GOVERNMENT OF

THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

ZONING COMMISSION

+ + + + +

PUBLIC HEARING

+ + + + +

IN THE MATTER OF:

SHERIDAN TERRACE REDEVELOPMENT: Case No.

LLC AND THE DISTRICT OF : 07-35

COLUMBIA HOUSING AUTHORITY - : CONSOLIDATED PUD AND RELATED :

MAP AMENDMENT

Wednesday,

June 26, 2008

Hearing Room 220 South 441 4th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C.

The Public Hearing of Case No. 07-35 by the District of Columbia Zoning Commission convened at 6:30 p.m. in the Office of Zoning Hearing Room at 441 4th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., 20001, Anthony J. Hood, Chairman, presiding.

ZONING COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT:

ANTHONY J. HOOD, Chairman GREGORY N. JEFFRIES, Vice Chairman MICHAEL G. TURNBULL, FAIA, Commissioner (OAC) PETER G. MAY, Commissioner (NPS)

OFFICE OF ZONING STAFF PRESENT:

SHARON S. SCHELLIN, Secretary DONNA HANOUSEK, Zoning Specialist

OFFICE OF PLANNING STAFF PRESENT:

JENNIFER STEINGASSER MAXINE BROWN-ROBERTS

The transcript constitutes the minutes from the Public Hearing held on June 26, 2008.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Page</u>	No.
Preliminary Matters, SHARON SCHELLIN	8
Applicant's Case, CYNTHIA GRORDANO KERRY SMYSER BRAD FENNEL REV. WILLIE WILSON MATT ENGEL SAMI KIRKDIL JAY PARKER PETER OKAFOR	8 18 28 32 33 48 54 62
Cross Examination of Applicant, MARY CUTHBERT, Chair, ANC 8C	151
Report of the Office of Planning, MAXINE BROWN-ROBERTS	157
Cross Examination of Office of Planning MARY CUTHBERT, Chair, ANC 8C	164
Report of Government Agencies, DDOT, LAWRENCE NWANKWO	166
Report of ANC 8C, MARY CUTHBERT	174
Report of Organizations or Persons in Support, MARTHA SMITH COMMISSIONER JACKIE WARD WENDY GLOVER	178 179 179
Report of Organizations or Persons in Opposition	184
Closing Remarks of the Applicant,	184

1 P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S 2 6:32 p.m. 3 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Good evening, ladies and gentlemen. This is the public 4 Zoning Commission of the 5 hearing of the District of Columbia for Thursday, June 26, 6 7 2008. My name is Anthony J. Hood. 8 We 9 will be joined by Vice-Chairman Jeffries. joined Commissioner 10 are by May and Commissioner Turnbull. Commissioner Curtis 11 Etherly will be reading the record and 12 participating in this case. 13 We are also joined by the Office 14 15 of Zoning staff, Ms. Sharon Schellin and Ms. Donna Hanousek, also the Office of Planning, 16 Ms. Steingasser and Ms. Brown-Roberts. 17 This proceeding is being recorded 18 19 by a court reporter, it's also webcast live. 20 Accordingly, we must ask you to refrain from

any disruptive noises or actions in the

hearing room.

21

The subject of this evening's hearing is Zoning Commission Case No. 07-35. This is a request by Sheridan Redevelopment for approval of a consolidated PUD and related map amendment for property located at Square 5869 and 5872.

Notice of today's hearing was published in the D.C. Register on April 18, 2008, and copies of that announcement are available to my left on the wall near the The hearing will be conducted in accordance with provisions of 11 DCMR 3022 as follows: preliminary matters, Applicant's case, Report of the Office of Planning, Report of Other Government Agencies, Report of the We have here 8A and 8C, but I think it's ANC. Anyway, Organizations and Persons in Organizations Support, and Persons in Opposition, Rebuttal and closing by the Applicant.

The following time constraints will be maintained in this meeting: the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Applicant, 60 minutes, if needed, organizations five minutes, and individuals three minutes. The Commission intends to adhere to the time limits as strictly as possible in order to hear the case in a reasonable period of time. The Commission reserves the right to change the time limits for presentations if necessary and notes that no time shall be ceded.

All persons appearing before the Commission are to fill out two witness cards. These cards are located to my left on the table near the door. Upon coming forward to speak to the Commission, please give both cards to the reporter sitting to my right before taking a seat at the table.

When presenting the information to the Commission, please turn on and speak into the microphone, first stating your name and home address. when you are finished speaking, please turn your microphone off, so that your microphone is no longer picking up sound or

background noise. 1 The decision of the Commission in 2 3 this case must be based exclusively on the public record. To avoid any appearance of the 4 contrary, the Commission requests that you not 5 member of the Commission in 6 any 7 conversation during any recess or at any time. be available The staff will 8 9 throughout the hearing to discuss procedural questions. 10 11 Please turn off all beepers and cell phones at this time, so not to disrupt 12 these proceedings. 13 Ms. Schellin, let me just 14 what ANC is that? 15 16 MS. SCHELLIN: There are actually two, 8A and 8C. 17 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, thank you. 18 19 Would all individuals wishing to testify please rise to take the oath? 20 Ms. Schellin, would you please 21 22 administer the oath?

1	MS. SCHELLIN: Please raise your
2	right hand.
3	(Whereupon, witnesses sworn.)
4	MS. SCHELLIN: Thank you.
5	CHAIRMAN HOOD: And, you are
6	exactly right, I'm looking at the hearing
7	notice, maybe I just saw something from one of
8	the ANCs and not the other. But anyway, at
9	this time the Commission will consider any
10	preliminary matters.
11	Does the staff have any
12	preliminary matters?
13	MS. SCHELLIN: Just to advise that
14	we have received the affidavit of Maintenance,
15	and it's in order, and the only other item
16	will be the proffered experts.
17	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.
18	Ms. Grordano, if you could come
19	forward with your presentations and
20	presenters, we can get started.
21	MS. GRORDANO: Good evening. I
22	thought we might as well all just come up at

1	once.
2	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes, I see a few
3	familiar faces. Okay.
4	MS. GRORDANO: Good evening. For
5	the record, my name is Cynthia Grordano, with
6	Arnold & Porter law firm, representing the
7	Applicant this evening.
8	Did you want to do the
9	qualification of the experts first? Have you
10	had a chance to review the resumes?
11	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Let's run down the
12	list. Who is going is it Mr. Banks or Mr.
13	George for the traffic?
14	MS. GRORDANO: Mr. Banks is here,
15	but I think we are just going to have them
16	available for questions, rather than
17	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, just for
18	questions.
19	MS. GRORDANO: present.
20	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, but we have
21	him down as being offered as a proffered
22	expert.

1	MS. GRORDANO: Yes.
2	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, I just
3	wanted to do away with Mr. Banks first, and I
4	don't mean that literally, Mr. Banks, but
5	we've seen you enough.
6	Okay, let's run through the list,
7	Ms. Grordano.
8	MS. GRORDANO: Okay. I think
9	we've narrowed it down. You probably have
10	more resumes than we'll actually have
11	witnesses presenting this evening, but the
12	first expert we have Ms. Smyser from the
13	Housing Authority, and some representatives of
14	Smith Company, but we are not proffering them
15	as experts.
16	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.
17	MS. GRORDANO: And then, the first
18	expert is Sami Kirkdil from SK&I Architects,
19	and he is prepared to talk about similar
20	projects that he has designed if the
21	Commission would like to hear that.
22	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Let's just

1	run through all of them real quick.
2	MS. GRORDANO: Okay. The next one
3	is Jay Parker, from Parker Rodriguez, and he
4	is speaking to site plan and landscape design.
5	He's not a landscape architect, but the plans
6	were prepared by landscape architects in his
7	firm, under his supervision.
8	CHAIRMAN HOOD: So, you are
9	proffering him as
10	MS. GRORDANO: Expert in site
11	design and planning.
12	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, not
13	landscape architecture.
14	MS. GRORDANO: Correct.
15	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.
16	MS. GRORDANO: And then, the next
17	expert is Peter Okafor, and he is going to
18	review the storm water management plan for the
19	project, and he's a civil engineer, and he has
20	expertise in storm water management. I think
21	that's clear on his resume.
22	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.

1	MS. GRORDANO: And then, we are
2	down to Mr. Banks.
3	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.
4	MS. GRORDANO: That's it.
5	CHAIRMAN HOOD: What about Mr.
6	Jaba?
7	MS. GRORDANO: He is available.
8	We should go ahead and qualify him.
9	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.
10	MS. GRORDANO: He's available for
11	questions, and he's here this evening, and he
12	is our geotechnical expert.
13	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.
14	MS. GRORDANO: And, if there are
15	questions about the retaining walls and the
16	structural engineering of those walls, he
17	would be available to answer them.
18	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Sounds good, and
19	I'm sure there will be.
20	Okay, thank you, Ms. Grordano.
21	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Colleagues, we
22	have all resumes in which Ms. Grordano

1	mentioned in front of us, and I will give
2	colleagues a few moments to relook at those
3	and see if you have any questions.
4	Commissioner May?
5	COMMISSIONER MAY: We're starting
6	out, the ones that are proffered as experts
7	and the ones who are not I've lost track of
8	who is, so could you just give me the last
9	names?
10	MS. GRORDANO: Okay, the Project
11	Architect, Mr. Kirkdil, Mr. Parker on the site
12	planning and design, Mr. Okafor, civil
13	engineer, storm water management, and Mr.
14	Banks, transportation consultant, and then our
15	geotechnical expert on the retaining wall
16	design.
17	COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay, got it,
18	thanks.
19	That's fine, I think the resumes
20	are thorough and appropriate, and demonstrate
21	the right experience.
22	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Mr. Turnbull?

1	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: No, I'm
2	fine.
3	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. So, you can
4	go ahead and give us your presentation.
5	MS. GRORDANO: Thank you very
6	much.
7	Just, we have a couple other
8	preliminary items. We are submitting a
9	revised set of plans into the record. I think
10	you have them already, we've distributed them.
11	Of course, the Zoning Commission
12	rule says that we can't amend the application
13	after 20 days prior to the hearing, so we
14	didn't submit them earlier, but now I'm
15	requesting that you waive that rule to accept
16	these amended plans into the record.
17	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. The only
18	thing I would ask, Ms. Grordano, is that we
19	in the presentation, if there's some changes
20	that we really hit those highlights.
21	MS. GRORDANO: Right.
22	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Because, as you

1	notice, we got this shortly
2	MS. GRORDANO: I know, we are
3	prepared to do that. Mr. Engel's testimony is
4	going to cover that point.
5	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.
6	MS. GRORDANO: And, one of the
7	primary driving reasons for the amendments is
8	that we've added some additional land area to
9	the application. Previously in the record I
10	think there was a request for party status in
11	opposition from an abutting property owner,
12	and that land is now under contract, and we've
13	submitted an application to include that in
14	the project.
15	So, I don't think we'll be dealing
16	with the opposition issue this evening.
17	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Any
18	problems with those?
19	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: No, I
20	would just echo your concern, especially,
21	regarding because of the sloping of the site,
22	if there's any major issues on drainage, the

1	bio-filtering, or anything that is
2	significantly different from what we've been
3	looking at, I think you can highlight that.
4	MS. GRORDANO: Right.
5	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: And, any
6	changes to the affordable housing.
7	MS. GRORDANO: Right. Really, the
8	purpose of and I don't want to steal Mr.
9	Engel's thunder, but the purpose of adding the
10	land area was really to soften the effect of
11	the retaining walls and allow more room to lay
12	those walls back, and to reduce their height.
13	So, I think you'll be pleased, because that
14	was a concern that was raised at the set down
15	meeting.
16	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Let me just ask,
17	Ms. Schellin, do we have an official request
18	for party status from
19	MS. SCHELLIN: I actually think it
20	was more or less a letter in opposition that
21	he was
22	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, because we

1	would have needed to have dealt with that.
2	MS. SCHELLIN: No, I don't think
3	there was.
4	MS. GRORDANO: It didn't rise to
5	that level.
6	MS. SCHELLIN: No, he just
7	submitted a letter.
8	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. I think we
9	have done away with all the preliminary stuff,
10	so we can let me just hold on one second
11	before you start.
12	MS. GRORDANO: Did you want me to
13	go ahead?
14	CHAIRMAN HOOD: I just wanted to
15	say one sentence.
16	MS. GRORDANO: Okay.
17	CHAIRMAN HOOD: I don't want to
18	interrupt you once you get started.
19	For the record, when a
20	Commissioner comes in, we have to announce for
21	the record that they are here. Just give me
22	one second, please.

1	Ms. Grordano, I'm not going to
2	hold you up any longer. I'll just let you
3	know up front I'm going to have to interrupt
4	you.
5	MS. GRORDANO: That's fine.
6	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.
7	MS. GRORDANO: Actually, we are
8	going to go right to Ms. Smyser from the
9	Housing Authority. We have a lot of
10	witnesses, as you can see tonight, and I know
11	that you really want us to keep under an hour,
12	so we'll begin with Ms. Smyser, and feel free
13	to interrupt if need be.
14	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, thank you.
15	You may begin.
16	MS. SMYSER: Hi, Kerry Smyser with
17	D.C. Housing Authority, 1133 North Capitol
18	Street, Washington, D.C., 200002.
19	Chairman Hood and Commissioners,
20	good evening. My name is Kerry Smyser, the
21	Redevelopment Project Manager of the Sheridan
22	Terrace Project for the District of Columbia

Housing Authority.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

is Sheridan Terrace а former public housing site of 183 walk-up units, which were demolished in 1997. Built on a hilly site, poor site design and construction contributed significantly to extreme building settlement issues, leading to unstable foundations, failure of site drainage, severe soil erosion and frequent flooding of ground floor apartments. The site lacked a sensible space, was inaccessible to individuals with mobility impairments, and was absent of any energy saving features.

While occupied, Sheridan was a major source of violent and drug-related criminal activity, with former residents on the site having suffered symptoms common to severely distressed public housing, limited education, extreme poverty, and high unemployment.

On November 7, 2007, the District of Columbia Housing Authority submitted a HOPE

VI revitalization application, requesting \$20 million in Federal funds to construct 336 new units and a mix of housing types, to include a five-story multi-family apartment building, stacked townhouse units, traditional townhouse units, and manor flats. The units are evenly distributed throughout the income categories, with 33 percent at 0 to 30 percent of AMI, 35 percent at 31 to 80 percent of AMI, and 32 percent at market rate.

On March 20, 2008, the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development notified DCHA Sheridan Terrace is one of five projects in the Nation awarded a Fiscal Year 2007 HOPE VI grant in the amount The grant agreement between DCHA and HUD was executed May 29, 2008, and once we supplemental submissions submit from the community, and a supportive services plan, and revised budget to HUD, they will release the redevelopment funds.

The grant agreement stipulates

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

that all funds must be drawn and the project 1 completed by September 30, 2 2013, or 3 undrawn funds will be recaptured by the U.S. Treasury, pursuant to 31 USC, Section 1552. 4 The HOPE VI grant will leverage 5 6 approximately \$88 million in private 7 public funds. stopped 8 1994, DCHA leasing Ιn 9 Sheridan Terrace, unsafe living due to conditions, and in 1996 relocated the few 10 11 families which remained on the site. 12 the families were relocated to other public housing sites, and other families obtained a 13 Section 8 voucher to rent in the private 14 15 market. In June, 2005, DCHA submitted its 16 first of three HOPE VI grant applications for 17 the Sheridan Terrace project. In 2005, there 18 19 were 14 community meetings and the former 20 residents of Sheridan Terrace participated in 21 great numbers.

In 2007, there were five HOPE VI

training sessions and a 14-hour charrette, which included participants such as the City Council members, Metropolitan Police, representatives from the utility companies, community stakeholders, and the District Government. At each of the meetings, approximately 50 to 65 former residents and community members were in attendance. The planner development master and teams interacted with the community on the physical plan, unit types, unit tenure, and density. We also worked with the community to determine which supportive services were needed by the community to assist former residents to return to the community as renters and homeowners.

In August, 2006, DCHA contracted with the Wheeler Creek Community Development Corporation to provide outreach and case management services to former residents of Sheridan Terrace. Based on the initial needs assessment of 87 families, services for education, job training, employment, child

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

care, health and other potential obstacles to achieving economic and housing self-sufficiency were identified.

The needs assessment indicated 77 families wished to return to the site. Respondents to the assessment and management have indicated the need for a broad range of services, including substance abuse treatment, family counseling, parenting domestic violence counseling, and skills. counseling for overall health.

Thirty-three organizations around the District of Columbia committed to providing services to former residents of Sheridan Terrace. The HOPE VI grant will fund \$1.2 million for programs and DCHA leveraged \$6 million worth of services.

Once again, DCHA is in the process of developing the community and supportive services program plan, and the preliminary list of service providers includes Union Temple CDC to provide substance abuse

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Planning Organization to provide workforce development training, computer training, and GED, Wheeler Creek CDC to provide case management, Howard University will provide the business development training, Department of Employment Services will provide apprenticeship and job training, and Sasha Briggs will provide youth programs, family counseling and health prevention.

We are working with several other organizations to provide additional services such as the D.C. Student's Construction Foundation.

The CSS program will serve former residents of Sheridan Terrace, and residents of other Ward 8 public housing and assisted housing, who will reside at the new Sheridan Terrace. Case management and other services will be located near the site at the apartments at Washington View.

