GOVERNMENT
OF
THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

ZONING COMMISSION

+ + + + +

REGULAR MEETING

+ + + + +

MONDAY

JUNE 10, 2019

+ + + + +

The Regular Meeting of the District of Columbia Zoning Commission convened in the Jerrily R. Kress Memorial Hearing Room, Room 220 South, 441 4th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., 20001, pursuant to notice at 6:30 p.m., Anthony J. Hood, Chairman, presiding.

ZONING COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT:

ANTHONY J. HOOD, Chairperson ROBERT MILLER, Vice Chairperson MICHAEL G. TURNBULL, FAIA, Commissioner (AOC) PETER G. MAY, Commissioner (NPS) PETER SHAPIRO, Commissioner

OFFICE OF ZONING STAFF PRESENT:

SHARON S. SCHELLIN, Secretary

OFFICE OF PLANNING STAFF PRESENT:

JENNIFER STEINGASSER, Deputy Director, Development Review & Historic Preservation

JOEL LAWSON ELISA VITALE ANNE FOTHERGILL

D.C. OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL PRESENT:

MAXIMILIAN TONDRO, ESQ. JACOB RITTING, ESQ. ALEXANDRA CAIN, ESQ.

The transcript constitutes the minutes from the Regular meeting held on June 10, 2019.

A-G-E-N-D-A

Case	No.	07-08C DCHA Text Amendment	7
Case	No.	03-12W/03-13W DCHA Minor Modifications to PUD	9
Case	No.	04-14F Riverfront Holdings II, LLC	11
		06-10D The Morris and Gwendolyn Cafritz	12
Case	No.	18-21 Hanover R.S. Limited Partnership	15
Case	No.	17-21 As You Like It	17
		18-22 Forest City SEFC, LLC - SEFC Design or Parcel G of the Yards	18
Case	No.	13-18B WBJ Wheeler Road, LLC	21
Case	No.	15-19A 411 New York Avenue Holdings, LLC	23
Case	No.	19-04 Office of Planning Text Amendment	25
Case	No.	19-08 Georgetown 29K Acquisition LLC	32
Case	No.	19-10 Valor Development, LLC	35
Case	No.	17-10 The Warrenton Group	44

1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	7:01 p.m.
3	CHAIRMAN HOOD: We're ready to begin. This
4	meeting will please come to order. Good evening, ladies and
5	gentlemen. This is the public meeting of the Zoning
6	Commission for the District of Columbia.
7	My name is Anthony Hood. Joining me are Vice
8	Chair Miller, Commissioner Shapiro, Commissioner May and
9	Commissioner Turnbull.
10	We're also joined by the Office of Zoning staff
11	Ms. Sharon Schellin as well as the Office of Attorney General
12	Mr. Tondro, Ms. Cain, Mr. Ritting and Ms. Lovick.
13	Office of Planning staff we have Ms. Lawson I
14	mean, I'm sorry, Ms. Steingasser, Mr. Lawson, Ms. Fothergill
15	and Ms. Vitale.
16	Let me explain that. I was looking at Mr. Lawson
17	and thinking about Ms. Steingasser. So anyway. Anyway.
18	Well, I felt like I had to explain that. So Mr. Lawson,
19	forgive me.
20	Copies of today's meeting agenda are available to
21	you and are located in the bin near the door. We do not take
22	any public testimony at our meetings unless the commission
23	requests someone to come forward.
24	Please be advised that this proceeding is being
25	recorded by a court reporter and is also webcast live

	5
1	Accordingly we must ask you to refrain from any disruptive
2	noises or actions in the hearing room including display of
3	any signs or objects. Please turn off all electronic devices
4	at this time.
5	Does the staff have any preliminary matters?
6	SECRETARY SCHELLIN: Just to advise that in the
7	audience is DDOT representative Mr. Van Houten for case 06-
8	10D if needed.
9	And other than that if the commission would just
10	consider voting on closed meetings for the two July meetings
11	next month.
12	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Let's deal with the last
13	first. As chairman of the Zoning Commission for the District
14	of Columbia in accordance with 405(c) of the Open Meetings
15	Act I move that the Zoning Commission hold the following
16	closed meetings on Monday, July 8 and Monday, July 29, 2019
17	at 6 p.m. for the purpose of receiving legal advice from our
18	counsel per 405(b)(4) and to deliberate but not voting on the
19	contested cases per 405(b)(13) of the act, DC Official Code
20	2-575(b)(4) and (13). Is there a second?
21	COMMISSIONER MAY: Second.
22	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, will the secretary please
23	take roll call that this motion how has been seconded.
24	SECRETARY SCHELLIN: Yes, sir. Chairman Hood.
25	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes.

1	SECRETARY SCHELLIN: Vice Chair Miller.
2	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yes.
3	SECRETARY SCHELLIN: Commissioner Shapiro.
4	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Yes.
5	SECRETARY SCHELLIN: Commissioner May.
6	COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes.
7	SECRETARY SCHELLIN: Commissioner Turnbull.
8	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Yes.
9	SECRETARY SCHELLIN: Motion carries.
10	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Ms. Schellin, do we have
11	anything else?
12	SECRETARY SCHELLIN: No other preliminary matters.
13	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Let me first I meant to
14	do this earlier. I apologize for us coming out late. I like
15	to start on time at 6:30 and I'm now going to officially
16	assign Commissioner Shapiro to make sure that we're out here
17	at 6:30.
18	All right. I do want to announce that cases on
19	our agenda tonight which were under final action 18-07 and
20	18-10 will not be we will reschedule those two cases.
21	They were for final action this evening.
22	SECRETARY SCHELLIN: Under further deliberations.
23	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Was it further
24	SECRETARY SCHELLIN: Yes.
25	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Hold on one second. Oh, okay.

