good morning. Good morning.

1	And we have Commissioner Peter May joining us.
2	Mr. Andrews, could you please go ahead and read into our
3	next case.
4	MR. ANDREWS: Yes, sir.
5	Application Number 20359 of 1301 West Virginia, LLC.
6	As amended, pursuant to 11 DCMR Subtitle X, Chapter 9,
7	for a special exception under the residential conversion
8	requirements of Subtitle U, Section 320.2, to convert the existing
9	principal dwelling unit into a three-unit apartment house in the
10	RF-1 Zone at premises 1301 West Virginia Avenue, N.E. (Square
11	4064, Lot 75).
12	CHAIRMAN HILL: Let me see who we've got.
13	Mr. Williams, can you hear me?
14	MR. WILLIAMS: Yes, I can.
15	CHAIRMAN HILL: Could you introduce yourself for the
16	record, please.
17	MR. WILLIAMS: Yes, Zach Williams, a land-use attorney
18	with Venable, representing the Applicant.
19	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. Ms. Cain, are you there?
20	MS. CAIN: Yes, I'm here.
21	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. Maybe you can help me keep track
22	of some of the party status people if I kind of lose my way here,
23	because I don't have them all individually listed as to who they
24	are.
25	And I think, is Ms. Ferster with us?

_	
1	(No verbal response)
2	CHAIRMAN HILL: Ms. Cain, do you have the list of who we
3	granted party status to?
4	MS. CAIN: Yes, give me just a second here. So, you
5	granted party status to Ms. Albury Dale or sorry Ms. Albury,
6	who is represented by her daughters, Ms. Albury Dale and
7	Ms. Featherstone. Those are the individuals who have engaged
8	Ms. Ferster to represent them as their legal counsel.
9	You did also grant party status to Karen Durbin and to
10	Holy Name Catholic Church.
11	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. Great.
12	I don't see Ms. Ferster yet.
13	Ms. Albury, can you hear us?
14	MS. LOTTIE ALBURY: Yes.
15	CHAIRMAN HILL: Ms. Albury, maybe you have two audios
16	going. Maybe you turn off either mute your phone or mute your
17	computer audio. That might be helpful for a second.
18	And I'm going to keep going around the table.
19	Ms. Durbin, are you there?
20	MR. HOFFMAN: Ms. Durbin won't be able to attend. She
21	let me know this morning.
22	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. And who are you, sir?
23	MR. HOFFMAN: Commissioner Hoffman.
24	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. Commissioner, welcome.
25	Ms. Durbin is not going to be with us.

1	Ms. Albury, can you hear us?
2	MS. LOTTIE ALBURY: Yes, I can hear.
3	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. And Ms. Albury, I'm sorry, what's
4	your daughter's name again?
5	MS. LOTTIE ALBURY: Can they hear us?
6	CHAIRMAN HILL: Yes.
7	MS. LOTTIE ALBURY: Okay. We'll mute ourselves again.
8	CHAIRMAN HILL: Actually, you're doing okay.
9	Could you introduce yourself, please?
10	MS. FEATHERSTONE: All right. Introduce yourself, Mom.
11	CHAIRMAN HILL: No, Ms. Albury I'm good with.
12	It's you I'm trying to get the name of, ma'am.
13	MS. FEATHERSTONE: Oh, I'm Brenda Featherstone. I'm
14	having issues being able to connect to the Cisco Webex, so I'm
15	here. So, you won't see my name displayed on the bottom of the
16	screen, but I'm here with my mother, Lottie Albury, and my sister,
17	Benita Dale.
18	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. Ms. Dale I'm sorry, Ms. Stone
19	[sic] and Ms. Dale.
20	MS. FEATHERSTONE: Featherstone.
21	CHAIRMAN HILL: Pardon me?
22	MS. FEATHERSTONE: Featherstone.
23	CHAIRMAN HILL: Oh, Featherstone. I'm sorry.
24	MS. FEATHERSTONE: Yes, sir. That's all right.
25	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. Let's see. I do see Ms. Dale.

1	Can you are you Ms. Featherstone, are you and
2	Ms. Dale right there next to your mom?
3	MS. FEATHERSTONE: Yes, we are.
4	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. Then you guys can both use
5	Ms. Albury's connection, if you want to.
6	I don't see Ms. Ferster anywhere. Do you know if she's
7	joining you?
8	MS. FEATHERSTONE: I don't think she's planning to join
9	us this morning.
10	CHAIRMAN HILL: Oh, okay. All right. Okay.
11	Let's see, then. Who's representing the church again?
12	MS. FEATHERSTONE: Cheryl McLaughlin.
13	MS. DALE: She's calling in.
14	MS. FEATHERSTONE: She's going to call in.
15	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. Ms. McLaughlin, can you hear us?
16	Ms. McLaughlin, can you hear us?
17	MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Yeah, I was having some issues,
18	technical issues.
19	Can you hear me now?
20	CHAIRMAN HILL: Yeah, I can.
21	Ms. Albury, why don't you mute your line until we get to
22	you.
23	And Ms. McLaughlin, could you introduce yourself, again,
24	for the record.
25	MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Certainly. I'm Sheryl McLaughlin. I'm

- representing Father William Maloney, on behalf of Holy Name 1 2. Catholic Church, as well as the property, which is Center City 3 Public Charter School, and Four Walls, which is located on Neal 4 Street. Okay. Mr. Williams, who's here with 5 CHAIRMAN HILL: 6 you? You're on mute, Mr. Williams. 7 MR. WILLIAMS: Sorry about that. 8 CHAIRMAN HILL: And Ms. McLaughlin, if you could mute 9 yourself, as well. 10 MR. WILLIAMS: Sorry about that. 11 The Applicant, which includes Messrs. Matt and Sam 12 Medvene. 13 CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. I'm looking up Medvene. 14 Okay. There's two Medvenes.
- 15 Mr. Matt Medvene, can you hear me? Can you introduce 16 yourself for the record?
- MR. MATT MEDVENE: Sorry about that. I didn't realize I 17 18 was muted.
- 19 Yes, my name is Matt Medvene. I am one of the 20 Applicants.
- 21 CHAIRMAN HILL: Is that your brother, Samuel?
- 22 MR. MATT MEDVENE: Indeed, it is.
- 23 CHAIRMAN HILL: I don't think your brother was here the
- 24 last time, correct?
- 25 MR. SAMUEL MEDVENE: I was.

1	CHAIRMAN HILL: Oh, okay.
2	All right. Mr. Sam Medvene, can you introduce yourself
3	for the record, please.
4	MR. SAMUEL MEDVENE: Yep. My name is Sam Medvene. I'm
5	currently residing in the project, or the address of discussion,
6	as well as being part-owner, as well, with my brother.
7	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. Mr. Petyak is that your
8	name? could you introduce yourself, as well.
9	I can't hear you, Mr. Petyak.
10	MR. PETYAK: Apologies. Ryan Petyak, architect (audio
11	interference).
12	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. All right.
13	So, Ms. Albury, I guess, or Ms. Featherstone, or Ms.
14	Dale, I mean, you guys are all together, so you all can let me
15	know. It seems as though your attorney had filed something that
16	said you all wanted to remain separate parties.
17	Was that correct?
18	MS. FEATHERSTONE: Yes, sir, that is correct.
19	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. And then Mr. Durbin, where are
20	you oh, I'm sorry yeah, Mr. Durbin, where are you oh, no,
21	I'm sorry, not Ms. Durbin; she's not here.
22	So, Commissioner, right, you said she's not here.
23	MR. HOFFMAN: Yeah.
24	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. All right.
25	All right. So, Ms. Featherstone, is there a reason why

1	Ms. Ferster hasn't joined you?
2	MS. DALE: I'm going to unmute myself.
3	MS. FEATHERSTONE: Okay. Wait. I'll mute myself back.
4	MS. DALE: Mr. Hill?
5	CHAIRMAN HILL: Yes.
6	MS. DALE: Ms. Ferster is trying to keep her fees a
7	little lower for us sort of. You know, it's quite expensive to
8	have an attorney present all the time. So, she's advising us as
9	much as she can. So, you know, we have to keep our costs down.
10	CHAIRMAN HILL: I got it. I understand.
11	MS. DALE: Yes.
12	CHAIRMAN HILL: I guess what I'm going to do,
13	Ms. Featherstone was that Ms. Dale that just spoke to me?
14	MS. FEATHERSTONE: Yes.
15	MS. DALE: Yes.
16	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. I see. All right. Great.
17	So, Ms. Dale, what I'm going to do is I'm going to
18	start and this is for all of the party status people I'm
19	going to go ahead and start with the Applicant and ask the
20	Applicant what has happened since the last time we were here.
21	So, now, just so the other party status people
22	understand what we're doing, there's a lot of things that are not
23	necessarily within the Board's purview, right, such as
24	construction, certain things that happen during construction, and
25	some of the things that got brought up during the submissions that

you all submitted. And it seems as though some movement has 1 2. happened with the Applicant concerning some of your concerns. 3 I don't know whether or not you have the regulation in front of you, which is U§320.2. So, U§320.2 is what the Applicant 4 5 is actually here to argue and that's what they're -- we're going to be focusing or trying to focus our testimony on. 6 7 We're going to take everyone's testimony and we'll do 8 our best to -- I know Ms. Dale and the other status party people 9 are not zoning attorneys, so we'll try to listen to everyone and 10 also understand what things are within the purview of zoning. 11 we're going to try to stay to, you know, again, the arguments in 12 terms of U, Section 320.2. 13 There was a lot of information in the record, and we've 14 read all of it. And so, you know, first of all, definitely, Ms. Albury, 15 16 I'd like to welcome you. Nice to see you. Thanks for joining us. I know there's a lot of things going on that you wish weren't 17 18 going on, but we're going to try to walk through this, okay. 19 MS. LOTTIE ALBURY: Yes, okay. 20 CHAIRMAN HILL: Oh, okay. 21 MS. CAIN: Mr. Chair? CHAIRMAN HILL: Yes? 22 MS. CAIN: Just two preliminary matters that I wanted to 23 point out before the Board gets into the merits of the case. 24 25 The Applicant did submit a motion to waive the 15-day

1	posting requirements; that's at Exhibit 80. It was posted on the
2	property 13 days before the hearing.
3	In addition, there was also the request to postpone the
4	hearing from the party opponents; that's at Exhibit 85.
5	So, we would just recommend that the Board address those
6	two preliminary issues before the merits of the case.
7	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. The first issue, I don't have any
8	problem waiving in terms of the posting, because I think we're all
9	here and I think it's been it's clear now that everyone is
LO	here. So, unless the Board has an issue with the posting, and if
L1	so, please raise your hand, I'm going to go ahead and waive that.
L2	I don't see anyone raising their hand. All right. So
L3	we're going to go ahead and waive that.
L4	The other is that there was a request for a postponement
L5	of this hearing; is that correct, again, Ms. Cain?
L6	MS. CAIN: Yes, that's correct.
L7	CHAIRMAN HILL: And who made that filing; again, if you
L8	could remind me.
L9	MS. CAIN: So, that was submitted by the party-
20	opponents. That's in Exhibit 85 of the record. And this was due
21	to ongoing negotiations regarding a memorandum of understanding
22	regarding construction (audio interference).
23	CHAIRMAN HILL: Got it. And who filed that?
24	MS. CAIN: I believe it came in from Ms. Albury. It's
25	the party-opponents.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Got it. So, Ms. Dale, I guess I'm a little hesitant to agree to the postponement only because we're kind of all here and it seems as though the memorandum of understanding that you're trying to negotiate through, we might be able to get more clarity by going through this hearing rather than postpone. And what you seem to be, again, trying to work out, are things that are possibly outside of the Board's purview.

But it's only after we go through this exercise, this hearing, that we'll know exactly what is or isn't outside of our purview. So, if it's all right, I'd rather continue and go ahead. I'm just kind of telling you my thoughts to this. I don't need to hear from you yet, because I'm going to ask my fellow board members their thoughts, but that's kind of where I am right now.

I'm going to go around to my fellow board members and ask them what their thoughts are on the postponement. I'm going to start with you, Commissioner May.

COMMISSIONER MAY: Thank you. Yes, I agree with you, Mr. Chairman, that it is helpful that we are all here and we can start discussing the case, but most importantly, I think the issues that are the reason for the postponement have to do with things that are not really relevant to the zoning decision that we have to make.

So, of course, I would remind people that we won't necessarily get to the point of making a decision today anyway; we may just hear it and there may still be time before we make a

1	decision. But we'll see as the day goes.
2	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. Ms. John?
3	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Mr. Chairman, I agree with your
4	comments, as well as Commissioner May's comments, and I would also
5	note that this is, the request for the continuance is to negotiate
6	the construction MOU, which is not really something that is before
7	the Board.
8	And so, I would not agree to the postponement.
9	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. Mr. Smith?
10	MEMBER SMITH: I agree with the comments of Ms. John. I
11	do not agree with the postponement of this particular case for the
12	exact same reasons.
13	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. Let's see.
14	So, what I'm going to go ahead and do is I'm going to
15	start with the Applicant, Mr. Williams.
16	And Ms. Albury, if you could mute your line, as well as
17	Mr I'm going to butcher your name Petyak, if you could mute
18	your line.
19	If everybody could mute your line, unless they're
20	speaking, that would be helpful.
21	And Ms. Albury, you haven't muted yet. Now you're
22	muted. Okay, perfect. You're muted. You guys are muted.
23	All right. Let's see now.
24	Okay. Mr. Williams, I don't think we heard this yet,
25	correct, we just went through the whole issue about party status,

1	correct, Mr. Williams?
2	MR. WILLIAMS: That's correct.
3	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. So, Mr. Williams, I'm going to go
4	ahead and let you present your case, and then, you know, if you
5	want to speak to you can even go ahead and speak to the issues
6	that the party status people are having, because we're going to
7	hear from them, as well, and just let us know kind of where you
8	are.
9	But as you know, you are here to argue for the relief
10	under U, Section 320.2, and you can begin whenever you'd like.
11	MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you, Chairman Hill.
12	We have a presentation. If Mr. Young could bring it up,
13	we could start there.
14	CHAIRMAN HILL: Is that in the record, by chance?
15	MR. WILLIAMS: Yes, it is.
16	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. Before you start, Mr. Williams,
17	since I've got a little pause, so, if anyone else is listening,
18	we're going to can my fellow board members hear me?
19	(No verbal response)
20	CHAIRMAN HILL: I guess one of our fellow board members
21	has to take a meeting at 1:30. If we can make it to 1:30 for
22	lunch, we're going to go ahead and try to make it to 1:30.
23	I think that was right, correct, Mr. Smith?
24	(No verbal response)
25	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. He's nodding yes.

So, we'll see what happens. Unless somebody's dying, 1 we'll try to make it till 1:30. 2 3 So, all right, Mr. Williams, go ahead. MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you. 4 Again, my name is Zach Williams. I'm a land-use 5 6 attorney and agent for the Applicant. 7 Next slide, please. 8 This property is located at 1301 West Virginia Avenue 9 NE. This is a large corner-lot property in the Trinidad 10 neighborhood, across from Gallaudet University. 11 Next slide. 12 This is the survey of the property that gives you a 13 sense for how large it is. You can see the existing two-story 14 home there in the frame, and the land area of the property is just under 3600 square feet. It is just shy of being large enough to 15 16 be subdivided for a second lot. Second slide -- next slide, please. 17 18 This is the existing house, as it exists today. You can 19 see the two-story row home there and then the area within the 20 retaining wall there is all part of the private property and part 21 of the application, as well. Next slide. 22 23 This is the rear of the property as it exists today, with the deck that you can see there, agenda to the alley, from 24 25 which the picture is taken from.

Next slide, please.

The relief that we're requesting here at this time is simply to, a special exception to convert the existing single-family home to a three-dwelling unit apartment house in the RF-1 Zone, which is permitted by special exception.

At this time, the building addition and expansion that we're proposing is all by-right; in other words, it's permitted under the development standards of the RF-1 Zone. Two parking spaces are provided on-site, and the Office of Planning currently supports the relief we're seeking.

Next slide, please.

There has been quite a bit of ANC and community discussion about this application, and we'll get more into this as we go. We filed this application last August. We have met with the ANC now. We have presented at three separate monthly meetings. We presented at two separate Planning and Zoning Committee meetings. We've also had private meetings with the neighbors, as well as the ANC single-member district commissioner, Mr. Zach Hoffman.

This process started in November and has continued up until last night; we presented, again, to the ANC last night.

We have also reached out to neighbors on the block. There are 12 letters of support in the record from nearby neighbors. There's one neutral letter. And those are at Exhibits 46 and 65.

Next slide, please.

As a result of these meetings and discussions with the community, the Applicant has made significant changes to the application. When we first filed this application, we were seeking a number of items of additional relief. One was to add additional dwelling units, including an IZ unit. Another was to add a rear addition, more than 10 feet past the adjoined property. And the third was to add penthouses on the roof, which would have also required special exception.

As a result of concerns and issues that were raised by the community, the Applicant has withdrawn all relief, except for the request for three dwelling units in the apartment house.

Other changes the Applicant has made include revising the architectural design; essentially, going back to the drawing board back in December and redesigning the entire building to address community's concerns and to model the property in a lot of ways off of the approval at 1501 West Virginia a few years ago and the design that was approved there.

Further, the height has been reduced to address shadow concerns. And HVAC equipment has been moved to the roof and to the Neal Street side of the property to mitigate concerns of noise to the adjacent property owner.

Next slide.

These are the previous architectural renderings that we initially filed, just to give you a sense for the changes that

have been made; this is what we originally had in the record. 1 2 Next slide, please. 3 This is a bird's-eye view of the previous renderings. You can see the penthouse on the roof, which has now been removed. 4 And the shaded area, you can sort of see it in light 5 blue at the back of this rendering, is the addition that we were 6 7 seeking additional relief for, that went beyond the 10-foot -- 10 8 feet beyond the adjacent rear structure. That has now been 9 removed from the application. 10 Next slide, please. 11 This is a current rendering. This is the current 12 applicable rendering. You can see that we have made really wholesale changes to this property; adding a lot more brick, 13 14 changing the third-story aesthetic to make it blend in better with the community and to match 1501 West Virginia, another large 15 16 corner lot that was approved for a third dwelling unit a couple of 17 years back. 18 We are still keeping the front porch roof. We are not 19 seeking any relief to alter any of the additional porch roofs or 20 porch; that is all staying in place. 21 Next slide, please. 22 This is a bird's-eye view of the current design; again, 23 giving you a sense for the changes that we've made and the changes that we think mitigate concerns that were raised by the community. 24 25 There's no longer a penthouse; there's now the roof hatches to access the rooftop decks on the rooftop.

2.

Next slide, please.

I included the shadowed space here because this has been -- there has been considerable discussion about shadowing impacts from the site. This is the summer shadow study. I only raise this because there's been a lot of discussion about the winter solstice study, but the winter solstice, as we know, is only 1 out of 365 days of the year.

During the summer months, there is almost no change to the current shading of the adjacent properties, because the existing property already provides some shading and the proposed changes, the angle of the sun at that time of the year would make very minimal changes to the shadow studies.

Next slide, please.

This is a winter shadow study at the winter solstice. This has been the focus of discussion. There's a lot to digest here, but to help the Board kind of understand what we're talking about, in the bottom right-hand corner of the screen, you can see I've outlined with one circle and one oval. That is the area that is currently not shaded during the winter solstice that would be shaded, with this addition.

So, as you can see, a considerable amount of the rear yard of the adjacent neighbor is already in shade under the winter solstice. There's a small triangle that isn't. And then a portion of the roof is already in shade and there would be another

portion that would be shaded just during the winter solstice, and that's at 2:00 p.m. on the winter solstice. You can see during the noon period and the morning, that shading is obviously not there.

Next slide, please.

We provided this extended shadow study to address concerns from the property owner of the property outlined in purple on this slide. The property owner there does have solar panels, so she had a legitimate concern about the shading, and so we went back to our architect and asked to provide an extended shadow study and that we were able to confirm that the shadow of the proposed structure will not impact the property there in purple and will not impact the solar panels.

Next slide, please.

There have been a number of similar nearby projects approved. Every project obviously stands on its own, but there's been a statement and suggestion in the record that there really aren't any projects like this in Trinidad or even nearby, and we would submit that that actually several have been approved just over the last few years.

The one at 1501 West Virginia that I mentioned earlier this, is the one that's just two blocks away and very similar in the sense that it's a similarly shaped large corner lot. You can see how we sort of modeled our building off of the design that was approved here. This project is actually bigger than ours; they

were also requesting side yard relief, which we are not requesting.

There was also a suggestion in the record that this project was somehow less height. That's not accurate. This project actually had an approved height of 35 feet.

Next slide.

This is another nearby project. This is on the same block as the current project at issue in this case, 1151 Oates Street NE. This was approved in July 2018, also for a three-unit apartment house on a significantly smaller lot.

Next slide, please.

Finally, this project was approved just a few months agree, January 7th, 2021, at 1638 Trinidad Avenue NE. This was also approved as a three-unit apartment house.

I believe that's my last slide.

Next slide, please.

Yes. So, just to sum up, discuss what has happened in the last few months, shortly after the postponed hearing in January, there was outreach that was done by the Applicant, myself, and by the ANC to schedule a mediation session to go over issues with the neighbors again. That outreach was made January 29th and we immediately responded and said we're available.