DCHA is currently in the process

2.

of developing the Sheridan Terrace Steering Committee, which advises the Executive Director on the progress of the overall The Steering Committee meets monthly project. and hosts the Community Task Force meeting at large on a quarterly basis. There are several subcommittees to the Steering Committee which members of DCHA and William C. Smith staff will participate, such the CSSP as Subcommittee, the Special Needs Subcommittee, and Re-entry Subcommittee. The Re-entry Subcommittee will develop the re-entry detailed plan criteria which is а describes the criteria all families must meet to reside in one of the 110 public housing units at Sheridan Terrace.

The re-entry criteria will describe the preferences, former residents of Sheridan Terrace have first preference, and it will outline any credit criteria, criminal background, and housekeeping criteria which will prepare families to return to the site.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

In DCHA's portfolio of 1,060 units 1 constructed or under construction on HOPE VI 2 3 sites, 302 families have returned, which is 28 percent. DCHA and a development team for the 4 Glencrest project, formerly East Gate Gardens, 5 will begin the first phase of occupancy in the 6 7 next few weeks, and like Sheridan it was demolished approximately eight to ten years 8 9 prior to redevelopment. As of today, 21 former residents 10 11 approved to re-occupy the 61 housing units, and seven returning families 12 have pre-approval letters to purchase units. 13 In conclusion, Sheridan Terrace is 14 a very exciting project in the District's Ward 15 DCHA and the development teams look 16 working with Hillsdale 17 forward to the community over the next five years, as we 18 19 develop and construct a safe, healthy and 20 vibrant neighborhood. This HOPE VI project, like the six 21

before it, will be a test of our creativity,

1 commitment and stamina, to meet the established deadline of September 30, 2013. 2. 3 I thank you for the opportunity to testify before you, and will be happy to 4 answer any of your questions. 5 MS. GRORDANO: I'm assuming you 6 7 want to ask questions at the end of the entire presentation. 8 9 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes, we'll wait until the presentation has been complete, but 10 11 let me just acknowledge that we've been joined by the Vice-Chairman of the Zoning Commission, 12 Vice-Chair Jeffries. 13 Thank you. 14 15 MS. GRORDANO: Thank you. 16 Now we are going to proceed to the Applicant's presentation, and it will be a 17 panel, two representatives from the Smith 18 19 Company, Mr. Fennel and Mr. Engel, and 20 Reverend Wilson from the Union Temple Baptist Church will also be speaking, and we'll start 21

with Mr. Fennel.

FENNEL: Good evening. MR. name is Brad Fennel, and I'm a Senior Vice President of William C. Smith & Company. here tonight representing the Joint Venture of Redevelopment, Sheridan Terrace LLC, partnership between Union Temple Community Development Corporation, Jackson the Investment Company, and William C. Smith & Company.

The District of Columbia Housing
Authority selected our team to be the lead
developer of this HOPE VI redevelopment, and
we are pleased to be before you this evening
presenting this highly-visible project that
serves as a gateway to Anacostia.

William C. Smith & Company has owned, managed and developed housing in the District of Columbia for four decades. We are a District-based company with 12,000 units of housing in its management portfolio, 4,400 of those units are located east of the Anacostia River. The firm has specialized in urban

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

redevelopment projects that incorporate the repositioning of multi-family communities, as well as ground-up new construction of for sale units.

Our recent development projects in Ward 8 include the town homes at Oxon Creek, a 210=unit for sale community, which received the ULI Award Excellence, of and the redevelopment of the 25 acre Camp Simms site into two separate projects, the Shops at Park Village, a retail center anchored by a Giant supermarket, as well as Ashford Court, 75 single-family detached homes now selling between \$400,000 and \$650,000.

Our affiliate, WCS Construction, is headquartered in the ward and brings experience in new construction of commercial and residential structures, substantial renovation of large-scale multi-family historic renovation of projects, and commercial buildings.

In the past several years, WCS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Construction has engaged in nearly \$75 million of contracted work and achieved over 42 percent LSDB participation. Much of that participation, a significant portion, has come from contractors located east of the river.

William C. Smith & Company strongly vested in the communities in east The company created a non-profit Washington. organization which fund raised and developed a \$27 million town hall, education, arts and recreation campus. The ARC provides cultural arts, recreation, education, and healthcare services to the community, and was awarded a ULI Award of Excellence.

Union Temple Community Development Corporation is а non-profit organization started by the Union Temple Baptist Church, located just a few short blocks from Sheridan Terrace. For the past 30 years, Union Temple providing social services has been to throughout residents the ward, and recently formed a CDC to formally provide home

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

buyer education, job training, GED and life 1 skill classes. Union Temple CDC will provide 2. 3 resident training and enrichment to the former of Sheridan residents Terrace and the 4 surrounding community members. 5 The Jackson Investment Company is 6 7 a small, minority-owned, Ward 8 real estate development company, with strong roots in the 8 9 community. Clarence Jackson, Sr., along with his two sons, one a 20 year veteran of the 10 11 Police Department, and the other a ten-year veteran of the NFL, formed the investment 12 company to develop real estate and make a 13 difference in their community. 14 15 Since 1995, Jackson Investment 16 has acquired and renovated rental properties throughout the ward and are weeks 17 away from opening an I-Hop restaurant at the 18 19 Shops at Park Village, just a five-minute 20 drive from Sheridan Terrace. Jackson 21 Investment Company,

William C. Smith & Company, have been working

together for the past seven years, with WCS providing technical assistance and capacity building to their organization.

At this point, I'd like to turn it over to Reverend Willie Wilson, and ask him to speak to the historical context of this project, and bring some perspective of the neighborhood to the meaning of rebuilding Sheridan Terrace.

Good evening. REV. WILSON: I am Reverend Willie Wilson, the Pastor of Union Temple Baptist Church, in southeast Anacostia. I have been the Pastor there for the past 35 years, have been a resident of southeast Washington for 32 years. In that period of time, obviously, I've seen many changes take place in the neighborhood, some good, some I must say that this particular project bad. is one of the most exciting and pleasing to me be a part of and to know having been involved in housing rehab and development and helping many persons, both individually and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

collectively, to acquire properties who never 1 thought they could before. 2 3 I know of the great need in the community for this project, and I also know 4 how much of a difference it will make in the 5 lives of many of the families who have already 6 7 signed up and will be coming back to live in that particular site. 8 9 So, I'm going to be very brief in what I have to say, but just simply to say 10 11 that I am very much aware of the great need in 12 the neighborhood and community for adequate housing and the difference that it will make 13 crime, and in all the attendant 14 15 consequences for situations where the housing is not the best that it could possibly be. 16 So, I'm pleased to be a part of 17 this project today. 18 19 Thank you. 20 MS. GRORDANO: Now we'll go to Mr. Engel for a project overview. 21 22 MR. ENGEL: Good evening,

Commissioners. My name is Matt Engel, and I'm a Project Manager with William C. Smith in the District.

The Sheridan Terrace project is a proposed redevelopment project on around 12 acres of land along the Suitland Parkway, in the Hillsdale neighborhood of Anacostia.

Our redevelopment team proposes

344 units at a total development cost of \$105

million. It's a mixed tenure and mixed income

project. By mixed tenure, we have 161 for

sale units, and 183 rental units.

In terms of affordability, we have a third low income, a third moderate income, and a third market rate, 110 low, 119 moderate, and 115 market rate.

My goals for this presentation this evening are to very briefly describe the context in which we are developing, secondly, give you a fairly detailed rationale for the development choices that the team made as we looked at a fairly challenging site, and

2.

third, address the set down concerns that we heard in February from the Commission, and what we've done to respond to them.

This is a picture of the former Sheridan Terrace, which was built in 1959 as a model public housing project. By the '80s and '90s it had fallen under extremely hard times, and by the time it was demolished in 1997 was almost entirely vacant. It was much like what is in the neighborhood today, which is three to four-story, walk-up, multi-family rental housing, some in poor condition as you see on the right, some in moderate to fair condition, as you see on the left.

We are also starting to see a small amount of new in fill development on a patchwork number of sites within the neighborhood.

Obviously, there's a lot going on in the Hillsdale/Anacostia neighborhood. The Sheridan Terrace site is about a two-minute walk from the Anacostia Metro Station, which

2.

is a major transit hud and slated for redevelopment. It's also within walking distance of the Martin Luther King Avenue main street and the proposed South Capitol Street Bridge, not to mention a six-minute walk from Poplar Point.

As a development team, we made choices on the development, both from a physical perspective and from a programmatic perspective. We had a number of physical constraints and physical opportunities, and we had a number of programmatic constraints and programmatic opportunities.

What I'd like to do now is run through the major ones, and give you an idea of how we ended up where we are.

the with all choices we regarding the physical elements of the site, we tried to use the old Sheridan Terrace as a template for redeveloping the new Sheridan Terrace. We had site plans for the old Sheridan development. We had stories from

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

residents who lived there. We had photos.

2.

One of the few remaining vestiges of the old Sheridan Terrace, as you can see in the lower right-hand corner, is an existing ten-foot wall which holds the grade of the Sheridan Terrace site and separates by about ten feet the sidewalk and Sheridan Road from the site.

Our first goal was to take this wall down and to reconnect the site to the street. So, what you see on the top is our attempt to use buildings to disguise retaining walls and hold the grade within the building.

In our manor homes, which front Sheridan Road, the ten-foot retaining wall is now visible to the eye. It's actually buried in the back of a four-story walk-up manor flat, and grades continue to get taken up the site in one-foot increments as the town homes step up the site. So, by the time we get to the top of the site about 15 feet of grade is taken up without any retaining walls

whatsoever.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Once again, reconnecting the site to Sheridan Road was a major goal. one of the sites we visited and we took the community, it's HOPE VI project а in Alexandria called Chatham Square. As you can see, as you walk along the sidewalk there's five steps up to a green space mew, which brings you right into the center of the site. We based our mew prototype and our site plan that element, so there would be a on pedestrian connection to Sheridan Road.

Obviously, the former Sheridan Terrace was an unattractive gateway into the District. President Clinton used to drive out to Andrews Air Force Base along Suitland Parkway and say, what is that on my left? So now that we have an opportunity to actually create something there, we wanted to provide strong gateway architecture along the Parkway, and so our design team, which will go into this a little more in detail later on, created

the units with the most massing and really the most half spacing with Suitland Parkway. At the same time, we were faced with the challenge of wanting people to be a little bit insulated from the Parkway, so you can see most of our town homes are oriented in the opposite direction of the Parkway, so they are facing green space mews and don't look out on the Parkway altogether.

The old Sheridan Terrace, like many public housing projects, had a great deal of undefensible green space, which led to loitering, trash and crime. We, again, looked at projects like Chatham Square in Alexandria and tried to emulate a green space mew, which you see here on our project, which on each side is defended by front doors and three floors of windows, so we envision a place where children can play while their parents are watching from their kitchen or their bedroom window.

The former Sheridan Terrace,

again, like many public housing projects, was characterized entirely by multi-family buildings, with internal hallways, with large families and low-income residents the internal hallways became dark places which were not very safe and not very conducive to family living.

The new Sheridan Terrace, we achieved a density of 344 units, but only have one building with an internal corridor.

Almost all of our buildings have individual front doors, giving a sense of privacy and ownership.

Our civil engineer, Dewberry, will go into this more in detail later on, to address some of your concerns from set down, but clearly site drainage was an issue at the old Sheridan Terrace. So, what we've done as a team is researched and engineered as many low-impact development techniques as possible to absorb rain water, to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff, and to reduce the amount of

2.

flooding on the site.

2.

Several elements that we are using are hydrodynamic separators, bio-retention and ex-filtration, about 16 of them located on the site, a vegetative green roof, permeable pavers, and an underground rain cistern in the multi-family building.

Finally, as we all know, sites close to the Metro are few and far between, and the former Sheridan Terrace didn't take advantage of this. When we decided to design our building with the highest density, which is a 104 unit, four-story loft apartment building, we located it closest to the Metro. You can literally walk out your front door of this building and within two minutes be at the Anacostia Metro Station. We see this as a great opportunity.

Programmatically, Sheridan Terrace isn't your typical development, because as a development team we were faced with several constraints and goals provided by the D.C.

Housing Authority. First and foremost was to provide 110 public housing replacement units for residents of the former Sheridan Terrace and the current Berry Farms development.

We were also faced with the goal of providing one third low-income units, one third workforce, and one third market rate. So, with 110 low-income units that made our minimum target of units at least 330.

Finally, as a gateway site, and as company, and as the Housing Authority's goal, we attempted to adhere to higher than normal design standards on this site, and make sure that we distributed rental and for sale housing in every unit type, low-income housing in every unit type, which you'll see in the next several slides. We didn't have color renderings in your first set of drawings, we do now, and SK&I will be able to detail some of the choices made here in their presentation, but each one of these units has rental or for sale housing, and every unit has

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

low-income, moderate-income and market-rate housing.

The last part of my presentation I would like to focus specifically on the concerns that we heard from the Zoning Commission in February when we were set down. Obviously, first and foremost, we heard that the retaining wall that we proposed was much too large, had too much massing, and didn't have the human feel.

As you can see, this is the site plan we brought to you in December of 2007, and in the area in the circle we had about 440 feet of exposed space of retaining wall.

Today, in June of 2008, we have about 200 feet of exposed retaining wall, and also our retaining wall is set much farther back from the site than it was before.

The way that we accomplished this was by going out and purchasing a lot on the top of the hill, Lot 956, and adding about 21,000 square feet to the site. We also added

about five small Housing Authority parcels in this area, for a total of 43,000 square feet of additional land to lay back the wall.

Finally, and SK&I can also discuss this, we added a unit topology which is 34 feet wide and screens about 200 feet of the retaining wall from sight from Suitland Parkway or from anywhere else on the site internally.

Again, this was the section we showed you back in December, and got a very clear message from the Commissioners that this was not at all acceptable. I think it was called the wedding cake effect, and it was a series of 15-foot tiered retaining walls, set back from the curb five feet in its closest spot and about 20 feet in its farthest spot.

Where we ended up today, we think, is a much more pleasing perspective, and I attribute it mostly to the Commission pushing us, and then us taking the necessary steps to go out and acquire land to make this work.

2.

What we've done is, through adding the 43,000 square feet of land we were able to set back the wall, at its closest place, this is from the same spot, it's a little bit -the camera is turned a little right from where you were before, that's why you don't see that building, but the same spot, we were able to set the wall back 20 feet at its closest place and 80 feet at its farthest place, and add a total of 13,000 square feet of green space to the site. Also, by being able to pull the walls back further into the site, we could add much more landscaping between the walls, and give the site a much more human feel.

Once again, being pushed in this direction by the Zoning Commission, I think brought us to a place where we are much more comfortable with, as the development team.

We also were able to specify, with our geotechnical engineer and our retaining wall experts, the best system from a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

construction standpoint, and also from an aesthetic standpoint, for the site. The wall that people will touch and feel will really be the wall along Sheridan Road, which right now is a 10-foot wall, it would be brought down to about three to four feet, and we are going to use a natural stone wall with steps along Sheridan Road.

In the rear of the site, we have proposed to use a keystone wall, which is a segmental block wall, with landscaping on each tier, and again, Parker Rodriguez representing site planning and landscape architect, can describe that a little more in detail.

Finally, another concern we heard very clearly at the set down was the need for adequate stormwater management, given the slope. The brown lines on the site represent our new proposed stormwater management system, and we've proposed catching all the stormwater runoff from the top of the highest wall, as you see in the brown sections here, and piping

2.

it down underneath the wall into a stormwater system below.

On the top of every retaining wall we propose to have a swale, where water will be caught, hit a storm inlet, and be piped off.

Finally, we also heard a desire to soften our parking courtyards in the rear, which were all asphalt at the time we presented to you in December, 2007. Now we've added green space to every courtyard, which doubles as a planting area and also as a biofiltration system, when our civil engineer testifies he'll be able to describe exactly that operates, but it both softens the courtyard and serves as stormwater management benefit.

In all, we feel like we've ended up with a better product than we were before.

It's been quite a long process, and a collaborative process, both from the Zoning Commission, and from the community, and from

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

our design team, we think we've ended up in a 1 2 good place. 3 Thank you. MS. GRORDANO: Thanks, Matt. 4 We are going to change seats here 5 now, and ask the architects to come up. 6 7 MR. KIRKDIL: Hi, my name is Sami Kirkdil with SK&I Architects for the 8 9 principals. We are located at 7735 Old Georgetown Road, Bethesda, Maryland 20814. 10 11 I think I'm going to talk a little bit about the process it took us to come up 12 with this site plan. It's been a long, lengthy 13 process, a lot of community involvement. 14 15 We've been working on this project almost more 16 than two years. It's a very challenging site. 17 have, basically, almost 70 feet of grade from 18 19 north and south, and almost, you know, ten to 20 20 feet grade across the site. So, one of the 21 things that we wanted to do was, you know,

kind of creating topologies to -- as Matt was

talking about earlier -- to pick up these grades.

It was, you know, it was kind of very challenging to achieve that, and one of the points -- I think there were several issues in the site, there was noise, basically, coming from Sheridan Terrace somehow locating majority units perpendicular to Sheridan Terrace Road, we kind of buffered that issue.

And, the other thing was, basically, creating parking courts and hiding most of the parking from the public view, and also locating most of the parking spaces inside the buildings, or either in the garages, or individual garages, or underground parking under, basically, apartment building.

We have several unit types, basically, to -- I mean, this kind of explains it a little bit more, the yellow kind of explains the manor houses, they are four stories, they are individual flats with the

stair kind of connect them. We have,
basically, -- units, basically, for sale
units, as well as affordable units in those
buildings.

Those topologies, basically, take almost ten feet grade. They have a retaining wall behind them, so townhouses kind of start behind them.

