GOVERNMENT

OF

THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

ZONING COMMISSION

+ + + + +

REGULAR PUBLIC MEETING

+ + + + +

MONDAY JANUARY 26, 2009

+ + + + +

The Regular Public Meeting convened in Room 220 South, 441 4th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., 20001, pursuant to notice at 6:30 p.m., Anthony J. Hood, Chairperson, presiding.

ZONING COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT:

ANTHONY J. HOOD Chairperson
GREGORY JEFFRIES Vice Chairperson
WILLIAM WARREN KEATING Commissioner
MICHAEL TURNBULL Commissioner
PETER MAY Commissioner

OFFICE OF ZONING STAFF PRESENT:

DONNA HANOUSEK Zoning Specialist ESTHER BUSHMAN General Counsel SHARON SCHELLIN Secretary

D.C. OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL PRESENT:

JACOB RITTIG, ESQ.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

OFFICE OF PLANNING STAFF PRESENT:

JOEL LAWSON MATT JESICK

This transcript constitutes the minutes from the Regular Public Meeting held on January 26, 2009.

NEAL R. GROSS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

WELCOME:
Anthony Hood
FINAL ACTION:
ZC CASE NO. 03-10A - HOLLAND & KNIGHT - TECHNICAL CORRECTION TO ZC ORDER NO. 03-10: 5 MOTION TO APPROVE ZC CASE NO. 03-10A
ZC CASE NO. 08-18 - OFFICE OF PLANNING - TEXT AMENDMENT re: USE OF DC PUBLIC SCHOOLS:7 MOTION TO APPROVE ZC CASE NO. 08-18
PROPOSED ACTION:
ZC CASE NO. 08-14 - KELSEY GARDENS PROPERTY CO. LLC - CONSOLIDATED PUD & RELATED MAP AMENDMENT AT SQUARE 421:
BOARD DELIBERATION: 10
SPECIFICALLY REQUESTED MATERIAL:
MOTION TO APPROVE ZC CASE NO. 08-14 PROPOSED ACTION
VOTE TO APPROVE ZC CASE NO. 08-14 PROPOSED ACTION
ADJOURN: Anthony Hood

NEAL R. GROSS

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

6:36 p.m.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. We're going to go ahead and get started. This meeting will, please, come to order. Good evening, ladies and gentlemen. This is the January 26, 2009 Public Meeting of the Zoning Commission of the District of Columbia.

My name is Anthony Hood. Joining me are Vice Chairman Jeffries. I'm also joined by Commissioners Keating, May and Turnbull. We are also joined by the Office of Zoning staff, also the Office of the Attorney General and the Office of Planning staff.

Copies of today's meeting agenda are available to you and are located in the bin near the door. We do not take any public testimony at these meetings, unless the Commission requests someone to come forward.

Please, be advised that these proceedings are being recorded by a Court Reporter and is also webcast live.

NEAL R. GROSS

1	Accordingly, we must ask you to
2	refrain from any disruptive noises or actions
3	in the hearing room. Please, turn off all
4	beepers and cell phones.
5	Does the staff have any
6	preliminary matters?
7	MS. SCHELLIN: No, sir.
8	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. What I
9	would like to do for the sake of efficiency is
10	to make Final Action move to Final Action
11	first and Proposed Action last and that's all
12	we have on our agenda.
13	So what I would call is Zoning
14	Commission Case No. 03-10A, Holland & Knight -
15	Technical Correction to Zoning Commission
16	Order No. 03-10. Ms. Schellin?
17	MS. SCHELLIN: This case is before
18	you for Final Action. We did not receive I
19	believe we did not receive anything additional
20	for this and ask that the Commission would
21	consider Final Action.

HOOD:

CHAIRPERSON

22

Okay.

1	Colleagues, again, this is section 2104,
2	exceptions to the schedule of requirements for
3	non-residential structures near Metro Rail
4	Stations, subsection 2104.1 is hereby amended
5	to read the following and we have that in our
6	draft order, which is 2104.1, which goes on to
7	talk about the 75 percent of the amount
8	otherwise originally required under 2101.1.
9	And we have hashed this out during
10	our Proposed Action. Any discussion? With
11	that I will move approval of Zoning Commission
12	Order No. 03-10A for the technical corrections
13	to Zoning Commission Order No. 03-10 and ask
14	for a second.
15	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Second.
16	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Moved and
17	properly seconded. Any further discussion?
18	All those in favor?
19	ALL: Aye.
20	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Any opposition?
21	So ordered. Staff would you record the vote?

Yes.

MS. SCHELLIN:

22

Staff records

the vote 4-0-1 to approve Final Action of Zoning Commission Case No. 03-10A. Commissioner Hood moving, Commissioner Turnbull seconding, Commissioners Jeffries and May in favor. The third Mayoral Appointee seat vacant at the time not voting.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you, Ms. Schellin. We will move now -- I'm sorry. We will move now. Cut it off, most people want me to cut it off. Anyway, Zoning Commission Case No. 08-18, this is the Office of Planning - Text Amendment Re: Use of Closed DC Public Schools, the reuse of the Closed DC Public Schools, use of former public school buildings.

Again, from the NCPC proposed text amendment to allow the reuse of closed public school buildings for other uses in Washington, D.C. It is not inconsistent with the Comprehensive Plan for National Capital nor would it adversely affect any other identified federal interests.

NEAL R. GROSS

1	Okay. With that, any discussion?
2	Okay. I would move approval of Zoning
3	Commission Case No. 08-18 and ask for a
4	second.
5	COMMISSIONER MAY: Second.
6	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Mr. May,
7	thank you. Mr. May seconded. Any further
8	discussion?
9	All those in favor?
10	ALL: Aye.
11	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Any opposition?
12	Not hearing any, staff, would you record the
13	vote?
14	MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, staff records
15	the vote 4-0-1 to approve Final Action in
16	Zoning Commission Case No. 08-18.
17	Commissioner Hood moving, Commissioner May
18	seconding, Commissioners Jeffries and Turnbull
19	in support. Third Mayoral Appointee seat
20	vacant at the time, not participating I'm
21	sorry, not voting.

NEAL R. GROSS

CHAIRPERSON HOOD:

22

Thank

Okay.

1	Would work much Intle move right into Proposed
Τ	you very much. Let's move right into Proposed
2	Action. Zoning Commission Case No. 08-14,
3	Kelsey Gardens Property Co. LLC - Consolidated
4	PUD and Related Map Amendment at Square 421.
5	Ms. Schellin?
6	MS. SCHELLIN: We had several
7	submissions that came in, of course, after the
8	record closed. They were Exhibits 60 through
9	66. And if the Commission so desires, we
10	would ask that the Commission would reopen the
11	record to accept those.
12	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I would move,
13	colleagues, that we reopen the record to
14	accept Exhibits No. 60 through 66 and ask for
15	a second.
16	VICE CHAIR JEFFRIES: Second.
17	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Moved and
18	seconded. Any further discussion on that?
19	All those in favor?
20	ALL: Aye.
21	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Hearing no
22	opposition, Ms. Schellin, would you record the

vote?

MS. SCHELLIN: Staff records the vote 4-0-1 to reopen the record to accept Exhibits 60 through 66. Commissioner Hood moving, Commissioner Jeffries seconding, Commissioners May and Turnbull in support. At the time of the hearing of this case, the third Mayoral Appointee seat vacant, not voting.

much, Ms. Schellin. What I would like to do, colleagues, is reference Exhibit No. 56 and this is an outline from the applicant, who has basically addressed some of our concerns and mentioned what exhibits they are in, which page they are on. And I would like to reference that with our discussions. And then if anything is omitted and if you feel like we should chime in at that time, feel free to do that, at that time.

Also, some of the things that we asked for, some of the additional submissions,

NEAL R. GROSS

response to some of our comments, revised from the applicant that show drawings elevations and material board. And I think the material boards are behind us. The revised drawing depicts the site story design preferred by the ANC with the service parking access of the public alley including the applicant advised they would have the material boards at this meeting, which we have behind us.

