

1 GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

2 Office of Planning

3

4

5

6

7

8

Zoning Commission

9

Case No. 14-16 (Text and Map Amendment to Create

10

and Implement the C-2-B-1 Zone District)

11

2/12/15 Public Hearing

12

13

14

15

6:23 p.m. to 6:57 p.m.

16

Thursday, February 12, 2015

17

18

Jerrily R. Kress Memorial Hearing Room

19

Judiciary One Building

20

441 4th Street, N.W., Suite 220 South

21

Washington, D.C. 20001

22

23

24

25

OLENDER REPORTING, INC.

1100 Connecticut Avenue NW, #810, Washington, DC 20036

Washington: 202-898-1108 • Baltimore: 410-752-3376

Toll Free: 888-445-3376

1 Board Members:

2 ANTHONY HOOD, Chairperson

3 MARCIE COHEN, Vice-Chairperson

4 ROBERT MILLER, Commissioner

5 PETER MAY, Commissioner

6 MR. TURNBULL, Commissioner

7

8 Office of Zoning:

9 SHARON SCHELLIN, Secretary

10

11 Office of Planning:

12 MATT JESICK

13 JENNIFER STEINGASSER

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

## 1 P R O C E E D I N G S

2 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. We would like  
3 to get started. Okay. Good evening ladies and  
4 gentlemen. This is a public hearing of the Zoning  
5 Commission for the District of Columbia for  
6 Thursday, February 12th. We're located in Jerrily  
7 R. Kress Memorial hearing room.

8 My name is Anthony Hood. Joining me are  
9 Vice Chair Cohen, Commissioner Miller,  
10 Commissioner May, Commissioner Turnbull. Also the  
11 Office of Zoning Staff Ms. Sharon Schellin, the  
12 Office of Planning, Ms. Steingasser and Mr.  
13 Jesick.

14 This proceeding is being recorded by a  
15 court reporter. It's also webcast live.  
16 Accordingly we must ask you to refrain from any  
17 disruptive noise or actions in the hearing room,  
18 including display of any signs or objects. Notice  
19 of today's hearing was published in the D.C.  
20 Register. And copies of that announcement are  
21 available to my left on the wall near the door.

22 The following time constraint -- the  
23 following will be conducted in accordance with  
24 revisions of 11-DCMR 3021 as follows. Preliminary  
25 matters, presentation by the petitioner in this

1 case is the Office of Planning, reports of other  
2 government agencies, reports of the ANC. In this  
3 case it's ANC 5C. Organizations and persons in  
4 support, organizations and persons in opposition.  
5 The petitioner has up to 60 minutes, organizations  
6 five minutes, individuals three minutes. I don't  
7 think we need 60 minutes in this presentation this  
8 evening.

9 All persons appearing before the  
10 Commission are to fill out two witness cards.  
11 These cards are located to my left on the table  
12 near the door. Upon coming forward to speak to  
13 the Commission please give both cards to the  
14 reporter to my right before taking a seat at the  
15 table.

16 The staff will be available throughout  
17 the hearing to discuss procedural questions.  
18 Please turn off all beepers and cell phones at  
19 this time so not to disrupt these proceedings.

20 At this time the Commission will consider  
21 any preliminary matters. Does the staff have any  
22 preliminary matters?

23 MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, sir. Just one. At  
24 Exhibit 7, the petitioner, Office of Planning,  
25 made a request for a waiver, a partial waiver of

1 the posting requirements. They posted -- the  
2 property was posted 21 days in advance of the  
3 hearing instead of 40, and so they're asking for a  
4 partial waiver of the posting. We'd ask the  
5 Commission to consider that request.

6 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay, Commissioners,  
7 you've heard the request by Ms. Schellin. Any  
8 objections?

9 Not hearing any we will accept it.  
10 Anything else?

11 MS. SCHELLIN: No, sir.

12 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. We will first  
13 begin with the Office of Planning. Mr. Jesick.

14 MR. JESICK: Thank you, Mr. Chairman and  
15 members of the Commission.

16 We have no real updates since the time of  
17 set down. We have worked with the Office of the  
18 Attorney General to actually prepare the text that  
19 would amend the zoning regulations. And those can  
20 be found in Exhibit 3, the public hearing notice.  
21 I would classify them as mainly technical type  
22 amendments, simply to insert the C2B1 zone into  
23 the regulations.