Next to the manor houses we have, you know, cottages, again, along the Sheridan Terrace. They kind of take ten feet as well, and there's a gap between, you know, the cottages that, basically, allows us to bring the pipes from the parking lots into the Sheridan Terrace along here.

And then, we have -- townhouses, basically, located behind, you know, the ushaped courtyards. Basically, what we have is, you know, public courts and the mews that are perpendicular to Sheridan Terrace, and, you know, basically, they are taking ten feet here. We are sloping each townhouse, stepping

it up, taking another five, six feet. We had to also come up with another topology here to pick up another ten feet along there. These, basically, narrow wide townhouses, they have a one-car garage, front loaded, and their backyard is, basically, ten feet higher than front doors.

The other topology that we are using is, basically, 2 over 2 units. They will be like four-story buildings, two-story townhouse, they will have individual garages that connect to the unit. We have two bedroom and three bedroom units along this topology.

And, another topology is, basically, again, we have townhouse community, upper part of the community north of the project, we are, basically, segregating two parts, because of the grade differences. There's a retaining wall, basically, this becomes in the higher plateau, and this is in the lower plateau, as well as we have 104 units of apartment building along Sheridan

Terrace, close to the Metro. This building 1 has, basically, flex space in the first floor, 2 3 in that there is parking behind them, and then again, this building, you know, takes ten to 4 15 feet of grade from Sheridan Terrace to the 5 back street. 6 7 These are the existing conditions, basically, existing retaining wall, some of 8 9 the slope, and incredible views from the site. This 10 is, you know, the new 11 proposed development along Sheridan Terrace. 12 These are the manor houses, and then these are the cottages, basically, either side, and then 13 townhouses along. 14 15 is, basically, а rental apartment building along Sheridan Terrace. 16 There will be flex space in the first floor, 17 and then apartments above, and parking behind 18 19 there as well. 20 This kind of explains a little bit, basically, these are the manor houses, 21 22 four story, there's grade а ten feet

difference, you know, basically, a retaining 1 wall and staircases takes you to upper mews, 2 3 and then these townhouses kind of step up so we pick up a little more grade, and then these 4 are wide, you know, and long townhouses. 5 also pick up ten feet, you can see the 6 7 retaining wall from there. So, we are going from there to there, basically. 8 9 These are the views along Sheridan Terrace. You can see, 10 basically, 11 manor houses, as well as the cottages. This is the 2 over 2s along the --12 again, 2 over 2s along the side street, and, 13 actually, you can see the mews, manor houses 14 15 and townhouses either side. We will have, basically, some of the units will have porches 16 to kind of activate this space, and this is 17 one of the side streets that kind of, 18 19 basically, backs up to the courtyard. 20 This is the street, basically, you have 2 over 2s either side of the street. 21 22 Again, similar thing.

And, if you have any questions or anything -- generally, we are using new traditional residential architecture, you know, similar to things that you would see in any kind of D.C. neighborhood.

MR. PARKER: Thank you.

For the record, my name is Jay Parker. I'm a land planner and representing Parker Rodriguez, 101 North Union Street, Alexandria, Virginia, and we are also having in the audience there some of my registered landscape architects, but I'm only going to address site planning, but give you an overview of some of the landscape decisions and directions that the rest of the staff has given.

Sami has already run through most of the site plan issues, but I'm going to address a couple of the issues.

First of all, there is a roof top, the garage extends -- there's parking under the building, and the garage extends out here

and at grade level, at ground level on this area, we are actually introducing roof top planting and bio-planting in that area.

And then, I'm going to address a little bit the mews and the different types of mews. Each mew will be a little bit different. We want to break up the monotony so that there is no monotony along Sheridan Road here, and then I will be addressing again a little bit terracing back the wider benching that we have now along the terraces, and the reforestation or the naturalization on the very top edge, which really didn't show in that one little computer model that was done. Okay?

Taking a closer look, now you can see a little bit of the ground level roof terrace here with the cistern that will pull water off the roof and store that, and it will keep that water in that area. We will have street trees and the Washington street lights all along Sheridan Road and on all the feeder

2.

roads leading into Sheridan Road.

This is Sales Place up in here, and street trees, obviously, there.

This is the southern half of the project, this is Pomeroy over here, and you can see there's shallow wide units, townhouses here. We have very elaborate landscaping in each of those mews. we've taken some -- made some effort to both landscape bio-swales with some trees in them in the back end of private automobile courts, but also when you look, taking a closer look at this area, which is a further effort to screen the parking from this lane that serves the backs of these units.

Once again, to reiterate what everybody has said, what we tried to do is, in a de-urbanus form, they saw the buildings out to the public streets, tuck in all the parking wherever possible in the back.

This is one typical mew, double stairway, perhaps, and a single -- this would be a situation where it's all level and this

comes back to that lane that runs down the back. This is Sheridan Road and the sidewalk along Sheridan Road, the retaining wall that was mentioned, that little five foot wall, isn't constant along here, it actually comes along at the bottom of the manor houses here, then it drops back to get landscaping, and then comes back out to Sheridan Road. So, you've got all this break as you go along Sheridan Road, the sidewalk, with landscaped little courtyards.

This is just another one, and you can see Sheridan is out here, and the manor houses here, and the townhouses have their individual doorways facing into each other, and not absorbing the sound from Suitland Parkway.

This one is a little more complicated. We do have a condition where we do have a lower -- sort of a lower walk and an upper walk, to take up a little bit of grade here. So, we are filling that with little

2.

seating areas and gardens there. 1 This is a playground -- this is 2 3 two of the playground areas, pretty much in the middle of the project. That little lane 4 that runs in the back is just off the picture 5 We plan to have picnic tables, seating 6 7 areas, sort of an older child, six to 12, play area here, and a junior child, one to 8 9 six, here, two to six playground area here. This is a little bit higher level. 10 11 This is on the upper level of townhouses, and 12 we have another play area there with some play equipment and some seating areas up there. 13 We'll probably have some grills down here, 14 15 too, community grills. is at the end of Sales 16 This Street, it's another small playground area 17 that will be located in this area. 18 19 Some of the equipment that 20 anticipate. again, 21 The lighting, the 22 Washington standard globe along the public

street, internal to the site we are very conscious about dark skies, and we are trying to promote that, as well as any other environmental issues, so we are looking at one of these two alternatives right now for the interior lighting, but still ornamental and fairly attractive, I think. And then, very substantial steel -- furniture.

Some of the landscape materials we'd be using for street trees, we very much believe in street trees. We think it transforms a whole neighborhood. We'll be putting them in the -- at the curb line, at, approximately, 35 feet on center, and be using both London Plane and willow oak. tighter areas we are going to be using upright maples, so that we can get the nice shade trees in those mews, and then ornamental trees as well, and then at the ends of each of those parking courtyards we are looking at -- and holly -- American holly on the sides, and I'm going to talk about this in another drawing

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

that comes next. This is a small southern

Magnolia. We hope to plant some fairly large

ones to screen some of those parking courts.

Two types of stone wall were mentioned earlier, I won't belabor it, but the keystone stone wall -- keystone concrete segmented wall here, but the stone wall out in front, and clustering plantings along that stone wall, both planted in the areas between the different benched retaining walls, but also using winter jasmine to hang down over the tops of the walls and juniper. And then just at the bottom here, this is sort of one of the examples of some of the roof top terraces, plantings that we are going to do over that garage.

at the ends of the parking courtyards. It will be a lot wider than this. This is sort of a typical one, and ours will be a little larger, and we will use some tree forms, some small tree forms, a river birch, that sort of

thing, and water-loving plants. It helps filter the stormwater run off, and then it's picked up by a drain. I'll let Peter cover that in a second.

This is how we are pulling the walls back, and this is a little bit misleading. We have -- in most places we have much larger areas than this between the retaining walls, this would be sort of the worst case scenario. And, this is that lane that runs down the back.

And then at the top, the trees that we are disturbing to build this, we had a tree -- an arborist from Caro Trees come out, and he identified all those -- most of the trees up there, no specimen trees, most of the trees were diseased and/or weed trees. edge, we are going to be Along this naturalizing that whole edqe aqain, and planting good, solid indigenous trees to keep that profile of trees back behind the Sheridan -- new Sheridan Terrace.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

And finally, this is a view of the 1 2 again, and you can see there's wide 3 shadows in the background, street trees along that back lane, too. We are very anxious to 4 see street trees on all those areas. 5 That's it. 6 Thank you. 7 Peter? Good evening. 8 MR. OKAFOR: 9 name is Peter Okafor, Civil Engineer with Dewberry & Davis, Civil Engineer in charge of 10 11 the project. Mr. Engel spoke already, I think 12 he did do a very good job explaining what we 13 are doing with the stormwater management. 14 15 going to give an overview again, and be ready to take any questions, but I'll try and give 16 you an overview. 17 What I've done here with the --18 19 this is the stormwater management flush 20 drainage area, not off Stanton Road. The blue 21 lines you see over here are drainage area

marks, and the magenta or purple lines you see

1	are storm drains.
2	We have little triangles that we
3	show
4	VICE-CHAIRPERSON JEFFRIES: Do you
5	have a pointer?
6	MR. OKAFOR: Yes, I do.
7	VICE-CHAIRPERSON JEFFRIES: Oh,
8	okay.
9	MR. OKAFOR: The blue lines over
10	here, the drainage areas, the magenta lines
11	are the storm drains, and the little triangles
12	over here represent the hydrodynamic systems
13	that we are using to get storm water
14	management.
15	We have the green roof, which Jay
16	just mentioned a little earlier, on top of the
17	garages over here, and on this side the little
18	green area here you see are the bio-filters
19	which we introduced, they were not there the
20	last time. I'll explain about those a little
21	more.
22	This is south of Stanton Road,

this is a little more interesting. What I'll try to do with this slide is to show you the off-site drainage area, all this area in grey shade is the off-site drainage area. What we have done is that we are going to make sure that none of this off-site drainage goes through the site. So, the entire area of about 3.4 acres is going to be picked up by storm drains in each layer of retaining wall, and is going to be piped right out of the site. So, there's no interaction with off-site drainage area and the development at all.

All of the outside drainage area is going to be piped straight out to public storm drains. We have adequate public storm drains in southeast. Over here there's the separate systems, the storm drains are separated from the side entry.

This is the bio-filter layout at the motor courts, like everybody has explained. This is actually a scale drawing, so this shows the actual size of the bio area.

bio-filter Wе doina it and are In southeast D.C., the soil exfiltration. type is a clay nature soil, which is not very good for infiltration, so it's not advisable to get water in the ground because of the clay, the nature of -- the clay nature of the soil. It doesn't take the water very well. So, we are doing with this is, we are doing ex-filtration, we are going to pass them through the media, all the correct media, the plants and everything, and we are going to take it out through a storm drain to the public system.

This is a typical section of the bio-filter. I tried to show you motor courts, this is the motor court area. This is the plantings and the perforated pipe under here. We also have an overflow catch basin. There's an opening between the buildings, because of the grade of the site we designed then to have an opening of an overflow system, so that you don't have any flooding. All the grading is

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

done so the water will come to the center, and if for any reason there's any blockage it will go straight out to Sheridan Terrace without affecting the homes.

The bio-retention system will treat the water and go out to the public storm drain on Sheridan Terrace.

This existing -- this overflow system will be needed if for any reason we have a 100 year storm, or a storm that is unusual. The water will go straight out without creating any flooding.

We mentioned this earlier as well, this is just a typical section to the retaining wall. What we are doing is, we are running a set of swales behind each wall, so this would be the large drainage area that I showed, it's going to be picked up in the storm drains, each section will be picked up by a swale and piped to a storm drain, and piped out before getting to the development. So, that's a very interesting aspect of the

2.

project.

That's, basically, what we are doing for stormwater management. We feel that we've treated you right, we've had meetings with DDOE, and we've -- you know, everybody is in agreement with the concept that we are showing.

So, I'll be willing to answer any questions you may have.

Thank you.

MS. GRORDANO: That concludes our presentation.

I did want to mention that when -a few weeks ago when we realized we were going
to be adding land, we reissued the hearing
notice to 200-foot property owners beyond the
boundary, with 200 feet of the new boundary of
the site. And, in fact, the initial notice
was over-inclusive and included 200 feet
beyond the boundary that we have now. So, we
just wanted to make sure that everybody within
200 feet of the new boundary knew about the

1	public hearing.
2	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you, Ms.
3	Grordano and everyone for the presentation.
4	I just want to get a feel for
5	where we are. Is Commissioner I guess,
6	Chairman Mohammed in the audience? Okay,
7	somebody is going to okay, Chairman
8	Mohammed okay. I know Ms. Cuthbert, I saw
9	her.
10	Let me just ask, I just need a
11	show of hands, I don't want to jump too much
12	because we haven't asked our questions yet,
13	how many people are here in support, who will
14	be testifying in support? And, I will be
15	getting the list, but I just want to see. In
16	support, how many people are here in support?
17	How many people are here that will be
18	testifying in opposition? Okay. All right.
19	I usually do that so I know pretty
20	much how long our night is going to be. So,
21	I let the cat out of the bag. Okay.
22	Colleagues, let me start off, Ms.

1	Grordano, help me understand, while my
2	colleagues are getting ready for their
3	questions, because I'm sure we are going to
4	rename the wedding cake tonight so I'm
5	interested in what the new name is going to
6	be, the amenities package, let's talk about
7	that a little bit, because when I was reading,
8	I saw somewhere where the circulation plan, or
9	the circulation pattern was part of the
10	amenities package. Help me understand the
11	amenities package for this project.
12	And, I will say the affordability,
13	I will tell you that I was happy to see it
14	going from zero to 30 percent, so I will tell
15	you that, that was a plus for me.
16	But, help me with the amenities
17	package.
18	MS. GRORDANO: I'm going to turn
19	this over to Mr. Engel, because he
20	MR. ENGEL: The answer is, there's
21	no specific amenity package detailed in the
22	submission.

1	MS. GRORDANO: The project
2	includes, the project amenities are,
3	obviously, the playgrounds, the green space.
4	The key public benefit of this project is the
5	affordability, and what we think is the
6	exceptional design of the project.
7	CHAIRMAN HOOD: So, when I'm
8	reading and I see the circulation, that's
9	really not
10	MS. GRORDANO: I'm not sure what
11	you are referring to. We also have the flex
12	space on the ground floor.
13	CHAIRMAN HOOD: And, that's just
14	it, it's all over the place, so I was just
15	trying to fine tune what was it.
16	MS. GRORDANO: Right.
17	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Besides, I already
18	understood the affordability is definitely
19	understood.
20	MS. GRORDANO: Okay, and then we
21	didn't really touch on the flex space in the
22	presentation, but, Mr. Engel, you might want

to just elaborate on what you envision that 1 2 space would be. 3 MR. ENGEL: We left about 5,000, square feet of what we are calling 4 flexible space, flex space, on the ground 5 floor of the four-story apartment building. 6 7 The development team didn't proforma income coming from that space, 8 so 9 prepared to make it work without a rent-paying tenant, and we've been in conversations with 10 11 community about what the right use is, and also with the Office of Planning. 12 Right now, we've talked about a 13 neighborhood networks 14 computer center, 15 meeting place for the community. We just 16 haven't come to a decision, but it is a space that's reserved within the ground floor of the 17 multi-family building 18 for of some sort 19 neighborhood or community use. 20 CHAIRMAN HOOD: And, Ms. Grordano, I just want to move, before the final order I 21 22 think we need to -- that needs to be spelled

out, actually, before -- needs to spell out exactly what's what, social services, Union Temple CDC, I mean, that really needs to be flushed out in the order. So, if there are any -- there are no questions once this -- if this is approved, of what's what.

MS. GRORDANO: Okay.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay? Okay.

