GOVERNMENT
OF
THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

ZONING COMMISSION

+ + + + +

REGULAR MEETING

+ + + + +

MONDAY NOVEMBER 18, 2019

+ + + + +

The Regular Meeting of the District of Columbia Zoning Commission convened in the Jerrily R. Kress Memorial Hearing Room, Room 220 South, 441 $4^{\rm th}$ Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., 20001, pursuant to notice at 7:00 p.m., Anthony J. Hood, Chairman, presiding.

ZONING COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT:

ANTHONY J. HOOD, Chairperson ROBERT MILLER, Vice Chairperson MICHAEL G. TURNBULL, FAIA, Commissioner (AOC) PETER G. MAY, Commissioner (NPS) PETER SHAPIRO, Commissioner

OFFICE OF ZONING STAFF PRESENT:

SHARON S. SCHELLIN, Secretary

OFFICE OF PLANNING STAFF PRESENT:

HARRIET TREGONING, Director
JENNIFER STEINGASSER, Deputy Director,
Development Review & Historic Preservation
JOEL LAWSON
KAREN THOMAS
ELISA VITALE
JONATHAN KIRSCHENBAUM

D.C. OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL PRESENT:

MAXIMILIAN TONDRO, ESQ. ALEXANDRA CAIN, ESQ. PAUL GOLDSTEIN, ESQ.

The transcript constitutes the minutes from the regular meeting held on November 18, 2019.

CONTENTS

| Introductions By Chairman Hood |
|---|
| Final Action on Zoning Commission Case Number 19-10, Valor Development, LLC, Consolidated PUD @ Square 1499 5 |
| Final Action on Zoning Case Number 19-22, MR 622 Eye Street Land, LLC three-year extension of combined lot development covenant per subtitle I 805.14 at Square 453 11 |
| 15-13C, 1309 E Street, LLC PUD modification of consequence at Square 1043 |
| Final Action Zoning Commission Case Number 11-15J, Howard University modification of consequence to Zoning Commission Order Number 11-15F, extraction of properties from the campus plan at Square 2877 |
| Zoning Commission Case Number 15-27D, Market Terminal, LLC PUD modification of consequence to Square 3587 21 |
| Zoning Commission Case Number 16-06C, Jemal/PTM Lazriv Water II design and review modification of consequence to Square 666 |
| Zoning Commission Case Number $10-03D$, H Street Residential, LLC PUD modification of significance at Square 912 29 |
| Zoning Commission Case Number 19-24, Children's National at Walter Reed, LLC text and map amendments to Subtitle K, Chapter 9, to create the WR-9 and WR-10 zones and Map Square 2950 |
| Zoning Commission Case Number 19-26, Office of Planning text amendment to Subtitles C, G, K, X, and Z 42 |
| Zoning Commission Case Number 19-27, Office of Planning text amendment to Subtitles D, E, and F, zoning reorganization |

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

| 1 | P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S |
|----|--|
| 2 | (7:00 p.m.) |
| 3 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, good evening, ladies and |
| 4 | gentlemen. This is a public meeting for the Zoning |
| 5 | Commission for the District of Columbia. |
| 6 | My name is Anthony Hood. Joining me, |
| 7 | Vice Chair Miller, Commissioner Shapiro, Commissioner May, |
| 8 | and Commissioner Turnbull. We're also joined by the Office |
| 9 | of Zoning staff, Ms. Sharon Schellin; Office of Attorney |
| 10 | General, Mr. Tondro, Ms. Cain and Mr. Goldstein; Office of |
| 11 | Planning staff, Ms. Steingasser, Mr. Lawson, Ms. Vitale, Mr. |
| 12 | Kirschenbaum and Ms. Thomas. |
| 13 | Copies of today's meeting agenda are available in |
| 14 | the bin near the door. We're not going to take any public |
| 15 | testimony at the meetings unless the Commission requests |
| 16 | someone to come forward. |
| 17 | The proceeding is being recorded by a court |
| 18 | reporter and is also webcast live. We ask you not to |
| 19 | refrain from any disruptive noises or actions in the hearing |
| 20 | room including the display of signs or objects. |
| 21 | At this time hold on a second. At this time, |
| 22 | please turn off your electronic devices. And does the staff |
| 23 | have any preliminary matters? |
| 24 | MS. SCHELLIN: Just to announce for anyone else |
| 25 | who doesn't know, that case numbers 12-14b and 12-14c were |

1 removed from the agenda. They will be taken up at 2 December 9th meeting. I'm going to ask, did I introduce 3 CHAIRMAN HOOD: the Commission? I did? Okay. I see it here. So I'm trying 5 this new way of doing things and I -- but anyway, let me introduce them again since my colleagues don't 6 7 remember that I did it. 8 I'm Anthony Hood, Chairman, joined by Vice Chair Miller, Commissioner Shapiro, Commissioner May and 10 Commissioner Turnbull. Everybody else has been officially 11 introduced. 12 And when I watch the tape, I'm going to call the two people who told me that I didn't do it, that I did do it. 13 I said I didn't remember. 14 VICE CHAIR MILLER: 15 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Didn't remember that? Okay. All right, so what I would like to do, and I just 16 thought about this as I came out, I would like to move one 17 case that we have down for final action because of the 18 19 sequence of events. Zoning -- hold on a second. 2.0 21 (Pause.) Okay, what I'd like to do is move 22 CHAIRMAN HOOD: final action, because I notice we have a lot of people here 23 for this case, Zoning Commission Case Number 19-10, Valor 24 25 Development, LLC, Consolidated PUD @ Square 1499.

1 Let me just move that first, and then we will go 2 with the regular order, unless there is any objection to And not seeing any, Ms. Schellin, would you please 3 call the case? Yes, sir. So for Valor, there is 5 MS. SCHELLIN: a -- the new exhibits that came in, let me just give those. 6 7 It's Exhibits 230 through 248, 253 and 254. Those were posthearing submissions that came in. 9 However, there's a preliminary matter in this At Exhibit 249, the Applicant filed a Motion to Strike 10 11 CRD's shadow study. And at Exhibits 250, you have CRD's opposition thereto. And 251 and 252, you have Spring Valley 12 Neighborhood Association and Ward 3 Vision's support of the 13 application's Motion to Strike. 15 So if the Commission would please take up the preliminary matter, the motion from the applicant and the 16 17 opposition and support thereto and proceed however you'd like after that, I'd appreciate it. 18 Okay, commissioners, as stated, 19 CHAIRMAN HOOD: we do have some preliminary matters in this final action, 2.0 21 Motion to Strike. We have the motion properly before us. That's Exhibit 7 -- I'm sorry, 249, the Motion to Strike 22 CRD's Shadow Studies. 2.3 So let's talk about the submission and the Motion 24

to Strike.

COMMISSIONER MAY: Mr. Chairman, this case has been going on for a long time and this is its second incarnation. And I would just have to say, you know, as much as I would like to move this along quickly through decision making, I think that there is something that we need to resolve here with regard to this new Shadow Study that had been submitted.

And I'm not quite ready with -- to simply strike that submission from the record. But I would be inclined to allow the applicant time to submit information or a response to that particular submission, just out of an abundance of caution.

I would whole-heartedly agree. I think we would let the applicant respond to those, the information that came in. And then, I think all parties will be able to respond. And I think that's where we need to cut it off.

Typically, our procedures, I think, allow for the applicant to get the final word. This is very unique and different, and I'm sure counsel will correct me, if I'm incorrect.

But I would say that -- let the applicant respond to the Shadow Studies. And I think all parties -- parties only -- then will respond in that fashion. And I think that will cut it off. Some point in time, we got to end. That's

2.0

| 1 | what we're going to do. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Okay, any other comments? All right, Commissioner |
| 3 | Shapiro. |
| 4 | COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: So then we would I would |
| 5 | move that we deny the Motion to Strike. Would that be our |
| 6 | first action? |
| 7 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, I'll second that. It's |
| 8 | moved and properly seconded. Any further discussion? |
| 9 | All in favor, aye? |
| 10 | (Chorus of ayes.) |
| 11 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any opposition? Not hearing any, |
| 12 | Ms. Schellin, would you please record the vote? |
| 13 | MS. SCHELLIN: Staff records the vote 5 to zero |
| 14 | to zero to deny the applicant's Motion to Strike. |
| 15 | Commissioner Shapiro moving, Commissioner Hood seconding; |
| 16 | Commissioners May, Miller and Turnbull in support of denial. |
| 17 | COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Mr. Chair, if I may, I |
| 18 | would move that we've heard the applicant's motion to reopen |
| 19 | the record, to allow the applicant to respond to the Shadow |
| 20 | Study submitted by the CRD, and that was a October 31, 2019 |
| 21 | filing. |
| 22 | If this if we take this action, then we can |
| 23 | just describe a bit what the process will be. But that would |
| 24 | be my motion. |
| 25 | CHAIRMAN MAY: Second. |

| 1 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, it's been moved and properly |
|----|---|
| 2 | seconded. Any further discussion? |
| 3 | All in favor, aye? |
| 4 | (Chorus of ayes.) |
| 5 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any opposition? Not hearing any, |
| 6 | Ms. Schellin, would you please record the vote? |
| 7 | MS. SCHELLIN: Staff records the vote 5 to zero |
| 8 | to zero to grant the applicant's motion to reopen the record |
| 9 | to respond to the CRD's Shadow Study only. And that was |
| 10 | Commissioner Shapiro moving, Commissioner May seconding. |
| 11 | Commissioners Hood, Miller and Turnbull in support. |
| 12 | And I believe I need to set a schedule for that? |
| 13 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: Schedule it again. Let me just |
| 14 | say, this process. They're going to be the applicant, |
| 15 | well, we did what we did, but the applicant then will be able |
| 16 | to respond. |
| 17 | And after the applicant responds to the Shadow |
| 18 | Studies, the parties will have, what, seven days? |
| 19 | MS. CAIN: Yes, it will be seven days from the |
| 20 | applicant's submission to the record. |
| 21 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: Seven days from the applicant's |
| 22 | submission to the record, to respond. And that's it. Cut |
| 23 | it off. |
| 24 | COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Mr. Chair |
| 25 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes. |

| 1 | COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: further clarification |
|----|--|
| 2 | that the record is currently closed and is being reopened |
| 3 | only for this limited submission. So it's the applicant's |
| 4 | submission only responding to the specific issues raised by |
| 5 | the Shadow Study, including the data and methodology used to |
| 6 | generate the study. |
| 7 | And all other parties' submissions shall only |
| 8 | respond to the specifics of the applicant's filing. This is |
| 9 | a very, very limited scope. |
| 10 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: I would agree. And I'm going to |
| 11 | ask the secretary, if we get anything other than that, I'm |
| 12 | going to let you know now, you don't have to call me to ask |
| 13 | me to do with it. Send it back. |
| 14 | MS. SCHELLIN: Okay. |
| 15 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: I wanted to say that publicly |
| 16 | because at some point we got to be able to start |
| 17 | deliberating. |
| 18 | VICE CHAIR MILLER: Did you announce the date of |
| 19 | deliberations? |
| 20 | MS. SCHELLIN: I'm going to do that now. |
| 21 | VICE CHAIR MILLER: Okay, good. |
| 22 | MS. SCHELLIN: I was waiting for you guys to |
| 23 | finish your discussion. So the applicant has until Monday, |
| 24 | November 25th, to provide their response. And the parties |
| 25 | have until Monday, December 2nd, to provide their responses |

