GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

ZONING COMMISSION

+ + + + +

PUBLIC HEARING

))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))

Thursday, November 16, 2006

Hearing Room 220 South 441 4th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C.

The Public Hearing of case no. 06-25 by the District of Columbia Zoning Commission convened at 6:30 p.m. in the Office of Zoning Hearing Room at 441 4th Street, Northwest, Washington, D.C., CAROL J. MITTEN, Chairperson, presiding.

ZONING COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT:

CAROL J. MITTEN Chairperson
ANTHONY J. HOOD Vice Chairperson
MICHAEL TURNBULL Commissioner (AOC)

OFFICE OF ZONING STAFF PRESENT:

SHARON S. SCHELLIN Secretary
DONNA HANOUSEK Zoning Specialist

OFFICE OF PLANNING STAFF PRESENT:

JOEL LAWSON

D.C. OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL PRESENT:

ALAN BERGSTEIN, ESQ.

This transcript constitutes the minutes from the public hearing held on November 16, 2006.

I-N-D-E-X

T.I.E.M	PAGE
OFFICE OF PLANNING	6
JOEL LAWSON	
Questions by the Commission	10

1 P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S 2 (6:44 p.m.)3 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Good evening, 4 ladies and gentlemen. This is a public 5 hearing of Zoning Commission of the the 6 District of Columbia for Thursday, November 7 16. 8 My name is Carol Mitten. And 9 joining me this evening is our Vice Chairman, 10 Anthony Hood; and Commissioner Michael 11 Turnbull. 12 The subject of this evening's 13 hearing is Zoning Commission case number 14 06-25.This is a request by the Office of 15 Planning for a map and text amendment to title 16 11 of the District of Columbia municipal 17 regulations, which are the zoning ordinance, 18 and to extend the boundaries of the Capitol 19 Gateway overlay. Notice of today's hearing 20 21 published in the D.C. Register on July 21st,

2006. And copies of the hearing announcement

are available, too. They're in the wall bin by the door.

The hearing will be conducted in accordance with the provisions of 11 DCMR section 3021. And the order or procedure will be as follows: Preliminary matters followed by the presentation by the Office of Planning, reports of other government agencies, report of the affected Advisory Neighborhood Commission, organizations and persons in support, and organizations and persons opposition.

All persons appearing before the Commission are to fill out two witness cards. The cards are on the table by the door. Upon coming forward to speak to the Commission, please give both cards to the reporter, who is sitting to our right.

Please be advised that this proceeding is being recorded by the court reporter and is also being webcast live.

Accordingly, we ask you to refrain from making

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	any disruptive noises in the hearing room.
2	The decision of the Commission in
3	this case must be based on the public record.
4	And to avoid any appearance to the contrary,
5	the Commission requests that persons present
6	not engage the members of the Commission in
7	conversation during a recess or at any other
8	time.
9	I ask you to turn off all beepers
10	and cell phones at this time so as not to
11	disrupt the hearing.
12	And, Mrs. Schellin, are there any
13	preliminary matters?
14	(No response.)
15	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Then, Mr.
16	Lawson, we are ready for your presentation.
17	MR. LAWSON: Thank you, Madam
18	Chair.
19	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you.
20	MR. LAWSON: My name is Joel
21	Lawson. And I am with the D.C. Office of
22	Planning.

At your May 25th, 2006 special 1 meeting, you sat down for public hearing a 2. 3 proposal from the Office of Planning to expand the boundaries of the Capital Gateway overlay 4 5 to include those portions of the west side of 6 South Capitol Street south of M Street that 7 are now currently within the overlay area. 8 This includes portions of squares 649, 651, 9 653, and 655. 10 This initiative bluow be 11 consistent with OP, District Department of 12 Transportation, and Anacostia Waterfront 13 Corporation, and National Capital Planning 14 Commission plans to ensure the transformation 15 of South Capitol Street into a fittingly 16 monumental boulevard. 17 This proposal by OP would not 18 change the underlying zoning. So it would not 19 change the permitted uses, the permitted 20 heights, or the permitted densities currently 21 available.

it would provide

However,

Zoning Commission and, therefore, community review of any new development proposal, would prevent new driveway access from South Capitol Street, and would, where appropriate, require a 15-foot setback from the South Capitol Street property line.

All of these provisions currently exist for other properties on South Capitol Street. OP analysis is that the existing zoning height and density can comfortably be accommodated within the smaller envelope, resulting from the 15-foot setback where the setback would be applied.

Since the sit-down meeting, OP has presented the proposal to meetings of the ANC-16 in the Southwest Neighborhood Assembly, sent two mailed notices to owners and occupants in the area, including a summary of the proposal, and discussed the details of the proposal with a number of area residents and landowners.

As a result of these discussions,

OP is proposing a few minor changes to the originally advertised text amendment, specifically, number one, to amend the proposed boundary within square 655 to include only those properties fronting directly onto South Capitol Street.