The applicant's traffic impact study -- assessment and we have something from Mr. O.R. George and Associates, Inc. Also, Office of Planning's supplemental report that indicated FEMS no longer objecting to the project. The DDOT report which supports, but still calls for more robust transportation management and fewer parking spaces.

A letter from applicant addressing several issues that the Commission raised, which is Exhibit 56, and that's the one I want to reference and use that in our discussions.

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

And the applicant's Exhibit A drawings to illustrate design and material revisions.

Applicant Exhibit B, supplemental traffic data and analysis.

So with that, if we can all put Exhibit 56 -- does anyone have any problems with moving in that fashion? Let's put Exhibit 56 and we can talk about it as I go through what was submitted.

Okay. And I'll just take it right here. Exterior design elements, apparently, and I'm not sure who -- if you recognize something you may have said, if you can just speak up and let us know you are satisfied. Cohesive brick color on P and Q Streets. The applicant is saying a uniform brick material has now been substituted on P and Q Street elevations. Exhibit A, page 10.

COMMISSIONER MAY: I think that was a relatively minor point, but I think it was the right move to make.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay.

NEAL R. GROSS

1	COMMISSIONER MAY: So that's an
2	improvement.
3	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Just
4	turning over to the next page. The Commission
5	had some questions on Q Street elevation. It
6	talks about a cantilever and that, I think,
7	disappeared, I'm not sure where it went.
8	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Yes, the
9	cantilever over the alley is gone.
10	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. The
11	other issue that they had down here is the
12	balconies have been deleted, shown on 7 th
13	Street elevation. It's on page 10 of Exhibit
14	A. I'm not sure who that may have been, but
15	anyway, if you're satisfied, let's keep
16	moving.
17	And if I omit anything, please,
18	stop me, because I went through this. And I
19	think I know what this one is talking about.
20	I've been around a while and practiced the 14
21	foot townhome design.

NEAL R. GROSS

COMMISSIONER MAY:

22

You know, I'm

1	just not a fan of a 14 foot wide townhouse.
2	And I you know
3	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I think you
4	have lived in one, right?
5	COMMISSIONER MAY: I've lived in
6	one, yes. You know, marketability
7	notwithstanding, I don't think it's a great
8	thing, but that, on its own, would not be
9	enough to make me want to vote against the
10	project. I just want to register my sense
11	that that's not a good direction for housing
12	units that we would want to develop, at this
13	point. But I'll leave it at that.
14	VICE CHAIR JEFFRIES: But you do
15	know, I mean, there is a number of 14 foot
16	COMMISSIONER MAY: I do. I know.
17	VICE CHAIR JEFFRIES: you know,
18	throughout the metropolitan area.
19	COMMISSIONER MAY: Absolutely. I
20	understand that. And I also understand that,
21	you know, the Zoning Commission, at one point,
22	decided that 18 feet should be the minimum

width of a townhouse. And that -- I mean, we 1 2 grant that exception for PUDs in exceptional 3 circumstances. And I don't know that this is that exceptional of a circumstance. 4 5 VICE CHAIR JEFFRIES: Yeah. Τ 6 understand your concerns. I think given the 7 context and the density of this particular

COMMISSIONER MAY: I don't on occasion. I just think that it's, you know, used to -- without sufficient care.

area and so forth, I mean, I don't have a

problem with a 14 foot wide row house.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Now, I know there was some discussion about the length of the hallway, the interior. And I'm just taking it straight from page 3 of 5 in Exhibit 56. The variations in the materials with some decorative elements will break up the perception of the length of the hallway for users.

That was -- I think they were talking about some different flooring and some

NEAL R. GROSS

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

other things to kind of break up the length of the hallway. I don't -- I've seen it done in a few buildings, the length of the hallway when you cross over, you have different flooring and maybe some different colors on the walls or whatever.

But I'm not sure exactly who brought that up.

VICE CHAIR JEFFRIES: Well, I think several Commissioners were concerned about the length of the hallway and the width. And it seems to me that the applicant has made some response to this, page 12 of Exhibit 59A, seems to indicate some, I don't know, set-backs. I don't know what exactly I would call them, but some relief from the width.

So in certain places, the hallway, you know, is, I think, 7 feet wide. Yeah. So yeah, that's what I'm looking at, 7 feet. So I'm, you know, generally, you know, happy with this modification. I still don't believe that the length of the corridor, in general, even

with these changes, is an ideal situation, but I would say that it is improved.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Mr. May and then I want to hear from Mr. Turnbull. We may have to put this in the parking lot or not.

COMMISSIONER MAY: Ι agree that what is shown now is an improvement. It helps to have those periodic points of relief and it think, that also helps, I they substantive. It's not just, you know, something like doorway entrance or It's, you know, a 20 foot or 30 foot stretch or whatever.

It doesn't necessarily make that much kind of architecturally. sense Ιt doesn't tie in with anything else that his happening with the building, at that moment, a consistent such as, you know, corridors or elevator lobbies or other things that make that event of the wider hallway make more sense.

But this is an attempt to dress up

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

what is inherently a plan problem, which is that, you know, they have a 450 foot long corridor or something like that. I mean it is just huge. And the only way to really break that up and have it, you know, make more sense would be to do something much more significant with the plan.

And you know, ideally actually introduce an additional elevator core, but that doesn't seem to be in the cards. So you know, we're stuck with getting the best looking 450 foot corridor that you can get, which, you know, can only be so good looking. I don't think it's a great feature of the building, to say the least.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Mr. Turnbull?

COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Thank you,
Mr. Chair. I guess I would agree with
Commissioner May on a lot of those points. I
mean, even in moderate range hotels, you
accent the corridor to make it attractive for
people coming to visit your hotel to stay

NEAL R. GROSS

there, rather than having we've gotten away from the tunnels that were developed years ago.

I mean, it's just a natural. So the fact that it took us, you know, several months to get the applicant to at least offer relief just like what a hotel would do is amazing. But it is something. I think the corridor is extremely long. I think it's -- you know, I know that the site is awkward to work with, but it is a very long corridor and you have got to give relief to the residents who come.

I mean, this is their home, you know. This is their interior street. It's got to be something they want to walk down and enjoy and not look like a corridor to some God knows what, you know, institution. But they have done something. They have offered something. And I think Commissioner May is right.

I think in preference I would have

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

liked to have seen another elevator bank, you know, down at the other end. I mean, they have got the one freight elevator at the one far end where the loading dock is. So you've got to bring it all the way down.

I mean, they are working with the site. I don't know whether the site just constrains them or they have constrained themselves, but there is some awkwardness with the plan that's there. I mean, they have offered relief. They have come back. They have addressed a lot of the concerns that we did mention and we were concerned about, but I'm not happy with it.

I think it could have been better, but again, I don't know whether I'm ready to reject it or not, but it's just awkward.

VICE CHAIR JEFFRIES: Yeah, I think in general, I think this Commission has had some difficulties with this application from the very beginning. And I think that we have articulated to the applicant, you know,

NEAL R. GROSS

some of our concerns. And from what I'm seeing, they seem to have addressed those concerns.

I didn't get a sense from at least the of us here, maybe of the rest one Commissioners, that we looking for were outright surgery, major surgery of this design. I think we all spoke about difficulty of this elongated site plan some of the inherent problems. And I think we all here would have perhaps done a different kind of design.

My suspicion from this applicant is given, you know, the housing that it is looking to provide, affordable housing, a retail, all the other benefits, I think they tried to put as much on a very difficult site as possible hoping that some of these things will, these benefits, enure to the community.

But again, I just want to hopefully caution the Commission that I don't think that we were talking about just outright

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

major surgery here. I think we were really starting to focus on certain aspects of this plan and hoping that the applicant will come back and make some changes.

You know, that was my recollection.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. I will tell you what I have done with that particular issue. I have put a questionmark, because when we get to the end of how we're going to proceed, I want to make sure that -- while I always like to have unanimous votes, I want to make sure that we can at least get a vote. So that's where I am. I have put that in.

you, And Ι have heard Mr. Turnbull, and I have heard you, Mr. Commissioner May and Vice Chairman Jeffries, but I do have some concerns. But as my Vice Chair just mentioned, it is -- I don't think we requested major surgery or major alterations either. But if this gives us a pause at the end of this, I would like for us

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

to maybe possibly ask if everyone -- if I can't get a vote, I'm going to tell you what I'm going to do.