1 to the applicant's submission. And then we can put this on for the next meeting, 2 December 9th. And all submissions are due by 3 o'clock p.m. 3 4 CHAIRMAN HOOD: So also, I've been advised that we are going to memorialize our decision on this in the 5 record so it'd be public notice and everybody can see it. 6 7 Anything else on that? No, sir. 8 MS. SCHELLIN: 9 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Are we doing an early meeting that day? And we start at 6:30. 10 That's our regular, 11 we're going to do a regular meeting. 12 MS. SCHELLIN: Regular meeting. Yeah, okay. 13 CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right, okay, let's go back to the agenda-ized agenda in the order that is So consent calendar might -- amount of changes and 15 given. technical corrections Zoning Case Number 19-22, MR 622 Eye 16 Land, LLC three-year extension of combined 17 Street development covenant per subtitle I 805.14 at Square 453. 18 Ms. Schellin? 19 2.0 Yes, in this case, the applicant MS. SCHELLIN: 21 is requesting a three-year extension of the combined lot development covenant to allow for the architect certification 22 to be due no later than February 19th, 2023. 23 24 At Exhibit 4, you have an OP report in support. 25 5, ANC 2C report in support. I would ask the Exhibit

| | Commission to consider taking linar action. |
|----|--|
| 2 | I'm sorry, I think I spoke before you asked if |
| 3 | anyone wanted to remove it from the consent calendar. |
| 4 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: Oh, I was getting ready to do that |
| 5 | next. Does everybody see that this needs to be moved off the |
| 6 | consent calendar item? All right. |
| 7 | MS. SCHELLIN: Okay, so if the Commission would |
| 8 | consider taking final action on this this evening. |
| 9 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. All right, commissioners, |
| 10 | as we've been noted already, the date would expire February |
| 11 | 19th, 2023, which is the correction. This is, I think, the |
| 12 | problem before us is the applicant is requesting a three-year |
| 13 | extension of the combined lot development covenant that |
| 14 | allows the architects certification to be due February 9th, |
| 15 | 2023. |
| 16 | Office of Planning's in support. The ANC, 2C, is |
| 17 | also in support. Let me open up for discussion and comments. |
| 18 | VICE CHAIR MILLER: Mr. Chairman, I just had to |
| 19 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes. |
| 20 | VICE CHAIR MILLER: have a quick question on, |
| 21 | not that it affects my support for this extension of the |
| 22 | escrow account. |
| 23 | Does the Office of Planning happen to know how |
| 24 | much, what the dollar amount is in the escrow account? I |
| 25 | think it's tied to the Affordable Housing formula and the |
| J | I and the second |

| 1 | zoning regulations or |
|----|--|
| 2 | MS. STEINGASSER: We don't have that number. |
| 3 | VICE CHAIR MILLER: Okay. |
| 4 | MS. STEINGASSER: I'm sorry. |
| 5 | VICE CHAIR MILLER: All right. |
| 6 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any other questions or comments |
| 7 | on this? Would somebody like to make a motion? |
| 8 | COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Mr. Chair, I would move |
| 9 | that we take a final action on Zoning Case Number 19-22, MR |
| 10 | 622 Eye Street Land, LLC, three-year extension of combined |
| 11 | lot development covenant per subtitle 1, subsection 805.14 |
| 12 | at Square 453 and look for a second. |
| 13 | VICE CHAIR MILLER: Second. |
| 14 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: It has been moved and properly |
| 15 | seconded. Any further discussion? All in favor, aye. Any |
| 16 | opposition? Not hearing any, Ms. Schellin, would you please |
| 17 | record the vote? |
| 18 | MS. SCHELLIN: Staff records the vote 5 to zero |
| 19 | to zero to approve final action in Zoning Case Number 19-22, |
| 20 | extending that to February 19th, 2023, Commissioner Turnbull |
| 21 | moving, Commissioner Miller second, Commissioners Hood, May |
| 22 | and Shapiro in support. |
| 23 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right, next we will do Zoning |
| 24 | Commission Case first, does anyone believe that Zoning |
| 25 | Commission Number 15-13C should come off of the modification |

1 of consequence determination? 2 Okay, not seeing anything, Ms. Schellin, would you 3 please call the case? 4 MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, sir. Next case is 15-13C, 1309 E Street, LLC PUD modification of consequence at Square 5 6 1043. 7 The applicant seeks to modify three conditions of Order Number 15-13, namely Condition 2B regarding 8 establishing an escrow account for the Potomac Avenue Metro Plaza, Condition 2F regarding modifying the fence repair at 10 11 Potomac Gardens and Condition 2G regarding replacing a no longer existing active organization designated to receive a 12 contribution with a new organization. 13 this 14 An Exhibit 5 OP report agrees modification of consequence and supports approval. 15 I would 16 ask the Commission to consider scheduling on this case. 17 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, my recommendation, colleagues, I think that everyone has responded and it looks 18 like there's overwhelming support -- ANC, the Office of 19 It's like it has overwhelming support. 2.0 Planning. 21 I think this is ready for us to move forward on I know that there were some things about a 22 this tonight. waiver request and some other concerns that have been brought 23 up, and I think we can address those here this evening unless 24

I hear something otherwise.

Okay, 1 so who would like to get going? us 2 Commissioner May? COMMISSIONER MAY: 3 Mr. Chairman, you know, this is a sort of a situation that occurs every once in a while where the proffers related to a particular PUD case have to 5 evolve because of changing circumstances that not within the 6 7 control of the applicant. 8 And I think that all three of those occurred in Well, one -- I mean, the one having to this circumstance. 10 do with the fence, that was an evolution but it was a 11 condition that just needs tweaking based better on understanding of exactly what was proffered. 12 The other two, the grant of DC Safety Net and --13 I can't remember what the other one was. But anyway -- and, excuse me. Yeah, I wrote down what the third one was and I'm 15 losing it. 16 17 Anyway, I think that those are also changes that are understandable. I think that when it comes to the 18 particulars of -- I have to pull this up, sorry, 15-13. 19 (Off-microphone comments.) 2.0 21 COMMISSIONER MAY: Yeah, so putting money into the Potomac Metro escrow because the WMATA project timeline is 22 23 slipping, that makes sense. 24 And then the donation to the one group, I mean, 25 normally a donation we want to know that it's going

something specific and concrete. But this is a relatively small dollar amount, and as far as I'm concerned, we can consider it complete once the donation is made rather than getting into the weeds of exactly how the money was at being spent by the non-profit organization.

So I'm prepared to move forward with this. Now this is, this was submitted as a modification of consequence, but given that we have ANC's support. And I don't think there was -- there were any other parties on this, so there's no reason why we couldn't move forward tonight, right? I'm getting -- seeing a lot of nodding of heads.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Right, yeah. I would just echo your comments about the fund, the Neighborhood Assistance Fund, which supports individuals and/or their families.

Typically, it's a fine line. We don't usually care for how that's done, giving money, but this is an organization that's set aside to do a specific duty. And I think this is unique and this is different from what the Commission's process has been going forward.

So I think that they already know what they need or what they're going to try to ascertain with that money as opposed to it being some type of organized that we would normally require. And I think that's already set up, and I think this is a very unique case and it's different.

And I'm saying that for the record so, when the

2.0

| 1 | others come down with something that's not as specific as |
|----|---|
| 2 | this, then they know that we don't really go with that. But |
| 3 | I think this is a different situation. Commissioner Shapiro? |
| 4 | COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. |
| 5 | The only thing I would add is, just to be clear about the |
| 6 | action that we're likely to take, it sounds like, is that |
| 7 | we're actually not waiving this condition. |
| 8 | We're instead supporting their repurposing of the |
| 9 | funds toward a like use. So this would as described, |
| 10 | going to the CCA, to celebrate Capitol Hill for use in the |
| 11 | Neighborhood Assistance Fund which supports individuals and |
| 12 | their families who've been affected by violent crime. |
| 13 | So it's relatively specific. And, again, it's a |
| 14 | repurposing, not a waiver, of the condition. |
| 15 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. So with all that said, any |
| 16 | other comments? Okay, I would move the, as a modification |
| 17 | of consequence on Zoning Commission Case Number 15-13C and |
| 18 | incorporate the comments as discussed in the deliberation and |
| 19 | ask for a second. |
| 20 | VICE CHAIR MILLER: Second. |
| 21 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: It's been moved an properly |
| 22 | seconded. Any further discussion? All in favor, aye? |
| 23 | (Chorus of ayes.) |
| 24 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any opposition? Not hearing any, |
| 25 | Ms. Schellin, would you please record the vote? |

| 1 | MS. SCHELLIN: Staff records the vote 5 to zero |
|----|---|
| 2 | to zero to approve final action Zoning Commission Case Number |
| 3 | 15-13C, Commissioner Hood moving, Commissioner Miller |
| 4 | seconding, Commissioners May, Shapiro and Turnbull in |
| 5 | support. |
| 6 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right |
| 7 | MS. SCHELLIN: Next case. |
| 8 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: Next, I'll call it. I'll call it, |
| 9 | Ms. Schellin. |
| 10 | MS. SCHELLIN: Okay. |
| 11 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: I don't want you to have to do |
| 12 | everything. I can do a little bit. |
| 13 | All right, next case is deliberation for |
| 14 | deliberation Zoning Commission Case Number 11-15J, Howard |
| 15 | University modification of consequence. This is Zoning |
| 16 | Commission Order Number 11-15F, extraction of properties from |
| 17 | CP at Square 2877. Ms. Schellin? |
| 18 | MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, so at the October 21st |
| 19 | meeting, the Commission found this case to be a modification |
| 20 | of consequence and allowed time for the remaining parties to |
| 21 | respond. |
| 22 | No other responses have been received, so this |
| 23 | case is ready for final action if the Commission decides to |
| 24 | move in that way. |
| 25 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, esteemed colleagues, this |

