GOVERNMENT

OF

THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

ZONING COMMISSION

+ + + + +

PUBLIC HEARING

+ + + + +

W444444444444444444444444444444444444

IN THE MATTER OF: 5

5 Case No.

FLORIDA ROCK 5 04-14

5

2ND STAGE PUD 5

The Public Hearing of the District of Columbia Zoning Commission convened in Room 220 South, 441 4<sup>th</sup> Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., 20001, pursuant to notice at 7:30 p.m., Carol J. Mitten, Chairperson presiding.

ZONING COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT:

CAROL J. MITTEN Chairperson

ANTHONY J. HOOD Vice Chairperson JOHN PARSONS Commissioner (NPS)

OFFICE OF ZONING STAFF PRESENT:

SHARON S. SCHELLIN Secretary

DONNA HANOUSEK Zoning Specialist

OFFICE OF PLANNING STAFF PRESENT:

JOEL LAWSON TRAVIS PARKER STEVE CALLCOTT This transcript constitutes the minutes from the Public Hearing held on November 27, 2006.

AGENDA ITEM	<u>PA</u>	<u>GE</u>
<pre>WELCOME: Carol Mitten</pre>		4
CASE NO. 04-14 FLORIDA ROCK - 2ND STAGE PUD:		4
David Briggs		7 11
SPECIFICALLY REQUESTED MATERIAL:	•	63
RECORD TO CLOSE ON DECEMBER 11 <sup>TH</sup> :	•	65
ADJOURN: Carol Mitten		66

## P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

2.

7:41 p.m.

again, ladies and gentlemen. This is a second Public Hearing of the Zoning Commission of the District of Columbia for Monday, November 27, 2006 and my name is Carol Mitten and joining me this evening are Vice Chairman Anthony Hood and Commissioner John Parsons.

The subject of this hearing is Zoning Commission Case No. 04-14 and this is a continuation of a hearing that was held on Monday, September 18, 2006. This request is by Florida Rock Properties for approval of a 2<sup>nd</sup> Stage Planned Unit Development and related Map Amendment for property located at 100 Potomac Avenue, S.E., and consisting of numerous lots in Squares 707, 708, 708E and 708S.

Notice of today's hearing was published in the <u>D.C. Register</u> on July 21, 2006 and copies of that hearing announcement

are available to you and they are in the wall bin by the door. We have a very limited purpose for coming together this evening and the order of procedure will be as follows:

We will take up any preliminary matters and then we'll have the applicant's supplemental presentation and then we'll have questions from the Commission for both the applicant and the Office of Planning. So there will not be any testimony other than that this evening, unless the Commission invites an individual to come forward.

So I would like to advise everyone that this proceeding is being recorded by the Court Reporter and is also being webcast live, so we ask you to refrain from making any disruptive noises in the hearing room for that reason.

The decision of the Commission in this case must be based exclusively on the public record and to avoid any appearance to the contrary, the Commission requests that

2.

1 persons present not engage the Members of the Commission in conversation during a recess or 2. 3 at any other time and Mrs. Schellin will be 4 available throughout the hearing to answer any 5 procedural questions. I would like to ask you to turn 6 7 off all beepers and cell phones, please. 8 now, we'll take up preliminary matters and I 9 would like to ask, Mr. Briggs, we have had three submissions and I would like to know and 10 11 I would like to just consider them together. 12 We have had two submissions basically from the 13 Earth Conservation Corp. One is from Mr. Nixon and Mr. Ogleby, another is from Lilia 14 15 Hendron and then we also have a submission 16 from the Anacostia Waterfront Corporation. 17 All of these came in today. Do you have any 18 objection to them being accepted into the 19 record? 20 MR. BRIGGS: Chairman Mitten, we don't have any objection, at this time. 21

CHAIRPERSON MITTEN:

22

Very

Okay.

good. Then we'll accept those three submissions into the record and ask you to, please, proceed.

MR. BRIGGS: Thank you, Chairman Mitten and Members of the Commission. My name is, for the record, David Briggs. I'm a partner with the Law Firm of Holland and Knight. We are here to follow-up on the hearing, as you noted, of September 18<sup>th</sup> to respond to several questions and comments that came out of that hearing.

As you recognized, the Department of Transportation, for instance, only delivered the copy of its report to us that day of the hearing and it raised some concerns. The Office of Planning had only also recently submitted its report. And this was -- and plus, the Commission also had several questions they wanted us to further look in to.

And we have taken this opportunity since then to have numerous meetings with the

2.

Office of Planning, with the Department of Transportation, the Anacostia Waterfront Corporation to try to respond to the various Commission comments, questions and statements in the various reports that were submitted for that hearing.

submitted on November 17<sup>th</sup> a detailed response, which identified various We took aggressive action with matters. regard to responding to the Department of Transportation comments concerning the bike trail, concerning access when the traffic approaches to the new South Capitol Street Bridge, with regard to control of curb cuts and with regard to the Transportation Management Plan.

I believe, for the record, that a report from the Department of Transportation was submitted to this office this afternoon.

And it notes support for the actions we have taken with regard to that. With regard to the First Street amenity and concern concerning

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

the waterfront taxi dock, the water dock, which is also an issue that the Department of Transportation has raised, we have eliminated that dock and worked out our final agreement in form with the Anacostia Waterfront Corporation with regard to the utilization that this money is under First Street Amenity Program.

written document has been prepared and is tentatively approved. It is before the executive bodies of each of the two organizations, the applicant, Florida Rock, And we would be able to submit a and AWC. final approval, assuming approval by the individual boards, which is pending. proposal would be to increase the amenity for the First Street Plaza amenity to \$3,600,000 taking the \$200,000 that had been allocated to the water taxi and shifting it over to the First Street Plaza.

That agreement, a draft of which I believe was in our submittal, but is refined

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

somewhat, provides for an advisory board made up of constituent stakeholders, including the Earth Conservation, Florida Rock and others, who would have an involvement in both the design and development of a plan for the First Street amenity as well as then with regard to its ongoing maintenance and operation.