I'm going to ask the applicant to Okay. All right. relook at that. Let's move. Now, Ι always like to go by what Commissioners talk about and often times I don't always remember, because this is not the only case we have. But I'm not sure, I don't remember the retail loading bays, I remember, was that an issue with us?

COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Um-hum.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I thought it was. Okay.

thing about the retail loading bays, there is only one -- basically, you only have 7,500 square feet at the south end and you have about 7,000 square feet at the north end. The 7,500 square feet is served by a retail loading berth. The retail stores are 3, 4 and 5 and if you look at the operational elements

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	on what you are reading, they say they are
2	going to work with DDOT to have off-street
3	loading on either Q Street or 7 th Street.
4	Now, I don't know why you couldn't
5	carve out a corridor at the back of Retail 2,
6	a 5 foot corridor, and connect it to the main
7	corridor, so that you can go from that loading
8	dock all the way back.
9	VICE CHAIR JEFFRIES: You brought
10	this up before, didn't you?
11	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Yeah, I
12	think so. I mean, why can't they put a
13	connect the corridor at the back of Retail 2
14	and have all the loading then be able to be
15	served by the loading dock?
16	VICE CHAIR JEFFRIES: I recall
17	Commissioner Turnbull talking about this very
18	thing. Did the applicant
19	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Well, it looks
20	like now, what did you say again? Because
21	I'm looking at it.
22	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Well, I'm

1	looking at the 03.
2	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: 03, that's
3	where I am.
4	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Yeah, 03.
5	And if I look at 03 and I see Retail 2, they
6	have got a door that goes out to the loading
7	bay. And I think if that was a corridor all
8	the way along the back and then turned up and
9	connected to the yellow, the other corridor in
10	front of the business center, you could then
11	service all of the other retail from that
12	loading dock and you could even take the trash
13	maybe out to the service area somehow.
14	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Well, now, let
15	me ask you. Will that interfere with, what is
16	that, the ramp? The ramp to the basement,
17	garage, does that interfere?
18	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: No. No,
19	no, no. This would you would be cutting
20	out about you know, how long is that retail
21	space? That's 87.
22	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: So we're

cutting	off	

	COMMI	ISSIONER	. TURNB	ULL:	I'm	not
sure.	You're	probabl	y going	g to k	inock	off
several	a c	ouple h	nundred	square	feet	to
carve a	corridor	, but i	t solve:	s a pro	blem.	Ιt
solves a	problem	n for th	em on g	etting	hav	ring
to worry	about o	off-stre	et park	ing and	it ma	ıkes
it all	interio	r for t	crash a	nd load	ding.	I
can't in	magine	why th	ney wou	ıldn't	want	to
sacrifice	e some	a m	ninor ar	mount o	of squ	ıare
feet to	that Re	tail 2	and hav	re a se	rvicea	able
building.						

VICE CHAIR JEFFRIES: So I'm looking -- okay, I didn't get a chance to -- I'm looking at Exhibit 65, the interior --

COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Oh, the one -- yeah, the most recent.

VICE CHAIR JEFFRIES: Yeah, yeah.

Are they proposing -- wait a minute, let me read this. It says here --

COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Yeah, they've got the double doors at the back of

NEAL R. GROSS

1	the retail.
2	VICE CHAIR JEFFRIES: Right,
3	right.
4	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: And I
5	guess they want to take trash or do some
6	loading from the alley, which I'm sure the
7	neighbors across the street on the other side
8	would like, out that courtyard between Unit G4
9	and G2.
10	VICE CHAIR JEFFRIES: Yeah.
11	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: That's the
12	only place I think you can get to the alley.
13	You've got a pair of double doors going from
14	the Retail 5, 4 and 3 going through a single
15	door into the courtyard and then out of the
16	courtyard into the alley.
17	You know, now you've got a
18	VICE CHAIR JEFFRIES: I just don't
19	think that is that's a very minor
20	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Well, I
21	think it's awkward. I mean, now you've got
22	another trash, garbage truck coming down at

1	the end being by the residential area when you
2	could contain it all by keeping it in that
3	service area by putting this extra corridor
4	down behind Retail 2. I just think it solves
5	so many operational issues for them, for the
6	neighbors, for the off-street loading.
7	VICE CHAIR JEFFRIES: So what do
8	you think in terms of retail? What type of
9	retail are we talking about here? I mean, is
10	it going to be
11	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: I don't
12	know. I mean, weren't they talking well,
13	Retail 2 is the biggest, I guess.
14	VICE CHAIR JEFFRIES: I mean, if
15	these are like coffee shops or
16	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Unless
17	it's a
18	VICE CHAIR JEFFRIES: I mean,
19	you know, in terms of the type of retail, I
20	mean.
21	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Unless
22	Retail 2 is a small market. I don't know.

1	VICE CHAIR JEFFRIES: Excuse me,
2	Chair, can I ask the Office of Planning?
3	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Ask the
4	Office of Planning.
5	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: You know,
6	before we go to the Office of Planning, I just
7	think Mr. Turnbull is raising a good issue
8	here. I'm just trying to figure out even if
9	it's fairly even, you know, I know that this
10	is going to be a cosmetic change to the
11	design.
12	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Well,
13	yeah, I mean
14	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: The way I see
15	it, I'm just trying to make sure I understand.
16	COMMISSIONER MAY: I'm not sure
17	that this is really going to solve the problem
18	by trying to suggest this corridor at the
19	back, because they still have other I mean,
20	they are not envisioning that corridor that
21	runs along through the building from the
22	midpoint to the north end They don't picture

1	that as being any kind of a service corridor.
2	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Well, if
3	you read that last that letter.
4	VICE CHAIR JEFFRIES: Yes, that's
5	what they are saying.
6	COMMISSIONER MAY: But it is still
7	okay, but that's on the assumption that
8	stuff is going to be going north from there
9	from the retail spaces.
LO	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Well, let me do
11	this before we
L2	COMMISSIONER MAY: Because they
13	got
L 4	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: throw that
15	in there, let me try to make sure I at least
16	get three. Okay. That's what no offense,
L7	but let me solve what Mr. Turnbull is coming
L8	on to, so we can make sure.
L 9	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Well,
20	yeah, here is my concern is that that corridor
21	went down with the access from the retail is
22	becoming semi-retail corridor. So you've got

1	four units back there which are residential,
2	which are going to either have commercial
3	trash going out back through that corridor, I
4	think, if I understand what they are
5	proposing, and they also may be loading from
6	the alley or on 7 th Street or off-loading.
7	It's hard to say which way they
8	are going to go. And I think they don't know
9	which way they are going to go. But
10	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Hold on. And,
11	Peter, you were adding what were you
12	saying?
13	COMMISSIONER MAY: What I was
14	trying to say was that because of the services
15	that the services for the residents of the
16	building above this that are going to be off
17	of that corridor, that main corridor there,
18	you've got the resident lounge, the business
19	center and the fitness center all being served
20	off that corridor.
21	So even if you were to somehow

connect the corridor to the retail area, you

1	are going to wind up with trash traveling down
2	the hall between the front lobby and the
3	resident lounge.
4	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: You're
5	right.
6	COMMISSIONER MAY: I mean, I
7	you have to be involved in the operation of
8	this building and there are there is retail
9	space here in that corner of the building, the
10	southwest corner of the building that does not
11	have access to the loading dock.
1.0	
12	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Yeah.
13	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Yeah. COMMISSIONER MAY: And it makes it
13	COMMISSIONER MAY: And it makes it
13	COMMISSIONER MAY: And it makes it very difficult to operate and it's a real
13 14 15	COMMISSIONER MAY: And it makes it very difficult to operate and it's a real problem and it's a problem in getting this
13 14 15 16	COMMISSIONER MAY: And it makes it very difficult to operate and it's a real problem and it's a problem in getting this space leased.
13 14 15 16	COMMISSIONER MAY: And it makes it very difficult to operate and it's a real problem and it's a problem in getting this space leased. VICE CHAIR JEFFRIES: Does it, I
13 14 15 16 17	COMMISSIONER MAY: And it makes it very difficult to operate and it's a real problem and it's a problem in getting this space leased. VICE CHAIR JEFFRIES: Does it, I mean, in terms of the type of retail? I mean,
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	COMMISSIONER MAY: And it makes it very difficult to operate and it's a real problem and it's a problem in getting this space leased. VICE CHAIR JEFFRIES: Does it, I mean, in terms of the type of retail? I mean, are you I mean, you're not saying one size

