

GOVERNMENT
OF
THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

OFFICE OF ZONING

+ + + + +

PUBLIC HEARING

+ + + + +

-----:

IN THE MATTER OF: :

:

PROPOSED MAP AMENDMENT OF :

1707 7TH STREET, NW : Case No.

: 20-16

:

-----:

Monday,

October 19, 2020

Videoconference

The Public Hearing of Case No. 20-16 by the

District of Columbia Zoning Commission convened at 4:00

p.m. EDT, Anthony J. Hood, Chairman, presiding.

ZONING COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT:

- ANTHONY J. HOOD, Chairperson
- ROBERT MILLER, Vice Chairperson
- MICHAEL TURNBULL, Commissioner
- PETER G. MAY, Commissioner (NPS)
- PETER SHAPIRO, Commissioner

OFFICE OF ZONING STAFF PRESENT:

- SHARON S. SCHELLIN, Secretary
- PAUL YOUNG, Zoning Data Specialist

OFFICE OF PLANNING STAFF PRESENT:

MAXINE BROWN-ROBERTS

The transcript constitutes the minutes from the Public Hearing held on October 19, 2020.

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

4:00 p.m.

1
2
3 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Good afternoon, ladies and
4 gentlemen. We are convening and broadcasting the public
5 hearing by video conferencing. My name is Anthony Hood.
6 Joining me, Vice Chair Miller, Commissioner Shapiro,
7 Commissioner May, Commissioner Turnbull. Also, the Office
8 of Zoning staff, Ms. Sharon Schellin. Also Office of Zoning
9 staff who is handling our virtual operations, Mr. Paul Young.
10 Again, I'm joined by Commissioner Shapiro, Vice Chair Miller,
11 Commissioner May, and Commissioner Turnbull.

12 Copies of today's virtual public hearing notice
13 are available on the Office of Zoning's website. Please be
14 advised this proceeding is being recorded by a court reporter
15 and is also webcast live, Webex and YouTube Live. A video
16 will be available from the Office of Zoning's website after
17 the hearing.

18 Today's date is October 19th, 2020. And the case
19 tonight is Zoning Commission Case Number 20-16, and this is
20 a rulemaking.

21 Copies of today's virtual public hearing notice
22 are available on Office of Zoning's website. Please be
23 advised this proceeding is being recorded by a court reporter
24 and is also webcast live on Webex and YouTube Live. I've
25 said that.

1 The video will be available on the Office of
2 Zoning's website after the hearing. Accordingly, all those
3 listening on Webex or by phone will be muted during the
4 hearing, and those who have signed up to participate or
5 testify will be unmuted at the appropriate time.

6 Please state your name and home address before
7 providing oral testimony on your presentation. When you are
8 finished speaking, please mute your audio so that your
9 microphone is no longer picking up sound or background noise.
10 If you experience any difficulty assessing Webex or with your
11 telephone call-in, then please call our OZ hotline number at
12 202-727-5471. Again, that number is 202-727-5471 to sign up
13 or to receive Webex log-in or call-in instructions.

14 All persons planning to testify either in favor
15 or in opposition or undeclared, we encourage you to sign up
16 in advance and your name will be called at the appropriate
17 time. If you wish to file written testimony or additional
18 supporting documents during the hearing, then please be
19 prepared to describe and discuss at the time that you're
20 presenting because we have not had time to review.

21 This hearing will be conducted in accordance with
22 provisions of 11Z DCMR Chapter 5 as follows: preliminary
23 matters, presentation, in this case the Office of Planning,
24 which has up to 60 minutes -- I don't believe we need 60
25 minutes. Report of other government agencies, report of the

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 A&C, testimony of organizations and individuals.
2 Organizations will have five minutes, and individuals will
3 have three minutes respectively. And we'll hear in order of
4 those in support, opposition, or undeclared.

5 While the Commission reserves the right to change
6 the time limits for presentations if necessary, it intends
7 to adhere to the time limits as strictly as possible and
8 notes that no time shall be ceded. Again, if you have any
9 issues, please call 202-727-5471.

10 Tonight's hearing is, I called the case number,
11 but tonight's hearing is -- Ms. Schellin, what is tonight's
12 hearing? I've been trying --

13 MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, as you said, it's Case Number
14 20-16, a map amendment from the ARTS-2 to ARTS-4. It's
15 square 442. And I only have one preliminary matter.

