GOVERNMENT

OF

THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT

+ + + + +

PUBLIC HEARING

+ + + + +

TUESDAY,

JANUARY 27, 2015

+ + + + +

The Regular Public Hearing convened in the Jerrily R. Kress Memorial Hearing Room, Room 220 South, 441 4th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., 20001, pursuant to notice at 10:02 a.m., Lloyd J. Jordan, Chairperson, presiding.

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT MEMBERS PRESENT:

LLOYD JORDAN, Chairperson
MARNIQUE HEATH, Vice Chairperson
JEFF HINKLE, Board Member (NCPC)

ZONING COMMISSION MEMBER[S] PRESENT:

PETER MAY, Board Member (DCZC)

OFFICE OF ZONING STAFF PRESENT:

CLIFFORD MOY, Secretary JOHN NYARKU, Zoning Specialist

D.C. OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL PRESENT:

SHERRY GLAZER, ESQ.

OFFICE OF PLANNING STAFF PRESENT:

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 STEPHEN MORDFIN KAREN THOMAS STEVEN COCHRAN MATT JESICK BRANDICE ELLIOT

The transcript constitutes the minutes from the Public Hearing held on January 27, 2015.

T-A-B-L-E O-F C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S

Application No. 18903 - Distance Education and Training Council
Presentation by the Applicant Jessica Bloomfield 6
Office of Planning Report Steve Cochran 7
Department of Transportation Report 7
<u>ANC-2B</u> 7
Testimony by Individuals in Support 7
Testimony by Individuals in Opposition 8
<u>Vote to Approve</u> 8
Application No. 18907 - David Ruddy and Bondurant Eley
Presentation by the Applicant David Ruddy 9
Office of Planning Report Stephen Mordfin 11
Department of Transportation Report
<u>ANC-6E</u>
Testimony by Individuals in Support
Testimony by Individuals in Opposition
<u>Vote to Approve</u> 12
Application No. 18855 - David S. and Martha Stracener Dantzic
Presentation by the Applicant Kate Olson
Office of Planning Report Brandice Elliot 14
Department of Transportation Report

NEAL R. GROSS

Testimony by Individuals in Support	14
Testimony by Individuals in Opposition	14
Vote to Approve	16
Application No. 18902 - Frost-LaBule, LLC	16
Presentation by the Applicant George Keys	17
Office of Planning Report Karen Thomas	22
Department of Transportation Report	23
<u>ANC-8A</u>	23
Testimony by Individuals in Support	24
Testimony by Individuals in Opposition	24
Vote to Approve	26
Application No. 18866 - Planned Parenthood Association of D.C.	28
Presentation by the Applicant Christine Roddy	28
Office of Planning Report Karen Thomas	37
Department of Transportation Report	37
<u>ANC-2B</u>	38
Testimony by Individuals in Support	38
Testimony by Individuals in Opposition	38
Vote to Approve	40
Application No. 18852 and 18853 - SB Urban, LLC	41
Cary Kadlecek	42
NEAL R. GROSS	

Office of Planning Report	
Matt Jesick	51
<u>ANC-2F</u>	52
Testimony by Individuals in Support	
Cheryl Cort	54
Testimony by Individuals in Opposition	
Sergei Michalov	55
Ahmed Ait-Ghezala	60
Barbara Schauer	65
Cross Examination	
Cary Kadlecek	71
Rebuttal by the Applicant	
Cary Kadlecek	81
Open Meetings Act	88
Vote to Approve	90
Adjournment	

1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	10:02 a.m.
3	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Nine zero three, Mr. Moy.
4	We're now moving to our hearing cases.
5	MR. MOY: Okay. Nine zero three. Nine zero
6	three. Nine zero two. Nine zero two or 903?
7	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Nine zero three please.
8	MR. MOY: Nine zero three. Okay. Here we go.
9	Nine zero three.
10	Application Number 18903 of Distance Education
11	and Training Council as advertised for special exception from
12	the office uses requirements under Section 508 to operate a
13	private non-profit organization in a condominium unit. This
14	is at premises 1601 18th Street, N.W.
15	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Thank you. Good morning.
16	Would you please identify yourselves?
17	MS. BLOOMFIELD: Good morning. My name is
18	Jessica Bloomfield from Holland & Knight.
19	DR. MATTHEWS: Good morning. My name is Dr.
20	Leah Matthews from the Distance Education Training Council.
21	MR. COLLINS: Christopher Collins, Holland &
22	Knight.
23	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Okay. I believe that the
24	documents and exhibits already submitted in this case
25	sufficiently support your request for relief and so, it's your

1	opportunity, Ms. Bloomfield, as you know how we proceed, that
2	you have the opportunity to waive additional hearing and/or
3	to present anything you wish you think you want to present
4	that might change our minds from wanting to grant you the relief
5	you requested.
6	MS. BLOOMFIELD: We'd be happy to rest on the
7	record. Thank you.
8	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Board, any questions
9	though that you may have? No.
10	Then we go to Planning.
11	MR. COCHRAN: Which also rests on the record.
12	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Okay. And we do have your
13	report on file.
14	Anyone from the Department of Transportation
15	here?
16	Do we have a letter from Transportation in this
17	case?
18	MS. BLOOMFIELD: There was no letter from DDOT
19	in the record.
20	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: I didn't think so.
21	Thanks. That means I didn't sleep a document.
22	Anyone here from ANC-2B? ANC-2B on this case.
23	We do have a letter of support from ANC-2B supporting the relief
24	requested.
25	Is anyone here wishing to speak in support of the

application? Anyone wishing to speak in support?
Anyone wishing to speak in opposition? Anyone
in opposition?
Then we will close the case based upon what's been
presented to the Board in our record and I would move that we
grant the relief in Case 18903.
MEMBER HINKLE: Second.
CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Any additional discussion?
All those in favor aye.
(Ayes.)
CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Those opposed nay. The
motion carries. Mr. Moy.
MR. MOY: Staff would record the as 4 to 0 on the
motion of Chairman Jordan for the relief requested. Second
the motion Mr. Hinkle. Also in support Mr. Peter May and Vice
Chair Heath. Board Member not present. Motion carries 4 to
0, sir.
CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Summary.
MR. MOY: Thank you.
MS. BLOOMFIELD: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Okay. Mr. Moy, let's do
18855 please. Then it's what's wrong with 906 907? Yes.
What's wrong with that? Yes. Let's do well, let's do this.
If you have that called did you already pull it up?
MR. MOY: I have no yet. I have no called it yet.

1	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: All right. How are you
2	guys with 907? Okay. Let's do 907, Mr. Moy. Okay.
3	MR. MOY: Okay. Application Number 18907 of
4	David Ruddy or Ruddy, Ruddy, thank you, and Eley. This is
5	pursuant to or rather this Applicant is requesting relief for
6	a variance relief from loc occupancy under Section 403.2
7	and I believe it has been amended for a special exception rear
8	yard and variance on a nonconforming, Mr. Chairman.
9	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Correct. Okay. Would
10	you please identify yourselves?
11	MR. RUDDY: Good morning. My name is
12	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Let's make sure your
13	microphone is turned on. The bright glowing green light.
14	MR. RUDDY: Good morning, Board Members. My
15	name is David Ruddy. I'm the Applicant and owner of 1811
16	Wiltberger Street and with me is Mark Lawrence, the architect,
17	who's on the application as well.
18	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Okay. On the amended
19	application, the additional relief, one, is that Mr. Moy,
20	has any additional fees and et cetera been paid or is that
21	something that they need to visit later?
22	MR. MOY: They need if they need to revisit,
23	they wouldn't receive the order until after they've they've
24	
25	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Okay. I just wanted to

1	make sure to put you on notice of that.
2	MR. MOY: I appreciate it. Thank you, sir.
3	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: I read the memo. I've been
4	accused of allowing this additional relief and not giving the
5	District their money. So.
6	I believe this file with the exhibits already
7	filed would support the application for relief.
8	I don't know if the Board has any questions you
9	need to drill down with the Applicant on, but I think you can
10	if you want, you can waive additional presentation and we
11	can proceed with the hearing or it's up to you.
12	MR. RUDDY: No, I waive and submit decision on
13	the record. Thank you.
14	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Board, any questions?
15	MS. GLAZER: Mr. Chair.
16	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Yes.
17	MS. GLAZER: Sorry to interrupt, but did the
18	Board mention that 223 needs to be included. That's that
19	would just be a technical amendment for the rear yard relief.
20	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: All right. We can accept
21	that. We'll add to it the additional technical amendment
22	that's been requested.
23	All right. Then we will turn to the Office of
24	Planning and see if there's anything the Office of Planning
25	wishes to add.

MR. MORDFIN: Good morning, Chair and Members of 1 I'm Stephen Mordfin and the Office of Planning 2 the Board. rests on the record. 3 4 Thank you. 5 CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Board, any questions of Applicant, any questions of Office of 6 Office of Planning? 7 Planning? Anyone from DDOT on this case? We do have a 8 letter of no objection for the requested relief from Department 9 of Transportation. 10 11 Anyone here from ANC-6E on this case? ANC-6E? 12 We do have a letter of support for ANC-6E although it only mentions the lot occupancy variance and not the special 13 14 exception relief. Is there anyone here wishing to testify or speak 15 16 in regards to support of this application? Anyone in supporting wishing to speak on this application? Anyone in 17 18 support? Anyone in opposition? Anyone in opposition on 19 this case? 20 Then we will close the record on this matter and 21 I would move that we grant the relief requested in 18907 with 22 23 the additional amended relief discussed here. 24 MEMBER HINKLE: I'll second that, Mr. Chair. Ι 25 just want to note that there are some letters of support from

1	adjacent neighbors and I think that's helpful.
2	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Yes, thank you, Mr. Hinkle.
3	Yes, there were.
4	All right. Any other discussion? All those in
5	favor of the motion signify by saying aye.
6	(Ayes.)
7	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Those opposed nay. The
8	motion carries. Mr. Moy.
9	MR. MOY: Staff would record the vote as 4 to 0.
10	This on the motion of Chairman Jordan to approve the amended
11	relief as already described. Second the motion, Mr. Hinkle.
12	Also in support Mr. Peter May and Vice Chair Heath and a Member
13	not present with us today.
14	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Summary please.
15	MR. MOY: Thank you.
16	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: And our next case is 55, Mr.
17	Moy.
18	MR. MOY: Application Number 18855 of David S.
19	and Martha Dantzic. This application has been advertised for
20	multiple reliefs and I believe it's also been amended to add
21	courts relief under Section 406 and revised plans are under
22	Exhibit 40E, E as in echo.
23	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Good morning. Please
24	identify yourselves.
25	MS. OLSON: Hi. Good morning. My name is Kate

1	Olson with the law firm of Greenstein, DeLorume & Luchs
2	representing the Applicant.
3	MR. DANTZIC: Good morning. I'm Dave Dantzic.
4	I'm the Applicant.
5	MR. PRESSICK: Good morning. Garrett Pressick
6	with Bell Architects.
7	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Okay. So, we do have
8	okay. So, it is there. Okay. Thank you. I guess the time
9	I went in, it wasn't in the record. Try not to go in too early,
10	but, you know, try to get it done, too.
11	So, I don't know if the Board has any questions
12	or anything we need to hear from the Applicant on this. I think
13	the record is pretty correct in regards to be able to grant
14	you the relief requested.
15	Board, any questions you would like or any
16	statements? Anything on here from the Applicant? Anybody?
17	Okay.
18	So, Ms. Olson, as you know how we proceed here,
19	you have the ability to present to the Board or you can waive
20	it since we've already told you we think you're already there.
21	MS. OLSON: We'd be happy to stay on the record.
22	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Good. All right. Then we
23	will then turn to the Office of Planning and see if there's
24	anything in addition that may need to be added by Ms. Elliot.
25	MS. ELLIOT: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

1	I would just like to make a quick note that OP's
2	report was filed before the revisions had been made to the
3	application and the extent of the relief actually wasn't
4	modified. So, we didn't file an updated report, but we're
5	still supportive of the request.
6	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Thank you. Board, any
7	additional questions of Office of Planning? Applicant, any
8	questions of Office of Planning?
9	MS. OLSON: No.
10	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: We'll turn to Department of
11	Transportation. Is there anyone here from Transportation on
12	this? We do have a letter of no objection by the Department
13	of Transportation.
14	Is anyone here from ANC-6B for this? Anyone from
15	ANC-6B in this case? We do have a letter of support with a
16	vote of 8 to 0 from ANC-6B.
17	Anyone here wishing to speak in support of this
18	application? Anyone in support?
19	We do have Exhibit 29 through 38 of nine letters
20	in support from neighbors on this application.
21	Anyone wishing to speak in opposition? Anyone
22	in opposition?
23	Then we will close the record and I would move
24	that we grant the relief requested and as amended in this case.
25	MEMBER HINKLE: Second.