The other thing, I quess that's Mr. Okafor, I quess this question, let me ask them before you come forward, the District Department of the Environment, and I'll just read it, "The run off at the end of the run remains to be resolved. Once the final design is presented to the Office, particular attention must be paid to the protection of existing properties from flooding and possible drainage issues. This correspondence should not be considered as an approval for stormwater management, rather an acceptance of conceptual design." And, some of this may go to you, Ms. Grordano.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

If the design -- first of all, if 1 this has been satisfied, this issue that DOE 2 3 has, has been satisfied, then it's fine. if it has not been satisfied, we have to come 4 back or make a major modification in design, 5 I think you would have to come back and see 6 7 us, am I correct? MR. OKAFOR: That letter was 8 9 written by the DDOE engineer. What he was -what I believe he is trying to say in the 10 11 letter is that he has reviewed the concept, stormwater management, storm drain concept, 12 and he's in agreement with it. 13 However, he couldn't at this time 14 15 the Board that everything is reviewed final 16 because he hasn't the engineering, which will be the actual sizing 17 of the storm drain system, to make sure that 18 19 they are sized to D.C. standards. 20 So, he's protecting it's actually good, he's saying I have looked at 21 22 the concept, I agree with the concept, but I

need to look at engineering documents to say, 1 2 yes, we are good. 3 And, the mention of flooding is Until he reviews and about sizing. 4 approves our engineering calculations to see 5 that we have sized the pipes actually 6 7 convey water, you know, that's what he's pointed out. 8 9 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Right, but my question goes a little further even also, 10 11 because if that is an issue will that come back and affect some of the design, something 12 may have to move, or something may have to be 13 twisted or turned. Do you follow me, Ms. 14 Grordano? 15 16 MS. GRORDANO: Yes. 17 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Do you want to turn your microphone on? 18 19 MS. GRORDANO: Sorry. 20 Let me ask the witness, do you think there's enough flexibility within the 21 22 concept plan that you've proffered to the

Commission to make any adjustments that you 1 may need to make in the final design of the 2 3 system? Well, I'm pretty MR. OKAFOR: 4 comfortable as a professional engineer to say 5 here that this system as designed will work, 6 7 and that there should be no reason, even if there's a change, there's enough flexibility 8 9 that we don't have to redesign the entire site. 10 11 CHAIRMAN HOOD: That's what I wanted to know. Okay. 12 Thank you. Let me see, DDOT, DDOT has -- let 13 me just read or highlight a few things, and we 14 got this this evening, hopefully, everyone has 15 had a chance to review it -- DDOT has no 16 objection to the proposed non-residential 17 management office and training facility when 18 19 assessing this commercial zoning. 20 Now, here's the catch, "Provided these are the only non-residential uses 21

DDOT has no objection to the

the program,

rezoning. DDOT stands ready to work closely 1 with the applicant to coordinate traffic and 2 3 roadway improvements." So, that remains the same. 4 I spoke with Mr. 5 MS. GRORDANO: Bah, actually, on the way into the hearing, 6 7 and I think his concern was about potential retail or commercial uses, where we have flex 8 9 space, and that is not in our program, that won't -- you know, there won't be flexibility 10 11 to do that in the order. And, I think OP has 12 that same concern, so I think that addresses that. 13 CHAIRMAN HOOD: I could have 14 15 probably asked Mr. Bah. I understand he's Yes, I probably could have waited and 16 asked him that, but thank you, Ms. Grordano. 17 And the other issue about 18 ΒP 19 Sayles Place LLC has been, I quess, withdrawn. 20 That's no longer an issue, from what we 21 received tonight. 22 MS. GRORDANO: Well, they have

1	signed an application to put their property in
2	the PUD.
3	CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right. Let me
4	do oh, Ms I'm looking for her name, but
5	I'm going to call it Ms. Smyser.
6	MS. SMYSER: Yes.
7	CHAIRMAN HOOD: You knew I was
8	going to ask you one or two questions.
9	I understand about the regulation
10	in the Treasury. I think we had another case
11	where I heard all that, so I'm not
12	MS. SMYSER: Yes.
13	CHAIRMAN HOOD: going to ask
14	you anything about that.
15	MS. SMYSER: Okay.
16	CHAIRMAN HOOD: For the City, this
17	is the 7th project, HOPE VI?
18	MS. SMYSER: This is our 7th HOPE
19	VI, yes.
20	CHAIRMAN HOOD: And, 21 residents
21	have already been, I guess, approved through
22	the re-entry process.

1	MS. SMYSER: Right, at Glencrest,
2	at the former East Gate Gardens, we have 61
3	public housing units and 21 former residents
4	have already been approved. We are in our
5	first phase of occupancy.
6	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Oh, for that
7	project.
8	MS. SMYSER: Yes.
9	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. I
10	thought you were asking I thought we were
11	well ahead on this one.
12	MS. SMYSER: No.
13	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, I was going
14	to say
15	MS. SMYSER: Haven't started yet.
16	CHAIRMAN HOOD: okay, but it's
17	going to be similar to this, the re-entry for
18	this?
19	MS. SMYSER: Yes, I cited that
20	projected because it was demolished about ten
21	years ago and the residents were all moved
22	away, and it has about the same size case load

1	of returning residents. So, that's why I
2	cited the Glencrest project.
3	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, and I guess
4	the same type of format is going to happen
5	here, or something similar?
6	MS. SMYSER: Yes.
7	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.
8	MS. SMYSER: Of course, we'll work
9	with the residents, along with William C.
10	Smith Management Company and the Housing
11	Authority to develop the re-entry criteria,
12	and through the CSSP the residents will be
13	prepared to move back.
14	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Can we get a feel,
15	Ms. Grordano, before final exactly on how
16	that's going to transpire on this project
17	also?
18	MS. GRORDANO: Yes.
19	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.
20	MS. GRORDANO: How the re-entry or
21	the re-occupancy will work?
22	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Re-entry, and how

all that's going to work. 1 2 MS. GRORDANO: Yes. 3 CHAIRMAN HOOD: And, I say before final, I didn't say before -- we have to take 4 two actions on this case. 5 Okay. Of course, 6 MS. GRORDANO: 7 the re-entry criteria will be developed by the Authority with William C. 8 Housing Smith 9 Management Company, former residents, and community stakeholders. 10 11 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, and even if that -- that is in here, if that's already in 12 here I missed it, but even that language 13 before final order -- before the final order. 14 15 MS. GRORDANO: Okay, and then when 16 that plan is adopted by the Steering Committee, it's sent to HUD for concurrence, 17 and then it's distributed to all of the 18 19 participants in the community and supportive 20 services programs, so that they know what the criteria and what's expected of them to return 21

to the site.

And then, of course, the community and supportive services program works with them on any credit issues that could come up, if there's anything in their background that could come up and prevent them from coming, so we'll work with them through CSSP to remove all, you know, barriers that would prevent them to coming back to the site.

Of course, we'll also work with them on home ownership as well, if they choose not to rent, and choose to purchase a unit.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: And, I asked for that because, Ms. Smyser, this is about 12 years before your time, I'm sure you wouldn't do this, another project in the City had the same -- I was on the citizen side helping the community, and I will tell you that when it came time to produce some of the exact same things that you are speaking of everyone got amnesia. So, that's why I'm asking, to make sure that we have something, Ms. Grordano, that's in the record before final vote.

1	MS. GRORDANO: All right.
2	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay?
3	MS. GRORDANO: Yes.
4	CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right. That's
5	all I have.
6	Colleagues? I gave you plenty of
7	time, going down this way, Commissioner
8	Turnbull.
9	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Thank you.
10	Obviously, this project has come a
11	long way since our last presentation, and
12	there's a lot, I think, the goals of the
13	project are to be commended. I think this is
14	a very good project.
15	I think most of my questions are
16	primarily technical, dealing with I guess
17	the first thing is the increased the extra
18	43,000 square feet, and that's by the eastern
19	end of it?
20	MS. GRORDANO: Do you want to put
21	the slide up?
22	MR. ENGEL: Sure, that's right, it

1	is the eastern end.
2	MS. GRORDANO: While Matt is
3	trying to find that slide, I'm going to ask
4	the architects to come on up, because I'm sure
5	you are going to have questions for them.
6	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.
7	MR. ENGEL: Here we go.
8	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Is the
9	additional property how far it's just
10	above the planted area that you are showing?
11	MR. ENGEL: I think in total there
12	were four lots added to the site plan to total
13	at 43,000 square feet of land. One was Lot
14	956, which is this parcel here, so it starts
15	right down here, and goes all the way up.
16	It's a rectangle.
17	This is the lot that formerly
18	wrote the letter of opposition, that's now
19	signed on to the PUD, and that we've acquired
20	during the process of our plan.
21	And, the other parcels were a
22	number of very small parcels owned by the D.C.

Housing Authority. For some reason, we didn't 1 it belonged to 2. realize the D.C. Housing 3 Authority when we went in originally, when we did some more title research we found them, 4 5 and that's what brought -- we were able to bring the retaining walls back here, and they 6 7 don't go all the way up the hill, they are sort of a triangle right here. 8 9 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: So, the properties above that you don't own, that's 10 11 not --12 MR. ENGEL: No. 13 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: -- but you showed on your drainage sort of encompassing 14 15 some of that area, I think. 16 MR. ENGEL: Actually, those parcels on the top of the hill are controlled 17 by the William C. Smith Company. The reason 18 19 they are left blank is because most of the 20 land right here in the middle was above that 25 percent slope threshold and we decided not 21

to develop housing on it.

1	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: And so,
2	that won't be developed in the future then,
3	this is going to be
4	MR. FENNEL: Maybe I can address
5	that. At the very Brad Fennel again at
6	the very top of the hill it flattens back out
7	until it hits Douglas Place, which I think you
8	can see the driveway.
9	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Right.
10	MR. FENNEL: There is a little
11	house that's up there, a flat area. We are
12	looking at opportunities in the future for
13	doing maybe a small condominium building or
14	something like that, but, obviously, it will
15	be in concert with what gets built below, and
16	I think hearing the concern, and wanting to
17	address fully the concern about drainage and
18	the wall system that's part of this project,
19	we wanted to make sure that we went to every
20	length possible to
21	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay.
22	MR. FENNEL: assuage your fears

1	or concerns about drainage.
2	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: That's
3	what I was just curious, because I think I saw
4	purple lines that sort of showed drainage
5	going up on top, and it sort of made you
6	think, well, you own that property.
7	MR. FENNEL: Yes. The Smith
8	Company does own it, although it's not part of
9	this application.
10	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: And, those
11	drains, if I understood, go directly they
12	are not going through the system of the
13	development.
14	MR. OKAFOR: Yes, you are correct,
15	they are not going through the system of the
16	development. We are going to bring them down
17	the retaining wall, and we are going to pipe
18	them out to the street.
19	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay.
20	MR. OKAFOR: Those pipes as well
21	are going to be designed a size for an
22	ultimate build out, so that whenever anything

1	comes in the future, regardless of what it is,
2	the pipes are going to be sized adequately to
3	carry the water out.
4	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay. I
5	guess the question related to the same area,
6	is the space behind the well, I guess I
7	heard them called the low wide units right
8	below the wall there, there's about eight or
9	ten of them, what how much what's the
10	space behind there now? It's ten feet, or 20,
11	or 15?
12	MR. OKAFOR: I think the is the
13	second floor has a patio, and it has 15 feet
14	of backyard, and then there's a small
15	retaining wall.
16	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: But, is it
17	level throughout there? There's a swale
18	coming how does the water flow back there?
19	Which way is it going? Is there a section?
20	MR. OKAFOR: Yes.
21	Kevin is my designer, he actually
22	did most of the grading. What he's saying is

1	that behind there is graded to flow not south,
2	out to the site, and they are going to be
3	picked up by the drains.
4	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay. I
5	mean, I was just concerned, because your
6	section you've obviously got the wall
7	that's picking up any of the water coming
8	down, and you are trying to and then you've
9	got the space between the wall and the units.
10	MR. OKAFOR: Correct.
11	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: And,
12	that's fairly level, but it's going
13	MR. OKAFOR: It's going to go, not
14	south out, and picked up by the on-site storm
15	drains.
16	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay.
17	MR. OKAFOR: That's, actually,
18	considered on site, so we are going to pick it
19	up on site.
20	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay.
21	MR. OKAFOR: So, it's a complete
22	different system from the system taking up

1	water from the top.
2	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: So, to be
3	clear, you are saying between the second floor
4	of that wide unit to the retaining wall there
5	is a series of pipe drains that is picking up
6	teh ground water that would land in that zone,
7	that 15 feet.
8	MR. OKAFOR: Right.
9	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: And, it's
10	being piped to either end of that unit.
11	MR. OKAFOR: Correct.
12	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: So that we
13	can flow the water away.
14	MR. OKAFOR: That's correct.
15	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay.
16	And, it goes into the quality system, because
17	it's an on-site drainage requirement.
18	MR. OKAFOR: Correct.
19	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: There was
20	one slide up there, it said something about a
21	cistern. Where is that cistern?
22	MR. FENNEL: The cistern is in the

multi-family building that is closest to the Metro. It's the 104 unit, four-story loft apartment building, and the way that that's designed, it's also designed into the hill, so that at the face of the building you have a five-story building with the ground floor being this rental office, the entrance to the parking garage, as well as the flex space as we described earlier.

But, that, actually, only goes about halfway into the depth of the building. The remainder of that depth is the garage, and that garage protrudes out the back of the building below, and hits the next retaining wall that brings you up to the Sayles Place 2 over 2s that are on the upper level.

And so, the way the building works is, you'll come in off grade on Sheridan Road, you'll be in the rental office area, or you'll be in the flex space, you will go up to the first, second, third or fourth floor.

At that first floor, the one story

2.

that you can walk out, and that terrace is
over top the parking facility. And then what
we did was, we collected up the rain water
from the roof at the top of the building, and
we are bringing that rain water down and we
are using some of that cavity space that's
left over as a cistern, so that we can
actually collect up the rain water that's
being generated by the footprint of the
building, and then we'll reuse that water
that's collected in the cistern for watering
needs and other needs around the property
proximate to that building.
COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Basically,
that building.
MR. FENNEL: Just that building,
yes. There's not enough water generated to do
much more than sort of the landscaping area
proximate to that building, as well as the

up from the street grade, you have a terrace

roof top terrace that's over the parking

structure.

COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: 1 Okay. 2 Well, I had a question about the flex space 3 earlier, but you've, basically, answered that. MR. FENNEL: I should try to 4 address that just a little bit if I could. 5 Ι mean, Washington View Apartments, which is 6 7 just to the east, it's up the hill, it's a 363-unit garden apartment community, William 8 9 C. Smith and Company has owned it since early 2000. 10 11 We've been involved in the project since the mid 1990s, we actually acquired it 12 on behalf of Bank of America CDC, and we did 13 the complete renovation of Washington View in 14 the mid '90s. 15 16 We created a one-acre park, also created design flex space that we put 17 into the first floor, the ground floor of our 18 19 rental office building there. That has had an 20 interesting history over time, probably the longest tenured user of that space has been 21

the Darrell Green Foundation.

As we all know, you know, funds 1 2 are very scarce, and it's challenging for 3 these organizations to have the long-range ability to sustain themselves. So, one of the 4 reasons that Matt was pointing out that we had 5 not programmed any income for the site was 6 7 because we are trying very hard to look for and find the right opportunity. 8 9 But, as I intimated, over time there's been a number of other users that have 10 11 been there. We actually operate a teen center out of the flex space across the street in 12 Washington View, and that has worked very 13 We've had different organizations that 14 15 have come in and run that teen center for us. 16 We actually ran a small middle school for girls temporarily out of that space 17 as well. That school now has moved on to 18 19 reside over at the ARC and take more permanent 20 space.

So, part of, you know, being in the community, William C. Smith and Company,

21

and being part of the network of looking at all the needs that are out there, is being responsive to those opportunities and trying to work flexibly, you know, with the state of affairs at that time. And so, I think that's one of the reasons that we want to stay somewhat open and not committed tonight as to exactly who our partner is going to be and how we are going to use that space.

But, suffice to say that we are acutely aware of the needs of the community and the ability for us to be able to have that space so that the 344 residents have a cohesive place that they can identify as their home base, as their center. That's what the space is going to be used for. It certainly is going to go to a long -- in a long way to helping the 110 public housing residents who are reoccupying, because they are going long-term sustainable need the type of programs that are helping them, whether it be drug prevention, job training, computer,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

whatever, but, ultimately, you know, this flex space will be sort of recognized as the heart of the community and sort of a center. We don't want to isolate the multi-family structure here and so, oh, well, that's a different -- we look at the Sheridan Terrace redevelopment and we want to see it as a collective neighborhood.

We also looked to Washington View, it has a swimming pool, it has the one-acre park we talked about, what we would envision, and what we've done at a number of other communities in the Ward 8 community, is to try to link the services provided by having the centralization available to us. And so, you know, we are not exactly sure where that's going to go, because we don't have that all tied down, but we do know, based on our track record and our history, that the needs are great, that having the opportunity to meet those needs in other ways is what -- is the flexibility that helps us in terms of creating

the viable, long-term sustaining community 1 that we look to do here at Sheridan Terrace. 2. 3 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: think that's a very commendable feature. I 4 more projects should probably have 5 think things like that built into that. 6 Is that a 7 proffered amenity that you are going to write up further and discuss then? 8 Okay. 9 Mr. Chair, those are my questions for now. 10 11 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Commissioner May? COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay, thanks. 12 First, I just want to say that I'm 13 now a lot more comfortable with what we see, 14 15 and I really appreciate the effort that, 16 apparently, has gone into this, not just in terms of redesigning, but actually going out 17 and acquiring new property, which I think is 18 19 an exceptional effort to try to resolve some 20 of the concerns that we had. 21 I have to say that until I saw the 22 Power Point I was very uncomfortable, because

we didn't have any of those good images that showed us what it was really all about, and, frankly, the package that we had didn't show -- didn't really do justice to, I think, the sophistication of the effort that you've made overall.

Now, all that having been said, I still have a few questions.

I quess I'm curious about the site investigations and the planning effort, and why the focus of the buildings seems to be facing onto Sheridan Avenue, which is -- which is parallel to the Suitland Parkway, and almost has sort of a service road kind of feel, at least the way it is now, and why there wasn't more of an attempt to do something, you know, more internally, because it seems that with the roadway, the private road that serves the motor courts and, you know, the few townhouses along the way, it seems to me that that's sort of a central organizing concept, and it has, potentially,

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

some really nice features with the topography and with the variety of units and what not.

And, I'm wondering whether in the initial attempts to try to organize and make sense of the site whether you had looked at those sorts of ideas and simply settled on this, because it just worked better, or what?

MR. PARKER: For the record it's Jay Parker.

I think we started out with a real goal of thinking of the Suitland Parkway as one of the four or five major gateways into the Capitol. And, we have worked on some of the other gateways, and I think it's a really, really important element for the -- not just for the neighborhood, but for the whole City.

So, it's not that we are particularly trying to make Sheridan Road -- we were thinking of it in terms of Suitland Parkway, and some of the images that you actually saw were from -- taken from a camera angle on the computer, right from Suitland

Parkway.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

And so, once you sort of make that decision, that the edges of the project are really important in the larger context, I think it was impossible to do both. The site is just too limiting to say -- but we did, I think, take that lane, that private lane, and have tried our best, and I think pretty successfully, to make it at least a secondary The space would feel very welcome home space. when you come down -- when you come through it to go to those wide shallow units, or even into your little garage and automobile courtyards.