16 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes, let's do preliminary matters.
17 Thank you.

18 MS. SCHELLIN: Okay. And that is to say that
19 public hearing notice had the wrong day of the week. It had
20 Thursday instead of Monday. However, the date was correct,
21 was always correct. And, therefore, the posting signs that
22 the petitioner posted on the property incorrectly listed
23 Thursday instead of Monday, but, again, the date was correct,
24 and so the Commission has, pursuant to Subtitle Z, 502.3, to
25 decide or, rather, 502.10, can weigh whether proper notice

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 was given or not. If you feel that proper notice was given
2 just because the wrong day of the week was put in there,
3 which was truly a typographical error because our hearings
4 are Mondays and Thursdays and just the wrong day of the week
5 was put in, but the date was correct. So just ask the
6 Commission to consider waiving that error and find that
7 proper notice was given for this hearing.

8 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Now, Commissioners, due to
9 the fact that I think that we have other ways of noticing,
10 I did see the exhibit where we had the date different from
11 the day of the week, but I don't think that's -- for me, I
12 think proper notice was given because of the other avenues,
13 as well. And I'm sure anyone who saw that notice would have
14 checked to see whether it was going to be Monday or Thursday.
15 Either way, you know, so you know how we do when we do do
16 that. That's a normal error I've seen in the past, and I
17 think the proper notice has been given.

18 Any objections to that? Okay. I'm not saying --

19 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Mr. Chair, I agree we can
20 waive the deficiency.

21 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. I think we do that by
22 general consensus.

23 MS. SCHELLIN: And I believe Maxine Brown-Roberts
24 is the OP planner for this case, I believe.

25 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Let's bring Ms. Brown-

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Roberts up, and I'm going to go on mute. Ms. Roberts, when
2 you get up, you may begin.

3 MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Yes. Good afternoon, Mr.
4 Chairman and members of the Zoning Commission. Maxine Brown-
5 Roberts from the Office of Planning in Zoning Commission Case
6 20-16, which is a proposed map amendment for 1707 7th Street,
7 Northwest, from the ARTS-2 to the ARTS-4 zone. The property
8 is owned by the District of Columbia.

9 Next. As can be seen on the map, the property is
10 located at the intersection of 7th Street, R Street, and
11 Rhode Island Avenue and across from the Shaw Metro Howard
12 University Metro Station, and it's shown on the map as being
13 within the ARTS-2 zone with the RF-1 zone located directly
14 to the east.

15 Next. Generally, the Arts District encourages a
16 mix of uses, pedestrian or development, and provides bonus
17 density incentive for preferred growth or uses with setback
18 requirements for some areas adjacent to certain moderate-
19 density residential zones.

20 The ARTS-2 zone is intended to permit medium-
21 density compact mixed-use development with an emphasis on
22 residential use. The ARTS-4 zone is intended to permit
23 medium-density to high, medium to high-density mixed use
24 development with a balance of uses conducive to a higher
25 quality of life and environment for residents, businesses,

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 employees, and institutions.

2 Buildings in the ARTS-4 zone that abut a
3 residential zone or an alley are required to have a 45-degree
4 setback starting at 65 feet above the property line that
5 abuts the residential zone or alley. In this case, that
6 requirement applies to this property which abuts an alley and
7 properties in the RF-1 zone.

8 Next. The table on the screen shows the ARTS-2
9 zone versus the ARTS-4 development centers. As you can see,
10 the ARTS-4 has a higher FAR. They may do up to 7.2 FAR with
11 IC, a building height of up to 100 feet, and then for the
12 penthouse height of one story and 12 feet and 18.5 for
13 mechanical equipment. The lot occupancy is also at 80
14 percent.

15 A difference from the ARTS-2 zone is that it has
16 upper floor setbacks from the R, RF, and RA zones or alley.
17 And the additional density or height within the ARTS-4 zone
18 against, we think could be mitigated against the residential
19 zone to the east because of the provision of the rear yard
20 setback, an 80-percent non-occupancy, and the requirement for
21 the setback of over 65 feet. These additional requirements
22 will help to accommodate more affordable housing on this
23 property.

