GOVERNMENT OF

THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

ZONING COMMISSION

+ + + + +

REGULAR MEETING

+ + + + +

MONDAY

JUNE 11, 2012

+ + + + +

The Regular Meeting of the District of Columbia Zoning Commission convened in the Jerrily R. Kress Memorial Hearing Room, Room 220 South, 441 4th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., 20001, pursuant to notice at 6:34 p.m., Anthony J. Hood, Chairman, presiding.

ZONING COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT:

ANTHONY J. HOOD, Chairman
MARCIE COHEN, Vice Chairman
MICHAEL G. TURNBULL, FAIA, Commissioner
(OAC)

PETER G. MAY, Commissioner (NPS)

OFFICE OF ZONING STAFF PRESENT:

SHARON S. SCHELLIN, Secretary

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

OFFICE OF PLANNING STAFF PRESENT:

JENNIFER STEINGASSER, Deputy Director,
Development Review & Historic Preservation
JOEL LAWSON
STEVEN COCHRAN
PAUL GOLDSTEIN
ARTHUR JACKSON

D.C. OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL PRESENT:

MARY NAGELHOUT, ESQ. JACOB RITTING

DISTRICT DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION STAFF PRESENT:

The transcript constitutes the minutes from the Regular meeting held on June 11, 2012.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

|--|

Preliminary Matters, SHARON SCHELLIN

6

Consent Calendar

A. Z.C. Case No. 97-16B (Lowell 11 School, Inc. -- Minor Modification to PUD at Square 2745F)

Final Action

- A. Z.C. Case No. 11-13 (TC/CSG St. 14 Matthew's -- Consolidated PUD & Related Map Amendment @ Square 546)
- B. Z.C. Case No. 11-23 (ANC 8B -- 26 Map Amendment at Square 5729 and 5729W
- C. Z.C. Case No. 03-12M/03-13M 27
 Capper Carrollsburg Venture, LLC
 Two-Year PUD Time Extension at
 Square 881W)

Hearing Action, Office of Planning

- A. Z.C. Case No. 06-10B (The Morris29 & Gwendolyn Cafritz Foundation 2nd Stage PUD & Modification to 1st Stage at Square 3765 & 3767)
- B. Z.C. Case No. 08-31 (Bao-An 33 Nguyen C. -- Map Amendment at Square 1417)

ZRR Guidance, Office of Planning None

Correspondence, SHARON SCHELLIN

- A. Z.C. Case No. 11-03 (Request 61 of Harbour Square Owners, Inc. for Minor Modification of Order No. 11-03 & Applicant's Response)
- B. Z.C. Case No. 11-12 (West End 67 Library Advisory Group's Motion for Reconsideration and Rehearing and Applicant's Response Thereto)
- C. Z.C. Case No. 11-07 (Request of 70 ANC 3D for Motion for Reconsideration and Rehearing and Applicant's Response thereto)
- D. Z.C. Case No. 11-07 (Request of 73 Robert Herzstein for Motion for Reconsideration and Rehearing and Applicant's Response Thereto)
- E. Z.C. Case No. 11-07A (Request of 76 ANC 3D for Motion for Reconsideration and Rehearing and Applicant's Response Thereto)

Status Report, Office of Planning

80

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
6:34 p.m.
CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, we're going
to go ahead and get started.
This meeting will please come to
order.
Good evening, ladies and gentlemen.
This is the June 11, 2012 public meeting of
the Zoning Commission of the District of
Columbia.
My name is Anthony Hood. Joining
me are Commissioner Cohen, Commissioner May and
Commissioner Turnbull. We are also joined by
the Office of Zoning Staff, Ms. Sharon Schellin.
Also the Office of Attorney General, Mr.
Ritting, and Ms. Nagelhout. Office of
Planning, Ms. Steingasser and Mr. Lawson, Mr.
Cochran, and Mr. Goldstein.
Copies of today's agenda are
available to you and are located in the bin near

We do not take any public testimony

the door.

21

1	at our meetings unless the Commission requests
2	someone to come forward. Please be advised,
3	this proceeding is being recorded by a court
4	reporter. It's also webcast live.
5	Accordingly, we must ask you to refrain from
6	any disruptive noises or actions in the hearing
7	room. Please turn off all beepers and cell
8	phones.
9	Does the staff have any preliminary
LO	matters?
11	SECRETARY SCHELLIN: No, sir.
12	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. If not, I
13	just have two preliminary matters I'd like to
L4	take care of up front.
15	We lost a member of the Commission,
L6	who has moved on. We need a vice chairman.
L7	So, what I'd like to do is ask I would like
18	to nominate Marcie Cohen as the Vice Chairman
19	of the Zoning Commission.
20	Any further discussion on that
21	If not, I would like to make a motion
22	that we elect Marcie Cohen as the Vice Chair

1	of the Zoning Commission, and ask for a second.
2	COMMISSIONER MAY: Second.
3	CHAIRMAN HOOD: It's been moved and
4	properly seconded. Any further discussion?
5	Any further discussion?
6	All those in favor, aye?
7	(Ayes.)
8	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Not hearing any
9	opposition, Ms. Schellin, would you record the
10	vote?
11	SECRETARY SCHELLIN: Yes. Staff
12	records the vote 4:0:1 to approve Commissioner
13	Cohen as the new Vice Chair, Commissioner Hood
14	moving, Commissioner Turnbull seconding,
15	Commissioner May in support, Commissioner Cohen
16	in support, Commissioner the third mayoral
17	appointee position vacant, not voting.
18	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Also, what
19	I would like to do at this point, is just to
20	read a statement about the confusion of ZRR,
21	because we don't have anything, I'm just going
22	to read the statement and possibly it will be

on line.

At the last meeting I brought issues to light that I had encountered with the public concerning the process of the ZRR. And, unfortunately, there continues to be confusion with this issue.

First, I want to make it clear that the concerns I was referring to were not specific policy changes, rather issues about the process.

I would now like to take a minute to again clear up any misunderstanding about this process.

Currently, the Office of Planning is in the process of drafting text or language, or rather, language, to amend the Zoning Regulations. As of yet, they have not submitted any text or language to the Zoning Commission for a hearing, for final.

It is my understanding that there will be some text forthcoming within the next several weeks. When the Zoning Commission sets down the text for hearing I understand the Office

of Planning will be conducting outreach on the 2 proposed text.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

I would encourage the Office of Planning to go far and wide to illustrate what the proposed changes would mean to all of our communities. Perhaps, the outreach meetings could be broadcast on Channel 16. Maybe a system could be devised to respond to the specific concerns that are raised.

Whatever OP decides, I would hope that the community can come away informed and ready to present their testimony to us at the hearing.

public Once the hearings are scheduled, the public will have the opportunity to voice their opinions directly with the Zoning They can (A), submit detailed Commission. written testimony in support or opposition, and/or (B) testify in support or opposition before the Zoning Commission.

I would like to make it crystal clear, the decision on the text or language will

be made by the Zoning Commission after it has held public hearings. Again, I want everyone to be comfortable with the process, know that there will be education on the proposed changes, know that their voices will be heard, and that the Zoning Commission will make the final decisions in the hearing.

Commissioners, anyone else --- hopefully, that will defray some of the

Commissioners, anyone else -hopefully, that will defray some of the
confusion, some of the unreadiness, because
there will be a process, and the Office of
Planning needs to -- they are moving forward
with doing their process of getting the word
out. At the end of the day, though, the
decisions will be made in this room, right here,
pro or con.

Commissioners, anyone like to add something?

Now, what I hope I didn't do is cause more confusion. So, hopefully, I won't have to read one at our next meeting. I'm hoping that we can allow the Office of Planning to do

1	what they have to do, and at the end of the day
2	the Zoning Commission will do what they have
3	to do.
4	Anybody want to respond? Ms.
5	Steingasser, anyone?
6	Okay, thank you all very much.
7	Okay, let's go to the consent
8	calendar, Zoning Commission Case No. 97-16B,
9	Lowell School, Inc., minor modification to the
10	PUD at Square no, before I do that well,
11	it's Square 2745F does any Commissioner like
12	to see this come off the calendar?
13	Commissioner Turnbull?
14	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Well, Mr.
15	Chair, before we get into it, I mean, having
16	read the material OP is, actually, asking for
17	this to be taken off the consent calendar. And,
18	if you you know, in reading it and looking
19	at it, I do agree with OP that this is not a
20	minor modification. And, I believe we should
21	have a hearing.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: That's all it

1	takes. Thank you, Mr. Turnbull.
2	Commissioner Cohen.
3	VICE CHAIR COHEN: Thank you, Mr.
4	Chairman.
5	Again, I think that I would I
6	believe that the drive aisle, if it's going to
7	be constructed, should be constructed if the
8	Certificate of Occupancy is issued when the
9	Certificate of Occupancy is issued for the
10	gymnasium.
11	So, that's my thinking on that.
12	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. I think some
13	of that we can since we are going to take
14	this off the consent calendar, I guess now we
15	have to set it down. And, what I would like
16	to do is just go ahead and move forward.
17	Commissioner Turnbull has asked
18	that we take this off the consent calendar, and
19	I, actually, agree, and I think some of those
20	clarifications that we need might drive our
21	thinking. We can decide that, or how that as
22	a finality at the hearing.

