GOVERNMENT
OF
THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

ZONING COMMISSION

+ + + + +

PUBLIC MEETING

+ + + + +

MONDAY

JULY 29, 2019

+ + + + +

The Regular Public Meeting of the District of Columbia Zoning Commission convened in the Jerrily R. Kress Memorial Hearing Room, Room 220 South, 441 4th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., 20001, pursuant to notice at 6:30 p.m., Anthony J. Hood, Chairman, presiding.

ZONING COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT:

ANTHONY J. HOOD, Chairman
PETER G. MAY, Commissioner (NPS)
ROBERT MILLER, Vice Chair
PETER SHAPIRO, Commissioner
MICHAEL G. TURNBULL, FAIA, Commissioner (AOC)

OFFICE OF ZONING STAFF PRESENT:

SHARON S. SCHELLIN, Secretary

OFFICE OF PLANNING STAFF PRESENT:

JENNIFER STEINGASSER, Deputy Director, Development Review & Historic Preservation

JOEL LAWSON
ELISA VITALE
ANNE FOTHERGILL
CRYSTAL MYERS
BRANDICE ELLIOTT

D.C. OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL PRESENT:

MAXIMILIAN TONDRO ALEXANDRA CAIN PAUL GOLDSTEIN

The transcript constitutes the minutes from the Regular meeting held on July 29, 2019.

C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S

Case No. 16-23/Motion passed 5-0-0 Valor Development LLC
Case No. 19-10 Citizens for Responsible Development
Case No. 07-18F Jemal's Up Against the Wall
Case No. 14-14A Jemal's CDC, LLC
Case No. 16-13E JS Congress Holding LLC
Case No. 12-02A 50 Florida Ave. Development Assoc. LLC
Case No. 19-04 Office of Planning Text Amendment to Establish Community Renewable Energy Facility 24
Case No. 19-09 Kenilworth Avenue North LLC
Case No. 08-34K Capital Crossing IV LLC
Case No. 19-14 Office of Planning Text Amendment to Subtitles C, D, E and X
Nonconforming Structures
Case No. 14-12E Clarion Gables Multifamily Trust LP et al 40
Case No. 19-15

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

2	(6:49 p.m.)
3	CHAIRMAN HOOD: This meeting will come to order.
4	Good evening ladies and gentleman, this is the public meeting
5	of the Zoning Commission for the District of Columbia.
6	My name is Anthony Hood. Joining me are Vice
7	Chair Miller, Commissioner Shapiro, Commissioner May, and
8	Commissioner Turnbull. We are also joined by Office of
9	Zoning Staff Ms. Sharon Schellin.
10	Office of Attorney General Mr. Goldstein, Mr.
11	Tondro, Ms. Cain. Office of Planning Staff Ms. Steingasser,
12	Mr. Lawson, and Ms. Vitale, and I think we have others who
13	are in the audience and they will introduce themselves at the
L4	appropriate time.
15	We do not take any public testimony at our
16	meetings unless we ask someone to come forward. So with
17	that, Ms. Schellin, do we have any preliminary matters?
18	MS. SCHELLIN: Yes. Staff would ask the
19	Commission to consider voting on having closed meetings in
20	September for the two public hearings, prior to the two
	public meetings, I'm sorry, in September.
22	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. All right. As Chairman of
23	the Zoning Commission for the District of Columbia, I move
24	that the Zoning Commission hold the following closed
25	meetings, September 9th and September 23rd, 2019 at 6:00 p.m.

1	For the purpose to seek legal advice from counsel
2	405(b)(4) and to deliberate upon not voting on contested
3	cases per 405(b)(13) and to enact D.C. official code 2-
4	575(b)(4) and (13). Is there a second?
5	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Second.
6	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay then. Will the Secretary
7	please come up and call the roll on the motion before us now,
8	that has been seconded?
9	MS. SCHELLIN: Chairman Hood?
10	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes.
11	MS. SCHELLIN: Vice Chair Miller?
12	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yes.
13	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Shapiro?
14	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Yes.
15	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner May?
16	COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes.
17	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Turnbull?
18	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Yes.
19	MS. SCHELLIN: Motion carries.
20	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. One second. As it appears
21	the motion has passed, I request that the Office of Zoning
22	provide notice of these closed meetings in accordance with
23	the Act. Anything else on that, Ms. Schellin?
24	MS. SCHELLIN: No, sir.
25	CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right. Let's go right to our

1	Agenda colleagues. I think what we're going to do, we're
2	going to do Advance Party Status, after which I would suggest
3	that we move up the correspondence item and then go from
4	there. Any objections?
5	COMMISSIONER MAY: Do we want to do the
6	correspondence first?
7	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Want to do the
8	correspondence first, that's fine. I thought the Advance
9	Party Status might go quicker, but maybe you know something
10	I don't, so let's do the correspondence item first.
11	Okay, Ms. Schellin, would you call the let me
12	call it, Zoning Commission Case Number 16-34 Valor
13	Development Inc. Motion to withdraw the case. Ms. Schellin.
14	MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, sir. At Exhibit 439, there's
15	a motion from the applicant to withdraw the design review
16	application as they are planning to proceed with the PUD
17	application that they filed, which has a hearing scheduled
18	for October 7th. So I would ask the Commission to consider
19	this motion from the applicant. CHAIRMAN HOOD: All
20	right. Commissioners, we have the request before us. Any
21	deliberations, conversations, questions, comments? No
22	objection? Okay.
23	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Yeah, I have no objection,
24	Mr. Chair.
25	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. No objection. Would

1 somebody like to make a motion? 2 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Mr. Chair, I would move --3 how do you want to say this -- that we grant Zoning Case 16-23, Valor Development LLC, a voluntary design 5 review, applicant's request to withdraw application. 6 for a second. 7 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Second. 8 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. It's been moved and 9 properly seconded, again we are accepting the request to 10 Any further discussion? All in favor? withdraw. 11 (Chorus of ayes.) 12 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any opposition? Not hearing any. So ordered. Ms. Schellin, will you please record the vote? 13 14 MS. SCHELLIN: Staff records the vote 5-0-0 to grant the motion to withdraw the case in Zoning Commission 15 Number 16-23.Commissioner Turnbull 16 Case Commissioner Shapiro seconding, Commissioners Hood, May and 17 Miller in support. 18 Next I think we can go to 19 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. our Advance Party Status. Next Zoning Commission Case Number 2.0 21 19-10, Valor Development LLC, Request for Advance Party 22 Status. 23 This is a request from Citizens for Responsible 24 Development, represented by Mr. Ed Donohue. I know sometimes

I get that name mixed up, so I want to make sure I look at

1 it before I pronounce it. Ms. Schellin, first is Mr. Donohue 2 here or a representative? The Party themselves are here. 3 MS. SCHELLIN: 4 CHAIRMAN HOOD: The Party is here. Okay. All 5 Ms. Schellin, you have anything else you want to tee 6 up? 7 MS. SCHELLIN: Just as you said, it's Exhibit 17, the Citizens for Responsible Development, and that's it in And they're also requesting that their expert opposition. witnesses -- or that their witnesses be granted expert status 10 11 this evening. And the applicant had seven days to file 12 opposition and also the ANC if they chose to do so, neither did so. 13 14 CHAIRMAN HOOD: I'm going to ask t.he representative if they can come up and identify themselves 15 for the record. 16 17 Yes, I'm Shelly Repp. I'm Officer of MR. REPP: Citizens for Responsible Development. I'm the person that 18 19 signed the Form 140 that your request for Advance Party 2.0 Status. 21 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you very much, Mr. Repp, for putting that on the record. Let's open it up to 23 colleagues and see, questions or comments. We have a request in front of us for Citizens for Responsible Development --24 25 I better get used to saying that. Vice Chair Miller.

