GOVERNMENT
OF
THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

ZONING COMMISSION

+ + + + +

REGULAR MEETING

+ + + + +

MONDAY

SEPTEMBER 17, 2018

+ + + + +

The Regular Meeting of the District of Columbia Zoning Commission convened in the Jerrily R. Kress Memorial Hearing Room, Room 220 South, 441 4th Street, NW, Washington, D.C., 20001, pursuant to notice at 6:30 p.m., Anthony J. Hood, Chairman, presiding.

ZONING COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT:

ANTHONY J. HOOD, Chairperson ROBERT MILLER, Vice Chairperson MICHAEL G. TURNBULL, FAIA, Commissioner (AOC) PETER G. MAY, Commissioner (NPS)

OFFICE OF ZONING STAFF PRESENT:

SHARON S. SCHELLIN, Secretary

OFFICE OF PLANNING STAFF PRESENT:

JENNIFER STEINGASSER, Deputy Director, Development
Review & Historic Preservation
MAXINE BROWN-ROBERTS
STEPHEN COCHRAN
JOEL LAWSON
STEPHEN MORDFIN
ELISA VITALE

D.C. OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL PRESENT:

JACOB RITTING HILLARY LOVICK MAXIMILIAN TONDRO

The transcript constitutes the minutes from the Regular meeting held on September 17th, 2018.

C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S

Opening remarks
06-14F, Washington Gateway Three, LLC 6
0107A, Commence Building Associates, LLC 8
16-13C, J.S. Congress Holdings, LLC 10
1606B, Jemal's Lazriv Water, LLC
15-18B, Initio, LLP
17-05A, 2100 Second Street, Southwest, LLC 20
06-14E, Washington Gateway III, LLC 26
16-14E flexibility language 28
15-15A, JBG Boundary, 1500 Harriet Thomas Way, LLC, and JBG Boundary, Eckington Place, LLC
15-32A, 1126, 9th Street, Northwest, LLC 31
02-38I, Waterfront 375 M Street, LLC, and 425 M Street, LLC. & 02-38G
08-06P, 08-06Q, 18-06 (en bloc) 40
17-11, 3200 Penn Ave., PJV, LLC 40
18-04, RFK Stadium 41
17-14, UM 500 Penn Street, Northeast, LLC, and UDR, Inc
14-12A, EAG 1309 5th Street, LLC
04-13C, 1210C R Street, LLC 61
18-10, High Street, LLC 65
18-16, Office of Planning 70
 Adjourn

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

1 2 (6:33 p.m.)3 Good evening, CHAIRMAN HOOD: ladies and The meeting will please come to order. gentlemen. a public meeting of the Zoning Commission for the District 5 6 of Columbia. My name is Anthony Hood. We are located at the 7 Jerrily R. Kress Memorial Hearing Room. Today's date is September the 17th, 2018. The time now is about 6:30 p.m. 8 9 Joining me this evening are Vice Chair Miller, 10 Commissioner May, and Commissioner Turnbull. Office of 11 Zoning staff, Ms. Sharon Schellin, as well Office of Attorney General, Mr. Ritting and Ms. Lovick. We want to welcome Mr. 12 Tondro to his new position in the office of -- Attorney 13 General's Office. So welcome to the Zoning -- you've been 15 to the Zoning Commission, but in another capacity. Is this your first time here in this capacity? 16 17 Okay. Well, welcome in this capacity. Also, the 18 Office of Planning staff, we have Ms. Steingasser, Lawson, Mr. Cochran, Mr. Mordfin, and Ms. Brown-Roberts, and 19 Ms. -- I see MS. Vitale in the audience. And anyone else 2.0 21 from the Office of Planning staff, welcome. 22 Copies of today's meeting agenda are available to 23

you and are located in the bin near the door. We do not take any public testimony at our meetings, unless the commissioner requests someone to come forward. Please be advised, this

24

1	proceeding is being recorded by a court reporter. It is also
2	webcast live. Accordingly, I must ask you to refrain from
3	any disruptive noises or actions in the hearing room,
4	including display of any signs or objects. Please turn off
5	all electronic devices.
6	Does the staff have any preliminary matters?
7	MS. SCHELLIN: No, sir.
8	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. If not
9	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Mr. Chairman, I just had one
10	quick little matter to add.
11	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, sure, yes.
12	VICE CHAIR MILLER: And I wanted to just take the
13	opportunity to wish you congratulations on your swearing in
14	a few moments ago
15	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you.
16	VICE CHAIR MILLER: on what must be your fifth
17	reappointment.
18	CHAIRMAN HOOD: I had that conversation just now
19	with the Mayor. It's my sixth.
20	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Sixth reappointment by at
21	least four different mayors. You've been on for 20 years,
22	and that's great public service to the city, and we're all
23	proud of you.
24	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Well, thank you, thank you.
25	Should I tell the Mayor that your comments were nicer than

no, no, I'm just kidding.

2.0

VICE CHAIR MILLER: You can tell them that you wrote them.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Tell them I wrote them? Well, thank you very much. And I really want to say this, I appreciate the boards and commissioners for being able to get me out of there and get me here. They had 600 people. It was a great event, one of the better ones I've been to, very well-organized, and I plan on making sure I let the mayor know that her motor staff did a great job. So, thank you for that. I appreciate it.

Okay, Ms. Schellin, let's begin with our agenda, unless we have anything else.

MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, under Minor Modifications and Technical Corrections, the first case on the agenda is 06-14F, Washington Gateway III, LLC. They are requesting a technical correction to Zoning Commission orders 06-14 through 06-14D. And what they're asking to do is to change the land area cited in the orders from 134,665 to 134,592. At Exhibit 5, there's an OP report in support of the technical correction. They ask the Commission to consider what's before them this evening and to consider taking final action on this request.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, Commissioners, we have the request before us. I'm sure we have reviewed all the facts

1	that are involved in this correction. I think it's a
2	technical correction, but let me open up any questions or
3	comments from anybody. Okay, I think it's pretty
4	straightforward. Unless I hear something else, would someone
5	like to make a motion?
6	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Mr. Chair, I would move
7	that we take favorable action on Zoning Case 06-14F,
8	Washington Gateway III, LLC, technical correction to Zoning
9	Commission order, number 06-14 to 06-14D, and look for a
10	second.
11	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Second.
12	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, that's been moved and
13	properly seconded. Any further discussion? All in favor?
14	Aye. Any opposition? I'm not hearing any. Do we have a
15	proxy?
16	MS. SCHELLIN: No, sir.
17	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Ms. Schellin, could you
18	record the vote?
19	MS. SCHELLIN: Yes. Staff records the vote 4 to
20	0 to 1, to approve final action Zoning Commission case number
21	06-14F. Commissioner Turnbull moving, Commissioner Miller
22	seconding, Commissioners Hood and May in support,
23	Commissioner Shapiro not present, not voting.
24	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you. Our next case
25	lis Zoning Commission case number 01 dash I mean sorry

01-07A, Commerce Building Associates, LLC. PVD minor modification is square 126. Ms. Schellin.

MS. SCHELLIN: In this minor modification request, the applicant is seeking to add penthouse habitable space, including a roof terrace. At Exhibit 10, there is a waiver request from the applicant for not serving one of the original parties, KV Sun Holdings. They were the owner of the adjacent property. They sold that property and so, therefore they're no longer an owner. And, of course, being an owner of the adjacent property allowed them to participate in the original case. At Exhibit 9, OP filed a report in modification, of the minor it asked support so t.he Commission, one, to rule on the waiver request, and, two, to consider final action on this case.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Schellin. Commissioners, you've heard the request for the waiver. Let's take that up first. Any objections? Any comments? I understand that KV -- whatever the former owner's name was -- has moved, and I'm sure everyone else has been served, the way I read it. So, any questions or comments on the waiver? Any objections to granting the waiver? Okay, I'm not seeing any, so we will grant the waiver. And then, let's talk the request, the minor mod request, square 126. Any questions And again, this is concerning the penthouse or comments? habitable space. Any comments? Commissioner May?

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

1	COMMISSIONER MAY: This seems very
2	straightforward. I don't see any real complications with it
3	and note that it's going to result in a contribution to
4	Housing Production Trust Fund, so, I think it's all fine.
5	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, anybody else?
6	VICE CHAIR MILLER: I concur with Commissioner
7	May.
8	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Alright, so, would someone
9	like to make a motion?
10	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Mr. Chair, I would move
11	that we take final action on Zoning Case number 01-07A,
12	Commerce Building Associates LLD, PUD Minor Modification at
13	square 126.
14	CHAIRMAN HOOD: I'll second that. It's been moved
15	and properly seconded. Any further discussion?
16	MR. RITTING: Can I just make a slight correction
17	that it's a modification of consequence?
18	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Modification of consequence, okay.
19	MR. RITTING: Oh, it's a minor mod? Okay, I'm
20	sorry. I was misreading my chart. The original
21	(Simultaneous speaking.)
22	MR. RITTING: Okay, sorry about that.
23	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, alright. Alright, no
24	problem, so, where was I? Moving any further discussion?
25	All in favor? Aye.

10 1 (Chorus of aye.) 2 Any opposition? Not hearing any. Ms. Schellin, would you please record the vote? 3 4 MS. SCHELLIN: Yes. Staff records the vote 4 to 0 to 1, to approve final action in Zoning Commission case 5 number 01-07A, Commissioner Turnbull moving, Commissioner 6 7 Hood seconding, Commissioners May and Miller in support, Commissioner Shapiro not present, not voting. 8 9 I'll call these next ones CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. 10 for determination of scheduling. I wanted to try to do some 11 of this en bloc as well, but there's some issues with some, 12 so I'm going do them one by one. So, anyway, we'll just take our time and go through these. 13 14 Modifications of consequence, determination of schedulings -- no, I can't do that. Okay. I'm thinking out 15 Zoning Commission case number 16-13C, J.S. Congress 16 modification of consequence 17 Holdings, LLC, to Zoning 18 Commission Order 16-13B at square 748, lots 78 and 819. Does anyone believe that this should come off? 19 Okay. Okay, one 2.0 second. 21 Okay, so, Ms. Schellin, can you deal with the 22 responses?