1 is again an extraction of what Howard University 2 requesting of properties from their campus plan at Square 3 2877. 4 As I mentioned at that hearing, we know a lot of universities are doing this now to supplement some of their 5 6 financial needs. And I think this is right in line with what 7 has been done in other areas, and I will continue to support that as well. 8 9 But let for me open it up any comments or 10 questions. 11 VICE CHAIR MILLER: I would concur, Mr. Chairman, 12 and extracting those properties from the campus plan process so that development can happen maybe more quickly but still 13 allow public review, community review to occur because I 15 think there's a date there. They're both historically designated properties and it will have to go through an HPRB 16 17 process. 18 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any other comments/questions? Would someone like to make a motion? 19 2.0 VICE CHAIR MILLER: I move, Mr. Chairman, that the 21 Zoning Commission approve Zoning Commission Case Number 11-15J, Howard University modification of consequence to Zoning 22 Commission Order Number 11-15F, extraction of properties from 23 the campus plan at Square 2877 and ask for a second. 24

COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO:

25

Second.

| 1 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, it's been moved and properly |
|----|---|
| 2 | seconded. Any further discussion? All in favor, aye. |
| 3 | (Chorus of ayes.) |
| 4 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any opposition? Not hearing any, |
| 5 | Ms. Schellin, would you please record the vote. |
| 6 | MS. SCHELLIN: Staff records the vote 5 to zero |
| 7 | to zero to approve final action on Zoning Commission Case |
| 8 | Number 11-15J, Commissioner Miller moving, Commissioner |
| 9 | Turnbull seconding, Commissioners Hood, May and Shapiro in |
| 10 | support. |
| 11 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right, sometime I just have |
| 12 | to say this, say have a little fun sometime. But to the |
| 13 | gentleman who I saw earlier in the I'll tell you, in the |
| 14 | restroom, and I told him how terrible these guys are up here, |
| 15 | he must have known who I was. |
| 16 | But I just wanted him to know he passed the test. |
| 17 | Raise your hand. You passed the test. You passed the test. |
| 18 | I want you all to know he didn't even comment. |
| 19 | I mean, didn't comment. That was supposed to be a trick |
| 20 | comment, but I didn't get anything back, so you passed the |
| 21 | test. |
| 22 | All right, I shouldn't do that. Now where am I, |
| 23 | Ms. Schellin? |
| 24 | MS. SCHELLIN: 15-27 |
| 25 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Zoning Commission Case |
| I | |

| 1 | Number 15-27D, CP Market Terminal, LLC PUD modification of |
|----|--|
| 2 | consequence to Square 3587. Ms. Schellin? |
| 3 | MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, sir. Again, at the October |
| 4 | 21st meeting, the Commission found this case to be a |
| 5 | modification of consequence also and allowed time for the |
| 6 | parties to respond. |
| 7 | No other responses have been received and so this |
| 8 | case also is ready for action this evening. |
| 9 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, let me open it. |
| 10 | Commissioner Shapiro? I'm sorry, any other questions or |
| 11 | comments on this? I think this is ready for us to proceed |
| 12 | as stated. Make sure we have everything covered. |
| 13 | COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Mr. Chair, OP recommends |
| 14 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. |
| 15 | COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: approval. The ANC has |
| 16 | submitted a resolution in support. All parties have been |
| 17 | served. So I'm ready to make a motion. |
| 18 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. |
| 19 | COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: I move that we take final |
| 20 | action on Zoning Commission Case Number 15-27D, CP Market |
| 21 | Terminal, LLC PUD modification of consequence at Square 3587 |
| 22 | and look for a second. |
| 23 | VICE CHAIR MILLER: Second. |
| 24 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, it's moved and properly |
| 25 | seconded. Any further discussion? All in favor, ave. |

1 (Chorus of ayes.) 2 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any opposition? Not hearing any, Ms. Schellin, would you please record the vote? 3 MS. SCHELLIN: Staff records the vote five to zero 4 to zero to approve action Zoning Commission Case Number 15-5 Miller Commissioner Shapiro moving, Commissioner 6 7 seconding, Commissioners Hood, May and Turnbull in support. 8 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, next we have Zoning 9 Commission Case Number 16-06C, Jemal/PTM Lazriv Water II 10 design and review modification of consequence to Square 666. 11 Ms. Schellin? 12 MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, this one, like the previous two, at the October 21st meeting the Commission found the 13 case to be a modification of consequence and allowed time for OP to take a position on the case after coordinating with 15 DDOT and for the ANC to submit its report. 16 17 At Exhibit 6, ANC 6D's report was submitted in support with two conditions, asking for two conditions. 18 Exhibit 8 is an OP report that recommends approval subject 19 2.0 the applicant submitting public а space permit 21 application. 22 And Exhibit 9 is a DDOT report advising that the applicant has stated it proposes to construct a temporary 23

painted sidewalk and, therefore it has no objections.

this case is ready for the Commission to consider final

24

action this evening.

2.0

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, thank you, Ms. Schellin. Colleagues, let's go right to the conditions that the ANC had, this ANC-6D.

I'll read them. Therefore, the ANC supports the application with the following conditions. The applicant's obligation to construct 200 feet of bicycle infrastructure should be maintained.

The applicant should be required to construct of pay for the construction of 200 feet of bicycle infrastructure at the time of -- DDOT determines that a cycle track is appropriate in the previously approved location or otherwise in the immediate vicinity.

And the applicant shall install pedestrian traffic and crossing signage on its property adjacent to the street, alerting pedestrians of safety concerns and warning vehicles of pedestrians in the surrounding area.

Two conditions that the ANC had asked us to incorporate, let's open it up for comments on that. And that vote was 5 to zero to one to support with the condition.

Any questions or comments? Commissioner Shapiro?

COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I
have no concerns at all with the second condition, but the
first condition, I think, is complicated for us, for the
Commission to impose this.

| 1 | First of all, this is a design review case and so |
|----|---|
| 2 | there are proffers. Also, DDOT essentially has said that |
| 3 | they can't do it. And it leaves this hanging open kind of |
| 4 | in perpetuity. So I wouldn't support that first condition. |
| 5 | We give it great weight, but I wouldn't support |
| 6 | that first condition. But I've no issues at all with the |
| 7 | second condition. |
| 8 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. So yeah, I don't I would |
| 9 | agree. I don't think we could even impose that from a legal |
| 10 | standpoint as well. But I think the second condition, I |
| 11 | think that's what you were saying, there are no problems with |
| 12 | that. |
| 13 | COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Yes, sir. |
| 14 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, let me open any other |
| 15 | questions or comments? |
| 16 | VICE CHAIR MILLER: I would concur, Mr. Chair. |
| 17 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, Mr. Vice Chair. All right, |
| 18 | anything else on this? Okay, we have a request before us. |
| 19 | Somebody like to make a motion? |
| 20 | COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Mr. Chair, I move that we |
| 21 | move this case that we're on, that the Commission would take |
| 22 | a final action on Zoning Commission Case Number 16-06C, |
| 23 | Jemal/PTM Lazriv Water II, design review modification of |
| 24 | consequence at Square 666. Look for a second. |
| 25 | COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Second. |

| | 25 |
|----|--|
| 1 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, it's been moved an properly |
| 2 | seconded. Any further discussion? All in favor, aye. Any |
| 3 | opposition? Not hearing any, Ms. Schellin, would you please |
| 4 | record the vote? |
| 5 | MS. SCHELLIN: Yes. Staff records the vote five |
| 6 | to zero to zero to approve final action Zoning Commission |
| 7 | Case Number 16-06c, and that includes the ANC's second |
| 8 | condition. Correct? |
| 9 | Okay, and Commissioner Shapiro moving, |
| 10 | Commissioner Turnbull seconding and Commissioners Hood, May |
| 11 | and Miller in support. |
| 12 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: 05-28V, correct? Okay, next we |
| 13 | have time extensions for Zoning Commission Case Number 05- |
| 14 | 28V, Lano Parcel 12, LLC, two-year PUD time extension of |
| 15 | Square 5055. Ms. Schellin? |
| 16 | MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, the applicant is requesting |
| 17 | a two-year time extension of Order Number 05-28 stating that |
| 18 | the Zoning Commission approve five 2nd stage applications |
| 19 | immediately prior to the extension approved in Order Number |
| 20 | 05-28U. |
| 21 | As such, the last two years have been focused in |
| 22 | large part on moving forward, effectuating those approvals. |
| 23 | The applicant further stated that the extension is necessary |
| 24 | in order to move forward with the PUD. |
| 25 | So they are asking that the Commission approve |

1 this request for the two-year extension. And I believe --2 I'm sorry, I don't have the -- there's a report from OP in 3 this case at I'm looking at -- I'm sorry, I pulled up the wrong case number. But I believe OP did submit a report in support 5 6 of the two-year extension. I just want to check and see if 7 the ANC submitted anything. My computer's little slow. 8 CHAIRMAN HOOD: I don't see anything from the ANC. 9 I don't think they did, yeah. MS. SCHELLIN: 10 But I think they've had -- they've CHAIRMAN HOOD: 11 been properly noticed. 12 MS. SCHELLIN: Just OP, yes, at Exhibit 5. All right. All right, let me --13 CHAIRMAN HOOD: I'm going to open it up. thank you Ms. Schellin. Any 15 comments or questions? VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yeah. Mr. Chairman I support 16 Office of Planning's recommendation that the, that 17 approval be subject to all of the requirements that were 18 included in the previous time extension request including 19 2.0 current inclusionary zoning and green area ratio requirements that had not been in effect at the time of the original 21 22 approval of this many years ago. 23 So I would make a motion to approve that time 24 extension with the, subject to the inclusion of those

conditions that were in the previous extension.