1	So, any other comments,
2	Commissioners?
3	What I would like to do at this time,
4	and not wait until we get the hearing action,
5	I don't want oz confuse this, is there someone
6	here who wanted to respond to this, since we
7	are going to set it down? Can we just go ahead
8	and set it down? Okay. Let's just go ahead
9	and set down the Zoning Commission Case No.
LO	97-16B for a hearing, and I'll ask for a second.
11	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Second.
12	CHAIRMAN HOOD: It's been moved and
13	properly seconded. Any further discussion?
L4	All those in favor, aye?
15	(Ayes.)
L6	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Not hearing any
L7	opposition, Ms. Schellin, would you please
18	record the vote?
L9	SECRETARY SCHELLIN: Yes. Staff
20	records the vote 4:0:1, to set down Zoning
21	Commission Case No. 97-16B as a contested case,
22	Commissioner Hood moving, Commissioner Turnbull

1	seconding, Commissioners Cohen and May in
2	support, third mayoral appointee position
3	vacant, not voting.
4	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Let's move
5	right into final action. Zoning Commission
б	Case No. 11-13, TC/CSG St. Matthew's
7	Consolidated PUD & Related Map Amendment at
8	Square 546.
9	Ms. Schellin?
10	SECRETARY SCHELLIN: Yes, sir. At
11	Exhibit 103, there's an NCPC report that says,
12	basically, that it would not be inconsistent
13	with the comp plan for the National Capitol,
14	nor have adverse effects on other identified
15	Federal interests.
16	Exhibits 104 and 106 are letters
17	from the applicant and Ms. Herriage asking for
18	additional time to report on their final
19	meeting, which was granted until May 31st.
20	Exhibit 105, the applicant's
21	submission responding to the conditions that

were submitted by the Carrollsburg Square Condo

Association, and then Exhibit 110 Carrollsburg Square's response. Exhibit 108 is a letter and/or report from Dr. Krause. Exhibit 114 is a letter from the applicant reporting on their meeting with Ms. Herriage, and just to advise the Commission, the record is left open until May 31st for the applicant and Ms. Herriage to report on the outcome of their meeting. On Friday the 8th, we received a letter from Ms. Herriage that has not been put in the record, since it was closed. And, I spoke to Ms. Herriage today, and told her I would advise the Commission of her letter, and see if the Commission would like to reopen the record to receive it. And, if so, the applicant has since made a request to reopen the record to accept their response to that letter. CHAIRMAN HOOD: I quess before we

start opening the record, Commissioners, I would like to see -- have the staff give a feel for

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

is

1	what's in the letter. I think the only thing
2	that the record was left open for was the
3	and I appreciate my colleagues enjoining me with
4	this, was to deal with the developer and Ms.
5	Herriage's son.
б	If it's pertaining to that, I would
7	be more inclined to open the record. But, if
8	this goes beyond that, I am not inclined to
9	support opening the record.
10	VICE CHAIR COHEN: Chairman Hood,
11	I agree with you. That's my recollection as
12	well.
13	SECRETARY SCHELLIN: Ms.
14	Herriage's letter is a the joint letter from
15	it is a letter from the applicant, in which
16	I believe they had shared with Ms. Herriage,
17	and/or her attorney, in submitting it said that
18	if there is anything further to report prior
19	to final action then they would submit
20	something. So, I think that Ms. Herriage's

letter is probably an update on what she feels

has happened since that meeting, or has not

21

1	happened.
2	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.
3	COMMISSIONER MAY: I would be fine
4	with considering this. I mean, the matter does
5	seem to be a little left open, based on the
6	correspondence we already have in the file.
7	SECRETARY SCHELLIN: And then, and
8	the applicant's letter also in response to hers.
9	MS. NAGELHOUT: Yes.
10	CHAIRMAN HOOD: So, we'll just open
11	up the record for both of those.
12	And, I just want to caution us that
13	we only left it open for a specific item, right?
14	Hopefully, there's nothing else in these
15	letters, not having seen them. Okay. Let's
16	take a moment and review.
17	Okay. I'll just make my comments,
18	since I was the one who asked this be done.
19	Technically, within my
20	jurisdiction, I did ask the developer to meet
21	with Ms. Herriage, out of concern of her son.
22	What I will go on is a good faith effort of

what I read here in the -- let me go back, I don't understand, Mr. Krause, the concerns of Mr. Krause, Mr. Miller, and Mr. Byrd, while their concerns I'm not sure if their concerns are the same about Ms. Herriage's son. But, that part of the hearing has been exhausted, as far as I'm concerned. This was only for the issue with the young man, Ms. Herriage's son.

Also, when I look at what submitted by Ms. Prince, on behalf of applicant, "As I understand it the Commission asked that we continue meeting with Ms. Herriage about precautionary measures prior to final action, but we have not yet reached an agreement. We are hopeful that we will reach an agreement in the near future. We believe that this case final is ready for action, request the Commission vote to approve this case tonight."

I'm not sure, but I would hope that in the order that we would cite the ongoing work and precautionary measures that have been put in place. How that all works from a legal

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	standpoint I don't know, but I would think that
2	I would hope that those discussions would
3	continue, and I would hope that they would at
4	least, even if this project moves forward, that
5	the precautionary measures are put in place so
6	as to protect the young fellow's interest.
7	Let me open it up for any comments,
8	Commissioners.
9	COMMISSIONER MAY: Mr. Chairman?
10	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes, Commissioner
11	May.
12	COMMISSIONER MAY: I would just
13	say, it's a bit disappointing that there hasn't
14	been some level of agreement already, but it
15	is, I guess, encouraging that there is still
16	hope that they are continuing to talk. And,
17	I would just want to encourage that that
18	conversation continue, and I believe that's what
19	you were suggesting, that they would continue

precautions with the concerns of Ms. Herriage

and her son in mind as the project moves forward.

21

1	So, it might be helpful to know
2	whether there's actually anything in the order
3	that, specifically, addresses further
4	conversation, or whether we can insert
5	something.
6	OAG STAFF RITTING: There's nothing
7	in the draft that I circulated to you folks
8	before the meeting.
9	COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes. I didn't
LO	recall it.
11	OAG STAFF RITTING: If you want me
12	to add some language, I can do that, and that
13	would be circulated to the Commissioners or to
L4	the Chairman prior to it being issued. So, if
15	you disagree with what I I'll attempt to
L6	summarize what you've discussed tonight, and
L7	if you disagree with it you can comment further
L8	at that time.
L9	COMMISSIONER MAY: That seems good
20	to me.
21	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Would this
22	also extend into something under construction

1	management, or is it mainly a discussion issue,
2	or is there
3	OAG STAFF RITTING: My
4	understanding is that just the Commission has
5	requested that they continue discussions with
6	Ms. Herriage concerning her son, and that it
7	is unrelated to any construction management
8	agreement. I don't believe that there's been
9	one proffered in this case.
10	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay,
11	thank you.
12	VICE CHAIR COHEN: Mr. Chairman,
13	it's my contention that there has not been a
14	lot of scientific evidence that there will be
15	all this toxic mold. However, there was also
16	information in the record provided regarding
17	the doctor of Ms. Herriage's son, and I do
18	believe every effort should be made to attempt
19	to come up with some kind of solution.
20	So, I agree with my colleagues and
21	our lawyer, that some language should be in the
22	order.

1	CHAIRMAN HOOD: I guess I'll just
2	add that whatever precautionary measures there
3	are, it would also be that the applicant would
4	work along with Ms. Herriage to get those
5	precautionary measures in place.
6	I'm not sure how far I can go, but
7	something, just to say have a meeting, that would
8	probably go on forever, but at least try to come
9	to some degree to where when if development was
10	to start that there would be some precautionary
11	measures, I look forward to that happening
12	throughout that process.
13	I don't know, I'll leave it to you,
14	Mr. Ritting, something that just a little
15	more than just having a meeting. I go to enough
16	meetings to meet.
17	If we can, whatever is legal within
18	our jurisdiction.
19	COMMISSIONER MAY: Chairman Hood,
20	I just want to underscore the point made by
21	Commissioner Cohen, that we didn't see evidence
22	that there is a direct concern about toxic mold.

1	But, I think there is a concern based on the
2	most recent letter about even just the dust that
3	might be kicked up by the construction,
4	aerosolized soil, or some term like that.
5	So, I mean, given those concerns,
6	there may be some steps that the applicant can
7	take to make sure that Ms. Herriage's son is
8	better protected against the risks that he might
9	be exposed to from the construction being
10	adjacent. And, it's in the best interest, I
11	think, of the applicant, in doing what they can
12	to address that.
13	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Mr.
14	Chairman, let me just confirm what we are doing.
15	We'll vote on the order tonight, with the idea
16	
	nothing that OAG is going to add language
17	
	nothing that OAG is going to add language
17	nothing that OAG is going to add language to put in to, basically, reflect all of the
17 18	nothing that OAG is going to add language to put in to, basically, reflect all of the comments that we've said tonight.
17 18 19	nothing that OAG is going to add language to put in to, basically, reflect all of the comments that we've said tonight. CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes, and I believe

1	The normal course is that after a draft has
2	been prepared, that it's circulated to you to
3	sign, and, of course, you can suggest changes
4	at that time, and you could choose to circulate
5	it to your fellow Commissioners at that time,
6	if you so choose.
7	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Anything
8	else? Somebody like to make a motion?
9	COMMISSIONER MAY: Mr. Chair, I
10	would vote that we approve Zoning Case No. 11-13,
11	TC/CSG St. Matthew's consolidated PUD and
12	related map amendment at Square 546, with the
13	provision of what we just discussed about the
14	refinements to the order itself regarding the
15	health conditions, and look for a second.
16	VICE CHAIR COHEN: I second.
17	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. It's been
18	moved and properly seconded. Any further
19	discussion?
20	Are you ready for the question?
21	All those in favor, aye?
22	(Ayes.)