Unfortunately, as I mentioned in my filing, the adjacent neighbors and church did not make themselves available and

indicated that they were busy filing their opposition. And it wasn't until the end of February that we did hear back from the ANC that the adjacent neighbors now were available to discuss a potential construction management agreement, which we had, by the way, mentioned for months that we were amenable to.

So, we did have a meeting with the adjacent neighbors, as well as the church, as well as Ms. Durbin, and it was a very productive meeting. And Mr. Hoffman organized the meeting and did a really good job of bringing everyone together.

The issues that were presented, we actually found that a lot of it was common ground. The Applicant was able to agree to most everything that was presented in that meeting.

We then had a second meeting a few days later, requested by the Albury family and that meeting, additional requests were made of the Applicant that the Applicant was not able to agree to. These were requests not commonly seen in a construction management agreement, such as upgrades, the adjacent home, the roof, the windows, things like that, that are sort of outside the purview of what would typically be provided in a construction management agreement.

Nonetheless, we found that most everything that was being asked for, we were able to provide or at least provide some aspect of. We sent over a construction management agreement with these changes to the Albury family and their lawyer and we did not hear back from them until 9:00 a.m. this morning, and we have been

furiously reviewing and trying to meet, you know, find common 1 2. ground here all morning long, actually. 3 But I think the frustration on the Applicant's side is that while we have attempted repeatedly to meet with the community 4 5 and find common ground and make changes, we're continuously, and I will say that the Applicant feels continuously demonized in public 6 7 filings and made to sound like they're ignoring the community don't care and that's just not the case at all. And so, I just 8 9 want to put that out there for the record, and that concludes my 10 presentation, unless there's any questions. 11 CHAIRMAN HILL: All right. Thank you, Mr. Williams. 12 Does the Board have any questions of the Applicant? 13 Mr. May? 14 COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes. Can we bring up what looks like 15 slide 12 on the PowerPoint presentation. 16 (Pause) COMMISSIONER MAY: Thank you. So, I'm curious about the 17 18 rooftop structures. You know, you referred to a hatch. It looks 19 like there's a hatch, as opposed to a full penthouse, but that's 20 not the sort of hatch that I would typically expect. It's also a 21 little unusual to have the parapet wall like that. So, I'm curious, what's the point of having that --22 23 first of all, let's just deal with the parapet wall abutting 1303? 24 MR. WILLIAMS: Yes. Good question. 25 Can I defer that one to our architect? I think he'd be

1 best suited to answer that question. 2 COMMISSIONER MAY: Please do. I always like to have 3 architects answer architectural questions, rather than lawyers. 4 MR. WILLIAMS: Yes. Me, too. 5 MR. PETYAK: Good morning. Ryan Petyak, architect. We are using the parapet along this condition here 6 because of the 1:1 setback from the adjoining property to be able 7 8 to have a roof hatch that is large enough to be able to -- as we 9 said earlier, we moved the mechanical units up to the rooftop to 10 help with concerns about noise at the lower level -- so, we have 11 what is called a "Dayliter hatch"; it's not a standard flat-roof 12 hatch that domes -- it's called a "Dayliter hatch," so the door 13 actually comes to the underside of it and a latch is able to be 14 engaged and flipped up like an awning window. So, that parapet is -- I can look at drawings, but off 15 16 the top of my head, I think it's 3 and a half feet tall, but don't hold me to that -- but essentially what that is doing is that 17 18 provides an edge for that penthouse and structure over top of the 19 stair and the Dayliter hatch to be attached thereto. 20 COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay. So, you understand why there 21 are setback rules, right? 22 MR. PETYAK: Yes, sir. COMMISSIONER MAY: So, why don't you tell me what you 23

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
Court Reporting and Litigation Support
Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia
410-766-HUNT (4868)
1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

MR. PETYAK: To avoid structures that are "less than

24

25

think the purpose of that is.

sightly" from the neighbor's property.

2 COMMISSIONER MAY: Yeah. "Less than sightly," that's an 3 interesting way to put it.

So, yeah, I mean, what you're doing is you're putting up a wall that's four feet above a 31-foot, 7-inch rooftop, I think --

MR. PETYAK: That's correct.

8 COMMISSIONER MAY: -- and it's three and a half feet,
9 you say.

10 MR. PETYAK: I'm looking at the construction documents
11 now as we're chatting. I'm sorry, yes.

COMMISSIONER MAY: Yeah. So, I mean, it's -- I don't understand why you didn't just go with a flat hatch and not have that parapet wall, which would be, you know, less problematic from a visual perspective, at least from my visual perspective.

MR. PETYAK: Per request from the client, as well as, just in terms of having mechanical roof units on top. You know, if you provide a flat hatch, then you're looking at a ladder, wall-mounted ladder to have access to those units. It was requested and preferred to have a stair access to those areas.

COMMISSIONER MAY: I don't get that at all. I mean, there are rooftop units on (audio interference) all the time that have no hatches like that at all. I mean, the one on my house was put up there with a hand crank. I don't understand why there would be any issue by that.

1	MR. PETYAK: This specific product was being requested
2	by the owner.
3	COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay. Well, again, I still don't
4	understand why it's necessary.
5	I'm also not totally convinced that it is compliant with
6	zoning regulations, which are designed to sort of minimize those,
7	that additional height along a sidewall, you know, when it's
8	visible like this. And it's, you know, I mean, at three and a
9	half feet, you're close to exceeding the or, actually, the
10	total at the end is 37.1 or 37 feet, 1 inch or something like
11	that, right? I saw that dimension somewhere.
12	I mean, doesn't that mean that you're above the 35 feet
13	that you're allowed.
14	MR. PETYAK: As I said, I'm trying to get into my model
15	now. I wasn't prepared to have the physical present model that
16	we've been working on.
17	COMMISSIONER MAY: Well, you don't need to do that.
18	Just look at the drawings that you submitted.
19	MR. PETYAK: I'm sorry, I'm working from home, so I'm
20	struggling getting my server to have access I've got wireless
21	issues to access my server. So, I'm getting there. My
22	apologies.
23	COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay.
24	CHAIRMAN HILL: Commissioner May?
25	COMMISSIONER MAY: Yeah?

1	CHAIRMAN HILL: I've got a question for you, actually,
2	because I'm looking at this also.
3	The 1:1 setback, doesn't that parapet wall come all the
4	way to the front of the building?
5	COMMISSIONER MAY: Yeah.
6	CHAIRMAN HILL: And so, isn't that supposed to be
7	setback, then?
8	COMMISSIONER MAY: Well, parapet walls are not really
9	defined as something that has to be setback; it's rooftop
10	structures. Parapet walls can go up to the allowed height, I
11	think, in an RF-1 Zone. So, it could go up to 35 feet.
12	But it's very weird to have a parapet wall on a sidewall
13	and not, and, you know, only on a sidewall.
14	CHAIRMAN HILL: That's right. No, I remember seeing
15	that.
16	COMMISSIONER MAY: I mean, this, you know, if you went
17	with this
18	MR. MATT MEDVENE: I'd just like to jump in real quick.
19	COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes?
20	MR. MATT MEDVENE: Sorry. How's it going?
21	My name is Matt, one of the Applicants. While Ryan is
22	pulling up the model, I can circling back to the product.
23	So, we have gone through several different projects,
24	working through the nuances of roof hatches with the Zoning
25	Department and the zoning reviewers and everyone within that

division, and over the last several years, we have found that this product from Dayliter is the one that they have been the most amenable to.

There's another product that they've also been open to. It is called a Skyview Skylight, I believe. Basically, it's more of a -- it's an electrical or motor-operated, flat hatch that would not require this. But we tried that on a previous project, and it was extremely -- on top of being very expensive, you've got a, in our opinion, a much more inferior product, because it was more finicky. It had to be shipped from New York. And because it was motorized, if any of the wires -- it was very -- we've continued to have issues with it -- we actually have it in one of our homes and do not recommend it -- as such, we've been sticking with this Dayliter product that has gone through multiple plan reviews on multiple projects and the Zoning Department has approved it.

The parapet wall in this particular scenario, it does seem a little odd that it is only on one party wall, rather than the entire perimeter. That was actually an adjustment that we made. We lowered and reduced the parapet around the other three sides of the roof, at the request of both, the community and the neighbors, and we only kept this one section of it, as it was at the location of the two roof hatches.

COMMISSIONER MAY: Uh-huh.

And you understand how the parapet wall on that side

contributes to the shadows that are cast on the neighboring 1 2 property, as well, right? 3 MR. MATT MEDVENE: Yes. 4 COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay. So, I'm not really sure that that is a smart idea. 5 6 And, you know, I'm sympathetic to the challenges of 7 having a roof hatch and how complicated that can be, but, you 8 know, the structures that you are proposing there are larger than 9 they would really have to be to accommodate a stair that goes out 10 through that opening. And, again, I'm not totally convinced that 11 this is compliant with the Zoning Regulations. I'll ask the 12 Office of Planning about that later on. 13 But it just seems like you're piling up a lot of stuff 14 along that wall and it's exacerbating the issue with the sunlight 15 on the neighbor's property. 16 You know, there are also ways to address this that could 17 have been done with how the stair is placed within the building, 18 but I'm not going to ask you to redesign the building, but for 19 future reference, you should be thinking about that and not just 20 the product that you want to use for the hatch. Well, that's it for my questions and 21 All right. 22 comments. 23 CHAIRMAN HILL: Mr. Petyak -- and how do you say your

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
Court Reporting and Litigation Support
Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia
410-766-HUNT (4868)
1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

MR. PETYAK: Petyak, like I've got a pet yak.

24

25

name again?

1	CHAIRMAN HILL: Petyak, all right.
2	Mr. Petyak, is that another Dayliter hatch directly
3	opposite?
4	MR. PETYAK: That's correct. There is a front unit and
5	a back unit that have their own Dayliter hatches. You can see
6	with the railing locations that there's actually two individual,
7	private areas or semi-private areas, I guess I should say.
8	CHAIRMAN HILL: Yeah, okay.
9	All right. Mr. Young, can you drop the slide deck so I
10	can see everybody again.
11	Mr. Smith, do you have any questions?
12	MEMBER SMITH: I would just say a statement. I share
13	the same exact concerns as Mr. May. And I am not completely sold
14	that not only the parapet, but the (audio interference)
15	penthouses, I don't believe it's questionable whether they meet
16	the front setbacks. I think, especially that one on the front, it
17	seems to be right on the edge of the front building wall there.
18	So, I was just reserve my questions for the Office of
19	Planning, if they're listening.
20	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. So, we can get to those questions
21	with the Office of Planning when we get to the Office of Planning.
22	Ms. John, do you have any questions?
23	VICE CHAIR JOHN: No, I have no questions.
24	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. Ms. Dale, can you hear me?
25	MS. DALE: Yes, I can hear you.

1	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay, great. Perfect.
2	Let's see. Do you have any questions for the Applicant,
3	Ms. Dale, on his presentation?
4	MS. DALE: I do have I would like to ask the fact
5	that well, not ask but he made a statement that he didn't
6	make changes to the porch, but the way the porch is right now, the
7	front porch goes all the way across the windows.
8	Right now, the porch has been redesigned. It looks like
9	it's just a step up to the front porch. The porch, as it is now
10	on the front is all the way across the front of the house. So,
11	that's incorrect when he said that there were no changes made to
12	the front porch.
13	CHAIRMAN HILL: Mr. Williams, can you clarify your
14	statement.
15	MR. WILLIAMS: Yes. The existing porch extends the
16	length of the house, but there's a significant addition that is
17	being added to the side of the house. And so, we're not going to
18	extend the porch. Actually, I think we would even have to get
19	relief to do that, to extend the porch beyond where it currently
20	is. So, we're keeping it where it is. It has the appearances, as
21	though it's taking up less space, but it isn't.
22	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. I understand.
23	Ms. Dale, do you have any further questions?
24	MS. DALE: No, that was all.
25	CHAIRMAN HILL: Thank you.

1	Let's see. Ms. McLaughlin, do you have any questions
2	for the Applicant about his presentation?
3	MR. ANDREWS: Commissioner, she's going to have to be
4	sworn in Mr. Chair, she's going to have to be sworn in before
5	she provides testimony.
6	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. Did she not sign in with the
7	affidavit?
8	MR. ANDREWS: That's correct.
9	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. Ms. McLaughlin, could you listen
10	to Mr. Andrews, please. He's going to swear you in.
11	MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Uh-huh:
12	MR. ANDREWS: Ms. McLaughlin, could you raise your right
13	hand. Ma'am?
14	CHAIRMAN HILL: Her hand is raised, Mr. Andrews.
15	MR. ANDREWS: Oh, pardon me. Pardon me.
16	(Oath administered)
17	MS. MCLAUGHLIN: I do.
18	CHAIRMAN HILL: All right. Ms. McLaughlin, do you have
19	any questions for Mr. Williams on his presentation?
20	MS. MCLAUGHLIN: No, I don't really have any question on
21	the presentation. But I do want to make a comment.
0.0	
22	I feel like if it wasn't for the Alburys they're the
23	I feel like if it wasn't for the Alburys they're the ones that have kind of been keeping us looped in and keeping us

1	CHAIRMAN HILL: Ms. McLaughlin, it's okay. You'll have
2	the chance to give your testimony.
3	MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Okay.
4	CHAIRMAN HILL: This is just for questions.
5	You don't have any questions right now?
6	MS. MCLAUGHLIN: No, I don't think I have any questions
7	on what they're proposing to do?
8	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. Ms. Dale, I think you had a
9	presentation; is that correct?
10	MS. DALE: Yes, we have a presentation.
11	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. Mr. Young, could you please pull
12	up your presentation.
13	MS. FEATHERSTONE: Mr. Hill?
14	CHAIRMAN HILL: Yes.
15	MS. FEATHERSTONE: My sister we're all three going to
16	speak, but Brenda is going to start.
17	CHAIRMAN HILL: Sure. Okay. No problem.
18	COMMISSIONER MAY: Mr. Chairman, can I ask a question?
19	CHAIRMAN HILL: Yes. Certainly, Mr. May.
20	COMMISSIONER MAY: I'm sorry, we're rolling right into
21	the party in opposition's testimony?
22	CHAIRMAN HILL: Yeah.
23	COMMISSSIONER MAY: I thought we'd hear from the Office
24	of Planning and DDOT before doing that?
25	CHAIRMAN HILL: Yeah, the way that I've been told to do

1	it Mr. May is I go through everybody and then we end up at Office
2	of Planning.
3	COMMISSSIONER MAY: Okay. That's new. All right.
4	Thank you.
5	CHAIRMAN HILL: No, that's the way we've always done it.
6	COMMISSSIONER MAY: Okay.
7	CHAIRMAN HILL: I'm just telling you.
8	COMMISSSIONER MAY: It's been a long time since I've
9	done a BZA case with opposition, I guess.
10	CHAIRMAN HILL: I wish I could say the same, Mr. May.
11	COMMISSSIONER MAY: Yeah. Well, we have them at the
12	Zoning Commission, all the time.
13	CHAIRMAN HILL: All right. Okay.
14	Ms. Featherstone, do you want to go ahead?
15	MS. FEATHERSTONE: Yes, sir. Thank you.
16	Good morning, I'm Brenda Featherstone, appearing as an
17	authorized representative of my mother, Mrs. Lottie Albury, along
18	with my sister, Benita Albury Dale.
19	We are appearing without a lawyer today for the simple
20	reason that we have very limited funds; however, we are fortunate
21	to have retained Ms. Andrea Ferster to assist us.
22	We are here to speak our truth and represent our mother.
23	I will start with this overview of what we will be present today.
24	MS. DALE: Next slide.
25	MS. FEATHERSTONE: Next slide, please.

1	MS. DALE: Next.
2	MS. FEATHERSTONE: No, next one. One more slide.
3	This is the slide. All right. This is the order in
4	which we intend to make this presentation today. I'll have my
5	mother make a statement and then I come in and read several of the
6	slides, and then my sister Benita will also continue will showing
7	the rest of the slides, and then we will conclude, and that will
8	be the end of our presentation.
9	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay.
10	MS. FEATHERSTONE: Next slide, please.
11	MS. DALE: No, this is it, right here.
12	MS. FEATHERSTONE: No, go back. I'm sorry. Okay.
13	MS. DALE: Speak up, Mom.
14	MS. FEATHERSTONE: Speak up.
15	MS. LOTTIE ALBURY: Hello. My name is Lottie Albury,
16	owner of 1303 West Virginia Avenue NE. I'm 98 years old and have
17	lived in the Trinidad/Ivy City air for over 70 years. I've lived
18	in my house since 1946 and plan to live out my years here.
19	I still exercise and keep moving. My mother lived to be
20	113 years old, and I am going to be alive just as long. I'm a
21	cancer survivor for six years.
22	MS. FEATHERSTONE: Next slide.
23	MS. DALE: Next slide.
24	MS. LOTTIE ALBURY: This slide shows the view from the
25	rear of my house and the 10-foot extension and the 37-foot, 11-

1	inches wall towering over my house.
2	Next slide, please.
3	This is the view from my backyard.
4	MS. DALE: Speak up, Mama.
5	CHAIRMAN HILL: We can hear Ms. Albury very well.
6	MS. DALE: Okay. Thank you, sir.
7	CHAIRMAN HILL: Go ahead, ma'am.
8	MS. LOTTIE ALBURY: This is the view from my back door,
9	where I stand, wave, and talk to neighbors. The high wall and
10	extension will cut off socializing with neighbors who walk along
11	Neal Street. The wall separates and in as much as away my
12	connection to my community. This deeply saddens me.
13	The extension and wall also takes away my sunlight,
14	breezes, and cross-ventilation.
15	I'll face a brick wall, looking out my back door.
16	Next slide.
17	MS. DALE: Next slide.
18	MS. LOTTIE ALBURY: I enjoy watching the children
19	playing in the schoolyard and other activities at the charter
20	school. Children have always been my heart, even after I retired
21	from the District publicly school after the passing of my husband.
22	I will lose that enjoyment of watching the hustle and bustle of
23	seeing teachers, parents, and children coming and going.
24	Next slide.
25	MS. FEATHERSTONE: Next slide, please.

1 MS. DALE: Okay. Here we go. 2 MS. FEATHERSTONE: That's fine. 3 MS. LOTTIE ALBURY: This view shows the proximity of my back door to 1301.this is where I stand to talk and wave to 4 5 neighbors from my back door. Next slide. 6 7 Here's a view from my upstairs window. The sunlight, cross-breezes, and views will be blocked by the high wall and 8 9 extension. I don't have much land, but I love what I have. 10 What about my right to basic air, light, and enjoyment 11 of my home? 12 MS. DALE: Next slide, please. 13 MS. FEATHERSTONE: I will now take us through a review 14 of the adverse effects of the revised plans on Mrs. Albury's use 15 and enjoyment of her property: Loss of natural heating and 16 cooling from the sun and air, with resulting elevated electrical 17 bills that strain a fixed income; impact on the integrity of the 18 roof, due to snow and ice, creating roof damage and potential water intrusion over time; noise, dust, and vibrations during the 19 20 sustained time required for large-project construction, which will 21 endanger her health and well-being; permanent loss of key features of how she has enjoyed her home, her yard, and her neighborhood 22 23 for the last 70-plus years. 24 Next slide, please. 25 On March 7th, we received testimony from Mr. Ronald

Lipford, principal architect from Arel Architects, Inc., and this is on Exhibit 81 and 82, of how the revised plans with heightened wall can trap snow, ice, and rain, and damage our mother's roof.

Now, we understand that he is an architect and not a structural engineer who expressed information to us about what could and might happen.

The adjacent eh proposed high vertical wall of 1301 is a perfect condition for large snowdrift that hasn't been accounted for. There has never been a snowdrift risk or added snow load weight to be concerned with at 1303.

The adjacent proposed wall of 1301 will also deflect wind-driven rainwater that 1303 has never experienced before. Shedding water from this wall will create a great risk for water intrusion into 1303. Details on how this will be controlled by the Applicant has not been presented to 1303, nor have solutions been provided to offset the increased water that must be discharged at 1303 to the ground or to an existing storm drain system.

The architect is saying that it is his professional opinion that the proposed scope of work not only will have a physical effect to the occupants but will also have substantial structural impact on the use and enjoyment of the adjacent dwelling; in particular, light and air available to 1303.

Next slide, please.

All right. This is, I quess, a schematic which shows

the snowdrift; that little triangle on top of the diagram of my mother's house, the back of it, okay.

Next slide, please.

All right. This is Exhibit 47. The parapet cutout and reduced shadow cutout show up multiple yards away and the 10-footwall shadow still blocks 100 percent of the sunlight of Ms. Albury's back windows after the winter solstice, and the winter solstice occurs on the 21st of December, but the winter afterwards shows that the shadow will be in place for the rest of the winter.

Next slide, please.

MS. DALE: An extended construction for three-unit -this is about the extended construction for a three-unit design:
noise, dust, and vibrations.

The extended size and scope of the three-unit plans destroying the existing building and dismissing out a new basement will create extensive noise, dust, and vibrations over extended period of time, which is a serious health risk to my mother, given her age and blood pressure.