Okay, you're right. Well, at least I got the case numbers Under further deliberations 18-07 and 18-10 we're 2 3 going to be rescheduling those two. All right. And I also would like to move -- under 4 final action I'm just going to move one case first under 5 final action Zoning Commission Case No. 07-08C, DCHA text 6 7 amendment to extend expiration dates for certificates of occupancy for temporary surface parking lots in the proximity 8 of Nationals Ballpark. Ms. Schellin. 9 Yes, sir. On this case the 10 SECRETARY SCHELLIN: 11 staff -- there were no new documents that have come in so we'd ask the commission to consider final action. 12 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, Commissioners. Hold on one 13 second. As I've already read this is a final action to 15 extend the expiration dates for certificates of occupancy for temporary surface parking lots in the proximity of Nationals 16 Ballpark. 17 18 I think this is --I'm sorry, there was an NCPC 19 SECRETARY SCHELLIN: report that came in at exhibit 34 and they had no issues with 2.0 21 this case. 22 Ms. Schellin, 07-08C. CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. 23 SECRETARY SCHELLIN: Correct. 24 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. 25 SECRETARY SCHELLIN: NCPC found that the project

1	fell under one of their exceptions.
2	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Under one of their exceptions.
3	SECRETARY SCHELLIN: Right.
4	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. All right. We also do have
5	an ANC report noted on April 22, the hearing. The ANC 6D was
6	in support of the text amendment. The ANC would not support
7	any further extensions. And I think Office of Planning may
8	be in line with that off the top of my head. Maybe this is
9	not the case. No, this is not the case.
10	All right. So, any further discussion or comments
11	on this case? No comments? Okay. Pretty straightforward.
12	Anybody like to make a motion?
13	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Mr. Chairman, I would move
14	that the Zoning Commission take final action on case number
15	07-08C, DC Housing Authority text amendment to extend
16	expiration dates for certificates of occupancy for temporary
17	surface parking lots in the proximity of Nationals Ballpark
18	and ask for a second.
19	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Second.
20	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, it's been moved and properly
21	seconded. Any further discussion? All in favor?
22	(Chorus of ayes)
23	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any opposition? Not hearing any,
24	Ms. Schellin, would you please record the vote.
25	SECRETARY SCHELLIN: Staff records the vote 5-0-0

to approve final action, Zoning Commission Case No. 07-08C. 1 Commissioner Miller moving, Commissioner Turnbull seconding, 2 Commissioners Hood, May and Shapiro in support. 3 4 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, let's go back to the agenda Under consent calendar minor modification and 5 in order. 6 technical correction Zoning Commission Case No. 03-12W/03-13W 7 DCHA minor modifications to PUD to allow temporary parking lots at square 767 and 768 and 882. Ms. Schellin. 8 9 Yes. SECRETARY SCHELLIN: The commission may recall that action on this case was deferred until final 10 11 action was taken on the case that was just considered. The applicant requested a minor modification in 12 this case to extend the expiration date for the operation of 13 the temporary parking lots to April 1, 2023. Exhibit 5 is an OP report recommending the case as a modification of 15 16 consequence. Exhibit 9 is an ANC 6D report supporting the 17 application as a modification of consequence. And exhibit 18 11 is an ANC 6B report in support of the application. 19 I ask the commission to consider first whether the 2.0 21 case is a minor modification and proceed from there. 22 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Colleagues, this being a Okay. recommendation that was presented to us as a modification of 23 consequence but -- I mean a minor modification, but we were 24

going to call this a modification of consequence.

25

Does

1	anybody believe this is not a modification of consequence?
2	COMMISSIONER MAY: No.
3	CHAIRMAN HOOD: So we accept their recommendation.
4	And as you know this actually changed some of our original
5	approval because now we are granting the request is an
6	additional five years to start the project. So let me hear
7	any comments or questions or are we fine with that.
8	COMMISSIONER MAY: I'm fine with what's proposed
9	here.
10	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. I have no objections as
11	well. Any further discussion? Okay, not hearing any I would
12	move that we approve a modification of consequence, Zoning
13	Commission Case No. 03-12W/03-13W and ask for a second.
14	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Second.
15	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, it's been moved and properly
16	seconded. Any further discussion?
17	COMMISSIONER MAY: We just want to note that we
18	normally for a modification of consequence, when we make that
19	determination we wait for the parties to weigh in. In this
20	circumstance we've already heard from the parties so we are
21	able to move forward immediately.
22	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you for adding that. Right,
23	they've already weighed in. Thank you for that.
24	Anything else? Okay, all in favor?
25	(Chorus of ayes)

1	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any opposition? Not hearing any,
2	Ms. Schellin, would you please record the vote.
3	SECRETARY SCHELLIN: Staff records the vote 5-0-0
4	to grant the modification of consequence for five years.
5	Commissioner Hood moving, Commissioner Miller seconding,
6	Commissioners May, Shapiro and Turnbull in support.
7	If we could have the applicant provide a draft
8	order, please.
9	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Let's move right on.
10	Modification of consequence, deliberation Zoning Commission
11	Case No. 04-14F, Riverfront Holdings II, LLC, PUD
12	modification of consequence at square 708. Ms. Schellin.
13	SECRETARY SCHELLIN: Yes. At exhibit 5 the only
14	new document is a letter from ANC a report rather from ANC
15	6D in support.
16	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you. We do have a
17	letter as stated by Ms. Schellin from ANC 6D. It's a letter
18	of support.
19	First, let me ask does anyone believe this is not
20	a modification of consequence? Okay, not hearing any let me
21	open it up for any discussions. And we can move forward with
22	this because the parties have already to the final order
23	have been modified have responded on the record.
24	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: So we're ready to take
25	action, Mr. Chair.

Any discussion? 1 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Would 2 somebody like to make a motion then? 3 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Mr. Chair, I move that we 4 approve Zoning Commission Case No. 04-14F Riverfront Holdings 5 II, LLC, PUD modification of consequence at square 708. Look 6 for a second. 7 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Second. CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, it's been moved and properly 8 9 seconded. Any further discussion? All in favor? 10 (Chorus of ayes) 11 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any opposition? Not hearing any, Ms. Schellin, would you please record the vote. 12 Staff records the vote 4-0-1 13 SECRETARY SCHELLIN: 14 approve the modification of consequence in Zoning 15 Commission Case No. 04-14F. Commissioner Shapiro moving, Commissioner Miller seconding, Commissioners Hood and May in 16 support, Commissioner Turnbull abstaining. 17 18 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, I believe I'm at Zoning Commission Case No. 06-10D. Zoning Commission Case No. 06-19 10D, The Morris and Gwendolyn Cafritz Foundation, 1st stage 2.0 21 PUD modification of significance and 2nd stage PUD at squares 3765 and 3767. Ms. Schellin. 22 23 SECRETARY SCHELLIN: At exhibit 53 you have an OP supplemental report. Exhibit 54 is the applicant's response 24 25 supplemental filings from DDOT and the Lamond-Riggs

Citizens Association. Exhibit 55 is DDOT's response to questions raised by the commission at the last meeting. And exhibit 56 is the response from Lamond-Riggs to the filings by the applicant and DDOT that were filed at exhibits 54 and 55. I'd ask the commission to consider final action on this case this evening.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Again I would -- let me just start off. I want to commend the LRCA and the applicant and DDOT and everyone for working on some of the issues.

