GOVERNMENT

OF

THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

ZONING COMMISSION

+ + + + +

REGULAR MEETING

+ + + + + MONDAY JULY 30th, 2012 + + + + +

The Regular Meeting of the District of Columbia Zoning Commission convened in the Jerrily R. Kress Memorial Hearing Room, Room 220 South, 441 4th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., 20001, pursuant to notice at 6:30 p.m., Anthony J. Hood, Chairman, presiding.

ZONING COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT:

ANTHONY J. HOOD, Chairman MARCIE COHEN, Vice Chair MICHAEL G. TURNBULL, FAIA, Commissioner (OAC)

PETER G. MAY, Commissioner (NPS)

OFFICE OF ZONING STAFF PRESENT:

SHARON S. SCHELLIN, Secretary
OFFICE OF PLANNING STAFF PRESENT:

JENNIFER STEINGASSER, Deputy Director, Development Review & Historic

Preservation

STEPHEN MORDFIN

STEVEN COCHRAN

MATT JESICK

PAUL GOLDSTEIN

ART RODGERS

D.C. OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL PRESENT:

ALAN BERGSTEIN, ESQ.

JACOB RITTING, ESQ.

The transcript constitutes the minutes from the Regular meeting held on July 30, 2012.

TABLE OF CONTENTS Page No. Preliminary matters, SHARON SCHELLIN 6 Final Action ZC Case No. 05-36F, K Street Developers, LLC Two-Year PUD Time Extension @ Square 749 ZC Case No. 11-25, VASUDAV, Inc. --10 Consolidated PUD & Related Map Amendment @ Square 3594 ZC Case No. 11-13 -- TC/CSG St. Matthews, 14 LLC Proposed Action Z.C. Case No. 07-35C, Sheridan Terrace 16 Redevelopment, LLC -- PUD Modification @ Squares 5869 & 5872 26 Z.C. Case No. 06-08C, Ft. Lincoln/Gateway Village, LLC -- PUD Modification @ Square 4325 Hearing Action Z.C. Case No. 06-11G/06-12G, George 31 Washington University -- 2nd Stage PUD Modification to 1st Stage PUD & Related Map Amendment @ Square 75 Z.C. Case No. 09-08B, D.C. Wheel 44 Productions, Inc., dba Dance Place -- 2nd Stage PUD @ Square 3832 Z.C. Case No. 10-23, Jemal's Babes, LLC --51 Consolidated PUD & Related Map Amendment

@ Square 1732

- Z.C. Case No. 12-10, Office of Planning -- 73
 Text Amendment: Ch. 34 (GAR) and Section 412
 and 2115.19)
- Z.C. Case No. 12-11 (Office of Planning -- 73
 Text Amendment: Various Administrative
 Amendments to Current Regulations)
 Z.C. Case No. 12-12 (Office of Planning -- 96
 Text Amendment: New Section 2405.9, PUD
- Z.C. Case No. 12-13 (Office of Planning -- 102
 Text Amendment: Section 199.1, Definition
 of Clear Height, Floor to Ceiling)
- Z.C. Case No. 04-33F (Office of Planning -- 107
 Text Amendment: Section 2409.10 (PUD
 Implementation) and Section 2602.4
 (Inclusionary Zoning -- Affordability
 Duration Provisions)

Emergency Action Setdown

Correspondence

Standards)

Z.C. Case No 07-08B (Memorandum from the 118
Office of Planning re: clarification of
squares to which the proposed extension
would apply)

Please be advised that this

22

Ms. Schellin.

22

1

MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, sir.

2

As you stated, this is a request

3

for a two-year time extension. I believe

4

their explanation is that they need this

5

extension due to financing constraints.

6

There is a letter in support from

7

ANC 6B at Exhibit 5.

8

And, I'm sorry, OP also provided a

9

report at Exhibit 6.

10

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you.

11

Commissioners, we have a request

12

for a two-year time extension. I notice that

13

in the ANC letter, the ANC, and I'm sure the

14

Applicant has conveyed to the ANC that they

15

are fully aware of moving forward with this

16

application. And also, have been a good

17

That did not go unnoticed. neighbor.

18

ANC thanks the Applicant for being

19

such a good neighbor. That's always very

20

important, even though I know we have to deal

21

with the regulations and material facts of the

22

extension.

So, I'll open it up for any suggestions, any comments?

2.0

VICE CHAIR COHEN: Mr. Chairman, my only concern is that there is litigation going on, which I think probably does have some impact on why financing isn't available for this project.

Again, since I don't know anything about the type of litigation, it may or may not, you know, affect it for two years or more.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you.

Anyone else?

COMMISSIONER MAY: Mr. Chairman, in the concern that's been presented to us, and the reasons why, I mean, certainly, there are reasons to be concerned about whether the project will ever be completed. But, part of it already has been completed, and I think it make senses to continue, and I am especially comforted by the fact that the ANC is so supportive of the Applicant.

COMMISSIONER TURNBULL:

Mr. Chair,

21

22

you.

Okay.

Next we

support, third mayoral appointee position

CHAIRMAN HOOD:

vacant, not voting.

20

21

22

have Zoning Commission Case No. 11-25. This
is the VASUDAV, Inc., I have a problem with
that, consolidated PUD and related map
amendment at Square 3594. I know I pronounced
that before, but today I can't say it.

Ms. Schellin.

MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, sir.

The record was reopened to accept the full set of plans and a depiction of the boundary for ANCFMD 5B-06, Exhibits 54 through 57 are the proposed hearing and BAFO submissions.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Has the record already been reopened? Okay. The record has been reopened.

Thank you, Ms. Schellin.

Okay. We have a final draft order in front of us, the BAFO order, and also we have the draft, if you look at the decision part of it, any questions, comments?

Again, I think we exhausted a lot of comments when we did the proposed. I'll

say this again, I was very excited to see the deal giving the community furniture. I think that was a key piece.

2.0

I did have some questions about that, but I'm sure that that will be worked out. And, I did notice they made the correction to make sure it's within the GIS map area of 5B, which will change this coming January. So, anyway, I don't have any concerns.

Any other comments?

just want to note the additional materials
that were submitted, modifications to the
elevation to address the couple of spots where
the design was lacking a bit. The one end
wall that had no windows and so on, and also
the details on the louvers that would be used
to cover the thru wall units.

And, I think that's all fine now, so I'm happy to move forward and bring this to a conclusion.

	Page 13
1	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Would
2	somebody like to make a motion?
3	COMMISSIONER MAY: I would like to
4	move approval of Zoning Commission Case 11-25
5	for final approval of VASUDAV, Inc.,
6	Consolidated PUD and Related Map Amendment at
7	Square 3594.
8	VICE CHAIR COHEN: Second.
9	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. It's been
10	moved and properly seconded. Any further
11	discussion?
12	All those in favor?
13	(Ayes.)
14	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Hearing no
15	opposition, Ms. Schellin, would you please
16	record the vote?
17	MS. SCHELLIN: Yes. Staff records
18	the vote 4:0:1 to approve final action in
19	Zoning Commission Case No. 11-25, Commissioner
20	May moving, Commissioner Cohen seconding,
21	Commissioners Hood and Turnbull in support,
22	third mayoral appointee position vacant, not

1 voting.

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Next let's

3 go to Zoning Commission Case No. 11-13.

That's the TC/CSG St. Matthews, LLC.

5 Ms. Schellin, let's go to Ms.

6 Schellin.

MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, sir.

There are two requests before the Commission this evening. One from Dr. Crause, a request for reconsideration, and then from Ms. Herridge is a request for stay of order.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay,

Commissioners.

Thank you, Ms. Schellin.

Commissioners, as stated we have received a request for reconsideration from Dr. Crause, a request for a stay of the order from Ms. Herridge.

In addition, we have received responses on both issues from the Applicant.

I agree with the Applicant's legal arguments made in the Applicant's filing.

1 Does anyone disagree and want to 2 say anything further on the issue? 3 COMMISSIONER MAY: I agree with you, Mr. Chairman. 4 5 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. I think the 6 filing speaks for itself. I don't need to 7 elaborate. 8 Okay. So, I will make a motion 9 that we deny the requests -- I guess I can do 10 it all at once, or should I single them out? Okay. I would move that we deny the requests 11 12 for reconsideration by Dr. Crause, and also 13 deny the request for the stay of the order from Ms. Herridge, and ask for a second. 14 15 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: CHAIRMAN HOOD: It's been moved 16 17 and properly seconded. Any further 18 discussion? 19 Are you ready for the question? 20 All those in favor. 21 (Ayes.) 22 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Not hearing any

The Commission has all of those

21

22

hearing submission.

1 documents before them.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, thank you,
Ms. Schellin.

As stated, we've received a couple of post hearing submissions, and I believe addressing issues raised by the ANC. We need decide if the PUD as modified has been submitted -- that has been submitted originally to us would have been approved. I think that's the first question, as it was first submitted, because as I've moved on, maybe I might have misunderstood something somewhere along the line, that it's still a mixed use income residential project that includes both for sale and for rent.

I was under the impression it was all going for rent, so I may have -- I don't know, I might have gotten that wrong.

My problem was taking the component out that was for home ownership, that was my issue, and I thought that was complete as well.

VICE CHAIR COHEN: Chairman, I'm under the understanding that there was no specificity as to the numbers that would be delivered of home ownership units and rental units.

rental.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, well, maybe we need to get some clarification, because -
COMMISSIONER MAY: Well, I don't know, I can't quote the order, but as I understand it we went from roughly 50 percent home ownership and 50 percent rental to one quarter home ownership and three quarters

Still, a healthy proportion, very large project. It is a fair amount of rental housing, but I honestly think, I mean, I honestly think that if this had come before us at those proportions, that we would have approved it from the beginning. I think it's a legitimate question to have discussion of. I think that's the reason why we didn't take this as a minor modification, because we had

that concern, that with the change in the ownership model, you know, would have some effect on the project.

But, having seen this now, I'm fairly convinced that the best way to move forward is to approve this modification, and I do believe that it would have been approved at this level initially.

It's not uncommon for us to make changes like this in these projects at all, or as they go through the process of getting built I guess is the correct way to put it.

It shouldn't be evolving with their PUDs, but the way things get structures sometimes do change the PUDs, and I still think that meets the test.

COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Mr. Chair,
I just think, you know, Exhibit 36 from W.C.
Smith, they do state, they say the proposal is
to change the ratio of home ownership.

There was concern, the original proposal called for 165 units, the current

proposal calls for 80 units. They still have half, almost half of the original amount that they had planned.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

I want to thank CHAIRMAN HOOD: you, Mr. Turnbull, for bringing that up, because that is, actually, what the piece that I looked at, like you said, the current proposal calls for 80 units of home ownership housing. I don't know where I got the impression that all the housing was -- all of it was going rental. That's totally different. That's why I made the case, I think Ward 8 has, basically, asked that we try to get more home ownership, and this is just They have come down two or three a tool. times, we've made changes. I mentioned that previously.

So, I see not all of it is going away. We didn't get a response from the Council, did we?

MS. SCHELLIN: For the record, it was left open for some additional time, and

1 they did not -- he did not submit his written
2 response that he wanted to.

2.0

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Any other discussion?

Okay. And, they mention also in their letter that Sheridan's site is shared by both ANC 8A and 8C. 8A is in opposition to the change, ANC 8C voted to support it.

VICE CHAIR COHEN: Chairman, I understand from the submission No. 37 that the ANC was proposing to change its mind, although I don't believe we have anything to that effect.

COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Mr. Chair, for the record, I should also point out that due to the impasse, there was time, I have read the record.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: So, it was their impasse, where will we be now? I don't think we -- I don't think, as a matter of clarification, I think that my argument, while it's not moot, I think my argument still is

the same, because I think commend them for
trying to have home ownership.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

17

18

19

20

21

22

But now, all of it is not going away. I don't know where I got that impression from. So, I'm ready to move forward on this.

Would someone like to make a motion, or any further discussion?

I make a motion and move that we approved
Zoning Commission Case No. 07-35C, Sheridan
Terrace PUD Modification.

COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Mr. Chair,

VICE CHAIR COHEN: I'll second that.

15 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. It's been moved and properly seconded.

Also, let me just go back -- any further discussion? Yes, I do have some discussion.

Remember, there was -- OP had indicated in its report that it was concerned about the appearance of the trash doors for

1 the motor court unit, slide number 30, I 2 think, in our exhibits. 3 The Applicant's Power Point 4 entitled, Trash Dumpster Area Detail, shows 5 enhanced landscaping around the dumpster in 6 response to OP's comments. 7 And, we have findings of fact, I 8 believe that resolves this problem, brought up 9 by OP. Does that satisfy everyone's -- does 10 that satisfy everyone? 11 COMMISSIONER MAY: The placement is less than ideal, but I don't -- I don't 12 think that's a really huge issue. 13 14 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Anybody else? 15 Okay.

> And also, DDoTs Howard Road sidewalk TDM and performance monitoring. Applicant's traffic expert testified that additional TDM measures are unnecessary. Does anyone think those additional

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

COMMISSIONER MAY: Mr. Chairman,

-- it's always good --

you know, I was -- I made a few points at the hearing with regard to these conditions. It appeared to me that DDoT was trying to relitigate the original PUD, and I just thought that was inappropriate.

You know, it was approved with the appropriate TDM measures, and, I mean, I know it read sidewalk shipping address, and as far as I'm concerned it's outside the boundaries of the PUD how it gets done, and that DDoT and the Applicant figure out the best way to do that.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Does everybody agree with what we heard, or are there any other comments?

Vice Chair Cohen?

VICE CHAIR COHEN: No, I just agree with Commissioner May on that point.

COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: I was just going to concur with Commissioner May also.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. All right.

We have a motion in front of us,

1 and it's been seconded. Any further 2 discussion? Are you ready for the question? 3 All those in favor. 4 5 (Ayes.) 6 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Not hearing any 7 opposition, Ms. Schellin, would you record the 8 vote? 9 MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, Staff records 10 the vote 4:0:1 to approve proposed action in Zoning Commission Case No. 07-35C, 11 12 Commissioner May moving, Commissioner Cohen 13 seconding, Commissioners Hood and Turnbull in 14 support, third mayoral appointee position 15 vacant, not voting. And, Chairman Hood, if I may, if 16 17 we could have the Applicant provide us another set of labels for owners within 200 feet. 18 19 Thank you. 20 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Let me just 21 ask, Mr. Bergstein, on that case did we deal 22 -- did we cover all the issues? I think we

Page 26 1 did? 2 Yes, you did, sir. MR. BERGSTEIN: CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, good. 3 Thank 4 you. 5 The next Zoning Case No. 06-08C, 6 this is the Fort Lincoln/Gateway Village, LLC 7 -- PUD Modification @ Square 4325. 8 Ms. Schellin. 9 MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, sir. At Exhibit 21, we have an ANC 5A 10 report in support, and Exhibit 22 is the 11 12 Applicant's response to the Commission for 13 information regarding the two-year period of 14 affordability. 15 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, Commissioners. 16 17 Thank you, Ms. Schellin. Commissioners, I think we had a 18 19 question about the affordability, and how long 20 it was going to continue. I think the 21 question was raised, I think we had a 22 submission in front of us from the Applicant

and from, I think it was, DHCD, a consultant with DHCD.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

We also have a letter from ANC 5A, which was requested, and also the Affordable Housing Covenant we have in opposition.

So, let me open it up for comments, or shall I just ask the question?

COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: No, Mr.

Chairman. I think the key part -- actually, I don't know what exhibit it is, but it's from the Applicant's attorney, Mr. Tummonds, basically, reaffirming. It says that, "Since the Applicant is now requesting an ability to use a 60-foot townhome model, as well as the two over two condominium use, to satisfy the workforce housing requirement of the approved PUD, the Applicant proposes that the ten-year period of affordability will start to run from the date of the sale of the affordable unit. This will apply for both the townhome and the two over two condominium units. The Applicant agrees that time and commencement date of the

ten year affordability period to the sale of the actual affordable unit is more appropriate, and addresses the issue that the single family townhomes are not required to obtain a certificate of occupancy."

I think that was going to the issue that we had raised.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Any other comments?

COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes, I think that there is confusion about what exactly the ten year rule meant, which is not, I think, as strong as the nearby neighbors might have wanted, or the other homeowners who drive in the complex.

But, you know, it is what it is, and I don't think it's -- it's really not within our purview to try to change anything having to do with that.

The essential question is whether the homeowners who bought in at market rate are going to keep their investments

appreciate, and I think, you know, it's 1 2 perfectly reasonable to assume that that will 3 This is, in many ways, an ideal mixed 4 income project, and it includes substantial 5 home ownership, and affordable home ownership, as well as market rate, and I think that in 6 7 the long run it will pay for everyone 8 involved.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. I, basically, view this as we did in the previous case. The Applicant does have a right to come in and change. I'm just glad that the ten year period is exactly the way it is.

I remember when we did the IZ, where my position was, and it falls right in line with what was submitted here. It gives everybody a chance to make a few dollars.

So anyway, any other comments? Okay.

I would move that we approve
Zoning Commission Case 06-08C, the
consolidated PUD modification application, and

2 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Second.

3 CHAIRMAN HOOD: It's been moved

4 and properly seconded. Any further

5 discussion?

8

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Are you ready for the question?

7 All those in favor.

(Ayes.)

position vacant, not voting.

9 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Not hearing any
10 opposition, Ms. Schellin, would you please
11 record the vote?

MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, sir. Staff
records the vote 4:0:1 to approve proposed
action in Zoning Commission Case No. 06-08C,
Commissioner Hood moving, Commissioner
Turnbull seconding, Commissioners Cohen and
May in support, third mayoral appointee

Two items. I'm looking at the Applicant's attorney, did we have any requests for a draft order which you will get to?

Okay, that's what I thought.

And, a set of labels for owners
within 200 feet. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Next,

hearing action in Zoning Commission Case No. 06-11G, and 06-12G, George Washington
University 2nd Stage PUD Modification to 1st
Stage PUD and Related Map Amendment @ Square 75.

Mr. Goldstein.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: Good evening, Mr.
Chairman, Members of the Commission.

For the record, my name is Paul Goldstein, with the Office of Planning.

The application before you is being made by the George Washington

University, for your review and approval of the following two requests. First, that the University requests approval for a 2nd stage planned unit development for site 75A, which is a development site previously identified in the Campus Plan.

Second, the University requests a

modification of the 1st stage PUD and related zoning map amendment and a 2nd stage PUD approval to incorporate Lot 863.

These requests would accommodate a consolidated C-4 development site, located in the middle of the 2100 block of Pennsylvania Avenue. A new 11-story, non-academic office building, with possible ground floor retail use, is proposed for the site. The building will be 130 feet in height, contain about 250,000 square feet, or 10 FAR, and underground parking is proposed with access from the rear alley. And, the alley would also be reconfigured in coordination with this project.

The proposed PUD, generally, meets the requirements of the C-4 zone, and the more specific development limitations imposed on site 75A pursuant to the Campus Plan.

The Applicant has identified one area for flexibility, which is for multiple non-conforming courts. The public benefits

and amenities proposed for the Foggy Bottom
Campus as a whole were initially identified
and approved as part of the Campus Plan 1st
stage PUD. These generate such things as
commitments to sustainability, improved
streetscape, below grade parking, among
others.

Consistent with these themes, the subject proposal calls for a LEED gold building, streetscape improvements along Pennsylvania Avenue, and an enhanced alley system.

The University further proposes a significant amenity to rehabilitate three university-owned row dwellings about four blocks south of the project site for affordable housing. Seven affordable units have a mix of unit types and income limits, will be dedicate for a term of 30 years.

OP does encourage the university to commit the DHCD administration of the units. OP will continue to work with the

university on clarifying the amenities and
benefits proper, and OP will work with the
Applicant to further explore the proposal's
ability to provide active ground floor retail
uses along this prominent location on
Pennsylvania Avenue.

In consideration of the overall Campus Plan and PUD, the Commission previously determined that the Campus Plan, including the proposed uses of the development sites, was not inconsistent with the Comprehensive Plan.

The Comp Plan future land use map designates the subject site predominantly for high density commercial uses, so the current request to construct an office building is not inconsistent with the future land use addition to the site. And, the project also is not inconsistent with several policies and actions found in the Comp Plan.

With that, the Office of Planning recommends that the Zoning Commission set down the proposal for a public hearing, and we'll

1 take any questions at this time.

Thank you.

3 CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right,

Commissioners, do we have any questions of the

5 Office of Planning?

6 Vice Chair, do you have any

7 questions?

4

8 VICE CHAIR COHEN: Just a couple

9 questions.