We have enhanced and provided a LEED program in our statement, which includes a program for bonding if we do not meet certain qualifications to get LEEDcertification. That is more fully set forth supplement. We have reduced the in our parking by, approximately, 23 percent through some very interesting lateral extensions of the parking, which has helped us to change the foundations and thus allow us to reduce the amount of parking for the site by 23 percent.

We have expanded and enhanced our view corridors and further added articulation to the Potomac Avenue facade. And probably most importantly and will be the period --

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1 thing that Mr. Buckley, our architect, will focus on tonight after my brief presentation 2. 3 will be the treatment of the East End of the Office Building which was 4 of 5 concern, I believe to the Office of Planning. We have worked with the Office of 6 7 Planning, with the Anacostia Waterfront 8 Corporation on this and I believe Mr. Buckley 9 has come up with a design for the East End which both sets back and modulates in height 10 11 and setback the East End of the East Building 12 providing an expanded view corridor from the East End of the proposed stadium. 13 With that, I would like to pass it 14 15 on to Mr. Buckley, at this point, to run 16 а very, very brief presentation 17 concerning that aspect of the proposal. 18 you, Madam Chairman. 19 MR. BUCKLEY: Good evening, 20 Commission Members. What I would like to do is ask you what monitor will you be looking at 21

when we do this presentation, just so I can --

1 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Which one do 2 you want us to look at? 3 MR. BUCKLEY: Well --4 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: That one is 5 the best one. MR. BUCKLEY: This one would be 6 7 the best one, because it's in the direct line 8 of view. Joining me tonight is John -- Tom 9 Striegel from my office who prepared the LEED portion of the application, if you have any 10 11 questions on that, and also Mylo Meecham. 12 With that, what I would like to do is tell you 13 what we have. We have a series of still views 14 15 that I'm going to run through. There are about five or six of them. And then following 16 that we have a minute and 15 second animation 17 18 that specifically addresses three issues: 19 relationship of the stadium to the PUD 20 project, the relationship of First Street and the termination of First Street and it's 21

relationship to the East Office Building, and

the third component is the enhancement of the pathway system that Mr. Hood requested last time.

So with that, what I would like to do is go through it if I may. As you can see on the site plan, we have made a couple of small modifications. One is we have eliminated the curb cut along the oval. And the other thing we have done is that we have made substantial modifications to the East End of the East Office Building located here.

The next slide shows essentially what those changes are. This shows you what we had previously right here and it shows you the dimension of the property line here. And this was, in fact, the elevation. Now, there are a couple of things that we did here.

One is that I took the ground floor retail, which is located here, which was originally one story and I actually brought that up to two stories. The other thing I did was I took the corner here, which is where

2.

this was here, and I curved that around. It's an entirely glass element. This is a low iron glass, so there's a high degree of visibility. It's actually clear.

The other thing we did was we -this is the profile of the building previously
and you can see how we had set it back there.
But what is important here is that if you look
down at the plan, you will see that we have
taken this wall and at this point here, we
have brought it over at an angle like that and
then brought it around with a series of
sawtooth cuts to give animation to the
elevation at this point.

And that curve is right here. So at this level here, which is approximate elevation 45, which is right here, that's actually an open space right there which you will see in the next image. This shows you, basically, an excellent metric of that and how it steps back from the lowest level here to the other successive stories in the building.

2.

The next slide shows you the kind of process we went through. Now, interestingly enough, in examining HLK's plans for the stadiums, concourse levels and various levels around the stadium, it became very clear that they had two things in mind. is that they recognize the importance of the view up the river here, which is where the major plaza development by AWC is going to occur in this care up here, which is also shown on the plan here.

And also, we have put in the improvements that DDOT is going to make to Potomac Avenue, particularly the extension of the sidewalk area around here to prevent cars from parking around there as well as over on this side. But the other thing is that it recognizes the importance of this public space as the major space going across to the plaza area, which will be located here.

Now, we recognize that there are two things that are occurring here in terms of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

the stadium. One is the recognition of the viewing platforms off of the ramps and also the viewing areas from the successive floors up above over onto the waterfront, but also to the East End of the Office Building where we have the retail and we have animated this building quite a bit.

So we have two view corridors. This and right below here and also this in this direction in terms of the center point of the steps coming down. People will come down those steps and naturally gravitate over into this area here as a place of congregation before they come across to the plaza area as well as coming across into this area where we have the retail.

The next image shows the actual platform levels of the stadium. This is the steps coming down. The elevation here is, approximately, at eye level is around 40. The actual platform level is around 45. And if you add an additional 5 feet on to that,

2.

that's your eye level. Your current vision at that point is, approximately, 27 degrees, as being typical.

And then you have these wonderful viewing platforms of the stadium here, which indicates to us that HLK recognized the importance of having those views upriver from all these platform levels here as well as to also get people focused in this general direction.

Now, the benefits for Florida Rock is that it also focuses on the East End of the building here where we have significant retail. The next image shows you the actual changes that we have made. This is the previous elevation at the East End of the building. And this is the new end of the building here.

You can see that we have increased the retail to two stories, the extent of the building, and we have also taken that low iron glass and wrapped it around the corner and

2.

eliminated this column at this point here. Now, we feel that is a very positive step. And the other thing is that at this point here, this is the point of which the building then goes back at a very substantial angle away from the perpendicular face of the retail here. So it starts to cascade away from you.

And then what we have done is we have brought it up and then stepped it back. So you can really see that in elevation, this shows you the elevation form. This is actually where the building is starting to setback with the exception of this two story platform, which really is important, because we think that retail is essential.

The next image. This is a view from the viewing ramp platforms right here out and you can see the Florida Rock project here with the retail corner, which has low iron clear glass there. The terrace level at the third level right there and that's Aristotle, just in case it's in the area.

2.

And the next image is from the Anacostia River itself. And this is a moving shot recognizing that a very substantial number of the games will be in the evening. And you can see that these are the stairs located here. These are the ramps and the concourse levels here. And this is our two story retail that comes across there. Then you can now see the very substantial cutback in relationship to the stadium right there.