It looks like some of the things, for example, the parking, continue to work on the parking. I don't know how enforceable that is within our order but I would ask that they continue to work on that, work on the library.

And I believe it sounds as though through the hearing and also through the submissions that -- while we may not have gotten everything that we're holding hands yet, but it sounds like we're still having those discussions so I would encourage all those parties and those parties involved to continue to move in that fashion.

And also the traffic light issue, I believe that there are some certain things that DDOT that are required by DDOT. And I know that LRCA would like to see that traffic light happen right away. But I know that technically we make sure we want to have a study. And I know there are different mechanisms that are being put in place if that traffic light

2.0

is warranted.

2.0

So I'm fine with what I see here even though we're not going to put a traffic light there right away. But I believe that those things will kind of be ongoing as we see how things continue to work in progress in that area.

Let me open up. Any further discussion or comments?

COMMISSIONER MAY: Mr. Chairman, I just want to underscore the point that it's not really our place to make a decision about doing -- installing a traffic signal at an intersection. That requires a warrant analysis and DDOT has to do that. I appreciate the fact that the applicant is willing to do that right now and is willing to take a second look after this next phase is developed to make sure that if it's needed it can be put in.

So I think in the end if it's needed it will be put in. And it's in the hands of the right people to make that decision.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any other comments, questions? Vice Chairman.

VICE CHAIR MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I would concur with the comments of my commissioners, fellow commissioners that -- appreciating the applicant concurring now with all of the traffic mitigation conditions that DDOT recommended and working with the Lamond-Riggs Citizens

1 Association on many of their concerns as well. 2 I'm really pleased to see this project 3 forward including the Meow Museum. 4 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Anything else? All right. With that I would -- okay, I would move approval of Zoning 5 6 Commission Case No. -- okay, I would move approval of Zoning 7 Commission Case No. 16-10D, The Morris and Gwendolyn Cafritz Foundation, 1st stage PUD modification of significance and 8 2nd stage PUD at square 3765 and 3767 and take note that as 10 discussion on the dais today as well as through 11 proceedings and ask for a second. 12 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Second. 13 CHAIRMAN HOOD: It's been moved and properly seconded. Any further discussion? All in favor? 15 (Chorus of ayes) CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any opposition? Not hearing any, 16 Ms. Schellin, would you please record the vote. 17 SECRETARY SCHELLIN: Staff records the vote 5-0-0 18 to approve final action on Zoning Commission Case No. 06-10D. 19 Commissioner Hood moving, Commissioner Miller seconding, 2.0 21 Commissioners May, Shapiro and Turnbull in support. Next, Zoning Commission 22 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. 18-21, 23 No. Hanover R.S. Limited Partnership, Case consolidated PUD and related map amendment at square 3832 and 24 25 3835. Ms. Schellin.

1	SECRETARY SCHELLIN: At exhibits 40 through 42 you
2	have the applicant's proffers and conditions. Exhibit 43 is
3	an OP supplemental report. Exhibits 44 through 44A you have
4	the applicant's draft order. And finally, exhibit 45 is an
5	NCPC letter advising that the project falls under one of
6	their exceptions and is exempt from review. So we'd ask the
7	commission to consider final action this evening.
8	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Commissioners, any
9	additional comments or questions on this? Commissioner
10	Shapiro.
11	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Thank you, Mr. Chair. The
12	only thing I would note is that according to the OP report
13	there was a bit of follow-up on this question of whether it
14	would be appropriate or whether there's a mechanism to
15	designate IZ units specifically for seniors.
16	And currently there is no mechanism in place, but
17	I appreciate that the respective agencies are going to look
18	into this and see if there's a way to develop a process to
19	allow that in the future.
20	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you. Anything else? All
21	right. I want to respond to RPP so I'll leave that alone
22	myself. All right, anything else? Would somebody like to
23	make a motion?
24	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Mr. Chair, I would move
25	that we approve Zoning Commission Case No. 18-21 Hanover R.S.

1 Limited Partnership consolidated PUD and related map 2 amendment at squares 3832 and 3835. Look for a second. 3 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Second. 4 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, it's been moved and properly Any further discussion? I will just note that --5 seconded. 6 I will say this about RPP. And I appreciate the applicant 7 providing additional information regarding the enforcement and exclusion. So we'll be looking -- we need to mark this 8 in the parking lot and see how this works. 9 It's in exhibit Any further discussion? All in favor? 10 11 (Chorus of ayes) CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any opposition? Not hearing any, 12 Ms. Schellin, would you please record the vote. 13 SECRETARY SCHELLIN: Staff records the vote 5-0-0 14 to approve final action Zoning Commission Case No. 18-21. 15 Commissioner Turnbull moving, Commissioner Shapiro seconding, 16 Commissioners Hood, May and Miller in support. 17 18 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Next final action, Zoning Commission Case No. 17-21 As You Like It, consolidated PUD 19 and related map amendment at square 498. Ms. Schellin. 2.0 21 SECRETARY SCHELLIN: At exhibit 61 and 63 you have applicant's proffers and conditions. 22 We'd ask the commission to consider final action on this case. 23 24 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Colleagues, any additional 25 comments or questions? All right. Would somebody like to