1	VICE CHAIR MILLER: I support the request.
2	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. We have no objections. I
3	would move that we grant Party Status. There has been no
4	objections in the record, as well as no objections by my
5	colleagues, that we grant Party Status to Citizens for
6	Responsible Development, Zoning Commission Case Number 19-10,
7	and I ask for a second.
8	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Second.
9	CHAIRMAN HOOD: It's been moved and properly
10	seconded. Any further discussion? All in favor?
11	(Chorus of ayes.)
12	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any opposition? Not hearing any.
13	Ms. Schellin, would you please record the vote?
14	Ms. Douglas are you here for 19-10?
15	MS. DOUGLAS: You excuse me please, I just had
16	some concerns. I don't know if I have the opportunity to
17	speak.
18	CHAIRMAN HOOD: For 19-10?
19	MS. DOUGLAS: Yes, sir. I do believe so, from me,
20	I didn't get that information.
21	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Ms. Douglas, what I would like for
22	you to do is okay. Ms. Schellin, could you go down and
23	just do me a favor and just let Ms. Douglas know what this
24	case is.
25	MS. SCHELLIN: Yes. So she wants to come over.

1	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Oh, if you can come over. Come
2	over, come see Ms. Schellin and before I move forward, I want
3	to make sure that we are talking about the same thing.
4	MS. DOUGLAS: Okay.
5	CHAIRMAN HOOD: We might I don't think we're
6	talking about the same thing.
7	MS. DOUGLAS: What location is the
8	CHAIRMAN HOOD: It's the Northwest.
9	MS. DOUGLAS: Oh, okay. No we don't.
10	CHAIRMAN HOOD: I was trying not to expose all
11	that. Okay. All right. Thank you, Ms. Douglas.
12	MS. DOUGLAS: Don't hurt to ask.
13	CHAIRMAN HOOD: You're right, it doesn't. Okay.
14	Okay. So what did we do?
15	MS. SCHELLIN: All in favor.
16	CHAIRMAN HOOD: All in favor?
17	(Chorus of ayes.)
18	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any opposition. Not hearing any.
19	Ms. Schellin, would you please record the vote?
20	MS. SCHELLIN: Staff records the vote 5-0-0 to
21	grant Party Status and Opposition to the Citizens for
22	Responsible Development. Commissioner Hood moving,
23	Commissioner Turnbull seconding, Commissioners May, Miller
24	and Shapiro in support.
25	And they also filed a letter if the Commission

1 decides to take it up this or not -- their expert witnesses. 2 CHAIRMAN HOOD: I do know that that was a request, 3 and typically -- colleagues, we typically do that at the hearing. I understand why the ask is here because this is a community, and I know they're putting money out, but let's 5 talk about that. Let me hear from others. Your thoughts on 6 7 that. Vice Chair Miller. 8 appreciate them VICE CHAIR MILLER: Well 9 providing that information in advance, but I think we can deal with it at the time of the hearing, but I don't feel 10 11 strongly about it either way. Anybody else on this? 12 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. So let me just ask, it's not typically our practice. 13 is there a rationale, because the last few requests we had, not just in your area but other areas, but usually turn that 15 -- we usually wait until the night of the hearing. 16 17 Well, all I can say is at a prior MR. REPP: hearing with respect to this development project, we were 18 criticized for not making our request for Party Status 19 20 timely, so and --21 CHAIRMAN HOOD: So you're getting it all in. Well you've done Party Status, which is our normal procedure. 22 23 MR. REPP: But it's -- and also the witnesses, I I'm sorry, the request for expert status for the

witnesses we were --

1	CHAIRMAN HOOD: So I understand that. So you have
2	them you already have them now, so at the time of the
3	hearing they're not going anywhere, they're in the record for
4	us, and just make sure we bring it back up again when the
5	hearing takes place.
6	I think you're covered on that. Because this
7	that's not our normal practice, okay?
8	MR. REPP: All right.
9	CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right. So we're good Mr.
LO	Repp?
11	MR. REPP: I'm good as long as we have the
12	opportunity to bring it up later, so yes.
13	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Sure, sure. Yes, that's normal
L4	process. Okay. Anything else up here? All right. Ms.
L5	Schellin, we need to do anything else with this?
L6	MS. SCHELLIN: No, sir.
L7	CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right. Let's go to one
L8	second. Yeah, let's go to Consent Calendar Items,
L9	modification of consequence. We're going to the termination
20	of these, or scheduling.
21	First let me call Zoning Commission Case Number
22	07-18F. Jemal's Up Against The Wall PUD modification of
23	consequence to square 347. Ms. Schellin.
24	MS. SCHELLIN: The applicant is seeking a
25	modification of consequence as you stated in order to install

1 two new rows of windows located on the third and fourth 2 floors of the building's south facade. 3 At Exhibit 4, you have an OP report in support. 4 In OP's report, they advise that the Historic Preservation Office raised no concerns and stated the proposed windows 5 could be approved without referral to the HPRB. 6 At Exhibit 5 is an ANC 2C report in support. 7 There were no other parties to this case. I would ask the 8 Commission if they do find this is indeed a modification of consequence, that they could proceed with action this evening 10 11 if they choose to do so. 12 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. first. Let. ask me 13 Commissioners: has anyone seen that this needs to come off the calendar as a modification of consequence? Not hearing Are we ready to deliberate? Okay. Would someone like 15 16 to get us started? 17 COMMISSIONER MAY: Mr. Chairman, I don't see any problem with this. We have a pretty straightforward, modest 18 change, and the record is full. 19 We have a report from the ANC in support, so I would say that there's no reason why we 2.0 21 couldn't deliberate tonight. We have no reason to delay it. We wouldn't be receiving any other information before we make 22 a decision, so I'm in favor of proceeding tonight. 23 24 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.

COMMISSIONER TURNBULL:

25

I agree, Mr. Chair.

1	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Anybody else? I would also agree.
2	I think this is a very modest ask. The windows, I think this
3	is pretty straightforward, as has already been stated, and
4	I think the record speaks for itself.
5	So with that, I would move that we approve the
6	request, Zoning Commission Case Number 07-18F, and I ask for
7	a second.
8	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Second.
9	CHAIRMAN HOOD: It's been moved and properly
10	seconded. Any further discussion? All in favor?
11	(Chorus of ayes.)
12	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any opposition? Not hearing any.
13	Ms. Schellin, would you please record the vote?
14	MS. SCHELLIN: Yes. Staff records the vote 5-0-0
15	to grant, I'm sorry, final action in Zoning Commission Case
16	Number 07-18F. Commissioner Hood moving, Commissioner Miller
17	seconding. Commissioners May, Shapiro and Turnbull in
18	support.
19	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Next we have Zoning
20	Commission Case Number 06-04I, Florida & Q Street, LLC, PUD
21	modification of consequence to square 3100. Ms. Schellin.
22	MS. SCHELLIN: So on this one, the applicant is
23	requesting this modification in order to harmonize the
24	architectural drawings that the Commission previously
25	approved with those recently approved by HPRB. In addition,

1 the applicant is seeking to update the community benefits. 2 Exhibit 4 is an OP report in support. The other 3 parties include ANC 5E, Bloomingdale Civic Association, and Eckington Civic Association. No responses have been received 5 so far. 6 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Schellin. 7 Colleagues, does anyone see that this needs to come off the agenda as a modification of consequence? Not hearing any. 9 Schellin, could you proceed, we'll determine Ms. with scheduling. 10 11 MS. SCHELLLIN: Yes, sir. Because the ANCs don't meet in August, I think it might be better if we put this on 12 Does the Commission 13 for the second meeting in September. agree with that? 15 Second meeting in September? CHAIRMAN HOOD: Just because they don't meet 16 MS. SCHELLIN: Yes. in August, and our meeting in September -- our first meeting 17 is the 9th, which is kind of early in the month. 18 19 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Right. I think that's -- the 2.0 second meeting will suffice. Hopefully they'll have 21 meeting by then. So we could just have the 22 MS. SCHELLIN: Right. submissions from the parties, if the applicant could work 23 with those parties and have them submit something by say the 24 25 18th of September, and that would give them I think as much