10 Are the F Street rowhouses

11 historic?

MR. GOLDSTEIN: I'm going to need

to clarify that.

14 VICE CHAIR COHEN: Okay. And then

also, you talk about affordability. 80 or 90

16 percent of the area median income for

affordability for 30 years, where 80 percent

of median income is \$84,900. And, I think

that, again, that's more of what we call

20 "workforce" housing, and I just want to state

21 that for the record.

22 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Any other

1 comments?

6

7

8

9

2 Commissioner Turnbull.

3 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Well, Mr.

4 Goldstein, we are going to see drawings or

5 something in a package on these units then?

MR. GOLDSTEIN: I believe so.

COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: Yes.

COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: It's

10 interesting, I mean, your comment about the

11 retail, I really am, I would agree with you,

12 I'm really -- I'm not sure whether what's

13 going to happen is we are going to see a

14 classroom or something, something appearing on

15 the ground floor.

16 I would really push you to talk

with the Applicant about some significant

18 retail opportunities.

19 And also, I guess I was a little

20 bit concerned about, when you see an elevation

21 looking down the street, you see where they've

22 tried to alleviate those glass walls, there's

kind of a wave-type accentuation, which, you know, which is on one level fine.

So then, I found the ground floor then just doesn't -- it just doesn't meet the -- when you see that wavy articulation at the mullions that sets up one kind of image, but then the ground floor is just, it doesn't strike me as a very significant architectural expression of that same something being carried through.

What that would be, I don't know, but it just seems like it falls short. So, in your discussion of retail if you discuss the articulation of that street at the ground floor I think that would be good.

The other thing that looks a little tacked on is up on the roof, the trellis. It looks like some other design factor came in and said, I think we need a trellis. To me, architecturally, it just sort of like just stands out there. It doesn't make sense, when you see an elevation of it.

Now, I doubt if anybody will ever see it, but just seeing it in elevation.

And, I hope that we also are going to get some better drawings in elevation, that articulate the better expression of what this building really looks like, if you could stress that.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ GOLDSTEIN: I can stress that with the Applicant.

COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay. And, material board, just so we really can get a better picture of what we are going to be looking at.

Thank you.

VICE CHAIR COHEN: Thank you, Mr.

Chair.

2100 East is, I thought it was part of the same ugly building, but if a building adding height to 2100 West, then some day 2100 East may come in and then we have a little bit of windows facing, and I think that we need to address that.

1 MR. GOLDSTEIN: Yes, that's a good 2 observation. We, actually, did raise that with the Applicant as well. They own the 3 properties, and they, certainly, are in 4 5 control of 2100 East. But, you are right, 6 they would be at risk of windows above a 7 certain height. 8 VICE CHAIR COHEN: If they own 9 that, it seems that they should address that, 10 too, because that is an eye sore. 11 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Any other 12 comments? 13 Commissioner May? 14 COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes, I was trying to look for where I saw it, but I 15 thought in the first floor they indicated that 16 17 the ground floor could be retail or office? 18 Is that what's showing in the plan?

COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay. Well, I think it would be a real shame if the ground floor retail space were not leased as a retail

That's correct.

MR. GOLDSTEIN:

19

20

21

22

1 space.

And, it seems to me that there ought to be -- there ought to be a commitment on what's going to happen with regard to retail on that space.

But, as for the rest of this, architecturally I don't have any great anxiety about this. I don't have any anxiety about the at risk windows. I mean, at risk windows are at risk windows, and it's not a particularly deep floor plane at that point.

So, I wouldn't think that the offices would use those windows, but it helps that they are controllable sites.

So, anyway, that's it.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you.

I'm not sure whether I like what I see here or not. I don't want to delve in too much, I want to give the opportunity to the architect when this is set down to come and make a presentation. I'm not sure whether I like it, or I don't like it. I have to figure

1 that out.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Let me just say, though, I will ask in this case, and I don't want to be a -- what is that call, a microphone --

MS. SCHELLIN: Microphone bully.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: -- bully, whatever I was called, I don't want to be a microphone bully. I see the person that called me that laughing. But, what I will ask is that DDoT, I've noticed in all of our -- I don't know what's going into our reports, and I'm not being critical of DDoT, but when they respond if they could -- they respond with like a form letter for every case. And, I know every case in this City cannot be the same as far as transportation. I'd like to see a little more They don't have to, necessarily, do a work. whole lot more writing, that's not what I'm asking for, a little more dedication and I quess background going into these different sites, because it's starting to look like a form. I can tell you exactly what it's going

to be, ZIP cars, bicycle racks, you know, that kind of stuff. So, I think we need to put a little more exercise into it, because every project in this City is not the same.

I know somebody is going to comment, and I just threw that bicycle right out there, not picking, being a microphone bully or whatever I'm called, but I just think we need to do a little more exercise.

Not just this case, I'll probably say this on more than this case, but I'm just making that observation.

Okay. Anyone else?

The bicycle man wants to say something to me, I'm sure.

COMMISSIONER MAY: I just -- I'm not sure if you are advocating for more bike racks or not, but I'm sure we'll hear from DDoT what they have to say, and then we'll learn what your opinion is.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: That's one thing about all four of us, soon to be five, we all

	Page 43
1	have five opinions. Okay.
2	Anybody else? Any other comments?
3	Somebody like to make a motion?
4	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Mr. Chair,
5	I would move that we set down Zoning Case No.
6	06-11G, 06-12G, George Washington University
7	2nd Stage PUD Modification to 1st Stage PUD
8	and Related Map Amendment @ Square 75, and
9	look for a second.
10	VICE CHAIR COHEN: Second.
11	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. It's been
12	moved and seconded. Any further discussion?
13	Are you ready for the question?
14	All those in favor?
15	(Ayes.)
16	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Not hearing any
17	opposition, Ms. Schellin, would you please
18	record the vote?
19	MS. SCHELLIN: Staff records the
20	vote 4:0:1 to set down Zoning Commission Case
21	No. $06-11G/06-12G$, as a contested case,
22	Commissioner Turnbull moving, Commissioner

Cohen seconding, Commissioners Hood and My in support, third mayoral appointee position vacant, not voting.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you.

Let's move right into Zoning

Commission Case 09-08B. This is D.C. Wheel

Productions, Inc., dba Dance Place -- 2nd

stage PUD @ Square 3832.

Ms. Schellin.

I'm sorry, Mr. Jesick.

MR. JESICK: Thank you, Mr.

Chairman, and Members of the Commission.

The Office of Planning recommends that the Commission set down this application for a 2nd Stage PUD for the Dance Place facility, which is located at 8th Street, NE and K Street.

The Zoning Commission previously approved a 1st stage PUD for this property, along with the consolidated PUD for the art space CDOs which are located just to the north of Dance Place, and have been constructed

1 since their approval.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

22

This application proposes an addition to the existing Dance Place building, which would maintain a building at two stories, rather than constructing a four-story building, as had been proposed in the 1st stage PUD.

In fact, the current proposal would not require any extra height or density above what had been the original zoning on the site of CM-1.

Also, it is a very modest proposal, and again, OP would recommend set down of the application.

I'd be happy to take any questions.

17 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Any
18 questions of Mr. Jesick?

Vice Chair?

VICE CHAIR COHEN: Thank you, Mr.

21 Chairman.

First of all, I'm happy to see

this modification, because the existing building is really an eye sore.

The main thing that I would like the architect to take a look at is that embellishment above the canopy. I don't know what my colleagues think of it, but I almost think that you could do a little bit more artistic endeavor, sort of like a Matisse like a dancer, you know, to make it distinguished.

Again, I don't have a strong opinion about that. I just think it could be a little bit more -- make a greater statement.

The other thing is, I think that we need a letter from the Loft, I think it's a condo or co-op, or -- is it a rental? But, we need something regarding the side yard, to make sure that they are in support. I know that there's a connection, and they did develop, they were partners with Art Space to develop the Loft. But, I would just like to see that there is no issue.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any other

1 comments, questions?

Commissioner May.

COMMISSIONER MAY: I just have a couple of comments. One is that I'm very interested in seeing the details of how this renovation is accomplished. You know, there's not enough information in here to judge this very thoroughly, and I'm a little concerned about what some of the facade treatments are going to be and how they are going to work out.

Without advocating for or against doing anything more expressive, in terms of the art work, but sometimes things like that, you know, when you do a renovation like this the new material can seem very -- not very well integrated, they are just plastered on. And, you see, you know, the ghost of the former building behind it trying to escape from the ugly fitting kind of enclosure.

And, that's -- I'm not saying that that is happening here, but I just -- I'd be

very interested in seeing the details, you know, that it's, actually, going to work very well.

From what I see right now, it's not -- does not describe it well enough for me.

I also did want to say, I mean, this is supposed to be a curiosity issue for me, but when the entire structure, including any rooftop structures, is below the matter of right height limit, and below the Stage 1 PUD approved level of 50 feet, is any relief ever required? I always thought that rooftop structures were subject to setback and the multiple enclosure rules only when they exceeded the height of the building.

So, you can either answer that question now or you can answer it later.

MS. STEINGASSER: We believe it's not habitable space, and it would be considered a mechanical penthouse, and would be subject to roof structures.

COMMISSIONER MAY: What if it's an architectural embellishment? That's okay, that's just a curious thing. You don't need

Thank you.

to address that any further.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.

Commissioner Turnbull?

COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Yes, thank you, Mr. Chair.

I just want to say, I know Hickock
Cole is a very professional firm, a very
capable firm, but I'm wondering, and again, I
think -- and I'm just reiterating, we do need
some more drawings. I mean, there's only two
drawings. There's a lot of black and white
sketch-like drawings. I think we are going to
need some very -- a more complete set that
really shows us what's happening elevationwise on this. Maybe a nice -- maybe a better
view of the two of them, how they relate to
one another, the two, the old -- you know,
what's going to be the remodeled building and

	Page 50
1	the existing building.
2	Thank you.
3	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. I'd just
4	say, I'm glad to see this coming before us.
5	A lot of times with the 1st stage we don't
6	think certain things are going to happen. So,
7	I think on that street anything is we can
8	tweak it or whatever, but I'm just glad to see
9	this come before us so, hopefully, we can move
10	it forward. So, this is my little side bar.
11	Any other questions or comments?
12	I would move that we set down
13	Zoning Commission Case No. 09-08B, and ask for
14	a second.
15	COMMISSIONER MAY: Second.
16	CHAIRMAN HOOD: It's been moved
17	and properly seconded. Any further
18	discussion?
19	Are you ready for the question?
20	All those in favor?
21	(Ayes.)
22	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Ms. Schellin,

1 | would you please record the vote?

MS. SCHELLIN: Staff records the vote 4:0:1 to approve set down for Zoning Commission Case No. 09-08B, as a contested case, Commissioner Hood moving, Commissioner May seconding, Commissioners Cohen and Turnbull in support, third mayoral appointee position vacant, not voting.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, thank you.

Let's move right into Zoning

Commission Case No. 10-23, Jemal's Babes, LLC

-- consolidated PUD and related map amendment

@ Square 1732.

Mr. Mordfin.

MR. MORDFIN: Thank you, Mr. Chair and Members of the Commission.

The Applicant proposes to

construct a mixed use retail and residential

building on the site of the former Babes

Billiards. The existing Babes Billiards

building would be retained, with new

construction added above, and an addition

1 | would be added on the north side of the site.

A total of five levels of residential and two levels of retail would be provided. The existing vacant structure would be renovated and incorporated into the new building, at height similar to others along the Wisconsin Avenue Corridor, with the upper floors set back from the rear lot line facing the lower density residential neighborhood located across the public alley.

The application also includes a PUD related map amendment to the C3-A to take advantage of the grade of building height, lot occupancy and FAR permitted within that zone.

A waiver of minimum land area for a PUD has been requested, and the application is in conformance with the criteria for the granting of this waiver.

Flexibility has been requested from the roof structure provisions to allow a roof structure that is not set back a distance equal to its height.

Parking requirements, to allow for the provision of no off-street parking, but allow for one car share space.

The loading requirements, to permit the retail loading as required to be provided and shared with the residential uses at the rear of the building, and the Applicant also requests the ability to modify the plans as approved for the number of units, and vary the location and design of interior components.

Benefits and amenities proposed include, improvements to public space, including street furniture, bicycle racks, and plantings, including new trees, street trees, a transportation demand program, and a LEED Silver designed building.

The application is in conformance with the Comprehensive Plan, in that it would allow for a new private sector multi-family housing in a mixed use building within close proximity to the Tenleytown Metro Rail

1 Station.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

OP supports the proposal for a new mixed use commercial and residential building, and finds the requested PUD-related map amendment to be not inconsistent with the Comprehensive Plan. Therefore, OP recommends that the application be set down for public hearing, and I would be happy to take any questions.

10 Thank you.

11 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you, Mr.

12 Mordfin.

Let's start off with any questions that we have.

Commissioner May.

COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay, I'll start it off. I do have a few questions about this one.

I don't see, have we had any indication of working with the neighbors or the ANC and their reaction to this project to this point?

The Applicant has 1 MR. MORDFIN: 2 made a couple of presentations before the ANC over the past six months, although the ANC has 3 not yet taken any vote on this application. 4 5 COMMISSIONER MAY: Do you have any sense of what the reception will be when you 6 7 propose this, or when this is considered? 8 MR. MORDFIN: My sense is that the 9 ANC is reacting favorably towards the project. 10 COMMISSIONER MAY: So, I mean, the big, big thing asked here is the total relief 11 12 from parking. What is the -- I didn't see, I notice that in the original approved PUD that 13 14 there was a substantial amount of parking, and there was a requirement that building -- the 15 occupants building would not be eligible for 16 17 the RPP program. 18 So, I didn't see a reference to 19 RPP in the Applicant's information. Maybe I 20 missed it. 21 MR. MORDFIN: The Applicant did

indicate that the residents would not be

22

1 eligible for RPP.

a very hard leap, frankly, so I'm trying to understand why we want to undertake this and, potentially, deal with this level of, you know, what I think would be quite controversial in the proposed project that has zero parking, when it's required to have 86 if I understand correctly.

So, in this in the area where if the Zoning Reg rewrite had been completed in this area, where there would have been no minimum parking requirement?

MS. STEINGASSER: Yes, it is.

COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay. And,

that's simply because of the proximity to

Metro?

MS. STEINGASSER: And, it's on Wisconsin Avenue, so it's accessible to high occupancy bus rails as well as Metro.

COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay. And so, and I appreciate that, but I guess one of my

questions is, what -- the spillover parking
has always been an issue any time we were
talking about having a no parking requirement.
And, I'm not that familiar with the immediate
vicinity. Is there an issue right now with
people parking in the immediate neighborhood
and using retail facilities?

MS. STEINGASSER: I think retail character here is fairly immature. It's not fully developed. And, the developer has been working with the ANC, I think they've had five public meetings where they've discussed the different options of bringing the building forward, renovating its current state, going forward with this particular addition to the top, and they've got an overwhelmingly positive feedback. This made them feel comfortable.

We understand that they will have to make the case on mitigation as well when they -- when we get to public hearing.

COMMISSIONER MAY: So,

overwhelmingly positive, so all the folks in
the neighborhood understand this is a building
without --

MS. STEINGASSER: I didn't say all, I said overwhelming.

COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay. Well, overwhelming. So, an overwhelming number of people who have spoken about this project at ANC meetings and what have you have been supportive of it, because they want to have this site redeveloped.

MS. STEINGASSER: That's correct.

COMMISSIONER MAY: And, even if that means that there would be no parking.

MS. STEINGASSER: That's our understanding.

COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay. Is there
-- do you know if there's an issue with
parking in the immediate vicinity?

MS. STEINGASSER: It -- I mean, you can hear the sighs and groans already. I hate to speak for any particular community.

Is there parking available on the street?

Yes, there is on-street parking on the street that's available for the public. There's also driveways and garages for homeowners.

So, whether somebody can park every single time in front of exactly the building they want to be at, no. But, I think there is available parking on the street, and that will be up to the Applicant to make that case.

COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay. I'm honestly not convinced yet of that, based on the information that's in front of us, but I'm interested in hearing what my colleagues have to say.

What did -- why did the previous project not go forward?

MS. STEINGASSER: I believe it was a victim of the financing, and I believe the property went on the tax roll. I'm not positive.

COMMISSIONER MAY: So, is there --

going back to the multiple options that were presented earlier, I mean, the previous version, obviously, would involve -- would have involved taking down the existing building and building a new structure, garage, and all that sort of stuff.

So, is that still an option in this case, or is it just ruled out because folks wanted to keep this building, or thought it was --

MS. STEINGASSER: You know, I'm really uncomfortable speaking for the developer in that case. I don't know.

COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay. That's another aspect of it that sort of makes me uncomfortable about it as it is.

The requirement for the waiver given the size of the project, maybe I'm misunderstanding what the regulation is, but, I mean, I see assurances that 80 percent of this is going to be residential or accessory to residential. But we see other information

Okay.

I only

COMMISSIONER MAY:

22

have -- I have three submissions. Maybe I lost something in the process. So, that's just something that we'll need when we get -- if this gets set down for a hearing.

And then, I guess I would really want to understand more about the prior project, because it seems that the way this has evolved the prior project was a C-2-B zone, and not C-3-A, but density was lower. The lot occupancy was lower, and the parking was greater.

And, I mean, so as far as I could tell, that actually had substantial community opposition, and I think most of it was addressed in the course of the hearing, and peace was made or something, at least for most folks.

But, it makes me a little uncomfortable talking about something that's more dense, more lot occupancy, zero parking, and we are expecting overwhelming support.

So, I just have concerns. So

1 anyway, I've taken up way too much time.

2 CHAIRMAN HOOD: You're worried

3 about that tonight? Okay.

Vice Chair.

5 VICE CHAIR COHEN: Thank you, Mr.

6 Chairman.

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Actually, I am very much a supporter of mass transit, a number of the residents will select living there because it's close to the Metro, but on the other hand I also had some concerns about zero parking.

And then, of course, not allowing anybody to have a parking pass for parking on the street, an RPP.

The other comment I have is, can you confirm the number, I came up with a different number based on just base floor area, and I may have done the calculation improperly. And, I also think that they need to shed some light on proffers.

That's all I have to say now.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Commissioner

1 Turnbull.

2 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Thank you,
3 Mr. Chair.

This project is only two blocks from the Tenley Metro Center, which is only about a block and a half from future AU Law School. We had a lot of concerns about neighbors in that area parking. Maybe neighbors could come down here with the new building and park. Wouldn't that be nice?

I guess I would reiterate -- I'm making a bad joke here, but I guess what I'm saying is that the parking is an issue. I think that in this area there's a lot of concerns by residents about parking.

I guess I'm not convinced either.

MS. STEINGASSER: Okay. If it gives the Commission any concern, this particular part of the City is not shy, and the Office of Planning did not receive one phone call --

COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Wow.

Okay.

MS. STEINGASSER: -- expressing any kind of preliminary meeting on this project prior to filing. It's almost unheard of for the level of relief that's being requested, and it sounded very encouraging.

COMMISSIONER TURNBULL:

guess -- and the other thing I was -- I
thought I was reading somewhere that one of
the reasons for the parking was because they
were saving part of the existing building, and
the foundations don't lend itself, but I read
someplace else that they've got a raise permit
for this to demolish. So, they are keeping
some of the foundations, Mr. Mordfin?

MR. MORDFIN: There are two
buildings on the site. There's the one-story
building, and to the north of that the twostory building which is used as offices. The
raise permit is for the two-story portion,
which is where then that's the new part that
they are going to build on the north side of
the site, that will have many of the core

1 facilities for the building.

COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: All right.

Well, I guess part of the explanation for this parking relief, we are going to have a very good thorough explanation as to why we are saving -- and if the building is not historical, I mean, are the foundations historical that we have to save them? I think we are going to need to know why we are, actually, doing that. Okay. Thank you.

I mean, I guess I'm just looking at the practicalities of why we are really doing this and if it really makes sense, just to get some parking relief. But, if you could ask the Applicant that.

And, with the IZ, you know, the typical thing, protected layouts. I mean, where they are going to go, what floors, and they don't cluster, and everything else.

I think that's about it for me, Mr. Chair.

22 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you.

I would concur with most of what I heard my colleagues mention. I do remember this case, and it was highly contested. You had a lot of folks who were in favor, a lot weren't. I think at that time the Commission did the best they could under the leadership of Carol Mitten, in trying to balance all those concerns and still move forward with the project.