The next image is a view down First Street toward the bridge. The next image is from the bridge itself to the Planned Unit Development and we think that this is really quite a wonderful way to animate the entire waterfront here with the stadium as a part of the District and you can see the stadium here and, of course, at nighttime that will be illuminated.

The next image is when you come down onto the oval. This is the view that you have of the stadium here looking up. The oval

2.

that goes over here and then up South Capitol Street. And then, what we have is an animation and the purpose of the animation is to show you the relationship of the Planned Unit Development to the stadium and also the relationship of the Planned Unit Development to First Street.

And as they come down, they will go along the project and as we go along here, you will notice that this is the East End of the building and you will start to see how significantly cut back that it at that point there in relationship to the stadium and particularly this plaza area.

Then as we come over to First Street, we look down First Street and it has a wonderful view. Then we continue along and when we come down here, we're going to come along the pathway. And the purpose of showing you the pathway is to show you how we have handled the transition from bicycle path to the pedestrian path here.

2.

And you will notice a series of things. One is that the change of the pavement and the banding of pavement, there is one of the signs right there, which you will see another one in a minute. But we changed the pattern and the paving here. We have put, I hate to call them bars, but they are like bars which have a nautical theme and then this is the type of signage that we see. That will be at both ends of the path.

The other you will notice here is that we have provided reflectors along the path here to separate the two pathways for bicycle passage. And the model that we have used for this was based on information provided to us by Gorove/Slade and they gave us several examples of how this has been handled in Europe and I think specifically in Amsterdam.

And we had a meeting with the Office of Planning where we discussed this. We presented how we thought we could handle

1	this in the best possible way. And so we came
2	up with this idea. We thought that these are
3	unique because they provide that kind of light
4	and they also give that sense of transition
5	between the pathway here and by having the
6	signage at this point then it's very clear
7	that this is a point of transition for the
8	bicycle to pedestrian path.
9	That is in summary the
10	presentation that I have in a very succinct
11	form. Thank you very much.
12	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you.
13	Anything else?
14	MR. BRIGGS: We're waiting for the
15	lights to come back on. Ms. Mitten, no, I
16	believe that concludes our presentation. I
17	believe the rest of our materials are fully
18	laid out and the responses are laid out in the
19	materials that we submitted on the 17 <sup>th</sup> of
20	November with the additional plans.
21	I might note, maybe this is the
22	best time to do it, if we could ask the record

1	to be held open just so we can get the AWC
2	agreement when it is executed into the record,
3	as well as we did note, and because of the
4	period of time between our hearing and getting
5	the submission, there were some editing issues
6	on the plans that were submitted and we need
7	to make some minor corrections if and when the
8	approval is given to ensure a good case record
9	on the plans.
10	There were some minor edits on
11	heights and things like this that are
12	inconsequential.
13	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: How long
14	would you want the record held open?
15	MR. BRIGGS: Well, that can be
16	done in two weeks at the most. No, a week.
17	A week, that's all we need.
18	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay.
19	MR. BRIGGS: They have all been
20	identified, it's just getting through the
21	approvals on the AWC letter.
22	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay.

1	MR. BRIGGS: Thank you.
2	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. I'm
3	sure we have some questions. Who would like
4	to go first? Mr. Parsons?
5	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Now I'm
6	required.
7	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: You are not
8	required to go first.
9	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: All of the
10	images you have showed us tonight, not the
11	fly-over, but the images you showed us, are
12	they in the materials that you gave us? In
13	other words, you have that slide that I
14	thought was very helpful showing the
15	difference in the design from the previous
16	submission to this one on one sheet.
17	Is that something that I didn't
18	notice that in the booklet. And if it is,
19	fine. But if it isn't, could you make sure we
20	get a copy of that?
21	MR. BUCKLEY: Yes, we'll make sure
22	you get a copy. We thought the purpose of

1	that, if you will, was that it was a good
2	comparative analysis between what we had
3	previously and the modifications that we made
4	in conjunction with discussions with the AWC
5	and OP.
6	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Yes, the
7	slide I'm talking about, the one he just
8	showed us.
9	MR. BUCKLEY: Yes.
10	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Yes. Okay.
11	Thank you.
12	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: That's page
13	43 in ours.
14	MR. BUCKLEY: Right.
15	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: And 42. I
16	have mine in the record.
17	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: What?
18	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: We will get
19	that in the record.
20	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: I was
21	looking for page 43.
22	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: No, that's

1	it says 43 on their board.
2	MR. BUCKLEY: Right.
3	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: I thought
4	you had one and I didn't
5	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: No, no, no.
6	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: I'm trying
7	to understand the Sheet 19, which is it deals
8	with the first parking level and it also shows
9	some subterranean things occurring as possible
10	hotel building storage, possible residential
11	building storage and possible East Office
12	Building storage. I'm trying to relate that
13	to the landscape plan above it and the
14	landscape plan that we have is really Sheet 11
15	and 10, which breaks the landscaping down in
16	planting south and planting east.
17	Given the problem with plant
18	material to grow above subterranean structures
19	like this, can you tell us anything about
20	this? The amount of soil that would be
21	provided, the difference in grade, section or

22

something like that?