Additional discussion? 1 CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: COMMISSIONER MAY: Mr. Chairman. 2 CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Yes, Mr. May. 3 COMMISSIONER MAY: I just would want to comment 4 5 also that there's a letter in support from the Capitol Hill Restoration Society Zoning Committee and I think that overall 6 it should be noted that this is -- it's sort of an unusual case 7 because it's -- you know, they're using the terrace, I'm sorry, 8 the trellis connection in order to allow that second story of 9 a garage on an alley. 10 11 But, I think that that's something that in the 12 future that workaround won't be necessary if the Zoning Regulations are passed as they've been amended. 13 But, overall, I think it's all been well done and 14 I especially appreciate the fact that the Applicant was 15 16 responsive to the concerns of HPRB and reduced the size of it --of the addition on the garage. 17 And it's -- I especially appreciate it being a 18 nearby neighbor. I walk by all the time. 19 Not that it would affect me in any way, shape or form. But, I especially 20 appreciate the fact that the -- for the ongoing construction, 21 the porta potty is cloaked in a woodshed. So, nobody even has 22 23 to see that. It's all being done very sensitively. 24 Thank you. 25 CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Good on the porta potty.

1	No, very good.
2	So, all those in favor of the motion aye.
3	(Ayes.)
4	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Those opposed nay. The
5	motion carries. Mr. Moy.
6	MR. MOY: Yes, sir, the staff would record the
7	vote as 4 to 0. This is on the motion of Chairman Jordan to
8	approve the application, the amended application. Also
9	seconding Mr. Peter May. Also in support Vice Chair Heath and
10	Mr. Hinkle. Board Member not present with us today. Motion
11	carries, sir.
12	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Okay. Summary please.
13	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Thank you.
14	MS. OLSON: Thank you.
15	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Let's start at the top of
16	the batting order.
17	MR. MOY: Okay. Here we go. Top of the ticket
18	Application Number 18902 of Frost-LaBule, LLC. This is
19	property located at 2620 Bowen Road, S.E.
20	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Give me one second. I need
21	to catch myself.
22	MR. MOY: While there's a pause, I believe that
23	the plans on record are under Exhibit 7.
24	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: One second. I want to find

1	myself to go back and look at it and I didn't.
2	Good morning. Would you please identify
3	yourselves please?
4	MR. KEYS: Good morning, Mr. Chairman. George
5	Keys of Jordan and Keys, PLLC representing the Applicant.
6	MR. BROWN: Kevin Brown with the Neighborhood
7	Development Company, the contract purchaser of the site.
8	MR. GREENBERG: Charles Greenberg with EDG
9	Architects.
10	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: I'm sorry. What is your
11	name again?
12	MR. GREENBERG: Charles Greenberg.
13	MR. REESE: Good morning. Russell Reese with
14	Maddox Engineers & Surveyors.
15	MR. GIULIONI: And Michael Giulioni with the
16	Neighborhood Development Company.
17	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: All right. I don't
18	believe we need a full presentation. I think we understand
19	what this project is about or at least, I don't. I don't about
20	the other Board Members. But, I do have some questions and
21	some other things I think is missing from this particular file
22	and we want to go over TDM measures and a few other things.
23	So, unless the Board needs to see a full
24	presentation, I wish we could just, if it's okay, to just drill
25	down on questions.

Is that -- then you also have the opportunity for 1 If there's a -- if we go through this process 2 what we miss. on rebuttal to hit anything that you may want to, but I think 3 we understand what the project's about and the relief 4 5 requested. But, for instance, my concern is that I saw no 6 financials here to support your claim that it's financially 7 not feasible to do this parking. 8 Mr. Chairman, I'll let Mr. Brown 9 KEYS: 10 respond to that. I just wanted to give you -- it's a letter from 11 12 DHCD just setting out their understanding of the term sheet and the time limits that are applicable to that. 13 I think Mr. Brown can address the financing 14 question. 15 16 CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Yes. But, let me really 17 say a couple of things. One, that this document doesn't reflect what I 18 just asked about the financials. So, I thought you were going 19 to give us the financials. 20 When these cases are filed and when you -- we look 21 at these cases well before we hear today. I mean we spend hours 22 23 and hours and hours looking at these cases and so, it's helpful have the information so we can digest it and be able to 24 25 properly ask questions if necessary and do the citizens of the

The issue about financials is one of the main 3 points of what the request for relief is supported. 4 That it's 5 not financially feasible to do the parking that's there. So, we would wish that we'd have had that and something 6 tangible to touch and feel and hold in our hands. 7 But, with that, Mr. Brown, if you wanted to go ahead and 8 talk about it. 9 This project is a low-income 10 MR. BROWN: Yes. 11 housing tax credit project. We have received a term sheet from the Department of Housing and Community Development for gap 12 financing. I apologize for that not being included in the 13 14 submission package. We also have received termships for construction 15 16 financing for the project as well as letters of interest from the equity providers for the project. 17 This would be the third low-income housing tax 18 credit project that my firm's completed in the last six years 19 and we believe we have the financing in place to complete this 20 21 project. Okay. Well, I understand 22 CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: 23 all that and I commend the affordability of this project and I understand how DCD works. 24 25 I don't know. Is this 42 or 9 percent money?

District of Columbia the service that they need to have so we

1

2

can drill down.

1 What is this? 2 MR. BROWN: Four. CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: And I know this project's 3 been up before and has been revised and et cetera. 4 5 I just need to document. We have to have what 6 we can proceed on and I believe there's enough here, but I need 7 to have it for our record at some point prior to us, you know, probably concluding this matter with the financials. 8 Either we can have it submitted subsequently or not. 9 I can believe based upon some other discussions 10 11 that it might be, but we don't have the real meat and potatoes, the numbers in front of us that shows us that there's a -- the 12 finances create a practical difficulty for you to be able to 13 14 So, that's my concern. do that. Board, any other questions you may want to ask 15 16 the Applicant on this case? 17 I think otherwise it's pretty straightforward, but for that. 18 And you got to have financials around. 19 Heck, I'm sure DHCD puts you through all the dot the I's, cross your T's. 20 You got equity investors. So, I know that you got financials. 21 You have not given it to us to show what that --22 23 we need to know. We need to see the cost of being able to do the garage and how prohibitive it is for you to do it. 24 You 25 know, the parking requirement. That's it.

All right. So, if there's no questions, Board, 1 I'm going to see if there's anything that you think you need 2 to present to the Board. Otherwise, we can probably proceed 3 4 on. 5 Is that okay with the Board? Is there anything you think you need to present? 6 Because otherwise, we would have asked the questions if we had 7 a question. But, if you think you need to talk. 8 No, Mr. Chairman. 9 MR. KEYS: We --CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: 10 Okav. MR. KEYS: -- we will rely on your reading of the 11 12 record. CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Oh, one other thing. 13 14 the ANC-8A, did that meeting that -- what happened with that? 15 MR. KEYS: Mr. Chairman, as we indicated in our 16 supplemental filing of January 13th, we had numerous contacts 17 with the ANC. We presented at an ANC meeting and I think Mr. Brown could perhaps summarize that since he was present and 18 19 represented the company. We notified the ANC back in July when 20 MR. BROWN: we knew we were going to be submitting this variance request. 21 We attended a meeting in September to further advise them. 22 23 Spoke with the SMD and the ANC Chairman. I presented at the ANC's executive committee 24 25 meeting in October. I also presented at the ANC meeting in

1	January and then we also presented at a community meeting
2	organized by the single member district person Natalie
3	Williams.
4	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: And they just have not made
5	a decision?
6	MR. BROWN: They have not.
7	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: You presented to the full
8	ANC Board?
9	MR. BROWN: We presented to the full ANC at the
10	January meeting. We presented to the executive committee in
11	October.
12	At that meeting, only three of the members were
13	present, but we attended and presented.
14	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: All right. Thank you.
15	Then let's turn to the Office of Planning.
16	MS. THOMAS: Good morning, Mr. Chair. Karen
17	Thomas for the Office of Planning.
18	We have nothing further to add. We just ask the
19	Applicant to provide the GAR score for the project.
20	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Oh. Okay. All right.
21	And I don't know if you have that for OP. You had that
22	discussion with OP before.
23	MR. KEYS: Yes, Mr. Chairman. We have and we
24	provided OP with a score sheet on the GAR that showed we
25	couldn't satisfy the requirements for R-5-A.

1	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Okay. So, I guess it's
2	MS. THOMAS: Well, I assumed that I'm sorry.
3	I assumed that they submitted it to the record.
4	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Okay. All right.
5	MR. KEYS: I have it to submit.
6	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Okay. We
7	MR. KEYS: I have it to submit and would be
8	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Okay. Thank you. Board,
9	any questions of Office of Planning? Applicant, any questions
10	of Office of Planning?
11	MR. KEYS: No.
12	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Okay. Okay. Thanks.
13	Got it. Anyone here from Department of Transportation on this
14	case? Did we get a letter from OP? I mean DDOT rather.
15	COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes, Mr. Chairman, there's an
16	approval from DDOT.
17	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Okay. Is anyone here from
18	well, anyone here from ANC-8A? Anyone here from ANC-8A?
19	Because we just had a discussion about the status
20	of that, but you did present and you did go to the ANC. Which
21	we is a requirement and we are glad you did that and we know
22	sometimes it's difficult for the ANCs to get everybody together
23	or to have a meeting. But, they do the best they can.
24	It doesn't prohibit us from going forward, but
25	it's something that we take very seriously. Your interaction

1	with the ANC.
2	Anyone here wishing to speak in support of this
3	application? Anyone in support?
4	Anyone in opposition? Anyone in opposition?
5	Then we would let me go over the these TDM
6	measures. We turn it back to the Applicant.
7	You submitted, I think, what five TDM measures.
8	MR. KEYS: That's correct, Mr. Chairman.
9	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: And has DDOT seen these and
10	discussed it with DDOT?
11	MR. KEYS: Yes, we've had these are they
12	come out of the report and the discussions that we've had with
13	DDOT.
14	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Board, any questions about
15	these transportation demand management steps?
16	All right. Then let's there's really nothing
17	for you to rebut and I would say that we would really want
18	those financials though. Yes. Yes.
19	COMMISSIONER MAY: Mr. Chairman, can I ask?
20	You're concerned about the financials. Is that driven largely
21	because of the parking relief and the cost of the parking?
22	MR. KEYS: Yes, that's right. That's right.
23	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: That's right. I just need
24	to have something in the record to support that phase.
25	COMMISSIONER MAY: Sure. You know, I think that

1 the Board in the past and certainly the Zoning Commission in 2 the past has developed at least a general understanding of the extra cost associated with excavating for additional parking 3 below grade and I think that it would not be really out of the 4 ordinary for us to go ahead and grant relief on this based on 5 the overall circumstance of the project. 6 That this is a high level of affordability. It's a difficult site because of the 7 There's a large PUD immediately next door. 8 terrain. We grappled with that at the Zoning Commission. 9 I think that there's enough information in the record to be 10 able to support the parking variance on that grounds. 11 Yes, I appreciate that, Mr. 12 CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Because I am on the borderline. But, all the other 13 May. pluses for this project and understanding that it's being --14 15 DHCD has helped finance them. 16 However, if we do go forward, not setting any precedents that people can come in here and any applicant can 17 come in and not submit a full package and justify their 18 19

allegation what they have a practical difficulty or a hardship. It's a requirement to put the supporting documentation.