24 We did meet with the ANC on January 21st,  
25 and I'm happy to say that the ANC voted

1 unanimously to support the application, and we  
2 also included in our written report, some  
3 responses to Zoning Commission questions regarding  
4 items such as the sustainability of wood  
5 construction. So hopefully that responded to your  
6 questions. But if not we can try and answer  
7 anything else.

8 That concludes our presentation and I'd  
9 be happy to take any questions.

10 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Mr. Jesick,  
11 thank you for the thorough written report as well  
12 as the quick synopsis of what was in your report.

13 Okay. Commissioners, any questions of  
14 Mr. Jesick? Commissioner Miller.

15 MR. MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and  
16 thank you for your thorough report.

17 Is there a reason why we would not extend  
18 the zone to the other similarly striped nearby  
19 adjacent property on the comp plan? I mean, the  
20 rest of that triangle, I guess for KFC and Taco  
21 Bell are proposed and the other part across  
22 Bladensburg, is that still zoned -- that has the  
23 similar CM1 zoning, I assume.

24 MR. JESICK: Yes, it is zoned CM1  
25 currently. The lots that we are proposing to

1 rezone are all under common owner share. They  
2 should be owned soon by one owner, the contract  
3 purchaser. So we felt more comfortable rezoning  
4 those properties. But if the Commissioner would  
5 like to expand the area, we can certainly consider  
6 that.

7 MR. MILLER: Well, I don't necessarily  
8 have a view on that. I just wondered whether --  
9 since this is largely a zoning consistency case  
10 and the comp plan designates all that area as  
11 medium density, that's residential and moderate  
12 commercial, I just didn't know if there had been  
13 dialog with those other property owners.

14 MS. STEINGASSER: There had not been  
15 dialog with them, Commissioner Miller. The  
16 properties, however, are fully developed and  
17 they're consistent with their zoning. They don't  
18 have any plans to redevelop any time in the next  
19 10 years. The hotels were just approved. I don't  
20 know, four or five years ago through the BZA. And  
21 the fast foods have a very healthy business and  
22 have been very reluctant to deal with any of the  
23 property owners.

24 So the site in question is slated for  
25 redevelopment, so that's why we dealt with that on

1 our own.

2 MR. MILLER: I assume the contract  
3 purchaser of that site, or maybe others, had tried  
4 to get KFC to complete the triangle and had not  
5 been able to. Okay. That's really the only  
6 question I had. Thank you.

7 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Any other  
8 questions? Vice Chair Cohen.

9 MS. COHEN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. The  
10 renderings are the next step to be submitted. Is  
11 that correct? The ones that have been submitted  
12 to date?

13 MR. JESICK: No, the Commission had asked  
14 for some perspective just to give some context of  
15 what could potentially be developed on the  
16 property. They're very preliminary. We asked the  
17 contract purchaser to prepare those. They're an  
18 example of what could be built on the site given  
19 the proposed zoning. But they're not meant to be  
20 -- it's not a proposed project at this point, and  
21 we're not reviewing a project. We're just  
22 proposing the zoning for this site.

23 MS. COHEN: That's good because I find  
24 the whole site planning and some of the views from  
25 different areas very uninspiring and I just wanted



1 to make that -- mention that for the future.

2 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Commissioner  
3 Turnbull.

4 MR. TURNBULL: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Mr.  
5 Jesick, on the other side of Bladensburg, that's  
6 all low-density residential single family or homes  
7 on the other side? It looks like it shows that  
8 way on -- it's shown that way on --

9 MR. JESICK: There's a mix of uses.  
10 There's a police station across the road. There  
11 are the hotels that Ms. Steingasser mentioned.  
12 There is some residential once you get further  
13 back into the neighborhood.

14 MR. TURNBULL: Okay.

15 MR. JESICK: For example, in the area  
16 zoned R5A and R1B. But I'd characterize it as  
17 sort of a mixed commercial on the east side of  
18 Bladensburg.

19 MR. TURNBULL: On the east side. Okay.  
20 When you get down to 5th, I guess that's where you  
21 start to pick up more of the residential then.