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

Yes.

consequence, they're seeking to change Condition B2 to extend

the deadline to pay the \$625,000 to DC Habitat for Humanity

MS. SCHELLIN:

2.3

24

25

For this modification of

1	from October 31, 2017, to December 31, 2018. The request is
2	made because DC Habitat for Humanity had difficulty finding
3	an off-site location for IZ and affordable housing. Although
4	it is under contract now for a site for this housing, Exhibit
5	7 is an OP report in support and asks the Commission to
6	consider this request and set up a schedule for filings by
7	the parties.
8	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Wait a minute, Ms. Schellin, what
9	are we which number did you just call?
10	MS. SCHELLIN: 16-13C. Am I on the wrong case?
11	CHAIRMAN HOOD: I guess what I I hate to
12	disclose this, but I've got three or four different things
13	I'm looking at up here, so maybe I need to get to one, and
14	we all need to look at the same one.
15	Yeah. Yeah, I got that. Hold on one second.
16	Alright, does anybody want anything else on this
17	case?
18	Okay, so, Ms. Schellin, can you set up the
19	schedule?
20	MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, I will.
21	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, that's where I was getting
22	was being confused.
23	MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, sorry for the confusion. So,
24	our next meeting is October 22nd. I know that at least an
25	ANC is a party to this case. I don't recall if there are

others, but the parties would have until October 9th to make their submission, and I'd look to the ANC to reach out -- I mean, I'm sorry, the applicant to reach out to the ANC to let them know that. And then, if the applicant wishes to respond, they would have until October 15th, and then we can put this on for October 22nd.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: So, we will deliberate on this

CHAIRMAN HOOD: So, we will deliberate on this case October the 22nd, and everybody else will have their notification, and they can respond if they choose to.

MS. SCHELLIN: Yes.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, alright. Alright, thank you.

That summer break must have been long. We're struggling, but we're going to get there.

Okay, next is the Zoning Commission case number 16-06B, Jemal's Lazriv Water, LLC, design -- did you say something -- design, review modification of consequence is at square 666. Ms. Schellin.

MS. SCHELLIN: In this modification request, the applicant seeks to modify the building's design and massing to increase the number of residential units and parking spaces, and minor changes to the landscape design of the river walk. At Exhibit 4, there is an OP report in support, and so maybe if I key it up this way, if the Commission is not requesting any additional information, then I will set

2.0

up a schedule.

2.0

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Alright. Commissioners, is there any additional information for this? Because if not, we are ready to do a scheduling. And let me thank Commissioner May for correcting me on Lazriv. He's now an English teacher, so -- but anyway, anything that we're looking for? Any comments on this? If not, we can do determination of scheduling.

Okay, Ms. Schellin, we can schedule.

MS. SCHELLIN: Yes. We can use the same dates. The ANC and any other parties, if there are any, can make their submission by 10 -- October 9th. If the applicant would reach out to the ANC, and if there are other parties, and pass that information on. If the applicant chooses to respond to those submissions, they have until October 15th, and we'll put this on for October 22nd.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, let's go up to the next case, Zoning Commission case number 15-18B, Initio, LP, requests for a PUD technical correction or modification of consequence to the square 1194. Ms. Schellin.

MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, the applicant wants the Commission to either grant a technical correction or a modification of consequence to order numbers 15-18 and 15-18A, for the size of the property to be changed from 7,413 square feet to 7,211 square feet.

In addition, a modification of consequence has been requested to make minor changes to the roof structures and to change the brick color on the building from red to a mid-tone gray. At Exhibit 7, there's an OP report that supports the first request as a minor modification, and the other two as -- I'm sorry, I believe it was as a technical the other correction and two as modifications of consequence. However, because the change in the size of the property, it creates a need for additional relief, a relief from lot occupancy, which has not been but does need to be requested.

At Exhibit 8, there's an ANC 2E resolution to take no position on this case. So, I would ask the Commission to decide how they want to proceed on this, since additional relief is necessary for the lot occupancy.

Okay. Which, in turn, would make CHAIRMAN HOOD: it a non-conforming use, the way I understand it. So. colleagues, we have three moving parts to this particular One of them is that, I think that the advice is to maybe ask the applicant to ask for the relief. And I think we can deal with the other two requests. I quess we would schedule -- I don't know, maybe we should do all this at one That's maybe my recommendation, but I'll hear from time. Because we could really go forward, I think, with others. the other two, I think, the elevator and overhead, and the

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

1	brick color. But I will open up and hear from others. How
2	do you want to proceed with this?
3	COMMISSIONER MAY: So, I mean, what do we need in
4	the way of a request from the applicant to request the
5	relief?
6	CHAIRMAN HOOD: They're going to have to file
7	they're going to have to file something. I don't think we
8	can do a verbal.
9	COMMISSIONER MAY: But they can we can set up
10	essentially the same schedule we've been using for the other
11	modifications of consequence? Or do we need to wait?
12	CHAIRMAN HOOD: They haven't filed anything on
13	that yet, so
14	COMMISSIONER MAY: So, we have to take it up again
15	as a modification?
16	CHAIRMAN HOOD: We're going to have to deal with
17	this again at some point. So, I guess what I'm trying to see
18	is, do we want to just hold all of it and do it all at once,
19	once we make the request, or do we want to deal with the
20	brick and what's the other one the elevator overrun,
21	from ten to, like, 18 points.
22	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Mr. Chairman?
23	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yeah?
24	VICE CHAIR MILLER: I thought the applicant's
25	August 22nd letter sought a technical correction or in the

1 alternative, a modification of consequence for that first 2 moving part, as you put it, the reduction in size of the So, I'd be in favor of scheduling all 3 subject property. three of these changes as modifications of consequence and 5 setting a schedule. Well, I don't think we can set 6 CHAIRMAN HOOD: 7 that. I think they have to ask for it first. 8 MS. LOVICK: Excuse me --9 Their letter asked for it. VICE CHAIR MILLER: 10 MS. LOVICK: It was the lot occupancy. The lot 11 occupancy is the relief that --12 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Oh, on the lot occupancy 13 question. 14 MS. LOVICK: Yeah, the lot occupancy relief is the relief that the applicant would need to submit an application 15 for. 16 17 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Would they have time to do it if we set a schedule that allows them to do that? 18 19 MS. LOVICK: Yeah, I mean, you can either -- you can decide to hold it in abeyance and wait for them, wait for 2.0 21 the applicant to file the application for the lot occupancy relief, or you could decide on the elevator and the brick 22 color tonight, and the applicant could file the request for 23 the lot occupancy relief separately, and you'd decide that 24 25 separately with the land area relief.

1	COMMISSIONER MAY: So, I mean, this is what my
2	original question was. What constitutes a request from the
3	applicant for the relief? Could the applicant's attorney
4	come to the dais right now and
5	MS. LOVICK: No, they would need to file
6	something, but they could come to the microphone to clarify
7	what they'd like to do with their preferences.
8	COMMISSIONER MAY: But my point is I only want
9	to take this up one more time.
10	MS. LOVICK: Okay.
11	COMMISSIONER MAY: We're going to have to do a
12	modification of consequence, so let's dispose of whatever we
13	can tonight so we take it up in full next time.
14	MS. LOVICK: Okay. Well then, if you want to do
15	it that way, then you would hold it in abeyance and allow for
16	them to submit an application for the lot occupancy relief
17	COMMISSIONER MAY: But then we wouldn't schedule
18	for deliberation until after we've received that.
19	MS. LOVICK: Correct. You would do it all at
20	once. All four would happen all at once.
21	COMMISSIONER MAY: Yeah, that's not what I'm
22	asking.
23	MS. LOVICK: Well, what are you asking?
24	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Well, here's what I don't want to
25	do. I see that we had a counselor come to the table. The

	18
1	last time I did let someone to come to the table willy-nilly,
2	we had a big problem. And right now, we're not ready to hear
3	from the counsel, okay? So, let us deal with how we're going
4	to try to move forward. Unless somebody up here wants to
5	hear from them?
6	COMMISSIONER MAY: No, I think the only reason to
7	if they could request, on the record, in our meeting, that
8	they want this other relief, and then we could fold it into
9	the modification of consequence, and then just schedule it
10	and then take it up on October 22nd and decide it all then.
11	MS. LOVICK: It hasn't been filed. So, I mean,
12	the application does have to be filed in order for you to
13	make a determination. Now, you can make a determination on
14	the three requests that you have at present.
15	COMMISSIONER MAY: We've been have had other
16	cases where additional relief is requested during the course
17	of a hearing. Why wouldn't we be able to do that here and
18	now?
19	MS. LOVICK: Well, I mean, in this situation, it's
20	possible that this relief could be a modification of
21	significance. I don't know. It's something that you would
22	have to determine. And so, without them actually filing the
23	application for the lot occupancy relief I mean, I

COMMISSIONER MAY: Alright, that's fine.

wouldn't advise doing it that way, but --

24

25

I give

1	up. I would still like to see it well, we could
2	reschedule it for the next when's our next meeting?
3	MS. SCHELLIN: October 22nd. And
4	COMMISSIONER MAY: No, but that's not that's
5	the next
6	MS. SCHELLIN: Yes.
7	COMMISSIONER MAY: We're not going to have a
8	single meeting between now and then?
9	MS. SCHELLIN: No.
10	COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay.
11	MS. SCHELLIN: No, the rest of the year, there's
12	one meeting. And if they work with the ANC and they get an
13	ANC report, it's possible action could be taken at the
14	October 22nd meeting.
15	COMMISSIONER MAY: Right.
16	MS. SCHELLIN: So, the burden's really on them to
17	get their application in. It could be put on that agenda,
18	and action because the Commission has done that.
19	COMMISSIONER MAY: Well, the ANC already reported
20	on everything, except for that question of that relief.
21	MS. SCHELLIN: Exactly. So, if they do that, then
22	you guys you know, it's not going to be any delay.
23	COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay.
24	MS. SCHELLIN: So, it's really on the applicant
25	to take care of that