But, I agree with you, Mr. May. I think there's enough here to like sweep past. It's like the strike zone and the ball is like just right on the edge. So, it's up to the referee to make that call.

And so, I think we're going to give you the

20

21

22

23

24

1	benefit of the doubt on this, but never, never again nor anybody
2	else should be allowed to do that. But, this project, it kind
3	of reeks that it's going to be issues. Especially with the
4	terrain, how this project is situated in this up-down valley
5	situation. So, I can move on with that.
6	So, with that then, I would move that we grant
7	the relief requested.
8	COMMISSIONER MAY: Second.
9	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Motion made and second.
10	Additional discussion?
11	COMMISSIONER MAY: Mr. Chairman, do we want to
12	note that it's subject to the proposed conditions? The TDM
13	measures.
14	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: The TDM. With the TDM.
15	COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes.
16	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Um-hum. All of those in
17	favor of the motion aye.
18	(Ayes.)
19	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Those opposed nay. The
20	motion carries. Mr. Moy.
21	MR. MOY: Yes, sir. Staff would record the vote
22	as 4 to 0. This on a motion of Chairman Jordan to approve the
23	application for the relief requested as well as the five TDM
24	measures. Second the motion is Mr. Peter May. Also in
25	support Vice Chair Heath and Mr. Hinkle.

1	And I believe also check my notes. The
2	revised drawings are under Exhibit 26.
3	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: All right. Thank you.
4	Summary please.
5	MR. MOY: Yes, sir.
6	MR. KEYS: Thank you very much, Mr. Chair.
7	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Who's first and who's
8	second?
9	MR. MOY: Let's do 66 866.
10	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Oh, yes. Let's take a
11	five-minute please.
12	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off
13	the record at 10:31 a.m. and resumed at 10:36 a.m.)
14	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: All right. Did we call the
15	case already, Mr. Moy?
16	MR. MOY: Not yet, sir.
17	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Okay.
18	MR. MOY: This would be Application Number
19	18866, and I believe they're at the table, of Planned
20	Parenthood Association.
21	The Board will recall this was heard originally
22	on December 2nd and is a continuation of that hearing.
23	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Okay. Let's, for the
24	record, reintroduce ourselves please.
25	MS. RODDY: Thank you. My name is Christine

1 Roddy and I'm an attorney with Goldstein & Storrs. 2 Good morning. I'm Jane Nelson of MS. NELSON: Nelson Architects. 3 Good morning, Chairman Jordan, 4 MR. ANDRES: the Board. 5 Members of Erwin Andres with Gorove Slade Associates. 6 CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Thank you. 7 Okay. We had a full hearing in this matter. We beat around the bush on this. 8 There's been some amendments and some changes. 9 Do you want to bring us up on exactly what the 10 -- I think we are. I just want to make sure we're all on the 11 12 same page. MS. RODDY: The pertinent changes to the 13 Sure. 14 plans, one, I know there were some questions with respect to 15 the trellises on the roof and we have removed those. 16 The other changes are with respect to parking. The initial application was for 20 residential units. 17 We had reduced that to 17 and we have since reduced it to 15 and we 18 have squeaked out one more space on site. So, we now have an 19 additional space for a total of four on-site parking spaces 20 and four spaces is actually the requirement for the residential 21 So, we would be able to completely park the residential 22 use. We will be seeking the variance for the 23 use on site. 24 commercial use.

CHAIRPERSON JORDAN:

25

Yes, and that's the nine

1	spaces? Is it?
2	MS. RODDY: Yes.
3	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Um-hum. Yes. I don't know
4	if the Board had any other questions on this.
5	Still my issue, and I think I'm there, but I'm
6	still not real comfortable, is on the uniqueness of the
7	exceptional condition because there's other property on here
8	that on that square or on that block that is similar.
9	MS. RODDY: Sure. I'd be happy to address that.
10	This lot, as you know, is 50-feet wide and as you
11	said, there are two other lots in this square that are either
12	50-feet or less. Those are three lots out of the 11 lots in
13	that square. The average width of the lots in the square is
14	actually 129 feet. With the greatest width being 296 feet.
15	So, as you can see, there's a big discrepancy
16	between the 50 feet that we are and the 296 feet.
17	Case law is clear that the exceptional condition
18	does not need to be unreservedly unique. It just can't be
19	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Let me stop you on that
20	point. You cite Fletcher?
21	MS. RODDY: Right and that cites to Palmer and
22	Gilmartin.
23	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: But, where in Fletcher does
24	it say that? Because maybe I'm concerned about that.
25	MS. RODDY: I have it. I could also refer to

1 Gilmartin and Palmer which do specifically state that. I have 2 that. Yes, because I know you CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: 3 said Fletcher and that's who -- the case which you used. 4 5 MS. RODDY: I have that. And I think Fletcher 6 CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: 7 actually says to the contrary. Fletcher specifically says "The critical point in this case is that extraordinary and 8 exceptional conditions must affect a single property." 9 maybe this is a misquote in your brief. 10 11 Well, I was referring to -- cite to MS. RODDY: 12 Palmer where it says it's not the unique -- the hardships, they're not unique circumstance peculiar to the applicant's 13 property and not to the general conditions of the neighborhood 14 15 and it goes on to say that if they are the general conditions 16 of the neighborhood, then the amendment process may be a more 17 appropriate process. CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Right. But, it doesn't 18 say what you've been saying. I'm just trying to make sure 19 we're on the same page because I -- it's like, okay, I'm trying 20 to get to where you are. So, that's why the issue still remains 21 22 with me. 23 MS. RODDY: Okay. All right. 24 CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: But, that --25 MS. RODDY: Well, Palmer case which is the one

that really is a pivotal case in the land-use legislation before the District and that clearly states that this is something that is -- it can't be a prevailing condition of the community. That if it -- and it doesn't have to be unreservedly unique. It just has to be --

CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: We just have to be careful that we're not redoing zoning and doing text amendments and map amendments here as the Board of Zoning Adjustment.

That's your argument and that's something -- I just got to be careful how we as a Board operate because we get a lot of different things and the next thing you know somebody will run in and say well, you did it with this case and you did it with that case and so, we try to build some consistency on the Board so that there's some predictability.

We've talked about that a lot over the years since I've been here and probably even before. Some predictability.

That's why I'm kind of drilling down on that and need to make sure that our record is clear about what we do to these type of things.

MS. RODDY: No, I appreciate that and just to continue, so, this is one of three lots then within this square that's 50 feet or narrower and of those three lots, it is the only interior lot. The other two lots have frontage on an alley or on a street and that provides some flexibility in a below-grade garage. They could go into vault space and use

1 that. But, we are absolutely constrained by 2 northern and southern property lines. We cannot extend over 3 the property line to accommodate those spaces. So, this is 4 5 an absolutely unique property within this square. 6 CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: MS. RODDY: And I would also -- I'm sorry. 7 Just to add to that, we are also proposing the only residential use 8 in this square and I think that goes to the uniqueness in that 9 we're an SP2. It's a split-zone square. So, the west is C4. 10 11 We're SP2. 12 And SP2 actually encourages residential use. caps the amount of commercial use you can do, but it encourages 13 residential and allows additional density for residential use. 14 And it's difficult to reconcile the residential 15 16 use and the density permitted in the SP2 within the parking 17 requirement on a lot this size. CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: 18 Okay. That's helped me. Board, any other questions that you have for this --19 COMMISSIONER MAY: Did you actually submit 20 anything regarding the trellises other than noting that they'd 21 I didn't see a plan. 22 be removed? 23 MS. RODDY: We submitted a plan. 24 CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: An amended plan. Didn't 25 they?

Is it --

Yes. Where is it?

2	MS. RODDY: It's double sided. It was it's
3	Tab A.
4	COMMISSIONER MAY: Oh. Okay. Sorry. I
5	missed that. Thank you.
6	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Okay. If there's not any
7	other questions, let me run past the TDMs.
8	MS. RODDY: Yes.
9	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: I think there's one, two,
10	three, four. Is there five? Five TDMs? Five. Five
11	provisions for your transportation management plan.
12	MS. RODDY: Yes.
13	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Okay. Let me offer let
14	me go over what some changes that unless the Board had
15	some other questions about the merits of the case. Then let
16	me go through how I altered I would suggest that the Board
17	would adopt it as a TDM here. I've altered some of this.
18	The first one, the Applicant shall disseminate
19	information regarding the phone apps that provide access to
20	the alternative commuting means. Everything else that you
21	have there stays in place.
22	Two, the Applicant shall install a transit screen
23	that would provide residents and office patrons a quick guide
24	reference. All the other things you had stay in place.
25	I said the Applicant shall provide each new

COMMISSIONER MAY:

1 tenant one year car share or Capital Bike Share membership. 2 Each new tenant one year. Then, the next, Applicant shall provide -- I 3 dropped the other five years, but just said that the Applicant 4 5 needs to do it for each new tenant. Because our whole purpose 6 here as you've said, Mr. Andres, many, many times to try to get people to get a taste of how to use alternative means. 7 Sir, are you suggesting 8 MR. ANDRES: Um-hum. each new tenant for infinity? 9 I would just like to -- it's a condo 10 MS. RODDY: building and that was why we had proposed a five-year period. 11 CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: We wanted it to be in the 12 I'm having -- the Board has not concluded, but it's 13 HOA. important that -- it doesn't -- you know, the building just 14 doesn't live today and live tomorrow. We have to make sure 15 16 that whoever new comes in -- everybody right up front's going to get all of this. But, we want to make sure that everybody 17 new who comes in understands how we're trying to push them away 18 And it can be in the HOA agreement. 19 from cars. Right? And actually, I'd just like to 20 MR. ANDRES: 21 comment on that, Chairman Jordan. Yes, the intent typically is that, you know, the new tenants that do come in get this 22 23 benefit and it creates a culture for the building. subsequent tenants after that know what the culture is and 24 25 typically, that's why the TDM measures are geared for an

initial period either lease up or with the condo docs and because -- in that sense, once that culture's developed, it becomes a part of the neighborhood.