22 MR. JESICK: Correct. Yes.

23 MR. TURNBULL: Okay. Thank you.

24 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Commissioner  
25 Miller.

1           MR. MILLER: Thanks. Thank you, Mr.  
2 Chairman. Yeah, I had one other question for the  
3 Office of Planning.

4           On page 2 of your report where you talk  
5 about inclusionary zoning, you say that the height  
6 allowed under IZ regulations in C2B is 70 feet and  
7 the C2B1 zone OP proposes that the IZ remain at  
8 the matter of right, 70 foot height. I was just  
9 wondering why maybe you don't go to 80 or  
10 something to -- was there a reason why you just  
11 wanted to keep it at 70 and not give some  
12 incentive for the IZ?

13           Especially since the Zoning Commission  
14 approved 110 foot thing for that PUD many years  
15 ago.

16           MR. JESICK: Right.

17           MR. MILLER: That ended up never going  
18 anywhere.

19           MR. JESICK: Sure. We felt that that  
20 height, 75 feet, was enough to accommodate the  
21 density that you could achieve under the C2B1,  
22 even with IZ. The C2B does allow 4.2 FAR at the  
23 70 feet. So 75 feet you do have more volume, but  
24 it's the same density. So we felt that that  
25 height was adequate.

1 MR. MILLER: Thank you.

2 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Vice Chair?

3 MS. COHEN: Thank you. Just to expand  
4 upon that, let's say the applicant would put in  
5 greater and deeper subsidies, would you be willing  
6 to entertain higher?

7 MS. STEINGASSER: Well, what we're posing  
8 is a matter of right zone. So what you're  
9 suggesting would require a readvertisement and a  
10 recrafting of another zone amendment. So we  
11 weren't focusing -- we were focusing only on  
12 accommodating height that was permitted under the  
13 Building Code to allow the ground floor to create  
14 a more robust retail plinth. That was the main  
15 focus of the amendment.

16 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Commissioner  
17 Miller. I mean, Commissioner May.

18 MR. MAY: Thank you. Just to be clear, I  
19 mean, there's not any likelihood that this is  
20 going to come back to us again, or a portion of it  
21 would come back to us again as a PUD?

22 MS. STEINGASSER: I can't guarantee that  
23 because they have yet to engineer the site.

24 MR. MAY: Okay.

25 MS. STEINGASSER: To figure out really

1 what they anticipate this height will work.

2 MR. MAY: Okay.

3 MS. STEINGASSER: But they haven't  
4 engineered it.

5 MR. MAY: That's fine. Because I mean,  
6 we are talking about potentially going up to like  
7 90 feet with PUD, right? All right. Okay.

8 So how will this new zone mesh with the  
9 ZRR? I mean, I think that's one of the questions  
10 I had at set down but I didn't -- was it answered  
11 in the PUD? I mean, in your OP report, because I  
12 didn't --

13 MR. JESICK: It was in the footnote on  
14 page 2. It will be considered a mixed use zone  
15 located in subtitle G. The exact numbering hasn't  
16 been worked out for where this would be placed.  
17 Likely --

18 MR. MAY: Right.

19 MR. JESICK: -- MU30, for example, would  
20 be a possibility.

21 MR. MAY: Where is that in the report?

22 MS. STEINGASSER: On page 2.

23 MR. MAY: Oh, I see. Got it. Just, it's  
24 a single footnote. So mixed use. So we're just  
25 going to be MU37 or whatever we're up to, right?

1           So the part of the question I have there  
2 also has to do with IZ because we now have, I  
3 think a more rigorous -- not IZ, but I remember,  
4 you know, we have a chart where, you know, you  
5 have your basic density and then you have your IZ  
6 based bonus density and then you have your PUD,  
7 you know, height and density. And they all  
8 ratchet up at similar proportions.

9           So, was it --

10           MS. STEINGASSER: This would follow the  
11 same proportion as the C2B does currently.

12           MR. MAY: Okay.

13           MS. STEINGASSER: Except the heights.  
14 The heights are established by number for the  
15 PUDs. So it would again follow the same C2B. It  
16 wouldn't go by percentage.