Did we ever consider internally orienting it? I'll be honest with you, no.

We really thought it was too important an element in the overall to the City.

COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay. Do you have elevations of the end walls of the U there that are facing that drive? I mean, you know, if you are in one of those narrow --

yes, what does that look like? Is that in one of the drawings? You know, because of the shapes that you are working with, and the way the planning has worked out, it's possible to, you know, have very elegant sort of two-faced, you know, two sides to it. You know, just because you are putting your front to Sheridan doesn't mean that you have to really put your back to that private road.

MR. FENNEL: Well, we would echo your concerns about trying to make sure that the private road doesn't become a back alley, and I think that the design team has been acutely aware of that from the outset, and has been pushing the design all along to try to make sure that we do achieve the right feel along that private road, because while I think Jay is right, turning our back to Suitland Parkway, and also to Sheridan, and I think to understand Sheridan Road is to take that hill that we've shown you and put it in the context larger hill that's even an

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

bringing people and cars down from the upper 1 sites here, which is mostly multi-family, and 2. 3 they do kind of come down and then traverse along Sheridan Road. 4 And so, we wanted to make sure 5 that we gave our eyes and our best foot out to 6 7 the Parkway and also to service that feel along Sheridan Road to enhance the public 8 9 perception, because when you've got Anacostia Metro, and if you make it across 10 11 Martin Luther King, but then you don't have any nice quality feel coming back up into the 12 community, it sort of serves as not enhancing 13 the overall qualities. 14 15 But, I will repeat myself and say that the design team has looked at this, and 16 I think they can take us through the service 17 lane. 18 19 MR. KIRKDIL: I want to make a 20 comment, Sami Kirkdil again. Your earlier question, I think we 21

schemes like, you know,

have

looked

at

1	basically, two liner and parking in the
2	middle. Part of the issue with those schemes
3	were, you really have to pick up like almost
4	20-30 feet between two tiers, and then the
5	parking becomes like overwhelming in the
6	middle of the block. So, we want to scale the
7	size of the parking courts so it doesn't
8	become like a parking dominant community.
9	Anyway, what we were trying to do,
10	in terms of, you know, scale of this
11	development here, any kind of D.C.
12	neighborhood that's not, basically, dominant
13	with parking lots and so forth.
14	COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay.
15	MS. SMYSER: This slide actually
16	shows entrance to, basically, one of those
17	parking courtyards.
18	COMMISSIONER MAY: Right.
19	MR. PARKER: Jay Parker again.
20	One of the things we tried to do
21	is to start to screen the backs of that from
22	the lane that continues, that secondary lane.

And, what we've done is, we have a masonry wall here that runs 20, 25 -- 20 feet across here, and that screens the transformer for this whole cluster of units, and also has a masonry containment wall for the dumpsters that are in here, and we actually put a trellis out over here.

And, I mentioned, I didn't have the right image in here, but I mentioned that we were putting a magnolia tree here, which magnolias will get -- you see them all over Capitol Hill -- will get to be 50-60 feet tall.

COMMISSIONER MAY: An evergreen.

MR. PARKER: Yes, an evergreen.

COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes, that's the one image that I found, this is A-37 in our booklet, which I think we can see a little bit better, and I guess some of my concern is, and let me see if I can get my thing to work here -- you know, this elevation, and even, you know, this view of the back sides, as you are

traveling down that road, you know, there is this tendency to -- well, I mean, you will get a really good view of the backs of these buildings and the motor court, and that's true -- I guess what I've seen in some other developments is that when you -- when you have that end unit very often, you know, that end unit winds up turning and facing that street.

I'm not recommending that in this circumstance, because it seems so narrow that you can't really do that gracefully, but I do know, just like other think that, you neighborhoods in Washington, those end units have to be treated a little bit do differently, and the way the facade works, even down to the window placement, and you can't really see it, again, this image, but we can see it in our books, but you go down there you can see that we have the kind of -- that image there, you know, you do have what looks like a bay, and then there's one window over it, and then there's another window kind of

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

off to the side, and even it's kind of -- it's another symptom of the same thing that I see going on here, where the placement of windows on the facade are driven solely by what's happening on the inside of the house.

that's -- you know, And, it's just pet peeve of mine, but the way houses are designed today that tends to be the way a lot of things happen. And, you know, 50 years ago, or 100 years ago, whenever, when the rest of Washington's housing stock was built there was as much care put into the placement of windows from the outside as from the inside, and there was a real attempt to reconcile that and make it look as much of a composition as the front facade of the building. And, I think that that's something you do need to pay attention to when something -- when this particular private street winds up being a very important part.

And, you know, again overall, I think that there's some really great aspects

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	to it, and it's a lot more comforting seeing
2	it in color and seeing it in perspective, and
3	getting a sense of the grade changes and what
4	not. And, you know, the one side of the
5	street looks good, even though it's got
6	garages right there, which I don't really
7	like, but it's still got some rhythm and some
8	touch.
9	MS. SMYSER: I think I agree.
10	We'll fix that.
11	COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes, take a
12	look at something there.
13	Good, I can stop rattling on about
14	that topic. Let's go on to the next one.
15	The stone walls, you had a picture
16	of the keystone retaining wall, which looked
17	very much like stone, and, yes no, it
18	wasn't even that one, it was something it
19	was another image and maybe it was in your
20	presentation, Mr. Parker, not in this one.
21	And, the reason I bring that up,
22	I'd like to just see it again, is that it

1	really looked a lot better than your typical
2	keystone retaining wall, and I wanted to make
3	sure I really understood what we were getting,
4	because it looked very good.
5	While we are looking at that, let
6	me ask another question.
7	At the southeastern end of the
8	development there is a very large, undeveloped
9	portion, it looks like it's steeply sloped,
10	and that's in that circumstance you had to
11	steeply slope part of the property that you
12	decided to include in the PUD, as opposed to
13	other parcels that you didn't include. And,
14	I'm wondering what the logic was there.
15	MR. FENNEL: That's owned by the
16	Housing Authority, so it kind of came part and
17	parcel with the project.
18	COMMISSIONER MAY: Right.
19	MR. FENNEL: But, I do think that
20	it also is the point at which there's the
21	acute angle of the slope, not only going down

towards Sheridan Road, but it's also coming

1	across towards Pomeroy.
2	COMMISSIONER MAY: Right.
3	MR. FENNEL: But, actually, it was
4	pretty logical that you just leave it alone.
5	COMMISSIONER MAY: Right, leave it
6	like that, and that works out being an
7	amenity, really, for the development.
8	MR. FENNEL: Correct.
9	COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay. So, this
10	right here is a keystone retaining wall?
11	MR. FENNEL: Right, that's
12	correct.
13	COMMISSIONER MAY: Wow!
14	MR. PARKER: It is one of the
15	better looking ones.
16	COMMISSIONER MAY: It sure is.
17	MR. PARKER: I first really kind
18	of atmosphere with doing that but when we gove
19	of struggled with doing that, but when we saw
19	some of the samples we
20	
	some of the samples we

1	each other, and it looks
2	MR. FENNEL: Again, the choices
3	from that angle from William Smith, the
4	choices we tried to make with the retaining
5	walls, obviously, informed by constructability
6	and cost, but, really, the walls that you
7	touch every day
8	COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes.
9	MR. FENNEL: are going to be
10	the walls that are along Sheridan Road.
11	COMMISSIONER MAY: Right.
12	MR. FENNEL: They will be human
13	scaled, they'll actually be closer to the size
14	of that segmental wall, and that's where we
15	chose to use the stone product.
16	COMMISSIONER MAY: Right. And, I
17	think that's an excellent choice, and I just
18	think that even this keystone retaining wall,
19	I think, looks like a good choice as well.
20	Along those lines, do we have a
21	sample board of materials? We do, okay.
22	

1 MR. PARKER: We will. Yes, because I 2 COMMISSIONER MAY: 3 like to actually -- I like to see them, but I like to touch them, too, so you can just bring 4 them right up here and I'll touch them while 5 Commissioner Jeffries -- or you can pass 6 7 that way and they can touch it while I'm going at it here. 8 9 All right. The courts, I appreciate the attempt in the motor courts to 10 11 try to soften that up a little bit and put in the bio-retention areas, or whatever you want 12 to call them. 13 all looked 14 you at 15 pervious paving for that court, because we saw that recently in another project, and I was 16 very intrigued by that kind of a use, and it 17 might be appropriate here. 18 19 MR. ENGEL: Commissioner May, Matt 20 Engel from William C. Smith. We will be 21 attempting to meet the -- actually, we will

meet the City's green communities criteria,

1	which is
2	COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay.
3	MR. ENGEL: the City's new
4	criteria for green building.
5	We are also attempting to make
6	this project lead for neighborhood development
7	and possibly a lead for homes.
8	The pervious paver concept is
9	embedded in all of those rating systems. The
10	limitation that we are looking at now, which
11	our geotechnical engineer is looking at, is if
12	you have pervious paver, but the soil
13	underneath it doesn't perk
14	COMMISSIONER MAY: Right.
15	MR. ENGEL: it's always the
16	money.
17	So, actually, right now we are
18	investigating the soil, and so what I think I
19	said in the narrative was, where practical and
20	possible we are committed to using permeable
21	pavers.
22	COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay.

1	MR. ENGEL: And, that would be the
2	area we'd look at.
3	COMMISSIONER MAY: Right.
4	And, is there if it's not
5	even if it's impervious, is there any attempt
6	to treat that differently, to put in a paver
7	as opposed to just asphalt, to make it
8	because, I mean, it could be a pretty active
9	area, and more friendly than a typical alley
10	or whatever.
11	MR. PARKER: Jay Parker again.
12	Yes, we had intended, if you look
13	on one of your exhibits that you might have in
14	front of you, in all those parking courtyards,
15	if you can see where the spaces are for the
16	parked cars in the center piece there
17	COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay.
18	MR. PARKER: we had intended to
19	change materials there, and if the soil
20	underneath could accept it those would be
21	permeable pavers in that area. And, I would
22	suggest, and I hope that even if the soil

proves that it isn't permeable, that we can actually change the material there to a paver type, just change the material there.

COMMISSIONER MAY: Right.

MR. PARKER: So, you'd have asphalt around the turning lanes.

COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes. I mean, something communicate that it's to roadway, and that it's -- I mean, ordinarily, I am -- I really dislike the parking garage under the house, access from the back, because of what it takes away, which is, basically, the rear yard. And, in this circumstance, what you've done I find more attractive, because it's got more of that feel of the motor court kind of concept, and it's almost unfortunate that it has to -- that it even has to have that parking in the middle, because it wouldn't be a bad area, you know, for kids to play in, you know, if it were paved right and treated right and all that sort of thing, but with the cars in the middle it doesn't work

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	that way.
2	It just it makes it more
3	evocative of, you know, an old English
4	courtyard kind of feeling, rather than just
5	something that serves the vehicle and allows
6	people to drive in and out and never see their
7	neighbors, which is, you know, another problem
8	I have with that under house garage.
9	Anyway, enough about that subject.
10	You covered another one of my
11	questions, which was the lead question.
12	I do have I do have a follow-up
13	on that, which is, have you actually done the
14	checklist for LEAD and LEED for homes?
15	MR. ENGEL: Matt Engel, William C.
16	Smith.
17	We have done the checklists for
18	both, and we've had a charrette for the green
19	communities concept. We have retained a
20	consultant, Greenscape LLC out of the
21	District, to work with us on that.

COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay.

22

We've

1	come we've gotten in the habit of
2	requesting that those checklists be submitted
3	to us, not that you are held to it, but just
4	so that we have that for our records, to, you
5	know, sort of it's a good way for us to
6	sort of keep track of these things as we go
7	along, and as people present more and more to
8	us, you know, we push for a little bit more
9	each time, but it's good to know kind of what
10	the score is.
11	MR. ENGEL: We can submit that.
12	COMMISSIONER MAY: Has there been
13	any discussion with DDOT or anybody else in
14	the City about the pedestrian bridge that
15	crosses Suitland Parkway?
16	MR. ENGEL: Actually, it came up
17	initially as part of the South Capitol Street
18	EIS.
19	COMMISSIONER MAY: Right.
20	MR. ENGEL: Which speaks to the
21	new South Capitol Street Bridge.
22	In one of their iterations there's

1	a realignment of the Suitland Parkway, and
2	they look to rebuild that bridge. And we see
3	it as an amenity, it gets people from this
4	side of the Parkway over to a couple large
5	churches on the other side of the street, and
6	different neighborhoods over there.
7	So, we've supported it, and that's
8	been the extent of it.
9	COMMISSIONER MAY: Right, but
10	there's been no attempt to kind of attempt to
11	weave it into what you are doing at all? No?
12	MR. ENGEL: No.
13	COMMISSIONER MAY: What makes the
14	apartments loft style? Do we have higher
15	ceilings or something?
16	MR. ENGEL: There is a higher
17	ceiling. It's a 9-foot ceiling.
18	COMMISSIONER MAY: A 9-foot
19	ceiling.
20	MR. ENGEL: Yes.
21	COMMISSIONER MAY: And then you
22	get to call it lofts, huh? Okay. Well, we

are not about marketing, I quess. 1 All right, I have a few more 2 3 architectural questions. The architecture itself is -- it's 4 kind of understandable when you showed the 5 images of Alexandria and the development 6 7 there, because it really does have that kind of -- that Federal look to it, or, you know, 8 9 kind of Georgian look to it, in terms of the detailing of it. 10 11 But, it doesn't seem particularly Washington, and I'm wondering if there are --12 I mean, it feels more Alexandria than it does 13 Washington, and I'm wondering what drove that. 14 Was there, you know, did you explore different 15 styles, get community feedback on it? 16 what steered you in this particular direction, 17 opposed to, you know, another more 18 as 19 Washingtonian, which is, you know, red brick 20 Victorian kind of stuff? I think the major 21 MR. OKAFOR: 22 difference between, I quess, Alexandria and

1	D.C. architecture, you call it, I think is
2	probably expression of the roofs, and I think
3	we are still exploring that whether we can,
4	you know, maybe change the roof shapes and so
5	forth, whether it be an A-frame roof or maybe
6	more pitch and so forth.
7	I think the PUD documents are done
8	that way, you know, in terms of a pricing
9	exercise, whether we can afford, you know,
10	more a pitch or a flatter roof townhouse
11	expression
12	COMMISSIONER MAY: Right.
13	MR. OKAFOR: or it needs to be
14	an A roof, basically.
15	COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes, that's
16	part of it, but it also has to do with the way
17	the detailing of it is, and, you know, what
18	types of Fypon you buy, and things like that,
19	right?
20	MR. OKAFOR: Yes, I think, you
21	know, I'm seeing this as a process of, you
	know, i m beering enits as a process or, you

1	know, executing it in a way that, you know,
2	hopefully, will make it more D.C.
3	architecture.
4	COMMISSIONER MAY: Right.
5	MR. ENGEL: Matt Engel from Smith.
6	COMMISSIONER MAY: You know, I
7	don't think you need to identify yourself
8	every time you talk. I think they've figured
9	that out. It's magic, I don't know how they
10	do it.
11	MR. ENGEL: The former
12	Commissioner, or former Chair, always had me
13	do that, so
14	COMMISSIONER MAY: Oh.
15	MR. ENGEL: One of the things I
16	think Kerry from the Housing Authority
17	mentioned was the community process that we
18	went through, and including this one,
19	truthfully, 14-hour charrette we had this past
20	summer at Washington View, and, actually,
21	there's a number of folks in the audience here
22	who attended some of those charrettes.

1	And, we actually, Kerry and
2	myself, took residents out to some of the
3	different larger urban redevelopments in the
4	City, Capitol Gateway, Ellen Wilson, to
5	Chatham Square in Alexandria, and one of the
6	things that people reacted to was the style
7	that's reflected here. So, I mean, part of it
8	is what Sami and Feddy drew, but they drew a
9	number of things, and people reacted to this
10	the best, and, you know, part of this HOPE VI
11	process is that balance between designing
12	something that works with the constraints of
13	the site, but also, you know, responding
14	appropriately to some of the people who are in
15	the room today.
16	So, just to say that was part of
17	it as well.
18	COMMISSIONER MAY: Right, okay,
19	that's good to know.
20	Going back to the pitched roof
21	versus plat roof and stuff, I assume that a
22	flat roof is going to be less expensive, is

1	that right?
2	MR. OKAFOR: More expensive.
3	COMMISSIONER MAY: A flat roof is
4	more expensive than those pitched roofs?
5	MR. OKAFOR: Yes.
6	COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay, because
7	it seems sort of odd you have the you know,
8	you have the pitched roof, and then all of a
9	sudden you've got the flat roofs at the
10	corner, and then you go back to a pitched roof
11	again when you turn the corner, right? It's
12	sort of an odd circumstance.
13	We talked about the rear facades
14	and the window placements and things like
15	that, and I think that there's a little bit of
16	tweaking that needs to be done there.
17	There was a statement at one point
18	that things had changed in response to our
19	comments at set down, that front yards and
20	back yards had changed a bit. Were there
21	specific because it didn't seem like there

was any significant rearrangement of front

1	yards or back yards, did I hear something
2	incorrectly?
3	MR. ENGEL: I think you might have
4	heard it incorrectly.
5	COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes, okay.
6	MR. ENGEL: No changes in that
7	regard.
8	COMMISSIONER MAY: All right,
9	okay.
LO	One of the things I was a little
11	bit confused by was the extent of relief that
12	was requested for side yards and rear yards,
L3	and there's a range of relief that's been
L4	requested, and I think that for the record it
15	would be good to see, I mean, maybe not every
16	single circumstance documented, but at least
L7	to have a drawing that shows where those
18	MS. GRORDANO: Maybe a percentage.
19	COMMISSIONER MAY: well, no,
20	I'd like to see kind of what get a sense of
21	what the non-conforming side yards and rear
22	yards are.