1	MR. BUCKLEY: Well, I want to
2	think that as you and I both know, there is a
3	certain amount of cover that we need to get
4	plant material to grow. So we are looking for
5	a depth of at least 3 to 5 feet for that,
6	which is what Jim Urban has recommended.
7	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Three, huh?
8	MR. BUCKLEY: To 5 feet depending
9	upon what
10	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: 5 sounds
11	MR. BUCKLEY: the material is.
12	I know you probably want more, John, but
13	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: No, 5 is
14	good.
15	MR. BUCKLEY: Yes.
16	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: But 3
17	MR. BUCKLEY: 5 seems to be
18	workable based upon what we're doing
19	elsewhere.
20	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: So there is
21	nothing that shows this. Are there any
22	sections that show the elevation relationship

1	with the roof or the garage or storage
2	structures have the surface of the plaza?
3	MR. BUCKLEY: We have some
4	sections, but I don't think that they address
5	specifically what you are looking for, so we
6	can, you know, provide that information.
7	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: All right.
8	Thank you.
9	MR. BUCKLEY: If you want, I would
10	be happy to do that.
11	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Do you have
12	any kind of a signage plan? And I'll refer
13	again to Mr. Meecham's No. 43. Certainly
14	MR. BUCKLEY: For the retail?
15	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: the
16	desire of the retail occupants will be to have
17	very large signage to draw people from right
18	field of the stadium out to their retail after
19	the game. And is there any kind of a signage
20	plan that
21	MR. BUCKLEY: Well, the difficulty
22	with that is that so many retail concerns have

their own branding, their own signage and, of 1 course, they want to have as much visibility 2. 3 as they can. So we have not elected to do a 4 signage plan recognizing that many different types of retail users have their own graphic 5 and branding standards that they like to use. 6 7 However, our experience is that 8 even the most aggressive of retail users can 9 be convinced that the signage is actually the storefront itself and that the signage doesn't 10 11 have to be kind of over the top type of thing. 12 But no, we have not developed a specific signage program, because we recognize that 13 most retailers want their own signage and 14 15 their own control over that. 16 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: This could 17 take on a, if we're not careful, Times Square 18 kind of environment, an MCI Center or what's 19 it called now, I'm sorry? 20 MR. BRIGGS: Verizon Center. 21 MR. BUCKLEY: Verizon. 22 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Thank you.

1	You know, with large TV screens portraying the
2	game going on and a real sense of
3	MR. BRIGGS: Jumbo-tron?
4	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Yes, that
5	kind of thing.
6	MR. BRIGGS: Um-hum.
7	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: That
8	certainly isn't portrayed here.
9	MR. BRIGGS: Well, one thing
10	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: But
11	anything to say no, but
1.0	MR. BRIGGS: The one thing to do
12	Fire: Dictions Time one criting to do
13	would be to give some consideration about how
13	would be to give some consideration about how
13 14	would be to give some consideration about how to address that. I don't know what the answer
13 14 15	would be to give some consideration about how to address that. I don't know what the answer is just yet, but, Ron, do you have any
13 14 15 16	would be to give some consideration about how to address that. I don't know what the answer is just yet, but, Ron, do you have any thoughts? This is Ron Kaplan, who is our
13 14 15 16 17	would be to give some consideration about how to address that. I don't know what the answer is just yet, but, Ron, do you have any thoughts? This is Ron Kaplan, who is our retail consultant, who has been previous sworn
13 14 15 16 17 18	would be to give some consideration about how to address that. I don't know what the answer is just yet, but, Ron, do you have any thoughts? This is Ron Kaplan, who is our retail consultant, who has been previous sworn in. Ron, do you have any thoughts on that?
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	would be to give some consideration about how to address that. I don't know what the answer is just yet, but, Ron, do you have any thoughts? This is Ron Kaplan, who is our retail consultant, who has been previous sworn in. Ron, do you have any thoughts on that?  MR. KAPLAN: Sorry for being late.

around this property, the Ross Site, the Half Street Development being done by Monument and, in fact, what you are describing there with a negative connotation, I think, in fact, is the plan for north of -- not approved necessarily, but is the current thinking in terms of what they are going to try to attempt north of the ballpark is to create exactly that type of Times Square atmosphere and looked at that very positively.

So I do think it's appropriate for people to be thinking about what do you want the entire experience to look like, because the project should fit together. And you do have an ability to influence that greatly. And there are different views of thoughts as to whether you want to be able to create on game nights.

The reality is those types of experiences and down at the water, in particular, which has a whole different feel of it versus north of the ballpark, has the

2.

ability and needs to have the ability to last 365 days of the year and to not feel like you are in an amusement park, that would kind of, in a lot of ways, I think, denigrate the waterfront.

So I think you are going to see a distinction from more of a Times Square affect north of the ballpark to a little bit more sophistication as you come down First Street to a very different experience as a promenade and needs to still have life and energy and ability to react on those 80 days a year when there is a ballpark experience going on. But it's hard for me to see with this kind of architecture or even the retailers looking for that same type of experience.

COMMISSIONER PARSONS: All right.

In one of your images, we see umbrellas up on the roof terrace, I guess it is. And I'm trying to figure out in this elevation where those are. In the view --

MR. BUCKLEY: Where they are,

2.

1	John, is they are right
2	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: That view?
3	MR. BUCKLEY: They are right
4	there.
5	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: So is that
6	part of the retail component?
7	MR. BUCKLEY: No, the roof terrace
8	there is not part of the retail component.
9	Then you can in this if we can can you
10	see the still up here? That's a terrace
11	level. It's directly off the third floor.
12	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: So that 's
13	MR. BUCKLEY: So the third floor
14	users and not for the retail users.
15	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: So that's
16	an office embellishment?
17	MR. BUCKLEY: Yes. Because what
18	we're trying to do is to provide as much
19	opportunity for people within the office
20	environments to be able to go out onto various
21	terrace levels during the day and during the
22	evening and that kind of thing.

1	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: They might
2	even be able to see into the ballpark. Not
3	see the whole game, but
4	MR. BRIGGS: No.
5	MR. BUCKLEY: Well, they may be
6	seeing the game for free, John. That's just
7	not fair.
8	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Thank you.
9	That's all I have.
10	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Mr. Hood?
11	VICE CHAIR HOOD: Mr. Buckley, if
12	I looking at the rendering we have here,
13	not on the screen, but straight ahead, if I'm
14	coming across the Douglas Street Bridge, will
15	that be the view that I will be looking at?
16	Is that how I will see it, because I'm looking
17	at what you have here on page 37?
18	It's a view coming across the
19	Douglas Street, does what we have here
20	annotate your changes that you made there or
21	am I in the river looking at it? I'm just
22	trying to get my orientation together.