But, what I would suggest again, I look at all these projects, because it's always, I'm not going to say bike racks this time, it's always the \$25 one-time Smartrip car. So, the Metro -- yes, we put a star on it, but those things need to evolve around what really, actually, is happening.

These are real live issues that people are experiencing. I mean, all this stuff sounds good now, and it's great, and I'm not picking on just this Applicant. I'm going to start really looking at that, because the decisions we make down here the impact is

1 going to be felt for ten years. Some of them 2 maybe sooner, but, you know, I don't want to be known as messing up the City. So, that's 3 why I'm -- I don't have a problem setting this 4 5 down for a hearing. I would be interested in having that hearing. I'm sure that this 6 7 Applicant, I've seen him in the past, and I'm not sure what he will come -- what he's liable 8 9 or doable to come up with, but I'll be interested in seeing how the hearing proceeds. 10 11

But, I do share the concerns of my colleagues, especially, on the parking issue.

Okay. Anybody else? Okay.

COMMISSIONER MAY: I'm sorry, I did have another comment.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

I guess I'm okay with moving forward and setting it down. I do have some trepidation, because I think this is going to wind up being a potentially quite long and painful hearing, with a lot of people wanting to testify against it.

So, I'm hopeful that the Applicant

and the Office of Planning will try to work

out as much as they possibly can in advance

of, actually, having the hearing. Otherwise,

I think it's just going to be -- it could be

very, very difficult getting through it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

I would appreciate a lot more attention to the whole issue of the parking relief that's required, and why the Applicant and the Office of Planning believe this will, actually, work, other than the fact that it's close to Metro. People live close to Metro, occasionally do have cars, and people who are quite happy living without a car sometimes, they don't want to one, they can't have one, so what do people like that going to do? Are they going to have to move out somewhere else, or are there options for them in the neighborhood to, you know, buy a parking space, or rent a parking space, so that they can have a car.

So, I think that some of those practical issues ought to be addressed in the

1 application.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Let me just say, the reason why I concur with moving forward and setting this down, when you've been around long enough, as you all know, applicants have track records, and I believe that this will come back with the concerns that we have.

Well, I'm going on a limb here, because I'm going by what's happened in the past, they'll come back. And, I know 4600, whatever that address is, there have been submissions that I've sat on before, so I know.

What I'm asking, what I'm saying is, I think that the Applicant has heard us, and it will be interesting to see how that is worked out with the community.

I believe the gap will be closed a lot more, if there is a gap, but as Ms.

Steingasser mentioned and others, that they have not heard -- even though I heard a little groan, that might have been because we were taking too long.

So anyway, whatever the case -you've got to have a sense of humor some time

-- whatever the case is, I'm sure that the

Applicant has heard our concerns. He's also
heard the grumbling. So, let's see what
happens. I don't have any problems with
setting this down.

Anyone else?

VICE CHAIR COHEN: No, I just want to add, though, I think that there is some support, because that particular location, which I am familiar with, is really not attractive for the neighborhood. And, I think it just detracts from the neighborhood. It's just no use at all right now.

The other thing I just want to mention is, in the future if you can say, instead of Jemal's Babes, maybe you could come up with another name.

Thank you.

21 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Let me just say -22 let me just ask this question. Is this the

one that where the PEPCO vault was out in
front, or maybe I'm thinking about something
else.

MS. STEINGASSER: No, sir, that's the Safeway site at Davenport and 42nd.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right. I just wanted to make sure. Okay. But, this

Commission did hear this case previously, or not this case, but --

MS. STEINGASSER: We did hear a PUD about six years ago on this site.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. All right.

Would somebody like to set it down, somebody

like to make a motion, or not set it down?

15 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Mr. Chair,

I will make a motion that we set down Zoning

Case No. 10-23, Jemal's, LLC, consolidated PUD

and related map amendment @ Square 1732, and

19 look for a second.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

20 VICE CHAIR COHEN: Second.

21 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. It's been

22 moved and properly seconded. Any further

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

All those in favor?

(Ayes.)

4 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any opposition?

5 Staff, would you record the vote?

MS. SCHELLIN: Staff records the vote 4:0:1 to set down Zoning Commission Case No. 10-23, as a contested case, Commissioner Turnbull moving, Commissioner Cohen seconding, Commissioners Hood and May in support, third mayoral appointee position vacant, not voting.

12 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, thank you,
13 Ms. Schellin.

Zoning Commission Case No. 12-10,
Office of Planning text amendment to Chapter
34, GAR, and 412 and 2115.19.

Ms. Steingasser.

MS. STEINGASSER: Chairman Hood,
Commissioners, I'm going to introduce both 1210 and Case No. 12-11 together, so they are
going to be broken into two separate hearings,
and we request the opportunity to work with

the Office of Zoning to align them with some of the other cases that will be coming up this evening that are also smaller text amendments.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Ms. Steingasser, let me make sure I understand, we are doing 12-10 and 12-12.

MS. STEINGASSER: I'm going to introduce 12-11, which is the administrative text amendments and height. They just have the same general introduction, so I wanted to introduce them together.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Oh, okay.

MS. STEINGASSER: And then, we'll talk about them separately.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thanks.

MS. STEINGASSER: So, in particular, these cases, some of the information from these cases has come out of work that the Commission has already seen over the last four years. In particular, the green area ratio, which we are now proposing as Chapter 34, the impervious surface

requirements for R1 through R4, which is the amendment to 2115. We'll be working with the Office of Attorney General to get those numbered more correctly.

And, of course -- I'm sorry, that was 412, and then the landscape standards for parking lots, which is 2115.

The Zoning Commission first heard these back in 2008, and then in 2009 when we did the sustainability report, the Zoning Commission heard these as part of the overall sustainability package. They had a public hearing on May 21st. They gave us guidance on June 22nd of 2009.

Exact text was then written and advertised, and a public hearing, again, was held in December of 2010, with action being taken on February of 2011.

So, the Commission has seen all of these concepts. The GAR language, in particular, was heard in specific. The Commission also heard the specific standards

of the GAR, the Green Area Ratio, and worked through the PDR, the industrial, to establish the level in February of 2011.

So, we are bringing those forward to you this evening to incorporate into the existing text and the existing Zoning Regulations. One of our main reasons for doing that is, we see that this -- what we are calling the ZRR text may be upwards of two years out before it becomes effective, by the time it goes through its hearing for text, and then it goes through its hearing for maps, and then we go through a transition to make it effective.

We are seeing an upswing in the market. The City has been doing a considerable amount of work in the area of sustainability, and we feel like it's an opportunity to get this into the current Regulations and take full advantage of it.

Cidlowski. She's the D.C. Office of Planning

With me tonight is Laine

1 Sustainability Planner. She's working on 2 sustainabilities as an initiative. She wrote 3 the GAR and the sustainability regulations for impervious surface, and worked closely with 4 5 Dr. Miller in establishing these standards. 6 And, she is also here available to answer any 7 questions on this particular GAR 8 sustainability issue. CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, thank you 9 very much for the introduction. 10 Any questions from us? 11 12 Vice Chair?

VICE CHAIR COHEN: Thank you, Mr.

Chairman.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

I'm, actually, very excited about the GAR, I think it's worked really well in certain cities.

One of the things that I think
would be helpful if we do set this down, and
if there is a public hearing, is to show how
things exist as is, and things -- how they
will exist in the future, that there are

sophisticated, much more than I am, computer programs that can help us demonstrate that, so that people understand clearly what this will, actually, do for the City, and how it helps us.

And then, my only other comment is, I don't know if this is helpful or not, but it seems like we know what kind of trees and plants are sustainable and survive in our environment. And, maybe it would be helpful to keep that as a list for people to know, and, you know, again, there is a lot of sophistication in this City, but when we add in BZA it's a little bit more confusing to people.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. I'm sorry.

Ms. Steingasser, the young lady's name again?

MS. STEINGASSER: Laine Cidlowski.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Cidlowski. I know

I've mentioned her name a number of times.

Ms. Cidlowski, would you want to

speak to the first one, 12-10?

MS. CIDLOWSKI: I'm happy to 1 2 answer any questions you have, about how we came about developing the various calculations 3 or the parameters we have established for the 4 5 ratio. 6 Now, you gave us a CHAIRMAN HOOD: 7 full presentation some time back. I don't 8 know how long -- how long ago was that? 9 MS. CIDLOWSKI: A little over a 10 year. 11 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Ms. Steingasser, 12 let me just ask, these, what you are bringing 13 forward to us, as you stated, are things that 14 we asked for prior to us going through the ZRR 15 process, is that correct? That's correct. 16 MS. STEINGASSER: 17 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. 18 MS. STEINGASSER: We discussed 19 this, both at a May meeting, and then again in 20 June, this year. 21 CHAIRMAN HOOD: We wanted, I 22 think, to have some more, we wanted to see

	Page 80
1	some things long before that process ever was.
2	MS. STEINGASSER: That's correct.
3	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. I just
4	wanted to make sure. All right.
5	Okay, any other questions?
6	Now, we are doing 12-10 and 12-11.
7	COMMISSIONER MAY: Mr. Chairman, I
8	have a question on 12-10.
9	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.
10	COMMISSIONER MAY: So, if I
11	understand the report correctly, we've already
12	seen the Green Area Ratio language, and we've
13	already seen the landscape standards.
14	But, the impervious surface
15	requirements for R1 through R4 is that new to
16	us now?
17	MS. STEINGASSER: The concept was
18	presented and accepted by the Zoning
19	Commission. The standards themselves, the 50,
20	30 and 20 percentage, that was not. That's
21	what we are presenting this evening.
22	COMMISSIONER MAY: I see. Okay.

So, if you have a green roof in an R4 Zone, that doesn't count for anything.

that?

No?

MS. CIDLOWSKI: Correct, according to the Department of the Environment that doesn't count towards the impervious surface. Fees and charges, the D.C. water applies, or the ones that they apply. So, for our calculations, just to keep things uniform, it wouldn't count.

COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay, but it does count in the Green Area Ratio.

MS. CIDLOWSKI: Yes.

COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay. I'm not

-- I'm a little concerned about that. And,

you know, this is largely based on my own

personal experience in being in a house that

had maybe 5 percent pervious surface within my

property line. I have more if you count the

parking out front, the front yard, which I

don't own.

Actually, do I get credit for

MS. STEINGASSER: Not if it's off your property line.

COMMISSIONER MAY: So -- and I think there are a lot of people like this in older neighborhoods in the City. And, I have -- you know, I've made some improvements to the patio, so it's not quite as impervious as it was before, but it's still impervious.

And, I'm collecting rainwater, and I'm planning to do a green roof on the garage.

But, I'm always going to be non-compliant.

So, I just think that that's going to be the circumstance of a lot of folks, and what is that going to mean when if we need anything else. I know the existing condition is grandfathered, but what is it going to mean when I need any other kind of relief?

MS. STEINGASSER: Well, if you were to expand or put an addition on your home, you would have to go for a special exception to the BZA.

COMMISSIONER MAY: I would need a

special exception to get relief from impervious surface? Okay.

You know, I understand what we are trying to get at with this, but it does make me wonder whether we need to think about other aspects of the Green Area Ratio that we should be considering getting credit for to homes in this area, to encourage that.

So, I'm not -- I don't want to sort of reopen Pandora's Box, but it's been a while since we talked about this, and so I'm kind of --

MS. STEINGASSER: One thing to remind the Commission, the Green Area Ratio doesn't -- won't, actually, apply to the R1 through R4.

COMMISSIONER MAY: Right.

MS. STEINGASSER: It would just be the impervious surface standards.

COMMISSIONER MAY: I understand, that's why I'm thinking it's -- and, I mean, I understand why it's being done that way, and

I understand the advantages of doing it that
way, but I think that there may be some
disadvantages to doing it that way.

So, we'll think about this more.

MS. STEINGASSER: Okay.

COMMISSIONER MAY: I'm not asking for anything really in particular at this moment, but I just have questions about that, again, because I think there are probably a lot of houses out there that we want to encourage GAR measures on.

Thanks.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any other

14 questions?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15 Commissioner Turnbull?

16 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Yes, Mr.

17 Chair. I just -- on 12-11, Ms. Steingasser,

are you going to mention anything about the

19 admin issues, parking, or are you going to

20 deal with that separately?

21 MS. STEINGASSER: Yes. I was

22 going to get into that later and introduce Mr.

Bergstein from the Office of Attorney General,
who was the author of the majority of these.

COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay. All right.

MS. STEINGASSER: So, Case No. 1211 addresses more administrative amendments,
and they have to do with, predominantly, with
practices that the Zoning Commission is
already using, and that the BZA is already
using, or issues that the Zoning Commission
has asked us, specifically, to bring forward.

So, I've done an outline of those on page two of the report. It kind of walks through some numbers, two, three -- two, two, three and four are all those administrative regulations.

The one we've brought forward from the ZRR work that the Zoning Commission has also had hearings on is height. And, while the height may not look, in its current form, exactly as it does in the draft final action that's been in the D.C. Register, what we did

was, we took that language and then we merged it into the current form, using the current definitions, and that kind of required a lot of shifting.

We took the opportunity to take some of the rules out of the current definition in Section 199. It has a lot of rules about when a building is over a certain height it shall do this, and we moved those into the zone categories, as you would see for other rules of how things are done.

So, that's why that was a little bit different.

And, other than that, we are here to answer any questions, and Mr. Bergstein is also available. I'm sure he would answer any questions.

And, I would add that all of these
-- we've asked for flexibility to work with
OAG to finalize the language part of the
public hearing notice.

COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Well, I

wanted to thank you for the BZA stuff you added. I know they are looking forward to having those to work on.

I did have a question on page two.

You start talking about parapets. There's no real definition, I don't think in the regs, regarding parapets, and there's not a real clear definition of height of the parapet.

You talk about being beyond three feet, and right now if it's an occupied roof it has to be at least 3'6" I believe for life safety things, from a building standpoint.

So, I don't know how you are interplaying -- I mean, how are we looking at a parapet? I mean, is there a maximum height for a parapet, or are we just kind of leaving that open?

MS. STEINGASSER: At this -- as part of this amendment we are not. As far of the final ZRR draft regs you will see that in more detail. That was an issue that the Commission discussed, as well as the public

1 hearing.

2

3

4

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

But, in this regulation right now we left the definition -- that particular paragraph of the definition, untouched.

5 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Yes. I
6 see we still have the three foot.

7 MS. STEINGASSER: Still at three 8 foot, yes.

COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: And, I don't know if that's outdated, or just meaningless anymore.

MS. STEINGASSER: If you would like to advertise it as 3.6, we are happy to amend that.

COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: I'm not sure what my colleagues -- how they want to address that. I mean, it's really a building code issue, from that standpoint.

MS. STEINGASSER: Yes.

COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: I mean,

I'm concerned about it because it adds height

to the building and how far we can go, but, I

mean, at some point it really needs to address what it really needs to be.

MS. STEINGASSER: I think in the draft -- the bigger draft regs, the DRR regs, we've moved it up to 3'6" and said it had to be set back 1:1, so that you wouldn't have that appearance of the extra height right beyond the three feet.

COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay. All right, thank you.

11 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Anybody
12 else?

VICE CHAIR COHEN: Yes. Just again, I've talked to the Office of Planning about this a lot. Since I have not been participating for the last four years, or six years, or however long, I, actually, had to go back and, you know, try to find the parapet, and definition. There isn't one, so again, I think as a visual person I always find pictures are so helpful. And, I know that, you know, you'll work towards that goal.

I have a question, and I think
this is for our Office of Attorney General.

On 24,6, you talk about benefits that are
tangible and measurable. And, I think you are
aware that I always trip up on that. How do
you do that? That's what I would like to
always get a handle on.

MR. BERGSTEIN: Well, I'm going to, actually, toss that phrase back to the Office of Planning, because I really just lifted that from the proposed ZRR text. And, I was trying to mostly get at the provision that benefits can't be monetary.

But, in order to do that I lifted the entire provision from the proposed ZRR text, and I wasn't part of that particular terminology.

But, I think the notion is that
the benefits have to be something of
substance, and not merely conceptual. But, if
the Office of Planning wants to add to what it
meant by that phrase, wants to look into it,

1 but I can't really add on to that.

MS. STEINGASSER: There were several cases about eight years ago, nine years ago, when the market was first taking off, and they had contributions that were just cash, cash contributed to an ANC, cash contributed to a non-profit. And, it became difficult for the Commission to evaluate that. What was it producing? How exactly was it a public benefit if it was given to a non-profit that nobody ever knew what happened to it.

So, it was an attempt to get at that particular issue, that the Commission has been in practice -- enforcing anyway. We were trying to just make it clear that cash contributions, you know, were not acceptable in the realm of benefits and amenities.

VICE CHAIR COHEN: That's always been clear to me. What's not clear to me is that we are five individuals, and tangible, measurable, quantifiable may mean different things to different people. And, that's kind

1 of where I'm coming from.

But, I don't want to belabor that right now, although if my colleagues want to comment I'd appreciate that.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. I don't know if I want to, necessarily, comment, but that was an issue. I'm probably one of the ones that raised that along with -- I'm going to blame it on Carol, she's not here, but I probably was one of the ones who did raise that, that was an issue.

There was a little more to it for me than that, but, you know, with all these recommendations, let me not comment so much on that -- let me just say, with all these recommendations, while I don't have any problem setting it down, I also don't have any problem not moving forward with it.

But, I think that the history for me is to have a hearing, let's vet it out in the hearing process, and then let's move forward.

I would be in support of setting both of these down, and also just having a hearing. I'm looking in the Office of Planning's report of 12-11 GAR, administrative issues, and I'm looking here. And, I really appreciate the Office of Planning citing the transcript, because I see here where I said I wholeheartedly agree. I had to read it over again when I was reading that yesterday.

But, I look at the parking minimums and the ADUs, to me there's a shift, and in this shift -- and I'll get into this as we go down this line -- we need to be very cognizant that there are some people who made investments under certain circumstances, and I always -- when I look at this stuff I say what would my parents have done, what would they have done in this case. It may be irrelevant to this case, but, you know, I mean real live situations.

And then you have some of the newer people who move to the City, trying to

do certain things, and coming up with all this green, and I'm not saying anything against it, but I'm saying we've got to balance that. I don't think the shift should go all one way.

But anyway, that's -- those remarks are for another hearing, but I just wanted you to know where this Commissioner is coming from, trying to strike that balance.

I will be in favor of setting both of these down, but don't be surprised with what may happen, the outcome. I'm looking forward to the hearing.

Anybody else?

Okay. I will move that we set down Zoning Commission Case -- I'm going to do both of them, I think it's okay -- Zoning Commission Case 12-10 and 12-11 for a hearing and ask for a second.

VICE CHAIR COHEN: Second.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: It's been moved

and properly seconded. Any further

discussion?

They are going to present two of these

22

dias.

cases, including the inclusionary zoning, but we would request that the Commission waive their rules for all three cases and accept our late reports.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any objection?

Not seeing none, so ordered.

Ms. Steingasser, we'll accept the late filings.

Okay, so I'll let you all -- I'll tell you what, why don't we do 12-12, then 12-13, then 04-33F in that order, the order that's on the agenda.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: Good evening again, Mr. Chairman, Members of the Commission.

OP asks that the Commission set down the proposed text in 12-12, which will clarify an important aspect of the combined lot development process. Specifically, that he incentives and flexibilities, such as additional density or a use mix not otherwise permitted by right, which are gained through

a planning and development, or a PUD-related map amendment, cannot be transferred through a CLD. Rather, properties base zone and any terms and allowances that that zone provides should be used for CLD calculation purposes.

It sounds kind of technical, I understand, but this has been a consistent interpretation of the CLD rules in the past, and serves an important function.

As the Commission is aware, when PUDs are extinguished, or cease to exist, the property reverts to terms of its base zone.

If additional entitlement is gained through the PUD process or dedicated as part of a CLD agreement, there's a possibility of an imbalance between CLD partners when a PUD is extinguished.

The result can be over concentration of density or a mix of uses, at odds with the balancing goals of the CLD system. OP will continue to work with OAG to refine the proposed language going forward.

1 With that, I'm free for any 2 questions you may have. Thank you, 3 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Mr. Goldstein. 4 5 Commissioners, any questions? Commissioner May? 6 7 COMMISSIONER MAY: I find this 8 kind of confusing. So, is there a real world 9 example of what brought this about? 10 MR. GOLDSTEIN: Well, we recently 11 had a party come forward and ask to take 12 advantage of some of the additional density or 13 use mix gains of the PUD, as part of the CLD 14 instrument. 15 This is something that our 16 interpretation had led us to believe you can't 17 do in the past. It's something we are intending to reinforce in this text amendment. 18 19 COMMISSIONER MAY: Would you care 20 to elaborate, Ms. Steingasser? 21 MS. STEINGASSER: The case, in 22 particular, was down in the Kepler Gateway

overlay, and there was a PUD that gained extra density through a PUD.