We are still in negotiations with the Medvenes, who have not yet agreed to provide the mini-split units to give our mother clean air and a safe indoor temperature during construction. That was one of the reasons why I did request a postponement, so we would have more time for negotiations, considering we didn't get the agreement until March 6th.

Next slide, please. 1 2 Applicants think other three-unit designs justify their 3 exception requests and ignore broad neighborhood special The Applicants must show that there's a specific 4 opposition. request for a special exception for a three-unit building will not 5 6 adversely affect the adjacent and neighboring properties. 7 We have not gotten satisfaction that they've proven that 8 their building is not going to adversely affect our mother. 9 We have been able to get 13 letters of oppositions and 10 one retraction letter in support of the project. 11 Next slide, please. 12 Applicants claim 1501 West Virginia is a precedent, 13 justifying their special exception request. 1501 West Virginia does not have a back extension, blocking sunlight, air, and 14 ventilation. 15 16 It is located southeast of the adjacent property and 17 does not cast extensive shadows on the neighboring property. They 18 have a roof height of only 27'10"; compared to the 37'11" in the Medvenes' revised plans. 19 20 And also, West Virginia accommodated neighbors by 21 obtaining a special side-yard exception to add square footage, 22 where it would have the least impact on the neighbors. 23 Next slide.

> HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

adjacent property, and this is the biggest fear that we do have

This is a frightening example of destruction to the

24

25

with this development next door to our mother's property. It does show a devastating impact that the oversized construction can have on a smaller adjacent row house, and the picture on the right shows the foundation of the property was damaged and it is now held up by stilts.

And thank you for allowing us. And we have the conclusion -- I'm sorry -- next slide -- and this is the conclusion: The sustained construction period for the three-unit design effectively causes Mrs. Albury to vacate her home for months for her health and safety concerns; post-construction, the design of the three-unit plan continues to deprive Mrs. Albury of the air, light, warmth, and cooling ventilation, and enjoyment of her own home; burdens her financially, and negatively affects the integrity of her roof and drainage.

Given this undue burden on the neighboring property, the special exception for the third unit, we feel, should be denied. We request that the BZA impose requirements pertaining to design, appearance, and size it deems necessary to protect agenda or nearby property.

We would like to request that the special side-yard exception, as in 1501, to add square footage on the Neal Street side, to give the Medvenes more square footage, so they can reduce the depth of the 10-foot wall.

We are still actively negotiating in good faith to try to reach a construction agreement with the Medvenes.

1	And that's the end of our presentation and thank you for
2	allowing us to give it.
3	Could you also unmute my when you get to the point of
4	bringing another (audio interference) to testify, my sister is
5	holding on to testify. Her name is Sandra Albury.
6	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. Great.
7	MS. DALE: Thank you.
8	CHAIRMAN HILL: Thank you, Ms. Dale.
9	Let's see. So, I can see you guys. I can hear you on
10	one and I can see you on the other, so we're good.
11	I guess, well, first of all, Ms. Dale and
12	Ms. Featherstone, I might just say, you know, you're really being
13	wonderful daughters to your mother.
14	And then, Ms. Albury, it's really just a pleasure to
15	hear you be with us and speak to us, and thank you for your
16	testimony and, again, I am sorry that you have to be here for
17	this, but it's still nice to have you with us.
18	MS. LOTTIE ALBURY: Thank you.
19	CHAIRMAN HILL: You're welcome.
20	Let's see. Let me start with Commissioner May. Do you
21	have any questions?
22	COMMISSIONER MAY: No, I do not. Thank you.
23	CHAIRMAN HILL: Mr. Smith, do you have any questions?
24	MEMBER SMITH: No questions.
25	CHAIRMAN HILL: Ms. John, do you have any questions?

1	VICE CHAIR JOHN: No, I have no questions.
2	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. Let's see.
3	Mr. Williams, do you have any questions?
4	MR. WILLIAMS: No questions.
5	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. All right.
6	So, I'm going to go next to Ms. McLaughlin. So,
7	Ms. McLaughlin, can you go ahead and give us your testimony,
8	please.
9	MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Yes. So, our biggest concern with the
10	project is just making sure that the safety and the welfare of the
11	children in the school were going to be protected. I know, like,
12	anytime we have any kind of work done within the schools or around
13	the property, we have to make sure that there is the type of
14	insurance to make sure that the children are protected, and the
15	workers have all been background-checked. That was probably,
16	first and foremost, our primary concern.
17	Our second concern was, of course, the flow of traffic
18	at, like, when the kids start going back to school and at
19	dismissal.
20	A few concerns about parking, but that was at the
21	absolute forefront of our concerns.
22	I feel like a lot of what we've gained has been sort of
23	like an afterthought. I really, like, I've gone through, I've
24	checked emails to make sure I didn't miss any emails, but a lot of

things did not come through. I definitely don't want to accuse

1	anybody of not sending them, but I will just say that we haven't
2	had them. We didn't get the letter until way, much later on.
3	But if it wouldn't have been for the Alburys, the
4	Alburys are the ones who have been keeping us abreast of what's
5	been happening, just to make sure that we do know what's going on.
6	I do know Ms. Albury personally and so she's also
7	been a member of our parish for a very, very long time; longer
8	than I've certainly been there so her concerns, of course,
9	become a part of our concerns, as well. We're not saying that
10	there shouldn't be some sort of positive construction put on that
11	corner; of course, it's much better to have something there than
12	to have it vacant, but, you know, just due diligence, do things
13	the right way that's our main point.
14	And the other concern was, of course, whenever there is
15	new construction, there is always a rodent problem, and so we just
16	want to make sure that there's follow-up to, I mean, be ahead of
17	the game on that, and that's just things that have generally
18	happened with any construction, here in the city.
19	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. Thank you, Ms. McLaughlin.
20	MS. MCLAUGHLIN: You're welcome.
21	CHAIRMAN HILL: Commissioner May, do you have any
22	questions for Ms. McLaughlin?
23	COMMISSIONER MAY: (Shaking head no.)
24	CHAIRMAN HILL: No?
25	Mr. Smith?

1	MEMBER SMITH: No questions.
2	CHAIRMAN HILL: Ms. John?
3	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Just a clarification that the traffic
4	(audio interference) construction. Your concern (audio
5	interference) would be, Ms. McLaughlin.
6	CHAIRMAN HILL: Ms. John, you're kind of breaking up
7	there.
8	MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Yeah, I'm sorry, I didn't hear what you
9	said.
10	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Oh, I was asking, I understood that
11	you are discussing traffic problems during construction.
12	MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Uh-huh.
13	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Okay. Thank you.
14	That's it.
15	MS. MCLAUGHLIN: All right. Thank you.
16	CHAIRMAN HILL: Thank you, Ms. John.
17	Mr. Williams, do you have any questions of the
18	Applicant of Ms. McLaughlin?
19	MR. WILLIAMS: I do not.
20	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. Ms. Dale, do you have any
21	questions of Ms. McLaughlin?
22	MS. DALE: (Shaking head no.)
23	CHAIRMAN HILL: No? You're shaking your head no. Okay.
24	Thank you.
25	All right. Ms. Brown-Roberts, are you there?

1	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Yes, Mr. Chairman.
2	CHAIRMAN HILL: Could you please introduce yourself for
3	the record and then oh, wait, hold on. I'm sorry, give me a
4	second. I forgot.
5	Commissioner, can you hear me?
6	MR. HOFFMAN: Yes.
7	CHAIRMAN HILL: All right. Commissioner, you're also a
8	party, and so, do you have a presentation to give?
9	Could you please give us your testimony?
10	MR. HOFFMAN: Yes. I don't have a presentation. I did
11	submit my ANC report last night, as we discussed, and the previous
12	meeting was scheduled for last night.
13	Unfortunately, the ANC was not able to vote on a motion
14	to support the project; instead, it was tabled for another time.
15	That vote was 6:0:1.
16	Due to significant community supports or significant
17	community opposition and a lack of any construction management
18	agreement, the ANC was not able to vote on a motion to support the
19	project or oppose it; it was tabled by our chairperson.
20	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. Commissioner, have you been a
21	commissioner for a little while?
22	MR. HOFFMAN: Three months.
23	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. All right.
24	I'm asking that, just because I was curious as to how
25	many things you've heard thus far.

1	Do you know if they were they were not able to take a
2	vote, so I'm just asking you your testimony do you know if
3	there was going to be a vote if they did get the construction
4	management plan and all of that in agreement?
5	Do you know I'm just trying to get a feel for where
6	your ANC was on this matter, like in favor, in opposition did
7	you have an opinion?
8	MR. HOFFMAN: That's not something I can say confidently
9	and speak for the body, 5-D, because of the nature of the
10	conversation that went around this issue last night.
11	CHAIRMAN HILL: All right. That's okay. That's fine.
12	So, you're not sure. You don't know where you are,
13	okay.
14	All right. Let's see. Does anybody have any questions
15	for the commissioner and the board members, and if so, raise your
16	hands?
17	(No verbal response)
18	CHAIRMAN HILL: I don't see anybody raising their
19	hands
20	MR. WILLIAMS: I do, Chairman Hill.
21	CHAIRMAN HILL: Who's that?
22	Okay. Give me one second, Mr. Williams. I'll get to
23	you.
24	Ms. Dale, do you have any questions for the
25	commissioner?

1	(No verbal response)
2	CHAIRMAN HILL: No? Shaking your head.
3	Ms. McLaughlin, do you have any questions for the
4	commissioner?
5	(No verbal response)
6	CHAIRMAN HILL: No? Shaking your head.
7	Mr. Williams, what's your question?
8	MR. WILLIAMS: Yes. My question is just to Mr. Hoffman
9	to confirm whether he had proposed a motion to support the
10	application, should a construction management agreement be
11	provided at the ANC last night.
12	MR. HOFFMAN: Yeah, procedurally, there actually was no
13	motion at all, based on the way the motion was tabled. So, the
14	only vote that did occur was the vote and a motion, that was
15	seconded, to table the discussion of the item. So, no vote was
16	taken, nor, in fact, will the minutes reflect that any motion was
17	made at all to support the project.
18	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. All right.
19	Mr. Williams, any more questions?
20	MR. WILLIAMS: No. No questions.
21	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. Ms. Brown-Roberts, could you
22	please introduce yourself for the record.
23	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Okay. Good morning, Mr. Chairman,
24	and members of the BZA.
25	Maxine Brown-Roberts, representing the Office of

1	Planning on BZA Case 20359.
2	The proposal is a conversion from a single-family
3	dwelling to a three-unit apartment building under Subtitle U,
4	Section 320-2. The lot is currently developed with a semi-
5	detached, single-family dwelling, constructed about 1931.
6	The proposed conversion would result in three units;
7	therefore, there's not an IZ requirement.
8	Based on the lot size, the proposal would meet the
9	requirement of a minimum of 900 square feet of land area for the
LO	three units.
L1	Under Subtitle X, (audio interference) 1.1, proposal
L2	meets the intent of the RF-1 Zone, which is intended to provide
L3	for areas predominantly developed with row houses on small lots.
L4	And conversions to apartment houses are permitted, with the
L5	approval of the special exception.
L6	In this case, the proposal meets the special exception
L7	requirements of Subtitle U, Section 320.2.
L8	And would the proposal of (audio interference) affect
L9	adversely the use of the neighborhood properties?
20	As demonstrated by the Applicant, the addition would
21	increase the shade on adjacent properties; however, the additional

The third floor of the rear addition would be extended 10 feet beyond the rear of the adjacent property and are allowed

unduly affect the light and air to the adjacent property.

shading, should not be to an extent that would significantly and

22

23

24

25

as a matter-of-right. The rear addition would not have any openings on the side facing the adjacent neighbor.

The door and the balconies on the portion of the building that faces Neal Place and a nonresidential building. While it would be taller than the existing structures along the alley, it would be setback from the rear property line to allow parking spaces on the lot, which would minimize its visual impact overall.

Two roof decks would be provided and access by internal stairs. Due to the parapet wall and the setback of the deck area, there would be no views to the properties to the north and the west; views would be towards Neal Place and the nonresidential on the north.

Post-conversion to an apartment house and its associated addition, should not unduly compromise the privacy and use and enjoyment of neighboring properties. On the roof plan, the Applicant stipulated that the setbacks were met, as the dimensions were not provided on the (audio interference) at Exhibit 12, sheet number 3.

Regarding the height of the building, the plans show 37 feet. The parapet wall is allowed to extend up to 4 feet and the height of the building would be 33 feet.

The third-story addition would be visible from West Virginia Avenue, Neal Place, and the alley. Although many of the row dwellings along West Virginia Avenue are two-stories, it would

1 not be out of character to have a three-story building at this
2 location.

In the neighborhood, the corner lots are larger and can accommodate larger buildings at the end of the row or the block; in addition, this building would be across from two large buildings: a school and a church. The addition would be visible from the public alley to the rear but would be generally in character with the rear of houses in material and design.

The Office of Planning, therefore, recommends approval of the requested special exception and I am available for questions.

12 CHAIRMAN HILL: All right. Thank you, Ms. Brown13 Roberts.

All right. I'm going to go around the table with the board members.

Commissioner May, do you have questions for the Office of Planning?

COMMISSIONER MAY: I do, thank you.

Ms. Brown-Roberts, you heard my questioning about the parapet wall and the small structure housing the stairway and (audio interference) a hatch, and I'm really curious about a couple of things. I mean, it is hard to tell from the drawings that were provided, but I don't quite understand, you know, how the parapet can be 37 feet tall in this zone. I thought that, you know, was limited to the 35.

1	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: It's the 35 feet and then I think it
2	can go up to, the property wall can extend up to four feet, before
3	they would have to
4	COMMISSIONER MAY: Up to four feet of parapet wall?
5	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Yes.
6	COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay. I didn't think that that was
7	permitted in this zone. Okay. I'm going to check that.
8	And, I mean, I guess the setback requirement would be, I
9	would think, would apply regardless of whether there's a parapet
LO	wall there. Because, you know, parapets, when you measure a
L1	parapet, I mean, setbacks on a rooftop, they are typically from
L2	where the plane of the roof hits the plane of the wall, not where
L3	the parapet is.
L4	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: So, from my experience, when there
L5	is a parapet wall, the structure is not usually setback.
L6	COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay. Which is a different question.
L7	It's a question of whether it's required to be setback, because,
L8	again, I've seen so many diagrams where, you know, they draw the
L9	setback line and the start of that line is where the roof plane
20	hits the wall plane, regardless of whether there's a parapet.
21	Now, again, that can vary from zone to zone, so maybe it
22	doesn't apply
23	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Yeah, I think I'm going to have to
24	clarify with the zone administrator.
25	COMMISSIONER MAY: Yeah, okay.

1	And do you understand why I'm focused on?
2	Because it's, you know, all of that stuff piled up along
3	the property line of 1303, I mean, yes, it does mean that there's
4	less sort of privacy concern, but there is more daylight being
5	blocked by an extra three and a half feet of walls.
6	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Yes, I understand.
7	COMMISSSIONER MAY: Yeah, okay. Thank you.
8	CHAIRMAN HILL: So, Ms. Brown-Roberts, if you could just
9	write that note down about the Zoning administrator.
10	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Pardon me?
11	CHAIRMAN HILL: Could you just make a note of that.
12	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Yes. Yes.
13	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. Commissioner May, do you have any
14	more questions for the Office of Planning?
15	COMMISSSIONER MAY: I do not.
16	CHAIRMAN HILL: Ms. John, do you have any questions for
17	the Office of Planning?
18	VICE CHAIR JOHN: One question.
19	Ms. Brown-Roberts, can you talk about the shadow studies
20	and what your impression was, in terms of the impact to the
21	adjacent neighbor's house.
22	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Yeah. When I saw them, I think
23	that, yes, there is additional studies some times of the year, but
24	from, especially with the latest set of plans that the Applicant
25	provided, I think that would be something that we would say is

1	excessive. But, definitely, there would be additional shadowing.
2	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Thank you. I also have another
3	question.
4	Did you have were you able to see the discussion
5	about the snowdrift on the roof
6	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Yes, I did see that
7	VICE CHAIR JOHN: (audio interference). Go ahead.
8	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: But we don't have the experience to
9	say, to comment on that.
10	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Okay. Thank you.
11	CHAIRMAN HILL: Mr. Smith, do you have any questions for
12	the Office of Planning?
13	MEMBER SMITH: No questions, because I think, you know,
14	Mr. May pretty much asked my question to Ms. Brown.
15	If I could get some additional clarification, because
16	I'm still not sold that it meets the rooftop structure, if the
17	penthouses meet the setback requirements.
18	And looking at the setback requirements and, you
19	know, I'm not going to interpret for the Zoning administrator
20	but under Section 1504, setbacks for penthouses, it seems to me
21	that a penthouse or any rooftop structure must meet a setback at a
22	distance equal to its height, from the front building wall of the
23	roof upon which it is located, and also the rear of the wall.
24	And the stair penthouse is seven feet. It seems to me
25	it needs to be setback more than what it is now, of course, I can

1	see where the draft, it doesn't look like I don't see any
2	measurements that show how far the setbacks from the front and
3	rear of the building are. And it may be we need a side-yard
4	requirement a side-building (audio interference) requirement
5	(audio interference).
6	So, if I can get some additional clarification, that
7	would be great.
8	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Okay.
9	CHAIRMAN HILL: Commissioner May, could you mute your
10	line, please. Thanks.
11	All right. Let's see. Somebody is unmuted and I don't
12	know who it is, but maybe not.
13	All right. Ms. Dale, do you have any questions for the
14	Office of Planning?
15	MS. DALE: I'm not sure if it would be for the Office of
16	Planning, but I just wanted someone to let us know what does it
17	really mean when it says that your property should not adversely
18	affect the adjacent property?
19	Because I don't feel that that has really been addressed
20	to us. Because we're feeling that the 10-foot extension and the
21	shadowing, that, to us, is adversely affecting the enjoyment of my
22	mother's property, as far as the height, size.
23	CHAIRMAN HILL: I understand.
24	Ms. Brown-Roberts, I am going to throw this one on you.
25	Can you help define "adverse effect."

You're on mute, Ms. Brown-Roberts.

MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: An adverse effect would be something that generally not -- would affect your enjoyment of your house; however, the addition can -- the extended 10 feet is permitted, as a matter-of-right.

So, the Zoning regulation already says that 10 feet is not an adverse impact; it's when you go over that 10 feet, that there would be an adverse impact.

The same thing with the shadowing, there is going to be some shadowing, but it's the extent. Is the shadowing so much that it completely, you know, blocks your light most days or most times of the year so that you can't enjoy the use of your backyard or it would affect, say, plants in your backyard. So, those are some of the things that we look at.

MS. DALE: Okay. In terms of the shadow study, during the winter solstice, my mother's house is completely shaded. To us, that is really a critical component of their building causing adverse impact of her enjoyment.

Her house is going to be cold. She has to -- you know, her heating bills are going to escalate under those conditions. She's absolutely getting no sun during the winter solstice, and that's when we really need the sun, is to keep that warmth. I mean, that's the purpose of that sun is to melt the snow and to melt the ice.

It's not going to be available, the sunlight is not

1	going to be there because of all of the shadowing during the
2	winter solstice.
3	MS. FEATHERSTONE: Or throughout the winter.
4	MS. DALE: Or throughout the winter.
5	CHAIRMAN HILL: Ms. Dale?
6	MS. DALE: Yes?
7	CHAIRMAN HILL: I'm just going to try to clarify.
8	Ms. Brown-Roberts, as I understand, again, you don't
9	think that the additional shadowing at the winter solstice is
10	adverse, correct?
11	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: That's correct.
12	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay.
13	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: There is additional, I mean, I'm
14	saying there is additional shadowing, but I don't think it is to
15	such an extent that it is adverse.
16	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. I have a question for you,
17	Ms. Brown-Roberts, just for clarity for me.
18	If everybody can mute that you are lines unless they're
19	talking. Somebody has got feedback I think it's me.
20	Ms. Brown-Roberts, the massing, again, I know we have
21	this whole thing that we're trying to figure out on the penthouse
22	and the setback, but the massing is a matter-of-right; is that
23	correct?
24	(No verbal response)
25	CHAIRMAN HILL: You're on mute.

1	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Oh, I'm sorry.
2	Yes, it is.
3	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay.
4	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: They are allowed to do the
5	additional
6	MEMBER SMITH: Ten feet.
7	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: the additional on top and also
8	the 10-foot extension.
9	What we still have to check is what would be, like, the
10	penthouse, to see if that would affect any shadowing.
11	CHAIRMAN HILL: I understand. Okay.
12	MS. FEATHERSTONE: May I ask a question?
13	CHAIRMAN HILL: Ms. Featherstone?
14	MS. FEATHERSTONE: Yes.
15	CHAIRMAN HILL: Sure. Go ahead.
16	MS. FEATHERSTONE: May I add some (audio interference)?
17	We're all focusing we seem to be focusing on the
18	winter solstice, which is one day in December. The winter
19	solstice actually begins winter, so the sun is not going to rise
20	as high in the sky in the winter, as it does in the summer.
21	Consequently, it's going to be lower in the sky and from
22	two o'clock, almost every day in the winter, I mean, starting at
23	noon, by two o'clock every day, my mother's roof will be a total
24	shadow. That is the time of year that it is the coldest here in
25	Washington, D.C. This is one of the reasons we are extremely

concerned about it.
I just wanted to clarify that and get that in the
record.
CHAIRMAN HILL: What's your question, though, Ms. Albury
[sic]? I'm sorry.
MS. FEATHERSTONE: Sir, it's not a question; it's a
statement of clarification.
CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay.
MS. FEATHERSTONE: That the commissioner seemed, and the
young lady from the Office of Planning, appear to be focusing only
on the date of the winter solstice, December 21st. That date
begins or marks the beginning of winter
CHAIRMAN HILL: I understand.
MS. FEATHERSTONE: when it's already cold, okay. But
when you get no sun on your roof, at all, that's the issue.
CHAIRMAN HILL: No, Ms. Albury [sic], I get you.
The reason why we look at that one particular date is
that's the height. Everyone understands that it's leading up to
it and it's after that; that's just kind of why they're pointing
out that one date. But we do understand your statement.
MS. FEATHERSTONE: Okay. Thank you.
CHAIRMAN HILL: Sure. Ms. McLaughlin, do you have any
questions for the Office of Planning?
(No verbal response)
CHAIRMAN HILL: You're shaking your head no.

