GOVERNMENT OF

THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT

+ + + + +

PUBLIC MEETING

+ + + + +

WEDNESDAY

OCTOBER 2, 2019

+ + + + +

The Regular Public Meeting convened in the Jerrily R. Kress Memorial Hearing Room, Room 220 South, 441 4th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., 20001, pursuant to notice at 9:30 a.m., Frederick L. Hill, Chairperson, presiding.

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT MEMBERS PRESENT:

FREDERICK L. HILL, Chairperson LORNA JOHN, Board Member CARLTON HART, Board Member (NCPC)

ZONING COMMISSION MEMBER[S] PRESENT:

PETER SHAPIRO District Resident Appointee

OFFICE OF ZONING STAFF PRESENT:

CLIFFORD MOY, Secretary

D.C. OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL PRESENT:

PAUL GOLDSTEIN, ESQ.

The transcript constitutes the minutes from the Public Meeting held on OCTOBER 2, 2019.

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

2 | 9:40 a.m.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: All riaht, good morning The hearing will please come to order. We're located in the Jerrily R. Kress Memorial Hearing Room at 441 4th Street, N.W. This is the October 2nd public hearing of the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the District of Columbia. My name is Fred Hill, Chairperson. Joining me today is Carlton Hart, Vice Chair, Lorna John, Board Member, representing the Zoning Commission is Peter Shapiro.

Copies of today's hearing agenda are available to you and are located on the wall bin near the door. Please be advised that this proceeding is being recorded by a court reporter and is also webcast live. Accordingly, we must ask you to refrain from any disruptive noises or actions in the hearing room. When presenting information to the Board, please turn on and speak into the microphone, first stating your name and home address. When you're finished speaking, please turn off your microphone so that your microphone is no longer picking up sound or background noise. All persons planning to testify either in favor or in opposition must have raised their hand and been sworn in by the Secretary.

Also, each witness must fill out two witness cards. These cards are located on the table near the door

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

and on the witness table. Upon coming forward to speak to the Board, please give both cards to the reporter sitting at the table to my right. If you wish to file written testimony or additional supporting documents today, please submit one original and 12 copies to the Secretary for distribution. If you do not have the requisite number of copies, you can reproduce copies on an office printer in the Office of Zoning located across the hall. Please remember to collate your set of copies.

The order for procedures for special exceptions, variances, and appeals are also listed as you come in through the door. The record shall be closed at the conclusion of each case, except for any materials specifically requested by the Board. The Board and the staff will specify at the end of the hearing exactly what is expected and the date when the persons must submit the evidence to the Office of Zoning.

After the record is closed, no other information shall be accepted by the Board. The Board's agenda includes cases set for decision. After the Board adjourns, the Office of Zoning in consultation with myself will determine whether a full or summary order may be issued. A full order is required when the decision it contains is adverse to a party, including an affected ANC. A full order may also be needed if the Board's decision differs from the Office of Planning's recommendation.

2.0

Although the Board favors the use of summary orders whenever possible, an applicant may not request the Board to issue such an order. The District of Columbia Administrative Procedures Act requires that the public hearing on each case be held in the open before the public pursuant to Section 405(b) and 406 of that Act.

The Board may, consistent with its rules of procedures and the Act, enter into a closed meeting on a case for purposes of seeking legal counsel on a case, pursuant to D.C. Official Code Section 2-575(b)(4) and/or deliberating on a case pursuant to D.C. Official Code Section 2-575(b)(13), but only after providing the necessary public notice and, in the case of an emergency closed meeting, after taking a roll call vote.

The decision of the Board in cases must be based exclusively on the public record. To avoid any appearance to the contrary, the Board request that persons present not engage the members of the Board in conversation. Please turn off all beepers and cell phones at this time so as to not disrupt the proceedings.

Preliminary matters are those which relates whether a case will or should be heard today such as a request for a postponement, continuance or withdrawal, or whether proper and adequate notice of the hearing has been given. If you're not prepared to go forward with the case

2.0

today or if you believe the Board should not proceed, now is 1 2 the time to raise such a matter. Mr. Secretary, do we have any preliminary matters? 3 Good morning Mr. Chairman, members of 4 MR. MOY: 5 I do have a slight announcement regarding the the Board. 6 cases on today's docket. First, Case No. 20008, this is the 7 application of Brighter Chapter, has been withdrawn by the 8 applicant. Case Application No. 19984 of 2908 N Street, S.E. 9 has been postponed and rescheduled to December 11th, 2019, 10 as well as Case No. 20053 of Mohammed Sikder also rescheduled 11 to December 11th, 2019. 12 There other preliminary were matters, Mr. 13 Chairman, but I would suggest that the Board address those 14 when I call the case. 15 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, great. Thank you, Mr. 16 Good morning everybody. I'm sure everyone's just 17 excited about the Nats' win last night, and we're all just 18 ready here to stay a whole day together, at least the ones 19 that are going to be here until the end. And then if you are 2.0 planning on testifying, if you wouldn't mind standing and 21 taking the oath administered by the Secretary to my left. 22 [WITNESSES SWORN.] 23 Thank you, ladies and gentlemen. MR. MOY: You 24 may consider yourselves under oath. 25 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. So if you pulled an agenda, we are going to switch a couple of things around because there are some Commissioners here, ANC Commissioners here with us today and they have a little bit of a time constraint. I don't know where one of them is going, but maybe at a break I'll figure that out. So basically we're going to go -- we're going to do our meeting cases, and then we're going to start with 20097, followed by 20107.