1 time as they need. And then we can put this on for September 2 23rd. They can get it before then, great. But yeah. CHAIRMAN HOOD: 3 Okay. Anything else on this? 4 MS. SCHELLIN: No, sir. All right. 5 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Next let's go to 6 Zoning Commission Case Number 10-03C, H Street Residental, 7 LLC, PUD modification of consequence to square 912. Ms. Schellin. 8 9 Okay. The applicant is requesting MS. SCHELLIN: this modification for approval of a veterinary hospital use 10 11 in a portion of the ground floor retail space. This also requires a special exception relief from Subtitle H, Section 12 13 1101.4G1C. At Exhibit 4 is an OP report in support of the modification and special exception. 15 As of this writing, we've not received anything from the ANC, and they do not meet until September again. 16 17 CHAIRMAN HOOD: First let me ask, does Okay. anyone see that this should come off as a modification of 18 Commissioner Shapiro. 19 consequence? 2.0 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Т 21 do, actually. I think that this is more appropriately set as a modification of significance -- that there's a request 22 for a special exception for a veterinary hospital. 23 24 There's a proposed change of use, and there's a 25 request for additional relief, and so for those reasons I

1	believe this would be better set as a modification of
2	significance.
3	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. I think that pretty much
4	all it takes is one, but I'm kind of in line with
5	Commissioner Shapiro.
6	COMMISSIONER MAY: Yeah, I agree as well.
7	CHAIRMAN HOOD: So it's more than one. So I guess
8	we will send it back to the applicant, and ask them to
9	resubmit as a modification of significance.
10	MS. SCHELLIN: Yes. They would have to refile
11	this case as a modification of significance and go through
12	what the regulations require.
13	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. So is there anything else
14	we do we need to do anything else on that?
15	MS. SCHELLIN: No, sir.
16	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. All right, next
17	MS. SCHELLIN: I would just, so they know, they
18	would not have to whatever filing fee they've already paid
19	for this case would go towards the modification of
20	significance.
21	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Are they here?
22	MS. SCHELLIN: Yes.
23	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. So they've heard it. Okay.
24	Good. All right. Thank you. Okay. Zoning Commission Case
25	Number 02-26D George Washington University PUD modification

1	of consequence at square 42. Ms. Schellin.
2	MS. SCHELLIN: The applicant is requesting this
3	modification of consequence in order to extend the third
4	expanded use group to December 31, 2020. And I believe the
5	only party in this party was ANC 2A, and although they did
6	go ahead and serve the West End Civic Association and the
7	Foggy Bottom Association.
8	CHAIRMAN HOOD: But 2A was the only party in this
9	case, and they've already responded.
10	MS. SCHELLIN: They have not submitted a report
11	yet.
12	CHAIRMAN HOOD: They have not responded?
13	MS. SCHELLIN: Right.
14	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. So in that case, first let
15	me ask I don't know, I thought they responded.
16	COMMISSIONER MAY: We also didn't have a report
17	from Office of Planning.
18	MS. SCHELLIN: That's correct.
19	COMMISSIONER MAY: Which is unusual.
20	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, they have not served. Okay.
21	Does anyone believe that this should come off as a
22	modification of consequence? No objections to that? Okay.
23	Ms. Schellin, could you do the scheduling?
24	MS. SCHELLIN: Yeah. I think we could keep the
25	same schedule, September 18th from the ANC, and put it on for

1 September 23rd, and of course OP, if we could get theirs the 2 normal 10 days prior, that would be great. So maybe I'm confused, how many 3 CHAIRMAN HOOD: 4 parties was in this case? Just the ANC, right? 5 MS. SCHELLIN: Right. When we looked at the prior -- or the original order 02-26, it only showed the ANC, the 6 7 original order. 8 Okay. All right. CHAIRMAN HOOD: I'll leave it Anything else on this case? 9 at that. All right. 10 No, sir. MS. SCHELLIN: 11 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Next let's go to our 12 deliberations. Zoning Commission Case Number 14-14A, Jemal's CDC, LLC, PUD modification of consequence to square 833. 13 14 Schellin. 15 Since the Commission decided this MS. SCHELLIN: was a modification of consequence, ANC 6C submitted a report 16 of conditional support at Exhibit 7. And then at Exhibits 8-17 8B, you have a submission by the applicant responding to the 18 ANC by providing the documents that it had requested of the 19 2.0 So those are in the record. I'd ask the applicant. 21 Commission to consider taking final action. 22 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Commissioners, I think in this case it looks like the applicant and ANC worked very 23 well with some of the issues that they had with the visitor 24 25 passes and saw how RPP was being used and everything, and I

1 think that was one of the agreements that got them all on the 2 same page. I think a lot of work was done to get us to where 3 they are. While I know that we don't necessarily put that into our order, we will point at it, I believe in our -- and 5 I'm looking at OAG because they'll shake their heads if what 6 7 I'm saying is incorrect. 8 But I think we will point at it within our order So I think this is ready for our vote. as we move forward. Unless others have something else they want to add to that? 10 11 Okay. So with that I would move as the approval and also 12 point to the MOU, Zoning Commission Case Number 14-14A, 13 Jemal's CDC, LLC, PUD modification of consequence to square 833, and I ask for a second. 15 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Second. 16 17 CHAIRMAN HOOD: It's been moved and properly seconded. Any further discussion? All in favor? 18 19 (Chorus of ayes.) CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any opposition? Not hearing. 2.0 21 Schellin, would you please record the vote? The staff records the vote 5-0-022 MS. SCHELLIN: 23 to approve final action for 14-14A. Commissioner Hood moving, Commissioner Miller seconding. 24 Commissioners May, 25 Shapiro and Turnbull in support.

1 If we could have the applicant provide a draft 2 order, that would be great. 3 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Next, Zoning Commission Case Number 16-13E, JS Congress Holdings, LLC, PUD 5 modification of consequence to square 748, lot 78 and 819. 6 Ms. Schellin. The only new submission to 7 MS. SCHELLIN. Yes. the record on this case was a submission to the record that the ANC has notified that they will not be taking this case So the Commission could proceed with action. Thank you. 10 11 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. It's noted the ANC has said they will not be taking the case up. We will take that and 12 So colleagues, any comments on this 13 leave it right there. particular request? Okay. 15 Seems like it's pretty straightforward. Would somebody like to make a motion? And I think the deadlines 16 are in order. Let me, are the deadlines -- the times and the 17 dates in order? Okay. I'll leave it that. 18 Mr. Chair, I would move 19 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: approval of Zoning Case Number 16-13E, JS Congress Holdings, 2.0 21 LLC, PUD modification of consequence at square 748, lots 78 and 819, and I look for a second. 22 23 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Second. 24 CHAIRMAN HOOD: It's been moved and properly 25 Any further discussion? All in favor? seconded.

1	(Chorus of ayes.)
2	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any opposition? Not hearing. Ms.
3	Schellin, would you please record the vote?
4	MS. SCHELLIN: Staff records the vote 5-0-0 to
5	grant final action for Zoning Commission Case Number 16-13E.
6	Commissioner Turnbull moving, Commissioner Miller seconding.
7	Commissioners Hood, May and Shapiro in support.
8	Again, if the applicant is in the audience, if we
9	could have a draft order, that would be great.
10	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Next, let's go to Zoning
11	Commission Case Number 12-02A, 50 Florida Avenue Development
12	Associates LLC, PUD modification of consequence to square
13	3516. Ms. Schellin.
14	MS. SCHELLIN: There were no new submissions to
15	the record on this case. We'd ask the Commission to proceed.
16	The ANC had already responded at Exhibit 2, and the record
17	the Commission was waiting for, a party by the name of Ms.
18	Conkle (phonetic) to respond, and she was given time but did
19	not provide a response.
20	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. And this thank you, Ms.
21	Schellin and this actually happened prior to us changing
22	our regulations. This is a request for condition B4 of
23	Zoning Commission Order 12-02 requiring neighborhood
24	streetscape improvements.