And essentially, that's what's happened in older historic neighborhoods like Dupont Circle where there's a lot of older buildings. You know, the first buildings that were built in Dupont Circle, you know, had no parking. So, that culture was developed to a point where people know that, you know, when you move to certain buildings in Dupont Circle, you have no parking and essentially --

CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: And I'm going to sell my building to whoever -- my condo to whoever I want to. I'm not having that discussion. Got you. Which you want it, but no, I would --

MS. RODDY: We understand.

CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: It has to be there because people come and go. You know, cultures -- I'm not selling my culture necessarily. I'm not putting a line in that deed. If you're going to pay me the right price for my condo, done. In fact, I want everybody to know -- no. The right price.

We need to make sure that we're protecting. You know, parking is like -- well, I was going to say oil, but oil has dropped here. It's really important here in the District and you know better than I do how important it is. So, that's what we would want to see in the condo docs that would run with

And the other thing we had, the Applicant shall 2 provide materials or part of the move-in package that shall 3 include a map of the Capital Bike Share stations and et cetera 4 5 and the Applicant shall provide nine off-site parking spaces 6 by lease agreement with a garage that will allow for overnight parking in the vicinity of the project. 7 This is a slight amendment to what you had, but 8 it's the same thing. 9 Can I -- I'm sorry to interrupt, but 10 MS. RODDY: with respect to the overnight parking, since we will be parking 11 12 the commercial uses, would you still want to keep the overnight requirements? 13 CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: I got that language from 14 you guys about overnight. 15 16 MS. RODDY: Oh, then if we could just say in one 17 of the garages. CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Okay. Yes. All right. 18 And that would be great. 19 MS. RODDY: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Yes, because I got that 20 All right. If that's okay with the Board. 21 from you. So. those things are okay with the Board. Okay. 22 23 So, then if there's -- I don't think there's 24 anything else that we -- the Board needs to hear.

We can go to Office of Planning.

25

1

this land.

If Ms. Thomas

1	have anything in addition that she may want to add.
2	MS. THOMAS: Good morning, Mr. Chair. The
3	Office of Planning has nothing additional to add and we remain
4	in support of this application.
5	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Good. Thank you.
6	MS. THOMAS: Thanks.
7	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Board, any questions of Ms.
8	Thomas? Applicant, any questions?
9	We do have a letter from the Department of
10	Transportation which we've gone through the whole discussion
11	with the transportation demand. Is there anybody else here
12	from DDOT? No. On this? No. Okay.
13	ANC it's ANC-2 something. Right? Do we have
14	something from ANC-2B? Oh, it must be in my other. I got two
15	file notes on this case. Had to go back to the other. ANC-2B
16	was no objection vote 6 to 0. That was from our what was
17	that? November hearing?
18	MS. RODDY: December.
19	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: December. Okay. All
20	right. Good. Okay.
21	Is anyone here wishing to speak in support of this
22	application? Anyone in support? I think we've already went
23	through this before.
24	MS. RODDY: If I could note, I know Ms. Cheryl
25	Cort was anticipating in being here, but the snow has delayed

1	her. We did submit a letter that she provided into the record.
2	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: All right. Thank you.
3	Anyone wishing to speak in opposition? Anyone
4	in opposition?
5	Then it's safe to close the record on this matter.
6	Then we'll close the record.
7	Is the Board ready to deliberate?
8	There's no opposition to this. Is it?
9	So, I don't need to go through a whole dialogue
10	on this. Okay. Good.
11	So, then I would move that we grant relief the
12	amended relief requested in this case including the
13	conditions, the TDM measures as conditions as discussed here
14	today.
15	MEMBER HINKLE: Second.
16	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Motion made and second.
17	Additional discussion? Oh, yes, I'm sorry.
18	VICE CHAIR HEATH: One comment. I wasn't here
19	for the December 2nd hearing, but I have read the record and
20	viewed the hearing. So, I'm fully familiar with all issues
21	and prepared to vote.
22	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Good. Thank you. Thank
23	you for that.
24	MEMBER HINKLE: Yes, Mr. Chair, I just want to
25	note that I appreciate the Applicant taking another look at

1	this as a variance and I think it's a much better project to
2	be honest with the proposed parking and the other revisions.
3	I certainly support it.
4	COMMISSIONER MAY: Mr. Chairman.
5	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Yes.
6	COMMISSIONER MAY: So, I supported this last
7	time around. You know, so, I'm certainly going to support it
8	again this time around, but to me, the case was clearly made
9	that this is a unique property due to the width and the
10	difficulty of doing underground parking and the historic
11	preservation aspects of it.
12	We had OP support and ANC support. That's
13	exactly the sort of housing development that the Zoning
14	Commission has supported, you know, up to this point and in
15	the Zoning Regulations coming up.
16	You know, this case was really made for it. The
17	ample, commercial parking nearby means that if somebody really
18	does need to park, there are places to do it nearby with having
19	an impact on the neighbors.
20	So, this was an easy decision even at the last
21	hearing for me. So, I'm certainly going to support it now.
22	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: All of those in favor of the
23	motion signify by saying aye.
24	(Ayes.)
25	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Okay. All opposed nay.

1	The motion carries. Mr. Moy.
2	MR. MOY: Yes, sir, staff would record the vote
3	as 4 to 0. This on the motion of Chairman Jordan to approve
4	the amended relief with the four conditions contained in the
5	TDM plan. Second the motion Mr. Peter Mays. Also in support
6	Vice Chair Heath and Mr. Hinkle. Board Member not present.
7	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Okay. And I believe we can
8	do a summary order please. Very good. Thank you very much.
9	MS. RODDY: Yes.
10	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Okay. Is the last case?
11	We only have one is this the last case?
12	MR. MOY: Yes, sir.
13	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Is that right?
14	MR. MOY: Yes, sir.
15	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Wow.
16	MR. MOY: Last two on the same property.
17	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: You guys are so efficient.
18	MR. MOY: Two different properties.
19	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Yes, we merged those two.
20	Yes, that's correct. You guys are so efficient and quick. I
21	don't know what to say.
22	All right. Do you want to call it, Mr. Moy?
23	MR. MOY: Yes, sir. Again, this would be
24	Application Numbers 18852 and 18853. Both of SB Urban, LLC.
25	One is at premises 90 Blagden Alley, Square 368, Lot 165.

1 Another at 91 Blagden Alley, N.W., Square 368, Lot 164. 2 I would hope that perhaps at this point maybe the Applicant can go over once again the relief that's being 3 Because I'm unclear at least from the staff side 4 requested. 5 whether or not loading requirements is part of the requested relief or not. 6 7 CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Okay. Change my notes 8 here. Mr. Chairman, just to remind you that 9 MR. MOY: 10 there have been --CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Yes. 11 Yes. 12 MR. MOY: Okay. You got it. CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Well, there is -- yes, 13 14 there is a motion for party status and a request for party status and I've looked at those documents and that's going to 15 16 be denied. 17 We've already been through a hearing in this matter that's way out of time. We've already taken tons of 18 testimony and we're just here going back on the supplemental 19 information which was transportation demand study and well, 20 the overall analysis of how TDMs have been operating within 21 the general area and some other little questions the Board 22 23 might want to go over. But, we've had a full hearing with 24 witnesses and et cetera and it's just really, really way out

of time to grant party status.

1	So, that's going to be the ruling unless the Board
2	wants to change that. Okay.
3	And that's where so, there won't be an
4	additional party status in this matter.
5	So, Mr. Moy, you asked that the Applicant
6	MR. MOY: At this point perhaps to go over once
7	again the relief that's being requested.
8	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Relief has not changed.
9	Has it?
10	MR. KADLECEK: No, the relief has not changed.
11	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: So, it would be go ahead
12	so we can make sure that Mr. Moy's records are clear for both
13	M Street and 9th Street properties.
14	MR. KADLECEK: Okay. I'll just summarize for
15	you. A variance from the side
16	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Could you identify
17	yourself though?
18	MR. KADLECEK: I'm sorry. Cary Kadlecek from
19	the law firm of Goldstein & Storrs on behalf of the Applicant.
20	For the 9th Street building which is 91 Blagden
21	Alley, the relief requested is a variance from Section 775.5
22	the side yard requirement, a variance from Section 2101.1 the
23	parking requirement and a special exception under Section
24	411.11 for a roof structure.
25	And then for the M Street building which is 90

1	Blagden Alley, a variance from Section 776.3 court width
2	requirement, a variance from Section 2604.2 lot occupancy and
3	a special exception under Section 2120.6 for parking for a
4	historic resource and oh, sorry, Section 411.11 special
5	exception for roof structure relief.
6	Again, that's not changed since the initial
7	application.
8	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Mr. Moy, is that sufficient
9	for you?
10	MR. MOY: Thank you very much, sir.
11	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Okay. Board, any
12	additional questions for this Applicant on any of the
13	submissions, the subsequent submissions?
14	I appreciate you submitting the transportation
15	study of the area. I was concerned. Just one property was
16	D.C. I think. Is what Square 52? Is that what it is?
17	MS. MILANOVICH: Square 54. Jami Milanovich
18	with Wells & Associates.
19	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: It's helpful, but I still
20	I guess I don't know when the District's going to get theirs
21	so we can really get down to an independent kind of review of
22	these TDMs. But, thank you for that. I appreciate it.
23	And seeing that at least what your report is
24	showing that there's been some success with TDMs. Yes.
25	MS. MILANOVICH: That's correct.

1 CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: In fact, some of those look like it was well above what the intent was. The targeted goal. 2 3 MS. MILANOVICH: Correct and if I may, the sites that were not in Washington, D.C. I thought were important to 4 include simply because they are further from Metro and when 5 6 you're in D.C., it's sort of hard to tell what the impact is 7 associated with the fact that you happen to be by a Metro Station versus what impact you're getting from the TDM program 8 itself. 9 And so, we felt that those sites that were in 10 11 Northern Virginia that were further from Metro Station did a 12 good job of getting down to what the impact of the TDM program itself was. 13 CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Yes, that's a good point. 14 Yes, because Square 54 sits on top of Metro almost. 15 16 MS. MILANOVICH: Correct. 17 CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Which is little different. 18 Yes. Okay. Okay. Board, any additional questions? Something we 19 need to drill down on with this matter? 20 21 if there's not any additional questions, can we drill down -- I don't know if we did this 22 23 last time. These TDM. Went over the TDMs. Did we do that? MR. KADLECEK: 24 We didn't get that deep into them. 25 No.