At that time, the Commission weighed the extra density against benefits and flexibilities.

The property owners, several years later, wanted to combine a lot with a non-residential property, based on the residential --that they gained through the PUD as opposed to the matter of right.

Our fear is, should the PUD get extinguished, and the property go back to its matter of right, there would be an imbalance between the commercial that was now off site and the residential, which would, you know, it's never been done. We've always taken the position that it shouldn't be done. The calculations and the balance between the residential and the non-residential has always been calculation when we looked at these geographic areas as a matter of right. So, we just want to reinforce that.

as well. He was comfortable just knowing that

22

Commissioner Cohen seconding, Commissioners

22

1 Hood and May in support, third mayoral
2 appointee position vacant, not voting.

3 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Next, Mr.

4 Cochran.

5 MR. COCHRAN: Thank you, Mr.

6 Chair.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

OP asks the Commission to set down a text amendment to Section 199's definition of clear height from the ceiling. A footnote was also contained in the memorandum, dated July 26, 2012.

The amendment, which the Zoning

Administrator has encouraged OP to submit,

will clarify that when the regulations specify

a minimum ground floor clear height this

height is to be measured from grade. Grade is

now defined for measuring building height.

Currently, the clear height definition specifies that the lower measuring point is the clear -- excuse me, is the finished floor. It doesn't distinguish between the measuring point to the ground

floor and the measuring point for the floors above it.

The existing language leaves open the possibility of sinking the ground floor well below the grade level, and then measuring the clear height from the finished floor of that below grade location.

Locating a ground floor below grade undercuts the objective of setting minimum clear height requirements in the first place, which are to provide more flexibility for the fitting out of retail and other uses, and to be more attractive on lively pedestrian streets.

The revised language would apply only to the parts of the City where zoning overlays specify minimum ground floor heights.

There are currently seven these overlays.

It would not affect the areas
where no minimum ground floor height is
required, nor would it alter the measurements
of clear height for the floors two and above.

That concludes our testimony, and 1 2 we are happy to answer any questions. 3 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Are there any questions, Commissioners? 4 5 COMMISSIONER MAY: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes. 6 7 COMMISSIONER MAY: I would move we 8 set down -- I don't even know, is this 12-12? 9 CHAIRMAN HOOD: 12-13. 10 COMMISSIONER MAY: 12-13. I move that we set down Zoning Commission Case No. 11 12 12-13, request for a text amendment to the Zoning Regulations, Chapter 1, Section 199, 13 14 definition of clear height. Is this for an expedited hearing? No expedited hearing, for 15 16 a public hearing, non-expedited. 17 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, great. been moved, is there a second? 18 19 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Second. 20 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. It's been 21 moved and properly seconded. Any further 22 discussion?

1 Are you ready for the question?

2 All those in favor?

3 (Ayes.)

4 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Not hearing any
5 opposition, Ms. Schellin, would you record the
6 vote?

MS. SCHELLIN: Staff records the vote 4:0:1 to set down Zoning Commission Case NO. 12-13, as a rulemaking case, Commissioner May moving, Commissioner Turnbull seconding, Commissioners Cohen and Hood in support, third mayoral appointee position vacant, not voting.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: I didn't make any comments, and I should have done that before I made the motion.

I don't mind setting it down, but again, that also applies to, Mr. Cochran, I want to make sure that I understand exactly what's happening. I don't mind having a hearing. I'm like the former Architect of the Capitol, George White, who used to say, I understand -- I've done my research and I've

Ms. Steingasser, they are not asking for an emergency, are they?

MS. STEINGASSER: Yes.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: I just -- I understand this is a different situation, but you know we had an emergency, what, about a week ago, or two weeks ago, and we granted

18

19

20

21

22

the emergency, so when the hearing came nobody showed up.

So, you know, emergency raises a flag for me now. But anyway, I'm sorry.

Mr. Rodgers.

MR. RODGERS: Good evening, and thank you, Members of the Zoning Commission.

My name is Art Rodgers. I'm the Senior

Housing Planner for the D.C. Office of

Planning.

I'm here tonight regarding Case
04-33F, to request on behalf of the Office of
Planning and the Department of Housing and
Community Development that the Zoning
Commission adopt on an emergency basis the
proposed amendments to the Chapter 24 planning
and development chapter, and Chapter 26,
inclusionary zoning, and allow the requirement
that the units be affordable for a given term
or for the life of the project be removed in
the case when a unit goes to foreclosure or a
deed in lieu of foreclosure.

Also, that these amendments be set down for a public hearing.

Before going any further, I would like to introduce some minor changes that are a result of further conversation with DHCD and OAG, that just make some minor adjustments to what we proposed to the Zoning Commission.

The first minor change adds to the beginning of the Section B in each case, "In the case of a foreclosure sale of a for sale unit," so that just gets added to the very beginning of B.

And then, the second change in C, first of all, it strikes, "provides a formal certification to the first mortgagee," and replaces it with "files and notice in the land records."

OP recommends that the Zoning

Commission adopt this on an emergency basis,

in part because the first two units of IZ have

gone unsold for quite a while. And, one of

the issues is the availability of FHA

1 financing.

As stated in OP's report,
implementation of IZ has run into broad
changes in the Nation's housing market that
has significantly tightened the availability
of credit and made it difficult for households
to abide by the District's inclusional zoning
program to purchase either a housing unit or
a PUD unit.

In order to broaden the availability of credit, OP recommends that the Zoning Commission let the affordability requirements, should no longer apply upon the foreclosure or deed in lieu of foreclosure of an IZ unit. This will enable low and moderate income households targeted by IZ to use FHA mortgages.

This is necessary, because FHA does not currently ensure loans for purchase of properties that have restrictions that survive foreclosure.

This is an issue that not only

affects the IZ program, but the affordable
needs created by the Zoning Commission's
process as well. And, it's not just the ones
that are in pipeline, but all the previous
PUDs that are now broke and have been unsold
and occupied. When the current owner goes to
resell the unit to another qualified person,
because of the changes in the financing
market, they may not be able to find a buyer.

And so, it's not just the current pipeline of units, but the existing projects that have already been dealt with.

The proposed text uses existing D.C. law, and, essentially, creates an early warning system that will enable DHCD to potentially intervene when an IZ or PUD unit is in danger of foreclosure.

Possible interventions include budget and foreclosure prevention counseling, assisting the owner to get the unit sold to an eligible buyer, or failing that the possibility of paying off the first mortgagee

and taking title to the unit so it can be resold to an eligible buyer.

As the Commission is aware, the District's IZ program offers through a parallel structure zoning regulations and empowering legislation. DHCD and OAG are currently investigating what legislative and/or administrative steps may be necessary to keep the inclusionary unit affordable, when it is threatened by a foreclosure.

The first important step in this process to amend the zoning requirements, that the unit remain affordable for the life of the project, and just exempt in the case of when a first mortgagee needs to foreclose on the property.

This will, essentially, allow DHCD to amend the current covenants that are being used, and to enable FHA to ensure these potential mortgages, and get the current units that are on the market sold.

Thank you. This concludes my

testimony, and I would be happy to take any questions the Commission may have.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Vice Chair?

VICE CHAIR COHEN: Thank you, Mr.

Chairman.

Mr. Rodgers, your memorandum does state that Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac are also not allowing these type of covenants on foreclosures -- potential foreclosures, is that correct?

MR. RODGERS: Well, that's what I was led to believe, but when I, actually, researched it I looked at Fannie Mae's guidelines, and they do permit restrictions to survive foreclosure.

I think what it is, it's not the secondary market, it's the lenders, and the ability to foreclose on any unit, whether it's an affordable unit or not, and the sort of controversies that have come up in the past couple years, but they are just pulling back.

And, they themselves are having problems with

1 their ability to foreclose these units.

I think that's, as of right now, that's my guess. I was surprised to see that Fannie Mae, their guidelines do allow this.

And so, for the final public hearing we'll have more information. We'll be interviewing mortgage bankers and other professionals to understand what's really going on in the market.

VICE CHAIR COHEN: I think that's very important, because all of these lenders are doing this lending for the Investment Act credit, and you can't have it both ways.

I mean, if they want to get a credit, if it's still -- this legislation is still in effect, then, you know, they may have to just bite the bullet.

What have the mortgage bankers done about this issue, since it just doesn't apply to Washington, D.C.? Do you know?

21 MR. RODGERS: It's my

understanding that nationally a lot of

jurisdictions are allowing this provision.

Montgomery County already has this provision,
but they allow the affordability to expire

upon foreclosure.

So, a lot of jurisdictions are going to have the same problem. We understand that Fairfax had applied for a waiver from FHA, but that was, I think, like six to eight months ago that they applied for this. And, they still haven't heard.

One of the reasons why we are pursuing this as an emergency is, based on the earlier discussions we understood that FHA might be pursuing an amendment to their own guidelines. But then in July, we found that they had concluded that this was not a regulatory issue for them to act on, it was, actually, a legislative issue. And so that, Congress would have to amend their ability.

As soon as we found out that, we started preparing an emergency amendment.

VICE CHAIR COHEN: That makes

1 sense.

2 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any other

3 | questions?

Mr. Rodgers, I'm glad -- that was one of my questions, because when we went through the IZ Montgomery County was presented to us as the model, the latest and the greatest.

One of my comments then, and I want oz be consistent, because at first I was hesitant on this too, especially, with the emergency, but one of my comments at that time was, if we found out they were problems with the IZ in totality, how quick can the Office of Planning bring us something to deal with this? I think -- that was my comment, I remember what I stated at that time, and I think this is an example of that. So, that gives me a comfort level to go ahead, because

I appreciate the Office of

Planning coming right back as soon as you got

notification of how this was proceeding. And,

that was for the whole IZ, because I want you all to come back as soon as possible if there were problems.

I'm not saying I agree with this, but this is a problem that other jurisdictions are adopting, and I think I'm in favor of this.

Any other comments? Okay.

VICE CHAIR COHEN: Mr. Chairman, then I would move to set down Zoning

Commission Case No. 04-33F, for emergency text amendments to amend affordability duration provisions of 2602.4 of 11 DCMR, Chapter 26, inclusionary zoning, and 2409.10, 11 DCMR,

Chapter 2409, development procedures.

Do I hear a second?

Can I second it, too?

COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Second.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: I haven't seen

that done, that would be the first time.

Okay. It's been moved -- did we

include everything -- then I think that motion

1 is sufficient.

2 MR. BERGSTEIN: It was complete.

3 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. It's been

4 moved and properly seconded. Any further

5 discussion?

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

All those in favor?

(Ayes.)

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Not hearing any opposition, Ms. Schellin, would you record the vote?

MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, staff records
the vote 4:0:1 to approve emergency action and
set down for Zoning Commission Case No. 0433F, as a rulemaking case, Commissioner Cohen
moving, Commissioner Turnbull seconding,
Commissioners Hood and May in support, third
mayoral appointee position vacant, not voting.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Ms. Steingasser, let me just ask, how quick are we going to -I'd rather see this one, the one we did for emergency, quicker than the rest, do we have like a time frame?

1 MS. STEINGASSER: We are happy to 2 work with the Office of Zoning to accommodate whatever schedule Ms. Schellin can slot us 3 4 into. 5 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Especially, since we did the emergency. 6 7 Okay. Anything else, Ms. 8 Schellin? 9 MS. SCHELLIN: Yes. We have one correspondence item, and then the Office of 10 Planning, I think, may have an update. 11 12 So, which one do you want to do 13 first? Do you want them to do their update 14 first? 15 We have one correspondence item. 16 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Let's do the 17 correspondence. 18 MS. SCHELLIN: It's a memorandum 19 from the Office of Planning that just for 20 clarification of the squares to which the 21 proposed extension would apply. This is a

case that would extend the time period for the

22

	Page 119
1	temporary parking lots around the ballpark.
2	CHAIRMAN HOOD: If we have to set
3	something up with the Office of Planning's
4	next report, we need to get started. I
5	thought I saw okay, never mind, I'm sorry.
6	I was just trying to expedite it. I'm sorry.
7	Okay. So, there's no action
8	required?
9	MS. SCHELLIN: No, as long as you
10	are okay with it.
11	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Any
12	problems, Commissioners? No problem.
13	VICE CHAIR COHEN: With this?
14	Wait. Okay. I just think that because some of
15	these sites are located within the
16	Capper/Carrolsburg neighborhood, with a Hope
17	6 project you need input from the Housing
18	Authority as to their timetable on those
19	particular sites.
20	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Anything
21	else? Okay. Thank you, Vice Chair.

I thought we were having a

22

1 presentation by the Office of Planning. Oh, 2 Ms. Steingasser --MS. STEINGASSER: 3 That 4 presentation is the status report and update. 5 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. I see something on the board. 6 7 MS. STEINGASSER: We just wanted 8 to update the Commission on the public 9 meetings we've had. The last meeting, we met on the 17th with the Kalorama Citizens 10 11 Association, went over the ZRR changes, both 12 in general context and those which would affect their neighborhood, specifically. 13 14 It was an incredibly productive meeting, albeit unbelievably hot, because the 15 16 air conditioning wasn't working. But, people stuck it out. We got a lot of good feedback. 17 18 And then, we also had a Ward 5

Ecumenical Council meeting, healthcare fair, that got rained out. So, we'll be going back with them.

19

20

21

22

CHAIRMAN HOOD: And, you all went

to Ward 4. I'm starting to hear some buzzing from Ward 4, you all did go to Ward 4.

MS. STEINGASSER: We've been to
Ward 4 three times this calendar year, both to
the -- we were out to Crestwood Association,
Councilman Bowser, Head of Ward 4 Leadership,
where she invited every member of the ANC,
plus the business associations, and a member
of the civic groups.

And then, we also have been out to ANC 4B twice in '09. We were in ANC 3-4G in December of 11, and then again in May of this year.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Let me just ask. When we start the ZRR hearings, are we expecting people -- are they going to participate. If they say I'm coming to testify, I'll be there, what do you hearing on this case?

MS. STEINGASSER: It depends whether they are happy or not. A lot of this, like with Kalorama, some of their issues are

not ZRR related. They are either comp plan related or basic zoning related. You know, they have a sense that they are over zoned.

So, we've agreed to work with them on looking at their neighborhood, much as we did with DuPont East, when we looked at their R5D versus R4 zoning, and like we did East of the River.

So, we'll be working with them, and then we are also doing a survey at their request on some of the particular proposals impacting the neighborhood.

So, we are hearing both good -- a lot of people are coming, you know, you are getting a lot of protestation from a particular group, a particular point of view. We are also getting a lot of pressure from other people to move much faster. They want to see, they wanted to see the parking brought forward. They wanted to see the ADUs brought forward. They wanted to see the corner stores brought forward.

We decided tonight to bring only the GAR and the administrative regulations, but we are getting a lot of, I don't want to say pressure, but we are getting a lot of feedback from all directions.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Well, I just want to say this. You know, I know, I witnessed the one in Ward 7, which I understand the Office of Planning, you all -- I saw you, and I was in operation on that one.

I think what you all are doing is a good job. I know you take a lot of hits, and I'm not saying -- whether you agree or disagree, but at the end of the day, like I said in my statement, we are going to have a hearing here, but I want oz commend you all for going out -- the one I heard in Ward 7, my friends, and I appreciate what you all did, you took your time, you were patient with it, and I want to commend you all for the good work.

I'm not saying I agree with

	Page 124
1	everything, but I just say, keep up the good
2	work, get the word out.
3	Anything else, Commissioners?
4	Okay. Ms. Schellin, do you have
5	anything else?
6	MS. SCHELLIN: No, sir.
7	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. So, with
8	that, this meeting is adjourned.
9	(Whereupon, the above-entitled
10	matter was concluded at 8:23 p.m.)
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

	85:6	agreed 122:4	58:9 91:6 121:7	109:13 113:20
A	addressing 17:6	agreement 97:15	121:11,11	118:21
abide 109:7	adds 88:21 108:8	agrees 27:22	ANCFMD 11:10	appointee 10:20
ability 27:13 34:4	adjourned 124:8	ahead 115:19	And,it's 110:3	13:22 16:9 25:14
53:8 112:18 113:1	adjustments 108:6	air 120:16	and/or 111:8	30:17 44:2 51:7
114:19	admin 84:19	ALAN 2:16	answer 48:17,18	73:11 95:12 102:2
able 110:9	administration	albeit 120:15	77:6 79:2 86:15	105:12 117:17
above-entitled	33:21	align 74:1	86:16 104:2	appreciate 29:1
124:9	administrative 4:4	alleviate 36:22	Anthony 1:15,18	56:22 69:6 92:4
accentuation 37:1	74:8 85:6,15 93:4	alley 32:13,13	5:7	93:6 115:20
accept 11:8 16:19	111:8 123:2	33:11 52:10	anxiety 40:7,8	123:18
96:3,7	Administrator	allow 52:20 53:1,3	anybody 9:4 23:14	appropriate 24:7
acceptable 91:16	100:20 102:13	53:20 107:18	38:1 43:2 63:12	28:3
accepted 80:18	adopt 107:15	111:17 113:4	68:13 89:11 94:13	approval 13:4,5
access 32:12	108:19	114:3	100:2	31:16,18 32:3
accessible 56:19	adopting 116:6	allowances 97:4	anymore 88:11	45:1
accessory 60:21	ADUs 93:11 122:20	allowing 63:12	anymore 33.11 anyway 12:9 29:18	approve 10:1,16
accommodate 32:4	advance 69:2	112:8 114:1	40:15 63:1 71:1	13:18 19:6 25:10
118:2	advantage 52:13	alter 103:21	91:14 94:5 107:4	29:20 30:13 51:3
accomplished 47:6 act 113:12 114:17	76:20 98:12	altogether 95:18	appearance 22:22	100:7 101:19
	advantages 84:1	amend 88:14	89:7	117:12
action 3:4,11,17 4:13 6:18 10:16	advertise 88:13	111:12,18 114:19	appeared 24:3	approved 17:9
13:18 16:11 25:10	advertised 75:16	116:12	appearing 36:14	18:19 19:7 22:10
30:14 31:4 75:17	advised 5:22	amendment 3:8,19	Applicant 7:14,18	24:6 27:16 33:3
85:21 117:12	advocating 42:17	3:23 4:1,4,6,9,11	8:22 9:11 14:20	44:19 48:12 53:9
119:7	47:12	11:4 13:6 31:7	24:11 25:17 26:22	55:13
actions 6:4 34:18	affect 8:10 103:19	32:2 43:8 51:12	27:13,17,21 29:11	architect 40:20
active 34:4	120:13	52:12 54:5 72:18	32:20 34:3 36:17	46:4 105:20
actual 28:2	affordability 4:12	73:15 75:2 87:19	38:9 39:3 51:17	architectural 37:8
add 71:10 78:13	26:14,19 27:18	97:2 98:18 100:8	53:7 55:1,21 59:9	49:2
86:18 90:21 91:1	28:1 35:15,17	102:8,12 104:12	61:6 66:15 67:20	architecturally
added 51:22 52:1	109:12 114:3	114:14,21	68:7,22 69:8	37:20 40:7
87:2 108:11	116:12	amendments 4:5	70:14 71:4	area 12:8 23:4
adding 38:19	affordable 27:4,19	74:3,9 85:6	applicants 70:5	32:21 35:16 52:15
addition 14:19	28:2 29:5 33:17	107:16 108:1	Applicant's 14:21	56:10,12 63:18
34:16 45:3 51:22	33:17 107:19	116:12	14:22 16:19 23:3	64:8,14 74:21
57:15 61:7 82:19	110:1 111:9,13	amenities 33:1 34:1	23:18 26:12 27:11	76:1,17 80:12
additional 12:13	112:19	53:12 91:17	30:20 55:19	81:11 83:6,8,14
20:22 23:19,20	agenda 5:16 6:11	amenity 33:14	application 7:16	areas 99:21 103:19
61:7 96:21 97:13	6:15 96:12	amount 18:15 20:2	29:22 31:14 44:14	argument 21:21,22
98:12	ago 61:17 72:11	55:14 76:17	45:2,14 52:11,16	arguments 14:21
address 12:15 24:8	79:8 91:3,4	analysis 106:6	53:18 54:7 55:4	art 2:12 44:20
38:22 39:9 49:4	106:22,22 114:9	ANC 7:7,13,13,14	70:1	46:19 47:14 107:8
70:11 88:17 89:1	agree 14:21 15:3	7:18 8:21 16:20	applied 114:7,9	articulate 38:5
addressed 62:15	24:14,18 36:11	17:6 21:7,8,11	applies 81:6 105:17	articulation 37:5
69:22	93:8 106:3 116:4	26:10 27:3 54:21	apply 4:22 27:20	37:14
addresses 28:3	123:13,22	55:2,3,9 57:11	81:7 83:15 103:15	artistic 46:8
			-	-