1	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Not hearing any
2	opposition, Ms. Schellin, would you please
3	record the vote?
4	SECRETARY SCHELLIN: Yes. Staff
5	records the vote 4:0:1 to approve final action
6	on Zoning Commission Case 11-13, with the
7	suggested changes that were discussed on the
8	dias this evening, Commissioner Turnbull
9	moving, Commissioner Cohen seconding,
10	Commissioners Hood and May in support, third
11	mayoral appointee position vacant, not voting.
12	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Next on the
13	agenda is Zoning Commission Case No. 11-23.
14	This is ANC 8B, Map Amendment at Square 5729
15	and 5729W.
16	Ms. Schellin?
17	SECRETARY SCHELLIN: Yes, sir.
18	The only thing that staff has to add to this
19	one is, actually, two things.
20	One, we did not receive any comments
21	to the proposed rulemaking. And two, at Exhibit
22	18, there's an NCPC report, again, no issues

1	with this case either.
2	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Commissioners, any
3	comments? Anyone?
4	VICE CHAIR COHEN: Mr. Chairman, I
5	move to approve Z.C. Case No. 11-23, ANC 8B's
6	request for a map amendment at Square 5729 and
7	5729 W.
8	Is there a second?
9	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. I'll second
10	that. Any questions?
11	It has been moved and properly
12	seconded. Any further discussion? Are you
13	ready for the question?
14	All those in favor, aye?
15	(Ayes.)
16	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Not hearing any
17	opposition, Ms. Schellin, would you please
18	record the vote?
19	SECRETARY SCHELLIN: Yes. Staff
20	records the vote 4:0:1 to approve final action
21	in Zoning Commission Case 11-23, Commissioner
22	Cohen moving, Commissioner Hood seconding,

1	Commissioners May and Turnbull in support, third
2	mayoral appointee position vacant, not voting.
3	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Next,
4	Zoning Commission Case No. 03-12M/03-13M,
5	Capper Carrollsburg Venture, LLC, two-year PUB
6	time extension at Square 881W.
7	Ms. Schellin?
8	SECRETARY SCHELLIN: Yes, sir.
9	At Exhibit 4, there's a request from
10	ANC 6D to postpone deciding this case, so they
11	can have the applicant present to them.
12	It's my understanding, they are
13	having their meeting this evening, and the
14	applicant is there presenting.
15	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Vice Chair?
16	VICE CHAIR COHEN: Mr. Chairman, I
17	also noted that the record did not contain any
18	comments from the Advisory Task Force that every
19	Hope 6 project has. So, I would like to see
20	that as well.
21	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Can we notify the
22	applicant?

1	SECRETARY SCHELLIN: Yes, sir.
2	CHAIRMAN HOOD: We may be giving
3	some additional time, but it looks like they
4	are working together, they had a meeting
5	tonight. Do we need to do this with time
6	certain, or whenever they come back?
7	SECRETARY SCHELLIN: I was going to
8	ask the Commissioners if they wanted to give
9	the ANC until the second meeting this month,
10	or do you want to move it to July?
11	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Well, considering
12	what Vice Chairperson Cohen asked for, what we
13	may need to do is give them a little more time,
14	because I'm not sure how long it's going to take
15	to get that information.
16	SECRETARY SCHELLIN: So, the July
17	meeting then, first July meeting? Okay,
18	thanks.
19	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Do we need to vote
20	on that?
21	SECRETARY SCHELLIN: No, sir.
22	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Do we have a

1	consensus? Okay, great.
2	Okay, hearing action, Zoning
3	Commission Case No. 06-10B (The Morris &
4	Gwendolyn Cafritz Foundation 2nd Stage PUD
5	and Modification to 1st Stage at Square 3765
6	and 3767.
7	Mr. Cochran?
8	OP STAFF MEMBER COCHRAN: Thank
9	you, Mr. Chair.
10	The applicant has asked for a delay
11	of a month in your consideration of the set down.
12	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you very
13	much.
14	We have a request. I don't have any
15	problems with a delay of set down. Let me ask
16	for any comments.
17	Commissioner Turnbull?
18	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Just one.
19	In the material that we were given, one of the
20	requests is to, basically, exclude the grocery
21	store totally? I mean, it had been transferred
22	from A to, now they wanted it B, and now it looks

1	like they are requesting that they be given
2	relief to not include a grocery store in Parcel
3	B. Is that correct?
4	OP STAFF MEMBER COCHRAN: That's
5	correct, Commissioner.
6	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Can we get
7	because really I didn't read it in the
8	literature why exactly they were wanting the
9	relief, or I didn't understand clearly what
LO	could we get some is it not feasible? Have
11	they contacted?
12	OP STAFF MEMBER COCHRAN: I would
13	be happy to talk with the applicant, who may
L4	be here tonight, and ask them to submit that
L5	information.
L6	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Yes. I
L7	would like a little bit more explanation as to
18	why the grocery store is not going to be
19	included, and I think that may have been an issue
20	when we were discussing it originally on this
21	project, it was fairly significant to

incorporate a grocery store.

So, now that they want total relief from it, I think we should get some very detailed explanation as to why it's not going to be provided.

VICE CHAIR COHEN: Commissioner Turnbull, this is for the record, I believe that I read, maybe in an earlier place, but there's a possibility that there will be some type of a warehouse type of grocer. And, that is why I think they are reluctant to bring in a grocery store.

But, I agree with you, we need more information, because I don't know where the closest grocery store is, but I think this neighborhood may be under represented in retail, and a grocery store, especially near a Metro Center, which has a lot of parking, a lot of people may be able to take advantage of a grocery store.

So, I don't really understand why either. So, I would appreciate greater information on that.

1	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Anything
2	else? We are going to delay this for a month.
3	Do we need to do a time certain?
4	SECRETARY SCHELLIN: If you are
5	saying a month, I'm going to put it on the first
6	July meeting then, is that okay?
7	COMMISSIONER MAY: What day is
8	that?
9	SECRETARY SCHELLIN: I believe it's
10	the 9th. Let me double check. Yes, sir, the
11	9th.
12	COMMISSIONER MAY: Thank you.
13	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Next on the
14	hearing action, Zoning Commission Case No.
15	08-31, Bao-An Nguyen C Map Amendment at
16	Square 1417.
17	Mr. Jackson.
18	OP STAFF JACKSON: Good evening,
19	Mr. Chairman and members of the Commission.
20	The analization before we hear
	The application before you began
21	with a submission in March of 2009, to the Office

located at 5248 McArthur Boulevard NW, from R-1-B to C-1.

Currently, the art studio operates within a one-family attached dwelling on the property, under a home occupancy permit. The applicant would also like to operate a commercial art gallery use on the same site. This will allow greater flexibility in the number of clients who could visit the site, to view and purchase art works and handicrafts produced on the premises.

The change from R-1-B to C-1 would allow the existing dwelling of the art studio and the desire to commercial use.

In meetings with the applicant, the Office of Planning advises that this was inconsistent to the 2006 District of Columbia Comprehensive Plan. The plan designated this subject property, and all properties on the square, for low density residential, which is characterized by single-family detached, semi-detached dwellings, as the appropriate

NEAL R. GROSS

1 uses.

The applicant was advised to discuss this proposal with the local Advisory Neighborhood Commission, and the neighbors, before moving forward.

The Office of Planning also drafted a land use map amendment on behalf of the owner, for considerations during the 2009 Comprehensive Plan amendment cycle, to expand the use designation to low-density residential and low-density commercial.

When the Advisory Neighborhood Commission voted to oppose that amendment, the change was not recommended for District Council approval.

First, the use designation was not expanded to allow commercial uses. This zoning change remains inconsistent with the adopted Comprehensive Plan.

Therefore, the Office of Planning cannot support setting this down for public hearing.

1	That's a summary of the Office of
2	Planning report. I'm available to answer
3	questions.
4	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you, Mr.
5	Jackson.
6	Vice Chairperson, you have a
7	question?
8	VICE CHAIR COHEN: Yes. Mr.
9	Jackson, from the documentation that was
10	provided, it appears that this particular home
11	is really, not only next to bank, but also on
12	a row of other commercial uses.
13	So, within 200 square feet on either
14	side is this a commercial strip, because it
15	appears, as I said, from the package it is.
16	OP STAFF JACKSON: No. The subject
17	property, if you look at the aerial color
18	aerial photo, but at the northern end of that
19	frontage is a bank. The bank building is in the
20	commercial the C-1 District. All the other
21	buildings along that frontage are residential.
22	Now, if you go south of the property,

1	on the next square, you see a C-1 at the corner
2	of the map, that next square is C-1 all along
3	the McArthur Boulevard frontage. But, in fact,
4	based on our records, the entire lot frontage,
5	except for the bank, is residential.
6	VICE CHAIR COHEN: All right, thank
7	you.
8	Well, I agree with you that they also
9	should discuss this with the ANC.
10	OP STAFF JACKSON: Well, they did
11	talk with the ANC about the map amendment, and
12	I believe there may have been some confusion
13	at the time, because the applicant has since
14	gone back and tried to get the ANC to comment
15	on the request just to rezone this individual
16	property.
17	They encouraged them to try that
18	back then, but they wanted to get confirmation,
19	and I think the ANC is reluctant to take any
20	position until such time as the Commission
21	decides whether or not this should be a District

or a public hearing.