24 Next. In this zone change proposal, the standard
25 for review is that the zoning should not be inconsistent with

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 the Comprehensive Plan. The generalized future land use map
2 and the generalized policy map are interpreted in conjunction
3 with the written goals, policies, and action items in the
4 Comprehensive Plan text, as well as the policies or
5 objectives contained in the relevant small area plan and
6 other city-wide plans.

7 Next. Regarding the future land use map, it shows
8 a mix of uses for medium-density residential and medium-
9 density commercial. The proposed ARTS-4 zone is not
10 inconsistent with this recommendation, as it provides for
11 medium-density residents.

12 Next. The generalized policy map shows the area
13 as being along a main street mixed-use corridor. In this
14 case, the property is along the 7th Street corridor, which
15 is recommended for ground floor retail and upper floor
16 residential use. The ARTS zone, again, would not be
17 inconsistent with this recommendation.

18 Next. As outlined in our report, the proposed
19 ARTS-4 zone would meet many of the policies outlined in the
20 various elements of the Comprehensive Plan. I've highlighted
21 those within the, a few within the land use element and also
22 within the housing element. So the proposed ARTS-4 district
23 would allow development, which, again, is close to the metro
24 station, would allow housing around the metro station.
25 Again, it would allow for the revitalization of neighborhood,

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 along with would facilitate the continued revitalization
2 along 7th Street and also the proposal of commercial center,
3 which along 7th Street are retail and other commercial uses.
4 The housing element in this proposal would help to expand the
5 housing supply and would provide a mix of uses, including
6 mixed-income housing and workforce housing.

7 Next. Further, the property is within the near
8 northwest area element, which encourages growth in this area,
9 neighborhood commercial revitalization, and the provision of
10 affordable housing. Specifically, the property is within the
11 focus area, the Shaw Convention Center area, which recommends
12 affordable housing and development around the Shaw Howard
13 University Metro Station and, again, specifically, along 7th
14 Street.

15 Next. The property is within two small area plan
16 study areas and is specifically highlighted in both. Both
17 these small area plans have been incorporated into the
18 Comprehensive Plan. The Duke area plan was approved by the
19 Council in 2005, and the site is identified as being within
20 the Rhode Island Avenue place subdistrict, and it's
21 identified as an area to be an evaluated for new development.
22 It recommends a development program of first floor retail,
23 housing and affordable units, and below-grade parking and
24 buildings with height of 65 feet all the way to 90 feet with
25 a one-to-one setback from the cornice, as is recommended

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 within the ARTS-4 zone. Therefore, the recommended ARTS-4
2 zone is not inconsistent with the recommendation of the Duke
3 plan.

4 Next. The property is also covered by the
5 Convention Center's strategic development plan, which was
6 approved by said council in 2006. The site is within subarea
7 4, which is the Uptown Destination District, and identify the
8 site as being within a prior to development area and also
9 having ground floor retail uses.

10 Next. The Convention Center area plan further
11 recommends identify the site as being a preferred location
12 for retail, high to medium-density residential use, with a
13 mixed development having 20 to 30 percent of affordable
14 housing. Again, this is not inconsistent with the provisions
15 that are outlined in the ARTS-4 zone.

16 Next. In summary, the proposal is not
17 inconsistent with the medium to high-density mixed use
18 development anticipated by the FLUM and the generalized
19 policy map. It is at a targeted location in both the DUKE
20 and the Convention Center area plan for redevelopment with
21 a mix of medium to high-density residential and retail uses.
22 It is located in a transit-rich mixed use corridor directly
23 across from the metro station. We'll have setbacks to
24 provide protection from the adjacent ARTS zone and will
25 provide a high number of affordable units and more affordable

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 than IZ.

2 Being a District property, the development would
3 be covered by an LDA, which has to be approved by the City
4 Council and will have a higher number of affordable units,
5 some at lower levels of affordability. This prominent corner
6 located across from the metro station is also appropriate for
7 the additional density granted over the ARTS-2 zone.

8 Therefore, the Office of Planning recommends
9 approval for the proposed map amendment from the ARTS-2 to
10 the ARTS-4 zone. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and I'm available
11 for questions.

12 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Brown-
13 Roberts. As we come back, let me see if we have any
14 questions or comments for Commissioner May. Commissioner
15 Turnbull, you can go. Commissioner Turnbull.