	20
1	COMMISSIONER MAY: Alright.
2	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Alright. So, we will hold this
3	in abeyance.
4	MS. SCHELLIN: Everything until the 22nd?
5	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Everything.
6	MS. SCHELLIN: Okay.
7	CHAIRMAN HOOD: We can deal with it all at once.
8	I just didn't want anybody to come up and ask for relief and
9	then we have as has already been stated, we don't know how
10	we're going to put it. Because that gets us in even more
11	muddier waters, so okay. Thank you.
12	Alright, let's go to the next case. Zoning
13	Commission case number 17-05A, 2100 Second Street, Southwest,
14	LLC. Capital Gateway Design reviewed modification of
15	consequences at square 613 in lot 10. Ms. Schellin.
16	MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, sir. The applicant is seeking
17	to redesign several architectural elements as the design
18	evolved through the building permit process. At Exhibit 4,
19	you have an OP report that supports the request subject to
20	the applicant addressing DOEE concerns noted in OP's report.
21	At Exhibit 5, the applicant responded to OP and
22	the ANC concerns, stating they were withdrawing their request
23	for a rock scramble in place of the beach, and that the other
24	changes they made were approved by DOEE and the ANC, although

there is nothing in the record from ${\tt DOEE}$ or the ANC stating

1 that they do --- are in agreement with those changes. 2 The Exhibit C -- Exhibit 6, rather, later today, 3 the ANC 6D did submit a report saying that they are in support, with some concerns and suggestions. 5 So, we'd ask the Commission to consider scheduling on this case, although the ANC has submitted a report, and 6 7 it would be a matter of whether the Commission wants some additional information, or if they choose to move forward 9 this evening. 10 Schellin, that letter that CHAIRMAN HOOD: Ms. 11 came in today, what time did that come in? 12 MS. SCHELLIN: It was late. Well, I haven't seen -- I 13 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. haven't even looked at it. 15 Alright. MS. SCHELLIN: Has anybody else had a chance to 16 CHAIRMAN HOOD: review the letter? 17 18 Okay. And we did have a testimony of submission from the applicant, stating about DOEE accepting some of 19 2.0 their environmental. So, we got it from the applicant, but 21 we didn't get it from DOEE. So, that's one of the things I quess we would need to verify, but I sure that the applicant 22 23 wouldn't put that in the record and -- but still, I quess we still need to verify and make sure the DOEE is in compliance 24

with what the applicant is saying.

1	The 6D letter, I'm at a disadvantage, colleagues
2	
3	MS. SCHELLIN: Chairman Hood, I've been advised
4	by the applicant's representative that DOEE did provide a
5	form approving the changes. So, they could provide that form
6	for the record.
7	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. I guess that should be
8	sufficient, unless somebody wants to see a written letter.
9	I think that's sufficient, as long as DOEE is on board. And
10	they've already stated that in their submission.
11	Again, does anyone believe that this should come
12	off as a modification of consequence?
13	Any other comments? Anything else anybody's
14	looking for?
15	I don't think we Ms. Schellin, let's do a
16	determination of scheduling at this point. Unless I'm
17	hearing I don't hear any objects.
18	MS. SCHELLIN: Okay. And would that be an
19	opportunity for does the applicant need to respond to the
20	ANC's letter that came in this afternoon? Do you
21	CHAIRMAN HOOD: If there's something in there that
22	they need to
23	MS. SCHELLIN: If they want to, they can do that?
24	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yeah, if there's something in
25	there. And I'm actually getting ready to look at it now, so

	45
1	
2	MS. SCHELLIN: Okay.
3	CHAIRMAN HOOD: I haven't had a chance to look at
4	it.
5	MS. SCHELLIN: So, if the applicant chooses to do
6	that, and also to provide that DOEE form
7	CHAIRMAN HOOD: So, let me just it's uploaded.
8	I understand that some of my colleagues are saying they
9	didn't get it. But it's uploaded?
10	MS. SCHELLIN: I did upload it, yeah. This
11	afternoon.
12	CHAIRMAN HOOD: It was uploaded this afternoon?
13	MS. SCHELLIN: It should be, yeah.
14	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Is it in ZDOCs?
15	MS. SCHELLIN: Exhibit 20? Are you not seeing it?
16	Let me make sure.
17	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Give me one second.
18	(Off-microphone comments.)
19	CHAIRMAN HOOD: She says ZDOCs.
20	MS. SCHELLIN: It should be in ZDOCs.
21	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Exhibit 20? I don't see it
22	either. I don't
23	MS. SCHELLIN: There were several things that came
24	in last minute, so
25	CHAIRMAN HOOD: You only have Exhibit 5?

MS. SCHELLIN: It came in very late. You should see it now. That's why. It was probably the last exhibit that I got sent out.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, yeah. I do have it. Exhibit 6? Exhibit 6.

MS. SCHELLIN: You said 20. Okay, Exhibit 6. I take that back.

So, the applicant could respond to that ANC letter if they choose to do so, and provide that DOEE form by October 9th. And then we can put this on for October 22nd.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, yeah. And I would ask them to respond. If not -- at least make an attempt, or a good-faith effort to try to answer what the ANC is asking here. Because I'm going to be looking at that, once I figure out what they're asking for, other than what I see here.

But anyway, any other questions on this? always good to try to get this in earlier, to help us. Because we have other full days. And I know getting it in like. the last minute sometimes puts disadvantage, and we like to be able to look at these materials, especially when it comes from communities -- and even after, you know, whoever's involved -- so we can make informed, intelligent decisions, okay? So, instead of giving it in -- I quess, instead getting it at five minutes to 5:00, we'll get it at 4:45.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

1 Okay. So, anyway, we'll do the best we can with 2 that, so -- Are you scheduling? 3 MS. SCHELLIN: Yes. 4 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. So, we're good? Alright. It's been brought to my attention ---5 Alright. 6 Ms. Schellin, let's go back to 15-18B. 7 MS. SCHELLIN: Yes. 8 Commissioner full CHAIRMAN HOOD: May, for 9 disclosure, would you want to discuss your decision? 10 COMMISSIONER MAY: So, we, as we were working 11 through that, and I was very focused on the issue of the additional relief that was required. 12 But there is another 13 complication in the prospect of getting an actual decision on the 22nd, which is that there was a party that was in opposition. 15 And part of the process for doing a modification 16 of consequence is making sure that the party has notice and 17 18 they know when we're going to make a decision about it, and, so, the only way to, I would think, to get a decision on the 19 22nd would be if the applicant were able to get a letter from 2.0 21 the Committee of 100 and could provide it at that time. Otherwise, I think we'd be winding up having to schedule it 22 for a future deliberation. 23 24 So, hopefully, everything will fall into place. 25 I know that the applicant has been having a lot of discussion

1 with the Committee of 100 over the last couple of years, so 2 maybe they'll be able to do it. 3 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. So, noted. Next, let's go with Zoning Commission case number 06-14E, Washington Gateway III, LLC, modification of consequence to order number 06-14D, 5 in square 3584. 6 Ms. Schellin. 7 The applicant is requesting MS. SCHELLIN: Yes. to redesign condition number B3, in order number 06-14B, in order to redesign the south tower for residential use, but also, buried on page 4 of their request, they're also 10 11 requesting to change condition B6-D, regarding installed pole 12 Exhibit 10 and 11 are the OP report and supplemental lights. 13 report supporting the request. So, we'd ask the Commission to decide if they want anything additional, and we can then set a schedule. 15 Commissioners, 16 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okav. any additional thing that we're looking for? 17 First, I don't 18 believe anyone has mentioned they want this to come off, so, is there anything additional we need in this? 19 If not, we can determine -- we can do scheduling. 2.0 21 Commissioner -- Vice Chair Miller? 22 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. VICE CHAIR MILLER: think there's some additional information that the Office of 23 Planning has requested in the record from the applicant. 24

It's listed on pages -- the bottom of page 3 and mostly on

1	the top of page 4, their report. So I'm in favor of
2	scheduling it, but if we could get that information prior to
3	our deliberations. They're design-related information that
4	the OP was requesting.
5	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Alright. Other than Are we
6	requesting anything? Office of Planning has asked a request.
7	We'd ask them to fill that request. Anything that we are
8	asking for?
9	Alright. Okay, if not, we can do a determination
10	of scheduling. Ms. Schellin.
11	MS. SCHELLIN: So, again, if the ANC and any other
12	parties would submit by October 9th, again, I'd ask the
13	applicant to reach out to the parties. And then, the
14	applicant could respond by October 15th. We can put this on
15	for October 22nd.
16	COMMISSIONER MAY: Yeah, I did.
17	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, anything else on that Ms.
18	Schellin?
19	Commissioner May, would you like to have the floor
20	again?
21	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yeah, no, I just, the Office
22	of the Attorney General very appropriately pointed out that
23	I was mistaken about Committee of 100 being a party. They
24	were not a party, they just sued about the case. So, that's
25	what I'm told. I frankly can't remember, but if they weren't