1	make a motion then? I think it's pretty straightforward.
2	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Mr. Chair, I move that we
3	take final action on Zoning Commission Case No. 17-21 As You
4	Like It, consolidated PUD and related map amendment at square
5	498. Look for a second.
6	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Second.
7	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, it's been moved and properly
8	seconded. Any further discussion? All in favor?
9	(Chorus of ayes)
LO	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any opposition? Not hearing any,
11	Ms. Schellin, would you please record the vote.
12	SECRETARY SCHELLIN: Staff records the vote 5-0-0
13	to approve final action, Zoning Commission Case No. 17-21.
L4	Commissioner Shapiro moving, Commissioner Miller seconding,
L5	Commissioners Hood, May and Turnbull in support.
L6	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Next, final action Zoning
L7	Commission Case No. 18-22 Forest City Southeast Federal
18	Center LLC - Southeast Federal Center Design Review for
L9	Parcel G of the Yards at square 743. Ms. Schellin.
20	SECRETARY SCHELLIN: At exhibits 28 through 28A(2)
21	and exhibit 29 you have the applicant's post hearing
22	submissions. And behind you this evening you have the hard
23	copies of the samples of the materials. And so I'd ask the
24	commission to consider final action on this case also.
25	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Commissioners, I know that

some of the things that we looked at were the brick range, the window headers, upper storage, facade, lobby entrance and the bike room. There may be some other design related issues that I may not have brought up.

I appreciate the applicant at least looking at the window headers. While they chose not to do them. May be a missed opportunity for them, but I appreciate them at least considering my recommendation. I want you to know that I paid very much attention to that and noticed that you at least considered it. I don't know how much you considered it but at least you made the attempt that you looked at it at least to tell me you looked at it. But I appreciate it.

So any other comments or questions?

COMMISSIONER MAY: Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Commissioner May.

COMMISSIONER MAY: So, I do appreciate some of the changes that have been made, the modification, the brick selection I think is an improvement although the rendering looks a lot darker than the samples that I see behind us. But I'm not going to fuss about that.

They attempted to make the front door awning or whatever seem more like a front door and I'm not sure that what was done is a really substantial improvement using the metal panels instead of the -- just wrapping the brick through there.

2.0

1	But I appreciate the attempt and the
2	consideration. They did fix the bike room entrance which I
3	think works better.
4	However, I think the overall form of the building
5	is still bizarre and I'm not really in favor of approving it
6	as it is. So. And I'll leave the whole issue of further CFA
7	review to the side since the applicant indicated they have
8	no intention to go back there.
9	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Any other questions or
10	comments? Would somebody like to make a motion?
11	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Mr. Chairman, I would move
12	that the Zoning Commission take final action on Case No. 18-
13	22 Southeast Federal Center Design Review for Parcel G of the
14	Yards at square 743 and ask for a second.
15	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Second.
16	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, it's been moved and properly
17	seconded. Any further discussion? All in favor?
18	(Chorus of ayes)
19	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any opposition?
20	(Chorus of nays)
21	CHAIRMAN HOOD: So noted. Ms. Schellin, would you
22	record the vote.
23	SECRETARY SCHELLIN: Staff records the vote 4-1-0
24	to approve final action, Zoning Commission Case No. 18-22.
25	Commissioner Miller moving, Commissioner Turnbull seconding,

1 Commissioners Hood and Shapiro in support, Commissioner May 2 opposed. 3 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Next let's go to time extensions. Zoning Commission Case No. 13-18B WBJ Wheeler Road, LLC, two-year PUD time extension at square 5925. 5 Schellin. 6 7 SECRETARY SCHELLIN: Yes, the applicant as you stated is requesting a two-year time extension of previously They have stated that the real estate market approved PUD. especially Wards 7 and 8 have been subject 10 11 financing, construction and leasing impediments. This is the applicant's second time extension 12 request which would require an application for a building 13 permit to be filed -- I'm sorry, it's their second time 15 extension and they are asking for the building permit to be filed no later than April 17, 2021 and construction to start 16 no later than April 17, 2022. 17 18 They've requested a waiver of Subtitle Z Section 705.5 to grant a two-year extension instead of a one-year for 19 the second time extension. 2.0 21 Exhibit 5 is an OP report in support of the We'd ask the commission to consider final action 22 23 this evening. 24 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Commissioners, you've heard 25 You've heard the material -- the applicant's the request.

1 continuing inability to secure project financing as the basis 2 for this time extension. And they would like also in this case to give them 3 an additional two years. The recommendations from the OP are saying approval of the requested extension, but two years and 5 6 that possibly would be the cutoff. And I think the ANC as 7 well. 8 Not on this one, okay. Office of But anyway. 9 So anyway, colleagues, what is your pleasure Planning did. on this? 10 11 COMMISSIONER MAY: I have no concerns, Mr. Chair. 12 CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right. And no problems with 13 the waiver granting an additional two-year extension. grant it for two years as opposed to the one year for the second time. 15 So I think we would grant all of their requests. 16 Any objections to not granting anything? Okay. 17 So in that case I would move that we would grant the requests as noted 18 and ask for -- in Zoning Commission Case No. 13-18B and ask 19 for a second. 2.0 21 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Second. 22 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Mr. Chair, under 23 discussion do we need to request for a waiver as well as part 24 of that? 25 CHAIRMAN HOOD: I actually included everything,

1	the waiver and everything in my motion.
2	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
3	CHAIRMAN HOOD: The whole request. Was that clear
4	with everybody?
5	VICE CHAIR MILLER: It was clear with the second.
6	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Okay, well that was
7	important. Any further discussion? All in favor?
8	(Chorus of ayes)
9	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any opposition? Not hearing any,
10	Ms. Schellin, would you please record the vote.
11	SECRETARY SCHELLIN: Staff records the vote 5-0-0
12	to grant the two-year time extension and the waiver request
13	in Zoning Commission Case No. 13-18B. Commissioner Hood
14	moving, Commissioner Miller seconding, Commissioners May,
15	Shapiro and Turnbull in support.
16	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, next another time extension.
17	Zoning Commission Case No. 15-19A 411 New York Avenue
18	Holdings, LLC, two-year PUD time extension at square 359.
19	Ms. Schellin.
20	SECRETARY SCHELLIN: In this case the applicant
21	is also requesting a two-year PUD time extension. They cited
22	the primary delay is due to the selection of the hotel brand
23	and the operator and also a combination of external factors
24	like obtaining financing, construction costs and an issue
25	with litigation.