1	but any retail that involves a significant
2	amount of goods and a significant amount of
3	trash is
4	VICE CHAIR JEFFRIES: Perhaps we
5	could look at some I mean, I don't want to
6	get into sort of restricting the type of
7	retail here, but I do want to know, Mr. Chair,
8	from Office of Planning what was intended in
9	terms of because these are small retail
10	stores here. I mean, they are very small. I
11	mean, I just can't imagine it's going to be
12	excessive.
13	COMMISSIONER MAY: Where are you
14	even going to put the trash? Every retailer
15	has got trash.
16	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Well, let's go
17	to OP, like the Vice Chair said.
18	MR. JESICK: Thank you, Mr. Chair,
19	Member of the Commission.
20	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Mr. Jesick,
21	thank you.
22	MR. JESICK: My name is Matt

Jesick. It was our understanding that the southern two retail bays would be more focused on like restaurants or some type of food service, while the three smaller northern retail bays might be, I don't know, like a valet or a card shop or more like dry goods or something along those lines.

We actually had a meeting with DDOT almost a year ago, the applicant, OP and DDOT. And the Department of Transportation seemed fine with street loading for retail bays of this size. They suggested perhaps putting loading signs on 7th Street, you know, say between the hours of 6:00 and 9:00 in the morning or, you know, there would be some limited time where loading could occur. And at other times of the day, it would be used for parallel parking.

We indicated to the applicant that we weren't too keen on having trash being moved through a hall that is also used for residential units, you know, not to mention

NEAL R. GROSS

1	family sized three or four bedroom affordable
2	units.
3	So we strongly objected to that
4	rear corridor being used for loading or trash
5	removal. And we concur with DDOT that we feel
6	that street loading would be adequate for
7	those retail spaces.
8	VICE CHAIR JEFFRIES: For the type
9	of retail that are being contemplated?
10	MR. JESICK: Correct.
11	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Mr. Turnbull,
12	did you have a chance to look at, and I just
13	read it, 65? Well, Exhibit 65, you did have a
14	chance to read it?
15	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: So Office
16	of Planning, are you recommending then that
17	the doors of the corridor be removed?
18	MR. JESICK: Yes, that's what we
19	would
20	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: So there
21	would be no
22	MR. JESICK: recommend.

1 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: 2 access onto the residential corridor? MR. JESICK: That's correct. 3 4 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okav. All right. Thank you. 5 6 COMMISSIONER MAY: Where is the trash going to go in Retail 3, 4 and 5? 7 MR. JESICK: We just envision them 8 taking the trash on the sidewalk to the rear 9 10 dumpster. We weren't crazy about having 11 retail trash going in a dumpster that close to 12 the residential units, but, you know, given 13 that these retail bays would most likely not be, you know, food service or generating that 14 15 waste and given the of management 16 measures that the applicant has committed to in his latest exhibit, you know, I think we 17 are okay with having that trash there. 18 19 VICE CHAIR JEFFRIES: I mean, what 20 do you think about the Commission putting some level of restriction on the type of retail, 21

you know, in these three locations or do you

1	not want to get into that ballpark?
2	I mean, because clearly, I mean,
3	certain types of retail is going to I mean,
4	you know, having, you know, food being taken
5	back and forth, I mean, but a card shop or
6	something else, I mean, that's not going to be
7	so intensive. So I'm just wondering is there
8	any thought to just making certain that the
9	applicant does not, you know, have a certain
10	type of retail that might, you know, cause
11	some operational problems?
12	MR. LAWSON: Sure, thanks, Mr.
13	Chair. Joel Lawson with the Office of
14	Planning. I think that we would be happy to
15	discuss that with the applicant, if you would
16	like
17	VICE CHAIR JEFFRIES: Okay.
18	MR. LAWSON: us to. It's not
19	something that we have considered, at this
20	point, up until this point.
21	VICE CHAIR JEFFRIES: Um-hum.
22	MR. LAWSON: I would be a little

bit nervous about how those restrictions could be drafted to allow it to be, you know, expansive enough that these spaces could be rented. The last thing, I think, that anybody would want is for the space to be built and then have it unleasable because of restrictions.

VICE CHAIR JEFFRIES: I mean, I might get to the point of just prepared food or something, just that would be the only restriction or something. I mean, something that -- you know, I don't know. I mean, we -well, yeah, yeah, yeah, right, Starbucks, Yeah, God, I mean, we all know -- I yeah. I love retail. I mean, you know, mean, don't want to put any restrictions, but, Ι mean, if we are really thinking -- if they are pretty comfortable that, you know, just doing some loading from the street is sufficient -is MR. LAWSON: Ιt an

VICE CHAIR JEFFRIES: Yeah.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

circumstance.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

MR. LAWSON: Because we do feel strongly that it is not appropriate, because of the residential units behind that that corridor be used for either loading or for trash. And I think that the trash is probably the bigger of the two issues, because it would need to be wheeled around the building to the alley.

And so we would be happy to discuss that with the applicant, but I think we would have to be very careful about the extent of those restrictions.

VICE CHAIR JEFFRIES: Okay. Well, if we are able to get, you know, Mr. Chair, through proposed -- this is proposed, right? Maybe that's something that we can take up, if we are able to take a vote tonight, we can take that part up, you know, if we take a vote for proposed.

MR. LAWSON: I could also discuss with -- this with the applicant prior to, you know, final action.

NEAL R. GROSS

1	VICE CHAIR JEFFRIES: Final, yes,
2	that's what I'm saying, yeah.
3	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yeah, let's
4	just see how it goes.
5	VICE CHAIR JEFFRIES: Yes, let's
6	just see.
7	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I'm not really
8	sure if I and that's why I I'm not sure
9	if I necessarily agree with the last
LO	conversation that went back and forth about
11	VICE CHAIR JEFFRIES: Um-hum.
12	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: restricting
13	certain types of retail. But, you know, if
L 4	that discussion takes place, then maybe it's
15	doable. But I'm sure let's just see where
L 6	we go here first.
L7	VICE CHAIR JEFFRIES: Um-hum, um-
18	um.
L 9	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I'm just trying
20	to get through some of this. But I do have
21	that also in the parking lot, colleagues, the
22	last two items. We will discuss at the end

and see how we want to move forward, if we want to vote on it or if we want to see something change before we do it or do we want to accept it at final.

So anyway, next on page 45 is the parking required by the market and neighborhood parking garage design. You know, I think one of the discussions was the site was over-parked. I will And turn to colleague, if he doesn't mind, to start off the discussion, Vice Chair Jeffries.

VICE CHAIR JEFFRIES: Oh, yeah. Yeah, I think that was my concern. And it was probably more of a global concern. I mean, I didn't want to, you know, necessarily just target this particular application, but, you know, in the last few years, I mean, we have seen a number of instances where we have applications that, you know, are proposing projects that are in very close proximity to Metros.

And in this case, we have two.

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

And I'm very sensitive to some of the concerns that were put forward by Commissioner Padro on, I think it was, Exhibit 66, yeah. I only have one concern and that is -- and not just from the Commissioner, but also from a few other letters.

The baseline assumption is always that, you know, if you have a unit, you should have a parking space or you should have a vehicle. I mean, they always seem to start off from that basis. You know, if you are looking to rent something in a particular neighborhood, you have to assume that that unit should always have a parking -- should always have a vehicle and there should be some place for them to park the vehicle.