1	Commissioner, do you have any questions for the Office
2	of Planning?
3	MR. HOFFMAN: I had one question based on the DDOT
4	report. Does the Office of Planning have any opinion on the side
5	of the street, on Neal Street, where there's currently a curb cut,
6	and a small driveway on the property that appears in the current
7	plans, that will remain.
8	Does the Office of Planning have any opinion on if that
9	should be removed and the curb cut should be restored in that
10	section?
11	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: We are in support of the DDOT
12	recommendation.
13	MR. HOFFMAN: Okay.
14	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. Mr. Williams, do you have any
15	questions for the Office of Planning?
16	MR. WILLIAMS: I do. I have one.
17	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay.
18	MR. WILLIAMS: Ms. Brown-Roberts, did you discuss or
19	confirm that, as far as the penthouse setback requirements go,
20	that any structure less than four feet in height, is not subject
21	to such setback requirements?
22	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: As I said, Mr. Williams, that is
23	something that I am going to follow-up on, just to confirm all of
24	that.
25	MR. WILLIAMS: Okay.

1	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. Mr. Williams
2	MR. WILLIAMS: I just want to point out that these
3	structures are less than four feet, and my read of the writings is
4	that the setback requirements would not apply.
5	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. Mr. Williams, just for the
6	record, (audio interference), you guys are in agreement with
7	DDOT's condition about close the existing curb cut and driveway to
8	Neal Street and restore the former driveway to green space?
9	MR. WILLIAMS: So, that's something that I think we need
10	to go through public space for. So, I don't know that we can
11	necessarily agree to that condition, because it's a process.
12	We're going to have to go through the process, I believe, but I
13	don't know that it's a condition that we can necessarily agree to.
14	CHAIRMAN HILL: Ms. Cain, can you help me with that.
15	MS. CAIN: Give me one minute to pull up the report.
16	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. I'll come back to you.
17	Let's see. Did I get everybody?
18	I got everybody. Okay.
19	You can come back to me, Ms. Cain, in a second.
20	So, just to let everybody know, I'm going to take public
21	testimony and then I'm going to take a break, because I've got to
22	take a break.
23	And so, Mr. Young, is there anybody here for public
24	testimony?
25	MR. YOUNG: Yeah. We have two witnesses. One is

1	calling in by phone.
2	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. Let's do the phone person first,
3	if I can.
4	And what's their name?
5	MR. YOUNG: That's Ms. Albury.
6	CHAIRMAN HILL: Oh, okay.
7	MS. SANDRA ALBURY: Hi, there. Can you hear me?
8	CHAIRMAN HILL: Hi, Ms. Albury.
9	Yep, I can hear you. I mean, I guess, Ms. Albury,
10	you're kind of already part of the party status, but nonetheless,
11	I'll give you your three minutes
12	MS. SANDRA ALBURY: Well, I'm the other daughter.
13	CHAIRMAN HILL: I understand.
14	Please introduce yourself for the record.
15	MS. SANDRA ALBURY: Okay. I'm Sandra Albury, Lottie B.
16	Albury's daughter.
17	CHAIRMAN HILL: And where do you live, Ms. Albury?
18	MS. SANDRA ALBURY: I'm in Philadelphia, PA.
19	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. All right.
20	Well, Ms. Albury, go ahead. You have three minutes to
21	give your testimony. And also, you're a very nice family. You
22	guys are all supporting your mother, which is wonderful.
23	So, please, go ahead and give your testimony.
24	MS. SANDRA ALBURY: Sure. In closing, I just wanted to
25	make a statement that this has been a really difficult situation

for all parties, but our main concern is for the health and safety 1 of our mother and other family members that are living at 1303, as they encounter months of this construction.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

And it's very frightening to see the situation, you know, on West Virginia Avenue with the rear of the adjacent property, 1503, being supported on stilts. It is something that we really don't want anything like this happening to 1303. And in all earnest, we really hope that we can arrive at a win-win situation in the end.

But, currently, we're still negotiating with the developers on the construction management agreement. So, that's just my closing statements for the family. We are just really concerned with the whole construction phase, what it might do to the house. Is it going to be sturdy enough?

And looking at that 1501 residence, we're a little scared right about now. So, I don't know how you quarantee, if there's any guarantee, that in jackhammering down into a foundation right next to Mom's house, how that is going to maybe readjust her foundation.

Someone needs to look at that 1503 and see what's going on with that neighbor, because she can't live in a house that's on stilts; that's just not possible.

23 All right. CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. Thank you, 24 Ms. Albury.

MS. SANDRA ALBURY: Thank you.

1	CHAIRMAN HILL: Thank you for your testimony.
2	Does the Board have any questions for Ms. Albury, and if
3	so, raise your hand.
4	(No verbal response)
5	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. Ms. Dale, do you have any
6	questions for the witness?
7	(No verbal response)
8	CHAIRMAN HILL: You're shaking your head no.
9	Ms. McLaughlin, do you have any questions for the
10	witness?
11	(No verbal response)
12	CHAIRMAN HILL: You're shaking your head no.
13	Mr. Williams, do you have any questions for the witness?
14	MR. WILLIAMS: No.
15	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. All right.
16	Ms. Albury, I'll let you kind of I'm sure you are
17	going to kind of listen in and watch, but we don't handle
18	construction issues; like, DCRA does, and so, they will be sure
19	during permitting that everyone is compliant and it's safe in
20	terms of moving forward with the construction. We're here just,
21	basically, for the zoning issues.
22	Okay. Mr. Johnson [sic], can you hear me?
23	MS. JOHNSON: Yes. Can you hear me?
24	CHAIRMAN HILL: Oh, it's Ms. Johnson.
25	MS. JOHNSON: Ms. Johnson. I'm Dietrich Johnson, and I

would like to say good afternoon to everyone. Thank you for allowing us to have additional comments to make.

And I basically wanted to say that I was in support of the opposition case that was brought by the Albury family.

Can you hold on one moment -- my (audio interference) is about to go out. And I wanted to thank you so much for that pause.

But I wanted to just stand with Mrs. Albury to support her, along with the other people who have supported her and also make a retraction. As you've heard today, she's been living in her house and in the community for over 70 years and has established herself as a woman of character and integrity, making a contribution to the District Government, to our community, to her family. And her character is so important when we represent her and support her, and I just want to let you know that.

The other thing that I would like to say is that there was a concern on my part when the residents at 1301 came to my door on two different occasions, and because I work at home, I was not able to talk with them or review their plan. But the last time one of the Medvenes came and asked me, wanted to talk with me. I couldn't talk.

And I said, Well, I'll take the information. So, he turned a sheet around to me and said, Would you sign this, and all this letter says is that I talked with you. I just need to have a signature that says that I've talked with you about the project.

So, I said I would prefer to take everything and read it. And the first thing I read was the letter he asked me to sign, which said, the very last line of the letter says, I am in support of this project, which was definitely in opposition to what he had stated to me.

Mr. Williams indicated that the community is trying to demonize them. That has not been the case at all. We are exercising our right as a community and with Mrs. Albury as being the adjacent house to it, to express how it could impact her. And her voice, alone, is very, very important to this project.

But when you have the support of others -- I had a neighbor at 1321 West Virginia who didn't fully understand what he had signed and has since retracted it because the developers want their projects to go forward, so sometimes there are occasions where they're not up front with the community. And so, to have this opportunity -- and as I was listening to the case before, there was talk about a tree-protection plan and roots. Mrs. Albury has roots in this community.

An individual, a citizen should also have some type of protection, and I am thankful for the ANC Commission, thankful for the Board of Zoning, that there's an opportunity that just because the regulation says it's by-right, that we do take the time to see how it can adversely affect others. And, basically, I just hope that you will come in agreement and look at this situation thoroughly.

1	As the Alburys have indicated, they are willing to work,
2	and we are in support of them as they go forward with their case.
3	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Johnson.
4	Does the Board have any questions for Ms. Johnson, and
5	if so, please raise your hand.
6	(No verbal response)
7	CHAIRMAN HILL: I don't see anybody raising their hand.
8	All right. Ms. Dale, do you have any questions for
9	Ms. Johnson?
10	No? You're shaking your head no.
11	MS. DALE: No, just I have no questions, but I am
12	just, again, thanking her for her testimony. But, no, I do not
13	have any questions for her.
14	CHAIRMAN HILL: Thank you, Ms. Dale.
15	MS. DALE: Can you hear me?
16	CHAIRMAN HILL: Yeah, I can. I heard you. We heard
17	you.
18	Ms. McLaughlin, do you have any questions for the
19	witness?
20	(No verbal response)
21	CHAIRMAN HILL: No? You're shaking your head no.
22	Mr. Williams, do you have any questions for the witness?
23	MR. WILLIAMS: I do not, but I think Sam Medvene may
24	have a follow-up, since he was mentioned in the testimony.
25	MR. SAMUEL MEDVENE: Just a point of clarification

1	I'm not sure fit matters but with her with me passing, I had
2	two letters that day; one for saying that recognition of reviewing
3	the property again. As she mentioned, I did speak with them two
4	times.
5	And the second one was if there were any questions or
6	for our position to be read, there was a second letter that she
7	was handed for review and to potentially submit, which is the
8	letter of support.
9	So, that is why there was the miscommunication. I
LO	wasn't trying to push something for that to be done. But I just
L1	wanted to explain that transaction.
L2	And then I did also a point of clarification for the
L3	other individual on the street I reviewed page by page with
L4	him, the original plans, and I have since gone back to the second-
L5	revised plans and hadn't had the opportunity to go through. He
L6	wasn't home when I did that again.
L7	And we did retract that number from our letters of
L8	support in the presentation.
L9	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. All right.
20	Okay. Well, thank you very much to the witnesses.
21	Mr. Young, you can excuse the witnesses.
22	(Pause)
23	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. And Mr. Medvene, I am kind of
24	curious. So, the clarification that you're giving, you're saying

that there was two letters; one saying that you actually talked to

25

1	something and another letter in support.
2	MR. SAMUEL MEDVENE: Correct. I had two letters.
3	CHAIRMAN HILL: So, you gave both letters or you gave
4	one by accident?
5	MR. SAMUEL MEDVENE: I did not give the second letter
6	and I should have. That's on me.
7	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. So, you weren't trying to be
8	MR. SAMUEL MEDVENE: Huh-uh. I should have provided
9	both letters and
10	CHAIRMAN HILL: You weren't trying to be clever?
11	MR. SAMUEL MEDVENE: No, by no means.
12	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. All right.
13	Let's see. Mr. Young, is there anybody else for
14	testimony?
15	MR. YOUNG: There's not.
16	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. I think we're going to have to
17	talk about some stuff, so is it all right if we take a quick
18	break?
19	(No verbal response)
20	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. I'm going to take a quick break,
21	okay. I'll be right back.
22	(Recess taken at 12:57 p.m.)
23	(Proceedings resumed at 1:08 p.m.)
24	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. Can you all hear me?
25	(No verbal response)

1	CHAIRMAN HILL: I guess, just nod.
2	Okay. I think Ms. John is with us by phone now, again;
3	is that right, Ms. John?
4	VICE CHAIR JOHN: I'm here, Mr. Chairman.
5	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. Great.
6	Okay. Mr. Smith, are you there?
7	MEMBER SMITH: Yes, I'm here.
8	CHAIRMAN HILL: Mr. Andrews, what was the administrative
9	matter?
10	MR. ANDREWS: Mr. Chair, there was a filing receipt from
11	the Applicant yesterday that was submitted after the 24-hour
12	deadline. I wanted to bring that to your attention.
13	I'll defer to the Applicant of the contents and whether
14	they are requesting a waiver.
15	CHAIRMAN HILL: Mr. Williams?
16	MR. WILLIAMS: Yes, the two letters that we submitted
17	were a rebuttal to an architect letter that was submitted by the
18	party in opposition the day prior. And so, we submitted, it's
19	just rebuttal, and it hasn't come up in today's hearing. But if
20	it was necessary for it to come up, then we would have referenced
21	those.
22	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. So, you're still asking to have
23	them submitted?
24	MR. WILLIAMS: We are asking that they be part of the
25	record, since they are rebuttal response to a letter

1	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. That's fine.
2	I don't think we're going to get to a decision today,
3	necessarily, so unless the Board has any issues, we'll go ahead
4	and allow that into the record.
5	Nobody is raising their hand no.
6	Okay. So, Mr. Andrews, please go ahead and allow that
7	into the record.
8	MR. ANDREWS: Yes, sir.
9	CHAIRMAN HILL: Thank you. Just so everyone is clear
10	what I'm going to try to do after this hearing, it looks as though
11	we don't have to break at 1:30 as I thought; however, there is an
12	ANC commissioner, I think, that's waiting for application 20400
13	and there's a request to postpone. So, we're going to go ahead
14	and do that and then take lunch, if everybody can kind of just
15	bear with me.
16	So, just to get back to this, now. Mr. Andrews, would
17	you call us back in, please, for the record.
18	MR. ANDREWS: Yes. I'll take a roll call.
19	CHAIRMAN HILL: No, you don't have to do that. Never
20	mind. I'll call us back in.
21	We're back in all Mr. Moy does, Mr. Andrews and
22	thank you is that we're just back in, starting again at or
23	approximately 1:09 p.m. And so, that's all he says. Sorry.
24	Okay. Great. So, let's see.
25	Okay. I did have one question real quick,

1	Mr. Andrews
2	MR. ANDREWS: Yes?
3	CHAIRMAN HILL: and maybe this is for the I'm
4	sorry Mr. Williams, Mr. Williams, Mr. Williams, and this is
5	maybe for the architect.
6	The house next door that was on stilts for another
7	project, do you know why that happens or how that happens?
8	And you don't necessarily think that that's going to
9	happen to Mrs. Albury's property, right?
10	I mean, what's the deal with the stilts, as far as you
11	know you could take a guess.
12	MR. WILLIAMS: Yeah, obviously, we're not affiliated
13	with that project, at all, but I think what we looked at, when we
14	looked at that, was that they had some structural issues that
15	dealt, related to underpinning and none of that is going to be
16	going on at this particular house at 1301.
17	CHAIRMAN HILL: Right. You guys aren't doing
18	underpinning?
19	MR. WILLIAMS: No. My understanding is no, we are not.
20	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. But, again, that is something
21	that would come up in permitting?
22	MR. WILLIAMS: Correct. That would be permit-related,
23	as would all the structural reviews that have been raised.
24	CHAIRMAN HILL: Right. And then, just I don't know
25	if you know if you did have to do underpinning like that, you

1	would have to get permission from the property owner?
2	MR. WILLIAMS: I believe there's a notice letter that
3	goes out
4	CHAIRMAN HILL: Right.
5	MR. WILLIAMS: that is part of the permitting
6	process, yes.
7	MR. PETYAK: If I may?
8	You have to do what is called a "neighbor notification
9	form," which is not necessarily you can notify that you will be
LO	doing underpinning on the home. It's the landowner's right to
L1	perform underpinning for the work, but we must notify the
L2	neighbor. And then through that, go through a third-party special
L3	inspections process through the City.
L4	CHAIRMAN HILL: But that's not something that you expect
L5	at this point?
L6	MR. PETYAK: The design of this property is not going to
L7	be utilizing underpinning. We're planning on doing a stepped
L8	foundation condition. So, the basement of this property is, we're
L9	accommodating, essentially, a ledge within the lower unit so we do
20	not need to underpin, correct.
21	CHAIRMAN HILL: That might be something, Mr. Williams,
22	that you can clarify.
23	I don't think you're going to get to a decision today
24	because there's other things that I know Commissioner May is
25	 interested in, and I know you're continuing to work on an MOU with

1	the neighbor. So, you might as well you know, you can specify
2	and alleviate their concerns in that area, and then also let them
3	know how permitting goes in terms of, you know, the safety of
4	their property, right. Okay?
5	Let's see. So, that was one thing that I had a question
6	of.
7	The other for me, I guess, and I'll let Mr. May clarify
8	what questions he has and what he may want to see, the next place,
9	and whether we do a continued hearing concerning those questions,
10	or if we get to a decision or not. I don't actually, we might
11	not get to a decision.
12	Commissioner Hoffman, so, you know that so, you
13	didn't take a vote because you guys wanted to still see if an MOU
14	could be achieved with the party in opposition. Then, if you had
15	gotten an MOU, then you think that, at least, you could have taken
16	a vote; is that correct?
17	MR. HOFFMAN: Potentially. In light of, also, the
18	amount of negative and oppositional community feedback that we
19	have received on the case, we did not feel that, at that time, we
20	could properly make any decision, and that no community agreement
21	had been met.
22	CHAIRMAN HILL: Right. But, eventually well, not
23	community agreement; you're talking about the memorandum of
24	understanding. A community agreement is something different.
25	MR. HOFFMAN: Yes.

1	CHAIRMAN HILL: But you think you'll be able to take a
2	vote at some point. I'm just saying, up or down, you're going
3	take a vote, correct?
4	MR. HOFFMAN: Yes. Yes.
5	CHAIRMAN HILL: When is your next ANC meeting?
6	MR. HOFFMAN: That would be April 13th.
7	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. All right.
8	I know Commissioner May has a bunch of stuff, and so
9	maybe, actually, Commissioner May, if it's okay, I'll let you
10	clarify what you're kind of interested in understanding and if we
11	need to have a continued hearing about that understanding, and
12	then I'm going to circle back at the end because I have a couple
13	of comments, as well.
14	But may I start with you, Commissioner May?
14 15	But may I start with you, Commissioner May? MR. HOFFMAN: Chair Hill, could I actually made one
15	MR. HOFFMAN: Chair Hill, could I actually made one
15 16	MR. HOFFMAN: Chair Hill, could I actually made one comment, that I've been advised by my Zoning Committee chair to
15 16 17	MR. HOFFMAN: Chair Hill, could I actually made one comment, that I've been advised by my Zoning Committee chair to make in this case?
15 16 17 18	MR. HOFFMAN: Chair Hill, could I actually made one comment, that I've been advised by my Zoning Committee chair to make in this case? CHAIRMAN HILL: Sure.
15 16 17 18 19	MR. HOFFMAN: Chair Hill, could I actually made one comment, that I've been advised by my Zoning Committee chair to make in this case? CHAIRMAN HILL: Sure. MR. HOFFMAN: With reference to issues that Commissioner
15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. HOFFMAN: Chair Hill, could I actually made one comment, that I've been advised by my Zoning Committee chair to make in this case? CHAIRMAN HILL: Sure. MR. HOFFMAN: With reference to issues that Commissioner May has brought up regarding the roof hatches. In BZA Case 20088
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. HOFFMAN: Chair Hill, could I actually made one comment, that I've been advised by my Zoning Committee chair to make in this case? CHAIRMAN HILL: Sure. MR. HOFFMAN: With reference to issues that Commissioner May has brought up regarding the roof hatches. In BZA Case 20088 and 20089, there were similar agreements to move to the smaller
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. HOFFMAN: Chair Hill, could I actually made one comment, that I've been advised by my Zoning Committee chair to make in this case? CHAIRMAN HILL: Sure. MR. HOFFMAN: With reference to issues that Commissioner May has brought up regarding the roof hatches. In BZA Case 20088 and 20089, there were similar agreements to move to the smaller roof hatches that did not end up requiring BZA exception. Due to

1	And we appreciated, on those cases, at the time, not
2	having the extended rooftops and having those roof hatches, and
3	those cases were brought before the BZA and approved, without
4	exception.
5	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. Great.
6	MR. HOFFMAN: (Indiscernible.)
7	CHAIRMAN HILL: Thanks, Commissioner Hoffman.
8	I think Commissioner May has different issues than a
9	penthouse
10	MR. HOFFMAN: Sure.
11	CHAIRMAN HILL: but I appreciate that clarity.
12	Commissioner May?
13	COMMISSSIONER MAY: You know, actually, that was helpful
14	information, and it is related. It's not the entirety of the
15	questions. I mean, part of it is the parapet wall and part of it
16	is those, theoretically, 4-foot-high structures that how has the
17	hatches.
18	And, you know, I would appreciate understanding through
19	the Office of Planning, whether the Zoning administrator considers
20	those, you know, what's being proposed here as being compliant
21	with the Zoning regulations, because it's hard to tell. I mean,
22	it may be that they are, but it is kind of hard to tell, given the
23	drawings that we received.
24	So, whatever can be done, by the Office of Planning, to
25	clarify that, I think would be helpful, because it does affect how

much shadow would be cast by this renovated building and expanded 1 building onto the property to the north.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The other aspect of this is that the Applicant could revise their plans to come up with a scheme that uses the lowerlying, or the hatches that can be installed closer to the roof. You know, it's already pretty good that the roof height is only 31'7" or whatever it is, but whatever can be done to sort of keep the protrusions, you know, on the rooftop, to a minimum, I think would be very helpful and reduce the potential for adverse impact on the Albury property.