17           MR. MAY: Right. Okay. I'm just trying  
18 to -- I'm doing this from memory about what that -  
19 - how that chart worked and I like how the new  
20 chart worked. So, okay.

21           Give me one second. Let me get back to  
22 my notes.

23           And I appreciate Commissioner Miller  
24 asking the question about the adjacent areas that  
25 might be similarly mapped. But is there any

1 thought that this might be mapped in places, you  
2 know, distant from here now that we have this new  
3 zone designation?

4 MR. JESICK: The zone would be available.  
5 We could potentially see it in places that are  
6 zoned, say, C2A but where the comp plan might  
7 support higher density.

8 MR. MAY: Uh-huh.

9 MR. JESICK: Or maybe those areas were  
10 C2B, but they want a little bit of extra wiggle  
11 room.

12 MR. MAY: Right.

13 MR. JESICK: So --

14 MR. MAY: Nothing that you're aware of  
15 right now where it makes sense.

16 MR. JESICK: The same comp plan  
17 designation shows up in little pockets around the  
18 city.

19 MR. MAY: Right.

20 MR. JESICK: The biggest other example is  
21 Saint Edes (phonetic) actually, which of course  
22 already has its own zoning. So nothing that we're  
23 aware of at the moment.

24 MR. MAY: Right. I was just thinking, I  
25 mean, if this is driven at least in part by 1 plus

1 5 as a construction method, whether that's going  
2 to be driving us to consider this as a zone for  
3 other locations. But not immediately, it seems.

4 MS. STEINGASSER: Not immediately.

5 MR. MAY: Okay. That's it for my  
6 questions. Thank you.

7 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Thank you. Any  
8 other questions?

9 I want to thank Commissioner May for the  
10 last question about the C2B1 zoning. That was my  
11 first question, so I thank him for asking that.

12 I will ask this though, Mr. Jesick, the  
13 ANC, what kind of questions did they ask? I'm  
14 just curious.

15 MR. JESICK: Well, they were I think -- I  
16 don't want to speak for the ANC but I think they  
17 were curious about what type of development they  
18 would likely see, what would be the mix of uses on  
19 the site. Was it just for the triangle area? Was  
20 it some sort of broader rezoning? I think the ANC  
21 sees a lot of potential for their neighborhood to  
22 move in a positive direction and they feel that  
23 this could be a, you know, a catalytic type  
24 development on that property if the zoning were to  
25 go through and have the right mix of uses. So I

1 think those were the types of questions that they  
2 were most interested in.

3 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Because I know  
4 it's been vacant for a while and I wanted to make  
5 sure they asked the right questions and not just  
6 want to see something there, and make sure that  
7 they got something that's good for the Gateway and  
8 good for that site.

9 MR. JESICK: Yeah. No, they're very  
10 conscious of that issue and you know, they realize  
11 there's a key site, not just for their ANC but for  
12 the whole city, actually, so.

13 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Good. Okay.  
14 Any other questions? Okay. Any other reports of  
15 other government agencies? I don't think I saw  
16 any. At least I didn't see anything.

17 Do we have anyone here representing the  
18 ANC? Oh, oh, Chairperson Manning, could you come  
19 forward? Did you hear my -- I really, I'm just  
20 having fun. I shouldn't do that because I have to  
21 -- she's a very good friend of my sometimes.

22 Chairperson Manning, welcome to the  
23 Zoning Commission. You may begin.

24 MS. MANNING: Well, good evening,  
25 everyone. All that this -- I guess it's been,



1 what, maybe five years since we've been back for  
2 the same triangle? On behalf of the ANC we are  
3 supporting this. Douglas Development has been  
4 quite involved with the community and with the ANC  
5 of what we want to see. So they are happy to have  
6 us there, engaged in the project.

7 So how about you ask me some questions so  
8 I'll be able to help you out a little bit better?  
9 Because I can go all night. So I want to be  
10 specific in what you want to know.

11 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Was that a question  
12 for me?

13 MS. MANNING: It's for anyone.

14 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Oh, okay.

15 MS. MANNING: Because I don't want to --

16 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: You want us to ask you  
17 questions?

18 MS. MANNING: Right.

19 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Thank you.

20 MS. MANNING: So that way we're clear.

21 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: So your letter stands  
22 for itself.