I think -- yes, because I

think everybody has submitted a TDM measure for this project 2 3 that can submit one. Right. 4 MR. KADLECEK: 5 CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: We've been trying to consolidate them and put them altogether and there's -- I mean 6 everyone submitted TDMs, but I think we're down to these and 7 let me go over those. 8 And certainly, Board, jump in and out at some 9 10 point. The first being prior to the issuance of a 11 12 certificate of occupancy for the building, the applicant shall (a)(1) Record an easement with the recorder of deeds for the 13 14 91 Blagden Alley, N.W. that will preserve the six-foot side yard along the alley for pedestrians and prevent future 15 16 development in the area. And (b) Pay the cost of installing a new Capital 17 Bike Share station with 27 docks, 14 bikes and one year of its 18 operating expenses within a quarter of a mile of the project 19 site to be determined by DDOT. 20 (c) Record with the recorder of deeds a covenant 21 prohibiting the property from having RPP and temporary or 22 23 visitor parking passes until such time as the property provides parking as required by the Zoning Regulations. 24 25 I think we really did go over these. Two, the

CHAIRPERSON JORDAN:

Applicant shall implement a transportation demand plan that includes (a) a designated member of the property management traffic coordinator -- transportation be coordinator who will responsible management for disseminating the information and that person, while we don't have any jurisdiction over that, can do other duties. doesn't have to be a -- someone that's solely hired for that purpose. Notifying residents that they not

- eligible for RPP.
- (c) Include a provision in all the leases or condo declarations that the residents are not eligible for RPP and that they are prohibited from applying for or obtaining any short term temporary or visitors parking passes and that a violation of this prohibition shall terminate the lease unless cured within ten days. The Applicant no less semi-annually verifies the tenant/resident's compliance of the prohibition working with Department of Transportation and Department of Motor Vehicles to insure the restrictions are enforced.
- (c) Provide information or links to the following programs and services on the property management site and that list that you had, you know, Roman Numeral I to X.
- (d) Provide two electronic displays, one in each building, in common shared space of the project to provide a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	real-time availability.
2	Did we talk about the apps? I thought that we
3	do we have an app? Didn't we have an app. Well
4	MR. KADLECEK: We didn't propose anything about
5	an app.
6	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Okay. Usually apps
7	anyway, I think this is DDOT wanted these particular screens.
8	Didn't they probably? I think so. I think the app's probably
9	better, but well, let's leave it like it is
10	(e) Provide covered, convenient and secure bike
11	parking facilities inside the project for at least 42 bicycles.
12	(a) Have a bicycle repair facility. (b) For the life of the
13	project, provide all new residents Capital Bike Share
14	membership for a term of one year. (c)
15	MR. KADLECEK: Actually, I'm sorry. DDOT had
16	requested that we provide that in perpetuity which we had
17	agreed to.
18	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Oh, for everybody to have
19	for the life of the project for all new residents, Capital
20	Bike Share was period. For all initial leases.
21	MR. KADLECEK: Yes, for the initial leases.
22	Correct.
23	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Okay. We should be clear
24	then. Okay. For life for as long as they're tenants or
25	residents. For the

1	MR. KADLECEK: Yes, I think
2	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: For the term of their
3	residence.
4	MR. KADLECEK: For the term of their lease. So,
5	if they have a 12-month lease, they get a Capital Bike Share
6	membership for those 12 months.
7	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Okay. And ongoing.
8	Okay. All right.
9	(c) Provide at least ten shared bicycle helmets
10	for use by the residents. Who cleans these out?
11	(d) For the life of the project, provide all new
12	residents carshare memberships. For the initial term or is
13	that throughout the term?
14	MR. KADLECEK: It's the same thing as the bike
15	share.
16	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Okay. So, for the term of
17	their lease.
18	MR. KADLECEK: Right.
19	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: For the term of their
20	lease.
21	(e) Hosts an annual bicycle training event
22	conducted by the Washington Area Bicycle Association of
23	similar organization for the residents.
24	I told you there's been a lot of TDMs in this.
25	(3) The Applicants implement a loading and

delivery management plan that includes (a) a member of the property management team designated as the loading coordinator and then all the other stuff that you had with those responsibilities. (b) In the leases and rules of the property will provide that the tenants who are -- the tenants who need temporary parking for more than a brief arrival in the parking and unloading zone shall be required to notify, I guess this must be the management, at least three weeks in advance, the loading coordinator before moving in and out so that the loading coordinator can assist in establishing curbside loading consistent with the DDOT policies.

I think that's where you're going to go get the placards and they have to pay for that. Okay.

delivery room accessible for delivery vendors directly off of 9th Street. The property management team shall direct all private carriers to park in the provided loading spaces on 9th Street and observe signs which Applicants shall post and maintain on or near the building entrance at the alley that states: No delivery parking -- no delivery parking. Delivery parking only in loading spaces provided on 9th Street. Deliveries may be left at the package delivery room.

That's a sign? That's what I always do when I try to park in D.C. I have to read about 50 signs. Say which one is that? Does that cut that one out? Does that go with

1	that one?
2	The final location of and language of the sign
3	shall be subject to DDOT's approval.
4	Okay. I think just two more.
5	All trash pick up shall occur from M Street. No
6	trash containers shall be kept outside of the building. The
7	trash haulers shall be required to bring the trash containers
8	outside when they arrive for pick up and the trash haulers shall
9	return the trash containers back inside.
10	(5) The Applicant is to have flexibility to
11	modify the design of the building to address any comments and
12	concerns from HPRB or the Historic Preservation Office staff
13	so long as such modifications do not require additional relief
14	from this Board.
15	Got all those? Did I get them all, everybody?
16	Did I get them all?
17	MR. KADLECEK: Yes.
18	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Okay. Any questions on
19	that anybody? Board? Applicant?
20	Okay. Mr. Jesick. Let's go to the Office of
21	Planning. Anything in addition that we might have missed, Mr.
22	Jesick?
23	MR. JESICK: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, Members of
24	the Board.
25	And Office of Planning has nothing to add to our

2 I did want to point out it was pointed out to me earlier there was an error in my report. I referred to the 3 existing garage as a landmark. It's not a landmark. 4 It is a contributing building to the historic district. 5 6 CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Okay. Thank you. We've already been through this route. 7 So, anybody else from ANC-2F. We do have the letter of support. 8 Both the closed vote and the extended vote on both parts, but 9 the ANC did vote particularly in regards to parking by the hair 10 of the chinny chin chin. But, we do have a vote of support. 11 And we had several letters and we've also had 12 previous testimony for people who are in opposition on this 13 14 case. I mean we had -- we already had testimony from 15 16 people in support and people in opposition on this previously. I think we had Ms. Nelson as a BB, Ms. Showers, Ms. Lapinsey 17 spoke in opposition and others. So, we already asked for that. 18 We've already covered that. 19 And then there was a few people who spoke in 20 21 So, yes, I'm looking at my notes now. We did already 22 have that. So, turning back to the Applicant, is there 23 24 anything you think we need to hear? 25 MR. KADLECEK: We have nothing additional.

Rests on the record.

1

previous testimony.

1 CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Board, anything in addition that you need to hear from anyone? 2 MEMBER HINKLE: Yes, I just want to make a 3 statement, Mr. Chair. 4 I think we have a number of people here who have 5 serious interest in this project and I appreciate them coming 6 out and, you know, fighting the bad weather and everything to 7 be here. 8 And, you know, I understand your position and 9 that this is a continued hearing and we already had that public 10 testimony, but I just want to say that, you know, I tend to 11 12 oppose that decision. CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: No, that's okay. We'll do 13 14 I mean that's why I asked if there was any issue it this way. and then we wouldn't do it. 15 16 MEMBER HINKLE: Right. CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: So, then lets do this. 17 Anyone wishing to speak in support of the project? 18 Anyone wishing to speak in support? Yes, please come. 19 And I'm going to limit the amount of time because 20 we've already done this before. So, I'm just going to try and 21 accommodate people coming through and battling the snow 22 23 weather. MS. CORT: Mr. Chairman, I just want to say I've 24 25 spoken already in support of the project.

1	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Right.
2	MS. CORT: So, I'm Cheryl Cort with the Coalition
3	for Smarter Growth.
4	I just want to reiterate our enthusiasm for this
5	project. Demonstrating there's no fixed amount of parking
6	specific to housing close to downtown where most people walk
7	to more. About 37 percent of the households walk to work.
8	And we're also very excited about the 11
9	inclusionary zoning units in it. So, not only is this a
10	contribution to market-rate housing and also actually it is
11	both moderate and low income. It goes down to 50 percent AMI.
12	So, overall, we think this is a tremendous win
13	for the city. Thank you.
14	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Thank you. Appreciate
15	that. Any questions, anyone?
16	Okay. Anybody else wishing to speak in support?
17	Anyone wishing to speak in opposition? Please
18	come forward and you're limited to three minutes to speak in
19	opposition.
20	Give those to the Board Secretary please. Mr.
21	Moy.
22	If you've already spoken, then we're not going
23	to do it again. We did. We already let someone in support
24	speak. So, let's go on. Let's just get it. Yes.
25	See we've already been through this process and

1	I'm just extending the courtesy to folks braving through the
2	well, it's not Boston. So, we're lucky on that regard.
3	But, still coming out and it's always important to get
4	citizens' input to where we are on this matter.
5	So, please. You have three minutes each to speak
6	and would you please identify yourself.
7	MR. MICHALOV: Good morning, Mr. Chairman. My
8	name is Sergei Michalov.
9	We understand that we have the right to make
10	several formal requests to the Board. So, to this end, I've
11	included I've given a letter to the secretary.
12	So, our first request is well, we do believe
13	that our request for party status was timely because
14	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: I'm not going to hold
15	argument on the party status. If you want to talk about for
16	or against the project, please do.
17	MR. MICHALOV: Okay. Okay. But, I'm making
18	I want to make formal request. The first one is that we
19	understand that pursuant to 31.2005 we have the right to
20	request the Board to waive your own rules and reconsider our
21	party
22	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Once again, we talked about
23	the party status. The motion was filed to waive it. I
24	overruled. I denied that request.
25	MR. MICHALOV: Okay. We also request that the

1 Board reopen the record and allow us to submit additional We've spent about two weeks working on rebuttals 2 information. transportation studies that the Applicant 3 We have a 23-page report which we think is just 4 submitted. 5 as good, you know, as far as our own facts and we rebut pretty much everything that they claim as far as their TDM. 6 7 believe that the record will So, we be fundamentally enhanced if you allow us to submit those 8 documents for the record and we have several others. 9 Also, considering the contested nature of this 10 11 case and in order to make the administrative record complete and amendable to judicial review, we request that the Board 12 provide a finding of fact and conclusion of law in your order. 13 This is pursuant to Parsons v. D.C. Board of Zoning. 14 Which is a relatively recent case and the petitioner there basically 15 16 -- also, compelled the Board to provide finding of facts and conclusions of law which we feel would be very helpful here 17 especially on the request for special exemption. 18 We fundamentally feel that there's no reason --19 CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: All our orders come out 20 21 with a finding of fact and conclusions of law. I know, but generally, you waive 22 MR. MICHALOV: 23 that requirement. CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Sometimes. We will not do 24

that in this case.

1 MR. MICHALOV: Okay. Great. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: We generally don't do it 2 where there's been opposition or there's been party status and 3 there's been opposition or there's issues such as this. 4 5 MR. MICHALOV: All right. Very briefly, if I 6 may also provide this for the record. CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Just slow down. Take your 7 8 time and get --I will. 9 MR. MICHALOV: Sorry. You know, that's why we 10 CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: want you to give these things to the Board Secretary to handle 11 the distribution of these things and I know this is new to --12 you know, you don't do -- you folks don't do this all the time. 13 So. 14 All right. So, if you can refer 15 MR. MICHALOV: 16 now to summary. You want to give some to the party as well. 17 The Applicant. So, the first section is in regards to special 18 This Applicant has already been before the Board 19 exemption. for BZA Hearing 18744 which is the 1115 Dupont Circle. 20 It's 21 interesting to point out that the arguments from the Applicant and the Office of Planning. They're essentially copy and 22 23 paste arguments. The fundamental difference though is that in the 24 25 1115 Dupont Circle that was a legitimate landmark and it was

a mansion.

In this case, we have a picture on the second page. It's a garage. We are very disappointed in the Office of Planning. If you look and page through our summary, you can see a copy and paste sentence that's used in both of the reports. So much so that they even use the word historic landmark on page 5 of their report.