So, you know, I think that there are a couple of things that could happen from here. We could get clarity from the Zoning administrator and if everything is clearly within the (audio interference), that's fine.

Somebody's microphone is on and it's making a lot of noise. Thank you.

Or the Applicant could revise, you know, submit a revised plan for the roof that would further reduce that. I think that would help, as well.

And, you know, I don't think that we need to have another hearing on it. I mean, I would look for some further feedback from the Office of Planning and/or the Applicant. whatever we get, or if we get nothing at all, I think that we could still go ahead and go to a decision without having a further hearing.

1	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. What I understood, Commissioner,
2	that you're asking for, and I'm a little curious on some of this
3	myself, because I have difficulty with these roof hatches a little
4	bit, and I'm a little bit different than actually you and
5	Commissioner Turnbull, in that I've never really understood what
6	is within Zoning, and also within that, whatever the particular
7	zone might be, insofar as these roof hatches.
8	So, I guess the first question is, and I guess the
9	Office of Planning is listening, and I will ask for a yes or no at
10	the end of this, but that you'll clarify with the Zoning
11	administrator if these, what they were calling Dayliter hatches
12	are compliant is the first question.
13	Correct, Ms. Brown-Roberts?
14	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Yes, Mr. Chairman.
15	CHAIRMAN HILL: And then, also, I guess we're talking
16	about the wall up there, as well.
17	Correct, Ms. Brown-Roberts?
18	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: The parapet wall, yes.
19	CHAIRMAN HILL: Yes. Okay. So, we're going to get
20	clarification on that; so, that's one thing.
21	And then, I guess, Commissioner May, if you're saying
22	that you think that the Zoning administrator believes they to be
23	compliant, that you don't need to see anything else?
24	COMMISSSIONER MAY: No, I don't.
25	But I would say that one way to help reduce the impact

of this development on the neighboring property, would be to adjust the plans and to use that other roof hatch product or something else entirely, because I'm sure there are more than two roof-hatch products that are on the market.

So, come up with something else that, you know, reduces or eliminates the need for that parapet wall and for those 4-foothigh structures on the roof, because I think that would go, you know, part of the way to help reduce the impacts on the neighboring property, and that could be a good thing.

CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. So, we'll circle back around a little bit.

And this is where I'm, and Commissioner May, this might even be a sidebar conversation that I have with you, because I personally like these things, and so that's why I'm confused as to what -- and you and Commissioner Turnbull always like the flat thing, you know. And I'm using the word "like" very loosely, right.

COMMISSSIONER MAY: Yes.

CHAIRMAN HILL: I understand what your -- I don't know if the increased shadowing is necessarily that much more harmful than the flat, you know, hatch. Anyway, I'm muddying the waters.

But I don't know what the Applicant is going to do anyway, because if the Zoning administrator says, Yeah, they're compliant, then they're not going to do anything.

COMMISSSIONER MAY: Well, if they truly want to make

1	peace with the neighbor next door, they might do something anyway.
2	CHAIRMAN HILL: Right. So, we'll leave it up to oh
3	we'll see what happens.
4	COMMISSSIONER MAY: Yes.
5	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay.
6	COMMISSSIONER MAY: And I'm not saying that I really
7	like these hatches. You know, our original vote at the Zoning
8	Commission was not to allow penthouses, period, in RF-1 Zones, and
9	the hatches came out as a way of getting rooftop access without
10	that.
11	Previously, our thought was that the rooftop access
12	would come from stairways that were external to the building.
13	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. That's interesting.
14	COMMISSSIONER MAY: Yeah. Including, you know, there's
15	a case right now that's almost completely decided where we tweaked
16	these regulations, so
17	CHAIRMAN HILL: To tweak them to do what?
18	COMMISSSIONER MAY: I can't remember, man. It's
19	CHAIRMAN HILL: Right.
20	COMMISSSIONER MAY: I have so many cases, you know.
21	I can't recite all the changes. But if you're really interested,
22	I can get you the number and you can read it.
23	CHAIRMAN HILL: Yeah, you can get me the number, yeah.
24	Okay. So, for the record, I like these hatches or
25	whatever. Then, because I had other things that I have issues

1	with.
2	And so, Mr. Smith, do you have anything you want?
3	MEMBER SMITH: You know, I completely agree with Mr.
4	May. Once again, he has articulated my viewpoint so eloquently.
5	To belabor his point, just because the Zoning
6	administrator may state that it's in accordance with the
7	regulations, doesn't mean that it may not be harmful or have an
8	adverse impact to the adjacent neighbors.
9	So, I completely agree with him. I do need additional
10	clarification. In looking at the drawings, it looks like the
11	parapet is nearly five feet above the roofline, nearly five feet.
12	It looks like it's 4'11". So I do need some additional clarity
13	on the maximum height of a parapet.
14	As Ms. Brown was stating before, she believed that it
15	was four, and if that's the case, then it does exceed the maximum
16	allowed for the Zone. We need additional clarification.
17	I agree with Mr. May. I do believe that they attempt to
18	do different treatments with the roof hatch to address the adverse
19	impacts on the neighboring property. So, I completely agree with
20	him. I second everything that he was saying.
21	And to help Mr. May out with what he was saying to you,
22	Mr. Hill, the text amendment is ZC 1413(e).
23	COMMISSSIONER MAY: Thank you. I'm glad you keep track
24	of that.
25	(Laughter)

1	ME	MBER SMITH: I'm reviewing it for you, so you may get
2	some comment	s back from me.
3	(L	aughter)
4	CO	MMISSSIONER MAY: Oh, good. I look forward to that.
5	СН	MAIRMAN HILL: All right. Ms. John?
6	VI	CE CHAIR JOHN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
7	So	, I am also concerned about the impact of the shadows
8	on the neigh	nbor's roof. And so, if the removal of the parapet
9	(audio inter	ference)
10	СН	MAIRMAN HILL: Ms. John, I'm sorry, I lost you. I
11	heard you sa	y, "If the removal" and then that was the end.
12	VI	CE CHAIR JOHN: Oh, am I breaking up?
13	СН	MAIRMAN HILL: Now I can hear you again.
14	VI	CE CHAIR JOHN: So, I was saying that I am also
15	concerned ab	out the shadowing on the roof of the neighbor in the
16	winter month	s. And I had plans (audio interference)
17	СН	MAIRMAN HILL: Sorry, Ms. John, you're breaking up
18	again.	
19	VI	CE CHAIR JOHN: I can't see it here but so, I am
20	concerned ab	out the shadowing on the neighbor's roof. So, if the
21	issue with th	he parapet wall would help to mitigate that impact for
22	(audio inter	ference).
23	I'	m also concerned about the accumulation of snow and
24	ice in the w	rinter, and I'm not sure why that's true in this case
25	when it's ne	ever happened before well, I've not addressed that

1	issue before, for a third-floor addition, so I don't know who
2	should answer this question.
3	And I think I may have missed my opportunity to ask it
4	when the Applicant testified, but it hadn't come up then.
5	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. Ms. John, I'll let you go ahead
6	and ask your question.
7	What I heard you kind of broke up is that you also
8	had concerns about the shadowing and your question about the
9	parapet wall and the shadowing; is that correct?
10	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Yes.
11	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. What's the question you have,
12	please?
13	VICE CHAIR JOHN: My question is, will Commissioner
14	May's suggestion help to reduce the shadowing on the wall?
15	I mean, does there have to be on the roof does it
16	have to be a parapet, or could it be a railing, which would be
17	less dense?
18	You know, I don't, but my concern is that the neighbor's
19	rooftop might be significantly covered in shadow during the
20	winter. So, if that could be addressed.
21	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. So, Mr. Williams, I think you're
22	following along, probably?
23	MR. WILLIAMS: Yes, I am.
24	CHAIRMAN HILL: Again, you know, what seems to be the
25	discussion is whether or not this is compliant, as per the Zoning

1	administrator, and even if it is, whether or not the Board is
2	going to agree with the additional shadowing from that parapet
3	wall, and if there were, like, railings that might be able to stop
4	shadowing.
5	And I guess what I'm not clear on, and I don't even know
6	if you can show us from one of your shadow studies or maybe
7	we'll do this later I don't know just how much additional
8	shadowing is happening from that parapet wall, right. That's kind
9	of, like, something you might want to throw into the record,
10	right.
11	MR. WILLIAMS: Right.
12	CHAIRMAN HILL: And so, I don't know if it clearly
13	states that or not. So, that's one thing where I think we kind of
14	are.
15	And we might have a continued hearing, just on this
16	parapet wall issue I don't know right. I know where I am
	parapet wall issue I don't know right. I know where I am about some other things, and I am going to speak last when
16	
16 17	about some other things, and I am going to speak last when
16 17 18	about some other things, and I am going to speak last when everyone is done.
16 17 18 19	about some other things, and I am going to speak last when everyone is done. Ms. John, do you have anything else?
16 17 18 19 20	about some other things, and I am going to speak last when everyone is done. Ms. John, do you have anything else? VICE CHAIR JOHN: No.

MR. WILLIAMS: Okay. I'll start with the parapet wall,

CHAIRMAN HILL: Sure. Go ahead.

24

because it seems to be such a big concern.

Our view is that it is allowed, per the by-right development standards in the RF-1 Zone. And I also want to just, I think everyone is aware of this -- this was not our first choice. Our first choice was to have a penthouse in the middle of the roof, and we were asked not to do that.

And I my Mr. Hoffman just alluded to that. So, you are seeing a second iteration of the plans that we redesigned in order to respond to comments from the community; this was not our first choice. So, I just want to make sure that is clear for the BZA and the record. We already reworked the plans once and that you're seeing the results of a discussion with the community and the ANC and the Planning and Zoning Committee. That's issue number one.

Number two, the parapet wall, I don't have an exhibit that shows just the parapet wall's shadow, but we've been talking about this here offline with the architect and the Applicant. We think it impacts a very small sliver in the rear yard, just the parapet wall, itself. And I don't have an exhibit, so I can't swear on that, but that's what we believe the difference would be.

The other thing I would say is that we reduced the height. So, this building can go to 35 feet of height, by-right. That is what was approved at 1501. The height here is less than 32 feet. So, on three sides of the building, it's less than 32 feet; it's less than what is allowed by-right. And the parapet

wall is just on that one side because of the change from having a penthouse.

2.

So, we are already under what we could do. So, I just want to make sure it's clear. We made those changes on purpose. That was not our first choice. That was not our initial goal or intent. These changes have been made directly as a result of concerns from the community and at a great expense to the Applicant to go back and hire an architect to rework the entire design of the building.

So, we are trying to respond to comments from the community as best as we can and what you're getting as a result of that is, the best we can do in terms of meeting as many goals as possible. So, I want to make that as clear as I possibly can.

On the structural issue, this is actually important. When we go in for permits, we have to go through a review. I mentioned this in my filing. We have to go through a review with DCRA, per Code, where a structural engineer has to do an analysis and certify that the addition will not cause any structural issues from snowdrift.

This specific issue comes up during the permitting process and we have a structural engineer. The Applicant has been through this many times. That engineer, one of those letters that we talked about that we submitted yesterday, is from that engineer who speaks to this as a rebuttal to this concern.

So, there already is a process for this to ensure that

1	there will not be any issues there, and if there are, we're
2	required to go back and rework the whole plan by DCRA and Building
3	Code. So, I just wanted to address those two concerns.
4	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. Ms. John, and then I'll get
5	Mr. May.
6	Mr. May, you're not muted.
7	COMMISSSIONER MAY: Sorry.
8	VICE CHAIR JOHN: So, Mr. Williams, when the design was
9	changed, were the Alburys involved, and did they understand the
10	implications of potential shadowing from the placement of the
11	units on the roof and the parapet wall? Did they understand all
12	of that?
13	MR. WILLIAMS: I can't speak to what they understood. I
14	know that, I believe and they can speak for themselves and
15	correct me if I'm wrong they were at the Planning and Zoning
16	Committee meeting in December, at least one of the representatives
17	was, after which we made this change. And if I'm incorrect about
18	that, please correct me, but I am fairly confident that at least
19	one of the Albury representatives was there, that heard the
20	comments that we reacted to, to that meeting.
21	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Thank you.
22	I realize you cannot comment on how they understood it,
23	so maybe I asked the question differently.
24	What I wanted to know is during the discussions with the

Alburys directly, and discussing the impacts to their mother's

1	house, did you discuss the changes in the rooftop, the placement
2	of the equipment on the rooftop, as well as the parapet wall?
3	MR. WILLIAMS: Yes. The HVAC equipment was directly
4	discussed, and the parapet wall and those changes have now been
5	made for the last they were made in December, so we've had
6	numerous meetings.
7	Now, again, I can't speak to if they're understanding or
8	if I'm not being eloquent enough to explain this, because I may
9	not be, but this has been out there. This is not we're not
10	hiding the ball. This has been out there and discussed at, I
11	think, five ANC meetings now. So, it has been discussed ad
12	nauseam with the community and all of the interested parties.
13	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Okay. I was aiming for the interested
14	parties, the adjacent neighbor
15	MR. WILLIAMS: Yes.
16	MR. JOHNSON: because it's the adjacent neighbor who
17	is directly impacted by a project, such as this. And that's all I
18	am trying to ascertain.
19	MR. WILLIAMS: Yes.
20	VICE CHAIR JOHN: I'm not saying that you're hiding the
21	ball.
22	We see a lot of these cases
23	MR. WILLIAMS: Yeah.
24	VICE CHAIR JOHN: and many times, the adjacent
25	neighbor does not fully understand what's happening with the

project and that's all I'm trying to ascertain, and your 1 2. representation is that it is --3 MR. WILLIAMS: Yes. VICE CHAIR JOHN: -- so thank you very much. 4 5 MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you. 6 CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. Commissioner May, did you have 7 another question? 8 COMMISSSIONER MAY: A question or a comment? 9 So, Mr. Williams, just so you understand, you know, we 10 have read the case file and we saw the evolution of the design 11 from the earliest submission, and we are aware that it has 12 evolved, in response to the neighborhood concerns, and I don't think it really helps your case to say things like, Look, we've 13 14 already gone this far. 15 I mean, that's just not what we're asking, right. 16 Whatever you have done, it is still not enough to address the concerns of the most-affected neighbor and we are making 17 18 suggestions on how you might further address that. So, my advice 19 to you, would be to take that advice very seriously and act 20 accordingly. And if you can't do it, you can't do it. But if you

And the other thing that I would also point out is that, yes, we are aware that the summer [sic] solstice is a single day; however, the day before it is only a few minutes different and the

can do it, it's probably a good thing to do, because it will help

reduce the potential for adverse impact.

21

22

23

24

25

1	day after is only a few minutes different. So, there is a whole
2	season of impact. We are just seeing the peak at 2:00 p.m. on the
3	winter solstice, but we know that it lasts much longer than that,
4	right. Everybody does. So
5	MR. WILLIAMS: No, I appreciate the feedback. We'll
6	definitely consider it.
7	COMMISSSIONER MAY: All right. Thank you.
8	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. Let me see now.
9	Mr. Smith, do you have any final issues that you want
10	addressed?
11	MEMBER SMITH: No, I don't have any more questions or
12	concerns.
13	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. Mr. Williams, when you guys
14	are I know that you are trying to put together an MOU with the
15	party status people in terms of like construction and things like
16	that. I know that it's still kind of in a process.
17	Do you know how close you are to getting an MOU?
18	MR. WILLIAMS: Well, we have exchanged drafts over the
19	last week or so and I think we have gotten close to the point
20	where we have maxed-out what we can provide. So, again, you need
21	two parties to agree, or more, and I can't speak if any of the
22	other parties.
23	I think we provided a robust agreement that hits all the

major concerns that we typically hit in these agreements and more,

and we'll still talk through it, but at some point, it takes more

24

1 than just us to negotiate. 2. CHAIRMAN HILL: I understand. 3 MR. WILLIAMS: I hope we can get there. CHAIRMAN HILL: Sure. Let me see where -- if everybody 4 could just mute your lines, unless you're talking -- thanks. 5 think it's Mr. Williams. 6 Thanks. 7 Okay. I guess this is where I am, and I don't know if you guys want to have, actually, a limited scope hearing or not, 8 and so I'll kind of throw this out. Well, I know what I want to 9 10 I know what I want to hear exactly or see, and this is where 11 it's a little bit -- this is the first time I'm kind of asking something a little different, but, you know, I'm still going to 12 13 go -- I'm going to hang my hat on X 901.4 in terms of adverse 14 impact to, you know, that we a long -- I can't believe this is the 15 only the second case -- and it's terms of adverse impact. 16 Like, do you know, Mr. Williams, again, like, I -- well, 17 let me start with this. 18 To Ms. Dale and Ms. Albury, like, they are able to go 10 19 feet back as matter-of-right, right, and I know that you all have 20 gone back-and-forth as to what they're allowed to do. They are 21 here for the third unit, right, and we're trying to figure out the adverse impacts on the third unit --22 23 MR. WILLIAMS: Correct. CHAIRMAN HILL: -- so a lot of these things -- thanks, 24

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
Court Reporting and Litigation Support
Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia
410-766-HUNT (4868)
1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

Mr. Williams -- a lot of these things are maybe -- wait a minute.

1	So, we're here, basically, for the third unit.
2	Mr. Williams, what I am kind of curious of is, does
3	Miss and now I'm just asking a side question does Ms. Albury
4	have a deck in her backyard, do you know?
5	MR. WILLIAMS: I believe I'm not sure I believe
6	so.
7	CHAIRMAN HILL: Right.
8	MR. SAMUEL MEDVENE: She does not.
9	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay.
10	MR. WILLIAMS: I'm corrected. She does not. The deck
11	is on our side.
12	CHAIRMAN HILL: Who said that?
13	MR. WILLIAMS: The Applicant.
14	CHAIRMAN HILL: So, Mr. Medvene, and I am going to look
15	through the regulations and see what my authority is, but while
16	you're putting your MOU together, the part that has been
17	disturbing me is that Ms. Albury can't walk past your 10-foot
18	thing and see her neighbors, right.
19	I don't know if there's some way you can make it so that
20	she can see past your 10 feet, right, and I don't know if that's a
21	walkway, a wooden walkway, a deck, something that might make it so
22	that miss hold on, Commissioner, I'll get you in a second
23	something that can make it so that Ms. Dale [sic] can see the
24	school, can see, like, you know, past her 10 feet.

Ms. Albury has been in the neighborhood for forever --

you know, not forever -- sorry -- she's been there for a long time, right. She's been an upstanding neighbor. She just seems like a charming person. If there's anything that I can do to help her so she can see past your 10 feet and I'm allowed to do it, I'm going to try to do it, okay. So, I'm just letting you know if you come back and there's some way that Ms. Albury -- the shadowing and the tiny bit of shadowing that comes up for that retaining wall -- I'm sorry, the wall that they're talking about, and I totally get it, that's not my concern, right.

Ms. Albury can't see past your 10 feet. So, if you can let me know how -- I don't even want an answer. I don't need an answer. If you all can just let me know that Ms. Albury can see past that 10 feet and see the school and see her neighbors, it would make me understand how this project doesn't have any adverse impact. I'm just throwing that out there. So, that's all I had to say about that.

And, Commissioner, you have something, Mr. Hoffman?

MR. HOFFMAN: Yeah, I wanted to address this point, because this was something that we talked about early on in the design concepts. There was very little confidence that the Applicant would be able to get a side yard compliance from this Board to, instead of going back, go further towards Neal Street. We had suggested that as an alternative; instead of going back, go wider, to avoid the 10-foot setback --

CHAIRMAN HILL: I'm just going to stop you. The 10 foot

is a matter-of-right, right. I mean, I know you guys have to go through all your negotiations, but now you are what you are, and they've gone through the design and they've spent -- and I do think that they have gone back-and-forth. This has gone back-and-forth. We see a lot of cases, and to Ms. Dale and to all the other people, they have worked with you guys, right. They have tried to do things. They have reduced the size. They have done a lot of stuff.

I am just stuck on the thing that I am stuck on and my other board members are stuck on the things that they're stuck on.

And I think we're going to end up, what we see next -- and Mr. Williams, you can just nod your head that you hear me and understand me, correct?

(No verbal response)

CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. Great. Perfect. Wonderful.

So, all right. So, that being the case, I'm going to go around the table just to -- well, actually, I'm just going to go to the Applicant. The Applicant only gets the conclusion. The Applicant actually gets rebuttal and the conclusion, and I still have to deal with a -- I still have an ANC commissioner that is just trying to get on for a postponement and it's almost to two o'clock.

God, it's almost two o'clock and we started at 9:30.

So, Mr. Williams, do you have any rebuttal and a conclusion?