1	MR. BUCKLEY: What still are you
2	looking at?
3	VICE CHAIR HOOD: I'm looking at
4	MR. BUCKLEY: Image 37?
5	VICE CHAIR HOOD: 37, yes.
6	MR. BUCKLEY: Yes.
7	VICE CHAIR HOOD: Page 37.
8	MR. BUCKLEY: This is from,
9	approximately, halfway across the bridge
10	traveling at a kind of a low speed, so you can
11	keep your eyes on the road at the same time,
12	but this is actually the view and you can see
13	you have that view up First Street.
14	And, actually, in the animation
15	one of the interesting things in the animation
16	is that as you continue to go across the
17	bridge, you will actually then get views up
18	Half Street Extension to the stadium there.
19	And then as you come down the bridge here and
20	you come onto the oval, I mean, that's when
21	you really get the stadium in front of you in

a very powerful way, which is Image No. 38.

1 VICE CHAIR HOOD: Let me try to ask it again. Maybe there is a disconnect in 2 3 my question here. I want to know from the 4 board that you have, which I think 5 definitely an improvement from the bottom and I'm hoping that's what you're proposing, the 6 7 top, okay, when I look at that I like the 8 curvature and the way you have made 9 changes. But my question is if I'm coming 10 11 across the bridge, when will I see that like 12 that or am I in a different orientation? 13 MR. BUCKLEY: You're -- actually, This is a different 14 I have to -- excuse me. 15 orientation. This is actually First Street right here. 16 17 VICE CHAIR HOOD: Okay. 18 MR. BUCKLEY: And this is First 19 Street here, so this is the relationship 20 coming down First Street and it shows you the changes that we have made to the East End of 21

the building.

1	VICE CHAIR HOOD: Okay. Got you.
2	MR. BUCKLEY: And also, Mira, can
3	we put the plan up for a minute and, Tom,
4	maybe we could go back to that diagram?
5	VICE CHAIR HOOD: Okay. I see
6	now.
7	MR. BUCKLEY: Yes.
8	VICE CHAIR HOOD: I'm clear.
9	MR. BUCKLEY: And so what we have
10	done can you push that board, the top
11	board, down a little bit? Just bring it down.
12	Bring it down, yes. What we these
13	elevations, Mr. Hood, are actually in
14	described what we did at the East End of the
15	building here, and you can see that the angle
16	that we have cut off of the building here is
17	that angle right there.
18	And the two story retail, which is
19	located right here, is that portion right
20	there, that small triangular place, which is
21	also the third floor terrace level that Mr.

22

Parsons referenced.

1 VICE CHAIR HOOD: Okay. Let me 2 ask. 3 MR. BUCKLEY: And the bridge, if I 4 may, the bridge is over here. 5 VICE CHAIR HOOD: Right. **BUCKLEY:** 6 MR. And as you come across the bridge, if we consider the bridge 7 8 goes across the wall here, the view that we 9 are looking at is about mid-span here looking at the project, approximately, sort of on that 10 11 alignment there. 12 it would be, approximately, here, so you get a wonderful view up First 13 You get a wonderful view up First 14 15 Street here and then as you continue down, you will get a view up Half Street Extension. 16 17 if we go to the animation, when you actually 18 go through the animation, there is really 19 quite a prolonged view up Half Street extended 20 to the stadium. think what is wonderful 21 And I 22 about that is that there is a kind of sense of

anticipation as you come across the bridge and you see the vista up First Street to the potential retail and the kind of energy and activity along First Street and here what you will be, you know, seeing coming across the bridge. And, also, you can see very much as you come down, look that way.

And then we're going to swing around here. But in your mind's eye if you think about coming across the bridge, I think what you're going to see here is that there are wonderful vistas and views up to the stadium, particularly here. And if you just look at how long this view lasts, all the way up here and to the stadium through there, and it continues for quite a long time.

So I think that there -- what is delightful about this is that the Planned Unit Development provides that kind of energy and activity on the waterfront, but also at the same time provides a great opportunity for people from the stadium to come down to the

2.

waterfront to go into these retail venues.

2.

And, also, as one approaches over the bridge and from other viewpoints and vistas along the way, you have these wonderful views to the stadium, particularly if you're coming down the area above Poplar Point. As you come down, you have that wonderful view in and, obviously, over by just further east at Saint Elizabeth's, you know, as you're coming across, you still have those views.

When you come across the bridge, you certainly see the Planned Unit Development, but you also see the activity and kind of energy that is created along the waterfront there with these wonderful views to the stadium. And as you come across the bridge onto the oval it just unfolds in front of you and I think it's a very dramatic and powerful kind of visual experience.

VICE CHAIR HOOD: Okay. You have answered my question. Thank you.

MR. BUCKLEY: All right. Thank

you.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

VICE CHAIR HOOD: Mr. Kaplan, with everything changing in that particular area, the retail that is proposed for Florida Rock from my standpoint has taken on a different -- more emphasis now, you know, trying to get people in.

think that retail Do you as proposed in this project will still bring people even off-season down to this area? Ι know you have the office space, residential, the hotel and everything proposed, but will there still be enough to sustain that retail in that area?