Let me open it up, any questions or comments of

1 the ask? All right. This was already in the pipeline before 2 we made some of our changes, so I don't see any problems with moving this forward and continue to -- because a lot has 3 already been done. A lot of money has already been spent for 5 that particular community. 6 So with that, if I don't hear anything else, I 7 would move that we approve Zoning Commission Case Number 12-50 Florida Avenue Development Associates LLC, 8 02A, modification of consequence to square 3516, and I ask for a second. 10 11 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Second, Mr. Chair, and under discussion, I believe that OAG felt that there was a 12 tweak to clarify that the 13 specific streetscape improvements must be specified prior to the issuance of the certificate of occupancy. 15 But OAG can work with the applicant to finalize those tweaks. 16 17 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Sounds good. Okay. So it's been moved and seconded, with the notation by Commissioner Shapiro 18 for OAG to do their tweaking and clarification. 19 else? It's been moved and seconded. Any further discussion? 2.0 21 All in favor? (Chorus of ayes.) 22 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any opposition? Not hearing any. 23 Ms. Schellin, would you please record the vote? 24

MS. SCHELLIN:

25

Staff records the vote 5-0-0

1 approve final action Zoning Commission Case Number 12-02A. 2 Commissioner Hood moving, Commissioner Shapiro seconding. Commissioners May, Miller and Turnbull in support. 3 4 Again, if the applicant is in the audience, if they could provide a draft order, that would be great. 5 6 Okay. I think we're at final CHAIRMAN HOOD: Zoning Commission Case Number 19-04, Office of 7 action. Planning, Text Amendment to Establish Community Renewable 9 Energy Facility. Ms. Schellin. Yes, sir. On this one at Exhibit 10 MS. SCHELLIN. 11 34 there was an NCPC report advising that they had no issues with this case, and then Exhibits 36-56 are comments that 12 came in after the proposed rulemaking was published in the 13 D.C. Register. So we'd ask the Commission to consider taking final action this evening. Thank you. 15 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay Commissioners, I know we 16 vetted this quite a bit. I saw the comments that came in 17 18 during that proposed time. I didn't see where anything changed my position. 19 2.0 Some of them I don't even know if they were even 21 applicable to what we were even doing here and questioning

Some of them I don't even know if they were even applicable to what we were even doing here and questioning some of our authority, and I'll leave that up to the systems and the other systems to determine that, but I would be in favor of moving forward with what we have here in front of us. But let me open it up to others.

22

23

24

COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Mr. Chair, I share your views.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Is there anyone else?

COMMISSIONER MAY: Mr. Chairman, I think that some of the submissions that we received in opposition, there were multiple letters that were received in opposition, as well as a number of letters in support from solar companies who were in favor of this.

But I think that the opposition is substantial, and I think -- I feel like we're moving ahead with something that needs further consideration, and that the total area that might be permitted for ground based solar facilities should be reduced at the very least from the very large facilities that are now permitted under these -- under the proposed regulations.

You know, there was a petition in opposition with 73 signatures on it. I mean we usually don't get that much between proposed action and final action. But in this case we did, and I just feel like we're stepping into something that's going to result in some very bad circumstances in a number of neighborhoods across the city.

So I am not in favor of these particular proposed regulations, even though I was -- I did vote in favor of the emergency action last time around because I thought it was better than the previous version.

2.0

But as it stands right now, I'm not in favor of it, and I think that we should not approve it and that we should perhaps table it so we can work on it more, or turn it down.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Vice Chair Miller.

VICE CHAIR MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I strongly support moving forward with final action on this community solar zoning text amendment that has been brought forth by the Office of Planning.

I think, at the behest of the District Department of Environment and Energy, I think it's a very important District of Columbia initiative to -- as part of the Solar For All and trying to increase percentage of energy use that is obtained by solar energy.

And it particularly benefits low income District of Columbia residents who don't have access, like others, to individually install solar on their residential homes.

We've restricted this at the behest of those who -- of those neighbors who've opposed it and Commissioner May at least twice as I recall in terms of where it initially started out, in terms of the size of the ground mounted solar array.

And so I think we've found the right balance of where a special exception should be required if it's more than 1.5 acres. I think it started out at five acres or

2.0

three acres, or anyway it started out at least twice as large where it would be matter of right. And now it has a special exception process for a much lower threshold and buffering requirements. And as I pointed out from the very first hearing that the -- what would be allowed as a matter of right in these residential zones would be a much greater impact than a ground array of solar even at 1.5 acres.

If you have 1.5 acres of RF1 or even in a single family zone, as much as I love all the eight neighbors who abut my own single family home, I wouldn't mind having all of them be changed out for solar ray at a lesser height.

And when I hear the construction that goes on, and each one of my neighbors over the period of the last eight years, when they do their renovation, to just be done with. But these are mostly vacant properties as I understand it anyway, not something that's displacing any residential. But the matter of right development that would be allowed in these residential zones would be higher in height than these solar -- ground solar arrays.

They'd have more adverse impact in terms parking, and the rest of it. So Ι just don't understand the resistance from maybe I'm I just don't understand what has been substantial something. that has been raised, but there's also opposition substantial support in the record for this.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

And if we need to tweak it, as we do with all of our zoning regulations since 1958, to improve them, to address issues that we weren't aware, we can do that. But I think this is ready to move forward.

It's an important initiative. I think even with the emergency restrictions, I think we had something in the record that said actually four projects were turned down that would have gone forward under the original proposal, so already it would require a special exception process that wasn't required for some things that were in the pipeline.

So I think that we've struck a right -- we've stricken a right balance, Mr. Chairman, and I think this is an important initiative that we should move forward with tonight on final action.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. I would agree with the Vice Chair. Even though I will say for your neighbors that are watching, I want them to know that you really love them as neighbors.

But I think this is -- we've been about solar energy for a long time, and I'm starting to see it now branch out into other areas of the city, and I don't want this to be a missed opportunity.

This kind of reminds me of when we did the antennas, it was a big thing, then you don't hear nothing about it. But I know times have changed, but it was a lot of pushback, a lot of uncertainty there, you

2.0

1	know, about what it would do to you and all that, but you
2	didn't hear nothing about that after a week or so. So I
3	think this is very important, especially for low income, so
4	everybody can share some of what's going on around here with
5	the newer way to provide solar energy.
6	So I think it's very this to me is very
7	important. It's also one of the city's initiatives, so I'm
8	ready to move forward as well. Anybody else? Okay.
9	Somebody like to make a motion?
10	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Mr. Chairman, I would move
11	that Zoning Commission take final action on Case Number 19-
12	04, Office of Planning, Text Amendment to Establishment
13	Community Renewable Energy Facility, and I ask for a second.
14	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Second.
15	CHAIRMAN HOOD: It's been moved and properly
16	seconded. Any further discussion? And again, I've asked the
17	Office of Planning on a number of occasions, and everyone,
18	if we need to make changes and do some tweaking, let's do it
19	immediately.
20	And this is not the first and only case I've ever
21	asked that, and I'm sure that if you see that we need to make
22	a change, then we will do it at the appropriate time. Any
23	further discussion? All in favor?
24	(Chorus of ayes.)
25	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any opposition?