My argument has always been, you know, we need to start making some of these particular areas attractive to people without vehicles. They want to live here and they don't have a car. Clearly, I understand a lot of neighborhoods, Ward 5, Ward 4, you know,

there is a need to have vehicles and so forth.

But in some of the close end neighborhoods, you know, I just think that we really need to, you know, put the brakes, no pun intended, around just having, you know, more parking spaces, because I believe it invites more vehicles.

I think one of the issues here is that while one level is simply not enough, a second level might sort of push it over. think I'm going to just take a pass on this. of the do hear some comments Commissioner Padro is making, but I think I'm more speaking globally about this whole need for this Commission and for others as we go forward to really start to get our arms around this parking business, particularly whole over-parking these developments that are in close proximity to Metros.

We have to start pushing this, because I think we all saw the traffic this past weekend and I know it was a special

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

weekend, but it made me think that, you know, we're going to have to start to do something about it.

And so I think I'm going to pull back on this. I mean, unless my colleagues have any issues. I think given -- again, this awkward configuration of the site, you know, I don't think there is much you can do. I think the parking is what it is.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I would say that I think we need to do some more. while I hear you, Vice Chair, but I think we really need to make sure that it's the right situation. And while I agree with thought maybe this was the scenario where this could happen, but after I read Exhibit 58 and I started looking at the church parking and also from what we heard from the community, I just don't believe that this site is overlooked at O.R. parked once I George Associates.

And I also look at where one of my

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	friends lives off of Morgan Boulevard.
2	VICE CHAIR JEFFRIES: Um-hum.
3	Morgan?
4	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yeah, Morgan
5	Boulevard right off of Central Avenue. Metro
6	is right there and haven't nobody moved in
7	there yet that doesn't own a car. And I will
8	tell you, and I'm not saying and I
9	understand this is a different scenario, but
10	I'm just looking at Metro Stations.
11	Until mindset and things change,
12	what gives me pause is they may build it and
13	we cut back on parking and then nobody you
14	know, I don't know. How many I'm may get
15	in trouble saying this with you and the former
16	chair, but how many exactly people are and
17	I know there are a few and the number is
18	growing who depend upon Metro and the bus all
19	the time?
20	Now, I know there are a few. I'm
21	not saying
22	VICE CHAIR JEFFRIES: There is

1	probably more than a few.
2	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay, okay.
3	VICE CHAIR JEFFRIES: I mean, I
4	just, you know
5	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: More than I
6	know of, but I can just tell you that when I
7	look at that site and I think about this site,
8	even though I know it's outside the city, what
9	they are trying to achieve there has not
LO	happened. Even to the point they are looking
11	at other ways to make that happen.
12	And the reason I know, because I
L3	have asked a question.
L 4	VICE CHAIR JEFFRIES: Well, I
15	don't know if I would actually pinpoint that
16	on those units not having parking spaces. I
L7	mean, we do have a general, you know,
18	recession going on and so forth. But I
L 9	understand, you know, your concern and I do
20	think it would it's a bold thing.
21	I mean, but, you know, at some
22	point, we have to really start to discipline

1	the market. And we really need to start
2	really trying to promote less vehicle use in
3	some of our close end locations. And that's
4	the only thing I'm saying.
5	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Right.
6	VICE CHAIR JEFFRIES: I'm not
7	trying to
8	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I'm not
9	disagreeing with you.
10	VICE CHAIR JEFFRIES: Yeah.
11	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: But I'm just
12	saying we have to make sure
13	VICE CHAIR JEFFRIES: Um-hum.
14	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: when we do
15	that it is the right site.
16	VICE CHAIR JEFFRIES: Yeah.
17	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I just don't
18	think we need to go in there heavy handed.
19	And I'm not saying you're doing this, but I
20	just don't think we need to and I haven't
21	changed, you haven't changed your position.
22	But I just don't think we that we just need

to go in there and say look, no parking.

VICE CHAIR JEFFRIES: Oh, no. I'm certainly not saying no parking. But what I'm saying is there are places in the District and I know of one place in particular on U Street where a lot of people who live in this building, which is like half a block away, they get on the Metro day in and day out, every day of the week and they leave their cars parked all week, you know.

And then weekends they are driving their cars out. What I'm saying is, you know, to the extent that we can promote more SmartGrowth, more Zipcars and so forth, you know, we need to start to get to that place. I'm certainly not saying that we need to get rid of all parking. I'm certainly not saying that.

But I'm saying in places where we are close to the Metro, we really need to promote that, because I really do believe when you create parking spaces, you invite more

vehicles and people use them, you know.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: On that note about the Zipcar, I will just say that I think DDOT had encouraged the applicant and they submitted an email "Thank you again for your having a interest in Zipcar live at the property and for submitting the tenant amenity questionnaire. We reviewed the demand in your and currently there isn't enough to justify placing another Zipcar there. We will keep your questionnaire on file and consider your location as demand in your neighborhood grows."

So the applicant has made an effort to at least try, okay, for that.

VICE CHAIR JEFFRIES: Well, I mean, yeah, we can move on. I mean, like I said, I'm not going to say -- I'm going to -- I'm not going to vote against this project because of the parking situation.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Is that -- the parking -- over-parked a stickler for anyone

1	else? Okay. Let me see, DDOT. I did have
2	some questions about the DDOT report. But
3	then later I can ask office of planning
4	later. Okay.
5	The unit mix. Did anybody have
6	any issues on the unit mix? I don't remember,
7	recall that. Okay. Let's move on. And then
8	we have conclusion. Okay. Commissioners, did
9	we leave anything out? So the only two issues
10	that I have that are really outstanding for us
11	_
	are the interior design and the retail loading
12	bays. Anything else?
13	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Mr. Chair?
14	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: That's why I'm
15	asking.
16	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Mr. Chair,
17	did we get any drawing at one time that shows
18	where the locations of the AMI units are?
19	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I think that
20	was what they meant by the unit mix. Was that
21	what they meant by the unit mix?
22	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: No, that's

1	the number of bedrooms.
2	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Oh, okay. Did
3	we ask for that?
4	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: I don't
5	remember if we did or not.
6	VICE CHAIR JEFFRIES: We asked
7	Office of Planning.
8	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Did we ask for
9	that?
10	VICE CHAIR JEFFRIES: Office of
11	Planning?
12	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I just walked
13	around thinking about Kelsey Gardens for two
14	months. I know exactly what we asked for.
15	Mr. Lawson, can you help us with that
16	question?
17	MR. LAWSON: Yes, I can't remember
18	the exact distribution that the applicant
19	quoted in earlier submission, but I know the
20	affordable units will be on all floors of the
21	building.
22	COMMISSIONER TURNRULL 1.

1	floors?
2	MR. LAWSON: That's correct.
3	MS. SCHELLIN: Page 2.
4	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Page 2?
5	VICE CHAIR JEFFRIES: Oh, geez, I
6	can't read this. Whew.
7	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I don't wear
8	glasses. I guess I'm going to have to get
9	some.
10	VICE CHAIR JEFFRIES: I just have
11	them, I can't read it. Oh, okay, there it is.
12	Affordable dwelling units, so there's four on
13	the first floor, nine on the second, eight on
14	the third, eight on the fourth, seven on
15	fifth, six on seven on sixth floor, six on
16	the seventh floor and five on the eighth
17	floor.
18	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: But you
19	know, wait a minute, they are saying that G1
20	through G4 on the first floor are all
21	affordable units? Those are the ones we have

just been talking about that are going to be

1	con fighting retail trash. That's
2	ridiculous. Why should these units have to be
3	subjected to that? That's absurd. You've got
4	to look at that. I mean, that to me is
5	unfair, totally unfair.
6	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Let me I was
7	trying to still did we say G1?
8	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: G1 through
9	G4 on the first floor in the area that is
10	going to be impacted by trash and everything
11	else, if that's what is going to happen, is
12	going to be affordable units. I can't buy
13	that. I mean, I can't you know, that to me
14	is not right.
15	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay.
16	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: And that's
17	the corridor that doesn't have on any of the
18	set-backs that the other corridors have. I'm
19	sorry, there is a double standard here. And I
20	don't like it.
21	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: All right.