MR. KAPLAN: That is the critical question and what it needs to both be is a catalyst for other things to happen, but if it were to remain its own island, if nothing else happened in that area, if -- depending upon how they retail the baseball stadium side of First Street, depending upon the timing of the development of retail and residential along

1 WASA site on First Street, that ultimately going to influence rents, sales 2. 3 levels and success of these places. The key for Florida Rock is going 4 5 to be putting some anchor tenants and tenants that attract people on their own, because they 6 7 are one of the early movers and somebody has 8 to be. 9 Honestly, the good news here is you have a corporate developer and those tend 10 11 to not go hand-in-hand, because if you had a 12 private developer, if you had a private, privately generally means undercapitalized 13 developer, it would be very hard for them to 14 15 be one of the early movers here. And, in fact, what the city needs 16 17 is an early mover, somebody to start building 18 down there, someone to start putting in the 19 attractions to create the space and find the 20 tenants that can draw people down there.

deep-pocketed -- one of the reasons I was

So the good news is you have a

21

excited in working with Florida Rock is you 1 have -- well, candidly, you have a corporate 2. 3 person that can build the right thing and can be one of the early movers in creating that. 4 5 But your question is right on. There is no question that it's a challenge. 6 7 It's great for the city that they are taking 8 on the challenge, honestly, but their struggle 9 will be in bringing anchor tenants there, the economics for them won't be great. 10 11 VICE CHAIR HOOD: That's true. 12 MR. KAPLAN: Because they can't 13 point to lots of other tenants doing lots of sales, but they are building the spaces and 14 15 they are building great spaces and they can 16 bring in those tenants that can help 17 activate that space. 18 VICE CHAIR HOOD: Okay. 19 MR. KAPLAN: You do that with the 20 park next door and you start to have something down there at the water. 21 22 VICE CHAIR HOOD: Okay. Thank

Thank you for that analysis. 1 you. looking at Mr. Briggs' letter, November 27th, 2. 3 and it seems as though -- and I don't remember this exactly going this way at the hearing. 4 5 I thought the Earth Conservation Corps was on tap and you were going to be 6 7 meeting with Mr. O'Gilvie and Mr. Nixon and I 8 thought that was going to be, but obviously, 9 apparently, that didn't happen according to the last paragraph on -- this was submitted to 10 11 us today at 5:23 to this office. 12 And it's saying that their 13 interests and some other people's interests, 14 The Ivory Tea or Gardens Club, apparently, have omitted or being -- they feel like they 15 16 have been omitted from the process. 17 expand on that and let me know where we are 18 and in what stage in working with Earth, 19 because that is a key area or program in that 20 area? Mr. Hood, we have 21 MR. BRIGGS: 22 been working with the Anacostia Waterfront Corporation as the organizing entity for the First Street Plaza area. Tn those discussions, there have been -- Mr. Villiers has had conversations, telephone conversations, with Mr. Nixon and other representatives of ECC.

We have encouraged and requested, as you will see in the draft document that is in our submittal, which is our First Street draft/First Street Plaza document, that we have created an advisory board that -- I believe it's in this version. We have been through several versions since this was submitted.

Yes, on page 2 of the document that appears in Exhibit E to our submittal, which was marked draft, we created an -- ECC became one of the constituent members of the advisory board that would be intimately involved in the whole concept design and development of the First Street Plaza.

We are -- all Florida Rock is

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

doing is being a contributor to a price for the development of the First Street Plaza Park. We're certainly encouraging all cooperation with ECC and others who have an interest in seeing to an effective First Street Plaza program.

There have been conversations. have moved, as I mentioned in my introduction, the \$200,000, so there is \$3.7 million now available for the construction and maintenance and development of this park, and provided for a role for ECC as well as ourselves. We have no greater role than they do in the development of the park, leaving it to AWC to be the coordinator and instigator as vehicle to see this park come to fruition.

VICE CHAIR HOOD: Okay. I'm just

-- I thought we -- okay. I understand what
you're saying, Mr. Briggs, but I'm just
looking at this letter here. I was not
expecting to see this and it looks as though
something has broke down or -- and I did

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

in this 1 remember seeing them advisory committee, but it's -- obviously, there is a 2. 3 potential issue here and I'm not sure exactly 4 what it was. 5 Т don't know if there was commitment made and I -- my memory escapes me, 6 7 but did Florida Rock commit to ECC something 8 or --9 MR. BRIGGS: Okay. There was no-there was some discussion at the end of the 10 11 hearing, Mr. Hood, of talking to ECC 12 seeing what role ECC could play in 13 development of the First Street Plaza in 14 looking at least to the transcript, and those 15 -- there were communications. I believe Mr. Brandeis is here 16 17 from AWC and may be able to elucidate some 18 more on the conversations he has had with ECC to seeing that ECC is effectively involved in 19 20 the whole development of the First Street 21 Plaza program.

I mean --

VICE CHAIR HOOD:

1 MR. BRIGGS: And, again, as far as actual dollar commitments or proffered funds, 2 3 I don't believe Florida Rock had offered that and I'm not sure it would be in the best 4 5 interest in seeing to the First Street Plaza being developed. 6 7 Ιf you will remember, in the 8 testimony that Mr. Brandeis gave, he estimated 9 the cost of doing the whole First Street Plaza was, I think, something in the \$15 million 10 11 range and, certainly, the AWC -- the Florida 12 Rock component of \$3.7 million is certainly a sizeable portion, but won't make up the entire 13 component. 14 15 VICE CHAIR HOOD: Okay. All 16 right. We just got this letter and maybe I 17 need to read it and digest it. Okay. you, Mr. Briggs. Thank you, Madam Chair. 18 19 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you, 20 Mr. Parsons, did you have one more? Mr. Hood. 21 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Mr. Briggs, November 17<sup>th</sup> booklet, 8.5 22 your Χ

1	booklet.
2	MR. BRIGGS: Yes.
3	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Under Tab B
4	there is a graphic.
5	MR. BRIGGS: Yes.
6	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Showing the
7	stadium, and there doesn't seem to be any
8	reference to it in the narrative. And I'm
9	just trying to figure out what it represents,
10	because I don't think it represents the design
11	or the visions you have given us.
12	MR. BRIGGS: The purpose of this
13	was to first, it's an HLK document, you
14	will note at the corner. It was the intent to
15	say and show to the Commission that there
16	really was an idea that the whole component,
17	the stadium itself, worked within an entire
18	fabric, including development of some massing
19	in concept as was in the design development
20	guidelines of the First Street border.
21	So the real intent was to show the

relationship of the stadium, that the HLK

understood at the time that they were developing the site that there was to be some level of intensity of development on the Florida Rock site as depicted on the right hand side of this marking, and that is the only purpose.