1	So I'd ask the commission to consider this request
2	and consider taking final action this evening.
3	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Commissioners, you've heard the
4	request. You've heard the rationale behind asking for the
5	extension especially due to the issue with litigation.
6	That's always a flag raised for me.
7	So let me hear any discussions or if somebody
8	would like to make a motion. Commissioner Shapiro.
9	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: No discussion. I'm happy
10	to make a motion, Mr. Chair.
11	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes.
12	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: I move that we approve the
13	time extension for Zoning Commission Case No. 15-19A 411 New
14	York Avenue Holdings, LLC two-year PUD time extension at
15	square 359. Look for a second.
16	CHAIRMAN HOOD: I'll second it. It's been moved
17	and properly seconded. Any further discussion? All in
18	favor?
19	(Chorus of ayes)
20	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any opposition? Not hearing any,
21	Ms. Schellin, would you please record the vote.
22	SECRETARY SCHELLIN: Staff records the vote 5-0-0
23	to grant the time extension, two-year time extension, Zoning
24	Commission Case No. 15-19A. Commissioner Shapiro moving,
25	Commissioner Hood seconding, Commissioners May, Miller and

Turnbull in support.

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

25

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Next under proposed action Zoning Commission Case No. 19-04. This is the Office of Planning text amendment to establish community renewable energy facilities, CREFs. Ms. Schellin.

SECRETARY SCHELLIN: At exhibit 30 you have an OP supplemental report in which ΟP recommends that the commission extend the emergency rulemaking and take proposed -- and also a proposed rulemaking this evening based on the they have provided in section that 3 of their supplemental report. Ask the commission to consider taking those actions this evening. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. I think it looks to me -- and I was not here at the last meeting, but it looks to me that my colleagues, you all asked for them to narrow some of the scope. It looks like at least some of it was done. I'm not sure if it met what everybody had asked for, particularly at that last meeting.

And I think this is kind of where we were trying to get. I think we have really -- with the discussion back and forth, I really think that we've gotten to a point now where I am comfortable with moving forward.

I was comfortable earlier, but I'm glad that we had the discussion holdup as far as trying to narrow some of the scope about some of the height, some of the setbacks.

1 It looks like that has been discussed. From what I read it looks like it was a lot of collaboration with DOEE as well 2 as Office of Planning and others. So I am ready to move 3 forward. But let me hear from others. Mr. Chairman, I'd like to ask 5 COMMISSIONER MAY: a question of the Office of Planning. 6 7 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Sure. COMMISSIONER MAY: So, there's a new component to 8 9 this that was introduced having to do with a 40 foot setback for ground-mounted arrays adjacent to certain zones. 10 11 And want to understand better what the definition is of adjacent in this circumstance. 12 I mean, how do alleys and streets play into that? 13 14 MS. VITALE: You know, an alley or a street, we -the way that that distance is measured is 40 feet from the 15 panel to an adjacent residential property. 16 So I street or alley would certainly probably meet that buffer. 17 Certainly a street potentially, not an alley. 18 COMMISSIONER MAY: So the width of the alley would 19 be considered part of that 40 feet. 2.0 21 MS. VITALE: Yes. I ask this just because we had 22 COMMISSIONER MAY: gotten into this whole discussion of the definition of 23 abutting and whether alleys were abutting and those sorts of 24 25 I just want to have clarity about what that means. triggers.

All right, well I appreciate that. I do have comments to make as well, Mr. Chairman.

So, I do appreciate the new report from the Office of Planning. I think that the explanation of the 20 height maximum I guess now I'm a bit more comfortable with that, the way it's been explained.

I appreciate the fact that a buffer requirement has been reintroduced when a special exception is required. Although I think I liked the earlier version of the buffer a little bit better, but I'm not going to guibble about that.

I also think that the 40 foot setback for ground-mounted arrays adjacent to R, RF and RA-1 zones is a good thing.

And I also appreciate finally getting the information about the projects in the pipeline and what's actually affected by this. And there are only three ground-mounted arrays that are even in here and only one of them would actually be large enough to trigger review although there is one in RF-3 that's a 1.25 acre array which is I think very large.

And I think that overall the total acreage that's tied up or potentially tied up in special exception review if everything on the ground were subject to a special exception review is still relatively small given the total overall power generation that would come out of this round

2.0

of projects.

2.0

So, I am still opposed to the very large allowance before you need to have a special exception. I think the idea that you could have one and a half acres of solar panels and that's just panels as a matter of right in any zone before you need even special exception review I think is just way too big.

I appreciate the fact that it's come down from where it was originally. And I understand that I'm probably not in the majority in thinking this. But as long as the acreage of panel is that high and I had suggested that it go down to something more like a half an acre which is also very large. But at one and a half acres I simply can't vote in favor of this.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Anybody else? Any other comments? Okay, I'll stick with my comments. I think this is -- I'm going to make a motion that we -- with the comments noted that we approve Zoning Commission Case No. 19-04 and also that the text that the -- the revised text is what we approve.

And also the revised text will now become the new emergency. And that's my motion.

VICE CHAIR MILLER: Second.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: It's been moved and properly seconded. Any further discussion?

1	COMMISSIONER MAY: Mr. Chairman, I would like to
2	suggest that perhaps we could separate those two. Take them
3	up one at a time rather than voting both.
4	CHAIRMAN HOOD: So you're asking me to withdraw
5	the motion. Okay, we can do that. All right. So I'll take
6	that motion off the table.
7	I would move that we approve the revised text
8	first as noted was given to us here tonight, the revised
9	text and make a motion we approve that and ask for a second.
10	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Second.
11	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Mr. Chair, just so we're
12	clear, are we so what's before us is the specific case or
13	the emergency?
14	CHAIRMAN HOOD: No, I'm doing the text first.
15	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Proposed action.
16	CHAIRMAN HOOD: I'll do the emergency second.
17	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: I just want to clarify.
18	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Right.
19	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Thank you.
20	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Revised text which is dealing with
21	the one and a half acres, the 20 feet in height, the setback.
22	So that's
23	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Zoning Commission Case 19-
24	04 is what is that right?
25	COMMISSIONER MAY: The most recent language
ļ	

1	proposed by the Office of Planning.
2	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Correct. Zoning Commission Case
3	19-04 excluding the emergency. I'm taking the emergency out
4	as requested by Commissioner May.
5	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Second.
6	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, it's been moved and properly
7	seconded. Any further discussion? All in favor?
8	(Chorus of ayes)
9	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any opposition?
10	(Chorus of nays)
11	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, Ms. Schellin, would you
12	please record the vote.
13	SECRETARY SCHELLIN: Staff records the vote 4-1-0
14	to approve proposed action in Zoning Commission Case No. 19-
15	04 and that is the revised text as contained in OP's
16	supplemental report. Commissioner Hood moving, Commissioner
17	Miller seconding, Commissioners Shapiro and Turnbull in
18	support, Commissioner May opposed.
19	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, so I'm going to ask OAG if
20	this is correct. Do we make this motion? Now I move that
21	we place the revised text in an emergency status replacing
22	the old emergency status text and ask for a second.
23	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Second.
24	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Is that clear? Okay. It's been
25	moved and properly seconded. Any further discussion? All
I	

in favor?