1	MS. GRORDANO: Like a prototypical
2	one?
3	COMMISSIONER MAY: Prototypical,
4	or you take one block of units or something
5	like that, and say what the range of yards
6	winds up being, just so we understand what the
7	relief is that's being granted, because, you
8	know, when we grant relief and all it says is
9	that we allowed a 2.83 foot side yard instead
LO	of the normal 8 foot side yard
11	MS. GRORDANO: You don't want
L2	everyone to be 2.83.
L3	COMMISSIONER MAY: well, no, I
L4	just you know, people will point to that in
15	the future and say, well, on this other
16	project you allowed 2.83, and you've got a
L7	2.83 foot side yard on one house, and then
18	right across from that you've got another
19	inadequate side yard, and somehow that's okay
20	because we did it before.
21	Well, in this circumstance, we
22	might have only done it because of what it was

1	abutting was actually a private alley, right?
2	And, those are the sort of things that I'd
3	want to know, I'd want to see, when we are
4	going that narrow on a side yard I'd want to
5	see what it is that we are up against, and
6	whether there really is any deleterious effect
7	on the light and air of that unit, as a result
8	of that smaller side yard.
9	MS. GRORDANO: So, on the more
10	extreme end of the range you want to see where
11	those are, you want to see those.
12	COMMISSIONER MAY: well, I mean,
13	anywhere where you are requesting relief on
14	side or rear yards, just sort of the typical
15	circumstance.
16	MR. ENGEL: Well, actually, it's a
17	pretty simple exhibit, because most of the
18	units don't deal with the side yard
19	requirement because they are
20	COMMISSIONER MAY: Right, they are
21	rows.
22	MR. ENGEL: side by side, so,

1	really, we are talking about one, two, three,
2	four, you know, probably 15-20 units, so we
3	could highlight for you and show the
4	dimension.
5	COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes, that would
6	be very useful, because, you know, what I
7	don't want to find out is like that that's
8	a space between two, right? What's the
9	dimension of that, ten to 12?
10	MR. ENGEL: Ten to 12 feet.
11	COMMISSIONER MAY: Ten to 12 feet,
12	yes. There's some debate about what that is,
13	I mean, that's probably the most problematic
14	kind of circumstance, where you really are
15	across the way from someone like that,
16	because, you know, I think that side yards are
17	meant to be eight feet so that if you have two
18	that are side by side like that you actually
19	have 16 feet, and that's really what it's
20	supposed to be.
21	MR. FENNEL: We had that
22	discussion in the last round. I can't answer

1	the question, but I know they did move it
2	apart. We'll get the answer.
3	COMMISSIONER MAY: That would be
4	great.
5	MR. FENNEL: And, we have been
6	careful to pay attention to that detail.
7	COMMISSIONER MAY: I would
8	appreciate it, and mostly it's about making
9	sure that there's adequate light and air, it's
10	not, you know, strict adherence to, it's got
11	to be eight feet or whatever.
12	And, I will oh, I had one other
13	question in the mews, in the typical mews,
14	or, I guess, some of the flatter ones, you had
15	shown an image of some other mews, you had
16	shown another image where there was a similar
17	mews and there was a very shallow front yard
18	or stoop, and a little bit, you know, a little
19	bit of garden next to it or something like
20	that, and then a common lawn kind of in the
21	middle of that.
22	And, I'm wondering why you opted

for these little private front yards as opposed to having that common yard out front, because it seems to me that, you know, if we are thinking in terms of defensible space, it would be nice to have just that little patch of green out there in the middle that could be shared by all the neighbors, and the kids could play a game there or something. But, you don't really have that opportunity here, it's more like passages to your own house, and I'm just wondering what the design thinking was.

MR. ENGEL: Part of that, Jay, you should answer I think -- part of that, I think we ran through different iterations of this this summer in these charrettes. Part of that was people expressed a desire for a private front yard.

MR. PARKER: Yes, I think that's correct, that they all seemed to want to have a feel that they had a front yard, as other places in downtown Washington have, Capitol

1	Hill, et cetera, even if it was only
2	actually, some of them get fairly long, they
3	are about 15-20 feet. The distance between
4	the buildings is we did another project
5	called the Market Common at Clarendon, and
6	this is a lot wider, those mews are a lot
7	wider than at Market Common. Those townhouses
8	market level sold for over \$700,000.
9	COMMISSIONER MAY: Well, it's not
10	a matter of, you know, how much space there
11	was, it was a matter of, you know, it just
12	seemed like that sort of common front yard was
13	a nice amenity, and it was one of the images
14	that somehow had pointed out was appealing in
15	this circumstance.
16	MR. PARKER: We are going to have
17	several of those mews, only because of the
18	grade differential that we have on several of
19	the mews.
20	COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes, right.
21	MR. PARKER: But, Mr. Engel is
22	right, we did pretty well follow the residents

1	or the potential market, the people in the
2	neighborhood preferred those private front
3	yards.
4	COMMISSIONER MAY: The private
5	front yards. Yes. Are they going to be
6	fenced or anything like that?
7	MR. PARKER: I don't think so. I
8	think the space really starts to close down on
9	you.
10	COMMISSIONER MAY: Right.
11	MR. PARKER: It's different when
12	it's along the street.
13	COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay.
14	MR. ENGEL: There will be an HOA
15	for the entire project, for covering the
16	rental and home ownership units, and that
17	would likely be governed by an HOA.
18	COMMISSIONER MAY: In terms of
19	whether or not
20	MR. ENGEL: In terms of the
21	ability to fence.
22	COMMISSIONER MAY: Right. What

1	about the maintenance of those front yards?
2	Is that going to be?
3	MR. ENGEL: HOA.
4	COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay, so
5	everything is going to look good all the time,
6	great.
7	All right, that's it for me.
8	Thank you.
9	VICE-CHAIRPERSON JEFFRIES: The
10	hour is late, so I'll keep it brief. Just the
11	first question, one of two questions, I'm
12	still trying to get my arms around how
13	Sheridan Road addresses Suitland Parkway, and
14	whether Sheridan Road is really going to be
15	pedestrian friendly. I'm just trying to
16	understand what that looks like as you are
17	driving along Suitland Parkway.
18	If you can just get me comfortable
19	with exactly, you know, how that Sheridan Road
20	is meeting the Parkway.
21	I think a little bit of some of
22	what Commissioner may asked, but I just want

to get comfortable. Which -- that's A-42 in our booklet?

MR. ENGEL: I think the most important concept to speak to is, right now this condition which you see on the slide, and I think it's in your book as well, doesn't The site is about ten feet above exist. Sheridan Road, there's wall that so а traverses along here, along Sheridan Road, teh Suitland Parkway is here parallel to Sheridan Road, separated by an existing green space, which is owned by teh National Park Service.

What we chose to do, probably the least expensive solution for the site was to keep that wall that was there, or actually build it up further, and then flatten the site up above, but again, looking at the old Sheridan as a template we thought to reconnect it to the neighborhood, to reconnect it to the pedestrian scale of Sheridan Road, we took the wall down, and now you have entrances from risers off of the sidewalk on Sheridan Road,

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	and the ten-foot wall is now buried in the
2	back of this manor unit.
3	So, that's where the grade is
4	taken up, while today it is taken up here.
5	VICE-CHAIRPERSON JEFFRIES: But,
6	there's no defensive space at all? I mean, I
7	understand the wall.
8	MR. ENGEL: Right.
9	VICE-CHAIRPERSON JEFFRIES: It's
10	too defensive, but it just it just seems
11	that, perhaps, it's too accessible, I mean,
12	from the Parkway.
13	MR. ENGEL: Right.
14	VICE-CHAIRPERSON JEFFRIES: I
15	mean, I don't know, it's just hard to really
16	determine, I'm just trying to get comfortable
17	with how that how this with Sheridan Road,
18	if it's pedestrian friendly, I mean, because,
19	you know, that's a parkway, I mean Suitland
20	Parkway is, I mean, the cars are speeding. So,
21	I'm just wondering whether that's going to be

a very comfortable sort of area to walk.

1 maybe that's not Ι mean, the 2 intention, or what? 3 MR. FENNEL: Currently, a couple things, one, it is heavily traveled pedestrian 4 as well as vehicular, because you've got 5 Bowen, and Pomeroy, and Stanton Roads, and 6 7 Sayles Place, that all connect down. And, as I said earlier, you know, 8 9 with the tilt of the landscape it's just sort of the natural flow, plus it's the most direct 10 11 route, because once you get to the flat land, which is Sheridan, you easily traverse over to 12 MLK, eventually, connecting to the Great 13 Streets offering at MLK, and certainly to the 14 15 Metro. And so, I think what Matt was trying to articulate was by first addressing the 16 street, and putting the windows and the eyes 17 to the street, that's going to enhance the 18 19 pedestrian feeling. 20 Also, by changing the 21 infrastructure, bу putting up quality 22 lighting, right now they have almost highway-

like, you know, the cobra arms, and it does 1 feel like it's an extension or it could be bi-2. 3 lane to the Parkway. I think what we are trying to do 4 is establish that separation. There is a bike 5 path that separates the two currently, it's 6 7 not heavily used, but we certainly would like to promote that as well. 8 9 I know the District Department of Transportation sees that in the long range 10 11 qoal. think the biggest difference 12 that could affect the feel on Sheridan would 13 be the disconnecting of Suitland Parkway. 14 15 Currently, there is an exit ramp that ties directly from Suitland to Sheridan, and in the 16 mornings it's just completely backed up with 17 commuter traffic. 18 19 Part of what we worked with the 20 community in responding to the Federal EIS was to make the community's voice known that they 21

do want that disconnected, they want to return

1	Sheridan Road so that it's a feeder road for
2	the internal neighborhood, not for the
3	commuter traffic, and we think that by
4	developing the housing fronting along the
5	road, as well as promoting the disconnection
6	of that highway off ramp, will be the biggest,
7	most significant change that we can make to
8	the street.
9	VICE-CHAIRPERSON JEFFRIES: And
10	again, the disconnection is I mean, that
11	element is what?
12	MR. FENNEL: Well, it's part of
13	the Federal EIS for the reconfiguration and
14	the improvements along South Capitol Street
15	that connect, eventually, the new bridge, and
16	then they are also studying traffic patterns
17	at MLK and Suitland Parkway, because as we
18	know currently 295 going north is limited
19	access, and there's a bit of a real traffic
20	snarl in that area.
21	VICE-CHAIRPERSON JEFFRIES: Okay.
22	and, I'm looking at the landscape plans, and

see that there's an exit off Suitland 1 2 Parkway that, I mean, perhaps, this is just, 3 you know, illustrated here in terms of, can you -- I think it's L01, that's existing? 4 5 That's the one --MR. FENNEL: That's the one that 6 7 we are hopeful will be disconnected. VICE-CHAIRPERSON JEFFRIES: 8 Okay. 9 Okay. Just piggying back on 10 MR. OKAFOR: 11 the same issue, I think one thing we have 12

MR. OKAFOR: Just piggying back on the same issue, I think one thing we have along Sheridan Terrace is, you know, I don't think you realize, what we are doing is still -- you have, basically, a stone retaining wall that elevates the buildings, like, you know, three, four, five feet, so you, basically, have privacy. There's, basically, a landscaped area in front of the units, so there is a privacy, and there is, you know, basically, you know, security issues, you know, resolved by that way, basically, lifting buildings up and elevating them.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	VICE-CHAIRPERSON JEFFRIES: Okay,
2	that was you are very good, and very handy
3	with those questions, because that's sort of
4	I mean, I clearly understood the wall was
5	not desirous, but, you know, it seemed as
6	MR. OKAFOR: You don't want it
7	right on the street.
8	VICE-CHAIRPERSON JEFFRIES:
9	absolutely, that's what I was concerned
LO	yes.
11	MR. OKAFOR: We made it reasonable
12	height, so, basically, what you see all around
L3	D.C., basically, a wall this height.
L4	VICE-CHAIRPERSON JEFFRIES: Right.
15	MR. OKAFOR: And, it's a higher-
16	quality wall, stone wall, and there's steps,
L7	there's landscape behind of it, you know, ten
18	feet and so forth, and then the building goes
19	up, basically.
20	VICE-CHAIRPERSON JEFFRIES: Yes.
21	I mean, I live in Columbia Heights, I have,
22	you know, a retaining wall that sort of

1	separates me from a fairly busy street. I
2	mean, it's sometimes very desirous. I mean,
3	you know, it's not like you want to be right
4	there.
5	My other question is, really, I
6	know that the HOPE VI program is a third,
7	third, third, I'm interested in knowing what
8	the delta is between market rate and
9	affordable.
10	MR. ENGEL: Okay, sure.
11	VICE-CHAIRPERSON JEFFRIES: And,
12	rental and also for sale.
13	MR. ENGEL: Actually, you know,
14	the HOPE VI goal, and, Kerry, you can correct
15	me if I'm wrong, I think it's really the
16	City's goal, the third, third and a third. Is
17	it HOPE VI as well? Okay.
18	Okay, so the City is pushing it
19	strongly as well, but anyway, it's 229 out of
20	the 344 units will be long-term, deed
21	restricted, under 80 percent of AMI. So,

whatever that delta is, 229 out of 344, two

1	thirds.
2	VICE-CHAIRPERSON JEFFRIES: Price?
3	I mean, I know the number.
4	MR. ENGEL: Oh, price.
5	VICE-CHAIRPERSON JEFFRIES: Yes.
6	MR. ENGEL: Well, the rental
7	units, every rental unit, the majority of the
8	rental units on the property will be public
9	housing rental, so that means people come up
10	the public housing way unless they pay a third
11	of their income, their monthly income for
12	rent, no matter what their income is. There
13	will also be some low-income housing tax
14	credit units
15	VICE-CHAIRPERSON JEFFRIES: Right.
16	MR. ENGEL: which will be 60
17	percent of AMI.
18	The for sale units
19	VICE-CHAIRPERSON JEFFRIES: Well,
20	just stop there, so the rental range
21	CHAIRMAN HOOD: We need to make
22	sure you are on the record, and speaking into

1	the microphone so we can hear you.
2	MR. ENGEL: Sure.
3	VICE-CHAIRPERSON JEFFRIES: Talk
4	about the rental range.
5	MR. ENGEL: The rental range would
6	go from a public housing rent of \$433 a month,
7	to a tax credit rent of, what, \$1,100 a
8	month, for a 60 percent of AMI tax credit
9	rent.
10	On the for sale side, we have
11	you know, there's Section 8 for home ownership
12	units that are under \$200,000, that would be
13	the low-income home ownership.
14	VICE-CHAIRPERSON JEFFRIES: Did
15	you give the market rate rental? Did you say
16	\$1,100, is that what you said?
17	MR. ENGEL: That's the
18	VICE-CHAIRPERSON JEFFRIES: Tax
19	credit rental.
20	MR. ENGEL: tax credit rental,
21	right.
22	VICE-CHAIRPERSON JEFFRIES: So,

1	what's the market rate?
2	VICE-CHAIRPERSON JEFFRIES: Well,
3	that really is the market rate rental.
4	VICE-CHAIRPERSON JEFFRIES:
5	Because that's really so, okay, okay.
6	MR. ENGEL: There's no in this
7	neighborhood there's not really a market
8	beyond market rate, beyond tax credit rental.
9	VICE-CHAIRPERSON JEFFRIES: Right,
10	okay.
11	MR. ENGEL: You can't charge
12	\$3,000 on South U Street.
13	VICE-CHAIRPERSON JEFFRIES: Right.
14	MR. ENGEL: On the for sale side,
15	you have the low-income range around \$200,000,
16	you have a moderate income range low \$200s to
17	high \$200s, and then market is right now
18	performing in the low \$300s, but again, it's
19	market, so market could go down, market could
20	go up.
21	VICE-CHAIRPERSON JEFFRIES: Right.
22	Okay.

Ι mean, it's just always with these projects, these, you know, mixed-income projects in Ward -- particularly, in Ward 8, but to some degree in Ward 7, you know, you don't see such a huge disparity in the price schedule between these things, and I was just sort of interested, which speaks to, you know, challenge to development the other this program as well.

But anyway, I just wanted to say that the project has moved along quite nicely, I mean, given some of the topological challenges and so forth, and the configuration of your all's site. I think it's moved along very nicely.

MR. FENNEL: I would just like to add one thing, and that's programmatically we did allot for 15 of the 100 units in the apartment building to be "market rate," and while today we agree with you, and your point that it's pretty close to the tax credit rent, we hope that through time, and as the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	neighborhood begins to mature, and as Great
2	Streets, you know, works its magic along MLK,
3	that we can have more separation, more income
4	integration, and bring some higher income.
5	So, we have set the template, and we are
6	anxious to try to have that diversification of
7	income into the project.
8	VICE-CHAIRPERSON JEFFRIES: Do you
9	have a very long-term, I mean, what's the
10	whole period for the rent schedule here?
11	MR. FENNEL: Well, I mean, it's 15
12	plus years on the tax credit.
13	VICE-CHAIRPERSON JEFFRIES: Okay,
14	thank you.
15	MR. FENNEL: Mr. Chairman, I just
16	wanted to ask, or not even ask, just comment
17	more specifically on the retaining wall,
18	because I hadn't I didn't really address
19	that, and that was a really big issue at set
20	down. And, I have to say, that has gotten a
21	whole lot better, and, you know, I'm much,

much more comfortable with that the way it is.