1	MR. WILLIAMS: Nothing beyond what we've already
2	discussed, Commissioner.
3	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. Great. Perfect. Thank you.
4	All right. So, then, I guess where we are, is we're
5	going to find out what the Zoning administrator has to say. After
6	we find out what the Zoning administrator has to say, we're going
7	to see whether the Applicant changes anything.
8	I would like to see whatever the MOU is that finally
9	gets addressed and, if there's anything to do about Ms. Albury
10	being able to see past the 10-foot wall, okay, that's what I want
11	to hear and see.
12	And then, I guess, do you want to do a continued
13	hearing?
14	I mean, then this goes back to another thing, and this
15	is also the Applicant that can kind of figure this out. I don't
16	know if you get a yes from the ANC or not. Like, if you go back
17	to the ANC and somehow get a yes after all this work that you've
18	done, that would be help you.
19	But now, Ms. Cain, now I'm going to go to where if they
20	have anybody in opposition, then I have to do a full order,
21	correct, Ms. Cain?
22	MS. CAIN: Yes. I mean, at this point, you have parties
23	in opposition, so it would be a full order, unless they were to
24	revoke their opposition.
25	CHAIRMAN HILL: Right. So, I don't know how

1	Mr. Williams, do you know the difference between a full order and
2	a summary order, right
3	MR. WILLIAMS: I do.
4	CHAIRMAN HILL: and the time that's involved, and
5	you're nodding your head.
6	So, I don't know if you think you can get it. You only
7	have two party status, by the way, because as I understand this,
8	Ms. Cain, since sorry, give me a second since Ms. Durbin has
9	not shown up, she loses her party status, correct?
10	MS. CAIN: I'm not entirely clear on that. If they
11	don't show up to the meeting where party status is decided, they
12	lose it automatically.
13	I'm not sure what happens if they don't show up for the
14	actual hearing. I would need to double-check that.
15	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. You can double-check.
16	I think they lose their party status, because this is
17	the meeting, right. You just can't get party status and then not
18	show up. Nobody wants to miss this fun, okay.
19	So, I think, Mr. Williams, you only have two party
20	status people to say yes to and then the ANC. I don't know if
21	you're going to get there or not, but I'm just kind of throwing
22	that out. If you want to try to get all of this wrapped up and
23	get through the ANC again and see if the ANC will vote yes.
24	And to the ANC's commissioner, to everybody that's

25 paying attention, this is basically, the massing -- again,

1	Ms. Dale and everybody the massing, the bulk is matter-of-
2	right, okay. So, we are here for the third unit, right, and now
3	we are going through the intricacies of this penthouse thing that
4	my colleagues want to hear about, right.
5	So, Mr. Williams, I'm trying to process this as to where
6	we may come back with you, right, because you hear everything we
7	wanted to see and I'm talking out loud. We're going to hear
8	from the Zoning administrator, then I guess the Applicant is going
9	to figure out what the Applicant wants to do, and then I'm going
LO	to hear about some solution to Ms. Albury seeing past that 10
L1	feet patio, concrete slab, you know and, yeah, and then I
L2	guess you could submit, Mr. Williams.
L3	Do you know, Mr. Williams, whether you want to try to go
L4	back in front of the ANC or not or you don't know?
L5	MR. WILLIAMS: Well, if we're going to defer the BZA
L6	hearing, then we ought to at least give ourselves one more chance
L7	to get before them.
L8	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. And that's the 13th of April.
L9	Commissioner Hoffman, can you see if you can get these
20	guys back before you on the 13th?
21	MR. HOFFMAN: Yes.
22	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. So, Ms. Dale and Ms. McLaughlin,
23	just so you know what's happening, the Applicant is going to come

back to you and see if they can work things out, okay. And then

they're going to go back to the ANC and see what happens, right.

24

1	Ms. McLaughlin, again well, Ms. McLaughlin, the
2	things you're talking about really are just construction, right,
3	like timing. You know, background checks, I don't know about
4	background checks I've never heard about background checks
5	but, you know, whatever it is you think you can figure out, right,
6	you know, you're talking about construction, right. So, go ahead
7	and work with Mr. Williams.
8	Ms. Dale, go ahead and work with Mr. Williams, see if
9	you can get what you're getting.
10	And Mr. Williams, I just keep repeating it over and over
11	again. I want some kind of explanation or at least why you're not
12	going to help Ms. Albury see past that 10 feet.
13	MR. WILLIAMS: Oh, I've got it.
14	CHAIRMAN HILL: You got it, right.
15	And then, am I missing anything from anybody, and if so,
16	raise your hand.
17	(No verbal response)
18	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. I don't see anybody raising their
19	hand, so I'm going to assume that we're all good, everybody
20	understands.
21	And then, I guess, Mr. Williams, if you guys are on
22	the 13th I'm pulling up a calendar the 13th of April, I
23	mean, I'll do my best to get to you.
24	So, Mr. Andrews I don't know if Mr. Moy is back or
25	not do you have our calendar for the 21st of April?

1	MR. ANDREWS: I do, Mr. Chair.
2	CHAIRMAN HILL: What's there on the 21st of April?
3	MR. ANDREWS: The 21st of April, I'm not sure, but
4	Mr. May is back with us May 12th.
5	CHAIRMAN HILL: No, no, no. I got you.
6	I'm sorry. I was trying to understand how many cases we
7	have on the 21st of April.
8	MR. ANDREWS: One minute, Mr. Chair.
9	CHAIRMAN HILL: Actually, it doesn't matter. I want to
10	do it on the 21st of April.
11	I believe Mr. Williams is going to help me out, so I'm
12	going to help you out, Mr. Williams, and we're going to be back
13	here on the 21st of April, okay.
14	COMMISSSIONER MAY: In case you're interested, I'll show
15	up on the 21st.
16	CHAIRMAN HILL: Oh, I'm sure, Mr. May, you're going to
17	show up (audio interference).
18	COMMISSSIONER MAY: Even though I wasn't scheduled, I
19	can do it.
20	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. So, we're going to come back for
21	a very limited scope hearing on the 21st, okay.
22	The record is closed for everything, except for the
23	items that we have requested. And I guess the filing, and this is
24	where I don't know Ms. Cain is about to say something but
25	I don't know if Mr. Andrews can help me with the dates or if

1	Ms. Cain can help me with the dates as to when you might want to
2	see stuff but I want to get back here on the 21st of April for
3	a limited scope hearing, just about the issues we talked about.
4	And if that's the case, if somebody can help me with the filings.
5	MS. CAIN: Okay. So, for a hearing on the 21st, we
6	would recommend, I think is if the Applicant can have their
7	submissions in by March 31st, with two weeks for the party-
8	opponents to respond.
9	CHAIRMAN HILL: Mr. Williams, would that work for you,
10	March 31st?
11	MR. WILLIAMS: That should work.
12	CHAIRMAN HILL: So, March 31st. That means that the
13	party-opponents would have until the 14th to respond and hopefully
14	you all have an agreement, so there's nothing to respond to.
15	That's what we're hoping for, right.
16	MS. CAIN: Yeah. And then, did the Board also want
17	responses from OP, because that could also come in on the 14th.
18	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. Sure.
19	Because the Office of Planning might have new
20	information after they talk to the Zoning administrator and
21	whatever happens, right?
22	MS. CAIN: Uh-huh. And then just as an additional
23	clarification for you, Mr. Chair, regarding the third-party status
24	request, my understanding in looking at the regulations, is that
25	per Y 44.16, at this point, they would need to formally withdraw

1	their party status request to no longer be considered as a party.
2	It's not automatic if they are unable to appear at the hearing.
3	CHAIRMAN HILL: Well, that doesn't seem right to me, but
4	okay.
5	So, Mr. Williams, I don't know, now you've got a third
6	person. You got to get Ms. Durbin to sign off.
7	But still, you know, whether you get past us or not is
8	not, you know getting past us is the important part, right.
9	And so, that means if we can get something from the ANC from like
10	the 16th of April, would that be fair, Commissioner?
11	MR. HOFFMAN: Yes, Chairman.
12	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. All right.
13	Does everybody understand the dates?
14	(No verbal response)
15	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. All right.
16	Mr. Williams, good luck.
17	MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you.
18	CHAIRMAN HILL: And the record is closed, except for the
19	items we talked about, which is the memorandum of understanding,
20	some comment about how to help Ms. Albury see past the 10 feet of
21	that house, the penthouse issues, and the penthouse issues. And
22	so, we'll wait to see what we see.
23	So, okay. Good luck, everybody. I'm going to say good-
24	bye to everybody. The hearing is closed. Thank you.
25	MR. HOFFMAN: Thank you.

1	CHAIRMAN HILL: All right. Mr. Andrews, can you hear
2	me?
3	(No verbal response)
4	CHAIRMAN HILL: You guys, this is just I think we
5	if the ANC person is still there, they have been waiting, and so I
6	would just like to find out where we are with this whole
7	postponement issue.
8	MR. ANDREWS: Mr. Chair, I can hear you.
9	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. Great.
10	Could you go ahead and call our next case, 20400.
11	MR. ANDREWS: Yes, sir.
12	Application of case number 20400 of Green Street
13	Apartments, LLC. Address, 2326-2328 Green Street SE. The project
14	is to construct a new, detached, three-story with cellar and
15	penthouse, ten-unit apartment house in the RA-1 Zone.
16	The relief: special exception under the new residential
17	development requirements of Subtitle U, Section 421.1., and from
18	the minimum vehicle parking requirements of Subtitle C, Subsection
19	701.5, pursuant to Subtitle C, Subsection 703.2 and Subtitle X,
20	Subsection 902.1.
21	And there is a preliminary matter. Counsel has
22	requested a postponement. The ANC filed a motion in support
23	yesterday, Mr. Chair.
24	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. Great.
25	Mr. Sullivan, could you introduce yourself for the

1	record, please.
2	MR. SULLIVAN: Yes, thank you, Mr. Chairman, Members of
3	the Board.
4	Marty Sullivan with Sullivan Barros, on behalf of the
5	Applicant.
6	CHAIRMAN HILL: Great. Commissioner Muhammad, can you
7	hear me?
8	MS. MUHAMMAD: Yes, I can hear you.
9	CHAIRMAN HILL: Could you introduce yourself for the
10	record, please.
11	MS. MUHAMMAD: Hollie Muhammad, chair of ANC 8-A.
12	CHAIRMAN HILL: Welcome, Commissioner.
13	MS. MUHAMMAD: Thank you.
14	CHAIRMAN HILL: Commissioner Moore, can you hear me?
15	(No verbal response)
16	CHAIRMAN HILL: Commissioner Moore, can you hear me?
17	(No verbal response)
18	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. Let's see what happens.
19	COMMISSIONER MOORE: Can you hear me?
20	CHAIRMAN HILL: Yes. Can you hear me, Commissioner?
21	COMMISSIONER MOORE: Yes, I had to unmute myself.
22	CHAIRMAN HILL: No problem.
23	Could you introduce yourself for the record, please?
24	COMMISSIONER MOORE: Hi, I'm Commissioner 8A04,
25	Commissioner Moore.

1	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. Welcome, Commissioner.
2	COMMISSIONER MOORE: Thank you.
3	CHAIRMAN HILL: Mr. Sullivan, so, you're asking for a
4	postponement?
5	MR. SULLIVAN: That's correct, Mr. Chair.
6	CHAIRMAN HILL: Why are you asking for the postponement
7	and when would you like the postponement to?
8	MR. SULLIVAN: It's simply because we have not had the
9	opportunity to be at a full ANC meeting and we have not gotten to
10	vote. And we were also about to propose a change and possible
11	driveway onto the property to try to get parking spaces, in
12	response to concerns from the ANC.
13	We're not sure yet if that's exactly what they want.
14	They might want the green space, instead of the driveway, but
15	that's an outstanding issue.
16	So, we were okay with asking for a postponement until
17	their next meeting. I don't think they're meeting in April, so
18	we'd have to wait until May.
19	CHAIRMAN HILL: Commissioner Muhammad, when is your next
20	ANC meeting?
21	MS. MUHAMMAD: Okay. So, they need to come to our
22	executive meeting, which will be the 15th of March, and our next
23	community meeting will be May 4th.
24	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. So, can they tell get on that
25	May 4th if they meet your exec meeting?

1	MS. MUHAMMAD: Yes.
2	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay.
3	MS. MUHAMMAD: That's the plan.
4	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. Great, Commissioner, thank you.
5	So, May 4th. Okay. So, I see May 4th is a Tuesday.
6	Gosh, I mean, Mr. Sullivan, I don't know. Is the 12th,
7	you want to try and come back on the 12th; is that what you're
8	going to shoot for?
9	MR. SULLIVAN: That would be great if we could do that.
10	CHAIRMAN HILL: Right. Mr. Andrews, you don't I
11	mean, is Mr. Moy around?
12	MR. ANDREWS: Mr. Chair, he's doing training today.
13	CHAIRMAN HILL: Oh, yeah. Okay. All right. I don't
14	know. All right. I'll go ahead and do it, just because I don't
15	know the dates.
16	So, Mr. Rice, can you hear me?
17	MR. RICE: Yes, sir.
18	MR. ANDREWS: Mr. Chair, I have the
19	CHAIRMAN HILL: If we try to get back here go ahead,
20	Mr. Andrews.
21	MR. ANDREWS: I have Mr. Moy's schedule.
22	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. How many cases do we have on
23	May 19th?
25	
24	(Pause)

1	you.
2	MR. RICE: My count is nine, but Mr. Andrews may know
3	better.
4	CHAIRMAN HILL: Your count is nine?
5	(No verbal response)
6	MR. RICE: (Nodding head.)
7	CHAIRMAN HILL: And what do we have on May 26th,
8	Mr. Rice?
9	MR. ANDREWS: Six cases, sir.
10	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. Mr. Sullivan, if it doesn't
11	bother you, I'm going to put you on May 26th.
12	MR. SULLIVAN: Okay.
13	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. So, we're coming back on May
14	26th.
15	So, Mr. Rice, if we come back on May 26th, can you let
16	us know the dates of things.
17	MR. RICE: Yes, sir.
18	CHAIRMAN HILL: Can you let us know now or can you let
19	us know later?
20	MR. RICE: It'll take a second to calculate, but I can
21	provide it to the secretary and also to Mr. Sullivan.
22	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. Great.
23	So then, Mr. Sullivan probably knows the dates better
24	than anybody else.
25	So, we're going to come back here on May 26th, okay.

1	MS	S. MOORE: Okay.
2	CH	HAIRMAN HILL: Commissioner, can you hear me?
3	MS	S. MOORE: Yes, I can.
4	CH	HAIRMAN HILL: All right. Well, thank you all very
5	much.	
6	CC	OMMISSIONER MOORE: You're welcome. Thank you.
7	CH	HAIRMAN HILL: You'll come back here on May 26th.
8	Ar	nd you guys, by the way, for the record, I was trying
9	to get you a	all before that last case, but that last case went a
10	whole lot lo	onger than I thought, so we're doing it before lunch,
11	at least. S	So, at least we're now going to go eat.
12	CC	OMMISSIONER MOORE: Right. Yes, sir. Thank you. I
13	appreciate i	it.
14	MS	S. MUHAMMAD: Thank you, Chairman.
15	CC	OMMISSIONER MOORE: Have a good one.
16	MF	R. SULLIVAN: Thank you.
17	CH	HAIRMAN HILL: Thank you. You all take care.
18	We	e'll see you all on May 26th.
19	MS	S. MUHAMMAD: Okay. Thank you.
20	CH	HAIRMAN HILL: Okay. So, it's two o'clock, okay.
21	Ar	nd we've got how many do we have left one, two,
22	three, four	we've got four left, right. So, what do you think,
23	45 minutes?	Is that too much? Forty-five minutes?
24	Wh	nat?
25	CC	OMMISSSIONER MAY: Half an hour?

1	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. We can try half an hour.
2	I've got to go get something to eat. I'm not at my
3	house.
4	COMMISSSIONER MAY: Okay.
5	CHAIRMAN HILL: So, let's shoot for 35, 40 minutes.
6	Let's see what happens.
7	COMMISSSIONER MAY: All right.
8	CHAIRMAN HILL: Okay. Thank you. Bye-bye.
9	(Recess taken at 2:00 p.m.)
10	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Andrews, are you there?
11	MR. ANDREWS: Mr. Chair, I am.
12	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Great. So I'm going to go
13	ahead and call us back to order here. The time is now around 2:53
14	p.m., after a lunch break. And Mr. Andrews, if you can go ahead
15	and call our next case.
16	MR. ANDREWS: Yes, sir. The Application No. 20281 of
17	Square 737, LLC, pursuant to 11 DCMR Subtitle X, Chapter 9 for a
18	special exception under the Downtime-use requirements of Subtitle
19	
20	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Andrews? Mr. Andrews? My I
21	interrupt you one second?
22	MR. ANDREWS: Sure.
23	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Was 20358 next or no? It doesn't
24	matter, I'm just
25	MR. ANDREWS: No. Staff changed it.

1	CHAIRPERSON HILL: That's okay. That's okay. Go ahead.
2	Please start again, if you wouldn't mind. I'm sorry to interrupt
3	you.
4	MR. ANDREWS: Of course. Of course. No, not at all.
5	Not at all.
6	Application No. 20281 of Square 737 LLC, pursuant to 11
7	DCMR Subtitle X, Chapter 9, for a special exception under the
8	Downtown-use requirements of Subtitle I, Section 303.1(i) to
9	permit a veterinary hospital use on the first floor of an existing
10	mixed-use building in the D-5 Zone at premises 150 I Street,
11	Southeast, Square 737, Lot 828.
12	There is a preliminary matter as well, Mr. Chair. We
13	would need a waiver from the or you to consider a waiver from
14	the notarization requirements.
15	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay.
16	MR. ANDREWS: Yes, sir.
17	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Great. Ms. Hottel-Cox, are
18	you there?
19	MS. HOTTEL-COX: Good afternoon, Chair. Yes, I'm here.
20	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Great. Could you please introduce
21	yourself for the record?
22	MS. HOTTEL-COX: Meghan Hottel-Cox with Goulston &
23	Storrs, counsel for the applicant.
24	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Great. Ms. Hottel-Cox, first
25	of all, nice to see you.

1	MS. HOTTEL-COX: Nice to see you as well.
2	CHAIRPERSON HILL: You need a waiver from the
3	notarization requirements. Could you tell us why again?
4	MS. HOTTEL-COX: Yes. Just during the ongoing pandemic
5	there, D.C. hasn't finalized the remote notarization rules, so we
6	have an affirmation as opposed to an affidavit. It's just simply
7	not notarized since the remote notarization for the person in our
8	office who files those wasn't able to get one.
9	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. I don't have an issue with the
10	waiver unless the Board has and issue; and if so, please raise
11	your hand. I don't see anyone raising their hand. Okay, Ms.
12	Hottel-Cox, who is here with you today?
13	MS. HOTTEL-COX: So we have Matt Tsau with WC Smith, the
14	applicant. And then we should have Dan Teich, who is a
15	representative of District Vet, although I'm not seeing him as one
16	of the presenters. I just messaged him. Oh, there he is. Okay.
17	I think we have everyone now.
18	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Dr. Teich, if you could please
19	introduce yourself for the record.
20	DR. TEICH: Hi, everyone. My name is Dan Teich. I'm a
21	veterinarian here in Washington, D.C. I'm one of the owners of
22	District Veterinary Hospital. We have a hospital on Capitol Hill
23	at Eastern Market, and one in the Brookland neighborhood.
24	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Let's see. Give me a second.
25	Mr. Young, are there people here wishing to testify?

MR. YOUNG: I don't have anyone.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right. I'm going to let my fellow Board members know, for the record, Dr. Dan is my vet, okay, and he is my veterinarian, and he's fantastic. It will not influence my looking at the zoning on this but, you know, Elvis and Lucy, Dr. Dan, were ours. Right. Okay. Right. Yeah. Okay. All right. Okay. That's it.

So Ms. Hottel-Cox, let's see. If you could go ahead and walk us through your application and why you think you are meeting the requirements for us to grant the special exception or the relief requested. And you can begin wherever you like.

MS. HOTTEL-COX: Thank you. And Mr. Young, we did submit a presentation. If you could pull that up for us, that would be great. While that's getting pulled up, I'll go ahead and get started.

So again, good afternoon. My name is Meghan Hottel-Cox, and I'm with Goulston & Storrs representing the applicant in this case. We are excited to be here virtually today to present WC Smith's plans to bring District Vet to the mixed-use project, The Garrett, at 150 I Street, Southeast. The Garrett is part of The Collective, a three-building mixed use project between I Street, H Street, New Jersey Avenue, and 2nd Street Southeast. This community is full of many pet owners, including approximately one-third of the over 1,100 residents at The Collective.

The property is located in the D-5 Zone which requires

special exception approval for an animal hospital, which brings us
here today to ask for a special exception approval for District

Vet to occupy approximately 4,100 square feet of the ground floor.

District Vet will provide high-quality day-to-day veterinary care
for pets of residents in the area. The proposal meets all of the
requirements for special exception approval of District Vet as
detailed in our filings.

The space and day-to-day operations have been designed with residents in mind, mitigating any noise or smells from the veterinary use. The District Vet use will not include any boarding of animals, and there will be no external yards for animals. We will speak more in our presentation about how the proposed use serves the residents and community. I am happy to report that we are here today with support of the Office of Planning and the District Department of Transportation.