asked 20:13 55:11 120:20 **bin** 5:18 buyer 110:9,21 72:8,9,17 73:7,8 79:14 85:11 86:19 background 41:20 **bit** 12:16 36:20 111:2 73:14.20 85:5 **asking** 41:19 70:13 **bad** 64:12 38:21 46:7.12 buzzing 121:1 93:18,19 94:15,17 **BAFO** 11:11.18 **BZA** 78:14 82:21 84:6 106:17 78:14 86:13 95:9 98:21 100:7 asks 96:16 102:7 **balance** 67:7 94:3,8 85:9 87:1 **bite** 113:17 100:21 101:20,21 **aspect** 60:15 96:18 99:18 **black** 49:15 104:11 105:8,9 \mathbf{C} balancing 97:20 **blame** 92:9 106:4,15 107:11 aspects 83:6 C 108:13 **block** 32:6 64:6 assisting 110:20 ballpark 119:1 107:21 108:9,10 calculation 61:5,8 **Association** 120:11 **bankers** 113:7,18 **blocks** 33:16 64:4 111:14 116:11 63:18 97:5 99:20 121:5 **bar** 50:10 **board** 38:11 120:6 117:13,14 118:22 calculations 79:3 associations 121:8 **base** 63:17 97:3.12 **Bottom** 33:1 121:19 81:8 99:18 **bought** 28:21 assume 29:2 **based** 59:12 63:17 cases 6:13 74:2,17 calendar 121:4 boundaries 24:9 assurances 60:20 81:15 99:8 114:12 74:18 91:3 95:10 call 35:19 41:4 boundary 11:10 **attempt** 91:12 **basic** 122:2 96:1.3 64:21 attention 69:7 basically 20:13 **Bowser** 121:6 cash 91:6,6,6,15 called 19:22 41:7,8 27:12 29:10 **Box** 83:10 categories 86:10 attorney 2:14 5:11 42:8 27:11 30:20 75:3 **basis** 107:15 **CDOs** 44:21 **bring** 12:21 85:11 calling 76:9 85:1 90:2 108:19 115:15 123:1 **cease** 97:11 calls 20:1,8 33:9 attractive 71:13 **ceiling** 4:9 102:9 beepers 6:5 bringing 20:5 **Campus** 31:21 103:13 beginning 18:19 57:13 76:4 79:12 **cell** 6:6 32:19 33:2,3 34:8 **AU** 64:6 108:9.12 **broad** 109:3 **Center** 64:5 34:9 **author** 85:2 **behalf** 107:12 **broaden** 109:10 **certain** 39:7 50:6 canopy 46:5 Authority 119:18 belabor 92:2 **broke** 110:5 77:17 86:8 93:15 capable 49:12 availability 108:22 **believe** 7:3 17:5 **broken** 73:21 94:1 **Capitol** 105:21 19:7 21:12 23:8 **brought** 23:8 85:17 **certainly** 8:16 39:4 109:5.11 Capper/Carrolsb... **available** 5:17 8:6 36:6 48:19 59:18 98:9 122:19,20,22 certificate 28:5 119:16 59:1,3,8 77:6 59:19 69:9 70:6 **budget** 110:19 certification car 53:3 67:14 **build** 65:21 86:16 70:17 87:11 98:16 108:15 69:13,20 **Avenue** 32:7 33:11 112:12 **building** 32:8,9 **Ch** 4:1 care 98:19 34:6 52:7 56:19 **benefit** 91:10 33:10 34:15 38:6 **Chair** 1:18 8:3 9:22 Carol 67:7 92:9 aware 7:15 90:5 **benefits** 32:22 34:2 38:18,19 45:3,4,6 13:8 18:1 19:17 carried 37:10 97:10 111:3 53:12 90:3,13,19 46:2 47:19 48:16 21:9,14 22:9,13 cars 42:1 69:12 Aves 10:11 13:13 91:17 99:4 49:22 50:1 51:19 24:16,17 35:6,8 case 3:5,7,9,12,14 15:21 25:5 30:8 **Bergstein** 2:16 5:12 35:14 38:15,16 51:21 52:6,13 3:18,21,23 4:1,4,6 43:15 50:21 73:3 25:21 26:2 85:1 53:7,17,21 54:3 39:8 43:4.10 4:8,10,19 6:19 95:3 101:14 105:3 86:15 90:8 117:2 55:15,16 57:13 45:19,20 49:9 10:1.17 11:1 13:4 117:7 **best** 19:5 24:11 58:2 59:7 60:5,5,9 51:15 63:4,5 64:3 13:19 14:3 16:6 66:21 71:9 72:15 67:6 64:10 65:10,17,18 В 16:12,19 20:12 better 38:4,5,12 66:1,6 86:8 87:12 72:20 77:12.13 **B** 108:9,12 22:11 25:11,21 88:17,22 102:17 84:17 89:13 91:18 49:19 61:5 **Babes** 3:23 51:11 26:5 29:11,21 **beyond** 87:9 89:8 buildings 65:16 94:19 100:6,12 51:19,20 71:18 30:14 31:4 41:3 bicycle 42:1,6,14 **built** 19:12 101:3,8 102:6 back 22:17 52:8,21 41:14,14 42:10,11 53:14 **bullet** 113:17 106:12 112:3,4 60:1 70:7,10 75:9 43:5,20,21 44:6 big 55:11,11 **bully** 41:5,6,8 42:8 113:10 114:22 79:7 89:6.18 90:9 50:13 51:4,5,11 bigger 89:4 **bus** 56:20 116:9 119:13,21 99:12 112:21 57:20 59:10 60:8 business 121:8 bike 42:17 67:12 **Chairman** 1:15.18 115:21 116:2 60:13 67:3 71:1.3 **Billiards** 51:20,20 5:3 6:10 7:10 8:3 **buy** 69:18

0.10.14.0.4.7.17	72.15	1 10 7 0 0 2	70 6 00 4 6 14	26 0 20 10 20 12
8:12,14 9:4,7,17	chapter 73:15	Cohen 1:18 5:8 8:3	78:6 92:4,6,14	36:9 38:10 39:13
9:20 10:6,12,22	74:22 100:9	10:19 13:8,20	115:16	39:14,20 42:16
11:13 12:12 13:1	104:13 107:16,17	16:8 18:1 21:9	comments 8:2	43:4,22,22 47:2,3
13:9,14 14:2,12	107:17 116:13,15	22:13 24:16,17	11:20,22 12:11	49:1,7,8 50:15
15:4,5,16,22	character 57:9	25:12 30:16 35:8	23:6 24:15 27:7	51:5,5 54:15,16
16:10 17:2 18:1,6	charges 81:6	35:14 38:15 39:8	28:9 29:18 36:1	55:5,10 56:2,15
20:4 21:3,9,18	Cidlowski 76:22	43:10 44:1 45:20	39:12 43:2 47:1,4	56:21 57:22 58:6
22:15 23:14,22	78:18,19,21 79:1	51:6 63:5 71:9	50:11 105:14	58:13,17 59:11,22
24:13,21 25:6,16	79:9 81:3,12	72:20 73:9 77:13	115:9,12 116:8	60:14 61:11,14,19
25:20 26:3,15	circumstance	89:13 91:18 94:19	commercial 34:14	61:22 63:22 64:2
27:9 28:8 29:9	82:13	95:11 100:12	54:3 99:14	64:22 65:6 66:2
30:3,9 31:3,11	circumstances	101:8,22 105:11	Commission 1:4,13	68:14 72:15 73:8
35:3,22 39:11	93:15	106:12 112:4	1:17 5:5,21 6:19	73:9 80:7,10,22
40:16 41:6 42:21	cities 77:17	113:10 114:22	10:17 11:1 13:4	81:10,13 82:3,22
43:11,16 44:4,12	citing 93:6	116:9 117:14	13:19 14:3,9 16:5	83:17,20 84:6,15
45:17,21 46:22	Citizens 120:10	119:13	16:12,22 22:11	84:16 85:3 86:22
49:6 50:3,16,22	City 41:15 42:4	Cole 49:11	25:11 26:12 29:21	88:5,9,15,20 89:9
51:9 54:11 63:2,6	64:19 68:3 76:16	colleagues 46:6	30:14 31:4,11	94:7 95:10,10
63:22 66:22 70:2	78:4,13 82:5	59:14 67:2 68:12	34:8,21 43:20	98:6,7,19 100:1,6
71:21 72:6,12,21	93:22 103:16	88:16 92:3	44:6,12,14,18	101:3,21,22 104:5
73:4,12,18 74:4	civic 121:9	collecting 82:9	50:13 51:4,11,16	104:7,10,19 105:9
74:12,15 77:9,14	clarification 4:20	Columbia 1:2,13	64:18 67:5 72:8	105:10 116:18
78:16,19 79:6,11	18:7 21:21 118:20	5:6	73:7,14 74:19	117:14,15
79:17,21 80:3,7,9	clarified 61:4	combine 99:7	75:8,11,19,22	Commissioners
84:13 89:11 92:5	clarify 35:13 96:18	combined 96:18	80:19 83:14 85:8	6:12 7:11 10:19
94:20 95:4,14	102:14	come 5:21 18:17	85:10,18 87:22	13:21 14:13,15
96:5,14 98:3	clarifying 34:1	20:15 29:11 38:20	91:8,13 94:15,17	16:7 25:13 26:16
100:2,13 101:2,6	classroom 36:14	40:20 50:9 64:9	95:8 96:2,15,16	26:18 30:16 35:4
101:9,15 102:3	CLD 97:3,5,8,15,16	68:8,9 70:7,10	97:10 99:3 101:1	44:1 51:6 73:10
104:3,5,6,9,17,20	97:20 98:13	71:18 74:18 95:21	101:19 102:7	73:19 95:11 98:5
105:4,13 106:10	clear 4:9 87:8	98:11 112:20	104:11 105:8	101:22 104:4
106:14,19 112:3,5	91:15,19,19 102:9	116:2	106:15 107:7,15	105:11 117:16
115:2 116:9,19	102:15,18,20	comes 61:9	108:7,19 109:12	119:12 124:3
117:3,8,18 118:5	103:6,10,22	comfort 115:19	111:3 112:2	Commission's
118:16 119:2,11	104:14	comfortable 57:18	116:11 117:13	110:2
119:20 120:5,22	clearly 78:3	100:22	120:8	commit 33:21
121:14 123:6	close 53:21 63:10	comforted 8:21	Commissioner	commitment 40:3
124:7	69:11,11	coming 12:8 50:4	1:19,20 5:8,9 8:14	commitments 33:5
Chairperson 5:8	closed 70:17	74:2 92:1 94:1,8	9:6,9,18,22 10:5	community 12:2
chance 29:17	closely 77:4	115:21 121:17	10:18,18 12:12	58:22 62:13 70:16
change 12:8 19:1	cluster 66:19	122:14	13:3,19,20 15:3	107:14
19:15,20 21:8,11	CM-1 45:11	commencement	15:15 16:6,7 18:8	comp 34:12,19
28:18 29:12 108:8	Cochran 2:8 5:14	27:22	19:17 21:14 22:9	122:1
108:13	95:21 102:4,5	commend 22:1	23:11,22 24:18,19	complete 17:22
changes 19:10	105:17 106:9	123:16,20	24:20 25:12,12	49:17 117:2
20:16 108:4 109:4	code 88:18	comment 9:5 36:10	27:8 28:10 30:2	completed 8:18,19
110:8 120:11	cognizant 93:14	42:6 63:15 68:15	30:15,15 36:2,3,7	9:10 56:11
			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
	I	Į	I	<u>I</u>

		l		
complex 28:15	consistent 33:8	Corridor 52:7	Dance 3:21 44:7,15	16:4
component 17:20	97:7 115:10	Council 20:20	44:22 45:3	Department 81:4
components 53:11	consolidated 3:8,23	120:19	dancer 46:9	107:13
Comprehensive	11:3 13:6 29:22	Councilman 121:6	danger 110:17	depends 121:20
34:11 53:19 54:6	32:5 44:20 51:12	counseling 110:19	date 27:19,22	depiction 11:9
computer 78:1	72:17	count 81:2,5,9,11	dated 102:10	Deputy 1:24
concentration	constitutes 2:20	81:18	Davenport 72:5	describe 48:5
97:19	constraints 7:5	County 114:2	day 38:20 123:14	deserved 106:2
concept 80:17	construct 34:15	115:6	days 61:17	design 12:16 37:18
concepts 75:20	51:18	couple 12:15 17:4	dba 3:21 44:7	53:10
conceptual 90:20	constructed 44:22	35:8 47:4 55:2	DCMR 116:13,14	designates 34:13
concern 8:4,15	constructing 45:5	112:21	DDoT 24:3,10 41:9	designed 53:17
19:1,21 64:18	construction 51:22	course 62:15 63:12	41:12 42:19	detail 23:4 87:21
concerned 8:17	consultant 27:1	75:5	DDoTs 23:16	details 12:18 47:5
22:21 24:9 36:20	contain 32:10	court 6:1 23:1	deal 7:20 12:2	48:1
47:8 81:14 88:21	contained 102:10	courts 32:22	25:21 56:5 84:20	determined 34:9
concerns 12:10	CONTENTS 3:1	Covenant 27:5	115:15	detracts 71:14
62:22 63:11 64:7	contested 43:21	covenants 111:18	dealt 110:12	develop 46:19,20
64:15 67:8 68:11	51:4 67:3 73:8	112:8	December 75:17	developed 57:10
70:7 71:4	context 120:12	cover 12:19 25:22	121:12	developer 57:10
concluded 114:16	continue 8:20	co-op 46:15	decide 17:7	60:13
124:10	26:20 33:22 97:21	Crause 14:9,17	decided 123:1	Developers 3:5
concludes 104:1	contributed 91:6,7	15:12 16:5	decision 11:19	6:20 10:2
111:22	contributions 91:5	created 110:2	decisions 67:22	developing 79:3
conclusion 12:22	91:16	creates 110:14	dedicate 33:19	development 1:25
concur 9:8 24:20	control 39:5	credit 81:21 83:7	dedicated 97:14	31:19,20 32:5,18
67:1 70:3	controllable 40:14	109:6,11 113:13	dedication 41:19	34:10 96:19 97:1
condition 82:15	controversial 56:7	113:15	deed 107:22 109:14	107:14,17 116:15
conditioning	controversies	Crestwood 121:5	deep 40:11	DHCD 27:1,2
120:16	112:20	criteria 52:17	defined 102:17	33:21 108:5
conditions 24:2	convened 1:13	critical 41:12	definition 4:9 86:7	110:15 111:6,17
condo 46:15	conversation 108:5	curiosity 48:8	87:6,8 88:3,4	dias 95:22
condominium	conveyed 7:14	curious 49:3	89:19 102:8,19	different 20:12
27:15,21	convinced 19:5	current 4:5 19:22	104:14	41:20 57:13 63:17
confirm 63:16	59:12 64:16	20:7 34:14 45:8	definitions 86:3	86:13 91:21,22
conformance 52:17	coordination 32:14	57:14 76:19 85:20	delivered 18:4	106:20
53:18	Copies 5:16	86:2,2,6 110:6,10	delve 40:18	difficult 69:5 91:8
confusing 78:14	core 65:22	111:18,20	demand 53:16	109:6
98:8	corner 122:21	currently 102:18	demolish 65:13	directions 123:5
confusion 28:11	correct 19:12 39:19	103:18 109:19	demonstrate 78:2	Director 1:24
Congress 114:19	58:12 79:15,16	111:7	denial 16:8	disadvantages 84:3
connection 46:18	80:2 81:3 112:10	C-2-B 62:8	dense 62:20	disagree 15:1
considerable 76:17	correction 12:7	C-3-A 62:9	density 34:14 45:9	123:14
consideration 34:7	correctly 56:9 75:4	C-4 32:5,17	52:9 62:9 96:21	discuss 37:13
considered 48:21	80:11	C3-A 52:12	97:19 98:12 99:2	discussed 57:12
55:7	correspondence		99:4	79:18 87:22
considering 83:7	4:16 118:10,15,17	D	deny 15:9,11,13	discussion 10:8
				·

13:11 15:18 18:20 21:4 22:8.18.19 25:2 30:5 37:13 43:12 50:18 73:1 94:22 100:15 101:11 104:22 117:5 discussions 114:13 disruptive 6:4 distance 52:21 distinguish 102:21 distinguished 46:9 **District** 1:2.13 5:6 District's 109:7 111:4 **doable** 68:9 documents 17:1 doing 47:13 66:10 66:13 74:5 76:8 76:16 80:6 84:1.3 113:12 122:10 123:11 **dollars** 29:17 **door** 5:18 doors 22:22 **doubt** 38:1 **Dr** 14:9,17 15:12 16:4 77:5 **draft** 11:17.19 30:21 85:21 87:20 89:4.4 **drawings** 36:4 38:4 49:14.15.16 **drive** 28:14 driveways 59:4 **DRR** 89:4 **due** 7:5 21:16 **dumpster** 23:4,5 **DuPont** 122:6 duration 4:13 116:12 dwellings 33:15 **D.C** 1:14 2:14 3:21 44:6 76:22 81:6 85:22 107:9 110:14 113:20

 \mathbf{E} **earlier** 60:2 114:13 early 110:14 East 38:17,20 39:5 122:6,7 Ecumenical 120:19 **effect** 19:3 21:13 113:16 **effective** 76:10,14 **effort** 9:12 eight 91:3 114:8 either 48:17 64:16 109:8 122:1 elaborate 15:7 98:20 elevation 12:15 36:20 37:22 38:2 38:4 49:18 **eligible** 55:16 56:1 110:21 111:2 embellishment 46:5 49:2 emergency 4:13 106:17,21 107:1,3 107:15 108:19 114:12,21 115:12 116:11 117:12,21 118:6 empowering 111:6 **enable** 109:15 110:15 111:19 enclosure 47:20 48:15 encourage 33:20 83:8 84:11 encouraged 102:13 encouraging 65:5 endeavor 46:8 enforcing 91:14 enhanced 23:5 33:11 **ensure** 109:19 111:19 entire 48:9 90:15 entitled 23:4

entitlement 97:13

environment 78:10

81:4 equal 52:22 **escape** 47:19 especially 8:20 68:12 115:11 118:5 **ESQ** 2:16,17 essential 28:20 essentially 110:14 111:17 establish 76:2 established 79:4 establishing 77:5 evaluate 91:8 **evening** 14:9 31:10 74:3 76:5 80:21 96:13 107:6 everybody 24:13 29:17 everyone's 23:9 **evolve** 67:15 evolved 62:8 evolving 19:13 **Exact** 75:15 exactly 28:11 29:13 41:22 59:6 85:21 91:9 105:18 example 98:9 115:18 exceeded 48:16 exception 82:21 83:1 **excited** 12:1 77:15 **excuse** 102:20 **exempt** 111:14 exercise 42:3,9 exhausted 11:21 **exhibit** 7:7,9 19:18 26:10,11 27:10 exhibits 11:10 16:17 23:2 exist 77:21.22 97:11 **existing** 45:3 46:1 50:1 51:20 52:4 60:4 65:10 76:6.6 82:15 103:3

110:11,13 **expand** 82:19 expecting 62:21 121:16 expedite 100:18 119:6 expedited 100:10 100:17,21 101:4 104:15,15 experience 81:16 experiencing 67:18 **expert** 23:18 **expire** 114:3 explanation 7:4 66:3.5 explore 34:3 expressing 65:1 expression 37:9 38:5 expressive 47:13 **extend** 118:22 **extension** 3:6 4:21 6:20 7:3,5,12,22 9:16 10:3 118:21 extinguished 97:11 97:17 99:12 extra 45:9 89:7 99:1.4 eve 39:10 46:2 \mathbf{F}