That just proves to us that there was no consideration whatsoever made in the OP report because OP -- it's legitimate to expect the OP to not misuse the word landmark. They did.

And because of that, the whole argument of them being eligible for a special exemption falls fat. Because whereas there may have been additional challenges to comply with providing parking in the case of the historic Dupont Circle mansion, we really challenge the Applicant to prove this and we really request the Board to look very strongly and scrutinize every single claim.

On page 3 of our summary, two things. First of all, the garage only takes up a tiny, tiny -- well, basically, at the most 25 percent of the lot. The remainder of the lot is vacant.

There is no reason or thus far, they have not proven of there being any reason or why they cannot provide parking there.

1 In fact, they admit they can build a code compliant parking garage --2 CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: You have four -- I'm going 3 4 to give you one minute to wrap up. 5 MR. MICHALOV: Okay. They admit that they can build a code-compliant parking garage unlike the BZA Case 18638 6 when there was an issue of grade and they could not build a 7 8 code-compliant garage. Furthermore, there's no reason. 9 We have a Other builders do, you know, much more 10 picture here. complicated underpinnings. They can move a house. 11 12 So, to claim here that this garage cannot -there's a danger to this garage in terms of providing parking 13 14 is frankly absurd and actually insulting. So, we urge the Board to deny the special exemption. 15 16 Page 4, parking variance, please scrutinize the claims of exceptional situation. There is simply none. 17 They list a bunch of things and they declare that there is a 18 19 combination of factors. Those are all positive characteristics. There's no combination to the point where 20 there's an exceptional situation. 21 Frankly, there is also no practical difficulty. 22 23 In conclusion, ZA confirmed that car elevators 24 can be used if you want to increase efficiency of a garage. They can simply use a car elevator to not have to build those 25

1	internal ramps.
2	Office of Planning refused to consider a car
3	elevator as a way to increase the efficiency of the garage
4	because they thought that car elevators in themselves will
5	require a variance.
6	We have a confirmation from the Office of Zoning
7	Administrator that car elevators are allowed to be used without
8	seeking a variance.
9	So, why can't they put a car elevator in there?
10	If they put that, the width of 60 feet or so is plenty sufficient
11	to build two levels of a garage, you know, without the ramps.
12	Everybody is disappointed that you have
13	professional architects
14	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: All right. I'm going to
15	ask you to stop now.
16	MR. MICHALOV: Okay. Thanks.
17	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: And I granted you a lot more
18	time than way over the three minutes. Just to make sure
19	
20	MR. MICHALOV: I appreciate that.
21	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: All right. Next person
22	please.
23	MR. AIT-GHEZALA: Good morning, everybody. My
24	name is
25	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Let me do one thing because

1	I although you're not a party and cannot necessarily make
2	a motion, but regarding 3129 in which you made a request to
3	open the record, it's not the proper provision for that.
4	That's for modification of plans. But, I just wanted to make
5	that on the record. I was remembering when you were talking.
6	Next please.
7	MR. AIT-GHEZALA: Good morning, everybody. My
8	name is Ahmed Ait-Ghezala and I actually live right next door
9	to the proposed development and to be honest, I the reason
10	I haven't been involved previously is because I'll have to
11	plead like lack of judgment and I didn't know about any previous
12	hearing.
13	I actually met with the manager Brook Katzen last
14	May so he could take a look at my property.
15	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: You didn't see any signage
16	on the property?
17	MR. AIT-GHEZALA: Sir, I left town for a couple
18	of weeks the end of November and when I came back, I saw a small
19	orange sign on the property saying there was a December 2nd
20	hearing. So, I just missed it.
21	And again, it's interesting. I did meet with the
22	manager Brook Katzen in the middle of last year and was never
23	told about any hearing. That's fine.
24	Sir, I just ask you for a bit more time perhaps
25	because I haven't had a chance to think about anything.

1 But, so, we strongly believe that the parking 2 relief will cause substantial detriment to the public good. I'm going to summarize a few points here that we put together 3 after your 36-page report which rebuts every single argument 4 that Wells & Associates makes and also the DDOT which is based 5 on the Wells & Associates report. 6 So, the Applicant is basically trying to convince 7 all parties that the tenants will have no cars. They tell us 8 that they'll use car sharing services like Car To Go, Hertz 9 and Zipcar. 10 11 We strongly believe that relying on these private 12 resources, okay, and outsourcing their parking needs to private companies is a big mistake. 13 So, for example, in their report from last year, 14 they cite 12 Zipcar spots two blocks away from the property. 15 16 Since then, that entire lot has been taken over by another development. The Zipcars have gone. 17 They cite Car To Go as another option for tenants. 18 Car To Go is essentially a way to mimic car ownership because 19 20 Car To Go can park anywhere in the city even in permit parking. 21 They tell us that tenants will use nearby garages and parking lots. The only convenient one was two blocks away 22 23 if you look at their analysis and that one is again gone because a new development is started there. So, that lot held 24 25 approximately 100 cars and now, that's gone.

1 By the way, that block is two blocks away from They're building permits with parking. 2 this property. 3 They tell us that the tenants will use public transit because the site has great walking transit and 4 5 bicycles. So, we looked. We actually did an analysis and called nine different buildings in the D.C. area. 6 Many of them Along the 14th Street corridor. So, they all have 7 comparable transit, walk and bike scores. All of them and 8 every single one of them provides parking. We found that the 9 average utilization of parking was above 70 percent in these 10 11 properties. 12 The only exception was one which I think was in the 30s and the only reason is because it was so new, but it 13 14 also has 43 percent occupancy at that moment. One of them actually, the Colonial D.C., is being 15 16 constructed 100 feet away from the this property and construction hasn't been completed. So, they're actually on 17 the same block and they're going to provide 0.37 parking spots 18 per rental unit. 19 are all in our 20 So, these analysis. So, basically, good transit options, their entire argument, just 21 22 don't imply zero ownership. 23 So, their target tenant is somebody like me. 24 kind of young and yes, I use a bike. Right. I use -- 95 percent of my time around the city is with a bike, but I still 25

1 have a car. Okay, sir. CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Thank you very much. 2 MR. AIT-GHEZALA: Just two more minutes please. 3 CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: No. No few more minutes. 4 5 I'll give you another -- I'll give you one minute. 6 MR. AIT-GHEZALA: Okav. One minute. TDM strategies case studies that they provide actually every 7 single one of them shows a need for parking. 8 In the Square 54 D.C. which was the only D.C. case 9 study as you said, Chairman Jordan, is actually -- has an 10 11 excellent TDM strategy by Boston Properties and you can look at documentation and yet when we called them, they have 73 12 percent utilization of their parking and it provides 0.37 spots 13 14 for every rental unit. Every other -- the only other TDM case study that 15 16 they showed, they actually showed account difference between the -- actually showed an effective TDM because the other ones 17 were just, you know, surveys, et cetera. Showed the TDM has 18 a stagnating effect. 19 So, the other one that had any numbers, there are 20 two that had numbers, but one of them had an increase in 21 deduction from the ITE calculations. In the first year, it 22 23 had 31 percent, but then it fell to 30 percent in the third 24 year.

Finally, they didn't do any parking demand study.

1 So, we did our own study using ITE data and we found they needed Sixty-three were required by Zoning. 2 70 spots. So, just to sum up, to sum up, there is no going 3 back from this. Okay. If we agree to zero parking when the 4 5 Zoning requires 63, we can't go back five years down the line 6 and say oh, we made a big mistake. So, just to paint a picture, 63 spots is 150 7 percent of all the public spots between 9th and 10th Street 8 on both sides. Ninth and 10th Street on M Street has 44 spots. 9 I got to call you. 10 CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: 11 MR. AIT-GHEZALA: Okay. 12 CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: All right. Thank you. MR. AIT-GHEZALA: 13 Thank you. 14 CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Appreciate it. MR. AIT-GHEZALA: Yes, thank you. 15 16 MS. SCHAUER: Good morning. My name is Barbara 17 Schauer. I'm one of the people who requested party status. 18 So, in addition to the ten of us who requested party status 19 in a timely way, I believe, on December 30th, there are an 20 additional 142 residents of Squares 368 and 369 who are also 21 in very strong opposition. 22 23 The Coalition for Smart Growth came up with a list 24 of 40 people. Not a single one even has a zip code that is 25 in this area. So, these are people who don't have any stake

1 in the game here. 2 We live in Squares 369 and 368. We do. Also, we have prepared three documents. 3 a response to the amended ANC-2F letter. One is a response 4 to the D.C. Office of Planning memo and one is a response to 5 6 the DDOT report and both Wells & Associates reports and we would 7 like to have these read into -- put into the record officially so that the Board can see what the opposition thinks of this. 8 We spent many, many hours working on these. 9 We've analyzed them. I'm an engineer. We've looked at all 10 11 of the traffic studies and we believe that these would be helpful for the Board in making a decision on this very 12 important project. 13 14 There is no going back. This alley could be 15 destroyed in terms of history. Our neighborhood will be very 16 badly impacted. There's also the issue of the loading berth. 17 According to the regulations and as verified by Mr. Jesick, 18 this development required a loading berth. 19 They have assumed an exemption. They do not 20 21 qualify for an exemption. A simple reading of the regulations shows this. 22 23 They have cited one paragraph in the regulation, but they fail

to cite the paragraph that follows it that says that they are

not exempt.

24

Just because they have a small little garage on

one end of the site, doesn't mean that the protections for that 2 garage apply to another 35,000 square feet that they plan to 3 develop. 4 It's a misreading and we would like that 5 Because if they want to operate this 6 addressed formally now. building without a loading berth, they need variance and they 7 need to come back to you for one. 8 would be a terrible impact 9 All of the loading, the unloading, the deliveries 10 community. 11 for a building that operates like a hotel. All the trash 12 removal. Everything's going to happen across a public sidewalk and we don't approve of that. 13 It will have a 14 This building is enormous. tremendous utilization. 15 There will be people coming and 16 Taxis, Car To Go, all these things, bikes. All of this across a public sidewalk. 17 No loading berth even though it is required by 18 They have gone around this by assuming an 19 this building. Nobody has questioned this and they do not qualify 20 for it. 21 We mention all of these things in these reports. 22 23 These are not original reports. These are simply responses to what has been put into the record and we really respectfully 24 25 request that you allow us to put these into the record because

we think they're important. 2 CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: What document are you 3 trying to submit to the record? First let me say and I do appreciate and this 4 Board loves to have the input of citizens and especially those 5 people who live in the neighborhood. 6 But, we owe a responsibility across the board to 7 the Applicant, to the neighbors, to the community. 8 This matter's been filed since August of 2014. 9 There's been notices sent to people within 200 feet of the property. 10 11 There's been postings and et cetera on this particular matter. We've already had a hearing where there were other people 12 who've also testified in opposition. 13 So, hearing folks say that they didn't get notice 14 and didn't know, we can do that all day long because it also 15 16 takes an affirmative act to do it. So, we've already had a really heavy hearing 17 here, but I gave you the opportunity because we really want 18 to have that input from you. We really want to have that input 19 from you which we take very, very seriously because the Board 20 has not made up its mind. 21 MS. SCHAUER: With all due respect, we have to 22 23 many ANC meetings. 24 CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Excuse me. Excuse me. 25 MS. SCHAUER: Yes, I'm sorry.