1 a party, then they don't need notice. I'm sure the applicant 2 will do whatever they need to do. Okay. Thank you. CHAIRMAN HOOD: Actually, Commissioner May, I was 3 going to say, we have a lot, so much stuff, that we have to read, and sometimes we get it mixed up. Sometimes, it's also 5 good, as a colleague -- I shouldn't say this -- for somebody 6 7 else to make mistakes, because I make them all the time. 8 anyway, I know that we're all human, so --9 COMMISSIONER MAY: I'm happy to point that out. 10 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Alright. Okay, so --11 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Mr. Hood, are you still on this case? 12 14E? 13 CHAIRMAN HOOD: 16-14?14 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Yeah. 15 Yeah, I'm still on it. CHAIRMAN HOOD: COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: The only thing I want to 16 just clarify is that the applicant has proposed flexibility 17 18 condition language, regarding materials. And I think the preferred -- we have a preferred -- it's not quite what the 19 2.0 applicant is requesting, is not the same as what we've now 21 established as our standard language. And the standard

color ranges, as proposed to the site plans.

language would say, to vary the final selection of the colors

of the exterior materials, based on the availability at the

time of construction, provided such colors are within the

22

23

24

1	The applicant, basically, was talking about to
2	vary the final selection of the exterior materials. And
3	we're saying you can change the colors, but not the
4	materials. So, that clarification is in there.
5	And then there's a slight change with making
6	with the minor refinements to the exterior details,
7	locations. And I think OAG has got the language that the
8	applicant, that we're going to be using.
9	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, so, we'll see that, because
10	this is scheduled on did we say when this was scheduled
11	for, Ms. Schellin?
12	MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, October.
13	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Oh, you had October for them? So,
14	we expect to see that, Mr. Turnbull, when they come back.
15	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Yeah, that's
16	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.
17	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: The corrections are what
18	we would prefer to have.
19	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yeah, our flexibility language.
20	MS. LOVICK: Yeah.
21	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Right.
22	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, good.
23	Alright, are we ready to move on? Anybody?
24	Everybody? Okay. Now, I think we're on to deliberations.
25	Or did I miss something?

No, I missed a -- Okay, we just did that one. Okay, so deliberations, Zoning Commission case 15-15A, JBG Boundary, 1500 Harriet Thomas Way, LLC, and JBG Boundary, Eckington Place, LLC, modification of consequence, at square 3576. Ms. Schellin.

MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, Staff has nothing new for this case, so we just ask the Commission to go ahead and consider final action.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. And we didn't get anything from the ANC, I don't believe. It was ANC 5E. My notes say they did not submit any -- we don't have any submission from them. I think this is a smaller -- the way I read it, this is smaller, what they're asking for. And this adjustment is for the fire code issues, which I believe have been resolved in this redesign. So, I think we don't go wrong there. I think it's three feet or something less.

But either way, when I hear that we're now making it easier and we meet the fire code issues that the previous project detailed and had, that was involved with the previous case, and this fire code -- correcting the fire code issue is very important, and for me, that stuck out. I don't have any problems with that.

Alright, any other questions or comments? So with that, I would move for final action that we approve the request of Zoning Commission case number 15-15A, JBG

2.0

1	Boundary, 1500 Harriet Thomas Way, LLC, and JBG Boundary,
2	Eckington Place, LLC, modification of consequence, at square
3	3576, and I ask for a second.
4	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Second.
5	CHAIRMAN HOOD: It's been moved and properly
6	seconded. Any further discussions? No further discussion.
7	All in favor? Aye.
8	(Chorus of aye.)
9	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any opposition? It's ordered.
10	Ms. Schellin, would you please record the vote?
11	MS. SCHELLIN: Yes. Staff records the vote 4 to
12	0 to 1, to approve final action in Zoning Commission case
13	number 15-15A, Commissioner Hood moving, Commissioner Miller
14	seconding, Commissioners May and Turnbull in support,
15	Commissioner Shapiro not present, not voting.
16	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Now, let's move to final
17	action on Zoning Commission case number 0
18	MS. SCHELLIN: There's one more case under
19	deliberations, 15-32A.
20	CHAIRMAN HOOD: And I got my glasses on. Thank
21	you, Ms. Schellin.
22	Zoning Commission case number 15-32A, 1126 9th
23	Street, Northwest, LLC, modification of consequence. It's
24	a PUD at square 369. Ms. Schellin.
25	MS. SCHELLIN: There's just been one new exhibit

1	added to this case, Exhibit 3. It's the ANC report, ANC 2F,
2	resolution in support. I ask the Commission to consider
3	final action on this case.
4	CHAIRMAN HOOD: It's stated I'm just looking
5	at my notes its's stated as, in the ANC letter, they
6	mention that this, what's being proposed now is less impact,
7	and they are, as Ms. Schellin's already said, they are fully
8	in support. Any other questions? Comments? Would someone
9	like to make a motion?
10	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Chair, I would just say
11	that this is a tremendous scaling back of the project, and
12	I think it fits into the context of the neighborhood.
13	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.
14	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: So It is very well
15	done.
16	VICE CHAIR MILLER: And I would note that, even
17	though it does scale back, I think from 33 residential units
18	to 15 units and removes the higher structure, the original
19	two affordable units are still retained, which I think is
20	important.
21	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. With that, would somebody
22	like to make a motion to approve?
23	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Mr. Chairman, I would move
24	that we approve the request for Zoning Commission case number
25	15-32A, 1126 9th Street, Northwest, LLC, modification of
	I

1 consequence, the PUD at square 369, on 9th Street, Northwest. 2 And I ask for a second. 3 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Second. 4 CHAIRMAN HOOD: It's been moved and properly seconded, any further discussion? 5 All in favor? Aye. Any 6 opposition? I'm not hearing any. Schellin, would you ${\tt Ms.}$ 7 record the vote? 8 Staff records the vote 4 to 0 to MS. SCHELLIN: 9 1, to approve final action on Zoning Commission case number 10 15-32, Commissioner Miller moving, Commissioner Turnbull 11 seconding, Commissioners Hood and May in support, 12 Commissioner Shapiro not present, not voting. Okay, the next two cases -- or, 13 CHAIRMAN HOOD: well, the next case I'm going to call along together, with the 02-38G. Zoning Commission case number 02-38I, Waterfront 15 375 M Street, LLC, and 425 M Street, LLC, a second-stage PUD 16 modification of significance. The first-stage PUD is square 17 Also, we're going to incorporate our conversation with 18 19 case number 02-38G, Item 6B. Ms. Schellin. 2.0 First, I'd like to say that MS. SCHELLIN: Yes. 21 at about a quarter to five, we received a request to reopen the record from Coy McKinney, and that has been denied. 22 23 going on --24 Is that something that we asked CHAIRMAN HOOD: 25 for?

MS. SCHELLIN: It was not.

1

2

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, thank you.

And in Exhibits 137 through 137A2, MS. SCHELLIN: we have the applicant's response to the ANC's post-hearing submissions. At Exhibit 138, we have the applicant's request to change the escrow language in the order. And, with regard to case number 02-38G, I just want to note that the applicant stated, even though I wasn't here, it's my understanding that they stated at the July 30th public meeting, that if the Commission takes favorable action in case 02-38I, then it will request that the Commission allow it to withdraw case 02-38G, because that relief will no longer be necessary. And, if the Commission does proceed in that way, then Staff would like to have a letter for -- in the record, so that that record would be whole. If someone's looking at it, they'll know that that case was withdrawn. And, I believe that the applicant has a letter this evening that they would hand in if the Commission takes favorable action in 02-38I. They'll hand in a letter if the Commission will allow them to withdraw 02-38G.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Alright, thank you. It looks, colleagues, it looks to me like, from the record that it's already been stated that all the issues that were presented with this case, 02-38I, have been resolved, with the exception of the ANC requesting that applicant to pay for

the bus shelter.

2.0

Typically, I like to push applicants to do certain things, but certain things, I don't like to say it's not within our jurisdiction, but we cannot ask -- it's not appropriate for the Commission, I don't believe, to ask the applicant to pay for a bus shelter. So, hopefully, they will continue to work together and maybe donate -- I'm throwing hints out because we can't sit here and put that in any form or fashion of order that we're doing to ask the applicant to pay for a bus shelter.

So, enough said on that, and just, I'd encourage them to continue to work with this applicant and other applicants, and maybe come up with the money for the bus shelter. All the other issues, I believe, have been resolved. Commissioner May?

COMMISSIONER MAY: I think this project has come a long way from where it was first shown to us. And, so, I think, you know, we didn't necessarily satisfy everybody in the process, but I think that there's been substantial progress on the part of the applicant to address the concerns of the neighbors. So, no reason not move forward tonight.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.

VICE CHAIR MILLER: And I would concur with that. I appreciate all the discussions that the applicant did have with the ANC to try to address their concerns.

1 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Let me just ask Ms. Shiker, 2 if you could come forward. At our last meeting, you indicated that if this 3 was to be favorable, which it sounds like it is going to be, 02-38I, then G, the time extension, will be withdrawn. 5 Is that the intent? It's definitely going to happen? 6 7 MS. C. SHIKER: Correct. Good evening, Christy Shiker, with the law firm of Holland and Knight, representing We originally filed the time extension in the applicant. March of 2017, for the upcoming expiration of the first-stage 10 11 PUD, on April 15, 2017. On April 5th, ten days before that expiration, we did file the modification to the use, as well 12 for 13 second-stage PUD both applications. the therefore, if the second-stage PUD is granted tonight, along with the modification, then the extension is no longer 15 necessary, because we satisfied the time requirements under 16 the original first-stage PUD. And we do have a letter, if 17 the Commission takes favorable action on the second-stage 18 PUD, to submit tonight to Staff. 19 2.0 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you. And I was iust -somebody just asked me -- well, you saw who it was -- just 21 asked me, is that your daughter? 22 MS. C. SHIKER: Yes, it is. 23 24 Would she like to come up and CHAIRMAN HOOD: 25 introduce herself and let us know who she is?