I'll put that in the parking lot, too. Okay.

Is there anything else that I may have missed?

VICE CHAIR JEFFRIES: Well, I do want to say -- have one comment for Commissioner Turnbull. The placement of these units are such that I'm not certain that they are going to be able to get -- if they were market rate units, my suspicion is that they are not going to be able to hit those price points.

COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Well, then they need to upgrade the design of it more to make them in the same type of tradition that they are doing in the other units that are affordable. They ought to approach it with the same design philosophy as that. And not shortchange anything.

I think there ought to be a little bit more wholesome outlook on how you design these units and how you design the corridors and take a little bit more care. If that's the case, I understand, but then there ought

NEAL R. GROSS

1	to be a little bit more care on that.
2	VICE CHAIR JEFFRIES: This really
3	is a tough little part of placement.
4	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: It is.
5	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: This whole
6	building.
7	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: This gets
8	back to the whole this gets back to what
9	Commissioner May said, you know, this is a
10	tough site. And these are tough problems, but
11	the architect has got to work it through with
12	the applicant and come up with a solution that
13	works for people.
14	COMMISSIONER MAY: We don't really
15	even have the plans for those. We have the
16	unit plans for just about all the other units,
17	but I don't see a unit plan that shows where
18	the bedrooms lay out in those units either.
19	It just would give us a better sense of how
20	comfortable those units actually are.
21	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. So
22	that's three. Now, let me just look at this

1	DDOT letter, because I remember reading how
2	DDOT is still convinced that a more aggressive
3	TMP would benefit the District. They talked
4	additionally, DDOT, and I saw this maybe in
5	the report. I don't know if the report
6	committed to everything, but I know there was
7	still a sticking point, I thought.
8	Okay. They are still discussing,
9	for example, I believe the Smart Bike Station.
10	And I thought it was an issue still
11	outstanding with the SmarTrip cards. But you
12	know what, what I'm going to recommend, unless
13	there is any other issues
14	VICE CHAIR JEFFRIES: You're
15	sensing, you know
16	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Are
17	there any other outstanding issues, other than
18	three or four that we
19	COMMISSIONER MAY: Yeah, I mean,
20	it's hard for me to just sort of roll it up or
21	to break it down into the specific issues and
22	say yes or no do I have a problem with those.

1	I mean, I have more of a collective opinion
2	about the whole thing as a result of, you
3	know, what's improved and what hasn't and so
4	on.
5	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Let's
6	put Commissioner May on the clock and I will
7	give you eight minutes to
8	COMMISSIONER MAY: No, I'm not
9	going to take eight minutes.
10	VICE CHAIR JEFFRIES: Wait a
11	minute.
12	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: You say that
13	all the time.
14	COMMISSIONER MAY: You're not
15	going to permit
16	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I was just
17	joking. But go ahead and
18	COMMISSIONER MAY: No, I think
19	that since we saw it last, the project has
20	significantly improved in certain areas. I
21	mean, eliminating the cantilever and the
22	balcony, I think were improvements. The brick

color changes were -- is an improvement. There have been some other improvements in the facades, the dressing up the hallways is an improvement.

Getting the materials boards helps a lot, mostly for seeing the materials. Ι don't consider these to be adequate in terms enlarged details, because Ι think remember talking about this and saying that enlarged details does not mean taking existing details and putting them the copier and blowing them up.

It means drawing things in a greater level of detail. We don't have that, but what we have here gives me some level of comfort, as I said, particularly with the materials that are presented, the brick and the stone, that helped me a lot in knowing that the quality of the construction is going to be better.

There are still glaring problems in my mind. The retail and loading situation,

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

I think, is still a problem. The long corridor is still a major problem. And the facades overall, I still think, are not up to the quality that I would normally expect for a PUD.

I don't consider the parking to be an issue any more nor am I that concerned about the issues that DDOT raised. think in terms the overall project, of that's an area where, you know, I'm really sympathetic sensitivities the of the to neighborhood and what they see as a parking issue for the neighborhood.

And I also would acknowledge that there are tremendous benefits of the project in and of itself. But there are too many glaring problems, I think, for me to be able to vote for it, tonight at least. If they were able to, at least, address the plan of the first floor, to take in -- to deal with the retail corridor or the retail loading and that -- the issues with those units at the

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

north end that Mr. Turnbull pointed out, you know, I would be much more sympathetic to this.

But I mean, really honestly, the building needs to have two cores. It needs to have two elevator cores and it needs to have the loading dock in the middle of the first floor, that's what it really needs. I'm not saying it has to have that for me to vote in favor of it, but at the very least, there needs to be more work on that ground floor make it workable, because level to more otherwise I think that you are going to wind up with empty retail and really unpleasant units at the northeast side.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Let me just say, Commissioner May, those were the same issues that I was -- since you have already done that, I appreciate it.

COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Because I think
I heard this loud and clear. I don't think

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

any one of us, especially with the last issue that Mr. Turnbull just brought up, are prepared to vote on this tonight, even to deal with other issues that come up on Final Action. And I know I haven't asked you guys yet, but I'm just going out there from what I have heard.

What I would like to see us to and we can discuss this on the issues. The only issue I think you brought up, Commissioner May, that we didn't talk about was the facade. I don't recall us talking about that. But the other issues, I think, we have hashed all those issues out. And we have narrowed this down to maybe about four or five issues.

And what I would like for us to do is to, the applicant has been sitting here the whole time, they have heard it, go back and revisit those, just those four or five things.

And we will work with Ms. Schellin to see exactly what they are, unless somebody has something else they want to add and then we

NEAL R. GROSS

will take this up at a later date.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

VICE CHAIR JEFFRIES: First of all, I could vote on this tonight, you know, so I don't know about Commissioner Turnbull, but what I was going to suggest, you know, for the ground floor and particular it seems that on the north end of this building, you know, that's where our problem is. I mean, we might get a blow-up of this area and just get a clearer sense of just operationally how it works.

the other issue, since And parking garage issue isn't really a problem, it just seems to me that it is possible that there might be a couple of ways to sort of get this thing moved along here with some additional things that could come up in final because we are talking about action, loading situation. We're talking about these units.

We can get the ground floor, this portion of the ground floor blown up, so we

could see the design of these units. We can understand, we can get more detail in terms of operationally how the retail will work in conjunction with the residential units. We can also get some information on the loading, you know.

So I just wanted to put that on the table that that's another way to skin a cat here. The possibility of perhaps taking a vote tonight, who knows where it goes if we don't think we can move this. But if we do think we can move it, you know, we can just get these things squared away before Final Action.

So I just wanted to put that on the table.

COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Mr. Chair,
I guess, you know, I threw out that idea about
putting a corridor behind that Retail 2, but
that simply worsens the problem of having
trash and retail of loading in that corridor.
So let's -- I guess that idea is gone. To me

1	that's a non-starter that really doesn't work.
2	It would have been different if it
3	had been all retail back here, but it isn't.
4	So I'll throw that out. I think Commissioner
5	May has got a point about having they
6	really have to address some of the issues on
7	this first floor. I think we can probably get
8	by some of the other issues. We're not that
9	enthusiastic about it, but I think they need
10	to address this first floor and somehow make
11	it work.
12	VICE CHAIR JEFFRIES: So you have
13	no other issues around the loading?
14	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Well, the
15	only issue, and we talked about the
16	townhouses.
17	VICE CHAIR JEFFRIES: Right.
18	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: We talked
19	about that 14 feet. The only issue I have,
20	you know, the end unit is only 11.5 feet? The
21	end unit on the row house is only 11.5 feet.

NEAL R. GROSS

VICE CHAIR JEFFRIES: That can't

be.

I'm reading that right, they have got 14, 14, 14, 14, and then they say 11.5. I mean, if 14 is a compromise, what the hell is 11.5? I don't know. I mean, we want to create -- this project in this location will be a good project. I just don't want to create some substandard issues here.

We're trying to get people -- I don't know. I'm just raising that as an issue. I'm just raising as a red flag. Do we care? I mean --

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I think -while I appreciate what the Vice Chair was
trying to do, I think we've been here long
enough. We don't want to risk anything. We
don't want to do that. I want to make sure we
have a comfort level.