1 CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: I've been courteous to you. I would expect for you to be courteous to me. That's all I'm 2 asking and so, if you let me finish, then I will get to where 3 you need me to be. 4 5 But, I want to let you know that I'm making an 6 exception to accept these documents into the record, but you have a responsibility as citizens to also step up and do the 7 things upfront. 8 This Board spends a lot, a lot of time before we 9 We spend at least -- I know I spend at least 12 hours 10 get here. 11 I don't know about some others. We read these 12 We go through every documents. We do all of that and we've done it actually in this case twice. So, that's a lot 13 14 of time. So, and a courtesy, I certainly want to give you 15 16 the opportunity and thank you, Mr. Hinkle. You're absolutely right to allow those who came for their first time here to have 17 -- who came this time to speak especially through this weather 18 because we need your input. 19 So, we will accept your documents in the record. 20 I need to know what they. Would you identify what they were 21 and make sure that Mr. Moy has them before we move on. 22 23 MS. SCHAUER: May I --24 CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Yes, please. I think we 25 accepted -- well, I know some other things were passed out

1	already for the record. Right?
2	No testimony or comment about a document that you
3	wish to submit. Yes. Um-hum.
4	MR. AIT-GHEZALA: All I want to say is that we're
5	actually not opposed to the development. It's really
6	important that you understand that. We
7	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: So, you're not talking
8	about the document you submitted. You're giving me testimony.
9	I said not testimony.
10	MR. AIT-GHEZALA: Oh. Oh. I'm sorry. I
11	guess
12	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: But, if you want to tell me
13	something about a document that you want to submit.
14	MR. AIT-GHEZALA: Oh. Oh. I'm sorry. Okay.
15	Okay.
16	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: All right. I understand.
17	It's new to every I know if you're not down here regularly,
18	I understand this can be really
19	MR. MICHALOV: Mr. Chairman.
20	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Sometimes I'm sitting here
21	going what's the rules? How do you do this?
22	MR. MICHALOV: Mr. Chairman.
23	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Yes. Um-hum.
24	MR. MICHALOV: May I also address I agree with
25	you. I told my colleagues that they will the Chairman will

1	say well, you guys had ample notice. We had
2	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: We're not going to we're
3	not debating that please.
4	MR. MICHALOV: Oh.
5	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Okay. If there are some
6	documents you want to submit that the Board should consider,
7	then I think you've already provided something to the Board.
8	Board, any questions of any of the witnesses on
9	this? Applicant, any rebuttal or excuse me, cross examination
10	of any of the witnesses?
11	MR. KADLECEK: Just a couple of questions. Mr.
12	Michalov, is that correct? Sorry. Michalov.
13	MR. MICHALOV: It's Michalov.
14	MR. KADLECEK: I'm sorry. Is it correct your
15	address is 1235 4th Street, N.W.?
16	MR. MICHALOV: Yes.
17	MR. KADLECEK: And is it correct that in BZA Case
18	Number 18483 is that correct that you got a 100 percent
19	parking variance for your building?
20	MR. MICHALOV: Exactly. I'll address that.
21	MR. KADLECEK: Oh, that's all I have. Thank
22	you.
23	MR. MICHALOV: May I explain?
24	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Well, you asked a question.
25	So, I want him to have the ability in a short fashion to give

1	a full and complete answer please.
2	MR. MICHALOV: Yes. Yes, I recently finished
3	building a house at 1235 4th Street, N.W. It's in Mount Vernon
4	Square Historic District.
5	The lot is landlocked. I don't have access to
6	the alley.
7	I was building a flat. Because of the separate
8	basement, I was required to provide one parking space. I was
9	clearly stated by Historic that I will never get a curb cut
10	because as a matter of policy they don't give those out because
11	that would take away one parking space to the benefit of a
12	private party.
13	So, they told me, you know, I had a
14	matter-of-right. I could build a flat and I did. I wanted
15	to provide parking and I would have if I had alley access.
16	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: But, I think the question
17	was did you so, are you saying you got a waiver of parking
18	requirement?
19	MR. MICHALOV: No. I got a variance from
20	providing one required parking space for my house. Yes.
21	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: I think that was the basis
22	of what his what he was trying to get at. The question.
23	MR. MICHALOV: Correct.
24	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: But, you have to let the
25	witness, Mr. Kadlecek, answer completely. You know, once you

1	open the door for
2	MR. MICHALOV: Unlike the Applicant, I didn't
3	not have area
4	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: No. No.
5	MR. MICHALOV: size of my lot.
6	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Yes. Once you open the
7	door with a question, you got to let him answer fully and
8	completely. Not just when you hear the part you want to hear
9	and cut him off. Okay.
10	Any other additional cross examination?
11	MR. KADLECEK: No, but I do have a couple of
12	rebuttal.
13	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Let me check with the
14	Board. Board, any additional questions for these witnesses?
15	COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes, I have questions of the
16	witnesses.
17	So, if I understand correctly, the entirety of
18	your concern here is that with this number of people moving
19	into this building that even though they are not eligible for
20	residential parking permits that they're going to wind up
21	parking on the street. Is that correct?
22	MS. SCHAUER: Yes. Many of them will.
23	COMMISSIONER MAY: You need to on a microphone.
24	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Make sure your
25	microphone's on please.
	.1

Many of them will. 1 MS. SCHAUER: Yes. We live in the city. All of us -- yes. 2 3 COMMISSIONER MAY: It's pretty straightforward question. 4 5 And are there any nonresidential parking permit In other words, anything where you could 6 blocks in your area? 7 -- where it's just free and open or is it all controlled by 8 residential parking? Well, yes. 9 MR. AIT-GHEZALA: Actually, sir, it's a very interesting location because of the convention 10 So, the entire 9th Street area --11 center. It's all commercial. 12 COMMISSIONER MAY: Right? MR. AIT-GHEZALA: Right. 13 And one side, of 14 course, of M Street is two-hour parking for nonresidents during the day and then peak hour at night is anybody can park there. 15 16 So. COMMISSIONER MAY: One side of which? 17 MR. AIT-GHEZALA: One side of M Street. 18 So, between 9th and 10th Street, half of it is only Zone 2. 19 Half of it is two-hour parking during the day and then the peak hour 20 at night is anybody can park there after a certain amount of 21 time and then 9th Street is --22 23 COMMISSIONER MAY: So, is there a reason why 24 that's not part of the residential parking permit system to 25 start with?

1	MR. AIT-GHEZALA: No. No. I think that that's
2	if you you mean why the two-hour system is not? I think
3	it's
4	COMMISSIONER MAY: Oh. No. No. I mean it's a
5	two-hour limit.
6	MR. AIT-GHEZALA: Yes, but
7	COMMISSIONER MAY: Are your zone permit holders
8	accepted there?
9	MR. AIT-GHEZALA: Yes, but no zone permit
10	people who don't have a zone parking permit can park overnight.
11	COMMISSIONER MAY: Right. Starting at what
12	time?
13	MR. AIT-GHEZALA: I don't know. Peak hours I
14	think is like 10:00. After 10:00.
15	COMMISSIONER MAY: Ten p.m.
16	MR. AIT-GHEZALA: Something like that.
17	COMMISSIONER MAY: Ten p.m. So, that's pretty
18	typical across the city.
19	MR. AIT-GHEZALA: Yes. There's no difference
20	there.
21	COMMISSIONER MAY: Probably is 10:00 p.m.
22	MR. AIT-GHEZALA: But, the 9th Street side is all
23	there's no it's just pay parking. Yes.
24	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Right. Meter parking.
25	MR. KADLECEK: Yes, exactly. Because

1	COMMISSIONER MAY: Right. All meter parking.
2	MR. AIT-GHEZALA: of the convention center
3	location. Which is actually another added thing. Right.
4	Like, you know
5	COMMISSIONER MAY: Right.
6	MR. AIT-GHEZALA: whenever there's a big
7	convention center event, that area gets flooded.
8	COMMISSIONER MAY: I'm sure.
9	MR. AIT-GHEZALA: Yes. Yes.
10	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Isn't it also limited for
11	two-hour spots?
12	MR. AIT-GHEZALA: During the day for non-zone
13	parking.
14	COMMISSIONER MAY: Well, yes, and is there is
15	any portion of the neighborhood zone parking permit only 24
16	hours?
17	MR. AIT-GHEZALA: Yes. Yes. So, 22 spots
18	between 10th and 9th. I'm talking about just the M Street
19	side. Right?
20	COMMISSIONER MAY: Right.
21	MR. AIT-GHEZALA: So, 22 spots are only Zone 2.
22	COMMISSIONER MAY: Right.
23	MR. AIT-GHEZALA: Yes. And by the way, try
24	finding parking in the evening there any yes, it's
25	impossible.

1 I understand. COMMISSIONER MAY: That's a common problem throughout the city. 2 MR. AIT-GHEZALA: And if I may just add, that 3 there are many, many ways to get around the RPP. 4 I've done 5 it myself. So, for eight months -- I know it's terrible. But, for eight months, I've gone to the local police station. 6 7 takes ten minutes. You do with a D.C. ID. You get zoning permit parking. No address. Nothing. Just D.C. ID and you 8 get two-week permit. I've done it for eight months in a row. 9 Every two weeks I go. I spend five/ten minutes. 10 11 CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: That's something. I know we haven't had any discussion about the D.C. Police's ability 12 to give those things. But, okay. 13 14 MR. AIT-GHEZALA: And then -- and the whole argument about putting it in the leases, you know, completely 15 16 unenforceable. It's just not enforceable. Even -- you know, it's impossible to believe that 17 the main entrance to this building is on M Street. It's 18 impossible -- absolutely impossible to believe. 19 They're making fun of us if they're saying that mail delivered to M 20 21 Street, 917 M Street, is not going to be delivered to this building. Of course, it's going to be delivered and you take 22 23 that and you get an RPP and there's absolutely no way -- any way to check RPP is basically using our tax money --24 25 CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Well, part of -- well, part

	77
1	of
2	MR. AIT-GHEZALA: our tax money to sorry.
3	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: So, part of this is that
4	we've made these things a condition of their occupancy.
5	MR. AIT-GHEZALA: Yes.
6	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: And so, the enforcement
7	actually does come from the neighbors to report that to
8	MR. AIT-GHEZALA: But, that's a cost. Right?
9	COMMISSIONER MAY: But, also, I mean it
10	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: That's not a cost.
11	MR. AIT-GHEZALA: No. I mean it's a cost in
12	terms of time. It's costing
13	COMMISSIONER MAY: The database by which they
14	issue permits is based at the legal address of the building.
15	It's not based on where the mail goes.
16	MR. AIT-GHEZALA: Oh. No. No. What I'm
17	saying to you is that when I go to get our RPP
18	COMMISSIONER MAY: Right.
19	MR. AIT-GHEZALA: I have to show them a bill
20	that says show me your address.
21	COMMISSIONER MAY: No, when you
22	MR. AIT-GHEZALA: Right.
23	COMMISSIONER MAY: when you go to get an RPP
24	permit from DMV?
25	MR. AIT-GHEZALA: Yes. Yes. Yes, I have to