1	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Other questions,
2	anyone?
3	Commissioner Turnbull.
4	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: I just
5	wanted to continue on with the comments that
6	the Vice Chair has raised. And, I think, Ms.
7	Cohen, if you look on Exhibit 3, on the last
8	page of the applicant's discussion of it, he's
9	got a map that sort of shows maybe which shows
LO	the R-1-B, and it's showing it's kind of a
L1	weird situation in a way. I mean, you can see
12	on McArthur Boulevard there is a C-1 at the bank,
13	but you do have nine eight or nine houses
L4	in a row that are part of the R-1-B. So, I mean,
L5	we've run into this before.
L6	So, what do you sacrifice? I mean,
L7	if you give one property one, you are then
18	endangering the property next to it, which is
L9	a residential property who is going to say, oh,
20	now I've got more people.
21	It's a delicate situation, but I
22	think the Office of Planning is right. I think

1	we need to preserve the R-1-B area in this
2	section, otherwise it's I mean, is it a
3	anomaly? That's a discussion for maybe a future
4	look at this whole area, but I think at the
5	present time, I think as the R-1-B neighborhood,
6	I'd be reluctant to jeopardize making
7	extending the C-1-B down another house.
8	COMMISSIONER MAY: I have a
9	question. The land use map amendment that you
10	discussed, the 2009, was it limited just to this
11	single property being included in the C-1?
12	OP STAFF JACKSON: No. The
13	description indicated that they would designate
14	the square.
15	COMMISSIONER MAY: The entire
16	square.
17	OP STAFF JACKSON: That's the way
18	the description was written, for a mix of either
19	low-density residential or low-density
20	commercial.
21	COMMISSIONER MAY: That seems like
	l l

1	My recollection is that in times
2	past, when we've considered map amendments in
3	this sort of a circumstance, where you have an
4	adjacent commercial zone, and they want to sort
5	of a one property out of that, and into what
6	would be designated residential, that you,
7	actually, have supported such applications in
8	the past. Not universally, just occasionally
9	when it has come up, and the circumstances were
10	right, and the map may have been unartfully drawn
11	or what have you.
12	OP STAFF JACKSON: I think this is
13	a rather unusual circumstance, because if you
14	look at Figure No. 2, it shows the Comprehensive
15	Plan future land use designation. The land use
16	designation for the entire square is low-density
17	residential.
18	COMMISSIONER MAY: It's
19	residential.
20	OP STAFF JACKSON: Right. And, if
21	you look back in the records, there was some
22	interesting legal activity around changing the

1	zoning of that bank property. That's not
2	necessarily pertinent here, but in the current
3	circumstance, it's that no property on this
4	square is designated commercial use. The
5	existing bank zoning in a commercial zoning
6	district, but there is no direction from the
7	Comprehensive Plan to change the designation
8	of any property on this square, and through the
9	2009 amendment process no changes were made to
10	the current designation.
11	COMMISSIONER MAY: So, the previous
12	future land use map also showed it as
13	residential? It's been that way for a long
14	time.
15	OP STAFF JACKSON: Well, at least
16	that's the 2006 map. It has not changed on the
17	2006 map, and it wasn't change with the 2010
18	amendments.
19	COMMISSIONER MAY: Right. Okay.
20	I'm just really surprised to see
21	that it was or to hear that it was suggested
22	that the entire square might be proposed as

1	residential or commercial, because that seems
2	kind of it doesn't make sense that the houses
3	on Sharrier Place, that are on the same square,
4	would be commercial.
5	OP STAFF LAWSON: Let me just
6	clarify that. I believe that is for the
7	properties that front onto McArthur, not to
8	Sharrier Drive.
9	COMMISSIONER MAY: Well, that makes
10	a lot more sense. Okay.
11	You know, I find it interesting that
12	the map you show a number of property owners
13	who were supportive of this of the owner's
14	petition, not petition, but request to get
15	commercial zoning, from 2009. I assume that's
16	when it was from, right?
17	OP STAFF JACKSON: Right. Well,
18	the applicant had a number of people who signed
19	up saying they were in support.
20	We asked him to go back and talk to
21	confirm with additional neighbors, and see
22	if also encourage some of them to join the

1	petition, particularly, those who lived along
2	McArthur.
3	COMMISSIONER MAY: Right.
4	OP STAFF JACKSON: They were
5	successful. They got a lot a number of
6	additional neighbors to endorse their proposal
7	for their property, but none joined the
8	petition, which
9	COMMISSIONER MAY: But again, that
10	was a petition to rezone the whole
11	OP STAFF JACKSON: No, the original
12	petition was just for that property.
13	COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay.
14	OP STAFF JACKSON: And, the map
15	amendment, they could have expanded that
16	petition to add additional residences along the
17	frontage, but again, that was just that was
18	not something that the neighbors were interested
19	in participating in at that time.
20	COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay. All
21	right, thanks.
22	VICE CHAIR COHEN: I just have one

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
б	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	

19

20

21

22

more comment, and this is, I don't see art galleries as being a very intensive use, except maybe one night when they have their art openings. And, I guess they keep up the art for, normally, four to six weeks.

And, I know banks aren't very generous with sharing their parking, but I think those are two possibilities that, you know, I would like to consider, because, again, we are trying to accommodate a small business here.

And, I think that's a valid objective.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any other comments?

I think, typically, though, when something with all the facts, and material facts, and everything, is in front of us, and the legal standard of the future land use map, generalized land use map, and all that, whenever something is inconsistent I don't even think we can even -- at least I believe, I don't even think we can deal with it. But anyway.

So, I mean, in the past I've known, and I heard Commissioner May talk about what

1	he recalled, I recall us not even being able
2	to deal with stuff that was inconsistent,
3	because we cannot be inconsistent with the
4	Comprehensive Plan.
5	So, that's a whole other argument.
6	We don't need to argue about it, but I just
7	think that that's something that we cannot even
8	at least from our standard, even deal with.
9	COMMISSIONER MAY: Mr. Chairman, if
LO	I could clarify. I was not suggesting that
11	we've ever acted, or that OP has recommended
12	that we do something that was inconsistent with
13	the Comprehensive Plan. I was merely
L4	suggesting that sometimes the individual
15	circumstance of a particular case may have
L6	suggested that taking that action would not have
L7	been inconsistent.
L8	I mean, clearly they believe in this
L9	circumstance that it is not inconsistent, and
20	I'm not suggesting anything otherwise.
21	CHAIRMAN HOOD: I didn't say that.
22	Actually, my comment was going to be the same,

1	but I just let everybody go first. My comment
2	was going to be first anyway, but I let you all
3	go first. But that's the same comment I was
4	going to say prior to anyone making any comments.
5	Okay. So, anybody like to make a
6	motion?
7	Commissioner May?
8	OAG STAFF RITTING: If I could speak
9	up for a second. I just wanted to mention that
10	there is the rule that if you are inclined to
11	deny the application tonight that you give the
12	applicant an opportunity to speak before voting
13	on that denial.
14	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Commissioners, let
15	me just ask, is that pretty much the direction
16	we think we want oz go in, because I just never
17	know if somebody wants to make a motion to set
18	it down or not set it down.
19	COMMISSIONER MAY: I'm not
20	particularly inclined to make a motion either
21	way, but it seems to be pretty clear from the

future land use map and from what happened at

1	the last Comprehensive Plan discussion that it
2	would not be there isn't sufficient support
3	in the Comprehensive Plan among the neighbors
4	to be able to pursue this, in the Comprehensive
5	Plan now for us to act on, nor was there when
6	the Comprehensive Plan was considering it at
7	that time.
8	I mean, I think it's unfortunate,
9	because it seems not to be of great consequences,
10	but if the neighborhood and the Council see the
11	future of this site as 100 percent residential
12	and the bank next door is 100 percent
13	residential, then for us to take this action
14	would be contrary to that direction.
15	So, I don't see enough support for
16	us to try to move to set this down.
17	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. With
18	respect to what Mr. Ritting just mentioned, is
19	the applicant here? Is the applicant for this
20	case that we've been deliberating here?
21	SECRETARY SCHELLIN: She is here.
22	Ma'am, if you would come to the

1	table, if you would like for the Commission to
2	hear from you before they make a decision.
3	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Good evening.
4	Can you identify yourself?
5	MS. NGUYEN: Yes. My name is
6	Bao-An Nguyen, and we have the family together
7	at the address 5248 McArthur Boulevard.
8	The reason that we go to want the
9	rezoning our case, since we are next to the
10	Citibank, and also we have the gallery before,
11	and we had to close it, because of the situation
12	of the economy downtown.
13	So, now, you know, since our house
14	is between a lot of commercial zoning around
15	us, and we think you know the gallery is very
16	quiet, and we are at the end of the block, just
17	right next to the bank. And, we don't think
18	we disturb any neighbor around.
19	And also, our neighbors, they are
20	very supportive to do this. We have on the paper
21	to sign for us, and also the ANC during the
22	meeting on October 7, 2009, they advised us to

make application to ask the Office of Zoning
to apply for the C-1, since they opposed the
one, 417.

So, we share with them that we want to open a gallery. They say okay, you should apply, that do the home good. So, that's why, you know, we do it.

And also, in case, you know, if we opened an art gallery, we don't change anything of our house, structure, or anything. We keep it the way it is.

And, we also had a parking lot in front of our house, if permitted, we can park it, and, you know, the gallery, I think, is very quiet, not so busy neighborhood, not a lot of traffic, and since our family, we own like a tradition, we all work art together, the whole family, and we think that we can bring on the beautiful art and everything to the community if you will allow us.

When did they something to the garage and the house, as needed, it can show,

NEAL R. GROSS

1	and the community would bring all the different
2	culture in, so I don't know, since you know we
3	already have a lot of people that have opened
4	a shop, and if you can extend one more slot for
5	us we would very appreciate your help.
6	And, if you can raise up problem we
7	will try to solve it as soon as possible, to
8	complete everything in the house.
9	CHAIRMAN HOOD: So, you are already
10	open?
11	MS. NGUYEN: No. No, we not yet
12	open anything yet. We wait for your permission
13	first.
14	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. All right.
15	Any questions, Commissioners?
16	Vice Chairperson Cohen.
17	VICE CHAIR COHEN: Did you sit down
18	with the Office of Planning and have them explain
19	to you the issue with regard to conforming and
20	non-conforming with the Comprehensive Plan?
21	Do you understand?
22	MS. NGUYEN: Yes. At that time, I

think, you know, our application was forwarded 2 to Mr. Jackson, and we have a meeting, and he 3 help us and explain very clear.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

And also like, he explain before, he advise us, you know, to go to talk with people around, and to see if someone want to put the petition together, they can save the money application. But, they said they are not ready to go, they don't know what they want to do yet, so they are not reading. When they are ready, they will let us know.

So, I go ahead and do.

VICE CHAIR COHEN: And, there are some who support you. Can you say how many out of the number on that one strip?