You know, it's stepping back enough so that it doesn't really feel like it's just marching up a hill like that.

And, the plantings, you know, to the extent to which they can mass things either with trees growing up to obscure it, or vines or whatever climbing down it, so long as they don't degrade the quality of the wall, you know, over time, you know, I think that's good.

I think if there's a little bit -I think in one of the images where we saw, you
know, a series of trees planted in it, I don't
-- you know, I hope that's not too indicative
of exactly what will be planted, because I
think some of the other images that you had of
other examples I think were probably more
compelling than that.

But, I'm assuming that that will get worked out, you know, exactly right, so you get the feeling of more of a vegetative wall than just this stack of block, level of

2.

1	block after level of block and so on.
2	So, I know a lot of effort went
3	into that, and I really appreciate that.
4	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, Commissioner
5	Turnbull?
6	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Yes, Mr.
7	Chair, I just after going through this, I
8	just had a couple of points that I'd like to
9	get clarified.
10	The land between Suitland Parkway
11	and Sheridan Road, did I hear was park land?
12	MR. ENGEL: I believe it's owned
13	by the National Park Service.
14	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: So, the
15	landscaping you are showing on that is
16	CHAIRMAN HOOD: We have a
17	correction, Mr. Turnbull.
18	COMMISSIONER MAY: I need to issue
19	a correction on that.
20	VICE-CHAIRPERSON JEFFRIES: Okay.
21	COMMISSIONER MAY: It's Federal
22	land, it was transferred to the Department of
Į.	

1	Transportation for transportation purposes.
2	So, that entire stretch of Suitland Parkway is
3	under control of the District Department of
4	Transportation, it's not National Park Service
5	land.
6	VICE-CHAIRPERSON JEFFRIES: So,
7	that's owned by
8	COMMISSIONER MAY: It's owned by
9	the Federal Government, it's under the
LO	jurisdiction of the District Department of
l1	Transportation.
12	MR. FENNEL: And, DDOT has already
13	expressed a desire to work with us on the
14	whole of Sheridan Road.
15	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Because
16	you are showing the planting as if there's new
L7	trees and so that's part of their obligation,
18	or what they are promising to do?
19	MR. FENNEL: To be worked out, but
20	certainly what we would like to see happen,
21	you know, with the project.
22	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay, no,

that's good.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

The other thing that I wanted to get is, on the mews areas, not the mews themselves, but the parking areas in the back, there is a couple of sections, or there's a couple of elevations that show that you have some decks back there, which are either optional, but they are not -- they are only about four feet, is what I'm that understanding, and they are not column supported, they are cantilevered out? I like that, because we've had a number of projects that have these huge cantilevered decks.

Now, they are an optional part of the project, so a homeowner can opt to do it or not do it.

MR. FENNEL: That is correct.

COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay. The other thing is that on C-24, which sort of shows the trash pick-up route, it shows in those areas a red dot which shows trash pick-

1	up points, but it also shows the little purple
2	or blue curb-side pick-up for individual
3	units. So, it's like, are you double for
4	both, or what's going on?
5	MR. ENGEL: The concept there, and
6	I don't have it in front of me, but we are
7	trying to address the town homes and then
8	separately the manor units. So, each town
9	home will have a recycling can and a trash can
10	in its garage, and on trash day they'll pull
11	it out to the rear of their garage and the
12	trash truck will come along that internal lane
13	and pull the trash receptacles into their
14	truck.
15	But the manor units
16	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Will go to
17	the red section.
18	MR. ENGEL: will go to the red
19	section, which will have roll-out dumpsters
20	screened with that masonry wall, and also with
21	landscaping, and a trellis.
22	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay,

1	thank you.
2	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Just curious, with
3	the design, the City won't be picking trash up
4	on this site.
5	MR. ENGEL: That's correct,
6	Commissioner Hood. We did meet with the City,
7	and we asked them about that, and the answer
8	is no.
9	CHAIRMAN HOOD: They told you
LO	about the streets and the way they face?
11	MR. ENGEL: Right.
12	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.
13	MR. ENGEL: So, we will have
14	the homeowners' association will be hiring a
15	private trash pick-up.
16	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you.
L7	Any other questions?
18	I do want to ask one question, and
19	I'm going to go to Ms. Grordano.
20	I didn't hear any mention of the
21	dedicated shuttle bus service for residents of
22	the community, unless you did, maybe it went

over me, but what is that for? What was it 1 for? 2. 3 MR. FENNEL: I'm not exactly sure. I do know that we run a private shuttle system 4 for the Washington View Apartments that does 5 pick up and drop off from the Metro. 6 7 time there was discussions about at one linking, and we are very sensitive to trying 8 9 to link the Washington View amenities and community with this new community, and so 10 11 there may have been a proffer in that regard. We should go back and clarify it, and we'll 12 come back and be more specific. 13 CHAIRMAN HOOD: There are a lot of 14 15 good things I see here, but let's make sure 16 that we nail it down and that way there won't be no misunderstandings in moving forward. 17 Okay, let me ask the Applicant, I 18 I'm sorry, the ANC, do we have anyone 19 20 representing -- well, Commissioner Mohammed or We don't have anything official, so 21 anyone?

no one is representing 8A, but is Commissioner

1	Mohammed here, and would like to do any cross
2	examination?
3	If not, from 8C, everyone hold
4	your seat, because this is cross examination.
5	I'm going to ask Ms. Mary Cuthbert, do you
6	have any cross examination, any questions of
7	the presentation?
8	MS. CUTHBERT: No, I'm hesitant.
9	I do have some concerns about some things I
LO	heard tonight.
11	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Well, come
12	forward. Come on down here, Ms. Cuthbert, and
L3	get some clarification. You are going to
L4	introduce yourself one time. I heard the
15	gentleman say the former Chair, I was the
16	former Chair, so I guess he's talking about
L7	the last former Chair.
18	MS. CUTHBERT: Good evening, I'm
19	Mary Cuthbert, C-U-T-H-B-E-R-T, the Chair for
20	Advisory Neighborhood Commission 8C, and this
21	is in my single-member district 8C03.
22	I have some concern about this

additional land that they have purchased, and they would notify the people in the 200 feet radius, well, as the ANC we never got a notice, and I think that's very important when they have said they notified the community in a 200 feet radius. That's one of the most very important parts of this development, and that needs to be addressed.

MS. GRORDANO: Ms. Cuthbert, I thought that we had, but I will check my records, but what I was saying was, we had a list of everybody that lived within 200 feet of the boundary, that got the initial notice, and we reissued the notice to let them know about the additional land. It was just a repeat notice about the public hearing, and I thought the ANC got one, too, but if not I apologize.

MS. CUTHBERT: Well, I don't think
I -- I don't think that's true, we do have
another Commissioner who lives closer to this
property than I do, and she did not receive

2.

one.

So, my concern is that when you say different -- when you come into a zoning issue just tell the truth, that's all I ask, just tell the truth. If you didn't notify the community, you didn't notify the community.

But, whenever you notify the community, the first person you should notify the ANC Commissioners.

Thank you.

My other concern is, it's a lot of little things that was not -- the amenity package, it was not discussed with the community, and we are concerned about that.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Ms. Cuthbert, what we want to do now is, when you come up and testify you can explain that to us. You've already heard from us, we are asking for some of those things to be fine tuned, but at this point is the time to ask if you need clarification on the amenity package, then you might want to ask about some of the things

1	that you heard tonight, or you can wait and
2	come back and give us some testimony on that,
3	because we will be asking for additional stuff
4	before in that case, proposed action and
5	final action, at different times.
6	VICE-CHAIRPERSON JEFFRIES: So,
7	you are asking questions right now
8	MS. CUTHBERT: Right, that we
9	heard
10	VICE-CHAIRPERSON JEFFRIES: of
11	this Applicant.
12	MS. CUTHBERT: this evening
13	that was not discussed with the community.
14	VICE-CHAIRPERSON JEFFRIES: Just
15	talk with Ms. Grordano.
16	MS. CUTHBERT: I have a couple of
17	things.
18	What were the community what I
19	heard tonight, that was not addressed with us,
20	is regarding the flex space amenities package.
21	We are not aware of that. We need the Social
22	Service CDC spelt out to the community. We

are not aware of all that was mentioned 1 2 tonight, could you please explain all the 3 amenities, I mean, the Social Service that you say is going to be in this package, this 4 development package? We have two Commissions 5 here, and neither one of us heard of all of 6 7 them. MS. GRORDANO: There is a chart in 8 9 the application that lists all that. Maybe we can share that with Ms. Cuthbert, if she wants 10 11 to go through it. CHAIRMAN HOOD: That would be 12 13 great, and I think the ANC is due some Obviously, we read the packet 14 clarification. 15 and I asked the question about clarification. 16 if you can work with the ANC as I mentioned, there's a lot there, and we just 17 need to fine tune that. 18 19 MS. GRORDANO: Okay. 20 CHAIRMAN HOOD: So, if we can do that before proposed action with the ANC, 21

because summertime, I'm not sure when we are

1	going to fine tune this, but in summertime
2	ANCs do take a vacation. I'm not sure, Ms.
3	Cuthbert, is your ANC on vacation in July?
4	MS. CUTHBERT: We are taking a
5	vacation in August.
6	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.
7	MS. CUTHBERT: Our next meeting is
8	July 9th.
9	CHAIRMAN HOOD: So, we have some
10	time to nail that down and then work that out,
11	and we'll be looking forward to that. We
12	probably want to take this up in September.
13	MS. GRORDANO: So, should we just
14	agree that we can come to the ANC meeting July
15	9th and bring all those details to the
16	community?
17	MS. CUTHBERT: Yes, you are
18	welcome to come to the ANC meeting, and I will
19	give you the address where it's going to be
20	held and the time, so you are welcome to do
21	that to answer all our concerns that you have
22	addressed tonight, that has not been cleared

1	with the Commission.
2	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, that will be
3	good, and then we can clarify that and that
4	will make us have another shorter night than
5	what we have tonight.
6	COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes.
7	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Anything
8	any other questions, Ms. Cuthbert?
9	MS. CUTHBERT: Right now, no,
10	thank you very much. I appreciate it,
11	Commissioners.
12	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, we are going
13	to bring you back up to testify.
14	Okay, again, I'm just this is
15	the last time I'm going to call for Chairman
16	Mohammed, I don't see him, but if he comes in
17	if somebody could let the staff know.
18	Okay, we are going to go to the
19	Office of Planning's report.
20	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Good evening,
21	Mr. Chairman, and Members of the Commission.
22	I am Maxine Brown-Roberts from the Office of

Planning.

2.

In the interest of time this evening, I think the Applicant has explained much of the design concept for this application, and, therefore, I'm going to stand on the record, but there are a few things that I just wanted to highlight.

One thing that the Applicant did not address was concerning the part of the application that is a map amendment from the R-5-A District to the R-5-B and C-2-A Districts.

A portion is a small portion of the site to accommodate an apartment and community service uses. It will not be for retail uses, and the subject property is severely constrained by steep slopes, and the Applicant requested a change in the zoning to provide a viable development to preserve the environmentally sensitive areas.

Regarding the flexibility that the Applicant is requesting, Mr. May had asked

1	about having some details on the plan. They
2	were not shown on the plan, but the Applicant
3	did provide two tables that outline for all
4	the lots where the flexibility was being
5	requested. So, I think that that is there, in
6	addition to what Mr. May has requested will be
7	fine.
8	On the C-2-A portion ,the
9	flexibility requested is for the lot occupancy
10	and the side yard on the building. Again, the
11	flexibility will provide adequate light and
12	air to the buildings and to adjacent
13	buildings.
14	On the R-5-B portion, the
15	flexibility is also regarding lot occupancy,
16	side yard/rear yards on individual lots.
17	Again, these are in some ways attributable to
18	the topography and the need to maximize the
19	land area.
20	We think the proposal provides
21	adequate parking and landscaping on the site.
22	The development is consistent

is not inconsistent with the Comprehensive Plan, the house and land use elements, and also the far southeast element of the Comp Plan, and, in particular, the housing opportunity areas in the Berry Farm hillside and Fort Stanton area.

Regarding when we analyzed the application regarding the amenities package, I think we think that this application does provide a number of amenities, overall amenities to the community, affordable housing being the main one. The proposed Social Services, we did talk to the Applicant about that, and they did give us some detail, and I think that that can be attributable if they provide the details to the Commission.

We also think that there is also some environmental benefits. In discussions with the Applicant, they informed us about their LEED certification and de-certification, and the green roof. They did provide the Office of Planning with a list of the things

2.

1	that they think that they could meet under the
2	LEED and we do suggest that they provide
3	that to the Zoning Commission.
4	They also stated to us that they
5	will also be filling their First Choice and
6	DSLBD obligations, and, therefore, we think
7	that those things are amenities to the
8	application.
9	Overall, the development provides
10	affordable housing, that is an amenity as
11	well, and the Office of Planning recommends
12	that the proposal be approved with the
13	condition that no retail use be permitted on
14	the C-2-A portion of the site.
15	Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and I'm
16	available for questions.
17	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you, Ms.
18	Brown-Roberts.
19	Commissioner Turnbull?
20	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Thank you,
21	Mr. Chair.
22	Ms. Brown-Roberts, I just had a

point of clarification. The DDOT report, as 1 2 regarding parking, says that the Applicant is 3 about ten spaces short, although they are okay with that. 4 5 MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Yes. COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: 6 You sort 7 of just say on your report it's not applicable or you are not concerned about it. 8 9 MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: I think from -10 - I did not count all the parking spaces, but 11 went by the numbers that the Applicant submitted to us, and those were within the --12 13 were, actually, it was over what was supposed to be provided. 14 15 However, even with the reduction I 16 think it will be okay. Again, we are encouraging any -- we, along with DDOT, do 17 encourage some reduction in parking. 18 There's 19 a lot of parking space on this site. There

and then they are proximated to the

so overall I think that, I

are a number of buses that go along Sheridan

Metro Station,

20

21

1	mean, this is DDOT's judgment to make, but I
2	think the Office of Planning would support
3	some reduction in the parking.
4	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Well, I
5	mean, they are okay with it, except that I
6	think they said by their analysis there should
7	have been 270, and there's 260, and they are
8	okay with it.
9	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Right, yes,
10	yes, so we don't have a problem with that.
11	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay.
12	Well, I guess the question is, do they need
13	relief on that?
14	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Oh, yes, they
15	would need relief on that.
16	Let me reword this. Are you
17	asking that they would need relief from the
18	parking because they are providing less than
19	the amount by DDOT?
20	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Right.
21	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Yes, they
22	would need relief from the parking
	l .

1	requirement, if that's the case.
2	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay,
3	thank you.
4	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, thank you.
5	Any other questions of the Office of Planning?
6	Does the ANC, Chairman Cuthbert,
7	do you have any questions of the Office of
8	Planning, any cross examination? It's not
9	testimony, it's just any questions.
LO	Take your time, if you've got
11	questions you want to ask them.
12	MS. CUTHBERT: Yes. My concern -
13	- the concern is about the small the map
L4	amendment. Why how are they allowed to
15	show the additional use of that land without
16	checking the map amendment?
L7	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: I'm not sure I
18	understand the question.
19	COMMISSIONER MAY: You said they
20	did not contact the Office of Planning
21	regarding the map amendment.