| 1 | COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Second. |
|----|---|
| 2 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, thank you Vice Chair. Thank |
| 3 | you, Commissioner Shapiro. It's been moved and properly |
| 4 | seconded. Any further discussion? Commissioner May? |
| 5 | COMMISSIONER MAY: Yeah, I appreciate moving this |
| 6 | along quickly. I feel like I have to say something because |
| 7 | this is, you know, we've done multiple extensions on this and |
| 8 | we don't like doing multiple extensions. |
| 9 | And we have complained about doing extensions, |
| 10 | particularly on this project. It is just such a big and |
| 11 | complicated project. And I know they've had numerous |
| 12 | setbacks. |
| 13 | In this circumstance, at this moment, I'm feeling |
| 14 | mildly optimistic because of the reporting that we got on the |
| 15 | things that are starting to happen with the bridge that's |
| 16 | long overdue. |
| 17 | And I also am quite pleased to see more |
| 18 | construction happening on the site because it's a road that |
| 19 | I go up and down Kenilworth Avenue with some frequency, |
| 20 | going to volleyball. I said that for you, Mr. Chairman. |
| 21 | Anyway, it's, I think I'm feeling better about it. |
| 22 | I'm really looking forward to some of the bigger parcels |
| 23 | being developed. Hopefully, sometime soon we'll hear more |
| 24 | about those, so. |
| 25 | MS. CAIN: Mr. Chairman? |

| 1 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes. |
|----|--|
| 2 | MS. CAIN: I just want to know, building off of |
| 3 | what Commissioner May said, that the applicant actually |
| 4 | requested a waiver of the limit on the number of time |
| 5 | extensions. So that should be, actually, the first action |
| 6 | the Commission takes prior to final action. |
| 7 | VICE CHAIR MILLER: I can make that part of my |
| 8 | motion to move |
| 9 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: So, yeah, why don't include that? |
| 10 | Thank you. |
| 11 | VICE CHAIR MILLER: So I amend my motion to |
| 12 | approve of the extension with the previous conditions in the |
| 13 | previous extension but with the waiver to allow for this to |
| 14 | multiple extension. |
| 15 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. |
| 16 | COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: I'll give it a second |
| 17 | accept. |
| 18 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: Did you second? Second accept. |
| 19 | Anything else? You want to add anything else Commissioner |
| 20 | May? |
| 21 | Okay, so, yeah, every time I hear this, this is |
| 22 | the Herbert Franklin Rule. When I first got here in the 90s, |
| 23 | I will always remember him because he used to sit beside me |
| 24 | and he used to always talk about these extensions. |
| 25 | And here I am, 20 years later, given this so |

| 1 | I think, due to the comments of Commissioner May, I would |
|----|---|
| 2 | agree that this is the right for an extension. |
| 3 | But I will always remember the Herb Franklin Rule. |
| 4 | Anything else? |
| 5 | Okay, it's been moved and properly seconded. Any |
| 6 | further discussion? All in favor, aye. |
| 7 | (Chorus of ayes.) |
| 8 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any opposition? Not hearing any, |
| 9 | Ms. Schellin, would you please record the vote? |
| 10 | MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, staff records the vote five |
| 11 | to zero to zero to approve final action Zoning Commission |
| 12 | Case Number 05-28V, and that includes OP's conditions and the |
| 13 | waiver requested. Commissioner Miller moving, Commissioner |
| 14 | Shapiro seconding, Commissioner Hood May and Turnbull in |
| 15 | support. |
| 16 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: Now I think we're moving to |
| 17 | hearing action, Zoning Commission Case Number 10-03D, H |
| 18 | Street Residential, LLC PUD modification of significance at |
| 19 | Square 912. |
| 20 | Whose case oh, Ms. Thomas. |
| 21 | MS. THOMAS: Yes, right. |
| 22 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. |
| 23 | MS. THOMAS: Good evening, Mr. Chairman, members |
| 24 | of the Commission, Karen Thomas with the Office of Planning. |
| 25 | The Office of Planning is recommending set down |

| | for a modification of significance to the approved plans for |
|----|--|
| 2 | the mixed use building at 901 H Street NE. |
| 3 | It would permit a veterinary hospital use on the |
| 4 | ground floor of the building and the requested modification |
| 5 | relates to conditions, plans of the order and would include |
| 6 | special exception relief from the stated provisions under |
| 7 | subtitle H outlined in our report. |
| 8 | OP also supports the applicant's waiver request |
| 9 | to consider set down of the application within 33 days |
| 10 | instead of 35 days from the application's filing. |
| 11 | With that, I'll rest on the record of our report |
| 12 | and I will be happy to take any questions. Thank you. |
| 13 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, thank you, Ms. Thomas. |
| L4 | Commissioners, do we have any comments or questions? |
| 15 | Commissioner May? |
| L6 | COMMISSIONER MAY: Yeah, so what's the state of |
| L7 | the construction of this project? Is it completed yet? |
| 18 | MS. THOMAS: It's almost completed, yes. |
| L9 | COMMISSIONER MAY: Almost completed? So, but |
| 20 | there's nobody living in the |
| 21 | MS. THOMAS: No, no. |
| 22 | COMMISSIONER MAY: apartments directly above |
| 23 | it? |
| 24 | MS. THOMAS: No, it's being retrofitted to provide |
| 25 | for this use so it would have all the applicable samples and |
| | |

| 1 | |
|----|---|
| 2 | COMMISSIONER MAY: Right, well, I mean, that's why |
| 3 | we're having the hearing, to make sure of that. |
| 4 | What I wanted to know, I mean, I was really |
| 5 | curious about whether there are going to be tenants living |
| 6 | immediately or owners. I'm not sure what kind of a building |
| 7 | it is, but people living directly above the veterinary |
| 8 | MS. THOMAS: Yes, and |
| 9 | COMMISSIONER MAY: function and but there's |
| 10 | nobody there now? |
| 11 | MS. THOMAS: No. |
| 12 | COMMISSIONER MAY: So anybody who moves in will |
| 13 | know they're right above it? |
| 14 | MS. THOMAS: Yes. |
| 15 | COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay. |
| 16 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any other questions or comments? |
| 17 | Let me just ask Ms. Cain, is the waiver do we have to deal |
| 18 | with that in the motion? |
| 19 | MS. CAIN: I think I regard that as a separate |
| 20 | or deal with that separately and then move on to scheduling |
| 21 | for set down. |
| 22 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, so we need so we do that |
| 23 | tonight? |
| 24 | MS. CAIN: Yeah. |

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, all right. So any other

| 1 | questions or comments? All right, let's talk about the |
|----|---|
| 2 | waiver first, commissioners. Any well, I think I can just |
| 3 | do general consensus on that. I don't know if I need |
| 4 | yeah, any issues with granting the waiver? |
| 5 | Okay, so by general consensus that will be noted. |
| б | All right, would someone like to set it down or make a motion |
| 7 | to set it down? |
| 8 | VICE CHAIR MILLER: Mr. Chairman, I would move |
| 9 | that the Zoning Commission set down for public hearing Case |
| 10 | Number 10-03D, H Street Residential, LLC PUD modification of |
| 11 | significance at Square 912 and ask for a second. |
| 12 | COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Second. |
| 13 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, it's moved and properly |
| 14 | seconded. Any further discussion? All in favor, aye. |
| 15 | (Chorus of ayes.) |
| 16 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any opposition? Not hearing any, |
| 17 | Ms. Schellin, could you please record the vote. |
| 18 | MS. SCHELLIN: Staff records the vote 5 to zero |
| 19 | to zero to set down Zoning Commission Case Number 10-03D as |
| 20 | a contested case. Commissioner Miller moving, Commissioner |
| 21 | Shapiro seconding, Commissioners Hood, May and Turnbull in |
| 22 | support. |
| 23 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Next Zoning Commission Case |
| 24 | Number 19-24, Children's National at Walter Reed, LLC text |
| 25 | and man amendments to Subtitle K. Chapter 9 to create the |

1 WR-9 and WR-10 zones and Map Square at 2950. 2 Ms. Thomas? Ms. Thomas. Yes, again, Mr. Chair. Good evening. 3 MS. THOMAS: recommending the set down of Children's National 5 Petition for а zoning text amendment and related 6 amendment which would commit the hospital to locate its 7 research facility and some of its hospital functions within the boundaries of the Walter Reed Medical Center campus. 8 9 The applicant acquired this property from the 10 Federal Government, and the deed prescribed the types of uses 11 for the property including medical related uses. The property is currently zoned R-1-B and the 12 proposed text amendment to Subtitle K would introduce two new 13 zones, WR-9 and WR-10 along with their related development standards which would be applied to the individual land base 15 16 as shown in the table. 17 Let me just get a -- these are the land base shown in this map and the table. The map amendment would follow 18 after the Commission's action on the text. 19 2.0 Our report highlights relevant compliant policies 21 and quidance. And on balance, the proposed amendments are inconsistent with the policies 22 and qoals the 23 comprehensive plan. 24 I would like to point out that the proposed 25 920, titled Affordable Housing may need specific section,

| 1 | clarification regarding the inclusionary zoning and its uses. |
|----|---|
| 2 | OP requests the flexibility to work with the Office of the |
| 3 | Attorney General and the applicant on any conforming language |
| 4 | to refine the proposed text as necessary. Thank you. |
| 5 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, thank you, Ms. Thomas. |
| 6 | Commissioners, is there any questions or comments on this |
| 7 | case? You can have a seat Vice Chair? |
| 8 | VICE CHAIR MILLER: Mr. Chairman, so |
| 9 | what we have before us, as I understand it, is a petitioning |
| LO | for a text amendment to create the WR, Walter Reed 9 and |
| 11 | Walter Reed 10 zones and a map amendment from R-1-B which is |
| L2 | currently zoned to the WR-9 and WR-10. |
| 13 | And I believe that the applicant was requesting |
| L4 | rulemaking for both the text amendment and the map amendment, |
| 15 | but I think we've been advised by counsel that the rulemaking |
| 16 | should be a text amendment and the map amendment should be |
| L7 | a contested case. |
| 18 | But I think we schedule both for this, both cases. |
| L9 | I think also the Office of Zoning is prepared to bifurcate |
| 20 | the cases, but is it capable of scheduling them for the same |
| 21 | hearing date? |
| 22 | MS. SCHELLIN: No. If you set them down, the |
| 23 | applicant would be directed to file the map amendment case. |
| 24 | So they would be bifurcating it. |
| 25 | VICE CHAIR MILLER: Okay. |

MS. SCHELLIN: And we just wouldn't schedule it until they do that and pay the fee for the contested map amendment.