It was not -- we know that this probably is not as accurate as the approval on the stadium. It was more to show that HLK did understand it was fitting this within a fabric, an entire fabric in development. And, in fact, we think, in fact, what Mr. Buckley has proposed at the First Street end, in fact, further opens up the vista area out to where the First Street Plaza is proposed.

COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: I just had a couple of clarifications. The contribution for the First Street Plaza is going to begin with \$350,000 that is on page 18 of the November submission, would be at the time that the order is effective and in the agreement

2.

with AWC is when they ask, is transferred when 1 2. they ask for it. 3 MR. BRIGGS: Correct. What we 4 have agreed to do with AWC, and this 5 further revised in the agreement which is now up to final approval, would be at the time AWC 6 7 needs design development dollars for 8 parcel, assuming the Commission approves the 9 order and it is final, then Florida Rock will commit to pay over the \$350,000 to assist AWC 10 11 in the design development drawings, in the 12 concept and the schematic designs, excuse me. If Florida Rock does not decide to 13 proceed forward with the PUD for any reason, 14 15 that \$350,000 is still a contribution to AWC. So it would be available. I don't think --16 17 the intent was when the order was approved and 18 when AWC needed the money, then the \$350 was 19 available. 20 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: The thing 21 that is starting to concern me more about this 22 is that -- and I know that all this has been done with good intentions and I'm not meaning to suggest otherwise, but given that there is quite a lot of money needed to actually bring the plaza to fruition is -- you know, aside from the design contribution, it's possible that the entire development, the Florida Rock development, could be built and the plaza not built, so that, you know, what I consider a crucial amenity is -- you know, it's basically out of your control.

And this is one of the things that frustrates us in a lot smaller contributions where, you know, we ask applicants to tie it to something that they can deliver, that they can guarantee delivery of.

So now, you know, this is -- I feel like this is getting away from us and I don't know what you could offer to us by way of assurances, but I'm going to ask you to think about that some more, because I just -- you know, because it's such an important amenity, I want to see something for the

2.

effort in a time that is somewhat, you know, potentially consistent with the delivery of the project.

I also wanted to ask you about the proffer about LEED-certification, which is great, and there's a couple of things that concern me. One is that the contribution to the escrow that would be sort of the guarantee that you would follow through on the LEED-certification, it says on page 20 that the amount of money to be placed in escrow would be 1 percent of the construction cost for the particular phase, as determined solely by the applicant.

So if one wanted to be cynical, if there is no control over what the applicant says, then how can we be assured that the amount is meaningful?

MR. BRIGGS: Clearly, we will have a building permit application that will be filed, which will have a stated construction cost and I think it was our intent that that

2.

would be, in effect, the basis upon which the 1 2. 1 percent would be based. 3 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. So could we introduce that motion? 4 5 MR. BRIGGS: Yes, that's not a problem, I don't believe. 6 7 Okay. CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: In the 8 supplemental traffic report, there is а 9 transportation -- there is not really Transportation Management Plan. 10 11 There is something that is 12 there is a section that starts on page 31 called Transportation Management Plan, but 13 then there is a series of, starting on page 14 15 32, potential TMP strategies and these are more abstract in nature. 16 For instance, there could be a 17 18 transportation coordinator, but it is not 19 really being articulated as we will have a 20 transportation coordinator and the coordinator will do 21 transportation the

It's just there is a series

following things.

1 of suggestions. So for a shuttle bus, it says the Florida Rock mixed use development may be 2. 3 interested in the creation of a 4 operated shuttle bus and so on. So I think it would be helpful for 5 the Commission to know specifically what 6 7 you're proposing. 8 May I refer you also MR. BRIGGS: to page 22 in the text itself where Florida 9 Rock did agree to provide the comprehensive 10 11 Transportation Management Plan as suggested in 12 the material elements for both at the very 13 bottom bullet on that page. CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Right. 14 15 MR. BRIGGS: It talked about the 16 Parking Management and Truck Management Plan 17 based upon the elements in that traffic impact 18 analysis. 19 Also, Ι understand that the 20 Department of Transportation in its report today made some additional suggestions at the 21

end, which we just got the report at around

5:30 tonight thanks to Ms. Schellin, and so we're going to be evaluating that. It's clearly --

CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay.

MR. BRIGGS: -- Florida Rock's intent and purpose to create an effective management plan. This is a very important site for the stadium, for those using this project, and we want to make sure this plan works.

CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Right.

MR. BRIGGS: And Gorove/Slade has presented some very important aspects. One of the things that was suggested was, for instance, a shuttle bus and Florida Rock has been part of the initial group trying to set up a business improvement district, which one of the aspects may be a comprehensive shuttle bus system to assist in moving people through and making sure that they are encouraged to use the Metro system.

CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Right. And I

2.

understand all that. 1 It's all good and I think the truck management portion of the 2. 3 Transportation Management Plan is the most concrete element of it, but right now what is 4 5 -- because it's a series of suggestions, it's not enforceable. So that's why I'm saying 6 7 just can you -- we would be glad and certainly 8 can put that in the record. 9 MR. BRIGGS: Right. We were glad -- we will certainly convert that in the draft 10 11 order. 12 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. 13 MR. BRIGGS: It's our intent based upon the statement at the bottom of page 22 to 14 15 actually capture in the proposed order that we would submit to you the components of that TMP 16 17 plan. 18 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. I iust 19 -- I thought that that was what the intent of 20 this was, because it made reference to the supplemental traffic report, and I'm just --21

so you recognize that more needs to be done.