If it was one item, yes, I would say let's do it at Final. But what I would like to do now, if it's okay with all of us,

1	let's the applicant has heard us. Let's
2	look at what we have asked for, Ms. Schellin.
3	And also, let's see how quick because now
4	that we are doing two meetings a month is
5	it two meetings a month or two meetings
6	every
7	MS. SCHELLIN: Almost every month.
8	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: And then we can
9	find out, Ms. Schellin
10	MS. SCHELLIN: Okay.
11	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: how long it
12	will take to get some of this.
13	MS. SCHELLIN: Okay. I think just
14	to clarify, and yes, I know that Ms. Giordano
15	has been taking lots of notes over there, what
16	I heard was the length of the hallway, the
17	corridor that you guys want something
18	addressed there. The loading
19	VICE CHAIR JEFFRIES: What
20	corridor?
21	MS. SCHELLIN: The first floor.
22	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: See, maybe

1	MS. SCHELLIN: No?
2	VICE CHAIR JEFFRIES: No.
3	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I see Ms.
4	Giordano shaking her head. I can see she is
5	going like that. I don't know whether it's
6	not what we asked for or she doesn't
7	understand.
8	MS. SCHELLIN: Maybe she should
9	come to the table and tell you what she heard.
10	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Ms. Giordano,
11	let's do this. We're going to break what we
12	normally do.
13	MS. SCHELLIN: That might be
14	better.
15	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I always do
16	this, so, but let's not hold us to it at the
17	next time.
18	MS. SCHELLIN: Yeah.
19	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Ms. Giordano?
20	MS. GIORDANO: Yes, thank you very
21	much. We can I just preface this by saying
22	that we would like to do this as quickly as

possible, because we do have a lender that I
assured him we would probably get a decision
tonight and didn't understand why we didn't
get one last time. So we are feeling the
pressure in this market, as I'm sure you can
understand.
But what I heard, correct me if
I'm wrong, is that we are down to just the
issue really of the first floor. Is that
correct?
VICE CHAIR JEFFRIES: That's what
I see.
MS. GIORDANO: Okay. And then I
CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Is that the
only issue?
MS. GIORDANO: suspect that
this townhouse end unit is probably a mistake
on the plans. That I will clarify that, the
11.5 foot wide. I'm hoping that is just a
mistake and we can clarify that.
-
But the first floor plan, I also

1	where the and we mentioned we discussed
2	this at the hearing, that's where the large
3	affordable units are. There are four bedroom
4	units, four of them. So the other units are
5	all stacked and there was no way to stack a
6	four unit on you know, under a two unit,
7	because they have to be uniform.
8	So that's why they are placed
9	there. That's not to say that we couldn't
10	improve on the plan and we will look at that.
11	And I'm hoping that we can turn this around
12	quickly.
13	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Ms. Giordano, I
14	don't know, you know, I thought we had four
15	issues. Maybe all together and I just got
16	them all mixed up. But I was looking at the
17	interior corridor was an issue, I thought.
18	The loading bays.
19	VICE CHAIR JEFFRIES: Well, what
20	interior corridor? Throughout each floor?
21	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: No, it was the

long interior corridor that we spoke about.

1	That was an issue.
2	MS. GIORDANO: Right, it was.
3	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: It was.
4	MS. GIORDANO: But I thought
5	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Any way, I'm
6	fine.
7	MS. GIORDANO: No, I think you are
8	right. And I thought I heard a majority of
9	the Commission Members saying it wasn't
10	perfect, but it had improved and that we could
11	probably take that one off the table for now.
12	That's what I thought I heard.
13	VICE CHAIR JEFFRIES: Actually,
14	that was my understanding, too. I mean, with
15	the exception of Commissioner May.
16	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: That was not
17	exactly what I heard. Maybe I'm incorrect.
18	Mr. Turnbull?
19	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: No, I
20	think
21	COMMISSIONER MAY: I
22	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Go ahead,

1	Commissioner May.
2	COMMISSIONER MAY: No, I agree
3	with that. I'm not comfortable with it, but
4	my sense was that the rest of the Commission
5	was okay with moving forward with the corridor
6	the way it was.
7	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Yeah.
8	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Mr. Turnbull, I
9	thought you had an issue.
10	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: No, we're
11	not happy with it, but I think we would
12	acquiesce to it. My concern was the same
13	standard of detail and design was not applied
14	to the first floor corridor. That's the
15	corridor that seems to have been a let down.
16	VICE CHAIR JEFFRIES: Well, that's
17	why
18	MS. GIORDANO: No.
19	VICE CHAIR JEFFRIES: I asked
20	you which corridor. I didn't
21	MS. GIORDANO: On the first floor,
22	where there is G1 through G4.

1	VICE CHAIR JEFFRIES: Okay.
2	MS. GIORDANO: That's what I was
3	saying. That corridor still has issues.
4	MS. SCHELLIN: Right, the whole
5	first floor plan.
6	MS. GIORDANO: The whole first
7	floor.
8	MS. SCHELLIN: Right.
9	MS. GIORDANO: So when I was
10	talking about the corridor, that's what I'm
11	talking about, the first floor.
12	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Here's what I
13	don't want to happen. I don't want us to send
14	this applicant away
15	MS. GIORDANO: Right.
16	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: and come
17	back later on and bring up some other stuff
18	that we were not clear on.
19	MS. GIORDANO: Right.
20	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: That's all I'm
21	trying to say.
22	MS. SCHELLIN: So where the G1

1	through G4, where those four bedroom
2	affordable units are, that corridor that Mr.
3	Turnbull was bringing up where the trash was
4	going to run out the courtyard, that issue.
5	The loading issue, the loading problem
6	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Loading bay is
7	still on.
8	MS. SCHELLIN: is still a
9	problem.
10	MS. GIORDANO: Yes.
11	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay.
12	MS. SCHELLIN: I think they are
13	all connected, but we just want to make sure
14	that they are all addressed, so that we don't
15	come back and have to postpone again or end up
16	with an unfavorable decision.
17	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: I think we
18	also might have mentioned, I think at least
19	the Office of Planning's recommendation, was
20	to have no retail entrance into that corridor
21	on the first floor, that they didn't want

trash or anything going out that way.

1	MS. GIORDANO: We said that we
2	would agree to that in our last submission.
3	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Oh, that's
4	what I thought. Okay.
5	MS. SCHELLIN: And
6	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: So there's only
7	two issues.
8	MS. SCHELLIN: Basically.
9	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay.
10	MS. SCHELLIN: The narrow corridor
11	and the loading and, of course, that
12	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Well,
13	let me ask
14	MS. SCHELLIN: getting rid of
15	the trash out in the affordable unit area.
16	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Commissioners,
17	let me ask this, since the issues went from
18	four to two, if you want to lump them all
19	together, could is anyone in favor of us
20	doing proposal tonight, Proposed Action? And
21	making contingent, as Vice Chairman mentioned
22	earlier, because we have done that in the past

1	stopped it at Final.
2	If you don't think we're serious,
3	just stick around. Is anyone interested in
4	entertaining that? If you don't say anything,
5	you're not interested and we'll move on.
6	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Well, what
7	are the items we have then? You said two.
8	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Two. The
9	corridor with that whole issue.
10	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Right.
11	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Which I think
12	to me there is more than one issue on that
13	whole corridor, that's one. And the other one
14	was the lay-by which
15	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay.
16	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay.
17	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: And then
18	the other, they still need and Ms. Giordano
19	said she was going to look at the end unit on
20	the row houses.
21	VICE CHAIR JEFFRIES: She thinks
22	it's a mistake.

1 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Whether it 2 is a mistake or --3 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: It's a mistake. I guess she will provide us with drawings. 4 5 VICE CHAIR JEFFRIES: But can I 6 just go back to one thing and that's the 7 corridor on the ground floor. If the Office 8 of Planning is saying that we are going to remove these doors here and that this corridor 9 10 on the ground floor is not going to be used 11 for retail transporting of trash or whatever, are we saying that we want a wider corridor 12 13 What are we saying we want to happen here? here? You want --14 15 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Well, 16 think like they did on the other floors. They have a couple of areas that jump to 7 feet, 17 either by entrances --18 19 VICE CHAIR JEFFRIES: Okay. Okay. 20 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: I think that's the same approach, I think, 21 22 happen on that floor for these people.