VICE CHAIR MILLER: Thank you for clarifying that. So I would encourage the applicant to do that and encourage Ms. Schellin to try to schedule both the map amendment and text amendment for the same date, just for efficiency purposes.

So I support the setting down of both the text amendment and the map amendment issue. And there seems to be, needs some clarity in my own mind as to what -- there seems to be some kind of affordable housing exemption for the -- I guess that's in the text amendment.

I don't understand that at the moment. And I'm not prepared to set that down as part of the case. But at the hearing, if Office of Planning and the applicant provide more clarity as to what the impact, what the purpose of that is -- and there may be a perfectly good purpose of -- it might be housing to support the medical facilities that are proposed to be developed there.

But we can always -- so I think we'll need testimony at the public hearing or the pre-hearing submission on whether that is necessary. I wouldn't be supportive, at the outset of setting it down with such an affordable housing exemption, without understanding that issue more.

2.0

1 If we think it's justified, after we get the 2 hearing testimony, we can add that in later. So that would 3 just be my comment, Mr. Chairman. 4 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Any other questions or 5 comments? 6 I would agree. I think, as Vice Chair Miller mentioned about the map amendment being contested and the 7 rulemaking for the text, I think that's the way to fashion it. And hopefully we can hear those the same night, once you file it. 10 11 I would like to deal with this all in one night. I would think that would be more advantageous for us to go 12 13 ahead and move this, to be able to deal with it the same 14 night. 15 All right, anything else? Can I see -- Ms. Schellin, did you look at the -- I don't usually look in the 16 17 crowd, even though I do. Could you make sure that they can understand this exactly? If not, they can work with you. 18 19 MS. SCHELLIN: Yes. 2.0 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. 21 And when the Commission MS. SCHELLIN: Sure. decides, if they decide to move forward with setting that down, if you could -- the text amendment would be 19-24, and 23 I will, once I file it, give 19-24A to the map amendment for 24 25 purposes, when you make your motion.

So I will make a motion 1 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. that we set down 19-24, and once they file for the contested, 2 it'll be 19-24A, if they choose to do so, with the comments 3 as discussed by the Vice Chairman, myself and others and ask 5 second. We had two cases, contested and rulemaking. 6 7 Second, Mr. Chair. COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: 8 CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right, so it's been moved and 9 properly seconded. Any further discussion? Did we leave anything out? 10 MR. TONDRO: Chairman, just to clarify with regard 11 12 Commissioner Miller's question about removing the exemption, whether or not the rest of the Commission was in 13 agreement with that or whether your motion included that? Just wanted to clarify that. 15 (Off-microphone comments.) 16 17 CHAIRMAN HOOD: So we will -- what the Vice Chair 18 has -- my motion will not include that. But we will deal with that at the hearing once we get additional information. 19 Is that going to be a major setback or -- because I don't 2.0 21 want to do anything major with WR. MR. TONDRO: No, I apologize. 22 It may be my fault. To clarify that the text, as proposed, when it goes for a 23 hearing notice, will not include the affordable 24

housing exemption with the understanding that OP and the

| 1 | Applicant can file responses as to why that would be |
|----|--|
| 2 | appropriate and for consideration by the Commission at the |
| 3 | hearing? |
| 4 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, so I'm going to incorporate |
| 5 | my motion with Mr. Tondro's comments. Who made the motion? |
| 6 | Did I make the motion? |
| 7 | VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yes. |
| 8 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: I really got confused. I made the |
| 9 | motion. No, who did? |
| 10 | VICE CHAIR MILLER: And Shapiro seconded it. |
| 11 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: Oh, you seconded it? |
| 12 | VICE CHAIR MILLER: I agreed with it. |
| 13 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right, so everybody agrees |
| 14 | we'll incorporate those comments. Mr. Tondro, thank you |
| 15 | (Off-microphone comments.) |
| 16 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: Who needs to second it again? |
| 17 | COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: I seconded it with the |
| 18 | language that Mr. Tondro brought in as well. |
| 19 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, so he's accepted it. It's |
| 20 | been seconded and accepted. Any further discussion? |
| 21 | Hopefully not. Any further discussion? All in favor, aye. |
| 22 | (Chorus of ayes.) |
| 23 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any opposition? Not hearing any, |
| 24 | Ms. Schellin, would you please record the vote? |
| 25 | MS. SCHELLIN: Yes. Staff records the vote 5 to |

| 1 | zero to zero to set down Zoning Commission Case Number 19-24 |
|----|--|
| 2 | as a rulemaking case and Zoning Commission Case Number |
| 3 | 19-24A, the map amendment, as a contested case. Commissioner |
| 4 | Hood moving, Commissioner Shapiro seconding, Commissioners |
| 5 | May, Miller and Turnbull in support. |
| 6 | And 19-24A is set down contingent upon the |
| 7 | applicant filing a map amendment case and paying the \$650 |
| 8 | fee. |
| 9 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Let's go to Zoning |
| 10 | Commission Case Ms. Steingasser? |
| 11 | MS. STEINGASSER: Yes, sir. I'm sorry to go back |
| 12 | to it. I'm just wondering how, if it's not if the |
| 13 | language on affordable housing which Ms. Thomas pointed out, |
| 14 | we would be working with the applicant to refine it, if |
| 15 | that's not actually advertised, how would we provide comment |
| 16 | in the public hearing? And the second |
| 17 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: I'm going to let the Vice Chair |
| 18 | answer that. |
| 19 | MS. STEINGASSER: would have to be reset down |
| 20 | after the fact or could we set it down and then, if the |
| 21 | Commission's not happy with it, of course, then you would |
| 22 | just exclude it from action? |
| 23 | VICE CHAIR MILLER: As long as we have I was |
| 24 | under the impression that we had the flexibility to put the |
| 25 | exemption in even after the hearing. But if that's not the |

case, if it's not advertised in the hearing, then I don't have a problem with it being include, but with the caveats that we need a lot more information as to the purpose and effect.

MR. TONDRO: I think it can be done either way since it would rulemaking. If the situation were -- if there was a proposal for it to be in, as modified by OP in the hearing report, then it could be adopted by the Commission as part of the proposed action and then would --

(Simultaneous speaking)

VICE CHAIR MILLER: And it wouldn't slow down -- and it would not slow down the process.

MR. TONDRO: You could do it either way.

VICE CHAIR MILLER: Well, then, I think what we intended was to not have the exemption in there from the outset. At least that was that my intention. As long as it doesn't slow down the process and it could be added in, a proposed action -- I don't know what we're -- because I'm not comfortable with an affordable housing exemption that I don't understand. And I don't -- so.

COMMISSIONER MAY: I mean, it does strike me that, in circumstances like this, we have had to re-advertise. And I -- I mean, out of an abundance of caution, could we not advertise the exemption and the alternative so that it's at least in there?

2.0

| 1 | VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yeah, well why don't you do |
|----|---|
| 2 | that? We don't we do that? |
| 3 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yeah, I we can put |
| 4 | move it in, and I would agree to that. Let's do it and |
| 5 | the alternative. Do we need to do another motion? I move |
| 6 | the I would move to add to the motion that we already |
| 7 | have. I don't know if this is proper, but somehow I'll fix |
| 8 | it up, that we add the affordable component in and the |
| 9 | alternative. |
| 10 | VICE CHAIR MILLER: Second on it? |
| 11 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, I need a second. |
| 12 | COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Second. |
| 13 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: It's been is that |
| 14 | legally sufficient? At least for now? Okay, so it's been |
| 15 | moved and properly seconded. Any further discussion? All |
| 16 | in favor, aye. |
| 17 | (Chorus of ayes.) |
| 18 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any opposition? Not hearing any, |
| 19 | Ms. Schellin, would you please record the vote? |
| 20 | MS. SCHELLIN: Staff records the vote 5 to zero |
| 21 | to zero to amend the motion and to include the extra language |
| 22 | in Zoning Commission Case Number 19-24. Commissioner Hood |
| 23 | moving, Commissioner Shapiro seconding and Commissioners May, |
| 24 | Miller and Turnbull in support. |
| 25 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: So I want to thank you, Ms. |

| 1 | Steingasser, for bringing that up because I think sometimes |
|----|---|
| 2 | it's better for us to have it in and then we can take it out. |
| 3 | Maybe that's the way, so thank you for that. |
| 4 | All right, let's go to Zoning Commission Case |
| 5 | Number 19-26, Office of Planning text amendment to Subtitles |
| 6 | C, G, K, X, and Z to align covenant text. Ms. Thomas? Oh, |
| 7 | Ms. Steingasser? |
| 8 | MS. STEINGASSER: I'll be doing this one, sir. |
| 9 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: You Ms. Thomas, you was doing |
| 10 | all the rest of them. So I just called you no, I'm just |
| 11 | kidding. |
| 12 | MS. STEINGASSER: You're not going to push your |
| 13 | luck. |
| 14 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, Ms. Steingasser? |
| 15 | MS. STEINGASSER: The Office of Planning is |
| 16 | recommending that the Commission set down the language to the |
| 17 | zoning regulations to make all the language relative to |
| 18 | covenants the same. |
| 19 | It would require that all covenants run with the |
| 20 | land and be reviewed and approved by the zoning administrator |
| 21 | for technical sufficiencies in the Office of the Attorney |
| 22 | General for legal sufficiencies. |
| 23 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, thank you. Any |
| 24 | questions/comments? Commissioner May? And then I'll come |
| 25 | to okay, Commissioner May? Oh, okay. |
| | • |

| 1 | All right, so we have the request before us. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Somebody like to make a motion? |
| 3 | COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Mr. Chair, I would move |
| 4 | that we approve that we set down Zoning Case Number 19-26, |
| 5 | Office of Planning text amendment to Subtitles C, G, K, X, |
| 6 | and Z to align covenant text, and I'd ask for a second. |
| 7 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: I'll second it. It's been moved |
| 8 | and properly seconded. Any further discussion? All in |
| 9 | favor, aye. |
| 10 | (Chorus of ayes.) |
| 11 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any opposition? Not hearing any, |
| 12 | Ms. Schellin, would you please record the vote? |
| 13 | MS. SCHELLIN: Staff records the vote 5 to zero |
| 14 | to zero to set down Zoning Commission Case Number 19-26 as |
| 15 | a rulemaking case. Commissioner Turnbull moving, |
| 16 | Commissioner Hood seconding; Commissioners May, Miller and |
| 17 | Shapiro in support. |
| 18 | COMMISSIONER MAY: Mr. Chairman? |
| 19 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes. |
| 20 | COMMISSIONER MAY: If I could note one thing on |
| 21 | this. I mean, this particular text amendment is very, very |
| 22 | technical and is most likely not going to garner that much |
| 23 | interest amongst the general public. |
| 24 | And I would venture to say that we could handle |
| 25 | it in a very quick hearing and that, whenever it gets |
| l | |