1	MS. C. SHIKER: Please come up.
2	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Now if you watch me, I do this all
3	the time, so it's not like I'm doing it just tonight. I do
4	this all the time when I see young folks, and I thank you
5	Commissioner May because I hate to overlook young folks
6	when they're in the audience.
7	MS. C. SHIKER: Do you want to introduce yourself?
8	MS. E. SHIKER: Hi, I'm Elizabeth Shiker.
9	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Elizabeth Shiker, who's that
10	sitting to your left?
11	MS. E. SHIKER: Oh.
12	MS. C. SHIKER: Oh.
13	CHAIRMAN HOOD: That was you, by the way. We
14	turned around
15	(Laughter.)
16	MS. E. SHIKER: That's my mom.
17	CHAIRMAN HOOD: That's your mom? Did your mom do
18	a good job tonight?
19	MS. E. SHIKER: Yeah.
20	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Do you have anything you
21	want to add to this case?
22	MS. E. SHIKER: No.
23	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, alright. Thank you both.
24	Thanks for coming up and telling us who you are. Okay, thank
25	you. I appreciate it.
	I and the state of

1	MS. C. SHIKER: Thank you.
2	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Alright. Commissioners, you've
3	heard from Ms. Shiker about the next case, so, it sounds like
4	this is favorable. Would somebody like to make a motion?
5	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Mr. Chair, I would move
6	that we take final action on zoning case 02-38I, Waterfront
7	375 M Street, LLC, and 425 M, LLC, second-stage PUD and
8	modification of significance to first-stage PUD, at square
9	542. I look for a second.
10	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Second.
11	CHAIRMAN HOOD: It's been moved and properly
12	seconded. Any further discussion? All in favor? Aye.
13	(Chorus of aye.)
14	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any opposition? Not hearing any.
15	Ms. Schellin, would please record the vote?
16	MS. SCHELLIN: Does that also include, or are you
17	also going to make a separate motion to allow the withdrawal
18	of 02-38G?
19	CHAIRMAN HOOD: I'm going to do a separate motion.
20	MS. SCHELLIN: Separate? Okay.
21	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Did we leave it out?
22	MS. SCHELLIN: Yes. Staff records the vote 4 to
23	0 to 1, to approve final action on Zoning Commission case
24	number 02-38I, Commissioner Turnbull moving, Commissioner
25	Miller seconding, Commissioners Hood and May in support,
ļ	

1 Commissioner Shapiro not present, not voting. 2 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Mr. Chair, I would make a motion to remove zoning case 02-38G, Waterfront 3 Street, LLC, and Waterfront 425 M, LLC, two-year PUD time 5 extension, at square 542. And look for a second to approve the withdrawal. 6 7 CHAIRMAN HOOD: I'll second the withdrawal and the Any further discussion? All in favor? motion. Aye. 9 (Chorus of aye.) 10 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any opposition? Not hearing any. Ms. Schellin, would you record the vote? 11 Staff records the vote 4 to 0 to 12 MS. SCHELLIN: 1, to allow withdrawal of case number 02-38G, Commissioner 13 Turnbull moving, Commissioner Hood seconding, Commissioners Miller and May in support, Commissioner Shapiro not present, 15 not voting. 16 17 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Commissioners, the next case is B through F, Rulemakings, and I was going to move them en 18 bloc, but I did want to say something about one of the cases. 19 2.0 I enquired to the legal counsel about the 3200 21 Penn Avenue, and I cannot treat that like a PUD. I just want to say that I encourage the applicant to continue to work 22 with the community in that case. 23 I think, I need to make sure I stay within the bounds of what I have to do, so I'm 24

just encouraging the applicant -- I think you've heard me --

make sure you're keeping them attuned, and working with them, and trying to work through some of the outstanding issues. But it seemed -- I know they were favorable for the case, but there are still some other things going on out there that I believe needs to be addressed, from the file. But anyway, I can't treat that like a PUD, so I won't. And any other comments? If not, I'd like to move all these en bloc, and I will just call the cases.

Which ones? Okay, I'm going to move Zoning Commission case number 08-06P, Office of Planning corrections to Zoning Commission order number 08-06A, for subtitle C, J, K, U, X, and Y. Zoning Commission case number 08-06Q, Office of Planning amendments to Zoning Commission order number 08-06A, subtitles B304.3, rules of measurement, subtitle I200, density to floor area ratio. D, Zoning Commission case number 18-06, Office of Planning text amendment to subtitle G, creating a new MU-4 zone, and renaming MU-4 to MU -- It says 4B, but I thought we did 3B.

MS. SCHELLIN: It is 3B.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, so it's 3B. Let's make sure we -- Okay, MU-3B. I'm glad my memory -- I remember some things.

Zoning Commission number 17-11. This is the one I just spoke about, 3200 Penn Avenue, PJV, LLC, map amendment

2.0

1	at square 5539, lots 835 and 840. Zoning Commission case
2	number 18-04, Office of Planning text amendment to subtitle
3	A, sections 209.2 and 301.3, are a construction in playing
4	fields and accessory structures at RFK Stadium well, it
5	says RFK Stadium. Oh wait, RFK. Let me just leave at that.
6	So, I would like to move all those cases en bloc for approval
7	and ask for a second
8	COMMISSIONER MAY: Excuse me, Mr. Chairman.
9	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes.
10	COMMISSIONER MAY: I cannot go along with the RFK
11	one. I have questions about that that I need to ask.
12	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Let me try to do this then,
13	let me do B through because I don't want to read all that
14	over let me do B through E.
15	COMMISSIONER MAY: That's fine.
16	CHAIRMAN HOOD: So, with that, I would move B
17	through E for approval of the case I just read, leaving out
18	F.
19	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Second.
20	CHAIRMAN HOOD: It's been moved and properly
21	seconded. Any further discussion? Hold on for a second.
22	Okay, so I need to add and thank you, whoever
23	came up with that. I know who it was. But thank you for
24	telling So, we want to make sure that we also include, in
25	Zoning Commission case number 18-06, because we created an

1	MU-3B, as v	well as a MU-3A, right?
2		MS. SCHELLIN: Yes.
3		CHAIRMAN HOOD: So, I want to include that in the
4	motion as w	well. I think do I have everything covered?
5		VICE CHAIR MILLER: And I'll include that in my
6	second.	
7		CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.
8		COMMISSIONER MAY: I'm sorry, which one was under
9	which case?	?
10		CHAIRMAN HOOD: That's under Zoning Commission
11	case number	r 18-06.
12		COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay, got it.
13		CHAIRMAN HOOD: Renaming MU-4 to MU-3A and
14		MS. SCHELLIN: MU-4 stays the same.
15		COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay, that's right.
16		MS. SCHELLIN: It was originally MU-4, and there
17	was going	to be an MU-4A and B, I believe, or A. So,
18	basically,	it's creating a new MU-3A and 3B. That's it.
19		CHAIRMAN HOOD: So, what did I say that was
20	incorrect?	
21		MS. SCHELLIN: Yeah. We're no longer creating
22		CHAIRMAN HOOD: I think when I said renaming MU-4.
23		MS. SCHELLIN: Yeah, yeah.
24		CHAIRMAN HOOD: Well, let's take all that out,
25	then.	

1	MS. SCHELLIN: There's no longer a new MU-4A, I
2	believe.
3	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, we're not renaming anything?
4	MS. SCHELLIN: Yeah.
5	CHAIRMAN HOOD: So, we have a new
6	MS. SCHELLIN: We're creating
7	CHAIRMAN HOOD: MU 3-A and 3-B.
8	MS. SCHELLIN: Yeah, right.
9	CHAIRMAN HOOD: So, can make sure that we make
10	those corrections?
11	MS. SCHELLIN: Yeah.
12	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Alright, so, we're not
13	renaming MU-4. We're just
14	MS. SCHELLIN: Creating a new 3A and 3B.
15	CHAIRMAN HOOD: creating a new MU-3A and -3B.
16	Okay, so,
17	MS. SCHELLIN: Yeah.
18	CHAIRMAN HOOD: for the record Okay, so, we
19	got that, creating a new MU-3A and MU-3B. So, I'll add that
20	to 18-06. And we're going to move, just en bloc, cases D
21	through E of the agenda. So, with that, I move to approve
22	and I think did this and it's been seconded. Any further
23	discussion? All in favor? Aye.
24	(Chorus of aye.)
25	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any opposition? Not hearing it.
ļ	1

Ms. Schellin, would you please record the vote?

2.0

MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, Staff records the vote 4 to 0 to 1, to approve final action in Zoning Commission case number 08-06P, 08-06Q, 18-06, and 17-11. Commissioner Hood moving, Commissioner Miller seconding, Commissioners May and Turnbull in support, Commissioner Shapiro not present, not voting.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. So, next, let's go to Zoning Commission case number 18-04, Office of Planning text amendment, subtitles A, sections 209.2 and 301.3, construction of playing fields and accessory structures at RFK. Ms. Schellin.

MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, the proposed rulemaking was published on July 13th. At Exhibit 10, we have an NCPC-delegated action that found no federal issues with this rulemaking case and asks the Commission to consider final action.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Commissioner May?

COMMISSIONER MAY: Right. So, the question on this one has to do with a number of buildings, because the language limits the number of buildings to three, plus the event pavilion. And the proposal that was approved by NCPC had four buildings, and I think what's being presented to CFA later this week is four buildings. So, I'm wondering how that difference gets reconciled, and so I'm hoping that maybe

the Office of Planning knows the answer to that.

1

2

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

MS. VITALE: Good evening, Mr. Chair, members of Elisa Vitale, for the record. We noted the the Commission. same discrepancy and reached out to the applicant, regarding I think the applicant's theory initially was this issue. that they might proceed with that extra, additional structure more as a trellis. This is to provide shade. And upon further discussion, OP's determination was that it would not qualify purely as a trellis, that it really would be a structure, and that the applicant would need to either have the Commission not take final action tonight and amend the text, or allow the Commission to proceed with final action tonight, recognizing that they would need to circle back in the future and further modify the text to allow for this additional structure that they are in fact contemplating.