16 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Ms. Brown-Roberts, thank
17 you for your report. I just have one question. On the site
18 map, the zoning map on page two of the report, it shows the
19 site and then there is the RA-2 zone, which would be adjacent
20 to it. And then there's the alley, and then there's a red
21 crosshatch. Is that RF zone there? Is that the RF zone,
22 too, or is that RA?

23 MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: I think it's RA. It went
24 through, that property went through, I think it was a PUD.

25 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay. So there really is

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 no property that would have to worry about setbacks for RF
2 zones then? Am I reading the map right?

3 MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Right. The triangular portion
4 of the property is also owned by the District and would be
5 reserved for open space in any development of the site. And
6 then there's the apartment buildings, the low-density
7 apartment buildings, and then more top houses.

8 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: And there's no setback
9 requirement for an adjacent RA-2 zone, from what I was
10 reading in the regs.

11 MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: No, no.

12 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay, all right. Thank
13 you very much.

14 MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Okay.

15 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. I may have to log off and
16 come back on because I can't seem to -- okay. Mr. May, do
17 you have any questions or comments? I'm sorry, I wasn't
18 looking. Do you have any questions or comments?

19 COMMISSIONER MAY: No, I do not have any
20 questions.

21 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, all right. Commissioner
22 Shapiro?

23 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: I'd just share with
24 Commissioner Turnbull. I thank you, Ms. Brown-Roberts, for
25 your quite thorough report, and I have no questions.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Vice --

2 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I
3 was having some problem hearing. I'm not sure what that
4 technical issue is. But thank you, Ms. Brown-Roberts for
5 your thorough report, and I am supportive of this map
6 amendment due to all of the policies that you cited,
7 Comprehensive Plan policies that this map amendment will
8 support in terms of density at this particular location. And
9 I think this zoning district will double the housing
10 capacity, the DDOT report, from 58 units to an additional 61
11 on top of that. So I think that's all great, and now, of
12 course, there will be a proportionate increase in the
13 affordable housing. I think you mentioned that one of the
14 plans, I guess one of the small area plans, talked about a
15 30 percent set aside, and I guess that's part of the law that
16 governs disposition of District-owned properties.

17 I know that this map amendment we consider just
18 in terms of Comp Plan consistency and not outside of any
19 specific proposal. I know just from my own knowledge base
20 that Parcel 42 has been out for RFP previously by DMPED.
21 Even though it doesn't directly relate to what we're doing
22 here because I think the map amendment is consistent with the
23 Comp Plan, can you just briefly tell me is there, what the
24 status is? Is there a pending RFP, or is there a disposition
25 that's already been rewarded or awarded, or is DMPED waiting

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 for the Zoning Commission to take this zoning action before
2 putting out a new RFP for this, do you know?

3 MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Yes. To my knowledge, there
4 has not been one issued yet. There was one that was issued
5 some time ago, and something happened with that deal, so a
6 new one will be released.

7 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Okay. The only thing I would
8 just point out, Ms. Roberts, I think there's a typographical
9 error in the OP report on page four when it references in the
10 fourth paragraph the framework element of a Comprehensive
11 Plan, which the Mayor and Council have enacted that says that
12 it is not yet enacted by the Congress. I believe, if I'm not
13 mistaken, that the congressional review period for that
14 framework plan has expired and that that is law, and I assume
15 that that phrase, not yet enacted by the Congress, was
16 carried over from the previous.

17 MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Yes, yes. The approval has
18 been done, so that's something that should have been changed.

19 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yes. Thank you very much,
20 thanks everybody for your work on this. Thank you.

21 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. For some reason, I'm having
22 some technical difficulties. Paul, can you hear me now?
23 Everybody hear --

24 MR. YOUNG: Yes.

25 CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right. Okay. Let me try

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 this. Okay. Has everybody asked questions, except for me?
2 I don't necessarily have any questions. All right. Let's
3 move on.

4 Let's go to Ms. Schellin. Do we have any other
5 government reports? We know we have DDOT has responded. Let
6 me pull that up. Okay. Other reports? I know we've had
7 DDOT. I think that was it.

8 MS. SCHELLIN: That was at Exhibit 7, yes.

9 CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right. We do have that ANC
10 report.

11 MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, yes, that came in late, yes.
12 Not late. It came in yesterday afternoon, I think it was.

13 CHAIRMAN HOOD: And as already noted, there are
14 some things within the report that is not within our
15 jurisdiction. We don't tie conditions pretty much to a map
16 limit in this type of case. I'm sure they will continue to
17 work with, work with the applicant and work out some of those
18 issues.