1	show them like show me your address.
2	COMMISSIONER MAY: Your block where your legal
3	address is has to be in the database.
4	MR. AIT-GHEZALA: Yes, but 917 M Street is in the
5	database.
6	COMMISSIONER MAY: In a block where the legal
7	address of the building is. They've told us that the legal
8	address of the building is going to be the alley and the alley
9	block will not be in an RPP.
10	MR. MICHALOV: Well, I guess what we're saying
11	is if I to prove my residency, I can, for example, give
12	my cell phone bill and my
13	COMMISSIONER MAY: It's not a matter of proving
14	residency. It's a matter of proving that you live on a block
15	that is eligible for residential parking.
16	MR. AIT-GHEZALA: Exactly. So, how do you prove
17	that? By saying that my address my
18	COMMISSIONER MAY: There's a database.
19	MR. AIT-GHEZALA: Okay. So
20	COMMISSIONER MAY: That DDOT compiles and DMV
21	uses. Department of Motor Vehicles uses in order to issue
22	those permits.
23	MR. AIT-GHEZALA: Okay. So
24	COMMISSIONER MAY: We know this because we've
25	gotten extensive training on parking

1	MR. AIT-GHEZALA: Okay.
2	COMMISSIONER MAY: because of cases like
3	this. So, we understand how the system works. There's a
4	database.
5	MR. AIT-GHEZALA: Okay.
6	COMMISSIONER MAY: If the building's address is
7	not in the database, then you will not be able to get a
8	residential parking permit.
9	MR. AIT-GHEZALA: So, answer or not. I mean
10	aside from that like I said there are ways to get around RPP.
11	Car To Go, you know, it's like
12	COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes, I understand.
13	MR. AIT-GHEZALA: I'm just saying. The other
14	thing is that there's no way to enforce this long-term
15	covenance. You know, if this building changes hands five
16	years down the line or, for example, they change the way they
17	run the building, change the lease terms, you know, who says
18	how this is going to change. Again, this is not something we
19	can go back on. Right. And it's very expensive to me or
20	the neighbors to go
21	COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay. You've answered my
22	questions. Now, you're just testifying.
23	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: You know, I was going to say
24	because I was going to have the because a Board Member
25	asked a question, I was going to say though we really started

1 getting a whole debate here that's probably well beyond this 2 room. But, parking's a serious issue in the District 3 and it's like a no win for anybody, but we try to do our best 4 5 here to manage it if we can. As best we can to mitigate 6 whatever impact we can as well as weigh the balancing of what's required under the law and et cetera. 7 So, it's -- I don't think we're going to have a 8 solution for that conversation about what's good for parking 9 and bad for parking. Those in a higher pay grade than me for 10 sure. Okay. Anyway, higher pay grades than me for sure have 11 12 had -- are debating that. Are going to debate that for awhile. Additional cross examination questions, 13 14 Kadlecek? MR. KADLECEK: No questions. 15 16 CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: All right. Thank you all very, very much. We have accepted your documents in the record 17 18 for this case. Thank you. 19 Any rebuttal please. MR. KADLECEK: Just a couple of items. 20 I do want to state our objection to the admittance of that 21 material into the record. 22 It's --23 CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: You know, it's kind of 24 Because when they made the request for it, that's the late. 25 time for the objection. I've already accepted it. So, go

MR. KADLECEK: Well, I'd like to state for the 2 record that we do object to that being admitted to the record, 3 but that notwithstanding, all this information was not served 4 5 Most of it came in the last 48 hours. We haven't had on us. 6 a time to review it. We'd like the opportunity to review it 7 CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: We'll get --8 -- and thoroughly respond to it 9 MR. KADLECEK: in a written submission. 10 CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Yes, and I want to make 11 12 certain that all the documents that you submitted to us you do give it to the Applicant. Have you done that? 13 They need to have a copy of your documents. 14 Sorry. Just to say that we actually 15 MR. SCHOR: 16 tried to submit everything through -- I think you -- yes. 17 CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: All right. Let me say Thank you, Mr. Kadlecek. 18 this. 19 MR. KADLECEK: Was served on us is what I'm 20 saying. Well, you also have a 21 CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: responsibility to check the record making sure you have -- you 22 23 know, how we process here. Especially when we're dealing with 24 non-lawyers, people who are representing themselves, they may not know all of the dot the I's, cross the T's. 25 So, it's

1

ahead.

1	incumbent if I know in cases representing Applicants that I
2	check the record.
3	So, I'm going to ask you to also load those
4	documents. Mr. Moy, we're going to allow them to load the
5	documents also into ISIS online. If you have some problems,
6	you need to contact the Office of Zoning across the hall.
7	In fact, I would probably go over there before
8	you leave here. They will take you to a room. We can do it
9	here. Right? They'll show you how to load it and you can load
10	those documents while you're here. Okay.
11	MS. SCHAUER: Yes, they have them.
12	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Huh?
13	MS. SCHAUER: I sent them over on Friday.
14	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Okay.
15	MS. SCHAUER: They have everything.
16	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: I just want to make sure.
17	Well
18	MS. SCHAUER: They wouldn't load them though.
19	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Well, you go over and load
20	them. They'll show you how to load the documents is what I'm
21	saying.
22	MS. SCHAUER: All right.
23	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Mr. Kadlecek, continue on
24	your rebuttal.
25	MR. KADLECEK: I withdraw our objection to the

1	documents being submitted into the record if the Board's
2	willing to deliberate and vote today.
3	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: This is not a wait.
4	Hold. Hold. Time out.
5	Thank you, Mr. Kadlecek. Do you have any more
6	rebuttal?
7	MR. KADLECEK: We have no rebuttal other than our
8	request to be able to review the materials and provide a written
9	submission.
10	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Let me say. I try to give
11	you a second here before
12	MR. KADLECEK: Right.
13	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: You're going to make me
14	I said in 2010, I was being kinder and gentler. But, you're
15	really trying me on this.
16	It's not a debate or a discussion or a negotiation
17	with you what decisions this Board is going to make.
18	MR. KADLECEK: Fair enough.
19	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: And I think you know that.
20	MR. KADLECEK: Yes. Understood.
21	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Any more rebuttal?
22	MR. KADLECEK: No. No, further rebuttal.
23	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Thank you.
24	Board, any additional questions? Okay.
25	Yes, well, thank you all for coming down. Really

1	appreciate it.
2	We know it's this is it's not an easy thing.
3	It's not easy.
4	And so, we really appreciate you all taking the
5	time both from the Applicant, those for and against.
6	Especially going through this two-process hearing.
7	It's going to whatever decision is made, it's
8	going to affect all.
9	I'm going to ask that the Applicant submit a
10	finding of facts and conclusion of law. Proposed finding of
11	facts and conclusions law.
12	We're going to put this for a decision date to
13	give the Board enough time to go back through any additional
14	documents, to look at the proposed finding of facts and
15	conclusions of law and that we set this for a decision date
16	for? Just take your time, Mr. Moy.
17	MR. MOY: Mr. Chair, I'm looking at either to
18	allow two weeks for the finding of facts and conclusions of
19	law.
20	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Yes.
21	MR. MOY: Which would take us to a Board decision
22	on either the 17th or the 24th of February.
23	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: All right. Is that a
24	comfortable date, Mr. Moy? Our comfort. Not your comfort.
25	You know, 27 cases on a docket. No, I'm just joking.

1	Yes, so, the 17th works for Mr. May. Then that
2	would be good. Thank you.
3	And so, we need that in by what time? February
4	3rd?
5	MR. MOY: Let's say so, today is the 27th.
6	Let's allow the Applicant this is a draft finding just from
7	the Applicant, sir?
8	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Yes, that's well,
9	there's only that's the only party.
10	MR. MOY: I know. I just
11	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Or except the ANC
12	MR. MOY: I just wanted
13	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: or OP of course.
14	MR. MOY: Right. Thank you. So, let's say
15	February the let's say February let's say Friday,
16	February the 13th.
17	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Okay. So, that's the only
18	thing that can be added to this record after today. Is the
19	proposed finding of facts and conclusions of law.
20	Those other documents that we already agreed to
21	will be in the record and the Board certainly will take those
22	things into consideration prior to making a decision. Okay.
23	All right. So, everybody have
24	MR. KADLECEK: Just a
25	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Yes.

1	MR. KADLECEK: point of clarification. Did
2	you also want our rebuttal information to those documents that
3	were submitted in addition to the findings of fact? Because
4	I don't think that we can really rebut them in the finding
5	of fact in the same way we do
6	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Yes, because you have not
7	seen them.
8	MR. KADLECEK: We have not seen them. Right.
9	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Okay. Yes. So, yes, both
10	at the same time.
11	MR. KADLECEK: Okay.
12	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: All right.
13	MR. MOY: So, once again, Mr. Chairman, the
14	decision date by the Board is February the 17th.
15	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Yes.
16	MR. MOY: A Tuesday and the submissions as
17	described by Friday, February the 13th. I think that should
18	be ample time.
19	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Good. All right. Well,
20	thank you all. Appreciate it. Good.
21	All right. We just keep things happening here.
22	Oh.
23	Yes, you sure there's a hearing on the 17th?
24	What is it? Oh, it's training. We have training that day.
25	Right. So, hold it a second. Hold it a second.
l	

1	On the 17th, Mr. Moy?
2	MR. MOY: Oh, that's right. Thank you. Boy,
3	that's
4	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: All right. We're coming
5	back. We're going back. We're going back. We're going to
6	open it for changing the hearing date the decision date on
7	this.
8	MR. MOY: Then, let's we'll keep the other
9	date for the filing, but for the decision itself, it would have
10	to be a little bit later.
11	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: The 24th looks like a date
12	for oh, no, Mr. May, is that okay for you? The 24th?
13	MR. MOY: We'll deal with this first on that
14	morning.
15	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Whenever it's
16	MR. MOY: Whichever it works.
17	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Okay. So, we'll have the
18	decision date on the 24th of February. Okay. All right.
19	Good. Thank you.
20	All right. Another order of business for the
21	Board. In accordance with Section 405(c) of the Open Meetings
22	Act, District of Columbia Section 2575, I move that the Board
23	of Zoning Adjustment hold closed meetings on the Mondays of
24	February 2nd, 9th and 23rd. The meetings shall start at 4:00
25	p.m. and be held for the purpose of obtaining legal advice from

1	our counsel and deliberate on without deciding cases scheduled
2	for the docket the following day. These cases are identified
3	on the Board's public hearing agenda for February 3rd, 10th
4	and 24th.
5	Closed meeting for this purpose is permitted by
6	Section 405(b)(4) and (b)(13 of the Open Meetings Act and is
7	there a second.
8	MEMBER HINKLE: Second.
9	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Would the Secretary please
10	roll call the vote.
11	MR. MOY: Yes, sir. Thank you. When I call a
12	member's name, if you would kindly respond with a yes or a no.
13	Mr. Peter May.
14	COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes.
15	MR. MOY: Vice Chairperson Heath.
16	VICE CHAIR HEATH: Yes.
17	MR. MOY: Chairman Jordan.
18	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Yes.
19	MR. MOY: Mr. Hinkle.
20	MEMBER HINKLE: Yes.
21	MR. MOY: And we have a Board Member not present
22	today.
23	There's a unanimous roll call vote, Mr. Chairman.
24	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: All right. Seeing that
25	the vote has passed, then I ask that the Office of Zoning be

	89
1	sure to provide the proper public notice on the Office of
2	Zoning's bulletin board and have the notice published in the
3	D.C. Register. Okay. Thank you.
4	Any other business coming before the Board today?
5	MR. MOY: Not from the staff, sir.
6	CHAIRPERSON JORDAN: Anybody want to tell some
7	jokes or something? No. All right. Then we are adjourned.
8	Appreciate it. Thank you all.
9	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off
10	the record at 11:44 p.m.)
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	