1	VICE CHAIR JEFFRIES: Okay.
2	MS. GIORDANO: I mean, I think
3	it's fairly cosmetic and I would just
4	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: I would
5	think so.
6	MS. GIORDANO: request that
7	maybe we could deal with that on Final. It
8	seems to me that it's something fairly minor.
9	VICE CHAIR JEFFRIES: Okay. I'm
10	trying to get there. Hold on for one second.
11	So that's the one issue here. And then also,
12	there was a question about how these units lay
13	out. I mean, does the Commission need to see
14	how these units lay out, G1 through G4?
15	COMMISSIONER MAY: I think it
16	would be helpful to understand the floor. I
17	mean, to see where the doors go in and how
18	that relates to the what modulation they
19	might introduce.
20	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: They may
21	have to do that they should have to do that
22	anyway when they lay out their little set-

1	backs.
2	VICE CHAIR JEFFRIES: Okay.
3	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: So I don't
4	think that will be a big deal.
5	MS. GIORDANO: I'm sure they have
6	been laid out.
7	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Right.
8	I'm sure they have been, too.
9	MS. GIORDANO: But generally,
10	there is flexibility also to modify internal
11	components, so I'm not sure what purpose it
12	would serve, but we're happy to share that.
13	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay.
14	VICE CHAIR JEFFRIES: Okay. Well,
15	the last thing, I'm just Chair, if you will
16	just indulge me for one second, so I want to
17	move to the loading dock situation. What
18	exactly are we asking them to do as relates to
19	the loading dock situation?
20	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: You're
21	looking at me?
22	VICE CHAIR JEFFRIES: Yeah, yeah,

1	yeah, yeah.
2	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: I don't
3	know what great solution they are coming up
4	with.
5	VICE CHAIR JEFFRIES: But no, I
6	just want to be clear about
7	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: I mean,
8	either they are off-loading on 7 th Street
9	VICE CHAIR JEFFRIES: Um-hum.
10	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: and the
11	trash pick-up is in the alley, but they are
12	going to wheel it around from the front door
13	to the I don't know what they are doing.
14	If that's going to work at all, I don't know.
15	MS. GIORDANO: That is what we are
16	proposing.
17	VICE CHAIR JEFFRIES: I guess what
18	I'm saying is that it doesn't seem like they
19	set much here. I mean, I don't get a sense
20	that Commissioner May is going to go forward,
21	you know, with this in this configuration
22	anyway. So I'm just wondering, Mr. Chair, I

1	mean, how much is left really for this
2	applicant to do?
3	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Right. Well,
4	what I would like to do is go ahead and just
5	ask finally, how long how quick, because we
6	can meet, we can do a Special Public Meeting,
7	which I know no one likes. How quick will
8	they have the submissions to us and we can
9	deal with this, just on those limited issues,
10	the record is closed, only for what we are
11	asking for.
12	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL:
13	Commissioner May, would you vote for Final
14	Action or
15	COMMISSIONER MAY: Well
16	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: with
17	these outstanding issues?
18	COMMISSIONER MAY: what I
19	I'm sorry, you're asking me if I would how
20	I would vote when it comes to Final Action?
21	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: No, like
22	for tonight. If we wanted to approve it for

Final Action, I mean, we can still always not approve it at Final, but considering these last issues.

COMMISSIONER MAY: There -- I have been a consistent critic of this project.

There is enough about this that I don't like in general --

COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: I know.

COMMISSIONER MAY: -- that I just don't think it's up to PUD standards to -- for this building. And you know, we have approved projects like this in the past that were maybe not quite as well designed and quite as well-detailed, but this is the biggest one that I have ever seen that was like this. And it just seems to me that, you know, if it doesn't come off well, it's just going to -- it's not necessarily going to bring all the benefit to the neighborhood that we want.

I also don't want to stand in the way of progress. I mean, if the balance of the Commission is prepared to move forward and

NEAL R. GROSS

1	vote in favor and we can see some improvement
2	on the first floor, I would probably vote for
3	it at final. But I'm really hesitant about
4	voting in favor tonight.
5	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Before we keep
6	belaboring this point, what I would like to do
7	is go back to Ms. Schellin. What's the
8	quickest date? And then we can move forward
9	from that point.
10	MS. GIORDANO: The end of the
11	week.
12	MS. SCHELLIN: That would put us
13	at our March excuse me.
14	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: March?
15	MS. SCHELLIN: I got the wrong
16	month here.
17	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: We've got the
18	whole month of February.
19	MS. SCHELLIN: Yeah. That would
20	put us at February 9 th , our regular meeting.
21	MS. GIORDANO: That's not too bad.
22	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. And we

1	would have to do it at our February 9 th
2	meeting or could we do a Special Public
3	Meeting?
4	MS. SCHELLIN: It wouldn't make it
5	any earlier, not for when they are going to
6	submit.
7	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. So
8	February 9 th , Ms. Giordano, is that going to
9	I just don't want to run the risk, okay. I
10	called for something and I don't know, you
11	know, that's just my
12	VICE CHAIR JEFFRIES: Ms.
13	Giordano?
14	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: call for
15	asked for it.
16	VICE CHAIR JEFFRIES: Can you
17	replay back exactly what you are going to be
18	getting us?
19	MS. GIORDANO: My understanding is
20	it is basically, I think, we are down to the
21	layout of the
22	VICE CHAIR JEFFRIES: Corridor.

1	MS. GIORDANO: four units on
2	the ground floor. And
3	VICE CHAIR JEFFRIES: I thought
4	you didn't want that? Did you want the layout
5	for these? Just the corridor, not the layout,
6	right? Oh, okay. Sorry, go ahead.
7	MS. GIORDANO: Right. And an
8	upgraded corridor on the ground floor.
9	VICE CHAIR JEFFRIES: Okay.
10	MS. GIORDANO: I think that's it.
11	I mean, I that's correct me if I'm
12	wrong. And then
13	MS. SCHELLIN: And a corrected
14	plan showing the
15	MS. GIORDANO: Yes.
16	MS. SCHELLIN: correct
17	MS. GIORDANO: We need to address.
18	Hopefully, that's a typo, yes.
19	VICE CHAIR JEFFRIES: That's what
20	I'm saying. Okay. The loading dock. I guess
21	that's what we are trying to deal with.
22	MS. GIORDANO: Right. But

1	operationally, I think, I mean, I can clarify,
2	you know, what we are proposing. I don't know
3	if it's a plan necessarily, but I think Mr.
4	Turnbull hit it right on the head what we are
5	proposing to do there. But operationally, we
6	can describe that.
7	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Ms. Giordano,
8	let me just take it from here.
9	I would move approval of Zoning
10	Commission Case No. 08-14 with the necessary
11	issues that we raised before Final Action that
12	they be due upon us and we will contemplate
13	and discuss and deliberate those final issues
14	upon Final Action and ask for a second.
15	VICE CHAIR JEFFRIES: Second.
16	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Moved and
17	properly seconded. Any further discussion?
18	All those in favor?
19	ALL: Aye.
20	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Hearing no
21	opposition, staff would you record the vote?
22	MS. SCHELLIN: Staff records the

1	vote 4-0-1 to approve Proposed Action, I mean,
2	based upon the requested additional items by
3	Final Action. Commissioner Hood moving,
4	Commissioner Jeffries seconding, Commissioners
5	Turnbull and May in support. Third Mayoral
6	Appointee seat vacant at the time of the
7	hearing, not voting.
8	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: This is almost
9	like being at a boxing match. Okay. With
10	that, Ms. Schellin, do we have anything else?
11	MS. SCHELLIN: No, sir.
12	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. With
13	that, this meeting is adjourned.
14	(Whereupon, the Regular Public
15	Meeting was concluded at 7:46 p.m.)
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	