1 scheduled, that it be scheduled with another case so we don't 2 come down here for a five-minute hearing. I would -- well, I'm not going to 3 CHAIRMAN HOOD: 4 say I would agree because the room may be full and then I would need somebody to blame it on. 5 But I would take -- we will take your recommendation. 6 7 All right, next let's go to Zoning Commission Case Number 19-27, Office of Planning text amendment to Subtitles 8 9 D, E, and F, zoning reorganization. Ms. Vitale? 10 You got two of us tonight. MS. VITALE: 11 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Mr. Kirschenbaum? Okay. 12 MS. VITALE: Both of us. Good evening, Mr. Chair, Elisa Vitale with the Office of 13 members of the Commission. 14 Planning. 15 We are pleased to bring forward the proposed reorganization of Subtitles D, E, and F to you this evening 16 and request that the reorganization be set down for a public 17 hearing. 18 This is a reorganization of existing Subtitles D, 19 2.0 and does contemplate any amendments and F not 21 development standards or other regulations contained in these subtitles. 22 23 when the update to the As you know, regulations was completed in 2016, the Office of Planning 24 25 made a commitment to monitor the effectiveness of the changes

as well as the ease of use for the updated regulations.

And we've been listening and learning over these last three years. We have heard concerns about zone names, and we brought forward a new -- well, some may say older, at least a bit more familiar naming structure in Zoning Commission Case 18-16.

And at that time, we gave you a bit of a preview of the organizational changes that we have before you this evening. We've also heard concerns about the length of the existing subtitles, and this reorganization is an attempt to address that.

Finally, as we've brought forward text amendments, we've experienced the challenge of editing a document that contains a good deal of duplication within the development standards.

Therefore, we're proposing a reorganization of the this intended land use subtitles, and is to reduce duplication of development standards and ensure a clear zones including relationship between base zones geographically identified zoned and reduce the opportunity for error as we bring forward additional text amendments in the future.

We have worked closely with the zoning administrator, the Office of Zoning and the Office of Attorney General in drafting this proposal.

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

1 report, we've provided you a redline version of each subtitle that shows new text in blue, revised 2 3 text in red and text that has been moved in green. 4 We've also provided a clean copy of the proposed text, and that may actually be the easiest way to review the 5 And we've also prepared crosswalks for all of the 6 proposal. 7 subtitles, similar to the crosswalks that we did for ZR16. 8 Those weren't included in the set down report, but 9 we're certainly happy to get that information to Commission at a later date. 10 11 But again, we do want to reiterate that we're not proposing substantive changes to the text. 12 We do have 13 pending and proposed text amendment cases. And you will see those noted in the draft document that we provided this 15 evening. With this brief introduction, I'll turn it over 16 to my colleague, Jonathan Kirschenbaum, to walk through in 17 18 more detail how and where things have in moved this 19 reorganization. 2.0 MR. KIRSCHENBAUM: Good evening, Chair Hood and 21 members of the Zoning Commission. We thought it was important to take a step back 22 and look at where we've come from for the 1958 23 24 regulations, what we have currently, with the 2016 zoning 25 like regulations and where would to qo with the we

reorganization in 2020.

2.0

As you'll recall, the 1958 ZR was completely chapter based without subtitles. The general structure of the 1958 ZR was as follows.

A base zone -- in this example, we're using the R zones -- was divided into several chapters to provide use permissions in one chapter and height area and density regulations in another chapter.

If an overlay zone was applicable and modified but did not repeat use permissions and development standards from the base zone, these regulations were listed in a completely separate chapter from the base zone.

Due to the lack of subtitles and the resulting lack of ease in adding new chapters, these overlay zone chapters were often placed far apart from a base zone chapter.

For instance, in R-1-A, a Tree and Slope Protection Naval Observatory Zone, had development standards in both Chapter 4 and two different sections within Chapter 15.

Additional miscellaneous regulations, such as parking and inclusionary zoning were placed in various other chapters throughout the 1958 ZR. The 2016 ZR substantially reorganized the structure of the 1958 ZR by introducing subtitles.

1 The use of subtitles was proposed as 2 efficient way to organize the ZR and allow all development 3 standards for a zone to be located in one subtitle. 4 The general structure of the 2016 ZR is as follows. Subtitle B essentially contains the instructions 5 ZR, such as definitions, 6 use the 7 measurement and use categories. 8 Subtitle contains C the general rules or 9 regulations that apply multiple to zones, such as 10 inclusionary zoning and parking. Subtitle U contains the use 11 permission. 12 Subtitles D, E and F, respectively, which is the tonight's set down, contained the development 13 standards for all zones within a single subtitle. 15 In other words, the use of these zones and overlay 16 zones was eliminated. A zone that contained both the base zone and the overlay zone development standards were merged 17 into a new, single zone. 18 In the example used earlier, in R-1-A, Tree and 19 Slope Protection Naval Observatory Zone became the R-11 zone. 2.0 21 As Ms. Vitale mentioned earlier, this resulted in every zone repeated the same development standards from the 22 previous zone and has created a significantly lengthy 2016 23 24 ZR.

Tonight we are proposing to reduce redundancy

across the ZR through this reorganization that would be a blend between the 1958 ZR and the existing 2016 ZR. The general structure would remain the same.

No changes are proposed to Subtitles B, C or U. Subtitles D, C and F, respectively, would be reorganized to provide the general development standards for all base zones in a new Chapter 2 and to provide only a modified geographical development standards in a proceeding chapter.

Thus, development centers that are not modified by a geographic identifier zone would not be repeated over and over again and would only be located in Chapter 2.

This slide provides an illustrative crosswalk of how the proposed reorganization would work. For instance, Chapter 2 would prescribe all general development standards for the R-1-A zone and a proceeding chapter would prescribe modified regulations and development standards pertaining to the geographic identified zone.

For instance, Chapter 5 in this crosswalk example, the R-1-ATree and Slope Protection Observatory Zone, would provide modified qeoqraphic development standards for purpose intent, and street protection, height, lot occupancy, special exception and special PUD requirements.

Another crosswalk example is the R-1-A, Wesley Heights zone. Again, Chapter 2 would prescribe all general

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

1 development standards for the R-1-A zone and Chapter 7 would prescribe for modified development standards pertaining to 2 3 the geographic identified zone, such as purpose and intent, density, front setback and law occupancy. This concludes my portion of the presentation, and 5 6 I will turn it back over to my colleague, Ms. Vitale. 7 you. 8 So we hope that MS. VITALE: crosswalk and 9 additional information was helpful. And this is one step in the process. We do anticipate bringing forward additional 10 subtitles in the future. And we would look to bring forward 11 Subtitle G in December and Subtitle H in January if the 12 Commission is comfortable with this reorganization and sets 13 down Subtitles D, E, and F this evening. 15 This concludes our report, and we're happy to answer any questions that you might have. 16 17 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, thank you, Ms. Vitale and Kirschenbaum. Let's see if we have any follow-up 18 19 comments or questions. Commissioner May? 2.0 COMMISSIONER MAY: Yeah, so I'm glad to see this 21 coming and I'm glad to see this sort of simple information that we're seeing in the crosswalk. I was floored, trying 22 to look at the redline version. It is incredibly complicated 23 and I will never be able to understand that. 24

Toward this end, I mean, I know you're struggling

| 1 | with the same thing, trying to make this all easily |
|----|---|
| 2 | understood. But I think the basic concept of taking a |
| 3 | particular zone, say R-20, R-20 will become R-3/Georgetown |
| 4 | or whatever it is, right? |
| 5 | I think that it makes more sense to be able to |
| 6 | have side-by-side comparisons of those section, sort of |
| 7 | section-by-section rather than seeing a redline that shows |
| 8 | how these things get edited. |
| 9 | And then, when we actually take action to adopt |
| 10 | them, I'd rather adopt the whole chapter rather than adopting |
| 11 | specific language changes. And I think that's something that |
| 12 | you could work with the Office of Attorney General on. |
| 13 | MS. STEINGASSER: So we have much more detailed |
| 14 | crosswalks |
| 15 | COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes. |
| 16 | MS. STEINGASSER: that actually have the |
| 17 | Section numbers. |
| 18 | COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes. |
| 19 | MS. STEINGASSER: Is that what you're |
| 20 | (Simultaneous speaking.) |
| 21 | COMMISSIONER MAY: I mean, that's certainly very |
| 22 | helpful, but all I'm saying is that when we in order for |
| 23 | people to feel like everything is covered and correct, that |
| 24 | they probably need to see, you know, a more well |
| 25 | MS STEINGASSER: So |

| 1 | COMMISSIONER MAY: Maybe it's only just a sampling |
|----|---|
| 2 | of things, like this is the way it was; this is the way it |
| 3 | will be, but in any case, I mean, the bigger thing, to me, |
| 4 | is that when we adopt it, I don't want to adopt the language |
| 5 | that is based on the redline/strikeout version of it but sort |
| 6 | of the wholesale revision. |
| 7 | MS. STEINGASSER: Right. Okay, so we |
| 8 | COMMISSIONER MAY: Does that make sense? |
| 9 | MS. STEINGASSER: We have a couple different other |
| 10 | versions of the same information. We have a version that has |
| 11 | delete Section such-and-such in its entirety and replace it |
| 12 | with this. |
| 13 | COMMISSINER MAY: So long as it's big sections, |
| 14 | that's okay. But if it's like a paragraph here, a paragraph |
| 15 | there, it's going to be just as confusing. |
| 16 | MS. STEINGASSER: Okay, we have a table, like |
| 17 | this, that goes through every single section and identifies |
| 18 | where it's been relocated. |
| 19 | COMMISSIONER MAY: Uh-huh. |
| 20 | MS. STEINGASSER: And that we've organized this |
| 21 | in many ways just to help us work through it. And then, for |
| 22 | the Commission's reference, we also attached a document at |
| 23 | the end where everything's been accepted so you can see |
| 24 | COMMISSIONER MAY: I see. |
| 25 | MS. STEINGASSER: what the I think it's at |