MS. NGUYEN: The whole -- you mean the same -- at the back we have a lot, and the same line, we have nine house together, and we have except two people. One, she travel a lot, so, you know, we don't have chance yet, and the other lady, she just say it doesn't matter, no comments, and the other one he would sign

1	everything.
2	VICE CHAIR COHEN: Thank you.
3	MS. NGUYEN: Thank you so much.
4	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any other comments?
5	Mr. Jackson, I realize, I'm just
6	curious, how long have you been working with
7	this applicant? Has it been all the while?
8	And, I guess she mentioned that you sat down
9	with her, have you fully explained? Have long
10	have you been working with her?
11	OP STAFF JACKSON: Since the
12	original application. You'll note that the
13	application came in before, we were just
14	starting the cycle with the updates. And so,
15	when the updates were done, of course, they had
16	to be forwarded to Council.
17	Although the ANC had recommended
18	against the approval of the updates, I think
19	Council wanted to see everything that was being
20	proposed for their consideration, because in

the end -- because they are the final arbiters,

and we just are making recommendations.

21

So, we had, there was deliberations, I guess revisions, decisions that were made, interim decisions, and then they finally made a decision on the amendments they wanted to approve.

During that time, we advised the applicant that they had talked with the ANC, but they should also pursue talking to the Council person for the area, just to see if there's anything else that could be done.

I'm not sure if there was any success in that area. So then, when the amendments were finally passed, or adopted, then, of course, you have to wait for the maps to be changed. So, it's been an incremental process.

She was always checking in to let me know what progress they were making. We always gave her the option to -- told her what her options were to pursue, and we reiterated, unless the Comprehensive Plan is changed to support it the Office of Planning's position would probably not change.

1	So, we her that tonight would be the
2	opportunity for her to present the case. I was
3	telling her that this Commission has always been
4	that one that when they make a final decision
5	they'll let the applicant speak, and you did.
6	But, we didn't unfortunately, we
7	were not able to change our position, because
8	the Comprehensive Plan, basically, is our guide,
9	and it has not been modified.
10	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. I had a
11	question for Mr. Ritting. Never mind. Okay.
12	Commissioners, what is your
13	pleasure?
14	I'm sorry, any other comments or
15	questions of Ms. Nguyen?
16	MS. NGUYEN: What did you say,
17	excuse me?
18	CHAIRMAN HOOD: I was just
19	wondering if any I was seeing if any of us
20	had anymore comments or questions for you, and
21	I don't think we do.
22	So, thank you very much for coming

up and just giving us your view.

MS. NGUYEN: Okay. Thank you so much for your time.

COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: I just want to note, do you understand where we are in looking at this, and what the Office of Planning has -- is arguing about the future land use, and where that puts your property?

We are in a very difficult position to be able to approve something that may or may not impact, as you see it, the neighborhood. And, it may very well not, but the future land use is right now dictating residential.

You have neighbors to your side, some of them may agree, but you have neighbors behind. So, when we look at this, we are looking at the direction of where the zoning -- where the Comprehensive Plan is taking us. So, unless there's a major change that, as Mr. Jackson was saying, that a different view, whether something changes that would give us some direction, or some better feel on how the Comprehensive Plan

1	is looking at this, we are put in an awkward
2	position to approve a single piece of property,
3	because then we'd be asked to do that all the
4	way down the line, to make special exceptions.
5	And, you know, on a case-by-case
6	basis, there may be some reason that we'd do
7	that, but our guiding is still the Comprehensive
8	Plan, the future land use, where we are going
9	with this.
10	I'm sure Mr. Jackson explained that
11	to you at the time, that their options are very
12	limited on what they can agree to.
13	MS. NGUYEN: Do you mean that the
14	Office of Planning, they try to want rezoning
15	the home?
16	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: No, no, I
17	think they are trying to show you the options
18	of looking at it.
19	MS. NGUYEN: Yes.
20	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: But,
21	unless the Comprehensive Plan is changed, where
22	we are right now with your piece of property

1	puts us in a very difficult situation, as to
2	approve this.
3	MS. NGUYEN: I know, yes, it's very
4	difficult, because, you know, we want to have
5	it, but, you know, it's not with the
6	Comprehensive Plan, you know, something like
7	that. So, I don't know what we have to do now.
8	We just wait.
9	Do you have something?
10	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Well, I
11	mean, you could still continue with your
12	discussions with the Office of Planning as to
13	it, but again, unless it as he said, unless
14	it's a Council change, something that would
15	change the Comprehensive Plan, or ANC working
16	with the Council, or something that would a
17	change like this, we are pretty much handicapped
18	on this, I think.
19	MS. NGUYEN: So, what next step,
20	just keep talking with Mr. Jackson, and see?
21	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Yes.
22	MS. NGUYEN: Only if you can give

1	us, if not zoning here, you can give us like
2	a variance to do some art work, and to sell some
3	art, you know, like a small group, or something?
4	Besides the zoning, do you have anything,
5	another option?
6	CHAIRMAN HOOD: I think what we'll
7	do is, we'll let you work that out with
8	continue discussions with Mr. Jackson at the
9	appropriate time, if that's okay, Ms. Nguyen.
LO	MS. NGUYEN: Yes, sure.
11	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Let me just ask
12	this, Mr. Ritting, if we dismiss, if we deny
13	this, say some miracle happens, something
L4	happens where she works it out with Mr. Jackson,
15	could she still come back with a different
L6	application?
L7	I know there used to be a time frame,
18	and I didn't want to I thought it was a year.
L9	OAG STAFF RITTING: That's correct.
20	However, I mean, you could choose to dismiss
21	it or deny it without prejudice. That is an
22	option that is available to you if you so

1	choose.
2	The consequence of denying without
3	prejudice would mean that she could refile
4	immediately.
5	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. All right,
6	Commissioners thank you, Mr. Ritting, and
7	thank you, Commissioner Turnbull, for making
8	sure that Ms. Nguyen understands.
9	MS. NGUYEN: Thank you for your
10	time.
11	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you very
12	much.
13	MS. NGUYEN: Thank you for
14	everything.
15	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Would somebody like
16	to make a motion?
17	I would move that we deny without
18	prejudice Zoning Commission Case No. 08-31, and
19	ask for a second.
20	VICE CHAIR COHEN: Second.
21	CHAIRMAN HOOD: It's been moved and
22	properly seconded. Any further discussion?

1	All those in favor, aye?
2	(Ayes.)
3	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Not hearing any
4	opposition, Ms. Schellin, would you please
5	record the vote?
6	SECRETARY SCHELLIN: Staff records
7	the vote 4:0:1 to deny Zoning Commission Case
8	08-31, without prejudice, Commissioner Hood
9	moving, I heard three voices, I think
10	Commissioner Cohen was loudest, seconding,
11	Commissioners May and Turnbull in support, third
12	mayoral appointee position vacant, not voting.
13	CHAIRMAN HOOD: And,
14	Commissioners, that was a tough one, as was
15	stated by Commissioner May and Commissioner
16	Cohen, hopefully, those discussions with the
17	Office of Planning and the neighborhood ANC,
18	and the Council can work out a better solution.
19	And, I appreciate you again, Mr. Turnbull, for
20	recognizing or conveying some time we have
21	to work within our rules, and our guidelines.
22	Okay Next correspondence we

1	have quite a bit under correspondence.
2	Zoning Commission Case No. 11-03,
3	this is a request of Harbour Square Owners, Inc.,
4	for a minor modification of Order No. 11-03 and
5	applicant's response.
6	Ms. Schellin.
7	SECRETARY SCHELLIN: Yes, sir.
8	There was an additional filing from
9	Mr. Hitchcock, who initiated this, and I believe
10	we got that this afternoon. He one of the
11	things that was pointed by OAG was that he
12	observed the applicant and/or other parties by
13	U.S. mail, but later this afternoon he did
14	forward them a copy by email, but that's all
15	staff has about that.
16	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay,
17	Commissioners, we have in front of us a request,
18	and, you know, I think the first issue for me
19	on this, colleagues, is that the request is
20	coming from someone who has not been granted
21	party status. I think before we get into the

merits, and looking back at this, this is

1	something that someone who was not granted party
2	status, and I'm not quite sure if they even have
3	any reconsideration efforts. It only comes
4	from someone who is granted party status.
5	Mr. Ritting, am I correct on that
6	assumption?
7	OAG STAFF RITTING: This has been
8	placed on the consent calendar as a request for
9	a minor modification. And, it indicates, the
10	correspondence indicates that it's a request
11	for a minor modification.
12	So, the Commission's minor
13	modification rules, that's 3030.3 says parties
14	may request minor modifications, and it doesn't
15	say that anyone else may.
16	So, that's the rule that you are
17	thinking of.
18	CHAIRMAN HOOD: So, I think that
19	keeping in mind the spirit of our regulations,
20	I think this is I don't want to say out of
21	place, the requester may not have known that
22	they needed party status to enter into something

1	of a modification, a minor modification with
2	the Commission.
3	So, I think this is out of scope,
4	I think this is not well, it's in our
5	jurisdiction, but I don't think this is properly
6	before us.
7	So, what I would like to do, let me
8	open it up, before I make a motion any comments?
9	COMMISSIONER MAY: I agree. I
10	don't think that this group has the standing
11	to request a minor modification, so it should
12	be dismissed on that ground.
13	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. I would move
14	that we dismiss the request for lack of standing
15	in Zoning Commission Case No. 11-03, request
16	by Harbour Square Owners, Inc., as their request
17	is so noted, and ask for a second.
18	COMMISSIONER MAY: Second.
19	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. It's been
20	moved and properly seconded. Any further
21	discussion?
22	I need to ask thank you for

1	bringing that to my attention, that that was
2	left out. Let me restate my motion.
3	I would move that we dismiss Zoning
4	Commission Case No. 11-03, and that the Order
5	of Dismissal indicate the second stage issue
6	that was raised, we will not revisit its
7	suitability as the location of a residential
8	building, and ask for a second.
9	COMMISSIONER MAY: Well, we didn't
10	discuss that. I'm not sure I want to get into
11	that, so I would not if you are modifying
12	your original motion.
13	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Let me open it up
14	for discussion, because see here's the thing.
15	I think the request included, and what we need
16	to do is state that we are not going to revisit
17	that unless you want oz revisit that. And, it's
18	not properly before us, so, I mean, if you want
19	to
20	COMMISSIONER MAY: I just don't
21	feel like we have any information on which to
22	base our establishing something at this moment,

1	with regard to the suitability.
2	I mean, it may not be suitability
3	for environmental purposes, so it may not be
4	in the future submission, because they couldn't
5	get approval from whoever they need to get
6	approval from. I mean, it's not just us that
7	we need to give approval.
8	So, I think we just dismiss this for
9	lack of standing, and leave it at that.
10	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, thank you,
11	Ms. Schellin and Mr. Ritting. Let me go back
12	to my original motion that we dismiss and
13	sometimes that happens, we want to make sure
14	we are legally sufficient, and these are some
15	of the issues we get into.
16	Let me move that we dismiss the
17	Zoning Commission Case No. 11-03, and ask for
18	a second.
19	COMMISSIONER MAY: Second.
20	CHAIRMAN HOOD: It's been moved and
21	properly seconded. Any further discussion?
22	All those in favor?