COMMISSIONER MAY: So, I mean, I'm not trying to make things any harder. I'm hoping that we can make things easier for the applicant on this. And, you know, I mean, we're at the point of final action. I don't know, does it — The way the text reads, I think — it does call out the three types of buildings, right? So, I mean, it wouldn't be as simple as just saying four buildings instead of three, would it?

MS. VITALE: The language now is specific to a visitor building, a storage building, and then restroom

facilities. As I stated, the applicant described this to me as a shade structure. We could certainly describe a fourth shade structure and include similar limits in terms of height and square footage, and perhaps capture it that way.

COMMISSIONER MAY: So, is that something that we could do between, I mean -- just on a final action? Or do we have to re-advertise this tweak? I'm looking at the Office of the Attorney General.

MR. RITTING: Yeah, I don't have -- There's not a clear-cut answer to that question. I mean, what we've said in the past is that, if it's a part of the same, sort of, conversation of the proposed text, and everyone who probably would want to comment would, you know would have had their opportunity to get their say in --

COMMISSIONER MAY: Right.

MR. RITTING: Now, I guess it really comes down to whether you think the difference in the number of buildings is part of the same conversation or not. It's a discretionary judgment call.

COMMISSIONER MAY: Yeah, I mean, it's hard to imagine that there would large numbers of okay who were okay with three structures, and then you add a shade structure and they're all of a sudden going to be very upset about it. So, I think that the opportunity to comment -- and, as I recall, we didn't actually get any comments -- you know, that it

2.0

would be -- It's hard to imagine anybody's going to -- will have substantively lost their opportunity to, you know, to participate in this discussion on it, because three buildings versus four buildings -- And they're all pretty minor, and the shade structure is pretty minor.

But I think there is an issue of making sure that it's consistent, because we want to be -- I don't want to have to have them come back just to add a shade structure, nor do I want them to have to have go through the process of getting another approval from the commissions. And I also know that the Park Service would like to -- because we have to take an action to say okay to building this, because it's still technically parkland, it's just leased parkland. And we want all the approvals to be consistent, so --

I mean, is this something that we could defer for a week and then take it up -- I mean, I hate -- I'm the last person to really want to schedule special public meetings, but it's a pretty minor thing. If we took it up before another hearing, and between now and then we just had revised language submitted by the Office of Planning and okayed by OAG, then we could take it up in a week or so.

MR. RITTING: I guess my question is, well, if you're going to put it off to another meeting, why not just re-advertise the proposed rulemaking notice with the correct language? I mean, it would put us 30 days out, and then --

2.0

1	COMMISSIONER MAY: Right. And I think they're
2	pretty anxious to actually start construction, because
3	they've already had the ground-breaking and, you know, I
4	mean, I don't know when they actually plan to start
5	construction, but I think they want to do it quick.
6	CHAIRMAN HOOD: So, do we have a meeting this
7	week? We don't have a meeting this week. What about next
8	week? I mean, not a meeting, a hearing, a special public
9	meeting.
10	MS. SCHELLIN: The hearing next week is going to
11	be postponed.
12	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Oh. Well, we're going to
13	definitely want to come to that.
14	COMMISSIONER MAY: Will we be hearing on Thursday?
15	MS. SCHELLIN: I have to notice five days, so we
16	could not do it.
17	CHAIRMAN HOOD: So, when is our next Week after
18	next.
19	MS. SCHELLIN: The next opportunity would be
20	October 1st.
21	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Well, we can just deal with this
22	October 1st.
23	MS. SCHELLIN: Do you want to do a special public
24	meeting at 6:15?
25	CHAIRMAN HOOD: A special public meeting at 6:00.

1	What is it, about 15 minutes?
2	COMMISSIONER MAY: Barely. I mean, the original
3	hearing took ten minutes. I know because I watched it. I
4	wasn't here for it, but I watched it.
5	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Well, we're going to calculate all
6	this time, and then another hearing, so, it'll be an hour
7	hearing. But anyway, I think 6:20. We can do 6:20.
8	MS. SCHELLIN: Is that good with OP? Are you guys
9	going to be ready in time?
10	CHAIRMAN HOOD: October 1st?
11	MS. SCHELLIN: Okay. So, October 1st
12	MS. STEINGASSER: Yes.
13	MS. SCHELLIN: special public meeting at 6:20.
14	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, yeah, I think that's good.
15	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Mr. Chairman, I don't want to
16	delay anything, but I just had a question. The language
17	that's in the proposed rulemaking, after the paragraph about
18	the three accessory structures, it talks about another
19	structure, an unenclosed pavilion. That's not the thing
20	we're talking about?
21	MS. VITALE: That is not. That's a larger
22	pavilion.
23	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Okay.
24	MS. VITALE: And they've actually that, you'll
25	be seeing

1	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Okay.
2	MS. VITALE: as a design review case. So,
3	that's a separate thing that's been accounted for.
4	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Okay.
5	I'm fine with October 1st, Mr. Chairman.
6	CHAIRMAN HOOD: So, October 1st, special public
7	meeting. Put an asterisk, put Peter May's name, so the next
8	I have a special public meeting, we won't have any comments.
9	MS. SCHELLIN: I won't bet on that one.
10	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Alright. Okay, so, thank you,
11	Commissioner May. Let's where am I at? Okay, let's go
12	to G, Zoning Commission case number 08-07C, four-point
13	second-stage PUD, at square 574. Ms. Schellin.
14	MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, I want to correct that
15	square number is actually 5874.
16	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Oh, okay.
17	MS. SCHELLIN: That was typo. At exhibits 41
18	through 45C, you have the applicant's post-hearing
19	submissions. Exhibits 46 through 46A, you have ANC 8A report
20	in support, that came in later this afternoon. I don't know
21	if the Commission's had an opportunity to review that yet.
22	And I believe it included a signed CVA. So, I would ask the
23	Commission to consider final action on that case.
24	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, Commissioners, any questions
25	or comments? Okay, so we do have a letter from the ANC. Let

1 me review that. If that came in today, I didn't see that 2 either, so -- I had a lot of time last night to review a lot 3 What I was watching wasn't of no interest. 4 I know somebody laughed, but they'll pay for that. I know somebody out there laughed, and I only said that to 5 see they were going to laugh at me, but they'll pay for that 6 7 one. 8 Okay, does anybody have the ANC letter up? Ms. 9 Schellin, is it Exhibit 46? 10 MS. SCHELLIN: Yes. 11 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, any comments on this? Ιt looks like in agreement with community businesses facts, and 12 ANC responded. Let me see, let me make sure. 13 I don't want to misquote anything. 15 Okay, they were basically letting us know they have executed the agreement in good faith, as witnessed by 16 the signature of the affixed therein. And the next exhibit, 17 47, is the one responding to that. So, okay, it's always 18 good to get positive things late, as well, so --19 Okay, any other questions or comments on this 2.0 21 Okay. So, with that, I would move approval on Zoning Commission case number -- final approval -- on 22 Commission case number 08-07C, four-point second-stage PUD, 23 24 at square 5874. 25 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Second.

1 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, it's been moved and properly Any further discussion? All in favor? 2 seconded. 3 (Chorus of aye.) 4 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any opposition? I'm not hearing Ms. Schellin, would you please record the vote? 5 any. 6 MS. SCHELLIN: Staff records the vote 4 to 0 to 7 1, to approve final action on Zoning Commission case number Commissioner moving, Commissioner Miller 8 08-07C, Hood 9 seconding, Commissioners May and Turnbull in support, Commissioner Shapiro not present, not voting. 10 11 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, next, on to Commission case number 17-14, UM 500 Penn Street, Northeast, LLC., and UDR, 12 Inc., consolidated PUD and related map amendment at square 13 14 3594. Ms. Schellin. 15 Exhibits 41 and 42, you have the MS. SCHELLIN: 16 applicant's post-hearing submissions. Exhibit 43, NCPC 17 delegated action found no federal issues with the case and 18 asked the Commission to consider final action. A lot of this stuff was hashed 19 CHAIRMAN HOOD: 2.0 out, colleagues, when we did the hearing, and during our 21 other discussion. So, I don't know if we have anything to Looks like supported -- let me see something. 22 Any other comments or questions? Would somebody like to make 23 24 a motion? 25 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Mr. Chair, I would move

1	that wewell, here, wait a minute.
2	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Hold on a second. I'm sorry.
3	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay.
4	CHAIRMAN HOOD: I've got one thing.
5	(Pause.)
6	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, I think we're good. We just
7	needed a clarification on something that was being proposed
8	in this case, how some things were going to be administered.
9	Okay, can we make a motion?
10	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay. Mr. Chair, I would
11	move that we take final action on Zoning case number 17-14,
12	UM 500 Penn Street, Northeast, LLC., and UDR, Inc.,
13	consolidated PUD and a related map amendment at square 3594.
14	I look for a second.
15	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Second.
16	CHAIRMAN HOOD: It's been moved and properly
17	seconded. Any further discussion? All in favor? Aye.
17 18	
	seconded. Any further discussion? All in favor? Aye.
18	seconded. Any further discussion? All in favor? Aye. (Chorus of aye.)
18 19	seconded. Any further discussion? All in favor? Aye. (Chorus of aye.) CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any opposition? Not hearing any.
18 19 20	seconded. Any further discussion? All in favor? Aye. (Chorus of aye.) CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any opposition? Not hearing any. Ms. Schellin, would record the vote?
18 19 20 21	seconded. Any further discussion? All in favor? Aye. (Chorus of aye.) CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any opposition? Not hearing any. Ms. Schellin, would record the vote? MS. SCHELLIN: Staff records the vote 4 to 0 to
18 19 20 21 22	seconded. Any further discussion? All in favor? Aye. (Chorus of aye.) CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any opposition? Not hearing any. Ms. Schellin, would record the vote? MS. SCHELLIN: Staff records the vote 4 to 0 to 1, to approve final action on Zoning Commission case number
18 19 20 21 22 23	seconded. Any further discussion? All in favor? Aye. (Chorus of aye.) CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any opposition? Not hearing any. Ms. Schellin, would record the vote? MS. SCHELLIN: Staff records the vote 4 to 0 to 1, to approve final action on Zoning Commission case number 17-14, Commissioner Turnbull moving, Commissioner Miller