19 Does anybody have any other comments or questions
20 on any submissions that we have? Okay. Do we have anybody
21 here to testify?

22 MS. SCHELLIN: We only had one person registered,
23 Mr. Dettman.

24 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. If he's going to testify,
25 we can bring him up.

1 MS. SCHELLIN: Yes.

2 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Mr. Dettman, when you're ready,
3 you can begin.

4 MS. SCHELLIN: And he gets five minutes.

5 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: I believe Mr. Dettman is
6 on mute if he's speaking.

7 MR. DETTMAN: Thank you, Mr. Shapiro. Appreciate
8 that. Good evening, Commissioners. And, again, for the
9 second time, although you didn't hear the first time, thanks
10 for the opportunity to provide a few brief comments in
11 support of the proposed map amendment.

12 To the standard of review for the map amendment,
13 as you know is not inconsistent with the Comp Plan and other
14 adopted policies and programs. And in this case, as the
15 Office of Planning has already taken you through in detail,
16 so I won't go into any more detail, but in this case those
17 other adopted policies and programs would be the Convention
18 Center Small Area Plan, as well as the DUKE Small Area Plan,
19 which were adopted in 2005 and 2004 respectively.

20 As was alluded to already, the District began the
21 process of disposing the subject property back in September
22 of 2015 when it issued, it did issue an RFP for the site.
23 And that RFP called for the redevelopment of the site for the
24 mixed use development containing a range of uses, including
25 a vibrant retail mix, affordable housing that exceeds IZ

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 requirements with a mix of unit types in multiple MFI levels.
2 The RFP also specifically supported maximizing development
3 on this very prominent and important site.

4 The proposed map amendment will do just that in
5 a manner that will accomplish the requirements of the RFP,
6 implement the recommendations of the small area plans, which,
7 again, were adopted 15 years ago, and not be inconsistent
8 with the Comprehensive Plan when it's read as a whole. The
9 FLUM designates the subject property for mixed use, medium-
10 density commercial and residential uses.

11 As described in the framework element, which just
12 to respond to Commissioner Miller's inquiry, the framework
13 element that is now in effect took effect on August 27th of
14 this year. But the framework element does describe mixed use
15 designations as being assigned to areas where the mixing of
16 two or more land uses is encouraged, particularly in
17 pedestrian-oriented commercial corridors which may not
18 currently contain substantial amounts of housing but where
19 more is desired. This site is consistent with these
20 locational characteristics, and it's ideally located for new
21 mixed use development given its location at 7th and Rhode
22 Island Avenue directly across the street from the metro
23 station.

24 The framework element also states that the general
25 intensity and density of mixed use areas is determined by the

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 designation of the future land use map with guidance provided
2 by the area elements, as well as small area plans. And,
3 again, in this case, that guidance comes from the near
4 northwest area element and the two adopted small area plans,
5 which clearly reflect a preference for increased residential
6 use on this site.

7 The policy map designates this area, this site as
8 a main street mixed use corridor, and the framework element
9 describes those types of areas as traditional commercial
10 business corridors with service areas that range from one
11 neighborhood to multiple neighborhoods. And so the proposed
12 ARTS-4 zone is clearly in line with what is thought to be
13 achieved in main street mixed use corridors.

14 With respect to the FLUM and the ARTS-4 zone
15 favors residential use over commercial use by allowing 7.2
16 FAR overall but limiting nonresidential uses to 3. Further,
17 the overall committed density of the ARTS-4 could be
18 increased through bonus provisions that allow for additional
19 density if a development was to include a specified amount
20 of residential use. These densities are consistent with the
21 framework element's description of medium-density commercial.

22 With respect to, overall, the policies of the
23 city-wide and the near northwest area elements, I believe
24 that the proposed map amendment is consistent with those
25 policies and particularly those policies contained within the

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 housing building design and the near northwest Shaw
2 Convention Center area policy focus area off the near
3 northwest elements. Those policies draw directly from the
4 recommendations of the DUKE and the Convention Center's Small
5 Area Plan.