| | 53 |
|----|---|
| 1 | the very end of our report. And it has the all the |
| 2 | tracked changes have been accepted. So we could take as |
| 3 | you're suggesting, chapter by chapter. I'm not sure how we |
| 4 | would put them side-by-side and put the words there. |
| 5 | COMMISSIONER MAY: Yeah, yeah. |
| 6 | MS. STEINGASSER: Because some of the words move |
| 7 | to |
| 8 | COMMISSIONER MAY: I mean, as I talk through it, |
| 9 | I sort of get I start to see what the complications are. |
| 10 | MS. STEINGASSER: Yeah. |
| 11 | COMMISSIONER MAY: Well, what I was going to |
| 12 | suggest, maybe, is that I mean, I'm perfectly fine with |
| 13 | setting this down tonight, but that, and that we actually |
| 14 | have a little more discussion of how this gets presented to |
| 15 | the Commission because I don't I mean, I don't really want |
| 16 | you to have to go through a whole lot of exercises and then |
| 17 | just to look at them and say, well, this makes sense; this |
| 18 | doesn't make sense and then have to have more hearings and |
| 19 | so on. |
| 20 | But maybe a sampling of how the information might |
| 21 | be presented to us in the hearing at an upcoming meeting, so, |
| 22 | you know |
| 23 | MS. STEINGASSER: Okay. |
| 24 | COMMISSIONER MAY: when you come back and tell |
| 25 | lus about Section G if you could be give us a little bit |

| 1 | of a demonstration of how you would |
|-----|--|
| 2 | MS. STEINGASSER: Okay. |
| 3 | COMMISSIONER MAY: how best to communicate it. |
| 4 | Is that |
| 5 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: That's what I was going to say. |
| 6 | I think that would be easier. You said you all have like |
| 7 | three or four different ways. |
| 8 | MS. STEINGASSER: Right. |
| 9 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: Before you do a whole lot just |
| 10 | give us one page of each as an example. |
| 11 | MS. STEINGASSER: Okay. |
| 12 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: And exactly what the Commissioner |
| 13 | was saying. That'd be easier, less work for you all to do. |
| 14 | So we can all, so the five of us can understand it. And then |
| 15 | |
| 16 | COMMISSIONER MAY: A sampling of it so that we, |
| 17 | you know, give a small bit of it and then we have clear |
| 18 | direction about what goes into the record. |
| 19 | MS. STEINGASSER: That would be easy. We struggled |
| 20 | with whether to give you more, give you less, to put it in |
| 21 | a table and then would people be worried about that they |
| 22 | didn't see this exact sentence get stricken. So we gave you |
| 23 | the Full Monty in all its colored |
| 24 | COMMISSIONER MAY: Yeah, well, I think in the end |
| 2 5 | |

| 1 | MS. STEINGASSER: parade. |
|----|---|
| 2 | COMMISSIONER MAY: you can still do that for |
| 3 | the people who want to see that, but it won't be me. |
| 4 | MS. STEINGASSER: Okay, we will we can get you |
| 5 | those pretty quickly because, like I said, we have various |
| 6 | forms. |
| 7 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: So I guess we'll |
| 8 | (Simultaneous speaking.) |
| 9 | COMMISSIONER MAY: We'll just get a report on that |
| 10 | at the next meeting. |
| 11 | MS. STEINGASSER: Okay. Okay. |
| 12 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: And then we can discuss it in full |
| 13 | detail after examples, so that way |
| 14 | MS. STEINGASSER: Because, I mean, I also draw the |
| 15 | Commission's attention to Page 2 of our report where we lay |
| 16 | out the process that we've been through already. |
| 17 | Mr. Kirschenbaum went through most of it, but, you |
| 18 | know, that we the new zone names have been up to the point |
| 19 | of proposed action. And then each of the the bulk of the |
| 20 | the reorganization, we'll get to that same point and then |
| 21 | the Commission would, through multiple votes, but do it in |
| 22 | one evening so that it all becomes effective at the same |
| 23 | time. |
| 24 | Okay, that is important. |
| 25 | COMMISSIONER MAY: That's similar with the way we |

| 1 | did |
|----|---|
| 2 | MS. STEINGASSER: Originally. |
| 3 | COMMISSIONER MAY: the zoning rewrite, right. |
| 4 | MS. STEINGASSER: Right. Yes, sir. Okay. |
| 5 | COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Yeah, Mr. Chair I just |
| 6 | have a I also did not print out the whole all the |
| 7 | pages. I printed out five pages. I went through it all, but |
| 8 | I I got lost in going through it all with the three |
| 9 | different with the blues and the red and the but I did, |
| 10 | like on Page 5 |
| 11 | MS. STEINGASSER: Of the OP report or |
| 12 | COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Of the OP report. |
| 13 | MS. STEINGASSER: Okay. |
| 14 | COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: You've got the ZR-16 names |
| 15 | and then you've got the name changes. So are all of the names |
| 16 | changing? |
| 17 | MS. STEINGASSER: Only those that are in the |
| 18 | right-hand column that say name change. |
| 19 | COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: But I mean, like RF-3? |
| 20 | MS. STEINGASSER: RF-3 is not changed. No, RF-3 |
| 21 | is changing, yes. |
| 22 | COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: It's going to become |
| 23 | well |
| 24 | MS. STEINGASSER: It's going to become |
| 25 | COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: up on Capitol Hill it's |

| | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , |
|----|--|
| 1 | going to be RF-1 Cap. |
| 2 | MS. STEINGASSER: Right. Yes, sir. |
| 3 | COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: But if those RF-3s in |
| 4 | other areas are was that only for Capitol Hill RF-3? I |
| 5 | seem to remember there was that change. |
| 6 | MS. STEINGASSER: That's correct. |
| 7 | COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay. |
| 8 | MS. STEINGASSER: Yes. |
| 9 | COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: I got you. All right, |
| 10 | thank you. |
| 11 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, so any other questions or |
| 12 | comments? Vice Chair Miller? |
| 13 | VICE CHAIR MILLER: Okay, just quickly, Mr. |
| 14 | Chairman. Yeah, I wanted to thank Office of Planning for |
| 15 | listening and learning and, as we all do. And I appreciate |
| 16 | this proposed restructuring and reorganizing. |
| 17 | I think it gets back to what the original purpose |
| 18 | of one of the original main purposes of ZR16 was, which |
| 19 | was to simplify, reduce redundancy, reduce duplication, make |
| 20 | it more easily understandable to practitioners, the public, |
| 21 | us. |
| 22 | So I think that's all very useful. I think it'd |
| 23 | be important for Office of Planning and Office of Zoning to |
| 24 | work with OAG to make sure that when this is set down for a |
| l | |

25 hearing or a series of hearings, for the later ones, that

1 it's -- that there are no substantive changes being proposed 2 to the zoning text. It's strictly a reorganization and restructuring 3 and I don't know if we can restrict, in the hearing notice, that don't 5 we're not that we want substantive recommendations for changing. 6 7 But when you have a whole chapter out there, people might think that it's up for grabs. So we have to make that clear, that it's the reorganization and restructuring and it isn't the whole zoning regulations that 10 11 are suddenly open for --12 MS. STEINGASSER: No, not at all, and we've said 13 on the first page of our report, our, emphatically, that this does not represent any substantive The substantive changes that are out there are 15 changes. 16 separate cases. 17 We've noted where they would be placed in the reorganization, but they are not in debate as part of this 18 19 case. COMMISSIONER MAY: And I understood that. 2.0 I iust 21 think that when we -- as you prepare the hearing notice, as we prepare the hearing notice, that just needs to be upfront 22 and in bold so that there isn't any misunderstanding as to 23 what this is about. 24

CHAIRMAN HOOD:

25

I would agree, so we can cut down

on any confusion, maybe we need to -- I don't know, we do color. Sometimes I put things in red, in bold letters, bigger font. And you may want to consider, through your report, maybe you can put it on Page 1, Page 20 and kind of give us a reference to keep remembering that we're not doing any substantive stuff here.

MS. STEINGASSER: Okay.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: So I think that would also help the public out. It'll definitely help us out when we're getting ready to start to deal with it, okay?

All right, anything else? Okay, would somebody like to make a motion?

MR. TONDRO: Chairman Hood?

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes?

MR. TONDRO: So just one quick question, picking up on Commissioner May's comment. I'm wondering, for the public hearing notice, I just want to clarify with the Commission but also give OP an opportunity to respond if they think differently, if the appropriate thing would be to propose the repeal and replacement with the new text. That way, it's nice and clean, but -- so you would just see what the next text is.