Square 655 is an interesting square in that it is currently developed with rowhouses, even though the current zoning is R-5E, which allows for a high-density apartment-type development.

A number of area residents and neighborhood groups questioned our original proposal to include all of square 655. We agree that this is not necessary as including only the properties which front on South Capitol Street would achieve the desired purpose while minimizing perceived changes to the zoning.

Number two, OP is proposing language which clarifies the replacement of improvements to or normal additions to an

existing rowhouse -- these rowhouses are currently located in 653 and square 655 -- that these types of changes would not require Zoning Commission review and that minor vertical; i.e., upper story, additions to such rowhouses would also not require the 15-foot setback.

These rowhouses are generally two stories in height and appear to be constructed to the front property line along South Capitol Street. Vertical additions are currently permitted under existing zoning. OP believes that such minor additions should be permitted to extend up from the existing structure and not be required to provide the 15-foot setback.

Both DDOT and AWC have indicated support for this initiative. OP did not receive comments from any other district agency. Generally our discussions with the area residents have been regarding details of the proposal.

In fact, just today OP and DDOT met with one of the major landowners in the area to discuss a number of details, particularly how the 15-foot setback may or may not impact his property.

I don't see him in the audience tonight. He did indicate that he would like to submit some comments to the Zoning Commission. So the Zoning Commission may wish to leave the record open to receive his comments if you wish to. But our discussions with him were very interesting and very informative and I think on both sides.

continue In summary, we to recommend the proposed expansion the Capital Gateway overlay boundary for district, to include the remaining WATS and the west side of South Capitol Street, south of Μ. Such a change is consistent with established District policy as well as with planning efforts for improvements to the South Capitol Street corridor.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	That's it for me. And I am
2	available for questions. Thank you.
3	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Thank you,
4	Mr. Lawson.
5	Questions for Mr. Lawson? Mr.
6	Turnbull?
7	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Mr.
8	Lawson, I can't remember. I am trying to
9	visualize square 651, this narrow sliver. I
10	am trying to remember what's
11	MR. LAWSON: A fairly good portion
12	of square 651, if my memory is right, 2, is
13	either not developed or is very
14	under-developed. There are a couple of small
15	commercial buildings. It's not rowhouses in
16	651.
17	It's very small buildings. As you
18	can see from the plot, from the maps, they are
19	very narrow lots. They are relatively small.
20	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: I mean,
21	they are not very deep. That's
22	MR. LAWSON: They're not very

1	deep, which is one of the reasons why we're
2	not proposing that the 15-foot setback be
3	required on that square.
4	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: And then
5	right behind it by the alley, I guess, then
6	there are rowhouses right
7	MR. LAWSON: Yes. Behind the
8	alley, it's zoned, I believe, R-4, but it's
9	all low-density residential development,
10	well-established.
11	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay. So
12	fine. I just couldn't visualize that block
13	anymore. Okay. Thank you.
14	MR. LAWSON: Thanks. I should say
15	that behind it is low to moderate density
16	residential. It's certainly not all
17	low-density development.
18	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Anyone else?
19	Questions?
20	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yes. I
21	wrote a question down. If you have questions
22	

1	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: I don't.
2	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I wrote
3	something down. I can't see where I marked
4	it. Anyway
5	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Well, if you
6	have something and you can find it before we
7	conclude, we can come back to that.
8	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay.
9	Thank you.
10	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you,
11	Mr. Lawson.
12	Are you planning on testifying?
13	(No response.)
14	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. Well,
14 15	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. Well, we'll just slide right on through, then. Mr.
15	we'll just slide right on through, then. Mr.
15 16	we'll just slide right on through, then. Mr. Hood, we're back to you.
15 16 17	we'll just slide right on through, then. Mr. Hood, we're back to you. VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I know I
15 16 17 18	we'll just slide right on through, then. Mr. Hood, we're back to you. VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I know I marked it, but, anyway, that's okay. I can
15 16 17 18 19	we'll just slide right on through, then. Mr. Hood, we're back to you. VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I know I marked it, but, anyway, that's okay. I can deal with it later.

1	also. I think, in deference to the request or
2	at least the intention that an individual has
3	to submit something for the record, I think
4	we'll leave the record open for two weeks. If
5	you wouldn't mind communicating to the
6	individual?
7	SECRETARY SCHELLIN: That would be
8	until November 30th.
9	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: November
10	30th?
11	SECRETARY SCHELLIN: Yes.
12	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. So the
13	record will remain open until November 30th.
14	And then after that, we'll get that on the
15	agenda probably for the December meeting and
16	go from there.
17	So thank you, everybody, for your
18	attention. And we're adjourned.
19	(Whereupon, the foregoing matter
20	was concluded at 6:52 p.m.)
21	
22	