2.0

(Chorus of ayes)

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any opposition? Not hearing any, Ms. Schellin, would you please record the vote.

SECRETARY SCHELLIN: Staff records the vote 5-0-0 to take emergency action based on the revised text in Zoning Commission Case No. 19-04. Commissioner Hood moving, Commissioner Miller seconding, Commissioners Turnbull, Shapiro and May in support.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: And I will ask the -- this is not the first time. I will ask the Office of Planning as we move along even though we approved this if we need to make some tweaks in this language that we've done let's continue to do this as we have done on other cases in the past. I would ask that.

If there's a potential problem with what we have or we need to narrow it down even more I would ask that we do that. But at least the folks now who -- we can spread some of the wealth around to those, the low-income as far as getting some revenue in some of this solar.

So anyway. All right, anything else on this?

COMMISSIONER MAY: Mr. Chairman, I just want to make clear that I voted in favor of the emergency text enactment at this point because it is more restrictive than what we had originally set down as an emergency. Not because

32 I truly support it, but because it is more restrictive and I think that that's -- what we have before us today is better than what we originally had approved as emergency action some months ago. And I look forward to hearing what the public has to say about this because between proposed and final we may public from the about whether this is the appropriate action. And I also ask -- piggyback on CHAIRMAN HOOD:

CHAIRMAN HOOD: And I also ask -- piggyback on that, Commissioner May. I know that's exactly what you did, but I also have asked the Office of Planning continue to as we evolve, this evolves and we look at this if there are things that we need to come back and change let's do it. This is not our first time doing it that way.

All right. Anything else on this? All right, let's go to the next case. Hearing case.

Zoning Commission Case 19-08 Georgetown 29K Acquisition LLC, map amendment at square 1193. Ms. Schellin. Oh, I'm sorry. I'm calling Ms. Schellin the whole time. I need to go to -- who is it, Ms. Vitale? Okay, hold on for a second. Commissioner May?

COMMISSIONER MAY: I just wanted to say I need to recuse myself from this case because the Park Service is involved in the development at 29K in some ways so I'm simply going to recuse.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

Okay. And let the record reflect 1 CHAIRMAN HOOD: 2 Commissioner May is recused in this case. Ms. Fothergill, 3 I'm sorry. MS. FOTHERGILL: Good evening, Chairman Hood and 4 the commission. 5 members οf For the record I'm Anne 6 Fothergill with the Office of Planning. 7 OP recommends that the Zoning Commission set down Georgetown 29K Acquisition LLC's request for a map amendment 8 to establish initial zoning on a previously federal owned site to the MU-13 zone. 10 11 The comprehensive plan future land use map shows the northern half of the subject property striped for both 12 medium density residential and moderate density commercial 13 uses and the southern half for parks, recreation and open 15 space. The generalized policy map shows the property as 16 parks, federal and District owned. 17 18 The proposed map amendment to MU-13 would be consistent with these maps and is the same zone as 19 2.0 properties across 29th Street to the west and across 21 canal to the north. The MU-13 is intended to permit medium 22 zone density mixed use development generally in the vicinity of 23 24 the waterfront.

In the OP report there's a brief discussion of the

1 complex history of the site and we intend to discuss that 2 more in detail at the public hearing. 3 The proposed map amendment has the support of ANC 4 2E and is not inconsistent with the comprehensive plan and OP recommends that the application be set down for public 5 And I'm happy to take any questions. 6 hearing. 7 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, Commissioners, any questions or comments? Commissioner Shapiro. 8 Thank you, Mr. Chair. 9 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Ι I don't think it's a 10 have no questions or comments for OP. 11 question or comment for OP. But I would suggest that if we were to set this down that we set it down as a contested 12 13 case. 14 CHATRMAN HOOD: Okav. We've heard the recommendation of Office of Planning. We heard our colleague 15 setting this down as a contested case. 16 17 And I would concur with Commissioner Shapiro. Let me hear from others. 18 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Mr. Chair, I would concur 19 2.0 with the both of you. I think this gives the public an 21 opportunity to be involved as parties and also I think that this is just a single owner of this property and I would 22 23 agree for it to be a contested case. 24 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. All right. I think that's 25 the only caveat, what we want to change. Any other questions

1 or comments? All right. So I would move that we set down 2 Zoning Commission Case No. 19-08 as a contested case and ask 3 for a second. 4 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Second. 5 CHAIRMAN HOOD: It's been moved and properly 6 seconded. Any further discussion? All in favor? 7 (Chorus of ayes) 8 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any opposition? Not hearing any, 9 also Commissioner May has recused himself. Ms. Schellin, would you record the vote. 10 SECRETARY SCHELLIN: Staff records the vote 4-0-1 11 to set down Zoning Commission Case No. 19-08 as a contested 12 Commissioner moving, 13 Hood Commissioner Miller seconding, Commissioners Shapiro and Turnbull in support, Commissioner May not voting having recused himself. 15 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Next case, Zoning Commission Case 16 19-10 Valor Development, LLC, consolidated PUD at square 17 18 1499. Ms. Vitale. Good evening, Mr. Chair, members of 19 MS. VITALE: Elisa Vitale with the Office of Planning. 2.0 the commission. 21 The commission is familiar with the case before it this evening. You reviewed this project as a voluntary 22 design review case and it's now before the commission as a 23 planned unit development application in substantially the 24 25 same form.