1 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Let me take a two-minute 2 break, okay? (Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off 3 4 the record at 7:46 p.m. and resumed at 7:50 p.m.) CHAIRMAN HOOD: Let's go to Zoning Commission Case 5 6 number 14-12B GG Market 2 LP and EAG 1309 5th street LLC 7 consolidated modification first stage and PUD of significance, and second stage PUD square 3591, request to 9 withdraw, also C. Okay. So we'll withdraw. 10 (Pause.) 11 just ask, we don't really need to do anything with this case, then? So, this case doesn't call 12 Is anybody here for this case? 13 for any action. 14 MS. SCHELLIN: I had put it on there because maybe I mistakenly thought it had been set down or something, and 15 so, maybe it never came for set-down. So, the answer is no, 16 It can be taken care of administratively. 17 you don't. 18 CHAIRMAN HOOD: So, that's about the Okay. easiest thing we did tonight, isn't it? Okay, so, let's take 19 care of that administratively, okay? 2.0 21 Okay, let's go to hearing action. Okay, before we go to hearing action, let's move the agenda around to 23 correspondence. 24 COMMISSIONER MAY: I'm sorry, I'm really confused 25 about those two cases.

	55
1	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, well, let's go back to those
2	cases.
3	COMMISSIONER MAY: What just happened on 14-12B
4	and A?
5	CHAIRMAN HOOD: On 14-12B?
6	COMMISSIONER MAY: B and A.
7	MS. SCHELLIN: You still have the second case to
8	take care of, 14-12. So, they withdrew the one, but you
9	still need to
10	COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay.
11	MS. SCHELLIN: go to 14-12A.
12	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Maybe I'm confused. Hold on a
13	second.
14	MS. SCHELLIN: Time extensions. You skipped that
15	part.
16	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Oh, I skipped all the time
17	extensions.
18	MS. SCHELLIN: Yeah, you skipped both of them.
19	Or, there's still one.
20	COMMISSIONER MAY: Well, one of them was already
21	dealt with.
22	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, B is gone, so
23	COMMISSIONER MAY: A is still on. That's what I
24	got confused about, because I thought we still had to do a
25	time extension.

1	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Hold on one second.
2	COMMISSIONER MAY: Even if we didn't have to do
3	anything.
4	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, hold on one second. Okay,
5	so B is gone. Okay, alright.
6	Alright, so, Zoning Commission case number 14-12A.
7	No, wait a minute. Hold on, I'm confused now.
8	COMMISSIONER MAY: That's right.
9	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Is that the right one?
10	Zoning Commission case number 14-12A, EAG 1309 5th
11	Street, LLC., two-year PUD time extension, at square 3591.
12	Ms. Schellin.
13	MS. SCHELLIN: I know.
14	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Oh, okay, I misnamed that.
15	MS. SCHELLIN: Yeah. So, at Exhibit 6, the
16	applicant is requesting to proceed with this time extension
17	request now, to go forward with it now, as they have
18	withdrawn the request for the modification of significance
19	and the second-stage PUD at this time. And as a reminder,
20	at Exhibit 4, OP supported the time extension at the time it
21	was filed. So, that's just to refresh your memory because
22	it's been a while. So, I would ask the Commission to
23	consider final action on the time extension.
24	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, any questions or comments
25	on the time extension. Commission Vice Chair Miller?

VICE CHAIR MILLER: I don't have any questions. I just wanted to comment that I'm glad to see that their withdrawal of the modification and the time extension, so that the theater concept, which was going to be modified -- the theater concept is back. So, that's a good thing, I think, for this project.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, any other comments on this time extension?

VICE CHAIR MILLER: I would just note that, Mr. Chairman, that it's also -- I agree with the -- well, I'm glad the applicant pointed out that the appeals climate for all of our PUDs, and some of the BZA orders as well, led to the withdrawal of the theater concept -- led to the contemplated withdrawal of the theater concept, but -- so, I think that's unfortunate, but hopefully, that climate and perception will improve in the very near future.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. And it looks as though this time extension will essentially extend some construction time and some other things. And I think that's -- I don't know if that needs to be noted. I'm sure that will be handled, I believe, at a different time. Or should that be noted now? I'm looking --

MR. TONDRO: Good evening, Commissioner Chairman.

It was just a note that, by extending the timeline of the approval, that would mean that we have two years additional

2.0

1	to file the building permit, and three years in order to
2	start construction.
3	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Tondro I
4	just wanted to make sure that we know what all we're doing
5	when we approve this, as well. Thank you for that.
6	Okay, any other questions or comments? Vice
7	Chair, you got any?
8	COMMISSIONER MILLER: I was just going to make a
9	motion, Mr. Chairman to move that the Commission approve
10	Zoning Commission case number 14-12A, EAJ, 1309 5th Street,
11	LLC., two-year PUD time extension, at square 3591, and ask
12	for a second.
13	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Second. IT's been moved and
14	properly seconded. Any further discussion? All in favor?
15	Aye.
16	(Chorus of aye.)
17	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any opposition? Not hearing any.
18	Ms. Schellin, would you please record the vote.
19	MS. SCHELLIN: Staff records the vote 4 to 0 to
20	1, to approve final action in Zoning Commission case number
21	14-12A, Commissioner Miller moving, Commissioner Hood
22	seconding, Commissioners May and Turnbull in support,
23	Commissioner Shapiro not present, not voting.
24	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, so we're finished with time
25	extensions and we got this figured out, why the next one was

gone anyway. So, anyway. Alright, I would like to go to correspondence, mostly for reconsideration first, from the Spring Valley Wesley Heights Citizens Association, if we could just pull that up right quick.

There's request for reconsideration and rehearing, and it pertains to the issues as you all, my colleagues, know, with Jacobs Field. Some of the issues are in conditions 17 and 25. I don't believe that anything that I think that when we had our hearing, we did was erroneous. what tried to was to not necessarily talk about public What we tried to do was spell out the confusion that been around for, it seems, 20 years on Jacobs Field, and I thought we did a good job explaining that, and I don't see where there's any more action needed with that.

So, I would really deny the motion for reconsideration and rehearing on this. And I think -- I thought we had really kind of nailed that down even better after 20 years of the same conversation. So, I don't think any further action is due on this.

I would not support a motion for reconsideration or rehearing of the Spring Valley Wesley Heights Citizens Association and neighbors. But I just would encourage them to, the parties and the neighbors and the University, continue to work towards these things, so that there's a better understanding, because those are the ones who live

1

2

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

there. But I think we've done our part. There's nothing erroneous. We're not talking public policy, we just tried to do clarification.

Is there anything else on that? So, do I need to make a motion on that? Mr. Turnbull did you want to add something?

COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: No, I would just concur with your comments.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. So, I guess I will just make a motion to deny the motion for reconsideration and rehearing of Spring Valley Wesley Heights Citizens Association and Neighbors for a Livable Community, and Ms. Herzstein on Exhibit 79 -- well, Ms. Herzstein, in this case, and I ask for a second.

VICE CHAIR MILLER: Second.

It's been moved and properly CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any further, any additional comments? seconded. All in Any opposition? Not hearing any. favor? And also, Aye. it also -- the parties in opposition who asked for rehearing have not met the rehearing requirements of 700.7, and as a request for -- that's why I wanted to make sure that this request also should be denied, because they don't meet that requirement as well. But I thought we had dealt with that and tried to do clarifications. So, I'll leave it at that. Anything else on this? Ms. Schellin would you record Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

the vote?

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

MS. SCHELLIN: Staff records the vote 4 to 0 to 1, to deny motion the motion for reconsideration and rehearing, Commissioner Hood moving, Commissioner Miller seconding, Commissioners May and Turnbull in support of the denial, Commissioner Shapiro not present, not voting.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, now let's go to hearing action.

Okay, sorry about that. Hearing action. Zoning Commission Case number 04-13C, 1210C R Street, LLC, PUD modification of significance, at square 277. Mr. Mordfin, is this your case?

Good evening, Chairman and members MR. MORDFIN: of the Commission. The applicant requests a modification of significance to relocate an affordable universal design unit at 80 percent AMI, approved pursuant to Zoning Commission case 04-13A, to 721 Kennedy Street, Northwest. In February of this year, the applicant filed a minor modification application to relocate the affordable unit, Commission, at its February 16th, 2018, meeting instructed the applicant to refile the request as a modification of And the subject application is that refiling. significance. Should the Commission set down the application, OP will request comments from the Department of Housing and Community Development, and the Department of Public Schools, as a part

1	of its review. Thank you.
2	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, thank you, Mr. Mordfin.
3	Colleagues, any questions or comments? I'm not hearing any.
4	I would move that we set down Zoning Commission case number
5	04-13C and ask for a second.
6	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Second.
7	CHAIRMAN HOOD: It's been moved and properly
8	seconded. Any further discussion? All in favor? Aye.
9	(Chorus of aye.)
10	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any opposition? So, Ms.
11	Schellin, would you record the vote?
12	MS. SCHELLIN: Staff records the vote 4 to 0 to
13	1, to set down Zoning Commission number 04-13C, as a
14	contested case, Commissioner Hood moving, Commissioner Miller
15	seconding, Commissioners May and Turnbull in support,
16	Commissioner Shapiro not present, not voting.
17	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, next let's go to Zoning
18	Commission case number 12-08B, Office of Planning text
19	amendment to subtitle K, section 603, 612, and 613, the STE
20	zones. Ms. Brown-Roberts.
21	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Good evening, Mr. Chairman and
22	members of the Commission. The Office of Planning recommends
23	that the Zoning Commission set down for a public hearing text
24	amendments to subtitle K, the STE 2 zone, to establish a

25 height limit, allow emergency shelter as a matter of right,

and to correctly identify the healthcare use category as medical care. At the time of zoning, the St. Elizabeth East Campus, Parcel 2, which is a STE zone, was assigned for federal use, and a height limit was not assigned. The proposal is to assign height limits of 40, 80, and 90 feet for building within the zone.