6 Finally, I did notice, and I think it was
7 mentioned already, that the ANC did submit a resolution in
8 support with a recommendation that that map amendment be
9 conditioned. I would only respectfully recommend that
10 against kind approval of the map amendment, if the Commission
11 is so inclined to a condition, I believe the Commission has
12 been consistent in the past with respect to condition and map
13 amendments and, most recently, in Case Number 19-28 for a
14 site that's just to the northwest of the subject property
15 where this was also discussed. It appears this is a pretty
16 clear-cut case that fully satisfies the standard of review
17 applicable to map amendments and the zoning regulations, and
18 I believe that the Commission could easily move forward with
19 proposed action this evening.

20 Thanks again for the opportunity to provide these
21 comments, and that concludes my testimony.

22 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you, Mr. Dettman.
23 Commissioners, any questions or comments? Commissioner May?
24 Okay. Not seeing nodding heads. Mr. Turner? Okay, all
25 right.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Let me go back to the ANC letter. I just want to
2 read this into the record. As you know, and I think Mr.
3 Dettman mentioned and Ms. Brown-Roberts mentioned, as you
4 know, we're charged to review the petition for potential
5 inconsistency with the Comp Plan, and, as stated we don't
6 usually tie conditions to our map amendments. So they can
7 continue to work, as I said previously, with that.

8 Also, there was Exhibit 10, I think is Mr. David
9 Knight, who did have an issue with us proceeding because of
10 the area that was posted on the posting outside of the
11 property and around the property because he said he wanted
12 to watch either Monday night or tonight. And I think, Ms.
13 Schellin, we do have two votes on this case? Okay. So I
14 want Mr. Knight, who is going to be watching this to
15 understand that we have two votes on this case. If he has
16 any issues, he can send it to us, depending upon what actions
17 we take tonight, in between the 30 day or whatever, 40-day
18 comment period. But I want Mr. Knight to know that we do
19 have other ways to advertise, and it was not done
20 intentionally, I'm sure. And that kind of error happens all
21 the time, so I think that's why we proceeded. That's
22 actually a typical error, but I do want Mr. Knight, David
23 Knight to know that he can watch this 24/7, I believe, after
24 tomorrow.

25 All right. So anything else? Any other comments

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 or questions? I think this is pretty straightforward. Any
2 other comments or questions, Commissioners? One moment,
3 please.

4 All right. So I think, in that case, we have no
5 one ready to testify, other than applicant. I think this
6 record is pretty straightforward. I think Ms. Brown-Roberts'
7 report reflects approval of us moving forward, so let me open
8 it up. Any questions, any discussion? Okay. So since I'm
9 already unmuted, I will move -- yes.

10 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I
11 move that the Commission take proposed action on Case 20-16,
12 a map amendment from the ARTS-2 zone to the ARTS-4 zone,
13 Square 442. That's 1707 7th Street, Northwest; Parcel 42.
14 Proposed action, motion, asking for a second.

15 COMMISSIONER MAY: Second.

16 CHAIRMAN HOOD: It's been moved and seconded. I
17 think I heard Commissioner May second it. Any further
18 discussion, comments? Ms. Schellin, could you do a roll call
19 vote, please?

20 MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Miller?

21 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yes.

22 MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner May?

23 COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes.

24 MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Hood?

25 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Turnbull?

2 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Yes.

3 MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Shapiro?

4 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Yes.

5 MS. SCHELLIN: Vote is 5-0-0 to approve proposed
6 action in Zoning Commissioner Case Number 20-16.

7 CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right. Do we have anything
8 else before us tonight, Ms. Schellin?

9 MS. SCHELLIN: Just to state that the record is
10 closed until the proposed rulemaking is published in the D.C.
11 Register with time in the record for a 30-day comment period.

12 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, thank you. Yes, the record
13 is closed and exactly echoing what Ms. Schellin has already
14 said. Anything else, Commissioners and colleagues? All
15 right.

16 So this coming Thursday the Zoning Commission will
17 hear Zoning Commission Case Number 20-13. It's the Forest
18 City Southeast Federal Center, LLC, text amendment to
19 Subtitle K, Section 238.3. 4 p.m. in the afternoon this
20 coming Thursday we'll be back here on these links.

21 All right. So with that, I appreciate everyone's
22 participation in this hearing tonight, and this hearing is
23 adjourned. Thank you.

24 (Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the
25 record at 4:35 p.m.)

C E R T I F I C A T E

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Public Hearing

Before: DCZC

Date: 10-19-20

Place: teleconference

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.



Court Reporter

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701