And then we're going to jump to 20061, and then 20104, and there's a chance that's where we'll take a lunch break, I'm not really sure. And then we're going to come back onto the first page and get to 20105, followed by 20098, and then the rest, okay? So Mr. Moy, you can start us off with the meeting case.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. So that would MR. MOY: 18471-B, that's Application No. В in as Universalist National Memorial Church. This is a request for a modification of consequence to Conditions No. 1 and Condition No. 2. Then BZA Order No. 18471-A, in order to permit an increase in the total number of performances allowed per year and to modify the time limit of the order from six years to ten years.

This is in an existing building, RA-8 zoned at premises 1810 16th Street, N.W., Square 177-N, Lot 802.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. Is the Board ready to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

deliberate? Okay. I wasn't sure where I was on this in terms of whether or not I wanted to ask some questions of the applicant, but I think I can discuss this with everybody and we can see where we get. Because there was some -- well, after viewing the record determining what I thought about the modification of consequence, I guess they are upping it from 60 to 96 and they're trying to get from six to ten years, and I thought it was a little bit of an increase that I had some questions.

But then after reading the ANC report, and also in addition to that the ANC report had a couple of conditions themselves, one of which kind of dealt with parking, which it seems as though the applicant did address in the record, and so I would I quess be somewhat -- well I wouldn't comfortable. I would have liked, as I said, to have more of an opportunity to ask more questions. But I don't think that it's necessary to have a hearing unless the Board thinks otherwise. And then there was also the, what was the other condition? the parking, And so I quess, was So I guess overall after reading the ANC's report addressed. as well as that with the Office of Planning, I could be in favor of this application. But I would like to hear what my colleagues have to say.

VICE CHAIRPERSON HART: Yeah. This case, actually the ANC 2B report, Exhibit 10, they actually requested kind

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

of two things in the one condition I guess, or the issue that they raised. One of them was about advertisements for events that promote transit, walking, and biking. That's kind of related to parking, and then there's one that's talking about parking when parking is actually needed, to direct people to where their parking, designated parking lot is located, so that they would not take up on street parking spaces. So I think that they are kind of related concerns that the ANC raised.

It seems as though, as you're right, the applicant provided some information, additional information regarding how they are meeting -- how they are currently addressing, kind of promoting transit and walking. They didn't really have much about biking in there, but I think that they actually probably listening to this, they can understand that that may be something that they add to their existing website in addition to what they already have there.

And again, the applicant has provided information about parking and where parking is relative to where their site is, and that's also some information that's provided on the website as well. So when people kind of go, you know, to the website they can find that.

And regarding the actual case itself, I didn't think that we needed to have a hearing on it. I think that it's fairly straightforward. They are asking for additional

2.0

time. Well, they're adding, they're asking for modifications to their existing order so that they really can deal with, better with certainty for leasing purposes for the tenant that they have.

Also I guess there was some ADA funding that was available from the D.C. government, and so they wanted to be able -- and that funding was, I guess, also tied to this request in somewhat of an indirect way. I just felt that they have been in operation for a number of years now, and I would not have a -- and I think that they have -- we haven't gotten any objection from anyone and we do actually have the ANC 2B approval, or at least the recommendation for approval.

So I could be able to support this. I felt that they met the criteria under the zoning regulations as well. So that's where I stand.

I agree. I don't see the need for a hearing, as long as just to be clear that the modification that's being requested is limited to the two specific conditions. There were a total of ten conditions in the original BZA Order, and they're looking for a modification of those two. As long as that's clear, then I don't see a need for a hearing either. That's all I have, Mr. Chair.

MEMBER JOHN: Mr. Chairman, I agree. I thought

2.0

2.3

that the applicant made a good case for extending the term based on the need to have certainty in negotiating a new lease with the theater company, and they also demonstrated that getting this additional revenue is important for them to remain financially viable and to obtain this grant that's needed for the ADA improvements. I also agree that the remaining conditions should be unchanged and only those two conditions should be changed, and that they have made a good argument that there's no parking impact because of their relationship with the Masonic Temple. So I can support the agreement, the application. Okay, all right. CHAIRPERSON HILL: So then I'll go ahead and make a motion to approve Application No. 18471-B, as captioned and read by the Secretary for the change of the two Conditions No. 1 and No. 2, which is that the performance will increase from 60 to 96 annually, and that the period will extend from six to ten years, and all the remaining conditions will remain in place, and ask for a second. MEMBER JOHN: Second. CHAIRPERSON HILL: The motion made and seconded. All those in favor say aye? (Chorus of aye.) All those opposed? CHAIRPERSON HILL: (No response.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

1	CHAIRPERSON HILL: The motion passes. Mr. Moy.
2	MR. MOY: Staff would record the vote as 4 to 0
3	to 1, this is on the motion of Chairman Hill to approve the
4	request for modification for the change in the two
5	conditions, as well as continuing the remaining conditions
6	on the BZA Order. Seconding the motion is Ms. John. Also
7	in support Vice Chair Hart and Zoning Commissioner Peter
8	Shapiro. We have no other Board members with us today.
9	CHAIRPERSON HILL: All right. Thank you Mr. Moy.
10	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the
11	record at 9:53 a.m.)
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
I	ı

<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Public Meeting

Before: DC BZA

Date: 10-02-19

Place: Washington, DC

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Court Reporter

near 1 aus 8