1	(Ayes.)
2	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Not hearing any
3	opposition, Ms. Schellin, would you record the
4	vote?
5	SECRETARY SCHELLIN: Yes. Staff
6	records the vote 4:0:1, to dismiss the request
7	of Harbour Square Owners, Inc., Commissioner
8	Hood moving, Commissioner May seconding,
9	Commissioners Cohen and Turnbull in support,
10	third mayoral appointee position vacant, not
11	voting.
12	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Next,
13	Zoning Commission Case 11-12, West End Library
14	Advisory Group's motion for reconsideration and
15	rehearing, and applicant's response thereto.
16	Ms. Schellin.
17	SECRETARY SCHELLIN: Staff has
18	nothing else to add for this one.
19	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Let's open
20	that up for discussion. Is anyone inclined on
21	their request?

VICE CHAIR COHEN: Mr. Chairman,

1	the request was made based on, there wasn't any
2	discussion of the fire house. And, I think that
3	that is incorrect. We vetted that thoroughly,
4	and so I don't believe that there is really any
5	standing here for making an argument to
6	reconsider. I'm sorry.
7	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Anyone else?
8	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Mr. Chair,
9	I would just say that I you know, after reading
10	the applicant's letter, and then looking at the
11	applicant's the Holland & Knight reply for
12	East Bank Park, I'm in agreement with the Holland
13	& Knight explanation and the response, and I
14	would say that we should not allow this, to
15	dismiss it.
16	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. I would
17	agree. I think as was stated by both of my
18	colleagues, we fleshed this out exhaustively
19	during the proposed, and then again at the final
20	action.
21	So, I would not be inclined to

reconsider. I know it was fleshed out a lot,

1	so I would not be inclined to reconsider.
2	Anyone else?
3	Okay. Would somebody like to make
4	a motion?
5	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Excuse me.
6	I would how are we doing this on this
7	correspondence, are we saying that we are going
8	to dismiss, deny, West End Advisory Group's
9	motion for reconsideration and rehearing of the
10	applicants, West End Advisory Group's motion
11	for reconsideration and rehearing on Zoning Case
12	No. 11-12.
13	VICE CHAIR COHEN: I'll second
14	that.
15	CHAIRMAN HOOD: It's been moved and
16	properly seconded. Any further discussion?
17	All those in favor?
18	(Ayes.)
19	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Not hearing any
20	opposition, Ms. Schellin, would you record the
21	vote?
22	SECRETARY SCHELLIN: Yes. Staff

1	records the vote 4:0:1, to deny the request by
2	West End Library Advisory Group, for
3	reconsideration, rehearing, Commissioner
4	Turnbull moving, Commissioner Cohen seconding,
5	Commissioners Hood and May in support, third
6	mayoral appointee position vacant, not voting.
7	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Next we have
8	Zoning Commission Case No. 11-07, this is a
9	request by ANC 3-D for motion for
10	reconsideration and rehearing and applicant's
11	response thereto.
12	Ms. Schellin.
13	SECRETARY SCHELLIN: Yes, sir. I
14	think that everything the staff has the
15	Commission has been provided with, so we have
16	nothing further. This is the first one is
17	the request from ANC 3-D.
18	CHAIRMAN HOOD: This is Exhibit 616
19	and 618, okay, so that's Exhibit 616 and 618.
20	Let's open it up for discussion.
21	Anyone interested in reconsideration. The ANC
22	has outlined what they consider to be a number

1	of flaws or things that we did not consider,
2	or that we didn't deal with, or that they raised
3	that they don't feel like were properly
4	addressed.
5	And, with the order it was basically
6	out, and on and on.
7	I am not inclined to agree with the
8	ANC on this. I think we've exhausted. We have
9	had plenty of discussion, and I think that with
10	all the outstanding moving parts and moving
11	issues we did what we could to try to submit
12	an order again.
12 13	an order again. I go back to the liaison group, and
13	I go back to the liaison group, and
13 14	I go back to the liaison group, and I'm not going to talk about American University,
13 14 15	I go back to the liaison group, and I'm not going to talk about American University, I've been there. It seems to be working in other
13 14 15 16	I go back to the liaison group, and I'm not going to talk about American University, I've been there. It seems to be working in other places, and that's just my comments, but I'll
13 14 15 16	I go back to the liaison group, and I'm not going to talk about American University, I've been there. It seems to be working in other places, and that's just my comments, but I'll open it up for any comments.
13 14 15 16 17	I go back to the liaison group, and I'm not going to talk about American University, I've been there. It seems to be working in other places, and that's just my comments, but I'll open it up for any comments. COMMISSIONER MAY: I would agree
13 14 15 16 17 18	I go back to the liaison group, and I'm not going to talk about American University, I've been there. It seems to be working in other places, and that's just my comments, but I'll open it up for any comments. COMMISSIONER MAY: I would agree with you, Mr. Chairman.

1	CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right. So, I
2	would move that we deny request 11-07, request
3	by ANC 3-D for motion for reconsideration and
4	rehearing, and ask for a second.
5	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Second.
6	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. It's been
7	moved and properly seconded. Any further
8	discussion?
9	All those in favor?
10	(Ayes.)
11	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any opposition?
12	Abstentions? So ordered.
13	Staff, would you record the vote?
14	SECRETARY SCHELLIN: Yes. Staff
15	records the vote 3:0:2, to deny the request for
16	reconsideration and rehearing, as submitted
17	by ANC 3-D, in Zoning Commission Case 11-07,
18	Commissioner Hood moving, Commissioner Turnbull
19	seconding, Commissioner May in support,
20	Commissioner Cohen not voting, having not
21	participated, and the third mayoral appointee

position vacant, not voting.

1	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. We probably
2	should have took this one up at the same time,
3	11-07. We'll do it now.
4	Again, we have another request,
5	Zoning Commission Case No. 11-07, request of
6	Robert Herzstein for motion for reconsideration
7	and rehearing. And, we also have the
8	applicant's response.
9	Ms. Schellin.
10	SECRETARY SCHELLIN: Staff has
11	nothing further to add.
12	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.
12 13	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Commissioners, let me open it up.
	_
13	Commissioners, let me open it up.
13 14	Commissioners, let me open it up. As you see, the two issues that Mr.
13 14 15	Commissioners, let me open it up. As you see, the two issues that Mr. Herzstein raises, and he goes into detail, he
13 14 15 16	Commissioners, let me open it up. As you see, the two issues that Mr. Herzstein raises, and he goes into detail, he says that, on page 56 he talks about the
13 14 15 16	Commissioners, let me open it up. As you see, the two issues that Mr. Herzstein raises, and he goes into detail, he says that, on page 56 he talks about the inadequate landscape and screening, and
13 14 15 16 17	Commissioners, let me open it up. As you see, the two issues that Mr. Herzstein raises, and he goes into detail, he says that, on page 56 he talks about the inadequate landscape and screening, and impractical revision regarding dispute
13 14 15 16 17 18	Commissioners, let me open it up. As you see, the two issues that Mr. Herzstein raises, and he goes into detail, he says that, on page 56 he talks about the inadequate landscape and screening, and impractical revision regarding dispute resolution.

says, it says, he was a party in the Campus Plan proceeding and has issues with the University that are sometimes distinct from one or more severe than those of other neighbors, because of the long boundary his home shares with the campus. Thus, he should have standing as a member of the Liaison Committee, and should not have to take positions to some neighborhood group or ANC to help him qualify for dispute resolution.