The Office of Planning recommends that the Zoning 1 Commission set down for public hearing Valor Development's 2 request for a PUD for property in square 1499. 3 The PUD project site consists of four lots, lots 4 802 and 803, the historic Massachusetts Avenue parking shops, 5 6 lot 806 the former AU Law School building, and lot 807 or the 7 Valor lot which is the old Super Fresh building and is the site of the proposed development. 9 The site is zoned MU-4 and the applicant is not 10 requesting a PUD related map amendment. The MU-4 zone 11 permits moderate density mixed use development. 12 the applicant is proposing a mixed And building on the northern portion of lot 807 as well as five 13 townhomes on the southern portion of the lot. 15 This would be for a total of 219 residential units and approximately 16,500 square feet of retail the majority 16 of which would be dedicated to a full service grocery store. 17 18 There would be 370 below grade parking spaces. Those would be accessed from the alley to the south of the 19 mixed use building. 2.0 21 designated for property is low density commercial on the future land use map and the generalized 22 policy map denotes it as a neighborhood commercial center. 23 24 requesting The applicant is not any flexibility

this

of

PUD

as

part

25

but

is

application,

requesting design flexibility and special exception relief from the rear yard and penthouse requirements.

With respect to public benefits and amenities 11 percent of the units would be designated as IZ units and the building would achieve LEED gold certification.

The applicant is also proposing improvements to the alley network in the square and continues to coordinate with DDOT on other proposed improvements in the area.

OP will continue to work with the applicant on issues raised in our report and OP notes that the proposal is not inconsistent with the MU-4 zone and the comprehensive plan designation for the property.

The Office of Planning recommends that the subject application be set down. This concludes my report and I'm happy to answer any questions. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you, Ms. Vitale. Colleagues, let me just cut straight to the chase. I am not in favor of setting this down. The more I'm adding onto it and adding onto it the more this whole thing for me is just getting out of control.

I think that we need to deal with one or the other. We've got too many different things going on. We've got this going on, that going on, this going on. So you know, I've been around here awhile and I don't like a whole lot of things in the pipeline trying to get to the same goal

2.0

or different ways of getting there.

2.0

So I am not in favor of setting this down. While I don't have a problem keeping it there, but I'm not in favor -- there's too many other actions and other things pertaining to this case. If we're going to drop one or drop something else then that's fine, but I think one is enough.

I mean, I don't know -- and I know our rules do not say necessarily that you can't do this. But I just think for me the predictability not just to the community but to the city I think we need to reel this thing back in and get control of it because as far as I'm concerned it's out of control.

All right. Commissioner Shapiro.

COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Respectfully I disagree. While I share your concerns about the complexity of this. You know, we have the voluntary design review, the aggregation of FAR case, Zoning Commission Case 19-06 and they're all -- even though that addresses DC-wide issues it still has an impact on the voluntary design review case.

Some of this is about timing and sequencing and I don't have an objection to setting this down recognizing that when it were to come before us we will have had an opportunity to hear -- to resolve one way or another the issues of the other cases.

1 So I'm fine with us proceeding down this route for And then we can make a decision when the time comes for 2 the public hearing that will be scheduled. 3 4 So again, respectfully I disagree and I'm fine with setting this down. 5 6 CHAIRMAN HOOD: That's fine. That's why we all 7 have a vote. Any other discussion? Commissioner May. 8 Mr. Chairman, I would agree COMMISSIONER MAY: Commissioner Shapiro. Ι think that while do 10 understand the issues that the chairman has raised I think 11 that at this point it is okay for us to set this down. I think there are a number of people who thought 12 this should have been a PUD from the beginning. 13 And so the applicant is I think being responsive to much of what's been 15 heard. And I don't have any problem setting it down today 16 particularly since we wouldn't get to hear it until September 17 18 sometime and by that point the other case -- cases that affect this property will have been decided most likely. 19 2.0 So I don't see any reason why we wouldn't set it 21 down and get it on the calendar and then -- I mean, if for some reason the decision-making on the other case winds up 22 extended we can always postpone this hearing on the PUD, or 23

take it off the calendar indefinitely if we are uncertain

about where it's all headed.

24

1	But I don't have any problem with setting it down
2	now. It's been so long in the making that I think it's okay
3	for us to have this case basically put on the calendar for
4	a hearing.
5	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. All right, anybody else?
6	Vice Chair Miller?
7	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I
8	concur with the comments of Commissioners Shapiro and May.
9	Although I understand your comments, Mr. Chairman, and I
LO	think at the time that we get to the if we get to the
11	hearing date that it needs to be on one track or the other.
12	Unfortunately it's kind of been on a very broken
13	track for too long a period of time with a vacant site that's
L4	been there vacant for five years.
15	So, I'm supportive, I'm very supportive of it
L6	being scheduled for a public hearing and setting it down as
L7	a PUD which some in the community and up here thought maybe
18	it should have been from the outset. Thank you.
L9	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Any other comments?
20	Commissioner Turnbull.
21	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I
22	agree with the comments that this thing should have been set
23	down as a PUD from the get-go. I think the design review is
24	a waste of time.
25	I'm all for expediting, but I'm also concerned

that having two tracks makes -- I'm afraid that the neighbors are looking at the Zoning Commission that we're playing around, we're not making a decisive effort to present the best -- in the best possible light to the public.

I think too many choices for a developer is -- I think you've got to do one or the other. I'm not for having two tracks going simultaneously. So I would agree with you on that. I think that we shouldn't set this down or they ought to withdraw the design review.

Do one and go with the other. Just do one at a time. So I will be with you in not supporting this.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. So, I still -- thank you. And I still stand true to what I said previously, what we said. Do one or the other. So next week -- not picking on this applicant. An applicant some months from now may come in with three different tools to use. I mean, come on. At some point we need to have some predictability. That's why -- it just so happens it's this one. You can withdraw the other one as Mr. Turnbull said and do the PUD, one or the other and we said that from day one. There's too many things in the pipeline.

So obviously no sense in continuing to talk on this because I can count. So somebody make a motion unless you want me to make a motion.