1	COMMISSIONER MAY: Opposed.
2	CHAIRMAN HOOD: So ordered. Ms. Schellin, would
3	you please record the vote?
4	MS. SCHELLIN: Yes. Staff records the vote 4-1-0
5	to approve final action in Zoning Commission Case Number 19-
6	04. Commissioner Miller moving, Commissioner Shapiro second.
7	Commissioners Hood and Turnbull in support. Commissioner May
8	opposed.
9	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Next, Zoning Commission
10	Case Number 19-09, Kenilworth Avenue North LLC, Voluntary
11	Design Review at square 5113. Ms. Schellin.
12	MS. SCHELLIN: Exhibit 20 is the applicant's post-
13	hearing submission. Exhibit 21 is the applicant's draft
14	order, and Exhibits maybe that's 20A, I'm not sure now
15	reading my own notes, but at 21 and 22 we have two I'm
16	sorry, that was 19 and 20 from the applicant.
17	And then 21 and 22 are submissions from the
18	National Park Service advising about their discussions and
19	meetings with the applicant. So I would ask the Commission
20	to consider final action.
21	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Any discussion? Okay.
22	There's no discussion. It seems like this is pretty
23	straightforward.
24	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Yeah, Mr. Chair, there has
25	been some concern from the Park Service, but the latest

1 letter, Exhibit Number 22 from Mr. Camiso (phonetic) is a 2 follow up to the matters raised in our letter to the Zoning 3 Commission of June 20th, 2019. We recently met with Greg Cardone (phonetic) our 4 partners on the Kenilworth resident's project. 5 While we haven't finalized any plans or wetland mitigations just yet, 6 7 we have agreed to work together to find a solution for both wetland mitigation and resource damage repairs. 8 9 So, it sounds like the reluctance that they had previously has gone away. That they feel confident that they 10 11 can at least try to reach a solution. 12 COMMISSIONER MAY: Yeah. The way I'm interpreting this is that they were not well informed of it as it was 13 being developed and --15 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Yeah. COMMISSIONER MAY: they're now having the 16 conversations they need to have. I mean, I think that was 17 18 from the hearing so, I'm not part of those discussions but staff at the Park, I understand are now 19 talking to them and having productive discussions. 2.0 So, I'm 21 prepared to move forward and vote in favor. 22 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Hold one second. Mr. Chairman. MS. SCHELLIN: 23 24 Mr. Tondro. CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes?

MS. SCHELLIN:

25

Just wanted to point out on the

1	record that in the applicant's post-hearing submission they
2	had requested provisional special exception relief from the
3	IZ requirements that are the subject of Zoning Commission
4	Case Number 04-33I.
5	We've determined that they do not need that
б	special exception relief because that case is not yet been
7	published. So, the regs do not apply to them. So, they do
8	not need that additional special exception relief.
9	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. All right. Thank you.
10	Give me one minute. Mr. Tondro. Okay. So noted since my
11	fellow colleagues Commissioner May has mentioned that he is
12	ready to move forward, vote on this.
13	And also the IZ is not applicable to where we are
14	here today as stated. So, I would move that we approve
15	Zoning Commission Case Number 19-09 Kenilworth Avenue North
16	LLC, Voluntary Design Review, as square 5113 and ask for a
17	second.
18	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Second.
19	CHAIRMAN HOOD: It's been moved and properly
20	second, any further discussion? All in favor?
21	(Chorus of ayes)
22	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any opposition? Not hearing any.
23	Ms. Schellin, would you please record the vote.
24	MS. SCHELLIN: Staff records the vote 5-0-0 to
25	approve final action Zoning Commission Case Number 19-09.

1 Commissioner Hood moving, Commissioner Turnbull seconding. 2 Commissioners Miller, Shapiro and May in support. VICE CHAIR MILLER: 3 Mr. Chairman? 4 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes. Could I ask that if it's 5 VICE CHAIR MILLER: possible that we expedite the order in this case since there 6 7 is another order that might affect them and if there is, this an affordable housing project. 9 that other if IZcase does take effect beforehand then they might have to come back here so, if we 10 could expedite the order then that would address the time 11 concern that they had about, which is why they were asking 12 13 for that perspective relief. If that's possible to expedite this order. 15 But who do we ask? CHAIRMAN HOOD: Sure. VICE CHAIR MILLER: I'm asking, I'm looking at 16 Office of Attorney General since --17 18 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Well whoever's to ask, we asking I don't, somebody's confused --19 that we expedite it. 2.0 The order has been reviewed by our MS. CAIN: 21 office and should be done by middle of this week. 22 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Great. Thank you. That's quick reaction on our Vice 23 CHAIRMAN HOOD: 24 Chair. All right. All right, thank you. Okay, so on the 25 agenda we have, and I should have announced this earlier, I'm

1	sorry, or is it still on the agenda, time extension?
2	Oh, that was taken off the agenda? Okay. I'm
3	looking at two different things up here, that's why. Okay.
4	All right. So, we don't need to do anything with that?
5	That's been taken off the agenda?
6	MS. CAIN: Mr. Chairman, you do have preliminary
7	issues on that case that we advise that you address tonight.
8	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. So, we'll, can we do that
9	at the end?
10	MS. CAIN: Yes.
11	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. All right. Let's going to
12	hearing actually. Zoning Commission Case Number 08-34K
13	Capital Crossings IV LLC, modification of significance of
14	first stage PUD, square 566.
15	Ms. Fothergill? See, I have to look and see who's
16	smiling or hits their mic and then I know whose case it is.
17	MS. FOTHERGILL: Good evening Chairman Hood and
18	members of the Commission. For the record, I'm Anne
19	Fothergill with the Office of Planning.
20	OP recommends that the Zoning Commission set down
21	for a public hearing that proposed modification of
22	significance to the approved Capital Crossings Stage 1 PUD.
23	The overall PUD sit is three blocks and the
24	proposed modification will be for the center block as you can
25	see in the slide on your monitor. The applicant is proposing

to include lodging and college or university educational uses 1 within the center block building that was approved for 2 commercial use with office and ground floor retail. 3 The building would continue to have the approved 4 ground floor retail use and there would be no change to the 5 6 building's approved overall height, bulk and density. 7 Lodging and college or university educational uses are permitted in the C4 zone. The applicant would confirm the use and provide detailed plans for the new building as part of a future second stage application, which must be 10 11 filed by July 2021. And that concludes my report and I'm happy to take 12 13 any questions. 14 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okav. Т know I should have probably said this at the very beginning but I want to wish 15 everyone a safe August and the rest of the summer. 16 our last meeting. 17 18 We do have a hearing on Wednesday, which I don't know whether it's Mr. Turnbull or who scheduled that one for 19 Wednesday but we do have another meeting coming up 2.0 21 Wednesday so, but I wish everyone to have a great August off. I'm sure we'll enjoy ours and I hope you enjoy yours. 22 23 Okay. So, any question on this case or comments? Vice Chair Miller? 24 Anybody?

VICE CHAIR MILLER:

25

I support setting this down

1	for a hearing, is that what we're doing? Yeah. I think the
2	modification that's being proposed to allow lodging to hotel
3	or college educational uses instead of the, or in lieu of the
4	office if that's necessary, is actually a good change.
5	That would be more active for that particular,
6	24/7 use for that Capital Crossing project and for the newer
7	downtown area and so, it would be a benefit to allow for that
8	possibility if they need to go that route. So, I support
9	setting it down.
10	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Any other comments.
11	Commissioner May.
12	COMMISSIONER MAY: Yeah. Just to be clear, I
13	mean, because we're in the commercial zone here, if it were
14	a university use it would not have to be a part of a campus
15	plan or a campus plan modification right?
16	MS. FORTHERGILL: That's correct.
17	CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right. Any other questions
18	or comments? Somebody like to make a motion?
19	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Mr. Chairman, I would move
20	that the Zoning Commission set down Case Number 08-34K
21	Capital Crossings IV LLC, modfication of significance, First
22	Stage PUD at square 566 and ask for a second.
23	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Second.
24	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. It's been moved and
25	properly second Any further discussion? All in favor?