Additionally, the ANC submitted a resolution into the record showing unanimous support for the project and the requested relief. We greatly appreciate the ANC's time working with us on this project and their commitment to this community-serving operation at The Garrett. With that, we have two witnesses today; Matt Tsau will testify on behalf of the applicant, and Dr. Dan Teich will testify on behalf of District Vet. With that, I'm turn it over to Matt.

MR. TSAU: Good afternoon, everyone. Again, my name is Matt Tsau. I'm with WC Smith/Square 737 LLC. Just to give you a

1 little background on the (audio interference) -2 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Tsau. vou're kind of b

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Tsau, you're kind of breaking up a little bit. I don't know. Maybe speak a little slowly.

MR. TSAU: Sure. I'll try again. Again, good afternoon. I am Matt Tsau. I'm with WC Smith/Square 737 LLC. Just to give a little bit of background on WC Smith, we consider ourselves a resident of the Capitol Riverfront. We actually moved our headquarters down here in 2003 just a couple blocks away from the (audio interference) property at 150 I Street. You know, and in that time, (audio interference) we have been a big part of the community. While we were building 150 I Street, (audio interference) no dog park, and all this history to say we feel that (audio interference) its use of the extremely community service (audio interference) as well as (audio interference).

We feel that this really benefits (audio interference). He's making a statement we went (audio interference). We also did receive other (audio interference). Were you able to hear me all right?

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yeah, Mr. Tsau, you're kind of -- if we have further questions -- I pretty much caught everything you had to say, but maybe we'll -- Ms. Hottel-Cox, if you can move on, and then we'll come back to hear about the standards from you. And if we have any questions for Mr. Tsau, we can refer them back to him.

MS. HOTTEL-COX: Sure. I'll --

1	MR. TSAU: Would it be easier if I call in?
2	CHAIRPERSON HILL: I think we're okay for now, Mr. Tsau.
3	MR. TSAU: Okay.
4	CHAIRPERSON HILL: But if we need to, we'll ask you to
5	call in.
6	MS. HOTTEL-COX: Sure. And I'll turn it over to Dr.
7	Teich to walk through some of the operations and how that I
8	think some of his presentation will also speak to how we meet the
9	standards. And then once he's finished, we're happy to answer any
10	questions the Board might have.
11	DR. TEICH: Okay. My turn. Hi, everybody. I think
12	we're going are we going to the next slide, I think, correct?
13	Yeah. We're good. Awesome.
14	District Vet is going to occupy a corner of the
15	building. It's going to be a general wellness practice. There's
16	no boarding, there's no overnight care. And it's going to be
17	serving dogs and cats pretty much exclusively. Three-quarters to
18	more than that of our clientele is expected to walk to the
19	facility and spend anywhere from 35 minutes to an hour and then
20	walk home.
21	The basics will be spay, neuter, vaccinations, and minor
22	well-pet visits. There's going to be no extensive surgical
23	facilities in this particular location. For more complicated
24	surgical interventions, that will be at our Eastern Market office.
25	I think we're going to go on to the next one. This is

just a quick little layout of the facility. The entrance is going to be on 2nd Street. In the top right corner, there's going to be a vestibule as well so that it's double doored. We have an extensive waiting room and lobby. And then the exam rooms are flanking off of the lobby area.

2.

The back of the hospital, the treatment room, consists of a box-in-a-box type build where there's a 19-foot ceiling but all the room -- every single room that you see is its own box within providing excess shielding for any outside and inside noise that may be present. It contains required restrooms and other spaces along with having a staff break room which will be above as a mezzanine that you can see the stairway in the center of the -- in the very center, you'll see there's a stairway that leads up to a mezzanine for a staff break room.

All animal holding for the day or temporary is going to be in the bottom left area where it's an insulated room, for our own edification too. That's a quick tour of the inside of the facility as well. The walls are all heavily insulated, and the ceilings are insulated. The box-in-a-box ceilings are also insulated as well. Even though they're inside of a building and there's free space above them, they are insulated for temperature and for any sound abatement too. And in the lobby area, there will be sound abatement features.

I think we have one more slide. Oh, yeah. Sorry. This is just a little mezzanine, and I think there's one more.

Okay.

2.

This is just a quick little overview of our current two hospitals that we have. Top left is our Brookland Hospital which is going to be a very similar design to the I Street facility. You'll see that -- in the back left, you'll see that the boxes within the entire -- in the entire space. The feeling of the facility is a warm one. I want you to walk in and feel like you're in somebody's living room. It's good for the pets, it's good for the clients, and it's great for staff morale as well.

And just in case you're wondering, the bottom right, that's me and my dog. That's Brian. Brian, the dog.

Top -- and then on the top right is a treatment facility of our Brookland office. Just above that is the treatment hallway. And then bottom left is the Eastern Market lobby waiting room area. And I think we are -- I think there might be one more, but I think that's about it.

MS. HOTTEL-COX: I think that's the last slide.

DR. TEICH: Right.

MS. HOTTEL-COX: While this is up, I'll just speak to a couple of other points to note some of the conversations with the ANC and also touch on a couple of the other standards from the zoning regulations.

Specifically, as Dr. Teich was mentioning, there is a lot of insulation to prevent noise that could be disruptive to residents within the building, in addition to the significant slab

1	between the ground floor and the residential units above. Where
2	there's not a secondary ceiling, there will be acoustical tiles to
3	ensure there's not a noise issue. Additionally, any animal waste
4	will be removed from the tenant facility on a daily basis and will
5	be removed from the building at least weekly.
6	The ANC was also focused on pick up and drop off for the
7	few customers who may drive to the site, as well as parking, and
8	we've agreed to work with them moving forward with the project
9	with DDOT through the public space approvals process for this.
LO	That concludes our presentation, but we're happy to answer any
L1	questions that the Board may have.
L2	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Hottel-Cox.
L3	Does the Board have any questions for the applicant? Commissioner
L4	May? Did I lose Commissioner May?
L5	COMMISSIONER MAY: No. Sorry about that. No, I have no
L6	questions.
L7	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Mr. Smith?
L8	BOARD MEMBER SMITH: No questions.
L9	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Ms. John?
20	VICE CHAIR JOHN: (Audio interference).
21	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. I'm going to turn to the
22	Office of Planning.
23	MR. MORDFIN: Good afternoon. I'm Stephen Mordfin with

the Office of Planning, and the Office of Planning finds this

application to be in conformance with the provisions of the

24

25

1	zoning regulations for this use and therefore, supports the
2	application and is available for questions.
3	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Great. Does anybody have any
4	questions for the Office of Planning; and if so, please raise your
5	hand. Does the applicant have any questions for the Office of
6	Planning? All right. Mr. Young, I think I asked you before, is
7	there anybody here wishing to speak?
8	MR. YOUNG: We do not.
9	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Ms. Hottel-Cox, you have
10	anything you would like to add at the end?
11	MS. HOTTEL-COX: No. Thank you.
12	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Dr. Teich, nice to see you
13	again.
14	DR. TEICH: Thank you so much.
15	CHAIRPERSON HILL: You know, see you at some point. I
16	did have a goatee the last time you saw me.
17	DR. TEICH: Yes.
18	CHAIRPERSON HILL: All right. Okay. All right. I'm
19	going to close the hearing, and the record, and excuse everyone
20	from the room. Okay. I'll let somebody else begin, if that's
21	okay. Commissioner May.
22	COMMISSIONER MAY: I mean, this is a very
23	straightforward case, and I don't see any issues to be concerned
24	or even worthy of discussion. I'm ready to move forward.
25	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Smith.

1	BOARD MEMBER SMITH: I agree with Mr. May. I think,
2	based on the OP staff report, the applicant successfully mitigated
3	any adverse impacts, and I will be in support.
4	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Ms. John.
5	VICE CHAIR JOHN: I support the application. It's
6	fairly straightforward, and I think the applicant did a good job
7	of explaining how the application meets the criteria.
8	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Thank you. I agree with my
9	colleagues in terms of how the applicant has met the criteria for
10	us to grant this relief requested. I also refer to the Office of
11	Planning's report and their analysis as well as the report from
12	ANC 6D as well as DDOT. I'm going to make a motion to approve
13	Application No. 20281 as captioned and read by the secretary and
14	ask for a second. Ms. John?
15	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Second.
16	CHAIRPERSON HILL: The motion been made and seconded.
17	Mr. Andrews, could you take a roll call vote, please.
18	MR. ANDREWS: Yes, Mr. Chair. When I call your name,
19	would you please respond with a yes, no, or abstain to the motion
20	made by Chairman Hill to approve the relief requested. The motion
21	was seconded by Vice Chair John to approve. Zoning Commissioner
22	May?
23	COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes, to approve.
24	MR. ANDREWS: Mr. Smith?
25	BOARD MEMBER SMITH: Yes, to approve.

1	MR. ANDREWS: Vice Chair John?
2	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Yes, to approve.
3	MR. ANDREWS: We have a Board seat Mr. Hill, Chairman
4	Hill?
5	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Sure. Yes, to approve.
6	MR. ANDREWS: We have a Board seat vacant. Staff will
7	record the vote as 4 to 0 to 1. And this is the motion made by
8	Chairman Hill to approve the relief requested. The motion was
9	seconded by Vice Chair John to approve. Also in support was
10	Zoning Commissioner May and Mr. Smith. The motion carries as 4 to
11	0 to 1 to approve.
12	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Great. Thank you. Mr.
13	Andrews, you can go ahead and call our next case when you get a
14	chance.
15	MR. ANDREWS: Yes, sir. The next case is Application
16	No. 20358 of Abraham Atansuyi as amend I'll spell the last
17	name, A-T-A-N-S-U-Y-I as amended, pursuant to 11 DCMR Subtitle
18	X, Chapter 9 for a special exception under the residential
19	conversion requirements of Subtitle U, 320.2, and pursuant to
20	Subtitle X, Chapter 10 for an area variance from the residential
21	conversion requirements of Subtitle U, 320.2(c), to convert an
22	existing flat into a three-unit apartment house in the RF-1 zone
23	at premises 71 New York Avenue, Northwest, Square 618, Lot 70.
24	There is a preliminary matter, Commissioner I'm sorry
25	Mr. Chair. The applicant failed to appear on February 24th

1	public hearing. The Board granted a postponement to allow the
2	applicant an additional opportunity to appear or to withdraw the
3	application. The Office of Zoning notified the applicant that
4	failure to appear at the 3/10 hearing today would result in the
5	dismissal of their case but has not yet received any response from
6	the applicant.
7	As such, if the applicant fails to withdraw the
8	application before this date and fails to appear, the Board may
9	dismiss the application.
10	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Mr. Rice, can you hear me?
11	MR. RICE: Yes, sir, I can.
12	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. So the applicant is not here?
13	There's nobody here, right, Mr. Young?
14	MR. YOUNG: There is not.
15	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. So I'm going to go ahead and
16	make a I don't know if I need to make a motion. Do I have to
17	make a motion, or I can just go ahead and dismiss it as per Y
18	600.4?
19	MR. RICE: Sir, just to be clear, this is case No.
20	20342, correct?
21	CHAIRPERSON HILL: No, 20358.
22	MR. RICE: Okay.
23	CHAIRPERSON HILL: No problem.
24	MR. RICE: And I believe that is Ms. Cain
25	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Oh, that's Ms. Cain. I'm sorry. Ms.

1	Cain, can you hear me? Can you hear me?
2	MS. CAIN: Uh-huh.
3	CHAIRPERSON HILL: I don't know if oh, now, I can
4	hear you. So do I need to make a motion, or I can just go ahead
5	and dismiss as per Y 600.4?
6	MS. CAIN: I think you can just immediately dismiss
7	this.
8	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. So if I don't know. Mr.
9	Moy, if you're listening or Mr. Andrews, like, if these people are
LO	ever before us again, I'd like to know, okay? So I'm going to go
L1	ahead and just go ahead and dismiss this as per Y 600.4. And I
L2	guess we're done. They didn't show up. They haven't shown up
L3	twice. Okay. All right. So that's dismissed. All right.
L4	The next one is well, I got 20403. Let's go ahead
L5	and do that one next, Mr. Andrews, if that's okay.
L6	MR. ANDREWS: Yes, Mr. Chair.
L7	CHAIRPERSON HILL: And you can read it (audio
L8	interference).
L9	MR. ANDREWS: Application of 20403 of Benton Wisehart
20	and Laura Hruby. And last name H-R-U-B-Y. Address 1381 Potomac
21	Avenue, Southeast. Project, to construct a new two-story rear
22	addition with cellar to an existing two-story attached principal
23	dwelling unit in the RF-1 zone. The relief for special exception
24	under the rear addition requirements of Subtitle E, Section 205.4.
25	The lot occupancy requirements of Subtitle E, Subsection 304.1

- pursuant to Subtitle E, 5201 and Subtitle X, 902.1. I'm sorry,

 2 901.2. Pardon me.

 CHAIRPERSON HILL: 902.1. Okay.
- 4 MR. ANDREWS: Is that what I said? Yes. Yes, sir.
- 5 CHAIRPERSON HILL: I'm just clarifying. Okay.
- Ms. Fowler, could you introduce yourself for the record, please?
- 8 MS. FOWLER: Hi. Good afternoon. This is Jennifer 9 Fowler. I'm the architect representing the homeowners.
- 10 CHAIRPERSON HILL: All right. Ms. Fowler, I don't have
 11 anything in particular to ask of you. I guess, if you wouldn't
 12 mind speaking a little bit to the general standards of X 901.2
 13 (sic) --
- MS. FOWLER: Okay.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 15 CHAIRPERSON HILL: -- after just kind of going through
 16 this. And you can begin whenever you like.
 - MS. FOWLER: Okay. Great. So this is a two-story rear addition that we're proposing that extends beyond the allowable lot occupancy requirements. We're going from 53 percent to 69.6 percent. We're also asking for relief for the 10-foot rear setback requirement. We are going 10 feet 8 inches on one side and 10 -- sorry -- 8 inches past that number on one side and 15 inches on the other side. So our addition ranges from -- because I guess the neighbors have different depths, we have 10 foot 8 on one side and 11 foot 3 on the other side.

So we're just slightly beyond the 10-foot setback requirement on those two -- over those two neighbors, but we are staying at the same height as the existing roof, so we're extending the existing roof height to the back, so hoping to minimize the impact on the neighbors because of that.

We did submit a sun study in the record, and that's Exhibit 31 which shows very minimal impact throughout the year because of the orientation of the house. It was also reviewed by the Office of Planning who noted that the sun study did show that there was not an undue impact, so that is on the record.

And we also have extensive neighborhood support. We have letters of support from both adjacent neighbors, and we also reached out to the neighbors behind that live on 14th Street, and they offered their support, and were comfortable with the proposal as well as ANC and the CHRS. So we kind of feel like it was well-vetted, and everybody was very comfortable with the small impacts that were presented. With that, I'll just leave it open to questions. I don't really have anything else to add.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Does the Board have any questions for the applicant?

Mr. Mordfin, could you introduce yourself for the record, please?

MR. MORDFIN: Good afternoon. I'm Stephen Mordfin with the Office of Planning, and the Office of Planning finds this application to be in conformance with provisions of the zoning

1	rights and therefore supports the application and is available for
2	questions. Thank you.
3	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Does the I'm sorry. Does the
4	Board have any questions for the Office of Planning? Does the
5	applicant have any questions for the Office of Planning?
6	MS. FOWLER: No, thank you.
7	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Is there anyone wishing to speak in
8	support? I'm sorry. Well, is there anyone here wishing to speak,
9	Mr. Young?
10	MR. YOUNG: We do not.
11	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Mr. May, can you hear me? Can
12	you open Exhibit 11? And everybody can do it if they want to.
13	And then go to C3. And Mr. May, tell me when you get there.
14	COMMISSIONER MAY: I'm sorry, Exhibit 11?
15	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Exhibit 11 which I think are the
16	architectural plan oh, no. I'm sorry. I'm sorry. Exhibit 6.
17	COMMISSIONER MAY: Exhibit 6.
18	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Exhibit 6.
19	COMMISSONER MAY: Yeah.
20	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Ms. Fowler, this is a side note.
21	This doesn't have to do with you.
22	MS. FOWLER: Okay.
23	CHAIRPERSON HILL: C3.
24	COMMISSIONER MAY: Yeah.
25	CHAIRPERSON HILL: That view to the east, you see that

	171
1	little thing sticking up? Is that a wall again that's kind of
2	like the previous case that kind of
3	COMMISSONER MAY: Yeah. It looks like there is an
4	extended parapet wall of the from the back side of that
5	property.
6	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Right. So I'm just it just
7	it's weird, I mean, those things happen like that.
8	COMMISSIONER MAY: Yeah.
9	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. I was just curious.
10	COMMISSIONER MAY: Yeah, they're maybe Ms. Fowler
11	could explain reasons why she thinks things like that happen. I
12	don't know. It doesn't seem necessary.
13	MS. FOWLER: Yeah. You know, there's a fire rating
14	requirement to have a parapet along a party wall that a lot of
15	times you see additions with parapet walls because of the fire
16	safety issue; however, there's ways around it. You can actually
17	fire rate the roof surface for the first four feet and then that
18	eliminates the need for a parapet wall. But you know, I do often
19	see additions throughout the neighborhood that have these parapet
20	walls. So it is a it's a building fire code concern.
21	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. I was just curious. Okay.
22	MS. FOWLER: Yeah.
23	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Thank you. Sorry for that

indulgence. All right.

MS. CAIN: Mr. Chair?

24

25

1	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Does anybody have anything else?
2	MS. CAIN: Mr. Chair?
3	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Sure.
4	MS. CAIN: One quick thing. We did get notified from
5	the Office of Zoning that the applicant did submit something after
6	the 24-hour deadline. I think that might have been an updated
7	survey. So I just wanted to see if the Board if the applicant
8	still wants to admit that to the record and go through that
9	process?
10	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Ms. Fowler, do you want that in the
11	record?
12	MS. FOWLER: Yeah. So the survey hasn't changed, but I
13	think there's a requirement that it be signed by the applicant.
14	So basically, the only difference is the new survey that was
15	submitted has it's certified by DCRA, and it also has my
16	signature and stamp.
17	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. That's fine.
18	MS. FOWLER: So it's just for yeah, crossing our
19	CHAIRPERSON HILL: I appreciate you working with the
20	Board for that need.
21	MS. FOWLER: Yeah.
22	CHAIRPERSON HILL: I'd like to go ahead and allow it
23	into the record unless anybody raises their hand. Okay. We'll
24	put that in the record.
25	MS. FOWLER: Thank you.

1	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Ms. Fowler, do you have anything
2	you'd like to add at the end?
3	MS. FOWLER: Oh, no. Thank you.
4	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right. I'm going to close
5	the record, and the hearing, and please excuse the applicant.
6	Okay. I didn't really have any issues with this
7	application. I thought it was pretty straightforward. I would
8	agree with the analysis that was provided by the Office of
9	Planning, as well as the ANC, as well as the Capitol Hill
10	Restoration Society, and DDOT, and vote to approve.
11	Mr. May, do you have anything to add?
12	COMMISSIONER MAY: No, that sums it up.
13	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Smith?
14	BOARD MEMBER SMITH: I don't have anything else to add.
15	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Vice Chair John.
16	VICE CHAIR JOHN: This has been very straightforward,
17	and I'm in support of the application based on the record.
18	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. I'm going to go ahead and make
19	a motion then to approve Application No. 20403 and ask for a
20	second. Ms. John?
21	VICE CHAIR JOHN: (No audible response.)
22	CHAIRPERSON HILL: No, I didn't hear anything either.
23	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Second.
24	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Great. Perfect. The motion
25	made and seconded.

1	Mr. Andrews, if you can please go ahead and take a roll
2	call vote.
3	MR. ANDREWS: Yes, sir, Mr. Chair. When I call your
4	name, would you please respond with a yes, no, or abstain to the
5	motion made by Chairman Hill to approve the relief requested. The
6	motion was seconded by Vice Chair John to approve. Zoning
7	Commissioner May?
8	COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes, to approve.
9	MR. ANDREWS: Mr. Smith?
10	BOARD MEMBER SMITH: Yes, to approve.
11	MR. ANDREWS: Chairman Hill?
12	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yes, to approve.
13	MR. ANDREWS: Vice Chair John?
14	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Yes.
15	MR. ANDREWS: We have one Board seat vacant. Staff will
16	record the vote as 4 to 0 to 1. And this is a motion made by
17	Chairman Hill to approve the relief requested. The motion was
18	seconded by Vice Chair John to approve. Also in support was
19	Zoning Commissioner May and Mr. Smith. The motion carries 4 to 0
20	to 1 to approve.
21	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right. Thank you, Mr.
22	Andrews.
23	MR. ANDREWS: Yes, sir.
24	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Andrews, when you get a chance,
25	you can call our last case.