1	that tonight the Applicant did not go into any
2	details about that, and I just wanted to
3	highlight that as part of my report.
4	COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay, that's
5	what I okay, I misunderstood you.
6	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Okay.
7	CHAIRMAN HOOD: C-2-A part, that's
8	always been a part of that.
9	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Yes, that's
10	always been a part of the application.
11	COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay, thank
12	you.
13	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, great.
14	Okay, we have DDOT, and I'm going
15	to bring Mr. Bah, and I guess Mr. Nwankwo,
16	hopefully, I didn't butcher the name too bad,
17	you can come up and introduce yourself, and
18	give us we have your report, we got it this
19	evening, and we appreciate it, and you can let
20	Ms. Ritz know that we want to thank you for
21	your attendance tonight.
22	That's an inside joke, isn't it,

1	Mr. Bah?
2	Okay, if you could turn your
3	microphone on and introduce yourselves, and we
4	don't have to make it long, because we have
5	your report, but any concerns, any issues, let
6	us know.
7	MR NWANKWO: Good evening, Mr.
8	Chair. Good evening, Members of the Board.
9	My name is Lawrence Nwankwo. I'm the Project
10	Manager for this project.
11	COMMISSIONER MAY: You have to get
12	in that mic.
13	MR NWANKWO: I'm the Project
14	Manager for this particular zoning
15	application, and Mr. Bah is our
16	MR. BAH: I will introduce myself.
17	MR NWANKWO: oh, okay.
18	MR. BAH: My name is
19	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Speak into the
20	microphone.
21	MR. BAH: I am Abdoulaye Bah, I'm
22	a Transportation Engineer with the District

1	Department of Transportation.
2	Thank you for having
3	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, who is Mr.
4	Bah? You are Mr. Bah.
5	MR. BAH: Yes.
6	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Okay.
7	And, you are Mr
8	MR NWANKWO: Lawrence Nwankwo.
9	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Nwankwo. Okay.
10	Somebody is so we want to get on through,
11	we want to get through this tonight. Okay.
12	MR NWANKWO: So, you already have
13	our report, so for the sake of time I will
14	just read our conclusion, and waiting for any
15	questions you might have.
16	"The Department of Transportation
17	has no objection to the proposed development,
18	even the specific land use before us. DOT
19	does not separate general commercial use,
20	typically permitted by C-2-A Zone in this
21	location, even across Trent at 13th Avenue
22	intersection. DOT has no objection to the

1	proposed non-residential management of health
2	and training facility, which necessitates
3	commercial zoning. Provided there is only no
4	residential use in the program, DOT has no
5	objection to the rezoning. DOT stands ready
6	to coordinate with the Applicant to coordinate
7	traffic and roadway improvement in the area."
8	CHAIRMAN HOOD: That's good, and
9	thank you for making it very brief.
10	The only issue that I have in the
11	report that I saw, and my colleague just
12	alluded to it also, on page two of the report
13	it says, "DDOT recommends that the Applicant
14	provide 40 secured off-street bicycle racks
15	and implement a transportation management
16	plan." Has that been discussed with the
17	Applicant?
18	MR. BAH: This is our policy to
19	recommend bicycle rack in all development. We
20	want to encourage that mode of transportation,
21	in order to reduce vehicular traffic.
22	So, for this site, we have a

1	critical mass in this development here, so
2	having so many bicycle racks is a good thing,
3	good transportation use.
4	CHAIRMAN HOOD: So, is this let
5	me ask this, is this a show stopper for
6	well, I guess DDOT will have some signing off
7	to do, but is this a show stopper for DDOT, if
8	the Applicant says I don't want to provide it
9	even though we encourage it.
10	MR. BAH: Well, we recommend, let
11	me put it that way.
12	CHAIRMAN HOOD: You recommend.
13	Okay. All right. Hold your seats, gentlemen.
14	Any other questions of DDOT?
15	VICE-CHAIRPERSON JEFFRIES: I
16	mean, you strongly recommend this, I mean,
17	this is something that we are obviously
18	considering to put in the order, I mean, so
19	MR. BAH: Yes.
20	VICE-CHAIRPERSON JEFFRIES: Okay.
21	CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right,
22	Commissioner May?

1	COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes. Are you
2	familiar with the South Capitol Street EIS,
3	and their recommendations for Sheridan Avenue?
4	MR. BAH: Well, I did not
5	COMMISSIONER MAY: Or Sheridan
6	Road, whatever it is, I'm sorry.
7	MR. BAH: I did not have first
8	hand, but I know of the study, the EIS.
9	COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay, so I
10	mean, does the preferred alternative include
11	closing off that access from the Suitland
12	Parkway directly onto Sheridan Road?
13	MR. BAH: We are thinking about
14	that.
15	COMMISSIONER MAY: But, does the
16	preferred does DDOT's preferred alternative
17	include and the EIS include cutting that
18	off?
19	MR NWANKWO: There is no preferred
20	alternative at the moment.
21	COMMISSIONER MAY: There's no
22	preferred alternative at this point?

1	MR NWANKWO: No, the study is
2	still going on.
3	COMMISSIONER MAY: There is a
4	preferred alternative overall in the EIS, in
5	teh draft EIS there was a preferred
6	alternative.
7	MR NWANKWO: Well, the preferred
8	alternative, well, that's kind of what I'm
9	talking about, is the preferred alternative
10	with respect to the junction
11	COMMISSIONER MAY: Right, with
12	Martin Luther King, right.
13	MR NWANKWO: no, there is none,
14	there is only the fellow that's in charge
15	of the study, and he told me before we got
16	here there is no preferred alternative, the
17	citizens don't like some of the proposals, but
18	they will to what the citizens want, which
19	is already a road walk connecting to that
20	particular intersection.
21	COMMISSIONER MAY: So
22	MR NWANKWO: So, they will

1	definitely listen to the citizens, and work
2	with them to come with a preferred alternative
3	that they will eventually like.
4	COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay, so I have
5	to say, there was a preferred alternative that
6	was stated in the EIS that included a series
7	of improvements that went all the way down to
8	Martin Luther King. Now, whether that's still
9	the preferred alternative when you get to
10	final I don't know, but
11	MR NWANKWO: No, it's not going to
12	be.
13	COMMISSIONER MAY: Right.
14	MR NWANKWO: It's not going to be,
15	because we are definitely going to listen to
16	the citizens.
17	COMMISSIONER MAY: I just I
18	didn't remember what the preferred alternative
19	was.
20	MR NWANKWO: That was
21	COMMISSIONER MAY: And, what
22	happened with Sheridan Road.

1	MR NWANKWO: yes, that was a
2	recommendation for closure, but now I don't
3	think they will close it.
4	COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay, thank
5	you.
6	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any other
7	questions from us?
8	Does the Applicant have any cross
9	examination?
10	MS. GRORDANO: No cross
11	examination.
12	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Ms.
13	Cuthbert, do you have any cross examination of
14	DDOT?
	DDOT? MS. CUTHBERT: No.
14	
14 15	MS. CUTHBERT: No.
14 15 16	MS. CUTHBERT: No. CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Again, tell
14 15 16 17	MS. CUTHBERT: No. CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Again, tell Ms. Ritz the Commission thanks for you all
14 15 16 17 18	MS. CUTHBERT: No. CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Again, tell Ms. Ritz the Commission thanks for you all thanks her for you all coming down, and you
14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. CUTHBERT: No. CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Again, tell Ms. Ritz the Commission thanks for you all thanks her for you all coming down, and you all for coming down to provide testimony.

Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Okay, report of ANC. We don't have anything from 8A, so I'm going to ask 8C, Chairman Cuthbert, if you could come forward and give us your -- we've heard your concerns, if you want to reiterate them, but I just want you to know we've noted that and you can give us your testimony.

MS. CUTHBERT: Good evening, I'm
Mary Cuthbert, the Chair of Advisory
Neighborhood Commission 8C.

It's unfortunate that I do not have a written report in trying to work with ANC 8A, that we can come to one agreement, because it's in two boundaries, and it's important to me as a long-time Commissioner it work together when comes development and do an MOU, which I have drafted, but I have not completed it, because it has to be approved by the three parties, ANC 8A and 8C and the developers, and that's important to me, in making sure that we get

1	the amenities that we need.
2	I'm asking this Board to please
3	hold the record open for us to provide you
4	with that written content for ten days.
5	CHAIRMAN HOOD: You'll probably
6	need more time than that, Ms. Cuthbert. We
7	want to do better than that.
8	MS. CUTHBERT: Well, you know
9	CHAIRMAN HOOD: We know about
10	volunteers, believe me.
11	MS. CUTHBERT: okay, we would
12	like to have it open so we can have a mutual
13	agreement when it comes to development in our
14	area, and that's important, the way Ward 8 is
15	changing so quickly, I am stretched out like
16	an octopus. Tonight I had to attend my St.
17	Elizabeth East Campus meeting, I have Poplar
18	Point in my Commission, I have the West Campus
19	in my Commission, so I am overwhelmed to the
20	extent that I am almost the endless
21	Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER MAY: But, you seem

1	like you are up to the challenge. That's the
2	impression I get.
3	MS. CUTHBERT: I'm asking you to
4	please do this on this particular project.
5	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, thank you,
6	Ms. Cuthbert, for your are you finished?
7	MS. CUTHBERT: I'm finished.
8	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, thank you
9	for your testimony. I've known you for a long
10	time, you've been very enthusiastic about your
11	work in the community, it's a labor of love to
12	you, and I want you to continue to do the
13	work, and don't get tired yet, okay?
14	Let me ask, colleagues, any
15	questions of Ms. Cuthbert?
16	Okay, does the Applicant have any
17	questions?
18	MS. GRORDANO: No questions.
19	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, thank you
20	very much, Ms. Cuthbert.
21	MS. CUTHBERT: Thank you.
22	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, let's see,

1	now we are going to organizations and persons
2	in support.
3	I have a list here, I'm going to
4	just call the list, it's very short, I'm just
5	going to call it as I see it. It looks as
6	though everyone is a proponent.
7	Martha Smith.
8	MS. SMITH: Here.
9	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Sheridan Terrace.
10	Did you want to testify?
11	MS. SMITH: Sure.
12	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Come forward.
13	I'm going to really mess up
14	well, let me try the last name, Katkish, John,
15	K-A-T-K-I-S-H. Okay, maybe they left.
16	Ms. Cuthbert has already
17	testified.
18	And also, Commissioner Ward from
19	ANC 8A. Do we have Commissioner Ward here?
20	And, let me ask, is there anyone
21	else here who would like to testify in
22	support, organization or person?

1	Okay, come forward. I hope that
2	wasn't your name I messed up.
3	Okay, we are going to begin with
4	you, Ms. Smith, and then we'll go to
5	Commissioner Ward, and then the young lady who
6	came up last.
7	MS. SMITH: Hi, my name is Martha
8	Smith, and I live 105 Galveston Street, S.W.,
9	Apartment 303, zip 20032.
10	I come here to lift concerns back
11	up. I'm a former resident, and our goal is to
12	provide excellent service for our new
13	community, to attend association meetings, to
14	share ideas and interest to create positive
15	constructive programs. And also, for the
16	seniors and disabled people, I think it's a
17	great idea to have travel shuttle buses, so
18	they can get to their destinations.
19	We need a daycare center, after
20	care children, or adult basic education, GED.
21	I'd like to thank you for my time.
22	CHAIRMAN HOOD: You went straight

1	to the point.
2	Okay, Commissioner Ward?
3	MS. WARD: Hello, my name is
4	Jackie Ward, I'm the Vice Chair for 8A. At
5	this time, in cooperation with ANC 8C, and
6	their Chairman, Mary Cuthbert, and on behalf
7	of Anthony Mohammed, who cannot be here
8	tonight, we are going to ask for the delay.
9	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, I'm sure the
10	record is going to be kept open for both ANCs
11	to be able to provide some additional comments
12	after working closely with the Applicant.
13	Okay.
14	If you can introduce yourself.
15	MS. GLOVER: Okay. Good evening,
16	Chairperson Hood and Commissioners of the
17	Zoning Commission. My name is Wendy Glover,
18	and I'm a former resident of Sheridan Terrace
19	Public Housing Development, that was located
20	on Ward 8, in Historic Anacostia.
21	I'm a member of the Coalition to
22	Save Sheridan Terrace, which was formed by

1	former residents and the Social Action
2	Committee of Bethlehem Baptist Church in 2001.
3	The purpose of the Coalition is to
4	bring affordable housing back that includes
5	housing for the extremely low income levels.
6	In 2005, I received a flyer from
7	District of Columbia Housing Authority
8	announcing a community meeting and requested
9	former residents of Sheridan Terrace to attend
10	to discuss the application process for the
11	HOPE VI grant competition.
12	It was explained at the meeting,
13	DCHA was going to apply for a \$20 million HOPE
14	VI grant to redevelop Sheridan Terrace site.
15	I, along with other former
16	residents, participated in 14 community
17	meetings during the summer of 2005, and worked
18	with DCHA, the Master Planner, and the main
19	developer of the plan to submit in the
20	application.
21	We discussed the tenure of the
22	units, the type, and the density of the site.

1 Unfortunately, the grant was not awarded in Fiscal Year 2005 or in the fiscal 2 3 year of 2006, and when DCH came back in August of 2007 and said that thy were going to put 4 5 together another HOPE VI application, 6 thought they were crazy, but --7 developers had DCHA and the promised forward with the 8 move to 9 redevelopment, redevelopment no matter if the application was awarded or not. However, they 10 11 could not leave \$20 million left on the table without trying one more time. 12 During the fall of 2007, there 13 were several meetings, in particular, a 14-14 15 hour charrette, where we talked about new 16 plans with fewer units and seven different types of units. We discussed the number of 17 public housing units for former residents, as 18 19 well as the number of home ownership units for 20 low and moderate income families. When the community meets with DCHA 21

and the development team, we also asked when

can we move in. The community is very excited about the redevelopment of Sheridan Terrace, and we intend to work together to develop construction and occupancy activities with our partners to complete the project in a timely and efficient manner.

The community has taken ownership of the project already, and we know that there's a lot to work -- there's a lot of work to get done.

The community and Supportive

Service Program has been working with residents since August of 2006, and preparing to return into the site. We look forward to participating in the creation of a re-entry criteria, which will prepare us to -- which will prepare us to returning to the site.

As a former resident, I plan returning to the site as a homeowner, and I look forward to working with DCHA and William C. Smith Company. There is a lot of work to get done in a little time, and we ask that

2.

1	this application be approved so we can move as
2	quickly as possible.
3	My major concern is that the
4	former residents will return back to this
5	site.
6	Thank you for this opportunity to
7	share my experience for affordable housing to
8	return to Sheridan Terrace site.
9	Thank you.
10	CHAIRMAN HOOD: I want to thank
11	all three of you for your testimony.
12	Let me see if my colleagues have
13	any questions. Any questions?
14	Does the Applicant have any
15	questions?
16	MS. GRORDANO: No questions.
17	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Ms. Cuthbert, do
18	you have any questions?
19	MS. CUTHBERT: No.
20	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, again, thank
21	you all for your testimony.
22	Okay, I guess I need to call for
l	

any persons organizations or persons in
opposition? Organizations and persons in
opposition?
Seeing none, Ms. Grordano, if you
can come up and we can do closing.
I don't think we have any
rebuttal, I don't think, but you never know.
MS. GRORDANO: No, I just wanted
to address the parking issue.
We just got the DDOT report this
afternoon, so we really haven't had a chance
to get with them, but our calculations were
that we had provided adequate parking, and we
weren't requesting parking relief.
So, we will, you know, double
check those figures and get with DDOT, but
that was not our intention, to ask for a
parking reduction.
CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, well, let's
just try to get clarification for proposed.
MS. GRORDANO: Okay.
CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. One of the

things that we are concerned about in the summer months, ANCs do go on vacation, and I think we can hit, hopefully, both ANCs, both 8As and 8Cs meetings if they have to do any I don't know how you are going to action. make it to those meetings, or how you are going to do that, but we want to make sure we allow enough time for both of those ANCs to have a meeting. I think they both meet in July, so we should be okay on that. Ms. Schellin, do can we а schedule? MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, I think that if we leave the record open until July 24th

MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, I think that if we leave the record open until July 24th for the additional documents that have been requested, including the ANC reports, and then the parties, in this case just the Applicant and the ANC, would have until July 31st to respond to anything that gets filed on the 24th, and then we can consider this at the September 8th meeting.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	MS. SCHELLIN: Does that time
2	period cover the ANCs meetings, one is the
3	9th?
4	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Ms. Cuthbert, if
5	you can come I hate to have you come all
6	the way back up to the table, and if the young
7	lady from I'm sorry
8	MS. WARD: 8A.
9	CHAIRMAN HOOD: 8A,
10	Commissioner Ward, if you could come sit up
11	here with you, even though I know she's not
12	represented, she is the Vice Chair, so she can
13	help us with our questions, so we can make
14	sure we come back and we all are on the same
15	page.
16	Now, Ms. Schellin, you had some
17	MS. SCHELLIN: Just to make sure
18	that 8A is going to meet before that date.
19	MS. WARD: Yes, we meet July the
20	1st.
21	MS. SCHELLIN: Okay.
22	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.

1	MS. SCHELLIN: So then, that would
2	cover
3	CHAIRMAN HOOD: July 1st, okay.
4	MS. SCHELLIN: that would give
5	them a couple weeks after their meeting to
6	actually provide the report.
7	CHAIRMAN HOOD: The issue is, can
8	the Applicant get to that's Tuesday, can
9	the Applicant get to 8A's meeting?
10	MS. GRORDANO: The Applicant
11	communicated to me that they can come to the
12	meeting, and that they would prefer to
13	accelerate that schedule if possible.
14	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Well, I don't know
15	how much more we can say, July 1st
16	MS. SCHELLIN: we don't meet in
17	August, so it's not going to change that
18	September decision.
19	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Right, when does
20	8C meet?
21	MS. CUTHBERT: 8C meets July 9th.
22	CHAIRMAN HOOD: July 9th, so

1	that's actually I think that's pretty
2	and now, will he be able to get on the agenda?
3	MS. CUTHBERT: Oh, yes, we'll
4	definitely have them on the agenda.
5	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.
6	COMMISSIONER MAY: This is a
7	priority, we'll make it a priority that they
8	are on the agenda.
9	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Okay.
10	Okay, so do you want to repeat the schedule,
11	Ms. Schellin?
12	MS. SCHELLIN: Yes. So, the ANC
13	reports and all the other documents, do we
14	need to go through those, Ms. Grordano?
15	MS. GRORDANO: I think I kept a
16	good list, and I can call you on Monday and we
17	can double check the list.
18	MS. SCHELLIN: Okay. So, all of
19	the additional documents from the Applicant,
20	and the two ANC reports, are due by 3:00 p.m.,
21	July 24th, and then you have until July 31st,
22	the Applicant and the ANCs, to respond to

1	anything that gets filed.
2	So, the ANC can provide comments
3	to anything that the Applicant files, the
4	Applicant can provide comments to any of the
5	ANC reports that are received.
6	And then, this will be taken up at
7	our September 8th meeting, which is at 6:30
8	p.m.
9	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, with that I
10	want to thank everyone for their participation
11	this evening, and if you want to follow this
12	case further you can contact staff. It is
13	proposed to be taken up at our September
14	meeting, and with that this hearing is
15	adjourned.
16	(Whereupon, the above-entitled
17	matter was concluded at 9:21 p.m.)
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	