1	MR. BRIGGS: Right.
2	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: I recognize
3	that more needs to be done and more will be
4	done.
5	MR. BRIGGS: Yes.
6	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Great. Okay.
7	I think that's all I had. Let me just see if
8	the Commission has any questions for the
9	Office of Planning on their supplemental
10	report. Mr. Parsons? Thank you, gentlemen.
11	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: I'm trying
12	to get some purpose clarification on some of
13	the words you have used. Okay? I'm on page
14	2, the second paragraph. The first two
15	sentences seem to imply that they made an
16	effort, but it's not quite far enough, but
17	then you also go on to say that OP also
18	supports, implying you support what is in the
19	first two sentences. Did you mean that?
20	MR. LAWSON: It goes on to say
21	that OP supports the elimination of the
22	underground retail, which we thought was a

1 positive change to bring that retail up to the 2. ground floor. 3 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Right. 4 MR. LAWSON: I think in general we definitely do support the changes that were 5 made to the design. We think it's a very 6 7 important step in terms of reintegrating some of the views from the baseball stadium and 8 9 down towards the waterfront. I think we have heard lots of discussion already tonight about 10 11 how the stepping back of the facade is going 12 to certainly assist in that a lot. 13 We think it's going to be really important then how the -- if this PUD is 14 15 approved, how those buildings then start to relate to the First Street Plaza and how those 16 17 designs are integrated, and you have touched 18 on some of those issues as well. 19 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Okay. 20 Let's then go to your conclusion. That's the first sentence in your conclusion. 21 "OP does

not feel the current proposal fully addresses

1	issues with regard to the relationship between
2	the FRP proposal and the surrounding context,
3	notably the ball stadium to the north, the
4	current changes represent an improvement,
5	which to some extent alleviate these
6	concerns."
7	That doesn't sound like support to
8	me and I'm
9	MR. LAWSON: Yes, I think I
10	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: only
11	trying to understand what
12	MR. LAWSON: Sure.
13	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: overall
14	you mean in this report.
15	MR. LAWSON: Sure.
16	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Does more
17	need to be done in your view or this is okay?
18	MR. LAWSON: Well, I think
19	projects are always there is always an
20	element of compromise, you know, to some
21	extent.
22	I think in this case we made our

views very clear to both the applicant in our, you know, previous reports that we would have preferred to see the East End of the building cut back even more, so that the view from the plaza area and the baseball stadium was a full view down towards the waterfront.

I think the applicant has made a fairly convincing argument why, because of economic reasons, technical reasons, you know, even some planning reasons, that that may not be an appropriate response anymore, that that may not be a possible response.

So we think that the changes they have made have, you know, constituted significant improvement. Would we prefer to see that full view, view shed, that view angle from the baseball stadium? Absolutely. Are we expecting it? Probably not.

COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Well, one of the main principles of this entire design was to recognize Half Street as it went through the site. That is the sense of

2.

celebration of this place and it was in 1998 and it is today. And if that was to be infilled, because it, in my view, has no relationship anymore to reality, in order to achieve your objectives, is that something you have discussed with --

MR. LAWSON: We haven't had a lot of discussions about that. We have certainly had discussions about what Half Street is under the current plan. Without having Half Street -- as we all know, on the original plans for this site, pre-baseball stadium, the Half Street connection connected through north of the site and that no longer exists.

So we have had a lot of discussions with the applicant about what happens in that space. We do think that it's important that there be some kind of a break in the Potomac Avenue facade. It's a very long facade and that still seems to be an appropriate place for it to be.

Does it have as much relevance as

2.

1	it did before to what is happening north of
2	the site? Possibly not, but we think it has
3	a lot of relevance to what is happening south
4	of the site along the waterfront and in terms
5	of the bridge and simply in terms of breaking
6	up the massing of the buildings on the site
7	itself.
8	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Thank you.
9	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: In terms of
10	the PowerPoint and the animation, is that
11	something we're going to get a copy of because
12	our colleagues would, I'm sure, love to see
13	it?
14	MR. BRIGGS: We have a CD ROM that
15	we will submit to the record.
16	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay.
17	Thanks. Okay. Anybody else you want to talk
18	to? Anybody else? Okay. Thank you very much
19	and we'll have the record open for a week and
20	we will get your edits and we'll get page 43.
21	MR. BRIGGS: Excuse me, Ms.
22	Mitten. There was one additional request from

1	Mr. Parsons concerning the section through on
2	the parking in relationship to the landscaping
3	on the esplanade.
4	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Yes.
5	MR. BRIGGS: And I need to ask Mr.
6	Buckley whether a week is going to be
7	sufficient to do that. Is that
8	MR. BUCKLEY: Yes, we can do that.
9	MR. BRIGGS: Okay.
10	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Great.
11	MR. BRIGGS: We will accommodate.
12	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Could you
13	just tell me what the date is then?
13 14	just tell me what the date is then?  MS. SCHELLIN: I was going to say
14	MS. SCHELLIN: I was going to say
14 15	MS. SCHELLIN: I was going to say if they needed more time, because that's
14 15 16	MS. SCHELLIN: I was going to say if they needed more time, because that's cutting it close for our December meeting, I
14 15 16 17	MS. SCHELLIN: I was going to say if they needed more time, because that's cutting it close for our December meeting, I don't
14 15 16 17 18	MS. SCHELLIN: I was going to say if they needed more time, because that's cutting it close for our December meeting, I don't  CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: I don't see
14 15 16 17 18	MS. SCHELLIN: I was going to say if they needed more time, because that's cutting it close for our December meeting, I don't  CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: I don't see this on the agenda though.

1	weeks.
2	MS. SCHELLIN: Okay.
3	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay.
4	MS. SCHELLIN: That would give
5	them until 3:00 p.m. on December 11 <sup>th</sup> .
6	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Great. So
7	the record will be closed on December 11 <sup>th</sup> and
8	it's only open for those few things that we
9	had asked for.
10	MR. BRIGGS: Yes.
11	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay.
12	MR. BRIGGS: Thank you very much,
13	Ms. Mitten, Members of the Commission. What?
14	Oh, yes. This would not include any proposed
15	findings of fact?
16	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: No, not at
17	all.
18	MR. BRIGGS: We would get those
19	later. Thank you.
20	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Oh, and we
21	look forward to receiving those, too.
22	MR. BRIGGS: Thank you.

1	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. Thank
2	you very much and thank everyone for their
3	attention this evening, and we are now
4	adjourned.
5	(Whereupon, the Public Hearing was
6	concluded at 8:40 p.m.)
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	