1	(Chorus of ayes)
2	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any opposition? Not hearing any.
3	Ms. Schellin, would you please record the vote.
4	MS. SCHELLIN: Staff records the vote 5-0-0 to set
5	down Zoning Commission Case Number 08-34K as a contested
6	case. Commissioner Miller moving, Commissioner Shapiro
7	seconding. Commissioners Hood, May and Turnbull in support.
8	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Next, Zoning Commission
9	Case Number 19-14, Office of Planning TA to Subtitles C, D,
10	E, and X, Nonconforming Structures. Ms. Myers.
11	MS. MYERS: Good evening, Commissioners. The
12	Office of Planning recommends that the Commission set down
13	Text Amendment 19-14 to modify Subtitle C, Section 202.2 and
14	Chapter 52 and Subtitles D and E of the zoning regulations.
15	The amendment to C202.2 would clarify that
16	conforming enlargements or additions to nonconforming
17	structures are permitted. Relief would only be needed from
18	the specific development standard sections when a
19	nonconformity is extended or created.
20	Additional relief would no longer be needed from
21	C202.2 itself. References to C202.2 relief would also be
22	removed from Subtitles D, E and X.
23	The amendments to Chapter 52 in both Subtitles D
24	and E would clarify which development standards are eligible
25	for special exception relief for additions to residential

1 buildings on street facing lots. It would also clarify which development standards 2 are eligible for special exception relief for expansions or 3 new accessory structures and alley lots. The proposed amendments would also reflect the new format for the new zone 5 6 Thank you. names. 7 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you, Ms. Myers. Commissioners, any questions? 8 9 COMMISSIONER MAY: So, my take on this is that essentially we're cleaning up the regulations so that we 10 11 don't just have to throw in this relief from changes to 12 nonconforming structures. Because the cases are already before us for other 13 relief. Right? So, it just, because, you know, every once 15 in a while there'll be these A cases, they can file them where you have relief requested but oh, they've forgotten the 16 nonconforming structure. 17 18 getting rid of We're just that it essentially. 19 2.0 MS. MYERS: Exactly. I mean, most times it's 21 redundant so, you would no longer have to ask for both relief you would just ask for the development standard relief. 22 23 All right. CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any other questions Somebody like to make a motion, set this down 24 or comments?

or not set it down?

1	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Mr. Chair, I move that we
2	set down Zoning Commission Case Number 19-14 Office of
3	Planning, what's TA?
4	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Text Amendments.
5	COMMISSION SHAPIRO: Thank you, sir.
6	CHAIRMAN HOOD: I didn't know what it was either
7	
8	COMMISSION SHAPIRO: Text Amendments to Subtitle
9	C, D, E, and X, Nonconforming Structures, look for a second.
10	CHAIRMAN HOOD: I'll second it. It's been moved
11	and properly seconded. Any further discussion? All in
12	favor?
13	(Chorus of ayes)
14	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any opposition? Not hearing any.
15	Ms. Schellin, will you please record the vote.
16	MS. SCHELLIN: That's my shorthand. That's what
17	that it.
18	CHAIRMAN HOOD: TA?
19	MS. SCHELLIN: TA is Text Amendment.
20	(Simultaneous speaking)
21	MS. SCHELLIN: I forgot to spell it out when it
22	got to you guys. But anyways, staff records the vote 5-0-0
23	to set down Zoning Commission Case Number 19-14 as a rule
24	making case. Commissioner Shapiro moving, Commissioner Hood
25	seconding. Commissioners Miller, Turnbull and May in support.

1	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Just for the record, Ms.
2	Schellin, Commissioner Shapiro and I both didn't do well in
3	shorthand. I guess that's noticeable.
4	MS. SCHELLIN: You wouldn't like the rest of my
5	shorthand then. They get harder.
6	CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right. Zoning Commission Case
7	Number 14-12E Clarion Gables Multifamily Trust LP et al,
8	Second Stage PUD at square 3591. Ms. Elliott. Did I get
9	that right?
10	MS. ELLIOTT: You sure did. We've come a long
11	way.
12	CHAIRMAN HOOD: A long way.
13	MS. ELLIOTT: A long way. Good evening, Mr.
14	Chairman, members of the Commission. OP recommends that the
15	application for a Stage 2 PUD for the North Union Market
16	Building be set down for a public hearing.
17	The North Building would be located in the
18	Northeast area of the market. North of the Union Market
19	Building at 1309 5th Street NE. We do have a map up on the
20	screen provided by the applicant.
21	It's at Exhibit 2J1 in the applicant's submission,
22	but it shows the actual location of the North Building in
23	respect to the Union Market Building. It's probably a
24	familiar sight by now.
25	The development would consist of an eleven story,

120 foot high mixed used building with ground floor retail and ten stories of residential use, having about 300 dwelling units.

It would provide proffers that are consistent with the Stage 1 PUD, however, OP does recommend improvements to those proffers to bring the project in line with current PUD expectations.

The project would devote 8 percent of the gross floor area to IZ units with approximately 18,400 square feet at 80 percent MFI and 4,600 square feet at 50 percent MFI. OP strongly encourages the applicant to devote a greater percentage of the floor area to IZ units.

The unit mix would also consist of studio, one bedroom and two bedroom units and OP is strongly encouraging the applicant to add three bedroom units to the unit mix, which is essential to meet the housing needs of District residents.

The building would be certified LEED-Silver and the applicant knows that they're studying the placement of solar panels on the roof. OP recommends that the building be certified LEED-gold and that solar panels be provided on the roof and on the green roof if possible.

The applicant has proposed to improve the original development by constructing half of the ground floor to industrial specifications and to reserve approximately 1,100

2.0

1 square feet of that space for PDR maker uses for a period of 2 five years. OP is recommending that half of the ground floor, 3 which is about 11,500 square feet, be set aside for the PDR maker uses for the life of the project. 5 6 We have requested additional information from the 7 applicant, which is provided at the beginning of our report including additional renderings and architectural details, 8 plaza features and design considerations intended to activate 5th Street and enhance the street scape. 10 11 The proposal continues to meet the requirements of the C3C PUD Zone and it's not inconsistent with the future 12 land use map, the generalized policy map and small area plans 13 and studies as demonstrated at the first Stage PUD and in OP's report. 15 We will continue to work with the applicant to 16 address the issues identified in our report and of course 17 18 anything additional requested by the Zoning Commission prior to the public hearing and I'm happy to answer any questions 19 2.0 you have. 21 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you, Ms. Elliot. Any Commissioner Shapiro. questions or comments? Just a quick comment, Mr. 23 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: First of all I think this ready to be set down, but 24 Chair.

just wanted to, I appreciate the work that OP has done on

1 this. 2 And I especially appreciate your environmental comments that PDR maker space, the additional designs. 3 think this is a, the thoughtful and thorough comments that That's all I have Mr. Chair. 5 you have I just wanted to note. 6 Any other questions, comments. CHAIRMAN HOOD: 7 Vice Chair Miller. 8 Yeah, thank you Mr. Chairman. VICE CHAIR MILLER: 9 for hearing and also support setting down the case appreciate, concur with Commissioner Shapiro's comment about 10 the, all the excellent recommendations by the Office of 11 Planning and concur with all of them and since Commissioner 12 Shapiro didn't call out the affordable housing --13 14 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Because I knew you would. 15 VICE CHAIR MILLER: -- recommendations, you knew 16 I would. You called out the environmental ones so, okay. 17 Thank you. 18 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Any other comments? Not Somebody like to make an excellent motion? 19 seeing any. 2.0 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Mr. Chairman, I would move 21 that the Zoning Commission set down for public hearing Case Number 14-12E Clarion Gables Multifamily Trust LP et al, 22 Second Stage PUD at square 3591 and ask for a second. 23 24 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Second.

HOOD:

Okay.

It's

CHAIRMAN

25

been

moved

and

1	properly second. Any further discussion? All in favor?
2	(Chorus of ayes)
3	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any opposition? Not hearing any.
4	Ms. Schellin, would you please record the vote.
5	MS. SCHELLIN: Staff records the vote 5-0-0 to set
6	down Zoning Commission Case Number 14-12E as a contested
7	case. Commissioner Miller moving, Commissioner Turnbull
8	seconding. Commissioners Hood, May and Shapiro in support.
9	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. All right. Let's see if
10	I can work through this particular case, this next one.
11	Zoning Commission Case Number 19-15. This is a request from
12	Chairman Mendelson of the D.C. City Council. Text Amendment
13	Short Term Rentals.
14	Before I do this, let me just ask this first, Ms.
15	Steingasser is there a status update on the previous report?
16	MS. STEINGASSER: No, sir. There's no update on
17	it.
18	CHAIRMAN HOOD: So, it's in the same, it's going
19	through legal review?
20	MS. STEINGASSER: There are several outstanding
21	legal issues that are being reviewed.
22	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. All right. We have a
23	request from, the first request we got, let me try to kind
24	of put this all together. The first request we have is from
25	Chairman Mendelson and the Council on October 17, 2018.