F 35:10 **facade** 47:9 **facilities** 57:7 66:1 facility 44:16 **facing** 38:21 52:8 fact 8:21 9:14 23:7 45:8 69:10 **factor** 37:19 **facts** 7:21 **FAIA** 1:19 **failing** 110:21 **fair** 18:15 120:19 Fairfax 114:7 **fairly** 19:5 57:9 **falls** 29:15 37:12 **familiar** 57:4 71:12 **family** 28:4 **Fannie** 112:7.13 113:4 far 24:8 32:11 41:15 52:14 62:12 87:19 88:22 **faster** 122:18 favor 10:10 13:12 15:20 25:4 30:7 43:14 50:20 67:4 73:2 94:9 95:2 101:13 105:2 116:6 117:6 favorably 55:9 fear 99:11 February 75:18 76:3 feedback 57:17 120:17 123:5 **feel** 57:17 76:18 Fees 81:6 feet 25:18 31:2 32:10,11 48:12 87:10 89:8 **felt** 68:1 **FHA** 108:22 109:16,18 111:19 114:8,13 **figure** 24:11 40:22 **filed** 61:21 **files** 108:16 **filing** 14:22 15:6 65:3 95:16 **filings** 96:8 **final** 3:4 6:18 10:16 11:17 13:5,18 85:21 87:20 113:5 **finalize** 86:20 **financing** 7:5 8:6 59:19 109:1 110:8 find 89:18,20 98:7 110:9 findings 23:7 **finds** 54:4 **fine** 12:20 37:2 **finished** 102:21 103:6

6 40.11.12	F	CAD 4.1.72.16	41.20.22.42.5	70-21
firm 49:11,12	forward 5:21 7:15	GAR 4:1 73:16	41:20,22 42:5	groan 70:21
first 6:18 17:10,11	12:21 19:6 22:6	75:20 76:1 77:3,7	47:10,10 48:2	groans 58:21
31:17 39:16 45:22	50:10 57:14,15	77:16 84:11 93:4	49:16,22 50:6	ground 32:8 34:4
75:8 78:22 91:4	59:17 67:8 68:17	123:2	57:14 60:1,21	36:15 37:3,7,14
95:15 103:10	70:3 76:4 79:13	garage 60:5 82:10	65:21 66:4,9,18	39:17,21 102:15
108:8,14,15,20	85:11,17 87:2	garages 59:4	67:12,20 68:1,18	102:22 103:4,8,17
110:22 111:11,15	92:18,22 94:12	Gateway 98:22	69:4,15,16 70:8,9	103:20
115:10 116:20	97:22 98:11 106:5	general 2:14 5:11	73:19,21 74:7	group 122:16
118:13,14	122:20,21,22	74:10 75:3 85:1	79:14 82:11,12,14	groups 121:9
fitting 47:20	found 34:19 37:3	90:2 120:12	82:16 84:18,19,22	grumbling 71:5
103:12	114:15,20 115:13	generally 32:16	90:8 92:8 94:15	guess 15:9 19:12
five 42:22 43:1 52:2	foundations 65:11	generate 33:4	95:20,22 97:22	36:19 41:20 56:22
57:11 91:20	65:14 66:7	gentlemen 5:4	106:5,6 108:3	61:17 62:5 64:11
flag 107:4	four 33:15 42:22	geographic 99:21	113:8 114:6	64:12,16 65:7
flexibilities 96:20	74:20 85:15 89:16	George 3:18 31:5	117:19 120:20	66:3,11 68:16
99:5	four-story 45:5	31:15 43:6 105:21	121:16 123:15,17	113:3
flexibility 32:21	frame 117:22	getting 19:11 69:5	gold 33:9	guidance 75:13
52:19 86:19	frankly 56:3	83:7 122:15,17	Goldstein 2:10	guidelines 112:14
103:11	Freddie 112:7	123:3,4	5:14 31:9,10,13	113:4 114:15
floor 4:9 32:8 34:4	free 98:1	ghost 47:18	35:12 36:4,6,8	H
36:15 37:3,7,15	friends 123:18	GIS 12:7	38:8 39:1,19	habitable 48:20
39:16,17,22 40:11	front 11:18 24:22	give 9:15 40:19	96:13 98:4,10	half 20:2,2 64:6
63:17 102:15,21	26:22 59:6,13	given 9:13 60:18	good 7:16,19 23:21	hand 63:10
103:1,4,6,8,17,20	72:2 81:19,19	91:10 107:19	26:3 31:10 37:15	handle 90:7
floors 52:8 66:18	Ft 3:14	gives 29:16 64:18	39:1 66:5 67:19	happen 36:13 40:4
103:1,22	full 11:9 76:20 79:7	115:19	96:13 107:6	50:6 94:11
Foggy 33:1	fully 7:15 57:10	giving 9:2 12:2	120:17 122:13	happened 70:9
folks 58:1 60:9	function 97:9	glad 29:12 50:4,8	123:12,20 124:1	91:11
62:17 67:4 82:13	furniture 12:2 53:14	115:4	gotten 17:18	happening 47:22
following 31:17		glass 36:22	GOVERNMENT 1:1	49:18 67:16
foot 88:6,8	further 10:7 13:10	go 7:17 14:3,5		105:19
footnote 102:9	15:2,17 22:8,18	16:10 19:11 22:17	grade 33:6 52:13	
foreclose 111:15	25:1 30:4 33:13	59:17 66:18 76:13	102:16,16 103:5,7	happy 12:21 45:15
112:18 113:1	34:3 43:12 49:4	82:20 88:22 89:17	103:9	45:22 54:8 69:13
foreclosure 107:21 107:22 108:10	50:17 72:22 94:21 100:14 101:10	93:13 94:4 95:15	grandfathered 82:16	79:1 88:13 104:2
107:22 108:10		99:12 100:16 115:19 121:2		106:9 112:1 118:1
, ,	104:21 108:3,5 117:4		granted 106:22	121:21
110:17,19 111:10 112:15 114:4	future 34:12,16	goal 89:22	granting 52:18	hard 56:3
	64:6 71:17 77:22	goals 97:20	great 40:7 67:19 104:17	hate 58:22
foreclosures 112:9	04.0 /1.17 //:22	goes 76:11,12 107:21 110:6		Head 121:6
112:9	G	going 8:5 9:14	greater 46:12 62:11	healthcare 120:19
form 41:13,22 85:20 86:2	$\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ 1:19,20	0 0	greatest 115:8	healthy 18:14
65:20 86:2 formal 108:14	gained 96:22 97:14	17:17 20:11,18 22:3 24:20 26:20	greatest 115:8 green 74:20 76:1	hear 42:18 58:21
former 47:19 51:19	99:1,9	28:6,22 35:12	80:12 81:1,11	72:8,10 116:16
105:20	gains 98:13	36:4,13,13 38:3	82:10 83:6,14	121:1
Fort 26:6	gams 70:17,18	38:12 40:4 41:11	94:2	heard 24:14 67:2
FULL 20.0	5-r / 0.1 / ,10	J0.14 40.4 41.11	74, <u>4</u>	
			<u> </u>	

70:14,20,20 71:4	historic 1:25 35:11	116:19 117:3,8,16	improved 33:5	interplaying 87:14
71:5 75:8,11,21	historical 66:7,8	117:18 118:5,16	improvements	interpretation 97:8
75:22 106:1	history 92:19	119:2,11,20 120:5	33:10 53:13 82:6	98:16
114:10 123:17	hits 123:12	120:22 121:14	inappropriate 24:5	intervene 110:16
hearing 1:14 3:17	home 17:20 18:4,11	123:6 124:7	incentives 96:20	interventions
6:5,16 10:12	18:12 19:20 20:8	hope 38:3 119:16	include 53:13	110:18
11:11 13:14 15:22	20:14 22:2 29:5,5	hopeful 68:22	110:18 116:22	interviewing 113:6
16:18,21 17:5	82:20	hopefully 9:14 50:9	includes 17:15 29:4	introduce 73:19
24:2 25:6 30:9	homeowners 28:14	hot 120:15	52:11	74:8,11 84:22
31:4 34:22 43:16	28:21 59:4	house 81:16	including 34:9 48:9	108:4
54:8 57:21 59:14	homes 83:7	households 109:6	53:14,15 96:1	introduction 74:10
62:4,15 68:5,6,10	honestly 18:16,17	109:16	inclusional 109:7	77:10
68:20 69:3 75:13	59:12	houses 84:10	inclusionary 4:12	investigating 111:7
75:16 76:11,12	Hood 1:15,18 5:3,7	housing 18:16 20:9	96:1 107:18 111:9	Investment 113:12
77:20 86:21 88:1	6:10 7:10 8:12	20:10 27:5,16	116:14	investments 28:22
92:20,21 93:3	9:4,17,20 10:6,12	33:17 35:20 53:21	income 17:14 29:4	93:15
94:6,12,17 95:4	10:19,22 11:13	107:9,13 109:4,8	33:18 35:16,18	invited 121:7
100:10,17 101:5	13:1,9,14,21 14:2	119:17	109:16	involve 60:3
101:15 104:15,15	14:12 15:5,16,22	Howard 23:16	inconsistent 34:11	involved 29:8 60:4
104:16 105:4,20	16:6,10 17:2 18:6	huge 23:13	34:16,18 54:5	irrelevant 93:19
106:2 107:1 108:2	20:4 21:3,18	humor 71:2	incorporate 32:3	issue 15:2 17:21
113:5 117:8	22:15 23:14 24:13		76:5	23:13 28:3,7
121:18 122:13	24:21 25:6,13,16	I	incorporated 52:5	46:21 48:8 57:2,5
123:16	25:20 26:3,15	ideal 23:12 29:3	incredibly 120:14	58:18 64:13 68:12
hearings 73:21	28:8 29:9 30:3,9	identified 31:20	indicate 55:22	69:7 77:8 87:21
85:19 121:15	30:15 31:3 35:3	32:20 33:2	indicated 22:21	88:18 91:13 92:7
height 4:9 32:10	35:22 39:11 40:16	image 37:6	39:16	92:11 109:22
38:19 39:7 45:9	41:6 42:21 43:11	imbalance 97:16	indication 54:20	113:19 114:17,18
48:11,16 52:6,13	43:16 44:1,4	99:13	indications 61:1	issues 14:20 17:6
52:22 74:9 85:19	45:17 46:22 49:6	immature 57:9	individuals 91:20	25:22 67:17 69:22
85:20 86:9 87:8	50:3,16,22 51:5,9	immediate 57:4,6	industrial 76:2	84:19 85:10 93:5
87:15 88:21 89:7	54:11 63:2,22	58:19	information 26:13	108:22 121:22
102:9,15,16,17,18	66:22 70:2 71:21	impact 8:6 67:22	47:7 55:19 59:13	item 118:10,15
103:6,10,20,22	72:6,12,21 73:4	impacting 122:12	60:22 61:7 74:18	items 30:19
104:14	73:10,12,18 74:4	impasse 21:16,19	113:6	IZ 29:14 66:16
heights 103:17	74:12,15 77:9	impervious 74:22	initially 19:8 33:2	108:20 109:3,15
held 2:21 75:17	78:16,19 79:6,11	77:4 80:14 81:5	initiative 77:2	109:16 110:1,16
help 78:2	79:17,21 80:3,9	82:7,8 83:2,19	input 119:17	111:4 115:6,14
helpful 77:19 78:7	84:13 89:11 92:5	implementation	instrument 98:14	116:1
78:10 89:21	94:20 95:4,10,14	4:12 109:3	integrated 47:17	
helps 40:13 78:4	96:5 98:3 100:2	important 7:20	intending 98:18	J
Herridge 14:11,18	100:13 101:2,6,9	96:18 97:9 111:11	interested 47:5	J 1:15,18
15:14 16:5	101:15 102:1,3	113:11	48:1 59:14 68:5	Jackson 5:15
hesitant 115:11	104:3,6,9,17,20	imposed 32:18	68:10	JACOB 2:17
Hickock 49:10	105:4,11,13	impression 17:16	interesting 36:10	January 12:9
high 34:14 56:19	106:10,14,19	20:10 22:5	70:15	Jemal's 3:23 51:11
highly 67:3	112:3 115:2	improperly 63:19	interior 53:10	71:18 72:17
		-		
L	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	1

YENNYEED 4.04	07.12.00.10.00.10	l		
JENNIFER 1:24	87:13 88:10 89:18	legitimate 18:20	51:11 72:17	M
Jerrily 1:13	89:21,22 91:16	lend 65:11	loading 53:4,5	Mac 112:7
Jesick 2:9 5:13	92:6,13 93:19	lenders 112:17	loans 109:19	Mae 112:7 113:4
44:10,11 45:18	94:7 99:15 104:8	113:11	located 5:17 32:5	Mae's 112:13
job 123:12	106:21 107:3	lending 113:12	44:16,21 52:10	main 46:3 76:7
joined 5:10	113:16,20 122:2	letter 7:6,13 21:6	119:15	maintain 45:4
Joining 5:7	122:14 123:7,7,12	27:3 41:14 46:14	Locating 103:8	majority 85:2
joke 64:12	knowing 100:22	let's 6:11 14:2,5	location 34:5 53:10	making 42:12
judge 47:7	known 68:3	16:10 44:5 51:10	71:11 103:7	64:12
July 1:10 2:22 5:4	Kress 1:13	54:13 71:5 92:20	Loft 46:14,20	man 42:14
102:11 114:15		92:21 95:14	long 26:19 29:7	map 3:8,19,23 11:3
June 75:14 79:20	L	118:16	68:19 70:5,22	12:8 13:6 31:7
jurisdictions 114:1	labels 25:18 31:1	level 19:8 37:2	79:8,8 80:1 89:17	32:2 34:12 43:8
114:5 116:5	lacking 12:16	48:12 56:5 65:4	119:9	51:12 52:12 54:4
	Ladies 5:3	76:3 103:5 115:19	longer 109:13	72:18 97:2
K	lady's 78:17	levels 52:2,3	look 10:3 11:19	maps 76:12
K 3:5 6:19 10:2	Laine 76:21 78:18	liable 68:8	39:15 41:21 43:9	MARCIE 1:18
44:17	land 34:12,16	lieu 107:22 109:14	46:4 67:11 72:19	market 28:21 29:6
Kalorama 120:10	52:15 108:16	life 87:11 107:20	85:20 90:22 93:10	76:16 91:4 109:4
121:22	landscape 75:6	111:13	93:16 100:10	110:9 111:21
keep 28:22 60:9	80:13	lifted 90:11,14	looked 20:7 99:20	112:17 113:9
78:11 81:8 111:9	landscaping 23:5	light 63:20	112:13 122:6	mass 63:8
124:1	language 75:20	limb 70:8	looking 9:12 30:19	material 7:21
keeping 65:13	80:12 86:1,20	limit 48:11	36:21 38:13 66:11	38:11 47:16
Kepler 98:22	97:22 103:3,15	limitations 32:18	67:21 87:2,14	materials 12:13
key 12:3 27:9	large 18:15	limits 33:18	93:3,5 94:11	Matisse 46:8
kind 37:1,6 42:2	largely 81:15	Lincoln/Gateway	106:5 122:4	MATT 2:9
47:20 65:2 78:8	late 95:16 96:4,8	3:14 26:6	looks 37:16,18 38:6	matter 21:20 48:10
82:17 83:12 85:13	latest 115:7	line 17:13 29:16	lost 62:2	99:10,13,21
86:3 87:16 91:22	laughing 41:9	52:8 81:18 82:2	lot 11:21 32:3	124:10
97:6 98:8 106:2	law 64:6 110:14	93:13	41:18 49:15 50:5	matters 3:3 6:8
knew 91:11	layouts 66:17	list 78:11	52:8,13 62:10,20	Matthews 3:9 14:4
know 7:20 8:8,10	leadership 67:6	litigate 24:4	64:7,14 67:4,4	maximum 87:15
11:4 17:18 18:9	121:6	litigation 8:4,9 9:13	68:20 69:6 70:18	mayoral 10:20
19:2,18 20:9 22:4	leap 56:3	little 36:19 37:17	78:12 82:4,13	13:22 16:9 25:14
24:1,6,7 27:10	learn 42:20	38:21 41:16,19	84:10 86:3,7	30:17 44:2 51:7
28:16 29:1 37:2	leased 39:22	42:3,9 46:7,12	89:15 96:19 99:7	73:11 95:12 102:1
37:11 41:10,14	leaves 103:3	47:8 50:10 62:18	113:22 114:5	105:12 117:17
42:1,5 46:5,9,17	leaving 87:16	70:20 78:14 79:9	120:17 121:21	mean 8:16 9:10,12
47:6,15,18 48:2	led 98:16 112:12	81:14 86:12 92:12	122:14,15,17	18:16 24:7 36:10
49:10,21 56:6	LEED 33:9 53:16	live 6:2 67:17 69:11	123:3,4,12	40:9 48:7 49:14
58:18 60:11,13	left 20:22 88:3	93:20	lots 75:7 119:1	55:10 58:20 60:2
66:9,16 68:2	legal 14:21	lively 103:13	louvers 12:18	60:20 62:12 66:7
69:18 70:5,10,12	legislation 111:6	living 63:9 69:13	low 109:15	
78:7,8,11,12,19	113:15	LLC 3:5,10,13,15	lower 52:9 62:9,10	66:11,17 67:18
79:8 81:15 82:6	legislative 111:7	3:23 6:20 10:2	102:19	82:14,16 83:21
82:15 83:3 87:2	114:18	14:4 16:13 26:6	102.17	87:14,15 88:17,20
		11.110.13 20.0		89:1 91:21 93:19
	<u> </u>	l .	l .	<u> </u>

106.7 112.14	mind 21.11 105.16	110.22 111.15	nooggo wil- : 41.17	Nog 05:0
106:7 113:14	mind 21:11 105:16	110:22 111:15	necessarily 41:17	Nos 95:9
meaningless 88:11	105:19 119:5	mortgages 109:17	92:6	note 12:13
means 58:14	minimum 52:15	111:20 motion 9:19 13:2	necessary 109:18	noted 6:17
meant 28:12 90:22	56:13 102:15		111:8	notice 1:15 7:12
measurable 90:4	103:10,17,20	15:8 22:8,10	need 7:4 15:6 17:6	12:6 55:13 86:21
91:21	minimums 93:11	24:22 43:3 72:14	18:7 35:12 37:19	108:16
measured 102:16	minor 18:22 108:4	72:16 100:5 105:15 116:22	38:22 42:2,9	noticed 41:10 notification 115:22
measurements 103:21	108:6,8 minutes 2:21	105:15 116:22 motor 23:1	46:14,16 49:3,13	
	minutes 2:21 missed 55:20	motor 23:1 move 6:13 9:15	49:17 62:3 63:19	notion 90:18 NPS 1:20
measures 23:19 24:7 84:11		move 6:13 9:15 10:1 12:21 13:4	66:9 67:15 82:14	NPS 1:20 number 23:1 53:9
	misunderstanding 60:19		82:17,22 83:5	
measuring 102:17		15:11 19:5 22:5	93:13 100:21	58:7 63:8,16,17 78:20
102:19,22 103:1,5 mechanical 48:21	misunderstood 17:12	22:10 29:20 43:5	119:4,17	78:20 numbered 75:4
	mitigation 57:20	44:5 50:9,12 51:10 67:8 69:16	needs 61:3 89:1,2 110:2 111:15	numbered /5:4 numbers 18:3 61:9
median 35:16,18 meet 37:4	Mitten 67:7	92:21 93:22 94:14		
			neighbor 7:17,19	61:18 85:14 N W 1:14
meeting 1:6,12	mix 33:18 96:21	100:7 104:7,10	neighborhood 52:9	N.W 1:14
2:21 5:5,16 65:2	97:19 98:13	116:10 122:18	57:6 58:2 69:18	0
79:19 120:9,15,19	mixed 17:14 29:3	moved 10:7 13:10	71:13,14 119:16	OAC 1:19
124:8	51:18 53:21 54:3	15:16 17:11 22:16	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	OAC 1.19 OAG 86:20 97:21
meetings 5:20	model 19:2 27:14	30:3 43:12 50:16	neighborhoods	108:6 111:6
57:12 58:9 120:9	115:7	72:22 86:9 89:5	82:5	objection 96:5
meets 19:15 32:16	moderate 109:15	94:20 100:14	neighbors 28:13	objective 103:9
member 121:7,8	modest 45:12	101:7,10 104:18	54:20 64:8,9	observation 39:2
Members 1:17	modification 3:13	104:21 116:21	never 99:16 119:5	42:12
31:11 44:12 51:16	3:15,19 16:14	117:4	new 4:6 32:7 47:16	obtain 28:5
96:14 107:7	18:22 19:6 22:12	moving 7:15 10:18	51:21 52:5 53:15	obtain 28:5 obviously 60:3
memorandum 4:19	26:7 29:22 31:6	13:20 16:6 25:12	53:20 54:2 60:5	occasionally 69:12
102:10 112:6	32:1 43:7 46:1	30:15 43:22 51:5	64:9 65:20 80:15	occupancy 28:5
118:18 Marrarial 1:12	modifications	68:16 70:3 73:9	100:9	52:14 56:20 62:10
Memorial 1:13	12:14	92:18 95:10	newer 93:22	62:20
mention 21:5 67:2	modified 17:7	101:21 105:10	nice 49:19 64:10	
71:17 84:18	modify 53:8	117:15	nine 91:3	occupants 55:16 occupied 87:10
mentioned 20:16	moment 84:8	mullions 37:6	noises 6:4	110:6
70:19 78:20	MONDAY 1:9	multiple 32:21	non 99:7	occur 29:3
merely 90:20	monetary 90:13	48:15 60:1	non-academic 32:7	occur 29:3 odds 97:20
merged 86:1	monitoring 23:17	multi-family 53:20	non-compliant	odds 97:20 offers 111:4
messing 68:3	Montgomery 114:2	N	82:11	
met 120:9	115:6		non-conforming	office 1:21,23 2:14
Metro 53:22 56:17	months 55:3 114:9	name 5:7 31:12	32:22	4:1,4,6,8,10,20
56:20 63:10 64:5	moot 21:22	71:19 78:17,20	non-expedited	5:10,11,12 31:13
67:14 69:11,11	Mordfin 2:7 5:14	107:8	104:16	32:7 34:15,20
MICHAEL 1:19	51:14,15 54:12	nationally 113:22	non-profit 91:7,10	35:5 39:17 44:13
microphone 41:4,5	55:1,8,21 61:6,13	Nation's 109:4	non-residential	64:20 69:1,9
41:7 42:7	61:16,20 65:14,15	NE 44:16	99:19	73:15 74:1 75:3
middle 32:6	mortgage 113:7,18	near 5:18	north 44:21 52:1	76:22 85:1 89:14
Miller 77:5	mortgagee 108:15	nearby 28:13	65:17,21	90:2,10,21 93:3,6