Mr. Herzstein, as we saying, you know, he's talking to another but he's saying that he should person, automatically be a member of the Liaison Group. And, I think that we have exhausted that whole Liaison Group, at least seeing this as the omission, is that at least I'm not sure with the concerns raised by this Commission that the American University knows the concerns, and I'm sure that in some form or fashion that Mr. Herzstein will be involved with the Liaison Group or what, because of his proximity with

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	his property.
2	So, let me open it up. Any further
3	discussion? Okay.
4	So, I would move that we deny 11-07,
5	the request from Mr. Herzstein for motion for
6	reconsideration and rehearing, and ask for a
7	second.
8	COMMISSIONER MAY: Second.
9	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. It's been
LO	moved and properly seconded. Any further
L1	discussion?
12	All those in favor?
13	(Ayes.)
L4	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Not hearing any
L5	opposition, Ms. Schellin, would you record the
L6	vote?
L7	SECRETARY SCHELLIN: Staff records
L8	the vote 3:0:2 to deny the request for
L9	reconsideration and rehearing, submitted by Mr.
20	Herzstein, Commissioner Hood moving,
21	Commissioner May seconding, Commissioner
22	Turnbull in support, Commissioner Cohen not

1	voting, having not participated, third mayoral
2	appointee position vacant, not voting.
3	CHAIRMAN HOOD: 11-07A, request
4	from ANC 3-D for motion for reconsideration and
5	rehearing.
6	Ms. Schellin.
7	SECRETARY SCHELLIN: The
8	Commission has the submissions that were made,
9	and we have nothing further to add.
10	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.
11	Commissioners, any comments?
12	Commissioner Turnbull?
13	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Mr. Chair,
14	I would just agree with the applicant's
15	response, Exhibit No. 41, and I think they,
16	basically, reiterate that all of these items
17	were considered and addressed during the
18	hearing, and that we should deny ANC 3-D's
19	request for a stay of the order.
20	And, basically, they are also saying
21	that they failed to satisfy the test for
22	injunctive relief, and I would agree with that.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Again, I think we
exhausted you know, we had lengthy hearings
on a lot of this, and we went through specific
issues on these. And, we rehashed the rehearing
with no new evidence I don't want to say it's
a waste of time, but I think we won't find
anything different than where we landed with
the input.
So, I think this Commission did the
best they could with the Campus Plan. This is
my second time dealing with American University
on the Campus Plan, and, hopefully, we are
starting to see gradual improvement. It may

id the his is ersity e are t may not be the immediate issue on what the neighbors want to hear right away, but I think with the liaison we are hoping that piece works.

I know they keep talking about it, but I've been in this City a while, and I'm seeing where it's working in other places, and it needs to work here.

So, I would move that we deny the request 11-07A and ask for a second.

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Second.
2	CHAIRMAN HOOD: It's been moved
3	and properly seconded. Any further discussion?
4	All those in favor?
5	(Ayes.)
6	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Not hearing any
7	opposition, Ms. Schellin, would you record the
8	vote?
9	SECRETARY SCHELLIN: Yes. Staff
10	records the vote 3:0:2 to deny the request from
11	the ANC for reconsideration and rehearing in
12	Zoning Commission Case 11-07A, Commissioner
13	Hood moving, Commissioner Turnbull seconding,
14	Commissioner May in support, Commissioner Cohen
15	not voting, having not participated, third
16	mayoral appointee position vacant, not voting.
17	CHAIRMAN HOOD: And also, I did note
18	about what the Court of Appeals said about great
19	weight, that was mentioned in this whole thing.
20	It mentioned that the requirement that the
21	Commission must accept the views of the ANC no

matter what, all the demands and the views of

the ANC be specifically addressed.

As to the ANC's motion, the Court of Appeals has held up the great weight requirement, it does not mean that the Commission must accept every view that the ANC brings before us, but you are supposed to consider and be able to answer to it. And, that's already been dealt with in the Court of Appeals.

But, we do give ANCs great weight.

We give community great weight, and we've done that for years, at least as long I've been here.

It doesn't mean that we always agree. Just because we don't agree with exactly what you want us to do, or the Commission makes a decision outside of the scope of what you want, does not mean you were not given great weight. It means that we consider it, and we do give it weight, just like we give the Office of Planning, by law we are required to do that.

Okay. Anything else? Do we have anything else?

1	Does the Office of Planning have
2	anything?
3	Ms. Steingasser.
4	MS. STEINGASSER: Yes, sir. We
5	have a quick status report.
6	I'd like to report on Thursday there
7	was a press conference held in Georgetown, at
8	the corner of P and 27th Street, where the
9	University and the ANC, Burleith Citizens
10	Associations, Foxhall and CAG, all announced
11	resolution of the dispute between them.
12	They'll be bringing the mayor was
13	there, President DeJoy was there.
14	CHAIRMAN HOOD: I wish somebody
15	would have invited me.
16	MS. STEINGASSER: It was a great
17	afternoon, lots of back slapping, hugging, arms
18	in the air.
19	So, that will be coming to you, it
20	will be in a slightly different form. They've
21	agreed on a seven-year continuum plan, and then
22	during which time they'll be working on a longer

term vision plan of 20 years. So, that will be coming to you, I think you've already granted them an extension. The other thing we wanted to update you briefly on is the ZRR and our request to be moving forward with some text amendments. Office of Attorney General has asked to include some technical corrections, us amendments that they see pressing through the Board of Zoning Adjustment, as well as Mr. Turnbull's request that we adjust for the extension. We put together, in working with our internal staff, OAG, and then conferring with the task force, four groupings of topics. Parking, accessory dwellings, sustainability and administration. The sustainability

The sustainability would be bringing forward the GAR, which the Commission has already heard through guidance and through draft text for the current regs in 2010, the pervious surface standards for the residential

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

area, which the Commission was also very in favor of, and landscape standards for parking lots, including tree canopy and an increased screen space. So, those three would come forward as one grouping.

Administration would be the BZA rule that we just discussed, about allowing more than one extension. The height measuring rule, Office of Attorney General quite was complimentary on the work that was done on the height early on, through the task force, and then through the Commission, and suggested that we bring that forward. That would lower the measuring point slightly, so that it's in the middle of the roof, instead of right now it's at a ceiling, which you end up with these large This kind of would set the measuring peaks. point in the middle between the eave and pitch of the roof, creating an effective lowering.

Specifically, the Office of Attorney General asked us to bring forward a rule formalizing the best and final offers for

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

PUDs that the Commission now does through practice, and to clarify that an increase in non-conforming FAR is an area variance, not a use variance, because that's one that bounces back and forth a lot between the BZA.

In the parking category, that will probably be coming back to you this fall. We are working with DDOT. They are holding a series of community meetings on their street parking management. So, we will be working with them.

What we are proposing to bring forward would be amendments only in the downtown and high-density commercial areas, the DD overlay, the commercial Capitol Gateway, which is the stadium area, the C4 and C5s, most uses in the C4 and C5 currently do not have parking requirements, and in the TDR receiving zones, which are the area north of the stadium area and NoMa.

What we are proposing there would be what we've discussed in the past, and at the

NEAL R. GROSS

public hearing in 2009, which is to relieve the parking minimums, and to allow for parking and loading sharing between the two. We would also, because it's opportunity while we are looking at parking, we've been asked to include language that clarifies that the BZA can, if so proven through evidence, require more parking for private That was an issue that we've heard schools. a lot of through the neighborhoods.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

The 16th Street height overlay also requested that we specify that a transportation management plan be required, so that when an applicant comes forward for an institutional use that that would be -- they would clearly understand that.

And then, we'd also like to include bike standards. So, we would group all of those together for one hearing.

And then finally, accessory dwellings. Currently, accessory dwellings are allowed in your R-1 Zones, you are allowed both

an accessory apartment inside the principal unit
by special exception, and what we call a
domestics quarters by right on top of garage
and detached.

There is no definition of what constitutes a domestics employee. It could be, you know, your tenant could mow the lawn once a month, they work for you, they are a domestic, and you can have this right.

What we are proposing is to extend accessory dwellings in the R-2 and R-3, because the Board of Zoning Adjustment have, by virtue of their own special exception execution, allowed that waiver already. So, inasmuch as they've established a rule through practice.

We are also suggesting, would be suggesting, that in the R-1 zones the accessory dwellings be limited to one, and that that one be either internal or external, and that it would be then considered, either by special exception on the -- I'm sorry, it would be matter of right if it's internal to the house, and we are

NEAL R. GROSS

currently looking at how we would propose to regulate it if it's external.

But, instead of having two accessory dwellings, plus your principal, there would only be one accessory dwelling plus principal. We are proposing no changes to the parking in regards to that, and we are proposing no increase in the size. So, the size restriction, parking restriction, as well as the principal residence of the property owner, would all be required, would stay required.

So, those are the gist of our main We think it's important to be able proposals. to bring those to you in a way that can have, we think, much more meaningful discussion. make amendments to the current Code, the current Code is something, as we all know, the community understands the language, trying to make these amendments in the context of а new There's a lot of confusion over the provision. new language, the new nomenclature, things are getting lost, and suspicion is being raised when

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

we find a typo, people find a typo. And, they think it's on purpose.

So, this allows these amendments to be made, to become effective, and for the to weigh in, in your standard Commission format, standard process, with in your notification coming from the Office of Zoning, and have a discussion that I think would be much more meaningful for the community, because they are going to understand. We've tried to limit the policy focus to one thing at a time, so that while we may be able to group two of these together at one hearing, so that we think we could probably do two topics per public hearing, the topics themselves will be adjustable, they'll be understandable, and the things that the Commission has already seen through the guidance program.

So, that's, I guess, what we are asking for concurrence, or lack of objection, however you'd like to deal with that.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any comments,

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

~				
Comm	7 0	010	$n \Delta r$	C
COIIIII	$\pm \circ$	$D \perp C$	DITCT.	ъ.

Commissioner May, go ahead.

COMMISSIONER MAY: I was just going to say, I mean, what you are describing is only a portion. And so, the balance, and we've already had a number of guidance hearings having to do with how we might look at things a little bit different in some areas, and try to make the regulations less difficult to follow.

OP STAFF STEINGASSER: Yes.

COMMISSIONER MAY: All that will still happen.

OP STAFF STEINGASSER: That will still happen. We have found it very challenging, and it's created a lot of confusion and angst amongst the public at large, to have to be changing language while we are trying to introduce some of these policies.

The policies can be easily incorporated into the current regulations, and standard process, like we do every other text amendment, and then when we -- if you find these

1	four successful we could bring others. We have
2	a series of others. There's the campus plan
3	regulations. There's technical clean up to the
4	PUDs, and we could bring those back. But, the
5	readjustment, reformatting, that will continue,
6	but it would be much easier to do that as a
7	translation, than trying to include the text.
8	COMMISSIONER MAY: I'm
9	disappointed some of that is not lost, because
10	I thought one of the great values of what you
11	are doing, and doing it comprehensively, is
12	trying to make the structure of the Code more
13	sensible, which I think is very important.
14	Thanks.
15	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Commissioner
16	Turnbull?
17	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: I just had
18	a selfish question about the administrative
19	aspect of what you are doing.
20	OP STAFF STEINGASSER: Yes, sir.
21	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: What's
22	your time line on this?