1 Ιt stated, his letter to us tonight, we 2 immediately proceeded it move to forward and within our 3 regulations we send it to Department of Transportation and the Office of Planning and our normal dispatch. That is not happened as of yet as you just heard of the first is still 5 6 in legal reviews. 7 So, on July 29th we received something again from the Chairman asking us to proceed forward with the Text 8 9 Amendment again and anyone can provide a Text Amendment. 10 The way I read the regulations, to the Zoning 11 Commission, in which he is, I quess the Council as a whole, through the leadership of the Chairman, have done. 12 So, this from my standpoint, and I will hear from 13 others as well, we have to treat this the same way, even 15 though it's coming from the Council, or a regular citizen or We have to do our due diligence, I believe. 16 17 So, I don't know who really to turn this over to, 18 but we can do the assignments to, we can ask the Office of Planning to look at the Text Amendment. 19 I'm not sure what's going to happen with that. 2.0 21 So, who do I turn this over to? Who do I ask to do -- hold on for a second. Before I talk all, think out 22 loud, let me talk to Mr. Tondro. 23 I think, I think what we can do, we can set 24

I can ask Ms. Schellin the necessary dates.

this down.

25

Ι

think we do have some requirements of public hearing notice.

Especially make sure it goes to the ANC's. I think that's, we're required to do that by law. So, we want to make sure that the community especially sees this Text Amendment as well. Let me hear from others. Anybody else? Vice Chair Miller.

VICE CHAIR MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. We did receive a request as you noted from the Council in October of last year asking that we proceed with a Zoning Text Amendment to legalize essentially under the zoning regulations what isn't lawful right now, which is short term rental units.

The AirBnb's, the vrbo's, those type of short term rental units, which the Council had acted, I think on the almost unanimous basis, if not the unanimous basis, to set up a whole regulatory licensing scheme last year.

But it was dependent upon a change in the zoning regulations, which don't permit that type of use at all in any residential neighborhood despite the fact that are operating and have been operating for, I think, as long as there's been these AirBnb and other types of entities.

They've been operating essential illegally but not enforced by the city, but I think they are collecting revenue because the CFO has entered into some memorandum of understand with the AirBnb and other entities.

2.0

So, all that to say is that we did ask the Office of Planning to develop a Text Amendment that we could set down for a hearing immediately upon receiving that letter originally from the Office of Planning.

And you have diligently almost at every meeting since then asked what the status is of that set down report, which we normally get from the Office of Planning, recommending specific text and whether we should even set down that type of a case.

And we understand that's being worked on and maybe under legal review, but it's been awhile. So, I support setting it down and the Chairman has sent simple Text language to make it lawful in residential neighborhoods to have these types of short term rental units.

The Council's whole regulatory and licensing schemes set up all the restrictions and durations of time and how many types of units one owner can have.

So, I'm not sure that's something we can even get into in terms of making any less restrictive that they've, the Council has acted on the whole regulatory and licensing scheme.

So, I support setting this down for a public hearing so we can hear from the public and the Office of Planning, hopefully this time, in terms of what's being recommended.

2.0

48 1 And maybe the Office of Attorney General I would suggest should, as I do with all Texts that we set down for 2 public hearing, should work with the petitioner in this case, 3 Like the Council Chairman and his colleagues and 4 the Office of Zoning staff and the Office of Planning staff 5 6 on any tweaks that maybe should be made to that Text. 7 But it should go forward with and be advertised for a public hearing and due course sometime in the Fall the first 9 whenever, you know, available date will available and hopefully we'll get all the reports we need to 10 11 get from the executive agencies, but particular the Office 12 of Planning, whose reports we are statutorily required to give great weight to if we receive a report. 13 14 And we'd like to give them great weight, to the Office of Planning's report. So, I hesitate to bring one 15 Maybe I shouldn't Mr. Chairman? 16 other point. 17 want to --18 CHAIRMAN HOOD: You should. Don't. VICE CHAIR MILLER: But, I appreciate the Council 19 2.0 Chairman's approach on this, in this letter as opposed to the

VICE CHAIR MILLER: But, I appreciate the Council Chairman's approach on this, in this letter as opposed to the approach taken, I think it was a month ago, where they actually passed emergency legislation statutorily mandating this independent agency to contract with a third party to get the report recommending set down language for a hearing.

Something that I think you objected to publicly

21

22

23

24

at one of our recent meetings and I have too in a correspondence with the Council and others so, we all are entitled to do-overs. We certainly do plenty of ours of ours here.

I think this is a good do-over and a more appropriate do-over than that statutory mandate, which was very inappropriate and unprecedented and I think this is a way forward that we can work through this case and go forward Mr. Chairman. So, I will support setting down this case for a hearing.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. I just want to comment on what the Vice Chair said. I think one of things that this Commission has always looked at is making sure we keep our independence and not taking necessary directives.

We act all independently. Actually, if you look at some of the way we vote, we're definitely independent. But one of things I think moving forward we could do this because the Chairman has just as much right as anybody else.

The Chairman of the Council I'm not clear who all is, but there is one question I want to ask before we get to, before we start having these discussions and get to a hearing and I'm not sure who to direct it to anymore.

But, even though the Council has made things restrictive, what happens if the zoning law is less restrictive and so, I mean, I guess that might put us back

2.0

1 in the same place where we are now. 2 So, that's one of the questions because I don't 3 necessarily, I think the zoning law should -- but anyway, we'll see as we have these discussions and we're ready to 5 hear from the public. I think we can do the normal directives, or the 6 7 normal push outs with the Office of Planning to comment on the Text Amendment to DDOT and everybody to comment. 8 9 I think we'll set a date, if we don't anything back, I don't know how this is all going to work out because 10 11 all this is new to me. So, we will proceed with a hearing Mr. Tondro? 12 I quess. I think you need a motion and vote 13 MR. TONDRO: to set down. I think that's before you now. 15 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Right. But I was kind of putting out how I'm trying to visualize how this is all going to 16 But maybe I can do that between now and then. 17 work. So, okay so, I will take counsel's advice and do a motion. 18 Do we first, let's see, do we have a motion, 19 2.0 Commissioner Shapiro. 21 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Thank you, Mr. Chair. happy to make a motion. First of all I agree. 22 I associate myself with both remarks of you Mr. Chair and the Vice Chair. 23 one other matter, which is 24 that as part of

Chairman's letter he was requesting that we waive our rules

requiring report from OP.

2.0

And I think just for our own clarification, I think it's helpful to note that we're not required to have a report from the Office of Planning. We are required to notice the Office of Planning.

I forgot the exact language Mr. Tondro, but we're not required to actually get the report. But I think it is appropriate given the circumstance and it's not rocket science if you've been following this at all that we're in the midst of a bit of a political tussle.

But I think it is appropriate for us set this down and I can't, if we do set this down and we have a hearing in say September, October I can't say I know exactly what will happen in September, October but I say we take one step at a time.

And I ask Mr. Tondro just to make sure I get that language right about, again where OP's not required to have a report but we're required to ask them for a report. Is that accurate?

MR. TONDRO: Yes. I believe that the operative section is Subtitle Z 305.6 that requires a petition for a Text Amendment to be accompanied by a Certificate of Service on OP and also the ANCs.

COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: So, they are served but whether they respond or not is up to them? Okay. So, thank

	you Mr. Chair. And if you're ready I'm happy to make a
2	motion.
3	CHAIRMAN HOOD: But now who serves them? The
4	petitioner serves them, which would be the Chairman and the
5	Council? They would have serve them? I think they did that
6	already. Okay. Okay, just making sure. All right.
7	Any other questions or comments? So we'll do our
8	regular process and Ms. Schellin will come up with a date.
9	So anyway, let's set it down first, I'm just trying to think
10	this thing through. Somebody can make a motion?
11	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Mr. Chairman, I would move
12	that the Zoning Commissioner set down Case Number 19-15? Is
13	that the case? Number 19-15 Text Amendment for Short Term
14	Rental Units and ask for a second.
15	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Second.
16	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. It's been moved and
17	properly second. Any further discussion? All those in
18	favor?
19	(Chorus of ayes)
20	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any opposition? So ordered. Ms.
21	Schellin, would you please record the vote.
22	MS. SCHELLIN: Staff records the vote 5-0-0 to set
23	down Zoning Commission Case Number 19-15 as a rule making.
24	Commission Miller moving, Commissioner Shapiro seconding.
25	Commissioners May, Hood and Turnbull in support.

1 CHAIRMAN HOOD: So, I guess the dates and stuff, do we set a date? 2 I heard Commissioner Miller, I'm 3 MS. SCHELLIN: sorry, Vice Chair Miller, saying that, directing staff to schedule it for the first available, which will probably be 5 6 in October. 7 CHAIRMAN HOOD: As long as the ANC's have --8 They'll get the special, MS. SCHELLIN: Right. 9 they'll get the same notice as normal. 40 days, yeah. 10 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. All right. Anything else 11 on this? 12 MS. SCHELLIN: No, sir. CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right. So, with that, we have 13 one more, anything else? Okay. All right, so what I'd like 15 to do is have a discussion on, even though we postponed, what was 13-08A, understand we do have some, luckily they're here, 16 we do have some preliminaries that we need to discuss. 17 Ms. Cain could you help us with that. 18 So the Office of the Attorney General 19 2.0 submitted a second letter in response to the applicant's 21 response to their letter, which came in on Friday and along with that letter they request a waiver that the Commission 22 reopen the record to allow it in. 23 There are also three other requests to reopen the 24 25 record from non-parties, which are residents in the nearby

	54
1	area who want to voice their opinion on this matter. So you
2	have those four motions to reopen the record in front of you
3	right now.
4	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Oh, we don't. So, typically
5	though, there's usually no responses after they ask you. I
6	haven't seen it so, I see what's going on here. Do we need
7	to reopen the record or is it already open?
8	MS. SCHELLIN: The record is open so, it's really
9	opening the record to allow a non-party to file. All of
LO	these are non-party.
11	CHAIRMAN HOOD: So, typically that's not what we
L2	do so, we would do, I guess obviously we're going to do it
L3	this time. But at some point in time we got to cut it off
L4	because we'll be going back and forth always. Yes.
15	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: It's typically not what we
L6	do and my personal view is that we don't do it in this case.
L7	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Well my question is how did
18	we already, didn't we already do that? Did I do that?
L9	MS. SCHELLIN: You did. You opened, you allowed
20	OAG to first put in their letter and I think at the time it
21	was thought that since OAG is technically the Commission's
22	attorney that they didn't really have to ask to reopen the
23	record, but then it was found out it was really a different
24	department. So, that was the problem.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Let me just say this to

1 justify the Chairman's rationale, I think that's exactly, 2 you're exactly right. Because it didn't make sense to me why we were even doing that. 3 4 So, since I got us here, what I would recommend is that we take this and everything else, because I've done. 5 Now this is not precedent setting, we're not going to do this 6 7 for everybody. 8 We're going to stick to our rule, but since, I'll 9 say I made the mistake, okay. So, since the Chairman made a mistake because I'm not used to being asked by OAG. 10 11 So, since I've done that, we'll take this and then we will give the applicant a chance to respond to this and 12 So, we will take OAG's letter, what they 13 Okay. that's it. gave us and the three people who've submitted, then after that the applicant can give us a quick response, not a six 15 page letter, but a quick response and we'll leave it at that. 16 17 And we'll work with, I'm sure probably between all that we already have enough to operate on and that's it. 18 Nothing else. 19 2.0 MS. SCHELLIN: Right. The ANC of course gets to 21 submit their letter and he can do that through the record. He can submit it through the record. 22 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, so the ANC, no I definitely 23 24 don't, I never want to leave the ANC's out. I'm talking

about the back and forth.

1	(Simultaneous speaking)
2	MS. CAIN: Well the ANC is a party. This is just
3	waivers to allow non-parties to see this.
4	MS. SCHELLIN: Right. This is non-parties.
5	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yeah. This is because I, okay.
6	Again, if somebody, I want to make sure that the transcript
7	and the record reflects, because will come down here ten
8	years from now and say well the Zoning Commission did this.
9	The only reason this was done is because this was
10	a unique request and I didn't understand, I personally did
11	not understand all the dynamics behind this because OAG has
12	never asked.
13	Or I don't think they ever should have asked, but
14	I wasn't clear on this whole process so anyway and that's it.
15	So, don't come down ten years from now asking to do this
16	unless that Commission decides to do that. All right.
17	Commissioner Shapiro.
18	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Thank you. So, I just want
19	to be clear because I maybe misunderstand the action that
20	you're proposing. We are reopening the record just for these
21	four submissions? And that's it?
22	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Apparently I've already reopened
23	it right?
24	MS. SCHELLIN: For OAG's for first submission but
25	now OAG is asking for a waiver to file a reply to the

1	applicant's response to what you allowed in.
2	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. So, what I would recommend
3	is that we allow everything and that last letter from OAG
4	also be allowed in and then the applicant can respond to that
5	and that's it.
6	MS. SCHELLIN: And the applicant also will have
7	seven days to respond to the other three letters.
8	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yeah. They can respond to
9	everything else and that's it. The ANC as well is already
10	an automatic.
11	MS. SCHELLIN: Is already a party and they also
12	get seven days to respond to all of those letters.
13	CHAIRMAN HOOD: So, the cut off will be after
14	everything comes in, the cut off will be the applicant has
15	last response. That's normally our process. Okay. Anything
16	else? Is everybody clear?
17	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yes. I support your action
18	Mr. Chairman and I'm hopeful that over the summer maybe there
19	will be some resolution of this issue so that we can move
20	forward more smoothly.
21	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yeah, because it's ending kind of
22	rocky. Okay. Do we need to do anything else? Is there
23	another issue? Any other issues?
24	MS. SCHELLIN: So, you're doing it by consensus
25	and not voting? Okay.

	58
1	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yeah. No objections. Do we have
2	anything else before us tonight?
3	MS. SCHELLIN: Unless OP?
4	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Office of Planning have anything?
5	All right. I hope everything
6	MS. SCHELLIN: Actually I do have one that's not
7	on the agenda, well it was on the agenda earlier related to
8	Valor. Just a staff question. Would you like for staff to
9	set aside a second hearing night for that case?
10	CHAIRMAN HOOD: You think it's going to take us
11	two nights?
12	MS. SCHELLIN: I don't know but OP is saying yes
13	and Peter May has said yes. Okay. So staff will set aside
14	a second day, just because since we're going to be scheduling
15	this rental
16	CHAIRMAN HOOD: So, can we do the Valor case back
17	to back?
18	MS. SCHELLIN: Yes. I will do, set a date, a
19	Monday and Thursday, yes.
20	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Or we could do a
21	Wednesday.
22	MS. SCHELLIN: No, we can't.
23	CHAIRMAN HOOD: That's volleyball night. For
24	those who play volleyball.
_	

MS. SCHELLIN: And some of us go to church.

1	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Oh, and church. Okay. And
2	everything else. All right. So, do we have anything else?
3	All right. I hope everyone have a good time off, well we'll
4	back Wednesday, but after that, have a good time off.
5	And with that, if we have nothing else, this
6	meeting is adjourned.
7	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the
8	record at 8:03 p.m.)
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
2 5	

<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Public Meeting

Before: DCZC

Date: 07-29-19

Place: Washington, DC

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Court Reporter

near 1 aus 8