But then, with an appendix being the crosswalk that OP just referenced so that people have that ability to figure out what were the old sections, where are the new

2.0

| 1 | sections. But at the same time, they're not confused by all |
|----|---|
| 2 | of the cross-outs and so forth. They just see the clean new |
| 3 | text, as what it would be. |
| 4 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: You all fine with that? You'll |
| 5 | all fine? |
| 6 | VICE CHAIR MILLER: I'm fine with it, but I think |
| 7 | the appendix with the redline version should be somehow made |
| 8 | available so that people |
| 9 | can actually see it for themselves and don't think that we're |
| 10 | hiding anything. |
| 11 | MS. STEINGASSER: Well, we could always well, |
| 12 | we could make a reference that there's a full redline |
| 13 | available on the Office of Zoning website in this case file |
| 14 | rather than publish because it'll be about 130 pages of |
| 15 | redline. |
| 16 | COMMISSIONER MAY: Right. That's why I didn't |
| 17 | print it out either, so |
| 18 | MS. STEINGASSER: Yeah, and then we could just do |
| 19 | either of the options that we'll be bringing to you for the |
| 20 | other |
| 21 | COMMISSIONER MAY: I think that's useful. |
| 22 | COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: I would go along with that |
| 23 | because I think we're all worried about the optics. And at |
| 24 | least people have got a chance to go back, get into the weeds |
| 25 | if they want to and see all the markups. But I would be in |
| | |

| 1 | favor of that. |
|----|---|
| 2 | MS. STEINGASSER: Okay. |
| 3 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, so seeing as that we're |
| 4 | making some progress |
| 5 | MS. SCHELLIN: There's a few. |
| 6 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: There's probably a few other |
| 7 | people. |
| 8 | VICE CHAIR MILLER: If he heard that. |
| 9 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yeah, but actually that's good. |
| 10 | We need verifiers, read and verify. We need that. All that |
| 11 | helps us to make our decision. |
| 12 | All right, so I'm not sure what kind of motion to |
| 13 | make so I'll let somebody else make it. |
| 14 | COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Mr. Chair, I would move |
| 15 | that we set down Zoning Case Number 19-27, Office of Planning |
| 16 | text amendment to Subtitles D, E and F for zoning |
| 17 | reorganization and look for a second. |
| 18 | VICE CHAIR MILLER: Second. |
| 19 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, it's been moved and properly |
| 20 | seconded. And I will just make a friendly amendment that we |
| 21 | also include some of the comments of the discussions that we |
| 22 | |
| 23 | COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Actually all the comments |
| 24 | that we've talked about. |
| 25 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right, it's been moved and |

1 properly seconded. And it's been accepted both by the --2 make it a motion and a second to the motion. Any further discussion? 3 4 All in favor, aye. 5 (Chorus of ayes.) 6 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Not hearing any -- any opposition? 7 Not hearing any, Ms. Schellin, please record the vote. 8 Staff records the vote 5 to zero MS. SCHELLIN: 9 to zero to set down Zoning Commission Case Number 19-27 as rulemaking case. Commissioner Turnbull moving, Commissioner 10 11 Miller seconding. Commissioners Hood, May and Shapiro in 12 support. 13 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Do we have a status report, Ms. Steingasser? 15 MS. STEINGASSER: Yes, sir. I wanted to just give 16 the Commission a heads-up on something that Office of Planning's been working on as a result of both our work on 17 the comprehensive plan and through the mayor's recent housing 18 equity report and the mayor's order on housing. 19 2.0 And that has to do with this concept of what we're 21 calling anecdotally IZ Plus. And it's a way to look at capturing more housing and affordable housing through the up-22 zonings that are possible under changes to the future land 23 24 use map.

And so there are many areas of the future land use

map where the designation of anticipated land use and density has been changed. It's what we casually now all up-FLUM'ed, where it's the future land use map has increased the density.

Mostly it's around Metro stations, along major corridors and large properties like Armed Forces Retirement Home where there'll be large amounts of land coming into the city's system.

And we've been looking at various ways to capture some of that density and make sure that it meets the purpose of the up-FLUMing, which is to get that more housing and more affordable housing. So we've been looking at this concept of inclusionary zoning-plus, and it would be -- now we're going to be bringing forward in December, hopefully, some concepts for set down.

It won't be exact text language, but, as the Commission may remember, when we first brought IZ forward, we did a series of round tables and some concepts. And we would like to start that as well, looking at both a sliding scale where the more increase, the more the IZ percentage would go up.

We're also looking at PUDs. What we're seeing, you know, we're seeing a little bit of slowdown, though a bit more interest in the Planned Unit Developments and how that moves forward. And since there seems to be some reticence in doing a whole lot of PUD work right now, we're seeing more

2.0

1 and more pressure from APIMEM and therefore we started 2 thinking about how do we get that message out there that -why OP has brought forward a lot of the change in the future 3 land use map has to do with meeting the mayor's goals on 5 housing, affordable housing. So I just wanted to kind of give you a heads-up 6 7 that we'll be bringing that forward next month. And if you had any comments you wanted us to look at before we bring it 8 And again, it's just coming to the Commission as 9 back? concepts for public comment, yeah, so we're going to ask you 10 11 to set down the concepts and then we'll work through the 12 language afterwards. All 13 CHAIRMAN HOOD: right, any comments? I quess, Commissioner May? 15 COMMISSIONER MAY: No, that's fantastic. I mean, 16 this is something I've -- we've struggled with on PUDs where we have, in some cases, massive up-zonings and, you know, 17 where they were proffering 10 percent and calling 18 it It would be great to have a sliding scale. 19 exceptional. Is 2.0 the idea that a sliding scale could actually apply in 21 straight-up map amendments as well and not just PUDs? 22 Well, this would apply only to MS. STEINGASSER: 23 map amendments. 24 COMMISSIONER MAY: Only to map amendments?

MS. STEINGASSER:

25

Only to map amendments, right.

| | 0.5 |
|----|--|
| 1 | COMMISSIONER MAY: But it could be a guide for how |
| 2 | we handle a PUD? |
| 3 | MS. STEINGASSER: It could be, mm-hmm. |
| 4 | COMMISSIONER MAY: Right. |
| 5 | MS. STEINGASSER: Yeah. |
| 6 | COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay, because I'd always been |
| 7 | thinking of it in terms of PUDs, but that was back when we |
| 8 | were doing PUDs a lot, right. |
| 9 | MS. STEINGASSER: Right, and because we're seeing |
| 10 | now so many people preferring to go with a map amendment, we |
| 11 | feel like we're losing a lot of the benefits and amenities |
| 12 | that we were getting, especially for affordable housing. |
| 13 | COMMISSIONER MAY: Yeah. |
| 14 | MS. STEINGASSER: Okay. |
| 15 | COMMISSIONER MAY: Think big. Okay, thanks. |
| 16 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: Ms. Steingasser, I'm not sure if |
| 17 | you're able to answer this tonight, but I was just you |
| 18 | know, I have to ask my normal questions that I haven't asked |
| 19 | for a while. But this question is what's the status of |
| 20 | and I purposely stay away from some of those conversations. |
| 21 | What's the status of the Comp Plan? I don't know if you're |
| 22 | I mean, where are we at? Where is it at? |
| 23 | MS. STEINGASSER: Well, the Office of Planning, |
| 24 | the framework element, the very first element the city |
| 25 | council has adopted. The rest of the elements, the remaining |

2 and they're now out for public review. We're encouraging people, if they have comments 3 on that, to work through their ANCs and have the ANC provide the resolution to the Office of Planning. We expect, let's 5 see, at the end of January, the comment period, the review 6 7 period will close and we will then spend a couple months responding to all the ANC resolutions that we have. We'll resolutions take the and the comments the recommendations and pass them to the City Council, probably 10 11 in late Spring. 12 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. All right. Thank you, so --13 and I appreciate you all entertaining my question about short-term rental. 15 Yes, sir. MS. STEINGASSER: CHAIRMAN HOOD: That's back behind us now, so I 16 17 may ask from time to time, an update on what's going on with the Comp Plan, so --18 And we'd be happy to do it. 19 STEINGASSER: Right now, we're in the middle of public comments. 2.0 The --21 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Is my time up? I'm sorry. 22 STEINGASSER: No, you get at least 23 We are out doing presentations and of the process, minutes. one in every ward, and I think this week it's Ward 5 -- 5,4, 24 25 3.

23, the Office of Planning has released our recommendations

| 1 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: Oh, that's okay, 5. |
|----|---|
| 2 | MS. STEINGASSER: And 2, I think are the next |
| 3 | couple of weeks. |
| 4 | CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. All right, so thank you |
| 5 | very much. Anything else up here? |
| 6 | VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yeah, I just wanted thank |
| 7 | you for sharing. I just wanted to concur with my colleague |
| 8 | that I think it's, in general, since all we have is a general |
| 9 | concept, it's a great concept and I look forward to seeing |
| 10 | more specificity for this inclusionary zoning plus proposals |
| 11 | that we're calling IZ-Plus? |
| 12 | MS. STEINGASSER: IZ-Plus is what we're calling |
| 13 | it. |
| 14 | VICE CHAIR MILLER: Are there going to be any |
| 15 | other I know we've talked about content other ways to |
| 16 | strengthen IZ? I guess this is not part of that concept, |
| 17 | whether it's removing certain exemptions that exist under the |
| 18 | existing regulation for certain geographical areas or |
| 19 | MS. STEINGASSER: We're not we're looking |
| 20 | we are looking at different parts of IZ. We're looking more |
| 21 | broadly at barriers to housing, and that's something the |
| 22 | mayor's order asked us to do. So we are looking through the |
| 23 | zoning regulations of just areas where there are barriers to |
| 24 | housing and how can we make amendments that might facilitate |
| 25 | |

And is the IZ-Plus concept 1 VICE CHAIR MILLER: 2 going to include more specificity on the size of units than 3 the existing IZ regulations in terms of trying to get a goal of more family-sized units, for example, or --It'll be one of the 5 MS. STEINGASSER: VICE CHAIR MILLER: 6 Okav. 7 MS. STEINGASSER: Yeah. 8 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Great. And I started to look 9 through the hundred of pages of your -- of the land use map elements and great to see all the work that's gone into that 10 11 effort to try to capture -- to increase density around the 12 Metro stops and major commercial corridors so that we can get the benefits of affordable housing, mostly, from such kind 13 of increased elements, so thank you. 15 MS. STEINGASSER: There's a -- on the OP website or the Comp Plan link from the OP website, there's a great 16 map we borrowed from the Office of Zoning's website where you 17 can scroll back and forth over property and see what's 18 19 existing on the future land map and what's use 2.0 proposed. So you can kind of zoom in. It's really a fabulous 21 tool. 22 All right, anything else on that? CHAIRMAN HOOD: 23 All right, Ms. Schellin, do we have anything else? All

to put Paul Young's name in my opening because I always leave

right, I want to thank everyone.

24

25

Ms. Schellin, I need you

| 1 | Paul out, and I don't want to leave Paul does a fabulous |
|----|--|
| 2 | job from what I'm hearing from the public, so I want to make |
| 3 | sure that I also include him in our opening statement. |
| 4 | So I want to thank everyone for their |
| 5 | participation in this meeting tonight. And this meeting is |
| 6 | adjourned. |
| 7 | (Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the |
| 8 | record at 8:20 p.m.) |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |

<u>CERTIFICATE</u>

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Regular Meeting

Before: DC Zoning Commission

Date: 11-18-19

Place: Washington, DC

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Court Reporter

near aus 9