23 MS. MANNING: It stands for itself, yes.

24 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Commissioners,  
25 any questions of Chairperson Manning?

1           Okay. I will ask you the same thing I  
2   asked Mr. Jesick. Was there a lot of engagement  
3   from the public at your ANC meeting?

4           MS. MANNING: Yeah, there was a lot of  
5   engagement so they can understand what was  
6   happening at the location, knowing the three --  
7   this is the third developer that's at the site, to  
8   understand the history of it and where we're  
9   moving to, from Abdo to the Walk of Development,  
10   to the Douglas Development. To understand that it  
11   was moving from mixed use to commercial, back to  
12   mixed use.

13           There were a lot of questions about how  
14   engaged the community would be in the project, the  
15   community benefits, how often would we meet with  
16   them, the proposed plan. We had the same thoughts  
17   as you that it's okay but what is the -- we would  
18   like to see other things there. How can we change  
19   it around a couple of times just to come up with a  
20   better plan than that. We understand that  
21   something had to go up on the site for a propose -  
22   - not set in stone. And we understand also that  
23   it's not ready to go because it's going to be --  
24   it's a work that's in progress. And if anyone is  
25   familiar with the Abraiam (phonetic) community and

1 how they're very vocal in what's going to happen  
2 across the street from them.

3 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Is that also  
4 adjacent to the Woodridge Community also?

5 MS. MANNING: Technically yes, sir, it  
6 is.

7 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. I just  
8 wondered.

9 MS. MANNING: But --

10 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Thank you.  
11 You've answered my question.

12 MS. MANNING: I never answered the  
13 question that you --

14 MS. COHEN: I have a question.

15 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Vice Chair.

16 MS. COHEN: Thank you for some of your  
17 insights into the community's support.

18 But what type of ideas do the community  
19 have for the triangle on New York and Bladensburg,  
20 because that is a gateway. It really is an  
21 important site and right now it's not optimized.  
22 So do you have any suggestions, or is it something  
23 that again, as you say, is a work in progress?

24 MS. MANNING: It's definitely the  
25 residential and the retail as a whole, because we

1 are sure definitely that is the gateway to the  
2 city. We're less than 15 minutes away from the  
3 Capitol grounds if you ever take the time to walk  
4 it, Mr. Hood. You will understand that whole  
5 area.

6           They're looking for good, fine dining,  
7 family oriented restaurants, especially with,  
8 hopefully on the Bladensburg Road side it would be  
9 more -- I don't want to go back to the Abdo  
10 project because that was going to be condos and  
11 affordable housing down New York Avenue. Just  
12 more things that would bring a lot more life to  
13 that corner, the residents, the retail. Not  
14 necessarily one of the big box stores, something  
15 that's small like the Trader Joe's, the Starbucks,  
16 the Kroger spot, different restaurants that are  
17 really popular at different places. It's similar  
18 to the restaurants that will complement Union  
19 Market.

20           MS. COHEN: Thank you.

21           MS. MANNING: Uh-huh.

22           CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Any other  
23 questions?

24           Chairperson Manning, one last question  
25 for you. Are you familiar with a resident Kent

1 Clark? Did he attend the meeting if you recognize  
2 the meeting? Kent Clark? We have a letter in  
3 submission in opposition to this proposed  
4 amendment and --

5 MS. MANNING: Does it give his address?

6 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: No it doesn't give his  
7 address, but I was wondering if he expressed that  
8 at the ANC meeting.

9 MS. MANNING: At our ANC 5C meeting --

10 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Oh. Thank you,  
11 Commissioner Miller. Yeah, it does, 1225 Michigan  
12 Avenue.

13 MS. MANNING: That's nowhere near us.

14 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. All right.

15 MS. MANNING: That's 5B. It's either 5B  
16 or --

17 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: It's 5B or 5E  
18 somewhere I think.

19 MS. MANNING: Right.

20 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Okay. I just  
21 wanted to know if he had attended the meeting  
22 because he wrote a letter about it being against -  
23 - the proposal being against the 13th Amendment,  
24 which prohibits slavery in the United States.  
25 Current owners of the property support the illegal

1 practice by virtue of public image on the property  
2 that is presently in view that further includes  
3 past actions against the company who recited -- it  
4 goes on and on about the Department of Consumer of  
5 Regulatory Affairs. I'm not really sure where  
6 this letter is going but he has a right to submit  
7 his opposition.