107.0 10 115.14
107:9,12 115:14
115:20 118:2,10
118:19 119:3
120:1 123:9
offices 40:12 65:18
off-street 53:2
Oh 74:12 120:1
okay 6:10,16,18 9:4
, ,
9:17 10:6,22
-
11:14,17 13:1,9
14:2,12 15:5,8,11
16:10 17:2 18:6
21:3,5 22:15
23:15 24:21 25:20
26:3,15 28:8 29:9
29:19 30:22 31:3
35:14,22 36:7
38:10 39:11,20
42:13 43:1,11
44:4 45:17 49:2,6
50:3 51:9 54:16
56:2,15,21 58:6
50 17 50 11 60 14
58:17 59:11 60:14
61:19,22 63:3
64:17 65:6 66:10
68:13,13,16 72:7
72:12,21 73:12
74:12 77:9 78:16
79:17 80:3,5,9,22
81:10,13 83:2
84:5 85:3 89:9,11
92:5 94:14,16
95:14 96:9 98:3
100:1,3,13 101:2
101:6,9 102:3
104:17,20 106:7
7
106:14 116:8,21
117:3 118:7 119:5
119:7,10,11,14,20
119:21 120:5
121:14 124:4,7
old 49:21
older 82:5
once 15:10
ones 81:7 92:8,10
The state of the s
110:3
one-story 65:16
v
•

one-time 67:13 on-street 59:2 OP 7:8 22:20 23:9 33:20,22 34:2 45:13 54:2,6 96:16 97:21 102:7 102:13 108:18 109:11
open 8:1 20:22 27:6
87:17 103:3
operation 123:10 opinion 42:20
46:11
opinions 43:1
opportunities
36:18
opportunity 40:19
73:22 76:19 86:5
opposed 99:9
opposition 10:13
13:15 16:1 21:7 25:7 27:5 30:10
43:17 62:14 73:4
95:5 101:16 105:5
117:9
option 60:7
options 57:13 60:1
69:17
OP's 23:6 109:2
order 11:17,18
14:11,17 15:13
18:9 30:21 90:14
96:11,11 109:10
ordered 96:6
original 19:21 20:2
24:4 45:10 55:13
originally 17:9 ought 40:3,3 69:22
outcome 94:11
outdated 88:10
outline 85:12
outside 24:9
overall 34:7 75:11
overlay 99:1
overlays 103:17,18
overwhelming 58:5

58:7,7 62:21

overwhelmingly 57:16 58:1 owner 110:6,20 owners 25:18 31:1 99:6 ownership 17:20 18:4,11,12 19:2 19:20 20:8,14 22:2 29:5,5 oz 115:10 123:16
P
package 36:5 75:1
page 3:2 85:13 87:
painful 68:20
Pandora's 83:10
paragraph 88:4
parallel 111:5
parameters 79:4
parapet 87:8.15.16

P
package 36:5 75:12
page 3:2 85:13 87:4
painful 68:20
Pandora's 83:10
paragraph 88:4
parallel 111:5
parameters 79:4
parapet 87:8,15,16 89:18
parapets 87:5,7
parents 93:17
park 59:5 64:10
parking 32:12 33:6
53:1,2 55:12,14
56:8,13 57:1,3,6
58:14,19 59:1,2,8
62:10,20 63:11,13
63:13 64:8,13,15
65:9 66:4,14
68:12 69:7,18,19
75:7 81:19 84:19
93:10 119:1
122:19
part 8:18 11:20
27:9 33:3 38:18
64:19 65:10,20 66:3 75:11 86:20
87:19 90:16 97:15
98:13 108:20
participate 121:17
participating 89:16
particular 57:15
58:22 64:19 71:11
74:17,20 75:21
77:7 84:7 88:3
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,

90:16 91:13 98:22 119:19 122:11.16 122:16 particularly 40:11 partners 46:19 97:16 **parts** 103:16 **party** 98:11 pass 63:13 **patient** 123:19 **patio** 82:7 **Paul** 2:10 31:12 **pay** 29:7 **paying** 110:22 **PDR** 76:2 **peace** 62:16 pedestrian 103:13 Pennsylvania 32:6 33:11 34:6 penthouse 48:21 **people** 57:6 58:8 67:18 68:20 69:11 69:12,15 78:3,11 78:15 82:4 91:22 93:14,22 120:16 121:16 122:14,18 **PEPCO** 72:1 percent 18:10,11 35:16,17 60:20 61:2,10,13,14 81:17 percentage 80:20 perfectly 29:2 performance 23:17 **period** 26:13 27:18 28:1 29:13 118:22 **permit** 53:5 65:12 65:19 112:14 permitted 52:14 96:22 **person** 41:8 89:20 110:7 personal 81:16 pervious 81:17 **PETER** 1:20 **Phase** 9:10 **phone** 64:21

phones 6:6 **phrase** 90:9,22 **picking** 42:7 67:20 **picture** 38:12 pictures 89:21 **piece** 12:3 20:6 **pipeline** 110:4,11 **place** 3:21 44:7,15 44:22 45:3 103:11 placement 23:11 **plan** 31:21 32:19 33:3 34:8,9,11,12 34:19 39:18 53:19 54:6 122:1 **plane** 40:11 **planned** 20:3 31:19 **Planner** 77:1 107:9 **planning** 1:23 4:1,4 4:6,8,10,20 5:13 31:13 34:20 35:5 44:13 64:20 69:1 69:9 73:15 76:22 82:10 89:14 90:10 90:21 93:6 97:1 107:10,13,16 115:15,21 118:11 118:19 120:1 123:9 Planning's 93:4 119:3 **plans** 11:9 53:8 plantings 53:15 **plants** 78:9 plastered 47:17 **please** 5:22 6:5 9:20 10:13 13:15 30:10 43:17 51:1 **plus** 121:8 point 21:15 23:3 24:18 40:11 54:22 61:4 89:1 102:20 102:22 103:1 122:16 **points** 24:1 policies 34:18 **portion** 65:19 position 10:20

13:22 16:9 25:14 29:15 30:18 44:2
51:8 73:11 95:13 99:17 102:2
105:12 117:17 positive 57:17 58:1
59:21 possibility 97:15
103:4 110:22 possible 32:8
110:18 116:2 possibly 69:2
post 16:18,20 17:5 potential 111:20
112:9 potentially 56:5
68:19 110:16 Power 23:3
practical 69:22 practicalities 66:12
practice 91:14 practices 85:8
predominantly 34:13 85:7
preliminary 3:3 6:8 65:2
preparing 114:21 present 1:17,21,23 2:14 95:22
presentation 40:21 79:7 120:1,4
presentations 55:2 presented 8:15
60:2 80:18 115:6 presenting 80:21
Preservation 2:6 presiding 1:15
pressure 122:17 123:4
prevention 110:19 previous 29:10
59:16 60:2 110:4 previously 20:17
31:20 34:8 44:18 72:8
prior 62:6,8 65:3 79:14

private 53:20 probably 8:5 42:10 84:9 92:7,10 100:21 problem 11:2 17:19 23:8 68:4
92:17,18 114:6 116:5 119:12 problems 71:6 112:22 115:13 116:3 119:12
procedures 116:15 proceed 6:11,14,17 proceeding 6:1 115:22 proceeds 68:10 process 19:11 62:2
79:15 80:1 92:21 96:19 97:14 110:3 111:12 producing 91:9 Productions 3:21 44:7
productive 120:14 professional 49:11 professionals 113:7 proffers 63:20 program 53:16 55:17 109:8 110:1
111:4 programs 78:2 project 8:7,18 9:2 17:14 18:15 19:3 29:4 32:15 33:16 34:17 42:4 54:21
55:9 56:7 58:8 59:17 60:18 62:7 62:8 64:4 65:3 67:9 107:20 111:14 119:17 projects 19:10
67:11 110:11 prominent 34:5 pronounced 11:4 proper 34:2 properly 10:7

13:10 15:17 22:16

30:4 50:17 72:22
94:21 100:14
101:10 104:21 117:4
properties 39:4
97:3 109:20
property 44:19
59:20 81:18 82:2
97:12 99:6,8,12 111:16
proportion 18:14
proportions 18:18
proposal 19:19,22
20:1,8 33:9 34:22
45:8,13 54:2 proposals 122:11
proposal's 34:3
propose 55:7
proposed 3:11 4:21
11:11,22 16:11
25:10 30:13 32:9 32:12,16 33:1
34:10 45:6 53:12
56:7 90:11,15
96:17 97:22
107:16 108:7
110:13 118:21
proposes 27:17 33:13 45:2 51:17
proposing 21:11
74:21
protected 66:17
protestation 122:15
provide 25:17 34:4
103:11
provided 7:8 52:4
53:6
provides 97:4 108:14
provision 53:2
90:12,15 114:1,2
provisions 4:13
52:20 116:13
proximity 53:22
56:16 public 5:4,19 32:22
public 3.4,17 32.22

24 22 52 10 52 12
34:22 52:10 53:13
54:7 57:12,21
59:3 75:12,16
77:20 86:21 87:22
91:10 100:10,17
101:4 104:16
108:2 113:5 120:8
PUD 3:6,8,13,15,18
3:19,22,23 4:6,11
6:20 10:2 11:3
13:6 16:13 17:7
22:12 24:4,10
26:7 27:17 29:22
31:6,7 32:1,2,16
33:4 34:8 43:7,7
44:8,15,19,20
45:7 48:11 51:12
52:12,16 55:13
72:11,17 97:14,17
98:13 99:1,2,9,11
109:9 110:16
PUDs 19:13,15
97:11 110:5
PUD-related 54:4
97:1
pulling 112:21
purchase 109:8,19
purposes 97:5
pursuant 1:14
32:19
pursuing 114:12,14
purview 28:18
push 36:16
put 19:12 42:2
67:14 82:19
P-R-O-C-E-E-D
5:1
p.m 1:15 5:2
124:10
0
qualified 110:7
quantifiable 91:21
quarter 18:12
quarters 18:12

question 10:9

15:19 17:10 18:20

25:3 26:19,21
27:7 28:20 30:6
43:13 48:18 50:19
71:22 80:8 87:4
90:1 95:1 101:12
105:1
questions 11:20
12:4 35:1,4,7,9
45:16,18 47:1
50:11 54:9,13,17
57:1 77:7,11 79:2
80:5 84:8,14
86:15,17 98:2,5
104:2,4 112:2
115:3,5
quick 115:14
117:19
quicker 117:21
quite 56:6 68:19
69:13 82:7 108:21
quote 18:9
R
R 1·13

racks 42:1,18 53:14 67:12 **Rail** 53:22 rails 56:20 rained 120:20 rainwater 82:9 raise 39:2 65:12,19 92:10 raised 17:6 26:21 28:7 92:8 **raises** 107:3 rate 28:21 29:6 **ratio** 19:20 74:21 76:1 79:5 80:12 81:11 83:6,14 reacting 55:9 reaction 54:21 **read** 21:17 24:8 65:11 93:8 **reading** 65:8 93:9 ready 10:9 15:19 22:5 25:3 30:6 43:13 50:19 95:1

101:12 105:1	108:17 117:11	rent 17:15,17 69:19	63:9 64:15	room 1:14,14 6:5
reaffirming 27:12	redeveloped 58:11	rental 18:4,11,13	resold 111:2	roughly 18:10
real 39:21 67:17	Redevelopment	18:15 20:11 46:15	resolves 23:8	row 33:15
87:6,7 93:20 98:8	3:13 16:13			rowhouses 35:10
· ·	reference 55:18	reopen 83:10	respond 41:12,13	RPP 55:17,19 56:1
really 23:13 28:17		reopened 11:8,14	response 16:20,20	63:14
36:11,12,16 38:6	refine 97:22	11:15 16:19	20:19 21:2 23:6	
38:11 46:2 49:18	refrain 6:3	replaces 108:16	26:12	rule 28:12
60:12 62:5 66:12	Reg 56:11	report 7:9 22:21	responses 14:20	ruled 60:8
66:13 67:16,21	regard 24:2 40:4	26:11 61:21 75:10	rest 40:6 117:21	rulemaking 95:9
71:12 77:16 84:7	regarding 26:13	80:11 85:13 93:4	restrictions 109:20	101:20 105:9
88:17 89:1,2	46:16 87:7 107:11	109:2 119:4 120:4	112:14	117:14
90:10 91:1 93:5	Register 85:22	reporter 6:2	result 97:18 108:5	rules 48:15 86:6,8
113:8	regs 87:6,20 89:4,4	reports 41:11 96:4	retail 32:8 34:4	86:11 95:16 96:3
realm 91:17	Regular 1:6,12	request 7:2,11	36:11,18 37:13	97:8
rear 32:13 52:8	2:21	14:10,11,16,17	39:17,22,22 40:5	run 27:18 29:7
53:7	regulation 60:19	15:13 34:15 73:22	51:18 52:3 53:5	109:3
reason 18:21 70:3	88:2	96:2 100:8 104:12	57:7,8 103:12	R1 75:1 80:15
reasonable 29:2	regulations 4:5	107:12 122:11	retained 51:21	83:15
reasons 8:16,17	7:21 76:7,20 77:3	requested 27:4	reverts 97:12	R4 75:1 80:15 81:2
65:9 76:7 114:11	85:16 100:9	52:16,19 54:4	review 1:25 31:16	83:16 122:7
receive 64:20	102:14 104:13	65:5	revise 61:8	R5D 122:6
received 14:16,19	111:5 123:2	requesting 27:13	revised 61:18	
17:4 61:16,18	regulatory 114:17	requests 5:21 14:8	103:15	<u>S</u>
reception 55:6	rehabilitate 33:14	15:9,11 16:4	rewrite 56:11	S 1:22
recommend 45:13	reinforce 98:18	30:20 31:17,18,22	right 24:21 29:11	safety 87:12
recommendations	99:22	32:4 53:8	29:15 35:3 39:5	Safeway 72:5
92:14,16	reiterate 64:11	require 45:9	42:6 44:5 48:4,11	sale 17:15 27:19
recommends 34:21	reiterating 49:13	required 28:4	51:10 57:5 66:2	28:1 108:10,10
44:13 54:6 108:18	relate 49:20	48:13 53:5 56:8	71:15 72:6,12	sat 70:12
109:11	related 3:8,19,23	69:8 86:3 103:21	80:4 83:17 85:4	satisfy 23:9,10
reconfigured 32:14	11:3 13:6 31:7	119:8	87:10 88:2 89:7	27:15
reconsideration	32:1 43:8 51:12	requirement 27:16	89:10 92:3 96:22	save 66:8
14:10,16 15:12	52:12 72:18 122:1	55:15 56:13 57:3	99:10,13,21 101:6	saving 65:10 66:6
record 10:14 11:8	122:2,2	60:17 107:18	113:2 115:21	saw 5:15 39:15
11:13,14 13:16	relief 48:12 55:11	requirements	risk 39:6 40:9,9,10	119:5 123:9
16:1,18 20:21	65:4 66:4,14 69:8	32:17 53:1,4 75:1	Ritting 2:17 5:12	saying 47:21 64:13
21:15,17 25:7	82:17 83:1	80:15 103:10	River 122:8	70:13 94:2,3
30:11 31:12 35:21	remain 111:13	109:13 111:12	Road 23:16	106:3 116:4
43:18 51:1 73:5	remarks 94:6	research 105:22	Rodgers 2:12 95:21	123:13,22
95:5 101:16 105:5	remember 22:20	researched 112:13	107:5,6,8 112:6	says 27:12
117:9	29:14 67:2 115:17	resell 110:7	112:11 113:21	schedule 118:3
recorded 6:1	remind 83:14	residential 17:14	115:4	Schellin 1:22 3:3
records 10:15	remodeled 49:22	51:18 52:3,9 53:6	roll 59:20	5:11 6:9,22 7:1
13:17 16:3 25:9	removed 107:20	54:3 60:21,22	roof 37:17 48:22	10:13,15 11:6,7
30:13 43:19 51:2	renovated 52:5	61:2,10 99:8,8,15	52:20,21 81:1	11:16 13:15,17
70:6 73:6 95:7	renovating 57:14	99:19	82:10 87:10	14:5,6,7,14 16:1,3
101:18 105:7	renovation 47:6,15	residents 55:22	rooftop 48:10,13	16:15,16 17:3
101.10 100.7	(, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
		I		l