VICE CHAIR MILLER: Mr. Chairman, I would move

2.0

1	that the Zoning Commission set down Case No. 19-10 Valor
2	Development LLC consolidated PUD at square 1499 and ask for
3	a second.
4	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Second.
5	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, it's been moved and properly
6	seconded to set down. All in favor?
7	(Chorus of ayes)
8	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any opposition opposed?
9	(Chorus of nays)
10	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Ms. Schellin, would you record the
11	vote?
12	SECRETARY SCHELLIN: Staff records the vote 3-2-0
13	to set down Zoning Commission Case No. 19-10 as a contested
14	case. Commissioner Miller moving, Commissioner Shapiro
15	seconding, Commissioner May in support, Commissioner Hood and
16	Turnbull opposed.
17	Just to be clear I thought I heard Commissioner
18	Miller also say by the time it gets to hearing that only one
19	or the other would actually go forward. Is that not correct?
20	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Do we know the dates of the other
21	
22	SECRETARY SCHELLIN: Well, this case would not
23	have a hearing the earliest would be September.
24	VICE CHAIR MILLER: My point was that we would
25	have time to deal with that at that time.

1	SECRETARY SCHELLIN: I just want to make sure so
2	that staff doesn't schedule a hearing for the case until.
3	VICE CHAIR MILLER: No, part of the motion was to
4	schedule a hearing. Set down and schedule a hearing on the
5	PUD.
6	SECRETARY SCHELLIN: Even if the other case is
7	still going on.
8	VICE CHAIR MILLER: That can be determined at a
9	later point. I did express concurrence with the chairman and
10	said there shouldn't be two tracks at some point. I just
11	didn't think we needed to make that decision now. If the
12	time, date of the hearing and there's two tracks out there
13	we cannot go forward with the hearing.
14	SECRETARY SCHELLIN: Okay. So you can do that as
15	a preliminary matter at the hearing then.
16	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yes.
17	SECRETARY SCHELLIN: Okay. Gotcha. I just wanted
18	to make sure.
19	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Thank you for clarifying.
20	CHAIRMAN HOOD: So let me just put it on the
21	record. I'm going to put this on the record. When it gets
22	confused I'm not going to help un-confuse it. I'm going to
23	sit back and cut my mike off.
24	SECRETARY SCHELLIN: So, staff just wanted to know
25	so we'd know whether to schedule or not. I just wanted to

know for scheduling purposes.

2.0

CHAIRMAN HOOD: So that's on the record and I'm going to remind everybody.

All right. So let's go to correspondence. Zoning Commission Case No. 17-10 The Warrenton Group, notice from the Zoning Administrator about minor modifications pursuant to 11 A DCMR 304.5. Ms. Schellin.

SECRETARY SCHELLIN: Yes. As you stated it is a notice from the Zoning Administrator regarding some minor modifications that he has preliminarily approved and is waiting for the commission's input.

Some of the modifications include an increase of 1 foot 1 inch in height, increasing one more bicycle space, a reduction in the bicycle storage room, relocation of a stair tower, the stair tower 2 and elevator number 2 and a few other -- an addition of a 6 foot tall generator on the building's roof and a 6 foot high mechanical screen around the generator and a few other items. I would ask the commission to consider this and advise how staff should respond to the ZA.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. From my standpoint I believe this is exactly in line with what the ZA -- I would hear from others. I didn't see anything that just rung out where he was just totally usurping his authority. I think he's -- what I see some of the changes are exactly in line

1 with how I believe this regulation is supposed to be written 2 and how it's supposed to be exercised. So let me hear from 3 others. 4 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: I concur, Mr. Chair. No objections? So do we need to 5 CHAIRMAN HOOD: 6 send him back a letter ringing endorsement? 7 No, staff will just notify SECRETARY SCHELLIN: him that the commission is good. He can proceed. 9 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. All right. Do we have anything else? 10 11 SECRETARY SCHELLIN: No, sir. Unless the OP has. 12 MS. STEINGASSER: I just wanted to give a guick 13 update on where we are on the zone renaming. We had renamed all the zones -- took proposed action about six months ago. 15 We'll be bringing back the revised text for Subtitle D, E and F, the residential zones in July. 16 also going to be bringing forward a series of text amendments 17 for alley lots and DC Public Schools. We've been working 18 with them on some text amendments to help straighten out some 19 of the requirements as they affect those zones as well. 2.0 21 We're working through a series of text amendments in addition to those that will either be in front of the 22 commission in July or in September, have to do with rear 23 additions that we talked about during the training session 24

the rooftop additions and a set of

well as

1	exception organizing texts from the especially in the
2	residential zones.
3	So I just wanted to give you a quick preview of
4	what's coming.
5	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Steingasser.
6	Ms. Steingasser, in the preview do we have any additional
7	information on short-term rental?
8	MS. STEINGASSER: No, sir. There's no update from
9	the Office of Planning. However, I understand there is some
10	that there is legal review going on.
11	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. So that is an update, so
12	thank you. Any questions of Office of Planning?
13	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Yes, Mr. Chair. I just
14	wonder if I could ask Ms. Steingasser will your new text
15	amendment on alley structures talk about measuring points or
16	heights of structures in alleys?
17	MS. STEINGASSER: Yes. They've done a
18	comprehensive review of all of the regulations. So both how
19	they're measured, the size.
20	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Alley width.
21	MS. STEINGASSER: All that. Yes, sir.
22	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Thank you.
23	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Vice Chair Miller.
24	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And
25	Ms. Steingasser, can you confirm whether the office I believe
J	1

1	is continuing to look at the inclusionary zoning regulations
2	to further strengthen them consistent with the Mayor's order,
3	recent order on affordable housing.
4	MS. STEINGASSER: We're looking at all of the
5	regulations on ways to strengthen the housing. Some of that
6	has to do with IZ. Some of that has to do with just general
7	barriers to zoning, trying to find ways to make matter of
8	right easier.
9	We're also looking at some of the other planning
10	documents that affect housing. So we will be bringing
11	forward text amendments relative to that.
12	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Thank you.
13	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any other questions? All right,
14	I want to thank Ms. Steingasser and the Office of Planning
15	as well. Office of Attorney General. And our staff Ms.
16	Schellin. Ms. Schellin, do we have anything else?
17	SECRETARY SCHELLIN: No, sir.
18	CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right, I want to thank
19	everyone for their attention tonight and this meeting is
20	adjourned.
21	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the
22	record at 7:57 p.m.)
23	
24	
25	

<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Regular Meeting

Before: DCZC

Date: 06-10-19

Place: Washington, DC

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Court Reporter

near aus &