8 And he talks about what was proposed  
9 there, the Walmart. It just goes on and on about  
10 --

11 MS. MANNING: I think he's in the yester  
12 years. So, because when --

13 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. I just wanted  
14 to know if he came to the meeting.

15 MS. MANNING: Okay.

16 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay.

17 MS. MANNING: I'm not sure if he attended  
18 the meeting at the particular time when the Office  
19 of Planning spoke, and when the ANC took their  
20 vote. We had no opposition.

21 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Okay. Thank  
22 you. All right. Any other questions?

23 All right, Chairperson Manning, thank you  
24 for all the work you do in the ANC 5C. We  
25 appreciate you coming down, taking the time to

1 come down and see us.

2 MS. MANNING: Thank you.

3 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Let's go to  
4 organizations and persons in support.  
5 Organizations and persons in opposition.

6 Okay. We don't have any rebuttal or  
7 anything. Okay, Commissioners, what is your  
8 pleasure? I would like to go ahead and move  
9 forward with this this evening. I think the  
10 record speaks for itself with the endorsement of  
11 the ANC and the letter from Mr. Clark, I think  
12 goes to something else of a -- I don't think of a  
13 zoning matter. He talks about other issues that  
14 are going on with the 13th Amendment, as well as  
15 the Constitution. So I think that his opposition  
16 is so noted, but I don't think it goes to this  
17 particular case. At least I don't see the  
18 relevance of the tie-in.

19 So I would -- what's your pleasure? I  
20 think we can move on this tonight. Somebody like  
21 to make a motion?

22 MS. COHEN: Mr. Chairman, I move to  
23 approve Case No. 14-16, Text and Map Amendments to  
24 Create a C2B1 Zone. Well, that's my motion  
25 because I can't get my computer to --

1 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I'll second that.  
2 It's been moved and properly seconded. Any  
3 further discussion?

4 MR. MAY: Mr. Chairman.

5 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yes.

6 MR. MAY: I just want to make sure that  
7 we're covering the mapping as well.

8 MS. COHEN: Yeah, I said text in that.

9 MR. MAY: In the motion. I mean, you  
10 said the text amendment but --

11 MS. COHEN: And the map amendment.

12 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: She said the map.

13 MR. MAY: I'm sorry, I didn't hear her.

14 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I don't know if she  
15 was in the mic, but she did say that.

16 MR. MAY: Okay.

17 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: At least I heard her.

18 MR. MAY: I'm sorry.

19 MS. COHEN: I was just going to try to be  
20 more specific on location but that's fine. I made  
21 the motion.

22 MR. MAY: Perfect.

23 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. It's been moved  
24 and properly seconded. Any further discussion?

25 All those in favor?



1 (Vote taken.)

2 ALL: Aye.

3 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Any opposition. Not  
4 hearing any, Ms. Schellin, would you record the  
5 vote?

6 MS. SCHELLIN: Yes. Staff records the  
7 vote five to zero to zero to approve proposed  
8 action Zoning Commission Case No. 14-16.  
9 Commissioner Cohen moving, Commissioner Hood  
10 seconding, Commissioners Turnbull, Miller, and May  
11 in support.

12 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Ms. Schellin,  
13 do we have anything else?

14 MS. SCHELLIN: No, sir.

15 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay.

16 MR. MILLER: Mr. Chairman, can I just --

17 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yes, Commissioner  
18 Miller.

19 MR. MILLER: -- ask Office of Planning --  
20 we'll probably read about it in the paper or  
21 something, but if they could just keep us posted  
22 on the progress of the development that this map  
23 and text amendment is facilitating?

24 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: And I would just add,  
25 as this site moves forward, continue to work with

1 the ANC, which I'm sure will probably happen  
2 because I know the reputation of this particular  
3 owner. So, okay. Anything else?

4 All right. With that I want to thank  
5 everyone for their participation and this hearing  
6 is adjourned.

7 (Hearing adjourned at 6:57 p.m.)

8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25