1	OP STAFF STEINGASSER: We would
2	like to bring all of the text amendments to you
3	in July, with the exception of parking, which
4	we would probably bring in September of October.
5	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay. I
6	just wanted to be able to address concerns of
7	our colleagues on the BZA.
8	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Ms.
9	Steingasser, help me to understand. We are
10	going to be bringing we are going to be
11	possibly having this for set down in July.
12	OP STAFF STEINGASSER: Yes, sir.
13	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Now, after we set
14	it down, then you are going to once we say,
15	okay, this is good to take out to the outreach,
16	you are going to be doing outreach, I guess?
17	OP STAFF STEINGASSER: We'll be
18	continuing with our outreach, as well as the
19	Office of Zoning will be doing its standard
20	notification.
21	And, I do want to clarify one thing.
22	The task force was, while they were supportive,

1	there was one or two members who were hesitant,
2	who did not want to take these text amendments.
3	So, I just want to be I don't want to
4	misrepresent that there's unanimity amongst the
5	task force. There is the same variety of
6	opinion that there has always been.
7	But, we will continue to do our
8	outreach, and, you know, I do have a log of the
9	meetings that we've had since 2012, since the
10	program began. So, if the Commission feels
11	tired, that's because the Commission has had
12	42 public meetings. The Zoning Commission alone,
13	42 public meetings discussing this.
14	So, if you are wondering why you are
15	holding your head, that's why. You've already
16	had 42 since 20 since the summer of 2007,
17	when you started with the roundtables.
18	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Let me ask this,
19	too, Ms. Steingasser.
20	I remember going to a height work
21	group.
22	OP STAFF STEINGASSER: Yes, sir.

1	CHAIRMAN HOOD: And, we were
2	talking about coupling things together. I would
3	assume that we are going to probably do come
4	in to the Zoning Commission well, I know you
5	said we are going to try to do groups, but I
6	would like to see us think about it, because
7	I know height, when I went to that work group,
8	that work group took a long time. It took a
9	while, that whole process, and I think we had
10	to pull it back, and then I had to go back out
11	there and redo it again.
12	OP STAFF STEINGASSER: Yes, sir.
13	CHAIRMAN HOOD: So, when we start

CHAIRMAN HOOD: So, when we start looking at hearings, Ms. Schellin, Ms. Steingasser, I think we need to allow -- make sure we have allowed enough time for that five-hour hearing on height.

And, I don't know if we need to couple something that night together, but I will leave that up to staff. We'll probably need, for those major issues, those hot button issues, as you say, we probably need a whole night, if

NEAL R. GROSS

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

not two, for those issues. And, I'm sure a lot of residents want -- I believe a lot of residents want to come down and testify, and I want to make sure we afford them that opportunity.

The other thing is, maybe we can do it like we are getting ready to do a case that's coming up, we say we are going to continue it.

So, we finish, we won't waste a whole night, and we can go right into the next one. I'm not sure how we would do that.

We are getting ready to find out real soon how that works. I just think if we way we are going to do height, and I think you said accessory units, and some other issues that you brought up, and we say we are going to do those for one night, I don't know if we can finish that in one night.

I mean, that's my question.

OP STAFF STEINGASSER: Yes. We'll work on how we group them together. We think some of the technical amendments can probably move through.

1	What we are finding with accessory
2	dwellings is, the education curve, that people
3	didn't know they could have them. They didn't
4	know they could have two already, so when we
5	talk about limiting them to one, there's a gasp
б	where people think we are introducing one,
7	whereas, we are actually restricting one.
8	So, there's some education on what
9	the current zoning allows, and what our
10	proposals are.
11	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Has there been
12	other interest in other areas of the City that
13	you hadn't heard from previously, that you are
14	starting to hear more from now? Like Ward 7,
15	for example.
16	OP STAFF STEINGASSER: Well, we
17	have I mean, I'm happy to pass out the roster
18	of the community groups we've been to. We've
19	been into every ward in the City.
20	You know, Saturday we were at the
21	Ward 8 Summit. We've been in every ward. So,
22	there are there's a heightened interest, I

1	would say right now, because there is kind of
2	a lot of public information flying around, both
3	correct and incorrect.
4	But, we've been you know, we've
5	been all over the City. I think OP itself has
6	had over 38 public community meetings, 17 of
7	which have been with the ANCs, in addition to
8	what's been before the Zoning Commission.
9	CHAIRMAN HOOD: And, I think you are
10	scheduled for the 19th in Ward 7?
11	OP STAFF STEINGASSER: The 19th and
12	the 14th. We'll be going to ANC 7C on the 14th.
13	Mr. Lawson will be going with the work planner.
14	And then, we'll be going, you and I, to the
15	Civic Federation Association on the 19th.
16	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.
17	VICE CHAIR COHEN: I have a
18	question.
19	CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right. Vice
20	Chairperson Cohen.
21	VICE CHAIR COHEN: Yes. I'm
22	curious, since the task force, obviously, is

made up of many individuals with different opinions, what were some of the -- why were some people reserved to go out now with different topics?

OP STAFF STEINGASSER: There was a concern, and I don't -- I only get in trouble when I try to paraphrase these opinions, there was some concern that, perhaps, there would be more understanding if they could see how the pieces fit together in the new Code.

Our feeling is, as long as we stay with one issue at a time, it's easier for people to understand it in the current Code, because not all -- the new provisions aren't all vetted out, and as you vet one piece -- so things like accessory dwellings, well, right now you can have two and a principal house in an R-1 Zone. You know, that's a discreet question that can be answered in the context of our current zoning.

VICE CHAIR COHEN: I'm going to reserve my opinions about this, because as a person who is fairly new to the nuances and the

NEAL R. GROSS

1	zoning, I think I can be pretty objective about							
2	this. So, if I'm having a hard time grappling							
3	with it, you know I will speak up.							
4	OP STAFF STEINGASSER: Yes, ma'am.							
5	CHAIRMAN HOOD: One other thing.							
6	I think one of the things, Ms. Steingasser, and							
7	I know budgets are tight, and I'm willing to							
8	go up to the Mayor and ask myself, Channel 16,							
9	I think that would help us from running around							
10	doing a lot of this, if we could do that.							
11	Have we looked into that yet?							
12	OP STAFF STEINGASSER: We have not.							
13	The webcast is live, of course, and then the							
14	video on demand is usually ready within two days.							
15	So, if people were interested in the hearing,							
16	they could call it right up by just going to							
17	the Office of Zoning website.							
18	CHAIRMAN HOOD: But, I just wonder							
19	if we should explore that. That may cut back.							
20	And, if I need to try to help, I mean, you know,							
21	the best thing we can be told is no.							
22	OP STAFF STEINGASSER: Oh, you are							

1	talking about having them go with us to community
2	meetings.
3	CHAIRMAN HOOD: No. I mean, if we
4	start advertising some things on 16.
5	OP STAFF STEINGASSER: Oh,
6	advertising, I see.
7	CHAIRMAN HOOD: I don't know how the
8	government the District government, works
9	as far as paying, you have to pay, and all that
10	kind of stuff. And, that will be something
11	I will be willing to go up to the Mayor with.
12	OP STAFF STEINGASSER: Okay. I
13	didn't understand your request, I'm sorry.
14	Yes, we'll absolutely look into that.
15	CHAIRMAN HOOD: If we did a town
16	hall, say, in Ward 5, I don't know where I came
17	up with 5, if you did a town hall in Ward 5,
18	then you could record it, and kind of make it
19	inclusive to everything across the City. I know
20	different wards have different things, I'm not
21	saying that every ward is the same, but I think
22	that will start getting the genesis out to those

1	that we are missing that don't go to the website,								
2	that don't watch us, spending Mondays and								
3	Thursdays, and Tuesday afternoons watching us.								
4	And then, when we get to the point								
5	where they say, well, I didn't know about, more								
6	people are saying, oh, I saw it on 16. People								
7	will probably look at 16 more than do some other								
8	things.								
9	OP STAFF STEINGASSER: Right.								
LO	Okay. Yes, we can definitely look at that.								
11	CHAIRMAN HOOD: If I can help with								
L2	that, go and ask the Mayor, I'll do that.								
13	OP STAFF STEINGASSER: And, we are								
L4	going out to Ward 5 to the upper								
L5	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Northeast?								
L6	OP STAFF STEINGASSER: it's an								
L7	Ecumenical Council Community Fair on Health and								
18	Safety in July, and we'll be there talking a								
L9	lot about some of the different initiatives that								
20	will be coming through.								
21	CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right. Any								
22	other and also, I want oz thank you, Ms.								

1	Steingasser, for the good news that happened								
2	Thursday. This Commission gets beat up quite								
3	a bit, as I know Office of Planning and Office								
4	of Zoning, we all get beat up quite a bit. But,								
5	at the end of the day, I don't know if I should								
6	say it, because they haven't come in front of								
7	us yet, but at the end of the day it sounds good								
8	for the report that you gave us. So, we really								
9	appreciate it. That shows that our work did								
10	not go in vain, and that's all of our work.								
11	So, that's a positive note, and I								
12	think what we can do is end on that positive								
13	note.								
14	Any other questions for the Office								
15	of Planning?								
16	Okay. I want to thank everyone for								
17	their participation, and for their hard work.								
18	And, I really like that last comment that Ms.								
19	Steingasser made about everybody coming								
20	together over this.								
21	So, with that, this meeting is								

adjourned.

1			(Whereupo	n .	the	above-er	ntitled
							rererea
2	matter	was	concluded	at	8:07	p.m.)	
3							
4							
5							
6							
7							
8							
9							
LO							
11							
12							
L3							
L4							
L5							
L6							
L7							
18							
L9							
٠ <i>٦</i>							