			1	
20:21 25:7,9 26:8	113:3 117:20	16:13 22:11	Smith 19:19	72:18
26:9,17 30:10,12	120:5 122:19,19	Sheridan's 21:6	sold 110:20 111:21	squares 3:13 4:21
41:5 43:17,19	122:20,21	shift 93:11,12 94:4	solve 9:15	118:20
44:9 50:22 51:2	seeing 38:2 47:5	shifting 86:4	somebody 13:2	St 3:9 14:4
73:6,13 95:5,7	48:1 68:10 76:15	shipping 24:8	42:5 43:3 59:5	staff 1:21,23 5:10
101:16,18 105:5,7	96:6	short 37:12	72:13,13 100:4	6:7 10:15 13:17
117:9,11 118:3,8	seen 19:4 68:7	show 77:20	someplace 65:12	16:3 25:9 30:12
118:9,18 119:9	74:19 75:19 80:12	showed 107:2	soon 42:22 114:20	43:19 51:2 73:5,6
124:4,6	80:13 116:19	showing 39:18	115:21 116:2	95:7 101:18 105:7
School 64:7	select 63:9	shows 23:4 49:18	sooner 68:2	117:11
second 10:4,5 13:8	Senior 107:8	shy 64:19	sophisticated 78:1	stage 3:18,19,22
15:14,15 22:13	sense 37:22 55:6,8	side 46:16 50:10	sophistication	31:6,7,18 32:1,2
30:1,2 31:22 43:9	66:13 71:2 115:1	52:1 65:21	78:13	33:4 43:7,7 44:8
43:10 50:14,15	122:3	sidewalk 23:17	sore 39:10 46:2	44:15,19 45:7
72:19,20 94:18,19	senses 8:20	24:8	sorry 7:8 44:10	48:11 50:5
100:11,12 101:8	separate 73:21	sighs 58:21	61:11 68:14 75:5	standards 4:7 75:6
104:18,19 106:12	separately 61:17	significant 33:14	78:16 107:4 119:5	75:22 77:5 80:13
108:13 116:16,17	74:14 84:20	36:17 37:8	119:6	80:19 83:19
116:18	serious 9:12	significantly 109:5	sort 37:20 46:8	standpoint 87:12
secondary 112:17	serves 97:9	Silver 53:17	60:6,15 83:10	88:18
seconded 10:7	set 11:9 25:18 31:1	similar 52:6	112:19	stands 37:21
13:10 15:17 22:16	34:21 40:20 43:5	simply 56:16	sounded 65:5	star 67:14
25:1 30:4 43:12	43:20 44:14 45:13	single 15:10 28:4	sounds 67:19 97:6	start 27:18 54:13
50:17 72:22 94:21	49:17 50:12 51:3	59:6	south 1:14 33:16	54:17 67:21 87:5
100:14 101:10	52:8,21 54:7 62:4	sinking 103:4	space 39:22 40:1,5	121:15
104:21 117:4	72:13,14,16 73:7	sir 6:9 7:1 11:7	44:21 46:19 48:20	started 114:21
seconding 10:19	77:19 89:6 94:14	14:7 16:16 26:2,9	53:3,13 69:19,19	119:4
13:20 16:7 25:13	95:8 96:16 101:1	30:12 72:4 100:19	speak 58:22 78:22	starting 41:21
30:16 44:1 51:6	101:20 102:7	124:6	speaking 60:12	121:1
73:9 95:11 101:22	104:8,11 105:8	site 21:6 31:19,20	speaks 9:1 15:6	state 19:19 35:20
105:10 117:15	108:1 116:10	32:5,9,19 33:16	special 82:20 83:1	57:14 112:7
Secretary 1:22	117:13 119:2	34:13,17 45:11	specific 32:18	stated 7:2 14:15
section 4:1,6,9,11	setback 48:14	51:19 52:1 58:11	75:21,22	17:4 79:13 109:2
4:12 86:7 100:9	Setdown 4:14	65:16,22 72:5,11	specifically 85:11	115:17
102:8 104:13	sets 37:6	99:14	96:19 120:13	statement 46:12
108:9	setting 68:4,17 70:4	sites 34:10 40:14	specificity 18:3	123:15
sector 53:20	71:7 92:17 93:1	41:21 119:15,19	specifies 102:19	Station 54:1
see 12:1 20:18 36:4	94:9 103:9 105:16	situation 106:20	specify 102:14	status 120:4
36:13,20,21 37:5	seven 33:17 103:18	situations 93:20	103:17	stay 14:11,17 15:13
37:22 38:2 40:18	shame 39:21	six 55:3 72:11	spillover 57:1	Steingasser 1:24
41:8,16 45:22	share 53:3 68:11	89:16 114:8	spoken 58:8	5:13 48:19 56:14
46:21 47:18 48:4	shared 21:6 53:6	size 60:18	spots 12:15	56:18 57:8 58:4
50:4,8 54:19	Sharon 1:22 3:3	sketch-like 49:16	square 3:6,8,15,19	58:12,15,20 59:18
55:12,18 60:20,22	5:10	slide 23:1	3:22,24 6:21 10:3	60:11 64:17 65:1
70:15 71:5 76:8	shed 63:20	slot 118:3	11:4 13:7 16:14	70:19 72:4,10
79:22 80:22 86:10	sheet 61:8	smaller 74:3	26:7 31:7 32:11	73:17,18 74:4,7
87:20 88:6 93:7	Sheridan 3:12	Smartrip 67:13	43:8 44:8 51:13	74:13,16 78:17,18

70 11 16 10 00 2	1 14 110 14	0514515464	06017010050	04.40.07.600.2
79:11,16,18 80:2	submitted 12:14	35:1 45:15 46:4	26:3,17 31:2 35:2	84:4,9 87:6 89:3
80:17 82:1,18	17:8,8,11 29:16	52:12 54:8 76:20	38:14,15 40:16	89:20 90:1,4,18
83:13,18 84:5,17	substance 90:20	86:5 95:18 98:11	44:4,11 45:20	92:19 94:4,16
84:21 85:5 87:18	substantial 29:4	112:1 123:12	49:5,8 50:2 51:9	95:15 112:16
88:7,12,19 89:3	55:14 62:13	taken 55:4 63:1	51:15 54:10,11	113:2,10 114:8
91:2 95:17,20	sufficient 117:1	75:18 99:16	63:5 64:2 66:10	115:16,18 116:6
96:7 98:20,21	suggest 67:10	talk 35:15 36:16	66:22 71:20 73:12	116:22 118:11
100:16,19 106:16	suggestions 8:2	74:14 87:9 90:3	77:9,13 87:1	119:14 123:11
106:18 117:18	support 7:6 10:20	talked 83:11 89:14	89:10 98:3 101:9	thinking 72:2
118:1 120:2,3,7	13:21 16:8 21:8	talking 57:3 62:19	102:5 106:10	83:21
121:3,20	25:14 26:11 30:17	87:5 100:20	107:7 111:22	third 10:20 13:22
step 111:11	44:2 46:17 51:7	tangible 90:4 91:20	112:4 119:21	16:8 25:14 30:17
STEPHEN 2:7	62:21 71:11 73:10	targeted 109:16	thanks 7:18 74:15	44:2 51:7 73:10
steps 111:8	93:1 95:12 102:1	tax 59:20	84:12 100:1	95:12 102:1
STEVEN 2:8	105:11 117:16	TC/CSG 3:9 14:4	themes 33:8	105:11 117:16
stores 122:21	supporter 63:8	TDM 23:17,19 24:7	thing 9:13 37:16	thorough 66:5
stories 45:5	supportive 8:22	technical 97:6	42:21 46:3,13	thoroughly 47:8
story 65:18	58:10	tell 41:22 62:13	49:3 55:11 65:7	thought 17:21 24:4
street 1:14 3:5 6:19	supports 54:2	96:10	66:17 71:16 83:13	30:22 38:17 39:16
10:2 35:10 36:21	supposed 48:8	temporary 119:1	things 19:14 33:4	48:13 60:9 65:8
37:14 44:16,17	sure 7:13 12:5,7	ten 28:1,12 29:12	47:14 50:6 67:15	106:1 119:5,22
50:7 53:14,15	36:12 40:17,21	61:17 68:1	77:18,21,21 79:13	threatened 111:10
59:1,2,8 63:13	42:15,17,18 46:17	Tenley 64:5	80:1 81:8 86:11	three 18:12 20:15
streets 103:14	68:6,8 71:3 72:7	Tenleytown 53:22	87:12 91:22 94:1	33:14 62:1 85:14
streetscape 33:6,10	74:5 80:4 86:16	ten-year 27:17	think 5:15 8:5,19	85:15 87:9 88:6,7
stress 38:7,8	88:16 105:18	term 33:19 107:19	9:1,8,9,11,13,15	89:8 95:18 96:3
strike 37:8 94:8	surface 74:22 77:4	terminology 90:17	11:21 12:2,20	121:4
101:4	80:14 81:5,17	terms 47:13 97:4	15:5 17:10 18:16	threw 42:6
strikes 108:14	83:2,19	97:12	18:17,19,21 19:15	tightened 109:5
strong 28:13 46:10	surprised 94:10	Terrace 3:12 16:13	19:18 20:13 21:19	time 3:6 6:20 7:3
structure 48:9 52:4	113:3	22:12	21:20,21,22 22:1	7:12 9:2 10:3
52:20,21 60:5	survey 122:10	test 19:16	23:2,13,20 25:22	20:22 21:16 27:22
111:5	survive 78:9	testified 23:18	26:18,20,21 27:1	35:1 57:2 59:6
structures 19:14	109:21 112:15	testify 68:21	27:9 28:6,10,12	63:1 67:5,13 71:2
48:10,14,22	sustainabilities	121:18	28:17 29:1,6	76:11 79:7 99:3
stuck 120:17	77:2	testimony 5:20	35:18 37:15,19	115:12,17 116:20
stuff 42:2 60:6	sustainability 33:5	104:1 112:1	38:21 39:21 40:12	117:22 118:22
67:19 87:1 93:16	75:10,12 76:18	text 4:1,4,6,9,11	42:2,8 46:6,7,11	123:19
subject 33:9 34:13	77:1,3,8	73:15 74:3,9	46:13,14 49:13,16	times 20:16 50:5
48:14,22	sustainable 78:9	75:15 76:6,9,11	50:6,7 56:6 57:8	78:20 121:4
submission 16:21	system 33:12 97:21	90:11,16 96:17	57:11 59:7 61:3,9	timetable 119:18
21:10 26:22	110:15	98:18 100:8 102:8	62:14 63:19 64:14	title 111:1
submissions 11:12		104:12 110:13	66:8,20 67:5	today 11:5
16:18 17:5 62:1	TABLE 3:1	116:11	68:18 69:4,21	today's 5:16
70:11	tacked 37:17	thank 7:10 8:12	70:14 71:10,13	tonight 63:3 76:21
submit 21:1 61:7	take 5:19 18:21	9:20 11:16 14:14	77:16,18 79:22	107:11 123:1
102:13	tant 3.17 10.21	17:2 20:4 25:19	82:4,12 83:5 84:2	tool 20:15
	<u> </u>	<u>l</u>	<u>l</u>	<u>l</u>

40m 57.16	05.12.100.6.101.2		11.0 12.5	25.20 40.19 10
top 57:16	95:12 100:6 101:3		11:2 13:5	35:20 40:18,19 41:3,7 48:7 49:10
toss 90:9	101:21 104:19	28:2 31:19 33:18	vault 72:1	,
total 52:2 55:11	105:10 116:18	107:21 108:11	version 60:3 versus 122:7	56:4 58:10 59:7
totality 115:14	117:15	109:8,9,15 110:7		62:6 68:2 69:14
totally 20:11	tweak 50:8	110:16,20 111:1,9	vet 92:20	71:9,16 78:21
townhome 27:14	twice 121:11	111:13 112:18,19	Vice 1:18 5:8 8:3	83:9 84:10 88:16
27:20	two 8:10 14:8 20:15	units 12:19 18:4,5	13:8 18:1 21:9	92:2,3,6 95:17,18
townhomes 28:4	27:15,15,21,21	19:22 20:1,8	22:13 24:16,17	99:22 105:18
track 70:6	30:19 31:17 45:4	27:21 33:17,22	35:6,8,14 38:15	113:14 115:10
traffic 23:18	49:14,20,21 52:3	36:5 53:9 107:19	39:8 43:10 45:19	116:1 118:12,13
transcript 2:20	64:4 65:15,17	108:20 110:11	45:20 63:4,5 71:9	122:18 123:3,6,16
93:7	73:21 76:9 85:13	111:20 113:1	72:20 77:12,13	123:20
transferred 97:2	85:14,14,14 87:4	university 3:18	89:13 91:18 94:19	wanted 21:2 28:14
transit 63:8	95:22 103:22	31:6,16,18,22	100:12 101:8	60:9 72:7 74:10
transition 76:13	106:22 108:20	33:13,20 34:1	106:12 112:3,4	79:21,22 80:4
transportation	two-story 65:19	43:6	113:10 114:22	87:1 94:7 99:7
41:16 53:16	two-year 3:6 6:20	university-owned	116:9 119:13,21	120:7 122:19,20
trash 22:22 23:4	7:3,12 9:16 10:2	33:15	vicinity 57:5 58:19	122:21
treatments 47:9	26:13	unnecessary 23:19	victim 59:19	wanting 68:20
trees 53:15,15 78:8	type 8:9 112:8	unnoticed 7:17	view 29:10 49:20	wants 42:14 90:21
trellis 37:18,20	types 33:18	unsold 108:21	122:16	90:22
trepidation 68:18	typical 66:17	110:5	Village 3:15 26:6	Ward 20:13 120:18
tried 36:22	U	untouched 88:4	visual 89:20	121:1,2,2,4,6
trip 90:5	ugly 38:18 47:20	update 118:11,13	vote 10:14,16 13:16	123:8,17
try 20:13 28:18	unbelievably	120:4,8	13:18 16:2,4 25:8	warning 110:15
69:1 89:18	120:15	upper 52:7	25:10 30:11,13	Washington 1:14
trying 9:11 22:2	uncomfortable	upswing 76:15	43:18,20 51:1,3	3:18 31:5,15 43:6
24:3 39:15 47:19	60:12,16 62:19	upwards 76:9	55:4 73:5,7 95:6,8	113:20
56:3 67:7 83:4	uncommon 19:9	use 17:14 27:14,15	101:17,19 105:6,8	wasn't 90:16
90:12 91:15 93:22	undercuts 103:9	32:9 34:12,16	117:10,12	120:16
94:8 100:17 106:4	underground	40:13 51:18 53:21	voted 21:8	water 81:6
119:6	32:12	54:3 61:2 71:15	voting 10:21 14:1	wave-type 37:1
Tummonds 27:11	understand 18:10	96:21 98:13	16:9 25:15 30:18	wavy 37:5
turn 6:5	21:10 56:4,9	109:16	44:3 51:8 73:11	way 19:5,12,14
Turnbull 1:19 5:9	57:19 58:2 62:6	uses 34:5,10,14	95:13 102:2	24:11 29:13 62:7
9:6,18,22 10:18 13:21 15:15 16:7	74:5 78:3 80:11	53:6 97:19 103:12	105:12 117:17	63:1 83:22 84:2,3 94:4
	83:3,20,22 84:1	110:13	\mathbf{W}	
19:17 20:5 21:14	97:7 105:18,22	\mathbf{v}	Wait 119:14	ways 29:3 113:13 webcast 6:2
22:9 24:19 25:13	106:4,8,20 113:8	vacant 10:21 13:22	waive 95:15 96:2	
27:8 30:2,16 36:2	114:6 123:8	16:9 25:15 30:18	waiver 52:15,18	week 106:22
36:3,7,9 38:10	understanding	44:3 51:8 52:4	60:17 114:7	weeks 106:22
43:4,22 49:7,8	18:2 58:16 113:22	73:11 95:13 102:2	walks 85:13	weighed 99:4
51:7 64:1,2,22	understood 114:13	105:12 117:17	walks 63.13 wall 12:17,19	went 18:10 59:20
65:6 66:2 72:15	undertake 56:4	various 4:4 79:3	walls 36:22	115:5 120:11,22
73:9 84:15,16	unheard 65:3	various 4.4 79.3 vary 53:9	want 6:13,14 9:5	weren't 67:5
85:3 86:22 88:5,9	uniform 81:8	VASUDAV 3:7	12:13 15:1 20:4	West 38:19
88:15,20 89:9		TABU DA T J. /	12.13 13.1 20.4	we'll 34:22 42:18

42:19 62:3 74:13	wrong 17:18	ZRR 76:9 79:14	11-25 3:7 11:1 13:4	22 26:11
75:2 84:4 96:7	wrote 77:2	85:18 87:20 90:11	13:19	22nd 75:14
113:5,6 120:20	W.C 19:18	90:15 120:11	118 4:19	220 1:14
122:9	VV.C 19.10	121:15 122:1	12 73:19 85:5 96:10	24 100:9 107:16
we've 17:4 20:16	Y	Z.C 3:12,14,18,21	100:7,8	24.6 90:3
80:11,12 85:17	yard 46:16 81:19	3:23 4:1,4,6,8,10	100.7,8 12-10 4:1 73:14	2405.9 4:6 100:9
86:19 89:5 94:3	year 28:1,12 29:13	4:19	74:6 78:22 80:6,8	2409 116:15
99:16 120:9 121:3	79:10,20 121:4,13	4.17	94:17 95:9	2409.10 .13 2409.10 4:11
122:4	years 8:10 33:19	\$	12-11 4:4 73:20	116:14
Wheel 3:21 44:6	35:17 68:1 72:11	\$25 67:13	74:8 80:6 84:17	250,000 32:11
white 49:15 105:21	74:20 76:10 89:16	\$84,900 35:18	93:4 94:17 95:9	26 3:14 102:11
wholeheartedly	89:17 91:3,4 99:6		12-12 4:6 74:6	107:17 116:13
93:8	112:21	0	96:10,17 101:20	2602.4 4:12 116:13
wind 68:19	yesterday 93:9	04 117:13	104:8	2002.4 4.12 110.13
windows 12:17	young 78:17	04-33F 4:10 96:11	104.8 12-13 4:8 104:9,10	3
38:21 39:6 40:9,9	Joung 70.17	106:15 107:12	104:12 105:9	3'6 87:11 89:5
40:10,13	Z	116:11	104.12 103.9 13 96:11	3-4G 121:11
Wisconsin 52:7	ZC 3:5,7,9	05-36 10:2	139 0.11 130 32:10	3.6 88:13
56:19	zero 56:8 62:20	05-36F 3:5 6:19	130 32.10 14 3:9	30 2:22 5:4 23:1
wise 49:19	63:11	10:17	16 3:12	33:19 35:17 80:20
witnessed 123:7	ZIP 42:1	06-08C 3:14 26:5	165 19:22	30th 1:10
withessed 123:7 wonder 83:5	zone 32:17 52:14	29:21 30:14	17th 120:10	31 3:18
	62:9 81:2 86:10	06-11G 31:5 43:6	1701 120:10 1732 3:24 51:13	33F 117:14
wondering 49:12 word 124:2	97:3,4,12	06-11G/06-12G	72:18	34 4:1 73:16 74:22
work 33:22 34:2	zoned 122:3	3:18 43:21	199 86:7 104:13	3594 3:8 11:4 13:7
41:17 47:10,14	zoning 1:4,13,17,21	06-12G 31:5 43:6	199 80.7 104.13 199's 102:8	36 19:18
48:2 69:1,10	4:12 5:5,10 6:18	07-08B 4:19	199.1 4:9	37 16:17 21:10
73:22 74:19 76:17	10:1,17 11:1 13:4	07-35C 3:12 16:12	199.1 4.9	38 16:17
85:18 86:19 87:3	13:19 14:3 16:5	22:11 25:11	2	3832 3:22 44:8
89:22 97:21 118:2	16:12 22:11 25:11	09 121:11	2nd 3:18,21 31:6	39 16:17
122:4 123:21	26:5 29:21 30:14	09-08B 3:21 44:6	31:18 32:2 43:7	
122.4 123.21	31:4 32:2 34:21	50:13 51:4	44:7,15	4
worked 9:3 12:5	43:5,20 44:5,18		20 80:20	4 121:1,2,2,4,6
70:16 76:1 77:4	45:10 50:13 51:3	1	200 25:18 31:2	4B 121:11
70.16 70.1 77.4	51:10 56:11 72:16	1 9:10 48:11 104:13	20001 1:14	4th 1:14
workforce 27:16	73:7,14 74:1 75:8	1st 3:19 31:6 32:1	2008 75:9	4:0:1 10:16 13:18
35:20	75:10 76:6 80:18	33:3 43:7 44:19	2009 75:9,14	16:4 25:10 30:13
working 54:20	85:8,10,18 94:15	45:6 50:5	2010 75:17	43:20 51:3 73:7
57:11 75:2 77:1	94:16 95:8 96:1	1:1 89:6	2010 75:17 2011 75:18 76:3	95:8 101:19 105:8
120:16 122:9	100:7,8,20 101:19	10 3:7 32:11 73:20	2012 1:10 2:22 5:4	117:12
world 98:8	102:12 103:16	10-23 3:23 51:11	102:11	412 4:1 73:16 75:6
worried 63:2	104:11,13 105:8	72:17 73:8	21 26:10	42nd 72:5
worried 63.2 wouldn't 40:12	106:15 107:7,14	102 4:8	21st 75:13	4325 3:15 26:7
64:10 81:9 89:6	107:18 108:7,18	107 4:10	2100 32:6 38:17,19	44 3:21
Wow 64:22	109:7,12 110:2	11 85:6 116:13,14	38:20 39:5	441 1:14
writing 41:18	111:5,12 116:10	121:12	2115 75:2,7	4600 70:10
written 21:1 75:15	116:14 117:13	11-story 32:7	2115.19 4:2 73:16	
WITUUTI 21.1 / J.13	118:2 122:2,7	11-13 3:9 14:3 16:6		5
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	l

				Page 141
1	1		1	
5 7:7 81:17 120:18				
5A 26:10 27:3				
5B 12:8				
5B-06 11:10				
50 18:10,11 48:12				
80:19				
51 3:23				
54 11:10				
57 11:11				
5869 3:13 16:14				
5872 3:13 16:14				
20,23.13 10.11				
6				
6 3:3,5 7:9 119:17				
6B 7:7				
6:30 1:15				
6:36 5:2				
60-foot 27:14				
7				
7 123:8,17				
73 4:1,4				
749 3:6 6:21 10:3				
75 3:19 31:8 43:8				
61:1				
75A 31:19 32:19				
8				
8 20:13				
8A 21:7,7				
8As 16:20				
8C 21:7,8				
8th 44:16				
8:23 124:10				
80 20:1,8 35:15,17				
60:20				
80.3 61:10,13,14				
86 56:8				
863 32:3				
9				
90 35:15				
96 4:6				

<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Regular Meeting

Before: DCZC

Date: 07-30-12

Place: Washington, DC

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Court Reporter

near Nous &