The proposal would also allow emergency shelter as a matter of right within the STE 2 zone, instead of by special exception. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and I'm available for questions.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Colleagues, any questions for Ms. Brown-Roberts? Commissioner May?

Yeah, I don't need an answer COMMISSIONER MAY: right now, but when I think when it comes to the hearing, I think I just need to -- because I couldn't quite follow it in the materials that you've already submitted, exactly what the change is, compared what was permitted before. I mean, because this was -- the zoning was somewhat form-based. it just -- I couldn't connect all the dots. Maybe I was just worn out because it was the 23rd case I had reviewed, but it would be helpful to have it of spelled sort out diagrammatically, and if we could see some of diagrams from when we had done the original zoning, would just be helpful.

MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Okay.

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

1	COMMISSIONER MAY: Thanks.
2	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, any other questions or
3	comments?
4	VICE CHAIR MILLER: I just had one information
5	question that's not really related to this, but, if Ms.
6	Roberts knows, where on the map is theany of the maps of
7	the STE's site is the new sports and entertainment arena?
8	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: If you look at, let's see,
9	page 12 page 9 through 12, and you look at where it says
10	STE12 and STE9, south of Parcel 2, those are the two parcels.
11	VICE CHAIR MILLER: And we didn't have to make any
12	or did we make any changes to accommodate that? Or the
13	zoning allowed for that?
14	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Yes, they went to the BZA for,
15	I think, some lot occupancy stuff, but nothing that the
16	Zoning Commission had to consider.
17	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Okay. As I said, it's
18	unrelated. It just was something I had been thinking about,
19	and I just wanted to know where it was on the site. So,
20	thank you very much, and thank you for your report.
21	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Okay, you're welcome.
22	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Would someone like to make a
23	motion to set now?
24	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yeah, I would move, Mr.
25	Chairman, that we set down, that the Zoning Commission, set
l	

down for hearing case number 12-08B, Office of Planning text amendment to subtitle K, sections 603,612, and 613, the STE zones.

COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Second.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: It's been moved and properly seconded. Any further discussion? All in favor? Aye. Any opposition, not hearing any. Ms. Schellin, would you please record the vote?

MS. SCHELLIN: Staff records the vote 4 to 0 to 1, to set down Zoning Commission case number 12-08B as rulemaking case, Commissioner Miller moving, Commissioner Turnbull seconding, Commissioner Hood and May in support, Commissioner Shapiro not present, not voting.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, next, Zoning Commission Case number 18-10, High Street, LLC., map amendment at square 5799, lot 976. Mr. Mordfin?

MR. MORDFIN: The applicant requests set-down of this map amendment application to rezone lot 976, in square 5799, located just outside the boundaries of Historic Anacostia, from the R3 to the R82 zone. The surrounding neighborhood is improved with a mixture of residential uses, varying from one-family detached dwellings to low-rise apartment buildings. The subject property is identified on the future land-use map as moderate-density residential, a category that permits a mix of residential uses, generally

2.0

ranging from single-family dwellings to low-rise apartment buildings. On the generalized policy map, the site is located within the neighborhood enhancement areas category, a classification reserved for neighborhoods with substantial amounts of vacant, residentially zoned land, such as the subject property, where new development should be consistent with the existing residential character.

The requested R82 is a moderate-density residential zone that would allow for the redevelopment of this vacant property with a variety of residential uses, consistent with character of the surrounding neighborhood, consistent with the future land-use and the generalized policy maps.

Residential development of this site, pursuant to R82 would further land-use, the zone, the housing, transportation, and far southeast and southwest area elements of the comprehensive plan. Therefore, OP finds that the requested map amendment would not be inconsistent with the comprehensive plan and recommends the Commission set down the application, as proposed by the applicant. Thank you, and I'm available for questions.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, thank you, Mr. Mordfin. Any questions or comments?

COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Mr. Mordfin, I just have a question on your page 2, the location map, which shows R83.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

	1
1	The yellow is not identified. Is that R82? Or
2	MR. MORDFIN: Oh, the area surrounding
3	(Off-microphone comments.)
4	MS. SCHELLIN: Turn your mic on.
5	MR. MORDFIN: Sorry. The area surrounding the
6	subject property that they're requesting is also zoned R3.
7	They want to go to R82.
8	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Oh, so, it is all the
9	yellow is R3?
10	MR. MORDFIN: Yes.
11	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay, thank you.
12	COMMISSIONER MAY: If I could ask also, I mean,
13	a lot of what's shown in yellow there, in fact, the houses
14	that are adjacent on High Street and at the turn of the
15	corner there, it's mostly either single-family homes or semi-
16	detached, right? I mean, it's not row-houses, basically?
17	MR. MORDFIN: Not on High Street. There are row-
18	houses on Bangor.
19	COMMISSIONER MAY: Right.
20	MR. MORDFIN: And there are some small apartment
21	buildings on Maple View, to the East. So, there are some
22	others.
23	COMMISSIONER MAY: I'm just talking about the
24	immediate circumstance.
25	MR. MORDFIN: Yes.

COMMISSIONER MAY: The reason I ask this is that
there are I mean, I 've been on some, well there are
some other cases that have come up, where the whole idea of
going to apartments in what is otherwise largely single-
family or semi-detached homes doesn't go over particularly
well with the community. So, I' m just, I'm a little
concerned that there be that there's sufficient outreach
to make sure that the ANC is well informed and that they've
had an opportunity to understand what this change in zone
could mean. I mean, I understand how it is consistent with
comprehensive plan and so on, but that doesn't necessarily
mean that everybody is prepared to accept that many more
people that could be living in that space, so And maybe
I'm worried about nothing at all, but I'd rather, you know,
make sure we're thorough at this point. Thanks.
CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, any other comments or
questions? Would someone like to make a motion to set it
down?
VICE CHAIR MILLER: Mr. Chairman, I would move
that the Zoning Commission set down case number 18-10, High
Street, LLC., map amendment at square 5799, lot 976, and ask
for a second.
CHAIRMAN HOOD: Second. It's been moved and
properly seconded. Any further discussion?

VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yeah, I was going to comment

1	after Commissioner May's comment that it might be helpful
2	I mean, I agree that it's a map consistency case, and I don't
3	see any huge issues, based on the record that we have, but
4	it might be helpful for the applicant to show some massings
5	that would be permitted, and how that relates to the
6	surrounding, the immediately adjacent single-family and
7	detached houses that Commissioner May referenced. So, I
8	would just make that request of the applicant, when we at
9	the time we get to the hearing, we have that information.
10	CHAIRMAN HOOD: That's a good Yeah, I would
11	echo Vice Chair's request. Any further discussion? All in
12	favor? Aye.
13	(Chorus of aye.)
14	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any opposition?
15	COMMISSIONER MAY: No, but I have a question. I
16	mean, I'm sorry. This is being set down as a rulemaking,
17	right?
18	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yes.
19	COMMISSIONER MAY: Yeah.
20	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yeah.
21	COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay.
22	
	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Ms. Schellin, would you
23	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Ms. Schellin, would you record the vote?
23 24	
	record the vote?

Commissioner Miller moving, Commissioner Hood seconding,
Commissioners May and Turnbull in support. And this is being
set down as rulemaking case. Commissioner Shapiro not
present, not voting.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, next, Zoning Commission case

number 18-16, Office of Planning text and map amendments to change certain zone names.

I probably could tee this up, I think. This is well-deserved, and I think the residents will appreciate this. I don't know how much more you want to add, but I don't want to steal your thunder, since -- Ms. Steingasser.

MS. STEINGASSER: Zoning thunder. We do recommend that certain names be set down for both map and text amendments. You are right, we have done some preliminary outreach at the request of the Commission and have had positive feedback, so we're looking forward to bringing this forward. We recommend it be set down.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, thank you. Any questions or comments?

VICE CHAIR MILLER: I would just comment, as did when we got a preview of this recently, that I wanted to thank the Office of Planning for all of its work, and I think it will be a simpler, more understandable zoning code with that nomenclature for all the stake-holders and users, including us. So, I appreciate all the work.

2.0

1	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, anything else? Okay, I
2	would move that we set this down, Zoning Commission case
3	number 18-16, and I ask for a second.
4	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Second.
5	CHAIRMAN HOOD: It's been moved and properly
6	seconded. Any further discussion? All in favor? Aye. Any
7	opposition? Not hearing any. Ms. Schellin, would you please
8	record the vote?
9	MS. SCHELLIN: Staff records the vote 4 to 0 to
10	1, to set down Zoning Commission case number 18-16 as a
11	rulemaking case, Commissioner Hood moving, Commissioner
12	Miller seconding, Commissioners May and Turnbull in support,
13	Commissioner Shapiro not present, not voting.
14	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Ms. Schellin, do we have
15	anything else?
16	MS. SCHELLIN: Nothing, unless OP No?
17	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Office of Planning do you have
18	anything?
19	MS. STEINGASSER: No, sir.
20	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. So, with that, I want to
21	thank everyone and thank all those who are helping us get
22	ready for this evening. And with that, we really appreciate
23	it. With that, this meeting is adjourned.
24	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off
25	the record at 8:13 p.m.)
l	I and the state of

<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Commission Meeting

Before: DCZC

Date: 09-17-18

Place: Washington, DC

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Court Reporter

near aus 9