1	MR. ANDREWS: My pleasure, sir. Application No. 20342
2	of Peggy C. Kennedy, pursuant to 11 DCMR Subtitle X, Chapter 9 for
3	special exception under the new residential development provisions
4	in Subtitle U, 421.1 to convert an existing detached principal
5	dwelling unit into a nine-unit apartment house in the RA-1 Zone at
6	premises 1212 Madison Street, Northwest, Square 2934, Lot 34.
7	And Mr. Chair, we have preliminary issues in the matter.
8	There was a request for advance opposition Party Status of L and G
9	Morris. And then there was also L&G Morris opposed motion to
10	waive filing deadline.
11	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. I'm sorry. The filing
12	deadline, Mr. Andrews, was from whom?
13	MR. ANDREWS: L and G Morris.
14	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay.
15	MR. ANDREWS: And then a final matter was applicant's
16	motion to waive 21-day deadline.
17	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Let me do this first. Mr.
18	Sullivan, could you introduce yourself for the record, please.
19	MR. SULLIVAN: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Chair, and members
20	of the Board. My name is Marty Sullivan. I'm with Sullivan &
21	Barros on behalf of the applicant.
22	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Sullivan, what's the waiver again
23	you needed?
24	MR. SULLIVAN: We filed well, I'm not sure oh, it
25	was one was just for the PowerPoint. The PowerPoint was five

1	minutes late. I don't know if that's
2	CHAIRPERSON HILL: No, I think it's this one day was
3	it the topo and stuff? No, revised plans, renderings, revised
4	plat, revised topo survey.
5	MR. SULLIVAN: Okay. Yeah. We updated the topo survey.
6	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. And you were only one day late
7	it looks like. So I don't have any issue with that unless the
8	Board does, and if so, you can raise your hand. No. Okay.
9	Then the next is the PowerPoint presentation. You said
LO	you were five minutes late. I want to see the PowerPoint
L1	presentation, and I think it is already in here. And so that
L2	unless the Board has any issue, I'm going to go ahead and allow
L3	into the record. Nobody is raising their hand. Nobody is raising
L4	their hand. All right.
L5	Then the next is who is here with I see Mr. Brown,
L6	who I know is not with you, Mr. Sullivan. Mr. Crain is your
L7	architect, I assume?
L8	MR. CRAIN: Yes. Adam Crain, project architect.
L9	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Great, Mr. Crain.
20	Mr. Brown, could you introduce yourself for the record?
21	MR. BROWN: Yes. I see you've unmuted me. It's David
22	Brown. I'm here on behalf of Laura and Gordon Morris, adjacent
23	property owners.
24	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Do you have anything, Mr.
25	Brown, that allows you to be here to represent the Morrises?

1	MR. BROWN: Yes, we filed a we have filed a party
2	status application which was going to be ruled upon at the outset
3	of the hearing today.
4	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Got it.
5	MR. BROWN: That has not been ruled upon, but we have
6	also filed yesterday basically a request to withdraw from the
7	proceeding because our differences with the applicant have been
8	resolved.
9	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Oh, okay.
10	MR. BROWN: So you have a request to call me in and a
11	request to go out all at the same time.
12	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. But where all I'm just
13	trying to find out is the I'm trying to see where I've seen
14	the party status request before, I'm just trying to see where your
15	name is.
16	MR. RICE: I believe the authorization, sir. This is
17	Jack Rice. The attachment to Exhibit 33, the authorization for
18	Mr. Brown.
19	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Great. Thank you, Mr. Rice.
20	Okay. Got it. All right. So Mr. Brown, your client was the
21	adjacent neighbor, correct?
22	MR. BROWN: Correct.
23	CHAIRPERSON HILL: And so your client had requested
24	party status. We were going to rule on that today. And now
25	 vou!re saving that your client is withdrawing their request for

party status; is that correct?

2.

MR. BROWN: I guess that's the proper way to phrase it, yes, because we are no longer opposed to the application.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. So Mr. Rice, do we need to do anything? They're withdrawing their party status.

MR. RICE: You can basically consider both matters moot, or you can just basically acknowledge that they have come in and withdrawn their request. But either way, the matter is moot.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. I think both matters are moot, so I don't think we have to do anything. So therefore, unless the Board has any issues, I'll go ahead and excuse Mr. Brown. Nobody is raising their hand. All right. Mr. Brown, well, thank you very much.

MR. BROWN: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: All right, Mr. Sullivan. Well, let's see. Hold on one second. We're going to excuse Mr. Brown. Well, Mr. Sullivan, congratulations. And then go ahead and if you want to walk us through your presentation and tell us how you believe you're meeting the criteria for us to grant the relief requested. And you can begin wherever you like.

MR. SULLIVAN: Thank you, Mr. Chair, and members of the Board. This is 1212 Madison Street, Northwest. The request is for special exception relief under U 421.1 for a new multifamily residential development in the RA-1 Zone. We're not asking for any relief in addition to that, so the structure itself is within

	199
1	the matter of right restrictions for massing.
2	It's to it's an 11-unit building which will include
3	an IZ unit.
4	Next slide, please.
5	And you'll see here this is you may remember 1214
6	Madison was before you for a similar request about two months
7	ago.
8	Next slide, please.
9	We have the support of the ANC, and the Office of
LO	Planning, and DDOT. And as you heard, we now do not have
L1	opposition from the immediate neighbor to the left.
L2	And I'll turn it over to Mr. Crain. Also, I'd like to
L3	note for Mr. Young, there's no member of the architect team James
L4	Foster who's an attendee. He's not going to present, but he may
L5	be able to answer some of the questions too if depending or
L6	what those questions are.
L7	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Sullivan, you're bringing up that
L8	previous case because is that the property that's adjacent to this
L9	property?
20	MR. SULLIVAN: Yes. If you go back one slide, please.
21	So yeah, it's to the right.
22	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Right.

but it's to the right or to the west. And that's the property

that was the subject of the appeal last summer.

MR. SULLIVAN: That's actually not what it looks like,

23

24

25

1	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Right.
2	MR. SULLIVAN: And it was approved for a special
3	exception about a month ago.
4	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. All right. Thank you for the
5	clarification.
6	MR. SULLIVAN: You're welcome. Adam, would you like to
7	present please?
8	MR. CRAIN: Sure. Could we pull up Exhibit 37-B? It
9	will be the architectural plans.
10	MR. SULLIVAN: Aren't you do you want to use the
11	PowerPoint presentation, Adam?
12	MR. CRAIN: Oh, you want to use that?
13	MR. SULLIVAN: Yeah.
14	MR. CRAIN: Sure. Sure. Okay. I guess we'll go to
15	slide Number 5. There we go. Okay.
16	For the record, my name is Adam Crain, project architect
17	with 2Plys.
18	We are proposing here an addition to an existing
19	building and a conversion to 11 units. It is a detached apartment
20	house in the RA-1 Zone. There is an existing (audio interference)
21	side yard of 3 feet on one side that will be retained with the
22	addition, and there's a side yard of 8 feet on the other side.
23	We're proposing a bay window at the front in public space. Three
24	parking spaces at the rear as you can see.
25	1

A little bit clearer of a site plan here. We show the adjacent 1214 Madison on the top of this screen for comparison and context, and the other adjacent house on the bottom. All the circles and the (audio interference) those are trees that are being protected during the construction. There were modifications to the parking and the building as a result of those tree protections.

Next slide.

This is the floor plan showing the 11 units. Three units at cellar, three at the first, three at the second, and then two units will occupy the third floor and the penthouse. Those are both bi-level units. They'll have walk-out curves at the rear, and the penthouse will be achieved by paying into the housing fund. There is an IZ unit being proposed.

Next slide.

These are elevations along the entry side. The front elevation on the right-hand side with a bay window that will be in public space. You can see the penthouses is atop.

Next slide.

This is the side elevation. I believe this will be the side that will be facing 1214 Madison, and the rear elevation on the right.

Next slide.

These are some updated renderings showing the front at the top, rear views at the bottom. Again, you can see 1214

Madison with its penthouse on the side for context. 1 2. Next slide, please. 3 I think, Marty, this goes back to yours. MR. SULLIVAN: Thank you, Adam. Regarding the project 4 5 meets the general special exception requirements. It is in 6 harmony with the general purpose and intent of the RA-1 zone and will not tend to affect adversely the use of neighboring property. 7 8 The RA-1 zone provides for areas predominantly developed with low 9 to moderate density development including multifamily residential 10 buildings. This building complies with development standards of the RA-1 zone and provides a little more parking than required. 11 The area is made up of a mix of larger residential 12 13 developments and single-family dwellings that including 1214 next 14 door. 15 Next slide, please. 16 The specific requirements of U 421.2, the BZA shall refer the application to the relevant District agencies for 17 18 comment and recommendation as to the adequacy of the following: 19 Existing and planned area schools to accommodate the numbers of 20 students that can be expected to reside on the project. And we've 21 listed the schools, and you'll find that in the Office of Planning report as well including capacity numbers for those schools 22 23 included in the Office of Planning's report. Next slide, please. 24 25 Public streets, recreation, and other services to

accommodate the residents that can be expected to reside on the project. To the extent this goes to DDOT, and DDOT has no objection. The property has access to multiple transportation, options. It's very close to Georgia Avenue, of course. Also near a bikeshare station on Georgia Avenue and, in addition, as noted in the Office of Planning report, it is close to the Emery Heights Community Center and within a half mile from Rock Creek Park and several other parks.

Next slide, please.

Regarding 421.3, the BZA shall refer to the Office of Planning for comment and recommendation on the site plan arrangement and building structures, and provisions of light, air, parking, recreation, landscaping, and grading. And we would, of course, refer you to the Office of Planning report for that. And as noted here, the project meets the development standards. We're maintaining the western side yard, so there's a significant side yard between this building and the building to the east, and it's a little closer to the larger apartment building to the west.

Next slide, please.

And we have submitted the required plans and elevations.

Next slide, please. And that's it for our presentation.

The Board has any questions?

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Sullivan, you might not know this. I just have a quick question for you and then I'll let my fellow Board members. The property that's to -- the one -- the

1	property that just signed off on your project, are those lots too
2	small to do what this is? You don't if (audio interference).
3	MR. SULLIVAN: There's actually no minimum lot area for
4	this activity. I mean, if you're asking me practically speaking,
5	it's 2,826 square feet is the lot area of that building so, in my
6	experience, it could certainly be three or four units, although
7	the FAR number is quite low.
8	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Okay. Thank you.
9	Commissioner May, you have any questions?
10	COMMISSIONER MAY: No.
11	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Smith, you have any questions?
12	BOARD MEMBER SMITH: No questions.
13	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Ms. John?
14	VICE CHAIR JOHN: One question. Is there a slide that
15	shows the adjacent property of the party that's withdrawing party
16	status request?
17	MR. SULLIVAN: I don't know if there's a slide, but I'm
18	going to I'll direct you to I would say Exhibit 5 is
19	probably.
20	MR. CRAIN: It's page 5 of the PowerPoint we showed if
21	you want to pull the site plan.
22	MR. SULLIVAN: Okay. So page 5 of the PowerPoint. And
23	then if you're looking at the photos on Exhibit 5, they started
24	with four, it shows that, although there is a tree there. No,
25	that just shows the line. For a photo, Ms. John, is Exhibit 5,

1	photo Number 4.
2	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Is that in the PowerPoint, or can
3	someone pull up the exhibit?
4	MR. CRAIN: The first page of the PowerPoint shows a
5	rendering, if that's what you're looking for. That shows context.
6	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Was it (audio interference)?
7	MR. SULLIVAN: There you go.
8	VICE CHAIR JOHN: I looked at that rendering, and it
9	didn't really help me much. I wasn't sure what I was looking at.
10	I yeah. So are those townhouses there to on the opposite
11	side away from the 1214 Madison?
12	MR. CRAIN: On the left side of those, they are attached
13	townhomes, yes.
14	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Yeah. Oh, okay. I wasn't sure if
15	that was a huge apartment building or townhouses. But that's the
16	scale, I think that's what you're trying to say.
17	MR. CRAIN: Yes, ma'am.
18	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Okay. All right. Thank you.
19	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. I see a Mr. Brewer here. Mr.
20	Brewer, could you introduce yourself?
21	MR. BREWER: Sean Brewer on a project at 1212 Madison.
22	MR. ANDREWS: Mr. Chair, the witness needs to be sworn
23	in.
24	CHAIRPERN HILL: Okay. Thanks. One second, Mr. Brewer.
25	Are you with Mr. Sullivan?

1	MR. BREWER: Yes.
2	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Mr. Sullivan, who is Mr.
3	Brewer?
4	MR. SULLIVAN: He's the contract purchaser.
5	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. And who is Mr. Foster?
6	MR. SULLIVAN: He's with the architect team.
7	CHAIRPEROSN HILL: Okay. If we need them, Mr. Andrews,
8	to answer any questions, I'll swear them in. We can swear them in
9	but, for now, I'm going to leave it alone. Ms. Myers, could you
10	introduce yourself please.
11	MS. MYERS: Hi. Crystal Myers with the Office of
12	Planning. Want me to say my piece or
13	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yes, please.
14	MS. MYERS: Okay. So the Office of Planning is
15	recommending approval of this case. And I guess we can stand on
16	the record of the staff report.
17	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Does anybody have any
18	questions for the Office of Planning; and if so, raise your hand.
19	Mr. Smith?
20	BOARD MEMBER SMITH: I just have one clarifying
21	question, and it probably leads meets into the previous case that
22	we are could you quickly explain how this project meets the
23	side yard requirements per Section 306 of Subtitle F?
24	MS. MYERS: Yes, certainly. So the existing side yard
25	on one side is on the east side well, on the west side it's 3

1	feet. And because that's the existing side yard, they're
2	maintaining that which is how they're complying with the
3	requirement. And on the other side, on the east side at 8 feet,
4	which is the minimum requirement, and they're actually increasing
5	the existing side yard. They're going from six-and-a-half feet to
6	8 feet which meets the standard.
7	BOARD MEMBER SMITH: Okay. So you're saying with any
8	addition in this particular zone, and they're required to have two
9	side yards because of the density of this building, and being that
LO	it's three units per floor, one of the side yard requirements car
L1	be met by maintaining the existing non-complying side yard and not
L2	meeting an 8-foot minimum?
L3	MS. MYERS: Yeah, because that's the existing one, yeah.
L4	BOARD MEMBER SMITH: Okay. Thank you.
L5	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Anyone else from the Board? Mr.
L6	Young, do you have anybody here wishing to speak?
L7	MR. YOUNG: We do not.
L8	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Mr. Sullivan, did you have any
L9	questions for the Office of Planning?
20	MR. SULLIVAN: No, I don't. Thank you.
21	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Do you have anything you'd like to
22	add in conclusion?
23	MR. SULLIVAN: No. Thank you.
24	CHAIRPERSON HILL: All right. Unless the Board has
25	anything and you want to raise your hand, I'm going to close the

1	record and the hearing. Oh, Mr. May. I can't hear you, Mr. May.
2	COMMISSIONER MAY: I'm sorry. Maybe I missed this, but
3	I didn't see an ANC report. Did we already talk about that
4	because I didn't see it in the records?
5	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Oh, I'm sorry. Thank you, Mr
6	Commissioner. Well, two things actually reminds me of something
7	else. DDOT wanted a relocation of the bike rack, Mr. Sullivan.
8	MR. SULLIVAN: Okay. I'm sorry. I wasn't I didn't
9	see that condition.
10	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay.
11	MR. SULLIVAN: But if it's not if we haven't done
12	that, I'm sure we can accommodate that.
13	CHAIRPERSON HILL: I'm going to ask Mr. Rice to clarify
14	what that is in one minute. And then can you address the ANC? I
15	thought you said that the ANC was in support?
16	MR. SULLIVAN: I believe it's Exhibit 38.
17	MR. MAY: Yeah, I saw a note to that effect, but I'm
18	for some reason, it wasn't in what I had. Okay. I got it now.
19	Thank you. Sorry about that.
20	CHAIRPERSON HILL: And Mr. Rice, are you aware of DDOT's
21	condition?
22	MR. SULLIVAN: Mr. Chair?
23	MR. RICE: Yes, sir. I'm trying to find it in the
24	report right now.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Is it a condition or a

	٠, ,		_
recommer	ndat	7. 1	on 3

- 2 MR. RICE: It was a request for information or 3 recommendation. It was not a condition.
- 4 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Got it. Mr. Sullivan. Yeah, Mr. 5 Sullivan.
- 6 MR. SULLIVAN: I'm told we have revised it according to
 7 that comment, that we did do that. So 37C should show the
 8 response to that.
- 9 MR. CRAIN: Is that the bike rack you're talking about, 10 Marty?
- 11 MR. SULLIVAN: That's the bike rack. Could you explain
 12 that (audio interference)?
 - MR. CRAIN: Yeah, I'm -- I have it on 37C, the site plan. It's kind of shown 15 feet in front of that tree in the rear yard at the bottom left of the building. We have a kind of a dashed box with the outdoor biking space. I'm not sure if DDOT was asking for that in the front, but the building is at the front with a bay window projecting. So I don't believe they allow it in public space, so we cannot put it in the front of the building without putting it in actual public space.
 - CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. I mean, I'm fine with it. DDOT didn't have it as a condition. It looks as though you're working with them. All right. DDOT. Anybody have any last questions or anything before I close the record? Okay. I'm closing the record and the hearing, and if you can excuse

everybody then.

I thought that the applicant had made their argument. I mean, the thing that I think is kind of interesting about this and, I guess, Mr. May, you guys are talking about this, is that again, this is a matter of right massing. What we can be talking about is the fact that it's nine units or the number of people in terms of the density. And so, you know, I will agree with what the applicant has put forward as to how they're meeting the criteria for us to grant the application.

I will also agree with the analysis that's provided by the Office of Planning as well as now that DDOT -- they're working with DDOT in terms of the bike rack being in the back of the home rather than in public space. And then the ANC also was in support, and this particular ANC is quite active, and I know that they look very closely at these particular issues. So I'm going to go ahead and agree with the applicant and vote in support of the application. Mr. -- Commissioner May.

COMMISSIONER MAY: Yeah. So I agree with you. I'm prepared to vote in favor of this. You know, this particular type of case where we're talking about an RA-1 zone, I mean, we just have this requirement that any time you do a multi-unit building in an RA-1 zone, it has to be approved by the BZA. And what we're going to look at is whether there need to be some more careful guidance on how the decision-making should happen because it's not really very specific. It's not -- you know, there's no minimum

square footage for the number of units like there is in an RF-1 zone for a conversion or anything like that, but we're going to look at the question of what's the -- you know, what sort of conditions can be put on it because very often you wind up with an RA-1 zone that has a lot of single-family homes and then all of a sudden in a small lot, like the one next door, you wind up with a much larger apartment building and that is -- it's not exactly working the way we would like, so that's what we'll look at.

In any case, this one, I think, you know, the building itself has been, I think sensitively designed in the context and the immediately abutting neighbor is now in support of the application, so I don't see any reason to be concerned about it, and I'm prepared to vote in favor.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Thank you. Mr. Smith.

BOARD MEMBER SMITH: I'll second Mr. Mays comments. It seems like the neighbor has had his or her issues addressed. This particular case, it seems to be meeting all of the requirements as required for the special exception in this zone, so I will be in support of this special exception, and I stand on the OP report in my support.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Vice Chair John.

VICE CHAIR JOHN: I agree with Commissioner May's observations, and I think this case is a little different in that the neighbor -- the adjacent neighbor has withdrawn their objections and, so I'm going to give great weight to the also

1	Planning's report, and I'm in support of the application.
2	CHAIRPERSON HILL: All right. So I'm going to go ahead
3	and make a motion to approve Application No. 20342 as captioned
4	and read by the secretary and ask for a second. Ms. John?
5	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Second.
6	CHAIRPERSON HILL: The motion has been made and
7	seconded. Mr. Andrews, if you could go ahead and take a roll call
8	vote.
9	MR. ANDREWS: Yes, Mr. Chair. When I call your name,
10	would you please respond with a yes, no, or abstain to the motion
11	made by Chairman Hill to approve the relief requested. The motion
12	was seconded by Vice Chair John to approve. Zoning Commissioner
13	May?
14	COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes, to approve.
15	MR. ANDREWS: Mr. Smith?
16	BOARD MEMBER SMITH: Yes, to approve.
17	MR. ANDREWS: Chairman Hill?
18	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Yes, to approve.
19	MR. ANDREWS: Vice Chair John?
20	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Yes, to approve.
21	MR. ANDREWS: Staff will we have a Board seat vacant.
22	Staff will record the vote as 4 to 0 to 1 in this motion made by
23	Chairman Hill to approve the relief requested. The motion was
24	seconded by Vice Chair John to approve. Also in support was
25	Zoning Commissioner May and Mr. Smith. The motion carries 4 to 0

1	to 1 to approve.
2	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Thanks Mr. Andrews. Mr.
3	Andrews, do we have anything else before the Board today?
4	MR. ANDREWS: You do not, sir.
5	CHAIRPERSON HILL: All right. Mr. Andrews, it was a
6	pleasure having you with us today. Thank you for your time.
7	MR. ANDREWS: (Audio interference). Yeah.
8	CHAIRPERSON HILL: And everyone, it was nice to see you
9	as well. And I hope you all have a nice day.
10	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
11	COMMISSIONER MAY: Thank you.
12	CHAIRPERSON HILL: We stand adjourned. Bye-bye.
13	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the record at
14	3:53 pm)
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Public Meeting

Before: DCBZA

Date: 03-10-21

Place: Teleconference

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Donna M. garlins